

A Class of Matrix Schrödinger Bispectral Operators

Brian D. Vasquez Campos¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Khalifa University.

¹bridava927@gmail.com

February 2, 2024

Abstract

We prove the bispectrality of some class of matrix Schrödinger operators with polynomial potentials which satisfy a second-order matrix autonomous differential equation. The physical equation is constructed using the formal theory of the Laurent series and after that obtaining local solutions using estimations in the Frobenius norm. Furthermore, the characterization of the algebra of polynomial eigenvalues in the spectral variable is given using some family of functions $\mathcal{P} = \{P_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with the remarkable property of satisfying a general version of the Leibniz rule.

Key words: bispectrality, matrix Schrödinger operators, family of functions $\mathcal{P} = \{P_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Introduction

The Bispectral Problem was originally posed by J. J. Duistermaat and F. A. Grünbaum [DG86], it consists in to find all the bispectral triples (L, ψ, B) satisfying systems of equations

$$L\psi(x, z) = \psi(x, z)F(z) \quad (\psi B)(x, z) = \theta(x)\psi(x, z) \quad (1)$$

with $L = L(x, \partial_x)$, $B = B(z, \partial_z)$ linear matrix differential operators, i.e., $L\psi = \sum_{i=0}^l a_i(x) \cdot \partial_x^i \psi$, $\psi B = \sum_{j=0}^m \partial_z^j \psi \cdot b_j(z)$. The functions a_i, b_j, F, θ and the nontrivial common eigenfunction ψ are in principle compatible sized matrix valued functions.

The bispectral problem was completely solved in the scalar case for Schrödinger operators $L = -\partial_x^2 + U(x)$ and the potentials $U(x)$ for which bispectrality follows were characterized in [DG86]. It turned out to have deep connections with many problems in Mathematical Physics. Indeed, it could be arranged in suitable manifolds which were naturally parameterized by the flows of the Korteweg de-Vries (KdV) hierarchy or its master-symmetries [ZM91, ZVS00]. It led to generalizations associated to the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) hierarchy [Zub92b, Wil93, Hor02, Ili99].

In the references [VCZ22, VZ22, GVZ23], we elucidate the symmetry structure inherent in a non-commutative variant of the bispectral problem. Additionally, we present a comprehensive framework and establish a method for determining the bispectrality of a given matrix polynomial sub-algebra within this context.

In this paper we construct the physical equation $L\psi = -z^2\psi$ with the matrix Schrödinger operator $L = -\partial_x^2 + V'(x)$ and the eigenfunction

$$\psi(x, z) = \left(Iz + \frac{1}{2}V(x) \right) e^{xz}.$$

The necessary and sufficient condition to obtain the physical equation in this particular case is $V'''(x) = V'(x)V(x)$.

The main goals of this article are: Firstly, to obtain meromorphic solutions of the physical equation using the theory of the Laurent series. Secondly, we give a characterization of the algebra of polynomial eigenvalues in the spectral variable independently of the bispectrality and look for conditions on the function V to obtain that this algebra is not trivial, this is precisely bispectrality.

The bispectral problem over a noncommutative ring was first studied in [Zub90, Zub92a, Zub92c] in the case of the ring of matrices for the situation where both the physical and spectral operators were acting on the same side of the eigenfunction. Later on, several generalizations were considered. See [SZ01, Kas15, BL08, GI03, Grü14, GHY15] and references therein. This article follows up on the possibility of having the physical and the spectral operators acting on different sides. If the operator in the physical variable is normalized, its corresponding eigenvalue is scalar and the operators act from different sides we obtain the ad-condition and the right bispectral algebra is contained in the algebra of matrix polynomials $M_N(\mathbb{C}[x])$.

The plan of this article is as follows: In Section 1, we study this matrix autonomous differential equation using Laurent series with a simple pole at the origin $V(x) = \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} V_k x^k$ and obtaining conditions on the coefficients which gives rise to some remarkable properties such as $V_k(V_0, V_1, V_2)$ is quasihomogeneous of type $(1, 2, 3)$ and degree $k + 1$. After that, we obtain important estimations in the Frobenius norm to assure the existence of local meromorphic solutions of the autonomous equation $V'''(x) = V'(x)V(x)$. An important property of working in the matrix case is the existence of nonconstant polynomial solutions of this autonomous equation. In section 2, we give a complete characterization of the algebra

$$\mathbb{A} = \{\theta \in M_N(\mathbb{C}[x]) \mid \exists B = B(z, \partial_z), (\psi B)(x, z) = \theta(x)\psi(x, z)\}$$

using the family of functions $\mathcal{P} = \{P_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by

$$P_k(\theta) = \frac{\theta^{(k)}(0)}{(k-1)!} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^k \left[\frac{\theta^{(j)}(0)}{j!}, V_{k-1-j} \right],$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\theta \in M_N(\mathbb{C}[x])$. Furthermore, we prove the bispectral property for some class of polynomial potentials satisfying $V''(x) = V'(x)V(x)$.

1 Algebraic Morphisms Arising from the Matrix Equation $V''(x) = V'(x)V(x)$

{auto}

We try to find meromorphic solutions for the matrix equation $V''(x) = V'(x)V(x)$ with a simple pole at $x = 0$. This will allow us to obtain some type of solutions of the bispectral problem. Leading to obtain formal solutions of the autonomous equation we see that the Taylor coefficients in the expansion $V(x) = \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} V_k x^k$ turns out to be affine algebraic morphisms. Furthermore, if the residue $V_{-1} = \text{Res}(V, 0) = 0$, then the holomorphic solution V has Taylor coefficients which are quasihomogeneous in the noncommutative variables V_0, V_1 and using some grading we obtain the bispectrality in the case of polynomial potentials.

1.1 The Matrix Equation $V''(x) = V'(x)V(x)$.

Let $V(x) = \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} V_k x^k$, then $V'(x) = \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} k V_k x^{k-1}$ and $V''(x) = \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} k(k-1) V_k x^{k-2}$. Therefore, $V''(x) = V'(x)V(x)$ if, and only if,

$$k(k-1)V_k = \sum_{j=-1}^k j V_j V_{k-1-j}$$

for $k = -1, 0, 1, \dots$.

- If $k = -1$, then $-V_{-1}^2 = 2V_{-1}$ and hence $V_{-1}(V_{-1} + 2I_N) = 0$.
- If $k = 0$, then $V_{-1}V_0 = 0$.
- If $k = 1$, then $V_{-1}V_1 = 0$.

- If $k \geq 2$, then $k(k-1)V_k = -V_{-1}V_k + kV_kV_{-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} jV_jV_{k-1-j}$. Thus,

$$T_k(V_k) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} jV_jV_{k-1-j}.$$

where the operator $T_k : M_N(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_N(\mathbb{C})$ defined by $T_k(a) = k(k-1)a + V_{-1}a - kaV_{-1}$.

Since $V_{-1}(V_{-1} + 2I_N) = 0$, we have that 0 and -2 are the only eigenvalues of V_{-1} . The Jordan Canonical Form Theorem implies that V_{-1} has the form $\text{diag}(-2, 0, \dots, 0, -2)$. After a change of coordinates, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$V_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -2I_m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $V_{-1}V_0 = 0$ we have that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2I_m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_{011} & V_{012} \\ V_{021} & V_{022} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2V_{011} & -2V_{012} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, $V_{011} = V_{012} = 0$. Thus,

$$V_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ V_{021} & V_{022} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the same way, $V_{-1}V_1 = 0$ implies that

$$V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ V_{121} & V_{122} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we write

$$V_k = \begin{pmatrix} V_{k11} & V_{k12} \\ V_{k21} & V_{k22} \end{pmatrix},$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_k(V_k) &= k(k-1)V_k + V_{-1}V_k - kV_kV_{-1} = k(k-1) \begin{pmatrix} V_{k11} & V_{k12} \\ V_{k21} & V_{k22} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -2I_m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_{k11} & V_{k12} \\ V_{k21} & V_{k22} \end{pmatrix} \\ &- k \begin{pmatrix} V_{k11} & V_{k12} \\ V_{k21} & V_{k22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -2I_m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = k(k-1) \begin{pmatrix} V_{k11} & V_{k12} \\ V_{k21} & V_{k22} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -2V_{k11} & -2V_{k12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + k \begin{pmatrix} 2V_{k11} & 0 \\ 2V_{k21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (k(k-1) + 2k - 2)V_{k11} & (k(k-1) - 2)V_{k12} \\ (k(k-1) + 2k)V_{k21} & k(k-1)V_{k22} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (k-1)(k+2)V_{k11} & (k-2)(k+1)V_{k12} \\ k(k+1)V_{k21} & k(k-1)V_{k22} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

For $k = 2$, we have

$$V_1V_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ V_{121} & V_{122} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ V_{021} & V_{022} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ V_{122}V_{021} & V_{122}V_{022} \end{pmatrix} = T_2(V_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 4V_{211} & 0 \\ 6V_{221} & 2V_{222} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, $V_{211} = 0$, $V_{221} = \frac{V_{122}V_{021}}{6}$, $V_{222} = \frac{V_{122}V_{022}}{2}$. Thus,

$$V_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V_{212} \\ \frac{V_{122}V_{021}}{6} & \frac{V_{122}V_{022}}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Remember that,

$$T_k(V_k) = k(k-1)V_k + V_{-1}V_k - kV_kV_{-1}$$

for $k \geq 2$. If $k \geq 3$, we have that T_k is invertible and

$$V_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} jT_k^{-1}(V_jV_{k-1-j}). \quad (2)$$

Definition 1. Fix an element $A \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$, define the multiplication operators $L_A, R_A : M_N(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_N(\mathbb{C})$, $L_A(X) = AX$, $R_A(X) = XA$.

