



Novel application of Relief Algorithm in cascaded artificial neural network to predict wind speed for wind power resource assessment in India

Hasmat Malik^a, Amit Kumar Yadav^{b,*}, Fausto Pedro García Márquez^c, Jesús María Pinar-Pérez^d

^a Berkeley Education Alliance for Research in Singapore (BEARS) (a research center of the University of California, Berkeley, USA), Singapore

^b Electrical and Electronics Engineering Department, National Institute of Technology, Sikkim, Ravangla, Barfung Block, South Sikkim, 737139, India

^c Ingenium Research Group, Universidad Castilla-La Mancha, 13071, Ciudad Real, Spain

^d Department of Quantitative Methods, CUNEF Universidad, 28040, Madrid, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Artificial neural networks
Accuracy parameters
Forecasting
Wind speed
Wind power
Relief algorithm

ABSTRACT

Wind power generated by wind has non-schedule nature due to stochastic nature of meteorological variable. Hence energy business and control of wind power generation requires prediction of wind speed (WS) from few seconds to different time steps in advance. To deal with prediction shortcomings, various WS prediction methods have been used. Predictive data mining offers variety of methods for WS predictions where artificial neural network (ANN) is one of the reliable and accurate methods. It is observed from the result of this study that ANN gives better accuracy in comparison conventional model. The accuracy of WS prediction models is found to be dependent on input parameters and architecture type algorithms utilized. So the selection of most relevant input parameters is important research area in WS prediction field. The objective of the paper is twofold: first extensive review of ANN for wind power and WS prediction is carried out. Discussion and analysis of feature selection using Relief Algorithm (RA) in WS prediction are considered for different Indian sites. RA identify atmospheric pressure, solar radiation and relative humidity are relevant input variables. Based on relevant input variables Cascade ANN model is developed and prediction accuracy is evaluated. It is found that root mean square error (RMSE) for comparison between predicted and measured WS for training and testing wind speed are found to be 1.44 m/s and 1.49 m/s respectively. The developed cascade ANN model can be used to predict wind speed for sites where there are not WS measuring instruments are installed in India.

1. Introduction

Inadequate sources of energy have become one of the major barriers realized by the global sustainable development. Therefore renewable energy sources have drawn attention worldwide. Among renewable energy sources, wind energy (WE) will act as important source for future electricity in the form of wind power. The demand of wind energy worldwide is developing drastically [1] so accurate assessment of WE potential [2–5] is important for economic point of view. Since wind power is a function of wind speed (WS) [6], prediction of power are generally derived from prediction of speed as shown in Eq. (1).

$$P = \frac{1}{2} \rho A v^3 \quad (1)$$

where A = Rotor swept area, ρ = air density, v = velocity of wind in m/s.

The greatest problem with wind power integration in power system is fluctuating nature of wind power due to high correlation with stochastic nonstationary WS. This escalate the essential for reliable and accurate techniques for wind farms output power prediction. WS prediction is also essential process for wind farms units' maintenance, optimal power flow between conventional units and wind farms [7], electricity marketing bidding, power system generators scheduling, energy reserve, storages planning and scheduling. Several physical model [8,9] and time-series-based models have been investigated for WS forecasting [10–15].

The three main objectives of this review are as follows: (i) to present different Artificial Neural Network used in short, medium and long term wind speed prediction (ii) to summarize important findings in WS prediction field (iii) to find out research gaps in WS prediction field.

The paper is organized as follows. Review and classification of WS

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: hasmat.malik@gmail.com (H. Malik), amit1986.529@rediffmail.com (A.K. Yadav), faustopedro.garcia@uclm.es (F.P.G. Márquez), jesusmaria.pinar@cunef.edu (J.M. Pinar-Pérez).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2022.100864>

Received 12 September 2021; Accepted 5 March 2022

Available online 23 May 2022

2211-467X/© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

forecasting methods is given in section 2. It includes different approaches utilized for very short, medium and long term WS prediction along with most relevance parameters selection. Important findings are presented in section 3. Section 4 presents the research gaps identified in this study. Finally, conclusions are given in section 5.

2. Review and classification of wind speed prediction methods

There are numerous methods and techniques that have been developed for prediction of WS. To attain stable power in interconnected power system operations, it is necessary to predict WS. Physical, statistical and combination of same or different approaches are the present WS prediction methods which is also classified on the basis of various time scales. Short term forecasts include forecasting WS for several days and also from minutes to hours [16]. The long term forecasts means longer time scale prediction up to a month, a year or several years [17].

2.1. Short and extremely short term wind speed prediction

Extremely short and short term WS forecasting models predict WS of small duration from few seconds to 6 h. Statistical, time series and physical approach are used for short forecasting [18–24]. It is mainly helpful for unit commitment and it also reduces the voltage and frequency fluctuations due to variation in wind power.

Li et al. [25] employed Elman recursion neural network to predict one step advance WS. Ten minutes previous data is used to forecast one step ahead prediction. The network is tested with different number of neurons. It is found that error prediction with Weibull distribution is low in comparison to Gaussian distribution. It is found that Normalized mean square error (NMSE) using Elman network is 0.0166 and with BP network is 0.0169.

Cao et al. [26] presented recurrent neural networks using the measured data at different heights to predict WS. The results obtained with multivariate recurrent neural network are compared to the univariate recurrent neural network and ARIMA model. At different heights univariate ARIMA, univariate ANN, multivariate ARIMA, multivariate ANN are discussed. It is concluded that recurrent neural network (RNN) model preferred over ARIMA for univariate and multivariate case.

Da Liu et al. [27] found that accurate and effective results are obtained with this approach. WS data and temperature are taken from a wind farm of china are used for training and testing the model. Model output is compared with ARIMA, generalized regression neural network and echo state networks (GRNN) and echo state networks (ESN) model. Prediction at an interval of 15 min (short term) is made by spectral clustering and echo state networks (SC-ESN). The performance of the model is also tested with data collected from CEDA, UK. Mean values of MAPE%, MAE and normalized root mean square error (NRMSE) are found to be 11.76, 0.40 and 1.38 resp. with SC-ECN-GA model.

Kusiak and Zhang [28] presented double exponential time series (DES) and NN, support vector machine (SVM), k-nearest neighbour, boosting tree random forest data driven models to forecast short term WS and power. WS for six time intervals from $t+10$ s to $t+60$ s are predicted and found that DES and NN model outperforms the other four models. For WP prediction three models are established and each model is trained with five algorithms (NN, boosting tree, random forest, SVM, and k-nearest neighbour) to predict short time horizon power.

Short term WS is predicted using SVM model based on granulated information that obtained with fuzzy information granulation (FIG) by Xiao Cheng and Peng Guo [29]. The redundant information from dataset reduces with fuzzy information granulation and hence predicts WS with a good accuracy. The data series measured from wind farm in Zhongjiakou is used to evaluate performance of the model. It is found that using combined SVM and FIG approach predicted range of variation of Low, R and Up (fuzzy granule parameters) are 7.8838, 8.7280 and 10.2073 respectively.

Khalid and Savkin [30] use data from turbines and numerical

weather predictor (NWP) to improve the model accuracy. For testing model, two data sets of different time horizons with different turbine groups have been used. Predicted WS and direction are used to predict output power with improvement from existing models. MAE and root mean square error (RMSE) values obtained with 1st data set are 2.27% and 2.69% and with 2nd data set are 2.87% and 3.27%.

Cadenas and Rivera [31] developed ANN model to forecast WS at different time intervals. The MAPE varies from 0.0399 to 0.0449. To forecast WS different time intervals are taken as shown below

$$WS_{t+1} = f(WS_t, WS_{t-1}) \quad (2)$$

$$WS_{t+2} = f(WS_{t+1}, WS_t) \quad (3)$$

$$WS_{t+3} = f(WS_{t+2}, WS_{t+1}) \quad (4)$$

Sheela and Deepa [32] proposed a hybrid model by combining Self Organizing Maps (SOM) and multilayer perceptron (MLP) neural network to predict wind speed more accurately. WS, wind direction and temperature are the input. Similar groups of all the input data are formed by SOM and then MLP network is used to predict WS. It is found that performance of hybrid model is better with RMSE 0.0828 as compared to conventional MLP, back propagation network (BPN) and radial basis function network (RBFN) models. RMSE obtained with proposed hybrid approach is 0.0828.

Two hybrid methods ARIMA-ANN and ARIMA-Kalman are employed to forecast WS and their performance is compared by Liu et al. [33]. A time series ARIMA is used with ANN model to predict the best structure for network and also to find the best initial conditions with Kalman model. The model is used for multistep ahead WS. Broyden–Fletcher–Goldfarb–Shannon Quasi-Newton Back Propagation (BFGS) is the training algorithm use with ANN model. It is found that WS predicted by ARIMA-Kalman model is better than ARIMA –ANN model. The improved in MAE from 1 to 3 step is 53.47%, 47.40% and 49.18% resp. Improvement in MAPE error is found to be 52.74%, 46.61% and 48.41% respectively.

