

Temperature as Joules per Bit

Charles Alexandre Bédard¹, Sophie Berthelette²,
Xavier Coiteux-Roy^{3,4}, and Stefan Wolf^{1,5}

¹Faculty of Informatics, Università della Svizzera italiana, Lugano, Switzerland

²Département de physique, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Canada

³School of Computation, Information and Technology, Technische Universität München

⁴Munich Center for Quantum Science and Technology (MCQST), Munich, Germany

⁵Facoltà indipendente di Gandria, Gandria, Switzerland

January 2024

Abstract

Boltzmann's constant reflects a historical misunderstanding of the concept of entropy, whose informational nature is obfuscated when expressed in J/K. We suggest that the development of temperature and energy, historically prior to that of entropy, does not amount to their logical priority: Temperature should be defined in terms of entropy, not vice versa. Following the precepts of information theory, entropy is measured in bits, and coincides with information capacity at thermodynamic equilibrium. Consequently, not only is the temperature of an equilibrated system expressed in J/bit, but it acquires an operational meaning: It is the cost in energy to increase its information capacity by 1 bit. Our proposal also supports the notion of *available capacity*, analogous to free energy. Finally, it simplifies Landauer's cost and clarifies that it is a cost of *displacement*, not of erasure.

1 A Historical Artifact

"Careful, that's hot!" Temperature is one of the most intuitive physical concepts, as we can vividly feel it through our skin. Arguably, *temperature* is more intuitive than *energy*; and definitely more than *entropy*. Perhaps unsurprisingly, the historical development of the three concepts has also followed that order.

The first thermometers were built in the 17th century, by the end of which some precursor of kinetic energy, the so-called *vis viva*, was introduced by Leibniz [1]. As Young [2] coined the term energy at the beginning of the 19th

century, its existence in various forms is quickly realized. Notably, in 1824, Carnot [3] studied engines that could use energy of the *caloric* type to produce energy of the *work* type. Clausius [4] then characterized the form of energy that is inevitably lost during a thermodynamical cycle in such engines. Only then did he introduce entropy as $\Delta S = Q/T$, where Q is the amount of heat entering or escaping the system and T is the absolute temperature of the system. This tortuous path to entropy explains why it carries the units of joules per kelvin, which, still today, prevail as the units of entropy; they are the ones advocated by the International System of Units.

Boltzmann's approach [5] to entropy, measured as the logarithm of the number of microstates in a given macroscopic description of a system, can be thought of as the first proposal to identify entropy with an information-theoretic measure of capacity. If all the microstates compatible with the macroscopic description are assumed to be possible, and if the logarithm is taken in base 2, Boltzmann's entropy is a measure of capacity which is operationally identified with the maximum number of bits that can be stored in the system. Similar to the memory capacity of computing devices, it can be measured in bits. Boltzmann's entropy is a priori very remote from joules per kelvin, yet, in order to make it consistent with Clausius' definition, Planck rescaled it by a constant k_B that carries the units of J/K. We suggest that the introduction of this constant is unnecessary and prevents a clear and crisp understanding of entropy, namely, one that is compatible not only with steam engines but with any manipulation of thermodynamic systems, including those that are information-theoretic in nature.

To think of entropy as joules per kelvin is thus merely a historical artifact, which introduces into our view of entropy all the parochial dependencies encompassed in the development of the Kelvin scale. For instance, this includes the aggregate behaviour of water and the number 100 — and with it, our physiology: the number of our fingers. Furthermore, expressing entropy as such misleadingly signals that entropy must be defined in terms of temperature. But this is true only for the historically first notion of entropy, which, as groundbreaking as it was, cannot be expected to arise in its final form — the existence of atoms was still unknown, let alone quantum theory and information theory. The chronological discovery of ideas does not amount to their logical priority: We suggest seeing entropy as a more fundamental concept than temperature and, following the modern information-theoretic view, measuring entropy in bits, *i.e.*, in *basic information unit*.

The significant role of information in thermodynamics is emphasized in the following section, which motivates the information-theoretic account of entropy. As explained in Section 3, at thermodynamic equilibrium, entropy amounts to information capacity. In Section 4, we show how temperature arises as a bridge between energy and information capacity, and how it acquires an operational meaning. Not only does it yield a notion of unused capacity completely analogous to free energy, but it also sheds light on Landauer's erasure.