We now look for the elements $A \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$ such that L_A and R_A commutes with T_k for $k \geq 1$.

{commu}

Lemma 1. L_A and R_A commutes with T_k for $k \geq 1$ if, and only if, $A_{12} = 0 \in M_{m \times (N-m)}(\mathbb{C})$ and $A_{21} = 0 \in M_{(N-m) \times m}(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} T_k R_A(X) &= T_k(XA) = k(k-1)XA + V_{-1}XA - k(XA)V_{-1} = k(k-1)XA + V_{-1}XA - kXV_{-1} - kX[A, V_{-1}] \\ &= T_k(X)A - kX[A, V_{-1}] = R_A T_k(X) - kX[A, V_{-1}], T_k L_A(X) = T_k(AX) = k(k-1)AX + V_{-1}AX - k(AX)V_{-1} \\ &= k(k-1)AX + AV_{-1}X - k(AX)V_{-1} - [A, V_{-1}]X = AT_k(X) - [A, V_{-1}]X. \end{aligned}$$

Then, L_A and R_A commutes with T_k for $k \geq 1$ if, and only if, $[A, V_{-1}] = 0$, but this condition says that $A_{12} = 0 \in M_{m \times (N-m)}(\mathbb{C})$ and $A_{21} = 0 \in M_{(N-m) \times m}(\mathbb{C})$. \square

The following lemma gives us an interesting property of the operator T_k when we consider $M_N(\mathbb{C})$ with the Frobenius norm.

Lemma 2. The operator $T_k^{-1} : M_N(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_N(\mathbb{C})$ satisfies

$$\|T_k^{-1}(a)\|_F \leq \frac{4(k^2 - 3)}{(k-2)(k-1)(k+1)(k+2)} \|a\|_F$$

for $k \geq 3$.

Proof. Since,

$$T_k(a) = \begin{pmatrix} (k-1)(k+2)a_{11} & (k-2)(k+1)a_{12} \\ k(k+1)a_{21} & k(k-1)a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

for $k \geq 3$. We have,

$$T_k^{-1}(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{(k-1)(k+2)}a_{11} & \frac{1}{(k-2)(k+1)}a_{12} \\ \frac{1}{k(k+1)}a_{21} & \frac{1}{k(k-1)}a_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying the Frobenius norm,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_k^{-1}(a)\|_F^2 &= \left(\frac{1}{(k-1)(k+2)}\right)^2 \|a_{11}\|_F^2 + \left(\frac{1}{(k-2)(k+1)}\right)^2 \|a_{12}\|_F^2 + \left(\frac{1}{k(k+1)}\right)^2 \|a_{21}\|_F^2 \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{k(k-1)}\right)^2 \|a_{22}\|_F^2 \leq \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{(k-1)(k+2)}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{(k-2)(k+1)}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{k(k+1)}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{k(k-1)}\right)^2 \right\} \|a\|_F^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_k^{-1}(a)\|_F &\leq \left\| \left(\frac{1}{(k-1)(k+2)}, \frac{1}{(k-2)(k+1)}, \frac{1}{k(k+1)}, \frac{1}{k(k-1)} \right) \right\|_2 \|a\|_F \\ &= 2 \frac{\sqrt{k^6 - 5k^4 + 6k^2 + 8}}{(k-2)(k-1)k(k+1)(k+2)} \|a\|_F \leq \frac{4(k^2 - 3)}{(k-2)(k-1)(k+1)(k+2)} \|a\|_F, \end{aligned}$$

for $k \geq 3$. To obtain the last inequality observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sqrt{k^6 - 5k^4 + 6k^2 + 8}}{(k-2)(k-1)k(k+1)(k+2)} &\leq \frac{2(k^2 - 3)}{(k-2)(k-1)(k+1)(k+2)} \\ &\iff \sqrt{k^6 - 5k^4 + 6k^2 + 8} \leq 2k(k^2 - 3) \\ &\iff k^6 - 5k^4 + 6k^2 + 8 \leq 4k^2(k^2 - 3)^2 = 4k^2(k^4 - 6k^2 + 9) = 4k^6 - 24k^4 + 36k^2 \\ &\iff f(k) := 3k^6 - 19k^4 + 30k^2 - 8 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

However, we have the factorization of the polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, $f(x) = (x^2 - 4)(x^2 - 2)(3x^2 - 1)$, and $f(x) \geq 0$ for $|x| \geq 2$. \square

Remark 1. Note that the inequality in the previous lemma implies that T_k^{-1} is a contraction for $k \geq 3$.

We can use this result to estimate the norm of the sequence $\{V_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$.

{convergence}

Theorem 1. *If $\|V_0\|_F \leq \frac{1}{4}$, $\|V_1\|_F \leq \frac{1}{8}$, $\|V_2\|_F \leq \frac{1}{16}$, then*

$$\|V_k\|_F \leq \frac{1}{2^{k+2}}$$

for every $k \geq 3$.

Proof. The proof is by induction. By hypothesis we have $\|V_k\|_F \leq \frac{1}{2^{k+2}}$ for $k = 0, 1, 2$. Assume the claim for some $0 \leq j \leq k-1$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_k\|_F &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j \left\| T_k^{-1}(V_j V_{k-1-j}) \right\|_F \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j \frac{4(k^2-3)}{(k-2)(k-1)(k+1)(k+2)} \left\| V_j V_{k-1-j} \right\|_F \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j \frac{4(k^2-3)}{(k-2)(k-1)(k+1)(k+2)} \|V_j\|_F \|V_{k-1-j}\|_F \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j \frac{4(k^2-3)}{(k-2)(k-1)(k+1)(k+2)} \left(\frac{1}{2^{j+2}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2^{k-j+1}} \right) \\ &= \frac{k(k^2-3)}{(k-2)(k+1)(k+2)} \left(\frac{1}{2^{k+2}} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{k+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the claim follows by induction. \square

This theorem allows us to give meromorphic solutions to the matrix equation $V''(x) = V'(x)V(x)$ in a punctured neighborhood of the origin. To do this, we consider the set $K \subset M_N(\mathbb{C})^3 \times \mathbb{C}$ defined by the relations

$$V_{-1}V_0 = V_{-1}V_1 = 0, V_1V_0 = T_2(V_2), \|V_0\|_F \leq \frac{1}{4}, \|V_1\|_F \leq \frac{1}{8}, \|V_2\|_F \leq \frac{1}{16}, 0 < |x| \leq 1.$$

Corollary 1. *The formal power series $V = V(V_0, V_1, V_2, x) = \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} V_k(V_0, V_1, V_2)x^k$ is meromorphic for $(V_0, V_1, V_2, x) \in K$.*

Proof. If $(V_0, V_1, V_2, x) \in K$, then Theorem 1 implies that $\|V_k(V_0, V_1, V_2)\|_F \leq \frac{1}{2^{k+2}}$ and therefore $\left\| \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} V_k(V_0, V_1, V_2)x^k \right\|_F \leq \frac{1}{2^{k+2}}$. Thus, the Weierstrass Theorem implies that the series $\sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} V_k(V_0, V_1, V_2)x^k$ converges absolutely and uniformly in compact subsets of K . Since the functions $V_k(V_0, V_1, V_2)x^k$ are meromorphic in K we obtain the same for V . \square

1.2 Some Properties of the Sequence $\{V_k(V_0, V_1, V_2)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$

The sequence $\{V_k(V_0, V_1, V_2)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ has important properties which are given in the following results.

Proposition 1. *The function $V_k(V_{021}, V_{022}, V_{121}, V_{122}, V_{212})$ has polynomial coordinates for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Note that

$$V_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ V_{021} & V_{022} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ V_{121} & V_{122} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$V_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V_{212} \\ \frac{V_{122}V_{021}}{6} & \frac{V_{122}V_{022}}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

has polynomial coordinates in $V_{021}, V_{022}, V_{121}, V_{122}, V_{212}$. Assume that $V_j(V_{021}, V_{022}, V_{121}, V_{122}, V_{212})$ has polynomial coordinates for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$, since

$$V_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} jT_k^{-1}(V_j V_{k-1-j})$$

and the product of matrices $V_j V_{k-1-j}$ is a polynomial in the block entries of V_j and V_{k-1-j} . Since T_k^{-1} is linear we obtain that $V_k(V_{021}, V_{022}, V_{121}, V_{122}, V_{212})$ has polynomial coordinates. \square

Corollary 2. $V_k(V_{021}, V_{022}, V_{121}, V_{122}, V_{212})$ is an algebraic morphism for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 2. If $A \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$ and $A_{22}V_{j,21} = V_{j,21}A_{11}$, for $j = 0, 1, 2$, $A_{11}V_{12} = V_{12}A_{22}$, $[A_{22}, V_{0,22}] = [A_{22}, V_{1,22}] = 0$, then

$$V_j(V_{j,21}A_{11}, V_{j,22}A_{22}) = A^{j+1}V_j(V_{j,21}, V_{j,22}) = V_j(V_{j,21}, V_{j,22})A^{j+1},$$

for $j = 0, 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} V_2(AV_0, A^2V_1, A_{11}^3V_{212}) &= A^3V_2(V_0, V_1, V_{212}) = V_2(V_0, V_1, V_{212})A^3 \\ V_k(AV_0, A^2V_1, A^3V_2) &= A^{k+1}V_k(V_0, V_1, V_2) = V_k(V_0, V_1, V_2)A^{k+1}, \end{aligned}$$

for every $k \geq 3$.

