

# Polynomial Lyapunov Functions and Invariant Sets from a New Hierarchy of Quadratic Lyapunov Functions for LTV Systems

Hassan Abdelraouf<sup>1</sup>, Eric Feron<sup>2</sup>, and Jeff S. Shamma<sup>3</sup>

**Abstract**—We introduce a new class of quadratic functions based on a hierarchy of linear time-varying (LTV) dynamical systems. These quadratic functions in the higher order space can be also seen as a non-homogeneous polynomial Lyapunov functions for the original system, i.e the first system in the hierarchy. These non-homogeneous polynomials are used to obtain accurate outer approximation for the reachable set given the initial condition and less conservative bounds for the impulse response peak of linear, possibly time-varying systems. In addition, we pose an extension to the presented approach to construct invariant sets that are not necessarily Lyapunov functions. The introduced methods are based on elementary linear systems theory and offer very much flexibility in defining arbitrary polynomial Lyapunov functions and invariant sets for LTV systems.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Linear time-varying (LTV) systems appear in many applications such as changing the aerodynamic coefficients of the aircraft with the flight speed and altitude or changing the parameters of chemical plants or electrical circuits. In control theory, it is common to treat some nonlinear systems as LTV systems and linear switching systems by local linearization around a set of operating points [1]. Lyapunov functions are a widely used concept to tackle stability and related analyses for linear systems in control literature. For example, the stability of a linear time-invariant (LTI) system is equivalent to the existence of a quadratic Lyapunov function. However, the stability of LTV systems does not necessarily imply the existence of such a quadratic Lyapunov function, but it is equivalent to the existence of a polynomial homogeneous higher order Lyapunov function [2], [3].

Stability and control of switching systems have been widely studied [4]. In [5], polynomial, homogeneous Lyapunov functions for uncertain systems is shown to be equivalent to a quadratic Lyapunov function for a transformed system. Authors in [6], generalized this idea and defined a hierarchy of dynamical systems using a lifting procedure such that the quadratic Lyapunov functions for any system in the hierarchy can be used as a polynomial homogeneous Lyapunov function for the original system. Then, the search for homogeneous Lyapunov function for LTV systems can be recast as the search for a quadratic Lyapunov function in a related hierarchy. In this paper, we extend the contents of [6] and add more flexibility to the methods described therein

to consider polynomial, but not necessarily homogeneous Lyapunov functions as well. This extension is powerful in producing better analysis metrics for LTV systems such as less conservative outer approximation for the reachable set of states given the initial condition than the results introduced in [6]. An alternative approach in computing polynomial Lyapunov functions and various performance and robustness guarantees for switching linear system is Sum-of-Squares (SOS) optimization [7] in control systems [8]. SOS techniques cast the search for polynomial Lyapunov or Lyapunov-like functions as a convex feasibility problem for which many solvers were developed to solve [9].

Lyapunov-like functions are used to capture point-wise-in-time metrics for LTI systems such as peak norms. But, these metrics are captured with high conservatism [10], [11]. However, polynomial homogeneous Lyapunov-like functions are utilized to reduce this conservatism and get more accurate upper bounds [12], [13]. In this work, we use polynomial non-homogeneous Lyapunov-like functions to get more accurate upper bounds for the peak of the impulse response of LTV systems, moreover, these non-homogeneous polynomials are used to generate a worst case trajectory to accurately bound the peak norm from below as well. The work in this paper is motivated by the fact that someone with basic knowledge about linear systems theory and convex optimization tools can easily build the system hierarchy and produce useful performance analysis for LTV systems. Then, the contribution can be summarized by (1) introducing a new hierarchy of LTV systems where the quadratic Lyapunov function for the lifted system in any level can be used as a non-homogeneous Lyapunov function for the original system. (2) Using these non-homogeneous Lyapunov functions to get better approximations for the reachable sets compared to quadratic functions in [1] and homogeneous polynomials in [6]. (3) Using the hierarchy of LTV systems to obtain non-homogeneous polynomial invariant sets that are not necessarily Lyapunov functions.

## II. NOTATIONS

Denote the set of real numbers by  $\mathbb{R}$  and the set of non-negative real numbers by  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Denote by  $S_{++}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  the set of symmetric positive definite  $n \times n$  matrices. For  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $P \succ 0$  means that  $P \in S_{++}^n$  such that the quadratic form  $V(x) = x^T P x$  is positive for all nonzero  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The zero vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is denoted by  $0_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and the identity  $n \times n$  matrix is denoted by  $I_n$ . The convex hull of the set  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is denoted by  $\text{conv}(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . The vectorization of a matrix  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , with  $n$  columns denoted

<sup>1</sup> PhD candidate, Aerospace Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Illinois, USA, hassana4@illinois.edu.

<sup>2</sup> Professor, Department of Electrical Engineering, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal, KSA, eric.feron@kaust.edu.sa.

<sup>3</sup> Professor, Industrial and Enterprise Systems Engineering, Univversity of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Illinois, USA, jshamma@illinois.edu

by  $P_1, \dots, P_n$ , is  $\text{vec}(P) \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$  such that  $\text{vec}(P) = \begin{bmatrix} P_{11}^T & \dots & P_{nn}^T \end{bmatrix}^T$ . For a set of matrices  $A_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times n_i}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, p$ , we define  $\text{diag}(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_p)$  as

$$\text{diag}(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_p) = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & A_p \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

The *Kronecker product* of  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  and  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$  is denoted by  $A \otimes B \in \mathbb{R}^{np \times mq}$  which is defined as

$$A \otimes B := \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}B & \dots & a_{1m}B \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1}B & \dots & a_{nm}B \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where  $a_{ij}$  is the  $(i, j)$ -th entry of  $A$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $j = 1, \dots, m$ .  $(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = AC \otimes BD$  for  $A, B, C$  and  $D$  with proper dimensions is an important property of Kronecker product that is used in this note. Moreover,  $(A \otimes B)^T = A^T \otimes B^T$ . For an integer  $i \geq 2$ , the  $i^{\text{th}}$  Kronecker power of a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is denoted by  $\otimes^i A$  such that  $\otimes^1 A = A$  and  $\otimes^k A = A \otimes (\otimes^{k-1} A)$  for all  $k \geq 2$ .

### III. STABILITY OF LINEAR-TIME VARYING SYSTEMS

Consider the linear time-varying system

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x, \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad (3)$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the system state vector and  $A(t)$  evolves inside the set  $\text{conv}(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $\mathcal{M} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N\}$ . We assume that each mode  $\dot{x} = A_i x$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$  is asymptotically stable, i.e. the system states converge asymptotically to the origin starting from any initial condition  $x(0)$ . It is shown in [4] that the asymptotic stability of each switching mode does not necessarily imply the stability of the system (3) under arbitrary switching.

*Remark 1:* System (3) defines a class of LTV systems that are called linear switched systems under arbitrary switching as in [14] or linear differential inclusions as in [1]. Moreover, the time varying polytopic systems defined in [15, chapter 3] can be written in form (3). For example, consider the system

$$\dot{x} = A_0 + p_1(t)A_1 + p_2(t)A_2$$

where  $A_0, A_1, A_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  are given matrices and the uncertain parameters  $p_1(t), p_2(t) \in [-1, 1]$ . This system can be written as a LTV system in the form (3) for  $A(t) \in \text{conv}(\mathcal{M})$ , where

$$\mathcal{M} = \{A_0 + A_1 + A_2, \\ A_0 + A_1 - A_2, \\ A_0 - A_1 + A_2, \\ A_0 - A_1 - A_2\}.$$

In the context of Lyapunov stability theory, the system (3) is globally asymptotically stable if there exists a radially

unbounded function  $V : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} V(0) &= 0 \\ V(x) &> 0 \quad \text{for all nonzero } x \\ \dot{V}(x) &= \left\langle \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}, A_j x \right\rangle < 0 \quad \text{for all } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The system (3) is said to be quadratically stable when the Lyapunov function is quadratic in  $x$  such that  $V(x) = x^T P x$  where  $P \in \mathcal{S}_{++}^n$ . Then, the negative gradient condition in (4) becomes

$$PA_j + A_j^T P \prec 0 \quad \text{for all } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}. \quad (5)$$

The search for quadratic Lyapunov functions for (3) has some computational advantages compared to other stability analysis methods [16]. The Linear Matrix Inequality (LMI) (5) can be solved efficiently by many available semi-definite program solvers. If the system (3) is time invariant i.e.  $N = 1$ , then the stability is equivalent to the existence of a quadratic Lyapunov function, however, in the general setting  $N \geq 2$ , the system (3) can be stable, yet no quadratic Lyapunov function certifies its stability. This motivates the search for higher order polynomial Lyapunov functions. In [6], the authors provided tools to compute higher order, polynomial and homogeneous Lyapunov functions for (3). The *Lyapunov differential equation* for system (3) is

$$\dot{X} = A(t)X + XA(t)^T, \quad (6)$$

where  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . The differential equation (6) can be written as

$$\dot{\vec{X}} = \mathcal{A}(t)\vec{X} \quad (7)$$

where  $\vec{X} = \text{vec}(X)$ . In this case,  $\mathcal{A}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2 \times n^2}$  evolves in  $\text{conv}(\mathcal{M})$  with  $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_N\}$  and

$$\mathcal{A}_j = I_n \otimes A_j + A_j \otimes I_n \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, N. \quad (8)$$

From several prior works, it is shown that the system (7) is stable if and only if system (3) stable. Moreover, the formula (8) can be generalized to produce a hierarchy of dynamical systems with higher dimensions. Indeed, the system (3) is considered to be the first system, denoted  $H_1$ , in the hierarchy obtained by the recursion

$$H_i : \begin{cases} \dot{\xi}_i &= \mathcal{A}^i(t)\xi_i \\ \mathcal{A}^i(t) &\in \text{conv}(\mathcal{M}_i) \\ \mathcal{M}_i &= \{\mathcal{A}_1^i, \dots, \mathcal{A}_N^i\} \\ \mathcal{A}_j^i &= I_n \otimes \mathcal{A}_j^{i-1} + \mathcal{A}_j \otimes I_{n^{i-1}} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where,  $i \geq 2$ ,  $\xi_1 = x$  and  $\xi_i = \otimes^i x$ , so  $\xi_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n^i}$ . In [6], it is shown that the existence of a quadratic Lyapunov function for any system  $H_i$  in the hierarchy (9) implies the existence of a polynomial homogeneous Lyapunov function of order  $2i$  for the system (3). This leads the authors to develop a series of applications of such homogeneous Lyapunov functions for the analysis of LTV systems such as increasingly tight approximations of time domain input-output stability metrics and worst case system time response. In the next section, we complement this work by introducing a new hierarchy of LTV systems such that a quadratic Lyapunov function

for any system in the hierarchy can be considered as a non-homogeneous polynomial Lyapunov function for the original system.

