

NOTES ON δ -ALGEBRAS AND PRISMS IN HOMOTOPY THEORY

J MORAVA

ABSTRACT. J McClure's Dyer-Lashof operation [16] in p -adic K -theory defines, in particular, a prismatic structure on the complex representation ring of the circle group. Work of Ando, Rezk, Stapleton, and others generalizes this to define a canonical lift of Frobenius for the Lubin-Tate spectra of [13]. We suggest that recent work of K Ito and S Marks on \mathfrak{o}_L -typical (or L -adic) prisms may extend this to the \mathfrak{o}_L -adic spectra $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{o}_L)$ of [19].

§ **Local conventions** following [15](Ch 6) roughly: L is a field of p -adic numbers, with

$$\infty > n = [L : \mathbb{Q}_p], L \supset \mathfrak{o}_L \supset \mathfrak{m}_L = (\pi), \mathfrak{o}_L/\mathfrak{m}_L = k_L, |k_L| = q = p^f, f|n.$$

If $\pi_L = \pi \in \mathfrak{o}_L$ thus parameterizes L , then there is an associated Lubin-Tate formal group law $\mathrm{LT}(\pi)/\mathfrak{o}_L$ with

$$X +_{\pi} Y \in \mathfrak{o}_L[[X, T]], \mathfrak{o}_L \ni a \rightarrow [a]_{\pi}(T) \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{o}_L}(\mathrm{LT}(\pi))$$

having mod π reduction $\mathrm{lt}(\pi)/k_L$ with

$$X +_{\pi} Y \in k_L[[X, T]], \mathfrak{o}_L \ni a \rightarrow [a]_{\pi}(T) \in \mathrm{End}_{k_L}(\mathrm{lt}(\pi)),$$

the formal \mathfrak{o}_L -module (*ie* with complex multiplication) structure map in the first case being an isomorphism and injective in the second. Under reduction mod π , $[a]_{\pi}(T) \mapsto \pi$, embedding L in a canonical division \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra of isogenies.

- **Spectral background** Quillen, together with Lazard, associates to a (one-dimensional) formal group law over a commutative ring A , a Hirzebruch multiplicative genus of complex oriented manifolds, *ie* a homomorphism from the complex cobordism ring MU^* to $A[v, v^{-1}]$. In particular, the middle arrow in the factorization of the homomorphism

$$\chi_L(\pi) : \mathrm{MU}^* \cong \mathrm{Lazard}^* \rightarrow E_{\mathrm{lt}(\pi), k_L}^* \otimes_{W(k_L)} \mathfrak{o}_L \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_L^*[v^{\pm 1}]$$

(*ie* $\mathrm{CP}(q^k - 1) \mapsto \pi^{-k}q^k$, otherwise 0, classifying $\mathrm{LT}(\pi)/\mathfrak{o}_L$) collapses the fundamental constructions of Lubin and Tate in algebra with the subsequent work of mathematical generations [20] in homotopy theory, culminating in the existence of complex-oriented E_{∞} ring spectra such as

$$\pi_0 E_{\mathrm{lt}(\pi), k_L}^* \cong W(k_L)[[\dots]][v^{\pm 1}]$$

with a formal group law canonically identified with the universal deformation ring of, for example, $\mathrm{lt}(\boldsymbol{\pi})/k_L$.

Charles Rezk [22](§11.13) considers power operations in tensor (two-)categories of quasicoherent sheaves over a category of deformations of a one-dimensional formal group law (eg $\mathrm{lt}(\boldsymbol{\pi})/k_L$ together with its Frobenius) regarded as a category of comodules over a bialgebra Γ (of semi-linear operations with respect to a monad \mathbf{T} on the category of E_* -modules), cf [6]. His generalization (Theorem B, §1.4) of Clarence Wilkerson's criterion [24] identifies [21](§1.12, 14.3) the Frobenius endomorphism of a large class of E_n -algebras as a Hecke operator $\sigma \in \Gamma \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ (cf Mathew Ando [1], Nora Ganter [8](§3.8)), generalizing McClure's Dyer-Lashof work in Atiyah's [2] p -adic context. Note that these are **un**stable Hecke operations on cohomology algebras of **spaces**, rather than spectra.