El-Fouly et al. [34] presented Grey predictor model GM (1, 1) to predict WS and WP. Based on the forecasted WS as input to a 600-W wind turbine, output power is predicted. For 1 h ahead prediction the proposed model is tested with hourly recorded datasets. The results obtained with Grey predictor model are found to be better than persistent model with an average accuracy improvement of 11.24% and 12.20% for WS and power output respectively. Niu and Wang [35] proposed empirical mode decomposition method along with multi-objective optimization algorithm to forecast WS for three different sites in China. It is suggested that proposed model give better results than traditional model. Zhou et al. [36] considered data analysis, model selection strategy, forecasting processing combined with a modified multi-objective optimization algorithm, and model evaluation for forecasting WS in Gansu, China. This approach improve WS forecasting accuracy. Jiang et al. [37] removed noise using decomposition technique and proposed hybrid linear-nonlinear modeling with chaos theory. Finally support vector regression and firefly optimization algorithm are combined to predict WS.

Hao et al. [38] proposed hybrid of clustering algorithm and generalized regression neural network (GRNN) for WP prediction. Dilation and erosion, K-mean, DPK- medoids are used to cluster inputs variable having similar characteristics. Normalized root mean square error for no clustering, DE, DPK- medoids and K-means are found to be 13.9%, 7.9%, 13% and 8.9% respectively.

Liu et al. [39] developed hybrid model by combining singular spectrum analysis to decompose WS into number of components, Convolutional Neural Network to predict trend component and Support vector regression for detail component prediction. Computation time for hybrid model is under 3 min. Some other important researches on WS prediction with and without hybrid models are given in Refs. [40–66].




Fig. 1. Forecasting wind power fuzzy model.

2.2. Medium term wind speed prediction

Forecasting models of medium duration include 6 days to 1 day ahead prediction. It is helpful for taking generator online and offline decisions. These models are self-calibrating and self-adjusting. It includes time series, statistical and physical models of WS prediction [67–75].

Maatallah et al. [76] developed a model based on Hammerstein Auto-Regressive (HAR) model and verified the result with real time data of two sites one at Illinois and Other is at New Jersey. The HAR model outperforms the ARMA and ANN models but it is also found that at some data point error was high. HAR model nonlinearity generates these errors. These errors can be overcome by using hybrid framework with HAR model. Result demonstrates that HAR model performs well than ARMA and HAR model.

Haque et al. [77] presented a hybrid machine learning algorithm to forecast WP on the basis of data collected from a wind farm of Canada. The hybrid approach is based on wavelet transform and fuzzy adaptive resonance theory mapping (ARTMAP) [Fig. 1.]. The model is proposed for different k hours ahead WS prediction. The results obtained with machine learning algorithm are found to be better than persistence and numerically weather prediction models. Further improvement can be done by taking more parameters e.g. humidity, pressure and precipitation etc into consideration to predict WS. For daily WP forecasting using proposed approach average MAPE, normalized root mean square error (NRMSE) and normalized mean absolute error (NMAE) are found to be 12.73%, 2.05% and 1.52%.

Hu et al. [78] incorporated hybrid approach Empirical Wavelet Transform- Coupled Simulated Annealing- Least Square Support Vector Machine (EWT-CSA-LSSVM) to forecast accurate short term WS. New wind series is formed after decomposing the real wind series with EWT. This reconstructed wind series is used to predict WS with LSSVM. The Coupled Simulated Annealing (CSA) is the algorithm used to optimize parameters of LSSVM. The approach is proposed to forecast results with different kernel functions. Best result is obtained with linear mapping




Fig. 2. WS prediction using Wavelet Decomposition.

function. The accuracy is better but this approach takes computation time more than persistence method and AR model. The proposed model is employed for multi-step and one step ahead time horizons WS prediction. Using proposed approach for one step forecasting horizon RMSE, MAE, MAPE indicator values are found to be 0.57, 0.57 and 12.89 respectively. Indicators for multistep forecasting also shows that proposed approach gives promising results in WS forecasting area.

Da Liu et al. [79] proposed Wavelet transforms and support vector machine optimized with genetic algorithm (GA) for prediction. Granger causality test is used to select proper lags of temperature in input variables to SVM. The performance of this model is compared and found to be better than persistent and SVM-GA model. Humidity, air pressure, precipitation and other input variables in addition with temperature make SVM better performance. MAE, MAPE% and RMSE are used to evaluate performance of proposed approach and their values found to be 0.6169, 14.79 and 1.2234.

Dan-dan et al. [80] used SVM particles optimized with particle swarm optimization (PSO) to predict short term WS. It is found that the accuracy to predict WS with combine SVM model is more than single linear and combined traditional linear forecasting approaches. With PSOSVM approach Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD), MSE, Mean Forecast Error (MFE) and MAPE values are found to be 0.6013, 0.7184, 0.0012 and 15.0017 respectively. Some other important researches on medium WS prediction are given in Refs. [81–103].




Fig. 3. KF-ANN model.

2.3. Long term wind speed prediction

Long term forecasting models include 1 day to 1 week or more ahead prediction. Long term WS can be predicted with physical, statistical, time series approaches. It is useful for planning a new wind turbine and for deciding the location of a new wind power plant. Guo et al. [104] used hybrid SARIMA-LSSVM approach for monthly mean WS prediction in Hexi Corridor, China. Error due to the nonlinearity and seasonal variations are reduced by this hybrid approach. Results obtained with proposed hybrid technique are compared with traditional forecasting methods and it is found that proposed approach gives accurate and efficient wind energy prediction results. The MSE, MAE and MAPE% values using proposed approach for Mazong Mountain area found to be 0.12, 0.30, 6.76 and for Jiuquan are 0.11, 0.26, 10.49 respectively. Barbounis and Theocharis [105] employed locally recurrent neural network to forecast WS in China. The online learning algorithm based on recursive prediction error (RPE) is used for training and this algorithm improves the performance of model. IIR-MLP model trained by GRPE shows 62.70% and 70.22% improvement in WS and power measurement. Mohandes et al. [106] developed SVM approach to predict WS. Daily WS data of Madina city, Saudi Arabia is used for this technique. The predicted values are compared with MLP neural networks and it is found that SVM approach outperforms the MLP model with 1–11 orders. The lowest MSE obtained with SVM model with data order 11 is 0.0078. Bhaskar and Singh [107] proposed adaptive wavelet neural network and feed forward neural network to predict WS and wind power. The proposed algorithm is shown in Fig. 2. MAE and RMSE values from 1 h to 30 h ahead WS prediction are found to be 7.081 and 10.221 respectively (see Fig. 3).

Taylor et al. [108] used ensemble predictions and time series models to forecast wind power density. WS data from five wind farms Blood Hill in Nzorfolk, Llyn Alaw in Anglesey, Bears Down in Cornwall, Bu Farm, Orkney, and Cemmaes in Powys is used for study. Wind power density forecasted with weather ensemble predictions outperforms the other time series models such as autoregressive moving average-generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity (ARMA-GARCH) models, autoregressive fractionally integrated moving average-generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity (ARFIMA-GARCH) models. Velo et al. [109] presented MLP neural network supervised with back propagation (BP) learning algorithm is to predict annual average WS. The data of WS and WD of nearby sites are used as input parameters to the proposed model. The RMSE is 1.31. Guo et al. [110] developed a new technique based on the chaotic time series modelling and Apriori algorithm for prediction. Data from four meteorological stations of China are used for testing and verification of model. The proposed model performance is compared with the ARIMA, ANN and weighted local-region (WLR) methods. It is found that minimum absolute relative error (ARE) is obtained with the proposed model. Its value for four sites ranges from 16.94 to 27.50.




Fig. 4. Hybrid EMD-ANN

Shukur and Lee [111] utilized kalman filter (KF) and ANN for improving WS forecasting. ARIMA decide input structure for ANN and KF. Hybrid KF-ANN forecast better WS than its separate components.

Ling-ling et al. [112] predicted WS more effectively by using wavelet theory along with ARMA model. To reduce errors in predicted values low frequency data from collected data series is constructed using wavelet theory and then ARMA model is use to predict wind speed. It is found that as compared to single time series model, performance of the proposed approach is better. Liu et al. [113] modified Taylor Kriging method and applied to predict time series WS. The performance of proposed approach is compared with ARIMA method for WS data collected from a site of USA. It is found that proposed approach is more accurate and improves the output with average value of MAE and RMSE

Table 1
Summary of the most important articles applying data decomposition methods in wind energy applications.

