

Keeping Energy-Neutral Devices Operational: a Coherent Massive Beamforming Approach

Jarne Van Mulders*, Bert Cox*, Benjamin J. B. Deutschmann†, Gilles Callebaut*,
Lieven de Strycker* and Liesbet Van der Perre*

**KU Leuven*, Belgium, jarne.vanmulders@kuleuven.be, †*Graz University of Technology*, Austria

Abstract—Keeping the batteries on the shelf: this is the holy grail for low-cost Internet of Things (IoT) nodes. In this paper we study the potential of radio frequency (RF)-based wireless power transfer implementing coherent beamforming with many antennas to realize this ambitious target. We optimize the deployment of the antennas to charge electronic shelf labels (ESLs), considering actual regulatory constraints. The results confirm the feasibility to create power spots that are sufficient to keep the high density of battery-less devices operational.

Index Terms—energy neutral devices, electronic shelf labels, distributed beamforming, RF-based WPT

I. INTRODUCTION

Energy neutral (EN) devices can be defined [1] as active or passive devices for which the energy they are able to harvest from their environment (E_{in}) is at least as large as the energy they need for their consumption operations (E_{cons}). They are of great interest for many applications as they can theoretically remain operational forever without batteries or power plug. RF-based wireless power transfer (WPT) presents an attractive offer to remotely charge these devices [2]. However, the efficiency of conventional systems is very low, limiting practical applications within actual regulatory RF constraints. Distributed large antenna systems realizing beam focusing have been proposed to increase the efficiency of RF-based power transfer drastically [3]. In particular, physically large or distributed antenna infrastructures hold great potential for efficient yet regulatory-compliant power transfer that is achieved through operation in the array near field [4]–[6].

Still, the question remains whether the efficiency improvements can suffice to power EN devices in realistic use cases where the regulatory constraints need to be adhered to, and potentially a high density of devices is present. In this paper, we study the feasibility of the approach for a representative case of electronic shelf labels (ESLs). As it is evident from basic link budget considerations that the antennas have a crucial impact on the received power, we study how many antennas are required, and where and how to deploy them considering their radiation pattern. In what follows, we assume that general prerequisites such as the initial access of ESLs and phase coherence between different transmitters are fulfilled. This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we present the system set-up and methodology. The results are

provided in Section III. The conclusions are summarized and directions for future work are suggested in Section IV.




Fig. 1. 3D representation of a supermarket aisle with 351 antennas transmitting on 868 MHz. The orange digits represent the antenna numbering.

II. SYSTEM DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

Coherent massive beamforming holds a potential for spectacular efficient improvements in wireless communication and power transfer [7]. Key parameters in a WPT system are the necessary power density (mW/m^2), the device density (per m^2), the required DC-power at the receiver (mW) and the carrier frequency of the wireless link (GHz). A representative challenging use case scenario is found in retail, e.g., in supermarkets, where ESLs could be wirelessly powered. The goal of this research is to define and estimate the required transmit power radiated by antennas to power the ESLs, so they can perform a screen update twice a day. Additionally, different RF energy provisioning methods are compared to test the hypothesis that coherent massive beamforming is the most efficient way to keep the batteries on the shelf.

A. Deployment Scenario

In this paper we consider a supermarket use case with up to 600 ESLs in an aisle. To clarify the study, we focus on a single aisle with three antenna arrays that can power the EN devices. Fig. 1 shows a 3D sketch of the shop aisle with dimensions 20 m by 4.4 m and 2.5 m high cabinets with 1 m wide shelves. In the depicted aisle, the three antenna arrays, with $M \lambda/2$ spaced antennas, are deployed above the cabinets and shown

Reported results from the REINDEER project, funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 RIA programme grant agreement No. 101013425.

in green. Using an 868 MHz carrier frequency, $M = 117$ antennas in each array can be placed in the 20 m-long aisle. In total, we consider $L = 3M = 351$ available antennas in this particular case for one aisle. Together with ESL energy requirements described in Section II-B, an estimate of the transmit power of each antenna can be determined. We consider limitations in radiated power by complying with the harmonised European standard concerning the radio frequency identification (RFID) equipment [8].