Proof. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} AV_0(V_{021}, V_{022}) &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ V_{021} & V_{022} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A_{22}V_{021} & A_{22}V_{022} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ V_{021}A_{11} & V_{022}A_{22} \end{pmatrix} = V_0(V_{021}A_{11}, V_{022}A_{22}) = V_0(V_{021}, V_{022})A, \\ A^2V_1(V_{121}, V_{122}) &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & A_{22}^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ V_{021} & V_{022} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A_{22}^2V_{021} & A_{22}^2V_{022} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ V_{021}A_{11}^2 & V_{022}A_{22}^2 \end{pmatrix} = V_0(V_{021}A_{11}^2, V_{022}A_{22}^2) = V_1(V_{121}, V_{122})A^2, \\ A^3V_2(V_0, V_1, V_{212}) &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}^3 & 0 \\ 0 & A_{22}^3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V_{212} \\ \frac{V_{122}V_{021}}{6} & \frac{V_{122}V_{022}}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{11}^3V_{212} \\ \frac{A_{22}^3V_{122}V_{021}}{6} & \frac{A_{22}^3V_{122}V_{022}}{2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{11}^3V_{212} \\ \frac{(A_{22}^3V_{122})(A_{22}V_{021})}{6} & \frac{(A_{22}^3V_{122})(A_{22}V_{022})}{2} \end{pmatrix} = V_2(AV_0, A^2V_1, A_{11}^3V_{212}) = V_2(V_0, V_1, V_{212})A^3. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using the Lemma 1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} V_3(AV_0, A^2V_1, A^3V_2) &= \sum_{j=1}^2 jT_3^{-1}((A^{j+1}V_j)(A^{3-j}V_{2-j})) = T_3^{-1}((A^2V_1)^2 + 2(A^3V_2)(AV_0)) \\ &= T_3^{-1}(A^4(V_1^2 + 2V_2V_0)) = T_3^{-1}L_A^4(V_1^2 + 2V_2V_0) = L_A^4T_3^{-1}(V_1^2 + 2V_2V_0) = A^4V_3(V_0, V_1, V_2). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} V_3(AV_0, A^2V_1, A^3V_2) &= \sum_{j=1}^2 jT_3^{-1}((A^{j+1}V_j)(A^{3-j}V_{2-j})) = T_3^{-1}((A^2V_1)^2 + 2(A^3V_2)(AV_0)) \\ &= T_3^{-1}((V_1^2 + 2V_2V_0)A^4) = T_3^{-1}R_A^4(V_1^2 + 2V_2V_0) = R_A^4T_3^{-1}(V_1^2 + 2V_2V_0) = V_3(V_0, V_1, V_2)A^4. \end{aligned}$$

Assume the claim is true for $3 \leq j \leq k-1$ and use again the Lemma 1 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} V_k(AV_0, A^2V_1, A^3V_2) &= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} jT_k^{-1}((A^{j+1}V_j)(A^{k-j}V_{k-1-j})) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} jT_k^{-1}(A^{k+1}V_jV_{k-1-j}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} jT_k^{-1}L_A^{k+1}(V_jV_{k-1-j}) = L_A^{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} jT_k^{-1}(V_jV_{k-1-j}) = A^{k+1}V_k(V_0, V_1, V_2). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} V_k(AV_0, A^2V_1, A^3V_2) &= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} jT_k^{-1}((A^{j+1}V_j)(A^{k-j}V_{k-1-j})) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} jT_k^{-1}(V_jV_{k-1-j}A^{k+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} jT_k^{-1}R_A^{k+1}(V_jV_{k-1-j}) = R_A^{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} jT_k^{-1}(V_jV_{k-1-j}) = V_k(V_0, V_1, V_2)A^{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the claim follows by induction. □ {v-quasi}

Corollary 3. $V_k(\lambda V_0, \lambda^2 V_1, \lambda^3 V_2) = \lambda^{k+1}V_k(V_0, V_1, V_2)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e the function $V_k(V_0, V_1, V_2)$ is quasihomogeneous of type $(1, 2, 3)$ and degree $k+1$.

Proof. It is enough to consider $A = \lambda I_N$. □

Two remarkable cases of the sequence of functions $\{V_k(V_0, V_1, V_2)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ are

- If $V_{-1} = -2I_N$, then the equations $V_{-1}V_0 = V_{-1}V_1 = 0$ implies that $V_0 = V_1 = 0$. On the other hand, $T_k^{-1}(a) = \frac{1}{(k-1)(k+2)}a$ and (2) imply that $V_k = 0$ for $k \geq 2$. In this case we have $V(x) = -\frac{2I_N}{x}$.
- If $V_{-1} = 0$, then V_0 and V_1 are arbitrary. Furthermore, $T_k^{-1}(a) = \frac{1}{k(k-1)}a$ and (2) imply that

$$V_k = \frac{1}{k(k-1)} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} jV_jV_{k-1-j},$$

for $k \geq 2$. In particular, $V_k = V_k(V_0, V_1)$ is a noncommutative polynomial in the variables V_0 and V_1 .

In the last case we have an interesting result {polyprop}

Proposition 2. If $V_{-1} = 0$, then

- V_1 is a left divisor of V_k for $k \geq 1$. In particular $V_k(V_0, 0) = 0$ for $k \geq 1$.
- $V_k(0, V_1) = 0$ for k even, $V_k(0, V_1) = r_{4k-1}V_1^{2k}$ for $k \geq 1$ and $V_k(0, V_1) = r_{4k+1}V_1^{2k+1}$ for $k \geq 0$ for some coefficients $r_{4k-1}, r_{4k+1} \in [0, 1]$.

1.3 Polynomial Solutions of the matrix equation $V''(x) = V'(x)V(x)$

If we want a polynomial solution of degree $\leq n$ for the equation $V'' = V'V$ we have to solve the system of matrix equations

$$V_s = \frac{1}{s(s-1)} \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} jV_jV_{s-1-j}, \quad \sum_{j=\max\{k-1-n, 1\}}^n jV_jV_{k-1-j} = 0$$

for $2 \leq s \leq n$, $n+1 \leq k \leq 2n+1$.

Using the Proposition 2 we have one class of solutions to this problem.

Theorem 3. Let V_1 to be a nilpotent matrix of degree $n+1 \leq N$,

- If $n = 2k$, then $V(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k r_{4j-1}V_1^{2j}x^j$ is a solution of $V'' = V'V$ for some $\{r_{4j-1}\}_{1 \leq j \leq k} \subset \mathbf{C}$.

- If $n = 2k + 1$, then $V(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k r_{4j+1} V_1^{2j+1} x^j$ is a solution of $V'' = V'V$ for some $\{r_{4j+1}\}_{1 \leq j \leq k} \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Remark 2. In the scalar case we have the integral domain $\mathbb{C}[x]$, if V is a polynomial such that $\deg(V) \geq 2$ we have that V'' is a nonzero polynomial. Applying the function \deg to the equation

$$\deg(V'') = \deg(V) - 2 = \deg(V'V) = \deg(V') + \deg(V) = 2 \deg(V) - 1$$

and therefore $\deg(V) = -1$, contradiction. Therefore, $\deg(V) \leq 1$, in the case $\deg(V) = 1$ there is no solution of the equation, in fact $V'' = 0$ and $V'V$ is a nonzero polynomial. Thus, we have the trivial constant solution $V(x) = V_0$.

2 Bispectrality of the Matrix Schrödinger Bispectral Operators for polynomial potentials

{bisch}

We begin with the definition of the family $\mathcal{P} = \{P_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ which will be used to describe the map $\theta \mapsto \mathcal{B}$ such that $(\psi \mathcal{B})(x, z) = \theta(x)\psi(x, z)$ and the bispectral algebra

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\theta \in M_N(\mathbb{C}[x]) \mid \exists B = B(z, \partial_z), (\psi B)(x, z) = \theta(x)\psi(x, z)\}.$$

Definition 2. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\theta \in M_N(\mathbb{C}[x])$, we define

$$P_k(\theta) = \frac{\theta^{(k)}(0)}{(k-1)!} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^k \left[\frac{\theta^{(j)}(0)}{j!}, V_{k-1-j} \right].$$

Now we study some properties of the sequence $\{P_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$.