#### IV. A NEW HIERARCHY OF DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS AND CORRESPONDING QUADRATIC LYAPUNOV FUNCTIONS

Motivated by the search for polynomial Lyapunov and Lyapunov-like functions for system (3) that are not necessarily homogeneous, we introduce a new hierarchy of dynamical systems where system (3) is the first system in the hierarchy and for  $i \geq 2$ ,

$$\tilde{H}_i : \begin{cases} \dot{\tilde{\xi}}_i &= \tilde{A}^i(t)\tilde{\xi}_i \\ \tilde{A}^i(t) &\in \text{conv}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_i) \\ \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_i &= \{\tilde{A}_1^i, \dots, \tilde{A}_N^i\} \\ \tilde{A}_j^i &= \text{diag}(\mathcal{A}_j^1, \mathcal{A}_j^2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_j^i) \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_j^k = I_n \otimes \mathcal{A}_j^{k-1} + A_j \otimes I_{n^{k-1}}$  for all  $k = 2, \dots, i$  and  $\mathcal{A}_j^1 = A_j$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, N$ . From the hierarchy (9),  $\xi_i = \otimes^i x$  for  $i \geq 2$  such that  $\xi_1 = x$ . Then, in this case,  $\tilde{\xi}_i = [\xi_1^T \ \xi_2^T \ \dots \ \xi_i^T]^T$  with dimension

$$\tilde{n}_i = n + n^2 + \dots + n^i = \frac{n(n^i - 1)}{(n - 1)}.$$

In essence, the systems defined by (10) are obtained by forming parallel concatenations of the systems defined by (9). We then consider the quadratic Lyapunov and Lyapunov-like functions for the system  $\tilde{H}_i$  which is called the lifted system of degree  $i$ .

*Theorem 1:* If system (3) is quadratically stable, then for every  $i \geq 2$ , there exists a quadratic Lyapunov function which proves the stability of  $\tilde{H}_i$  and if  $P_1$  satisfies (5), then  $\mathcal{P}_i = \text{diag}(P_1, \otimes^2 P_1, \dots, \otimes^i P_1)$  satisfies

$$P_i \tilde{A}_j^i + (\tilde{A}_j^i)^T P_i \leq 0 \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, N \quad (11)$$

for the system  $\tilde{H}_i$ .

*Proof:* Without loss of generality, let  $\mathcal{M}_1 = \{A\}$ . First, we prove that every subsystem  $\xi_k = \mathcal{A}_k \xi_k$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, i$  in  $\tilde{H}_i$  is quadratically stable such that  $P_k \mathcal{A}^k + (\mathcal{A}^k)^T P_k \leq 0$  and  $P_k = \otimes^k P_1$ . For  $k = 1$ , it is given that the subsystem  $\dot{x} = Ax$  is quadratically stable such that  $P_1 A + A^T P_1 \leq 0$ . Then for  $k \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P_k \mathcal{A}^k &= (P_1 \otimes P_{k-1})(I_n \otimes \mathcal{A}^{k-1} + A \otimes I_{n^{k-1}}) \\ &= P_1 \otimes P_{k-1} \mathcal{A}^{k-1} + P_1 A \otimes P_{k-1}, \\ (\mathcal{A}^k)^T P_k &= (I_n \otimes \mathcal{A}^{k-1} + A \otimes I_{n^{k-1}})^T (P_1 \otimes P_{k-1}) \\ &= P_1 \otimes (\mathcal{A}^{k-1})^T P_{k-1} + A^T P_1 \otimes P_{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} P_k \mathcal{A}^k + (\mathcal{A}^k)^T P_k &= \\ P_1 \otimes (P_{k-1} \mathcal{A}^{k-1} + (\mathcal{A}^{k-1})^T P_{k-1}) &+ \\ + (P_1 A + A^T P_1) \otimes P_{k-1} & \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

From Kroncker product properties, for two matrices  $L \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times l}$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_p, p = 1, \dots, l$  and  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ , with eigenvalues  $\mu_q, q = 1, \dots, m$ , the eigenvalues of  $L \otimes M$

is  $\lambda_p \mu_q$  for  $p = 1, \dots, l$  and  $q = 1, \dots, m$ . Hence, if  $L$  is positive-(semi)definite and  $M$  is negative-(semi)definite, then  $L \otimes M$  is negative-(semi)definite. By applying this property in (12),  $(P_1 A + A^T P_1) \otimes P_{k-1} \leq 0$  since  $P_{k-1} \succ 0$ . Also, By induction, the term  $P_1 \otimes (P_{k-1} \mathcal{A}^{k-1} + (\mathcal{A}^{k-1})^T P_{k-1}) \leq 0$ . Therefore,  $P_k \mathcal{A}^k + (\mathcal{A}^k)^T P_k \leq 0$ , which proves that the subsystem  $\dot{\xi}_k = \mathcal{A}^k \xi_k$  for all  $k = 2, \dots, i$  is quadratically stable. Then,

$$P_i \tilde{A}^i + (\tilde{A}^i)^T P_i = \sum_{k=1}^i P_k \mathcal{A}^k + (\mathcal{A}^k)^T P_k \leq 0$$

Hence the system  $\tilde{H}_i$  is quadratically stable with  $\mathcal{P}_i = \text{diag}(P_1, \otimes^2 P_1, \dots, \otimes^i P_1)$  that satisfies (11). ■

Stability of (3) can be certified using the hierarchy (10) by allowing the early symmetric blocks of  $\mathcal{P}_i$  to be zeros. Moreover, the value of relying on common Lyapunov and Lyapunov-like functions becomes evident once additional information is demanded from the system (3). Consider for example, capturing the reachable set of system (3) from a given initial condition  $x_0$ . Since the time varying system is nondeterministic, many possible trajectories can be generated from a given initial condition. So, we are interested in bounding the set of all possible trajectories. Based on the results of [6], approximating such a reachable set using quadratic or homogeneous polynomial Lyapunov functions is conservative. So, hierarchy (10) can be used to find a polynomial and non-homogeneous approximation by solving the following semi-definite program for  $i \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{minimize } \tilde{\xi}_{i,0}^T \mathcal{P}_i \tilde{\xi}_{i,0}, \\ &\text{subject to (11) and } \mathcal{P}_i \succeq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where  $\tilde{\xi}_{i,0} = [x_0^T \ (\otimes^2 x_0)^T \ \dots \ (\otimes^i x_0)^T]^T$ . Then, the reachable set from a given initial condition  $x_0$  is

$$\mathcal{X}_i = \{x : \xi_i^T \mathcal{P}_i \xi_i \leq \tilde{\xi}_{i,0}^T \mathcal{P}_i \tilde{\xi}_{i,0}\}.$$

where  $\tilde{\xi}_i = [x^T \ (\otimes^2 x)^T \ \dots \ (\otimes^i x)^T]^T$ . The following example, originally used in [6], illustrates the power of this approach.

*Example 1:* Consider the linear time varying system (3) with  $\mathcal{M} = \{A_1, A_2\}$  and

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 & 0.5 \\ -0.5 & -0.5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -2.5 & 2.5 \\ -2.5 & 1.5 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

The system is known to be quadratically stable. Consider the initial condition  $x_0 = [1 \ 0]^T$ . The semi-definite program (13) is computed using SDPT3 solver supported by CVX [17]. The approximation of the set of reachable states starting from  $x_0$  for  $i = 2, 4$ , and 6 are plotted in Figure 1.




Fig. 1: Simulated response of system (3) with parameters (14). The light yellow region represents the set of reachable states from  $x_0$ , the cyan point. The red, green and blue regions represent the approximation of the reachable set using 4<sup>th</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> order non-homogeneous polynomials computed by solving (13) respectively.

*Remark 2:* The reachable sets  $\mathcal{X}_i$  produced by solving (13) are invariant which means that for any trajectory  $x$  which starts at  $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}_i$  under the dynamics (3),  $x(t) \in \mathcal{X}_i$  for all  $t > 0$ .

From figure 1, the set of reachable states from a given initial condition is not symmetric around the origin, so finding the outer approximation for this set by means of non-homogeneous polynomials gives more accurate approximations than homogeneous polynomials that are symmetric around the origin. That makes the approximations presented here far better than those introduced in [6]. Motivated by the excellent performance obtained when considering example 1, we then examine the kind of reachable set approximation that may be obtained for a LTI system running from a given initial condition. That can be illustrated by the following example.

*Example 2:* Consider the linear time invariant (LTI) system

$$\dot{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} x, \quad x_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

The semi-definite program (13) is solved to bound the system trajectory by a non-homogeneous polynomial for  $i = 2, 3$ , and 4 that generates 4-th, 6-th and 8-th non-homogeneous order polynomials respectively. Figure 2 illustrates that increasing the order of the non-homogeneous polynomial produces increasingly accurate invariant polynomial outer approximations for that system's specific trajectory.




Fig. 2: The black line represents the simulated response of system (15). The red, green and blue regions represent the approximation of the reachable set using 4<sup>th</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> non-homogeneous polynomials computed by solving (13) respectively.

In the next section, the introduced hierarchy of non-homogeneous Lyapunov function is used to compute less conservative bounds for the impulse response of both LTI systems and LTV systems.

## V. ANALYSIS OF IMPULSE RESPONSE VIA NON-HOMOGENEOUS LYAPUNOV FUNCTIONS

In this section, we consider the single-input, single-output LTV system

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x + bu, \quad y = cx, \quad (16)$$

where  $u$  and  $y$  are the system's input and output respectively. The impulse response  $h(t)$  of the system (16) is given by the output of the system

$$\dot{z}(t) = A(t)z(t), \quad h(t) = cz(t), \quad (17)$$

with initial condition  $z(0) = b$ . The objective is reducing the conservatism of the bounds on the peak of the impulse response for system (16) generated using quadratic Lyapunov functions. This conservatism has been quantified in [1, page 98] or [18]. The augmented system (17) allows us to take advantage of the hierarchy (10). Then, system (17) can be lifted to level  $i \geq 2$  as

$$\dot{\tilde{\xi}}_i = \tilde{A}^i(t)\tilde{\xi}_i, \quad \mathbf{h}_i(t) = \tilde{c}_i\tilde{\xi}_i \quad (18)$$

where  $\tilde{\xi}_i(0) = \tilde{b}_i = [b^T (\otimes^2 b)^T \dots (\otimes^i b)^T]^T$ . and  $\tilde{c}_i = [c \otimes^2 c \dots \otimes^i c]$ . In this case,  $\mathbf{h}_i(t) = h(t) + h(t)^2 + \dots + h(t)^i$ .

*Theorem 2:* The impulse response of (16) satisfies  $|h(t)| \leq \bar{h}_i$ , where  $\bar{h}_i$  is the unique real positive root of the polynomial  $p^i + p^{i-1} + \dots + p - \bar{\mathbf{h}}_i$  where

$$\bar{\mathbf{h}}_i = \sqrt{\tilde{c}_i \mathcal{P}_i \tilde{c}_i^T} \sqrt{\tilde{b}_i^T \mathcal{P}_i \tilde{b}_i} \quad (19)$$

for any  $\mathcal{P}_i$  satisfies (11).

*Proof:* Since  $\mathcal{P}_i$  satisfies (11) for the system (18), the set  $\mathcal{X}_i = \{\tilde{\xi}_i \mid \tilde{\xi}_i^T \mathcal{P}_i \tilde{\xi}_i \leq \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_i^T \mathcal{P}_i \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_i\}$  is invariant. Hence, the maximum output  $\bar{h}_i$  is the solution of the optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{h}_i = \max_{\tilde{\xi}_i} & \tilde{c}_i \tilde{\xi}_i, \\ \text{s.t.} & \tilde{\xi}_i^T \mathcal{P}_i \tilde{\xi}_i \leq \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_i^T \mathcal{P}_i \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_i. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

By applying KKT conditions, (20) can be solved by  $\bar{h}_i = \sqrt{\tilde{c}_i \mathcal{P}_i \tilde{c}_i^T} \sqrt{\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_i^T \mathcal{P}_i \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_i}$ , which means that  $|h(t) + h(t)^2 + \dots + h(t)^i| \leq \bar{h}_i$ , then,  $|h(t)| + |h(t)|^2 + \dots + |h(t)|^i \leq \bar{h}_i$ . Hence,  $|h(t)| \leq \bar{h}_i$  where  $\bar{h}_i$  is root of the polynomial  $p^i + p^{i-1} + \dots + p - \bar{h}_i$ . This polynomial has only one real positive root for  $i \geq 1$  because  $\bar{h}_i > 0$  and  $p^i + p^{i-1} + \dots + p$  is an increasing function of  $p$  for  $p \geq 0$ . Therefore, only one value of  $p \in \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfies  $p^i + p^{i-1} + \dots + p - \bar{h}_i = 0$  which completes the proof. ■

The results of theorem 2 can be improved by optimizing (19) over all possible  $\mathcal{P}_i$ . This is done by solving the convex optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_i = \arg \min_Q & \tilde{c}_i Q^{-1} \tilde{c}_i^T \\ \text{s.t.} & \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_i^T Q \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_i \leq 1 \\ & (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_i^i)^T Q + Q \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_i^i \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

In the following example, we apply theorem 2 for a system with stiff dynamics where the bounds of the impulse response obtained using quadratic Lyapunov functions are conservative [18].

*Example 3:* Consider the following system with stiff dynamics, that is presented in [12],

$$\dot{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -100 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u, \quad y = [1 \quad -2] x. \quad (22)$$

The optimization problem (21) is solved for  $i = 1, 3$ , and  $5$ , then the resulted  $\mathcal{P}_i$  is used to get an upper bound for the peak of the impulse response by utilizing theorem 2. Figure 3 shows that using higher order non-homogeneous polynomial Lyapunov function significantly reduces the conservatism in the impulse response bound of system (22) compared to quadratic Lyapunov function.




Fig. 3: In the left figure, the black line represents the phase portrait of the impulse response of system (22). The red, green and blue level sets represent the invariant sets produced by solving (21) for  $i = 1, 3$  and  $5$  respectively. In the right figure, the black line is the impulse response of the system (22). The red, green and blue lines present the bounds obtained by theorem 2 at  $i = 1, 3$ , and  $5$  respectively.

In the next example, we show the effectiveness of using non-homogeneous Lyapunov functions to provide upper and lower bounds for the peak of the impulse response for uncertain systems. The upper bound is obtained by utilizing theorem 2 then Lyapunov-like function resulting from solving (21) is used to generate a worst case trajectory [19]. Such a trajectory can be defined by using a Lyapunov-like function to guide the choice of  $A(t)$  at every  $t \geq 0$ .

*Definition 1:* For a given continuously differentiable function  $V(x)$ , a worst case trajectory is defined as a trajectory  $\phi(t; x_0)$  that solves (3) with initial condition  $x_0$  and  $A(t)$  given by

$$A_w(t; V) = \arg \max_{A(t) \in \text{conv}(\mathcal{M})} \dot{V}(\phi(t; x_0)). \quad (23)$$

Intuitively, a choice of  $A(t)$  that minimizes the decay rate of the Lyapunov function is "worst case".

