This manuscript version is distributed under the CC-BY-NC-ND (Creative Commons) license.

The study has appeared as:

Müller, E. J., van Albada, S. J., Kim, J. W., & Robinson, P. A. (2017). Unified neural field theory of brain dynamics underlying oscillations in Parkinson's disease and generalized epilepsies. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 428, 132–146, DOI: 10.1016/j.jtbi.2017.06.016

Unified neural field theory of brain dynamics in Parkinson's disease and generalized epilepsies

E. J. Müller^{a,b,*}, S. J. van Albada^{a,c}, J. W. Kim^{a,b}, P. A. Robinson^{a,b}

^aSchool of Physics, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

^bCenter for Integrative Brain Function, The University of Sydney NSW 2006, Australia

^c Institute of Neuroscience and Medicine (INM-6) and Institute for Advanced Simulation (IAS-6) and JARA BRAIN Institute I, Jülich Research

Center, Jülich, Germany

Abstract

The mechanisms underlying pathologically synchronized neural oscillations in Parkinson's disease (PD) and generalized epilepsies are explored in parallel via a physiologically-based neural field model of the corticothalamic-basal ganglia (CTBG) system. The basal ganglia (BG) are approximated as a single effective population and their roles in the modulation of oscillatory dynamics of the corticothalamic (CT) system and vice versa are analyzed. In addition to normal EEG rhythms, enhanced activity around 4 Hz and 20 Hz exists in the model, consistent with the characteristic frequencies observed in PD. These rhythms result from resonances in loops formed between the BG and CT populations, analogous to those that underlie epileptic oscillations in a previous CT model, and which are still present in the combined CTBG system. Dopamine depletion is argued to weaken the dampening of these loop resonances in PD, and network connections then explain the significant coherence observed between BG, thalamic, and cortical population activity around 4–8 Hz and 20 Hz. Parallels between the afferent and efferent connection sites of the thalamic reticular nucleus (TRN) and BG predicts low dopamine to correspond to a reduced likelihood of tonic-clonic (grand mal) seizures, which agrees with experimental findings. Furthermore, the model predicts an increased likelihood of absence (petit mal) seizure resulting from pathologically low dopamine levels; this agrees with experiments that show an increased likelihood of absence seizures following dopamine depletion. Suppression of absence seizure activity is demonstrated when afferent and efferent BG connections to the CT system are strengthened, which is consistent with other CTBG modeling studies. The BG are demonstrated as having a suppressive effect on activity of the CTBG system near tonic-clonic seizure states and suggests BG circuits as a target for treatment. Sleep states of the TRN are also found to suppress pathological PD activity in accordance with observations. Overall, the findings demonstrate strong parallels between coherent oscillations in generalized epilepsies and PD, and provide insights into possible comorbidities.

Keywords:

Neural field theory, Parkinson's disease, epilepsy, cortex, thalamus, basal ganglia

1. Introduction

Parkinson's disease (PD) and epilepsy are neurological disorders characterized by pathologically synchronous neural activity. In generalized epilepsies, abnormal synchronization of population activity in large assemblies occurs during a seizure and produces involuntary paroxysmal alterations in behavior, including jerking movements, transient loss of awareness, and in severe cases, massive convulsions and loss of consciousness (Kandel et al., 1991). There are several forms of generalized epilepsy. However, we only consider two of the most prominent seizure types: petit mal, and grand mal. Petit mal (absence) seizures are defined by a brief period of unresponsiveness, identical pre- and post-seizure states, and prominent ~3 Hz oscillations observed during adult human EEG recording (Stefan et al., 1997). Grand mal (tonic-clonic) seizures consist of an abrupt loss of consciousness and control of posture (Kandel et al., 1991). Periods of increased muscle tone (tonic phase), displaying large ~10 Hz oscillations, are followed by periods of jerking movement (clonic phase) with poly-spike wave complexes occupying the 1-4 Hz EEG frequency band. In tremulous PD, enhanced oscillations are typically observed at 4-8 Hz and 20 Hz in activity of the basal ganglia (BG) (Brown et al., 2001; Tass et al., 2010; Timmermann et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2005) and these seem to correlate with significant synchronization between the BG at these frequencies (Kühn et al., 2005; Levy et al., 2002b,a; Marsden et al., 2001; Rivlin-Etzion et al., 2006; Williams et al., 2002). There is also a reported 'slowing' of EEG signals in PD as the disease progresses with spectral power in the low frequency delta and theta bands increasing relative to the high frequency alpha and beta bands (Morita et al., 2009; Soikkeli et al., 1991). Gradual degeneration of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc) and ventral tegmental area (VTA) (Bernheimer et al., 1973; Ehringer and Hornykiewicz, 1960) leads to pathological changes in activity of BG and the neural circuits they are involved in. It has been argued that PD oscillations are sustained in the circuit formed by the globus pallidus external segment (GPe) and the subthalamic nucleus (STN) (Humphries et al., 2006; Plenz and Kital, 1999; Terman et al., 2002). However, incoming and outgoing connections of the BG parallel those of the thalamic reticular nucleus (TRN), suggesting that PD rhythms could have similar dynamical properties to those of epilepsy.

A prominent interpretation of the BG is based on 'direct' and 'indirect' pathways through two partially segregated neural populations in the striatum, the main input station of the BG (Albin et al., 1989; Alexander and Crutcher, 1990; Parent and Hazrati, 1995a), as shown in Fig. 1(a). The striatum receives glutamatergic excitatory inputs from the cortex and specific relay nuclei (SRN) of the thalamus and is divided into two populations, one expressing the D1 dopamine receptor and the other the D2 receptor (Jiang et al., 1990). Inhibition projects onto the SRN via the globus pallidus internal segment (GPi) and substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNr). The net effect of excitation incident to the BG on the levels of BG-induced inhibition of the SRN is dependent on the dominant internal BG pathway. The direct pathway is argued to facilitate SRN disinhibition via a direct inhibitory coupling of the D1 striatal neurons to the GPi/SNr (Albin et al., 1989; Parent and Hazrati, 1995b; Smith et al., 1998). The indirect pathway facilitates SRN inhibition whereby activity of the D2 striatal neurons results in excitation of the GPi/SNr via the GPe and STN. The hyperdirect pathway also facilitates inhibition of the SRN but via a direct coupling of cortical activity to the STN. These three key pathways are shown in Fig. 1(a). Dopamine appears to facilitate striatopallidal transmission via the direct pathway and inhibit transmission via the indirect pathway (Jankovic and Tolosa, 2002; Kita and Kita, 2011; Parent and Cicchetti, 1998). The reduced levels of dopamine observed in PD likely increase the overall responsiveness of the striatum to cortical excitation and strengthen transmission via the indirect pathway (Albin et al., 1989; DeLong, 1990; Gerfen, 2000; Mehler-Wex et al., 2006).

Physiologically based mean-field models of the brain allow tractable analysis of large-scale neuronal dynamics by averaging over microscopic structure (Freeman, 1975; Jirsa and Haken, 1996; Nunez, 1974; Robinson et al., 1997; Lopes da Silva et al., 1974; Wilson and Cowan, 1973; Wright and Liley, 1996). Neural field theory provides a mathematical framework for developing these models, which have been successful in accounting for many characteristic states of brain activity including sleep stages, eyes-open, and eyes-closed in wake, nonlinear seizure dynamics and many other phenomena (Breakspear et al., 2006; Jirsa and Haken, 1996; Liley and Bojak, 2005; Roberts and Robinson, 2008; Robinson et al., 2002, 1997, 1998; Steyn-Ross et al., 2004). The theory incorporates realistic anatomy of neural populations, non-linear neural responses, interpopulation connections and dendritic, synaptic, cell-body, and axonal dynamics (Deco et al., 2008; Rennie et al., 1999, 2000; Robinson et al., 2005, 1997, 2001, 1998; Wilson and Cowan, 1973; Wright and Liley, 1996). Significant progress has been made in the modeling

Table 1: Abbreviations used.			
Term	Abbreviation		
Parkinson's disease	PD		
Basal ganglia	BG		
Specific relay nuclei	SRN		
Thalamic reticular nucleus	TRN		
Globus pallidus internal segment	GPi		
Globus pallidus external segment	GPe		
Subthalamic nucleus	STN		
Corticothalamic	CT		
Corticothalamic-basal ganglia	CTBG		

of epilepsy (Breakspear et al., 2006; Robinson et al., 2002, 2001; Wendling et al., 2001, 2000). A corticothalamic (CT) model is able to account for the nonlinear features observed in EEGs of generalized epilepsy as well as seizure activation by stimuli (Breakspear et al., 2006; Roberts and Robinson, 2008; Robinson et al., 2002, 2001) and has provided insights into the genesis of pathological rhythms.

van Albada and Robinson (2009) and van Albada et al. (2009) developed a neural field theory of the corticothalamic-basal ganglia (CTBG) system that accounted semiquantitatively for several key electrophysiological correlates of PD. Significantly, the model demonstrated changes in average activity of various populations, ~5 Hz and ~20 Hz oscillations, altered responses to transient cortical stimulation, and changes in EEG spectra. There have also been several recent modeling studies aimed at describing the role of the BG in modulating seizure activity (Chen et al., 2014, 2015; Hu et al., 2015; Hu and Wang, 2015). Suppression of absence seizure oscillations was achieved by increasing SNr activity which is coupled to the thalamic populations (Chen et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2015) and by strengthening GPe inhibitory coupling to the cortex (Chen et al., 2015). Another study used a CTBG model to demonstrate the control of absence seizure activity by deep brain stimulation (DBS) of the SNr and the cortex (Hu and Wang, 2015). Although these models have progressed our understanding of PD and seizure dynamics in the CTGB system, their complexity means that it is difficult to obtain insights from them.

The core aim of this paper is thus to develop and ex-

plore a simplified unified neural field model of the CTBG system that conserves features essential to the production of epileptic and parkinsonian states and provides insight into their dynamics and interactions, especially their characteristic frequencies. The unified framework will allow us to explore possible analogs between the two disorders, interpret the synchronous activity observed between BG nuclei in PD, and will provide insight into the modulation of seizure activity by the BG and of PD by the TRN.

This work makes extensive use of abbreviations and these have been summarized in Table 1.

In Sec. 2 we outline the neural field theory of our simplified CTBG model. We give the methods used in computing steady states and stability analysis, and the derivation of the system's transfer function. In Sec. 3 we exploit symmetries in the connectivity of the BG and TRN populations. We use parameter values describing the BG that are equal to those used to describe the TRN. We outline BG modulation of seizure states and how these interrelate with parkinsonian states. In Sec. 4 we analyze more general configurations of the model using parameters drawn from the literature. We analyze key resonances in the system that underlie global oscillations, their modulation by the BG, and the nature of parkinsonian states. We also investigate BG modulation of absence seizure activity and tonic-clonic activity and possible modulation of parkinsonian activity by the TRN. In Sec. 5 we summarize our findings and discuss their implications.

2. Neural Field Theory

In this section a summary of neural field theory is given (Beurle, 1956; Wilson and Cowan, 1972, 1973; Nunez, 1974; Jirsa and Haken, 1996; Freeman, 1975; Robinson et al., 1997; Rennie et al., 1999; van Albada and Robinson, 2009) and how it is applied to develop a simplified CTBG model.

2.1. Simplified model

The core aim of the present work is to simplify previous approaches to modeling the CTBG system. Van Albada and Robinson (2009) and van Albada et al. (2009), using a more detailed model, observed the indirect pathway through the BG to be dominant for physiological parameter ranges. This suggests that the full BG system, shown in Fig. 1(a), can be effectively approximated as a single population. We thus propose a simplified BG population that facilitates dominant indirect pathway dynamics whereby afferent BG excitation inhibits the SRN. Activity of this population is considered to represent GPi/SNr activity as these populations project inhibition monosynaptically onto the SRN. As shown in Fig 1(b) the connectivity of the simplified BG approximates that of the full system.