{product form}

Lemma 3 (Product Formula for P_k). *If $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in M_N(\mathbb{C}[x])$, then*

$$P_k(\theta_1 \theta_2) = \sum_{s=0}^k \left\{ P_{k-s}(\theta_1) \frac{\theta_2^{(s)}(0)}{s!} + \frac{\theta_1^{(s)}(0)}{s!} P_{k-s}(\theta_2) \right\}$$

Proof. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} P_k(\theta_1 \theta_2) &= \frac{(\theta_1 \theta_2)^{(k)}(0)}{(k-1)!} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^k \left[\frac{(\theta_1 \theta_2)^{(j)}(0)}{j!}, V_{k-1-j} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \theta_1^{(j)}(0) \theta_2^{(k-j)}(0) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^k \left[\frac{1}{j!} \sum_{r=0}^j \binom{j}{r} \theta_1^{(r)}(0) \theta_2^{(j-r)}(0), V_{k-1-j} \right] \\ &= k \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\theta_1^{(j)}(0) \theta_2^{(k-j)}(0)}{j! (k-j)!} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{r=0}^j \left[\frac{\theta_1^{(r)}(0) \theta_2^{(j-r)}(0)}{r! (j-r)!}, V_{k-1-j} \right] \\ &= k \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\theta_1^{(j)}(0) \theta_2^{(k-j)}(0)}{j! (k-j)!} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{r=0}^j \left(\left[\frac{\theta_1^{(r)}(0)}{r!}, V_{k-1-j} \right] \frac{\theta_2^{(j-r)}(0)}{(j-r)!} + \frac{\theta_1^{(r)}(0)}{r!} \left[\frac{\theta_2^{(j-r)}(0)}{(j-r)!}, V_{k-1-j} \right] \right). \end{aligned}$$

However,

$$P_k(\theta) - \frac{\theta^{(k)}(0)}{(k-1)!} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^k \left[\frac{\theta^{(j)}(0)}{j!}, V_{k-1-j} \right];$$

for every $\theta \in M_N(\mathbb{C}[x])$.

Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{r=0}^j \left(\left[\frac{\theta_1^{(r)}(0)}{r!}, V_{k-1-j} \right] \frac{\theta_2^{(j-r)}(0)}{(j-r)!} + \frac{\theta_1^{(r)}(0)}{r!} \left[\frac{\theta_2^{(j-r)}(0)}{(j-r)!}, V_{k-1-j} \right] \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{r=0}^k \sum_{j=r}^k \left(\left[\frac{\theta_1^{(r)}(0)}{r!}, V_{k-1-j} \right] \frac{\theta_2^{(j-r)}(0)}{(j-r)!} + \frac{\theta_1^{(j-r)}(0)}{(j-r)!} \left[\frac{\theta_2^{(r)}(0)}{r!}, V_{k-1-j} \right] \right) \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^k \sum_{s=0}^{k-r} \left(\left[\frac{\theta_1^{(r)}(0)}{r!}, V_{k-1-s-r} \right] \frac{\theta_2^{(s)}(0)}{s!} + \frac{\theta_1^{(s)}(0)}{s!} \left[\frac{\theta_2^{(r)}(0)}{r!}, V_{k-1-j} \right] \right) \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^k \sum_{r=0}^{k-s} \left(\left[\frac{\theta_1^{(r)}(0)}{r!}, V_{k-1-s-r} \right] \frac{\theta_2^{(s)}(0)}{s!} + \frac{\theta_1^{(s)}(0)}{s!} \left[\frac{\theta_2^{(r)}(0)}{r!}, V_{k-1-j} \right] \right) \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^k \left\{ \left(\sum_{r=0}^{k-s} \left[\frac{\theta_1^{(r)}(0)}{r!}, V_{k-1-s-r} \right] \right) \frac{\theta_2^{(s)}(0)}{s!} + \frac{\theta_1^{(s)}(0)}{s!} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{k-s} \left[\frac{\theta_2^{(r)}(0)}{r!}, V_{k-1-j} \right] \right) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
P_k(\theta_1\theta_2) &= k \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\theta_1^{(j)}(0)}{j!} \frac{\theta_2^{(k-j)}(0)}{(k-j)!} \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0}^k \left\{ \left(\sum_{r=0}^{k-s} \left[\frac{\theta_1^{(r)}(0)}{r!}, V_{k-1-s-r} \right] \right) \frac{\theta_2^{(s)}(0)}{s!} + \frac{\theta_1^{(s)}(0)}{s!} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{k-s} \left[\frac{\theta_2^{(r)}(0)}{r!}, V_{k-1-j} \right] \right) \right\} \\
&= k \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\theta_1^{(j)}(0)}{j!} \frac{\theta_2^{(k-j)}(0)}{(k-j)!} \\
&+ \sum_{s=0}^k \left\{ \left(P_{k-s}(\theta_1) - \frac{\theta_1^{(k-s)}(0)}{(k-s-1)!} \right) \frac{\theta_2^{(s)}(0)}{s!} + \frac{\theta_1^{(s)}(0)}{s!} \left(P_{k-s}(\theta_2) - \frac{\theta_2^{(k-s)}(0)}{(k-s-1)!} \right) \right\} \\
&= k \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\theta_1^{(j)}(0)}{j!} \frac{\theta_2^{(k-j)}(0)}{(k-j)!} \\
&+ \sum_{s=0}^k P_{k-s}(\theta_1) \frac{\theta_2^{(s)}(0)}{s!} - \sum_{s=0}^k \frac{\theta_1^{(k-s)}(0)}{(k-s-1)!} \frac{\theta_2^{(s)}(0)}{s!} \\
&+ \sum_{s=0}^k \frac{\theta_1^{(s)}(0)}{s!} P_{k-s}(\theta_2) - \sum_{s=0}^k \frac{\theta_1^{(s)}(0)}{s!} \frac{\theta_1^{(k-s)}(0)}{(k-s-1)!} \\
&= k \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\theta_1^{(j)}(0)}{j!} \frac{\theta_2^{(k-j)}(0)}{(k-j)!} + \sum_{s=0}^k \left\{ P_{k-s}(\theta_1) \frac{\theta_2^{(s)}(0)}{s!} - \frac{\theta_1^{(s)}(0)}{s!} P_{k-s}(\theta_2) \right\} \\
&- \sum_{s=0}^k (k-s) \frac{\theta_1^{(k-s)}(0)}{(k-s)!} \frac{\theta_2^{(s)}(0)}{s!} - \sum_{s=0}^k (k-s) \frac{\theta_1^{(s)}(0)}{s!} \frac{\theta_1^{(k-s)}(0)}{(k-s)!} \\
&= k \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\theta_1^{(j)}(0)}{j!} \frac{\theta_2^{(k-j)}(0)}{(k-j)!} + \sum_{s=0}^k \left\{ P_{k-s}(\theta_1) \frac{\theta_2^{(s)}(0)}{s!} - \frac{\theta_1^{(s)}(0)}{s!} P_{k-s}(\theta_2) \right\} \\
&- \sum_{s=0}^k s \frac{\theta_1^{(s)}(0)}{s!} \frac{\theta_2^{(k-s)}(0)}{(k-s)!} - \sum_{s=0}^k (k-s) \frac{\theta_1^{(s)}(0)}{s!} \frac{\theta_1^{(k-s)}(0)}{(k-s)!} \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^k \left\{ P_{k-s}(\theta_1) \frac{\theta_2^{(s)}(0)}{s!} - \frac{\theta_1^{(s)}(0)}{s!} P_{k-s}(\theta_2) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$P_k(\theta_1\theta_2) = \sum_{s=0}^k \left\{ P_{k-s}(\theta_1) \frac{\theta_2^{(s)}(0)}{s!} + \frac{\theta_1^{(s)}(0)}{s!} P_{k-s}(\theta_2) \right\}.$$

□

Remark 3. If $V_j = 0$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ the product formula specializes into the Leibniz rule

$$(\theta_1 \theta_2)^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{s=0}^k \binom{k}{s} \theta_1^{(k-s)}(x) \theta_2^{(s)}(x).$$

In this case, $P_k(\theta) = \frac{\theta^{(k)}(0)}{(k-1)!} = k \frac{\theta^{(k)}(0)}{k!}$ and applying the Product Formula (3) turns out

$$\begin{aligned} P_k(\theta_1 \theta_2) &= \sum_{s=0}^k \left\{ (k-s) \frac{\theta_1^{(k-s)}(0) \theta_2^{(s)}(0)}{(k-s)! s!} + \frac{\theta_1^{(s)}(0) \theta_2^{(k-s)}(0)}{s! (k-s)!} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^k \left\{ (k-s) \frac{\theta_1^{(k-s)}(0) \theta_2^{(s)}(0)}{(k-s)! s!} + s \frac{\theta_1^{(k-s)}(0) \theta_2^{(s)}(0)}{(k-s)! s!} \right\} \\ &= k \sum_{s=0}^k \frac{\theta_1^{(k-s)}(0) \theta_2^{(s)}(0)}{(k-s)! s!} = k \frac{(\theta_1 \theta_2)^{(k)}(0)}{k!}, \end{aligned}$$

in the words $(\theta_1 \theta_2)^{(k)}(0) = \sum_{s=0}^k \binom{k}{s} \theta_1^{(k-s)}(0) \theta_2^{(s)}(0)$. Since θ_1 and θ_2 were arbitrary we can change them by their translations $\theta_1(x + \cdot)$ and $\theta_2(x + \cdot)$ to obtain $(\theta_1 \theta_2)^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{s=0}^k \binom{k}{s} \theta_1^{(k-s)}(x) \theta_2^{(s)}(x)$, i.e., the Leibniz rule.

If we consider the formal power series $V(x) = \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} V_j x^j$ we can write the family in a nice form as stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 4. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$P_k = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \Big|_{x=0} \left(kI + \frac{1}{2} x \operatorname{ad}(V) \right)$$

Proof. Since $V(x) = \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} V_j x^j$ we have $xV(x) = \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} V_j x^{j+1} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} V_{l-1} x^l$ and $V_{l-1} = \frac{1}{l!} \frac{d^l}{dx^l} \Big|_{x=0} (xV(x))$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \left[\frac{\theta^{(j)}(0)}{j!}, V_{k-1-j} \right] = \sum_{j=0}^k \left[\frac{\theta^{(j)}(0)}{j!}, \frac{1}{(k-j)!} \frac{d^{k-j}}{dx^{k-j}} \Big|_{x=0} (xV(x)) \right] = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \Big|_{x=0} (x [\theta, V]).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} P_k(\theta) &= \frac{\theta^{(k)}(0)}{(k-1)!} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^k \left[\frac{\theta^{(j)}(0)}{j!}, V_{k-1-j} \right] = \frac{\theta^{(k)}(0)}{(k-1)!} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \Big|_{x=0} (x [\theta, V]) \\ &= \frac{\theta^{(k)}(0)}{(k-1)!} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \Big|_{x=0} (x \operatorname{ad}(V)(\theta)) = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \Big|_{x=0} \left(kI + \frac{1}{2} x \operatorname{ad}(V) \right) (\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Since θ is arbitrary we have the assertion. □

Corollary 4. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $P_k(V) = \frac{V^{(k-1)}(0)}{(k-1)!}$.

Definition 3. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$A_1^{[m]} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{V_0}{2} & \frac{1}{2} V_{-1} + I_N & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{V_1}{2} & \frac{V_0}{2} & \frac{1}{2} V_{-1} + 2I_N & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\ \frac{V_{m-2}}{2} & \frac{V_{m-3}}{2} & \frac{V_{m-4}}{2} & \cdots & \frac{V_0}{2} & \frac{1}{2} V_{-1} + (m-1)I_N & 0 \\ \frac{V_{m-1}}{2} & \frac{V_{m-2}}{2} & \frac{V_{m-3}}{2} & \cdots & \frac{V_1}{2} & \frac{V_0}{2} & \frac{1}{2} V_{-1} + mI_N \\ \frac{V_m}{2} & \frac{V_{m-1}}{2} & \frac{V_{m-2}}{2} & \cdots & \frac{V_2}{2} & \frac{V_1}{2} & \frac{V_0}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_2^{[m]} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{m+1} & V_m & \cdots & V_1 \\ V_{m+2} & V_{m+1} & \cdots & V_2 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \end{pmatrix},$$

and for $\theta \in M_N(\mathbb{C}[x])$ we define $P_1^{m+1}(\theta) = (P_1(\theta), P_2(\theta), \dots, P_m(\theta), P_{m+1}(\theta))^T$ and $P_{m+2}^\infty(\theta) = (P_{m+2}(\theta), P_{m+3}(\theta), \dots)^T$.

Note that $A_1^{[m]}, A_2^{[m]}$ depend on V and $P_1^{m+1}(\theta), P_{m+2}^\infty(\theta)$ depend on θ . The following lemma gives a simplification of these matrices for m large enough when V is a polynomial.

Lemma 4. *If V is a polynomial of degree n and $m+1 = nq+r, q \geq 1, 0 \leq r < n$, then*

$$A_1^{[m]} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^{[r-1]} & rT_r^{r-1}, 0_{r \times (n-r)} & 0_{n \times n} & \cdots & 0_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} \\ \frac{1}{2}(A_2^{[n-1]})_{1,2,\dots,r} & A_1^{[n-1]} + rS_n & (n+r)T_n^{n-1} & \cdots & 0_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} \\ 0_{n \times n} & \frac{1}{2}A_2^{[n-1]} & A_1^{[n-1]} + (n+r)S_n & \cdots & 0_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}A_2^{[n-1]} & A_1^{[n-1]} + (n(q-2)+r)S_n & (n(q-1)+r)T_n^{n-1} \\ 0_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} & \cdots & 0_{n \times n} & \frac{1}{2}A_2^{[n-1]} & A_1^{[n-1]} + (n(q-1)+r)S_n \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$A_2^{[m]} = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{n \times (m+1-n)} & A_2^{[n-1]} \\ 0_{\infty \times (m+1-n)} & 0_{\infty \times n} \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e., $A_1^{[m]}$ is a block tridiagonal matrix and $A_2^{[m]}$ is a block upper triangular matrix.

Proof. If V is a polynomial of degree n the assertion about $A_2^{[m]}$ is clear. On the other hand, note that we can write

$$A_1^{[m]} = \begin{pmatrix} & & 0_{(m+1-2n) \times n} \\ & A_1^{[m-n]} & \\ & & (m+1-n)T_n^{n-1} \\ 0_{n \times (m+1-2n)} & \frac{1}{2}A_2^{[n-1]} & A_1^{[n-1]} + (m+1-n)S_n \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

Since the $A_1^{[m]}$ is a block matrix of size $(q+1) \times (q+1)$ we can use induction over q . Notice that the assertion is clear for $q=1$ because in this case $m+1 = n+r$ and

$$A_1^{[m]} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^{[r-1]} & rT_r^{r-1}, 0_{r \times (n-r)} \\ \frac{1}{2}(A_2^{[n-1]})_{1,2,\dots,r} & A_1^{[n-1]} + rS_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now let $m+1 = nq+r$ and assume the assertion for $m-n$ or equivalently for a matrix of size $q \times q$. Therefore,

$$A_1^{[m-n]} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^{[r-1]} & rT_r^{r-1}, 0_{r \times (n-r)} & 0_{n \times n} & \cdots & 0_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} \\ \frac{1}{2}(A_2^{[n-1]})_{1,2,\dots,r} & A_1^{[n-1]} + rS_n & (n+r)T_n^{n-1} & \cdots & 0_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} \\ 0_{n \times n} & \frac{1}{2}A_2^{[n-1]} & A_1^{[n-1]} + (n+r)S_n & \cdots & 0_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}A_2^{[n-1]} & A_1^{[n-1]} + (n(q-3)+r)S_n & (n(q-2)+r)T_n^{n-1} \\ 0_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} & \cdots & 0_{n \times n} & \frac{1}{2}A_2^{[n-1]} & A_1^{[n-1]} + (n(q-2)+r)S_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we replace this in (3) we obtain the claim. Thus, the assertion follows by induction. \square

The following theorem characterizes bispectrality using the family $\{P_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Theorem 5. *Let*

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \theta \in M_N(\mathbb{C}[x]) \mid P_0(\theta) = 0, V_{-1}e_1(A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{m+1}(\theta) = 0, A_2^{[m]}(A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{m+1}(\theta) = 0, k \geq 0, P_{m+2}^\infty(\theta) = 0, m = \deg(\theta) \right\}$$

then $\Gamma = \mathbb{A}$. Moreover, for each θ we have an explicit expression for the operator B such that

$$(\psi B)(x, z) = \theta(x)\psi(x, z).$$

Remark 4. Before proving the Theorem 5 we observe that since $A_1^{[m]} \in M_{(m+1)N}(\mathbb{C})$ the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem implies that we can assume that

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \theta \in M_N(\mathbb{C}[x]) \mid P_0(\theta) = 0, V_{-1}e_1(A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{m+1}(\theta) = 0, A_2^{[m]}(A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{m+1}(\theta) = 0, 0 \leq k \leq (m+1)N-1, P_{m+2}^\infty(\theta) = 0, m = \deg(\theta) \right\}.$$

Proof. If we consider $\theta(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m a_j x^j$ and $B(z, \partial_z) = \sum_{j=0}^m \partial_z^j \cdot b_j(z)$ then,

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(x, z) &= e^{-xz} ((\psi B)(x, z) - \theta(x)\psi(x, z)) \\ &= e^{-xz} \left(\sum_{j=0}^m \partial_z^j \left(\left(Iz + \frac{1}{2}V(x) \right) e^{xz} \right) \cdot b_j(z) - \sum_{j=0}^m a_j x^j \left(Iz + \frac{1}{2}V(x) \right) e^{xz} \right) \\ &= e^{-xz} \left(\sum_{j=0}^m \left(\sum_{l=0}^j \binom{j}{l} \partial_z^l \left(Iz + \frac{1}{2}V(x) \right) \partial_z^{j-l}(e^{xz}) \right) b_j(z) - \sum_{j=0}^m a_j x^j z e^{xz} - \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{a_j}{2} x^j V(x) e^{xz} \right) \\ &= e^{-xz} \left(\sum_{j=0}^m \left(\left(Iz + \frac{1}{2}V(x) \right) x^j e^{xz} + j x^{j-1} e^{xz} \right) b_j(z) - \sum_{j=0}^m a_j x^j z e^{xz} - \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{a_j}{2} x^j V(x) e^{xz} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^m b_j(z) x^j z + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{2} V(x) x^j b_j(z) + \sum_{j=0}^m j x^{j-1} b_j(z) - \sum_{j=0}^m a_j x^j z - \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{a_j}{2} x^j V(x). \end{aligned}$$