*Example 4:* Consider the uncertain system (16) such that  $A(t) = A + \lambda(t)\Delta$  where  $\lambda \in [-1, 1]$ ,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -0.6 & -0.5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Delta = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0.1 & -0.1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (24)$$

$b = [0 \quad 1]^T$  and  $c = [1 \quad 0]$ . In this case,  $\mathcal{M} = \{A + \Delta, A - \Delta\}$ . The optimization problem (21) is solved for different values of  $i$ . Then, theorem 2 is employed to get the upper bound for the impulse response peak. The resulting bounds for  $i = 1, 5, 10$  and  $12$  are  $0.9929, 0.9094, 0.8973$  and  $0.8958$  respectively.

The Lyapunov-like function  $V(x) = \tilde{\xi}_i^T \mathcal{P}_i \tilde{\xi}_i$  is used to generate a worst case trajectory by solving (23). Hence  $A_w(t) = A + \lambda_{\max}(t)\Delta$  such that  $\lambda_{\max}(t)$  solves

$$\arg \max_{\lambda} \tilde{\xi}_i^T(t)^T \left[ \mathcal{P}_i (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^i + \lambda \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^i) + (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^i + \lambda \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^i)^T \mathcal{P}_i \right] \tilde{\xi}_i(t)$$

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^i = \text{diag}(\mathcal{D}^1, \mathcal{D}^2, \dots, \mathcal{D}^i)$  such that  $\mathcal{D}^1 = \Delta$  and  $\mathcal{D}^k = I_n \otimes \mathcal{D}^{k-1} + \Delta \otimes I_{n^{k-1}}$  for  $k = 2, \dots, i$ . Therefore,  $\lambda_{\max}(t) = \text{sign}(\tilde{\xi}_i^T(t)^T (\mathcal{P}_i \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^i + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^i{}^T \mathcal{P}_i) \tilde{\xi}_i(t))$ . The lower bound for the impulse response peak is  $\max_t y_w(t)$  where  $y_w$  is the output of the generated worst case trajectory. For  $i = 12$ , the lower bound is  $0.8901$  where the upper bound is  $0.8958$  which shows the effectiveness of non-homogeneous Lyapunov function in introducing tight bounds for the peak of the impulse response of LTV systems.

## VI. EXTENSION TO INVARIANT SETS COMPUTATION

In this section, we extend our results to compute polynomial invariant sets that are not Lyapunov functions. We can use the following example to show that not every invariant set is a Lyapunov function level set and can be characterized via  $\mathcal{S}$  procedure. Consider the simple system

$$\dot{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} x \quad (25)$$

We define the set

$$\mathcal{S}(x) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : (x-x_0)^T(x-x_0) = 3\}, \text{ with } x_0 = [1 \quad 1]^T.$$

First, it is clear that  $V(x) = (x - x_0)^T(x - x_0)$  is not a Lyapunov function for (25) since

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V}(x) &= -2(x - x_0)^T x \\ &= x_0^T x_0 \text{ when } x = x_0 \\ &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

We now show that the invariance of  $V$  can be established by means of classical  $S$  procedure. we need to show that

$$-(x - x_0)^T x \leq 0 \text{ whenever } (x - x_0)^T(x - x_0) = 3.$$

For that purpose, it is sufficient to show that there exists a number  $\lambda$  such that

$$-(x - x_0)^T x + \lambda [(x - x_0)^T(x - x_0) - 3] \leq 0 \quad \forall x$$

For  $x = x_0$ ,  $-(x - x_0)^T x + \lambda [(x - x_0)^T(x - x_0) - 3] = -3\lambda$ , thus  $\lambda$  must be greater than 0. When  $(x - x_0)^T(x - x_0)$  is large, then  $-(x - x_0)^T x + \lambda [(x - x_0)^T(x - x_0) - 3] = (\lambda - 1)(x - x_0)^T(x - x_0)(1 + o(1))$ , Thus,  $\lambda$  must be smaller than 1. Introduce  $v = x - x_0$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}&-(x - x_0)^T x + \lambda [(x - x_0)^T(x - x_0) - 3] \\ &= -v^T v - v^T x_0 + \lambda v^T v - 3\lambda \\ &= (\lambda - 1)v^T v - v^T x_0 - 3\lambda\end{aligned}$$

The condition of maximality of this expression is

$$v = \frac{1}{2(\lambda - 1)}x_0$$

and thus the maximum of  $(\lambda - 1)v^T v - v^T x_0 - 3\lambda$  is

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{-1}{4(\lambda - 1)}x_0^T x_0 - 3\lambda \\ &= \frac{-1/2 - 3\lambda(\lambda - 1)}{\lambda - 1}\end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $\lambda = 0.5$  makes this maximum negative, thus demonstrating that  $S$  is invariant. Therefore, the quadratic Lyapunov function for the system hierarchy discussed in Section IV can be effectively generalized to polynomial invariant sets that are not necessarily Lyapunov functions by introducing the symmetric matrix

$$\bar{\mathcal{P}}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}_i & q_i \\ q_i^T & r_i \end{bmatrix},$$

for every  $i \geq 1$  in the hierarchy ,where  $\mathcal{P}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{n}_i \times \tilde{n}_i}$ ,  $q_i \in \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{n}_i}$ , and  $r_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . We then consider the quadratic-affine function

$$\bar{V}(\tilde{\xi}_i) = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\xi}_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^T \bar{\mathcal{P}}_i \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\xi}_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and we pose the question of the invariance of the set

