

# Ribbon operators in the Semidual lattice code model

---

Fred Soglohu,<sup>a,b</sup> Prince K. Osei,<sup>b,c</sup> Abdulmajid Osumanu<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Mathematics, University of Ghana, Legon, Ghana*

<sup>b</sup>*African Institute for Mathematical Sciences (AIMS), Accra, Ghana*

<sup>c</sup>*Quantum Leap Africa (QLA), AIMS RIC, Kigali, Rwanda*

*E-mail:* [fred@aims.edu.gh](mailto:fred@aims.edu.gh), [posei@aims.edu.gh](mailto:posei@aims.edu.gh), [aosumanu@quantumleapfrica.org](mailto:aosumanu@quantumleapfrica.org)

**ABSTRACT:** In this work, we provide a rigorous definition of ribbon operators in the Semidual Kitaev lattice model and study their properties. These operators are essential for understanding quasi-particle excitations within topologically ordered systems. We show that the ribbon operators generate quasi-particle excitations at the ends of the ribbon and reveal themselves as irreducible representations of the Bicrossproduct quantum group  $M(H) = H^{\text{cop}} \blacktriangleleft H$  or  $M(H)^{\text{op}}$  depending on their chirality or local orientation.

---

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction &amp; Motivation</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Background</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Directed graphs	3
2.2	Hopf algebra	4
2.3	Quantum double and Semidualisation	5
<b>3</b>	<b>Semidual lattice code model</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Ribbon Operators in the Semidual Kitaev Model</b>	<b>9</b>
4.1	Directed ribbons and ribbon types	9
4.2	Ribbon operators for the semidual model	11
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Multiplication of the Ribbon Operators</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Relationship between the Ribbon and Geometric Operators</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Proof that geometric operators commute with ribbon operators at sites within a ribbon</b>	<b>25</b>

---

## 1 Introduction & Motivation

Topological quantum computing is an approach to the realization of quantum computing using non-Abelian anyons or quasi particles in certain two dimensional quantum systems. Information is encoded in topological degrees of freedom with geometrical features that are robust against small local perturbations. Topological phases of matter, a quantum system with such robust properties that depend on the topology of the underlying material provides a medium for topological quantum computing. This proposal for fault-tolerant quantum computing is due to Alexei Kitaev [1]. The main class of topological phases of matter in two dimensions are realised by lattice models, the Kitaev quantum double model. This approach is based on quantum many body systems exhibiting topological order. The realization of these orders involve the construction of lattice models with solvable Hamiltonians.

Kitaev models are define for a finite group  $G$ , with quasi-particles given by the representations of the quantum double  $D(G)$ , and are realized on a two-dimensional lattice. The well-known of the Kitaev models is the toric code, which is based on the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  [1]. We refer to [2] for a recent review. Excitations in the toric code model are in the form of Abelian anyons, limiting its universality

for quantum computation. In the case where  $G$  is a non-Abelian group, the associated excitations become non-Abelian as well. These models were generalized to that based on a finite-dimensional semi simple Hopf algebra  $H$  [3]. The generalized Kitaev quantum double model allow for a broader range of applications and theoretical explorations. The generalised model and its relation to various topological quantum field theories (TQFTs) has been well studied [4–7]. Here excitations are characterized by the representations of the Drinfeld double  $D(H) = H \bowtie H^{*op}$ .

The Kitaev quantum double model have also been studied in terms of Weak Hopf algebras. We also refer to [8] where their study delved into the mathematical foundations of weak Hopf algebras, focusing on the interplay between weak Hopf symmetry and weak Hopf quantum double.

Other class of topologically ordered spin models such as the Levin-Wen string-net models [9, 10] which are based on a representation category of  $H$  are also related to the Kitaev models [11–14]. The structure of excitations for these models is also well established [15–17]. One defines the so called ribbon operators on the Hilbert space that generate the excitations.

The fundamental mathematical object to manipulate anyons in the non-Abelian lattice code models. The concept of ribbon operators is pivotal in describing the creation, annihilation, or manipulation of quasi-particle excitations within topologically ordered system like the Kitaev models. These operators are represented as thick graphs or ribbons on a two-dimensional graph. In the toric code, these are characterized by the so called string operators. In the non-Abelian context, these operators become entangled, requiring the consideration of a thicker or more complex string of operators. In the quantum double model, the initial step involves defining fundamental triangles which form the building blocks of the ribbon operators. This definition is subsequently extended to encompass longer ribbons through a process of induction [1, 5]. The ribbon operators for the quantum double model based on Hopf algebras have been well studied in [1, 5, 7, 18]. In this case, they are understood as representations of either  $D(H)^*$  or  $D(H)^{*op}$ . In a manner akin to the quantum double model approach, ribbon operators have also been established within the weak Hopf quantum double framework [8].

The semidual lattice code model recently introduced in [19], proposed a different class of generalised models based on the mirror bicrossproduct quantum group  $M(H) = H^{cop} \blacktriangleright \triangleleft H$ .

The mirror bicrossproduct quantum group is a special case of general bicrossproduct quantum groups that originally emerged in the theory of quantum gravity [20–22] and have since been studied in that context [23–27]. It turns out that the mirror bicrossproduct quantum groups are related to the quantum doubles  $D(H)$  through the idea of semidualisation, a kind of quantum group Fourier transform where one can exchange position and momentum degrees of freedom in an algebraic framework [28]. They are also known to be mathematically related by a Drinfeld and module algebra twist [27].

The innovative feature of the semidual model construction lies in the utilisation of the canonical covariant representation action of  $M(H)$  provided by the dual Hopf algebra  $H^*$ . An exactly solvable Hamiltonian is obtained, and a representation of the ground state is given in terms of tensor networks. In the current work, we provide a thorough definition of ribbon operators in the semidual Kitaev

model in detail and explore some of their algebraic properties.

In the case of the semidual model, the ribbon operators reveal themselves as representations of  $M(H) = H^{\text{cop}} \blacktriangleleft H$  or  $M(H)^{\text{op}} = H \blacktriangleright H^{\text{op}}$ . We adopt the definition of ribbons, as outlined in [7], which classifies them as type-A and type-B based on the chirality (or local orientation) of the triangles that constitute their structure. The chirality of the triangles dictates two distinct ribbons (either left-handed or right-handed) for each type, and thus requiring a different definition of ribbon operators for each type. Ribbon operators of type-A are found to generate a representation of  $H^{\text{cop}} \otimes H \cong M(H)$ , while those of type-B generate a representation of  $H \otimes H^{\text{op}} \cong M(H)^{\text{op}}$ .

We then establish that, when provided with a ribbon, the ribbon operators exhibit commutativity with all terms in the Hamiltonian, excluding those linked to the two ends of the ribbon. This demonstrates that ribbon operators are instrumental in generating excitations solely at the ends of the ribbon. Further, show that geometric operators of the semidual model commutes with the ribbon operators at sites (i.e., a vertex and adjacent face) within a ribbon.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 provides the ingredients required for constructing the generalised Kitaev lattice models, encompassing directed graphs and Hopf algebras. Additionally, it discusses the semidualization of the quantum double  $D(H)$ . In section 3, we provide an overview of the semidual lattice model of [19] and introduce the right-module triangle operators pivotal for the construction of the ribbon operators. Section 4 is dedicated to the construction of the ribbon operators and the study of their properties. The appendices contain these calculations. Finally, in section 5, we present our concluding remarks.

## 2 Background

There are two main ingredients of the Kitaev lattice code models. Directed graphs and Hopf algebras.


### 2.1 Directed graphs

For simplicity, we work with a square lattice  $\Gamma = (E, V, F)$  a set of interconnected line segments, with edge set  $E$ , vertex set  $V$  and face set  $F$ . When the edges are endowed with directions, the graph is said to be directed. Let  $e \in E$  be an edge in a directed graph, we denote by  $\partial_0 e$  the initial vertex of  $e$  and  $\partial_1 e$  the terminal vertex of  $e$ . We say a graph has no self loops if there is no edge  $e \in E$  that starts and ends at the same vertex, that is,  $\forall e \in E, \partial_0 e \neq \partial_1 e$ . If there is at most one edge joining any two vertex of a given graph, we say that the graph has no duplicate edges. In other words, we say a graph has no duplicate edges if for any two edges  $e, e' \in E$  such that  $\partial_i e = \partial_i e'$  for  $i = 0, 1$ , then  $e = e'$ . A directed graph that has no self loops and no duplicate edges is called a simple directed graph. An example of a directed simple graph is illustrated in Figure 2.1.

Given a directed simple graph  $\Gamma$ , there is a graph  $\Gamma^* = (E^*, F^*, V^*)$  induced by  $\Gamma$ , with  $F^*$  vertex set of  $\Gamma^*$  and  $V^*$  its face set. By this definition, we mean that the vertices and faces of  $\Gamma^*$  are respectively

defined by the faces and vertices of  $\Gamma$ . If  $p$  is a face in the direct graph, then  $p^*$  is a vertex in the dual graph and if  $v$  is a vertex in the direct graph, then  $v^*$  is a face in the dual graph. We call  $\Gamma$  the direct graph and  $\Gamma^*$  the corresponding dual graph. If  $\Gamma$  is simple and directed, then  $\Gamma^*$  is also simple and directed.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a directed graph, a site  $s := (v_s, p_s)$  is a vertex  $v \in V$  and an adjacent face  $p \in F$  containing  $v$ . If two sites share the same face, we say they are face adjacent and if they share the same vertex, we say they are vertex adjacent. We use a dotted line segment connecting  $v$  and the adjacent face  $p$  to represent the site as shown in Figure 2.1. We refer to [1, 29] for more details.



**Figure 2.1.** An oriented 2d lattice with examples of direct edges ( $e_i$ ), dual edges ( $e_i^*$ ), vertices ( $v_i$ ), faces ( $p_i$ ) and sites ( $s_i$ ).

## 2.2 Hopf algebra

Hopf algebras and their representation categories play a key role in the the construction of topological quantum computing models and topological field theories. In the following, we provide a brief review of main notations of Hopf algebras for the purpose of this work following conventions in the book [21]. A Hopf algebra over a field  $\mathbb{C}$  is a vector space  $H$  which is an algebra, a coalgebra and has an antipode. As an algebra, it has a linear ‘product’  $\mu : H \otimes H \rightarrow H$  and unit  $\eta : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow H$  satisfying associativity:  $\mu \circ (\text{id} \otimes \mu) = \mu \circ (\mu \otimes \text{id})$  and unitary:  $\mu \circ (\eta \otimes \text{id}) = \text{id} = \mu \circ (\text{id} \otimes \eta)$ . As a coalgebra, it is equipped with a linear ‘coproduct’  $\Delta : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$  with notation  $\Delta(h) = h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)} = h^{(1)} \otimes h^{(2)}$  and counit  $\epsilon : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which are algebra homomorphisms satisfying the coassociativity condition  $(\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$  and counit condition  $(\epsilon \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = \text{id} = (\text{id} \otimes \epsilon)$  respectively. The maps  $\mu$  and  $\eta$  are morphisms of coalgebras. The antipode  $S : H \rightarrow H$  is an anti-algebra map satisfying  $(Sh_{(1)})h_{(2)} = h_{(1)}Sh_{(2)} = \epsilon(h)$ , for all  $h \in H$ . If  $H$  is finite-dimensional, then  $S^{-1}$  exist. We denote by  $H^{\otimes n}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the  $n$ -fold tensor product of  $H$ . The composition of  $n$  coproducts is the map  $\Delta^{(n)} : H \rightarrow H^{\otimes(n+1)}$  defined by  $\Delta^{(n)}(h) = h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes h_{(n+1)}$ . We denote by  $H^*$  the dual Hopf algebra with dual pairing given by the non-degenerate bilinear map  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and by  $H^{\text{cop}}, H^{\text{op}}$  denote

taking the opposite coproduct or opposite product in  $H$  respectively.

A left action (or representation) of an algebra  $H$  is a pair  $(\triangleright, V)$  where  $V$  is a vector space and  $\triangleright$  is a linear map  $H \otimes V \rightarrow V$  such that

$$h \triangleright v \in V, \quad (hg) \triangleright v = h \triangleright (g \triangleright v), \quad 1 \triangleright v = v \quad (2.1)$$

In this case, we say that  $V$  is a left  $H$ -module with respect to the action  $\triangleright$ . There is an analogous notion for a right module algebra by the action  $\triangleleft$  so that for example, if  $\triangleright$  is a left action, then

$$v \triangleleft h = (Sh) \triangleright v \quad (2.2)$$

is a right action since  $S$  is an antialgebra map. If  $H$  acts on vector spaces  $V, W$  then it also acts on  $V \otimes W$  by  $h \triangleright (v \otimes w) = h_{(1)} \triangleright v \otimes h_{(2)} \triangleright w$  for all  $h \in H, v \in V$  and  $w \in W$ . An algebra  $A$  is said to be an  $H$ -module algebra if  $A$  is a left  $H$ -module and this action is covariant, i.e.

$$h \triangleright (ab) = (h_{(1)} \triangleright a)(h_{(2)} \triangleright b), \quad h \triangleright 1 = \epsilon(h), \quad a \in A, h \in H. \quad (2.3)$$

We say that  $(H, A)$  is a *covariant system*.

### 2.3 Quantum double and Semidualisation

A double crossproduct Hopf algebra  $H_1 \bowtie H_2$  can be viewed as a Hopf algebra  $H$  which factorizes into two sub-Hopf algebras and built on  $H_1 \otimes H_2$  as a vector space. One can then extract the actions  $\triangleright : H_2 \otimes H_1 \rightarrow H_1$  and  $\triangleleft : H_2 \otimes H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  of each Hopf algebra on the vector space of the other satisfying certain compatibility conditions. The algebra in  $H_1 \bowtie H_2$  is constructed from the given actions as a double (both left and right) cross product. The coproduct of  $H_1 \bowtie H_2$  is the tensor one given by the coproduct of each factor and there is a canonical covariant left action of  $H_1 \bowtie H_2$  on  $H_2^*$  as an algebra which leads to a covariant system  $(H_1 \bowtie H_2, H_2^*)$ .

The *semidual* of the above matched pair of data is constructed by dualising half of the data. If the  $H_2$  data is dualised, then one obtains a left-right bicrossproduct Hopf algebra  $H_2^* \blacktriangleright H_1$  which then acts covariantly on  $H_2$  or a semidual covariant system  $(H_2^* \blacktriangleright H_1, H_2)$ . This is called the *B-model* semidualisation [28]. There is also an *A-model* semidualisation where  $H_1$  is dualised to obtain the right-left bicrossproduct  $H_2 \blacktriangleleft H_1^*$  acting on the left on  $H_1$ . These ideas were proposed in the context of quantum gravity in a sense that one can swap position and momentum generators in the algebraic setting [21, 30]. See also [23–27] where this has been well explored.

The Drinfeld quantum double can be viewed as a double crossproduct  $D(H) = H \bowtie H^{*\text{op}}$  and left covariant system  $(D(H), H^{\text{cop}})$  [21]. The *B-model* semidualisation maps this to a right covariant system  $(H^{\text{cop}} \blacktriangleright H, H^{*\text{op}})$ , which is  $(H^{\text{cop}} \blacktriangleright H, H^*)$  as a left covariant system. Here, we refer to  $H^{\text{cop}} \blacktriangleright H = M(H)$  as the ‘mirror product’ bicrossproduct, similar to that in [28]. We refer to [21] for

a detailed account. The Hopf algebra  $M(H)$  has an algebra

$$(a \otimes h)(b \otimes g) = a(h_{(1)}bSh_{(2)}) \otimes h_{(3)}g, \quad h, g \in H, \quad a, b \in H^{\text{cop}}. \quad (2.4)$$

in which  $H^{\text{cop}} \otimes 1$  and  $1 \otimes H$  appear as subalgebras but with commutation relation fully determined by

$$hb := (1 \otimes h)(b \otimes 1) = (h_{(1)}bSh_{(2)})h_{(3)}, \quad (2.5)$$

The coproduct is

$$\Delta(a \otimes h) = a_{(2)} \otimes h_{(2)} \otimes a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(3)} \otimes h_{(4)}, \quad (2.6)$$

and antipode is given by

$$S(a \otimes h) = (1 \otimes Sh_{(2)})(S(ah_{(1)}Sh_{(3)}) \otimes 1). \quad (2.7)$$

This Hopf algebra acts covariantly on  $H^{*\text{op}}$  from the right according to

$$\phi \triangleleft (a \otimes h) = \langle ah_{(1)}, \phi_{(1)} \rangle \phi_{(2)} \langle Sh_{(2)}, \phi_{(3)} \rangle, \quad h \in H, \quad a \in H^{\text{cop}}, \quad \phi \in H^*. \quad (2.8)$$

This gives rise to a covariant left action on  $H^*$  according to

$$(a \otimes h) \triangleright \phi = \langle Sh_{(1)}Sa, \phi_{(1)} \rangle \langle h_{(2)}, \phi_{(3)} \rangle \phi_{(2)} \quad (2.9)$$

leading to the covariant system  $(H^{\text{cop}} \blacktriangleright H, H^*)$ . We refer to [27] and to [21] the recent work for more details.