The simplified CTBG model contains five neural populations. The cortex is considered to contain populations of excitatory pyramidal neurons, E, and inhibitory interneurons, I. The thalamus is divided into the SRN, S, which are considered as purely excitatory and the TRN, R, which are inhibitory. As mentioned above, the BG are approximated as a single population, B, whose efferent activity inhibits the SRN analogously to the TRN's effect.

2.2. Firing rates

The mean firing rate, $Q_a(t)$, of a population can be approximately related to its mean membrane potential, $V_a(t)$, (Wilson and Cowan, 1972) by

$$Q_a(t) = S_a[V_a(t)],\tag{1}$$

$$=\frac{Q_a^{\max}}{1+\exp[-\{V_a(t)-\theta_a\}/\sigma']},$$
 (2)

where a = E, I, R, S, or *B*. This sigmoidal response function saturates at the maximal firing rate Q_a^{max} , V_a is the average membrane potential relative to resting, θ_a is the mean neural firing threshold, and $\sigma' \pi / \sqrt{3}$ is the standard deviation of this threshold.

2.3. Axonal propagation

A number of experimental studies have revealed waves of neural activity spreading across the cortex (Burns, 1951; Nunez, 1974; Chervin et al., 1988; Golomb and Amitai, 1997), which have been analyzed theoretically (Beurle, 1956; Bressloff, 2001; Bressloff et al., 2003; Jirsa and Haken, 1996, 1997; Nunez, 1995; Robinson et al., 1997; Deco et al., 2008). This propagating activity is represented as a field of mean spike rates in axons, ϕ_a . A population *a* with a mean firing rate Q_a that is related to the field by the damped wave equation,

$$D_a(t)\phi_a(t) = Q_a(t), \tag{3}$$

where

$$D_a(t) = \frac{1}{\gamma_a^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \frac{2}{\gamma_a} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + 1.$$
(4)

Here, $\gamma_a = v_a/r_a$ represents the damping rate, where v_a is the propagation velocity in axons, and r_a is the characteristic axonal length for the population. The propagation of these waves is facilitated primarily by the relatively longrange white matter axons of excitatory cortical pyramidal neurons. Later in our model the simplifying local interaction approximation $r_b \approx 0$ is made for b = I, R, B, S due to the short ranges of the corresponding axons which implies $\phi_b(\mathbf{r}, t) = Q_b(\mathbf{r}, t)$ for these populations (Robinson et al., 1997; Rennie et al., 1999; Robinson et al., 2001, 2002, 2004; Rowe et al., 2004).

2.4. Synaptodendritic response

Afferent spike activity at the dendritic tree is integrated at the soma, causing time-dependent perturbations from the resting potential. The influence of incoming spikes to population *a* from population *b* is weighted by a connection strength parameter, $v_{ab} = N_{ab}s_{ab}$, where N_{ab} is the mean number of connections between the two populations and s_{ab} is the mean strength of response in neuron *a* to a single spike from neuron *b*. The soma potential is thus modeled as

$$D_{\alpha\beta}V_a(t) = \sum_b v_{ab}\phi_b(t-\tau_{ab}),\tag{5}$$

with

$$D_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{\alpha_a\beta_a}\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_a} + \frac{1}{\beta_a}\right)\frac{d}{dt} + 1.$$
 (6)

Here, τ_{ab} is the average axonal delay for the transmission of signals to population *a* from population *b*. The differential operator $D_{\alpha\beta}$ gives the soma potential response allowing for synaptodendritic dynamics and soma capacitance; it has the effect of low-pass filtering the inputs (Rennie et al., 2000; Robinson et al., 1997). In this work we use an effective response function for each population, which assumes equal response rates for both glutamatergic excitation and GABAergic inhibition.

2.5. Random connectivity approximation

Following Wright and Liley (1996), Robinson et al. (1998) and Braitenberg and Schüz (1998), symmetries in



Figure 1: Schematics of the corticothalamic-basal ganglia system. Subscripts used to denote various neural populations are parenthesized. Arrowed lines denote neural connections and corresponding neurotransmitters, glutamate, GABA, dopamine, and acetylcholine (ACh). (a) CTBG model of van Albada and Robinson (2009) describing the BG using five populations: D1 and D2 are striatal neurons expressing dopamine receptors of the corresponding classes; GPi, GPe are globus pallidus internal and external segments; SNr, SNc are substantia nigra pars reticulata and pars compacta; STN is the subthalamic nucleus. (b) Simplified CTBG model proposed in this paper. The BG are approximated as a single effective population whose connections parallel those of the TRN.

the intracortical connection strengths are exploited. Connections in the cortex are approximated as random and thus the number of each type of synapse is proportional to the number of neurons; i.e., if 80% of the neurons in the cortex are excitatory then 80% of pre- and post-synaptic connections will be with excitatory neurons. This approximation results in $v_{EE} = v_{IE}$, $v_{EI} = v_{II}$ and $v_{ES} = v_{IS}$. If excitatory and inhibitory populations are assumed to have equal response function, (6), this implies $V_E = V_I$ and $Q_E = Q_I$. Inhibitory population variables can then be expressed in terms of excitatory quantities as a further simplification and thus are not neglected even though they do not appear explicitly below.

2.6. Steady states

The steady-state firing rates of the model are of particular interest and relate directly to experiments. Spatially uniform steady states are obtained by setting all time derivatives to zero in Eqs (3)–(6). With the connectivity shown in Fig. 1(b), Eq. (5) then becomes

$$V_E^{(0)} = (v_{EE} + v_{EI}) \phi_E^{(0)} + v_{ES} \phi_S^{(0)}, \tag{7}$$

$$V_R^{(0)} = \nu_{RE} \phi_F^{(0)} + \nu_{RS} \phi_S^{(0)}, \tag{8}$$

$$V_B^{(0)} = v_{BE} \phi_F^{(0)} + v_{BS} \phi_S^{(0)}, \tag{9}$$

$$V_{S}^{(0)} = \nu_{SE}\phi_{E}^{(0)} + \nu_{SR}\phi_{R}^{(0)} + \nu_{SB}\phi_{B}^{(0)} + \nu_{SN}\phi_{N}^{(0)}, \qquad (10)$$

where the superscripts (0) denote steady-state values.

Following the approach of Robinson et al. (1998, 2004), elimination of other variables allows a single transcendental equation to be obtained for $\phi_E^{(0)}$ as a function

of $\phi_N^{(0)}$:

$$S_{S}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{\nu_{ES}} \left[S_{E}^{-1} \left(\phi_{E}^{(0)} \right) - (\nu_{EE} + \nu_{EI}) \phi_{E}^{(0)} \right] \right\}$$

= $\nu_{SE} \phi_{E}^{(0)} + \nu_{SN} \phi_{N}^{(0)}$
+ $\nu_{SB} S_{B} \left\{ \nu_{BE} \phi_{E}^{(0)} + \frac{\nu_{BS}}{\nu_{ES}} \left[S_{E}^{-1} \left(\phi_{E}^{(0)} \right) - (\nu_{EE} + \nu_{EI}) \phi_{E}^{(0)} \right] \right\}$
+ $\nu_{SR} S_{R} \left\{ \nu_{RE} \phi_{E}^{(0)} + \frac{\nu_{RS}}{\nu_{ES}} \left[S_{E}^{-1} \left(\phi_{E}^{(0)} \right) - (\nu_{EE} + \nu_{EI}) \phi_{E}^{(0)} \right] \right\},$
(11)

where $\phi_b^{(0)} = Q_b^{(0)}$ in the steady state and the function S_a^{-1} is the inverse of the sigmoid Eq. (1), with

$$S_a^{-1}(\phi_a) = V_a = \theta_a - \sigma' \ln\left(\frac{Q_a^{\max} - \phi_a}{\phi_a}\right).$$
(12)

The excitatory firing rate, $\phi_E^{(0)}$ can be found by solving Eq. (11) using a numerical bisection method for a low intensity white noise input, $\phi_N^{(0)}$. The remaining populations' steady-state firing rates can be calculated using Eqs (7)-(10). In general there is an odd number of steady-states (Robinson et al., 1998, 2004). The single low firing solution corresponds to a normal state. Other steady-state solutions are typically either unstable or have extremely high firing rates and represent physiologically unrealistic states (Noroozbabaee, 2016; Robinson et al., 1998, 2002, 2004).

2.7. Transfer function

In this section the CTBG system's transfer function is derived which is later used to generate the power spectra of population firing rates in response to white noise input. One of the main advantages of using a meanfield description of the brain is that population activity can easily be related to electroencephalographic (EEG) scalp recordings and local field potential (LFP) measurements, which are themselves averages. EEG and LFP signals result from fields generated by the movement of charge in and out of neurons during firing and signal propagation. Charge flow occurs in closed loops, causing extracellular potentials that can be approximated as dipoles (Nunez, 1995; Nunez and Srinivasan, 2006). Thus, fluctuations in population activity ϕ_a are related most directly to voltage fluctuations measured during LFP recording and EEG (Srinivasan et al., 1996; Robinson et al., 2001; Nunez and Srinivasan, 2006).

To derive the CTBG system's transfer function, perturbations relative to the steady-state, $V^{(0)}$, $Q^{(0)} = S(V^{(0)})$, are considered small enough that nonlinear effects can be ignored. A Taylor expansion of the sigmoidal function (1) is made about the steady-state from Sec. 2.6 with

$$Q_a(t) - Q_a^{(0)} = \left. \frac{dQ_a}{dV_a} \right|_{V_a^{(0)}} \left[V_a(t) - V_a^{(0)} \right] + \dots$$
(13)

Taking only first-order terms denoted by the superscript (1), Eq. (13) can be expressed as

$$Q_a^{(1)}(t) \approx \rho_a V_a^{(1)}(t),$$
 (14)

where

$$\rho_a = \frac{dQ_a}{dV_a}\Big|_{V_a^{(0)}} = \frac{\phi_a^{(0)}}{\sigma'} \left[1 - \frac{\phi_a^{(0)}}{Q_a^{\max}}\right]$$
(15)

and $\phi_a^{(0)} = Q_a^{(0)}$ in the steady-state limit. To simplify the equations the superscripts on $Q_a^{(1)}, \phi_a^{(1)}, V_a^{(1)}$ are dropped henceforth, i.e., $Q_a^{(1)}$ is written as Q_a . The damped wave equation (3) is then approximated as,

$$D_a(t)\phi_a(t) = Q_a(t) \approx \rho_a V_a.$$
(16)

Substituting Eq. (16) into Eq. (5) gives

$$D_{\alpha\beta}(t)D_E(t)\phi_E(t) = (G_{EE} + G_{EI})\phi_E(t) + G_{ES}\phi_S(t - \tau_{ES}),$$
(17)

$$D_{\alpha\beta}(t)\phi_R(t) = G_{RE}\phi_E(t-\tau_{RE}) + G_{RS}\phi_S(t-\tau_{RS}), \quad (18)$$

$$D_{\alpha\beta}(t)\phi_B(t) = G_{BE}\phi_E(t-\tau_{BE}) + G_{BS}\phi_S(t-\tau_{BS}), \quad (19)$$

$$D_{\alpha\beta}(t)\phi_S(t) = G_{SE}\phi_E(t-\tau_{SE}) + G_{SR}\phi_R(t-\tau_{SR})$$

$$+G_{SB}\phi_B(t-\tau_{SB})+G_{SN}\phi_N(t), \qquad (20)$$

where $G_{ab} = \rho_a v_{ab}$ is an average connection gain representing the additional activity in population *a* per additional unit input activity from population *b*. Upon transforming Eq. (17) into the Fourier domain, $\phi_E(\omega)$ can be expressed in terms of $\phi_N(\omega)$, to obtain the transfer function

$$\frac{\phi_E(\omega)}{\phi_N(\omega)} = \frac{1}{q^2 r_e^2} \frac{J_{ES} J_{SN}}{(1 - J_{EI})(1 - J_{SRS} - J_{SBS})},$$
(21)

where

$$q^{2}r_{e}^{2} = \left(1 - \frac{i\omega}{\gamma_{E}}\right)^{2} - \frac{1}{1 - J_{EI}} \left[J_{EE} + \frac{J_{ESE} + J_{ESRE} + J_{ESBE}}{1 - J_{SRS} - J_{SBS}}\right], \quad (22)$$

with

$$L_a = \left(1 - i\frac{\omega}{\alpha_a}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - i\frac{\omega}{\beta_a}\right)^{-1}, \qquad (23)$$

$$J_{a_1 a_2} = L_{a_1} G_{a_1 a_2} \exp(i\omega \tau_{a_1 a_2}), \qquad (24)$$

$$J_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n} = J_{a_1 a_2} J_{a_2 a_3} \cdots J_{a_{n-1} a_n}.$$
(25)

Here, $J_{a_1a_2\cdots a_n}$ represents both the connection strengths and time delays associated with particular loops in Fig. 1(b); J_{SRS} corresponds to the loop between SRN and TRN, J_{SBS} to the loop between BG and SRN, J_{ESE} and J_{ESRE} to the direct and indirect CT loops, respectively, and J_{ESBE} to the cortico-BG-SRN loop. In the case that there is no BG population, $J_{SBS} = 0$ and $J_{BSBE} = 0$, and the transfer function (21) reduces to that of the purely CT system (Robinson et al., 2002).