Writing $V(x) = \sum_{j=-1}^\infty V_j x^j$ then,

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(x, z) &= \sum_{j=0}^m b_j(z) x^j z + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=-1}^\infty V_k x^k \right) x^j b_j(z) + \sum_{j=0}^m j x^{j-1} b_j(z) - \sum_{j=0}^m a_j x^j z - \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{a_j}{2} x^j \left(\sum_{k=-1}^\infty V_k x^k \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^m b_j(z) x^j z + \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=-1}^\infty \frac{1}{2} V_k b_j(z) x^{k+j} + \sum_{j=0}^m j x^{j-1} b_j(z) - \sum_{j=0}^m a_j x^j z - \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=-1}^\infty \frac{a_j}{2} V_k x^{k+j}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $s = k + j$ then s varies from -1 to ∞ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(x, z) &= \sum_{j=0}^m b_j(z) x^j z + \sum_{s=-1}^\infty \sum_{j=0}^{s+1} \frac{1}{2} V_{s-j} b_j(z) x^s + \sum_{j=1}^m j x^{j-1} b_j(z) - \sum_{j=0}^m a_j x^j z - \sum_{s=-1}^\infty \sum_{j=0}^{s+1} \frac{1}{2} a_j V_{s-j} x^s \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^m b_j(z) z x^j + \sum_{s=-1}^\infty \left(\sum_{j=0}^{s+1} \frac{1}{2} V_{s-j} b_j(z) \right) x^s + \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} (s+1) b_{s+1}(z) x^s - \sum_{j=0}^m a_j z x^j - \sum_{s=-1}^\infty \left(\sum_{j=0}^{s+1} \frac{1}{2} a_j V_{s-j} \right) x^s \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (V_{-1} b_0(z) - a_0 V_{-1}) + \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{s+1} \frac{1}{2} V_{s-j} b_j(z) + b_s(z) z + (s+1) b_{s+1}(z) - a_s z - \sum_{j=0}^{s+1} \frac{1}{2} a_j V_{s-j} \right) x^s \\ &\quad + \left(b_m(z) z + \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} \frac{1}{2} V_{m-j} b_j(z) - a_m z - \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} \frac{1}{2} a_j V_{m-j} \right) x^m \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{s=m+1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{s+1} \frac{1}{2} (V_{s-j} b_j(z) - a_j V_{s-j}) \right) x^s$$

if, and only if,

$$V_{-1} b_0 - a_0 V_{-1} = 0,$$

$$(b_s(z) - a_s)z + (s+1)b_{s+1}(z) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^s (V_{s-k} b_k - a_k V_{s-k}) + \frac{1}{2} (V_{-1} b_{s+1} - a_{s+1} V_{-1}) = 0,$$

for $0 \leq s \leq m-1$.

$$(b_m(z) - a_m)z + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^m (V_{m-k} b_k - a_k V_{m-k}) = 0,$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (V_{s-k} b_k - a_k V_{s-k}) = 0,$$

for $s \geq m+1$.

If we define $c_j(z) = b_j(z) - a_j$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2} V_{-1} c_0(z) = -P_0(\theta),$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} z + \frac{V_0}{2} & \frac{1}{2} V_{-1} + I_N & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{V_1}{2} & z + \frac{V_0}{2} & \frac{1}{2} V_{-1} + 2I_N & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\ \frac{V_{m-2}}{2} & \frac{V_{m-3}}{2} & \frac{V_{m-4}}{2} & \cdots & z + \frac{V_0}{2} & \frac{1}{2} V_{-1} + (m-1)I_N & 0 \\ \frac{V_{m-1}}{2} & \frac{V_{m-2}}{2} & \frac{V_{m-3}}{2} & \cdots & \frac{V_1}{2} & z + \frac{V_0}{2} & \frac{1}{2} V_{-1} + mI_N \\ \frac{V_m}{2} & \frac{V_{m-1}}{2} & \frac{V_{m-2}}{2} & \cdots & \frac{V_2}{2} & \frac{V_1}{2} & z + \frac{V_0}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_0(z) \\ c_1(z) \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ c_{m-2}(z) \\ c_{m-1}(z) \\ c_m(z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -P_1(\theta) \\ -P_2(\theta) \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ -P_{m-1}(\theta) \\ -P_m(\theta) \\ -P_{m+1}(\theta) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} V_{m+1} & V_m & \cdots & V_1 \\ V_{m+2} & V_{m+1} & \cdots & V_2 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_0(z) \\ c_1(z) \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ c_{m-2}(z) \\ c_{m-1}(z) \\ c_m(z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -P_{m+2}(\theta) \\ -P_{m+3}(\theta) \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the notation defined above

$$\frac{1}{2} V_{-1} c_0(z) = -P_0(\theta), (A_1^{[m]} + z)c(z) = -P_1^{m+1}(\theta), A_2^{[m]} c(z) = -P_{m+2}^{\infty}(\theta).$$

However, $(A_1^{[m]} + z)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-A_1^{[m]})^k}{z^{k+1}}$ implies that $c(z) = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-A_1^{[m]})^k}{z^{k+1}} P_1^{m+1}(\theta)$ and $P_{m+2}^{\infty}(\theta) = A_2^{[m]} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-A_1^{[m]})^k}{z^{k+1}} P_1^{m+1}(\theta)$, using z as variable we obtain $P_0(\theta) = 0$, $A_2^{[m]} (A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{m+1}(\theta) = 0, k \geq 0$ and $P_{m+2}^{\infty}(\theta) = 0$. Furthermore, $c_s(z) = -e_{s+1} (A_1^{[m]} + z)^{-1} P_1^{m+1}(\theta)$ for $0 \leq s \leq m$. In particular $c_0(z) = -e_1 (A_1^{[m]} + z)^{-1} P_1^{m+1}(\theta)$ then, $V_{-1} e_1 (A_1^{[m]} + z)^{-1} P_1^{m+1}(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} V_{-1} e_1 \frac{(-A_1^{[m]})^k}{z^{k+1}} P_1^{m+1}(\theta) = 0$. Using z as variable we obtain $V_{-1} e_1 (A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{m+1}(\theta) = 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We shall now use this remark to conclude the proof of the theorem.

If $\theta \in \mathbb{A}$, then there exists $B = \sum_{j=0}^m \partial_z^j \cdot b_j(z)$ such that

$$\Lambda(x, z) = e^{-xz}((\psi B)(x, z) - \theta(x)\psi(x, z)) = 0.$$

But this is equivalent to $P_0(\theta) = 0, V_{-1}e_1(A_1^{[m]} + z)^{-1}P_1^{m+1}(\theta) = 0, (A_1^{[m]} + z)c(z) = P_1^{m+1}(\theta), A_2^{[m]}c(z) = P_{m+2}^\infty(\theta)$ with $c(z) = b(z) - a, b = (b_0, \dots, b_m), a = (a_0, \dots, a_m)$.

By the previous remark we have

$$P_0(\theta) = 0, V_{-1}e_1(A_1^{[m]} + z)^{-1}P_1^{m+1}(\theta) = 0, A_2^{[m]}(A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{m+1}(\theta) = 0, k \geq 0 \text{ and } P_{m+2}^\infty(\theta) = 0.$$

Then $\theta \in \Gamma$. Since $\theta \in \mathbb{A}$ was arbitrary we have $\mathbb{A} \subset \Gamma$.

On the other hand, if $\theta \in \Gamma$, then $P_0(\theta) = 0, V_{-1}e_1(A_1^{[m]} + z)^{-1}P_1^{m+1}(\theta) = 0, A_2^{[m]}(A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{m+1}(\theta) = 0, k \geq 0$ and $P_{m+2}^\infty(\theta) = 0$.

Taking

$$b_j(z) = a_j + e_j(A_1^{[m]} + z)^{-1}P_1^{m+1}(\theta),$$

for $0 \leq j \leq m$.

We have $c(z) = -\sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(-A_1^{[m]})^k}{z^{k+1}} P_1^{m+1}(\theta) = (A_1^{[m]} + z)^{-1}P_1^{m+1}(\theta)$ and therefore

$$\frac{1}{2}V_{-1}c_0(z) = -P_0(\theta), (A_1^{[m]} + z)c(z) = -P_1^{m+1}(\theta), A_2^{[m]}c(z) = -P_{m+2}^\infty(\theta).$$

By the previous arguments we obtain that

$$\Lambda(x, z) = e^{-xz}((\psi B)(x, z) - \theta(x)\psi(x, z)) = 0,$$

with $B = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j(z) \cdot \partial_z^j$. This implies that $\theta \in \mathbb{A}$. Since $\theta \in \Gamma$ was arbitrary we have $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{A}$.

Thus, $\Gamma = \mathbb{A}$ and for every $\theta \in \mathbb{A}$ there exists a unique operator $B = \sum_{j=0}^m \partial_z^j \cdot b_j(z)$ given by

$$b_j(z) = a_j - e_j(A_1^{[m]} + z)^{-1}P_1^{m+1}(\theta),$$

for $0 \leq j \leq m$, such that

$$(\psi B)(x, z) = \theta(x)\psi(x, z).$$

This concludes the proof of the assertion.

Corollary 5. For $\theta \in \mathbb{A}$ the operator $B = \sum_{j=0}^m \partial_z^j \cdot b_j(z)$ such that

$$(\psi B)(x, z) = \theta(x)\psi(x, z).$$

satisfies $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} b_j(z) = a_j$ for $0 \leq j \leq m$.

In the following result we rewrite the expressions defining the algebra Γ for another more simple to remind. {description}

Lemma 5. The algebra Γ is exactly the set of all polynomial $\theta \in M_N(\mathbb{C})[x]$, $m = \deg(\theta)$ such that $[\theta, V]$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq m$ and

$$V_{-1}e_1(A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{m+1}(\theta) = 0, A_2^{[m]}(A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{m+1}(\theta) = 0,$$

for $0 \leq k \leq (m+1)N - 1$.

Proof. Note that $k \geq m + 1$ implies $P_k(\theta) = \frac{\theta^{(k)}(0)}{(k-1)!} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \Big|_{x=0} (xad(V)(\theta)) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \Big|_{x=0} (xad(V)(\theta))$.

Since $\frac{d^k}{dx^k} \Big|_{x=0} (xad(V)(\theta)) = P_k(\theta) = 0$ for $k \geq m + 2$ we have that $x[\theta, V]$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq m + 1$. Furthermore, $P_0(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} (xad(V)(\theta)) \Big|_{x=0} = 0$ we have that $x[\theta, V] \Big|_{x=0} = 0$. However, since $x[\theta, V]$ is a polynomial we have that $[\theta, V]$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq m$. Moreover, we have the restrictions $V_{-1} e_1 (A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{m+1}(\theta) = 0$, $A_2^{[m]} (A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{m+1}(\theta) = 0$, for $0 \leq k \leq (m + 1)N - 1$. \square

Now we try to find some solutions of these equations. To do this we put restrictions on the matrix V_0 and V_1 to obtain $V \in \mathbb{A}$. We begin with a definition

Definition 4. We define the grading $\deg_{1,2}$ on the ring $\mathbb{C}\langle V_0, V_1 \rangle$ to be $\deg_{1,2}(V_0) = 1$, $\deg_{1,2}(V_1) = 2$.

With this definition we can obtain interesting results. {superindex}

Proposition 3. If $(V_0, V_1) \in M_N(\mathbb{C})^2$ satisfies

$$V_1^{i_1} V_0^{i_2} \dots V_1^{i_n} V_0^{i_{n+1}} = 0, \quad (4)$$

for any $i_1 \geq 1$, $i_1 + \dots + i_{n+1} \leq n + 1$, and $n + 2 \leq \deg_{1,2}(V_1^{i_1} V_0^{i_2} \dots V_1^{i_n} V_0^{i_{n+1}})$, then

$$V_{j_1} \dots V_{j_k} = 0, \quad j_1 + \dots + j_k \geq n, j_1 \geq 1, k \geq 2.$$

Proof. Note that (4) implies that the monomials of degree $\geq n + 1$ that begins with V_1 are zero. Since $j_1 \geq 1$ we have that every monomial in $V_{j_1} \dots V_{j_k}$ begins with V_1 . Furthermore, this polynomial is quasihomogenous of degree $\deg_{1,2}(V_{j_1} \dots V_{j_k}) = (j_1 + 1) + \dots + (j_k + 1) = j_1 + \dots + j_k + k \geq n + k \geq n + 2$. In particular, the polynomial $V_{j_1} \dots V_{j_k}$ is a linear combination of monomials of the form (4) which are zero. \square

Remark 5. Two important elements satisfying (4) are $V_1^{i_1}$ and $V_1 V_0^n$ with

$$i_1 = \begin{cases} \frac{n+2}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

{subindex}

Lemma 6. For every $k \geq 0$, $((A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{n+1}(V))_i$ is a polynomial in V_0, V_1, \dots, V_n such that the sum of the subindices in its monomials is $\geq i$, $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$.

Proof. The proof is by induction over k . For $k = 0$ we are okay since

$$(P_1^{n+1}(V))_i = P_i(V) = iV_i.$$

Assume the claim for $k \geq 0$ and consider the case $k + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} ((A_1^{[m]})^{k+1} P_1^{n+1}(V))_i &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (A_1^{[m]})_{ij} ((A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{n+1}(V))_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{V_{i-j}}{2} ((A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{n+1}(V))_j + i ((A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{n+1}(V))_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the sum of the subindices of the monomials of $((A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{n+1}(V))_j$ is $\geq j$ we obtain that the sum of the subindices in the monomials of $((A_1^{[m]})^{k+1} P_1^{n+1}(V))_i$ is $\geq \min\{(i-j) + j, i+1\} = i$. \square {potential}

Theorem 6 (Bispectral Property for a Class of Polynomial Potentials). If $V(V_0, V_1, x)$ is a polynomial of degree n such that $V''(x) = V'(x)V(x)$ and $(V_0, V_1) \in M_N(\mathbb{C})^2$ satisfy (4), then $V \in \mathbb{A}$. In particular, the operator $L = -\partial_x^2 + V'(x)$ is bispectral.

Proof. Since $V''(x) = V'(x)V(x)$ we have that $L = -\partial_x^2 + V'(x)$ satisfies $(L\psi)(x, z) = -z^2\psi(x, z)$ with

$$\psi(x, z) = \left(Iz + \frac{1}{2}V(x) \right) e^{xz}.$$

On the other hand, from the Theorem 5 we have $\mathbb{A} = \Gamma$. Furthermore, by the Lemma 5 we have that the right bispectral algebra is the set of all $\theta \in M_N(\mathbb{C})[x]$ such that $[\theta, V]$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq m$ and

$$V_{-1}e_1(A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{m+1}(\theta) = 0, A_2^{[m]}(A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{m+1}(\theta) = 0, \text{ for } 0 \leq k \leq (m+1)N-1, \quad (5)$$

with $m = \deg(\theta)$.

However, since $V(x)$ is a polynomial we have $V_{-1} = 0$ and $[V, V] = 0$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq n := \deg(V)$.

Note that

$$(A_2^{[n]}(A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{n+1}(V))_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (A_2^{[n]})_{ij} ((A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{n+1}(V))_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} V_{i+n+1-j} ((A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{n+1}(V))_j.$$

By the Lemma 6 the sum of the subindices in the monomials of the polynomials $((A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{n+1}(V))_j$ is $\geq j$. Therefore, the sum of the subindices of the monomials in the polynomial $(A_2^{[n]}(A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{n+1}(V))_i$ is $\geq n$, $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. Thus, the Proposition 3 implies

$$A_2^{[n]}(A_1^{[m]})^k P_1^{n+1}(V) = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, $V \in \mathbb{A}$. □

We conclude this chapter with some examples applying the previous theorems.

3 Illustrative Examples

In this section we give some illustrative examples of bispectral operators $L = -\partial_x^2 + V'(x)$ with polynomial potentials V through the Theorem 6.

3.1 The Bispectral Algebra Associated to the Potential with Invertible Residue at $x = 0$

If $V_{-1} = -2I_N$, then $V_j = 0$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $V(x) = \frac{-2I_N}{x}$. This implies that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$A_1^{[m]} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_N & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & (m-2)I_N & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & (m-1)I_N \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $A_2^{[m]} = 0$.

Note that $A_1^{[m]} = \sum_{j=2}^m (j-1)I_N e_{j,j+1}$. We claim that $(A_1^{[m]})^k = \sum_{j=2}^{m-k+1} (j-1)j \cdots (j+k-2)I_N e_{j,j+k}$. We prove the claim by induction. The initial step $k = 1$ is clear. Assume $k \geq 1$ and note that

$$(A_1^{[m]})^{k+1} = (A_1^{[m]})^k A_1^{[m]} = \left(\sum_{j=2}^{m-k+1} (j-1)j \cdots (j+k-2)I_N e_{j,j+k} \right) \left(\sum_{j=2}^m (j-1)I_N e_{j,j+1} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j=2}^{m-k+1} \sum_{l=2}^m (j-1)j \cdots (j+k-2)(l-1) I_N e_{j,j+k} e_{l,l+1} \\
&= \sum_{j=2}^{m-k} (j-1)j \cdots (j+k-2)(j+k-1) I_N e_{j,j+k}.
\end{aligned}$$

The claim follows by induction. We can write $(A_1^{[m]})^k = \sum_{j=2}^{m-k+1} \frac{(j+k-2)!}{(j-2)!} I_N e_{j,j+k}$. In particular, $(A_1^{[m]})^{m-1} = (m-1)! e_{2,m+1}$ and $(A_1^{[m]})^k = 0$ for every $k \geq m$.