Reference	Data Decomposition Technique	Predictor Techniques
Liu et al. [131]	EMD	ARIMA, BPNN, ELM, ENN, GRNN
Wang et al. [132]	Singular spectrum analysis	Hybrid Laguerre neural network
Liu and Duan [133]	wavelet	Ensemble model
Ma et al. [134]	ensemble empirical mode decomposition	LSTM
Peng et al. [135]	wavelet soft threshold denoising	gated recurrent unit
Deng et al. [136]	empirical wavelet transform	Elman neural network
Memarzadeh et al. [137]	wavelet transform	LSTM
Moreno et al. [138]	variational mode decomposition and singular spectral analysis	long short-term memory neural network, adaptive neuro-fuzzy system, echo state network, support vector regression and Gaussian regression process
Mi et al. [139]	Singular Spectrum Analysis	Modified Adaptive Structural Learning of Neural Network grey-box model
Lu et al. [140]	ensemble empirical mode decomposition	grey-box model
Abedinia et al. [141]	improved wavelet transform	two-dimensional convolution neural network
Abedinia et al. [142]	improved version of empirical mode decomposition	bagging neural network and K-mean clustering
Gu et al. [143]	variational mode decomposition	radial basis function neural network
Jiajun et al. [144]	wavelet transform	ensemble learning and deep belief network
Liu et al. [145]	real-time decomposition	Bidirectional Long Short-Term Memory
Peng et al. [146]	optimal variational mode decomposition	regularized extreme learning machine ensemble model
Wu et al. [147]	complete ensemble empirical mode decomposition	extreme learning machine
Zhang et al. [148]	variational mode decomposition	Hybrid of Principal component analysis- radial basis kernel Function, Auto-regressive and moving average

to 18.60% and 15.23%. Arjun et al. [114] used Multivariate Regression models to predict WS using independent variables as air temperature, relative humidity and pressure. Using Linest and Logest functions of Excel four models are designed namely Linest model 1, Linest model 2, Logest model 3 and Logest model 4. The least sum of square errors obtained with model three is 121.4 but the F-statics value for model 4 is highest among all other models. It is also concluded that further improvement and analysis is required to predict WS more accurately. Wang et al. [115] used hybrid empirical mode decomposition (EDM) and Elman neural network (ENN) for accurate WS forecasting. The collected hourly data series from a site of China is divided into sub series using EMD and then ENN model is established using these sub series. Model performance is compared with Persistent Model (PM), BPNN and ENN models and found that accurate and effective wind speed is predicted with hybrid approach. This conclusion is obtained on the basis of calculated MAE, MSE and MAPE values of four seasons. Barbounis et al. [116] developed three recurrent neural networks to forecast WS at 72 time steps ahead. Two novel learning algorithms namely global and decoupled recursive prediction error are introduced for the training of the recurrent forecast models. These learning algorithm has small error.

Atwa and El-Saaday [117] employed constrained grey predictor model to predict annual WS. The grey predictor model use clustered data instead of time series based data sets. To validate the model, data from a

site is used to test the model. The proposed model outperforms the Weibull probability density function (PDF) model with annual percentage average absolute error of 13.4%. Liu et al. [118] developed hybrid of Empirical Mode Decomposition and ANN for WS prediction. It is found that EMD-ANN model outperforms ANN, ARIMA and SM [Fig. 4].

A new model Cuckoo Search-Fuzzy System-Weather research and forecasting ensemble (CS-FS-WRF-E) is proposed by Jing Zhao et al. [119]. It is a new approach and based on NW Pensemble and a fuzzy process. The performance of proposed approach is compared to mean WRF-E (M-WRF-E), fuzzy system WRF-E (FS-WRF-E) and CS-FS-WRF-E. MSE obtained for these approaches are 7.4774, 7.3615 and 3.2847 respectively. Linear models are combined with wavelet decomposition technique for a week ahead wind prediction by Dennis C. Kiplangat et al. [120]. The model performance is evaluated on 10 min data samples of 234 locations collected from National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), USA. Average error using proposed approach for 3 days ahead prediction is within 7–8%. Accuracy is improved up to 18.23% for week ahead wind speed prediction. Some other important researches on long term WS prediction with network types are given in Refs. [121–130]. Furthermore study based on data decomposition with different predictor is shown in Table 1.

2.4. Relevant input parameters selection for wind speed prediction

The various geographical and meterological variables as input parameters to different models play an important role in WS prediction. To forecast WS accurately it is also required to remove seasonal variations from input data. It is quite important to mention here that effect of all input variables to predictive model is not same. Therefore it is the primary requirement of researcher to choose most relevant parameters to reduce error in forecasted values [149].

Luis Vera-Tudela and Martin Kühn [150] discussed different input selections methods to choose predictors from a set of input variables. Six sets with different number of predictors are formed. First set consists of all 56 input variables and is a baseline set. The 23 predictors are used in second set based on the ranking of spearman coefficient calculated for each input variable. Stepwise regression is used for selection of third predictor set. Redundant candidates are eliminated from the fourth set with cross correlation higher than 0.95. Fifth set is formed using clustering and consist 17 predictors and sixth set consists of principal components. An optimal set of predictors is formed four filters and one dimensional reduction algorithm.

Similarly a hybrid network with Bayesian clustering by dynamics (BCD) and support vector regression (SVR) is employed to forecast 48 h ahead wind power generation by Shu Fan et al. [151]. Data from wind farm installed in United States is used for evaluating the performance of model. Wind generation and WS data from the available input variables are used for SVR model whereas wind direction and humidity along with WS and wind generation are used for training and testing of BCD performance. The selection of network parameters makes the proposed method effective to forecast wind generation.

An IS-PSO-BP method is employed by Chao Ren et al. [152] for WS forecasting. The optimal input parameters are selected for the back propagation neural network based on Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) for wind speed prediction. Forecasting accuracy is increased with input selection method. The model performance is evaluated with the WS data of Jiuquan prefecture and Yumen city. Lateral and longitudinal dataset selection methods are used and it is found that longitudinal data selection method is more useful and suitable for WS prediction. The proposed model performance is compared with BP and ARIMA model. Wu et al. [153] proposed day ahead forecasting model consist of deep feature extraction, clustering and long short-term memory (LSTM). Deep feature extraction improve forecasting accuracy by 33% in comparison to principal component analysis.

3. Important findings

Through comprehensive literature review, it is obtained that different physical, statistical and hybrid approaches are available for WS prediction. ARMA model, Time series models, Spatial correlation, Artificial intelligence etc. are the commonly used techniques. Different types of soft computing models used for prediction and forecasting are Elman recursion neural network, Recurrent neural network, support vector machine, ANN with LM algorithm, Probabilistic fuzzy system, Radial basis function neural network, wavelet support vector machine, Recurrent neural network, Adaptive wavelet neural network and multi resolution, ANFIS, Support vector regression, Recurrent multilayer network with internal feedback paths, Chaotic network operator, adaptive wavelet neural network, etc. The hybrid methods used for WS forecasting are Self Organizing Maps and MLP, ARIMA- ANN and ARIMA-Kalman filter, Piecewise support vector machine (PSVM) and SVM, wavelet transform and fuzzy, Empirical Wavelet Transform- Coupled Simulated Annealing- Least Square Support Vector Machine, Wavelet transforms and support vector machine optimized with genetic algorithm, SVM particles optimized with particle swarm optimization, Wavelet decomposition and artificial bee colony algorithm, Adaptive weighted particle swarm optimization (AWPSO) and ANN, empirical mode decomposition (EDM) and Elman neural network (ENN), ENN and GRNN, EMD-ANN, PSO and support vector machine regression, Bayesian clustering by dynamics (BCD) and support vector regression (SVR).

These techniques have different forecasting accuracy that mainly decided on the basis of MSE, MAPE, MAE (most commonly used error values). Forecasting accuracy further improves with relevant input parameters selection and seasonal component adjustment. It is found that for short term horizons NNs, ARIMA, SVM are generally used techniques whereas for long term prediction hybrid approaches are applied. Although this is not the crucial classification. Hybrid approaches can also be implied for short term WS prediction and statistical approaches for long term WS forecasting. ARIMA is used to forecast WS and the error due to nonlinearity of ARIMA model is overcome by ANN. Prediction accuracy of Reduced support vector machine model increases with wind pressure and temperature as inputs but with wind direction along with other parameters prediction accuracy decreases.