The power spectrum $P(\omega)$ is given by

$$P(\omega) = |\phi_E(\omega)|^2.$$
(26)

2.8. Stability analysis

As in Robinson et al. (2001), linear waves at k = 0 in our model are described by poles of the transfer function, Eq. (21), with

$$(1 - J_{EI})(1 - J_{SRS} - J_{SBS})q^2r_E^2 = 0.$$
 (27)

Roots of Eq. (27) give dispersion relations for all possible modes of the system, where ω is complex in general. The steady-state solutions found in Sec. 2.6 are linearly stable when all modes satisfy Im $\omega < 0$. The onsets of linear instabilities correspond to roots of Eq. (27) where ω is purely real (Robinson et al., 1997, 2002; Roberts and Robinson, 2012).

2.9. Repartitioning of CT parameters in the CTBG model

Neural field models of the CT system alone did not define any separate population(s) to represent the BG (Robinson et al., 2001, 2002, 2004). This means that the effects of the BG were implicitly incorporated into the parametrization of the thalamus; i.e., thalamic populations used in the CT model implicitly represented the effects of both the thalamus and the BG. The parameters for the exclusively CT system used to describe the TRN must thus be partitioned between the TRN and BG when they are explicitly kept separate. Van Albada and Robinson (2009) and van Albada et al. (2009) performed this repartitioning for a more detailed CTBG model. In the simplified CTBG model presented here, we similarly divide the TRN parameters from the exclusively CT system between the TRN and the BG.

3. Symmetric CTBG system and reduced parameter space

In our simplified CTBG system, afferent and efferent connections of the BG population parallel those of the TRN, as seen in Fig. 1. The system dynamics are first explored in the most tractable form by approximating parameters of the BG and TRN populations as being identical. The connection strengths, axonal time delays, soma response rates, and firing rate maximums for the two populations are set to be equal and are given in Table 2. The symmetric configuration allows us to represent the model in a dimensionally reduced form and tractably explore the effects of additional loop gains involving the BG. In Sec. 4 the more general asymmetric case is considered using parameter estimates taken from the literature and drawing on the findings of the present section.

In the CT model of Robinson et al. (2002), the system dynamics are largely determined by three key loops, which allows for the approximate representation of the CT model's state and stability in a three-dimensional space. This approximation provides a simplified means of visualizing and understanding key instability boundaries and highlights regions of parameter space where specific resonances produce characteristic spectral features. Here, the approach is further generalized to incorporate the symmetrically configured BG population, prior to allowing for asymmetries in Sec. 4. The only nonzero axonal delays τ_{ab} are $\tau_{SE} = \tau_{RE} = \tau_{BE}$, and τ_{ES} owing to the anatomical separation of the cortex, BG, and thalamus. These nonzero delays can be consolidated in the transfer function into a single effective delay, t_0 , given in Table 2,



Figure 2: Visualization of stability in the corticothalamic system and a schematic comparison of three key neural circuits and their intrinsic periodicity. (a) Linear stability zone of the corticothalamic model (Robinson et al., 2002). States of the model within the dimensionally reduced space are represented by stability parameters *x* for intracortical, *y* for corticothalamic-BG, and *z* for intrathalamic and thalamo-BG loops driving key instabilities. Stable points lie inside the surface and the onset of nonlinear oscillations occurs as the system passes outside the stability zone. The shaded regions define parameter values at which the system loses stability at delta/theta (red), spindle/sigma (blue), and alpha (red) frequencies. (b) Delta/theta resonance: *ESRE* loop generating ~3 Hz oscillations. (c) Sigma resonance: *SRS* loop generating ~14 Hz spindle oscillations. (d) Alpha resonance: *ESE* loop generating ~10 Hz oscillations. E, I: cortex, S: specific relay nuclei (SRN), R: thalamic reticular nucleus (TRN).

that represents both the axonal delay in the corticothalamic loop and the cortico-BG-thalamic loop, which are equal in the present symmetric case.

To simplify the analysis, the approximation $L_a = L \approx 1$ in Eq. (27) is made in the low frequency limit $\omega \ll \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ (Robinson et al., 2002) except in the $1 - L^2(G_{SRS} + G_{SBS})$ term where the effect of *L* must be retained to reproduce spindle oscillations. The low frequency approximation is only implemented in this section to allow for a simplified representation of stability boundaries and not in the later sections of this work. The approximation enhances higher frequency terms in the systems transfer function that would otherwise be more strongly lowpass filtered. However, it has been verified to make only moderate quantitative differences to the stability of the system at low frequencies without changing key properties (Robinson et al., 2002). By substituting Eq. (22) into Eq. (27) the dispersion relation can then be expressed as

$$\left(1 - \frac{i\omega}{\gamma_E}\right)^2 - x - y \frac{1 + (z/z_s)}{1 + L^2(z/z_s)} e^{i\omega t_0} = 0, \quad (28)$$

Table 2: Nominal parameters for the symmetric system, adapted from Robinson et al. (2002).

Quantity	Value	Unit
r	80	mm
σ'	3.3	mV
$\phi_N^{(0)}$	1	s^{-1}
α_a	50	s^{-1}
eta_a	200	s^{-1}
t_0	80	ms
γ_E	116	s^{-1}
Q_a^{\max}	250	s^{-1}
θ_a	15	mV
v_{EE}	1.2	mV s
v_{EI}	-1.8	mV s
v_{ES}	1.2	mV s
v_{RE}, v_{BE}	0.4	mV s
v_{RS} , v_{BS}	0.2	mV s
v_{SE}	1.2	mV s
v_{SR}, v_{SB}	-0.8	mV s
ν_{SN}	0.5	mV s

where

$$x = \frac{G_{EE}}{1 - G_{EI}},\tag{29}$$

$$y = \frac{G_{ESE} + G_{ESRE} + G_{ESBE}}{(1 - G_{EI})(1 - G_{SRS} - G_{SBS})},$$
 (30)

$$z = (G_{SRS} + G_{SBS})z_s, \tag{31}$$

$$z_s = -\frac{\alpha\beta}{(\alpha+\beta)^2}.$$
 (32)

Here, *x* parametrizes the total gain of the intracortical loops, *y* parametrizes the net gain of the corticothalamicbasal ganglia loops, and *z* parametrizes the gains of the intrathalamic loop and the thalamo-BG loop. Together these parameters determine the stability of the whole CTBG system. The signs of the excitatory and inhibitory gains are physiologically determined and thus $x \ge 0$ and $z \ge 0$. The reduced dimensionality resulting from this parametrization allows a surface to be defined that bounds a zone of stable steady states, as shown in Fig. 2(a). The stability boundary is given by solutions of Eq. (28) for real ω . Since connections involving the BG exactly parallel those involving the TRN in the symmetric CTBG system, the stability zone for the CT model (Robinson et al., 2002) is further generalized by repartitioning the previous TRN gains equally between the TRN and BG in the symmetric CTBG system, as described in Sec. 2.9.

Robinson et al. (2002) demonstrated that normal states of the brain lie within the stability zone of the CT model as seen in Fig. 2(a). Epileptic states lie at or beyond the boundary of this zone, where the fixed point loses stability, and are characterized by highly ordered, large amplitude limit cycle oscillations (Robinson et al., 2002; Breakspear et al., 2006). The stability boundary is then divided into areas corresponding to the frequency bands these oscillations occupy and the dominant neural circuits responsible for their generation (Robinson et al., 2002; Breakspear et al., 2006):

(i) The left boundary in Fig. 2(a) corresponds to oscillations, typically at 2-5 Hz, and represents the transition to absence seizure states of the model (Robinson et al., 2002; Breakspear et al., 2006). In this region the parameter y is negative with $|G_{ESE}| < |G_{ESRE} + G_{ESBE}|$ and $G_{ESRE} = G_{ESBE} < 0$. We refer to this boundary as the theta instability boundary, although it also includes most of the traditional delta frequency band. (ii) The right boundary corresponds to large amplitude oscillations with a peak frequency observed in the alpha band (~10 Hz) and represents a transition to tonic-clonic seizure states in the model (Robinson et al., 2002; Breakspear et al., 2006). For this region of parameter space y is positive because $G_{ESE} > 0$ and $|G_{ESE}| > |G_{ESRE} + G_{ESBE}|$. This boundary is referred to as the alpha instability boundary. (iii) The upper boundary of Fig. 2(a) corresponds to spindle-like oscillations in the sigma band at ~14 Hz (Robinson et al., 2002). The peak frequency observed results from resonance of a dominant SRS or SBS pathway and the boundary is referred to as the sigma instability boundary. (iv) The front boundary corresponds to slow wave oscillations (<1 Hz) associated with impending loss of the fixed point stability via a saddle-node bifurcation (Robinson et al., 1997, 2002; Breakspear et al., 2006).

4. General CTBG system

In this section the results of Sec. 3 are generalized by using full asymmetric parameter estimates drawn from the literature and listed in Table 3. The effect of dopamine depletion on the CTBG model gains is introduced and key

)

loop resonances are investigated as drivers of the peak frequencies present in population activity. We also investigate whether characteristic activity observed in PD is present in the simplified CTBG system and explore the role of the BG in modulating absence seizure oscillations.

4.1. BG parameter estimates

Here parameters of the full asymmetric BG population, given in Table 3, are determined. Mean spike activity of GPi nuclei has been measured to be ~90 s⁻¹ in human patients with PD (Dostrovsky et al., 2000; Tang et al., 2005) and firing rates as high as ~250 s⁻¹ have been observed (Nishibayashi et al., 2011). Because the GPi nuclei serve as a major relay to the thalamus, a maximum firing rate for the simplified BG population is approximated as equivalent to that of the GPi nuclei; i.e., $Q_B^{\text{max}} = 200$ s⁻¹. Van Albada and Robinson (2009) noted that for $Q \ll Q_{\text{max}}$, a high maximum firing rate and a low threshold θ have similar effects on the relatively low steady-state firing rates because Eq. (1) can be approximated as

$$\frac{Q^{\max}}{1 + \exp[-(V - \theta)/\sigma']} \approx Q^{\max} e^{-\theta/\sigma'} e^{V/\sigma'}, \qquad (33)$$

which means a small change $\delta\theta$ to θ is equivalent to replacing Q^{\max} by $Q^{\max}e^{-\delta\theta/\sigma'}$. Hence, the steady-state dynamics of the CTBG model are largely unchanged by suitably correlated changes in the maximum firing rate and mean threshold potential.

The threshold potential, $\theta_B = 14 \text{ mV}$, is approximated by numerical exploration of the parameter space to ensure that realistic firing rates, $Q_a \ll Q^{\text{max}}$, are produced across all populations; i.e., $Q_a \approx 10 \text{ s}^{-1}$.

A recent study measured the response latency of the globus pallidus internal (GPi) and external (GPe) segments following stimulation of the primary motor cortex (MI) in human adults (Nishibayashi et al., 2011). Their results showed cortically evoked excitation of GPi neurons with a mean response latency of 23 ± 9 ms. Inhibition was observed after 34 ± 10 ms and a final excitation after 56 ± 14 ms. The delays measured in this study comprise both axonal propagation delays and synaptic and dendritic integration times. In the CTBG model, activity of the simplified BG population represents activity of the GPi segment. As such, the interpretation of the parameters describing afferent axonal delay and synaptodendritic delay

is more general because they incorporate delays of nuclei within the BG that project to the GPi via the direct and indirect pathways. The dominant component of the axonal delay afferent to the simplified BG results from the characteristic length of the cortico-BG connection which is large because the GPi has a significant spatial separation from the projecting cortical areas; i.e., the motor cortex (Kandel et al., 1991). The characteristic length of the *BE* connection is assumed to be of the same order of magnitude as that of the corticothalamic connection. In the absence of specific data, we partition the 23 ms delay from Nishibayashi et al. (2011) equally between the axonal delay parameter $\tau_{BE} = 10$ ms and the synaptodendritic rate parameters $\alpha_B = 90$ s⁻¹ and $\beta_B = 360$ s⁻¹.

4.2. Approximating dopamine depletion

As mentioned in the introduction, dopamine depletion is assumed to strengthen the indirect pathway through the BG. Activity of the simplified BG is considered as an approximation to activity of the GPi/SNr population. Since the indirect pathway is also comprised of the D2 striatal, GPe, and STN populations, the effect of these are consolidated into the input gains of the simplified BG population as follows:

$$G_{BE} = G_{p_2\zeta} G_{\zeta p_1} G_{p_1 d_2} G_{d_2 e}, \tag{34}$$

$$G_{BS} = G_{p_2\zeta} G_{\zeta p_1} G_{p_1 d_2} G_{d_2 s}, \tag{35}$$

where the population subscripts are defined in Fig. 1. Strengthening the indirect pathway is approximated by an increase in G_{BE} . However, we assume that this increase is not solely attributed to G_{d_2e} in the full system and that the product $G_{p_2\zeta}G_{\zeta p_1}G_{p_1d_2}$ also increases. Equations (34) and (35) share this common factor of gains and therefore dopamine depletion in the simplified model results in an increase in G_{BE} but also a relatively smaller increase in G_{BS} . In general, the gains G_{ab} cannot be changed independently since they are functions of steady-state firing rates which are sensitive to changes in the connection parameters. Figure 3(d) shows that increasing the cortico-BG coupling strength v_{BE} results in increased values for both the cortico-BG gain G_{BE} and the SRN-BG gain G_{BS} .

4.3. Steady states

The effect of dopamine depletion on the steady-state population firing rates is determined next. As mentioned



Figure 3: Dependence of the steady-state population firing rates and BG connection gains on BG afferent coupling and the balance of corticocortical excitation and inhibition coupling. All other connections are set to nominal values defined in Table 3 (a) Steady-state population firing rate dependence on cortico-basal ganglia coupling strength. (b) Steady-state population firing rate dependence on SRN-basal ganglia coupling strength. (c) Steady-state population firing rate dependence on the balance of cortico-cortical excitation inhibition coupling strengths. (d) BG gain dependence on cortico-basal ganglia coupling strength. (e) BG gain dependence on SRN-basal ganglia coupling strength. (f) BG gain dependence on the balance of cortico-cortical excitation inhibition coupling strengths.

in Sec. 4.2, dopamine loss is approximated as a strengthening of the indirect pathway by increasing the gains G_{BE} and G_{BS} . Figures 3(a) and (b) show that increasing either the cortico-BG coupling, v_{BE} , or the SRN-BG coupling, v_{BS} , tends to increase steady-state firing in the BG population and decrease firing in all other populations. In the CTBG model by van Albada and Robinson (2009), dopamine depletion increases the steady-state firing rate of the D2 type striatal, GPi and STN populations and decreases all other populations' steady-state firing rates. The D2 type striatal, GPe, STN, and GPi populations constitute the indirect pathway. Since activity of GPi/SNr population is approximated here as activity of the simplified BG population, the effect of dopamine loss on the steady-state firing rates is consistent between the van Albada and Robinson (2009) model and the present simplified model. Furthermore, the result agrees with experiments linking PD effects with hypoactivity of the cortex (Jenkins et al., 1992; Monchi et al., 2004, 2007; Martinu et al., 2012) and the thalamus (Molnar et al., 2005).

The mesocortical pathway mediates direct projections of the VTA dopaminergic to the prefrontal cortex (PFC) (Gaspar et al., 1992; Williams and Goldman-Rakic, 1993). Gulledge and Jaffe (2001) showed dopamine to have a predominantly inhibitory influence on the PFC due to enhanced synaptic inputs from GABAergic interneurons. Furthermore. both excitatory pyramidal and inhibitory interneurons show increased sensitivity to excitatory inputs in the presence of dopamine (Gao and Goldman-Rakic, 2003; Thurley et al., 2008). Low levels of dopamine in the mesocortical pathway are approximated in the CTBG system by decreasing the intracortical synaptic strengths v_{EE} , v_{IE} , and especially $|v_{EI}|$ and $|v_{II}|$. This agrees with the reduced intracortical inhibition observed in PD patients off medication receiving transcranial magnetic stimulation (Ridding et al., 1995).

In Fig. 3(c) the effect of varying the balance between intracortical excitation and inhibition on the steadystate population firing rates is explored. The connection strengths, v_{EE} and v_{EI} are initialized at the values given in Table 3. Both are then linearly reduced with v_{EE} and v_{IE} decreasing by Δv , and $|v_{EI}|$ and $|v_{II}|$ by $2\Delta v$ at each step. This reduction of intracortical inhibition relative to excitation tends to increase Q_E and Q_R , and decrease Q_S . The BG population's steady-state firing rate is only weakly affected by changes in the intracortical excitation-inhibition balance over the parameter ranges considered.

Table 3: Nominal parameters for the asymmetric system, adapted fromAbeysuriya et al. (2014).

Quantity	value	Unit
r	80	mm
σ'	3.3	mV
$\phi_N^{(0)}$	1	s^{-1}
γ_E	116	s^{-1}
$\alpha_{E,R,S}$	45	s^{-1}
$\beta_{E,R,S}$	180	s^{-1}
α_B	90	s^{-1}
β_B	360	s^{-1}
$ au_{RE}, au_{SE}$	45	ms
$ au_{ES}$	35	ms
$ au_{BE}$	10	ms
$Q_E^{\max}, Q_S^{\max}, Q_R^{\max}$	300	s^{-1}
Q_B^{\max}	200	s^{-1}
θ_E, θ_B	14	mV
θ_R, θ_S	13	mV
v_{EE}	1.6	mV s
v_{EI}	-1.9	mV s
v_{ES}	0.4	mV s
v_{RE}	0.15	mV s
v_{RS}	0.03	mV s
v_{BE}	0.08	mV s
v_{BS}	0.1	mV s
v_{SE}	0.8	mV s
v_{SR}	-0.4	mV s
v_{SB}	-0.2	mV s
v_{SN}	0.5	mV s

4.4. Alpha resonance

The alpha rhythm (~ 10 Hz) is a key feature of the CT model. Strong alpha oscillations can be produced in the model that are comparable to those observed clinically in tonic-clonic (grand mal) seizure spectra (Robinson et al., 2001, 2002; Breakspear et al., 2006). As mentioned in

Sec. 3, the alpha rhythm results from strong corticothalamo-cortical coupling, G_{ESE} . Cortical activity has a net excitatory effect on the SRN population which then projects excitation back to the cortex after a time

$$T \approx \tau_{SE} + \tau_{ES} + \frac{2}{\gamma_E} + \frac{2}{\alpha_E} + \frac{2}{\beta_E}.$$
 (36)

As the system approaches the alpha instability boundary, described in Sec. 3, the alpha resonance becomes less damped and thus stronger. Inclusion of the BG in this model does not affect the temporal properties of the *ESE* loop, which is responsible for the alpha resonance, and thus does not significantly affect the peak frequency observed.

Approximating dopamine depletion by increasing the cortico-basal ganglia gain G_{BE} will result in an increased $|G_{ESBE}|$ loop gain, as shown in Fig. 4(d). This increases $|G_{ESRE} + G_{ESBE}|$ and tends to move the system away from the alpha instability boundary. The effect can be interpreted as a reduced likelihood of tonic-clonic (grand mal) seizures and agrees with experimental results on generalized tonic-clonic seizures in the rat brain (Velíšková et al., 1996; Dybdal and Gale, 2000) where the indirect pathway demonstrated anti-epileptic effects.

4.5. Generalized delta/theta resonance

Another key feature of the CT model is the presence of global activity at 2-5 Hz that can describe EEG spectra recorded during absence (petit mal) seizure (Robinson et al., 2001, 2002; Breakspear et al., 2006). The oscillations in the model result from a resonance in the cortico-thalamo-cortical loop, referred to as the delta/theta resonance, where cortical activity projects onto the SRN via the TRN, and then feeds back into the cortex (ESRE loop). Similarly to the alpha resonance, proximity to the delta/theta instability boundary reduces damping of the delta/theta resonance. The ESRE loop provides negative feedback to the cortex as TRN activity inhibits the SRN ($v_{SR} < 0$). A positive net gain is achieved on the second pass and thus a frequency peak is observed corresponding to the total time required for two circuits of the ESRE loop (Robinson et al., 2002).

The *ESBE* loop, analogously to the *ESRE* loop, provides negative feedback to the cortex via the BG and SRN



Figure 4: Dependence of loop gains involving the BG or TRN on the BG connection strengths. All other connections are set to nominal values defined in Table 3. Intrathalamic G_{SBS} (solid) and BG-thalamic G_{SRS} (dashed) dependence on (a) cortico-BG coupling strength, (b) SRN-BG coupling strength, and (c) BG-SRN coupling strength. Dependence of G_{ESBE} (solid) and G_{ESRE} (dashed) on (d) cortico-BG coupling strength, (e) SRN-BG coupling strength, and (f) BG-SRN coupling strength.

populations. Each of these parallel loops supports an oscillation with a period determined by the combination of axonal and synaptodendritic response delays. The overall delta/theta resonance frequency of the system then results from the combined effects of activity traversing the two parallel pathways. In order to estimate this peak frequency each loop is first considered separately. For $G_{ESBE} = 0$,

$$T_{\theta} \approx 2\left(\tau_{RE} + \tau_{SR} + \tau_{ES}\right) + \frac{4}{\gamma_E} + 6\left[\frac{1}{\alpha_E} + \frac{1}{\beta_E}\right].$$
 (37)

while for $G_{ESRE} = 0$,

$$T_{\theta} \approx 2\left(\tau_{BE} + \tau_{SB} + \tau_{ES}\right) + \frac{4}{\gamma_E} + 4\left[\frac{1}{\alpha_E} + \frac{1}{\beta_E}\right] + 2\left[\frac{1}{\alpha_B} + \frac{1}{\beta_B}\right],$$
(38)

Equation (37) reproduces the previous result of the CT model (Robinson et al., 2002) where the *ESRE* loop has a resonant frequency near 3 Hz.

Figure 5(c) shows the predicted delta/theta frequencies of the two pure *ESRE* and *ESBE* cases, given by Eq. (38) and (37) respectively. The frequencies depend on the net axonal delay, τ , where τ is either a corticothalamic axonal delay τ_{ESRE} or a cortico-basal ganglia-thalamic axonal delay τ_{ESBE} . In our model, the axonal and synaptodendritic delays for the *ESBE* pathway are smaller than for the *ESRE* pathway. Thus, the period of the *ESBE* loop is lower than that of the *ESRE* loop. For the combined system, the frequency is between the values supplied by Eq.(38) and (37).

