

Generalization of nonlocally related partial differential equation systems: unknown symmetric properties and analytical solutions

Huanjin Wang, Qiulan Zhao ^{*}, Xinyue Li

*College of Mathematics and Systems Science, Shandong University of Science and Technology,
Qingdao, 266590, Shandong, PR China.*

Abstract

Symmetry, which describes invariance, is an eternal concern in mathematics and physics, especially in the investigation of solutions to the partial differential equation (PDE). A PDE's nonlocally related PDE systems provide excellent approaches to search for various symmetries that expand the range of its known solutions. They composed of potential systems based on conservation laws and inverse potential systems (IPS) based on differential invariants. Our study is devoted to generalizing their construction and application in three-dimensional circumstances. Concretely, the potential of the algebraic gauge-constrained potential system is simplified without weakening its solution space. The potential system is extended via nonlocal conservation laws and double reductions. Afterwards, nonlocal symmetries are identified in the IPS. The IPS is extended by the solvable Lie algebra and type II hidden symmetries. Besides, systems among equations can be connected via Cole-Hopf transformation. Ultimately, established and extended systems embody rich symmetric properties and unprecedented analytical solutions, and may even further facilitate general coordinate-independent analysis in qualitative, numerical, perturbation, etc., this can be illustrated by several Burgers-type equations.

Keywords: Nonlocal conservation laws, Solvable Lie algebra, Type II hidden symmetries, Cole-Hopf transformation

MSC: 76M60, 22E60, 17B81

1 Introduction

The study on nonlocal symmetries of the partial differential equation (PDE) is constantly developing since they play a decisive role in expanding the range of known solutions, realizing linearization, searching for new integrable PDEs, etc. Previous research on nonlocal symmetries has focused on integrable PDEs, relying on integrability such as Hirota derivative, Darboux transformation, conformal invariance, etc. [1-5]. Bluman et al. proposed a more general theory that did not rely on integrability, i.e., constructing nonlocally related PDE systems of the original PDE to seek nonlocal symmetries [6]. These equivalent PDE systems (the solution manifold is invariant) are not invertible related to the original PDE [7]. Nonlocally related PDE systems' point symmetries would yield nonlocal symmetries (potential symmetries) [8-10]. Besides, such auxiliary systems could produce noninvertible mappings and nonlocal conservation laws (CL) [11,12].

Nonlocally related PDE systems only referred to potential systems in the early stages. A PDE multiplied by n multipliers produced n CL. Then, a tree of 2^n-1 potential systems consisting of C_n^1 singlets, C_n^m couplets, \dots , C_n^n n plet, could be constructed. Refs 13 and 14 indicated that any potential system of an original two-dimensional PDE might produce nonlocal CL, which could be used to extend potential systems further. Potential systems, extended potential systems, and their subsystems produced more valuable

^{*}Correspondence should be addressed to Qiulan Zhao;
qlzhao@sdust.edu.cn

nonlocal symmetries conducive to obtaining new exact solutions to several two-dimensional PDEs. It was proved that the potential systems generated by linear-independent CL of a two-dimensional PDE were also linear-independent [15]. Besides, the projection relation of point symmetries between the original PDE and its potential systems, and the formula for identifying nonlocal symmetries were discussed.

Nevertheless, without local CL, any potential system cannot be generated from a PDE. Bluman and Yang overcame this limitation and proposed the differential invariant (DI)-based method to extend the category of nonlocally related PDE systems, namely inverse potential systems (IPS) [16]. Based on this method, they obtained the nonlocal symmetries of the two-dimensional nonlinear diffusion and nonlinear wave equations. The construction of the IPS began with any point symmetry admitted by the original PDE, transforming the original variable into a canonical coordinate variable with the point symmetry, and the canonical coordinate after translation acted as the dependent variable. Furthermore, systematic algorithms were provided to identify nonlocal symmetries arising from two-dimensional IPS [17]. Recently, Bluman et al. proved that the solvable algebraic chains formed by infinitesimal generators of point symmetries allowed by the two-dimensional PDE with a single dependent variable could continuously extend the class of IPS [18]. Thus, the theory for constructing the two-dimensional PDE was relatively complete and widely applied [19, 20].

However, constructing nonlocally related PDE systems for multidimensional PDEs is more challenging and meaningful since these PDEs are more widespread in mathematics and physics [21-25]. Especially for three-dimensional cases, it is necessary to introduce three ($\frac{m^2-m}{2}$) potentials to write a three-dimensional PDE as an equivalent divergence-type CL-based potential system (Poincaré's lemma). While specific gauges must be added to constrain the freedom between these introduced potentials. Nonlocal symmetries of Maxwell's equation in three space-time dimensions were obtained from its potential systems. Nonlocal CL were derived from established potential systems and generated nine functionally independent new constants of motion [26]. In [27] and [28], the application of the potential system and its subsystems generated by divergence-type and lower-degree (curl-type) CL in PDE of more than three-dimensional was systematically discussed, which included the calculation of nonlocal symmetries, nonlocal CL, and exact solutions. Recently, it was demonstrated that the two-dimensional and three-dimensional nonlocally related PDE systems derived by the DI include the results obtained by the lower-degree CL [29]. An IPS was generated based on a point symmetry of the three-dimensional Laplace's equation, but new nonlocal symmetry was not found [30].

Based on the previous research, we must resolve several remaining issues in three-dimensional cases: how to extend nonlocally related PDE systems further, apply such systems, and finally, enlarge the range of known solutions of the given PDE. The following are the schemes of this study:

- 1.** The algebraic gauge is concise for potential systems since a potential can be eliminated directly [27, 28]. On this basis, a potential can be further simplified by consistent substitution. The potential systems are, therefore, simplified to reduced potential systems (RPS). They save the solution manifold of the original PDE unchanged. The RPS with a more compact structure is more convenient to derive meaningful symmetric properties, such as equivalent transformations, nonlocal symmetries, and nonlocal CL.
- 2.** For IPS, the theorem of recognizing nonlocal symmetries is generalized from two-dimensional to three-dimensional. IPS can be simplified to locally related subsystems by eliminating auxiliary dependent variables. Nonlocal symmetries can be detected from local symmetries of the intermediate systems, IPS, and their locally related subsystems.
- 3.** RPS and IPS are extended by nonlocal CL and solvable Lie algebra, respectively. The theorem of extending IPS by solvable Lie algebra is promoted from the previous two-dimensional PDE with a dependent variable [18] to the case of three-dimensional with multiple dependent variables. In addition, double reduction, hidden symmetries, and Cole-Hopf transformation provide practical ways to extend RPS and IPS.
- 4.** The above theory can be applied to three-dimensional PDEs admitting CLs or DIs. We verify it with typical Burgers-type equations. Rich symmetry properties and unknown analytical solutions of their nonlocally

related PDE systems are discussed.

This research is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews the basic construction process of nonlocally related PDE systems and further discusses the theory of extending three-dimensional RPS and IPS by nonlocal CLs and solvable Lie algebra, respectively. In Section 3, we establish RPS based on CLs and IPS based on DIs to a typical three-dimensional PDE and identify their nonlocal symmetries. In Section 4, the RPS is extended by nonlocal CLs and the double reduction, and the IPS is developed by the solvable Lie algebra and type II hidden symmetries. The analytic invariant solutions of several established systems are given. In Section 5, the solution space of new nonlocally related PDE systems is linked by the Cole-Hopf transformation. The last Section covers the summary and prospect.

2 The basic theory and its generalization

This section reviews and generalizes the basic theory for constructing and extending three-dimensional nonlocally related PDE systems.

This theory begins by considering a system of three-dimensional PDEs with the independent variable $\mathbf{x} = (x^1, x^2, x^3)$ and the dependent variable $\mathbf{u} = (u^1, u^2, \dots, u^\Upsilon)$

$$\mathcal{P}^\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \partial\mathbf{u}, \dots, \partial^n\mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad \epsilon = 1, 2, \dots, \Upsilon, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\partial^l\mathbf{u}$ ($l = 1, \dots, n$) denotes the set of partial derivatives of \mathbf{u} of order l .

2.1 The CL based on multipliers and the homotopy operator

Suppose (2.1) possesses a divergence-type CL

$$\text{Div}(\mathcal{C}) \equiv \mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{C}^1 + \mathcal{D}_2\mathcal{C}^2 + \mathcal{D}_3\mathcal{C}^3 = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

with a flux vector $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}^1, \mathcal{C}^2, \mathcal{C}^3)$. The total derivative operator is defined as $\mathcal{D}_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + u_{x_i}^\epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\epsilon} + u_{x_i x_j}^\epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j^\epsilon} + \dots$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

Based on the multiplier method [34], we can assume that Eq.(2.1) has multipliers of the CL in the form of

$$\Delta = \Delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \partial\mathbf{u}, \dots), \quad (2.3)$$

which satisfy

$$\mathcal{D}_i\mathcal{C}^i \equiv \Delta\mathcal{P}. \quad (2.4)$$

For any divergence expression $\mathcal{D}_i\mathcal{C}^i$, the multipliers Δ yield a divergence expression for PDE (2.1) if and only if

$$\mathcal{E}_{u^\epsilon}(\mathcal{D}_i\mathcal{C}^i) \equiv \mathcal{E}_{u^\epsilon}(\Delta\mathcal{P}) = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

holds, where the Euler operator for \mathbf{u} is defined as $\mathcal{E}_{u^\epsilon} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\epsilon} - \mathcal{D}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^\epsilon} + \dots + (-1)^l \mathcal{D}_{i_1} \dots \mathcal{D}_{i_l} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i_1, \dots, i_l}^\epsilon} + \dots$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, \epsilon = 1, 2, \dots, \Upsilon$).

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) = \Delta\mathcal{P}$ be a three-dimensional PDE with independent variable \mathbf{x} . The three-dimensional homotopy operator in differential geometry is a vector with three components [35]

$$(\mathcal{C}^1, \mathcal{C}^2, \mathcal{C}^3) = \mathbf{J}\mathbf{H} = (J^{x^1}\mathbf{H}, J^{x^2}\mathbf{H}, J^{x^3}\mathbf{H}), \quad (2.6)$$

where the x^1 -component is given by

$$J^{x^1}\mathbf{H} = \int_{\lambda_0}^1 \left(\sum_{\epsilon=1}^{\Upsilon} \mathcal{Z}_{u^\epsilon}^{x^1} \mathbf{H} \right) [\lambda u] \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}. \quad (2.7)$$

In (2.7), the x^1 -integrand is defined as

$$\mathcal{Z}_{u^\epsilon}^{x^1} \mathbf{H} = \sum_{k_1=1}^{\Upsilon_1^\epsilon} \sum_{k_2=0}^{\Upsilon_2^\epsilon} \sum_{k_3=0}^{\Upsilon_3^\epsilon} \sum_{i_1=0}^{k_1-1} \sum_{i_2=0}^{k_2} \sum_{i_3=0}^{k_3} (\mathcal{B}^{(x^1)}) u_{i_1 x^1 i_2 x^2 i_3 x^3}^\epsilon (-\mathcal{D}_{x^1})^{k_1-i_1-1} (-\mathcal{D}_{x^2})^{k_2-i_2} (-\mathcal{D}_{x^2})^{k_3-i_3} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial u_{k_1 x^1 k_2 x^2 k_3 x^3}^\epsilon},$$

with combinatorial coefficient $\mathcal{B}^{(x^1)} = \mathcal{B}(i_1, i_2, i_3, k_1, k_2, k_3)$ defined as

$$\frac{\binom{i_1+i_2+i_3}{i_1} \binom{i_2+i_3}{i_2} \binom{k_1+k_2+k_3-i_1-i_2-i_3-1}{k_1-i_1-1} \binom{k_2+k_3-i_2-i_3}{k_2-i_2}}{\binom{k_1+k_2+k_3}{k_1} \binom{k_2+k_3}{k_2}}. \quad (2.8)$$

Analogously, the other two components of x^2 and x^3 can also be derived.

2.2 RPS based on the local CL

Poincaré's lemma. *There are three potentials $\omega = \{\omega^{kj}(\mathbf{x})\}$, with $-\omega^{kj} = \omega^{jk}$ ($k, j = 1, 2, 3$), a 3×3 antisymmetric tensor's non-repeating components, then the divergence expression (2.2) is equivalent to the three-dimensional PDE system*

$$\mathcal{C}^i = \sum_{k=1}^3 \mathcal{D}_k \omega^{ik}. \quad (2.9)$$

Three $\left(\frac{m^2-m}{2}\right)$ potentials need to be introduced. Hence potential equations (2.9) are undetermined. There is gauge freedom from their transformation invariance

$$\omega^{ik} \rightarrow \omega^{ik} + \sum_{j=1}^3 \mathcal{D}_j \vartheta^{ikj}. \quad (2.10)$$

Arbitrary functions ϑ^{ikj} represent a totally antisymmetric tensor's $\frac{n^3-3n^2+2n}{6}$ components.