2 Information in Thermodynamics

Crucial insights and results were borne by bringing information-processing considerations into the picture of thermodynamics. For modern reviews, see Refs [6, 7]. A serious challenge to the second law was proposed by Maxwell [8, 9, 10] with his famous demon who sorts a system via measurements and manipulations, thereby reducing its entropy. Szilard's engine [11] has become the canonical system on which a demon is imagined to perform its puzzling manipulations. It consists of a one-molecule gas container that can be bisected by a partition, which thereupon acts as a bidirectional piston. After inserting the partition, the demon observes on which side the molecule is trapped, and then expands adiabatically the piston in the opposite direction. This extracts $k_B T \ln 2$ J of free energy from the molecule. After thermalization with the environment, the process can be repeated, *if* the demon has kept his ability to measure and act on the system. Szilard suggested that the second law should be saved by the act of measurement of the demon, which, he thought, should unavoidably create $k_B T \ln 2$ J of heat, namely, just enough to compensate. The idea to tie a thermodynamic cost to the act of measurement per se has been followed by many [12, 13, 14], and was likely stimulated by the confusion that arose with measurement in quantum theory.

In 1961, Landauer [15] correctly identified information erasure — and not measurement — as the precise thermodynamically irreversible step which needs to be compensated by heat dissipation. The erasure of one bit of information must be accompanied by $k_B T \ln 2$ J of free energy lost to the environment, or to the non-information-bearing degrees of freedom of a computer. The reason for heat dissipation is purely physical: Information cannot be processed independently of real devices, or as Landauer would have it, “*information is physical.*”

The fact that erasure has a thermodynamic cost does not *a priori* preclude measurement from also having such a cost. This concern was part of the larger misconception which held that computing devices should unavoidably involve logical irreversibility, and, with it, heat dissipation. Upon demonstrating that universal computation can be done via logically reversible steps, Bennett [16] paved the way for developing thermodynamically reversible models of computation; the most spectacular of which is perhaps Fredkin and Toffoli's ballistic computer [17]. In this light, the status of measurement has been clarified [18]: An apparatus initialized in a ready state can measure non-dissipatively. This yields a detailed and satisfactory resolution of Maxwell's problem: With an initialized memory, the demon *can* measure the system and act on it to reduce its entropy. But this is no paradox, as it merely displaces the entropy of the system onto the demon's memory. To operate in a cycle, the demon needs to get rid of the information stored — an erasure which, by Landauer's bound, dissipates a quantity of heat greater or equal to the entropy reduction of the system times the environmental temperature.

The logical reversibility of dynamical laws applies to all physical systems,

including those with the ability to store information and act based on it. Information-processing agents cannot avoid the second law. Yet, the point of view of information processing has offered information-theoretic limits to what can or cannot be done, thermodynamically. In particular, Landauer’s erasure cost can be considered one of the many expressions of the second law, which, as eloquently stated by Schumacher [19], mandates that “No physical process has as its sole result the erasure of information.”

The resolution of Maxwell’s demon has been highly influential in promoting the role of information theory in thermodynamics. The scientific literature on the topic has been booming and ramifying in many ways. The advent of Shannon’s mathematical theory of communication [20] generated insights [21, 22, 23] (and debates [24, 25]). Algorithmic information theory [26, 27, 28] permits a quantification of information based on individual objects, yielding more sophisticated notions of entropy [29, 30] and macrostates [31]. The significance of quantum information was realized [32, 33, 34, 35] and incorporated into various thermodynamical analyses [36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41]. And axiomatic reconstructions of thermodynamics have been suggested [42, 43, 44].

This work is part of the program of modernizing thermodynamics — of cleaning the soot out of its steam pipe origins. We consider physics and information theory to be both embodied in thermodynamics, represented by energy and entropy, respectively. Temperature arises as the bridge between them. Our suggestion is simple: Entropy, not temperature, deserves a unit of its own. Yet, it is potentially transformative, for it genuinely suggests a modification to the International System of Units and a dissolution of Boltzmann’s constant, which reduces to unity the countless “ $k_B \ln 2$ ”s found in thermodynamics. That simplification arises not by mathematical convenience, but rather by integrating information into physics.