As mentioned in Sec. 4.2, in approximating dopamine depletion we increase G_{BE} . To achieve this we increase the connection strength v_{BE} . Figure 4(d) shows that, using nominal parameters from Table 3, increased v_{BE} causes an increase in $|G_{ESBE}|$ and, to a lesser extent, a corresponding decrease in $|G_{ESRE}|$. This tends to move the CTBG system towards the delta/theta instability boundary and results in weakened damping of the *ESBE* loop resonance. Enhanced 4 Hz oscillations are then present in cortical, BG, and SRN population firing rates, which are similar to the frequencies typical of tremulous PD (Brown et al.,



Figure 5: Properties of the delta/theta resonance. (a) Schematic of a dominant *ESRE* loop near the delta/theta instability boundary. (b) Schematic of a dominant *ESBE* loop near the delta/theta instability boundary. (c) Predicted delta/theta resonance frequencies for the two pure cases, (a) and (b), are plotted as functions of the net delay in the loop, τ ; via the basal ganglia $\tau = \tau_{ESBE}$ (dash) and via the reticular nucleus $\tau = \tau_{ESRE}$ (solid). Frequencies prescribed by the period of the two isolated pathways when using the time delays from Table 3 are also shown (heavy dots).

2001; Wang et al., 2005). The oscillations are also consistent with the findings of the more detailed CTBG model by van Albada et al. (2009) who demonstrated activity about 5 Hz was sustained by a dominant indirect BG pathway. The 4 Hz activity is comprised of coordinated action between cortical and SRN populations, and BG nuclei that form the indirect pathway. This result can thus plausibly explain the correlated LFP fluctuations about these frequencies observed between thalamic nuclei and the BG (Brown et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2005; Smirnov et al., 2008; Tass et al., 2010). It also provides an explanation for the observed coherence between LFP fluctuations in the BG and EEG measured over the motor cortex (Marsden et al., 2001; Williams et al., 2002).

Absence seizure like \sim 3 Hz activity in the model results from a dominant G_{ESRE} loop gain (Robinson et al., 2001, 2002; Breakspear et al., 2006). The increased

proximity to the delta/theta instability boundary in dopamine depleted states suggests that a smaller change in the strength of G_{ESRE} , relative to non-parkinsonian states, is required to drive this enhanced 3 Hz activity. This agrees with several studies reporting an increased likelihood of absence seizures after dopamine depletion (Danober et al., 1998; Deransart et al., 1998, 2000; De Bruin et al., 2000; Midzianovskaia et al., 2001) and decreased dopamine metabolism in human generalized epilepsy (Bouilleret et al., 2005).

4.6. Generalized sigma resonance

Sleep spindles are oscillations at 12-15 Hz characteristic of EEG recordings made during sleep stage 2 and anesthesia (Wolter et al., 2006; Ferenets et al., 2006). An important feature of the previous CT model (Robinson et al., 2002) is its ability to generate spindle frequencies within the intrathalamic *SRS* loop, see Fig. 6(a). The CTBG system contains an additional basal ganglia-thalamic loop, *SBS*, that is analogous to the *SRS* loop, as shown in Fig. 6(b). The peak frequencies expected in proximity to the more general sigma instability boundary are analyzed next.

Robinson et al. (2002) explored a parameter regime of dominant intrathalamic dynamics in the CT model. Roots of the dispersion relation exist where $1 - L_S L_R G_{SRS} = 0$. At the boundary of the stability zone, solutions to this relation are purely real and thus satisfied by $\omega = \omega_{\sigma} = \sqrt{\alpha\beta}$; i.e., a pure spindle instability.

For the CTBG model, a more general case is considered in which intrathalamic (*SRS*) and basal ganglia-thalamic (*SBS*) loop dynamics dominate. In this case, $1 - J_{SRS} - J_{SBS}$ is the dominant term in Eq. (27) with roots depending on the relative strengths of the gains, G_{SRS} and G_{SBS} , as well as the time delays associated with each loop. Expanding this term using Eq. (24), roots of (27) satisfy

$$1 - L_S \left[L_R G_{SRS} e^{i\omega\tau_{SRS}} + L_B G_{SBS} e^{i\omega\tau_{SBS}} \right] = 0.$$
(39)

Using a numerical bisection method, solutions to Eq. (39) are found at the instability boundary where roots ω are purely real and the results are presented in Fig. 6(c). The upper left region of 6(c) defines states of a dominant *SRS* loop represented by the schematic in Fig. 6(a). The lower right region defines states of a dominant *SBS* loop



Figure 6: Properties of the sigma resonance. (a) Schematic of a dominant *SRS* loop near the sigma instability boundary. (b) Schematic of a dominant *SBS* loop near the sigma instability boundary. (c) Dependence of the sigma resonant frequency on the loop gains G_{SRS} and G_{SBS} . To allow for repartitioning in the present model, the sleep and wake estimates for the CT system from Abeysuriya et al. (2014) are plotted as the lines $G_{SRS} + G_{SBS} = -5.3$ for CT sleep spindles and $G_{SRS} + G_{SBS} = -0.6$ for CT wake. The dotted lines show values of the ratio of G_{SBS} compared to G_{SRS} . The parameters used in Fig. 7(d) and (e) are marked as κ_1 (white dot) and κ_2 (black dot) respectively. The rectangles show the approximate values of the gains during sleep stage 2 and wake for both normal and PD conditions.

represented by the schematic in Fig. 6(b). Figures 7(d) and (e) show examples of activity produced by dominant G_{SRS} and G_{SBS} sigma resonances, respectively. As mentioned in Sec. 4.2, the connection gains are interdependent so G_{SRS} and G_{SBS} can not be varied independently in general. The effects of the BG connection strengths, v_{BE} , v_{BS} , and v_{SB} on G_{SRS} and G_{SBS} are shown in Figs 4(a)-(c). The connection gains previously used to describe the TRN population in the CT model are repartitioned between the TRN and BG in the present model, as dis-

cussed in Sec. 2.9. Thus, sleep and wake CT parameters estimates from Abeysuriya et al. (2014) are plotted in Fig. 6(c) as straight lines representing constant sums $G_{SRS} + G_{SBS}$; i.e., the CT sleep line $G_{SRS} + G_{SBS} = -5.3$ and the CT wake line $G_{SRS} + G_{SBS} = -0.6$.

Parkinsonian patients have sleep spindle frequencies around 12–15 Hz that are similar to those observed in healthy controls (Christensen et al., 2015; Latreille et al., 2015). Sleep states for both healthy and PD patients must thus lie in the upper left part of Fig. 6(c) where the ratio $G_{SBS}/G_{SRS} \approx 0.1$. Thus, the spindle frequency is primarily driven by a weakly damped intrathalamic loop resonance *SRS*, which is consistent with results of the CT model (Robinson et al., 2002; Abeysuriya et al., 2014). Furthermore, the change in $|G_{SRS}|$ between sleep stage 2 and wake, and vice versa, likely remains very similar for PD and healthy states since both require a dominant G_{SRS} gain to generate spindles.

Wake values for $|G_{SBS}|$ and $|G_{SRS}|$ present the most significant difference between PD and healthy controls. For spindle generation, $|G_{SRS} + G_{SBS}|$ is near the sleep estimate from Abeysuriya et al. (2014) where the sigma resonance is dominant and results in a pronounced peak in the spectra of population activity. The ~20 Hz activity in PD is not a sharp peak in the activity spectra. Instead these oscillations describe a frequency of peak coherence between the BG and thalamic population activity and which becomes strengthened in PD. Thus, values for the total gain $|G_{SBS} + G_{SRS}|$ are smaller than those for spindle generation. As mentioned in Sec. 4.2, in approximating dopamine loss we increase G_{BS} . Figure 4(b) shows that increasing v_{BS} increases G_{SBS} and will tend to move wake states of the CTBG system towards the bottom right of Fig. 6(c) where the ratio G_{SBS}/G_{SRS} is close to 1. The increased proximity to the sigma boundary will weaken the damping of the SBS loop resonance and drive enhanced beta band oscillations at ~20 Hz. As in the case of the delta/theta resonance in PD, the ~20 Hz activity results from coordinated action between the SRN and BG and is thus consistent with the presence of synchronized ~20 Hz activity observed between the BG and thalamic nuclei (Brown et al., 2001; Brown and Williams, 2005; Levy et al., 2002b; Timmermann et al., 2003; Kühn et al., 2005).



Figure 7: Analytical spectra of cortical (1st column) and BG (2nd column) population activity calculated from Eq. (26). The peak frequency predictions are indicated in each plot. Each row corresponds to a different set of connection strengths. (a) and (b) show the alpha resonance for $G_{ESE} = 3.15$, $G_{ESRE} = -0.15$, and $G_{ESBE} = -0.14$. (c) and (d) show the delta/theta resonance for $G_{ESE} = 2.55$, $G_{ESRE} = -4.61$, and $G_{ESBE} = -0.88$. (e) and (f) show the delta/theta resonance with $G_{ESE} = 2.20$, $G_{ESRE} = -2.18$, and $G_{ESBE} = -3.44$. (g) and (h) show the sigma resonance with $G_{SRS} = -4.01$, and $G_{SBS} = -0.73$. (i) and (j) show the sigma resonance with $G_{SRS} = -2.29$, and $G_{SBS} = -2.94$.

4.7. Analytical power spectrum

The frequency response of the excitatory population to low intensity white noise input ϕ_N is generated using the transfer function Eq. (21) and the results are shown in column one of Figure 7. The frequency response of the BG population with respect to the excitatory population is given by

$$\frac{\phi_B(\omega)}{\phi_E(\omega)} = J_{BE} + \frac{J_{BS}}{J_{ES}} \left[(1 - J_{EI}) \left(1 - \frac{i\omega}{\gamma_E} \right)^2 - J_{EE} \right]. \quad (40)$$

and is used to produce column two of Fig. 7. Each row of Fig. 7 is produced using a unique set of connection strengths and demonstrates the model's ability to generate the alpha, delta/theta, and beta band activity predicted in Sections 4.4-4.6. The ~3 Hz (shown in Fig. 7(a) and (b)) and ~10 Hz (shown in Fig. 7(c) and(d)) correspond to states near absence and tonic-clonic seizure. These states are in close proximity to the instability boundary and represent precursive activity to the broadband oscillations generated as the boundary is traversed, as explored



Figure 8: Suppression of \sim 3 Hz activity by strengthening the afferent and efferent BG connections. All other connections are set to values defining a dominant G_{ESRE} loop gain. (a) BG and TRN corticothalamic loop gain dependence on cortico-BG coupling strength. (b) BG and TRN corticothalamic loop gain dependence on BG-SRN coupling strength. (c) BG and TRN corticothalamic loop gain dependence on BG-SRN coupling strength. (d) Power spectrum for increasing values of cortico-BG coupling strength. (e) Power spectrum for increasing values of SRN-BG coupling strength. (f) Power spectrum for increasing values of BG-SRN coupling strength.

in Breakspear et al. (2006). The trough in Fig. 7(d) at around \sim 22 Hz is caused by a zero in Eq. (40) and occurs at a significantly lower power than the dominant \sim 3 Hz peak.

4.8. BG modulation of absence seizure

As discussed in Sec. 4.5, the delta/theta resonance, driven by a strong G_{ESRE} loop gain, is capable of generating ~3 Hz oscillations. In this case, the system is near the instability boundary but still linearly stable due to weak damping of the delta/theta resonance. At or beyond the delta/theta instability boundary, the fixed point of the system loses stability and represents transition to absence seizure (Robinson et al., 2002; Breakspear et al., 2006). Figure 7(b) shows example spectra near the delta/theta instability boundary.

Several recent studies have aimed to explore the role of the BG in absence seizure. Chen et al. (2014) and Hu et al. (2015) extended the van Albada and Robinson (2009) CTBG model by introducing an additional connection coupling GPi/SNr activity to the TRN that parallels the existing GPi/SNr-SRN connection. The model was configured to generate absence seizure oscillations and then these oscillations were modulated by changing GPi/SNr population activity. Both studies demonstrate absence seizure suppression resulting from increased activity of the GPi/SNr by strengthening afferent coupling of STN activity, which is equivalent to increasing v_{BE} and v_{BS} in the simplified model.

Fig. 8(a)–(c) show the dependence of the gains G_{ESRE} and G_{ESBE} on the afferent and efferent BG connection strengths when the system is near the delta/theta instability boundary. In Fig. 8(d)–(f), the prominent peak at ~3 Hz is diminished by larger values of each BG connection. The effect is due to a resulting reduction of $|G_{ESRE}|$, as shown in Fig. 8(a)–(c). In contrast $|G_{ESBE}|$ increases over the same range of connection strengths but at a much lower rate than the decrease of $|G_{ESRE}|$. This means that $|G_{ESRE} + G_{ESBE}|$ is decreasing. Since $G_{ESE} < |G_{ESRE} + G_{ESBE}|$ near the delta/theta instability boundary, the effect is to move the system away from



Figure 9: Suppression of ~10 Hz activity by strengthening the afferent and efferent BG connections. All other connections are set to values defining a dominant G_{ESE} loop gain. (a) BG and TRN corticothalamic loop gain dependence on cortico-BG coupling strength. (b) BG and TRN corticothalamic loop gain dependence on BG-SRN coupling strength. (c) BG and TRN corticothalamic loop gain dependence on BG-SRN coupling strength. (d) Power spectrum for increasing values of cortico-BG coupling strength. (e) Power spectrum for increasing values of SRN-BG coupling strength. (f) Power spectrum for increasing values of BG-SRN coupling strength.

this boundary, resulting in increased damping of the delta/theta resonance and suppression of the ~3 Hz oscillations. The peak in Fig. 8(a) is shifted to the right for larger values of v_{BE} because G_{ESBE} is also increasing which represents the ~4 Hz generating loop.

The suppression of the ~3 Hz activity is independent of which BG connection is strengthened and instead depends on weakening a combined dominance of $|G_{ESRE} + G_{ESBE}|$ relative to G_{ESE} . The simplified model is thus consistent with both Chen et al. (2014) and Hu et al. (2015), and provides new insight into their results.

4.9. BG modulation of tonic-clonic seizure

Tonic-clonic seizure states of the model occur as the system traverses the alpha instability boundary (Breakspear et al., 2006). A dominant alpha resonance, defined by a large G_{ESE} gain, produces enhanced ~10 Hz activity. As described in Sec. 4.4, strengthening G_{BE} by increasing v_{BE} , will tend to move the system away from the alpha instability boundary shown in Fig. 2(a). This is due to a resulting increase in G_{ESBE} but also a decrease in the dominant G_{ESE} gain. Fig. 9(a)–(c) show that G_{ESE} can be decreased by a corresponding increase in any of the BG connection strengths. In Fig. 9(d)–(f), the prominent ~10 Hz peak driven by a large G_{ESE} gain is shown to diminish for larger values of the BG connection strengths.

Several studies have found the BG to play an important role in tonic-clonic seizure (Blumenfeld et al., 2009; Ciumas and Savic, 2006; Luo et al., 2011; An increased threshold to Nersesyan et al., 2004). flurothyl-induced tonic-clonic seizure has been shown by high frequency stimulation of the STN (Lado et al., 2003) and by infusion of an NMDA antagonist in the SNR (Velíšek et al., 2006). These studies are consistent with the suppressive effect of the BG in the CTBG model and support the reported efficacy of tonic-clonic seizure treatments targeting neural circuits involving the BG.

4.10. TRN modulation of parkinsonian states

Parallel to the results of generalized epilepsies in Sections 4.8 and 4.9, we are motivated to consider the possible role of the TRN in modulating parkinsonian states. Sleep states in the CTBG model are described by strong afferent and efferent TRN connections and high TRN activity relative to values in wake (Robinson et al., 2002; Abeysuriya et al., 2014). Fig. 10(a)–(c) demonstrate that strengthening v_{RE} , v_{RS} or $|v_{SR}|$, decreases a dominant G_{ESBE} loop gain that drives the weakly damped ~4 Hz oscillations. In Fig. 10(d)–(f) the prominent ~4 Hz peak is diminished for larger values of these TRN connection strengths.

Circadian fluctuations of motor symptoms often occur in PD, with less severe motor dysfunction in the early morning than in the afternoon (Marsden et al., 1982; Fahn, 1982) and parkinsonian tremor and rigidity typically disappear during sleep, with minor tremor occasionally persisting in slow-wave sleep (Broughton et al., 1967; Stern et al., 1968). These observations are consistent with sleep states of the CTBG model having a suppressive effect on pathological activity in PD. The alleviation of motor dysfunction in the early morning may be caused by intermediate values for the TRN connections during the sleep-to-wake transition and moderate TRN activity relative to wake levels.

5. Discussion

We have proposed a physiologically-based neural field model of the CTBG system, and have used it to explore PD and epilepsy in a unified framework. The model simplifies the approach of van Albada and Robinson (2009) by approximating the BG as a single effective population whose structural connectivity mirrors that of the TRN. We have analyzed linearly stable states of the model near instability boundaries representing precursive states to full seizure and oscillations characteristic of Parkinson's disease. The main results of the paper are as follows:

(i) A simplified model of the CTBG system was developed that enables parallel analysis of PD and epilepsy in a tractable framework. The model successfully reproduces PD rhythms and levels of activity present in a previous, more detailed, model of the CTBG system (van Albada and Robinson, 2009; van Albada et al., 2009).

(ii) By parametrizing key loops, the stability of the CTBG system was represented in a dimensionally reduced state space (Robinson et al., 2002). Resonances of

these key loops were shown to define the spectra of population activity.

(iii) Delta/theta activity around 4 Hz was demonstrated in the BG which is consistent with oscillations typically observed in Parkinson's disease (Brown et al., 2001; Timmermann et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2005).

(iv) The BG were also shown to support beta oscillations around 20 Hz. This activity is consistent with an experimentally observed coherence peak between BG that constitute the indirect pathway (Brown et al., 2001; Brown and Williams, 2005; Levy et al., 2002b).

(v) Spindle-generating sleep states, present in a previous CT model (Robinson et al., 2002), were found in the CTBG system. The results suggested similar sleep spindle states for healthy and PD patients because the spindle frequency is generated by a dominant intrathalamic loop resonance.

(vi) The simplified CTBG framework predicted a reduced likelihood of tonic-clonic (grand mal) seizures in parkinsonian states and agrees with the results of experiment (Velíšková et al., 1996; Dybdal and Gale, 2000).

(vii) The model also predicts a greater likelihood of absence seizure (petit mal) in PD wake states due to an increased proximity to the delta/theta instability boundary, and could explain the observed increased occurrence of absence seizures after dopaminergic depletion (Danober et al., 1998; Deransart et al., 1998, 2000; De Bruin et al., 2000; Midzianovskaia et al., 2001).

(viii) Using the CTBG model we also explored the role of the BG in modulating states near absence seizure. The system is placed in close proximity to the delta/theta instability boundary where enhanced 3 Hz activity results from a dominant corticothalamic loop resonance. These oscillations were shown to be suppressed by increasing the strength of afferent and efferent BG connections to corticothalamic populations. This was independent of which connection parameter was targeted. Instead, the suppressive effect is determined by a weakened dominance of the cortico-TRN-SRN neural circuit responsible for the 3 Hz oscillations, relative to the cortico-BG-SRN and the more direct cortico-SRN neural circuits.

(ix) Strengthening cortico-BG and BG-SRN connections moved states of the model away from the alpha instability boundary. The boundary defines a transition to tonic-clonic seizure states where the alpha resonance, producing ~ 10 Hz activity, is no longer damped. This agrees



Figure 10: Suppression of ~4 Hz activity by strengthening the afferent and efferent TRN connections. All other connections are set to values defining a dominant G_{ESBE} loop gain. (a) BG and TRN corticothalamic loop gain dependence on cortico-TRN coupling strength. (b) BG and TRN corticothalamic loop gain dependence on SRN-TRN coupling strength. (c) BG and TRN corticothalamic loop gain dependence on TRN-SRN coupling strength. (d) Power spectrum for increasing values of cortico-TRN coupling strength. (e) Power spectrum for increasing values of SRN-TRN coupling strength. (f) Power spectrum for increasing values of TRN-SRN coupling strength.

with the efficacy of tonic-clonic seizure treatments targeting neural circuits involving the BG.

(x) Finally, the CTBG model showed that strong afferent and efferent TRN connections, such as those in sleep states, have a suppressive effect on parkinsonian activity of the BG. This result is consistent with circadian fluctuations of motor-symptoms typical in PD (Marsden et al., 1982; Fahn, 1982) and the absence of parkinsonian tremor and rigidity during sleep (Broughton et al., 1967; Stern et al., 1968).

Turning to wider implications of the work, we note that the presence of 4 Hz delta/theta oscillations in the activity of the simplified BG population implies synchronization within and between intrinsic BG nuclei. Since the oscillations result from a resonance in the pathway formed between the BG, thalamus and cortex, the activity of nuclei comprising the dominant pathway through the BG must be coherent at the delta/theta resonance frequency. This result is consistent with the significant theta band coherence observed by Brown et al. (2001) between STN and GPi nuclei, and by Levy et al. (2002b) between GPi and GPe nuclei. It also provides an explanation for the coherence of LFP oscillations in the ventrointermediate nucleus of the thalamus (VIM) with tremor recordings (Tass et al., 2010).

Robinson et al. (2002) defined a CT model that, in addition to other phenomena, accounted for the ~14 Hz spindle oscillations observed during sleep stage 2. In this model, the spindle frequency results from a resonance in the intrathalamic loop formed between the TRN and SRN. An analogous circuit in the CTBG model, formed between the BG and SRN, is able to sustain ~20 Hz beta band oscillations. These ~20 Hz oscillations are predicted to be coherent between nuclei comprising the dominant pathway within the BG. This agrees with experimental studies of PD which showed coherent beta band activity at ~20 Hz between STN and GPi nuclei, and between GPi and GPe nuclei (Brown et al., 2001; Brown and Williams, 2005; Levy et al., 2002b; Kühn et al., 2005). It could also explain the direct coupling of beta band LFP oscillations in the STN to fluctuations in synchronized activity of local neurons (Kühn et al., 2005) which has been suggested to

play a key role in the pathophysiology of PD (Levy et al., 2002a).

The overall effect of dopamine loss in the CTBG model is to move the system towards the delta/theta and sigma instability boundaries. In the vicinity of these boundaries the delta/theta and sigma resonances are weakly damped. The BG are an integral part of the dominant loops facilitating the delta/theta and sigma resonances in PD. Thus, the model predictions are consistent with the enhanced theta and beta band oscillations which are a predominant feature of parkinsonian BG activity.

Overall, our simplified CTBG model demonstrates that the role of the BG in generating parkinsonian states may be analogous to the role of TRN in generating epileptic states and lays the foundation for further exploration of PD and epilepsy in a single unified framework.

The analysis presented in this work is a linear approximation to the full nonlinear set of partial delayed differential equations representing the corticothalamic-basal ganglia system. This limits the results to parameter regimes where the system is dominated by linear dynamics. Future work will look to explore the full nonlinear case. The model also uses effective values for the parameters describing GABAergic inhibitory synaptic responses. Both fast and slow kinetics of the GABAergic synapse appear to play an important role in the generation of epileptiform activity and thus this represents as a limitation to the work.

6. Acknowledgments

The authors thank J. C. Pang and S. Assadzadeh for stimulating discussions. This work was supported by the Australian Research Council Center of Excellence for Integrative Brain Function under ARC grant CE140100007 and by Australian Research Council Laureate Fellowship Grant FL140100025.

References

- Abeysuriya, R., Rennie, C., Robinson, P., 2014. Prediction and verification of nonlinear sleep spindle harmonic oscillations. J. Theor. Biol. 344, 70–77.
- Albin, R. L., Young, A. B., Penney, J. B., 1989. The functional anatomy of basal ganglia disorders. Trends Neurosci. 12, 366–375.

- Alexander, G. E., Crutcher, M. D., 1990. Functional architecture of basal ganglia circuits: Neural substrates of parallel processing. Trends Neurosci. 13, 266–271.
- Bernheimer, H., Birkmayer, W., Hornykiewicz, O., Jellinger, K., Seitelberger, F., 1973. Brain dopamine and the syndromes of Parkinson and Huntington. Clinical, morphological and neurochemical correlations. J. Neurol. Sci. 20, 415–455.
- Beurle, R. L., 1956. Properties of a mass of cells capable of regenerating pulses. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., B, Biol. Sci. 240, 55–94.
- Blumenfeld, H., Varghese, G. I., Purcaro, M., Motelow, J., Enev, M., McNally, K. A., Levin, A., Hirsch, L. J., Tikofsky, R., Zubal, I. G., Paige, A. L., Spencer, S. S., 04 2009. Cortical and subcortical networks in human secondarily generalized tonic–clonic seizures. Brain 132 (4), 999–1012.
- Bouilleret, V., Semah, F., Biraben, A., Taussig, D., Chassoux, F., Syrota, A., Ribeiro, M.-J., 2005. Involvement of the basal ganglia in refractory epilepsy: an 18f-fluoro-1-dopa pet study using 2 methods of analysis. J. Nucl. Med. 46, 540–547.
- Braitenberg, V., Schüz, A., 1998. Cortex: Statistics and Geometry of Neuronal Connectivity, second ed. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Breakspear, M., Roberts, J. A., Terry, J. R., Rodrigues, S., Mahant, N., Robinson, P. A., 2006. A unifying explanation of primary generalized seizures through nonlinear brain modeling and bifurcation analysis. Cereb. Cortex 16, 1296–1313.
- Bressloff, P. C., 2001. Traveling fronts and wave propagation failure in an inhomogeneous neural network. Physica D 155, 83–100.
- Bressloff, P. C., Folias, S. E., Prat, A., Li, Y.-X., 2003. Oscillatory waves in inhomogeneous neural media. Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 178101.
- Broughton, R., et al., 1967. A polygraphic study of abnormal movement during different stages of sleep. Can. Med. Assoc. J.

- Brown, P., Oliviero, A., Mazzone, P., Insola, A., Tonali, P., Di Lazzaro, V., 2001. Dopamine dependency of oscillations between subthalamic nucleus and pallidum in parkinson's disease. J. Neurosci. 21, 1033–1038.
- Brown, P., Williams, D., 2005. Basal ganglia local field potential activity: character and functional significance in the human. Clin. Neurophysiol. 116, 2510–2519.
- Burns, B. D., 1951. Some properties of isolated cerebral cortex in the unanaesthetized cat. J. Physiol. 112, 156.
- Chen, M., Guo, D., Li, M., Ma, T., Wu, S., Ma, J., Cui, Y., Xia, Y., Xu, P., Yao, D., 2015. Critical roles of the direct gabaergic pallido-cortical pathway in controlling absence seizures. PLoS Comput. Biol. 11, e1004539.
- Chen, M., Guo, D., Wang, T., Jing, W., Xia, Y., Xu, P., Luo, C., Valdes-Sosa, P. A., Yao, D., 2014. Bidirectional control of absence seizures by the basal ganglia: a computational evidence. PLoS Comput. Biol. 10, e1003495.
- Chervin, R., Pierce, P., Connors, B., 1988. Periodicity and directionality in the propagation of epileptiform discharges across neocortex. J. Neurophysiol. 60, 1695– 1713.
- Christensen, J. A., Nikolic, M., Warby, S. C., Koch, H., Zoetmulder, M., Frandsen, R., Moghadam, K. K., Sorensen, H. B., Mignot, E., Jennum, P. J., 2015. Sleep spindle alterations in patients with parkinson's disease. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 9, 233.
- Ciumas, C., Savic, I., 2006. Structural changes in patients with primary generalized tonic and clonic seizures. Neurology 67 (4), 683–686.
- Comella, C. L., 2007. Sleep disorders in Parkinson's disease: an overview. Movement Disorders 22, S367–S373.
- Danober, L., Deransart, C., Depaulis, A., Vergnes, M., Marescaux, C., 1998. Pathophysiological mechanisms of genetic absence epilepsy in the rat. Prog. Neurobiol. 55, 27–57.
- De Bruin, N., Van Luijtelaar, E., Jansen, S., Cools, A., Ellenbroek, B., 2000. Dopamine characteristics in different rat genotypes: the relation to absence epilepsy. Neurosci. Res. 38, 165–173.

- Deco, G., Jirsa, V. K., Robinson, P. A., Breakspear, M., Friston, K., 2008. The dynamic brain: from spiking neurons to neural mass and cortical fields. PLoS Comput. Biol. 4, e1000092:1–35.
- DeLong, M. R., 1990. Primate models of movement disorders of basal ganglia origin. Trends Neurosci. 13, 281–285.
- Deransart, C., Riban, V., Le, B.-T., Marescaux, C., Depaulis, A., 2000. Dopamine in the striatum modulates seizures in a genetic model of absence epilepsy in the rat. Neurosci. 100, 335–344.
- Deransart, C., Vercueil, L., Marescaux, C., Depaulis, A., 1998. The role of basal ganglia in the control of generalized absence seizures. Epilepsy research 32, 213– 223.
- Dostrovsky, J., Levy, R., Wu, J., Hutchison, W., Tasker, R., Lozano, A., 2000. Microstimulation-induced inhibition of neuronal firing in human globus pallidus. J. Neurophysiol. 84, 570–574.
- Dybdal, D., Gale, K., 2000. Postural and anticonvulsant effects of inhibition of the rat subthalamic nucleus. J. Neurosci. 20, 6728–6733.
- Ehringer, H., Hornykiewicz, O., 1960. Verteilung von Noradrenalin und Dopamin (3-Hydroxytyramin) im Gehirn des Menschen und ihr Verhalten bei Erkrankungen des extrapyramidalen Systems. Klinische Wochenschrift 38, 1236–1239.
- Fahn, S., 1982. Fluctuations of disability in Parkinson's disease: pathophysiology. Neurol. 2, 123–145.
- Ferenets, R., Lipping, T., Suominen, P., Turunen, J., Puumala, P., Jantti, V., Himanen, S.-L., Huotari, A.-M., 2006. Comparison of the properties of EEG spindles in sleep and propofol anesthesia. In: Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, 2006. EMBS'06. 28th Annual International Conference of the IEEE. IEEE, pp. 6356–6359.
- Freeman, W. J., 1975. Mass action in the nervous system. Academic Press, New York.

- Gao, W.-J., Goldman-Rakic, P. S., 2003. Selective modulation of excitatory and inhibitory microcircuits by dopamine. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 100, 2836–2841.
- Gaspar, P., Stepniewska, I., Kaas, J. H., 1992. Topography and collateralization of the dopaminergic projections to motor and lateral prefrontal cortex in owl monkeys. J. Comp. Neurol. 325, 1–21.
- Gerfen, C. R., 2000. Molecular effects of dopamine on striatal-projection pathways. Trends Neurosci. 23, S64–S70.
- Golomb, D., Amitai, Y., 1997. Propagating neuronal discharges in neocortical slices: computational and experimental study. J. Neurophysiol. 78, 1199–1211.
- Gulledge, A., Jaffe, D. B., 2001. Multiple effects of dopamine on layer v pyramidal cell excitability in rat prefrontal cortex. J. Neurophysiol. 86, 586–595.
- Hu, B., Guo, D., Wang, Q., 2015. Control of absence seizures induced by the pathways connected to srn in corticothalamic system. Cognitive neurodynamics 9, 279–289.
- Hu, B., Wang, Q., 2015. Controlling absence seizures by deep brain stimulus applied on substantia nigra pars reticulata and cortex. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 80, 13–23.
- Humphries, M. D., Stewart, R. D., Gurney, K. N., 2006. A physiologically plausible model of action selection and oscillatory activity in the basal ganglia. J. Neurosci. 26, 12921–12942.
- Jankovic, J., Tolosa, E., 2002. Parkinson's disease and movement disorders. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Jenkins, I., Fernandez, W., Playford, E., Lees, A., Frackowiak, R., Passingham, R., Brooks, D., 1992. Impaired activation of the supplementary motor area in parkinson's disease is reversed when akinesia is treated with apomorphine. Ann. Neurol. 32, 749–757.
- Jiang, H.-K., McGinty, J., Hong, J., 1990. Differential modulation of striatonigral dynorphin and enkephalin by dopamine receptor subtypes. Brain Res. 507, 57–64.

- Jirsa, V. K., Haken, H., 1996. Field theory of electromagnetic brain activity. Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 960–963.
- Jirsa, V. K., Haken, H., 1997. A derivation of a macroscopic field theory of the brain from the quasimicroscopic neural dynamics. Physica D 99, 503–516.
- Kandel, E. R., Schwartz, J. H., Jessell, T. M., 1991. Principles of neural science. Appleton & Lange.
- Kita, H., Kita, T., 2011. Cortical stimulation evokes abnormal responses in the dopamine-depleted rat basal ganglia. J. Neurosci. 31, 10311–10322.
- Kühn, A. A., Trottenberg, T., Kivi, A., Kupsch, A., Schneider, G.-H., Brown, P., 2005. The relationship between local field potential and neuronal discharge in the subthalamic nucleus of patients with Parkinson's disease. Exp. Neurol. 194, 212–220.
- Lado, F. A., Velíšek, L., Moshé, S. L., 2003. The effect of electrical stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus on seizures is frequency dependent. Epilepsia 44 (2), 157– 164.
- Latreille, V., Carrier, J., Lafortune, M., Postuma, R. B., Bertrand, J.-A., Panisset, M., Chouinard, S., Gagnon, J.-F., 2015. Sleep spindles in Parkinson's disease may predict the development of dementia. Neurobiol. Aging 36, 1083–1090.
- Levy, R., Ashby, P., Hutchison, W. D., Lang, A. E., Lozano, A. M., Dostrovsky, J. O., 2002a. Dependence of subthalamic nucleus oscillations on movement and dopamine in Parkinson's disease. Brain 125, 1196– 1209.
- Levy, R., Hutchison, W. D., Lozano, A. M., Dostrovsky, J. O., 2002b. Synchronized neuronal discharge in the basal ganglia of parkinsonian patients is limited to oscillatory activity. J. Neurosci. 22, 2855–2861.
- Liley, D., Bojak, I., 2005. Understanding the transition to seizure by modeling the epileptiform activity of general anesthetic agents. J. Clin. Neurophysiol. 22, 300–313.
- Lopes da Silva, F., Hoeks, A., Smits, H., Zetterberg, L., 1974. Model of brain rhythmic activity. Biol. Cybern. 15, 27–37.