### 3 Semidual lattice code model

We provide a brief review of the semidual lattice code model and refer to [19] for details. Given the data on a directed graph  $\Gamma$  of a 2d compact oriented surface  $\Sigma$  and the Hopf algebras in the previous section, we describe the semidual lattice code model. For a finite dimensional semi-simple Hopf algebra  $H$ , this is constructed from the  $M(H)$ -module data in the covariant system  $(M(H), H^*)$  and provides a graph representation of the mirror bicrossproduct quantum group  $M(H) = H^{\text{cop}} \blacktriangleright H$ .

Each edge of  $\Gamma$  is assigned a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_e := H^*$ . The total Hilbert space for  $\Gamma$  is then given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_\Gamma := \bigotimes_{e \in E} H^*. \quad (3.1)$$


the  $|E|$ -fold tensor product of  $H^*$ .

To each edge  $e \in E$ , we assign a family of linear operators  $(L_\pm^h)_e, (T_\pm^a)_e$ , indexed by elements of the Hopf algebras  $h \in H$  and  $a \in H^{\text{cop}}$  respectively. They only act on  $H^*$  associated to the edge in question and trivially on the copies associated to other edges. They are obtained from (2.9) as

$$\begin{aligned} L_+^h(\phi) &= \langle h, S\phi_{(1)}\phi_{(3)} \rangle \phi_{(2)}, & L_-^h(\phi) &= \langle h, \phi_{(3)}S^{-1}\phi_{(1)} \rangle \phi_{(2)}, \\ T_+^a(\phi) &= \langle Sa, \phi_{(1)} \rangle \phi_{(2)}, & T_-^a(\phi) &= \langle a, \phi_{(2)} \rangle \phi_{(1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Here, the operators  $L_+$  and  $T_+$  are the canonical left action (2.9) of the bicrossproduct  $M(H)$  on  $H^*$ . The  $L_-$  and  $T_-$  are also left actions obtained using the relations

$$S \circ L_-^h(\phi) = L_+^h \circ S(\phi), \quad S \circ T_-^a(\phi) = T_+^a \circ S(\phi). \quad (3.3)$$



**Figure 3.1.** An illustration of the action of the edge/triangle operators on an edge.

Since  $H^*$  is finite-dimensional,  $S^2 = \text{id}$  and we can well identify  $\phi \mapsto S(\phi)$ ,  $\phi \in H^*$  with the reverse of the edge direction. The  $H^*$  is a left  $H$ -module with respect to the following two actions  $L_{\pm}$  and also a left  $H^{\text{cop}}$ -module with respect to the actions  $T_{\pm}$ .

A particular feature of this semidual model is that one requires another edge operator which is defined via the full  $M(H)$ -module as  $M_{\pm}^a \otimes^h = T_{\pm}^a \circ L_{\pm}^h$ . For a given directed edge  $e$ , we assign  $L_-$  and  $L_+$  to its starting and ending vertices respectively. Similarly, for the dual edge  $\tilde{e}$ , we assign  $T_-$  and  $T_+$  to its starting and ending faces respectively or

$$T^a(p, \psi) = \begin{cases} T_+^a(\psi), & \text{if } e \text{ points in the clockwise direction around } p, \\ T_-^a(\psi), & \text{if } e \text{ points in the anti-clockwise direction around } p. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Next, we define vertex and face operators  $A^h(v, p)$  and  $B^a(v, p)$  for the semidual model on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . They require linear ordering of edges at each vertex and in each face which is specified by a site  $s = (v, p)$  [1]. The vertex operators  $A^h(v, p) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  are restricted to the site  $s = (v, p)$  and indexed by  $h \in H$ . They act as follows:

$$A^h(v, p)(\Gamma) = \dots \otimes M^{h_{(1)}}(v, \psi^1) \otimes M^{h_{(2)}}(v, \psi^2) \otimes \dots \otimes M^{h_{(n)}}(v, \psi^n) \otimes \dots, \quad (3.5)$$

where  $\Delta_{M(H)}^{(n-1)}(1 \otimes h) = h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes h_{(n)}$  and  $\{\psi^i\}_{i=1}^n$  also called  $star(v)$ , is the set of edges that originate from  $v$  or terminate at  $v$  listed in a counter-clockwise order starting from and ending at  $p$ . The vertex operator acts trivially on edges that do not belong to  $star(v)$ , this is represented with the ellipses on the extreme ends of the expression on the right hand side of equation (3.5). An example of the action of the vertex operator is illustrated below,



$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& \psi^1 & M_-^{h(\bar{1})}(\psi^1) \\
& \uparrow & \uparrow \\
A^h(v,p) & \leftarrow v \xrightarrow{\psi^4} & \leftarrow v \xrightarrow{M_-^{h(\bar{4})}(\psi^4)} \\
& \downarrow & \downarrow \\
& \psi^3 & M_-^{h(\bar{3})}(\psi^3)
\end{array}
:=
\begin{array}{ccc}
& \psi^1 & M_-^{h(\bar{1})}(\psi^1) \\
& \uparrow & \uparrow \\
M_-^{h(\bar{2})}(\psi^2) & \leftarrow v \xrightarrow{M_-^{h(\bar{4})}(\psi^4)} & \leftarrow v \xrightarrow{M_-^{h(\bar{4})}(\psi^4)} \\
& \downarrow & \downarrow \\
& \psi^3 & M_-^{h(\bar{3})}(\psi^3)
\end{array}$$

The face operators  $B^a(v,p) : \mathcal{H}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ , also restricted to the site  $s = (v,p)$  and indexed by  $a \in H^{cop}$  act according to

$$B^a(v,p)(\Gamma) = \cdots \otimes T^{a(m)}(p,\psi^1) \otimes T^{a(m-1)}(p,\psi^2) \otimes \cdots \otimes T^{a(1)}(p,\psi^m) \otimes \cdots, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\Delta_{H^{cop}}^{(m-1)}(a) = a(m) \otimes a(m-1) \otimes \cdots \otimes a(1)$  and  $\{\psi^i\}_{i=1}^m$  also called  $bound(p)$ , is the set of edges edges that bound  $p$  listed in a counter-clockwise order starting from and ending at  $v$ . It also acts trivially on edges that do not belong to  $bound(p)$  and this is also represented with the ellipses on the extreme ends of the expression on the right hand side on equation (3.6). An example of the action of the face operator is illustrated below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& \psi^4 & T_+^{a(1)}(\psi^4) \\
& \uparrow & \uparrow \\
B^a(v,p) & \leftarrow v \xrightarrow{\psi^4} & \leftarrow v \xrightarrow{T_+^{a(1)}(\psi^4)} \\
& \downarrow & \downarrow \\
& \psi^3 & T_+^{a(3)}(\psi^3)
\end{array}
:=
\begin{array}{ccc}
& \psi^4 & T_+^{a(1)}(\psi^4) \\
& \uparrow & \uparrow \\
T_+^{a(2)}(\psi^3) & \leftarrow v \xrightarrow{T_+^{a(1)}(\psi^4)} & \leftarrow v \xrightarrow{T_+^{a(1)}(\psi^4)} \\
& \downarrow & \downarrow \\
& \psi^3 & T_+^{a(3)}(\psi^3)
\end{array}$$

One can show that the directed graph  $\Gamma$ , equipped with these operators admits an  $M(H)$ -module implemented by the relations [19]

**Theorem 3.1.** [19] *Let  $H$  be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra satisfying  $S^2 = \text{id}$  with dual  $H^*$  and the graph  $\Gamma$  a square lattice as above. Then the operators  $A^h(v,p)$  and  $B^a(v,p)$  define an  $M(H)$  representation on  $H^{*\otimes|E|}$  associated to each site  $(v,p)$ . Here  $(a \otimes h)$  acts by  $A^h(v,p) \circ B^a(v,p)$ , i.e. these enjoy the commutation relations*

$$A^h(v,p) \circ B^a(v,p) = B^{(h(1)aSh(2))}(v,p) \circ A^{h(3)}(v,p), \quad \forall h \in H, a \in H^{cop}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$A^h(v,p) \circ A^g(v,p) = A^{hg}(v,p), \quad B^a(v,p) \circ B^b(v,p) = B^{ab}(v,p). \quad (3.8)$$

Let  $\ell \in H, k \in H^{cop}$  be normalized Haar integrals of the finite-dimensional Hopf algebras  $H$  and  $H^{cop}$  respectively. One can show that  $A^\ell(v,p)$  depends only on  $v$  and  $B^k(v,p)$  depends only on  $p$ . Thus we set

$$A(v) := A^\ell(v,p) \quad \text{and} \quad B(p) := B^k(v,p). \quad (3.9)$$

The Hamiltonian for the system is defined by

$$\mathfrak{H} = \sum_{v \in V} (\text{id} - A(v)) + \sum_{p \in F} (\text{id} - B(p)). \quad (3.10)$$

The associated ground state is given as

$$\mathcal{L} = \left\{ |\xi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_\Gamma : A(v)|\xi\rangle = |\xi\rangle \text{ and } B(p)|\xi\rangle = |\xi\rangle, \forall v \in V \text{ and } p \in F \right\}. \quad (3.11)$$

Similar to the left module actions, we can introduce a right-module construction. To this end, we define four sets of right-module edge operators. These are obtained using the relation (2.2), the right module edge operators are a family of linear operators  $\tilde{L}_\pm^h : \mathcal{H}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_e$  and  $\tilde{T}_\pm^a : \mathcal{H}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_e$  with

$$\tilde{L}_\pm^h = L_\pm^{Sh}, \quad \tilde{T}_\pm^a = T_\pm^{S^{-1}(a)}, \quad a \in H^{\text{cop}}, h \in H \quad (3.12)$$

More explicitly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L}_+^h(\psi) &= \langle h, S\psi_{(3)}\psi_{(1)}\rangle\psi_{(2)}, & \tilde{L}_-^h(\psi) &= \langle h, \psi_{(1)}S^{-1}\psi_{(3)}\rangle\psi_{(2)} \\ \tilde{T}_+^a(\psi) &= \langle a, \psi_{(1)}\rangle\psi_{(2)}, & \tilde{T}_-^a(\psi) &= \langle S^{-1}a, \psi_{(2)}\rangle\psi_{(1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

The  $\tilde{L}^h$ 's and  $\tilde{T}^a$ 's are composed to form another linear operator  $\tilde{M}^{(a,h)} : \mathcal{H}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_e$ , which is given by

$$\tilde{M}_\pm^{(a,h)}(\psi) = \tilde{T}_\pm^a \circ \tilde{L}_\pm^h(\psi). \quad (3.14)$$

Here,  $H^*$  is right  $H$ -module with respect to  $\tilde{L}_\pm^h$  as well as a right  $H^{\text{cop}}$ -module with respect to  $\tilde{T}_\pm^a$ . Right module face and vertex operators  $\tilde{A}^h(v, p)$  and  $\tilde{B}^a(v, p)$  can be constructed in a similar way from the above edge operators. One can easily check that they satisfy the relation

$$\tilde{A}^h(v, p) \circ \tilde{B}^a(v, p) = \tilde{B}^{h(1)aSh(2)}(v, p) \circ \tilde{A}^{h(3)}(v, p), \quad (3.15)$$

for all  $a \in H^{\text{cop}}$  and  $h \in H$ . These generate a representation of  $M(H)^{\text{cop}}$ .

## 4 Ribbon Operators in the Semidual Kitaev Model

In this section, we will construct the ribbon operators for the semidual model on closed surfaces and explore some of their algebraic properties. In the case of the semidual model based on  $M(H)$ , the ribbon operators reveal themselves as representations of  $M(H)$ . The fundamental building blocks of a ribbon are direct and dual triangles. A direct triangle is a triangle on the original lattice, consisting of a directed edge and two adjacent sites such that the edge connects the two sites. The dual triangle is a direct triangle on the dual lattice.


### 4.1 Directed ribbons and ribbon types

Let  $\Gamma$  be a directed graph, a direct path  $\mathcal{P}$  of length  $n$  is a list of successive direct edges  $\mathcal{P} := (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)$  such that  $\partial_1 e_i = \partial_0 e_{i+1}$  or  $\partial_1 e_i = \partial_0 \bar{e}_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . Similarly, a dual path

$\mathcal{P}^*$  of length  $n$  is a list of successive dual edges  $\mathcal{P}^* := (e_1^*, e_2^*, \dots, e_n^*)$  such that  $\partial_1 e_i^* = \partial_0 e_{i+1}^*$  or  $\partial_1 e_i^* = \partial_0 \bar{e}_{i+1}^*$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ .

**Definition 4.1** (Triangles). *Let  $\Gamma = (E, V, F)$  be a directed graph, a triangle is made up of two sites which forms the legs of the triangle and either a dual edge or a direct edge which forms the base of the triangle. A direct triangle consists of a direct edge  $e$  representing the base and two face adjacent sites  $s_0$  and  $s_1$  representing the legs such that  $\partial_0 e = v_{s_0}$  and  $\partial_1 e = v_{s_1}$  or  $\partial_0 \bar{e} = v_{s_0}$  and  $\partial_1 \bar{e} = v_{s_1}$ . We denote a direct triangle by  $\tau := (s_0, e, s_1)$  or  $\tau := (\partial_0 \tau, e_\tau, \partial_1 \tau)$ . A dual triangle consists of a dual edge  $e^*$  representing the base and two vertex adjacent sites  $s_0$  and  $s_1$  representing the legs such that  $\partial_0 e^* = p_{s_0}$  and  $\partial_1 e^* = p_{s_1}$  or  $\partial_0 \bar{e}^* = p_{s_0}$  and  $\partial_1 \bar{e}^* = p_{s_1}$ ; we will denote a dual triangle by  $\tau := (s_0, e^*, s_1)$  or  $\tau := (\partial_0 \tau, e_\tau^*, \partial_1 \tau)$ . Using this notation, we mean  $s_i$  and  $\partial_i \tau$  represent the initial site for  $i = 0$  and the terminal site of  $\tau$   $i = 1$ . This imposes a lateral orientation on a triangle, that is to say triangles point from their initial sites to their terminal sites.*

Triangles have local orientation or chirality. A direct or dual triangle is called a left-handed (right-handed) triangle if the edge of the triangle is on the left-hand (right-hand) side when one passes through the triangle along its positive direction. Left-handed (right-handed) triangles are denoted by  $\tau_L$  and  $\tilde{\tau}_L$  ( $\tau_R$  and  $\tilde{\tau}_R$ ). This is described in Figure 4.1.



**Figure 4.1.** An example of an arbitrary shaped oriented 2d-graph with examples of a direct (dual) triangle  $\tau_i(\tilde{\tau}_i)$  and type-A (type-B) ribbon  $\rho_i^A(\rho_i^B)$ . The dual ribbon paths are denoted by the thick dashed lines and the direct ribbon paths are denoted by the thick continuous lines.