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}_i = \left\{ \tilde{\xi}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{n}_i} \text{ such that } \bar{V}(\tilde{\xi}_i) \leq 0 \right\}$$

under the action of the system  $\tilde{H}_i$  in the hierarchy (10). Then, the invariance question can be posed as asking whether  $\dot{\bar{V}} \leq 0$  whenever  $\bar{V}(\tilde{\xi}_i) = 0$  which can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\xi}_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^T \bar{\mathcal{P}}_i \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A}_j^i \tilde{\xi}_i \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A}_j^i \tilde{\xi}_i \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \bar{\mathcal{P}}_i \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\xi}_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \leq 0$$

for all  $j = 1, \dots, N$  whenever

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\xi}_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^T \bar{\mathcal{P}}_i \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\xi}_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

By applying  $S$  procedure, that holds if and only if there exists a real number  $\lambda$  such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\xi}_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^T \bar{\mathcal{P}}_i \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A}_j^i \tilde{\xi}_i \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A}_j^i \tilde{\xi}_i \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \bar{\mathcal{P}}_i \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\xi}_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \lambda \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\xi}_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^T \bar{\mathcal{P}}_i \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\xi}_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \leq 0$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, N$  and for all  $\tilde{\xi}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{n}_i}$ , that is, the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathcal{P}}_i \tilde{A}_j^i + (\tilde{A}_j^i)^T \bar{\mathcal{P}}_i + \lambda \bar{\mathcal{P}}_i & (\tilde{A}_j^i)^T q + \lambda q \\ q^T \tilde{A}_j^i + \lambda q^T & \lambda r \end{bmatrix} \quad (26)$$

is negative semi-definite for all  $j = 1, \dots, N$ . for a fixed value of  $\lambda$ , looking for  $\bar{\mathcal{P}}_i$  such that (26) is negative semi-definite is convex in  $\bar{\mathcal{P}}_i$ . In particular, setting  $\lambda = 0$  brings back the search for a polynomial Lyapunov function. Other values of  $\lambda$  then offer other options for finding polynomial invariant sets. The authors in [20] developed a method to construct a Lyapunov function of degree 1 from the invariant set. This homogeneous Lyapunov function is called Algebraic Lyapunov function. For the system presented in example 1, the convex feasibility problem (26) is solved for  $i = 5$  and 7 and for  $\lambda = -0.05$  to find the invariant set passing through the initial condition  $x_0 = [1 \ 0]^T$ . The results are shown in figure 4.




Fig. 4: Simulated response for system presented in example 1. The light yellow region represents the set of reachable states from the initial condition  $x_0$ . The red and blue regions represents the non-homogeneous polynomial invariant sets resulted from solving (26) for  $i = 5$  and 7 respectively and for  $\lambda = -0.05$ .

## VII. RELATION TO POLYNOMIAL LYAPUNOV FUNCTIONS OBTAINED VIA "SUM-OF-SQUARES" SEMIDEFINITE RELAXATION METHODS

The authors believe the foregoing quadratic Lyapunov functions are in direct correspondence with the polynomial Lyapunov functions for System (3) that may be computed by means of "Sum-of-Square" relaxations, and we formulate the following conjecture:

**Conjecture:** There exists a positive definite matrix  $\mathcal{P}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{n}_i \times \tilde{n}_i}$  that satisfies

$$\mathcal{P}_i \tilde{A}_j^i + (\tilde{A}_j^i)^T \mathcal{P}_i \preceq 0 \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, N$$

if and only if there exists a polynomial  $G(x)$  of degree  $2i$  with  $G(0) = 0$  and  $G(x) > 0, x \neq 0$ , satisfying

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial x} \cdot A_j x < 0 \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, N$$

proving that conjecture is left for future work.