Accuracy of forecasting model mainly depends on input parameters used for the study. It is also found that insertion or deletion of one or more parameters effects model prediction results. The optimal input parameters are selected for the back propagation neural network based on Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) for WS prediction. For prediction air temperature, vapor pressure, relative humidity, pressure, wind direction and evaporation are commonly used input variables. In some references latitude, longitude, mean sea level pressure, dew point temperature, time of day are also included in input parameters for WS prediction.

4. Identified research gaps in wind speed prediction

Based on literature review the following research gaps are identified in the present study:

- (1) A more comparative analysis is required to develop a model that does not affected by time horizons of input data. Such that same model can be used to forecast short term as well as long term wind speed effectively and accurately.
- (2) Some methods should be developed to calculate the best performance of ANN model with required number of neurons in hidden layer instead of evaluating the model performance by varying these neurons one by one.
- (3) More studies are required to find out the effect of seasonal variations on performance of input variables to different techniques.

Table 2

Description of 12 locations of different, Indian states.

S. No.	Locations	State	ANN Model
1	Mount Harriet	Andaman and Nicobar	Testing
2	South Bay		Training
3	Burgula	Andhra Pradesh	Training
4	Chinnakaballi		Training
5	Kotrathanda		Training
6	Galikonda		Training
7	Kotturu		Training
8	Shahpuram		Training
9	Siddanagatta		Training
10	Singarikonda		Training
11	Talaricherevu		Training
12	Teranavalle		Training
13	Tirumalayapalli		Training
14	Vajrakarur 2		Testing
15	P.Leikul	Assam	Training
16	Dhrobana	Gujarat	Training
17	Khambada		Training
18	Lamba		Training
19	Mahidad		Training
20	Rojmal 2		Training
21	Sadodar		Training
22	Sangasar		Training
23	Sinugra		Training
24	Suwarda		Training
25	Vadgam		Training
26	Vandhya		Training
27	Lodhrani		Testing
28	Bidda	Jammu and Kashmir	Training
29	Hulkoti	Karnataka	Training
30	Jogimatti		Training
31	Mannikeri		Training
32	Mavingundi		Training
33	Saundatti		Training
34	Sogi		Training
35	Topaldoddi		Training
36	Anadurwadi Tanda		Training
37	Kaudiyal		Training
38	Kajibilgi		Testing
39	Ozhalapathy	Kerala	Training
40	Chadayangulay		Training
41	Narasingam		Training
42	Pasavadigomva		Training
43	Pushpagiri		Testing
44	Banbir Kheri	Madhya Pradesh	Training
45	Barkheri Bazar		Training
46	Mamatkheda		Training
47	Nachanbor		Training
48	Nagda		Training
49	Machaliya Ghat		Training
50	Mandwa		Training
51	Valiyarpani		Testing
52	Ambral	Maharashtra	Training
53	Khokadi		Training
54	Mahijalgaon		Training
55	Raipur		Training
56	Brahmanwel		Training
57	Chakla		Training
58	Jagmin		Training
59	Kamravad		Testing
60	Laimaton	Manipur	Training
61	Balesar	Rajasthan	Training
62	Kanod		Training
63	Akal		Training
64	Jhodol 2		Testing
65	Nayachar Island	West Bengal	Training
66	Nijkasba		Testing

- (4) A comprehensive study on the optimum input parameters selection and data collection techniques is also needed to be examined for WS prediction accurately.



Fig. 5. Selected states of India for ANN model development.




Fig. 6. Solar radiation (W/m^2).




Fig. 7. Relative humidity.




Fig. 8. Atmospheric pressure.




Fig. 9. Wind speed (m/s).




Fig. 10. Proposed algorithm for Wind Speed Prediction.

- (5) Very few research has been done on finding the WS of a location where wind farm can be built i. e using data from other locations, wind speed of synoptic station is predicted.
- (6) Further study on a combine approach of input data selection techniques and forecasting methods is needed.
- (7) More hybrid techniques needs to be developed to increase forecasting accuracy.
- (8) Relevant input variable selection using different feature selection techniques

5. Case study: feature selection techniques in wind speed prediction systems with the relief attribute algorithm

5.1. Description of locations

The Indian states which are considered to develop cascade ANN model are shown in Fig. 1 and data of different sites which are used for training and testing cascade ANN model is shown in Table 2. The 12 month average measured value of solar radiation, relative humidity, atmospheric pressure, temperature and wind speed for different sites shown in Figs. 5–9. These values are measured by National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

5.2. Relief Algorithm

Relief Algorithm (RA) is based on instant based learning and is given by Kira and Rendell [154] and later improved by Kononenko [155]. RA is very efficient in estimating attributes. It selects the features those are relevant to target. It locate nearest neighbour by random sampling a case from the data. The nearest neighbors attributes values are compared to sampled instance for updating relevance score for each attribute. For a given instances RELIEF searches two nearest neighbors: one from the identical class (nearest hit) and the other from different class (called nearest miss) [156]. RELIEF’s estimate $W [A]$ of attribute A is an approximation of the following difference of probabilities:

$$W [A] = P(\text{different value of } A \setminus \text{nearest instance from different class}) - P(\text{different value of } A \setminus \text{nearest instance from same class}).$$

The rationale is that good attribute should differentiate between instances from different classes and should have the same value for instances from the same class.

The algorithm developed in this study is shown in Fig. 10.

6. Results and discussions

To select relevant input variable Matlab code $[rank] = \text{relieff}(j,i, 10)$ where j is a matrix of solar radiation, temperature, relative humidity,

Table 3
Rank of input variables.

Input Variables	Rank
solar radiation	2
temperature	4
relative humidity	3
Latitude	6
longitude	7
atmospheric pressure	1
earth temperature	5
Elevation	8

latitude, longitude, atmospheric pressure, earth temperature, elevation and i is measured wind speed. The ranks of input variables are shown in Table 3. It is found that atmospheric pressure, solar radiation, relative humidity and temperature are most relevant input variables and it can be used for prediction of wind speed.

For training of ANN model 672 data points of atmospheric pressure, solar radiation and relative humidity are used as inputs and its corresponding monthly average wind speed are used as target. The sensitivity test is performed to validate the number of hidden layer neurons by calculating change in prediction error (MAPE) when number of hidden

layer neurons is changed ± 5 from hidden layer neurons. The performance plot of ANN model demonstrates that mean square error becomes minimum as number of epochs increases [Fig. 11]. The epoch is one complete sweep of training, testing and validation. The test set error and validation set error has comparable characteristics and no major over fitting happens near epoch 5 (where best validation performance has taken place). Epochs are one complete sweep of training, testing and validation. The developed Cascade ANN model is shown in Fig. 12. The error histogram plot [Fig. 13] shows error is minimum. The comparison between predicted and measured wind speed for training and testing cascade ANN model are shown in Fig. 14 and Fig. 15, showing predicted wind speed is close to measure wind speed. The root mean square error (RMSE) for training and testing are 1.44 m/s and 1.49 m/s respectively.

7. Conclusion

In this paper a review on different wind speed and wind power forecasting techniques is presented. Wind is an important energy source to meet our present and future energy requirement. Therefore, it is essential to forecast wind speed accurately. Various models have been developed and each model has its own characteristic. These models are based on different input variables, different locations, for different time




Fig. 11. Performance plot of Cascade ANN model.




Fig. 12. Cascade ANN model.




Fig. 13. Error histogram plot of Cascade ANN model.




Fig. 14. Comparison between predicted and measured wind speed for training data points of Cascade ANN model.




Fig. 15. Comparison between predicted and measured wind speed for testing data points of Cascade ANN model.

horizons etc. so it is not possible to make accurate comparative analysis of these methods. Models based upon artificial intelligence methods predict wind speed with a low value of errors. Hybrid models outperforms historical methods of wind speed forecasting. Decomposition techniques can improve prediction accuracy of ANN models.

ANN with different algorithms and different number of neurons are discussed. Since training and testing of these ANN models is done with different data set values and different predictors so relative analysis of ANN methods is also not possible. It is important to consider wind speed, wind direction, temperature, pressure, relative humidity, vapor pressure

and all other parameters that effect performance of prediction models. Also, small prediction error values are obtained if small variations in wind speed are considered and seasonal variations are eliminated. Therefore, relevant input parameters selections is prerequisite for accurate wind speed prediction.

As a case study based on research gap Relief algorithm using Matlab 2017 is implemented for identifying relevant input variables for the prediction of wind speed using Cascade ANN model. The most relevant input variables for the prediction of wind speed are found to be atmospheric pressure, solar radiation and relative humidity. The comparison between predicted and measured wind speed for training and testing data points, presents predicted wind speed is close to measure wind speed with root mean square error for training and testing are 1.44 m/s and 1.49 m/s respectively. The developed Cascade ANN model can be used to predict monthly average wind speed for other sites in India where wind speed measuring instruments are not installed, proving useful for assessment of wind power potential.