In [21](Prop 3.6) Nathaniel Stapleton interprets this as a canonical lift of Frobenius for Goerss-Hopkins-Miller-Rezk Lubin-Tate theories associated to one-dimensional formal groups over finite fields, as described above: in particular, providing it with a $\delta_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ structure as described in §frm-e below.

• **Genuine Morava $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{o}_L)$ -theories** In [19](§2.3.2 Prop), Baas-Sullivan Koszul resolutions [7] kill the kernel of $\chi_L(\pi)$ to define small-batch artisanal E_2 ringspectra $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{o}_L)$. The succeeding section §3.1 identifies

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{o}_L)_* \mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{o}_L) \cong \mathfrak{o}_L \otimes_{E_{\mathrm{lt}(\boldsymbol{\pi}), k_L}^*} \mathrm{HAut}_{\mathfrak{o}_L}(\mathrm{LT}(\pi)) \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_L} \Lambda^*(\mathfrak{m}_L \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\vee$$

as a μ_L - graded exterior \mathfrak{o}_L -algebra extension of Andrew Baker's [3](Prop 2.5) \mathfrak{o}_L -adic Banach Hopf algebra

$$\mathrm{HAut}_{\mathfrak{o}_L}(\mathrm{LT}(\pi)) \cong \mathrm{Con}(\mathfrak{o}_L^\times, \mathfrak{o}_L) \cong H\mu_L \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_L} \mathrm{Con}(\mathfrak{o}_L, \mathfrak{o}_L),$$

where

$$\mathrm{Con}(\mathfrak{o}_L, \mathfrak{o}_L) \supset \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{o}_L) := \mathfrak{o}_L[\theta_i \mid i \geq 0]$$

is generated by the continuous numerical polynomial functions

$$\theta_k(T) = -\pi^{-k} \left[\sum_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} \pi^i \theta_i(T) q^{k-i} - T \right]$$

(eg $\theta_1(T) = \pi^{-1}(T - T^q)$) from \mathfrak{o}_L to itself. Evidently $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{o}_L)$ admits the identity map

$$\phi_q(T) = T^q + \pi \cdot \theta_1(T) = T$$

as a lift of the q -Frobenius on the quotient ring $\mathrm{Con}(\mathfrak{o}_L, k_L)$, making it into a δ_L -algebra.

§ **an L -adic prism**, following A Baker, K Ito, S Marks and N Stapleton

• **Proposition** *If $A \in \text{local } \mathfrak{o}_L$ -algebras, then its (commutative) ring $W(A)$ of length two ramified Witt vectors is a topologically nilpotent thickening*

$$0 \longrightarrow A_\pi \xrightarrow{a \rightarrow (a,0)} W(A) = A \times A \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a} \rightarrow a_1} A \longrightarrow 0$$

of A , in coordinates $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1)$, where A_π is the nonunital ring with A as underlying abelian group, but with product

$$A_\pi \ni a, b \mapsto \pi \dot{a}b.$$

Following Kazuhiro Ito [14](lemma 2.2.6) and Samuel Marks [17](§2.3.1), $\text{Spec } W$ is the (\mathfrak{o}_L -algebra) - scheme defined by composition laws

$$\mathbf{a} +_W \mathbf{b} = (a_0 + b_0, a_1 + b_1 + \pi^{-1} \langle a_0, b_0 \rangle_q)$$

$$\mathbf{a} \times_W \mathbf{b} = (a_0 b_0, \pi a_1 b_1 + (a_1, b_1)^T \cdot (a_0^q, b_0^q))$$

(where T denotes transpose and $\langle x, y \rangle_q = x^q + y^q - (x + y)^q$).

Similarly following James Borger [4](Theorem A, Fig 1), $\text{Sp } W(A)$ is the pushout of two copies of $\text{Sp } A$ along the Frobenius endomorphism of $A/\pi A$, presented as

$$\text{Sp } A/\pi A \rightrightarrows \text{Sp}_{\mathfrak{o}_L} A \times_{\text{Sp}_{\mathfrak{o}_L}} \text{Sp}_{\mathfrak{o}_L} A \rightarrow W(A).$$

An \mathfrak{o}_L -module homomorphism

$$A \ni a \mapsto (a, \delta_L(a)) \in W(A) : \text{Sp}_{\mathfrak{o}_L} W(A) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{\mathfrak{o}_L} A$$

splitting the exact sequence defining the ring W is a section of a principal A_π^\times ‘bundle’ over $\text{Sp } A$, defining something analogous to a normal family of deformations for A , cf [9](§1) re B Dwork’s lemma. Borger notes [§1.19] that δ_L -algebra structures avoid the choice implicit in a ‘lift’ of Frobenius.