B. Energy Requirements

The daily required DC energy is related to the ESL refresh rate and the energy to perform an ESL screen update. The largest energy consumer in an ESL device is the E-Ink Raw Display. In [9], it was stated that it takes 450 mJ ($15 \text{ s} \times 30 \text{ mW}$) to update the display. Additional energy ($\sim 50 \text{ mJ}$) is needed to power the microcontroller (MCU) and demodulate the downlink information signal. In total, 500 mJ is required for one ESL screen update. Assuming this happens twice a day, approximately 1 Ws/day/ESL of energy should be delivered. This corresponds to a constant net power of $12 \mu\text{W}$. Typically, ESLs are installed at a density of 20 ESLs/m². Consequently, they together necessitate a power density of 0.24 mW/m^2 .

It may be noted that each ESL should have an energy buffer to store enough energy to support a single ESL update. Capacitors are most suited in this scenario. Suppose the harvester can boost the voltage with a maximum power point tracking (MPPT) boost converter to 5 V, then the buffer capacitor will have to be larger than 40 mF to store the 0.5 J of energy. The self-discharge of the energy buffer could also be included in the estimations, especially when the energy buffer is recharged over a very long period of time. For the remainder of this paper we neglect the self-discharge of the energy buffer since this depends on the selected technology.

To calculate the necessary input RF power level from the required ESL DC energy, the harvester efficiency should be determined. Since there are many possible implementations of RF harvesters [10] with variable efficiencies over the full input power range, the efficiency level is assumed to be constant for simplicity reasons. In the sequel, a harvester is assumed to have an efficiency of only 30%. Assuming that a constant net power of $12 \mu\text{W}$ should be received and taking into account losses in the harvester conversion, there should continuously be at least -14 dBm RF input power, an input level that is above the input sensitivity of most harvesters. If the 600 ESL tags are powered sequentially, the charge time is limited to 72 s to store 500 mJ of energy in the buffer. This corresponds to requiring 7 mW of power to be received during each time period. Assuming a harvester efficiency of 30%, the received RF power should be 23 mW or approximately 14 dBm.

In the subsequent sections, we focus on the required transmit energy for charging the ESL buffers in array near field scenarios. In this feasibility study, we consider various charging options, as explained in Section II-C. The results of this analysis are obtained through calculations aimed at quickly estimating the necessary transmit powers for the 351

antennas. A further fine-tuned analysis is conducted through a simulation framework that uses a geometry-based spherical wavefront channel model that includes the line-of-sight (LoS) and represents specular multipath components (SMCs) at large planar surfaces by image sources. For this purpose, we have reformulated the Friis transmission equation in terms of power wave amplitudes, and thus the entries of the channel vector as scattering parameters (S-parameters). Using vector network analyzer (VNA) measurements, we have verified that our channel model is in close agreement with real-life measurements and allows us to simulate received signal powers in a physically correct manner [5].

C. Coherent Massive Beamforming to Boost Efficiency

A general claim for RF-based WPT is the energy efficiency gain MISO systems have to the detriment of higher initial, installation and maintenance costs. The question is if this still stands for dense scenarios such as in the ESLs case and if there is a significant benefit when using coherent massive beamforming. Three provisioning options are shortly discussed here, two with single-input single-output (SISO) operation and two with multiple-input single-output (MISO). These options require different levels of channel state information (CSI) [11], where a better knowledge of the MISO channel $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{C}^L$ allows to achieve higher performance.

1) *Option 1, Multiple Radio Elements with a Single Antenna:* Radio elements are distributed above the aisle where sequentially each ESL receiver is powered solely by the closest radio element. A coarse-grained localisation system of the ESLs can provide the information to select for each receiver the corresponding transmitting radio element. In our further discussion, specifically two of the 600 ESLs are considered, the closest and furthest. We acknowledge that due to high path losses, a sequential SISO method is not feasible with a high number of EN devices. Still, the results from this method serve as a baseline for what is possible with single radio elements. In addition, there is a trade-off between taking the closest transmit antenna or a better located transmit antenna due to more predominant losses related to the antenna radiation patterns. A post-processing step involving a sweep over all transmit antennas may therefore be required, wherein the path loss for each radio element (RE) to the corresponding ESL could be estimated. Apart from choosing the closest located antenna, SISO operation does not assume knowledge of the channel and is hence regarded as a *CSI-free* method.