A ribbon  $\rho$  of length  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is a sequence of  $n$  mutually non overlapping consecutive triangles such that the ending site of a triangle is the starting site of the next triangle. A ribbon is called direct (dual) if it is made up of only direct (dual) triangles, otherwise it is called proper. We will denote the starting site (end site) of  $\rho$  by  $\partial_0 \rho$  ( $\partial_1 \rho$ ). A ribbon  $\rho$  is closed if the starting and ending site

are the same (that is  $\partial_0\rho = \partial_1\rho$ ), else it is said to be open. There are two types of ribbons that are classified by the chirality or local orientation of the triangles composing them. A directed ribbon is called type-A (type-B) if all the direct triangles in it are left-handed (right-handed). Thus the type-A ribbons denoted by  $\rho_A$ , consist of left-handed direct triangles and right-handed dual triangles and the type-B ribbons denoted by  $\rho_B$ , consist of right-handed direct triangles and left-handed dual triangles. These are illustrated in 4.1. The different types are considered separately.


## 4.2 Ribbon operators for the semidual model

For an element  $a \otimes h \in H^{\text{cop}} \otimes H$  and a directed triangle  $\tau$ , we denote the ribbon operators by  $F^{(a,h)}(\tau)$  or  $F^{a \otimes h}(\tau)$ . To establish these operators in the semidual lattice code model, we begin by defining the triangle operators and subsequently introduce a recursive relation for determining the ribbon operator. We refer to the triangle operators as fundamental or elementary ribbons. The operators will act on the whole Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , but the action is non-trivial only on the edges contained in  $\rho$ .


Given the left and right module edge operators defined in (3.2) and (3.13), we consider the different cases for triangle operators separately following conventions outlined in [5] to define the triangle operators as follows:




$$F^{(a,h)}(\tilde{\tau}_L) |\psi\rangle = \epsilon(a)L_+^h |\psi\rangle = \epsilon(a)\langle h, S\psi_{(1)}\psi_{(3)} \rangle |\psi_{(2)}\rangle \quad (4.1)$$




$$F^{(a,h)}(\tilde{\tau}_L) |\psi\rangle = \epsilon(a)L_-^h |\psi\rangle = \epsilon(a)\langle h, \psi_{(3)}S^{-1}\psi_{(1)} \rangle |\psi_{(2)}\rangle \quad (4.2)$$




$$F^{(a,h)}(\tilde{\tau}_R) |\psi\rangle = \epsilon(a)\tilde{L}_+^h |\psi\rangle = \epsilon(a)\langle h, S\psi_{(3)}\psi_{(1)} \rangle |\psi_{(2)}\rangle \quad (4.3)$$




$$F^{(a,h)}(\tilde{\tau}_R) |\psi\rangle = \epsilon(a)\tilde{L}_-^h |\psi\rangle = \epsilon(a)\langle h, \psi_{(1)}S^{-1}\psi_{(3)} \rangle |\psi_{(2)}\rangle \quad (4.4)$$




$$F^{(a,h)}(\tau_R) |\psi\rangle = \epsilon(h)T_+^a |\psi\rangle = \epsilon(h)\langle Sa, \psi_{(1)} \rangle |\psi_{(2)}\rangle \quad (4.5)$$



$$F^{(a,h)}(\tau_R) |\psi\rangle = \epsilon(h) T_-^a |\psi\rangle = \epsilon(h) \langle a, \psi_{(2)} | \psi_{(1)} \rangle \quad (4.6)$$



$$F^{(a,h)}(\tau_L) |\psi\rangle = \epsilon(h) \tilde{T}_+^a |\psi\rangle = \epsilon(h) \langle a, \psi_{(1)} | \psi_{(2)} \rangle \quad (4.7)$$



$$F^{(a,h)}(\tau_L) |\psi\rangle = \epsilon(h) \tilde{T}_-^a |\psi\rangle = \epsilon(h) \langle S^{-1}a, \psi_{(2)} | \psi_{(1)} \rangle \quad (4.8)$$

Here, the ribbons in (4.1) and (4.2) are left dual, those in (4.3) and (4.4) are right dual, the ones in (4.5) and (4.6) are right direct and those in (4.7) and (4.8) are left direct. The convention for the local left and right orientation of the fundamental ribbons is based on [7]. For the ribbons other than the fundamental ones or triangles, the ribbon operators are define inductively.

**Proposition 4.2.** *The ribbon operators satisfy the following multiplication relations*

$$F^{(a,h)}(\tau_R) \circ F^{(a',h')}(\tau_R) = F^{(aa',hh')}(\tau_R), \quad F^{(a,h)}(\tilde{\tau}_L) \circ F^{(a',h')}(\tilde{\tau}_L) = F^{(aa',hh')}(\tilde{\tau}_L) \quad (4.9)$$

$$F^{(a,h)}(\tau_L) \circ F^{(a',h')}(\tau_L) = F^{(a'a,h'h)}(\tau_L), \quad F^{(a,h)}(\tilde{\tau}_R) \circ F^{(a',h')}(\tilde{\tau}_R) = F^{(a'a,h'h)}(\tilde{\tau}_R) \quad (4.10)$$

*Proof.* See appendix A □

The above proposition means that, as algebras, the triangle operator algebras satisfy  $\mathcal{B}_{\tau_R} \cong \mathcal{B}_{\tilde{\tau}_L} = H^{\text{cop}} \otimes H \cong H^{\text{cop}} \blacktriangleright H$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{\tau_L} \cong \mathcal{B}_{\tilde{\tau}_R} \cong (H^{\text{cop}} \otimes H)^{\text{op}} = H \otimes H^{\text{op}} \cong M(H)^{\text{op}}$ .

Next, we define the general ribbon  $\rho$  in the semidual lattice code model. These are defined recursively for the different ribbon types. To define  $F^{(a,h)}(\rho)$ , we consider the decomposition  $\rho = \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$  such that both  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  have the same direction with  $\rho$  and the terminal site of  $\tau_1$  is the initial site of  $\tau_2$ . We begin with the definition of type-B ribbon operators. The ribbon operators for type-B ribbons  $\rho = \rho^B$  are parameterized by  $(a \otimes h) \in H^{\text{cop}} \otimes H$ . These operators form the ribbon operator algebra  $\mathcal{B}_{\rho^B} = \{F^{(a,h)}(\rho^B) : a \in H^{\text{cop}}, h \in H\}$ . Since  $H^{\text{cop}} \otimes H \cong H^{\text{cop}} \blacktriangleright H$ , the ribbon operator  $F^{(a,h)}(\rho) = F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B)$  for the composite ribbon  $\rho^B = \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$  is defined recursively via the coproduct (2.6) of  $a \otimes h \in H^{\text{cop}} \blacktriangleright H$  as

$$F^{(a,h)}(\rho^B) := \sum_{(a,h)} F^{a_{(2)},h_{(2)}}(\tau_1) F^{a_{(1)}h_{(1)}S_{h_{(3)},h_{(4)}}}(\tau_2). \quad (4.11)$$

It is independent of the choice of the decomposition  $\rho = \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$  due to the coassociativity. Similarly, the type-A ribbon is constructed from the Hopf algebra  $H \otimes H^{\text{op}} = M(H)^{\text{op}}$ . The construction uses the recursive relation (4.11) since  $M(H)^{\text{op}}$  has the same coproduct as  $M(H)$ . For a closed ribbon,

there is only one end  $\partial\rho = \partial_0\rho = \partial_1\rho$ , where the starting site of a ribbon  $\rho$  is denoted by  $\partial_0\rho$  and the ending site is denoted by  $\partial_1\rho$ . The vertex and face operators can therefore be considered as special cases of closed ribbon operators.

The properties of ribbon operators are essential for understanding topological excitations of the model. Since the topological excitations are generated at two ends of the ribbon, the commutation relations between the vertex and face operators and ribbon operators play a key role.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $\rho = \rho_A$  or  $\rho_B$  be an open ribbon with starting and ending sites  $s_0, s_1$ . Then the ribbon operator  $F^{(a,h)}(\rho)$  do not commute with the local vertex and face operators  $A^h$  and  $B^a$  operators.*

*Proof.* See Appendix B for commutation relations between ribbon operators and vertex and face operators.  $\square$

We can also show that ribbon operators commute with all terms in the Hamiltonian except for those associated with the ends of the ribbon.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $\rho$  be an open ribbon and  $s$  be a site on  $\rho$  such that  $s$  has no overlap with  $\partial_i\rho$ . Then*

$$A(s)F^{(a,h)}(\rho) = F^{(a,h)}(\rho)A(s), \quad B(s)F^{(a,h)}(\rho) = F^{(a,h)}(\rho)B(s) \quad (4.12)$$

where  $A(s) = A^l(v, p)$  and  $B(s) = B^k(v, p)$  are the terms associated to  $s$  in the Hamiltonian.

*Proof.* See Appendix C.  $\square$

The results in Proposition 4.4 above follow for closed ribbons as well. Consequently, on a sufficiently long ribbon  $\rho$ , the ribbon operators commute with all terms in the Hamiltonian except those associated with the ends of  $\rho$ , creating excitations only at the end of the ribbon. Since the action of the local operators on  $\partial_i\rho$  preserves  $M(H)$ , the space of elementary excitations for the semidual Kitaev lattice model is therefore given by  $M(H)$ . When acting on the ground states, the ribbon operators  $F^{(a,h)}(\rho)$  define a representation of  $M(H)$  and  $M(H)^{\text{op}}$  depending on the local orientation.

## 5 Conclusion

In this work, we have systematically defined the ribbon operators in the semidual Kitaev lattice model and derived some important properties. The ribbon operators are essential for understanding quasi-particle excitations within topologically ordered systems. We established that the space of ribbon operators in the semidual Kitaev model naturally identifies with the bicrossproduct quantum groups  $M(H) = H^{\text{cop}} \blacktriangleright H$  and  $M(H)^{\text{op}}$  depending on the chirality and corresponds to the two types of ribbons described as the type- $B$  (containing right-handed direct and left-handed dual triangles) and type- $A$  (containing left-handed direct and right-handed dual triangles) ribbons respectively.

It will be good to understand the physical relation between the generalized Kitaev quantum double model and the semidual model. Both are based on quantum groups related by a Drinfeld and module-algebra twist [27]. We expect the twist to play a role in the algebraic relation between these

models. It also remains to understand the physical meaning of semidualisation in the context of these lattice code models. Another interesting direction is to generalize the semidual formulation to include Hamiltonian and ribbon operators with gapped boundaries. We expect that these generalized lattice code models based on Hopf algebras may find applications in topological quantum computing.

## References

- [1] A.Y. Kitaev, *Fault tolerant quantum computation by anyons*, *Annals Phys.* **303** (2003) 2 [[quant-ph/9707021](#)].
- [2] M.F. Araujo de Resende, *A pedagogical overview about the 2D and 3D Toric Codes and the origin of their topological orders*, [1712.01258](#).
- [3] O. Buerschaper, J. Martin Mombelli, M. Christandl and M. Aguado, *A hierarchy of topological tensor network states*, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **54** (2013) .
- [4] C. Meusburger, *Kitaev lattice models as a Hopf algebra gauge theory*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **353** (2017) 413 [[1607.01144](#)].
- [5] P. Chen, S.X. Cui and B. Yan, *Ribbon operators in the generalized Kitaev quantum double model based on Hopf algebras*, *J. Phys. A* **55** (2022) 185201 [[2105.08202](#)].
- [6] A. Cowtan and S. Majid, *Algebraic Aspects of Boundaries in the Kitaev Quantum Double Model*, [2208.06317](#).
- [7] Z. Jia, D. Kaszlikowski and S. Tan, *Boundary and domain wall theories of 2d generalized quantum double model*, *JHEP* **07** (2023) 160 [[2207.03970](#)].
- [8] Z. Jia, S. Tan, D. Kaszlikowski and L. Chang, *On Weak Hopf Symmetry and Weak Hopf Quantum Double Model*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **402** (2023) 3045 [[2302.08131](#)].
- [9] M.A. Levin and X.-G. Wen, *String net condensation: A Physical mechanism for topological phases*, *Phys. Rev.* **B71** (2005) 045110 [[cond-mat/0404617](#)].
- [10] M. Levin and X.-G. Wen, *Detecting Topological Order in a Ground State Wave Function*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** (2006) 110405 [[cond-mat/0510613](#)].
- [11] O. Buerschaper and M. Aguado, *Mapping Kitaev's quantum double lattice models to Levin and Wen's string-net models*, *Phys. Rev. B.* **80** (2009) 115421 [[cond-mat/0907.2670](#)].
- [12] Z. Kadar, A. Marzuoli and M. Rasetti, *Braiding and entanglement in spin networks: A Combinatorial approach to topological phases*, in *Quantum 2008: 4th Workshop Ad Memoriam of Carlo Novero: Advances in Foundations of Quantum Mechanics and Quantum Information with Atoms and Photons Turin, Italy, May 19-23, 2008*, 2008, <http://inspirehep.net/record/789038/files/arXiv:0806.3883.pdf> [[0806.3883](#)].
- [13] Z. Kadar, A. Marzuoli and M. Rasetti, *Microscopic description of 2d topological phases, duality and 3d state sums*, *Adv. Math. Phys.* **2010** (2010) 671039 [[0907.3724](#)].
- [14] O. Buerschaper, M. Christandl, L. Kong and M. Aguado, *Electric-magnetic duality of lattice systems with topological order*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B876** (2013) 619 [[1006.5823](#)].
- [15] A. Kitaev and L. Kong, *Models for gapped boundaries and domain walls*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **313** (2012) 351 [[1104.5047](#)].

- [16] T. Lan and X.-G. Wen, *Topological quasiparticles and the holographic bulk-edge relation in (2+1)-dimensional string-net models*, *Phys. Rev.* **B90** (2014) 115119 [[1311.1784](#)].
- [17] Y. Hu, N. Geer and Y.-S. Wu, *Full Dyon Excitation Spectrum in Generalized Levin-Wen Models*, *Phys. Rev.* **B97** (2018) 195154 [[1502.03433](#)].
- [18] A. Cowtan and S. Majid, *Quantum double aspects of surface code models*, *J. Math. Phys.* **63** (2022) 042202 [[2107.04411](#)].
- [19] F. Girelli, P.K. Osei and A. Osumanu, *Semidual Kitaev lattice model and tensor network representation*, *JHEP* **09** (2021) 210 [[1709.00522](#)].
- [20] S. Majid and H. Ruegg, *Bicrossproduct structure of kappa Poincare group and noncommutative geometry*, *Phys. Lett. B* **334** (1994) 348 [[hep-th/9405107](#)].
- [21] S. Majid, *Foundations of quantum group theory*, Cambridge University Press (2011).
- [22] S. Majid, *q Euclidean space and quantum group wick rotation by twisting*, *J. Math. Phys.* **35** (1994) 5025 [[hep-th/9401112](#)].
- [23] C. Meusburger and B.J. Schroers, *Generalised Chern-Simons actions for 3d gravity and kappa-Poincare symmetry*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B806** (2009) 462 [[0805.3318](#)].
- [24] P.K. Osei and B.J. Schroers, *On the semiduals of local isometry groups in 3d gravity*, *J. Math. Phys.* **53** (2012) 073510 [[1109.4086](#)].
- [25] P.K. Osei and B.J. Schroers, *Classical r-matrices for the generalised Chern-Simons formulation of 3d gravity*, [hep-th/1708.07650](#).
- [26] P.K. Osei, *Quantum isometry groups and Born reciprocity in 3d gravity*, in *Physical and Mathematical Aspects of Symmetries: Proceedings of the 31st International Colloquium in Group Theoretical Methods in Physics*, pp. 279–286, 2017, [DOI](#).
- [27] S. Majid and P.K. Osei, *Quasitriangular structure and twisting of the 3D bicrossproduct model*, *JHEP* **01** (2018) 147 [[1708.07999](#)].
- [28] S. Majid and B.J. Schroers, *q-Deformation and Semidualisation in 3d Quantum Gravity*, *J. Phys.* **A42** (2009) 425402 [[0806.2587](#)].
- [29] H. Bombin and M.A. Martin-Delgado, *A Family of Non-Abelian Kitaev Models on a Lattice: Topological Confinement and Condensation*, *Phys. Rev.* **B78** (2008) 115421 [[0712.0190](#)].
- [30] S. Majid, *Hopf Algebras for Physics at the Planck Scale*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **5** (1988) 1587.