• **Example** The (π -typical) Lubin-Tate construction [17](§4.1) defines a ring homomorphism

$$T \mapsto \phi_q(T) = [\pi]_L(T) = T^q + \pi \delta_L(T) : \mathfrak{o}_L[[T]] \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_L[[T]]$$

or, equivalently, a δ_L -structure

$$\delta_L(T) = \pi^{-1} [[\pi]_L(T) - T^q]$$

such that $\phi_q(T) \equiv T^q \pmod{\pi}$. The image $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ of $[\pi]_L(T) \in k_L[[T]]$ is not just a ring endomorphism of $k_L[[T]]$, but an endomorphism of a formal group of finite height. As an element of the \mathbb{Q}_p -division algebra of isogenies of $\text{lt}(\boldsymbol{\pi})$, its minimal polynomial $E(\boldsymbol{\pi})$ identifies $\mathfrak{o}_L \cong W(k_L)[\boldsymbol{\pi}]/E(\boldsymbol{\pi})$ as a $W(k_L)$ -algebra.

- **Definition** as in Marks, *cf* Ito [14](lemma 2.3.8): If

$$q_n(T) = [\pi^{n-1}](T)^{-1} \cdot [\pi^n](T) \equiv T^{(q-1)q^{n-1}} + \dots \in \mathfrak{o}_L[[T]]$$

then $\mathfrak{o}_L[[T]] \ni q_1(T) = T^{-1}[\pi](T) \equiv \pi \pmod{T}$. The (π, T) -adic completeness of $\mathfrak{o}_L[[T]]$ then implies the $(\pi, q_n(T))$ -adic completeness of A with respect to the ideal $(q_n(T)) \dots$

Indeed

$$\pi = q_1(T) + T \cdot f(T)$$

with $f(T) \in \mathfrak{o}_L[[T]]$, so after applying ϕ^n we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi &= q_{n+1}(T) + [\pi^n](T) \cdot f([\pi^n](T)) = \\ &= q_1(T) + q_n(T)[\pi^{n-1}](T) \cdot f([\pi^n](T)) \in (q_n(T), q_{n+1}(T)) \subset \mathfrak{o}_L[[T]]. \end{aligned}$$

That is, if $I = (q_n(T))$, then $\pi \in I + \phi(I) \cdot A$ as in [17](Def 2.3.1, lemma 4.1).

- **Proposition** *With a Lubin-Tate group law as above, $\forall(n \geq 1)$ the pair $(A = \mathfrak{o}_L[[T]], (q_n(T)))$ is an $(\mathfrak{o}_L\text{-typical})$ prism.*

The following Prop 4.10 defines an interesting perfection

$$(\mathfrak{o}_L[[T]], (q_n(T))) \rightarrow (A_{\text{inf}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L^\infty}), \ker \theta) \dots$$

- The original version of this note attempted to raise the question of existence of lift of Frobenius (*ie* a δ_L -structure) for $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{o}_L)$ as a consequence of Rezk's work, extending Stapleton in the unramified case: a geometrically natural lift of Frobenius on height one E_* -theory suggests interpreting $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{o}_L)$ as taking values¹ in Adams/Artin/Atiyah/Quillen/Iwasawa

$$\mathfrak{o}_L[[\text{Gal}(L^\infty/L)]] \cong \mathfrak{o}_L[[\mathfrak{o}_L^\times]]$$

algebras, presumably related to Fontaine's period ring $A_{\text{inf}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L^\infty})$ - with Frobenius lifts (*ie* a δ_L -algebra structure).

Conjecture $\mathfrak{o}_L \mapsto \mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{o}_L)$ is the result of some more natural construction, perhaps exhilarating an interesting $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ - action, when applicable.

- deepest **Thanks** to Andy Baker, Denem Manam, and Nat Stapleton for helpful correspondence and conversation. Hallucinatory howlers are the author's entire responsibility.

- Executive Summary : Monkey find prism.