## VIII. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

This work presents a procedure to compute non-homogeneous polynomial Lyapunov functions and invariant sets for LTV systems. This procedure is based on building a hierarchy of LTV systems such that the quadratic Lyapunov function for any system in the hierarchy is a non-homogeneous polynomial Lyapunov function for the base level system. These non-homogeneous polynomials are shown to be effective in LTV systems performance analysis by computing outer approximation for the reachable sets, bounds for the impulse response and invariant sets that are not Lyapunov level sets. In future work, the theoretical relation between the generated non-homogeneous polynomials and other polynomials computed by means of Sum-of-Square relaxations will be considered.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Boyd, L. El Ghaoui, E. Feron, and V. Balakrishnan, *Linear matrix inequalities in system and control theory*. SIAM, 1994.
- [2] A. A. Ahmadi and P. A. Parrilo, "Sum of squares certificates for stability of planar, homogeneous, and switched systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 62, no. 10, pp. 5269–5274, 2017.
- [3] P. Mason, U. Boscain, and Y. Chitour, "Common polynomial Lyapunov functions for linear switched systems," *SIAM journal on control and optimization*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 226–245, 2006.
- [4] D. Liberzon, *Switching in systems and control*, vol. 190. Springer, 2003.
- [5] A. Zelentsovsky, "Nonquadratic Lyapunov functions for robust stability analysis of linear uncertain systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic control*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 135–138, 1994.
- [6] M. Abate, C. Klett, S. Coogan, and E. Feron, "Lyapunov differential equation hierarchy and polynomial Lyapunov functions for switched linear systems," in *2020 American Control Conference (ACC)*, pp. 5322–5327, IEEE, 2020.
- [7] P. A. Parrilo, "Semidefinite programming relaxations for semialgebraic problems," *Mathematical programming*, vol. 96, pp. 293–320, 2003.
- [8] Z. Jarvis-Wloszek, R. Feeley, W. Tan, K. Sun, and A. Packard, "Control applications of sum of squares programming," *Positive polynomials in control*, pp. 3–22, 2005.
- [9] P. A. Parrilo, *Structured semidefinite programs and semialgebraic geometry methods in robustness and optimization*. California Institute of Technology, 2000.
- [10] J. Abedor, K. Nagpal, and K. Poolla, "A linear matrix inequality approach to peak-to-peak gain minimization," *International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control*, vol. 6, no. 9-10, pp. 899–927, 1996.
- [11] F. Blanchini, "Nonquadratic Lyapunov functions for robust control," *Automatica*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 451–461, 1995.
- [12] M. Abate, C. Klett, S. Coogan, and E. Feron, "Pointwise-in-time analysis and non-quadratic Lyapunov functions for linear time-varying systems," in *2021 American Control Conference (ACC)*, pp. 3550–3555, IEEE, 2021.
- [13] H. Abdelraouf, G.-Y. Immanuel, and E. Feron, "Computing bounds on  $l_\infty$ -induced norm for linear time-invariant systems using homogeneous Lyapunov functions," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.00716*, 2022.

- [14] H. Lin and P. J. Antsaklis, "Stability and stabilizability of switched linear systems: a survey of recent results," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic control*, vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 308–322, 2009.
- [15] G. Chesi, A. Garulli, A. Tesi, and A. Vicino, *Homogeneous polynomial forms for robustness analysis of uncertain systems*, vol. 390. Springer Science & Business Media, 2009.
- [16] L. Vandenberghe and S. Boyd, "A polynomial-time algorithm for determining quadratic Lyapunov functions for nonlinear systems," in *Eur. Conf. Circuit Th. and Design*, pp. 1065–1068, 1993.
- [17] M. Grant and S. Boyd, "Cvx: Matlab software for disciplined convex programming, version 2.1," 2014.
- [18] E. Feron, "Linear matrix inequalities for the problem of absolute stability of control systems," *Ph.D. Thesis, Stanford University*, 1994.
- [19] C. Klett, M. Abate, S. Coogan, and E. Feron, "A numerical method to compute stability margins of switching linear systems," in *2021 American Control Conference (ACC)*, pp. 864–869, IEEE, 2021.
- [20] H. Abdelraouf, E. Feron, and J. Shamma, "Algebraic Lyapunov functions for homogeneous dynamic systems," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.02185*, 2023.

## APPENDIX

### A. Dimensionality reduction

Using Kronecker product produces redundant states that significantly increase the dimension of the lifted system. For example, if  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , then  $\xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^4$  such that  $\xi_2 = x \otimes x = [x_1^2 \ x_1 x_2 \ x_1 x_2 \ x_2^2]^T$ . To get rid of the redundant states, a simple procedure introduced in [6]. This procedure is based on introducing a new variable  $\eta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $\xi_2 = W_2 \eta_2$  where

$$W_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For second order systems, i.e.  $n = 2$ , this method can be generalized for  $k \geq 2$  as  $\xi_k = W_k \eta_k$  such that

$$W_k = \begin{bmatrix} W_{k-1} & 0_{2^{k-1}} \\ 0_{2^{k-1}} & W_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $W_1 = I_2$ . So, the dimension of the system  $H_k$  in the hierarchy (9) is reduced from  $n^k$  to  $k + 1$ . Without loss of generality, if  $\mathcal{M} = \{A\}$ , then every system  $\dot{\xi}_k = \mathcal{A}^k \xi_k$  in the hierarchy (9) can be written as  $\dot{\eta}_k = W_k^+ \mathcal{A}^k W_k \eta_k$  where  $W_k^+$  is the pseudo-inverse of  $W_k$  for  $k \geq 1$ . We can then use the reduced dimension state vector  $\eta_k$  to rebuild the new hierarchy (10). Hence,  $\tilde{\eta}_i = [\eta_1^T, \eta_2^T, \dots, \eta_i^T]^T$  which reduces the dimension of the system  $\tilde{H}_i$  in the hierarchy (10) from  $2(2^i - 1)$  to  $i(i + 3)/2$ . Thus, the reduced dimension system  $\tilde{H}_i$  will be  $\tilde{\eta}_i = \tilde{W}_i^+ \tilde{A}^i \tilde{W}_i \tilde{\eta}_i$  where  $\tilde{W}_i = \text{diag}(W_1, W_2, \dots, W_i)$ .