## A Multiplication of the Ribbon Operators

$$L_{\pm}^h L_{\pm}^{h'} = L_{\pm}^{hh'}, \quad \tilde{L}_{\pm}^h \tilde{L}_{\pm}^{h'} = \tilde{L}_{\pm}^{h'h}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$T_{\pm}^a T_{\pm}^{a'} = T_{\pm}^{aa'}, \quad \tilde{T}_{\pm}^a \tilde{T}_{\pm}^{a'} = \tilde{T}_{\pm}^{a'a}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Multiplication of the ribbon operator in (4.1)

$$\begin{aligned} F^{a \otimes h}(\tilde{\tau}_L) F^{a' \otimes h'}(\tilde{\tau}_L) |\psi\rangle &= \epsilon(a') \langle h', S\psi_{(1)}\psi_{(3)} \rangle F^{a \otimes h}(\tilde{\tau}_L) |\psi_{(2)}\rangle, \\ &= \epsilon(a') \epsilon(a) \langle h', S\psi_{(1)}\psi_{(3)} \rangle \langle h, S\psi_{(2)(1)}\psi_{(2)(3)} \rangle |\psi_{(2)(2)}\rangle, \\ &= \epsilon(a'a) \langle hh', S\psi_{(1)}\psi_{(3)} \rangle |\psi_{(2)}\rangle, \\ &= F^{aa' \otimes hh'}(\tilde{\tau}_L) |\psi\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Multiplication of the ribbon operator in (4.2)

$$\begin{aligned} F^{a \otimes h}(\tilde{\tau}_L) F^{a' \otimes h'}(\tilde{\tau}_L) |\psi\rangle &= \epsilon(a') \langle h', \psi_{(3)} S^{-1}\psi_{(1)} \rangle F^{a \otimes h}(\tilde{\tau}_L) |\psi_{(2)}\rangle, \\ &= \epsilon(a') \epsilon(a) \langle h', \psi_{(3)} S^{-1}\psi_{(1)} \rangle \langle h, \psi_{(2)(3)} S^{-1}\psi_{(2)(1)} \rangle |\psi_{(2)(2)}\rangle, \\ &= \epsilon(aa') \langle h'h, \psi_{(3)} S^{-1}\psi_{(1)} \rangle |\psi_{(2)}\rangle, \\ &= F^{aa' \otimes hh'}(\tilde{\tau}_L) |\psi\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Multiplication of the ribbon operator in (4.3)

$$\begin{aligned} F^{a \otimes h}(\tilde{\tau}_R) F^{a' \otimes h'}(\tilde{\tau}_R) |\psi\rangle &= \epsilon(a') \langle h', S\psi_{(3)}\psi_{(1)} \rangle F^{a \otimes h}(\tilde{\tau}_R) |\psi_{(2)}\rangle, \\ &= \epsilon(a') \epsilon(a) \langle h', S\psi_{(3)}\psi_{(1)} \rangle \langle h, S\psi_{(2)(3)}\psi_{(2)(1)} \rangle |\psi_{(2)(2)}\rangle, \\ &= \epsilon(a'a) \langle h'h, S\psi_{(3)}\psi_{(1)} \rangle |\psi_{(2)}\rangle, \\ &= F^{a'a \otimes h'h}(\tilde{\tau}_R) |\psi\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Multiplication of the ribbon operator in (4.4)

$$\begin{aligned} F^{a \otimes h}(\tilde{\tau}_R) F^{a' \otimes h'}(\tilde{\tau}_R) |\psi\rangle &= \epsilon(a') \langle h', \psi_{(1)} S^{-1}\psi_{(3)} \rangle F^{a \otimes h}(\tilde{\tau}_R) |\psi_{(2)}\rangle, \\ &= \epsilon(a') \epsilon(a) \langle h', \psi_{(1)} S^{-1}\psi_{(3)} \rangle \langle h, \psi_{(2)(1)} S^{-1}\psi_{(2)(3)} \rangle |\psi_{(2)(2)}\rangle, \\ &= \epsilon(a'a) \langle h'h, \psi_{(1)} S^{-1}\psi_{(3)} \rangle |\psi_{(2)}\rangle, \\ &= F^{a'a \otimes h'h}(\tilde{\tau}_R) |\psi\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Multiplication of the ribbon operator in (4.5)

$$\begin{aligned} F^{a \otimes h}(\tau_R) F^{a' \otimes h'}(\tau_R) |\psi\rangle &= \epsilon(h') \langle Sa', \psi_{(1)} \rangle F^{a \otimes h}(\tau_R) |\psi_{(2)}\rangle, \\ &= \epsilon(h') \epsilon(h) \langle Sa', \psi_{(1)} \rangle \langle Sa, \psi_{(2)(1)} \rangle |\psi_{(2)(2)}\rangle, \\ &= \epsilon(hh') \langle S(aa'), \psi_{(1)} \rangle |\psi_{(1)}\rangle, \\ &= F^{aa' \otimes hh'}(\tau_R) |\psi\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Multiplication of the ribbon operator in (4.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{a \otimes h}(\tau_R) F^{a' \otimes h'}(\tau_R) |\psi\rangle &= \epsilon(h') \langle a', \psi_{(2)} \rangle F^{a \otimes h}(\tau_R) |\psi_{(1)}\rangle, \\
&= \epsilon(h') \epsilon(h) \langle a', \psi_{(2)} \rangle \langle a, \psi_{(1)(2)} \rangle |\psi_{(1)(1)}\rangle, \\
&= \epsilon(hh') \langle aa', \psi_{(2)} \rangle |\psi_{(2)}\rangle, \\
&= F^{aa' \otimes hh'}(\tau_R) (\tau_R) |\psi\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

Multiplication of the ribbon operator in (4.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{a \otimes h}(\tau_L) F^{a' \otimes h'}(\tau_L) |\psi\rangle &= \epsilon(h') \langle a', \psi_{(1)} \rangle F^{a \otimes h}(\tau_L) |\psi_{(2)}\rangle, \\
&= \epsilon(h') \epsilon(h) \langle a', \psi_{(1)} \rangle \langle a, \psi_{(2)(1)} \rangle |\psi_{(2)(2)}\rangle, \\
&= \epsilon(h'h) \langle a'a, \psi_{(1)} \rangle |\psi_{(2)}\rangle, \\
&= F^{a'a \otimes h'h}(\tau_L) |\psi\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

Multiplication of the ribbon operator in (4.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{a \otimes h}(\tau_L) F^{a' \otimes h'}(\tau_L) |\psi\rangle &= \epsilon(h') \langle S^{-1}a', \psi_{(2)} \rangle F^{a \otimes h}(\tau_L) |\psi_{(1)}\rangle, \\
&= \epsilon(h') \epsilon(h) \langle S^{-1}a', \psi_{(2)} \rangle \langle S^{-1}a, \psi_{(1)(2)} \rangle |\psi_{(1)(1)}\rangle, \\
&= \epsilon(h'h) \langle S^{-1}(a'a), \psi_{(2)} \rangle |\psi_{(1)}\rangle, \\
&= F^{a'a \otimes h'h}(\tau_L) |\psi\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

## B Relationship between the Ribbon and Geometric Operators

In this section, we compute the commutation relationship between the geometric operators and the ribbon operators at the beginning and end sites of the types of ribbons (type- $A$  and type- $B$ ). We will consider the ribbon lengths that are sufficient to obtain these relations, this will help us to compute the relationship between the ribbon operators and the geometric operators at the sites within longer ribbons. If  $\hat{G}$  is a geometric operator and  $\hat{R}$  is a ribbon operator, the idea is to compute  $\hat{G} \circ \hat{R}$  and  $\hat{R} \circ \hat{G}$  and compare the results in order to establish the relationship.

We begin with the type- $B$  ribbons, the ribbon in (B.1) is a type- $B$  ribbon made up of a single left dual triangle  $\tau$ , so we have  $\rho^B = \tau$ . This will enable us to compute the relationship between the face operators and the type- $B$  ribbon operators at the starting and end sites in of type- $B$  ribbons.

$$|\Sigma_1\rangle = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\psi^2} \quad \xrightarrow{\psi^7} \\ \uparrow \psi^1 \\ \text{---} \tau \text{---} \\ \downarrow \psi^3 \quad \downarrow \psi^6 \\ \xleftarrow{\psi^4} \quad \xleftarrow{\psi^5} \end{array} \\ \text{---} s_0 \text{---} s_1 \text{---} \end{array} \tag{B.1}$$

At  $s_0$ ,  $B^b(s_0) F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
B^b(s_0) F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B) |_{\Sigma_1} &= B^b(s_0) [F^{a \otimes h}(\tau)(\psi^1) \otimes \psi^2 \otimes \psi^3 \otimes \psi^4] \\
&= B^b(s_0) [\epsilon(a) \langle h, S\psi_{(1)}^1 \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle (\psi_{(2)}^1) \otimes \psi^2 \otimes \psi^3 \otimes \psi^4] \\
&= \epsilon(a) \langle h, S\psi_{(1)}^1 \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle T_-^{b(4)}(\psi_{(2)}^1) \otimes T_+^{b(3)}(\psi^2) \otimes T_+^{b(2)}(\psi^3) \otimes T_+^{b(1)}(\psi^4) \\
&= \epsilon(a) \langle h, S\psi_{(1)}^1 \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)(2)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)(1)}^1 \otimes \langle Sb_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \langle Sb_{(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \otimes \langle Sb_{(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \\
&= \epsilon(a) \langle h, S\psi_{(1)}^1 \psi_{(4)}^1 \rangle \langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^1 \otimes \langle Sb_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \langle Sb_{(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \otimes \langle Sb_{(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4
\end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

and  $F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B) B^b(s_0)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B) B^b(s_0) |_{\Sigma_1} &= F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B) [T_-^{b(4)}(\psi^1) \otimes T_+^{b(3)}(\psi^2) \otimes T_+^{b(2)}(\psi^3) \otimes T_+^{b(1)}(\psi^4)] \\
&= F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B) [\langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \otimes \langle Sb_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \otimes \langle Sb_{(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \otimes \langle Sb_{(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4] \\
&= \langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle F^{a \otimes h}(\tau)(\psi_{(1)}^1) \otimes \langle Sb_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \otimes \langle Sb_{(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \otimes \langle Sb_{(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \\
&= \langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle \epsilon(a) \langle h, S\psi_{(1)(1)}^1 \psi_{(1)(3)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(1)(2)}^1 \otimes \langle Sb_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \langle Sb_{(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \otimes \langle Sb_{(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \\
&= \epsilon(a) \langle h, S\psi_{(1)}^1 \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(4)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^1 \otimes \langle Sb_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \langle Sb_{(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \otimes \langle Sb_{(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4
\end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

At the site  $s_1$ ,  $B^b(s_1) F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A)$ , gives


$$\begin{aligned}
B^b(s_1) F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B) |_{\Sigma_1} &= B^b(s_0) [\psi^5 \otimes \psi^6 \otimes \psi^7 \otimes F^{a \otimes h}(\tau)(\psi^1)] \\
&= B^b(s_0) [\psi^5 \otimes \psi^6 \otimes \psi^7 \otimes \epsilon(a) \langle h, S\psi_{(1)}^1 \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^1] \\
&= T_+^{b(4)}(\psi^5) \otimes T_+^{b(3)}(\psi^6) \otimes T_+^{b(2)}(\psi^7) \otimes \epsilon(a) \langle h, S\psi_{(1)}^1 \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle T_+^{b(1)}(\psi_{(2)}^1) \\
&= \langle Sb_{(4)}, \psi_{(1)}^5 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^5 \otimes \langle Sb_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^6 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^6 \otimes \langle Sb_{(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^7 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^7 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a) \langle h, S\psi_{(1)}^1 \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \langle Sb_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)(1)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)(2)}^1 \\
&= \langle Sb_{(4)}, \psi_{(1)}^5 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^5 \otimes \langle Sb_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^6 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^6 \otimes \langle Sb_{(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^7 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^7 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a) \langle h, S\psi_{(1)}^1 \psi_{(4)}^1 \rangle \langle Sb_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(3)}^1
\end{aligned} \tag{B.4}$$

and  $F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B) B^b(s_1)$

$$F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B) B^b(s_1) |_{\Sigma_1} = F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B) [T_+^{b(4)}(\psi^5) \otimes T_+^{b(3)}(\psi^6) \otimes T_+^{b(2)}(\psi^7) \otimes T_+^{b(1)}(\psi^1)]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= F^{a \otimes h} (\rho^B) \left[ \langle Sb_{(4)}, \psi_{(1)}^5 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^5 \otimes \langle Sb_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^6 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^6 \otimes \langle Sb_{(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^7 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^7 \otimes \langle Sb_{(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^1 \right] \\
&= \langle Sb_{(4)}, \psi_{(1)}^5 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^5 \otimes \langle Sb_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^6 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^6 \otimes \langle Sb_{(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^7 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^7 \otimes \langle Sb_{(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^1 \rangle F^{a \otimes h} (\tau) (\psi_{(2)}^1) \\
&= \langle Sb_{(4)}, \psi_{(1)}^5 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^5 \otimes \langle Sb_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^6 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^6 \otimes \langle Sb_{(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^7 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^7 \\
&\quad \otimes \langle Sb_{(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^1 \rangle \epsilon(a) \langle h, S\psi_{(2)(1)}^1 \psi_{(2)(3)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)(2)}^1 \\
&= \langle Sb_{(4)}, \psi_{(1)}^5 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^5 \otimes \langle Sb_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^6 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^6 \otimes \langle Sb_{(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^7 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^7 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a) \langle Sb_{(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^1 \rangle \langle h, S\psi_{(2)}^1 \psi_{(4)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(3)}^1
\end{aligned} \tag{B.5}$$

We consider another type- $B$  in (B.6), it is made up of a left dual triangle ( $\tau_1$ ) and a right direct triangle ( $\tau_2$ ) so we have  $\rho^B = \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ . This will help us to compute the commutation relations between the vertex operator and the type- $B$  ribbon operator at the starting site of a type- $B$  ribbon.