2) *Option 2, Non-coherent transmission by multiple distributed radio elements:* A single radio element can have multiple antennas in this scenario. Several unsynchronized radio elements aim to deliver a quasi-uniform power density throughout the aisle to avoid blind spots, making sure that each ESL receives sufficient energy to perform the necessary updates. Interference of the RF signals coming from the transmitters will occur randomly. (1) approximates the expected receive power for a non-coherent system $\mathbb{E}\{P_{rx,nc}\}$ with L

TABLE I
ESTIMATED TOTAL REQUIRED TRANSMIT POWER TO ENERGIZE THE CLOSEST OR FURTHEST OUT OF 600 ESLs FOR THE SISO, MISO NON-COHERENT AND MISO COHERENT OPTIONS.

		SISO				MISO							
		Calculated		Simulated		Non Coherent				Coherent			
Antenna	ESL- Location	$P_{tx,t}$ [dBm]	η [%]	$P_{tx,t}$ [dBm]	η [%]	$P_{tx,t}$ [dBm]	η [%]	$P_{tx,t}$ [dBm]	η [%]	$P_{tx,t}$ [dBm]	η [%]	$P_{tx,t}$ [dBm]	η [%]
Dipole	Closest	49.9	0.025	45.7	0.07	27.8	0.007	27.23	0.008	28.4	3.7	29.8	2.62
Dipole	Furthest	60.9	0.0002	52.2	0.02	34.8	0.001	34.48	0.001	35.6	0.7	37.1	0.49
Patch	Closest	46.8	0.05	46.5	0.06	23.4	0.018	23.65	0.017	21	20.0	26.2	5.98
Patch	Furthest	47.2	0.05	57.6	0.004	26.7	0.008	27.04	0.008	23.8	10.5	29.6	2.74

number of antennas and a total transmit power of $P_{tx,t}$.

$$\mathbb{E}\{P_{rx,nc}\} = \sum_{l=1}^L P_{tx,l} G_{tx,l}(\theta_l, \phi_l) G_{rx}(\theta_l, \phi_l) \left(\frac{\lambda}{4\pi d_l}\right)^2 \quad (1)$$

We have shown that random beamforming (random channel amplitudes and phases), a CSI-free method, performs on average no more efficient than using an equivalent SISO system, and thus leverages no array gain [5]. In this work we employ *uniform* transmit powers $P_{tx,i} = P_{tx,j} = \frac{P_{tx,t}}{L} \forall i, j \in \{1 \dots L\}$, with $P_{tx,t}$ being the total transmit power of all L antennas, which is likewise a CSI-free method that leverages no array gain. This stands in contrast to non-coherent beamforming methods that rely on *partial CSI* (e.g., channel magnitudes $|h_l|$) and leverage some array gain [12]. The latter part of (1) represents the simulated path loss model based on the Friis transmission equation. $G_{tx,l}$ and G_{rx} are respectively the transmit and receive antenna gain and θ_l and ϕ_l the angles related to the incident RF beam that differs for each transmit antenna location. d_l represents the Euclidean distance between the transmit antenna and the EN device. We here neglect potential losses due to polarization mismatch. Referring back to the ESL case, all devices must receive a power level above the threshold of -14 dBm to make the non-coherent solution achievable.

3) *Option 3: Coherent transmission by multiple distributed transmitters*: In this scenario radio elements can generate power spots in the near field [4] at the receiver location. In this option, all radio elements should be synchronized in time, phase, and frequency. This extra complexity can be overcome by reciprocity based calibration of the RF front-ends [12]. (2) represents the receive power estimation $P_{rx,c}$ with perfect constructive combination at the location of the EN device.

$$P_{rx,c} = \left(\sum_{l=1}^L \sqrt{P_{tx,l} G_{tx,l}(\theta_l, \phi_l) G_{rx}(\theta_l, \phi_l)} \left(\frac{\lambda}{4\pi d_l}\right)^2 \right)^2 \quad (2)$$

The fully coherent MISO operation assumes *perfect* knowledge of the channel vectors \mathbf{h} in amplitude and phase and is hence regarded as a method demanding *full CSI*. Similar to the SISO case, each ESL is required to receive a power level of 14 dBm for 72 s to replenish the ESL buffer with energy. Subsequently, the power spot will be swept across all 600 ESLs locations.

III. RESULTS

In the supermarket aisle feasibility study, two representative ESL locations are considered. The worst-located ESL is situated at a corner side, the best-located ESL can be found in a central location, both depicted respectively in blue and in red in Fig. 1. We determined the gain of the antennas based on the transmit antenna locations and the ESL placements. Two well-known antenna designs are considered: a quasi-omnidirectional dipole and a directional patch antenna. Their radiation patterns in combination with the changing angle of incidence for each transmit-receiver pair change the linear gain. Note that the coupling between the antennas, which may be considerable in the case of dipoles, is not considered. Table I provides two parameters for the two types of antennas at the two ESL locations: (i) the total required transmit power ($P_{tx,t}$) to receive the 14 dBm input power at the ESL in case of the SISO and coherent MISO massive beamforming in case of 600 ESLs, and -14 dBm for the non-coherent case. The relation between the total transmit power ($P_{tx,t}$) and the transmit power of each individual antenna ($P_{tx,l}$) is given by

$$P_{tx,t} = P_{tx,l} + 10 \log(L), \quad (3)$$

where L represents the number of antennas and $P_{tx,l}$ the transmit power per antenna. Through this formula, an estimate of the required power that the hardware must support can be derived, facilitating a more precise selection of the power amplifier (PA) [10]. (ii) the efficiency or inverse path loss of the system, which is the ratio between the received power and the total transmitted power. The two parameters are calculated in idealised conditions, assuming LoS and no multi-path reflections. The simulated data was derived by utilizing historical channel measurements, as previously stated in the Section II-A. Furthermore, the influence of the shelves is taken into account by the simulation environment, in contrast to the calculated values where this was neglected. From Table I, the key takeaway is that the coherent MISO case has a higher efficiency whilst the total transmit power falls within the regulations [8]. In the non-coherent case, the expected uniform received power enforces the transmit power to be that of the furthest ESL. Therefore, the closest ESL is being greyed out. Comparing the MISO options with the SISO solution shows that increasing the number of simultaneously transmitting antennas reduces the total required transmit power drastically. Note that the efficiency in Table I is determined per ESL.

By creating a quasi-uniform field throughout the aisle, the approximated total efficiency will be around 600 times higher for this use case, and will end up higher compared to the SISO operation. Note that polarisation losses are not accounted for in this assessment, yet they could be easily added either by interpreting a specific deployment scenario or by simply assuming a 3 dB loss occurring when linearly polarized antenna(s) are used on one side of the link, and circularly polarized antenna(s) on the other side, as is commonly done in RFID.




Fig. 2. Evaluation of both SISO, non-coherent and coherent charging cases in relation to the number of ESLs within a aisle for the closest and furthest locations and with patch antennas.

Fig. 2 shows the relation between the required total antenna array transmit power and the number of ESLs for the three options when patch antennas are used. In the coherent MISO case, moving along the x -axis means that a higher number of ESLs should be powered in the same amount of time. To fill the energy buffers, a higher total transmit power is required. For the non-coherent MISO option, a constant, quasi-uniform field is already present, meaning that adding ESLs to the aisles does not influence the charge time and thus transmit power. Depending on the amount of ESLs, the point where non-coherent MISO becomes more efficient than coherent massive beamforming lies at 1269 ESLs, worst case. Going from a SISO to a MISO scenario lowers the transmit power, with 30.9 dBm at the worst case scenario when patch antennas are used. The purple zone in Fig. 2 shows the point from where the maximum total transmit power violates the ETSI standard [8] in the 868 MHz band. We want to stress again that the SISO option solely serves as a baseline and is not feasible in the supermarket aisle scenario, as it infringes these regulations already from a low number of ESLs. The required power increases rapidly due to high path losses, leading to unrealistic total transmit powers. For the plotted number of ESLs, both MISO options lie within this regulation zone.

IV. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this study, a comparison between non-coherent quasi-uniform power density and coherent massive beamforming was conducted for a physically large antenna infrastructure in a practical supermarket aisle setup with 600 ESLs. The

feasibility of these methods was investigated by estimating the necessary transmit power levels of the 351 transmit antennas, considering the required power at the ESL with two screen updates per day, the harvester efficiency, the antenna radiation patterns, and channel models. It was demonstrated that SISO systems do not hold up in these use cases due to the high path losses leading to extensive transmit power levels exceeding regulations. Conversely, the results predict sufficiently high gains for both MISO operations to support several hundreds of ESLs with the proposed transmit antenna configuration. As the number of ESLs increases, a non-coherent system may even become more efficient than the coherent one (cf. [11]), although in the latter beamsharing, which was neglected in current analysis, will likely be present in reality as neighboring ESLs receive a portion of the power from the same focal point. Accounting for beamsharing and/or multi-beam transmission in future work can further improve the accuracy of the estimates and the total efficiency, potentially making the coherent approach more efficient for a larger quantity of ESLs. The time, frequency, and phase synchronization required in this coherent approach should not be underestimated. Feasibility will be further validated in the real-world Techtile testbed [12] located in Ghent, Belgium to apply the reciprocity-based beamforming approach and measure the non-coherent and coherent power levels. Additionally, the carrier frequency could be slightly increased to the 917.5 MHz frequency band, where the transmission power could be increased to 4 W.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Cox *et al.*, "Positioning Energy-Neutral Devices: Technological Status and Hybrid RF-Acoustic Experiments," *Future Internet*, vol. 14, no. 5, 2022.
- [2] S. Bi *et al.*, "Wireless powered communication: opportunities and challenges," *IEEE Comm. Mag.*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 117–125, 2015.
- [3] K. W. Choi *et al.*, "Distributed Wireless Power Transfer System for Internet of Things Devices," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 2657–2671, 2018.
- [4] O. L. A. López *et al.*, "Massive MIMO With Radio Stripes for Indoor Wireless Energy Transfer," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 21, no. 9, pp. 7088–7104, 2022.
- [5] B. J. B. Deutschmann *et al.*, "XL-MIMO Channel Modeling and Prediction for Wireless Power Transfer," in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Communications Workshops (ICC Workshops)*, 2023, pp. 1355–1361.
- [6] H. Zhang *et al.*, "6G Wireless Communications: From Far-Field Beam Steering to Near-Field Beam Focusing," *IEEE Comm. Mag.*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 72–77, 2023.
- [7] S. Kashyap *et al.*, "On the feasibility of wireless energy transfer using massive antenna arrays in Rician channels," in *International Workshop on Signal Processing Advances in Wireless Communications (SPAWC)*, 2015, pp. 46–50.
- [8] European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI), "EN 302 208," ETSI, ETSI Standard, Aug. 2020, Version 3.3.1.
- [9] Waveshare. "2.9inch E-Paper (B) E-Ink Raw Display." Visited on 2023-12-04. (2023), [Online]. Available: <https://www.waveshare.com/2.9inch-e-Paper-B.htm> (visited on 2023).
- [10] J. Van Mulders *et al.*, "Wireless power transfer: Systems, circuits, standards, and use cases," *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 15, 2022.
- [11] O. L. A. López *et al.*, "Statistical Analysis of Multiple Antenna Strategies for Wireless Energy Transfer," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 67, no. 10, pp. 7245–7262, 2019.
- [12] EU H2020 REINDEER project, "REsilient INteractive applications through hyper Diversity in Energy Efficient RadioWeaves technology (REINDEER) project - Deliverables," Public Deliverables, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://reindeer-project.eu/results-downloads/>.