Specifically, when (2.1) admits the divergence-type CL (2.2), system (2.9) becomes

$$\mathcal{C} = \text{curl } \mathbf{w} = \nabla \times \mathbf{w}, \quad (2.11)$$

where $\mathbf{w} = (\omega^1(\mathbf{x}), \omega^2(\mathbf{x}), \omega^3(\mathbf{x}))$ is a vector potential involving three scalar potentials. Based on the formula (2.11), the potential equations are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{x^3}^3 - \omega_{x^2}^2 &= \mathcal{C}^{x^1}, \\ \omega_{x^1}^2 - \omega_{x^3}^1 &= \mathcal{C}^{x^2}, \\ \omega_{x^2}^1 - \omega_{x^1}^3 &= \mathcal{C}^{x^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

The system (2.11) can replace the PDE (2.1) where appropriate. Therefore, for the potential system (2.11) to be definite, an additional equation containing potentials must be attached to the system (2.11).

To minimize the number of potential variables, we first select the algebraic gauge over other gauges, which is defined as

$$\omega^k = 0, \quad k = 1 \text{ or } 2 \text{ or } 3. \quad (2.13)$$

When $\omega^3 = 0$, potential equations (2.12) become

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{x^2}^2 + \mathcal{C}^{x^1} &= 0, \\ \omega_{x^3}^1 + \mathcal{C}^{x^2} &= \omega_{x^1}^2, \\ \omega_{x^2}^1 - \mathcal{C}^{x^3} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Then, there is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *The algebraic gauge-constrained reduced potential system is given as*

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_{x^3}^1 + \mathcal{C}^{x^2} + \int \mathcal{C}_{x^1}^{x^1} dx^2 &= 0, \\ \omega_{x^2}^1 - \mathcal{C}^{x^3} &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{2.15}$$

Proof. It is assumed that all solutions of (2.15) can be derived from the gauge-constrained potential system. Since $\omega^3 = 0$, then $\omega^2 = \mathcal{D}^{-1}(\mathcal{C}^{x^1}) = -\int \mathcal{C}^{x^1} dx^2 + f(x^1, x^3)$. For any arbitrary smooth function $f(x^1, x^3)$, it can be absorbed into ω^1 by the translation $\omega^1 - f(x^1, x^3)$, so that we set $f(x^1, x^3) = 0$. Substituting ω^2 into potential equations (2.12) and (2.15) holds. This substitution of variables is consistent, i.e., it does not weaken the solution space of (2.1). \square

2.3 RPS extended via the nonlocal CL

We then give a theorem that extends the RPS (2.15) based on the nonlocal CL.

Theorem 2.2. *If RPS (2.15) possesses a nonlocal divergence-type CL*

$$\text{Div}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) \equiv \mathcal{D}_1 \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^1 + \mathcal{D}_2 \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^2 + \mathcal{D}_3 \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^3 = 0.\tag{2.16}$$

Then RPS can be further extended, that is

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\omega}_{x^2}^2 + \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{x^1} &= 0, \\ \tilde{\omega}_{x^3}^1 + \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{x^2} &= \tilde{\omega}_{x^1}^2, \\ \tilde{\omega}_{x^2}^1 - \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{x^3} &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{2.17}$$

The nonlocal CL can be determined by Definition 2.1, where the nonlocal multipliers of the CL satisfy

$$\mathcal{D}_i \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^i \equiv \Delta_1(\omega_{x^3}^1 - f_{x^1} + \mathcal{C}^{x^2} + \int \mathcal{C}_{x^1}^{x^1} dx^2) + \Delta_2(\omega_{x^2}^1 - \mathcal{C}^{x^3}) = 0.\tag{2.18}$$

The nonlocal multipliers

$$\Delta_1 = \Delta_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \partial \mathbf{u}, \dots, \mathbf{w}, \partial \mathbf{w}, \dots), \quad \Delta_2 = \Delta_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \partial \mathbf{u}, \dots, \mathbf{w}, \partial \mathbf{w}, \dots)\tag{2.19}$$

need to satisfy

$$(\Delta_1)_{\partial^i \mathbf{w}} \neq 0 \text{ or } (\Delta_2)_{\partial^j \mathbf{w}} \neq 0,\tag{2.20}$$

for some $i, j = 0, 1, \dots$.

2.4 IPS based on the DI

Assume that PDE (2.1) allows infinitesimal symmetries of the Lie algebra

$$\mathbb{V} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \delta^i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \phi^\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\epsilon},\tag{2.21}$$

with the m th prolongation of infinitesimal symmetries

$$\text{pr}^{(m)} \mathbb{V} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \delta^i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \phi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\epsilon} + \phi_{\epsilon j}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \partial \mathbf{u}) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j^\epsilon} + \dots + \phi_{\epsilon j}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \partial \mathbf{u}, \dots, \partial^m \mathbf{u}) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{j_1, \dots, j_m}^\epsilon}.\tag{2.22}$$

Therefore, the following canonical coordinates

$$\begin{aligned}\chi &= \chi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) = (\chi^1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}), \chi^2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}), \chi^3(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})), \\ \mu &= \mu(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) = (\mu^1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}), \dots, \mu^{\Upsilon-1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})), \quad \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})\end{aligned}\tag{2.23}$$

to infinitesimal generator \mathbb{V}^1 satisfies

$$\mathbb{V}^1\chi = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbb{V}^1\mu = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbb{V}^1\mathcal{M} = 1. \quad (2.24)$$

Then $\text{pr}^{(m)}\mathbb{V}^1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial\mathcal{M}}$. Consequently

$$\text{pr}^{(m)}\mathbb{V}^1 \frac{\partial\mathcal{M}}{\partial\chi^i} = 0, \quad \text{pr}^{(m)}\mathbb{V}^1 \frac{\partial^k\mathcal{M}}{\partial(\chi^1)^i\partial(\chi^2)^j\partial(\chi^3)^{k-i-i}} = 0, \quad m > k, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (2.25)$$

Thus PDE (2.1) is invariant under translations in \mathcal{M}

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^{i*} &= \chi^i, \quad \mu^{\epsilon*} = \mu^\epsilon, \quad \mathcal{M}^* = \mathcal{M} + \epsilon, \\ \frac{\partial^k\mathcal{M}^*}{\partial(\chi^{1*})^i\partial(\chi^{2*})^j\partial(\chi^{3*})^{k-i-i}} &= \frac{\partial^k\mathcal{M}}{\partial(\chi^1)^i\partial(\chi^2)^j\partial(\chi^3)^{k-i-i}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

After appropriate substitutions, PDE (2.1) becomes a system of inversely related PDEs

$$\mathcal{P}^\epsilon(\chi, \mu, \mathcal{M}, \dots, \partial^n\mathcal{M}) = 0, \quad (2.27)$$

in which $\partial^i\mathcal{M}$ are whole i th-order partial derivatives to \mathcal{M} about χ 's components. $\mathcal{M}, \dots, \partial^n\mathcal{M}$'s partial derivative components are differential invariants in (2.24).

Auxiliary dependent variables are introduced as differential invariants $\mathbf{b} = (\theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3) = (\mathcal{M}_{\chi^1}, \mathcal{M}_{\chi^2}, \mathcal{M}_{\chi^3})$. Hence, PDEs (2.27) become the following system of related PDEs (IPS)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{curl } \mathbf{b} &= \nabla \times \mathbf{b} = 0, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\epsilon(\chi, \mu, \mathbf{b}, \dots, \partial^{n-1}\mathbf{b}) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

Finally, PDE systems (2.28) and (2.1) are nonlocally related.

2.5 IPS extended via the solvable Lie algebra

Based on [18, 31], one can determine the Lie algebra \mathcal{L} allowed by (2.1). Assume that basis $\mathbb{V}^1, \dots, \mathbb{V}^\kappa$ forms \mathcal{L} . To any \mathbb{V}^i , the linear operator $ad \mathbb{V}^i: \mathcal{L} \text{ to } \mathcal{L}$, $ad \mathbb{V}^i(\mathbb{V}^j) = [\mathbb{V}^i, \mathbb{V}^j] = \mathbb{V}^i\mathbb{V}^j - \mathbb{V}^j\mathbb{V}^i$ can be defined.

Suppose κ symmetries (2.21) consist of the κ D solvable Lie algebra \mathcal{L} according to subalgebras' solvable chains,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbb{V}^1\} &\subset \{\mathbb{V}^1, \mathbb{V}^2\} \subset \dots \subset \{\mathbb{V}^1, \dots, \mathbb{V}^\kappa\} \subset \mathcal{L}, \\ \text{or } \mathcal{L}^{(1)} &\subset \mathcal{L}^{(2)} \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{L}^{(\kappa)} = \mathcal{L}^\kappa. \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

For \mathcal{L}^κ , if $\mathbb{V} \in \mathcal{L}^i$ and $\mathbb{W} \in \mathcal{L}^{i-1}$, then $[\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{W}] \in \mathcal{L}^i$ ($\mathcal{L}^{(0)}$ contains only the $\mathbf{0}$ vector). Note that the order of the elements in a solvable algebraic chain can not be changed. Thus, commutation relations of \mathcal{L} are given by

$$[\mathbb{V}^i, \mathbb{V}^j] = \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \Omega_{ij}^k \mathbb{V}^k, \quad [\text{pr}^{(m)}\mathbb{V}^i, \text{pr}^{(m)}\mathbb{V}^j] = \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \Omega_{ij}^k \text{pr}^{(m)}\mathbb{V}^k, \quad 1 \leq i < j, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \kappa, \quad (2.30)$$

with Ω_{ij}^k real structure constants of \mathcal{L} .

Theorem 2.3. *The solvability condition*

$$[\mathbb{V}^1, \mathbb{V}^2] = \Omega_{12}^1 \mathbb{V}^1 \quad (2.31)$$

causes \mathbb{V}^2 to be the Lie symmetry of (2.28) acting on (χ, μ, \mathbf{b}) -space.

Proof. Generally,

$$\text{pr}^{(m)}\mathbb{V}^2 = \text{pr}^{(m)}\mathbb{V}^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \chi^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^i} + \sum_{k=1}^{\Upsilon-1} \mu^k \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu^k} + \sum_{j=1}^3 \theta^j \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^j} + \dots \right). \quad (2.32)$$

From (2.23), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr}^{(m)}\mathbb{V}^2 \chi &= (\Pi^1(\chi, \mu, \mathcal{M}), \Pi^2(\chi, \mu, \mathcal{M}), \Pi^3(\chi, \mu, \mathcal{M})), \\ \text{pr}^{(m)}\mathbb{V}^2 \mu &= (\Lambda^1(\chi, \mu, \mathcal{M}), \dots, \Lambda^{\Upsilon-1}(\chi, \mu, \mathcal{M})), \\ \text{pr}^{(m)}\mathbb{V}^2 \mathbf{b} &= (\Gamma^1(\chi, \mu, \mathcal{M}, \mathbf{b}), \Gamma^2(\chi, \mu, \mathcal{M}, \mathbf{b}), \Gamma^3(\chi, \mu, \mathcal{M}, \mathbf{b})), \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

for functions Π^i , Λ^ϵ and Γ^j ($i, j = 1, 2, 3$, $\epsilon = 1, 2, \dots, \Upsilon - 1$) of the indicated arguments. If and only if

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{M}}^i \equiv \Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}^\epsilon \equiv \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}^j \equiv 0, \quad (2.34)$$

\mathbb{V}^2 of (2.1) is a point symmetry of (2.28). Or equal to

$$\text{pr}^{(m)}\mathbb{V}^1 \Pi^i \equiv \text{pr}^{(m)}\mathbb{V}^1 \Lambda^\epsilon \equiv \text{pr}^{(m)}\mathbb{V}^1 \Gamma^j \equiv 0. \quad (2.35)$$

If and only if

$$\text{pr}^{(m)}\mathbb{V}^1 \text{pr}^{(m)}\mathbb{V}^2(\chi, \mu, \mathbf{b}) \equiv 0, \quad (2.36)$$

conditions (2.35) are satisfied.

According to (2.36), we have $\text{pr}^{(m)}\mathbb{V}^1 \text{pr}^{(m)}\mathbb{V}^2 = \text{pr}^{(m)}\mathbb{V}^2 \text{pr}^{(m)}\mathbb{V}^1 + \Omega_{12}^1 \text{pr}^{(m)}\mathbb{V}^1$. By construction, $\text{pr}^{(m)}\mathbb{V}^1(\chi, \mu, \mathbf{b}) \equiv 0$.

Then, \mathbb{V}^2 is a point symmetry of the IPS (2.28). \square

Since

$$\phi_{\epsilon x^1}^{(1)} = \mathcal{D}_{x^1} \phi_\epsilon - \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{D}_{x^1} \delta^{x^i} u_{x^i}^\epsilon, \quad (2.37)$$

with $\phi_{\epsilon x^1}^{(1)}$ (or $\phi_{\epsilon x^2}^{(1)}$, $\phi_{\epsilon x^3}^{(1)}$) no more than quadratic. Hence, Γ^1 , Γ^2 , Γ^3 are no more than quadratic for θ^1 , θ^2 , θ^3 . Furthermore, due to Π^1 , Π^2 , Π^3 only depending on \mathbf{b} , then Γ^1 , Γ^2 , Γ^3 are linear in θ^i . \mathbb{V}^2 is thus in the form of

$$\mathbb{V}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^3 \Pi^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^i} + \sum_{\epsilon=1}^{\Upsilon} \Lambda^\epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu^\epsilon} + \sum_{k=1}^3 \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 \Gamma^{kj} \theta^j + \Gamma^{k4} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^k}, \quad (2.38)$$

where Γ^{kj} are functions of \mathcal{X} . This makes it easier to calculate the canonical coordinates to \mathbb{V}^2 , namely $\mathbf{X} = (\mathcal{X}^1, \mathcal{X}^2, \mathcal{X}^3)$, $\Phi = (\mathcal{U}^1, \dots, \mathcal{U}^{\Upsilon-1})$, $\Psi = (\Psi^1, \Psi^2)$ and \mathcal{N} , which satisfy

$$\mathbb{V}^2 \mathbf{X} = \mathbb{V}^2 \Phi = \mathbb{V}^2 \Psi = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbb{V}^2 \mathcal{N} = 1. \quad (2.39)$$

Then $\text{pr}^{(m)}\mathbb{V}^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{N}}$. Note that \mathbf{X} act as novel independent variables, and that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}^i &= \mathcal{X}^i(\chi, \mu), \quad \Phi^\epsilon = \Phi^\epsilon(\chi, \mu), \\ \Psi &= (\Psi^1(\chi, \mu, \mathbf{b}), \Psi^2(\chi, \mu, \mathbf{b})), \quad \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(\chi, \mu, \mathbf{b}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

Auxiliary dependent variables are introduced as differential invariants $\mathbf{B} = (\Theta^1, \Theta^2, \Theta^3) = (\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}^1}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}^2}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}^3})$. Hence, IPS (2.28) becomes the following extended nonlocally related IPS

$$\begin{aligned} \text{curl } \mathbf{B} &= \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^i(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{B}, \Psi, \partial \Psi) &= 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\epsilon(\mathbf{X}, \Phi, \partial \Phi, \dots, \partial^n \Phi, \Psi, \partial \Psi, \dots, \partial^{n-1} \Psi, \dots, \mathbf{B}, \partial \mathbf{B}, \dots, \partial^{n-2} \mathbf{B}) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

Finally, (2.41) and (2.1) are nonlocally related.

For the case of $\kappa > 2$, the proof of Theorem 2.2 can be extended by analogy.

Remark 2.2 Essentially, extending IPSs with solvable algebra chains is an iterative process using DI.

3 Construction of nonlocally related PDE systems and their non-local symmetries

In this section, the generalized theory of RPS is applied to a typical three-dimensional PDE. Its CLs, corresponding RPS, their equivalent transformations, and nonlocal symmetries are given. Its IPS are generated based on DI. Nonlocal symmetries are identified from an IPS.

The proposition of the following (2+1)-dimensional Burgers-KP equation relaxed the restriction that the waves of the Burgers equation are strictly one-dimension [32]

$$(u_t + \alpha u u_x + \beta u_{xx})_x + \gamma u_{yy} = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

While we consider the generalized Burgers-KP equation with the power law nonlinearity:

$$\mathbf{U}\{x, y, t, u\} = (u_t + \alpha u^n u_x + \beta u_{xx})_x + \gamma u_{yy} = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where $n \geq 1$ is a natural number. In addition to (3.1), it can be reduced to the following physical equation:

1. $n = 1, \gamma = 0$: Burgers equation, the lowest order approximation for the one-dimensional propagation of weak shock waves in a fluid [33].

2. $n = 2, \gamma = 0$: modified Burgers equation used to describe practical transport problems.

3. $n = 1, \beta = 0$: Khokhlov-Zabolotskaya (dispersionless KP) equation, which can be used to describe weakly nonlinear and weakly diffracting waves.

4. $n = 2, \beta = 0$: modified Khokhlov-Zabolotskaya equation used to describe shear waves in a prestrained hyperelastic solid.

3.1 RPS generated from local CL

Consider first-order multipliers $\Delta = \Delta(x, u, \partial u)$ of CL to Eq. (3.2). Through the basic theory introduced in subsection 2.1, we construct the following four sets of CL based on the allowed multipliers of Eq.(3.2)

Conserved fluxes to the **CL1**, \dots and **CL4** based on the multiplier $g^1(t)$, $yg^2(t)$, $xg^3(t) - \frac{y^2 g_t^3(t)}{2\gamma}$, and $xyg^4(t) - \frac{y^3 g_t^4(t)}{6\gamma}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} C_1^t &= \frac{u_x}{2} g^1, & C_1^y &= \gamma g^1 u_y, & C_1^x &= g^1 \left(\frac{u_t}{2} + \alpha u^n u_x + \beta u_{xx} \right) - \frac{u}{2} g_t^1, \\ C_2^t &= \frac{y u_x}{2} g^2, & C_2^y &= \gamma y g^2 u_y - \gamma u g^2, & C_2^x &= y g^2 \left(\frac{u_t}{2} + \alpha u^n u_x + \beta u_{xx} \right) - \frac{u}{2} y g_t^2, \\ C_3^t &= \frac{u_x}{2} \left(x g^3 - \frac{y^2 g_t^3}{2\gamma} \right) - \frac{u}{2} g^3, & C_3^y &= \gamma u_y \left(x g^3 - \frac{y^2 g_t^3}{2\gamma} \right) - \gamma y u g_t^3, \\ C_3^x &= \left(x g^3 - \frac{y^2 g_t^3}{2\gamma} \right) \left(\frac{u_t}{2} + \alpha u^n u_x + \beta u_{xx} \right) - \frac{\alpha g^3 u^{n+1}}{n+1} + \frac{u}{2} \left(\frac{y^2 g_{tt}^3}{2\gamma} - x g_t^3 \right) - \beta g^3 u_x, \\ C_4^t &= \frac{u_x}{2} \left(x y g^4 - \frac{y^3 g_t^4}{6\gamma} \right) - \frac{u}{2} y g^4, & C_4^y &= \gamma u_y \left(x y g^4 - \frac{y^3 g_t^4}{6\gamma} \right) - \gamma u \left(-\frac{y^2}{2\gamma} g_t^4 + x g^4 \right), \\ C_4^x &= \left(x y g^4 - \frac{y^3 g_t^4}{6\gamma} \right) \left(\frac{u_t}{2} + \alpha u^n u_x + \beta u_{xx} \right) - \frac{\alpha y g^4 u^{n+1}}{n+1} + \frac{u}{2} \left(\frac{y^3 g_{tt}^4}{6\gamma} - x y g_t^4 \right) - \beta y g^4 u_x. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

By Theorem 2.1, we obtain four groups of algebraic gauge-constrained RPS corresponding to above four CL.

• **UW** $\{\mathbf{x}, u, w\}$ generated by the **CL1** :

$$\begin{aligned} w_y &= g^1 (u_t + \alpha u^n u_x + \beta u_{xx}), \\ w_x &= -\gamma g^1 u_y. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

- **UV**{ \mathbf{x}, u, v } generated by the **CL2** :

$$\begin{aligned} v_y &= yg^2(u_t + \alpha u^n u_x + \beta u_{xx}), \\ v_x &= -\gamma yg^2 u_y + \gamma ug^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

- **UP**{ \mathbf{x}, u, p } generated by the **CL3** :

$$\begin{aligned} p_y &= (xg^3 - \frac{y^2 g_t^3}{2\gamma})(\frac{u_t}{2} + \alpha u^n u_x + \beta u_{xx}) - \frac{\alpha g^3 u^{n+1}}{n+1} \\ &\quad - \int u_{xt}(\frac{y^2 g_t^3}{2\gamma} - xg^3)dx - g_t^3 u_x - \beta g^3 u_x, \\ p_x &= \gamma u_y(\frac{y^2 g_t^3}{2\gamma} - xg^3) + \gamma y u g_t^3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

- **UQ**{ \mathbf{x}, u, q } generated by the **CL4** :

$$\begin{aligned} q_y &= (xyg^4 - \frac{y^3 g_t^4}{6\gamma})(\frac{u_t}{2} + \alpha u^n u_x + \beta u_{xx}) - \frac{\alpha y g^4 u^{n+1}}{n+1} \\ &\quad - \int u_{xt}(\frac{y^3 g_t^4}{6\gamma} - xyg^4)dx - yg_t^4 u_x - \beta yg^4 u_x, \\ q_x &= \gamma u_y(-\frac{y^3 g_t^4}{6\gamma} + xyg^4) - \gamma u(-\frac{y^2}{2\gamma} g_t^4 + xg^4). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Remark 3.1 The following systems establish the set of locally inequivalent RPS of Eq. (3.2) produced from multipliers depending on \mathbf{x} and u :

- Four singlets: **UW**{ \mathbf{x}, u, w }, **UV**{ \mathbf{x}, u, v }, **UP**{ \mathbf{x}, u, p }, **UQ**{ \mathbf{x}, u, q }.
- Six couplets: **UWV**{ \mathbf{x}, u, w, v }, **UWP**{ \mathbf{x}, u, w, p }, **UWQ**{ \mathbf{x}, u, w, q }, **UVP**{ \mathbf{x}, u, v, p }, **UVQ**{ \mathbf{x}, u, v, q } and **UPQ**{ \mathbf{x}, u, p, q }.
- Four triplets: **UWVP**{ \mathbf{x}, u, w, v, p }, **UWVQ**{ \mathbf{x}, u, w, v, q }, **UWPQ**{ \mathbf{x}, u, w, p, q } and **UVPQ**{ \mathbf{x}, u, v, p, q }.
- One quadruplet: **UWVPQ**{ $\mathbf{x}, u, w, v, p, q$ }.

Remark 3.2 The initial CL' linear combinations could result in more prosperous systems.

3.2 Equivalence transformations

Equivalence transformations are non-degenerate changes of the variables with the property that it maps a PDE into an equation preserving the same differential structure but with different arbitrary functions [36,37].

Singlets' equivalence transformations are derived by finding the point symmetries with infinitesimal operator

$$\mathbb{Z}^i = \varphi_k^i(\mathbf{v}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \psi^i(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{g}) \frac{\partial}{\partial g^i}, \quad i, k = 1, \dots, 4, \quad (3.8)$$

with flux vectors $\mathbf{v} = (\nu_1^i, \dots, \nu_5^i) = (t^i, x^i, y^i, u^i, \varpi^i)$, $(\varpi^1, \varpi^2, \varpi^3, \varpi^4) = (w, v, p, q)$ and $\mathbf{g} = (g^i)$. The equivalence transformation's one-parameter group is shown below

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nu}_k^i &= \nu_k^i + \varepsilon \varphi_k^i(\mathbf{v}) + O(\varepsilon^2), \\ \tilde{g}^i &= g^i + \varepsilon \psi^i(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{g}) + O(\varepsilon^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where ε is the group parameter. The equivalence transformation operator (3.12) leaves not only the invariance of **UI**{ \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{g} }, $\mathbf{I} = (\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q})$, but also the invariance of $(\psi^i)_{\nu_k^i} = 0$ ($k \neq 1$). Then the invariance criterion yields an overdetermined system for φ_k^i and ψ^i . One has equivalence operators and equivalence groups in Table I to solve the overdetermined system.

Table I. Equivalence transformations of singlets

Singlets	Associated equivalence algebras	Equivalence transformations
UW	$\mathbb{Z}_1^1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \mathbb{Z}_2^1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \mathbb{Z}_3^1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \mathbb{Z}_4^1 = h_1^1(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial w},$ $\mathbb{Z}_5^1 = h_2^1(t) w \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + h_2^1(t) g^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial g^1},$ $\mathbb{Z}_6^1 = t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{3y}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ $\quad - \frac{u}{2n} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - \frac{(2+n)w}{4n} \frac{\partial}{\partial w},$	$\tilde{t} = l_1^1 + e^{4nl_1^1} t, \tilde{x} = l_2^1 + e^{2nl_2^1} x,$ $\tilde{y} = l_3^1 + e^{3nl_3^1} y, \tilde{u} = e^{-2l_7^1} u,$ $\tilde{w} = h_1^1(t) + e^{-(2+n)l_7^1 + h_2^1(t)} w,$ $\tilde{g}^1 = e^{h_2^1(t)} g^1,$
UV	$\mathbb{Z}_1^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \mathbb{Z}_2^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \mathbb{Z}_3^2 = h_1^2(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial v},$ $\mathbb{Z}_4^2 = h_2^2(t) v \frac{\partial}{\partial v} + h_2^2(t) g^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial g^2},$ $\mathbb{Z}_5^2 = t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{3y}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ $\quad - \frac{u}{2n} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \frac{(n-1)v}{2n} \frac{\partial}{\partial v},$	$\tilde{t} = l_1^2 + e^{4nl_1^2} t, \tilde{x} = l_2^2 + e^{2nl_2^2} x,$ $\tilde{y} = e^{3nl_6^2} y, \tilde{u} = e^{-2l_6^2} u,$ $\tilde{v} = h_1^2(t) + e^{2(n-1)l_6^2 + h_2^2(t)} v,$ $\tilde{g}^2 = e^{h_2^2(t)} g^2,$
UP	$\mathbb{Z}_1^3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \mathbb{Z}_2^3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial p},$ $\mathbb{Z}_3^3 = p \frac{\partial}{\partial p} + g^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial g^3},$ $\mathbb{Z}_4^3 = t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{3y}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{u}{2n} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ $\quad + \frac{(n-2)p}{4n} \frac{\partial}{\partial p},$	$\tilde{t} = l_1^3 + e^{4nl_5^3} t, \tilde{x} = e^{2nl_5^3} x,$ $\tilde{y} = e^{3nl_5^3} y, \tilde{u} = e^{-2l_5^3} u,$ $\tilde{p} = l_2^3 + e^{2(n-1)l_5^3 + l_3^3} p,$ $\tilde{g}^3 = e^{l_4^3} g^3,$
UQ	$\mathbb{Z}_1^4 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \mathbb{Z}_2^4 = \frac{\partial}{\partial q},$ $\mathbb{Z}_3^4 = q \frac{\partial}{\partial q} + g^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial g^4},$ $\mathbb{Z}_4^4 = t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{3y}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{u}{2n} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ $\quad + \frac{(4n-2)q}{4n} \frac{\partial}{\partial q},$	$\tilde{t} = l_1^4 + e^{4nl_4^4} t, \tilde{x} = e^{2nl_5^4} x,$ $\tilde{y} = e^{3nl_5^4} y, \tilde{u} = e^{-2l_5^4} u,$ $\tilde{q} = l_2^4 + e^{(4n-2)l_5^4 + l_4^4} q,$ $\tilde{g}^4 = e^{l_4^4} g^4.$

In which l_j^i ($i = 1, \dots, 4, j = 1, \dots, 5$) are arbitrary constants, h^1, h^2 are arbitrary smooth functions.

Remark 3.3 Any transformation in Table 1 maps the singlets to the system of PDEs with the same form

$$\mathbf{UI}\{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{d}\} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{UI}}\{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}\}. \quad (3.10)$$

These established equivalent transformations are advantageous to exploring complete equivalent classes without considering individual singlets with arbitrary time functions.

3.3 Local and nonlocal symmetries

Taking $g^i = \mathcal{G}(t) = 1$ ($i = 1, \dots, 4$), the local and nonlocal symmetries admitted by several RPSs are shown in Table II.

Remark 3.4 The point symmetries $\mathbb{K}^1, \mathbb{K}^6$ accepted by **UWV** are nonlocal symmetries of **UV**. The local symmetry \mathbb{K}^{10} accepted by **UPQ** is a nonlocal symmetry of **UQ**. The local symmetry \mathbb{Y}^5 accepted by **UWVP** is a nonlocal symmetry for **UV, UVP**. The local symmetry \mathbb{Y}^{10} accepted by **UWVQ** is a nonlocal symmetry of **UQ, and UWQ**. The local symmetry \mathbb{T}^6 accepted by **UWVPQ** is a nonlocal symmetry of **UVQ, UWVQ, and UVPQ**.

Table II. Local and nonlocal admitted by RPS

Couplets	$\mathcal{G}(t)$	Admitted point symmetries
UWV	1	$\mathbb{K}^1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$, $\mathbb{K}^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, $\mathbb{K}^3 = h^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial w}$, $\mathbb{K}^4 = h^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$, $\mathbb{K}^5 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, $\mathbb{K}^6 = \frac{y}{2\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + t \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{u}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + \left(\frac{2tw-yu}{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$, $\mathbb{K}^7 = t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{3y}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{u}{2n} \frac{\partial}{\partial w}$ $- \frac{(2+n)w}{4n} \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + \frac{(n-1)v}{2n} \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$,
UPQ	1	$\mathbb{K}^8 = h^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial p}$, $\mathbb{K}^9 = h^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial q}$, \mathbb{K}^5 , $\mathbb{K}^{10} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + p \frac{\partial}{\partial q}$, $\mathbb{K}^{11} = \mathbb{K}^4 + \frac{(n-2)p}{4n} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} + \frac{(2n-1)q}{2n} \frac{\partial}{\partial q}$,
Triplets	$\mathcal{G}(t)$	Adimited point symmetries
UWVP	1	$\mathbb{Y}^1 = h^5 \frac{\partial}{\partial w}$, $\mathbb{Y}^2 = h^6 \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$, $\mathbb{Y}^3 = h^7 \frac{\partial}{\partial q}$, $\mathbb{Y}^4 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, $\mathbb{Y}^5 = \mathbb{K}^1$, $\mathbb{Y}^6 = \mathbb{K}^7 + \frac{(n-2)p}{4n} \frac{\partial}{\partial p}$,
UWPQ	1	$\mathbb{Y}^7 = h^8 \frac{\partial}{\partial w}$, $\mathbb{Y}^8 = h^9 \frac{\partial}{\partial p}$, $\mathbb{Y}^9 = h^{10} \frac{\partial}{\partial q}$, \mathbb{Y}^4 , $\mathbb{Y}^{10} = \mathbb{K}^{10}$, $\mathbb{Y}^{11} = \mathbb{K}^{11} - \frac{(n+2)w}{4n} \frac{\partial}{\partial w}$,
Quadruplet	$\mathcal{G}(t)$	Adimited point symmetries
UWVPQ	1	$\mathbb{T}^1 = h^{11} \frac{\partial}{\partial w}$, $\mathbb{T}^2 = h^{12} \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$, $\mathbb{T}^3 = h^{13} \frac{\partial}{\partial p}$, $\mathbb{T}^3 = h^{14} \frac{\partial}{\partial q}$, $\mathbb{T}^5 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, $\mathbb{T}^6 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial}{\partial v} + p \frac{\partial}{\partial q}$, $\mathbb{T}^7 = \mathbb{Y}^6 + \frac{(2n-1)q}{2n} \frac{\partial}{\partial q}$.

3.4 IPS generated from the DI

The Lie algebra for Eq. (3.2) is spanned by the following five-dimensional symmetries

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{V}^1 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, & \mathbb{V}^2 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, & \mathbb{V}^3 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \\
 \mathbb{V}^4 &= t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{3y}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{u}{2n} \frac{\partial}{\partial w}, & \mathbb{V}^5 &= -y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2\lambda t \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Then, based on the steps in subsection 2.4, the IPSs of Eq. (3.2) can be generated by introducing canonical form $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{M}}$ for the vector fields in (3.11).

- **IPS1** based on \mathbb{V}^1 :

The canonical coordinates associated with \mathbb{V}^1 are $s = y$, $r = t$, $w = u$, and $\mathcal{M} = x$. This invertible transformation causes $\text{pr}^{(3)}\mathbb{V}^1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{M}}$, and Eq. (3.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathcal{M}_w^2 (\mathcal{M}_{ww} \mathcal{M}_r - \mathcal{M}_w \mathcal{M}_{wr}) + \alpha (n w^{n-1} \mathcal{M}_w^3 - w^n \mathcal{M}_w^2 \mathcal{M}_{ww}) \\
 &+ \beta (3 \mathcal{M}_{ww}^2 - \mathcal{M}_w \mathcal{M}_{www}) + \gamma \mathcal{M}_w^2 (2 \mathcal{M}_w \mathcal{M}_s \mathcal{M}_{ws} - \mathcal{M}_w^2 \mathcal{M}_{ss} - \mathcal{M}_s^2 \mathcal{M}_{ww}) = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

We then introduce three auxiliary dependent variables, namely $a(s, r, w)$, $b(s, r, w)$ and $c(s, r, w)$, and an equivalent intermediate system are thus constructed

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathcal{M}_s = a, \quad \mathcal{M}_r = b, \quad \mathcal{M}_w = c, \\
 &\mathcal{M}_w^2 (\mathcal{M}_{ww} \mathcal{M}_r - \mathcal{M}_w \mathcal{M}_{wr}) + \alpha (n w^{n-1} \mathcal{M}_w^3 - w^n \mathcal{M}_w^2 \mathcal{M}_{ww}) \\
 &+ \beta (3 \mathcal{M}_{ww}^2 - \mathcal{M}_w \mathcal{M}_{www}) + \gamma \mathcal{M}_w^2 (2 \mathcal{M}_w \mathcal{M}_s \mathcal{M}_{ws} - \mathcal{M}_w^2 \mathcal{M}_{ss} - \mathcal{M}_s^2 \mathcal{M}_{ww}) = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

(3.13) is locally related to (3.12) and then is locally related to Eq. (3.2). Hence \mathcal{M} can be eliminated, and **IPS1** follows immediately

$$\begin{aligned} a_r &= b_s, & a_w &= c_s, & b_w &= c_r, \\ c^2(bc_w - cc_r) &+ \alpha(nw^{n-1}c^3 - w^n c^2 c_w) &+ \beta(3c_w^2 - cc_{ww}) &+ \gamma c^2(2acc_s - a^2 c_w - c^2 a_s) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Analogously, the other four DIs-based IPSs are shown directly below.

• **IPS2** based on \mathbb{V}^2 :

Associated canonical coordinates are $s = x$, $r = t$, $w = u$, and $\mathcal{M} = y$. Then $\text{pr}^{(3)}\mathbb{V}^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{M}}$, **IPS2** is shown below

$$\begin{aligned} a_w &= b_r, & a_s &= c_r, & b_s &= c_w, \\ b^2(-b^2 c_r + abb_s + cbb_r - acb_w) &+ \alpha nw^{n-1} c^2 b^3 - \gamma b^2 b_{ww} &+ \beta(-3(bb_s - cb_w)(-b^2 c_s + 2bcc_w - c^2 b_w) \\ &+ b(-2bc_s(bb_s - cb_w) - b^2(bc_{ss} - cb_{ss}) + 2bb_s(bc_s - cb_s)) - \alpha w^n b^2(b^2 c_s - 2bcc_w + c^2 b_w) + 2cb_s(bb_s \\ &- cb_w + 2cb(bb_{ss} - cb_{ws}) - 2cb_w(bc_s - cb_s) - c^2(bb_{ws}) - cb_{ww})) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

• **IPS3** based on \mathbb{V}^3 :

Associated canonical coordinates are $s = x$, $r = y$, $w = u$, and $\mathcal{M} = t$. Then $\text{pr}^{(3)}\mathbb{V}^3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{M}}$, **IPS3** is shown below

$$\begin{aligned} a_w &= b_r, & a_s &= c_r, & b_s &= c_w, \\ \alpha nw^{n-1} c^2 b^3 + \alpha w^n b^2(-b^2 c_s + 2bcc_w - c^2 b_w) &+ \gamma b^2(2abb_r - a_r b^2 - a^2 b_w) + \beta(-3(bb_s - cb_w)(-b^2 c_s \\ &+ 2bcc_w - c^2 b_w) + b(-2bc_s(bb_s - cb_w) - b^2(bc_{ss} - cb_{ss}) + 2bb_s(bc_s - cb_s)) + 2cb_s(bb_s - cb_w + b^2(cb_w \\ &- cb_s + 2cb(bb_{ss} - cb_{ws}) - 2cb_w(bc_s - cb_s) - c^2(bb_{ws} - cb_{ww}))) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

• **IPS4** based on \mathbb{V}^4 :

Associated canonical coordinates are $s = xt^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $r = yt^{-\frac{3}{4}}$, $w = ut^{\frac{1}{2n}}$, and $\mathcal{M} = \ln(t)$. Then $\text{pr}^{(3)}\mathbb{V}^4 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{M}}$, **IPS4** is shown below

$$\begin{aligned} a_w &= b_r, & a_s &= c_r, & b_s &= c_w, \\ \alpha nw^{n-1} c^2 b^3 + \alpha w^n b^2(-b^2 c_s + 2bcc_w - c^2 b_w) &+ \gamma b^2(2abb_r - a_r b^2 - a^2 b_w) + \beta(3(cb_w - bb_s)(-b^2 c_s \\ &+ 2bcc_w - c^2 b_w) + b(-2bc_s(bb_s - cb_w) - b^2(bc_{ss} - cb_{ss}) + 2bb_s(bc_s - cb_s)) + 2cb_s(bb_s - cb_w + 2cb \\ &(bb_{ss} - cb_{ws}) - 2cb_w(bc_s - cb_s) - c^2(bb_{ws}) - cb_{ww})) + b^2(bb_s - cb_w + \frac{cb^4}{2} + \frac{sb^2}{2}(-2bcc_w + b^2 c_s \\ &+ c^2 b_w) + \frac{3r}{4}(b^3(bc_r - cb_r) + a(cb_w - bb_s)) + \frac{cb^4}{2n}) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

• **IPS5** based on \mathbb{V}^5 :

Associated canonical coordinates are $s = 4\lambda tx + y^2$, $r = t$, $w = u$ and $\mathcal{M} = \frac{y}{t}$. Then $\text{pr}^{(3)}\mathbb{V}^5 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{M}}$, **IPS5** is shown below

$$\begin{aligned} a_w &= b_r, & a_s &= c_r, & b_s &= c_w, \\ -4rb^2(b(ba_s - cb_r) - a(bb_s - cb_w)) &- 4r^2 cb^4 - b^2 b_w - 2cr^2 b^4 - 4\lambda sr^2 b^2(b(bc_s - cb_s) \\ &- c(bb_s - sb_w)) + 16\alpha\lambda nr^4 w^{n-1} c^2 b^3 - 16\alpha\lambda r^4 w^n b^2(b(bc_s - cb_s) - c(bb_s - cb_w)) - 64\beta\lambda r^5(3(bb_s \\ &- cb_w)(b(bc_s - cb_s) - c(bc_s - cb_w)) - b(2bc_s(bb_s - cb_w) + b^2(bc_{ss} - cb_{ss}) - 2cb_s(bb_s - cb_w) \\ &- 2bb_s(bc_s - cb_s) - 2bc(bb_{ss} - cc_{ww}) + 2cb_w(bc_s - cc_w) + b^2(bc_{ww} - cb_{ww}))) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Remark 3.5 Generated IPS are equivalent to (3.2) and are nonlocally related to (3.2). The solutions of IPSs are one-to-one correspondence with solutions of (3.2).

Remark 3.6 Initial linear combinations of the point symmetries can further generate IPS.

3.5 Local and nonlocal symmetries

The intermediate system (3.13) admits the following point symmetries

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{V}_1^1 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, & \mathbb{V}_1^2 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, & \mathbb{V}_1^3 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{M}}, & \mathbb{V}_1^4 &= r \frac{\partial}{\partial s} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} - a \frac{\partial}{\partial b} - \frac{s}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{M}}, \\ \mathbb{V}_1^5 &= -\frac{w}{2n} \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{3s}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} - \frac{a}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} - \frac{b}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial b} + \frac{(n+1)c}{2n} \frac{\partial}{\partial c} + \frac{\mathcal{M}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{M}}.\end{aligned}\quad (3.19)$$

IPS1 admits the following point symmetries

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{V}_2^1 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, & \mathbb{V}_2^2 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, & \mathbb{V}_2^3 &= r \frac{\partial}{\partial s} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} - a \frac{\partial}{\partial b}, \\ \mathbb{V}_2^4 &= -\frac{w}{2n} \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{3s}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} - \frac{a}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} - \frac{b}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial b} + \frac{(n+1)c}{2n} \frac{\partial}{\partial c}.\end{aligned}\quad (3.20)$$

Therefore, we can construct a locally related subsystem of **IPS1** by excluding b from **IPS1**:

$$\begin{aligned}a_w &= c_s, \\ c_r &= \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \left(\frac{1}{c^2 c_w} (c^3 c_r + \alpha(w^n c^2 c_w - n w^{n-1} c^3) + \beta(cc_{ww} - 3c_w^2) + \gamma c^2 (a^2 c_w - 2acc_s + c^2 a_s)) \right), \\ a_r &= \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\frac{1}{c^2 c_w} (c^3 c_r + \alpha(w^n c^2 c_w - n w^{n-1} c^3) + \beta(cc_{ww} - 3c_w^2) + \gamma c^2 (a^2 c_w - 2acc_s + c^2 a_s)) \right).\end{aligned}\quad (3.21)$$

The locally related subsystem admits the following point symmetries

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{V}_3^1 &= \rho^1(s, r) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + a \rho_s^1(s, r) \frac{\partial}{\partial a}, & \mathbb{V}_3^2 &= \rho^2(w, r) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} - 2a \rho_w^2(w, r) \frac{\partial}{\partial a}, \\ \mathbb{V}_3^3 &= \rho^3(r) \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, & \mathbb{V}_3^4 &= -2a \rho^4(r) \frac{\partial}{\partial a} + c \rho^4(r) \frac{\partial}{\partial c}, & \mathbb{V}_3^5 &= \rho^5(w, s, r, c) \frac{\partial}{\partial a}.\end{aligned}\quad (3.22)$$

Theorem 3.1. *The local symmetry \mathbb{V}_3^1 in (3.22) yields a nonlocal symmetry of Eq. (3.2).*

Proof. Since (3.21) is locally related to (3.2), if the symmetry \mathbb{V}_3^1 is local to (3.2), then it is local to (3.13). Consequently, there are three differentiable functions $E[a, b, c, \mathcal{M}]$, $H[a, b, c, \mathcal{M}]$, $N[a, b, c, \mathcal{M}]$ satisfy the evolutionary form

$$\tilde{\mathbb{V}}_3^1 = (a \rho_s^1 - \rho^1 a_s) \frac{\partial}{\partial a} + E \frac{\partial}{\partial b} + H \frac{\partial}{\partial c} + N \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{M}}.\quad (3.23)$$

Then $\tilde{\mathbb{V}}_3^1$ is a local symmetry of (3.13). So acting $\tilde{\mathbb{V}}_3^{2\infty}$ on (3.13), one has

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_s N &= a \rho_s^1 - \rho^1 a_s, & \mathcal{D}_r N &= E, & \mathcal{D}_w N &= H, \\ & - c^2 c_w E - 2bcc_w H - bc^2 \mathcal{D}_w H + 3c^2 c_r H + c^3 \mathcal{D}_r H + \alpha(w^n (2cc_w H + c^2 \mathcal{D}_w H) - 3n w^{n-1} c^2 H) \\ & + \lambda c^2 (a^2 \mathcal{D}_w H + 2ca_s H - 2ac_s H - 2ac \mathcal{D}_s H + (2ac_w - 2cc_s)(a \rho_s^1 - \rho^1 a_s) + c^2 \mathcal{D}_s (a \rho_s^1 - \rho^1 a_s)) \\ & + \beta(cc_{ww} H + c \mathcal{D}_w^2 H - 6c_w \mathcal{D}_w H) + 2\lambda c (a^2 c_w + c^2 a_s - 2acc_s) H = 0.\end{aligned}\quad (3.24)$$

Substituting $a = \mathcal{M}_s$ in the first equation of (3.24), one has

$$\mathcal{D}_s N = \mathcal{M}_s \rho_s^1 - \rho^1 \mathcal{M}_{ss}.\quad (3.25)$$

Both sides of (3.25) integrate s , we have

$$N = 2 \int \rho_s^1 \mathcal{M}_s ds - \rho^1 \mathcal{M}_s.\quad (3.26)$$

N can not be represented by r , s , w , \mathcal{M} , and its derivatives. Hence, no differentiable function N exists, namely, \mathbb{V}_3^1 yields a nonlocal symmetry of the intermediate system (4.3) and Eq. (3.2). \square

Theorem 3.2. \mathbb{V}_3^5 produces a nonlocal symmetry of (3.13) and Eq. (3.2). \mathbb{V}_3^2 , \mathbb{V}_3^3 , and \mathbb{V}_3^4 yield local symmetries of the intermediate system (3.13) and Eq. (3.2).

Proof. The proof is similar to that of proving Theorem 3.1. □

Due to **IPS2**, \dots , and **IPS5** being relatively complex, subsystems and nonlocal symmetries they may produce are not discussed further.

4 Extension of nonlocally related PDE systems and novel group invariant solutions

In this section, RPS is extended by nonlocal CLs and double reduction. Afterward, IPS can be extended by the solvable Lie algebra and the type II hidden symmetry. In the process, some new analytic group invariant solutions are found.

4.1 The extension of RPS using nonlocal CL

Consider the multipliers $\Delta_1 = \Delta_1(\mathbf{x}, u, w)$, $\Delta_2 = \Delta_2(\mathbf{x}, u, w)$ of **UW**, then

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_1(w_y - g^1(u_t + \alpha u^n u_x + \beta u_{xx})) &= 0, \\ \Delta_2(w_x + \gamma g^1 u_y) &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

In this case, the following multipliers contain nonlocal variables only if $\beta = 0$,

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{\gamma u}{g^1}, \quad \Delta_2 = \frac{w}{(g^1)^2}.\tag{4.2}$$

Based on the obtained nonlocal multipliers, the following nonlocal **CL5** can be obtained by reusing three-dimensional homotopy operators

$$\mathcal{C}_5^t = -\frac{\gamma u^2}{2}, \quad \mathcal{C}_5^y = \frac{\gamma u w}{g^1}, \quad \mathcal{C}_5^x = -\frac{\alpha \gamma u^{n+2}}{n+2} + \frac{w^2}{2(g^1)^2}.\tag{4.3}$$

Theorem 2.2 extends the singlet **UW** to an algebraic gauge-constrained PS, i.e., **UWEZ**,

$$\begin{aligned}e_x &= \frac{\gamma u^2}{2}, \quad z_x = \frac{\gamma u w}{g^1}, \\ z_y - e_t &= \frac{\alpha \gamma u^{n+2}}{n+2} - \frac{w^2}{2(g^1)^2}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

4.2 The extension of IPS using the solvable Lie algebra

We then compute the solvable algebraic chains of Eq. (3.2) and extend **IPS1** based on Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 4.1. *The following non-zero commutator structure of Lie algebras form the groups of maximal point symmetry to (3.2):*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= \{\mathbb{V}^1, \mathbb{V}^2, \mathbb{V}^3, \mathbb{V}^4, \mathbb{V}^5\}, \\ [\mathbb{V}^1, \mathbb{V}^4] &= \frac{\mathbb{V}^1}{2}, \quad [\mathbb{V}^2, \mathbb{V}^4] = \frac{3\mathbb{V}^2}{4}, \quad [\mathbb{V}^2, \mathbb{V}^5] = \mathbb{V}^1, \\ [\mathbb{V}^3, \mathbb{V}^4] &= \mathbb{V}^3, \quad [\mathbb{V}^3, \mathbb{V}^5] = 2\gamma\mathbb{V}^2, \quad [\mathbb{V}^4, \mathbb{V}^5] = \frac{\mathbb{V}^5}{4}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

Proposition 4.1. The following subalgebras' solvable chains are allowed by Eq.(3.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^1 \subset & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}^{12} \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}^{123} \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}^{1234} \\ \mathcal{L}^{1235} \subset \mathcal{L}^{12354} \end{array} \right. \\ \mathcal{L}^{124} \\ \mathcal{L}^{125} \subset \mathcal{L}^{1253} \subset \mathcal{L}^{12534} \end{array} \right. \\ \mathcal{L}^{13} \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}^{132} \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}^{1325} \subset \mathcal{L}^{13254} \\ \mathcal{L}^{1324} \end{array} \right. \\ \mathcal{L}^{134} \end{array} \right. \\ \mathcal{L}^{14} \\ \mathcal{L}^{15} \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}^{152} \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}^{1524} \\ \mathcal{L}^{1523} \subset \mathcal{L}^{15234} \end{array} \right. \\ \mathcal{L}^{154} \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. \\
\mathcal{L}^2 \subset & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}^{21} \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}^{213} \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}^{2134} \\ \mathcal{L}^{2135} \subset \mathcal{L}^{21345} \end{array} \right. \\ \mathcal{L}^{214} \\ \mathcal{L}^{215} \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}^{2153} \subset \mathcal{L}^{21534} \\ \mathcal{L}^{2154} \end{array} \right. \\ \mathcal{L}^{231} \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}^{2314} \\ \mathcal{L}^{2315} \subset \mathcal{L}^{23154} \end{array} \right. \\ \mathcal{L}^{23} \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}^{234} \\ \mathcal{L}^{235} \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}^{2351} \subset \mathcal{L}^{23514} \\ \mathcal{L}^{2354} \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. \\ \mathcal{L}^{24} \end{array} \right. \\
\mathcal{L}^3 \subset & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}^{31} \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}^{312} \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}^{3124} \\ \mathcal{L}^{3125} \subset \mathcal{L}^{31245} \end{array} \right. \\ \mathcal{L}^{314} \\ \mathcal{L}^{32} \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}^{321} \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}^{3214} \\ \mathcal{L}^{3215} \subset \mathcal{L}^{32154} \end{array} \right. \\ \mathcal{L}^{324} \end{array} \right. \\ \mathcal{L}^{34} \end{array} \right. \\
\mathcal{L}^5 \subset & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}^{51} \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}^{512} \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}^{5123} \subset \mathcal{L}^{51234} \\ \mathcal{L}^{5124} \end{array} \right. \\ \mathcal{L}^{514} \\ \mathcal{L}^{54} \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.2 Note that it stops on any subalgebra ($>1D$) of the above solvable algebraic chains until that part still constitutes a solvable one. For example, $\mathcal{L}^1 \subset \mathcal{L}^{12}$, $\mathcal{L}^1 \subset \mathcal{L}^{12} \subset \mathcal{L}^{123}$, $\mathcal{L}^1 \subset \mathcal{L}^{12} \subset \mathcal{L}^{123} \subset \mathcal{L}^{1235}$ are solvable algebraic chains. The extended IPS can be generated from every solvable algebraic chain.

We give only the result using $\mathcal{L}^1 \subset \mathcal{L}^{12}$. According to Theorem 2.3, \mathbb{V}^2 is a point symmetry of **IPS1** acting on (s, r, w, a, b, c) -space. Specifically

$$\mathbb{V}^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}. \quad (4.6)$$

Canonical coordinates associated with (3.14) are as $\xi = a$, $\eta = b$, $\tau = c$, $A = s$, $B = w$, $\mathcal{N} = r$. We obtain an extended nonlocally related IPS, namely **IPS12**:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_\eta^1 &= b_\xi^1, \quad a_\tau^1 = c_\xi^1, \quad b_\tau^1 = c_\eta^1, \quad A_\eta B_\tau - A_\tau B_\eta = B_\tau a^1 - B_\xi c^1, \\
A_\eta c^1 - A_\tau b^1 &= B_\xi b^1 - B_\eta a^1, \quad A_\tau a^1 - A_\xi c^1 = A_\xi B_\eta - A_\eta B_\xi, \\
\tau^2 \delta(\eta(A_\eta c^1 - A_\tau b^1) - \tau(A_\xi B_\eta - A_\eta B_\xi)) &+ \alpha(nB^{n-1}\tau^3\delta^2 - B^n\tau^2(A_\eta c^1 - A_\tau b^1)\delta) + \beta(3(A_\eta c^1 \\
- A_\tau b^1)^2 - \tau\delta((\frac{1}{\delta}(A_\eta c^1 - A_\tau b^1))_\xi(A_\eta c^1 - A_\tau b^1)) - (\frac{1}{\delta}(A_\eta c^1 - A_\tau b^1))_\eta(A_\xi c^1 - A_\tau a^1)) - (\frac{1}{\delta}(A_\eta c^1 \\
- A_\tau b^1))_\tau(A_\xi b^1 - A_\eta a^1)) &+ \gamma\tau^2\delta(2\xi\tau(B_\xi b^1 - B_\eta a^1) - \tau^2(A_\xi c^1 - A_\eta b^1) - \xi^2(A_\xi b^1 - A_\eta a^1)) = 0, \quad (4.7)
\end{aligned}$$

in which

$$\delta = \begin{vmatrix} A_\xi & A_\eta & A_\tau \\ B_\xi & B_\eta & B_\tau \\ a^1 & b^1 & c^1 \end{vmatrix}, \quad a^1 = \mathcal{N}_\xi, \quad b^1 = \mathcal{N}_\eta, \quad c^1 = \mathcal{N}_\tau. \quad (4.8)$$

4.3 Via double reduction

We then establish the connections between the four CLs and the dilation symmetry by double reduction. Here are the related lemmas [38] on the double reduction.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that $\mathcal{D}_i \mathcal{C}^i = 0$ is a CL of the PDE (2.1). Then, under a similarity transformation of a Lie-Bäcklund symmetry \mathbb{B} of the form (2.1) for the PDE, there exist functions $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^i$ such that \mathbb{B} is still a Lie-Bäcklund symmetry for the PDE $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_i \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^i$ and*

$$(\mathbb{B}\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^1, \mathbb{B}\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^2, \mathbb{B}\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^3)^\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{B}^{-1})^\mathcal{T}([\mathcal{C}^1, \mathbb{B}], [\mathcal{C}^2, \mathbb{B}], [\mathcal{C}^3, \mathbb{B}])^\mathcal{T}, \quad (4.9)$$

with transpose \mathcal{T} of a matrix.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that $\mathcal{D}_i \mathcal{C}^i = 0$ is a conservation law of the PDE (2.1). Then under a contact transformation, there exist functions $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^i$, such that $\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{D}_i \mathcal{C}^i = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_i \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^i$ where $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^i$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^1, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^2, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^3)^\mathcal{T} &= \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{K}^{-1})^\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}^1, \mathcal{C}^2, \mathcal{C}^3)^\mathcal{T}, \\ \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{C}^1, \mathcal{C}^2, \mathcal{C}^3)^\mathcal{T} &= \mathcal{K}^\mathcal{T}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^1, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^2, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^3)^\mathcal{T}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

in which

$$\mathcal{K} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1 x^1 & \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1 x^2 & \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1 x^3 \\ \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2 x^1 & \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2 x^2 & \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2 x^3 \\ \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_3 x^1 & \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_3 x^2 & \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_3 x^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{K}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}_1 \tilde{x}^1 & \mathcal{D}_1 \tilde{x}^2 & \mathcal{D}_1 \tilde{x}^3 \\ \mathcal{D}_2 \tilde{x}^1 & \mathcal{D}_2 \tilde{x}^2 & \mathcal{D}_2 \tilde{x}^3 \\ \mathcal{D}_3 \tilde{x}^1 & \mathcal{D}_3 \tilde{x}^2 & \mathcal{D}_3 \tilde{x}^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.11)$$

and $\mathcal{Y} = \det(\mathcal{K})$.

According to Lemma 4.1, the local symmetry \mathbb{V}^4 is associated with **CL1**, **CL2**, **CL3**, and **CL4**, respectively when $g^1(t) = t^{\frac{2-3n}{4n}}$, $g^2(t) = t^{\frac{1-3n}{2n}}$, $g^3(t) = t^{\frac{2-5n}{4n}}$, and $g^4(t) = t^{\frac{1-4n}{2n}}$. Introduce a canonical form $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{Q}}$ for \mathbb{V}^4 when

$$\frac{dt}{t} = \frac{2dx}{x} = \frac{4dy}{3y} = \frac{2ndu}{-u} = \frac{d\mathcal{R}}{0} = \frac{d\mathcal{S}}{0} = \frac{d\mathcal{Q}}{1} = \frac{d\mathcal{V}}{0}. \quad (4.12)$$

The following canonical variables are obtained by solving (4.12)

$$\mathcal{R} = xt^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \mathcal{S} = yt^{-\frac{3}{4}}, \quad \mathcal{Q} = \ln(t), \quad \text{and } \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S}) = ut^{\frac{1}{2n}}. \quad (4.13)$$

Using Lemma 4.2, the reduced conserved forms are

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{C}_i^{\mathcal{R}} \\ \mathcal{C}_i^{\mathcal{S}} \\ \mathcal{C}_i^{\mathcal{V}} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{K}^{-1})^\mathcal{T} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{C}_i^t \\ \mathcal{C}_i^x \\ \mathcal{C}_i^y \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4, \quad (4.14)$$

with

$$(\mathcal{K}^{-1})^\mathcal{T} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{r}{2}t^{-1} & t^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ -\frac{3s}{4}t^{-1} & 0 & t^{-\frac{3}{4}} \\ t^{-1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{Y} = \det(\mathcal{K}) = t^{\frac{9}{4}}. \quad (4.15)$$

Hence, four sets of reduced conserved fluxes are shown below.

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1^{\mathcal{R}} &= \alpha \mathcal{V}^n \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}} + \beta \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}} - \frac{\mathcal{R}\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}}}{2} - \frac{3\mathcal{S}\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{S}}}{8} + \frac{3n-4}{8n}\mathcal{V}, & C_1^{\mathcal{S}} &= -\frac{3\mathcal{S}\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}}}{8} + \gamma \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{S}}, \\
C_2^{\mathcal{R}} &= \mathcal{S}(\alpha \mathcal{V}^n \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}} + \beta \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}} - \frac{\mathcal{R}\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}}}{4} - \frac{3\mathcal{S}\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{S}}}{8} - \frac{2-3n}{4n}\mathcal{V}) - \frac{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{R}\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}}}{4}, & C_2^{\mathcal{S}} &= \gamma \mathcal{S}\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{S}} - \frac{3\mathcal{S}^2\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}}}{8} - \mathcal{V}, \\
C_3^{\mathcal{R}} &= -\frac{\mathcal{R}}{2}\left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}}}{2}\left(\frac{5n-2}{8\gamma n}\mathcal{S}^2 + \mathcal{S}\right) - \frac{\mathcal{V}}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{5n-2}{8\gamma n}\mathcal{S}^2 + \mathcal{R}\right)(\alpha \mathcal{V}^n \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}} + \beta \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}} - \frac{\mathcal{R}\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}}}{4} \\
&\quad - \frac{3\mathcal{S}\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{S}}}{8} - \frac{\mathcal{V}}{4n}) - \frac{\alpha}{n+1}\mathcal{V}^{n+1} + \frac{\mathcal{V}}{2}\left(\frac{(2-5n)(2-9n)}{32n^2}\mathcal{S}^2 + \frac{5n-2}{4n}\mathcal{R}\right) - \beta \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}}, \\
C_3^{\mathcal{S}} &= -\frac{3\mathcal{S}}{4}\left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}}}{2}\left(\frac{5n-2}{8\gamma n}\mathcal{S}^2 + \mathcal{R}\right) - \frac{\mathcal{V}}{2}\right) + \gamma \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{S}}\left(\frac{5n-2}{8\gamma n}\mathcal{S}^2 + \mathcal{R}\right) + \frac{5n-2}{4n}\gamma \mathcal{S}\mathcal{V}, \\
C_4^{\mathcal{R}} &= -\frac{\mathcal{R}}{2}\left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}}}{2}\left(\frac{4n-1}{12\gamma n}\mathcal{S}^3 + \mathcal{R}\mathcal{S}\right) - \frac{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{V}}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{4n-1}{12\gamma n}\mathcal{S}^3 + \mathcal{S}\mathcal{R}\right)(\alpha \mathcal{V}^n \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}} + \beta \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}} - \frac{\mathcal{R}\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}}}{4} \\
&\quad - \frac{3\mathcal{S}\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{S}}}{8} - \frac{\mathcal{V}}{4n}) - \frac{\alpha}{n+1}\mathcal{V}^{n+1}\mathcal{S} + \frac{\mathcal{V}}{2}\left(\frac{(1-4n)(1-6n)}{24n^2}\mathcal{S}^3 + \frac{4n-1}{2n}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{R}\right) - \beta \mathcal{S}\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}}, \\
C_4^{\mathcal{S}} &= -\frac{3\mathcal{S}}{4}\left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}}}{2}\left(\frac{4n-1}{12\gamma n}\mathcal{S}^3 + \mathcal{S}\mathcal{R}\right) - \frac{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{V}}{2}\right) + \gamma \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{S}}\left(\frac{4n-1}{12\gamma n}\mathcal{S}^3 + \mathcal{S}\mathcal{R}\right) + \mathcal{V}\left(\frac{1-4n}{4n}\mathcal{S}^2 - \gamma \mathcal{R}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Remark 4.3 The reduced conserved forms of Eq. (3.2) are

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}}C_i^{\mathcal{R}} + \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{S}}C_i^{\mathcal{S}} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4. \tag{4.17}$$

The reduced CLs based on the double reduction can further construct the double-reduced potential system. Let's just use **CL1** as an example, namely **DR-WV**:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{S}} &= \alpha \mathcal{V}^n \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}} + \beta \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}} - \frac{\mathcal{R}\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}}}{2} - \frac{3\mathcal{S}\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{S}}}{8} + \frac{3n-4}{8n}\mathcal{V}, \\
\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{R}} &= \frac{3\mathcal{S}\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}}}{8} - \gamma \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{S}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

When $n = 1$, the above system contains the following point symmetries,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{R}^1 &= 12\mathcal{S}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{R}} - 32\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{S}} - 3\mathcal{S}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{V}} + \frac{(3\mathcal{S}^2 + 12\mathcal{R})}{4}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{W}}, \\
\mathbb{R}^2 &= 2\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{R}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{V}} - \frac{\mathcal{S}}{8}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{W}}, \quad \mathbb{R}^3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{W}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Where \mathbb{R}^1 is unknown and unexplained. Then, its invariants are $\mathcal{R} + \frac{3\mathcal{S}^2}{16}$, $\mathcal{V} - \frac{3\mathcal{R}^2}{64}$, and $\mathcal{W} + \frac{3\mathcal{S}\mathcal{R}}{256} + \frac{5\mathcal{S}^3}{256}$, these introduced variables lead to the following group invariant solutions of (4.18),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V} &= \frac{3}{32\alpha\gamma}\left(\frac{16\gamma\mathcal{R}}{3} + \mathcal{S}^2\right) - \frac{\mathcal{R}}{4\alpha}, \quad \mathcal{V} = \frac{15}{128\alpha\gamma}\left(\frac{16\gamma\mathcal{R}}{3} + \mathcal{S}^2\right) - \frac{\mathcal{R}}{4\alpha}, \\
\mathcal{W} &= -\frac{3\mathcal{S}\mathcal{R}}{32\alpha} - \frac{5\mathcal{S}^3}{256\gamma\alpha}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

By replacing the original variables, we obtain new group invariant solutions of (3.2):

$$u = \frac{8\gamma xt + 3y^2}{32\alpha\gamma t^2}, \quad u = \frac{48\gamma xt + 15y^2}{128\alpha\gamma t^2}. \tag{4.21}$$

4.4 Via type II hidden symmetries

Type II hidden symmetries are not inherited Lie symmetries that appear in the process of symmetry reductions. Their appearance will lead to more meaningful reduced equations and ultimately contribute to improving analytical solutions [39-41]. Furthermore, we point out that this type of symmetry will further extend the RPS.

When $n = 1$, $g^1(t) = 1$, RPS (3.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} w_y &= u_t + \alpha uu_x + \beta u_{xx}, \\ w_x &= -\gamma u_y. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Its Lie algebra consists of the linear combination of following point symmetries,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{W}^1 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad \mathbb{W}^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad \mathbb{W}^3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \mathbb{W}^4 = -y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2\gamma t \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \gamma w \frac{\partial}{\partial w}, \\ \mathbb{W}^5 &= t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{3y}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{u}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - \frac{3w}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial w}, \quad \mathbb{W}^6 = F(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial w}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

Taking $\mathbb{W}^{12} = \zeta \mathbb{W}^1 + \mathbb{W}^2 = \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, because this symmetry can take the place of \mathbb{W}^1 's fate. That means

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbb{W}^{12}, \mathbb{W}^2] &= [\mathbb{W}^{12}, \mathbb{W}^3] = [\mathbb{W}^4, \mathbb{W}^6] = [\mathbb{W}^{12}, \mathbb{W}^6] = 0, \quad [\mathbb{W}^3, \mathbb{W}^5] = \mathbb{W}^3, \\ [\mathbb{W}^{12}, \mathbb{W}^5] &= \frac{\mathbb{W}^{12} + \mathbb{W}^2}{4}, \quad [\mathbb{W}^{12}, \mathbb{W}^4] = \frac{\mathbb{W}^{12} - \mathbb{W}^2}{\zeta}, \quad [\mathbb{W}^3, \mathbb{W}^4] = 2\gamma \mathbb{W}^2, \\ [\mathbb{W}^3, \mathbb{W}^6] &= \mathbb{W}^6(F_t), \quad [\mathbb{W}^4, \mathbb{W}^5] = \frac{\mathbb{W}^5}{4}, \quad [\mathbb{W}^5, \mathbb{W}^6] = \mathbb{W}^6\left(\frac{3F}{4} + tF_t\right). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, \mathbb{W}^1 does not appear in the commutative operation of symmetries in (4.23). The invariants associated with \mathbb{W}^{12} are $s = x - \zeta y$, $r = t$, $v = u(s, r)$, and $p = w(s, r)$, (4.22) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta p_s + v_r + \alpha v v_s + \beta v_{ss} &= 0, \\ p_s &= \gamma \zeta v_s. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

This symmetry reduction recognizes the point symmetries as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}^1 &= rs \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{s - r(\lambda \zeta^2 + \alpha v)}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} + \frac{\gamma \zeta(\alpha r v - s)}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial p}, \\ \mathbb{H}^2 &= (\gamma \zeta^2 r + s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + 2r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - v \frac{\partial}{\partial v} - \gamma \zeta v \frac{\partial}{\partial p}, \quad \mathbb{H}^3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \\ \mathbb{H}^4 &= \alpha r \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial}{\partial v}, \quad \mathbb{H}^5 = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \quad \mathbb{H}^6 = G(r, p - \zeta v) \frac{\partial}{\partial p}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

With an arbitrary function G of r and $p - \zeta v$. If we apply the original point symmetries (4.23) to invariants, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{W}^{12} &= 0, \quad \mathbb{W}^2 = -\zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \quad \mathbb{W}^3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \\ \mathbb{W}^4 &= r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{s}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} - \frac{\zeta y}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} - \frac{v}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} - \frac{3p}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial p}, \\ \mathbb{W}^5 &= -(y + 2\gamma \zeta t) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} - \gamma v \frac{\partial}{\partial p}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

The inheritance between symmetries (4.23) and (4.25) can be given by calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{W}^5 - \frac{\zeta}{4} \mathbb{W}^4 + \mathbb{H}^6 &\rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2, \quad (\text{when } G(r, p - \zeta v) = \frac{3}{4}(\zeta v - p) \text{ and } \zeta = 1), \\ \mathbb{W}^3 &\rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3, \quad -\frac{\mathbb{W}^2}{\zeta} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^5, \quad \mathbb{W}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^6, \quad (\text{when } G(r, p - \zeta v) = F(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

Therefore, \mathbb{H}^1 and \mathbb{H}^4 have no inheritance from the original symmetries and are type II hidden symmetries. The invariants associated with \mathbb{H}^1 are

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \frac{s}{r}, \quad W(\xi) = rv - \frac{s}{\alpha} + \frac{\gamma \zeta^2 r}{\alpha}, \\ M(\xi) &= p + \frac{1}{\alpha r} (\gamma^2 \zeta^3 r^2 + \alpha \gamma \zeta r v - \gamma \zeta s + \gamma^2 \zeta^3 r \ln(s)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

The hidden symmetry reduction to RPS (4.24) is

$$\begin{aligned} M_\xi &= \frac{\gamma^2 \zeta^3}{\alpha \xi} + \frac{\gamma \zeta}{\alpha} + \frac{2\gamma \zeta W_\xi}{r}, \\ \zeta M_\xi &= \frac{\gamma^2 \zeta^4}{\alpha \xi} + \frac{\gamma \zeta^2}{\alpha} + \frac{2\gamma \zeta^2 W_\xi}{r} + \frac{\alpha W W_\xi}{r^2} + \frac{\beta W_{\xi\xi}}{r^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

Which results in group-invariant solutions

$$\begin{aligned} M(\xi) &= \frac{\gamma \zeta \xi}{\alpha} + \frac{\gamma^2 \zeta^3 \ln(\xi)}{\alpha} + \frac{2\gamma \zeta W(\xi)}{r}, \\ W(\xi) &= \frac{2\beta}{2c_1\beta + \alpha \xi}, \quad W(\xi) = \frac{\sqrt{2c_2\alpha\beta}}{\alpha} \tanh\left(\frac{\sqrt{2c_2\alpha\beta}}{2\beta}(\xi + c_3)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

Finally, when $n = 1$, the new group invariant solutions of the RPS (4.24) of the Burgers-KP equation are obtained by introducing the original variables:

$$\begin{aligned} w(x - \zeta y, t) &= p(\xi, r) = \gamma \zeta u(x - \zeta y, t), \\ u(x - \zeta y, t) &= v(\xi, r) = \frac{x - \zeta y}{\alpha t} - \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{2\beta}{2c_1\beta t + x - \zeta y}, \\ u(x - \zeta y, t) &= v(\xi, r) = \frac{x - \zeta y}{\alpha t} - \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\sqrt{2c_2\alpha\beta}}{\alpha t} \tanh\left(\frac{x - \zeta y}{t}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

The canonical variable associated with hidden symmetry \mathbb{H}^1 is ξ , W , M , and $N = \frac{s-1}{r}$. Therefore, By letting $A = N_\xi$, $B = N_W$, an extended IPS (**H-IPS**) is constructed via a hidden symmetry

$$\begin{aligned} A_W &= B_\xi, \quad \alpha \xi M_\xi + \alpha \xi M_W(1 - A) + B(\gamma \zeta \xi - \gamma \zeta^3) = 0, \\ B + \alpha W B(1 - A) + \beta(-B_W - B_\xi + 2AB_W - BB_\xi - BA_\xi + AB_\xi - A^2 + ABB_s) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

Thus, the extended tree of nonlocally related PDE systems of (3.2) is shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1. The extended tree of nonlocally related PDE systems of (3.2)

5 The correspondence of nonlocally related PDE systems and their solutions under Cole-Hopf transformation

This section searches for extended potential systems and IPS by Cole-Hopf and inverse Cole-Hopf transformation. This work also links the correspondence of solutions of whole extended systems before and after Cole-Hopf transformation.

We consider the following system of (2+1)-dimensional coupled nonlinear viscous Burgers equations:

$$\begin{aligned} & \Xi\{\mathbf{x}, \mu^1, \mu^2\} : \\ & \Xi^1 = \mu_t^1 + \mu^1 \mu_x^1 + \mu^2 \mu_y^1 - \frac{1}{\text{Re}}(\mu_{xx}^1 + \mu_{yy}^1) = 0, \\ & \Xi^2 = \mu_t^2 + \mu^1 \mu_x^2 + \mu^2 \mu_y^2 - \frac{1}{\text{Re}}(\mu_{xx}^2 + \mu_{yy}^2) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Where Re is the Reynolds number ($\frac{1}{\text{Re}}$ represents the viscosity [44]). Eq.(5.3) was used to study hydrodynamical turbulence and wave processes in nonlinear media [45]. It is not easy to construct a potential system of Eq.(5.3) because there is no multiplier below the first order for constructing CL. Fortunately, there are 2-dimensional Cole-Hopf transformations

$$\mu^1 = -\frac{2}{\text{Re}} \ln(f)_x, \quad \mu^2 = -\frac{2}{\text{Re}} \ln(f)_y, \quad (5.2)$$

we can conclude that f satisfies the following equation

$$f_t = \frac{1}{\text{Re}}(f_{xx} + f_{yy}) + h(t)f. \quad (5.3)$$

Where $h(t)$ is an arbitrary function of t . This can be absorbed into f by the scaling $f \exp(\int^t h(s)ds)$, so we set $h = 0$. The function f satisfies the linear (2+1)-dimensional heat equation

$$\mathbf{F}\{\mathbf{x}, f\} = f_t - \frac{1}{\text{Re}}(f_{xx} + f_{yy}) = 0. \quad (5.4)$$

5.1 The extended potential systems using Cole-Hopf transformation

Therefore, due to potential symmetry condition $\mu_y^1 = \mu_x^2$, there is a new set of conserved fluxes of Eq.(5.3),

$$\begin{aligned} C_6^t &= -\mu^1 - \mu^2, \quad C_6^x = \frac{1}{2}((\mu^2)^2 + (\mu^1)^2) - \frac{1}{\text{Re}}(\mu_x^2 + \mu_x^1), \\ C_6^y &= \frac{1}{2}((\mu^1)^2 + (\mu^2)^2) - \frac{1}{\text{Re}}(\mu_y^1 + \mu_y^2). \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Based on the above conserved fluxes, we can construct a new potential system, i. e., $\Xi\mathbf{W1}\{\mathbf{x}, \mu^1, \mu^2, w^1, w^2\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} w_x^1 &= -\mu^1 - \mu^2, \quad w_x^2 = \frac{1}{2}((\mu^1)^2 + (\mu^2)^2) - \frac{1}{\text{Re}}(\mu_x^2 + \mu_x^1), \\ w_t^1 - w_y^2 &= \frac{1}{2}((\mu^2)^2 + (\mu^1)^2) - \frac{1}{\text{Re}}(\mu_y^1 + \mu_x^1), \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Under Cole-Hopf transformation, we have the extended potential system of Eq.(5.6), namely $\mathbf{FW1}\{\mathbf{x}, f, w^3, w^4\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} w_x^1 &= -\frac{1}{f}(f_x + f_y), \quad w_x^2 = \frac{1}{2f^2}((f_y)^2 + (f_x)^2) - \frac{1}{\text{Re}f^2}(ff_{xy} - f_x f_y + f f_{yy} - f_y^2), \\ w_t^1 - w_y^2 &= \frac{1}{2f^2}((f_x)^2 + (f_y)^2) - \frac{1}{\text{Re}f^2}(ff_{xx} - f_x^2 + f f_{xy} - f_x f_y). \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

In turn, start with the simplest conserved fluxes

$$C_7^t = f, \quad C_7^x = -\frac{f_x}{\text{Re}}, \quad C_7^y = -\frac{f_y}{\text{Re}} \quad (5.8)$$

of the heat equation, we can also construct a potential system, i. e.,

• **FW2** $\{\mathbf{x}, f, w^3, w^4\}$ generated by the **CL7** :

$$w_x^3 = -f, \quad w_t^3 - w_y^4 = -\frac{f_x}{\text{Re}}, \quad w_x^4 = -\frac{f_y}{\text{Re}}. \quad (5.9)$$

Under inverse Cole-Hopf transformation $f = \int \exp(\mu^1 dx)$ and $f = \exp(\int \mu^2 dy)$ (They can be considered a nonlocal multiplier of Eq.(5.3)), we have

• **EW2** $\{\mathbf{x}, \mu^1, \mu^2, w^3, w^4\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} w_x^3 &= -\exp\left(-\int \frac{\text{Re}}{2}\mu^1 dx\right) = -\exp\left(-\int \frac{\text{Re}}{2}\mu^2 dy\right), \\ w_t^3 - w_y^4 &= \frac{\mu^1}{2}\exp\left(-\int \frac{\text{Re}}{2}\mu^1 dx\right) = \frac{\mu^2}{2}\exp\left(-\int \frac{\text{Re}}{2}\mu^2 dy\right), \\ w_x^4 &= \int \frac{\text{Re}}{2}\mu_y^1 dx \exp\left(-\int \frac{\text{Re}}{2}\mu^1 dx\right) = \int \frac{\text{Re}}{2}\mu_x^2 dy \exp\left(-\int \frac{\text{Re}}{2}\mu^2 dy\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Remark 5.1 Cole-Hopf transformation produces extended potential systems of heat equation in differential form of $\mathbf{F}_x + \mathbf{F}_y$, while its inverse transformation produces extended potential systems in the integral form of $\int \Xi^1 dx$ and $\int \Xi^2 dy$.

5.2 Correspondence of solutions of extended potential systems

The solution of the potential system extended by Cole-Hopf transformation is one-to-one correspondence, and the following two simple examples illustrate it.

At viscosity $\frac{1}{\text{Re}} = -1$, (5.3) has a single kink soliton solution

$$\mu^1 = \mu^2 = 1 - 2\tanh(2t - x - y). \quad (5.11)$$

Under Cole-Hopf transformation, we find new analytical solutions of the extended potential system **FW1**,

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \exp\left(\frac{x}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\ln(-1 - \tanh(2t - x - y)) - \frac{1}{2}\ln(1 - \tanh(2t - x - y))\right), \\ w^1 &= 5t - 2x - 2y - 4(\cosh(2t - x - y)), \\ w^2 &= 5x - 2\ln(-1 - \tanh(2t - x - y)) - 2\ln(1 - \tanh(2t - x - y)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

On the contrary, the (2+1)-dimensional heat equation (5.6) has a famous fundamental solution [46, 47]

$$f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-4\pi t}} \exp\left(\frac{(x+y)^2}{8t}\right). \quad (5.13)$$

Under inverse Cole-Hopf transformation, we find new solutions of the extended potential system **EW2** of (5.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^1 = \mu^2 &= \frac{x+y}{2t}, \\ w^3 &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-t}\sqrt{-\frac{2}{t}}} \text{erf}\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\frac{2x}{t}}}{4} - \frac{y}{2 + \sqrt{-\frac{2}{t}}}\right), \\ w^4 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-4\pi t}} \exp\left(\frac{(x+y)^2}{8t}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

Gaussian error function appears in w^3 .

5.3 The extended IPS using Cole-Hopf transformation

In the case of potential symmetry, (5.3) can be considered as

$$\mu_t^1 + \mu^1 \mu_x^1 + \mu_y^1 \int \mu_y^1 dx - \frac{1}{\text{Re}}(\mu_{xx}^1 + \mu_{yy}^1) = 0. \quad (5.15)$$

It can still be linked to the heat equation (5.6) by $\mu^1 = -\frac{2}{\text{Re}} \ln(f)_x$. Note that applying those symmetries as spatial translation x will fail because the Cole-Hopf transformation involves spatial coordinates. Both (5.17) and (5.6) accept the point symmetry \mathbb{V}^3 , so we can first construct the IPS of (5.7).

The canonical coordinates associated with \mathbb{V}^3 are $s = x$, $r = y$, $w = \mu^1$, and $\mathcal{M} = t$. Then $\text{pr}^{(2)}\mathbb{V}^3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{M}}$, **IPS7** is shown below

$$\begin{aligned} a_w = b_r, \quad a_s = c_r, \quad b_s = c_w, \\ c^2 + wac^2 + bc^2 \int \frac{b}{c} ds - \frac{1}{\text{Re}}(c(ac_s - ca_s) + a(cc_s - ac_w + c(cb_r - bc_r) + b(cc_r - bc_w))) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

Using Cole-Hopf transformation, $w = \mu^1 = \ln(f)_x$. We will get a new **IPS8** of the heat equation

$$\begin{aligned} a_w = b_r, \quad a_s = c_r, \quad b_s = c_w, \\ \int \frac{1}{c} ds - \frac{1}{\text{Re}}(-\frac{a}{c} + w^2 + (\int \frac{b}{c} ds)^2 + \int (c(bc_r - cb_r) + b(cc_r - bc_w)) ds) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

In turn, Associated canonical coordinates are $s = x$, $r = y$, $w = f$, and $\mathcal{M} = t$. Then $\text{pr}^{(2)}\mathbb{V}^3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{M}}$, **IPS7** is shown below

$$\begin{aligned} a_w = b_r, \quad a_s = c_r, \quad b_s = c_w, \\ c^2 - \frac{1}{\text{Re}}(c(ac_s - ca_s) + a(cc_s - ac_w) + c(cb_r - bc_r) + b(cc_r - bc_w)) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

Under inverse Cole-Hopf transformation, we have $f = \exp(\int \mu^1 ds)$

$$\begin{aligned} a_w = b_r, \quad a_s = c_r, \quad b_s = c_w, \\ a^2 + 2w^2c^2(\int \frac{b}{c} ds)^2 - \frac{1}{\text{Re}}(\frac{1}{wc^3}(c(ac_s - ca_s) + a(cc_s - ac_w)) \\ + \int \frac{1}{c^3}(c(cb_r - bc_r) + b(cc_r - bc_w)) ds - \frac{a^2}{c^2c^2}) + 2wc = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

Remark 5.2 **IPS8** is an extended IPS to (5.5) that differs from **IPS9**. Similarly, **IPS10** is an extended IPS to (5.17), which is different from **IPS7**.

The relationships between the nonlocally related PDE systems discussed in this section are shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Extended nonlocally related PDE systems under Cole-Hopf transformation

6 Summary and prospect

To sum up, this research extended the construction of nonlocally related PDE systems for the three-dimensional PDE. For CL-based potential systems constrained by the algebraic gauge, our breakthrough was to prove they could further simplify the number of potentials by consistent variable substitutions. They were proven to maintain the solution space. Because the RPS had a more straightforward structure, it was more convenient to calculate the symmetric properties such as equivalent transformations, nonlocal symmetries, and nonlocal CL. The nonlocal CL they produced helped to extend the RPS class further. For DI-based IPS, our breakthrough was to develop the two-dimensional theory to the three-dimensional case, including identifying nonlocal symmetries in IPS and extending IPS with the solvable Lie algebra.

This generalized theory was applied to Eq. (3.2). The CL of Eq. (3.2) and the corresponding RPS are constructed. Their equivalent transformations, nonlocal symmetries, and extensions based on nonlocal CLs were discussed. Next, the DI of Eq. (3.2) and the corresponding IPS are constructed. Their nonlocal symmetries and extensions based on solvable algebraic chains were also discussed. In addition, these systems were extended in the search for the non-classical double reductions and hidden symmetry reductions, which led to richer symmetric structures and corresponding analytic group invariant solutions. Eventually, under Cole-Hopf transformation between Eq.(5.1) and Eq.(5.4), we established the correspondence between the solutions of all the nonlocally related PDE systems.

The relationship of the contents in this research is shown in Figure 3.

Nonetheless, the introduction of potential and inverse potential corresponds to what physical meaning is worth further exploration.




Figure 3. The relationship between contents of this research

Acknowledgments. Partial financial support was received from the "Jingying" Project of Shandong University of Science and Technology.

Data accessibility. This article has no additional data.

Conflict of interest declaration. We declare that we have no competing interests.

Declaration of AI use. We have not used AI-assisted technologies in creating this article.

Author Contributions. **H.W.:** Conceptualization (equal); Formal analysis (equal). **Q.Z.:** Methodology (equal); Writing-original draft (equal). **X.L.:** Writing-review & editing (equal).

Data availability. No data was used for the research described in the article.

References

1. X.B. Hu, S.Y. Lou and X.M. Qian, Nonlocal symmetries for bilinear equations and their applications, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **122** (2009) 305-324.
2. S.Y. Lou, X.R. Hu and Y. Chen, Nonlocal symmetries related to Bäcklund transformation and their applications, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **45** (2012) 155209.
3. X.R. Hu, S.Y. Lou and Y. Chen, Explicit solutions from eigenfunction symmetry of the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Phys. Rev. E* **85** (2012) 056607.
4. S.Y. Lou, Conformal invariance and integrable models, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **30** (1997) 4803-4813.
5. X.N. Gao, S.Y. Lou and X.Y. Tang, Bosonization, singularity analysis, nonlocal symmetry reductions and exact solutions of supersymmetric KdV equation, *JHEP* **2013** (2013) 1-29.
6. G.W. Bluman, G.J. Reid and S. Kumei, New classes of symmetries for partial differential equations, *J. Math. Phys.* **29** (1988) 806-811.

7. G.W. Bluman, A.F. Cheviakov and S.C. Anco, Applications of symmetry methods to partial differential equations, Springer Science & Business Media (2009).
8. K.S. Chou and C.Z. Qu, Symmetry groups and separation of variables of a class of nonlinear diffusion-convection equations. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **32** (1999) 6271-6286.
9. A.H. Kara, F.M. Mahomed and C.Z. Qu, Approximate potential symmetries for partial differential equations, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **33** (2000) 6601–6613.
10. C.Z. Qu, Potential symmetries to systems of nonlinear diffusion equations, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **40** (2007) 1757-1773.
11. G.W. Wang and A.H. Kara, Nonlocal symmetry analysis, explicit solutions and conservation laws for the fourth-order Burgers' equation, *Chaos Solitons & Fractals.* **81** (2015) 290-298.
12. S. Sil, T.R. Sekhar and D. Zeidan, Nonlocal conservation laws, nonlocal symmetries and exact solutions of an integrable soliton equation, *Chaos Solitons & Fractals.* **139** (2020) 110010.
13. G.W. Bluman and A.F. Cheviakov, Framework for potential systems and nonlocal symmetries: algorithmic approach, *J. Math. Phys.* **46** (2005) 123506.
14. G.W. Bluman, A.F. Cheviakov and N.M. Ivanova, Framework for nonlocally related partial differential equation systems and nonlocal symmetries: extension, simplification, and examples, *J. Math. Phys.* **47** (2006) 113505.
15. Z.Z. Yang and A.F. Cheviakov, Some relations between symmetries of nonlocally related PDE systems, *J. Math. Phys.* **55** (2014) 83514.
16. G.W. Bluman and Z.Z. Yang, A symmetry-based method for constructing nonlocally related partial differential equation systems, *J. Math. Phys.* **54** (2013) 93504.
17. P. Satapathy and T. Raja Sekhar, Nonlocal symmetries classifications and exact solution of Chaplygin gas equations, *J. Math. Phys.* **59** (2018) 81512.
18. G.W. Bluman, R. Rosa, M.S. Bruzón and M.L. Gandarias, A new symmetry-based method for constructing nonlocally related PDE systems from admitted multi-parameter groups, *J. Math. Phys.* **61** (2020) 061503.
19. Z.L. Zhao, Conservation laws and nonlocally related PDE systems of the hunter–saxton equation for liquid crystal, *Anal. Math. Phys.* **9** (2019) 2311-2327.
20. Q.L. Zhao, H.J. Wang and X.Y. Li, Novel symmetric structures and explicit solutions to a coupled Hunter-Saxton equation, *Phys. Scr.* **98** (2023) 065212.
21. X. Tang, S.Y. Lou and Z. Zhang, Localized excitations in (2+1)-dimensional systems, *Phys. Rev. E* **66** (2002) 046601.
22. M.S. Liu, X.Y. Li and Q.L. Zhao, Exact solutions to Euler equation and Navier-Stokes equation, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **70** (2019) 1-13.
23. Q.L. Zhao, H.J. Wang, X.Y. Li and C.Z. Li, Lie Symmetry Analysis and Conservation Laws for the (2+1)-Dimensional Dispersionless B-Type Kadomtsev-Petviashvili Equation, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **30** (2023) 92-113.

24. P.A. Clarkson and P. Winternitz, Nonclassical symmetry reductions for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation, *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena.* **49** (1991) 257-272.
25. D.K. Ludlow, P.A. Clarkson and A.P. Bassom, Nonclassical symmetry reductions of the three-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** (1998) 7965.
26. S.C Anco and G.W. Bluman, Nonlocal symmetries and nonlocal conservation laws of Maxwell's equations, *J. Math. Phys.* **38** (1997) 3508-3532.
27. A.F. Cheviakov and G.W. Bluman, Multidimensional partial differential equation systems: Generating new systems via conservation laws, potentials, gauges, subsystems, *J. Math. Phys.* **51** (2010) 103521.
28. A.F. Cheviakov and G.W. Bluman, Multidimensional partial differential equation systems: Nonlocal symmetries, nonlocal conservation laws, exact solutions, *J. Math. Phys.* **51** (2010) 103522.
29. G.W. Bluman, R. Rosa, M.S. Bruzón and M.L. Gandarias, Differential invariant method for seeking nonlocally related PDE systems and nonlocal symmetries. I: General theory and examples, *Proc. Roy. Soc. A* **477** (2021) 20200908.
30. G.W. Bluman, R. Rosa, M.S. Bruzón and M.L. Gandarias, Differential invariant method for seeking nonlocally related PDE systems and nonlocal symmetries. II: Connections with the conservation law method, *Proc. Roy. Soc. A* **477** (2021) 20200909.
31. G.W. Bluman and S.C. Anco, *Symmetry and integration methods for differential equations*, Springer Science & Business Media. (2008).
32. A.M. Wazwaz, Multiple-front solutions for the Burgers-Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **200** (2008) 437-443.
33. A. Veksler and Y. Zarmi, Wave interactions and the analysis of the perturbed Burgers equation, *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena.* **211** (2005) 57-73.
34. P.J. Olver, *Applications of Lie groups to differential equations*, Springer Science & Business Media. (1993).
35. D. Poole and W. Hereman, The homotopy operator method for symbolic integration by parts and inversion of divergences with applications, *Appl. Anal.* **89** (2010) 433-455.
36. H. Liu and C. Yue, Lie symmetries, integrable properties and exact solutions to the variable-coefficient nonlinear evolution equations, *Nonlinear Dyn.* **89** (2017) 1989-2000.
37. A.F. Cheviakov, Symbolic computation of equivalence transformations and parameter reduction for nonlinear physical models, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **220** (2017) 56-73.
38. A.Sjöberg, Double reduction of PDEs from the association of symmetries with conservation laws with applications, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **184** (2007) 608-616
39. B. Abraham-Shrauner, Hidden symmetries and linearization of the modified Painlevé-Ince equation, *J. Math. Phys.* **34** (1993) 4809-4816.
40. B. Abraham-Shrauner, K.S. Govinder and D.J. Arrigo, Type-II hidden symmetries of the linear 2D and 3D wave equations, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39** (2006) 5739-5747.
41. M. Tsamparlis and A. Paliathanasis, Type II hidden symmetries for the homogeneous heat equation in some general classes of Riemannian spaces, *J. Geom. Phys.* **73** (2013) 209-221.

42. E. Hopf, The partial differential equation $u_t + uu_x = \mu u_{xx}$, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **3** (1950) 201–230.
43. J.D. Cole, On a quasilinear parabolic equations occurring in aerodynamics, *Quart. Appl. Math.* **9** (1951) 225–236
44. V.K. Srivastava, S. Singh and M.K. Awasthi, Numerical solutions of coupled Burgers' equations by an implicit finite-difference scheme, *AIP Adv.* **3** (2013) 082131.
45. R. Abazari and A. Borhanifar, Numerical study of the solution of the Burgers and coupled Burgers equations by a differential transformation method, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59** (2010) 2711–2722.
46. M. Craddock and E. Platen, Symmetry group methods for fundamental solutions, *J. Differ. Equ.* **207** (2004) 285–302.
47. M. Craddock and K.A. Lennox, Lie group symmetries as integral transforms of fundamental solutions. *J. Differ. Equ.* **232** (2007) 652–674.