$$|\Sigma_2\rangle = \tag{B.6}$$

At  $s_0$ , and  $A^g (s_0) F^{a \otimes h} (\rho^B)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
A^g (s_0) F^{a \otimes h} (\rho^B) |\Sigma_2\rangle &= A^g (s_0) \left[ F^{a(2) \otimes h(2)} (\tau_1) (\psi^1) \otimes F^{a(1)h(1)Sh(3) \otimes h(4)} (\tau_2) (\psi^2) \otimes \psi^3 \otimes \psi^4 \right] \\
&= A^g (s_0) \left[ \epsilon(a_{(2)}) \langle h_{(2)}, S\psi_{(1)}^1 \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^1 \otimes \epsilon(h_{(4)}) \langle S(a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(3)}), \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \otimes \psi^3 \otimes \psi^4 \right] \\
&= \epsilon(a_{(2)}) \langle h_{(2)}, S\psi_{(1)}^1 \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle M_-^{1 \otimes g_{(4)}} (\psi_{(2)}^1) \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(h_{(4)}) \langle S(a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(3)}), \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle M_+^{g_{(3)}Sg_{(5)} \otimes g_{(6)}} (\psi_{(2)}^2) \\
&\quad \otimes M_-^{g_{(2)}Sg_{(7)} \otimes g_{(8)}} (\psi^3) \otimes M_-^{g_{(1)}Sg_{(9)} \otimes g_{(10)}} (\psi^4) \\
&= \epsilon(a_{(2)}) \langle h_{(2)}, S\psi_{(1)}^1 \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \langle g_{(4)(1)}, \psi_{(2)(3)}^1 \rangle \langle g_{(4)(2)}, S^{-1}\psi_{(2)(1)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)(2)}^1 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(h_{(4)}) \langle S(a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(3)}), \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \langle Sg_{(6)(1)}S(g_{(3)}Sg_{(5)}), \psi_{(2)(1)}^2 \rangle \langle g_{(6)(2)}, \psi_{(2)(3)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \langle (g_{(2)}Sg_{(7)})g_{(8)(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \rangle \langle g_{(8)(2)}, S^{-1}\psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \\
&\quad \otimes \langle (g_{(1)}Sg_{(9)})g_{(10)(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(10)(2)}, S^{-1}\psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \\
&= \epsilon(a_{(2)}) \langle h_{(2)}, S\psi_{(1)}^1 \psi_{(5)}^1 \rangle \langle g_{(4)}, \psi_{(4)}^1 \rangle \langle g_{(5)}, S^{-1}\psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(3)}^1 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(h_{(4)}) \langle S(a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(3)}), \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \langle Sg_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^2 \rangle \langle g_{(6)}, \psi_{(4)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(3)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \langle g_{(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \rangle \langle g_{(7)}, S^{-1}\psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \otimes \langle g_{(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(8)}, S^{-1}\psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4
\end{aligned} \tag{B.7}$$

and  $F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B) A^g(s_0)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B) A^g(s_0) |\Sigma_2\rangle &= F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B) \left[ M_-^{1 \otimes g(4)}(\psi^1) \otimes M_+^{g(3) S g(5) \otimes g(6)}(\psi^2) \otimes M_-^{g(2) S g(7) \otimes g(8)}(\psi^3) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \otimes M_-^{g(1) S g(9) \otimes g(10)}(\psi^2) \right] \\
&= F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B) \left[ \langle g_{(4)(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \langle g_{(4)(2)}, S^{-1} \psi_{(1)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^1 \right. \\
&\quad \otimes \langle S g_{(6)(1)} S(g_{(3)} S g_{(5)}), \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \langle g_{(6)(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \langle (g_{(2)} S g_{(7)}) g_{(8)(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \rangle \langle g_{(8)(2)}, S^{-1} \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \\
&\quad \left. \otimes \langle (g_{(1)} S g_{(9)}) g_{(10)(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(10)(2)}, S^{-1} \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \right] \\
&= \langle g_{(4)}, \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \langle g_{(5)}, S^{-1} \psi_{(1)}^1 \rangle F^{a(2) \otimes h(2)}(\tau_1) (\psi_{(2)}^1) \\
&\quad \otimes \langle S(g_{(3)}), \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \langle g_{(6)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \rangle F^{a(1) h(1) S h(3) \otimes h(4)}(\tau_2) (\psi_{(2)}^2) \\
&\quad \otimes \langle g_{(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \rangle \langle g_{(7)}, S^{-1} \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \otimes \langle g_{(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(8)}, S^{-1} \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \\
&= \langle g_{(4)}, \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \langle g_{(5)}, S^{-1} \psi_{(1)}^1 \rangle \epsilon(a_{(2)}) \langle h_{(2)}, S \psi_{(2)(1)}^1 \psi_{(2)(3)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)(2)}^1 \\
&\quad \otimes \langle S(g_{(3)}), \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \langle g_{(6)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \rangle \epsilon(h_{(4)}) \langle S(a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(3)}), \psi_{(2)(1)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \langle g_{(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \rangle \langle g_{(7)}, S^{-1} \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \otimes \langle g_{(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(8)}, S^{-1} \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \\
&= \epsilon(a_{(2)}) \langle g_{(4)}, \psi_{(5)}^1 \rangle \langle g_{(5)}, S^{-1} \psi_{(1)}^1 \rangle \langle h_{(2)}, S \psi_{(2)}^1 \psi_{(4)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(3)}^1 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(h_{(4)}) \langle S(g_{(3)}), \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \langle g_{(6)}, \psi_{(4)}^2 \rangle \langle S(a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(3)}), \psi_{(2)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(3)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \langle g_{(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \rangle \langle g_{(7)}, S^{-1} \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \otimes \langle g_{(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(8)}, S^{-1} \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4
\end{aligned} \tag{B.8}$$

We consider another type- $B$  ribbon  $\rho^A = \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$  in (B.9) which is also made up of a right direct triangle ( $\tau_1$ ) and a left dual triangle ( $\tau_2$ ). This will enable us to compute the commutation relation between the vertex operator and the type- $A$  ribbon operators at the end sites of type- $A$  ribbons.

$$|\Sigma_3\rangle = \tag{B.9}$$

At  $s_1$ , and  $A^g(s_1) F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
A^g(s_1) F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B) |\Sigma_3\rangle &= A^g(s_1) [\psi^1 \otimes \psi^2 \otimes F^{a(2) \otimes h(2)}(\tau_1)(\psi^3) \otimes F^{a(1)h(2)Sh(3) \otimes h(4)}(\tau_2)(\psi^4)] \\
&= A^g(s_1) \left[ \psi^1 \otimes \psi^2 \otimes \epsilon(h(2)) \langle Sa(2), \psi^3_{(1)} \rangle \psi^3_{(2)} \otimes \epsilon(a(1)h(1)Sh(3)) \langle h(4), S\psi^4_{(1)}\psi^4_{(3)} \rangle \psi^4_{(2)} \right] \\
&= M_+^{1 \otimes g(4)}(\psi^1) \otimes M_-^{g(3)Sg(5) \otimes g(6)}(\psi^2) \otimes \epsilon(h(2)) \langle Sa(2), \psi^3_{(1)} \rangle M_-^{g(2)Sg(7) \otimes g(8)}(\psi^3_{(2)}) \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a(1)h(1)Sh(3)) \langle h(4), S\psi^4_{(1)}\psi^4_{(3)} \rangle M_-^{g(1)Sg(9) \otimes g(10)}(\psi^4_{(2)}) \\
&= \langle Sg(4)_{(1)}, \psi^1_{(1)} \rangle \langle g(4)_{(2)}, \psi^1_{(3)} \rangle \psi^1_{(2)} \otimes \langle (g(3)Sg(5))g(6)_{(1)}, \psi^2_{(3)} \rangle \langle g(6)_{(2)}, \psi^2_{(1)} \rangle \psi^2_{(2)} \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(h(2)) \langle Sa(2), \psi^3_{(1)} \rangle \langle (g(2)Sg(7))g(8)_{(1)}, \psi^3_{(2)(3)} \rangle \langle g(8)_{(2)}, \psi^3_{(2)(1)} \rangle \psi^3_{(2)(2)} \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a(1)h(1)Sh(3)) \langle h(4), S\psi^4_{(1)}\psi^4_{(3)} \rangle \langle (g(1)Sg(9))g(10)_{(1)}, \psi^4_{(2)(3)} \rangle \langle g(10)_{(2)}, \psi^4_{(2)(1)} \rangle \psi^4_{(2)(2)} \\
&= \langle Sg(4), \psi^1_{(1)} \rangle \langle g(5), \psi^1_{(3)} \rangle \psi^1_{(2)} \otimes \langle g(3), \psi^2_{(3)} \rangle \langle g(6), \psi^2_{(1)} \rangle \psi^2_{(2)} \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(h(2)) \langle Sa(2), \psi^3_{(1)} \rangle \langle g(2), \psi^3_{(4)} \rangle \langle g(7), \psi^3_{(2)} \rangle \psi^3_{(3)} \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a(1)h(1)Sh(3)) \langle h(4), S\psi^4_{(1)}\psi^4_{(5)} \rangle \langle g(1), \psi^4_{(4)} \rangle \langle g(8), \psi^4_{(2)} \rangle \psi^4_{(3)} \quad (\text{B.10})
\end{aligned}$$

and  $F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B) A^g(s_1)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B) A^g(s_1) |\Sigma_3\rangle &= F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B) \left[ M_+^{1 \otimes g(4)}(\psi^1) \otimes M_-^{g(3)Sg(5) \otimes g(6)}(\psi^2) \otimes M_-^{g(2)Sg(7) \otimes g(8)}(\psi^3) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \otimes M_-^{g(1)Sg(9) \otimes g(10)}(\psi^4) \right] \\
&= F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B) \left[ \langle Sg(4)_{(1)}, \psi^1_{(1)} \rangle \langle g(4)_{(2)}, \psi^1_{(3)} \rangle \psi^1_{(2)} \right. \\
&\quad \otimes \langle (g(3)Sg(5))g(6)_{(1)}, \psi^2_{(3)} \rangle \langle g(6)_{(2)}, \psi^2_{(1)} \rangle \psi^2_{(2)} \\
&\quad \otimes \langle (g(2)Sg(7))g(8)_{(1)}, \psi^3_{(3)} \rangle \langle g(8)_{(2)}, \psi^3_{(1)} \rangle \psi^3_{(2)} \\
&\quad \left. \otimes \langle (g(1)Sg(9))g(10)_{(1)}, \psi^4_{(3)} \rangle \langle g(10)_{(2)}, \psi^4_{(1)} \rangle \psi^4_{(2)} \right] \\
&= \langle Sg(4), \psi^1_{(1)} \rangle \langle g(5), \psi^1_{(3)} \rangle \psi^1_{(2)} \otimes \langle g(3), \psi^2_{(3)} \rangle \langle g(6), \psi^2_{(1)} \rangle \psi^2_{(2)} \\
&\quad \otimes \langle g(2), \psi^3_{(3)} \rangle \langle g(7), \psi^3_{(1)} \rangle F^{a(2) \otimes h(2)}(\tau_1)(\psi^3_{(2)}) \\
&\quad \otimes \langle g(1), \psi^4_{(3)} \rangle \langle g(8), \psi^4_{(1)} \rangle F^{a(1)h(1)Sh(3) \otimes h(4)}(\tau_2)(\psi^4_{(2)}) \\
&= \langle Sg(4), \psi^1_{(1)} \rangle \langle g(5), \psi^1_{(3)} \rangle \psi^1_{(2)} \otimes \langle g(3), \psi^2_{(3)} \rangle \langle g(6), \psi^2_{(1)} \rangle \psi^2_{(2)} \\
&\quad \otimes \langle g(2), \psi^3_{(3)} \rangle \langle g(7), \psi^3_{(1)} \rangle \epsilon(h(2)) \langle Sa(2), \psi^3_{(2)(1)} \rangle \psi^3_{(2)(2)} \\
&\quad \otimes \langle g(1), \psi^4_{(3)} \rangle \langle g(8), \psi^4_{(1)} \rangle \epsilon(a(1)h(1)Sh(3)) \langle h(4), S\psi^4_{(2)(1)}\psi^3_{(2)(3)} \rangle \psi^3_{(2)(2)} \\
&= \langle Sg(4), \psi^1_{(1)} \rangle \langle g(5), \psi^1_{(3)} \rangle \psi^1_{(2)} \otimes \langle g(3), \psi^2_{(3)} \rangle \langle g(6), \psi^2_{(1)} \rangle \psi^2_{(2)} \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(h(2)) \langle Sa(2), \psi^3_{(2)} \rangle \langle g(2), \psi^3_{(4)} \rangle \langle g(7), \psi^3_{(1)} \rangle \psi^3_{(3)} \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a(1)h(1)Sh(3)) \langle h(4), S\psi^4_{(2)}\psi^3_{(4)} \rangle \langle g(1), \psi^4_{(5)} \rangle \langle g(8), \psi^4_{(1)} \rangle \psi^3_{(3)} \quad (\text{B.11})
\end{aligned}$$

Next we compute the commutation relations between the type-A ribbon operators and the face operators at the starting and end sites of the type-A ribbons. We begin by considering the type-A ribbon illustrated in (B.12), it is made up of a single right dual triangle.

$$|\Sigma_4\rangle = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} & \psi^1 & \psi^7 \\ & \leftarrow & \leftarrow \\ \psi^2 & \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \psi^4 \text{---} \\ \uparrow \\ \tau \\ \text{---} \end{array} & \psi^6 \\ \psi^3 & \rightarrow & \psi^5 \end{array} \end{array} \quad (\text{B.12})$$

At  $s_0$ ,  $F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A) B^b(s_0)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A) B^b(s_0) |\Sigma_4\rangle &= F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A) \left[ T_-^{b(4)}(\psi^1) \otimes T_-^{b(3)}(\psi^2) \otimes T_-^{b(2)}(\psi^3) \otimes T_-^{b(1)}(\psi^4) \right] \\ &= F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A) \left[ \langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \otimes \langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^2 \otimes \langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \otimes \langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^4 \right] \\ &= \langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \otimes \langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^2 \otimes \langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \otimes \langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)}^4 \rangle F^{a \otimes h}(\tau) \left( \psi_{(1)}^4 \right) \\ &= \langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \otimes \langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^2 \otimes \langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \\ &\quad \otimes \langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)}^4 \rangle \epsilon(a) \langle h, \psi_{(1)(1)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(1)(3)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(1)(2)}^4 \\ &= \langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \otimes \langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^2 \otimes \langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \\ &\quad \otimes \epsilon(a) \langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(4)}^4 \rangle \langle h, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.13})$$

and  $B^b(s_0) F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} B^b(s_0) F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A) |\Sigma_4\rangle &= B^b(s_0) \left[ \psi^1 \otimes \psi^2 \otimes \psi^3 \otimes F^{a \otimes h}(\tau) \left( \psi^4 \right) \right] \\ &= B^b(s_0) \left[ \psi^1 \otimes \psi^2 \otimes \psi^3 \otimes \epsilon(a) \langle h, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \right] \\ &= T_-^{b(4)}(\psi^1) \otimes T_-^{b(3)}(\psi^2) \otimes T_-^{b(2)}(\psi^3) \otimes \epsilon(a) \langle h, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle T_-^{b(1)} \left( \psi_{(2)}^4 \right) \\ &= \langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \otimes \langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \otimes \langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \\ &\quad \otimes \epsilon(a) \langle h, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)(2)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)(1)}^4 \\ &= \langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \otimes \langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \otimes \langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \\ &\quad \otimes \epsilon(a) \langle h, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(4)}^4 \rangle \langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.14})$$

At  $s_1$ ,  $F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A) B^b(s_1)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A) B^b(s_1) |\Sigma_4\rangle &= F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A) \left[ T_+^{b(4)}(\psi^4) \otimes T_-^{b(3)}(\psi^5) \otimes T_-^{b(2)}(\psi^6) \otimes T_-^{b(1)}(\psi^7) \right] \\ &= F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A) \left[ \langle Sb_{(4)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \otimes \langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^5 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^5 \otimes \langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^6 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^6 \otimes \langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)}^7 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^7 \right] \\ &= \langle Sb_{(4)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle F^{a \otimes h}(\tau) \left( \psi_{(2)}^4 \right) \otimes \langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^5 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^5 \otimes \langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^6 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^6 \otimes \langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)}^7 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^7 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \epsilon(a) \left\langle Sb_{(4)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 \right\rangle \left\langle h, \psi_{(2)(1)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(2)(3)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)(2)}^4 \\
&\quad \otimes \left\langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^5 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^5 \otimes \left\langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^6 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^6 \otimes \left\langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)}^7 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^7 \\
&= \epsilon(a) \left\langle Sb_{(4)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 \right\rangle \left\langle h, \psi_{(2)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(4)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(3)}^4 \\
&\quad \otimes \left\langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^5 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^5 \otimes \left\langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^6 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^6 \otimes \left\langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)}^7 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^7
\end{aligned} \tag{B.15}$$

and  $B^b(s_1) F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
B^b(s_1) F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A) |\Sigma_4\rangle &= B^b(s_1) [F^{a \otimes h}(\tau)(\psi^4) \otimes \psi^5 \otimes \psi^6 \otimes \psi^7] \\
&= B^b(s_1) \left[ \epsilon(a) \left\langle h, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(3)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \otimes \psi^5 \otimes \psi^6 \otimes \psi^7 \right] \\
&= \epsilon(a) \left\langle h, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(3)}^4 \right\rangle T_+^{b(4)}(\psi_{(2)}^4) \otimes T_-^{b(3)}(\psi^5) \otimes T_-^{b(2)}(\psi^6) \otimes T_-^{b(1)}(\psi^7) \\
&= \epsilon(a) \left\langle h, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(3)}^4 \right\rangle \left\langle Sb_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)(1)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)(2)}^4 \\
&\quad \otimes \left\langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^5 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^5 \otimes \left\langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^6 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^6 \otimes \left\langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)}^7 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^7 \\
&= \epsilon(a) \left\langle h, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(4)}^4 \right\rangle \left\langle Sb_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(3)}^4 \\
&\quad \otimes \left\langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^5 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^5 \otimes \left\langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^6 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^6 \otimes \left\langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)}^7 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^7
\end{aligned} \tag{B.16}$$

Finally, we compute the commutation relation between the type- $A$  ribbon operators and the vertex operators at the start and end sites of type- $A$  ribbons, we will do so by considering the type- $A$  ribbon illustrated in (B.17), it is made up of a single left direct triangle.

$$|\Sigma_5\rangle = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \psi^3 \\ \leftarrow \psi^2 \\ \downarrow \psi^1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \psi^5 \\ \leftarrow \psi^4 \\ \downarrow \psi^7 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \psi^2 \\ \leftarrow \psi^4 \\ \leftarrow \psi^6 \end{array} \end{array} \tag{B.17}$$

At  $s_0$ ,  $F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A) A^g(s_0)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A) A^g(s_0) |\Sigma_5\rangle &= F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A) \left[ M_-^{1 \otimes g(4)}(\psi^1) \otimes M_-^{g(3) Sg(5) \otimes g(6)}(\psi^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \otimes M_-^{g(2) Sg(7) \otimes g(8)}(\psi^3) \otimes M_+^{g(1) Sg(9) \otimes g(10)}(\psi^4) \right] \\
&= F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A) \left[ \left\langle g_{(4)(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^1 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(4)(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^1 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^1 \right. \\
&\quad \otimes \left\langle (g_{(3)} Sg_{(5)}) g_{(6)(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(6)(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^2 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \left. \otimes \left\langle (g_{(2)} Sg_{(7)}) g_{(8)(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(8)(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \right]
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& \otimes \left\langle Sg_{(10)(1)} S(g_{(1)} Sg_{(9)}), \psi_{(1)}^4 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(10)(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \Big] \\
= & \left\langle g_{(4)}, \psi_{(3)}^1 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(5)}, \psi_{(1)}^1 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^1 \otimes \left\langle g_{(3)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(6)}, \psi_{(1)}^2 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
& \otimes \left\langle g_{(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(7)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \otimes \left\langle Sg_{(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(8)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \right\rangle F^{a \otimes h}(\tau) \left( \psi_{(2)}^4 \right) \\
= & \left\langle g_{(4)}, \psi_{(3)}^1 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(5)}, \psi_{(1)}^1 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^1 \otimes \left\langle g_{(3)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(6)}, \psi_{(1)}^2 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
& \otimes \left\langle g_{(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(7)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \otimes \left\langle Sg_{(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(8)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \right\rangle \epsilon(h) \left\langle S^{-1}a, \psi_{(2)(2)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)(1)}^4 \\
= & \left\langle g_{(4)}, \psi_{(3)}^1 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(5)}, \psi_{(1)}^1 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^1 \otimes \left\langle g_{(3)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(6)}, \psi_{(1)}^2 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
& \otimes \left\langle g_{(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(7)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \otimes \epsilon(h) \left\langle Sg_{(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(8)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \right\rangle \left\langle S^{-1}a, \psi_{(3)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^4
\end{aligned} \tag{B.18}$$

and  $A^g(s_0) F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
A^g(s_0) F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A) |\Sigma_5\rangle &= A^g(s_0) [\psi^1 \otimes \psi^2 \otimes \psi^3 \otimes F^{a \otimes b}(\tau)(\psi^4)] \\
&= A^g(s_0) [\psi^1 \otimes \psi^2 \otimes \psi^3 \otimes \epsilon(h) \left\langle S^{-1}a, \psi_{(2)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^4] \\
&= M_-^{1 \otimes g(4)}(\psi^1) \otimes M_-^{g(3) Sg(5) \otimes g(6)}(\psi^2) \\
& \quad \otimes M_-^{g(2) Sg(7) \otimes g(8)}(\psi^3) \otimes \epsilon(h) \left\langle S^{-1}a, \psi_{(2)}^4 \right\rangle M_+^{g(1) Sg(9) \otimes g(10)}(\psi_{(1)}^4) \\
&= \left\langle g_{(4)(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^1 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(4)(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^1 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^1 \otimes \left\langle (g_{(3)} Sg_{(5)}) g_{(6)(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(6)(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^2 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
& \quad \otimes \left\langle (g_{(2)} Sg_{(7)}) g_{(8)(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(8)(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \\
& \quad \otimes \epsilon(h) \left\langle S^{-1}a, \psi_{(2)}^4 \right\rangle \left\langle Sg_{(10)(1)} S(g_{(1)} Sg_{(9)}), \psi_{(1)(1)}^4 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(10)(2)}, \psi_{(1)(3)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)(2)}^4 \\
&= \left\langle g_{(4)}, \psi_{(3)}^1 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(5)}, \psi_{(1)}^1 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^1 \otimes \left\langle g_{(3)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(6)}, \psi_{(1)}^2 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
& \quad \otimes \left\langle g_{(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(7)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \otimes \epsilon(h) \left\langle Sg_{(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(8)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \right\rangle \left\langle S^{-1}a, \psi_{(4)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^4
\end{aligned} \tag{B.19}$$

At  $s_1$ ,  $F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A) A^g(s_1)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A) A^g(s_1) |\Sigma_5\rangle &= F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A) \left[ M_-^{1 \otimes g(4)}(\psi^4) \otimes M_-^{g(3) Sg(5) \otimes g(6)}(\psi^5) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \otimes M_-^{g(2) Sg(7) \otimes g(8)}(\psi^6) \otimes M_-^{g(1) Sg(9) \otimes g(10)}(\psi^7) \right] \\
&= F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A) \left[ \left\langle g_{(4)(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(4)(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \right. \\
& \quad \otimes \left\langle (g_{(3)} Sg_{(5)}) g_{(6)(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^5 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(6)(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^5 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^5 \\
& \quad \otimes \left\langle (g_{(2)} Sg_{(7)}) g_{(8)(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^6 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(8)(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^6 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^6 \\
& \quad \left. \otimes \left\langle (g_{(1)} Sg_{(9)}) g_{(10)(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^7 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(10)(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^7 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^7 \right] \\
&= \left\langle g_{(4)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(5)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 \right\rangle F^{a \otimes h}(\tau) \left( \psi_{(2)}^4 \right) \otimes \left\langle g_{(3)}, \psi_{(3)}^5 \right\rangle \left\langle g_{(6)}, \psi_{(1)}^5 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^5
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \otimes \langle g_{(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^6 \rangle \langle g_{(7)}, \psi_{(1)}^6 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^6 \otimes \langle g_{(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^7 \rangle \langle g_{(8)}, \psi_{(3)}^7 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^7 \\
& = \langle g_{(4)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(5)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \epsilon(h) \langle S^{-1} a, \psi_{(2)(2)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)(1)}^4 \otimes \langle g_{(3)}, \psi_{(3)}^5 \rangle \langle g_{(6)}, \psi_{(1)}^5 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^5 \\
& \quad \otimes \langle g_{(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^6 \rangle \langle g_{(7)}, \psi_{(1)}^6 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^6 \otimes \langle g_{(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^7 \rangle \langle g_{(8)}, \psi_{(3)}^7 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^7 \\
& = \epsilon(h) \langle g_{(4)}, \psi_{(4)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(5)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \langle S^{-1} a, \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \otimes \langle g_{(3)}, \psi_{(3)}^5 \rangle \langle g_{(6)}, \psi_{(1)}^5 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^5 \\
& \quad \otimes \langle g_{(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^6 \rangle \langle g_{(7)}, \psi_{(1)}^6 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^6 \otimes \langle g_{(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^7 \rangle \langle g_{(8)}, \psi_{(3)}^7 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^7 \quad (\text{B.20})
\end{aligned}$$

and  $A^g(s_1) F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
A^g(s_1) F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A) |\Sigma_5\rangle & = A^g(s_1) [F^{a \otimes b}(\tau) (\psi^4) \otimes \psi^5 \otimes \psi^6 \otimes \psi^7] \\
& = A^g(s_1) [\epsilon(h) \langle S^{-1} a, \psi_{(2)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^4 \otimes \psi^5 \otimes \psi^6 \otimes \psi^7] \\
& = \epsilon(h) \langle S^{-1} a, \psi_{(2)}^4 \rangle M_-^{1 \otimes g_{(4)}}(\psi_{(1)}^4) \otimes M_-^{g_{(3)} S g_{(5)} \otimes g_{(6)}}(\psi^5) \\
& \quad \otimes M_-^{g_{(2)} S g_{(7)} \otimes g_{(8)}}(\psi^6) \otimes M_-^{g_{(1)} S g_{(9)} \otimes g_{(10)}}(\psi^7) \\
& = \epsilon(h) \langle S^{-1} a, \psi_{(2)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(4)(1)}, \psi_{(1)(3)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(4)(2)}, \psi_{(1)(1)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(1)(2)}^4 \\
& \quad \otimes \langle (g_{(3)} S g_{(5)}) g_{(6)(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^5 \rangle \langle g_{(6)(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^5 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^5 \\
& \quad \otimes \langle (g_{(2)} S g_{(7)}) g_{(8)(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^6 \rangle \langle g_{(8)(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^6 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^6 \\
& \quad \otimes \langle (g_{(1)} S g_{(9)}) g_{(10)(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^7 \rangle \langle g_{(10)(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^7 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^7 \\
& = \epsilon(h) \langle S^{-1} a, \psi_{(4)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(4)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(5)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \otimes \langle g_{(3)}, \psi_{(3)}^5 \rangle \langle g_{(6)}, \psi_{(1)}^5 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^5 \\
& \quad \otimes \langle g_{(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^6 \rangle \langle g_{(7)}, \psi_{(1)}^6 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^6 \otimes \langle g_{(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^7 \rangle \langle g_{(8)}, \psi_{(1)}^7 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^7 \quad (\text{B.21})
\end{aligned}$$

## C Proof that geometric operators commute with ribbon operators at sites within a ribbon

Here we want to show that the geometric operators commute with the ribbon operators at sites within a ribbon. We will consider the two types of ribbons, that is type A and type B ribbons. The ribbons in (C.1) and (C.4) are of type B and the ribbons in (C.7) and (C.10) are of type A. For simplicity, we will consider ribbons of length three i.e  $\rho^\alpha = \tau_1 \cup \tau_2 \cup \tau_3$ , where  $\alpha = A$  or  $B$ . For each case if  $G(s)$  (i.e.,  $B^b(s)$  or  $A^g(s)$ ) is a geometric operator acting on a site in a ribbon, the aim is to compute  $G(s) \circ F^{a \otimes b}(\tau)$  and compare it to  $F^{a \otimes b}(\tau) \circ G(s)$ .

$$|\Sigma\rangle = \quad (\text{C.1})$$

For the above equation (C.1),  $\rho^B = \tau_1 \cup \tau_2 \cup \tau_3$  we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
B^b(s)F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B) &= B^b(s) \left[ F^{a(2)h(2)Sh(4) \otimes h(5)}(\tau_2)(\psi^1) \otimes F^{a(1)h(1)Sh(6) \otimes h(7)}(\tau_3)(\psi^2) \otimes \psi^3 \otimes F^{a(3) \otimes h(3)}(\tau_1)(\psi^4) \right] \\
&= B^b(s) \left[ \epsilon(h(5)) T_-^{a(2)h(2)Sh(4)}(\psi^1) \otimes \epsilon(a(1)h(1)Sh(6)) L_+^{h(7)}(\psi^2) \otimes \psi^3 \otimes \epsilon(a(3)) L_-^{h(3)}(\psi^4) \right] \\
&= B^b(s) \left[ \epsilon(h(5)) \left\langle a(2)h(2)Sh(4), \psi_{(2)}^1 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \otimes \epsilon(a(1)h(1)Sh(6)) \left\langle h(7), S\psi_{(1)}^2 \psi_{(3)}^2 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. \otimes \psi^3 \otimes \epsilon(a(3)) \left\langle h(3), \psi_{(3)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(1)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \right] \\
&= \epsilon(h(5)) \left\langle a(2)h(2)Sh(4), \psi_{(2)}^1 \right\rangle T_-^{b(4)}(\psi_{(1)}^1) \otimes \epsilon(a(1)h(1)Sh(6)) \left\langle h(7), S\psi_{(1)}^2 \psi_{(3)}^2 \right\rangle T_-^{b(3)}(\psi_{(2)}^2) \\
&\quad \otimes T_-^{b(2)}(\psi^3) \otimes \epsilon(a(3)) \left\langle h(3), \psi_{(3)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(1)}^4 \right\rangle T_-^{b(1)}(\psi_{(2)}^4) \\
&= \epsilon(h(5)) \left\langle a(2)h(2)Sh(4), \psi_{(2)}^1 \right\rangle \left\langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(1)(2)}^1 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)(1)}^1 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a(1)h(1)Sh(6)) \left\langle h(7), S\psi_{(1)}^2 \psi_{(3)}^2 \right\rangle \left\langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)(2)}^2 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)(1)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \left\langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \otimes \epsilon(a(3)) \left\langle h(3), \psi_{(3)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(1)}^4 \right\rangle \left\langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)(2)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)(1)}^4 \\
&= \epsilon(h(5)) \left\langle a(2)h(2)Sh(4), \psi_{(3)}^1 \right\rangle \left\langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a(1)h(1)Sh(6)) \left\langle h(7), S\psi_{(1)}^2 \psi_{(4)}^2 \right\rangle \left\langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \left\langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \otimes \epsilon(a(3)) \left\langle h(3), \psi_{(4)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(1)}^4 \right\rangle \left\langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \\
&= \left\langle b, \psi_{(2)}^1 \psi_{(3)}^2 \psi_{(2)}^3 \psi_{(3)}^4 \right\rangle \epsilon(h(5)) \left\langle a(2)h(2)Sh(4), \psi_{(3)}^1 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a(1)h(1)Sh(6)) \left\langle h(7), S\psi_{(1)}^2 \psi_{(4)}^2 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \otimes \psi_{(1)}^3 \otimes \epsilon(a(3)) \left\langle h(3), \psi_{(4)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(1)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \\
&= \epsilon(h(5)) \left\langle a(2)h(2)Sh(4), \psi_{(2)}^1 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \otimes \epsilon(a(1)h(1)Sh(6)) \left\langle h(7), S\psi_{(1)}^2 \psi_{(3)}^2 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \psi^3 \otimes \epsilon(a(3)) \left\langle h(3), \psi_{(3)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(1)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \tag{C.2}
\end{aligned}$$

In the first equation, we employ the gluing expression (4.11) to the three ribbons. In the second equation, we spelt out the individual ribbon operators expression for each ribbon (i.e.,  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3$ ). For the fourth equation, the  $B(s)$  operator is applied to the square lattice, with edges labeled  $\psi_{(1)}^1, \psi_{(2)}^2, \psi^3$  and  $\psi_{(2)}^4$ . In the seventh equation we applied the fact that if  $b$  is the Haar element of  $H^{cop}$ , then  $\langle b, \psi \rangle = \epsilon(\psi)$  and then simplified to get the last equation.

For the same equation (C.1), we compute the following

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B) B^b(s) |\Sigma\rangle &= F^{a \otimes h}(\tau) \left[ T_-^{b(4)}(\psi^1) \otimes T_-^{b(3)}(\psi^2) \otimes T_-^{b(2)}(\psi^3) \otimes T_-^{b(1)}(\psi^4) \right] \\
&= F^{a \otimes h}(\tau) \left[ \left\langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \otimes \left\langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^2 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^2 \otimes \left\langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \otimes \left\langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^4 \right] \\
&= \left\langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \right\rangle F^{a(2)h(2)Sh(4) \otimes h(5)}(\tau_2)(\psi_{(1)}^1) \otimes \left\langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^2 \right\rangle F^{a(1)h(1)Sh(6) \otimes h(7)}(\tau_3)(\psi_{(1)}^2) \\
&\quad \otimes \left\langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \otimes \left\langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)}^4 \right\rangle F^{a(3) \otimes h(3)}(\tau_1)(\psi_{(1)}^4) \\
&= \left\langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \right\rangle \epsilon(h(5)) T_-^{a(2)h(2)Sh(4)}(\psi_{(1)}^1) \otimes \left\langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^2 \right\rangle \epsilon(a(1)h(1)Sh(6)) L_+^{h(7)}(\psi_{(1)}^2) \\
&\quad \otimes \left\langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \otimes \left\langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)}^4 \right\rangle \epsilon(a(3)) L_-^{h(3)}(\psi_{(1)}^4)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \epsilon(h_{(5)}) \langle a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(4)}, \psi_{(1)(2)}^1 \rangle \langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(1)(1)}^1 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)}) \langle h_{(7)}, S\psi_{(1)(1)}^2 \psi_{(1)(3)}^2 \rangle \langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(1)(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \otimes \epsilon(a_{(3)}) \langle h_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)(3)}^4 S^{-1}\psi_{(1)(1)}^4 \rangle \langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(1)(2)}^4 \\
&= \epsilon(h_{(5)}) \langle a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle \langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)}) \langle h_{(7)}, S\psi_{(1)}^2 \psi_{(3)}^2 \rangle \langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(4)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \otimes \epsilon(a_{(3)}) \langle h_{(3)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 S^{-1}\psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(4)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \\
&= \langle b, \psi_{(3)}^1 \psi_{(4)}^2 \psi_{(2)}^3 \psi_{(4)}^4 \rangle \epsilon(h_{(5)}) \langle a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)}) \langle h_{(7)}, S\psi_{(1)}^2 \psi_{(3)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \otimes \psi_{(1)}^3 \otimes \epsilon(a_{(3)}) \langle h_{(3)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 S^{-1}\psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \\
&= \epsilon(h_{(5)}) \langle a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \otimes \epsilon(a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)}) \langle h_{(7)}, S\psi_{(1)}^2 \psi_{(3)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \psi_{(1)}^3 \otimes \epsilon(a_{(3)}) \langle h_{(3)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 S^{-1}\psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \tag{C.3}
\end{aligned}$$

For the first equation, the  $B(s)$  operator is applied to the square lattice with edges labeled  $\psi^1$ ,  $\psi^2$ ,  $\psi^3$  and  $\psi^4$ . We utilize the gluing expression (4.11) in the third equation. In the fourth equation, we spelt out the individual ribbon operators expression for each ribbon (i.e.,  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ ,  $\tau_3$ ). In the final step of the calculation, we again applied the fact that if  $b$  is the Haar element of  $H^{cop}$ , then  $\langle b, \psi \rangle = \epsilon(\psi)$  and then simplified to get the last equation.

$$|\Sigma\rangle = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \psi^4 \downarrow \\ \text{---} \tau_2 \text{---} \\ \text{---} s \text{---} \\ \text{---} \tau_3 \text{---} \\ \psi^3 \leftarrow \\ \psi^1 \leftarrow \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \psi^2 \end{array} \end{array} \tag{C.4}$$

For the above equation (C.4), we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
A^g(s)F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B)|\Sigma\rangle &= A^g(s) \left[ F^{a_{(3)} \otimes h_{(3)}}(\tau_1)(\psi^1) \otimes \psi^2 \otimes F^{a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)} \otimes h_{(7)}}(\tau_3)(\psi^3) \otimes F^{a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(4)} \otimes h_{(5)}}(\tau_2)(\psi^4) \right] \\
&= A^g(s) \left[ \epsilon(h_{(3)}) T_-^{a_{(3)}}(\psi^1) \otimes \psi^2 \otimes \epsilon(h_{(7)}) T_-^{a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)}}(\psi^3) \otimes \epsilon(a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(4)}) L_-^{h_{(5)}}(\psi^4) \right] \\
&= A^g(s) \left[ \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \langle a_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \otimes \psi^2 \otimes \epsilon(h_{(7)}) \langle a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \right. \\
&\quad \left. \otimes \epsilon(a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(4)}) \langle h_{(5)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 S^{-1}\psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \right] \\
&= \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \langle a_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle M_+^{1 \otimes g_{(4)}}(\psi_{(1)}^1) \otimes M_+^{g_{(3)}Sg_{(5)} \otimes g_{(6)}}(\psi^2) \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(h_{(7)}) \langle a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \rangle M_+^{g_{(2)}Sg_{(7)} \otimes g_{(8)}}(\psi_{(1)}^3) \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(4)}) \langle h_{(5)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 S^{-1}\psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle M_+^{g_{(1)}Sg_{(9)} \otimes g_{(10)}}(\psi_{(2)}^4)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \langle a_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle \langle Sg_{(4)(1)}, \psi_{(1)(1)}^1 \rangle \langle g_{(4)(2)}, \psi_{(1)(3)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(1)(2)}^1 \\
&\quad \otimes \langle Sg_{(6)(1)} S(g_{(3)} Sg_{(5)}), \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \langle g_{(6)(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(h_{(7)}) \langle a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(6)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \rangle \langle Sg_{(8)(1)} S(g_{(2)} Sg_{(7)}), \psi_{(1)(1)}^3 \rangle \langle g_{(8)(2)}, \psi_{(1)(3)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(1)(2)}^3 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a_{(2)} h_{(2)} S h_{(4)}) \langle h_{(5)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \langle Sg_{(10)(1)} S(g_{(1)} Sg_{(9)}), \psi_{(2)(1)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(10)(2)}, \psi_{(2)(3)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)(2)}^4 \\
&= \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \langle a_{(3)}, \psi_{(4)}^1 \rangle \langle Sg_{(4)}, \psi_{(1)}^1 \rangle \langle g_{(5)}, \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^1 \\
&\quad \otimes \langle Sg_{(7)} S(g_{(3)} Sg_{(6)}), \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \langle g_{(8)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(h_{(7)}) \langle a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(6)}, \psi_{(4)}^3 \rangle \langle Sg_{(10)} S(g_{(2)} Sg_{(9)}), \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \langle g_{(11)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a_{(2)} h_{(2)} S h_{(4)}) \langle h_{(5)}, \psi_{(5)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \langle Sg_{(13)} S(g_{(1)} Sg_{(12)}), \psi_{(2)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(14)}, \psi_{(4)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(3)}^4 \\
&= \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \langle a_{(3)}, \psi_{(4)}^1 \rangle \langle g_{(4)}, S \psi_{(1)}^1 \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^1 \otimes \langle Sg_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \langle g_{(5)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(h_{(7)}) \langle a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(6)}, \psi_{(4)}^3 \rangle \langle Sg_{(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \langle g_{(6)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a_{(2)} h_{(2)} S h_{(6)}) \langle h_{(5)}, \psi_{(5)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \langle Sg_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(7)}, \psi_{(4)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(3)}^4 \\
&= \langle g, S \psi_{(2)}^4 S \psi_{(1)}^3 S \psi_{(1)}^2 S \psi_{(1)}^1 \psi_{(3)}^1 \psi_{(3)}^2 \psi_{(3)}^3 \psi_{(4)}^4 \rangle \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \langle a_{(3)}, \psi_{(4)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^1 \otimes \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(h_{(7)}) \langle a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(6)}, \psi_{(4)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \otimes \epsilon(a_{(2)} h_{(2)} S h_{(6)}) \langle h_{(5)}, \psi_{(5)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(3)}^4 \\
&= \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \langle a_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \otimes \psi^2 \otimes \epsilon(h_{(7)}) \langle a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(6)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a_{(2)} h_{(2)} S h_{(6)}) \langle h_{(5)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \tag{C.5}
\end{aligned}$$

In the first equation, we employ the gluing expression (4.11) to the three ribbons. In the second equation, we spelt out the individual ribbon operators expression for each ribbon (i.e.,  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3$ ). For the fourth equation, the  $A(s)$  operator is applied to the square lattice, with edges labeled  $\psi_{(1)}^1, \psi_{(1)}^2, \psi_{(1)}^3$  and  $\psi_{(2)}^4$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^B) A^g(s) |\Sigma\rangle &= F^{a \otimes h}(\tau) \left[ M_+^{1 \otimes g(4)}(\psi^1) \otimes M_+^{g(3) Sg(5) \otimes g(6)}(\psi^2) \otimes M_+^{g(2) Sg(7) \otimes g(8)}(\psi^3) \otimes M_+^{g(1) Sg(9) \otimes g(10)}(\psi^4) \right] \\
&= F^{a \otimes h}(\tau) \left[ \langle Sg_{(4)(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^1 \rangle \langle g_{(4)(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^1 \otimes \langle Sg_{(6)(1)} S(g_{(3)} Sg_{(5)}), \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \langle g_{(6)(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \right. \\
&\quad \otimes \langle Sg_{(8)(1)} S(g_{(2)} Sg_{(7)}), \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \langle g_{(8)(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \\
&\quad \left. \otimes \langle Sg_{(10)(1)} S(g_{(1)} Sg_{(9)}), \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(10)(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \right] \\
&= \langle Sg_{(4)}, \psi_{(1)}^1 \rangle \langle g_{(5)}, \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle F^{a_{(3)} \otimes h_{(3)}}(\tau_1) \left( \psi_{(2)}^1 \right) \\
&\quad \otimes \langle Sg_{(7)} S(g_{(3)} Sg_{(6)}), \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \langle g_{(8)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \langle Sg_{(10)} S(g_{(2)} Sg_{(9)}), \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \langle g_{(11)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \rangle F^{a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(6)} \otimes h_{(7)}}(\tau_3) \left( \psi_{(2)}^3 \right) \\
&\quad \otimes \langle Sg_{(13)} S(g_{(1)} Sg_{(12)}), \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(14)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle F^{a_{(2)} h_{(2)} S h_{(4)} \otimes h_{(5)}}(\tau_3) \left( \psi_{(2)}^4 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle Sg_{(4)}, \psi_{(1)}^1 \rangle \langle g_{(5)}, \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \epsilon(h_{(3)}) T_-^{a_{(3)}} (\psi_{(2)}^1) \\
&\quad \otimes \langle Sg_{(7)} S(g_{(3)} Sg_{(6)}), \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \langle g_{(8)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \langle Sg_{(10)} S(g_{(2)} Sg_{(9)}), \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \langle g_{(11)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \rangle \epsilon(h_{(7)}) T_-^{a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(6)}} (\psi_{(2)}^3) \\
&\quad \otimes \langle Sg_{(13)} S(g_{(1)} Sg_{(12)}), \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(14)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \epsilon(a_{(2)} h_{(2)} S h_{(4)}) L_+^{h_{(5)}} (\psi_{(2)}^4) \\
&= \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \langle a_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)(2)}^1 \rangle \langle Sg_{(4)}, \psi_{(1)}^1 \rangle \langle g_{(5)}, \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)(1)}^1 \\
&\quad \otimes \langle Sg_{(7)} S(g_{(3)} Sg_{(6)}), \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \langle g_{(8)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(h_{(7)}) \langle a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(6)}, \psi_{(2)(2)}^3 \rangle \langle Sg_{(10)} S(g_{(2)} Sg_{(9)}), \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \langle g_{(11)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)(1)}^3 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a_{(2)} h_{(2)} S h_{(4)}) \langle h_{(5)}, S \psi_{(2)(1)}^4 \psi_{(2)(3)}^4 \rangle \langle Sg_{(13)} S(g_{(1)} Sg_{(12)}), \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(14)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)(2)}^4 \\
&= \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \langle a_{(3)}, \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \langle Sg_{(4)}, \psi_{(1)}^1 \rangle \langle g_{(5)}, \psi_{(4)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^1 \\
&\quad \otimes \langle Sg_{(7)} S(g_{(3)} Sg_{(6)}), \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \langle g_{(8)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(h_{(7)}) \langle a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(6)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \rangle \langle Sg_{(10)} S(g_{(2)} Sg_{(9)}), \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \langle g_{(11)}, \psi_{(4)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a_{(2)} h_{(2)} S h_{(4)}) \langle h_{(5)}, S \psi_{(2)}^4 \psi_{(4)}^4 \rangle \langle Sg_{(13)} S(g_{(1)} Sg_{(12)}), \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(14)}, \psi_{(5)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(3)}^4 \\
&= \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \langle a_{(3)}, \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \langle g_{(4)}, S \psi_{(1)}^1 \psi_{(4)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^1 \otimes \langle Sg_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \langle g_{(5)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(h_{(7)}) \langle a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(6)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \rangle \langle Sg_{(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \langle g_{(6)}, \psi_{(4)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a_{(2)} h_{(2)} S h_{(4)}) \langle h_{(5)}, S \psi_{(2)}^4 \psi_{(4)}^4 \rangle \langle Sg_{(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(7)}, \psi_{(5)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(3)}^4 \\
&= \langle g, S \psi_{(1)}^4 S \psi_{(1)}^3 S \psi_{(1)}^2 S \psi_{(1)}^1 \psi_{(4)}^1 \psi_{(3)}^2 \psi_{(4)}^3 \psi_{(4)}^4 \rangle \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \langle a_{(3)}, \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^1 \otimes \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(h_{(7)}) \langle a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(6)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \otimes \epsilon(a_{(2)} h_{(2)} S h_{(4)}) \langle h_{(5)}, S \psi_{(2)}^4 \psi_{(4)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(3)}^4 \\
&= \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \langle a_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \otimes \psi^2 \otimes \epsilon(h_{(7)}) \langle a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(6)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a_{(2)} h_{(2)} S h_{(6)}) \langle h_{(5)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \tag{C.6}
\end{aligned}$$

For the first equation, the  $A(s)$  operator is applied to the square lattice with edges labeled  $\psi^1$ ,  $\psi^2$ ,  $\psi^3$  and  $\psi^4$ . We employ the gluing expression (4.11) in the third equation. In the fourth equation, we spelt out the individual ribbon operators expression for each ribbon (i.e.,  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ ,  $\tau_3$ ).