This implies that,

$$(A_1^{[m]} + z)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (A_1^{[m]})^k}{z^{k+1}} = \frac{I_N}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=2}^{m-k+1} (-1)^k \frac{(j+k-2)! I_N}{(j-2)! z^{k+1}} e_{j,j+k}.$$

Therefore, if $\theta(x) = \sum_{l=0}^m a_l x^l$ we have $P_l(\theta) = la_l$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
c(z) &= -(A_1^{[m]} + z)^{-1} P_1^{m+1}(\theta) = - \left(\frac{I_N}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=2}^{m-k+1} (-1)^k \frac{(j+k-2)! I_N}{(j-2)! z^{k+1}} e_{j,j+k} \right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^{m+1} P_l(\theta) e_l \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sum_{l=k+2}^{m+1} \frac{(l-2)! (-1)^{k+1}}{(l-k-2)! z^{k+1}} P_l(\theta) e_{l-k} - \sum_{l=1}^{m+1} \frac{P_l(\theta)}{z} e_l \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sum_{l=k+2}^{m+1} \frac{(l-2)! (-1)^{k+1}}{(l-k-2)! z^{k+1}} la_l e_{l-k} - \sum_{l=1}^{m+1} \frac{la_l}{z} e_l \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sum_{s=2}^{m-k+1} \frac{(s+k-2)! (s+k) (-1)^{k+1}}{(s-2)! z^{k+1}} a_{s+k} e_s - \sum_{l=1}^{m+1} \frac{la_l}{z} e_l \\
&= \sum_{s=2}^m \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m-s+1} \frac{(s+k-2)! (s+k) (-1)^{k+1}}{(s-2)! z^{k+1}} a_{s+k} \right) e_s - \sum_{l=1}^{m+1} \frac{la_l}{z} e_l \\
&= \sum_{s=2}^m \left(\sum_{j=s+1}^m \frac{(j-2)! j (-1)^{j-s+1}}{(s-2)! z^{j-s+1}} a_j \right) e_s - \sum_{l=1}^{m+1} \frac{la_l}{z} e_l \\
&= -\frac{a_1}{z} e_1 + \sum_{s=2}^m \left(\sum_{j=s+1}^m \frac{(j-2)! j (-1)^{j-s+1}}{(s-2)! z^{j-s+1}} a_j - \frac{sa_s}{z} \right) e_s \\
&= -\frac{a_1}{z} e_1 + \sum_{s=2}^m \left(\sum_{j=s}^m \frac{(j-2)! j (-1)^{j-s+1}}{(s-2)! z^{j-s+1}} a_j \right) e_s = \sum_{s=1}^{m+1} c_{s-1}(z) e_s.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $c_0(z) = b_0(z) - a_0 = -\frac{a_1}{z}$, $b_0(z) = a_0 - \frac{a_1}{z}$. Furthermore,

$$c_{s-1}(z) = \sum_{j=s}^m \frac{(j-2)! j (-1)^{j-s+1}}{(s-2)! z^{j-s+1}} a_j, 2 \leq s \leq m+1.$$

In other words,

$$c_s(z) = \sum_{j=s+1}^m \frac{(j-2)! j (-1)^{j-s}}{(s-1)! z^{j-s}} a_j, 1 \leq s \leq m.$$

On the other hand, we have the restrictions $\frac{1}{2} V_{-1} c_0(z) = 0$ and $P_0(\theta) = 0$. In this case the former restriction says that $c_0(z) = 0$ and the last is redundant. Therefore, $b_0(z) = a_0$ and $a_1 = 0$.

We conclude that, $\mathbb{A} = \left\{ \theta \in M_N(\mathbb{C}[x]) \mid \theta'(0) = 0 \right\}$ and for every $\theta \in \mathbb{A}$, $\theta(x) = \sum_{l=0}^m a_l x^l$ there exists $B(z, \partial_z) = \sum_{k=0}^m \partial_z^k \cdot b_k(z) = a_0 + \partial_z \cdot \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{(j-2)!j(-1)^{j-1}}{z^{j-1}} a_j + \sum_{k=2}^m \partial_z^k \cdot \left\{ a_k + \sum_{j=k+1}^m \frac{(j-2)!j(-1)^{j-k}}{(k-1)!z^{j-k}} a_j \right\}$ such that $(\psi B)(x, z) = \theta(x)\psi(x, z)$.

3.2 Examples of Polynomial Potentials of degree $n = 1, 2, 3$

In this subsection we use Maxima to perform explicit examples of Theorem 6.

- For $n = 1$ the equations (4) turns out to be $V_1 V_0 = V_1^2 = 0$. For $N = 2$ we consider

$$V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$V_0 = \begin{pmatrix} V_{011} & V_{012} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To obtain the potential $V(x) = V_0 + V_1 x$.

- For $n = 2$ the equations (4) turns out to be $V_1 V_0 V_1 = V_1 V_0^2 = V_1^2 = 0$. For $N = 4$ we consider

$$V_0 = \begin{pmatrix} V_{011} & V_{012} & V_{013} & V_{014} \\ 0 & 0 & V_{023} & V_{024} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V_{112} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To obtain

$$V_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{V_{023}V_{112}}{2} & \frac{V_{024}V_{112}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the potential $V(x) = V_0 + V_1 x + V_2 x^2$.

- For $n = 3$ the equations (4) turns out to be $V_1^3 = V_1 V_0 V_1 = V_1 V_0^3 = V_1^2 V_0 = V_1 V_0^2 V_1$. For $N = 4$ we consider

$$V_0 = \begin{pmatrix} V_{011} & V_{012} & V_{013} & V_{014} \\ 0 & 0 & V_{023} & V_{024} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} V_{111} & V_{112} & V_{113} & V_{114} \\ 0 & 0 & V_{123} & V_{124} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To obtain

$$V_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{V_{023}V_{112}}{2} & \frac{V_{024}V_{112}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$V_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{V_{112}V_{123}}{2} & \frac{V_{112}V_{124}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the potential $V(x) = V_0 + V_1x + V_2x^2 + V_3x^3$.

Conclusions and Comments

In this article, we characterized the bispectral algebra associated with some type of matrix Schrödinger operators with polynomial potential. This characterization was achieved using the family of functions $\mathcal{P} = \{P_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. The natural question arising in the characterization of the bispectral algebra associated with a matrix Schrödinger operator is about the possibility of writing its conditions using some family of maps \mathcal{P} satisfying the Leibniz rule.

Acknowledgments

BDVC acknowledges the support from the FSU2022-010 grant from Khalifa University, UAE.

ORCID

Brian Vasquez Campos's ORCID: 0000-0003-3922-9956

References

- [BL08] Carina Boyallian and Jose Liberati. Matrix-valued bispectral operators and quasideterminants. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 41:365209, 08 2008.
- [DG86] J.J. Duistermaat and F.A. Grünbaum. Differential equations in the spectral parameter. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 103(2):177–240, 1986.
- [GHY15] Joel Geiger, E. Horozov, and Milen Yakimov. Noncommutative bispectral darbox transformations. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 369, 08 2015.
- [GI03] Alberto Grünbaum and Plamen Iliev. A noncommutative version of the bispectral problem. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 161:99–118, 12 2003.
- [Grü14] F. Alberto Grünbaum. Some noncommutative matrix algebras arising in the bispectral problem. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 10:078, 2014.
- [GVZ23] F. Alberto Grünbaum, Brian D. Vasquez, and Jorge P. Zubelli. Matrix bispectrality and noncommutative algebras: beyond the prolate spheroidals. *Enseign. Math. (2)*, 69(3-4):335–351, 2023.
- [Hor02] E. Horozov. Bispectral operators of prime order. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 231(2):287–308, 2002.
- [Ili99] P. Iliev. *Discrete versions of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy and the bispectral problem*. PhD thesis, Dissertation, 1999.
- [Kas15] Alex Kasman. Bispectrality of n -component KP wave functions: A study in non-commutativity. *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications*, 11, 05 2015.
- [SZ01] Alexander Sakhnovich and Jorge Zubelli. Bundle bispectrality for matrix differential equations. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 41(4):472, 2001.
- [VCZ22] Brian D. Vasquez Campos and Jorge P. Zubelli. Matrix bispectrality of full rank one algebras. *Physica D*, 438:23, 2022. Id/No 133358.

- [VZ22] Brian D. Vasquez and Jorge P. Zubelli. Noncommutative bispectral algebras and their presentations, 2022.
- [Wil93] George Wilson. Bispectral commutative ordinary differential operators. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 442:177–204, 1993.
- [ZM91] J.P. Zubelli and F. Magri. Differential equations in the spectral parameter, Darboux transformations and a hierarchy of master symmetries for KdV. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 141(2):329–351, 1991.
- [Zub90] J.P. Zubelli. Differential equations in the spectral parameter for matrix differential operators. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 43(2-3):269–287, 1990.
- [Zub92a] Jorge P. Zubelli. On a zero curvature problem related to the ZS-AKNS operator. *J. Math. Phys.*, 33(11):3666–3675, 1992.
- [Zub92b] Jorge P. Zubelli. On the polynomial τ -functions for the KP hierarchy and the bispectral property. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 24(1):41–48, 1992.
- [Zub92c] Jorge P. Zubelli. Rational solutions of nonlinear evolution equations, vertex operators, and bispectrality. *J. Differential Equations*, 97(1):71–98, 1992.
- [ZVS00] Jorge P. Zubelli and D. S. Valerio Silva. Rational solutions of the master symmetries of the KdV equation. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 211(1):85–109, 2000.