

COHOMOLOGY OF TORIC DIAGRAMS

GRIGORY SOLOMADIN

ABSTRACT. In this note, integral cohomology of homotopy colimits for toric diagrams and their classifying spaces over regular CW posets are described in terms of sheaf cohomology. Split T -CW-complexes with CW orbit poset C have such decomposition (up to a homeomorphism) in terms of a T -diagram D over C . Equivariant formality for $\text{hocolim } D$ is equivalent to $H^{\text{odd}}(\text{hocolim } D) = 0$ (over \mathbb{Q} , or over \mathbb{Z} for connected stabilizers) provided that C is an oriented homology manifold. The integral singular cohomology groups and bigraded Betti numbers are computed in this setting. Similar descriptions are provided for skeleta of toric manifolds and compact nonsingular toric varieties. The cohomological orbit spectral sequence collapse over \mathbb{Z} at the second page is proved for any compact toric variety.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X^{2n} be a compact oriented manifold with an effective action of the compact torus $T = (S^1)^k$, with a non-empty and finite T -fixed point set. Properties of the orbit space $Q = X/T$ (a Hausdorff space) and of the Borel equivariant cohomology $H_T^*(X)$ are closely related to the properties of the cohomology ring $H^*(X; \mathbb{Z})$ under certain assumptions. For instance, consider the case of a *torus manifold* introduced by Hattori and Masuda in [31], i.e. when the *complexity* $n - k$ of the action is zero. The condition on the isotropy subgroup of $x \in X$ to have a fixed dimension cuts a subset in X . By definition, the connected components of this subset project onto *open faces* by the map $X \rightarrow Q$. The closure of an open face in Q is called a *face* of the T -space X . The *orbit poset* of X is given by the partial order opposite to inclusion on the set of faces of X . The T -action on X is called *locally standard* if there exists an atlas of T -invariant charts covering X such that each chart of the atlas is T -equivariantly homeomorphic to the standard rotational T^n -action on \mathbb{C}^n at the origin. The vanishing $H^{\text{odd}}(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for a torus manifold is equivalent to acyclicity of proper (i.e. $\neq Q$) faces of X , together with local standardness condition by [36]. The orbit space of an equivariantly formal torus manifold is acyclic by [36]. Local standardness implies that Q is a manifold with corners and therefore a homology manifold. The class of torus manifolds with acyclic proper faces was studied in a series of works [12, 13]. Any T -space from this class is equivariantly homeomorphic to the *quotient construction* $Q \times T / \sim$, see [21, 42]. In [12, 13], the orbit spectral sequences for the quotient construction and $Q \times T$ were compared, and cellular sheaves over Alexandrov spaces (see [19]) were applied. Betti numbers for those of such spaces that admit a *characteristic function* were computed in [12, 13]. The orbit spectral sequence for a torus manifold has nontrivial differentials of order ≥ 2 , in general. In the particular case of a complete (possibly, singular) toric variety the orbit spectral sequence (over the field of complex numbers) arose in the work of Danilov [20]. He proved the collapse of this spectral sequence at the second page (i.e. all differentials of order ≥ 2 are zero). The similar result in Borel-Moore homology with rational coefficients was proved in [41, §5].

The cohomological Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence, e.g. see [9], (EMSS, for short) of the Borel fibration for a T -space X starts from the bigraded components of the Tor-module $\text{Tor}_{H^*(BT)}(H_T^*(X), \mathbb{Z})$ at the second page and converges to $H^*(X)$. The multiplication of this spectral sequence is known to have a nontrivial extension, in general (e.g. see [25]). In the case of a *partial quotient of a moment-angle complex* the rigorous formula identifying the cup-product with the *twisted product* on Tor-groups was recently obtained in [25]. The homotopy type of the skeleton for a complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^{m-1}$ (a partial quotient with a T^{m-1} -action) was obtained in [27]. Together with [29], this leads to the computation of Betti numbers of such space.

Date: January 26, 2024.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57S12, 55U10, 55N91.

Key words and phrases. Homotopy colimit, toric diagram, toric variety, simplicial set.

By definition [28], a T -space is *equivariantly formal* if the Serre spectral sequence of the corresponding Borel fibration collapses at the second page. Equivariant formality is equivalent to vanishing of all higher Tor-groups from the second page of the corresponding Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence. The equivariant cohomology ring of any compact equivariantly formal toric orbifold with vanishing odd cohomology was described in [23] in terms of the ring of piecewise polynomial functions on the corresponding fan. Furthermore, it was shown in [23] that $H^*(X_\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free under the same conditions on X_Σ . Recently, a criterion of equivariant formality (over rational numbers) for partial quotients over toric manifolds (in the sense of [21]) was provided in [33]. This result together with [36] implies that any partial quotient $X \neq M$ over any toric manifold M is not equivariantly formal.

A *toric diagram* $D \in [C, \text{Top}]$ over a small category C is a functor such that $D(c)$ is a compact torus and $D(c \rightarrow c')$ is a group homomorphism, $c \in C_0$, $(c \rightarrow c') \in C_1$, see [43]. A toric diagram is called a T -*diagram* if there is an object-wise epimorphism $\kappa T \rightarrow D$ of diagrams, where κT denotes the constant diagram. Consider any T -CW-complex X such that X is *split*, i.e. the projection $X \rightarrow X/T$ admits an equivariant section, and the orbit poset of X is a CW poset. Then the T -space X is equivariantly homeomorphic to the homotopy colimit $\text{hocolim } D$ (in the standard realization) of a T -diagram D over the orbit poset C of X . (Every face of the space $\text{hocolim } D$ is contractible.) The converse also holds provided that D is *reduced*, i.e. any two T -cells $\sigma \subset \sigma'$ in X have different stabilizers (see Theorem 6.12). The Borel fibration of $\text{hocolim } D$ takes form $\text{hocolim } BS \rightarrow BT$, where the diagram S is given by the stabilizers for the T -action on $\text{hocolim } D$ (see Proposition 7.2).

For any diagram $D \in [C, \text{Top}]$ over a CW poset C , the skeletal filtration of the nerve of C induces the filtration on $\text{hocolim } D$. The cohomological *Bousfield-Kan spectral sequence* of D (BKSS, for short), i.e. the spectral sequence of this filtration, converges to $H^*(\text{hocolim } D; \mathbb{k})$, where $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}$ [1, 16]. The second page of BKSS is given by sheaf cohomology of the refinement sheaf $sH^*(D)$ for the cellular cosheaf $H^*(D)$, or equivalently by higher derived functors of $\lim sH^*(D)$. Based on the ideas from [34], below we give the cohomological version of BKSS collapse at the second page for any *formal diagram* D over a graded finite poset. (The extension of BKSS is trivial in the category of \mathbb{k} -modules.) The functorial models of a *simplicial torus* (in particular, a simplicial abelian group) and its classifying space [25] are used to show formality of any toric diagram D over \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q} , and formality of any quasitoric diagram BD over \mathbb{k} (i.e. objects of D are quasitori). Here, $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$, if any object of D is connected, and $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}$ otherwise.

Below we derive three main results of this note from the BKSS collapse. Let X_Σ be any compact toric variety with the corresponding complete rational polyhedral fan Σ of dimension d consisting of salient cones. There is the T -diagram D_Σ such that X_Σ is equivariantly homotopy equivalent to $\text{hocolim } D_\Sigma$, as described in [35, 43].

Theorem 1. *The orbit spectral sequence of X_Σ collapses at the second page with trivial extension. There is the abelian group isomorphism*

$$H^i(X_\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{s+t=i} H_{d-s}^{cw}(\Sigma; H^t(D_\Sigma)),$$

with the direct sum of cellular homology groups for the poset Σ with coefficients in the cosheaf.

Theorem 1 (see Theorem 6.15) provides a possible explanation of the collapse for the Danilov spectral sequence [20]. This theorem positively resolves the conjecture (for cohomology with integral coefficients) of M. Franz [24, Conjecture 6.4] in the case of a compact toric variety. (In [24] the collapse result was obtained over any commutative unital ring R for any nonsingular toric variety). A more general result holds for the spectral sequence induced by the coskeletal filtration on C for any toric diagram over any oriented homology manifold poset (see Theorem 5.12). This filtration coincides with the orbit filtration for any strongly reduced T -diagram (see Section 6). The proof of Theorem 1 relies on the Poincaré-Lefschetz type duality for sheaf cohomology using the *Zeeman-McCrory spectral sequence* (ZMSS, for short) collapse (e.g. see [13, 18]). It is expected that Theorem 1 will be helpful for explicit computations of cohomology for singular toric varieties (compare with [23]). For instance, the orbit spectral sequence collapse rules out one of the two cases considered in [32].

Theorem 2. *Let D be any reduced T -diagram over an oriented homology manifold C of dimension d . Let $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}$, or let $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}$ if all stabilizers of the T -action on $\text{hocolim } D$ are connected.*

Then $\text{hocolim } D$ is equivariantly formal over \mathbb{k} iff $H^{\text{odd}}(\text{hocolim } D; \mathbb{k}) = 0$. In such case, the sheaf $sH^*(BS; \mathbb{k})$ is acyclic, and the orbit space $\text{ord } C$ is \mathbb{k} -acyclic. Then one has the isomorphisms

$$(1) \quad H_T^*(\text{hocolim } D; \mathbb{k}) \cong \lim sH^*(BS), \quad H^{2i}(\text{hocolim } D; \mathbb{k}) \cong \lim^i sH^i(D),$$

of modules over $H^*(BT)$ and \mathbb{k} , respectively. Furthermore, $H^*(\text{hocolim } D; \mathbb{k})$ is torsion-free.

Theorem 2 implies that the closure of any orbit in $\text{hocolim } D$ is equivariantly formal, has vanishing odd cohomology and is torsion-free (see Corollary 9.10). Assuming that X is a torus manifold such that its orbit poset is a regular CW poset, the criterion of $H^{\text{odd}}(X) = 0$ from [36] follows in one direction from Theorem 2 in such a particular case (see Theorem 9.7). The proof of Theorem 2 relies in particular on ABFP exact sequence [26], BKSS and ZMSS collapse, mentioned above. Another key tool of the proof is the t -graded *comparison spectral sequence* (CSS, for short) of a T -diagram D introduced in Section 8. This spectral sequence compares EMSS and BKSS in the following sense. The target of the CSS is given by the respective line from the second page of BKSS. The second page of CSS is concentrated in a line coinciding with the line from the second page of the respective EMSS (up to a certain change of indices), provided that the sheaf $sH^*(BS)$ is acyclic. As a byproduct, we obtain the description of *bigraded Betti numbers* of $\text{hocolim } D$ (i.e. the dimensions of the second page for EMSS) when $sH^*(BS)$ is acyclic.

We derive the explicit formulas for the Betti numbers for $H^*(\text{hocolim } D)$ below under slightly more general conditions compared to those of Theorem 2. This computation follows from the above results by relating the Euler characteristic of the first and second pages from BKSS. Betti numbers of tropical toric varieties are expressed by a similar formula, see [18]. Furthermore, we describe the cohomology groups and bigraded Betti numbers of skeleta for toric manifolds. This is the third main result of the present note. (In fact, the computation holds in a more general setting of skeleta for a T -characteristic diagram over an oriented homology manifold, see Section 10). Notice that skeleta for toric varieties are different from broken toric varieties over skeleta considered in [40]. In the case of a skeleton for $\mathbb{C}P^{m-1}$ we compare these formulas with those implied by [27], [29]. Our computation is based on the dual to the Taylor-type complex introduced in [13], combined with the aforementioned methods of BKSS collapse and comparison SS.

This note is organized as follows. In Section 2, the BKSS collapse for formal diagrams is proved after introducing the necessary definitions. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of formality for simplicial (quasi)tori and their classifying spaces. The necessary notions of (co)sheaf theory on posets are given in Section 4. Section 5 contains a short recollection on Zeeman-McCrory spectral sequence for sheaves on posets, coskeletal spectral sequence and related collapse results. In Section 6 we characterize homotopy colimits of T -diagrams over CW posets in terms of a certain class of T -spaces (up to a homeomorphism). In the same section we compare the orbit and coskeletal spectral sequences for strongly reduced T -diagrams and prove Theorem 1. In Section 7 we give a diagrammatic description of the Borel space for $\text{hocolim } D$ of a T -diagram D and the respective EMSS. The comparison spectral sequence is introduced in Section 8. Section 9 contains a recollection on equivariant formality, as well as the proof of Theorem 2. The concluding Section 10 is devoted to computations of Betti numbers for equivariantly formal spaces and skeleta of T -characteristic diagrams over (oriented) homology manifolds.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Okayama University of Science for a comfortable work atmosphere and assistance during his research visit. Support and communication with Katsuhiko Kuribayashi, Shintarô Kuroki, Mikiya Masuda and Naoto Yotsutani were very helpful during the writing process for the present note, many thanks to these people. It is a pleasure to thank Anton Ayzenberg and Matthias Franz for several inspiring discussions on the subject of this note. Finally, the author is grateful to Vladimir Dotsenko for the interest to this project. This work was supported by the French national research agency [grant ANR-20-CE40-0016]. The author is a Young Russian Mathematics award winner and would like to thank its sponsors and jury.

2. BKSS COLLAPSE

In this section we recall the definition of the cohomological Bousfield-Kan spectral sequence (BKSS, for short). Then we prove the collapse result for BKSS of any formal diagram over any finite graded poset C (Theorem 2.12). We use the ideas (formality of diagrams) from [34] adjusted

to computation for cohomology of simplicial spaces. In what follows, we refer to the standard notions of simplicial homotopy theory from [6].

Definition 2.1. Let Δ , Δ_n be the categories of all finite ordered sets, and of all ordered sets of cardinality $\leq n$, respectively. Let Top denote the category of compactly generated weakly Hausdorff topological spaces and continuous maps between them. For a simplicial space $X_\bullet \in [\Delta^{op}, \text{Top}]$ let

$$\text{tr}_i X_\bullet := \iota_*^n X_\bullet \in [\Delta_n^{op}, \text{Top}],$$

where $\iota^n: \Delta_n \rightarrow \Delta$ is the natural functor. Let

$$\text{sk}_i: [\Delta_n^{op}, \text{Top}] \rightarrow [\Delta^{op}, \text{Top}],$$

be the left adjoint of tr_i given by the respective left Kan extension. The functor

$$\text{Sk}_i := \text{sk}_i \circ \text{tr}_i: [\Delta^{op}, \text{Top}] \rightarrow [\Delta^{op}, \text{Top}],$$

is called the *i-skeleton* of X_\bullet .