- Luo, C., Xia, Y., Guo, Z. W., Zhou, D., 2011. Abnormal basal ganglia functional connectivity in idiopathic generalized epilepsy. J. Electronic Sci. Tech. 9 (3), 278– 284.
- Marsden, C., Parkes, J., Quinn, N., 1982. Fluctuations of disability in Parkinson's disease: clinical aspects. Mov. Disorders 2, 96–122.
- Marsden, J., Limousin-Dowsey, P., Ashby, P., Pollak, P., Brown, P., 2001. Subthalamic nucleus, sensorimotor cortex and muscle interrelationships in Parkinson's disease. Brain 124, 378–388.
- Martinu, K., Degroot, C., Madjar, C., Strafella, A., Monchi, O., 2012. Levodopa influences striatal activity but does not affect cortical hyper-activity in Parkinson's disease. Eur. J. Neurosci. 35, 572–583.
- Mehler-Wex, C., Riederer, P., Gerlach, M., 2006. Dopaminergic dysbalance in distinct basal ganglia neurocircuits: implications for the pathophysiology of parkinson's disease, schizophrenia and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Neurotox. Res. 10, 167–179.
- Midzianovskaia, I., Kuznetsova, G., Coenen, A., Spiridonov, A., Van Luijtelaar, E., 2001. Electrophysiological and pharmacological characteristics of two types of spike-wave discharges in wag/rij rats. Brain Res. 911, 62–70.
- Molnar, G. F., Pilliar, A., Lozano, A. M., Dostrovsky, J. O., 2005. Differences in neuronal firing rates in pallidal and cerebellar receiving areas of thalamus in patients with Parkinson's disease, essential tremor, and pain. J. Neurophysiol. 93, 3094–3101.
- Monchi, O., Petrides, M., Doyon, J., Postuma, R. B., Worsley, K., Dagher, A., 2004. Neural bases of setshifting deficits in Parkinson's disease. J. Neurosci. 24, 702–710.
- Monchi, O., Petrides, M., Mejia-Constain, B., Strafella, A. P., 2007. Cortical activity in Parkinson's disease during executive processing depends on striatal involvement. Brain 130, 233–244.

- Morita, A., Kamei, S., Serizawa, K., Mizutani, T., 2009. The relationship between slowing eegs and the progression of parkinson's disease. J. Clin. Neurophysiol. 26, 426–429.
- Nersesyan, H., Hyder, F., Rothman, D. L., Blumenfeld, H., 2004. Dynamic fMRI and EEG recordings during spike-wave seizures and generalized tonic-clonic seizures in WAG/Rij rats. J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab. 24 (6), 589–599, cited by: 140; All Open Access, Bronze Open Access.
- Nishibayashi, H., Ogura, M., Kakishita, K., Tanaka, S., Tachibana, Y., Nambu, A., Kita, H., Itakura, T., 2011. Cortically evoked responses of human pallidal neurons recorded during stereotactic neurosurgery. Movement Disorders 26, 469–476.
- Noroozbabaee, L., 2016. Theoretical and experimental investigation of anaesthetic effects in the brain. University of Waikato Ph.D. thesis.
- Nunez, P. L., 1974. Wave-like properties of the alpha rhythm. IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng. 21, 473–482.
- Nunez, P. L., 1995. Neocortical Dynamics and Human EEG Rhythms. Oxford Uni. Press, Oxford.
- Nunez, P. L., Srinivasan, R., 2006. Electric fields of the brain: The neurophysics of EEG. Oxford Uni. Press.
- Parent, A., Cicchetti, F., 1998. The current model of basal ganglia organization under scrutiny. Movement disorders 13, 199–202.
- Parent, A., Hazrati, L.-N., 1995a. Functional anatomy of the basal ganglia. I. The cortico-basal ganglia-thalamocortical loop. Brain Res. Rev. 20, 91–127.
- Parent, A., Hazrati, L.-N., 1995b. Functional anatomy of the basal ganglia. II. The place of subthalamic nucleus and external pallidium in basal ganglia circuitry. Brain Res. Rev. 20, 128–154.
- Plenz, D., Kital, S. T., 1999. A basal ganglia pacemaker formed by the subthalamic nucleus and external globus pallidus. Nature 400, 677–682.

- Rennie, C. J., Robinson, P. A., Wright, J. J., 1999. Effects of local feedback on dispersion of electrical waves in the cerebral cortex. Phys. Rev. E 59, 3320–3329.
- Rennie, C. J., Robinson, P. A., Wright, J. J., 2000. Mechanisms of cortical electrical activity and emergence of gamma rhythm. J. Theor. Biol. 205, 17–35.
- Ridding, M. C., Rothwell, J. C., Inzelberg, R., 1995. Changes in excitability of motor cortical circuitry in patients with Parkinson's disease. Ann. Neurol. 37, 181– 188.
- Rivlin-Etzion, M., Marmor, O., Heimer, G., Raz, A., Nini, A., Bergman, H., 2006. Basal ganglia oscillations and pathophysiology of movement disorders. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 16, 629–637.
- Roberts, J. A., Robinson, P. A., 2008. Modeling absence seizure dynamics: implications for basic mechanisms and measurement of thalamocortical and corticothalamic latencies. J. Theor. Biol. 253, 189–201.
- Roberts, J. A., Robinson, P. A., 2012. Corticothalamic dynamics: Structure of parameter space, spectra, instabilities, and reduced model. Phys. Rev. E 85, 011910.
- Robinson, P. A., Rennie, C. J., Rowe, D. L., 2002. Dynamics of large-scale brain activity in normal arousal states and epileptic seizures. Phys. Rev. E 65, 041924.
- Robinson, P. A., Rennie, C. J., Rowe, D. L., O'Connor, S. C., 2004. Estimation of multiscale neurophysiologic parameters by electroencephalographic means. Hum. Brain Mapp. 23, 53–72.
- Robinson, P. A., Rennie, C. J., Rowe, D. L., O'Connor, S. C., Gordon, E., 2005. Multiscale brain modelling. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B 360, 1043–1050.
- Robinson, P. A., Rennie, C. J., Wright, J. J., 1997. Propagation and stability of waves of electrical activity in the cerebral cortex. Phys. Rev. E 56, 826–840.
- Robinson, P. A., Rennie, C. J., Wright, J. J., Bahramali, H., Gordon, E., Rowe, D. L., 2001. Prediction of electroencephalographic spectra from neurophysiology. Phys. Rev. E 63, 021903.

- Robinson, P. A., Rennie, C. J., Wright, J. J., Bourke, P. D., 1998. Steady states and global dynamics of electrical activity in the cerebral cortex. Phys. Rev. E 58, 3351– 3357.
- Rowe, D. L., Robinson, P. A., Rennie, C. J., 2004. Estimation of neurophysiological parameters from the waking EEG using a biophysical model of brain dynamics. J. Theor. Biol. 231, 413–433.
- Smirnov, D., Barnikol, U., Barnikol, T., Bezruchko, B., Hauptmann, C., Bührle, C., Maarouf, M., Sturm, V., Freund, H.-J., Tass, P., 2008. The generation of parkinsonian tremor as revealed by directional coupling analysis. E.P.L. 83, 20003.
- Smith, Y., Beyan, M., Shink, E., Bolam, J., 1998. Microcircuitry of the direct and indirect pathways of the basal ganglia. Neurosci. 86, 353–388.
- Soikkeli, R., Partanen, J., Soininen, H., Pääkkönen, A., Riekkinen, P., 1991. Slowing of eeg in parkinson's disease. Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol. 79, 159– 165.
- Srinivasan, R., Nunez, P. L., Tucker, D. M., Silberstein, R. B., Cadusch, P. J., 1996. Spatial sampling and filtering of EEG with spline laplacians to estimate cortical potentials. Brain Topography 8, 355–366.
- Stefan, H., Snead, O. C., Engel, J., 1997. Absence seizures. Epilepsy: A Comprehensive Textbook. Lippincott–Raven, Philadelphia.
- Stern, M., Roffwarg, H., Duvoisin, R., 1968. The parkinsonian tremor in sleep. J. Nerv. Ment. Dis. 147, 202– 210.
- Steyn-Ross, M. L., Steyn-Ross, D. A., Sleigh, J. W., 2004. Modelling general anaesthesia as a first-order phase transition in the cortex. Progress in biophysics and molecular biology 85, 369–385.
- Tang, J. K., Moro, E., Lozano, A. M., Lang, A. E., Hutchison, W. D., Mahant, N., Dostrovsky, J. O., 2005. Firing rates of pallidal neurons are similar in Huntington's and Parkinson's disease patients. Exp. Brain Res. 166, 230– 236.

- Tass, P., Smirnov, D., Karavaev, A., Barnikol, U., Barnikol, T., Adamchic, I., Hauptmann, C., Pawelcyzk, N., Maarouf, M., Sturm, V., et al., 2010. The causal relationship between subcortical local field potential oscillations and parkinsonian resting tremor. J. Neural Eng. 7, 016009.
- Terman, D., Rubin, J. E., Yew, A. C., Wilson, C. J., 2002. Activity patterns in a model for the subthalamopallidal network of the basal ganglia. J. Neurosci. 22, 2963– 2976.
- Thurley, K., Walter, S., Hans-Rudolf, L., 2008. Dopamine increases the gain of the input-output response of rat prefrontal pyramidal neurons. J. Neurophysiol. 99, 2985–2997.
- Timmermann, L., Gross, J., Dirks, M., Volkmann, J., Freund, H.-J., Schnitzler, A., 2003. The cerebral oscillatory network of parkinsonian resting tremor. Brain 126, 199–212.
- van Albada, S. J., Gray, R. T., Drysdale, P. M., Robinson, P. A., 2009. Mean-field modeling of the basal gangliathalamocortical system. II. Dynamics of parkinsonian oscillations. J. Theor. Biol. 257, 664–688.
- van Albada, S. J., Robinson, P. A., 2009. Mean-field modeling of the basal ganglia-thalamocortical system. I. Firing rates in healthy and parkinsonian states. J. Theor. Biol. 257, 642–663.
- Velíšková, J., Velšek, L., Moshé, S. L., 1996. Subthalamic nucleus: a new anticonvulsant site in the brain. Neurorep. 7 (11), 1786–1788.
- Velíšek, L., Velíšková, J., Giorgi, F. S., Moshé, S. L., 2006. Sex-specific control of flurothyl-induced tonic–clonic seizures by the substantia nigra pars reticulata during development. Exp. Neurol. 201 (1), 203– 211.
- Wang, S.-Y., Aziz, T. Z., Stein, J. F., Liu, X., 2005. Timefrequency analysis of transient neuromuscular events: Dynamic changes in activity of the subthalamic nucleus and forearm muscles related to the intermittent resting tremor. J. Neurosci. Methods 145, 151–158.

- Wendling, F., Bartolomei, F., Bellanger, J., Chauvel, P., 2001. Interpretation of interdependencies in epileptic signals using a macroscopic physiological model of the eeg. Clin. Neurophysiol. 112, 1201–1218.
- Wendling, F., Bellanger, J.-J., Bartolomei, F., Chauvel, P., 2000. Relevance of nonlinear lumped-parameter models in the analysis of depth-EEG epileptic signals. Biol. Cybern. 83, 367–378.
- Williams, D., Tijssen, M., van Bruggen, G., Bosch, A., Insola, A., Di Lazzaro, V., Mazzone, P., Oliviero, A., Quartarone, A., Speelman, H., et al., 2002. Dopaminedependent changes in the functional connectivity between basal ganglia and cerebral cortex in humans. Brain 125, 1558–1569.
- Williams, M. S., Goldman-Rakic, P. S., 1993. Characterization of the dopaminergic innervation of the primate frontal cortex using a dopamine-specific antibody. Cereb. Cortex 3, 199–222.
- Wilson, H. R., Cowan, J. D., 1972. Excitatory and inhibitory interactions in localized populations of model neurons. Biophys. J. 12, 1.
- Wilson, H. R., Cowan, J. D., 1973. A mathematical theory of the functional dynamics of cortical and thalamic nervous tissue. Kybernetik 13, 55–80.
- Wolter, S., Friedel, C., Böhler, K., Hartmann, U., Kox, W. J., Hensel, M., 2006. Presence of 14Hz spindle oscillations in the human EEG during deep anesthesia. Clin. Neurophysiol. 117, 157–168.
- Wright, J., Liley, D., 1996. Dynamics of the brain at global and microscopic scales: Neural networks and the EEG. Behav. Brain Sci. 19, 285–295.