3 Thermodynamic Equilibrium: Entropy as Information Capacity

Energy is a fundamental physical quantity whose conservation principle has both permitted significant theoretical advancements and resisted to severe experimental tests. In a conversation about thermodynamics, we shall be satisfied with its SI unit, the joule (J), itself expressed in terms of the second, the meter, and the kilogram. However, in Section 1, we express our dissatisfaction with the SI unit of entropy as measured in J/K and advocate instead for the information-theoretic view of entropy, measured in bits. Many such entropy measures have been proposed, most of which are operationally tied to bits. For instance, Shannon entropy $H(X)$ is the expected number of bits required to communicate the outcome of a random variable X in an optimal prefix code. More convoluted measures were proposed, yet, for our purposes, we step back on what is perhaps the simplest: information capacity.

The *information capacity* $S(E)$ of a system at a given energy E is quantified by

$$S(E) = \log_2 \Omega(E) \text{ bits},$$

where $\Omega(E)$ is the number of possible configurations a system at energy E can adopt, and a bit is the capacity of a 2-level system. The logarithm of a microstate count that corresponds to a given constraint is the gist of Boltzmann's entropy. By using the base 2 instead of the natural logarithm¹, and prefactoring it by 1 bit instead of k_B , we obtain a measure of capacity in bits, corresponding to a given energy E . Information capacity is a counterfactual property of the system, namely, an assessment of all the configurations in which the system *could be*, and it does not depend on the system's actual configuration. Nor does it depend on any probabilistic assessment, thereby avoiding the question of subjectivity and agent dependency.

Like a distance in space measured by the number of meters that can fit in that space, the capacity of a system is measured by the number of bits that can fit in that system. Operationally, $S(E)$ is the number of bits required to label all distinguishable states at energy E , or equivalently, the number of bits that can be encoded in the system if it is seen as a storage resource.

In modern days, it has become second nature to quantify the storage capacity of devices in bits. In a similar way, any physical system can be viewed as an information-storage system. Compared to the memory of a computing device that has been engineered to be stable in some relevant set of environments, a generic physical system has microstates which, for all practical purposes, cannot be prepared nor maintained in any chosen configuration. Despite this technological (but not fundamental) impracticality for user interplay, it remains that information can be encoded in physical systems, a quantity which is bounded by its information capacity. The assumption of thermodynamic equilibrium amounts to viewing a system's entropy as maximal and, therefore, reaching its full capacity².

4 Temperature as Joules per Bit


In this Section, we develop the logical implication that temperature is better understood as J/bit. The information capacity S is a function of its internal energy E , which can be inverted into a function $E(S)$. As with the usual statistical mechanical definition of temperature, T is obtained as the slope $\partial E/\partial S$ between energy and information capacity. Therefore, with entropy measured

¹A valid defence of the natural logarithm is its convenience when the machinery of differential calculus is used to elaborate the theory. While true, this is easily resolved by the conversion $\log_2 \Omega(E) \text{ bits} = \ln \Omega(E) \text{ nat}$, where the nat is the natural unit of information.

²The fact that a system at maximal entropy saturates its capacity can be easily recognized when quantifying entropy in the probabilistic setting: In this case, the Gibbs–Shannon entropy $S(\rho) = -\sum_{i=1}^{\Omega(E)} p_i \log_2 p_i$ of a distribution ρ over a configuration space of $\Omega(E)$ possible values is maximal for the uniform distribution, and is then equal to the capacity.

in bits, temperature is in J/bit. Note that the insistence on having entropy in terms of temperature (e.g. in J/K) yields a blatant circularity for those relying on a statistical mechanical temperature. For instance, when *defining* temperature, Schroeder [45] justifies the survival of the kelvin by: “Thanks to the factor of Boltzmann’s constant in the definition of entropy, the slope $\partial S/\partial E$ of a system’s entropy vs. energy graph has the units of (J/K)/J = 1/K. If we take the reciprocal of this slope, we get something with units of kelvins, just what we want for temperature.”

Non-exotic systems are of positive temperature and positive heat capacity, yielding both positive first and positive second derivatives of E with respect to S , as displayed in Figure 1.1. In thermodynamic contexts, where large systems are concerned, 1 bit is small compared to the relevant scale of the energy vs capacity graphs, and the operational meaning of the temperature of a system can be made clear.



(1) Systems usually have a concave up shape.

(2) A heat bath is a linear idealization.

Figure 1: Graphs of energy vs capacity.