Funding

The work reported herewith has been financially by the Dirección General de Universidades, Investigación e Innovación de Castilla-La Mancha, under Research Grant ProSeaWind project (Ref.: SBPLY/19/180501/000102) and the ERDF funds.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to acknowledge the support from Intelligent Prognostic Private Limited India Researcher's Supporting Project. The authors would like to acknowledge the support from Ingenium Research Group, Universidad Castilla-La Mancha, 13071 Ciudad Real, Spain. The authors would like to acknowledge the support from Department of Quantitative Methods, CUNEF Universidad, 28040 Madrid, Spain. The authors would like to acknowledge National Aeronautics and Space Administration for providing measured value of wind speed and other meteorological variables open access for the study.

References

- [1] E.L. Petersen, In search of the wind energy potential, *J. Renew. Sustain. Energy* 9 (2017) 1–11.
- [2] P. Wais, A review of Weibull functions in wind sector, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 70 (2017) 1099–1107.
- [3] H.H. Goh, S.W. Lee, Q.S. Chua, K.C. Goh, K.T.K. Teo, Wind energy assessment considering wind speed correlation in Malaysia, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 15 (2016) 1389–1400.
- [4] J. Wang, S. Qin, S. Jin, J. Wu, Estimation methods review and analysis of offshore extreme wind speeds and wind energy resources, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 42 (2015) 26–42.
- [5] K.R.S. Murthy, O.P. Rahi, A comprehensive review of wind resource assessment, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 72 (2017) 1320–1342.
- [6] A. Feijóo, D. Villanueva, Assessing wind speed simulation methods, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 56 (2016) 473–483.
- [7] S. Son, Sini Han, J.H. Roh, D. Lee, Optimal offer strategies for energy storage system integrated wind power producers in the day-ahead energy and, regulation markets, *Journal of Electrical Engineering and Technology* 13 (6) (2018) 2236–2244.
- [8] S. Al-Yahyai, Y. Charabi, A. Gastli, Review of the use of numerical weather prediction (NWP) models for wind energy assessment, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 14 (2010) 3192–3198.
- [9] L. Dong, L. Wang, S.F. Khahro, S. Gao, X. Liao, Wind power day-ahead prediction with cluster analysis of NWP, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 60 (2016) 1206–1212.
- [10] M. Lei, L. Shiyao, J. Chuanwen, L. Hongling, Z. Yan, A review on the forecasting of wind speed and generated power, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 13 (2009) 915–920.
- [11] J. Jung, R.P. Broadwater, Current status and future advances for wind speed and power forecasting, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 31 (2014) 762–777.
- [12] A. Tascikaraoglu, M. Uzunoglu, A review of combined approaches for prediction of short-term wind speed and power, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 34 (2014) 243–254.
- [13] N. Masseran, Modeling the fluctuations of wind speed data by considering their mean and volatility effects, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 54 (2016) 777–784.
- [14] I. Colak, S. Sagioglu, M. Yesilbudak, Data mining and wind power prediction: a literature review, *Renew. Energy* 46 (2012) 241–247.
- [15] G. Sideratos, N.D. Hatzigiorgiou, An advanced statistical method for wind power forecasting, *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.* 22 (1) (2007) 258–265.
- [16] C.Y. Huang, Y.W. Liu, W. Tzeng, P. Wang, Short Term Wind Speed Predictions by Using the Grey Prediction Model Based Forecast Method. *Green Technologies Conference*, 2011. <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/articleDetails.jsp?arnumber=5754856>.
- [17] *Wind Energy Conversion Systems: Technology and Trends* by S.M. Mueyeen, Springer. <http://www.springer.com/us/book/9781447122005>.
- [18] S.A.P. Kani, G.H. Riahy, D. Mazhari, An innovative hybrid algorithm for very short-term wind speed prediction using linear prediction and Markov chain approach, *Int. J. Green Energy* 8 (2011) 147–162. <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/15435075.2010.548887>.
- [19] Z. Song, Y. Jiang, Z. Zhang, Short-term wind speed forecasting with Markov-switching model, *Appl. Energy* 130 (2014) 103–112.
- [20] G.H. Riahy, M. Abedi, Short term wind speed forecasting for wind turbine applications using linear prediction method, *Renew. Energy* 33 (2008) 35–41.
- [21] S. Bivona, G. Bonanno, R. Burlon, D. Gurrera, C. Leone, Stochastic models for wind speed forecasting, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 52 (2011) 1157–1165.
- [22] C. Huang, Y. Liu, W. Tzeng, P. Wang, Short term wind speed predictions by using the grey prediction model based forecast method, in: *IEEE Green Technologies Conference*, 2011, pp. 1–5.
- [23] L. Zhu, Q.H. Wu, L. Jiang, Mathematical Morphology-Based Short-Term Wind Speed Prediction Using Support Vector Regression, *5th IEEE ISGT Europe*, 2014, pp. 1–6.
- [24] M. Lydia, S.S. Kumar, A.I. Selvakumar, G.E.P. Kumar, Linear and non-linear autoregressive models for short-term wind speed forecasting, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 112 (2016) 115–124.
- [25] J. Li, B. Zhang, C. Mao, G. Xie, Y. Li, J. Lu, Wind speed prediction based on the elman recursion neural networks, *International Conference on Modelling, Identification and Control* (2010) 728–732.
- [26] Q. Cao, B.T. Ewing, Mark A. Thompson, Forecasting wind speed with recurrent neural network, *Eur. J. Oper. Res.* 221 (2012) 148–154.
- [27] D. Liu, J. Wang, H. Wang, Short-term wind speed forecasting based on spectral clustering and optimised echo state networks, *Renew. Energy* 78 (2015) 599–608.
- [28] A. Kusiak, Z. Zhang, Short-horizon prediction of wind power: a data-driven approach, *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.* 25 (2010) 1112–1122.
- [29] X. Cheng, P. Guo, in: Short-term Wind Speed Prediction Based on Support Vector Machine of Fuzzy Information Granulation. *25th Control and Decision Conference*, 2013, pp. 1918–1923.
- [30] M. Khalid, V. Andrey, Savkind. A method for short-term wind power prediction with multiple observation points, *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.* 27 (2012) 579–586.
- [31] E. Cadenas, W. Rivera, Short term wind speed forecasting in La Venta, Oaxaca, Mexico, using artificial neural networks, *Renew. Energy* 34 (2009) 274–278.
- [32] K.G. Sheela, S.N. Deepa, Neural network based hybrid computing model for wind speed prediction, *Neurocomputing* 122 (2013) 425–429.
- [33] H. Liu, H. Tian, Y. Li, Comparison of two new ARIMA-ANN and ARIMA-Kalman hybrid methods for wind speed prediction, *Appl. Energy* 98 (2012) 415–424.
- [34] T.H.M. El-Fouly, E.F.E. El-Saadany, M.M.A. Salama, Grey predictor for wind energy conversion systems output power prediction, *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.* 21 (2006) 1450–1452.
- [35] Xinsong Niu, Jiyang Wang, A combined model based on data preprocessing strategy and multi-objective optimization algorithm for short-term wind speed forecasting, *Appl. Energy* 241 (2019) 519–539.
- [36] Qingguo Zhou, Chen Wang, Gaofeng Zhang, Hybrid forecasting system based on an optimal model selection strategy for different wind speed forecasting problems, *Appl. Energy* 250 (2019) 1559–1580.
- [37] Ping Jiang, Biao Wang, Hongmin Li, Haiyan Lu, Modeling for Chaotic Time Series Based on Linear and Nonlinear Framework: Application to Wind Speed Forecasting, *Energy*, 2019, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.02.080>.
- [38] Hao Ying, Lei Dong, Xiaozhong Liao, Jun Liang, Lijie Wang, Bo Wang, A novel clustering algorithm based on mathematical morphology for wind power generation prediction, *Renew. Energy* 136 (2019) 572–585.
- [39] Liu Hui, Mi Xiwei, Li Yanfei, Duan Zhu, Xu Yinan, Smart wind speed deep learning based multi-step forecasting model using singular spectrum analysis, convolutional Gated Recurrent Unit network and Support Vector Regression, *Renew. Energy* 143 (2019) 842–854, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.05.039>.
- [40] G. Bai, Y. Ding, M.B. Yildirim, Y. Ding, Short-term Prediction Models for Wind Speed and Wind Power, in: *2nd International Conference on System and Informatics*, 2014, pp. 180–185.
- [41] E. Izgi, A. Öztopal, B. Yerli, M.K. Kaymak, A.D. Şahin, Determination of the representatives time horizons for short-term wind power prediction by using artificial neural networks, *Energy Sources, Part A Recovery, Util. Environ. Eff.* 36 (2014) 1800–1809.
- [42] H. Xiaojuan, Y. Xiyun, L. Juncheng, Short-Time Wind Speed Prediction for Wind Farm Based on Improved Neural Network, *8th World Congress on Intelligent Control and Automation*, 2010, pp. 5186–5190.

- [43] S.S. Sanz, A. Perez-Bellido, E. Ortiz-García, A. Portilla-Figueras, L. Prieto, D. Paredes, F. Correoso, Short-term wind speed prediction by hybridizing global and mesoscale forecasting models with artificial neural networks, in: International Conference on Hybrid Intelligent Systems, 2008, pp. 608–612.
- [44] G. Zhang, H. Li, M. Gan, Design a wind speed prediction model using probabilistic fuzzy system, *IEEE Trans. Ind. Inf.* (2012) 819–827.
- [45] W. Zhang, J. Wang, J. Wang, Z. Zhao, M. Tian, Short-term wind speed forecasting based on a hybrid model, *Appl. Soft Comput.* 13 (2013) 3225–3233.
- [46] Zeng, W. Qiao, Short-term wind power prediction using a wavelet support vector machine, *IEEE Trans. Sustain. Energy* 3 (2012) 255–264.
- [47] H. Liu, H. Tian, D. Pan, Y. Li, Forecasting models for wind speed using wavelet, wavelet packet, time series and Artificial Neural Networks, *Appl. Energy* 107 (2013) 191–208.
- [48] Y. Liu, J. Shi, Y. Yang, S. Han, Piecewise support vector machine model for short-term wind-power prediction, *Int. J. Green Energy* 6 (2006) 479–489.
- [49] K. Chen, J. Yu, Short-term wind speed prediction using an unscented Kalman filter based state-space support vector regression approach, *Appl. Energy* 113 (2014) 690–705.
- [50] T.G. Barbounis, J.B. Theocharis, Locally recurrent neural networks for wind speed prediction using spatial correlation, *Inf. Sci.* 177 (2007) 5775–5797.
- [51] J.C. Palomares-Salas, A. Agüera-Pérez, J.J.G. Rosa, A. Moreno-Muño, A novel neural network method for wind speed forecasting using exogenous measurements from agriculture stations, *Measurment* 55 (2014) 295–304.
- [52] Y. Noorollahi, M.A. Jokar, A. Kalhor, Using artificial neural networks for temporal and spatial wind speed forecasting in Iran, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 115 (2016) 17–25.
- [53] B. Doucoure, K. Agbossou, A. Cardenas, Time series prediction using artificial wavelet neural network and multi-resolution analysis: application to wind speed data, *Renew. Energy* 92 (2016) 202–211.
- [54] Zhendong Zhang, Lei Ye, Hui Qin, Yongqi Liu, Chao Wang, Yu Xiang, Xingli Yin, Jie Li, Wind speed prediction method using shared weight long short-term memory network and Gaussian process regression, *Appl. Energy* 247 (2019) 270–284.
- [55] Ying-Yi Hong, P. Rioflorida Christian Lian Paulo, A hybrid deep learning-based neural network for 24-h ahead wind power forecasting, *Appl. Energy* 250 (2019) 530–539.
- [56] Azim Heydari, Davide Astiaso Garcia, Farshid Keynia, Fabio Bisegna, Livio De Santoli, A novel composite neural network based method for wind and solar power forecasting in microgrids, *Appl. Energy* 251 (2019) 1–17.
- [57] Li Chen, Zhijie Zhu, Hufang Yang, Ranran Li, An Innovative Hybrid System for Wind Speed Forecasting Based on Fuzzy Preprocessing Scheme and Multi-Objective Optimization, *Energy*, 2019, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.02.194>.
- [58] Jyotirmayee Naik, Pradipta Kishore Dash, Snehamoy Dhar, A Multi-Objective Wind Speed and Wind Power Prediction Interval Forecasting Using Variational Modes Decomposition Based Multi-Kernel Robust Ridge Regression, *Renewable Energy*, 2019, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.01.006>.
- [59] S.N. Singh Aasim, Abheejeet Mohapatra, Repeated Wavelet Transform Based ARIMA Model for Very Short-Term Wind Speed Forecasting, *Renewable Energy*, 2019, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.01.031>.
- [60] Rui Hu, Weihao Hu, Nuri Gökmen, Pengfei Li, Qi Huang, Zhe Chen, High Resolution Wind Speed Forecasting Based on Wavelet Decomposed Phase Space Reconstruction and Self-Organizing Map, *Renewable Energy*, 2019, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.03.041>.
- [61] Haoshu Cai, Xiaodong Jia, Jianshe Feng, Qibo Yang, Yuan-Ming Hsu, Yudi Chen, Jay Lee, A Combined Filtering Strategy for Short Term and Long Term Wind Speed Prediction with Improved Accuracy, *Renewable Energy*, 2018, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2018.09.080>.
- [62] M. Sami Fadali Mohammad Amin Chitsazan, M. Trzynadlowski Andrzej, Wind Speed and Wind Direction Forecasting Using Echo State Network with Nonlinear Functions, *Renewable Energy*, 2018, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2018.07.060>.
- [63] Ping Jiang, Hufang Yang, Jiani Heng, A hybrid forecasting system based on fuzzy time series and multi-objective optimization for wind speed forecasting, *Appl. Energy* 235 (2019) 786–801.
- [64] Haoshu Cai, Xiaodong Jia, Jianshe Feng, Qibo Yang, Yuan-Ming Hsu, Yudi Chen, Jay Lee, A Combined Filtering Strategy for Short Term and Long Term Wind Speed Prediction with Improved Accuracy, *Renewable Energy*, 2018, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2018.09.080>.
- [65] Qian Zheng, Yan Pei, Hamidreza Zareipour, Niya Chen, A review and discussion of decomposition-based hybrid models for wind energy forecasting applications, *Appl. Energy* 235 (2019) 939–953.
- [66] Zongxi Qu, Wenqian Mao, Kequan Zhang, Wenyu Zhang, Zhipeng Li, Multi-step wind speed forecasting based on a hybrid decomposition technique and an improved back-propagation neural network, *Renew. Energy* 133 (2019) 919–929.
- [67] E. Erdem, J. Shi, ARMA based approaches for forecasting the tuple of wind speed and direction, *Appl. Energy* 88 (2011) 1405–1414.
- [68] J.L. Torres, A. Garcia, M.D. Blas, A.D. Francisco, Forecast of hourly average wind speed with ARMA models in Navarre (Spain), *Sol. Energy* 79 (2005) 65–77.
- [69] Heping Liu, Ergin Erdem, Jing Shi, Comprehensive evaluation of ARMA–GARCH (–M) approaches for modelling the mean and volatility of wind speed, *Appl. Energy* 88 (2011) 724–732.
- [70] H. M. El-Fouly Tarek, Ehab F. El-Saadany, M. A. Salama Magdy, One day ahead prediction of wind speed and direction, *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.* 23 (2008) 191–201.
- [71] T.H.M. El-Fouly, E.F. El-Saadany, M.M.A. Salama, Improved Grey predictor rolling models for wind power prediction, *IET Gener., Transm. Distrib.* 6 (2007) 928–937.
- [72] Jie Tang, Alexandre Brouste, Kwok Leung Tsui, Some improvements of wind speed Markov chain modelling, *Renew. Energy* 81 (2015) 52–56.
- [73] Yujie Lin, Uwe Kruger, Junping Zhang, Qi Wang, Lisa Lamont, Lana El Chaar, Seasonal analysis and prediction of wind energy using random forests and ARX model structures, *IEEE Trans. Control Syst. Technol.* 23 (2015) 1994–2002.
- [74] I. Colak, S. Sagirolu, M. Yesilbudak, Data mining and wind power prediction: a literature review, *Renew. Energy* 46 (2012) 241–247.
- [75] Sultan Al-Yahyai, Adel Gastli, Yassine Charabi, Probabilistic wind speed forecast for wind power prediction using pseudo ensemble approach, *IEEE International Conference on Power and Energy* (2012) 127–132.
- [76] Othman Ait Maatallah, Ajit Achuthan, Kerop Janoyan, Pier Marzocca, Recursive wind speed forecasting based on Hammerstein Auto-Regressive model, *Appl. Energy* 145 (2015) 191–197.
- [77] Ashraf Ul Haque, Paras Mandal, Julian Meng, Anurag K. Srivastava, Tzu-Liang Tseng, Tomonobu Senjyu, A novel hybrid approach based on wavelet transform and fuzzy ARTMAP networks for predicting wind farm power production, *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.* 49 (2013) 2253–2261.
- [78] Jianming Hu, Jianzhou Wang, Kailiang Ma, A hybrid technique for short-term wind speed prediction, *Energy* 81 (2015) 563–574.
- [79] Da Liu, Dongxiao Niu, Hui Wang, Leilei Fan, Short-term wind speed forecasting using wavelet transform and support vector machines optimized by genetic algorithm, *Renew. Energy* 62 (2014) 592–597.
- [80] Bai Dan-dan, Jing-han He, Tian Wen-qi, Xiaojun Wang, Tony Yip, An optimal combined SVM model for short-term wind speed forecasting, *Journal of International Council on Electrical Engineering* 4 (2014) 297–301.
- [81] P. Ramasamy, S.S. Chandel, Kumar Yadav Amit, Wind speed prediction in the mountainous region of India using an artificial neural network model, *Renew. Energy* 80 (2015) 338–347.
- [82] Daraeepour Ali, Dalia Patino Echeverri, Day-ahead wind speed prediction by a neural network-based model, *Innovative Smart Grid Technologies Conference* (2014) 1–5.
- [83] Zhen-hai Guo, Jie Wu, Lu Hai-yan, Wang Jian-zhou, A case study on a hybrid wind speed forecasting method using BP neural network, *Knowl. Base Syst.* 24 (2011) 1048–1056.
- [84] O.M. Salim, M.A. Zohdy, H.T. Dorrah, A.M. Kamel, Adaptive neuro-fuzzy short-term wind speed forecasting for Egypt's East-Coast, *Int. J. Sustain. Energy* 33 (2014) 16–34.
- [85] Erasmo Cadenas, Wilfrido Rivera, Wind speed forecasting in three different regions of Mexico, using a hybrid ARIMA-ANN model, *Renew. Energy* 35 (2010) 2732–2738.
- [86] M. Mohandes, S. Rehman, S.M. Rahman, Estimation of wind speed profile using adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system (ANFIS), *Appl. Energy* 88 (2011) 4024–4032.
- [87] Xiaobing Kong, Xiangjie Liu, Ruifeng Shi, Y. Lee Kwang, Wind speed prediction using reduced support vector machines with feature selection, *Neurocomputing* 169 (2015) 449–456.
- [88] Yu Jiang, Zhe Song, Andrew Kusiak, Very short-term wind speed forecasting with Bayesian structural break model, *Renew. Energy* 50 (2013) 637–647.
- [89] Li Gong, Jing Shi, Junyi Zhou, Bayesian adaptive combination of short-term wind speed forecasts from neural network models, *Renew. Energy* 36 (2011) 352–359.
- [90] Fatih O. Hocaoglu, Mehmet Fidan, Omer N. Gerek, Mycielski approach for wind speed prediction, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 50 (2009) 1436, 1433.
- [91] Xingpei Li, LID Yibing, Weidong Xin, Wind speed prediction based on genetic neural network, *ICIEA* (2009) 2448–2451.
- [92] Sheng-wei Fei, Yong He, Wind speed prediction using the hybrid model of wavelet decomposition and artificial bee colony algorithm-based relevance vector machine, *Electrical Power and Energy Systems* 73 (2015) 625–631.
- [93] Guowei Xu, Chunbo Xiu, Zhenkai Wan, Hysteretic chaotic operator network and its application in wind speed series prediction, *Neurocomputing* 165 (2015) 384–388.
- [94] Lahouar Ali, Jaleddine Ben Hadj Slama, Wind Speed and Direction Prediction for Wind Farms Using Support Vector Regression, 5th International Renewable Energy Congress IREC, 2014, pp. 1–6.
- [95] Z.H.A.O. Pan, X.I.A. Junrong, D.A.I. Yiping, H.E. Jiaying, Wind speed prediction using support vector regression, *ICIEA* (2010) 1–6.
- [96] Y. Wang, D.L. Wu, C.X. Guo, Q.H. Wu, W.Z. Qian, J. Yang, Short-Term Wind Speed Prediction Using Support Vector Regression, *Power and Energy Society General Meeting*, 2010, pp. 1–6.
- [97] Chao Ren, An Ning, Jianzhou Wang, Li Lian, Bin Hu, Duo Shang, Optimal parameters selection for BP neural network based on particle swarm optimization: a case study of wind speed forecasting, *Knowl. Base Syst.* 56 (2014) 226–239.
- [98] T.G. Barbounis, J.B. Theocharis, Locally recurrent neural networks optimal filtering algorithms: application to wind speed prediction using spatial correlation, *International Joint Conference on Neural Networks* 5 (2005) 2711–2716, <https://doi.org/10.1109/IJCNN.2005.1556353>.
- [99] R.S. Tarade, Dr.P.K. Katti, A comparative analysis for wind speed prediction, *ICEAS* (2011) 1–6.
- [100] Mohsen Fesharaki, Niusha Shafiabady, A. Mohsen, Fesharaki and shahab ahmadi. Using AWPSO to solve the data scarcity problem in wind speed prediction by artificial neural networks, *IEEE International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Computational Intelligence* 3 (2010) 49–52.