$$|\Sigma\rangle = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\psi^1} \\ \begin{array}{c} \tau_2 \\ \xrightarrow{s} \\ \tau_3 \end{array} \\ \xrightarrow{\psi^2} \\ \xrightarrow{\psi^3} \\ \xrightarrow{\psi^4} \end{array} \end{array} \tag{C.7}$$

$$B^b(s) F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A) |\Sigma\rangle = B^b(s) [F^{a_{(2)} h_{(2)} S h_{(4)} \otimes h_{(5)}}(\tau_2)(\psi^1) \otimes F^{a_{(3)} \otimes h_{(3)}}(\tau_1)(\psi^2) \otimes \psi^3 \otimes F^{a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(6)} \otimes h_{(7)}}(\tau_3)(\psi^4)]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= B^b(s) \left[ \epsilon(h_{(5)}) \tilde{T}_-^{a(2)h(2)Sh(4)}(\psi^1) \otimes \epsilon(a_{(3)}) \tilde{L}_+^{h(3)}(\psi^2) \otimes \psi^3 \otimes \epsilon(a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)}) \tilde{L}_+^{h(7)}(\psi^4) \right] \\
&= B^b(s) \left[ \epsilon(h_{(5)}) \left\langle S^{-1}(a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1) \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \otimes \epsilon(a_{(3)}) \left\langle h_{(3)}, S\psi_{(3)}^2\psi_{(1)}^2 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. \otimes \psi^3 \otimes \epsilon(a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)}) \left\langle h_{(7)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1}\psi_{(3)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \right] \\
&= \epsilon(h_{(5)}) \left\langle S^{-1}(a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1) \right\rangle T_-^{b(4)}(\psi_{(1)}^1) \otimes \epsilon(a_{(3)}) \left\langle h_{(3)}, S\psi_{(3)}^2\psi_{(1)}^2 \right\rangle T_-^{b(3)}(\psi_{(2)}^2) \\
&\quad \otimes T_-^{b(2)}(\psi^3) \otimes \epsilon(a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)}) \left\langle h_{(7)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1}\psi_{(3)}^4 \right\rangle T_-^{b(1)}(\psi_{(2)}^4) \\
&= \epsilon(h_{(5)}) \left\langle S^{-1}(a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1) \right\rangle \left\langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)(1)}^1 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)(1)}^1 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a_{(3)}) \left\langle h_{(3)}, S\psi_{(3)}^2\psi_{(1)}^2 \right\rangle \left\langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)(2)}^2 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)(1)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \left\langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \otimes \epsilon(a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)}) \left\langle h_{(7)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1}\psi_{(3)}^4 \right\rangle \left\langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)(2)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)(1)}^4 \\
&= \epsilon(h_{(5)}) \left\langle S^{-1}(a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(4)}, \psi_{(3)}^1) \right\rangle \left\langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a_{(3)}) \left\langle h_{(3)}, S\psi_{(4)}^2\psi_{(1)}^2 \right\rangle \left\langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \left\langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \otimes \epsilon(a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)}) \left\langle h_{(7)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1}\psi_{(4)}^4 \right\rangle \left\langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \\
&= \left\langle b, \psi_{(2)}^1\psi_{(3)}^2\psi_{(2)}^3\psi_{(3)}^4 \right\rangle \epsilon(h_{(5)}) \left\langle S^{-1}(a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(4)}, \psi_{(3)}^1) \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a_{(3)}) \left\langle h_{(3)}, S\psi_{(4)}^2\psi_{(1)}^2 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \otimes \psi_{(1)}^3 \otimes \epsilon(a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)}) \left\langle h_{(7)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1}\psi_{(4)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \\
&= \epsilon(h_{(5)}) \left\langle S^{-1}(a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1) \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \otimes \epsilon(a_{(3)}) \left\langle h_{(3)}, S\psi_{(3)}^2\psi_{(1)}^2 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \psi^3 \otimes \epsilon(a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)}) \left\langle h_{(7)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1}\psi_{(3)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \tag{C.8}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A) B^b(s) |\Sigma\rangle &= F^{a \otimes h}(\tau) \left[ T_-^{b(4)}(\psi^1) \otimes T_-^{b(3)}(\psi^2) \otimes T_-^{b(2)}(\psi^3) \otimes T_-^{b(1)}(\psi^4) \right] \\
&= F^{a \otimes h}(\tau) \left[ \left\langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \otimes \left\langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^2 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^2 \otimes \left\langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \otimes \left\langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^4 \right] \\
&= \left\langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \right\rangle F^{a(2)h(2)Sh_{(4)} \otimes h_{(5)}}(\tau_2) \left( \psi_{(1)}^1 \right) \otimes \left\langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^2 \right\rangle F^{a(3) \otimes h_{(3)}}(\tau_1) \left( \psi_{(1)}^2 \right) \\
&\quad \otimes \left\langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \otimes \left\langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)}^4 \right\rangle F^{a(1)h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)} \otimes h_{(7)}}(\tau_3) \left( \psi_{(1)}^4 \right) \\
&= \left\langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \right\rangle \epsilon(h_{(5)}) \tilde{T}_-^{a(2)h(2)Sh_{(4)}}(\psi_{(1)}^1) \otimes \epsilon(a_{(3)}) \tilde{L}_+^{h(3)}(\psi_{(1)}^2) \\
&\quad \otimes \left\langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \otimes \left\langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)}^4 \right\rangle \epsilon(a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)}) \tilde{L}_-^{h(7)}(\psi_{(1)}^4) \\
&= \epsilon(h_{(5)}) \left\langle S^{-1}(a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(4)}, \psi_{(1)(2)}^1) \right\rangle \left\langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)(1)}^1 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a_{(3)}) \left\langle h_{(3)}, S\psi_{(1)(3)}^2\psi_{(1)(1)}^2 \right\rangle \left\langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^2 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \left\langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \otimes \epsilon(a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)}) \left\langle h_{(7)}, \psi_{(1)(1)}^4 S^{-1}\psi_{(1)(3)}^4 \right\rangle \left\langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)(2)}^4 \\
&= \epsilon(h_{(5)}) \left\langle S^{-1}(a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(4)}, \psi_{(2)}^1) \right\rangle \left\langle b_{(4)}, \psi_{(3)}^1 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a_{(3)}) \left\langle h_{(3)}, S\psi_{(3)}^2\psi_{(1)}^2 \right\rangle \left\langle b_{(3)}, \psi_{(4)}^2 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \otimes \langle b_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^3 \otimes \epsilon(a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(6)}) \langle h_{(7)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \langle b_{(1)}, \psi_{(4)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \\
= & \langle b, \psi_{(3)}^1 \psi_{(4)}^2 \psi_{(2)}^3 \psi_{(4)}^4 \rangle \epsilon(h_{(5)}) \langle S^{-1}(a_{(2)} h_{(2)} S h_{(4)}), \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \\
& \otimes \epsilon(a_{(3)}) \langle h_{(3)}, S \psi_{(3)}^2 \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \otimes \psi_{(1)}^3 \otimes \epsilon(a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(6)}) \langle h_{(7)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \\
= & \epsilon(h_{(5)}) \langle S^{-1}(a_{(2)} h_{(2)} S h_{(4)}), \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \otimes \epsilon(a_{(3)}) \langle h_{(3)}, S \psi_{(3)}^2 \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
& \otimes \psi_{(1)}^3 \otimes \epsilon(a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(6)}) \langle h_{(7)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \tag{C.9}
\end{aligned}$$

$$|\Sigma\rangle = \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \psi^2 \\ \leftarrow \psi^3 \quad \rightarrow \psi^1 \\ \leftarrow \tau_1 \quad \rightarrow \tau_3 \\ \leftarrow \tau_2 \quad \rightarrow s \\ \downarrow \psi^4 \end{array} \tag{C.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A^g(s) F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A) |\Sigma\rangle &= A^g(s) \left[ F^{a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(6)} \otimes h_{(7)}}(\tau_3)(\psi^1) \otimes \psi^2 \otimes F^{a_{(3)} \otimes h_{(3)}}(\tau_1)(\psi^3) \otimes F^{a_{(2)} h_{(2)} S h_{(4)} \otimes h_{(5)}}(\tau_2)(\psi^4) \right] \\
&= A^g(s) \left[ \epsilon(h_{(7)}) \tilde{T}_-^{a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(6)}}(\psi^1) \otimes \psi^2 \otimes \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \tilde{T}_+^{a_{(3)}}(\psi^3) \otimes \epsilon(a_{(2)} h_{(2)} S h_{(4)}) \tilde{L}_-^{h_{(5)}}(\psi^4) \right] \\
&= A^g(s) \left[ \epsilon(h_{(7)}) \langle S(a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(6)}), \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \otimes \psi^2 \otimes \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \langle a_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \right. \\
&\quad \left. \otimes \epsilon(a_{(2)} h_{(2)} S h_{(4)}) \langle h_{(5)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \right] \\
&= \epsilon(h_{(7)}) \langle S^{-1}(a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(6)}), \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle M_+^{1 \otimes g_{(4)}}(\psi_{(1)}^1) \otimes M_+^{g_{(3)} S g_{(5)} \otimes g_{(6)}}(\psi^2) \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \langle a_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle M_+^{g_{(2)} S g_{(7)} \otimes g_{(8)}}(\psi_{(2)}^3) \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a_{(2)} h_{(2)} S h_{(4)}) \langle h_{(5)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle M_+^{g_{(1)} S g_{(9)} \otimes g_{(10)}}(\psi_{(2)}^4) \\
&= \epsilon(h_{(7)}) \langle S^{-1}(a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(6)}), \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle \langle S g_{(4)(1)}, \psi_{(1)(1)}^1 \rangle \langle g_{(4)(2)}, \psi_{(1)(3)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(1)(2)}^1 \\
&\quad \otimes \langle S g_{(6)(1)} S(g_{(3)} S g_{(5)}), \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \langle g_{(6)(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \langle a_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \langle S g_{(8)(1)} S(g_{(2)} S g_{(7)}), \psi_{(2)(1)}^3 \rangle \langle g_{(8)(2)}, \psi_{(2)(3)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)(2)}^3 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a_{(2)} h_{(2)} S h_{(4)}) \langle h_{(5)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \langle S g_{(10)(1)} S(g_{(1)} S g_{(9)}), \psi_{(2)(1)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(10)(2)}, \psi_{(2)(3)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)(2)}^4 \\
&= \epsilon(h_{(7)}) \langle S^{-1}(a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(6)}), \psi_{(4)}^1 \rangle \langle S g_{(4)}, \psi_{(1)}^1 \rangle \langle g_{(5)}, \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^1 \\
&\quad \otimes \langle S g_{(7)} S(g_{(3)} S g_{(6)}), \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \langle g_{(8)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \langle a_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \langle S g_{(10)} S(g_{(2)} S g_{(9)}), \psi_{(2)}^3 \rangle \langle g_{(11)}, \psi_{(4)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(3)}^3 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a_{(2)} h_{(2)} S h_{(4)}) \langle h_{(5)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1} \psi_{(5)}^4 \rangle \langle S g_{(13)} S(g_{(1)} S g_{(12)}), \psi_{(2)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(14)}, \psi_{(4)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(3)}^4 \\
&= \epsilon(h_{(7)}) \langle S^{-1}(a_{(1)} h_{(1)} S h_{(6)}), \psi_{(4)}^1 \rangle \langle g_{(4)}, S \psi_{(1)}^1 \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^1 \otimes \langle S g_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \langle g_{(5)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& \otimes \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \langle a_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \langle Sg_{(2)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \rangle \langle g_{(6)}, \psi_{(4)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(3)}^3 \\
& \otimes \epsilon(a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(4)}) \langle h_{(5)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1}\psi_{(5)}^4 \rangle \langle Sg_{(1)}, \psi_{(2)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(7)}, \psi_{(4)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(3)}^4 \\
= & \langle g, S\psi_{(2)}^4 S\psi_{(2)}^3 S\psi_{(1)}^2 S\psi_{(1)}^1 \psi_{(3)}^1 \psi_{(3)}^2 \psi_{(4)}^3 \psi_{(4)}^4 \rangle \epsilon(h_{(7)}) \langle S^{-1}(a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)}), \psi_{(4)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^1 \otimes \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
& \otimes \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \langle a_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(3)}^3 \otimes \epsilon(a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(4)}) \langle h_{(5)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1}\psi_{(5)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(3)}^4 \\
= & \epsilon(h_{(7)}) \langle S^{-1}(a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)}), \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \otimes \psi_{(2)}^2 \otimes \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \langle a_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \\
& \otimes \epsilon(a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(4)}) \langle h_{(5)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1}\psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \tag{C.11}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{a \otimes h}(\rho^A) A^g(s) |\Sigma\rangle &= F^{a \otimes h}(\tau) \left[ M_+^{1 \otimes g_{(4)}}(\psi^1) \otimes M_+^{g_{(3)} Sg_{(5)} \otimes g_{(6)}}(\psi^2) \otimes M_+^{g_{(2)} Sg_{(7)} \otimes g_{(8)}}(\psi^3) \otimes M_+^{g_{(1)} Sg_{(9)} \otimes g_{(10)}}(\psi^4) \right] \\
&= F^{a \otimes h}(\tau) \left[ \langle Sg_{(4)(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^1 \rangle \langle g_{(4)(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^1 \otimes \langle Sg_{(6)(1)} S(g_{(3)} Sg_{(5)}), \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \langle g_{(6)(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \right. \\
& \quad \otimes \langle Sg_{(8)(1)} S(g_{(2)} Sg_{(7)}), \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \langle g_{(8)(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \\
& \quad \left. \otimes \langle Sg_{(10)(1)} S(g_{(1)} Sg_{(9)}), \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(10)(2)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \right] \\
&= F^{a \otimes h}(\tau) \left[ \langle g_{(4)}, S\psi_{(1)}^1 \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^1 \otimes \langle Sg_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \langle g_{(5)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. \otimes \langle Sg_{(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \langle g_{(6)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \otimes \langle Sg_{(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(7)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^4 \right] \\
&= F^{a \otimes h}(\tau) \left[ \langle g_{(4)}, S\psi_{(1)}^1 \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle F^{a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)} \otimes h_{(7)}}(\tau_3) \left( \psi_{(2)}^1 \right) \right. \\
& \quad \otimes \langle Sg_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \langle g_{(5)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
& \quad \otimes \langle Sg_{(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \langle g_{(6)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \rangle F^{a_{(3)} \otimes h_{(3)}}(\tau_2) \left( \psi_{(2)}^3 \right) \\
& \quad \left. \otimes \langle Sg_{(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(7)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle F^{a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(4)} \otimes h_{(5)}}(\tau_3) \left( \psi_{(2)}^4 \right) \right] \\
&= \langle g_{(4)}, S\psi_{(1)}^1 \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \epsilon(h_{(7)}) \tilde{T}_-^{a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)}} \left( \psi_{(2)}^1 \right) \\
& \quad \otimes \langle Sg_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \langle g_{(5)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
& \quad \otimes \langle Sg_{(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \langle g_{(6)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \rangle \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \tilde{T}_+^{a_{(3)}} \left( \psi_{(2)}^3 \right) \\
& \quad \otimes \langle Sg_{(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(7)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \epsilon(a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(4)}) \tilde{L}_-^{h_{(5)}} \left( \psi_{(2)}^4 \right) \\
&= \langle g_{(4)}, S\psi_{(1)}^1 \psi_{(3)}^1 \rangle \epsilon(h_{(7)}) \langle S^{-1}(a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)}), \psi_{(2)(1)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(2)(2)}^1 \\
& \quad \otimes \langle Sg_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^2 \rangle \langle g_{(5)}, \psi_{(3)}^2 \rangle \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
& \quad \otimes \langle Sg_{(2)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \rangle \langle g_{(6)}, \psi_{(3)}^3 \rangle \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \langle a_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)(1)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(2)(2)}^3 \\
& \quad \otimes \langle Sg_{(1)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 \rangle \langle g_{(7)}, \psi_{(3)}^4 \rangle \epsilon(a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(4)}) \langle h_{(5)}, \psi_{(2)(1)}^4 S^{-1}\psi_{(2)(3)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(2)(2)}^4 \\
&= \langle g, S\psi_{(1)}^4 S\psi_{(1)}^3 S\psi_{(1)}^2 S\psi_{(1)}^1 \psi_{(4)}^1 \psi_{(3)}^2 \psi_{(5)}^3 \psi_{(5)}^4 \rangle \epsilon(h_{(7)}) \langle S^{-1}(a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)}), \psi_{(2)}^1 \rangle \psi_{(3)}^1 \otimes \psi_{(2)}^2 \\
& \quad \otimes \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \langle a_{(3)}, \psi_{(2)}^3 \rangle \psi_{(3)}^3 \otimes \epsilon(a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(4)}) \langle h_{(5)}, \psi_{(2)}^4 S^{-1}\psi_{(4)}^4 \rangle \psi_{(3)}^4
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \epsilon(h_{(7)}) \left\langle S(a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(6)}), \psi_{(2)}^1 \right\rangle \psi_{(1)}^1 \otimes \psi^2 \otimes \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \left\langle a_{(3)}, \psi_{(1)}^3 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^3 \\
&\quad \otimes \epsilon(a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(4)}) \left\langle h_{(5)}, \psi_{(1)}^4 S^{-1}\psi_{(3)}^4 \right\rangle \psi_{(2)}^4
\end{aligned} \tag{C.12}$$