Operational definition of temperature: it is the increase in internal energy (in J) required to increase the information capacity by 1 bit.

Temperature as such is independent of an *a priori* notion of *heat baths*, which instead can be understood in terms of systems whose $E(S)$ function is of constant slope, namely, of constant temperature, as displayed in Figure 1.2. Such systems can be thought of as idealizations of very large systems or, in fact, close-ups of some $E(S)$ function, which then appears to be linear. The energy cost to increase the information capacity of a heat bath at temperature T by x bits is xT J. However, for systems that are not well approximated by a heat bath, the energy cost for an additional x bits need not be linear: The energy cost must be integrated over the interval of increased information capacity.

4.1 Available Energy and Capacity

In 1873, Gibbs [46] found out that systems whose entropy is not maximal have *available energy* — now known as *free energy* — as the system can be used to produce work. This energy is extracted from the system by transforming it into a state of lower energy, while conserving its entropy, until the capacity curve is reached. It is thus the amount of energy that can be extracted from the system with no need to store an excess of entropy. See Figure 2.




Figure 2: The point's coordinates are given by the system's entropy and energy, respectively on the x and y axes. The red dashed line represents the available energy while the blue dashed line, the available capacity.

In the same breath, Gibbs presents what he calls the *capacity for entropy*, or as we like to view it, *available capacity*³. It is the number of bits that can be encoded in the system at no extra energy cost. Available capacity quantifies the amount of structure in the system, like blanks on a tape, or the empty registers in the memory of Maxwell's demon. The view advocated here, in which energy and entropy are more fundamental than temperature, highlights well the duality captured by Gibbs between available energy and available capacity.

Moreover, that duality is also captured by idealized systems that are efficient to store either energy or entropy. A *battery* is a system designed to keep the energy available, namely, the internal energy of the system can be changed with no significant changes in entropy. As an example, consider a weight in a gravitational field. The (potential) energy of the weight can be easily changed without affecting the information that is encoded in it. When changing the position of the weight, except for the energy, all the intrinsic properties of the system are unchanged. Given the interpretation of temperature as joules per

³Available capacity is also known by the names *negative entropy* (Schrödinger [47]) and *negentropy* (Brillouin [48]).

bit, a battery is hot: Its energy can be changed with minimal information processing. On the other hand, some systems are efficient for storing information (or entropy) with no energy change — we call them *tapes*. Degenerate ground states, or hard disk drives, are instances of those tapes. An empty tape is cold, since information can be encoded in it at no energy cost.

4.2 Landauer’s “Displacement”

Be it classical or quantum, information processing follows the logical reversibility of the physical laws of motion. As a consequence, the information (i.e. entropy) of an isolated system does not decrease spontaneously. When considering the entire universe as one isolated system, the conclusion is straightforward: “No information is ever lost.” This statement has been recognized as a formulation of the second law of thermodynamics [49, 50].

The term “information erasure” is, therefore, a misnomer: Information is never *erased* as if free energy had the power of fundamental erasure. Rather, information is *displaced*. If information leaves a system, it moves to its environment.

The environment, therefore, should be seen as a memory which is itself described by an energy vs information capacity curve of the sort shown in Figure 1. Landauer’s principle then reflects a clear operational application of Temperature as Joules per Bit: To accommodate one additional bit of information, the environment’s capacity must be expanded via a precise quantity of free energy (in joules). By definition, this quantity is the temperature T of the environment times one bit. No unnecessary k_B . No unnecessary $\ln 2$.

5 Conclusion

Upon arguing the primality of bits over kelvins, we offer an interpretation of thermodynamics centred on the duality between energy and information. Temperature arises as the nexus between them, linking joules to bits.

When a system’s entropy is maximal, its capacity is a useful proxy for its entropy, as they coincide. The system’s temperature is then operationally given by the energy cost to increase the capacity by one bit. When the system’s entropy is not maximal, it has available energy, or available capacity, like batteries and tapes do. And viewing the environment as a storage of information is what explains Landauer’s “displacement”; namely, since a bit is never erased, the environment’s capacity needs to be increased by one bit to accommodate it, which is achieved at the expense of T J, where T is the temperature’s value in joules per bit.

Like energy, which takes very different forms across the many domains of physics, information might also be characterized in different ways. Investigating them and interlinking information-theoretic notions with many of the traditional concepts of thermodynamics is part of the large research programme

briefly summarized in Section 2.

While the authors are now adept of “temperature as joules/bit,” they unsurprisingly do not use these units to discuss the water temperature when they go swimming. In fact, most of them still use the Fahrenheit. Nevertheless, as 1 K is about 9.57×10^{-24} J/bit, their preferred water temperature would be around 2.87×10^{-21} J/bit.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Charles H. Bennett, David Deutsch, Paul Erker, and Maria Violaris for fruitful discussions and thought-provoking comments about earlier versions of this work. The authors also thank Jude the Obscure for its enlightening atmosphere.

CAB’s work is supported by the Fonds de recherche du Québec – Nature et technologie, the Hasler Foundation, and the Swiss National Science Foundation. XCR and SW acknowledge support from the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF).

References

- [1] Gottfried W Leibniz. Specimen dynamicum pro admirandis Naturae legibus circa corporum vires et mutuas actiones detegendis, et ad suas causas revocandis. *Acta Eruditorum*, 4:145–157, 1695.
- [2] Thomas Young. *A course of lectures on natural philosophy and the mechanical arts: in two volumes*, volume 2. Johnson, 1807.
- [3] Sadi Carnot. Réflexions sur la puissance motrice du feu et sur les machines propres à développer cette puissance. *Paris: Bachelier*, 1824.
- [4] Rudolf Clausius. Über die bewegende Kraft der Wärme und die Gesetze, welche sich daraus für die Wärmelehre selbst ableiten lassen. *Annalen der Physik*, 155(3):368–397, 1850.
- [5] Ludwig Boltzmann. Über die Beziehung dem zweiten Hauptsatz der mechanischen Wärmetheorie und der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung respektive den Sätzen über das Wärmegleichgewicht. *Wiener Berichte*, 76:373–435, 1877.
- [6] Koji Maruyama, Franco Nori, and Vlatko Vedral. Colloquium: The physics of Maxwell’s demon and information. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 81(1):1–23, 2009.
- [7] Juan MR Parrondo, Jordan M Horowitz, and Takahiro Sagawa. Thermodynamics of information. *Nature physics*, 11(2):131–139, 2015.
- [8] James C Maxwell. *Theory of heat*. Cambridge University Press, 1871.
- [9] Harvey S Leff and Andrew F Rex. *Maxwell’s demon: entropy, information, computing*. Princeton University Press, 1990.

- [10] Harvey Leff and Andrew F Rex. *Maxwell's Demon 2 Entropy, Classical and Quantum Information, Computing*. CRC Press, 2002.
- [11] Leo Szilard. Über die Entropieverminderung in einem thermodynamischen System bei Eingriffen intelligenter Wesen. *Zeitschrift für Physik*, 53(11-12):840–856, 1929.
- [12] John Von Neumann. *Mathematical foundations of quantum mechanics*. Princeton University Press, 1955.
- [13] Denis Gabor. IV light and information. In *Progress in optics*, volume 1, pages 109–153. Elsevier, 1961.
- [14] Leon Brillouin. *Science and information theory*. Academic Press, 2nd edition, 1962.
- [15] Rolf Landauer. Irreversibility and heat generation in the computing process. *IBM journal of Research and Development*, 5(3):183–191, 1961.
- [16] Charles H Bennett. Logical reversibility of computation. *IBM journal of Research and Development*, 17(6):525–532, 1973.
- [17] Edward Fredkin and Tommaso Toffoli. Conservative logic. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 21(3-4):219–253, 1982.
- [18] Charles H Bennett. The thermodynamics of computation—a review. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 21(12):905–940, 1982.
- [19] Benjamin Schumacher. *in quantum information and foundations of thermodynamics, ETH Zürich, 9-12 August, 2011, <https://www.video.ethz.ch/conferences/2011/qiftw11/f9bb6f3d-7461-4971-8213-2e3ceb48c0ba.html> (accessed November 11, 2023)*.
- [20] Claude E Shannon. A mathematical theory of communication. *The Bell System Technical Journal*, 27:379–423, 1948.
- [21] Edwin T Jaynes. Information theory and statistical mechanics. *Physical Review*, 106(4):620–630, 1957.
- [22] Edwin T Jaynes. Information theory and statistical mechanics. II. *Physical Review*, 108(2):171–190, 1957.
- [23] Rudolf Hanel, Stefan Thurner, and Murray Gell-Mann. How multiplicity determines entropy and the derivation of the maximum entropy principle for complex systems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(19):6905–6910, 2014.
- [24] Abner Shimony. The status of the principle of maximum entropy. *Synthese*, 63(1):35–53, 1985.
- [25] Tommaso Toffoli. Entropy? Honest! *Entropy*, 18(7):247, 2016.
- [26] Ray J Solomonoff. A formal theory of inductive inference. Part I. *Information and control*, 7(1):1–22, 1964.
- [27] Andreï N Kolmogorov. Three approaches to the quantitative definition of information. *Problemy Peredachi Informatsii*, 1(1):3–11, 1965.

- [28] Gregory J Chaitin. On the length of programs for computing finite binary sequences. *Journal of the ACM*, 13(4):547–569, 1966.
- [29] Wojciech H Zurek. Algorithmic randomness and physical entropy. *Physical Review A*, 40(8):4731, 1989.
- [30] Murray Gell-Mann and Seth Lloyd. Effective complexity. In *Murray Gell-Mann: Selected Papers*, pages 391–402. World Scientific, 2010.
- [31] Ämin Baumeler and Stefan Wolf. Causality–Complexity–Consistency: Can space-time be based on logic and computation? In *Time in Physics*, pages 69–101. Springer, 2017.
- [32] Alexander S Holevo. Some estimates for the amount of information transmittable by a quantum communication channel (in russian). *Problemy Predachi Informacii*, 9:3–11, 1973.
- [33] Charles H Bennett and Gilles Brassard. Quantum cryptography: Public key distribution and coin tossing. In *International conference on computers, systems and signal processing*, pages 175–179, 1984.
- [34] David Deutsch. Quantum theory, the Church–Turing principle and the universal quantum computer. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A. Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 400(1818):97–117, 1985.
- [35] Vlatko Vedral. *Introduction to quantum information science*. Oxford University Press, USA, 2006.
- [36] Elihu Lubkin. Keeping the entropy of measurement: Szilard revisited. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 26:523–535, 1987.
- [37] Martin B Plenio and Vincenzo Vitelli. The physics of forgetting: Landauer’s erasure principle and information theory. *Contemporary Physics*, 42(1):25–60, 2001.
- [38] Wojciech H Zurek. Quantum discord and Maxwell’s demons. *Physical Review A*, 67(1):012320, 2003.
- [39] Lídia del Rio, Johan Åberg, Renato Renner, Oscar Dahlsten, and Vlatko Vedral. The thermodynamic meaning of negative entropy. *Nature*, 474(7349):61–63, 2011.
- [40] Philippe Faist, Frédéric Dupuis, Jonathan Oppenheim, and Renato Renner. The minimal work cost of information processing. *Nature communications*, 6(1):7669, 2015.
- [41] John Goold, Marcus Huber, Arnau Riera, Lídia Del Rio, and Paul Skrzypczyk. The role of quantum information in thermodynamics—a topical review. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 49(14):143001, 2016.
- [42] Elliott H Lieb and Jakob Yngvason. The physics and mathematics of the second law of thermodynamics. *Physics Reports*, 310(1):1–96, 1999.
- [43] Chiara Marletto. Constructor theory of thermodynamics. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.02625*, 2016.

- [44] Austin Hulse, Benjamin Schumacher, and Michael D Westmoreland. Axiomatic information thermodynamics. *Entropy*, 20(4):237, 2018.
- [45] Daniel V Schroeder. *An Introduction to Thermal Physics*. Addison Wesley, 2000.
- [46] Josiah Willard Gibbs. A method of geometrical representation of the thermodynamic properties by means of surfaces. *The Collected Works of J. Willard Gibbs, Ph. D., LL. D*, pages 33–54, 1957.
- [47] Erwin Schrödinger. *What is life?: The physical aspect of the living cell*. Cambridge University Press, 1944.
- [48] Leon Brillouin. The negentropy principle of information. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 24(9):1152–1163, 1953.
- [49] Stefan Wolf. Second thoughts on the second law. In *Adventures Between Lower Bounds and Higher Altitudes*, pages 463–476. Springer, 2018.
- [50] Amin Baumeler and Stefan Wolf. Free energy of a general computation. *Physical Review E*, 100(5):052115, 2019.