Let $\tilde{X}_\bullet \rightarrow X_\bullet$ be a cofibrant replacement of a simplicial space. The counit of the adjunction $\text{sk}_i \dashv \text{tr}_i$ is the map $\text{Sk}_i \tilde{X}_\bullet \rightarrow \tilde{X}_\bullet$ which is a cofibration. The simplicial space X_\bullet has dimension n if $X_\bullet = \text{Sk}^n X_\bullet$. In what follows, we consider only simplicial spaces of finite dimension, whose every object is cofibrant. Using the Quillen model structure, we often replace a weak equivalence in Top with a homotopy equivalence by Whitehead theorem for diagrams consisting of CW-complexes. By taking the geometric realization of an n -dimensional simplicial space, one obtains the filtration

$$(2) \quad |\text{Sk}_0 \tilde{X}_\bullet| \subset \cdots \subset |\text{Sk}_n \tilde{X}_\bullet| = |\tilde{X}_\bullet|,$$

where $|X_\bullet|$ denotes the realization of a simplicial space. (Notice that $|\tilde{X}_\bullet| \rightarrow |X_\bullet|$ is a weak equivalence.)

Definition 2.2. For a diagram $D \in [C, \text{Top}]$ over a small category C the *simplicial replacement* $\text{srep}_\bullet D \in [\Delta^{op}, \text{Top}]$ of D is given by the set of n -simplices

$$\left\{ \bigsqcup_{\sigma \in N_n(C)} D(c_n) \mid \sigma = (c_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow c_n) \in N_n(C) \right\},$$

where $N_\bullet(C)$ is the nerve category of C . The face and degeneracy maps are given by the usual formulas [6].

Let $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$. From now on we assume that C is a finite graded poset (or, more generally, a finite Reedy category).

Definition 2.3 ([1, 16]). The cohomological *Bousfield-Kan spectral sequence* (BKSS, for short) of a simplicial set X_\bullet is the first quadrant spectral sequence $((E_{X_\bullet})_r^{p,q}, d_r)$, $r \geq 1$, in cohomology with coefficients in a ring \mathbb{k} , corresponding to the filtration (2). The cohomological Bousfield-Kan spectral sequence $((E_D)_r^{p,q}, d_r)$ of a diagram $D \in [C, \text{Top}]$ over C with values in Top is the BKSS of the simplicial replacement $\text{srep}_\bullet D$ [6].

Definition 2.4. The realization $|\text{srep}_\bullet D|$ of the simplicial replacement for a C -diagram D of spaces is called the *homotopy colimit* $\text{hocolim } D$ of D .

Remark 2.5. The above definition agrees up to a weak equivalence with the colimit $\text{colim } \tilde{D}$ of a cofibrant replacement $\tilde{D} \rightarrow D$, provided that D is objectwise cofibrant [22]. In particular, hocolim is the left derived functor of colim . More explicitly,

$$\tilde{D}(c) = |\text{srep}_\bullet u_c^* D|,$$

where $u_c: C \downarrow c \rightarrow C$ is the forgetting functor from the respective arrow category. Furthermore, for every $f: c \rightarrow c'$ the corresponding map $\tilde{D}(f) = (u_f)_*$ is induced by the functor $u_f: C \downarrow c \rightarrow C \downarrow c'$ between the comma-categories. By considering only nondegenerate simplices in the nerve of C , one can describe $\text{hocolim } D$ as follows

$$(3) \quad \text{hocolim } D \simeq \left(\bigsqcup_{\sigma = c_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow c_i} \Delta_\sigma \times D(c_i) \right) / \sim,$$

$$(x, D(c_i \rightarrow c'_j)y) \sim (\text{Inc}_{\sigma' \rightarrow \sigma} x, y), \quad x \in \Delta_{\sigma'}, \quad y \in D(c_i),$$

where the coproduct is taken by all strictly increasing chains in C and

$$\text{Inc}_{\sigma' \rightarrow \sigma} : \Delta_{\sigma'} \rightarrow \Delta_{\sigma}, \quad \sigma' = (c'_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow c'_j) \rightarrow \sigma,$$

is the natural inclusion of faces.

Remark 2.6. The simplicial space $\text{srep } D_{\bullet}$ is cofibrant [6]. For a simplicial space X_{\bullet} the spectral sequence $((E_{X_{\bullet}})_{r}^{p,q}, d)$ is isomorphic (from the second page) to the spectral sequence of the simplicial dga $C^*(X_{\bullet})$ of normalized cochains with the filtration by simplicial degree (the proof is similar to [16, Lemma 2.2]). The BKSS second page is well-known to be expressed in terms of higher limits (e.g. see [38]). Therefore, the BKSS for a diagram $D \in [C, \text{Top}]$ takes the following form (see Section 4):

$$\lim^i sH^j(D) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(\text{hocolim } D).$$

The proof of the following proposition is obtained trivially from naturality of BKSS with respect to morphism of diagrams.

Proposition 2.7. *For any morphism of diagrams $\alpha : D \rightarrow X$, where $D \in [C, \text{Top}]$, $X \in [\Delta_1, \text{Top}]$, the spectral sequence $((E_D)_{r}^{i,j}, d_r)$ carries the structure of graded $H^*(X; \mathbb{k})$ -module. This structure agrees with the $H^*(X; \mathbb{k})$ -module structure on the BKSS target $H^*(\text{hocolim } D)$ induced by α .*

In the sequel we use the line of argument similar to that of [34]. The difference in our situation is that we take a simplicial replacement, compared to the cosimplicial replacement from [34]. Another feature of the proof below is the cohomological setting which is similar to that of [6].

Definition 2.8 ([34]). A diagram $D \in [C, \text{Top}]$ is called *formal* (over \mathbb{k}) if there is a chain of quasi-isomorphisms between the diagrams $C^*(D; \mathbb{k})$ and $H^*(D; \mathbb{k})$ (with zero differentials) in $[C^{op}, \text{dgm}_{\mathbb{k}}]$.

Lemma 2.9 ([34]). *For any formal diagram $D \in [C, \text{Top}]$ the diagram $\text{srep}_{\bullet} D \in s\text{Top}$ is formal.*

Proof. For any diagram $A \in [C^{op}, \text{dgm}_{\mathbb{k}}]$ denote by $\oplus^{\bullet} A \in [\Delta, \text{dgm}_{\mathbb{k}}]$ its *cosimplicial replacement*. More precisely, let

$$\oplus^{\bullet} A := \bigoplus_{\sigma \in N(C)} A(c_n), \quad \sigma = (c_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow c_n) \in N_n(C).$$

To any monotone map of finite ordinals $\theta : [m] \rightarrow [n]$ we associate (component-wisely) the respective structure map θ^{\dagger} in $\oplus^{\bullet} A$ by the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A(c'_{\theta(m)}) & \xrightarrow{A(\alpha)} & A(c'_m) \\ \downarrow \text{in}_{\theta_*(\tau)} & & \downarrow \text{in}_{\tau} \\ \bigoplus_{\sigma \in N_n(C)} A(c_n) & \xrightarrow{\theta^{\dagger}} & \bigoplus_{\tau \in N_m(C)} A(c_m), \end{array}$$

where σ is as above, $\tau = (c'_0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow c'_m)$, $\alpha : c'_m \rightarrow c'_{\theta(m)}$ is defined by the arrow in C corresponding to θ .

By the assumption of formality, there is the zig-zag of quasiisomorphisms

$$H^*(D) \xleftarrow{\sim} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sim} C^*(D),$$

for diagrams in $[C^{op}, \text{dgm}_{\mathbb{k}}]$. It induces the zig-zag of quasiisomorphisms

$$(4) \quad \oplus^{\bullet} H^*(D) \xleftarrow{\sim} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sim} \oplus^{\bullet} C^*(D),$$

for $[\Delta, \text{dgm}_{\mathbb{k}}]$. The isomorphisms

$$\bigoplus_{\sigma \in N_n(C)} H^*(D(c_n)) \rightarrow H^*\left(\bigsqcup_{\sigma \in N_n(C)} D(c_n)\right), \quad \bigoplus_{\sigma \in N_n(C)} C^*(D(c_n)) \rightarrow C^*\left(\bigsqcup_{\sigma \in N_n(C)} D(c_n)\right),$$

induce the isomorphisms

$$(5) \quad \oplus^{\bullet} H^*(D) \rightarrow H^*(\text{srep}_{\bullet} D), \quad \oplus^{\bullet} C^*(D) \rightarrow C^*(\text{srep}_{\bullet} D),$$

in $[\Delta, \text{dgm}_{\mathbb{k}}]$. Now the claim follows by combining the isomorphisms (5) with zigzag (4) of quasi-isomorphisms. \square

Remark 2.10. The original statement [34] for the homology of cosimplicial spaces was given for rational coefficients. For cosimplicial spaces it can be generalized (by using Künneth formula) to integral coefficients under the additional assumption that any object of the diagram D has torsion-free homology.

Consider any cosimplicial dgm $V^* = (V^*)^\bullet$ of finite dimension (i.e. $(V^*)^i$ is degenerate for $i \geq n$ for some n) with cohomological differential of degree 1. The simplicial filtration on V^* induces the spectral sequence $(E_*^{*,*}(V^*), d_*)$. Due to assumption of finite dimension on V^* , this filtration is assumed to be finite without loss of generality.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $V^* \rightarrow W^*$ be any quasiisomorphism of cosimplicial $\text{dgm}_{\mathbb{k}}$ of finite dimension. Then the extension is trivial for $(E_*^{*,*}(V^*), d_*)$ iff it is trivial for $(E_*^{*,*}(W^*), d_*)$.*

Proof. Follows directly by the induction on the length of the filtration by 5-lemma. \square

Let $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 2.12 ([34]). *For any formal (over \mathbb{k}) diagram $X_\bullet \in \text{sTop}$, the spectral sequence $((E_{X_\bullet})_r^{i,j}, d_r)$ of \mathbb{k} -modules collapses at the second page with trivial extension.*

Proof. By Remark 2.6, $(E_*^{*,*}(C^*(X)), d_*)$ coincides from the second page with the Bousfield-Kan spectral sequence of $C^*(X_\bullet) \in [\Delta, \text{dga}_{\mathbb{k}}]$. The spectral sequence $(E_*^{*,*}(C^*(X_\bullet)), d_*)$ of \mathbb{k} -modules coincides from the first page with the spectral sequence $(E_*^{*,*}(H^*(X_\bullet)), d_*)$ by the assumption of formality for X_\bullet . However, $(E_*^{*,*}(H^*(X_\bullet)), d_*)$ collapses at the second page, because the vertical differential in the associated bicomplex is trivial.

Notice that the extension of \mathbb{k} -modules is trivial for $(E_*^{*,*}(H^*(X_\bullet)), d_*)$. Indeed, $H^*(X_\bullet)$ decomposes into a direct sum of sub-dg-modules by the cohomological degree. Therefore, $(E_*^{*,*}(H^*(X_\bullet)), d_*)$ is a direct sum of spectral sequences, each concentrated at one line. We conclude that the extension for $(E_*^{*,*}(H^*(X_\bullet)), d_*)$ is trivial. Now the triviality of \mathbb{k} -module extension for $(E_*^{*,*}(C^*(X_\bullet)), d_*)$ follows by Lemma 2.11. \square

3. FORMALITY OF TORIC DIAGRAMS

The purpose of the current section is to prove the BKSS collapse result for any toric diagram (Theorem 3.14) and its classifying space (Theorem 3.15). By the results of the previous section this is done by establishing formality for any toric (over $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$) and quasitoric diagram (over $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}$ or \mathbb{Q} depending on the connectedness of its objects), see Theorems 3.12, 3.13. The line of argument is similar to that from [35] and is based on the notion of a simplicial torus (a particular case of a simplicial abelian group) and its classifying space [8, 23].

Definition 3.1 ([43]). We call a diagram $D \in [C, \text{Top}]$ a *quasitoric diagram*, if $D(c)$ is a quasitorus (i.e. the product of a compact torus with a finite abelian group) for any $c \in C$ and any arrow of D is a group homomorphism. A quasitoric diagram $D \in [C, \text{Top}]$ consisting of compact tori (as objects) is called a *toric diagram*.

Let N be any finitely generated abelian group. Consider $N_\bullet = \kappa_\bullet N$ as a constant simplicial group.

Definition 3.2 ([8, 25]). Let BN_\bullet be the 0-reduced simplicial abelian group given by

$$BN_n := \{[a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}] \mid a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \in N\}, \quad n > 0, \quad BN_0 := \{[\]\},$$

and the explicit formulas for faces and degenerations of BN_\bullet are given in [8, p.87]. The simplicial group structure is defined by coordinatewise summation in N . If N is torsion-free then BN_\bullet is called a *simplicial torus*.

Given any finitely generated abelian group N , let $N = N_f \times N_t$ be its decomposition into the direct product of the free N_f and torsion N_t subgroups. Then one has a homotopy equivalence

$$|BN_\bullet| \simeq BN \simeq T \times BN_t,$$

with the product of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces: the torus $T = BN_f$ and the product BN_f of infinite-dimensional lens spaces L_p^∞ .

Remark 3.3. In this note we need to consider the classifying spaces of a torus and a torsion group. Therefore, we avoid considering the classifying space of the simplicial group $(BN_t)_\bullet$.

Definition 3.4. The bar-construction $B(BN_f \times N_t)_\bullet$ of the simplicial abelian group $(BN_f \times N_t)_\bullet$ is the simplicial set defined by

$$B(BN_f \times N_t)_n := \{[(b^{n-1}, []), \dots, ([, c_{n-1})] \mid b^i \in (BN_f)_i, c_i \in N_t\},$$

and nothing in degree 0. We refer to [8, p.87] for the definition of face and degeneration maps of $B(BN_f \times N_t)_\bullet$.

One has an isomorphism of simplicial sets

$$(6) \quad B(BN_f \times N_t)_\bullet \cong B(BN_f)_\bullet \times (BN_t)_\bullet.$$

Definition 3.5. For any quasitorus G let

$$N(G) := N_f(G) \times N_t(G), \quad N_f(G) := \pi_1(G, e), \quad N_t(G) := \pi_0(G).$$

Let

$$\overline{W}(G) := BN_t(G)_\bullet \times N_f(G)_\bullet, \quad \overline{W}(BG) := B(BN_f(G))_\bullet \times BN_t(G)_\bullet.$$

be the simplicial abelian group and simplicial set, respectively. Denote the normalized chain and cochain complexes of $\overline{W}(G)$ and $\overline{W}(BG)$ by $\overline{W}_*(G)$, $\overline{W}^*(G)$, $\overline{W}_*(BG)$, $\overline{W}^*(BG)$, respectively.

Notice that for a torsion group G one has $\overline{W}(G) = \overline{W}(BG)$ by the definition. The cochain complexes $\overline{W}^*(G)$, $\overline{W}^*(BG)$ are dga with respect to the \cup -product. Any homomorphism of tori $f: T \rightarrow T'$ of ranks r , r' , respectively, is given by the formula

$$(7) \quad (e^{2\pi i \varphi_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \varphi_r}) \mapsto (e^{2\pi i \sum_q a_{1,q} \varphi_q}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \sum_q a_{r',q} \varphi_q}), \quad \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r \in [0, 1),$$

for some integer matrix $A = (a_{i,j}) \in \text{Mat}_{r',r}(\mathbb{Z})$ (which we denote by $A = A(f)$), and vice versa. For any cyclic finite group N of order p^n consider the embedding $N \rightarrow S^1$ given by mapping the generator to $\exp(2\pi i/p^n)$. The product of such maps induces the embedding $G \rightarrow \tilde{T}$ of the quasitorus G to the torus \tilde{T} . Given any morphism of quasitori $f: G \rightarrow G'$, there is a unique extension of f to the homomorphism of tori $\tilde{f}: \tilde{T} \rightarrow \tilde{T}'$. Therefore, f is given by the integral matrix denoted by A by abuse of the notation. (Notice that A is not uniquely defined due in presence of torsion in G' .)

Definition 3.6. Define the morphism $f_*: \overline{W}(G) \rightarrow \overline{W}(G')$ by

$$(8) \quad f_*(a^n) = Aa^n = [A(f)(a_0), \dots, A(f)(a_{n-1})], \quad a^n = [a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}].$$

Define the $Bf_*: \overline{W}(BG) \rightarrow \overline{W}(BG')$ by

$$(9) \quad Bf_*([(b^{n-1}, []), (b^{n-2}, c_1), \dots, ([, c_{n-1})]) = [(A_{f,1}b^{n-1}, A_t c_1 + A_{f,2}b_{n-2}^{n-2}), \dots, ([, A_t c_{n-1})],$$

where

$$b^i = [b_0^i, \dots, b_{i-1}^i] \in BN_f(G)_i, \quad c_j \in N_t, \quad i > 0, \quad j \geq 0,$$

and A has the block form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{f,1} & 0 \\ A_{f,2} & A_t \end{pmatrix},$$

with respect to the decomposition $N(G) = N_f(G) \times N_t(G)$ and $N(G') = N_f(G') \times N_t(G')$. The formula (9) gives a well defined morphism of simplicial sets.

Remark 3.7. For any compact torus $T = (S^1)^r$ let $T_{\mathbb{C}} = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$, and for a homomorphism $f: T \rightarrow T'$ given by matrix $A \in \text{Mat}_{r' \times r}(\mathbb{Z})$ (see (7)), let $f_{\mathbb{C}}: T_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow T'_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the homomorphism given by

$$(10) \quad (z_1, \dots, z_r) \mapsto \left(\prod_q z_q^{a_{1,q}}, \dots, \prod_q z_q^{a_{r',q}} \right).$$

Notice that the restriction of $f_{\mathbb{C}}$ to compact tori is equal to f . This defines the complexification functor from the category of compact tori to non-compact (algebraic) tori. For any toric diagram D , the *complexification* $D_{\mathbb{C}}$ of D is by definition given by applying the complexification functor. The natural embedding of compact tori to algebraic tori induces a homotopy equivalence of diagrams $D \rightarrow D_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Consider the Quillen equivalence [6]

$$\text{Sing}: \text{Top}_{\text{Quillen}} \rightleftarrows \text{sSet}_{\text{Quillen}}: | - |,$$

given by the functors of singular simplicial complex and geometric realization. Let $S(X) := \text{Sing}(X)$ be the simplicial set of singular simplices for any topological space X .

Lemma 3.8 ([25, 35]). *There are the following commutative diagrams of simplicial sets*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{W}(G) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & S(G) & \overline{W}(BG) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & S(BG) \\ \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow f_* & \downarrow Bf_* & & \downarrow Bf_* \\ \overline{W}(G') & \xrightarrow{\sim} & S(G') & \overline{W}(BG') & \xrightarrow{\sim} & S(BG'), \end{array}$$

where any horizontal arrow is a homotopy equivalence.

Proof. Let $G = T \times G_t$, $G' = T' \times G'_t$ be the decomposition of G , G' into the direct products of the tori T , T' and torsion subgroups G_t , G'_t . First, we prove commutation of the left-hand diagram above. Any nondegenerate simplex in $\overline{W}(G_t)$ has dimension 1, and $\overline{W}(G_t)_1 = G_t$. Furthermore, any simplex in $S(G_t)$ is a constant map. Therefore, there is a well-defined tautological map $\overline{W}(G_t) \rightarrow S(G_t)$ defined on $\overline{W}(G_t)_n$ by sending any element $g \in G_t$ to the constant map $\Delta^n \mapsto g$, $n > 0$. Clearly, it is a homotopy equivalence. Consider the commutative diagram

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \overline{W}(G) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \overline{W}(T) \times \overline{W}(G_t) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & S(T) \times S(G_t) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & S(G) \\ \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow f_* \\ \overline{W}(G') & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \overline{W}(T') \times \overline{W}(G'_t) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & S(T') \times S(G'_t) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & S(G'). \end{array}$$

Here, the upper left horizontal arrow is given by (6). It induces an isomorphism in homology by Künneth formula, therefore it is a homotopy equivalence by Whitehead theorem. The upper middle horizontal arrow in (11) is the product of the homotopy equivalence $\overline{W}(T) \rightarrow S(T)$ defined in [25] and of the tautological map $\overline{W}(G_t) \rightarrow S(G_t)$. The right square horizontal arrows from (11) are well defined because the image of any continuous map $\Delta^n \rightarrow G$ is connected. One can check that the diagram (11) is commutative. Each of the middle arrows in (11) is a product of homotopy equivalences. For the connected component of the identity this holds by [25], and for the torsion component this follows by the construction. This proves the claim for the left diagram. The claim for the right diagram follows from the first by the same argument from [35] applied to $B(BN_f \times N_t)_\bullet$. \square

Recall that C is any finite graded poset (or more generally, any Reedy category). There is the Quillen equivalence

$$\text{Sing}^C: \text{Top}^C \rightleftarrows \text{sSet}^C: |-|^C,$$

of Reedy closed model structures [7].

Proposition 3.9. *For any toric diagram D there are weak equivalences*

$$\overline{W}(D) \xrightarrow{\sim} S(D), \quad \overline{W}(BD) \xrightarrow{\sim} S(BD),$$

for diagrams in $[C, s\text{Top}]$.

Proof. Since the weak equivalences are defined objectwise in the Reedy closed model structure, Lemma 3.8 gives the necessary result. \square

So far we have provided a model for the normalized singular (co)chain functor for any (quasi)toric diagram and its composition with the classifying space functor. Our next task is to construct a chain of quasiisomorphisms establishing formality for toric diagrams over $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$ and formality for classifying space functor composed with quasitoric diagrams with coefficients $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}$ or \mathbb{Q} , depending on the number of prime-power factors. Here we follow a variant of the argument from [38] for simplicial sets in a similar way to [35].

Let $H^*(S^1) \cong \Lambda[u]$. Choose a cocycle $\varphi_u \in \overline{W}^1(S^1)$ representing u (see Lemma 3.8). This choice defines the homomorphism $\varphi: H^*(S^1) \rightarrow \overline{W}^*(S^1)$, where the product on the right is trivial. Let

$$\kappa: H^*(T) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(S^1)^{\otimes r} \xrightarrow{\otimes \varphi} \overline{W}^*(S^1)^{\otimes r},$$

be given by the composition of Künneth isomorphism and $\otimes \varphi$.

Similarly, given a cyclic group $G = \mathbb{Z}$, choose a generator v (of degree 2) of the ring $H^*(BG; \mathbb{k}) \cong G \otimes \mathbb{k}[v]$ for the Eilenberg-MacLane space BG . For $G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ the ring $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ is trivial. Choose a cocycle $\psi_v \in \overline{W}^2(BG)$ representing v in sense of homotopy equivalence from

Lemma 3.8. Let $\psi: H^*(BG) \rightarrow \overline{W}^*(BG)$ be given by $v^q \mapsto (\psi_v)^q$, where the product on the right is with respect to \cup -product.

Now let $G = \prod_{i=1}^r G_i$ be any direct product of S^1 's and prime-power finite groups. Let $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}$ or \mathbb{Q} if G is connected, and let $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}$, otherwise. Then there is a composition of Künneth isomorphism and $\otimes\psi$ given as follows

$$\kappa: H^*(BG) \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigotimes_i H^*(BG_i) \xrightarrow{\otimes\psi} \bigotimes_i \overline{W}^*(BG_i).$$

There is the following zig-zag of quasiisomorphisms

$$\bigotimes_i \text{Hom}(\overline{W}_*(G_i); \mathbb{k}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\bigotimes_i \overline{W}_*(G_i); \mathbb{k}) \xleftarrow{\nabla^*} \overline{W}^*(G),$$

where ∇^* is the dual to the shuffle map of Eilenberg and Zilber. Let $f: G \rightarrow G' = \prod_{j=1}^{r'} G'_j$ be any group homomorphism with the corresponding (not uniquely defined) matrix $A = (a_{i,j}) \in \text{Mat}_{r',r}(\mathbb{Z})$. Let

$$A_*: \overline{W}_*(G_i)^{\otimes r} \rightarrow \overline{W}_*(G'_i)^{\otimes r'}, \quad A^*: \overline{W}^*(G'_i)^{\otimes r'} \rightarrow \overline{W}^*(G_i)^{\otimes r},$$

$$BA_*: \overline{W}_*(BG_i)^{\otimes r} \rightarrow \overline{W}_*(BG'_i)^{\otimes r'}, \quad BA^*: \overline{W}^*(BG'_i)^{\otimes r'} \rightarrow \overline{W}^*(BG_i)^{\otimes r},$$

be chain complex morphisms induced on the degree 1 and 2, respectively components, respectively, by the lattice morphisms with matrices A and A^t , respectively.

Let $f: T \rightarrow T'$ be any homomorphism of compact tori of dimension r, r' , respectively. Let $f: G \rightarrow G'$ be any homomorphism of quasitori of ranks r, r' , respectively.

Lemma 3.10. [35, Lemma 4.19] *There is the following commutative diagram of $\text{dgm}_{\mathbb{k}}$, $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$,*

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} H^*(T') & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & \overline{W}^*(S^1)^{\otimes r'} & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(\overline{W}_*(S^1)^{\otimes r'}; \mathbb{k}) & \xleftarrow{\nabla^*} & \overline{W}^*(T') \\ \downarrow f^* & & \downarrow A^* & & \downarrow \text{Hom}(A_*, \text{Id}) & & \downarrow f^* \\ H^*(T) & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & \overline{W}^*(S^1)^{\otimes r} & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(\overline{W}_*(S^1)^{\otimes r}; \mathbb{k}) & \xleftarrow{\nabla^*} & \overline{W}^*(T), \end{array}$$

where any horizontal arrow is a quasiisomorphism. There is the following commutative diagram of $\text{dgm}_{\mathbb{k}}$

$$(13) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} H^*(BG') & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & \bigotimes_{j=1}^{r'} \overline{W}^*(BG'_j) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(\bigotimes_{j=1}^{r'} \overline{W}_*(BG'_j); \mathbb{k}) & \xleftarrow{\nabla^*} & \overline{W}^*(BG') \\ \downarrow Bf^* & & \downarrow BA^* & & \downarrow \text{Hom}(BA_*, \text{Id}) & & \downarrow Bf^* \\ H^*(BG) & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & \bigotimes_{i=1}^r \overline{W}^*(BG_i) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(\bigotimes_{i=1}^r \overline{W}_*(BG_i); \mathbb{k}) & \xleftarrow{\nabla^*} & \overline{W}^*(BG), \end{array}$$

where any horizontal arrow is a quasiisomorphism. Here $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$ if both G and G' are both connected, and $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}$, otherwise.

Proof. Let $H^*(T) \cong \Lambda[u_1, \dots, u_r]$, $H^*(T') \cong \Lambda[u'_1, \dots, u'_{r'}]$ be the isomorphisms given by Künneth theorem. The formulas

$$(14) \quad A^*(\psi_{u'_i}) = \sum_q a_{q,i} \psi_{u_q}, \quad f^*(u'_i) = \sum_q a_{q,i} u_q,$$

give (by taking products) the two left vertical arrows in (12). This proves commutativity of the left square in (12). Choose the generator $u \in H_1(S^1)$ and let $\varphi_u \in \overline{W}_1(S^1)$ be a cycle representing u in sense of homotopy equivalence from Lemma 3.8. Then $\overline{W}^*(S^1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\overline{W}_*(S^1); \mathbb{k})$ is given by $\psi_u \mapsto (\varphi_u)^*$, where $(\varphi_u)^*$ is the dual to φ_u . Let $H_*(T) \cong \Lambda[w_1, \dots, w_r]$, $H^*(T') \cong \Lambda[w'_1, \dots, w'_{r'}]$ be the isomorphisms given by Künneth isomorphism. The formulas

$$(15) \quad f_*(w_i) = \sum_q a_{q,i} w'_q, \quad \text{Hom}(A_*, \text{Id})((\varphi_{w_i})^*) = \sum_q a_{q,i} (\varphi_{w'_q})^*,$$

determine f_* and $\text{Hom}(f_*, \text{Id})$, respectively. Then the commutativity of the middle square in (12) follows directly from (14) and (15). Notice that there is the following diagram

$$(16) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \overline{W}_*(S^1)^{\otimes r} & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & \overline{W}_*(T) \\ \downarrow A_* & & \downarrow f_* \\ \overline{W}_*(S^1)^{\otimes r'} & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & \overline{W}_*(T'), \end{array}$$

where ∇ is the shuffle map. The explicit formula for the shuffle map [8, §29] and (15) imply that the diagram (16) is commutative. This implies the commutativity of the right square in (12). For the classifying spaces diagram the proof is similar, see [35]. Here we use Künneth isomorphism

$$H^*(BG; \mathbb{k}) \cong \bigotimes_{i=1}^r H^*(BG_i; \mathbb{k}).$$

The proof is complete. \square

Definition 3.11. For any toric diagram $D \in [C, \text{Top}]$ define the diagrams $D^1 = D^1(D)$, $D^2 = D^2(D)$ in $[C^{op}, \text{dgm}_{\mathbb{k}}]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} D^1(I) &:= \overline{W}^*(S^1)^{\otimes \text{rk } D(I)}, \quad D^1(I \rightarrow J) := A(D(I \rightarrow J))^*, \\ D^2(I) &:= \text{Hom}(\overline{W}_*(S^1)^{\otimes \text{rk } D(I)}; \mathbb{k}), \quad D^2(I \rightarrow J) := \text{Hom}(A(D(I \rightarrow J))_*, \text{Id}). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.12. For any toric diagram $D \in [C, \text{Top}]$ there is the following zigzag

$$(17) \quad H^*(D) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} D^2 \xleftarrow{\sim} \overline{W}^*(D) \xleftarrow{\sim} C^*(D),$$

of quasiisomorphisms for diagrams in $[C^{op}, \text{dgm}_{\mathbb{k}}]$, where $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$. In particular, the diagram D is formal.

Proof. The claim follows by composing the chain of quasiisomorphisms from Lemma 3.10 with quasiisomorphisms from Lemma 3.8 on the right. \square

Fix any quasitoric diagram $D \in [C, \text{Top}]$. If any object of D has ≤ 1 prime-power factor, let $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$, and let $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}$, otherwise. Define the diagrams BD^1, BD^2 in $[C^{op}, \text{dgm}_{\mathbb{k}}]$ (depending on α) by

$$\begin{aligned} BD^1(I) &:= \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\text{rk } D(I)} \overline{W}^*(BG_i(I)), \quad D^1(I \rightarrow J) := BA(D(I \rightarrow J))^*, \\ BD^2(I) &:= \text{Hom}\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\text{rk } D(I)} \overline{W}_*(BG_i(I)); \mathbb{k}\right), \quad BD^2(I \rightarrow J) := \text{Hom}(BA(D(I \rightarrow J))_*, \text{Id}), \end{aligned}$$

where $D(I) = \prod_{i=1}^{\text{rk } D(I)} D_i(I)$ is the decomposition into direct product of copies of S^1 's and prime-power subgroups.

Theorem 3.13. There is the following zigzag

$$(18) \quad H^*(BD) \xrightarrow{\sim} BD^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} BD^2 \xleftarrow{\sim} \overline{W}^*(BD) \xleftarrow{\sim} C^*(BD),$$

of quasiisomorphisms for diagrams in $[C^{op}, \text{dgm}_{\mathbb{k}}]$ (choice of $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$ depends on connectedness of objects in D , as above). In particular, BD is formal.

Proof. The claim follows by composing the chain of quasiisomorphisms from Lemma 3.10 with quasiisomorphisms from Lemma 3.8 on the right. \square

Theorem 3.14. For any toric diagram D the associated cohomological BKSS over $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}$ collapses at the second page with trivial extension in \mathbb{k} -modules. One has

$$H^n(\text{hocolim } D; \mathbb{k}) \cong \bigoplus_{i+j=n} \lim^i sH^j(D; \mathbb{k}), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Proof. Follows directly from Theorem 2.12 by using Theorem 3.12 and Lemma 2.9. \square

Let $\alpha: D \rightarrow \kappa T$ be a morphism of quasitoric diagrams. Recall that $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}$ or \mathbb{Q} if every object of D is connected, and $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}$ otherwise. By using similar arguments one can prove the following theorem for the diagram BD of classifying spaces.

Theorem 3.15. *The cohomological BKSS of $H^*(BT)$ -modules for BD collapses at the second page, and the extension is trivial in the category of \mathbb{k} -modules. One has a group isomorphism*

$$H^n(\text{hocolim } BD; \mathbb{k}) \cong \bigoplus_{i+j=n} \lim^i sH^j(BD; \mathbb{k}), \quad n \geq 0.$$

4. (CO)HOMOLOGY OF (CO)SHEAVES ON POSETS

In this section we recall the basic notions of cellular sheaf theory over Alexandrov spaces by following [13] (see [19] for the detailed overview). Let abcat_R be the category of finitely generated graded modules (or algebras) over a commutative associative ring R .

Definition 4.1 ([15]). A poset $C = C(X)$ is called a *CW poset* if C is the poset of cells $\{\sigma_c\}_{c \in C}$ in a regular finite CW-complex X . Let $\dim C := \dim X$ be the dimension of C .

Given a finite poset C , we regard it as a category, whose objects are all objects of the poset and arrows are the corresponding partial order relations. The definition of a CW poset given above differs from the one from [15], where any CW poset is assumed to have the least element corresponding to \emptyset . Any CW poset C admits a grading $\deg: C \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by $\deg c := \dim \sigma_c \geq -1$. For any two elements $p, q \in C(X)$ write $p \leq_i q$ if $p \leq q$ and $\deg p = \deg q - i$. Given any pair $p \leq_1 q$, denote by $[q : p] := [\sigma_q : \sigma_p]$ the corresponding index in the regular CW-complex X . (The values of such indices are $-1, 0, 1$.)

Example 4.2. Consider a *cone poset* $C = \text{Cone } P$ over a CW poset P . The poset C is obtained from P by adding the least element $\hat{0}$ of degree -1 . The CW-complex of C is the cone over X , where X is the CW-complex corresponding to P . Let $[p : \hat{0}] := 1$.

Definition 4.3 ([19]). A covariant (contravariant, respectively) functor $\mathcal{A}: C \rightarrow \text{abcat}$, is called a *cellular sheaf* (*cellular cosheaf*, respectively) with values in abcat_R . The cellular cohomology $H_{cw}^*(C; \mathcal{A})$ of a cellular sheaf \mathcal{A} on C is by definition the cohomology R -module of the chain complex $(C_{cw}^*(C, \mathcal{A}), d)$ (depending on C and the index numbers of CW-complex X corresponding to C),

$$C_{cw}^i(C, \mathcal{A}) := \mathbb{k}\langle c \times y \mid c \in C, y \in \mathcal{A}(c), \deg c = i \rangle, \quad d(c \times y) := \sum_{c' \leq_1 c'} [c' : c] \cdot c' \times \mathcal{A}(c \leq c')y.$$

Here $\mathbb{k}\langle S \rangle$ denotes the free \mathbb{k} -module with the basis S . The cellular homology $H_*^{cw}(C; \mathcal{B})$ of a cellular cosheaf \mathcal{B} on C is the homology R -module of the chain complex $(C_*^{cw}(C, \mathcal{B}), d)$,

$$C_i^{cw}(C, \mathcal{B}) := \mathbb{k}\langle c \times y \mid c \in C, y \in \mathcal{B}(c), \deg c = i \rangle, \quad d(c \times y) := \sum_{c' \geq_1 c} [c' : c] \cdot c' \times \mathcal{B}(c' \leq c)y.$$

By a slight abuse of the notation we call a cellular (co)sheaf by a (co)sheaf in the sequel.

Example 4.4. For any diagram $D \in [C, \text{Top}]$, the functor $H^*(D)$ is a cosheaf of graded \mathbb{k} -algebras on C .

For any elements $c <_2 d$ of a CW-poset C , the interval (c, d) in C consists precisely of $\{c', d'\}$, and the identity

$$(19) \quad [c, c'] [c', d] + [c, d'] [d', d] = 0,$$

holds [15]. This implies that $d^2 = 0$ holds for cosheaf homology and sheaf cohomology.

Definition 4.5 ([13]). For a cosheaf $\mathcal{A}: C \rightarrow \text{abcat}_R$, the *refinement sheaf* $s\mathcal{A}: \text{ord } C \rightarrow \text{abcat}_R$ is the sheaf given by the formula

$$s\mathcal{A}(c_0 > c_1 > \cdots > c_s) := \mathcal{A}(c_s), \\ s\mathcal{A}((c_0 > c_1 > \cdots > c_s) \rightarrow (c'_0 > c'_1 > \cdots > c'_t)) := \mathcal{A}(c'_t \rightarrow c_s),$$

For a morphism of cosheaves $\alpha: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ (that is, a natural transformation of the corresponding functors) define the induced morphism $s\alpha: s\mathcal{A} \rightarrow s\mathcal{B}$ of sheaves by the formula

$$s\mathcal{A}(c_0 > c_1 > \cdots > c_s) = \mathcal{A}(c_s) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{B}(c_s) = s\mathcal{B}(c_0 > c_1 > \cdots > c_s).$$

Remark 4.6. The choice of this notation for refinement is explained as follows. The sheaf $s\mathcal{A}$ may be regarded as the restriction of the simplicial replacement $\text{srep } \mathcal{A} \in [\Delta^{op}, \text{abcat}_R]$ of \mathcal{A} (e.g. see proof of Lemma 2.9) to the collection of nondegenerate simplices in the nerve $N_\bullet(C)$.

Definition 4.7. For any poset C the *order complex* $\text{ord } C$ of C is the simplicial complex of all strictly increasing chains in C . Notice that $\text{ord } C$ is a cellular poset with the index numbers

$$[\sigma : \partial_i \sigma] = (-1)^{s-i}, \quad \sigma = (c_0 > c_1 > \cdots > c_s), \quad \partial_i \sigma := (c_0 > \cdots > \hat{c}_i > \cdots > c_s),$$

where hat symbol means omitting the corresponding element in the chain.

Definition 4.8 ([19]). By definition, for a sheaf $\mathcal{A} \in [\text{ord } C, \text{abcat}_R]$ the corresponding cohomology $H^*(C; \mathcal{A})$ are the cohomology R -modules of the cochain complex $C_{cw}^*(\text{ord } C; \mathcal{A})$. The sheaf $\mathcal{A} \in [\text{ord } C, \text{abcat}_R]$ is called *acyclic* if $H^i(C; \mathcal{A})$ vanishes unless $i = 0$.

The proof of the following proposition is well known.

Proposition 4.9 ([19]). *For any cellular sheaf \mathcal{A} on $\text{ord } C$ with values in abcat_R , one has isomorphism of groups*

$$\lim^* s\mathcal{A} = H^*(C; \mathcal{A}).$$

5. ZEEMAN-MCCRORY SPECTRAL SEQUENCE FOR HOMOLOGY MANIFOLDS

In the present section we prove the the cohomological Zeeman-McCrory spectral sequence (ZMSS, for short) collapse for (co)sheaves over oriented homology manifolds. This implies the Poincaré-Lefschetz type duality for sheaf cohomology. Our approach is similar to that from [13, 37]. It implies the collapse of the spectral sequence of the coskeletal filtration on $\text{hocolim } D$ for a toric diagram D (or, more generally, a formal diagram) over oriented homology manifold. The ZMSS collapse can be alternatively obtained by the collapse of the dihomology bicomplex spectral sequence, see [18].

Definition 5.1 ([37]). Given a cellular poset C of dimension d , define the *pseudocell* G_c and its boundary ∂G_c , $c \in C$ as the subsets of $\text{ord } C$ given by

$$G_c = \{c \leq c_1 \leq \cdots\}, \quad \partial G_c = \{c < c_1 \leq \cdots\}.$$

Define the *codimension* $\text{codim } c$ of $c \in C$ as the maximal length of chain in G_c . The *coskeletal filtration* on $\text{ord } C$ is by definition the filtration

$$(20) \quad \emptyset = C'_{-1} \subseteq C'_0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq C'_d = C', \quad C'_i = \bigcup_{\text{codim } G_c \geq d-i} G_c, \quad -1 \leq i \leq d,$$

on $C' = \text{ord } C$.

Remark 5.2. Notice that $\text{codim } c$ may differ from $d - \dim c$ (e.g. for $c = \hat{0}$ in a cone poset), in general.

Definition 5.3 ([37]). The cosheaf \mathcal{U}^q of *local cohomology* for C is given by

$$\mathcal{U}^q(c) := H^q(C, C \setminus \text{star}_C^\circ c),$$

and the map $\mathcal{U}^q(c < c')$ is defined by the embedding $\text{star}_C^\circ c' \rightarrow \text{star}_C^\circ c$. Here the *open star* $\text{star}_C^\circ c = \{c' \in C : c' \geq c\}$ of c in C denotes the subset (without partial order relation) corresponding to cells contained in the cell of c in the CW complex X of C . The set $C \setminus \text{star}_C^\circ c$ is a *subposet* of C . Define the cosheaf \mathcal{H}^q on C by

$$\mathcal{H}^q(c) := H^{q+\text{codim } c}(G_c, \partial G_c).$$

The map $\mathcal{H}^q(c < c')$ is defined to be $[c' : c]m_{c < c'}^q$, where $m_{c < c'}^q$ is the following composition

$$(21) \quad m_{c < c'}^q : H^{i+\text{codim } c'}(G_{c'}, \partial G_{c'}) \cong H^{i+(\text{codim } c-1)}(\partial G_c, \partial G_c \setminus G_{c'}^\circ) \rightarrow H^{i+(\text{codim } c-1)}(\partial G_c) \rightarrow H^{i+\text{codim } c}(G_c, \partial G_c),$$

if $c' <_1 c$, i.e. $\deg c = \deg c' - 1$, and

$$\mathcal{H}^q(c_0 < c_1) \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{H}^q(c_{n-1} < c_n),$$

for any saturated chain $c = c_0 <_1 \cdots <_1 c_n = c'$ in C , otherwise. Here, $G_c^\circ := G_c \setminus \partial G_c$.

The proof of the following lemma is similar to [13]. We provide it in the setting of CW posets.

Lemma 5.4 ([13]). *The cosheaf \mathcal{H}^q is well defined. One has*

$$(22) \quad \mathcal{H}^q \cong \mathcal{U}^{d+q}.$$

Proof. For any elements $c, d \in C$ such that $c <_2 d$ holds, there are precisely two different elements $c < c', d' < d$ in C . (Such property holds equally well for any interval $[a, b]$ in C .) For any chain $\sigma \in \text{ord } C$ containing a subchain $\tau = (c \leq_1 c' \leq_1 d)$, consider the chain σ' obtained from σ by replacing τ with $\tau' = (c \leq_1 d' \leq_1 d)$. An operation of the form $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma'$ is called an *elementary flip*.

The condition $d^2 = 0$ for the first page of the spectral sequence of the filtration (20) with coefficients in the constant sheaf $\kappa\mathbb{Z}$ coincides with

$$m_{c < c'}^q \circ m_{c' < d}^q + m_{c < d'}^q \circ m_{d' < d}^q = 0.$$

This identity together with (19) implies the claim for any two saturated chains related to each other by a sequence of elementary flips. For any $c \leq d \in C$, let k, k' be any two saturated chains from c to d . The interval $[c, d]$ is a link in a sphere $[\hat{0}, d]$ (considered in the poset $\text{Cone } C$). Therefore, $[c, d]$ is a pseudomanifold [15]. Hence, there exists a sequence of saturated chains

$$k = m_0, m_1, \dots, m_n = k',$$

such that m_{i+1} is obtained from m_i by an elementary flip, $i = 0, \dots, n-1$. This proves that the definitions of arrows for $\mathcal{H}(c \leq d)$ in terms of k and k' coincide. The isomorphism (22) follows by the argument from [37]. \square

Consider the spectral sequence of the coskeletal filtration on $\text{ord } C$ with coefficients in the sheaf $s\mathcal{A}$ (see [37]):

$${}^1E_1^{i,j} = H^{i+j}(C_j, C_{j-1}; s\mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(C; \mathcal{A}).$$

Lemma 5.5 ([13]). *One has an isomorphism*

$$H^i(C_j, C_{j-1}; s\mathcal{A}) \cong \bigoplus_{c: \text{codim } c = d-j} H^i(G_c, \partial G_c; s\mathcal{A}).$$

If any stalk of \mathcal{A} is torsion-free, then one has

$$H^i(G_c, \partial G_c; s\mathcal{A}) \cong H^i(G_c, \partial G_c) \otimes \mathcal{A}(c),$$

and

$${}^1E_2^{i,j} \cong H_{d-i}^{cw}(C; \mathcal{H}^j \otimes \mathcal{A}).$$

Corollary 5.6. *Let \mathcal{A} be a cosheaf on a CW poset $C = C(X)$ of dimension d such that every stalk of \mathcal{A} is torsion-free. Then ZMSS from the second page takes the following form:*

$${}^1E_2^{i,j} = H_{d-i}^{cw}(C; \mathcal{H}^j \otimes \mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(C; \mathcal{A}).$$

Notice that if \mathcal{A} is a cosheaf of R -modules (over a commutative, associative ring R) then ZMSS is a spectral sequence of R -modules.

Definition 5.7. A CW poset C of dimension d is called an *orientable homology manifold* (over \mathbb{Z}) if

$$\mathcal{U}^{d+i} = \begin{cases} \kappa\mathbb{Z}, & i = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If, in addition, $H^*(\text{ord } C) \cong H^*(S^d)$, then C is called a *homology sphere* of dimension d .

Example 5.8. The cone $C = \text{Cone } P$ is a homology manifold of dimension d iff P is a homology sphere of dimension $d-1$.

Corollary 5.6 directly implies the following theorem.

Theorem 5.9 ([37]). *For any cosheaf \mathcal{A} with torsion-free stalks over any homology manifold C of dimension d , there is an isomorphism of groups*

$$(23) \quad H^i(C; \mathcal{A}) \cong H_{d-i}^{cw}(C; \mathcal{A}).$$

Definition 5.10 ([13]). Let $D \in [C, \text{Top}]$ be any diagram over a CW poset C of dimension d . Define the *coskeletal filtration* on C

$$\emptyset = C_{\geq d+1} \subset C_{\geq d} \subset \cdots \subset C_{\geq -1} = C, \quad C_{\geq i} := \{c \in C \mid \dim c \geq i\},$$

where $C_{\geq i}$ is the i -*coskeleton* subposet in C . It induces the *coskeletal filtration* on $\text{hocolim } D$

$$(24) \quad \emptyset = \text{hocolim } \tau_{d+1}^* D \subset \text{hocolim } \tau_d^* D \subset \cdots \subset \text{hocolim } D,$$

where $\tau_i: C_{\geq i} \rightarrow C$ is the natural embedding functor.

The spectral sequence of the filtration (24) is given as follows.

Lemma 5.11 ([13]). *For any toric diagram $D \in [C, \text{Top}]$ the spectral sequence of the coskeletal filtration on $\text{hocolim } D$ is given as follows:*

$$C_{s,t}^2 = \bigoplus_{i+j=d+t} H_{d-s}^{cw}(C; \mathcal{U}^i \otimes H^j(D)) \Rightarrow H^{s+t}(\text{hocolim } D),$$

Theorem 5.12. *Suppose that C is an oriented homology manifold of dimension d . Then the spectral sequence of the coskeletal filtration on $\text{hocolim } D$ for a toric diagram D collapses at the second page. In particular, one has*

$$H^k(\text{hocolim } D) \cong \bigoplus_{s+t=k} H_{d-i}^{cw}(C; H^t(D)).$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.14 and Proposition 4.9, $H^k(\text{hocolim } D)$ is a direct sum of the corresponding cohomology groups of the refinement sheaf $sH^*(D)$. By Theorem 5.9, these are isomorphic to the cellular homology of the cosheaf $H^t(D)$. The proof is complete. \square

6. FACES AND ORBITS FOR T -DIAGRAMS

In this section, we compare the coskeletal and orbit filtrations, as well as compare the indexing category and orbit poset for homotopy colimits of T -diagrams (Definition 6.3, Proposition 6.7). We characterize T -spaces having orbit CW posets arising as homotopy colimits of T -diagrams (Theorem 6.12). Then the proof of Theorem 1 is given.

We recall the definitions of the orbit filtration and faces for a T -space below. Let X be a finite regular T -CW complex. Then X is a Hausdorff compact T -space. This implies that the orbit space $Q = X/T$ is a regular CW complex, and that there are only finitely many distinct orbit types in X .

Definition 6.1. Consider the *orbit type filtration* [2]

$$(25) \quad \emptyset = X_{-1} \subset X_0 \subset \cdots \subset X_k = X,$$

where k is the rank of $T = (S^1)^k$. It induces the filtration of the orbit space $Q = X/T$

$$\emptyset = Q_{-1} \subset Q_0 \subset \cdots \subset Q_k = Q,$$

by putting $Q_i := \pi(X_i)$, where $\pi: X \rightarrow Q$ is the quotient map. Any connected component of $Q_i \setminus Q_{i-1}$ is called an *open face* of X . The indexing set C for the collection $\{Q_c^\circ\}_{c \in C}$ of all open faces in X is a finite (due to finitely many orbit types) partially ordered set. The partial order is defined by $c \leq d$ iff $Q_d \subseteq \overline{Q_c}$, where $Q_c := \overline{Q_c^\circ}$ and the bar denotes the closure in Q . The set Q_c is called a *closed face* of the T -space X . The poset C is called the *orbit poset* of the T -space X .

Remark 6.2. Notice that Q is a face of X iff the orbit poset has the least element. The orbit poset of a complete toric variety of complex dimension n has the least element (because there is a dense $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ -orbit, and the corresponding fan has the least element). For any smooth compact T -manifold such a condition is also satisfied by the principal orbit theorem [2, Theorem 3.1].

Let C be any CW poset.

Definition 6.3. We call a morphism of diagrams $\alpha: \kappa T \rightarrow D$ over C a *T -diagram*, if any arrow in α is an epimorphism of groups.

Any T -diagram $D \in [C, \text{Top}]$ is a diagram with values in the category of T -spaces. The T -action is transitive on each object of D . Therefore, the stabilizers of the T -action $S_c = \text{Stab}_x D(c)$ on $D(c)$ depend only on $c \in C$. The collection $\{S_c \mid c \in C\}$ with the natural embeddings of the isotropy subgroups gives a well-defined quasitoric diagram $S = S(D)$ over C .

Definition 6.4. We call S the *stabilizer diagram* of a T -diagram D .

Remark 6.5. It follows directly from the definition of a T -diagram D that $S_c \subseteq S_d$ holds for any $c \leq d \in C$, where S is the stabilizer diagram of D . In particular, a closure of any orbit stratum in hocolim D is a union of orbit types of non-increasing dimension.

By the orbit-stabilizer correspondence, there is a short objectwise-exact sequence of diagrams over C with values in groups

$$(26) \quad \kappa 1 \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow \kappa T \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow \kappa 1.$$

Definition 6.6. We call a T -diagram D over C a *reduced diagram* if the inequality $S_c \neq S_d$ holds for any $c < d \in C$. We call a T -diagram D a *strongly reduced diagram* if one has $\dim S_c \neq \dim S_d$ for any $c < d \in C$.

The proof of the following proposition is straightforward.

Proposition 6.7. *Let D be a T -diagram over C . Then C is isomorphic to the orbit poset of hocolim D iff D is reduced. If D is strongly reduced then the coskeletal and orbit filtrations on hocolim D coincide.*

For any reduced T -diagram D over any CW poset C the cells of the CW-complex $Q = (\text{hocolim } D)/T$ for the T -space hocolim D are in bijection with the elements of the orbit poset C .

Remark 6.8. Let $D \in [C, \text{Top}]$ be a non-reduced T -diagram. Consider the quotient morphism $q: C \rightarrow C/\sim'$ of posets induced by the equivalence relation

$$c \sim' d \Leftrightarrow S_c = S_d \ \& \ (c \geq d \vee c \leq d).$$

Then the homotopy pushdown diagram q_*D [43] over the poset $q(C)$ has object

$$|\text{ord } q^{-1}(q(c))| \times D(q(c)), \quad c \in C,$$

over $q(c) \in q(C)$, with the transitive T -action. The diagram q_*D is reduced (in the appropriate sense). However, q_*D may not be a T -diagram, because it has non-acyclic faces $|\text{ord } q^{-1}(r)|$, $r \in q(C)$, in general. Another issue is that the poset $q(C)$ is not a graded poset, in general. The homotopy pushdown with respect to

$$c \sim'' d \Leftrightarrow \dim S_c = \dim S_d \ \& \ (c \geq d \vee c \leq d),$$

gives a diagram with a non-transitive T -action on its objects, in general.

Remark 6.9. It follows directly from the definition that for any strongly reduced T -diagram there is the inequality $\dim C \leq \text{rk } T$.

Definition 6.10 ([30]). A T -space X is called *split* if the projection $\pi: X \rightarrow Q = X/T$ onto the orbit space of X admits a T -equivariant section.

Lemma 6.11 ([30]). *A split T -space X is T -equivariantly homeomorphic to*

$$(27) \quad (Q \times T)/\sim, \\ (q, t) \sim (q', t') \Leftrightarrow q = q' \in Q_c^\circ \ \& \ t^{-1}t' \in S_c.$$

Theorem 6.12. *Let X be a finite T -CW complex such that the orbit poset C of X is a CW-poset. Then X is T -equivariantly homeomorphic to hocolim D for some reduced T -diagram D over C iff the T -space X is split. In particular, every face of X is contractible.*

Proof. Suppose that X is split. Let C be the orbit poset of the T -space X . By Lemma 6.11, the space X is T -equivariantly homotopy equivalent to (27). Consider the reduced T -diagram D over C given by $D(c) := T/S_c$, and the arrows in D are the natural projections. The space (27) is equivariantly homeomorphic to hocolim D , see (3). The corresponding homeomorphism is induced by the homeomorphism $Q \simeq |\text{ord } C|$ for the regular CW poset C [15, Proposition 3.1]. This proves the claim in one direction.

Let D be a reduced T -diagram D over any finite poset C . Then hocolim D is a T -space, because the realization functor for diagrams in T -spaces is the same as in Top . There is a bijection between the orbit poset and C by the reduced assumption. The face of hocolim D corresponding to $c \in C$

is equal to the contractible space $|\text{ord } C_{\geq c}|$. Here, $\text{ord}(C_{\geq c})$ denotes the order complex of the category $C_{\geq c} = c \downarrow C$. The projection $\pi: X \rightarrow Q = |\text{ord } C|$ has an equivariant section, given in terms of (3) by the formula

$$s: Q \rightarrow \text{hocolim } D, \quad s(x) := [(x, e_{D(c_i)})],$$

where $e_{D(c_i)}$ denotes the identity of the group $D(c_i)$. This proves the claim in the opposite direction. \square

We conclude this section by deducing the orbit spectral sequence collapse for compact toric varieties.

Definition 6.13 ([5]). A normal reduced irreducible variety X over \mathbb{C} , $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = n$, is called a *toric variety* if the left action of $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ on itself extends to an action on X .

Let X_Σ be any compact toric variety with the corresponding *complete* rational polyhedral polyhedral fan Σ in $N_{\mathbb{Q}} = N \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, $N = \text{Hom}(S^1, T^d)$. (Here it is required that any cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ is *salient*, i.e. contains no lines from $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$.) The variety X_Σ is equipped with the complex-analytical topology. Consider the diagram $D_\Sigma \in [\Sigma, \text{Top}]$, where $D_\Sigma(\sigma)$ is the compact form of the algebraic torus corresponding to the orbit X_σ . The arrow $D_\Sigma(\sigma \leq \tau)$ is defined by taking quotient by a larger isotropy subgroup, $\sigma, \tau \in \Sigma$. The T -equivariant homeomorphism of the underlying topological space for X_Σ with $\text{hocolim } D_\Sigma$ follows by reduction to a quotient construction over the order complex of Σ [23]. The latter is a homotopy colimit of a diagram D_Σ .

Remark 6.14. For any non-complete rational polyhedral fan Σ there is a T -equivariant homotopy equivalence of the quotient construction with $\text{hocolim } D_\Sigma$ from [23].

The orbit poset of X is identified with the set of cones Σ ordered by inclusion relation. Notice that Σ is a homology manifold of dimension d , namely, the cone over a homology sphere of dimension $d - 1$. One can show that D_Σ is a strongly reduced T -diagram.

The next theorem is a direct corollary from Theorem 5.12 and Proposition 6.7. It generalizes the result of [20, §12] to arbitrary complete rational polyhedral fans (consisting of salient cones), with integral cohomology coefficients. Collapse of the orbit spectral sequence for any complete toric variety was proved with rational coefficients in [41]. The orbit spectral sequence collapse for any nonsingular toric variety was obtained in [24] with any coefficients.

Theorem 6.15.

$$H^k(X_\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{s+t=i} H_{d-i}^{cw}(\Sigma; H^t(D_\Sigma)).$$

Remark 6.16. Notice that for an arbitrary rational polyhedral fan Σ in $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the orbit poset of X_Σ has dimension different from d , in general. For example, consider the fan of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}^\times$. Theorem 6.15 generalizes straightforwardly to the case of an arbitrary complete rational polyhedral fan Σ such that the orbit poset is an oriented homology manifold.

Remark 6.17. For a CW poset C different from a homology manifold, the orbit spectral sequence for a strongly reduced T -diagram does not collapse at the second page, in general. All differentials of the homological orbit spectral sequence for a Buchsbaum simplicial poset C (in the case of complexity zero, i.e. $\dim C = \text{rk } T$) were explicitly described in [12]; the cohomological spectral sequence can be described in a similar way. In the setting of this note, nontrivial higher differentials occur for the first coskeleton $D_{\geq 1}$ for a T -diagram D over a cone CW poset C , i.e. for the spectral sequence of the truncated orbit filtration

$$\emptyset = X_{-1} \subset X_0 \subset \cdots \subset X_{k-1} = \text{cosk}^1 X,$$

compare with (25).

7. BOREL SPACE OF A HOMOTOPY COLIMIT

In this short section we describe the homotopy decomposition for the Borel fibration of $\text{hocolim } D$ for any T -diagram D . Then we recall the definition of the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence in the case of the Borel fibration.

Let C be any connected (i.e. $|\text{ord } C|$ is connected) finite CW-poset. By (26), for any T -diagram $D \in [C, \text{Top}]$ there is the respective stabilizer diagram S . Let $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 7.1. *For any T -diagram D over a connected CW poset C , there is a commutative diagram*

$$(28) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} B_T \operatorname{hocolim} D & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \operatorname{hocolim} B_T D & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \operatorname{hocolim} BS \\ & & \downarrow & & \swarrow \\ & & BT & & \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are homotopy equivalences.

Proof. Consider $D \rightarrow 1$ as a morphism of diagrams over the category $C \times T$. There is a commutative diagram

$$(29) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \operatorname{hocolim} B_T D = \operatorname{hocolim}_C \operatorname{hocolim}_T D & \rightarrow & \operatorname{hocolim}_C \operatorname{hocolim}_T \kappa 1 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ B_T \operatorname{hocolim} D = \operatorname{hocolim}_T \operatorname{hocolim}_C D & \rightarrow & \operatorname{hocolim}_T \operatorname{hocolim}_C \kappa 1 \end{array}$$

By Fubini theorem for homotopy colimits [4, Theorem 24.9] (or by applying commutation of colimits to a respective cofibrant replacement), both vertical arrows in (29) are homotopy equivalences. The right vertical arrow in (29) is the identity of $BT \times |\operatorname{ord} C|$. The post-composition of these arrows with the projection gives the Borel fibration over BT . This proves the claims about the left triangle in (28). The map $B_T D \rightarrow BS$ is a homotopy equivalence of diagrams, because the T -action is transitive on objects of D with kernel S . (This is the fibration associated with a short exact sequence of Lie groups.) This implies the claim about the right triangle in (28). The proof is complete. \square

Puppe's theorem together with the above two lemmas directly implies the following (see [35]).

Proposition 7.2 ([35]). *Let D be any T -diagram over a connected CW poset C . Then the Borel construction for the T -space $\operatorname{hocolim} D$ takes form*

$$(30) \quad \operatorname{hocolim} D \rightarrow \operatorname{hocolim} BS \rightarrow BT.$$

For a Serre fibration $p: E \rightarrow B$ with a connected fiber F the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence $(E_*^{*,*}, d)$ of the fiber inclusion is [9, p.233]

$$E_2^{-i,j} = \operatorname{Tor}_{H^*(B)}^{-i,j}(H^*(E), \mathbb{k}) \Rightarrow H^{j-i}(F; \mathbb{k}),$$

where the first grading is cohomological (i.e. corresponds to element of the resolution of $H^*(B)$ -module $H^*(E)$) and the second is inner (i.e. coincides with the degree of $H^*(E)$). (We follow the negative grading notation that was used in [3], for example.) If B is simply-connected, then $(E_*^{*,*}, d)$ converges strongly to $H^*(F)$, see [9, p.233].

By applying Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence to the fibration (30) one obtains the following.

Corollary 7.3. *For any T -diagram D over any connected CW poset C , the corresponding Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence for the Borel fibration of the T -space $\operatorname{hocolim} D$ is isomorphic to*

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{H^*(BT)}^{-i,t}(H^*(\operatorname{hocolim} BS), \mathbb{k}) \Rightarrow H^{t-i}(\operatorname{hocolim} D; \mathbb{k}).$$

8. EMSS AND BKSS

In this section, we introduce a comparison spectral sequence (CSS, for short), relating the Eilenberg-Moore (Corollary 7.3) and Bousfield-Kan (Theorem 3.14) spectral sequences for any T -diagram D (see Theorem 8.5). If the stabilizer diagram S associated to D is toric and the sheaf $sH^*(BS)$ is acyclic, then CSS collapses at the second page and gives isomorphism of EMSS and BKSS second pages, up to a change of the corresponding indices.

Let D be any T -diagram over a CW poset C . Throughout this section, we assume that $|\operatorname{ord} C|$ is connected, and $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}$, or $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$ if all stabilizers of the T -action on $\operatorname{hocolim} D$ are connected (i.e. the diagram S for D is toric). We need the following simple lemma.

Lemma 8.1. *Let $T \rightarrow T'$ be a monomorphism of tori. Then*

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{H^*(BT')}^{-p,2q}(H^*(BT), \mathbb{k}) \cong \begin{cases} H^q(T'/T), & p = q; \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Consider the Koszul resolution [10]

$$0 \longrightarrow H^q(T'/T) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow H^{2q}(BT') \longrightarrow H^{2q}(BT) \longrightarrow 0,$$

for the $H^*(BT')$ -module $H^*(BT)$ in degree $2q$. Applying the functor $-\otimes_{H^*(BT')} \mathbb{k}$ one obtains trivial cohomology except the boundary term in the cohomological degree $-q$. This gives the required formula by the definition of Tor as a left derived functor of tensor product [10]. \square

Definition 8.2. Denote the $(-i)$ -th group of the Koszul resolution

$$(31) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \Lambda^t(T) \otimes H^*(BT) \xrightarrow{f_{t,1}} \Lambda^{t-1}(T) \otimes H^*(BT) \xrightarrow{f_{t,t}} \cdots \longrightarrow H^*(BT) \longrightarrow \mathbb{k} \longrightarrow 1,$$

of the trivial $H^*(BT)$ -module \mathbb{k} by R^i . Let D be a T -diagram, with the associated stabilizer diagram S (see (26)). Define the t -graded bicomplex $(C_t^{i,j}, d_h, d_v)$ (depending on D), where (see Definition 4.3)

$$C_t^{i,j} := C^j(C; s(R^{2t-i} \otimes H^*(BS))^{2t}),$$

power $2t$ denotes taking the respective grading of the $H^*(BT)$ -module, d_h is the differential for the sheaf cohomology (increasing j by 1) and d_v is induced by the morphism from the Koszul resolution (increasing i by 1).

Lemma 8.3. *Let \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} be two sheaves on C . Suppose that $\mathcal{G} = s\kappa V$ is a constant torsion-free sheaf for some flat \mathbb{k} -module V . Then*

$$H^i(C; \mathcal{F} \otimes s\kappa V) \cong H^i(C; \mathcal{F}) \otimes V.$$

Proof. Follows directly from the definitions by flatness of the \mathbb{k} -module V . \square

Lemma 8.4. *One has*

$$\begin{aligned} H_v^i(H_h^j(C_t^{*,*})) &= \mathrm{Tor}_{H^*(BT)}^{-(2t-i), 2t}(\lim^j sH^*(BS), \mathbb{k}); \\ H_h^j(H_v^i(C_t^{*,*})) &= \begin{cases} \lim^j sH^t(D), & i = t, \\ 0, & i \neq t. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We make the following computation.

$$(32) \quad H_h^j(C_t^{i,*}) = H^j(C; s(R^{2t-i} \otimes H^*(BS))^{2t}) \cong \left(R^{2t-i} \otimes H^j(C; sH^*(BS)) \right)^{2t} \cong \left(R^{2t-i} \otimes \lim^j H^*(BS) \right)^{2t}.$$

The last isomorphism is given by Lemma 8.3, because the components R^* of the Koszul resolution (31) have no \mathbb{k} -torsion. The above isomorphisms respect the vertical differential whose action on the right-hand side of (32) is induced by $f_{t,*} \otimes \mathrm{Id}$, see (31). Therefore, the first equality of the lemma follows by the definition of Tor-groups using the resolution $(P^*, f_{t,*})$. By the definition of the Tor-groups (using the resolution (31)), we find

$$H_h^j(H_v^i(C_t^{*,*})) = H^j(C; \mathrm{Tor}_{H^*(BT)}^{-i, 2t}(H^*(BS), \mathbb{k})).$$

The second equality of the lemma now follows from this computation by Lemma 8.1. \square

Theorem 8.5. *For any T -diagram D there exists the t -graded spectral sequence ($t \in \mathbb{Z}$) over $\mathbb{k} = Q$ or $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}$ (if the stabilizers are connected)*

$$(33) \quad \mathrm{Tor}_{H^*(BT)}^{-(2t-i), 2t}(\lim^j H^*(BS), \mathbb{k}) \Rightarrow \lim^{i+j-t} sH^t(D).$$

Proof. Follows directly by considering the bicomplex spectral sequences corresponding to $(C_t^{i,j}, d_h, d_v)$ and using Lemma 8.4. \square

Corollary 8.6. *Suppose that the sheaf $sH^*(BS)$ is acyclic. Then*

$$(34) \quad \mathrm{Tor}_{H^*(BT)}^{-i, 2t}(H^*(\mathrm{colim} BS), \mathbb{k}) \cong \lim^{-i+t} sH^t(D),$$

$$(35) \quad \lim^i sH^j(D) = 0, \quad i > j,$$

and BKSS and EMSS for D are isomorphic from the second pages up to a linear change of indices. In particular, EMSS for D collapses at the second page.

Proof. By Theorem 3.15, the BKSS for the diagram BS collapses. By the acyclicity assumption this implies the isomorphism

$$(36) \quad H^*(\operatorname{colim} BS) \cong \lim sH^*(BS),$$

of $H^*(BT)$ -modules (for a different proof see [38]). Furthermore, under the same assumption the spectral sequence (33) collapses. This implies (34). The identity (35) follows by using bar-resolution to compute Tor-groups from pigeonhole principle. We prove the last claim. We observe that EMSS and BKSS converge to the same object:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tor}_{H^*(BT)}^{-i,2t}(\lim sH^*(BS), \mathbb{k}) &\Rightarrow H^{2t-i}(\operatorname{hocolim} D), \\ \lim^{-i+t} sH^t(D) &\Rightarrow H^{2t-i}(\operatorname{hocolim} D). \end{aligned}$$

Now the claim follows by comparison from the collapse of BKSS (Theorem 3.14) and EMSS (see (34)). \square

Remark 8.7. For an acyclic sheaf $sH^*(BS)$, one has $sH^*(BS) = \kappa\mathbb{k}$. Hence, $|\operatorname{ord} C|$ is \mathbb{k} -acyclic, because

$$H^i(C; \kappa\mathbb{k}) \cong H^i(|\operatorname{ord} C|; \mathbb{k}).$$

Remark 8.8. The comparison spectral sequence (33) measures commutativity of Tor and lim, because one has

$$\lim^j s \operatorname{Tor}_{H^*(BT)}^{-i,2t}(H^*(BS), \mathbb{k}) = \begin{cases} \lim^j sH^t(D), & i = t; \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

The functors $- \otimes_{H^*(BT)} \mathbb{k}$ and \lim are right- and left-exact, respectively. Therefore, there is no associated Grothendieck spectral sequence [10, pp.150–153] and the respective bicomplex has to be inspected on its own.

9. EQUIVARIANT FORMALITY FOR TORIC DIAGRAMS

In this section we recall the notion and criteria of equivariant formality for a T -space X . After that, we characterize when a T -diagram D over an oriented homology manifold is equivariantly formal (Theorem 9.7).

Theorem 9.1 ([11, 26]). *Let X be a T -space, where T has rank k . Let $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$. Then the following conditions (over \mathbb{k}) are equivalent:*

- (i) *The inclusion of the fibre $\iota: X \rightarrow B_T X$ induces a surjection $\iota^*: H_T^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X)$. Equivalently, the map $H_T^*(X) \otimes_{H^*(BT)} \mathbb{k} \rightarrow H^*(X)$ induced by ι^* is an isomorphism.*
- (ii) *The Serre spectral sequence of the fibration $X \hookrightarrow B_T X \rightarrow BT$ collapses at E_2 level, i.e. $E_2 = E_\infty$.*
- (iii) *$\operatorname{Tor}_{H^*(BT)}^j(H_T^*(X), \mathbb{k}) = 0$ for all $j > 0$.*
- (iv) *$\operatorname{Tor}_{H^*(BT)}^1(H_T^*(X), \mathbb{k}) = 0$.*

The above conditions are implied by the next one

- (v) *The following sequence of $H^*(BT)$ -modules is exact*

$$(37) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_T^*(X) \rightarrow H_T^*(X_0) \rightarrow H_T^{*+1}(X_1, X_0) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H_T^{*+k}(X_k, X_{k-1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}$, or let $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$ if any stabilizer of the T -space X is connected. Then the condition (v) is equivalent to (i)–(iv), and $H_T^*(X)$ is a free $H^*(BT)$ -module.

Definition 9.2. A T -space X satisfying conditions (i)–(iv) of Theorem 9.1 is called *equivariantly formal*. The sequence (37) is called *Atiyah-Bredon-Franz-Puppe sequence*, or *ABFP sequence*, for short.

The second page of the comparison SS collapses in the case of equivariant formality for $\operatorname{hocolim} D$, yielding the following.

Corollary 9.3. *Let $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}$, or $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$ if all stabilizers of the T -action on $\text{hocolim } D$ are connected. Suppose that the sheaf $sH^*(BS)$ is acyclic and $\text{hocolim } D$ is equivariantly formal. Then one has*

$$\lim^i sH^j(D) \cong \begin{cases} \text{Tor}_{H^*(BT)}^{0,i}(\lim^i sH^*(BS), \mathbb{k}), & i = j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Follows directly from Theorem 8.5 by using the condition (iii) of Theorem 9.1. \square

The proof of the following fact is similar to the proof of [14, Proposition 2.4], because all faces of $\text{hocolim } D$ are acyclic (see Section 6).

Lemma 9.4. *Let C be an oriented homology manifold of dimension d , and let $D \in [C, \text{Top}]$ be a T -diagram. Then the (i, j) -th element of the second page for the equivariant cohomology spectral sequence of the coskeletal filtration on $\text{hocolim } D$ is isomorphic to the group*

$$H_{d-i}^{cw}(C; H^j(BS)).$$

Remark 9.5. The isomorphism of Lemma 9.4 is in the category of groups, not $H^*(BT)$ -modules. In other words, we have shown that the ABFP-sequence is exact in \mathbb{k} -modules over oriented homology manifolds. Acyclicity of $sH^*(BS)$ does not imply neither equivariant formality, nor $H^*(BT)$ -exactness of ABFP (37), in general. For example, consider the moment-angle complex \mathcal{Z}_P over any convex simplicial polytope P , equipped with the action of torus T of rank equal to the number of vertices in P (for the definition see e.g. [3]). The space \mathcal{P} is homotopy equivalent to the homotopy colimit of a T -diagram D . The sheaf $sH^*(BS)$ (where S is the stabilizer diagram for D) is acyclic (e.g. see [38]). However, it is known that $H^{\text{odd}}(\mathcal{Z}_P) \neq 0$ for some P [17].

Lemma 9.6. *Let C is an oriented homology manifold of dimension d and let $D \in [C, \text{Top}]$ be a T -diagram. If $\text{hocolim } D$ is equivariantly formal then the sheaf $sH^*(BS; \mathbb{k})$ is acyclic. In particular, $|\text{ord } C|$ is \mathbb{k} -acyclic.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.9, there is the isomorphism of \mathbb{k} -modules

$$H_{d-i}^{cw}(C; H^*(BS)) \cong H^i(C; sH^*(BS)).$$

However, by Theorem 9.1 and Lemma 9.4, equivariant formality implies that

$$(38) \quad H_{d-i}^{cw}(C; H^j(BS)) = 0,$$

holds for any $i \neq 0$. Therefore, $sH^*(BS)$ is acyclic. The last claim follows trivially. \square

Theorem 9.7. *Let C is an oriented homology manifold of dimension d and let $D \in [C, \text{Top}]$ be a T -diagram. Then $\text{hocolim } D$ is equivariantly formal iff $H^{\text{odd}}(\text{hocolim } D; \mathbb{k}) = 0$. In the case when these conditions are satisfied, one has the isomorphism $H^*(BT)$ -modules*

$$(39) \quad H_T^*(\text{hocolim } D) \cong \lim sH^*(BS).$$

and the isomorphism of abelian groups

$$(40) \quad H^{2i}(\text{hocolim } D) \cong \lim^i sH^i(D), \quad i \geq 0.$$

Furthermore, if $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}$ and the stabilizers are connected, then $H^*(\text{hocolim } D; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free.

Proof. The condition $H^{\text{odd}}(\text{hocolim } D; \mathbb{k}) = 0$ implies degeneration of Serre SS of the Borel fibration by dimensional reasons, i.e. equivariant formality of $\text{hocolim } D$. Suppose that $\text{hocolim } D$ is equivariantly formal. Then the sheaf $sH^*(BS)$ is acyclic by Lemma 9.6. This together with equivariant formality (see Theorem 9.1, implies $H^{\text{odd}}(\text{hocolim } D; \mathbb{k}) = 0$ by Corollary 8.6. The identity (39) follows by Theorem 3.15 using the acyclicity of $sH^*(BS)$. Therefore, (40) follows directly by Theorem 3.14 and Corollary 9.3. Torsion-freeness follows directly from Theorem 9.1 by freeness criterion for equivariant cohomology $H^*(BT)$ -module [11]. The acyclicity of $|\text{ord } C|$ follows from acyclicity of $sH^*(BS)$. \square

Remark 9.8. Let X be an oriented real T -manifold of complexity 0 (i.e. $2n = \dim X = 2 \dim T$) with non-empty fixed point set. By [36], $H^{\text{odd}}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is equivalent to acyclicity of proper (i.e. $\neq Q$) faces in $Q = X/T$ and local standardness of the T -action on X . The local standardness condition implies that Q is a manifold with corners, and therefore a homology manifold (with orientation descending from X to $X/T = Q$). Suppose in addition that the orbit poset of X is a CW poset. In

such particular case, we obtain the odd cohomology vanishing for equivariantly formal X , compare with [36].

Remark 9.9. Any compact toric variety X_Σ has connected isotropy groups. It is well known that the equivariant formality of X_Σ is equivalent to vanishing of odd cohomology, e.g. see [23, Lemma 5.1].

Let C is an oriented homology manifold of dimension d . Let D be a reduced T -diagram over C . Let $D_{\leq c} := \iota_c^* D$, where $\iota_c: C_{\geq c} \rightarrow C$ is the natural embedding of the filter corresponding to $c \in C$. The space $\text{hocolim } D_{\leq c}$ is the closure of orbit corresponding to $c \in C$ (see Proposition 6.7). The following statement is known for torus manifolds [36] and for complete toric varieties [23, Proposition 1.5].

Corollary 9.10. *If $\text{hocolim } D$ is equivariantly formal then any orbit closure in $\text{hocolim } D$ is equivariantly formal, has vanishing odd cohomology and is torsion-free.*

Proof. Notice that $C_{\geq c}$ is an oriented homology manifold. The condition of connected stabilizers is preserved under taking quotient by a subtorus in T . Then by Theorem 9.7 the claim follows from the identity $H^{\text{odd}}(\text{hocolim } D_{\leq c}) = 0$. This identity is proved by a similar argument to [23, Proposition 6.2]. \square

10. BETTI NUMBERS FOR SOME T -DIAGRAMS

Let C be an oriented homology manifold of dimension d . Let D be a (reduced) T -diagram, and $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$ depending on the stabilizers of the T -action on $\text{hocolim } D$, as above. Suppose that $\text{hocolim } D$ is equivariantly formal (hence, $H^*(BS)$ is acyclic). Then by Theorem 9.7 the groups $H^*(\text{hocolim } D; \mathbb{k})$ are completely determined by their ranks (called Betti numbers). In this section we determine the even Betti numbers below (the odd Betti numbers vanish by Theorem 9.7), see Proposition 10.1. Notice that a similarly looking formula was obtained in [18] for tropical toric orbifolds. Then we proceed by the description of cohomology groups of skeleta for a T -characteristic diagram D (Theorem 10.12). There we use the Taylor-type complex from [13]. The explicit formula for the respective bigraded Betti numbers is given in the case of equivariantly formal D (Corollary 10.13). We show that it agrees with the computations for the skeleta of $\mathbb{C}P^{m-1}$ following from [27] and [29].

Let D be a T -diagram, $k = \text{rk } T$, $s_c = \text{rk } S_c$, where S is the stabilizer diagram corresponding to D .

Proposition 10.1. *Suppose that $\text{hocolim } D$ is equivariantly formal and that the sheaf $sH^*(BS)$ is acyclic. Then one has*

$$(41) \quad b_{2i}(\text{hocolim } D) = (-1)^{i+1} \sum_{c \in C} \tilde{\chi}(\text{ord } C_{>c}) \binom{k - s_c}{i}, \quad i \geq 0.$$

Proof. Consider the cochain complex $(C^*, d) = (C^i(C, sH^*(D)), d)$ with the standard differential. By the standard property of the reduced Euler characteristic of a cochain complex, one has

$$\tilde{\chi}(C^i(C, sH^*(D))) = \tilde{\chi}(H^i(C, sH^*(D))).$$

By the definition of the cochain complex and simplicial homology of the chain complex $C_{\geq c}$, one has

$$\tilde{\chi}(C^*(C, sH^*(D))) = \sum_{i=-1}^d (-1)^i \sum_{c \in C} \text{rk } \tilde{C}^i(\text{ord } C_{>c}) \cdot \text{rk } D(c) = \sum_{c \in C} \tilde{\chi}(\text{ord } C_{>c}) \binom{k - s_c}{i}$$

In the last equality we use $\text{rk } H^i(D(c)) = \binom{k - s_c}{i}$. By Corollary 9.3,

$$\tilde{\chi}(H^i(C, sH^*(D))) = (-1)^{i+1} \cdot b_{2i}(\text{hocolim } D).$$

(The degree shift by 1 is because we treat zero degree of the corresponding cochain complex as augmentation in the definition of Euler characteristic.) The identity (41) now follows directly from the last three identities. \square

Remark 10.2. By Lemma 9.6, the acyclicity condition on the sheaf $sH^*(BS)$ can be omitted, provided that C is an oriented homology manifold.

Example 10.3. Let Σ be the fan of any compact nonsingular toric variety X of dimension d . Then

$$\text{ord lk}_\Sigma I \simeq S^{d-1-|I|}, \quad s_I = d - |I|,$$

where

$$\text{lk}_\Sigma I = \Sigma_{>I} = \{J \in \Sigma : I \sqcup J \in \Sigma\},$$

is the *link subposet* of I in Σ . Therefore, the right-hand side of (41) coincides with

$$(-1)^{i+1} \sum_{j=0}^d (-1)^{d-1-j} f_{i-1}(\Sigma) \binom{d-j}{i} = h_{d-i}(\Sigma),$$

by the definition of an h -number (e.g. see [3]). Here, $f_i(\Sigma)$ denotes the number of $(i-1)$ -dimensional elements in Σ , $f_{-1}(\Sigma) := 1$. This agrees with the known description of Betti numbers for complete toric varieties [5]. A similar computation holds for toric manifolds, by considering the face poset of a polytope dual to simple polytope [21].

Definition 10.4. A poset C is called a *simplicial poset* if C has the least element $\hat{0}$ and the ideal $C_{\leq c}$ is isomorphic to the Boolean lattice (i.e. the face lattice of a simplex).

Any simplicial poset C is a CW poset. The CW-complex X of C is the cone $|\text{ord } C|$, where to $c \in C$ corresponds the simplex Δ_{σ_c} for a unique saturated chain σ_c in $[\hat{0}, c]$. Let C be a simplicial poset of dimension d . We may identify an element c of C with the set of its atoms $I = a(c)$ by writing $I \in C$.

Definition 10.5. Let D be any T -diagram over any simplicial poset C . We call D a *T -characteristic diagram* (say, over \mathbb{Z}) if the stabilizer diagram S of D satisfies the conditions

$$(42) \quad S(c) = \prod_{i \in a(c)} S(i) \subseteq T, \quad \text{span } S(i) = T,$$

where $a(C)$ denotes the set of dimension 0 elements in C , Π denotes the direct product of groups and span denotes the group generated in T by the respective subgroups. (Notice that $\hat{0}$ is not an atom, because it has dimension -1 .)

Let \mathcal{J}_* be the (graded) sheaf defined by the ideals $\mathcal{J}_*(c) = H_*(T) \cdot H_{>0}(S(c))$ in the exterior algebra $H_*(T)$, and the arrows of \mathcal{J}_* are induced by $H_*(S)$. There is the exact sequence of sheaves

$$(43) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_* \longrightarrow \kappa H_*(T) \longrightarrow H_*(D) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let $\mathcal{J}^* = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{J}_*, \mathbb{Z})$ be the cosheaf over C . It follows from the assumption on the stabilizers that (43) splits objectwisely. This implies that the dual to (43) sequence

$$(44) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H^*(D) \longrightarrow \kappa H^*(T) \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}^* \longrightarrow 0,$$

of cosheaves is exact.

Lemma 10.6. *One has*

$$(45) \quad H^i(C; sH^*(D)) \cong \begin{cases} 0, & i = 0, \\ H^{i-1}(C; s\mathcal{J}^*), & i \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

The following sequence is exact

$$(46) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H^*(T) \longrightarrow \lim s\mathcal{J}^* \longrightarrow \lim^1 sH^*(D) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Notice that any arrow in the cosheaf $H^*(D)$ is a monomorphism of groups. Therefore,

$$\lim H^*(D) = \bigcap_{i \in a(C)} H^*(D(i)) \subset H^*(D(\hat{0})),$$

where the intersection is taken over the set $a(C)$ of all atoms in C . The nondegeneracy condition of S from Definition 10.5 implies that such an intersection is trivial. By Lemma 8.3 one has

$$H^i(C; s\kappa H^*(T)) \cong H^i(\text{ord } C; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^*(T).$$

Therefore, $s\kappa H^*(T)$ is acyclic because C has the least element. Now the claim follows by the long exact sequence in sheaf cohomology of the refinement (i.e. applying the functor s) for (44). \square

The following lemma is related to the dual version of [13, Corollary 2]; however, we state it for an arbitrary simplicial poset (whereas in [13] the isomorphism is provided only for homological manifolds) and use a slightly different proof.

Construction 10.7 ([13]). Let \mathcal{P}_* be the sheaf given by

$$\mathcal{P}_*(c) := H_*(T) \cdot H_{\text{top}}(S(c)),$$

and the arrows of \mathcal{P}_* are defined by division of top degree exterior forms. Let $\mathcal{P}^* = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{P}_*, \mathbb{Z})$ be the cosheaf over C . Consider the sequence of cosheaf morphisms

$$(47) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}^* \xrightarrow{\eta^*} \mathcal{R}_0 \xrightarrow{\xi^*} \cdots \xrightarrow{\xi^*} \mathcal{R}_d \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$\mathcal{R}_i(c) := \bigoplus_{\dim c' = i} [\mathcal{P}^*(c')]_{\leq c'},$$

where $[V]_{\leq c}$ denotes the pushforward cosheaf with respect to filter inclusion for any abelian group V :

$$[V]_{\leq c}(c') = \begin{cases} V, & \text{if } c' \leq c, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The morphism $\eta^*(c, x)$ is given by the embeddings corresponding to the factors of $x \in \mathcal{J}^*(c)$. The (d', d) -component of the morphism $\xi^*(c)$, $\dim d' = \dim d - 1$, is the map $\mathcal{P}^*(d') \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^*(d)$ that is dual to the natural embedding $\mathcal{P}_*(d) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_*(d')$ of ideals.

Lemma 10.8 ([13, §4]). *For any T -characteristic diagram D there is the isomorphism*

$$(48) \quad \lim^i s\mathcal{J}^* \cong \lim s\mathcal{R}_i$$

respecting the (co)sheaf grading.

Proof. The sequence (47) of cosheaves is exact. This is verified at each stalk by the argument from [13] based on inclusion-exclusion formula. The sheaf $s\mathcal{R}_i$ is acyclic, because C has the least element (being a simplicial set). (In fact, $s\mathcal{R}_i$ is a direct sum of injective sheaves [19]. Therefore, the refinement of (47) is an injective resolution for $s\mathcal{J}^*$.) This implies the claim by considering the associated spectral sequence in cohomology with coefficients in refinement of (47). \square

Definition 10.9. Let C be a simplicial poset of dimension d . Let

$$\iota_q: \text{sk}^q C = \{c \in C : \dim c \leq q\} \rightarrow C,$$

be the natural embedding of the q -skeleton of C . Let D be a T -characteristic diagram over C . We call $\text{hocolim } \iota_q^* D$ a q -skeleton of $\text{hocolim } D$.

Corollary 10.10.

$$\lim^i s\iota_q^* \mathcal{J}^* \cong \lim^i s\mathcal{J}^*, \quad i < q.$$

Proof. By Lemma 10.8, the group $\lim \mathcal{R}_i$ depends only on the restriction of the cosheaf \mathcal{P}^* to $\text{sk}^{i+1} C$ in C . Such restrictions coincide for C and $\text{sk}^q C$, $i < q$. This implies the claim. \square

Remark 10.11. The argument in [13] was given by considering the bicomplex with coefficients in (47). In particular, it depends on the topology of the simplicial set C (namely, the local homology of C). The dual version of it implies that one has

$$\lim s\mathcal{R}_i \cong H_i^{cw}(C, \mathcal{P}^*),$$

provided that C is an oriented homology manifold. Such an argument is not applicable for skeleta of homology manifolds. The q -skeleton $\text{sk}^q C$ of the homology manifold is Buchsbaum, i.e. the cosheaf \mathcal{H}^j on $\text{sk}^q C$ vanishes unless $j = 0$. This follows by identifying the groups of the cohomological long exact sequence of the triple $(\text{ord } C, \text{ord } \text{sk}^q C, (\text{ord } \text{sk}^q C) \setminus \text{star}_{\text{sk}^q C}^o c)$, where $c \in \text{sk}^q C$ with the values of the cosheaf \mathcal{U}^{j+d} . By combining Lemma 10.8 with the Poincaré-Lefschetz type duality for C and $\text{sk}^q C$ (see Corollary 5.6) one obtains the isomorphism

$$H_i^{cw}(\text{sk}^q C, \mathcal{H}^0 \otimes \mathcal{P}^*) \cong H_{i+(d-q)}^{cw}(C, \mathcal{P}^*).$$

(Here by abuse of the notation we denote the pullback of \mathcal{P}^* with respect to ι^q by \mathcal{P}^* .)

Let $k = \text{rk } T$.

Theorem 10.12.

$$(49) \quad \lim^i s\iota_q^* H^j(D) \cong \begin{cases} \lim^i sH^j(D), & i < q \\ 0, & i > q \text{ or } i > j. \end{cases}$$

There is the identity

$$\dim \lim^q s\iota_q^* H^j(D) = (-1)^{q+1} \left(\sum_{c \in \text{sk}^q C} \tilde{\chi}(\text{lk}_{\text{sk}^q C} c) \binom{k-1-|I|}{j} - \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (-1)^i \dim \lim^i sH^j(D) \right).$$

Proof. The isomorphism (49) in degrees 0, 1 follows by Lemma 10.6 (namely, in degree 1 from exactness of (46) by 5-lemma). In degrees ≥ 2 it follows directly from Corollary 10.10. The vanishing below diagonal is due to (35). This proves the first identity. The second identity then follows by a routine computation of the reduced Euler characteristics for the cochain complex $C^*(C; s\iota_q^* H^j(D))$. \square

Let C be a cone over a homology sphere, $\dim C = d$. Let D be a T -characteristic diagram, where $\text{rk} T = k$ such that $\text{hocolim} D$ is equivariantly formal. Let

$$\beta^{-i, 2j}(D) := \dim \text{Tor}_{H^*(BT)}^{-i, 2j}(\lim H^*(BS), \mathbb{k}),$$

be the *bigraded Betti number* of $\text{hocolim} D$.

Corollary 10.13.

$$\beta^{-i, 2j}(\iota_q^* D) = \begin{cases} \beta^{0, 2j}(D), & i = 0, j < q \\ \beta^{-i, 2(i+q)}(\iota_q^* D), & j = i + q, \\ 0, & i > q. \end{cases}$$

There is the identity

$$\beta^{-i, 2(i+q)}(\iota_q^* D) = (-1)^{q+1} \sum_{c \in \text{sk}^q C} \tilde{\chi}(\text{lk}_{\text{sk}^q C} c) \binom{k-1-|I|}{j}.$$

Proof. Follows directly from Theorems 10.12, 3.14 and Corollary 9.3. \square

Remark 10.14. The formulas of Corollary 10.13 give the Betti numbers of skeleta for any toric manifold and any compact nonsingular toric variety, because one has

$$\beta^q(\text{hocolim} \iota_q^* D) = \sum_{-i+2j=q} \beta^{-i, 2j}(\iota_q^* D),$$

where D is the corresponding diagram to such a space, as explained above.

We conclude this section with a comparison of Betti numbers formulas in the case of skeleta for $\mathbb{C}P^{m-1}$ implied by [27, 29] versus the above.

Example 10.15. Let $C = \text{Cone } \partial\Delta_m$, $T = T^m/S_d^1 \cong T^{m-1}$, where S_d^1 is the big diagonal circle, and let D be the T -characteristic diagram

$$D(I) = T^m / (T^I \cdot S_d^1),$$

T^I denotes the product in $T^m = (S^1)^m$ of coordinate circles S_i^1 , $i \in I$, and the arrows of D are induced by the embedding of $T^I \cdot S_d^1 \rightarrow T^J \cdot S_d^1$. One has $\mathbb{C}P^{m-1} \cong \text{hocolim} D$ [43]. One has

$$\dim \lim^i sH^j(D) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j \leq m, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consider $\tilde{C} = \text{sk}^{q+1} C = \text{Cone } \Delta_m^q$ (the cone over the q -dimensional skeleton of the simplex Δ_m on m vertices). Then

$$\dim \lim^i s\iota_{q+1}^* H^j(D) = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j \leq q, \\ 0, & i, j \leq q, i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore,

$$\dim \lim^i s\iota_{q+1}^* H^j(D) = 0, \quad i > j.$$

It remains to compute dimensions for $i = q + 1$ and $j \geq q + 1$. The number of i -dimensional faces in \tilde{C} is equal to $\binom{m}{i+1}$, $-1 \leq i \leq q$. Any such face I , $\dim I = i$, has the link

$$\mathrm{lk}_{\tilde{C}} I \cong \Delta_{m-1-i}^{q-1-i}.$$

One has

$$\Delta_m^l \simeq (S^l)^{\vee \binom{m-1}{l+1}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\tilde{\chi}(\mathrm{lk}_{\tilde{C}} I) = (-1)^{q-1-i} \binom{m-2-i}{q-i}.$$

We compute

$$(50) \quad \dim \lim^{q+1} \iota_{q+1}^* H^j(D) = (-1)^q \sum_{i=-1}^q \binom{m}{i+1} (-1)^{q-1-i} \binom{m-2-i}{q-i} \binom{m-2-i}{j}.$$

On the other hand, by [27, 29] it follows that

$$\mathrm{hocolim} D \simeq \mathbb{C}P^{q+1} \vee \bigvee_{i=0}^q \bigvee_{b=q-i+2}^{m-1-i} (S^{q+b+i+1})^{\vee \binom{m-1-i}{b} \binom{b-1}{q-i-1}} \vee \bigvee_{i=1}^{m-q-2} (S^{2q+2+i})^{\vee \binom{m-q-2}{i}}.$$

This implies by a routine computation that

$$(51) \quad b_{q+1+j}(\mathrm{hocolim} D) = \dim \lim^{q+1} \iota_{q+1}^* H^j(D) = \sum_{i=0}^{q+1} \binom{m-1-i}{j-i} \binom{j-i-1}{q-i+1}.$$

We compare (50) with (51) as follows. The expressions (50), (51) are identified respectively with

$$\binom{m-1}{j} \binom{m-1}{q+1} {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} -q-1 & -m & j-m+1 \\ 1-m & 1-m \end{matrix}; 1 \right],$$

$$\binom{m-1}{j} \binom{j-1}{q+1} {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} -q-1 & 1 & -j \\ 1-m & 1-j \end{matrix}; 1 \right],$$

where

$${}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} a & b & c \\ d & e \end{matrix}; 1 \right] := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} \frac{(a)_i (b)_i (c)_i}{(d)_i (e)_i}, \quad a, b, c, d, e \in \mathbb{Z},$$

denotes the value of the generalized hypergeometric function at unit (if the series converge), and

$$(d)_n = d \cdot (d+1) \cdots (d+n-1),$$

denotes the Pochhammer symbol. As expected, we obtain the equality of these two expressions directly from the identity [39, Appendix, (II)]:

$${}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} a & b & c \\ d & e \end{matrix}; 1 \right] = \frac{(d+e-b-c)_n}{(d)_n} {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} -n & e-b & e-c \\ e & d+e-b-c \end{matrix}; 1 \right], \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

by substituting

$$n = q+1, \quad b = 1, \quad c = -j, \quad e = 1-m, \quad d = 1-j.$$

Remark 10.16. The skeletal filtration on $\mathrm{hocolim} D$ corresponds to a “stabilizer” filtration, whereas coskeletal filtration on $\mathrm{hocolim} D$ corresponds to the orbit filtration. The first and second pages of the “stabilizer” filtration do not have a similar description. Reason for this is that the construction of the homotopy colimit is based on the notion of filters, not ideals.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.K. Bousfield and D.M. Kan, *Homotopy limits, completions and localizations*, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 304, Springer-Verlag New York, 1972.
- [2] G. Bredon, *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 46, 1972.
- [3] Victor M. Buchstaber and Taras E. Panov, *Toric topology*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 204, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [4] W. Chacholski and J. Scherer, *Homotopy theory of diagrams*, Memoirs of AMS, vol. 155(736), 2002.
- [5] W. Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*, Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [6] P. G. Goerss and J. F. Jardine, *Simplicial homotopy theory*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Basel-Boston-Berlin, 2009.
- [7] P. Hirschhorn, *Model categories and their localizations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, AMS, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [8] P. May, *Simplicial Objects in Algebraic Topology*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics Series CLM (CHUP), The University of Chicago Press, 1992.
- [9] J. McCleary, *A user's guide to spectral sequences*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [10] C.A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [11] C. Allday, M. Franz, and V. Puppe, *Equivariant cohomology, syzygies and orbit structure*, Trans. AMS **366** (2014), no. 12, 6567–6589.
- [12] A. Ayzenberg, *Locally standard torus actions and h' -numbers of simplicial posets*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **68** (2016), no. 4, 1725–1745.
- [13] ———, *Locally standard torus actions and sheaves over Buchsbaum posets*, Mat. Sb. **208** (2017), no. 9, 3–25.
- [14] A. Ayzenberg and M. Masuda, *Orbit spaces of equivariantly formal torus actions of complexity one*, Transformation Groups (2023).
- [15] A. Björner, *Posets, regular CW complexes and Bruhat order*, Europ. J. Combinatorics **5** (1984), 7–16.
- [16] A.K. Bousfield, *On the homology spectral sequence of a cosimplicial space*, Amer. J. Math. **109**(2) (1987), 361–394.
- [17] F. Bosio and L. Meersseman, *Real quadrics in \mathbb{C}^n , complex manifolds and convex polytopes*, Acta Math, **197** (2006), 53–127.
- [18] J. Chenal, *A Poincaré-Lefschetz theorem for cellular cosheaves and an application to the tropical homology of orbifold toric varieties*, preprint, available at [arXiv:math/2308.08548](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/2308.08548).
- [19] J. M. Curry, *Sheaves, cosheaves and applications*, Thesis (Ph.D.), 2014.
- [20] V.I. Danilov, *The geometry of toric varieties*, Russian Math. Surv. **33** (1978), no. 2, 97–154.
- [21] Michael W. Davis and Tadeusz Januszkiewicz, *Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions*, Duke Math. J. **62** (1991), no. 2, 417–451.
- [22] D. Dugger, *A primer on homotopy colimits*, Primer, available at [\protect\normalcr\relaxhttps://people.math.rochester.edu/faculty/doug/otherpapers/hocolim.pdf](https://people.math.rochester.edu/faculty/doug/otherpapers/hocolim.pdf).
- [23] Matthias Franz, *Describing toric varieties and their equivariant cohomology*, Colloquium Mathematicum **121** (2010), 1–16.
- [24] ———, *The integral cohomology of toric manifolds*, Trudy MIAN **252** (2006), 61–70.
- [25] ———, *The cohomology rings of smooth toric varieties and quotients of moment-angle complexes*, Geometry & Topology **25** (2021), no. 4, 2109–2144, available at [arXiv:1907.04791v4](https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.04791v4).
- [26] M. Franz and P. Volker, *Exact cohomology sequences with integral coefficients for torus actions*, Transformation Groups **12** (2006), 65–76.
- [27] Xin Fu, *Homotopy types of partial quotients for a certain case*, preprint (2019), available at [arXiv:math/1912.03574](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/1912.03574).
- [28] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, and R. MacPherson, *Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem*, Invent. Math. **131** (1998), 25–83.
- [29] Jelena Grbić and Stephen Theriault, *The homotopy type of the complement of a coordinate subspace arrangement*, Topology **46** (2007), no. 4, 357–396.
- [30] I. Hambleton and J.-C. Hausmann, *Equivariant bundles and isotropy groupoids*, Groups Geom. Dyn. **4** (2010), 127–162.
- [31] A. Hattori and M. Masuda, *Theory of multi-fans*, Osaka J. Math. **40**(1) (2003), 1–68.
- [32] V. Hower, *A counterexample to the maximality of toric varieties*, Proc. AMS **136**(12) (2008), 4139–4142.
- [33] K. Kuribayashi, *On Félix-Tanré rational models for polyhedral products*, eprint, available at [arXiv:math/2310.09205](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/2310.09205).
- [34] P. Lambrechts, V. Turchin, and I. Volić, *The rational homology of spaces of long knots in codimension > 2* , Geom. & Topol. **14** (2010), 2151–2187.
- [35] Ivan Yu. Limonchenko and G. Solomadin, *On the homotopy decomposition for the quotient of a moment-angle complex and its applications*, Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics **317** (2022), 117–140.
- [36] M. Masuda and T. Panov, *On the cohomology of torus manifolds*, Osaka J. Math. **43** (2006), 711–746.
- [37] C. McCrory, *Zeeman's filtration on homology*, Trans. AMS **250** (1979), 147–166.
- [38] D. Notbohm and N. Ray, *On Davis–Januszkiewicz homotopy types I; formality and rationalisation.*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **5** (2005), no. 1, 31–51.
- [39] K. Srinivasa Rao, J. Van der Jeugt, R. Jagannathan, and V. Rajeswari, *Group theoretical basis for the terminating ${}_3F_2(1)$ series*, J. Phys. A Math. Gen. **25**(4) (1992), 861–876.

- [40] E. Sundbo, *Broken toric varieties and cell-compatible sheaves*, preprint, available at [arXiv:2308.10879v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.10879v2).
- [41] B. Totaro, *Chow groups, Chow cohomology, and linear varieties*, Forum of Mathematics, Sigma **2014** (2014), no. 1, e1.
- [42] T. Yoshida, *Local torus actions modeled on the standard representation*, Adv. Math. **227** (2011), 1914–1955.
- [43] Volkmar Welker, Günter Ziegler, and Rade T. Živaljević, *Homotopy colimits — comparison lemmas for combinatorial applications*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **509** (1999), 117–149.

(G. Solomadin) IRMA, UNIVERSITY OF STRASBOURG, FRANCE
Email address: grigory.solomadin@gmail.com