- [101] Shuang An, Hong Shi, Qinghua Hu, Xiaoqi Li, Jianwu Dang, Fuzzy rough regression with application to wind speed prediction, *Inf. Sci.* 282 (2014) 388–400.
- [102] Andrew Kusiak, Wenyan Li, Estimation of wind speed: a data-driven approach, *J. Wind Eng. Ind. Aerod.* 98 (2010) 559–567.
- [103] Chun-hui Fan, X.I.U. Chun-bo, W.A.N. Rong-feng, in: *Wind Speed Prediction Based on Chaotic Operator Network*. 32nd Chinese Control Conference, 2013, pp. 8842–8845.
- [104] Z. Guo, Jing Zhao, Wenyu Zhang, Jianzhou Wang, A corrected hybrid approach for wind speed prediction in Hexi Corridor of China, *Energy* 36 (2011) 1668–1679.
- [105] T.G. Barbounis, J.B. Theocharis, Locally recurrent neural networks for long-term wind speed and power prediction, *Neurocomputing* 69 (2006) 466–496.
- [106] M.A. Mohandes, T.O. Halawani, S. Rehman, Ahmed A. Hussain, Support vector machines for wind speed prediction, *Renew. Energy* 29 (2004) 939–947.
- [107] Kanna Bhaskar, S.N. Singh, AWNN-assisted wind power forecasting using feed-forward neural network, *IEEE Trans. Sustain. Energy* 3 (2012) 306–315.
- [108] James W. Taylor, Patrick E. McSharry, Roberto Buizza, Wind power density forecasting using ensemble predictions and time series models, *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.* 24 (2009) 775–782.
- [109] Ramón Velo, López Paz, Francisco Maseda, Wind speed estimation using multilayer perceptron, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 81 (2014) 1–9.
- [110] Zhenhai Guo, Dezhong Chi Jie Wu, Wenyu Zhang, A new wind speed forecasting strategy based on the chaotic time series modelling technique and the Apriori algorithm, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 84 (2014) 140–151.
- [111] O.B. Shukur, M.H. Lee, Daily wind speed forecasting through hybrid KF-ANN model based on ARIMA, *Renew. Energy* 76 (2015) 637–647.
- [112] Li Ling-ling, L.I. Jun-Hao, H.E. Peng-Ju, W.A.N.G. Cheng-Shang, The Use of Wavelet Theory and ARMA Model in Wind Speed Prediction, in: *1st International Conference on Electric Power Equipment – Switching Technology*, 2011, pp. 395–398.
- [113] Heping Liu, Jing Shi, Ergin Erdem, Prediction of wind speed time series using modified Taylor Kriging method, *Energy* 35 (2010) 4870–4879.
- [114] N.N. Arjun, v. Prema, D. Krishna Kumar, P. Prashanth, V. Sumantha Preeksht, K. Vma Rao, Multivariate Regression models for prediction of wind Speed, *IEEE ICDSE* (2014) 171–176.
- [115] Jujie Wang, Wenyu Zhang, Yaning Li, Jianzhou Wang, Zhangli Dang, Forecasting wind speed using empirical mode decomposition and Elman neural network, *Appl. Soft Comput.* 23 (2014) 452–459.
- [116] T.G. Barbounis, J.B. Theocharis, M.C. Alexiadis, P.S. Dokopoulos, Long-term wind speed and power forecasting using local recurrent neural network models, *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.* 21 (1) (2006) 273–284.
- [117] Y.M. Atwa, E.F. El-Saadany, Annual wind speed estimation utilizing constrained grey predictor, *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.* 24 (2009) 548–550.
- [118] Hui Liu, Chao Chen, Hong-qi Tian, Yan-fei Li, A hybrid model for wind speed prediction using empirical mode decomposition and artificial neural networks, *Renew. Energy* 48 (2012) 545–556.
- [119] Jing Zhao, Zhen-Hai Guo, Zhong-Yue Su, Zhi-Yuan Zhao, Xia Xiao, Feng Liu, An improved multi-step forecasting model based on WRF ensembles and creative fuzzy systems for wind speed, *Appl. Energy* 162 (2016) 808–826.
- [120] Dennis C. Kiplangat, K. Sathesh Kumar, Improved week-ahead predictions of wind speed using simple linear models with wavelet decomposition, *Renew. Energy* 93 (2016) 38–44.
- [121] T.R. Ayodele, A.S.O. Ogunjuyigbe, Prediction of wind speed for the estimation of wind turbine power output from site climatological data using artificial neural network, *Int. J. Ambient Energy* 38 (1) (2015) 29–36, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01430750.2015.1023845>.
- [122] Mehmet Bilgili, Besir Sahin, Abdulkadir Yasar, Application of artificial neural networks for the wind speed prediction of target station using reference stations data, *Renew. Energy* 32 (2007) 2350–2360.
- [123] Da Fang, Jianzhou Wang, A novel application of artificial neural network for wind speed estimation, *Int. J. Sustain. Energy* (2015) 1–15.
- [124] E. Assareh, M.A. Behrang, M. Ghalambaz, A.R. Noghrehabadi, A. Ghanbarzadeh, An analysis of wind speed prediction using artificial neural networks: a case study in manjil, Iran. *Energy sources, Part A: recovery, utilization, and Environmental Effects* 34 (2012) 636–644.
- [125] Mohamed A. Mohandes, Shafiqur Rehman, O. Talal, Halawani, A neural networks approach for wind speed prediction, *Renew. Energy* 13 (1998) 345–354.
- [126] Mohammad Monfared, Hasan Rastega, Hossein Madadi Kojabadi, A new strategy for wind speed forecasting using artificial intelligent methods, *Renew. Energy* 34 (2009) 845–848.
- [127] Sancho Salcedo-Sanz, G. Emilio, a Ortiz-Garcia, M. Angel, Perez-Bellido, Antonio Portilla-Figueras, Luis Prieto, Short term wind speed prediction based on evolutionary support vector regression algorithms, *Expert Syst. Appl.* 38 (2011) 4052–4057.
- [128] Zhenhai Guo, Weigang Zhao, Haiyan Lu, Jianzhou Wang, Multi-step forecasting for wind speed using a modified EMD-based artificial neural network model, *Renew. Energy* 37 (2012) 241–249.
- [129] Ashraf Ul Haque, Paras Mandal, Julian Meng, Michael Negnevitsky, Wind speed forecast model for wind farm based on a hybrid machine learning algorithm, *Int. J. Sustain. Energy* 34 (2015) 38–51.
- [130] Jianzhou Wang, Shanshan Qin, Qingping Zhou, Haiyan Jiang, Medium-term wind speeds forecasting utilizing hybrid models for three different sites in Xinjiang, China, *Renew. Energy* 76 (2015) 91–101.
- [131] Liu Z, Jiang P, Zhang L, Niu X. A Combined Forecasting Model for Time Series: Application to Short-Term Wind Speed Forecasting Applied Energy Xxx (Xxxx) Xxxx. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.114137>.
- [132] Wang C, Zhang H, Ma P. Wind power forecasting based on singular spectrum analysis and a new hybrid Laguerre neural network. *Applied Energy xxx (xxxx) xxxx*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.114139>.
- [133] H. Liu, Z. Duan, A vanishing moment ensemble model for wind speed multi-step prediction with multi-objective base model selection, *Appl. Energy* 261 (2020) 114367.
- [134] Z. Maa, H. Chena, J. Wanga, X. Yangb, R. Yana, J. Jiaa, W. Xuc, Application of hybrid model based on double decomposition, error correction and deep learning in short-term wind speed prediction, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 205 (2020) 112345.
- [135] Z. Penga, S. Pengb, L. Fuc, B. Luc, J. Tanga, K. Wangd, W. Lia, A novel deep learning ensemble model with data denoising for short-term wind speed forecasting, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 207 (2020) 112524.
- [136] Y. Deng, B. Wang, Z. Lu, A hybrid model based on data preprocessing strategy and error correction system for wind speed forecasting, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 212 (2020) 112779.
- [137] G. Memarzadeh, F. Keynia, A new short-term wind speed forecasting method based on fine-tuned LSTM neural network and optimal input sets, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 213 (2020) 112824.
- [138] S.R. Moreno, R. Gomes da Silva, V.C. Mariani, L.S. Coelho, Multi-step wind speed forecasting based on hybrid multi-stage decomposition model and long short-term memory neural network, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 213 (2020) 112869.
- [139] X. Mi, S. Zhao, Wind speed prediction based on singular spectrum analysis and neural network structural learning, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 216 (2020) 112956.
- [140] H. Lu, X. Ma, K. Huang, M. Azimi, Prediction of offshore wind farm power using a novel two-stage model combining kernel-based nonlinear extension of the Arps decline model with a multi-objective grey wolf optimizer, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 127 (2020) 109856.
- [141] O. Abedinia, M. Bagheri, M.S. Naderi, N. Ghadimi, A new combinatory approach for wind power forecasting, *IEEE Syst. J.* (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1109/JSYST.2019.2961172>.
- [142] Abedinia O, Member, Lotfi M, Bagheri M, Sobhani B, Shafie-khah M, Catalão JPS. Improved EMD-Based Complex Prediction Model for Wind Power Forecasting. *dx.doi.org/10.1109/TSTE.2020.2976038*.
- [143] D. Gu, Z. Chen, in: *Wind Power Prediction Based on VMD-Neural Network*. 2019 12th International Conference on Intelligent Computation Technology and Automation (ICICTA), 2019.
- [144] H. Jiajun, Y. Chuanjin, L. Yongle, X. Huoyue, Ultra-short term wind prediction with wavelet transform, deep belief network and ensemble learning, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 205 (2020) 112418.
- [145] H. Liu, R. Yang, Z. Duan, Wind speed forecasting using a new multi-factor fusion and multi-resolution ensemble model with real-time decomposition and adaptive error correction, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 217 (2020) 112995.
- [146] T. Peng, C. Zhang, J. Zhou, M.S. Nazira, Negative correlation learning-based RELM ensemble model integrated with OVMD for multi-step ahead wind speed forecasting, *Renew. Energy* 156 (2020) 804–819, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2020.03.168>.
- [147] C. Wu, J. Wang, X. Chen, P. Du, W. Yang, A novel hybrid system based on multi-objective optimization for wind speed forecasting, *Renew. Energy* 146 (2020) 149–165.
- [148] Zhang Y, Zhao Y, Konga C, Chena B. A New Prediction Method Based on VMD-PRBF-ARMA-E Model Considering Wind Speed Characteristic. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2019.112254>.
- [149] W. Fua, K. Wanga, J. Tana, K. Zhanga, A composite framework coupling multiple feature selection, compound prediction models and novel hybrid swarm optimizer-based synchronization optimization strategy for multi-step ahead short-term wind speed forecasting, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 205 (2020) 112461.
- [150] Luis Vera-Tudela, Martin Kuhn, On the selection of input variables for a wind turbine load monitoring system, in: *2nd International Conference on System-Integrated Intelligence: Challenges for Product and Production Engineering* vol. 15, 2014, pp. 726–736.
- [151] S. Fan, J.R. Liao, R. Yokoyama, L. Chen, W.J. Lee, Forecasting the wind generation using a two stage network based on meteorological information, *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.* 24 (2009) 474–482.
- [152] Chao Ren, An Ning, Jianzhou Wang, Li Lian, Bin Hu, Duo Shang, Optimal parameters selection for BP neural network based on particle swarm optimization: a case study of wind speed forecasting, *Knowl. Base Syst.* 56 (2014) 226–239.
- [153] Yu-Xi Wu, Qing-Biao Wu, Jia-Qi Zhu, Data-driven wind speed forecasting using deep feature extraction and LSTM, *IET Renew. Power Gener.* 13 (12) (2019) 2062–2069.
- [154] K. Kira, L. Rendell, A practical approach to feature selection, *Proc. Ninth Int'l Conf. Machine Learning* (1992) 249–256.
- [155] I. Kononenko, Estimating attributes: analysis and extensions of Relief, in: *Proc. Seventh European Conf. Machine Learning*, 1994, pp. 171–182.
- [156] I. Kononenko, Estimating Attributes: Analysis and Extensions of RELIEF, 1994, pp. 171–182.