¹including a Dennis [18] trace $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{o}_L) \rightarrow \text{THH}(\mathfrak{o}_{L^\infty} : \mathbb{Z}_p)$, L^∞ being a maximal totally ramified abelian extension of L or maybe its completion

REFERENCES

1. Matthew Ando, Isogenies of formal group laws and power operations in the cohomology theories E_n . *Duke Math. J.* 79 (1995) 423 – 485
2. M F Atiyah, D O Tall, Group representations, λ -rings and the J -homomorphism, *Topology* 8 (1969) 253 – 297
3. Andrew Baker, p -adic continuous functions on rings of integers and a theorem of K. Mahler. *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 33 (1986) 414–420.
4. J Borger, The basic geometry of Witt vectors, I: The affine case, *Algebra & Number Theory* 5 (2011) 231 - 285, <https://arxiv.org/abs/0801.1691>
5. A K Bousfield, On λ -rings and the K -theory of infinite loop spaces, *K-Theory* 10 (1 – 30)
6. Chai Ching-Li Tate-linear formal varieties, https://www2.math.upenn.edu/~chai/papers_pdf/TL_survey_v4.pdf
7. D Dugger, A primer on homotopy colimits, <https://pages.uoregon.edu/ddugger/hocolim.pdf>
8. N Ganter, Hecke operators in equivariant elliptic cohomology and generalized Moonshine, in *Groups and symmetries* 173–209, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes 47, AMS 2009, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.2754>
9. P Goerss, Hopf rings, Dieudonné modules, and $E_*\Omega^2S^3$, *Contemp. Math* 239 (115 – 174), AMS 1999
10. —, M Hopkins, Moduli spaces of commutative ring spectra, in *Structured ring spectra* 151 – 200, LMS Lecture Notes 315, Cambridge 2004.
11. L Hesselholt, T Nikolaus, Topological cyclic homology in *Handbook of homotopy theory* 619 – 656, CRC Press/Chapman Hall 2020
12. M Hopkins, N Kuhn, D Ravenel, Generalized group characters and complex oriented cohomology theories, *JAMS* 13 (2000) 553 – 594
13. —, J Lurie, On Brauer groups of Lubin-Tate spectra, <https://people.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/Brauer.pdf>
14. Kazuhiro Ito, Deformation theory for prismatic G -displays, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.05361>
15. S Lang *Cyclotomic fields* I,II. Springer Grad Texts in Math 121 (1990)
16. J McClure, Dyer-Lashof operations in K -theory. *Bull. AMS* 8 (1983) 67–72
17. Samuel Marks, Prismatic F -crystals and Lubin-Tate (ϕ_q, Γ) -modules, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.07620>
18. J Morava, Complex orientations for THH of some perfectoid fields, *Contemp. Math* 729 (UIUC 018) 221 - 237, AMS 2019
19. —, Operations on integral lifts of $K(n)$, in *Bousfield classes and Ohkawa's theorem* 245–260, Springer Proc. Math. Stat. 309, Springer, Singapore (2020), <https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.08587>
20. C Rezk, Notes on the Hopkins-Miller theorem, in *Homotopy theory via algebraic geometry and group representations* (1997) 313 – 366, *Contemp. Math* 220 (1998), <https://rezk.web.illinois.edu/hopkins-miller-thm.pdf>
21. —, The units of a ring spectrum and a logarithmic cohomology operation. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 19 (2006), 969-1014 24. [arXiv:math/0407022](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0407022)
22. —, The congruence criterion for power operations in Morava E -theory, *Homology Homotopy Appl.* 11 (2009) 327–379 <https://arxiv.org/abs/0902.2499>
23. N Stapleton, A canonical lift of Frobenius in Morava E -theory, *Homology Homotopy Appl.* 21 (2019) 341 – 350 <https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.04811>
24. C Wilkerson, Lambda-rings, binomial domains, and vector bundles over $\mathbb{C}P(\infty)$, *Comm. Algebra* 10 (1982) 311 – 328
25. W Stephen Wilson, The Hopf ring for Morava K -theory, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 20 (1984), 1025 – 1036
26. Yuri J. F. Sulyma, Prisms and Tambara functors I: Twisted powers, transversality, and the perfect sandwich, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.03181>

27. Jun-ichi Igusa, ed, *Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory* : Proceedings of the JAMI Inaugural Conference, Japan-U.S. Mathematics Institute 1989

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND