

KLEISLI CATEGORIES, T -CATEGORIES AND INTERNAL CATEGORIES

DOMINIQUE BOURN

ABSTRACT. We investigate the properties of the Kleisli category KIT of a monad (T, λ, μ) on a category \mathbb{E} and in particular the existence of (some kind of) pullbacks. This culminates when the monad is cartesian. In this case, we show that any T -category in \mathbb{E} in the sense of A. Burroni coincides with a special kind of internal category in KIT . So, it is the case in particular for T -operads and T -multicategories. More unexpectedly, this, in turn, sheds new lights on internal categories and n -categories.

INTRODUCTION

The notion of T -category in a category \mathbb{E} endowed with a monad (T, λ, μ) was introduced by A. Burroni in [11]. It is a kind of a mix of a relational algebra on T in the sense of Barr [1] and of "something" which looks like an internal category, but shifted by this monad, see precise definition in Section 5. The aim of this work is to investigate what is exactly this "something". It easily appears that a T -category is a special kind of 3-truncated simplicial object in the Kleisli category KIT of this monad. Now, when, in addition, the monad (T, λ, μ) is cartesian, we shall show that:

- 1) the bijective on object natural functor $\bar{F}^T : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow KIT$ is actually an inclusion;
- 2) the subcategory \mathbb{E} then appears to be *left cancelable* in KIT , i.e. such that $h \in \mathbb{E}$ and $g \in \mathbb{E}$ imply $f \in \mathbb{E}$ when $h = g.f$ in KIT ;
- 3) when \mathbb{E} is finitely complete, not only the category $\text{Alg}T$ of algebras on the monad is finitely complete as well, but the Kleisli category KIT , which is not finitely complete, is however such that any map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in (the subcategory) \mathbb{E} has a pullback along any map in KIT which still belongs to \mathbb{E} .

From this observation, we shall show that, when the monad is cartesian, the previous 3-truncated simplicial object in KIT is actually underlying a regular internal category in KIT , and that any T -category coincides with this kind of internal category. So, according to [22], T -operads and T -multicategories appear to be internal categories in KIT .

If the properties of the category $\text{Alg}T$ of T -algebras are well known, those of KIT have been neglected. This work gave us the opportunity to investigate how, step by step, the several assumptions of a cartesian monad surprisingly organize the properties of KIT .

Conversely, and more unexpectedly, any internal category in \mathbb{E} will appear to be a special kind of \mathbb{G} -category where $(\mathbb{G}, \sigma, \pi)$ is the monad on the category $\text{Pt}\mathbb{E}$

Key words and phrases. Internal categories and groupoids, Kleisli categories, T -categories, internal n -categories and n -groupoids, operads, multicategories.
 2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 18C20, 18D40, 18M60, 18M65, 18N50.

of split epimorphisms in \mathbb{E} whose category of algebras was known to be nothing but the category $Grd\mathbb{E}$ of internal groupoids in \mathbb{E} , see [6]. From that we shall how internal n -categories and n -groupoids are related to this monad as well. So that the following whole tower of fibrations is entirely ruled by the monad $(\mathbb{G}, \sigma, \pi)$ and constructed from it:

$$\dots n-Cat\mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{(\)^{n-1}} (n-1)-Cat\mathbb{E} \dots\dots 2-Cat\mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{(\)^1} Cat\mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{(\)^0} \mathbb{E}$$

Beyond the heuristic interest of this result, it could appear very useful when combinatorial diagrammatic calculations will have been developed on computers. For some fresh results on Burroni's T -categories in another direction see [25].

The ideas of this work came to my mind during a talk of M. Batanin in Nice for the *Homotopical days* (7-9 Dec. 2022), see [5] and also [3]. The article is organized along the following lines:

Section 1: brief recalls about monads. Section 2: properties of the Kleisli category KlT . Section 3: brief recalls about internal categories. Section 4: recalls about internal groupoids and the monad $(\mathbb{G}, \sigma, \pi)$. Section 5: recalls about T -categories. Section 6: when T -categories in \mathbb{E} coincide with a special kind of internal categories in KlT . Section 7: when internal categories in \mathbb{E} coincide with a special kind of \mathbb{G} -categories. Section 8: extensions of the results of the previous section to internal n -categories and n -groupoids. Any internal category X_\bullet produces a cartesian monad $(T_{X_\bullet}, \lambda_{X_\bullet}, \mu_{X_\bullet})$ on the slice category \mathbb{E}/X_0 ; Section 9 is devoted to make explicit all the results of Section 6 about this monad. Section 10 is devoted to translate the results of this same section to T -operads, T -multicategories, and their algebras.

1. MONADS

1.1. **Basics.** Let us briefly recall the basics on monads. A monad on a category \mathbb{E} is a triple (T, λ, μ) of an endofunctor T and two natural transformations:

$$Id_{\mathbb{E}} \xrightarrow{\lambda} T \xleftarrow{\mu} T^2$$

satisfying $\mu \cdot \mu T = \mu \cdot T \mu$ and $\mu \cdot \lambda T = 1_T = \mu \cdot T \lambda$. An adjoint pair $(U, F, \lambda, \epsilon) : \bar{\mathbb{E}} \rightleftarrows \mathbb{E}$ determines the monad $(T, \lambda, \mu) = (U \cdot F, \lambda, U \epsilon F)$ on \mathbb{E} .

A T -algebra [16] on an object X is given by a map $\xi : T(X) \rightarrow X$ satisfying $\xi \cdot \lambda_X = 1_X$ and $\xi \cdot \mu_X = \xi \cdot T(\xi)$. Accordingly the pair $(T(X), \mu_X)$ produces a T -algebra on $T(X)$. A morphism $f : (X, \xi) \rightarrow (Y, \gamma)$ of T -algebras is given by a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f \cdot \xi = \gamma \cdot T(f)$.

This construction determines the category $\mathbf{Alg}T$ of T -algebras and the forgetful functor $U^T : \mathbf{Alg}T \rightarrow \mathbb{E} : (X, \xi) \mapsto X$ which is obviously conservative. It has the functor $F^T : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}T$ defined by $F^T(X) = (T(X), \mu_X)$ as left adjoint which makes U^T a left exact functor. The monad associated with the adjoint pair (U^T, F^T) recovers the initial monad (T, λ, μ) . From an adjoint pair $(U, F, \lambda, \epsilon) : \bar{\mathbb{E}} \rightleftarrows \mathbb{E}$ and its associated monad $(T, \lambda, \mu) = (U \cdot F, \lambda, U \epsilon F)$ we get a comparison functor $A_{(U,F)} : \bar{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}T$, defined by $A_{(U,F)}(Z) = (U(Z), U(\epsilon_Z))$, making the following adjoint pairs commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{\mathbb{E}} & \xrightarrow{A_{(U,F)}} & \mathbf{Alg}T \\ \swarrow U & & \swarrow F^T \\ \mathbb{E} & & \mathbb{E} \\ \searrow F & & \searrow U^T \end{array}$$

The functor U is said to be *monadic* when the comparison functor $A_{(U,F)}$ is an equivalence of categories.

A comonad (C, ϵ, ν) is the dual of a monad; it determines the category \mathbf{ColgC} of co-algebras and a coadjoint pair $(F_C, U_C) : \mathbf{ColgC} \rightleftarrows \mathbb{E}$. Any adjoint pair $(U, F, \lambda, \epsilon) : \mathbb{E} \rightleftarrows \mathbb{E}$ determines the comonad $(C, \epsilon, \nu) = (F.U, \epsilon, F\lambda U)$ on \mathbb{E} and a comparison functor $C_{(U,F)} : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{ColgC}$, defined by $C_{(U,F)}(X) = (F(X), F(\lambda_X))$, making the following coadjoint pairs commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{E} & \xrightarrow{C_{(U,F)}} & \mathbf{ColgC} \\ \swarrow F & & \swarrow U_C \\ U & & \searrow F_C \\ & & \mathbb{E} \end{array}$$

The functor F is said to be *comonadic* when the comparison functor $C_{(U,F)}$ is an equivalence of categories.

1.2. Cartesian monads. A functor $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is said to be *cartesian* when \mathbb{C} has pullbacks and F preserves them. A natural transformation $\nu : F \Rightarrow G$ between any pair of functors is said to be *cartesian* when, given any map $f : X \rightarrow Y \in \mathbb{C}$, the following square is a pullback in \mathbb{D} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X) & \xrightarrow{\nu_X} & G(X) \\ F(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow G(f) \\ F(Y) & \xrightarrow{\nu_Y} & G(Y) \end{array}$$

A monad (T, λ, μ) is *cartesian* when the three ingredients are cartesian. A. Burroni [11] was deeply involved in the cartesian monad induced by the free adjunction $Cat \rightleftarrows Gph$ between categories and directed graphs. More specifically, from T. Leinster [21], the free monoid monad (M, λ, μ) on Set is a cartesian one: it is the restriction of Burroni's monad to directed graphs with only one object. Sections 3.2 will be devoted to a cartesian monad associated with any internal category X_\bullet .

Proposition 1.1. *Let (T, λ, μ) be a monad on \mathbb{E} where μ is cartesian; the two conditions are equivalent:*

1) λ is the equalizer of λT and $T\lambda$, and 2) λ is cartesian.

Proof. When μ is cartesian, the natural transformations λT and $T\lambda$ are necessarily cartesian as well, being splittings of the cartesian μ . Now, for any map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in Σ , consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{\lambda_X} & T(X) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{T(X)}} & T^2(X) \\ f \downarrow & & T(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow T^2(f) \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\lambda_Y} & T(Y) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{T(Y)}} & T^2(Y) \end{array}$$

$\begin{array}{ccc} \xrightarrow{\mu_X} & \xrightarrow{\mu_Y} \\ \xrightarrow{\mu_X} & \xrightarrow{\mu_Y} \\ \xrightarrow{\mu_X} & \xrightarrow{\mu_Y} \end{array}$

Any of the right hand side commutative squares is a pullback. Moreover, under assumption 1), the two horizontal ones are pullbacks. Accordingly, the "box lemma" for pullbacks makes the left hand square a pullback as well.

In any case, by the following lemma, when λ is cartesian, λ is the equalizer of λT and $T\lambda$. \square

Lemma 1.1. *Given any cosplit parallel pair in a category \mathbb{E} :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{m} & \\ X & \xleftarrow{g} \! \! \! \dashrightarrow & \check{X} \\ & \xrightarrow{m'} & \end{array}$$

any equality $m.k = m'.h$ implies $k = h$. Accordingly the pullback of the maps m and m' produces their equalizer. So:

- 1) given any monad (T, λ, μ) , if λ is cartesian, then λ is the equalizer of the pair $(\lambda_T, T(\lambda))$;
- 2) any cartesian functor preserves the equalizers of cosplit parallel pairs.

Later on, we shall need the following:

Definition 1.1. *A monad (T, λ, μ) is said to be half-cartesian when the endofunctor T is cartesian and λ is the equalizer of the pair $(\lambda_T, T(\lambda))$.*

Accordingly, a monad is cartesian if and only if it is half-cartesian and μ is cartesian.

1.3. Cartesian adjoint pairs. It is then natural to call *cartesian adjoint pair*, any adjoint pair $(U, F) : \mathbb{C} \rightleftarrows \mathbb{D}$ such that \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{D} has pullbacks, the functor F is cartesian, the natural transformations $\lambda : Id_{\mathbb{D}} \Rightarrow U.F$ and $\epsilon : F.U \Rightarrow Id_{\mathbb{C}}$ are cartesian. Then the induced monad (T, λ, μ) on \mathbb{D} is clearly a cartesian monad, since $\mu = U(\epsilon_F)$. The induced comonad (C, ϵ, ν) on \mathbb{C} is cartesian as well: the functor $C = F.U$ is cartesian and the natural transformation ν , being a section of the natural transformation ϵ_C , is cartesian as soon as so is ϵ .

Proposition 1.2. *Given any cartesian adjoint pair $(U, F) : \mathbb{C} \rightleftarrows \mathbb{D}$, the natural transformation $\mu : T^2 \rightarrow T$ of the induced monad on \mathbb{D} is such that the following diagram is a kernel equivalence relation:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{T(\mu_X)} & \\ T^3(X) & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{A}(\lambda_{T(X)})} \! \! \! \dashrightarrow & T^2(X) \xrightarrow{\mu_X} T(X) \\ & \xrightarrow{\mu_{T(X)}} & \end{array}$$

Conversely suppose that (T, λ, μ) is a cartesian monad. The adjoint pair $(U^T, F^T) : \text{Alg}T \rightleftarrows \mathbb{E}$ is a cartesian one if and only if the natural transformation μ satisfies the above property. In this case, given any T -algebra $\xi : T(X) \rightarrow X$, the following diagram produces a kernel equivalence relation:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{T(\xi)} & \\ T^2(X) & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{A}(\lambda_X)} \! \! \! \dashrightarrow & T(X) \xrightarrow{\xi} X \\ & \xrightarrow{\mu_X} & \end{array}$$

Proof. The first assertion is the consequence of the fact that the commutative square underlying the diagram in question is the image by the cartesian functor U of the following pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (F.U)^2(F(X)) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{F.U.F(X)}} & F.U.F(X) \\ F.U(\epsilon_{F(X)}) \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon_{F(X)} \\ F.U.F(X) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{F(X)}} & F(X) \end{array}$$

Now suppose the monad is cartesian. Given any T -algebra $x : T(X) \rightarrow X$ on the object X and applying μ -cartesianness to the map x , we get a pullback, in such a way that the map $T(\mu_X)$ delineates the composition map of an internal category in \mathbb{E} (see Section 3 below):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \xrightarrow{\mu_{T(X)}} & & \xrightarrow{\mu_X} & \\
 T^3(X) & \xrightarrow{-T(\mu_X)-} & T^2(X) & \xleftarrow{-T(\lambda_X)-} & T(X) \\
 & \xrightarrow{T^2(x)} & & \xrightarrow{T(x)} & \\
 \end{array}$$

When, in addition, μ satisfies the condition in question, the pair $(\mu_{T(X)}, T(\mu_X))$ is the kernel pair of μ_X and this category is actually a groupoid (see Theorem 4.1 below). Any morphism of T -algebras: $f : (X, x) \rightarrow (Y, y)$ produces the following vertical discrete fibration between groupoids:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \xrightarrow{\mu_{T(X)}} & & \xrightarrow{\mu_X} & \\
 T^3(X) & \xrightarrow{-T(\mu_X)-} & T^2(X) & \xleftarrow{-T(\lambda_X)-} & T(X) \\
 \downarrow T^3(f) & \xrightarrow{T^2(x)} & \downarrow T^2(f) & \xrightarrow{T(x)} & \downarrow T(f) \\
 & \xrightarrow{\mu_{T(Y)}} & & \xrightarrow{\mu_Y} & \\
 T^3(Y) & \xrightarrow{-T(\mu_Y)-} & T^2(Y) & \xleftarrow{-T(\lambda_Y)-} & T(Y) \\
 & \xrightarrow{T^2(y)} & & \xrightarrow{T(y)} & \\
 \end{array}$$

So, it is a discrete cofibration as well, and the following rightward left hand side commutative square is a pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 T^2(X) & \xleftarrow{\lambda_{T(X)}} & T(X) & \xleftarrow{\lambda_X} & X \\
 \downarrow T^2(f) & \xrightarrow{T(x)} & \downarrow T(f) & \xrightarrow{x} & \downarrow f \\
 T^2(Y) & \xleftarrow{\lambda_{T(Y)}} & T(Y) & \xleftarrow{\lambda_Y} & Y \\
 & \xrightarrow{T(y)} & & \xrightarrow{y} & \\
 \end{array}$$

So, the rightward right hand side commutative square is a pullback as well, since, composed with the leftward right hand side pullback (λ is cartesian), it gives rise to the pullback obtained by composition of the two left hand side pullbacks. This exactly means that the co-unit $\epsilon : F^T.U^T \rightarrow Id_{\mathbf{Alg}T}$ of the comonad on $\mathbf{Alg}T$ is cartesian. The last assertion is obtained by applying ϵ -cartesianness to ϵ itself. \square

So, let us introduce the following:

Definition 1.2. A monad (T, λ, μ) is said to be hypercartesian when it is cartesian and the natural transformation μ is such that:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T^3(X) & \xrightarrow{T(\mu_X)} & T^2(X) \\
 \xrightarrow{-T(\lambda_{T(X)})-} & & \xrightarrow{\mu_X} \\
 & \xrightarrow{\mu_{T(X)}} & T(X)
 \end{array}$$

is a kernel equivalence relation for any object X .

1.4. **Autonomous adjoint pairs.** Let us introduce the following:

Definition 1.3. An adjoint pair $(U, F) : \bar{\mathbb{E}} \rightleftarrows \mathbb{E}$ is said to be autonomous when U is monadic and F comonadic.

In other words, an adjunction is autonomous when it does not expand in new adjunctions via the algebra or co-algebra constructions. The aim of this section is to prove that any half-cartesian monad makes the adjoint pair $(U^T, F^T) : \mathbf{Alg}T \rightleftarrows \mathbb{E}$ an autonomous one.

Proposition 1.3. Let $(U, F) : \bar{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ be an adjoint pair and (T, λ, μ) its associated monad on \mathbb{E} . The two following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) the natural transformation λ is the equalizer of the pair $(\lambda_T, T(\lambda))$;
- 2) the comparison functor $C_{(U,F)} : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{Colg}C$ is fully faithful.

Any of these conditions implies that the functor $F : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ is conservative.

Proof. Suppose 1). Let $h : F(X) \rightarrow F(Y)$ be a map in $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$ making the following left hand side square commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(X) & \xrightarrow{F(\lambda_X)} & FT(X) \\
 h \downarrow & & \downarrow FU(h) \\
 F(Y) & \xrightarrow{F(\lambda_Y)} & FT(Y)
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\lambda_X} & T(X) \\
 \vdots \downarrow & & \downarrow U(h) \\
 Y & \xrightarrow{\lambda_Y} & T(Y)
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{T(X)}} & T^2(X) \\
 & \xrightarrow{T(\lambda_X)} & \downarrow TU(h) \\
 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{T(Y)}} & T^2(Y) \\
 & \xrightarrow{T(\lambda_Y)} &
 \end{array}$$

then the two right hand side squares of the right hand side diagram commute and, by 1), we get the dotted factorization k in \mathbb{E} such that $U(h).\lambda_X = \lambda_Y.k$. It remains to show that $F(k) = h$, which is a consequence of $UF(k).\lambda_X = \lambda_Y.k = U(h).\lambda_X$. The unicity of such a k is a consequence of the fact that λ_Y is a monomorphism.

Conversely suppose 2). Let $l : Z \rightarrow T(X)$ be a map such that $\lambda_{T(X)}.l = T(\lambda_X).l$. We get the following commutative square in $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(Z) & \xrightarrow{F(\lambda_Z)} & FT(Z) \\
 \epsilon_{F(X)}.F(l) \downarrow & & \downarrow FU(\epsilon_{F(X)}.F(l)) \\
 F(X) & \xrightarrow{F(\lambda_X)} & FT(X)
 \end{array}$$

Since: $FU(\epsilon_{F(X)}.F(l)).F(\lambda_Z) = FU(\epsilon_{F(X)}.FT(l)).F(\lambda_Z) = FU(\epsilon_{F(X)}.F(\lambda_{T(X)})).F(l) = F(l)$.

While: $F(\lambda_X).\epsilon_{F(X)}.F(l) = \epsilon_{FT(X)}.FT(\lambda_X).F(l) = \epsilon_{FT(X)}.F(\lambda_{T(X)}).F(l) = F(l)$. According to 2), there is a map $k : Z \rightarrow X$ such that $F(k) = \epsilon_{F(X)}.F(l)$. Whence $\lambda_X.k = l$, by $\epsilon_{F(X)}.F(\lambda_X.k) = F(k) = \epsilon_{F(X)}.F(l)$. It remains to show the unicity of the factorization. Let k' be such that $\lambda_X.k' = l$. Since $C_{(U,F)}$ is faithful, checking $k = k'$ is equivalent to checking $F(k) = F(k')$. We get: $F(k') = \epsilon_{F(X)}.F(\lambda_X).F(k') = \epsilon_{F(X)}.F(\lambda_Z.k') = \epsilon_{F(X)}.F(l) = F(k)$. The last assertion is straightforward since $F = F_C.C_{(U,F)}$ and F_C is conservative. \square

Proposition 1.4. Let $(U, F) : \bar{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ be an adjoint pair and (T, λ, μ) its associated monad on \mathbb{E} . Suppose the functor F cartesian. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) the natural transformation λ is the equalizer of the pair $(\lambda_T, T(\lambda))$;

2) the functor F is conservative.

Under any of these conditions, the functor F is comonadic.

Proof. Suppose 1). We shall show that the comparison functor $C_{(U,F)}$ is an equivalence of categories. Accordingly the functor F will be comonadic and thus conservative. So, let us show that $C_{(U,F)}$ is essentially surjective. Let $a : W \rightarrow F.U(W) = C(W)$ be a co-algebra structure on W in \mathbb{E} . By the identities $\epsilon_W.a = 1_W$ and $F.U(a).a = F(\lambda_{U(W)}).a$, it produces a 2-truncated split simplicial object in \mathbb{E} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 W & \xleftarrow{\epsilon_W} & F.U(W) \\
 \xrightarrow{a} & & \xleftarrow{F(\lambda_{U(W)})} \\
 & & \xrightarrow{F.U(\epsilon_W)} \\
 & & \xrightarrow{F.U(a)} \\
 & & (F.U)^2(W)
 \end{array}$$

Accordingly, a is the equalizer of the pair $(F.U(a), F(\lambda_{U(W)}))$ in \mathbb{E} . We have to find an object J in \mathbb{E} such that $C_{(U,F)}(J) = (F(J), F(\lambda_J)) \simeq (W, a)$ in \mathbf{ColgC} . For that take the equalizer $j : J \rightarrow U(W)$ in \mathbb{E} of the cosplit parallel pair $(U(a), \lambda_{U(W)})$. Since F is cartesian, this equalizer is preserved by F . So the natural comparison $\gamma : F(J) \rightarrow W$ such that $a.\gamma = F(j)$ in \mathbb{E} is an isomorphism. It remains to check that the following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 W & \xrightarrow{a} & F.U(W) \\
 \gamma \uparrow & & \uparrow F.U(\gamma) \\
 F(J) & \xrightarrow{F(\lambda_J)} & F.T(J)
 \end{array}$$

namely that $F.U(\gamma).F(\lambda_J) = F(j)$. We shall check it by composition with the monomorphism $F.U(a) : F.U(a).F.U(\gamma).F(\lambda_J) = F.U.F(j).F(\lambda_J) = F(\lambda_{U(W)}).F(j)$ while $F.U(a).F(j) = F(\lambda_{U(W)}).F(j)$ by definition of j .

Conversely suppose 2). We have to show that the natural transformation λ is the equalizer of the pair $(\lambda_T, T(\lambda))$. For that take the equalizer $j : J \rightarrow X$ in \mathbb{E} of the cosplit pair $(\lambda_{T(X)}, T(\lambda_X))$ and denote $\gamma : X \rightarrow J$ the natural comparison such that $\lambda_X = j.\gamma$. Since F is cartesian, this equalizer j is preserved by F , and, $F\lambda_X$ being necessarily the equalizer of the pair $(F(\lambda_{T(X)}), F.T(\lambda_X))$ thanks to the retraction $\epsilon_{F(X)}$, the map $F(\gamma) : F(X) \rightarrow F(J)$ is an isomorphism in \mathbb{E} . Now, since F is conservative, γ is an isomorphism, and λ_X is the equalizer of the pair $(\lambda_{T(X)}, T(\lambda_X))$. \square

Corollary 1.1. *Let (T, λ, μ) be a monad with a cartesian endofunctor T , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) the monad is half-cartesian;
- 2) the endofunctor T is conservative.

Under any of these conditions, the adjoint pair $(U^T, F^T) : \mathbf{AlgT} \rightleftarrows \mathbb{E}$ is an autonomous one.

Moreover, when the monad is hypercartesian, the adjoint pair $(U^T, F^T) : \mathbf{AlgT} \rightleftarrows \mathbb{E}$ is a cartesian one.

Proof. The functor U^T being monadic, it reflects pullbacks; so, T is cartesian if and only if F^T is cartesian. Applying the previous proposition, the natural transformation λ is the equalizer of the pair $(\lambda_T, T(\lambda))$ if and only if F^T is conservative. This is the case, U^T being conservative, if and only if T is conservative. Then

F^T is comonadic; since U^T is monadic, the adjoint pair $(U^T, F^T) : \mathbf{Alg} T \rightleftarrows \mathbb{E}$ is an autonomous one. The last assertion is a consequence of Proposition 1.2. \square

2. KLEISLI CATEGORY OF A MONAD

The canonical decomposition of the functor $F^T : \mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\bar{F}^T} K\!l\!T \xrightarrow{K_T} \mathbf{Alg} T$ into a functor F^T which is bijective on objects followed by a fully faithful functor K_T produces the *Kleisli category* $K\!l\!T$ [19] of the monad. Accordingly, the functor \bar{F}^T remains a left adjoint to $\bar{U}^T = U^T.K_T : K\!l\!T \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$, and obviously this adjoint pair recovers the monad (T, λ, μ) as well. Moreover the functor \bar{U}^T is conservative as a composition of two conservative functors.

By the adjoint bijection $\mathbf{Hom}_{K\!l\!T}(X, Y) \simeq \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{E}}(X, TY)$, any map $X \cdots > Y$ in $K\!l\!T$ is given by a map $\alpha : X \rightarrow T(Y)$ in \mathbb{E} ; we call the map $\alpha \in \mathbb{E}$, the *support* of this map in $K\!l\!T$ which we shall then denote by $\ulcorner \alpha \urcorner : X \cdots > Y$. Given any other map $\ulcorner \beta \urcorner : Y \cdots > Z$ in $K\!l\!T$, we get $\ulcorner \beta \urcorner . \ulcorner \alpha \urcorner = \ulcorner \mu_Z.T(\beta).\alpha \urcorner$ in $K\!l\!T$. In this way, the natural transformation $\epsilon_X : \bar{F}^T.\bar{U}^T(X) = T(X) \cdots > X$ is given by $\ulcorner 1 \urcorner_{T(X)}$ and, for any map $\ulcorner \alpha \urcorner : X \cdots > Y$ in $K\!l\!T$, its support α is the unique map in \mathbb{E} such that $\ulcorner \alpha \urcorner = \ulcorner 1 \urcorner_{T(X)}.\bar{F}^T(\alpha)$ in $K\!l\!T$. So, given any map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbb{E} , we get $\bar{F}^T(f) = \ulcorner \lambda_Y.f \urcorner$, and $U^T(\ulcorner \alpha \urcorner) = \mu_Y.T(\alpha) : T(X) \rightarrow T(Y)$ in \mathbb{E} .

We shall now investigate, step by step, how the assumptions of a cartesian monad surprisingly organizes the properties of $K\!l\!T$

2.1. Consequences of constraints on λ . Let (T, λ, μ) be a monad on \mathbb{E} .

Proposition 2.1. *The endofunctor T of the monad is faithful if and only if the natural transformation λ is monomorphic. The functor $\bar{F}^T : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow K\!l\!T$ is then an inclusion.*

Proof. Suppose λ monomorphic. Given a parallel pair (f, g) of maps between X and Y such that $T(f) = T(g)$. Then $T(f).\lambda_X = T(g).\lambda_X$. So, $\lambda_Y.f = \lambda_Y.g$ and $f = g$.

Conversely suppose the endofunctor T faithful. Given a parallel pair (f, g) of maps between X and Y such that $\lambda_Y.f = \lambda_Y.g$, we get $T(\lambda_Y).T(f) = T(\lambda_Y).T(g)$. Since $T(\lambda_Y)$ is a monomorphism as a retract of μ_Y , we get $T(f) = T(g)$; and $f = g$. The last assertion is then straightforward since \bar{F}^T is bijective on objects. \square

So, when λ is monomorphic, we are in the rather weird situation of a bijective on objects inclusion $\bar{F}^T : \mathbb{E} \hookrightarrow K\!l\!T$ which admits a right adjoint \bar{U}^T . The endofunctor of the induced comonad $(C = \bar{F}^T.\bar{U}^T, \epsilon, \nu)$ on $K\!l\!T$ coincides with T on objects and maps in \mathbb{E} and we get $C(\ulcorner \alpha \urcorner) = \mu_Y.T(\alpha)$. Whence the following diagram in $K\!l\!T$ where μ_X coincides with $C(\ulcorner 1 \urcorner_{T(X)})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\ulcorner 1 \urcorner_{T(X)}} & T(X) & \xleftarrow{\ulcorner 1 \urcorner_{T^2(X)}} & T^2(X) \\
 \vdots & \swarrow \lambda_X & \downarrow \mu_Y.\alpha & \swarrow \lambda_{T(X)} & \downarrow T(\mu_Y.\alpha) \\
 Y & \xrightarrow{\ulcorner 1 \urcorner_{T(Y)}} & T(Y) & \xleftarrow{\ulcorner 1 \urcorner_{T^2(Y)}} & T^2(Y)
 \end{array}$$

$\xleftarrow{\mu_X} T(X) \xrightarrow{\mu_X} T^2(X)$ and $\xleftarrow{\mu_Y} T(Y) \xrightarrow{\mu_Y} T^2(Y)$

Thanks to the horizontal 2-truncated split simplicial objects, the map λ_X appears to be the equalizer in $K\!l\!T$ of the pair $(\lambda_{T(X)}, T(\lambda_X))$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $j : \mathbb{E} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ be a bijective on objects inclusion. When j admits a right adjoint $T : \bar{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$, the induced monad (T, λ, μ) on \mathbb{E} has its λ monomorphic. Moreover we get $KlT = \bar{\mathbb{E}}$.*

Proof. Let us denote by $\epsilon_X : X \leftarrow \cdots T(X)$ the co-unit in $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$ of this adjunction. The map $1_X : X \rightarrow X$ produces a unique map $\lambda_X : X \rightarrow T(X)$ in \mathbb{E} such that $\epsilon_X \cdot \lambda_X = 1_X$ and the following diagram in $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xleftarrow{\epsilon_X} & T(X) & \xleftarrow{\epsilon_{T(X)}} & T^2(X) \\ & \searrow & \xrightarrow{\lambda_X} & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{T(X)}} & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{T^2(X)}} \\ & & & \xleftarrow{\mu_X} & \\ & & & \xrightarrow{T(\lambda_X)} & \end{array}$$

which makes ϵ_X the coequalizer of the pair $(\epsilon_{T(X)}, T(\epsilon_X))$ in $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$, and λ_X the equalizer of the pair $(\lambda_{T(X)}, T(\lambda_X))$ in $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$. So, $\lambda_X \in \mathbb{E}$ is a monomorphism in $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$, whence in \mathbb{E} . Since the co-unit ϵ_X in $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$ is the coequalizer of the pair $(\epsilon_{T(X)}, T(\epsilon_X))$, the comparison functor $A_{(T,j)} : \bar{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \text{Alg}T$ is fully faithful. Now consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{\mathbb{E}} & \xrightarrow{A_{(T,j)}} & \text{Alg}T \\ & \searrow T & \nearrow F^T \\ & \mathbb{E} & \nearrow U^T \end{array}$$

Since $\mathbb{E} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ is bijective on objects, we get $\bar{\mathbb{E}} = KlT$. \square

From Proposition 1.3, we get the following:

Corollary 2.1. *Let (T, λ, μ) be a monad on \mathbb{E} . The two following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) *the natural transformation λ is the equalizer of the pair $(\lambda_T, T(\lambda))$;*
- 2) *a map " α " : $X \cdots > Y$ in KlT lies in \mathbb{E} if and only if $\lambda_{T(Y)} \cdot \alpha = T(\lambda_Y) \cdot \alpha$ in \mathbb{E} . The inclusion $\bar{F}^T : \mathbb{E} \hookrightarrow KlT$ is then conservative; this last point means that the inverse in KlT of a map f of (the subcategory) \mathbb{E} belongs to \mathbb{E} .*

Proof. The condition 2) of Proposition 1.3 means that, when the following square commutes in KlT , the map " α " belongs to \mathbb{E} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\lambda_X} & T(X) \\ \text{"}\alpha\text{"} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_Y \cdot T(\alpha) \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\lambda_Y} & T(Y) \end{array}$$

The commutation in KlT is: $T(\lambda_Y) \cdot \alpha = \lambda_{T(Y)} \cdot \mu_Y \cdot T(\alpha) \cdot \lambda_X$ in \mathbb{E} ; now this last term is clearly: $\lambda_{T(Y)} \cdot \mu_Y \cdot \lambda_{T(Y)} \cdot \alpha = \lambda_{T(Y)} \cdot \alpha$. When 1) is true, the endofunctor T is conservative; since $T = \bar{U}^T \cdot \bar{F}^T$, the functor \bar{F}^T is conservative as well. \square

Let us introduce the following:

Definition 2.1. *A class Σ of maps in a category \mathbb{E} is said to be left cancellable, when it is such that $g \cdot f \in \Sigma$ and $g \in \Sigma$ imply $f \in \Sigma$.*

Proposition 2.3. *Let (T, λ, μ) be a monad with λ monomorphic. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) *the natural transformation λ is cartesian;*

2) the inclusion $\bar{F}^T : \mathbb{E} \hookrightarrow K\!l\!T$ makes \mathbb{E} a left cancellable subcategory of $K\!l\!T$. Any of these conditions implies that λ is the equalizer of the pair $(\lambda_T, T(\lambda))$.

Proof. Suppose 1). Let $h : X \rightarrow Z \in \mathbb{E}$, $g : Y \rightarrow Z \in \mathbb{E}$, and " ϕ " : $X \cdots > Y \in K\!l\!T$ be such that $g \cdot \phi = h$ in $K\!l\!T$. This means that $T(g) \cdot \phi = \lambda_Z \cdot h$ in \mathbb{E} . According to the previous corollary, we must show that $\lambda_{T(Y)} \cdot \phi = T(\lambda_Y) \cdot \phi$ in \mathbb{E} . Now consider the following diagram in \mathbb{E} :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & T(Y) & \xrightleftharpoons[\mu_Y]{\lambda_{T(Y)}} & T^2(Y) \\ h \downarrow & & T(g) \downarrow & \xrightleftharpoons[\mu_Z]{\lambda_{T(Z)}} & T^2(g) \downarrow \\ Z & \xrightarrow{\lambda_Z} & T(Z) & \xrightleftharpoons[\mu_Z]{\lambda_{T(Z)}} & T^2(Z) \end{array}$$

Since the leftward right hand side commutative square is a pullback, it is enough to check our equality via composition with μ_Y (trivial) and by $T^2(g)$ which is straightforward since the left hand side square commutes.

Conversely suppose 2). We have to show that the following left hand side square is a pullback in \mathbb{E} . So let (h, ϕ) be a pair of maps in \mathbb{E} such that $T(g) \cdot \phi = \lambda_Z \cdot h$. This means that the following right hand side triangle commutes in $K\!l\!T$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\lambda_Y} & T(Y) \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow T(g) \\ Z & \xrightarrow{\lambda_Z} & T(Z) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & Y \\ & \nearrow \phi & \searrow g \\ X & \xrightarrow{h} & Z \end{array}$$

According to our assumption, the map " ϕ " is in \mathbb{E} , which means that there is a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbb{E} such that $\phi = \lambda_Y \cdot f$ and $g \cdot f = h$ in \mathbb{E} . This map f is unique since λ_Y is monomorphic. So, the square in question is a pullback. \square

Finally we get:

Proposition 2.4. *Let $j : \mathbb{E} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ be a bijective on objects left cancellable inclusion. When j admits a right adjoint $T : \bar{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$, the induced monad (T, λ, μ) on \mathbb{E} is such that λ is cartesian.*

Proof. According to Proposition 2.2, λ_X is monomorphic and $\bar{\mathbb{E}} = K\!l\!T$. Since \mathbb{E} is left cancellable in $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$, the previous proposition asserts that λ is cartesian. \square

2.2. Consequences of constraints on T . Rephrasing Corollary 1.1 we get:

Corollary 2.2. *Let (T, λ, μ) be a monad with a cartesian endofunctor T . When λ is the equalizer of the pair $(\lambda_T, T\lambda)$, the injective functor $\bar{F}^T : \mathbb{E} \hookrightarrow K\!l\!T$ is conservative, cartesian and then it reflects the pullbacks of \mathbb{E} .*

2.3. Consequences of constraints on μ .

Definition 2.2. *A pullback stable class Σ of maps in a category \mathbb{E} is a class of maps which admit pullbacks along any map in \mathbb{E} and whose pullbacks stay in Σ .*

Proposition 2.5. *Let (T, λ, μ) be a monad with a cartesian endofunctor T and a cartesian natural transformation μ . Then, the class $\bar{F}^T(\Sigma)$ is pullback stable in $K\!l\!T$.*

Proof. Starting with a map f , consider the following left hand side pullback in \mathbb{E} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{h} & U \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\ T(X) & \xrightarrow{T(f)} & T(Y) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\bar{F}^T(h)} & U \\ \text{"}\phi\text{"} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{"}\psi\text{"} \\ X & \xrightarrow{\bar{F}^T(f)} & Y \end{array}$$

This pullback in \mathbb{E} determines a right hand side commutative square in KlT . Let us show that it is a pullback in this category. Since $K_T : KlT \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}T$ is fully faithful it is sufficient to check it in $\mathbf{Alg}T$; now, since U^T reflects pullbacks, it is sufficient to check that its image by U^T , which is given the following vertical rectangle, is a pullback in \mathbb{E} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(V) & \xrightarrow{T(h)} & T(U) \\ T(\phi) \downarrow & & \downarrow T(\psi) \\ T^2(X) & \xrightarrow{T^2(f)} & T^2(Y) \\ \mu_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_Y \\ T(X) & \xrightarrow{T(f)} & T(Y) \end{array}$$

This is the case since the lower square is a pullback, μ being cartesian, and the upper one as well since, T being cartesian, the image by T of our above left hand side pullback is preserved by T . \square

From Propositions 2.3, 2.5 and 1.4, we get:

Corollary 2.3. *Let (T, λ, μ) be a cartesian monad on \mathbb{E} . We then get a bijective on objects inclusion $\bar{F}^T : \mathbb{E} \hookrightarrow KlT$, which makes \mathbb{E} a left cancellable subcategory of KlT which is pullback stable in KlT . This inclusion functor is cartesian and conservative. It admits a right adjoint \bar{U}^T which necessarily makes this inclusion comonadic.*

And from Proposition 2.4 the following:

Corollary 2.4. *Let $j : \mathbb{E} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ be a bijective on objects left cancellable and pullback stable inclusion. When j admits a right adjoint $T : \bar{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$, the induced monad (T, λ, μ) on \mathbb{E} is cartesian and $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$ is the Kleisli category of this monad.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.2, we know that λ is cartesian and $\bar{\mathbb{E}} = KlT$. The endofunctor $T.j : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ is cartesian since so are T (being a right adjoint) and j (\mathbb{E} being pullback stable in KlT , the inclusion j preserves the pullbacks). It remains to check that μ is cartesian. For that, given any map $f \in \mathbb{E}$, consider the following leftward pullback square in KlT :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xleftarrow{\text{"}\phi_f\text{"}} & P \xleftarrow{\check{f}} T(X) \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \bar{f} \\ Y & \xleftarrow{\text{"}\phi_f\text{"}} & T(Y) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{"}\lambda_{T(X)}\text{"} \\ \swarrow \\ T(X) \\ \searrow \\ T(Y) \\ \text{"}\lambda_{T(Y)}\text{"} \end{array}$$

The commutation of this square in KlT means $T(f) \cdot \phi_f = \bar{f}$ in \mathbb{E} . The commutation of the quadrangle in KlT produces a factorization \check{f} in KlT ; since \mathbb{E} is left

cancellable in KIT , the commutation of the vertical right hand side triangle makes \check{f} in \mathbb{E} . So we get $\bar{f}.\check{f} = T(f)$ in \mathbb{E} and " ϕ_f ". $\check{f} = "1_{T(X)}"$ in KIT which means $\phi_f.\check{f} = 1_{T(X)}$ in \mathbb{E} . Checking $\phi_f.\check{f} = 1_{T(X)}$ in \mathbb{E} will prove that the quadrangle is a pullback in KIT , which, being preserved by T , will prove, in turn, that μ is cartesian. We shall check $\phi_f.\check{f} = 1_{T(X)}$, by composition with \bar{f} and " ϕ_f " in KIT . 1): $\bar{f}.\phi_f.\check{f} = T(f).\check{f} = \bar{f} = \bar{f}.1_{T(X)}$; 2) " ϕ_f ". $\phi_f.\check{f} = "$ ϕ_f " $.1_{T(X)}$ in KIT is equivalent to $\phi_f.\check{f}.\phi_f = \phi_f$ in \mathbb{E} , which is true since $\phi_f.\check{f} = 1_{T(X)}$. \square

3. INTERNAL CATEGORIES

From now on, we shall suppose any ground category \mathbb{E} with pullbacks and terminal object 1. Given any map f , we shall denote the kernel equivalence $R[f]$ of this map (which is underlying an internal groupoid $R[f]_{\bullet}$ in \mathbb{E}) in the following way:

$$R_2[f] \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p_0^f} \\ \xrightarrow{p_1^f} \\ \xrightarrow{p_2^f} \end{array} R[f] \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{s_0^f} \\ \xleftarrow{p_1^f} \end{array} X \xrightarrow{f} Y$$

and given any commutative square, as on the right hand side, we denote by $R(\phi)$ the induced map between the kernel equivalences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R[f] & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p_0^f} \\ \xleftarrow{s_0^f} \\ \xleftarrow{p_1^f} \end{array} & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ R(\phi) \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \psi \\ R[f'] & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} & X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' \end{array}$$

which is underlying an internal functor $R(\phi)_{\bullet} : R[f]_{\bullet} \rightarrow R[f']_{\bullet}$. Let us recall the following useful Barr-Kock Observation [10]:

Lemma 3.1. *When the above right hand side square is a pullback, the left hand part of the diagram is a discrete fibration between groupoids, which implies that any vertical commutative square is a pullback. Conversely, if the left hand side part of the diagram is a discrete fibration, and if f is a pullback stable strong epimorphisms (it is the case when f is split), then the right hand side square is a pullback.*

3.1. Basics. Internal categories have been introduced by Ch. Ehrheshmann in [14]. We deliberately choose the simplicial notations. For the basics on simplicial objects, see, for instance, Chapter VII.5 in [23]. An internal category in \mathbb{E} is a 3-truncated simplicial object X_{\bullet} in \mathbb{E} , namely a diagram:

$$X_{\bullet} : \begin{array}{ccccc} & \xrightarrow{d_3^{X_3}} & & \xrightarrow{d_2^{X_2}} & & \xrightarrow{d_1^{X_1}} & & \\ & \xleftarrow{s_3^{X_3}} & & \xleftarrow{s_2^{X_2}} & & \xleftarrow{s_1^{X_1}} & & \\ X_3 & \xrightarrow{d_2^{X_3}} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{d_1^{X_2}} & X_1 & \xrightarrow{d_0^{X_1}} & X_0 & \\ & \xleftarrow{s_2^{X_3}} & & \xleftarrow{s_1^{X_2}} & & \xleftarrow{s_0^{X_1}} & & \\ & \xrightarrow{d_1^{X_3}} & & \xrightarrow{d_0^{X_2}} & & & & \\ & \xleftarrow{s_1^{X_3}} & & & & & & \\ & \xrightarrow{d_0^{X_3}} & & & & & & \end{array}$$

(where we shall drop the upper indexes when there is no ambiguities) subject to the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} d_i \cdot d_{j+1} &= d_j \cdot d_i, & i \leq j & & d_i \cdot s_j &= s_{j-1} \cdot d_i, & i < j \\ s_{j+1} \cdot s_i &= s_i \cdot s_j, & i \leq j & & d_i \cdot s_j &= 1, & i = j, j+1 \\ & & & & d_i \cdot s_j &= s_j \cdot d_{i-1}, & i > j+1 \end{aligned}$$

where the object X_2 (resp. X_3) is obtained by the pullback of $d_0^{X_1}$ along $d_1^{X_1}$ (resp. $d_0^{X_2}$ along $d_2^{X_2}$). An internal functor is a simplicial morphism between this kind of 3-truncated simplicial objects. Let us recall some classical classes of internal functors:

Definition 3.1. *An internal functor $f_\bullet : X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ is a discrete cofibration (resp. fibration) when the following right hand side square horizontally indexed by 0 (resp. by 1) is a pullback:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \xrightarrow{d_0} & & \xrightarrow{d_0} & \\ X_2 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & X_1 & \xleftarrow{s_0} & X_0 \\ & \xrightarrow{d_2} & & \xrightarrow{d_1} & \\ f_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow f_1 & & \downarrow f_0 \\ Y_2 & \xrightarrow{d_0} & Y_1 & \xleftarrow{s_0} & Y_0 \\ & \xrightarrow{d_1} & & \xrightarrow{d_0} & \\ & \xrightarrow{d_2} & & \xrightarrow{d_1} & \end{array}$$

We denote by $Cat\mathbb{E}$ the category of internal categories in \mathbb{E} , and by $(\)_0 : Cat\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ the forgetful functor associating with any internal category X_\bullet its "object of objects" X_0 . Since \mathbb{E} has pullbacks, so is the category $Cat\mathbb{E}$ since, by commutation of limits, it is easy to see that the limits in $Cat\mathbb{E}$ are built levelwise in \mathbb{E} . So, the forgetful functor $(\)_0$ is cartesian.

The functor $(\)_0$ is actually a fibration whose cartesian maps are the internal *fully faithful functors* (obtained by a joint pullback) and whose maps in the fibers are the internal functors which are "identities on objects" (*ido-functors* or *idomorphisms* for short).

It is clear that the fiber $Cat_1\mathbb{E}$ above the terminal object 1 is nothing but the pointed category $Mon\mathbb{E}$ of internal monoids in \mathbb{E} . Any fiber $Cat_Y\mathbb{E}$ above an object Y , with $Y \neq 1$, has an initial object with the discrete equivalence relation $\Delta_Y = R[1_Y]$ and a terminal one with the indiscrete one $\nabla_Y = R[\tau_Y]$, where $\tau_Y : Y \rightarrow 1$ is the terminal map. So, the left exact fully faithful functor $\nabla : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow Cat\mathbb{E}$ admits the fibration $(\)_0$ as left adjoint and makes the pair $((\)_0, \nabla)$ a *fibered reflection* in the sense of [6] (see also section 8.1 below). A functor f_\bullet is then cartesian with respect to $(\)_0$ (namely, internally fully faithful) if and only if the following left hand side square is a pullback in $Cat\mathbb{E}$, or, equivalently the right hand side one is a pullback in \mathbb{E} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_\bullet & \xrightarrow{f_\bullet} & Y_\bullet \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \nabla_{X_\bullet} & \xrightarrow{\nabla_{f_\bullet}} & \nabla_{Y_\bullet} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & Y_1 \\ (d_0, d_1) \downarrow & & \downarrow (d_0, d_1) \\ X_0 \times X_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0 \times f_0} & Y_0 \times Y_0 \end{array}$$

As for any left exact fibration, we get:

Proposition 3.1. *1) The cartesian maps (= internally fully faithful) functors are stable under composition and pullback.*

2) Given any commutative square in $Cat\mathbb{E}$ where both x_\bullet and y_\bullet are cartesian maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_\bullet & \xrightarrow{x_\bullet} & X'_\bullet \\ f_\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow f'_\bullet \\ Y_\bullet & \xrightarrow{y_\bullet} & Y'_\bullet \end{array}$$

then it is a pullback:

- 1) if and only if its image by $(\)_0$ is a pullback
- 2) in particular when f_\bullet and f'_\bullet are ido-functors.

The dual category X_\bullet^{op} of X_\bullet is the internal category where the role of $d_0^{X_1}$ and $d_1^{X_1}$ are interchanged. In the context of monads, we have the following:

Proposition 3.2. *Let (T, λ, μ) be any monad on \mathbb{E} with μ cartesian. Then, for any algebra (X, ξ) , the following diagram produces an internal category $\bar{T}(X, \xi)$ in $\text{Alg}T$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \xrightarrow{\mu_{T(X)}} & & & \\ & \xleftarrow{T(\lambda_{T(X)})} & & \xrightarrow{\mu_X} & \\ (T^3(X), \mu_{T^2(X)}) & \xrightarrow{T(\mu_X)} & (T^2(X), \mu_{T(X)}) & \xleftarrow{T(\lambda_X)} & (T(X), \mu_X) \\ & \xleftarrow{T^2(\lambda_X)} & & \xrightarrow{T(\xi)} & \\ & \xrightarrow{T^2(\xi)} & & & \end{array}$$

determining the following commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Alg}T & \xrightarrow{\bar{T}} & \text{CatAlg}T \\ U^T \downarrow & & \downarrow (\)_0 \\ \mathbb{E} & \xrightarrow{F^T} & \text{Alg}T \end{array}$$

Moreover any morphism $f : (X, \xi) \rightarrow (Y, \gamma)$ of T -algebras produces a discrete fibration $\bar{T}(f) : \bar{T}(X, \xi) \rightarrow \bar{T}(Y, \gamma)$ in $\text{CatAlg}T$.

Proof. First, we have the following pullbacks in \mathbb{E} since μ is cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T^4(X) & \xrightarrow{T^3(\xi)} & T^3(X) & \xrightarrow{T^2(\xi)} & T^2(X) \\ \mu_{T^2(X)} \downarrow & & \mu_{T(X)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_X \\ T^3(X) & \xrightarrow{T^2(\xi)} & T^2(X) & \xrightarrow{T(\xi)} & T(X) \end{array}$$

Then $T(\mu_X)$, thanks to the axioms of T -algebra on X , furnishes a composition map. The following pullback in \mathbb{E} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^2(X) & \xrightarrow{\mu_X} & T(X) \\ T^2(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow T(f) \\ T^2(Y) & \xrightarrow{\mu_Y} & T(Y) \end{array}$$

determines the last assertion. \square

There is a comonad (Dec, ϵ, ν) on the simplicial objects which is stable on $Cat\mathbb{E}$ as soon as \mathbb{E} has pullbacks. We shall briefly describe this endofunctor Dec and the co-unit $\epsilon : Dec \rightarrow 1_{Cat\mathbb{E}}$ because they will be useful later on. Let us start with

the lower internal category X_\bullet and consider the following diagram, where X_4 is determined by the pullback of $d_3 : X_3 \rightarrow X_2$ along $d_0 : X_3 \rightarrow X_2$:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{Dec}X_\bullet : \\
 \downarrow \epsilon_{X_\bullet} \\
 X_\bullet :
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \xrightarrow{d_0} & & \xrightarrow{d_0} & & \xrightarrow{d_0} \\
 X_4 & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_1} \\ \xrightarrow{d_2} \end{array} & X_3 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & X_2 & \xleftarrow{s_0} & X_1 \\
 \downarrow d_4 & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_3} \\ \xrightarrow{d_0} \end{array} & \downarrow d_3 & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_2} \\ \xrightarrow{d_0} \end{array} & \downarrow d_2 & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_1} \\ \xrightarrow{d_0} \end{array} & \downarrow d_1 \\
 X_3 & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_1} \\ \xrightarrow{d_2} \end{array} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & X_1 & \xleftarrow{s_0} & X_0 \\
 & \xrightarrow{d_3} & & \xrightarrow{d_2} & & \xrightarrow{d_1} &
 \end{array}$$

The category $\text{Dec}X_\bullet$ is given by the upper row (in Set , it is the sum of all the coslice categories Y/\mathbb{E}), while the co-unit of the comonad is given by the vertical internal functor which is a discrete cofibration.

3.2. The cartesian monad $(T_{X_\bullet}, \lambda_{X_\bullet}, \mu_{X_\bullet})$ on \mathbb{E}/X_0 . Given any objet Y , the slice category \mathbb{E}/Y has the maps with codomain Y as objects, and the commutative triangles above Y as morphisms. Given any map $g : Z \rightarrow Y$, the composition with g determines a functor $\Sigma_g : \mathbb{E}/Z \rightarrow \mathbb{E}/Y$ which admits as right adjoint the pullback functor $g^* : \mathbb{E}/Y \rightarrow \mathbb{E}/Z$ along g .

Let X_\bullet be an internal category in \mathbb{E} . It produces a cartesian monad on the slice category \mathbb{E}/X_0 whose endofunctor is $T_{X_\bullet} = \Sigma_{d_0}.d_1^*$. The following diagram where any leftward plain square is a pullback describes vertically the behaviour of the endofunctor T_{X_\bullet} from left to right. The associated natural transformations λ_{X_\bullet} and μ_{X_\bullet} are precisely described by the upper horizontal dotted arrows σ_0^h and δ_1^h which are induced by the middle horizontal ones:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Z & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\sigma_0^h} \\ \xleftarrow{\delta_1^h} \end{array} & d_1^*(Z) & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\delta_1^h} \\ \xrightarrow{\delta_2^h} \end{array} & (d_1.d_2)^*(Z) \\
 \downarrow h & & \downarrow d_1^*(h) & & \downarrow (d_1.d_2)^*(h) \\
 X_0 & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{s_0} \\ \xleftarrow{d_1} \end{array} & X_1 & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{d_1} \\ \xrightarrow{d_2} \end{array} & X_2 \\
 & & \downarrow d_0 & & \downarrow d_0 \\
 & & X_0 & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{d_0} \\ \xrightarrow{d_1} \end{array} & X_1 \\
 & & & & \downarrow d_0 \\
 & & & & X_0
 \end{array}$$

$$h \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\sigma_0^h} \\ \xleftarrow{\delta_1^h} \end{array} T_{X_\bullet}(h) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\delta_1^h} \\ \xrightarrow{\sigma_0^h} \end{array} T_{X_\bullet}^2(h)$$

It is a cartesian monad on \mathbb{E}/X_0 since the upper plain part of the diagram is made of pullbacks. It is well known (see for instance [18]) that the algebras of this monad coincides with the internal discrete fibrations above X_\bullet ; namely, we have $\text{Alg}T_{X_\bullet} = \text{Dis}F/X_\bullet$.

4. INTERNAL GROUPOIDS

4.1. Basics. A category X_\bullet is a groupoid if and only if any map is invertible. It is a property, which, internally speaking, is equivalent to saying that the following

square in the 3-truncated simplicial object defining X_\bullet is a pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_2 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & X_1 \\ d_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow d_0 \\ X_1 & \xrightarrow{d_0} & X_0 \end{array}$$

It is easy to check (via the Yoneda embedding) that a category X_\bullet is a groupoid if and only if any commutative square in the 3-truncated simplicial object of its definition is a pullback, see [6]. The category $Grd\mathbb{E}$ of internal groupoids is the full subcategory of $Cat\mathbb{E}$ whose objects are the groupoids, and it determines a sub-fibration:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Grd\mathbb{E} & \hookrightarrow & Cat\mathbb{E} \\ (\cdot)_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow (\cdot)_0 \\ \mathbb{E} & \underline{\underline{=}} & \mathbb{E} \end{array}$$

The fibre $Grd_Y\mathbb{E}$ has the same initial and terminal objects as the fibre $Cat_Y\mathbb{E}$.

4.2. The fibration of points. We denote by $Pt\mathbb{E}$ the category whose objects are the split epimorphisms $(g, t) : X \rightrightarrows Y$ in \mathbb{E} and whose morphisms are the commutative squares between them:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{x} & X' \\ g \downarrow \uparrow t & & g' \downarrow \uparrow t' \\ Y & \xrightarrow{y} & Y' \end{array}$$

We denote by $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{E}} : Pt\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ the functor which associates with any split epimorphism (g, t) its codomain Y , and associates with any morphism (y, x) the map y . It is a left exact fibration whose cartesian maps are those ones such that the above square is a pullback of split epimorphisms in \mathbb{E} ; it is called the *fibration of points* [9]. The class \mathfrak{P} of cartesian maps is stable under composition and pullbacks in $Pt\mathbb{E}$, it is left cancellable and contains the isomorphisms. Accordingly, it determines a bijective on objects inclusion $j_{\mathfrak{P}} : \Sigma_{\mathfrak{P}} \hookrightarrow Pt\mathbb{E}$, where $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ denotes the subcategory of $Pt\mathbb{E}$ whose morphisms belong to the class \mathfrak{P} , which is left cancellable and pullback stable in $Pt\mathbb{E}$.

The fibre above Y is denoted by $Pt_Y\mathbb{E}$ and an object of this fibre is called a (generalized) *point* of Y , while any morphism in a fiber is, for short, called an *idomorphism* (=having an identity as lower map y). The left exact change of base functor produced by the map $\psi : Y \rightarrow Y' \in \mathbb{E}$ is the pullback along it and denoted by: $\psi^* : Pt_{Y'}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow Pt_Y\mathbb{E}$.

4.3. The monad $(\mathbb{G}, \sigma, \pi)$ on $Pt\mathbb{E}$. The endofunctor \mathbb{G} on $Pt\mathbb{E}$ defined by $\mathbb{G}(g, t) = (p_0^g, s_0^g)$ is underlying a monad described by the following diagram in \mathbb{E} , where

$t_1 = (t.g, 1_X)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{t_1} & R[g] & \xleftarrow{p_2^g} & R_2[g] \\
 g \downarrow \uparrow t & & p_0^g \downarrow \uparrow s_0^g & & p_0^g \downarrow \uparrow s_0^g \\
 Y & \xrightarrow[t]{} & X & \xleftarrow[p_1^g]{} & R[g] \\
 (g, t) & \xrightarrow[\sigma_{(g,t)}]{} & \mathbb{G}(g, t) & \xleftarrow[\pi_{(g,t)}]{} & \mathbb{G}^2(g, t)
 \end{array}$$

It is clear that the maps $\sigma_{(g,t)}$ and $\pi_{(g,t)}$ belong to the class \mathbb{N} of cartesian maps. Although being not strictly cartesian, this monad shares many properties with this notion. Given any pullback stable class Σ of maps in a category \mathbb{E} , we shall call Σ -cartesian any functor or natural transformation which only satisfies the cartesian condition on the maps in Σ :

Definition 4.1. *Given any pullback stable class Σ of maps in a category \mathbb{E} and any monad (T, λ, μ) on \mathbb{E} , we shall say that:*

- 1) *this monad is Σ -cartesian, when:*
 - i) *the endofunctor T preserves the maps in Σ and is Σ -cartesian ;*
 - ii) *the natural transformations λ is the equalizer of the pair $(\lambda_T, T(\lambda))$;*
 - iii) *the natural transformations μ is Σ -cartesian;*
- 2) *this monad is strongly Σ -cartesian when:*
 - i) *it is Σ -cartesian;*
 - ii) *any λ_X and any μ_X belong to Σ .*

Remark. 1) *A Σ -cartesian monad is such that λ is Σ -cartesian;*
 2) *a monad is a strongly Σ -cartesian one if and only if:*
 i) *the endofunctor T preserves the maps in Σ and is Σ -cartesian ;*
 ii) *the natural transformations λ and μ are Σ -cartesian;*
 iii) *any λ_X and any μ_X belongs to Σ .*

Proof. The point 1) is obtained by the proof of Proposition 1.1 restricted to maps in Σ . As soon as λ_X belongs to Σ and λ is Σ -cartesian, then the natural transformations λ is the equalizer of the pair $(\lambda_T, T(\lambda))$, whence 2). \square

Proposition 4.1. [6] *The endofunctor \mathbb{G} is cartesian. It preserves and reflects the maps of the class \mathbb{N} . The monad $(\mathbb{G}, \sigma, \pi)$ is strongly \mathbb{N} -cartesian. Furthermore, given any object (g, t) in $\text{Pt}\mathbb{E}$, the following diagram is a kernel equivalence relation in $\text{Pt}\mathbb{E}$ with its (levelwise) quotient:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{G}(g,t)}} & & \\
 \mathbb{G}^3(g, t) & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{G}\sigma_{\mathbb{G}(g,t)}} & \mathbb{G}^2(g, t) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{(g,t)}} & \mathbb{G}(g, t) \\
 & & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{G}\pi_{(g,t)}} & &
 \end{array}$$

Proof. The endofunctor \mathbb{G} is cartesian because it is the result of a cartesian construction. The second assertion comes from Lemma 3.1. We already noticed that the maps $\sigma_{(g,t)}$ and $\pi_{(g,t)}$ belong to the class \mathbb{N} . It remains to show that the natural transformations σ and π are \mathbb{N} -cartesian. The second point is a consequence of the fact that the pullbacks in $\text{Pt}\mathbb{E}$ are levelwise and of Lemma 3.1, while the first one is a consequence of the further fact that, in the pullback of a split epimorphism,

As for the last point, consider the following vertical discrete fibration in $Cat\mathbb{E}$:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 DecX_{\bullet} : \\
 \downarrow \epsilon_{X_{\bullet}} \\
 X_{\bullet} :
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \xrightarrow{d_0} & & \xrightarrow{d_0} & \xrightarrow{d_0} \\
 X_4 & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_1} \\ \xrightarrow{d_2} \end{array} & X_3 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & X_2 \xleftarrow{s_0} X_1 \\
 \downarrow d_4 & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_3} \\ \xrightarrow{d_0} \end{array} & \downarrow d_3 & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_2} \\ \xrightarrow{d_0} \end{array} & \downarrow d_2 \\
 X_3 & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_1} \\ \xrightarrow{d_2} \\ \xrightarrow{d_3} \end{array} & X_2 & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_1} \\ \xrightarrow{d_2} \end{array} & X_1 \xleftarrow{s_0} X_0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow d_1
 \end{array}$$

and suppose the upper horizontal part is groupoid. Since the vertical discrete fibration h_{\bullet} has its $h_0 = d_1$ split by s_0 , then the lower horizontal row is a groupoid: denote by $\gamma : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ the involutive mapping producing the inversion in the upper groupoid. Then $d_2 \cdot \gamma \cdot s_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ determines an involutive map which produces an inversion for the lower row and makes it a groupoid as well. \square

Corollary 4.2. *The category $Pt\mathbb{E}$ is a subcategory of $Kl\mathbb{G}$; the morphisms of this last category are the commutative squares in \mathbb{E} :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{x} & X' \\
 g \downarrow \dashv \vdash \uparrow & & g' \downarrow \dashv \vdash \uparrow \\
 Y & \xrightarrow{y} & Y'
 \end{array}$$

not necessarily respecting the sections.

Proof. The first assertion is a consequence of Proposition 2.1. The morphisms in $Kl\mathbb{G}$ between the two vertical objects of the previous diagram are given by the internal functors between $R[g]$ and $R[g']$ which produce (and are produced by) the commutative diagrams in question since g and g' , being split, are the quotients of these kernel equivalence relations. \square

4.4. The monad $(T_{X_{\bullet}}, \lambda_{X_{\bullet}}, \mu_{X_{\bullet}})$ when X_{\bullet} is a groupoid.

Proposition 4.2. *Given any internal category X_{\bullet} , the monad $(T_{X_{\bullet}}, \lambda_{X_{\bullet}}, \mu_{X_{\bullet}})$ is hypercartesian if and only if X_{\bullet} is a groupoid.*

Proof. Suppose X_{\bullet} is a groupoid. We have to show that the monad is hypercartesian. For that, let us reproduce below a part of the upper part of the diagram of Section 3.2 and let us complete it on the left hand side:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \xleftarrow{\delta_1^h} & & \xleftarrow{\delta_1^h} & \\
 d_1^*(Z) & \xleftarrow{\delta_2^h} & (d_1 \cdot d_2)^*(Z) & \xleftarrow{\delta_2^h} & (d_1 \cdot d_2 \cdot d_3)^*(Z) \\
 \downarrow d_1^*(h) & \delta_2^h & \downarrow (d_1 \cdot d_2)^*(h) & \delta_3^h & \downarrow (d_1 \cdot d_2 \cdot d_3)^*(h) \\
 X_1 & \xleftarrow{d_1} & R[d_1] & \xleftarrow{d_2} & R_2[d_1] \\
 & \xleftarrow{d_2} & & \xleftarrow{d_3} &
 \end{array}$$

In this diagram, any commutative vertical square is a pullback. Since X_{\bullet} is a groupoid, we get the following kernel equivalence relations on the lower row:

$$X_1 \xleftarrow{d_1} R[d_1] \xleftarrow{d_1} R_2[d_1]$$

which is lifted by pullback on the upper row as a kernel equivalence relation:

$$d_1^*(Z) \xleftarrow{\delta_1^h} (d_1.d_2)^*(Z) \xleftarrow[\delta_2^h]{\delta_1^h} (d_1.d_2.d_3)^*(Z)$$

which is the hypercartesian condition for the cartesian monad $(T_{X_\bullet}, \lambda_{X_\bullet}, \mu_{X_\bullet})$.

Conversely, suppose this monad is hypercartesian. The hypercartesian condition applied to the terminal object 1_{X_0} of \mathbb{E}/X_0 says that in the following diagram which is nothing but the $DecX_\bullet^{op}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xleftarrow[\dots]{d_1} & X_2 & \xleftarrow[\dots]{d_1} & X_3 \\ \xleftarrow{s_1} & & \xleftarrow{d_2} & & \xleftarrow{d_3} \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \end{array}$$

the dotted part of the diagram is a kernel equivalence relation, and consequently that $DecX_\bullet^{op}$ is a groupoid. According to Corollary 4.1, X_\bullet^{op} , and thus X_\bullet , is a groupoid. \square

This gives a conceptual way to a straightforward result in *Set*:

Corollary 4.3. *The domain of any discrete fibration above a groupoid in $Cat\mathbb{E}$ is a groupoid as well.*

Proof. We know that any T_{X_\bullet} -algebra produces a discrete fibration above the groupoid X_\bullet :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \xrightarrow{d_0} & & \xrightarrow{d_0} & \\ Z_2 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & Z_1 & \xleftarrow{s_0} & Z_0 \\ & \xrightarrow{d_2} & & \xrightarrow{d_1} & \\ h_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow h_1 & & \downarrow h_0 \\ R[d_0] & \xrightarrow{d_0} & X_1 & \xleftarrow{s_0} & X_0 \\ & \xrightarrow{d_2} & & \xrightarrow{d_1} & \end{array}$$

By Proposition 1.2, any T_{X_\bullet} -algebra $d_0 : T_{X_\bullet}(h) \rightarrow h$ produces a kernel equivalence relation:

$$T_{X_\bullet}^2(h) \xrightleftharpoons[\mu_{X_\bullet, h}]{T_{X_\bullet}(d_0)} T_{X_\bullet}(h) \xrightarrow{d_0} h$$

which, here, is nothing but:

$$Z_2 \xrightleftharpoons[d_0]{d_1} Z_1 \xrightarrow{d_0} Z_0$$

and shows that the category Z_\bullet is a groupoid. \square

5. T -CATEGORIES

Now, let (T, λ, μ) be any monad on \mathbb{E} . The notion of T -category has been introduced by A. Burroni in [11] as a mix of a relational algebra in the sense of Barr [1] and of something which looks like a kind of internal category, but shifted

(Observations 5);

2) and from: $\delta_1.d_1^1.d_0^2 = \mu_{X_0}.T(\delta_1).\delta_2.d_0^2 = \mu_{X_0}.T(\delta_1).T(d_0^1).\delta_3$
 $= \mu_{X_0}.T^2(d_0).T(\delta_2).\delta_3 = T(d_0).\mu_{X_1}.T(\delta_2).\delta_3$, we get a morphism $d_2^2 : X_3 \rightarrow X_2$
 such that: $d_0^1.d_2^2 = d_1^1.d_0^2$ and $\delta_2.d_2^2 = \mu_{X_1}.T(\delta_2).\delta_3$ (Observations 6).

Then he added Axiom 8 (*associativity*) $d_1^1.d_2^2 = d_1^1.d_2^2$ to complete the definition of a T -category.

Further observations: the splitting s_0^1 of d_0^1 produces, via the map $T(s_0^1)$, a splitting $s_0^2 : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ of d_0^2 such that $\delta_3.s_0^2 = T(s_0^1).\delta_2$. And from: $d_1^1.s_0^1.d_0 = \lambda_{X_0}.d_0 = T(d_0).\lambda_{X_1}$ we get a map $s_1^1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ such that $d_0^1.s_1^1 = s_0.d_0$ and $\delta_2.s_1^1 = \lambda_{X_1}$. Finally from $\delta_2.s_1^1.d_0^1 = \lambda_{X_1}.d_0^1 = T(d_0^1).\lambda_{X_1}$, we get a map $s_2^2 : X_2 \rightarrow X_3$ such that $d_0^2.s_2^2 = s_1^1.d_0^1$ and $\delta_3.s_2^2 = \lambda_{X_2}$. Again, with our notation, this delineates a 3-truncated object in the category KIT (where the higher degeneracies are omitted):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \xrightarrow{\text{"}\delta_3\text{"}} & & \xrightarrow{\text{"}\delta_2\text{"}} & & \xrightarrow{\text{"}\delta_1\text{"}} \\
 \xleftarrow{\bar{F}^T(s_2^2)} & & \xleftarrow{\bar{F}^T(s_1^1)} & & \xleftarrow{\bar{F}^T(s_0)} & \\
 \xleftarrow{\bar{F}^T(d_2^2)} & & \xleftarrow{\bar{F}^T(d_1^1)} & & \xleftarrow{\bar{F}^T(d_0)} & \\
 X_3 \xleftarrow{\bar{F}^T(s_1^2)} & X_2 & \xleftarrow{\bar{F}^T(d_1^1)} & X_1 & \xleftarrow{\bar{F}^T(s_0)} & X_0 \\
 \xleftarrow{\bar{F}^T(d_2^2)} & & \xleftarrow{\bar{F}^T(s_0^1)} & & & \\
 \xleftarrow{\bar{F}^T(s_0^2)} & & \xrightarrow{\bar{F}^T(d_0^1)} & & & \\
 \xrightarrow{\bar{F}^T(d_0^2)} & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

A morphism of T -categories, namely a T -functor, is a morphism (f_0, f_1) of pointed T -graph:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & T(X_0) & \xrightarrow{T(f_0)} & T(Y_1) \\
 & \delta_1 \nearrow & & \delta_1 \nearrow \\
 X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & Y_1 & \\
 & d_0 \searrow & & d_0 \searrow \\
 & X_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & Y_0
 \end{array}$$

which preserves the "composition maps" d_1^1 . Any T -functor naturally induces a morphism of 3-truncated simplicial objects in KIT . Whence the category $T\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$ of T -categories whose objects will be denoted X_\bullet^T and morphisms $h_\bullet^T : X_\bullet^T \rightarrow Y_\bullet^T$. There is a forgetful functor $()_T : T\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ associating the object X_0 with the whole T -category $X_0 \xleftarrow{d_0} X_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} T(X_0)$.

We get an injective fully faithful injective functor $T\sharp C : \text{Alg}T \hookrightarrow T\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$ where $T\sharp C_{\mathbb{E}}(X, \xi)$ has the following underlying pointed T -graph:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & T(X) & \\
 \xi \nearrow & & \searrow 1_{T(X)} \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\lambda_X} & T(X) \\
 & \lambda_X \searrow &
 \end{array}$$

the structure of T -category being produced by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & T^2(X) & & \\
 & & \mu_X \nearrow & & \searrow 1_{T^2(X)} \\
 & & T(X) & & T^2(X) \\
 \xi \nearrow & & \searrow 1_{T(X)} & & \searrow T(\xi) \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\lambda_X} & T(X) & \xleftarrow{\mu_X} & T^2(X) \\
 & & \lambda_X \searrow & & \mu_X \searrow
 \end{array}$$

The functor $\mathbb{T}\sharp\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{E}}$ makes commute the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Alg } \mathbb{T} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}\sharp\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{E}}} & \mathbb{T}\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} \\ U^T \downarrow & & \downarrow (\cdot)_T \\ \mathbb{E} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{E} \end{array}$$

In this way, the category $\mathbb{T}\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$ appears as a kind of natural extension of the category $\text{Alg}\mathbb{T}$.

Warning: However an internal category $X_{\bullet} : X_1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_1} \\ \xleftarrow{s_0} \\ \xrightarrow{d_0} \end{array} X_0$ in \mathbb{E} does not induce in general a structure of T -category on the following pointed T -graph:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X_1 & \\ d_0 \swarrow & & \searrow \lambda_{X_0}.d_1 \\ X_0 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{X_0}} & T(X_0) \\ & s_0 \nearrow & \end{array}$$

since the pullback of $T(d_0)$ along $\lambda_{X_0}.d_1$ does not coincide with X_2 in general. When the endofunctor T is cartesian, so is $\bar{F}^T : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \text{Kl}T$. However, for the same reason, the internal category $\bar{F}^T(X_{\bullet})$ in $\text{Kl}T$ does not coincide with a T -category.

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose T and λ cartesian. Then the image by the inclusion $\bar{F}^T : \mathbb{E} \hookrightarrow \text{Kl}T$ of an internal category is a T -category. So, we really get an inclusion functor $\text{Cat}(\bar{F}^T) : \text{Cat}\mathbb{E} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$.*

Proof. Start with an internal category X_{\bullet} in \mathbb{E} . We have $\lambda_{X_0}.d_1 = T(d_1).\lambda_{X_1}$. Now, since the following whole rectangle is a pullback in \mathbb{E}

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_2 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{X_2}} & T(X_2) & \xrightarrow{T(d_2)} & T(X_1) \\ d_0 \downarrow & & T(d_0) \downarrow & & \downarrow T(d_0) \\ X_1 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{X_1}} & T(X_1) & \xrightarrow{T(d_1)} & T(X_0) \end{array}$$

the composition map $d_1^1 : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ of X_{\bullet} in \mathbb{E} produces the map d_1^1 demanded by the definition of a T -category. The satisfaction of the other axioms immediately follows. \square

6. WHEN T -CATEGORIES IN \mathbb{E} COINCIDE WITH (A SPECIAL KIND OF) INTERNAL CATEGORIES IN $\text{Kl}T$

In this section, we are going to investigate the T -categories in the setting of the Σ -cartesian monads and to show that some specific class of T -categories coincides with some specific class of internal categories in the Kleisli category $\text{Kl}T$ of the monad. This will be the case thanks to the following:

Proposition 6.1. *Given any pullback stable class Σ in \mathbb{E} and any Σ -cartesian monad (T, λ, μ) , then:*

- 1) *the class Σ is pullback stable in $\text{Kl}T$;*
- 2) *given a pair (g, h) of maps in $\Sigma \times \mathbb{E}$ with same codomain Z , if there is a map $\phi : X \cdots > Y$ in $\text{Kl}T$ such that $g.\phi = h$, then ϕ belongs to \mathbb{E} .*

Proof. First, since λ is the equalizer of the pair $(\lambda_T, T(\lambda))$, \mathbb{E} is a subcategory of KlT . 1) By the same proof as the one of Proposition 2.5, restricted to the maps in Σ , any map in Σ is pullback stable in KlT .

2) Again, by a careful inspection, the same proof as the one of Proposition 2.3 works, when it is restricted to the maps in Σ . \square

6.1. T -categories and Σ -cartesian monads. Now, let be given any pointed T -graph in \mathbb{E} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X_1 & \\ d_0 \nearrow & & \searrow \delta_1 \\ X_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0} & T(X_0) \\ & \lambda_{X_0} & \end{array}$$

Proposition 6.2. *Let Σ be a pullback stable class of morphisms in \mathbb{E} and (T, λ, μ) be a Σ -cartesian monad. Then there is a bijection between the T -categories X_\bullet^T having its underlying pointed T -graph with leg $d_0 \in \Sigma$ and the internal categories in KlT :*

$$X_\bullet : \begin{array}{ccccc} & \xrightarrow{\text{"}\delta_3\text{"}} & & \xrightarrow{\text{"}\delta_2\text{"}} & & \xrightarrow{\text{"}\delta_1\text{"}} \\ \longleftarrow s_2^2 & & \longleftarrow s_1^1 & & \longleftarrow s_0 & \\ \xrightarrow{d_2^2} & & \xrightarrow{d_1^1} & & \xrightarrow{d_0} & \\ X_3 \longleftarrow s_1^2 & X_2 & X_1 & X_0 & & \\ \xrightarrow{d_1^2} & \xrightarrow{d_0^1} & & & & \\ \longleftarrow s_0^2 & & & & & \\ \xrightarrow{d_0^2} & & & & & \end{array}$$

KlT having leg $d_0 : X_1 \rightarrow X_0 \in \Sigma$ and section $s_0 \in \mathbb{E}$.

Proof. Starting with such a T -category X_\bullet^T , according to the previous proposition, the following diagrams are pullbacks in KlT since d_0 is in Σ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_3 & \xrightarrow{d_0^2} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{d_0^1} & X_1 \\ \text{"}\delta_3\text{"} \downarrow & & \text{"}\delta_2\text{"} \downarrow & & \text{"}\delta_1\text{"} \downarrow \\ X_2 & \xrightarrow{d_1^2} & X_1 & \xrightarrow{d_0} & X_0 \end{array}$$

and the above 3-truncated simplicial object in KlT is underlying an internal category in KlT .

Conversely, starting with any internal category in KlT with $d_0 : X_1 \rightarrow X_0 \in \Sigma$ and $s_0 \in \mathbb{E}$, the pullbacks involved in the definition of an internal category are obtained as above, and then they coincide with Burroni's construction. By the second part of the same proposition and the identity $d_0 \cdot d_1^1 = d_0 \cdot d_0^1$, the composition map $d_1^1 : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ necessarily belongs to \mathbb{E} , since $d_0 \in \Sigma$; in the same way, since $d_0^1 \in \Sigma$ as well, by $d_0^1 \cdot d_1^2 = d_0^1 \cdot d_0^2$, the map d_1^2 is in \mathbb{E} too; finally the identity $d_0^1 \cdot d_2^2 = d_1^1 \cdot d_0^2$ assures us that d_2^2 is in \mathbb{E} ; accordingly, the internal category X_\bullet in KlT is underlying a T -category in \mathbb{E} . \square

Similarly, by the same previous proposition, we know that any internal functor (h_0, h_1) between the internal categories in KlT with $d_0 \in \Sigma$ having $h_0 \in \mathbb{E}$ is such that $h_1 \in \mathbb{E}$; accordingly the full subcategory $T_\Sigma\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$ of $T\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$ whose objects are the T -categories with $d_0 \in \Sigma$ coincides with the subcategory $Cat_\Sigma KlT$ of internal

categories in KlT having $d_0 \in \Sigma$ and $s_0 \in \mathbb{E}$ and internal functors (h_0, h_1) in KlT with $h_0 \in \mathbb{E}$. It is obtained by the following pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{\Sigma}\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} & \longrightarrow & \text{CatAlg}T \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow D_{\text{Alg}T}^0 \\ \text{Pt}_{\Sigma}\mathbb{E} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Pt}\mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\text{Pt}(\bar{F}^T)} \text{PtAlg}T \end{array}$$

where $\text{Pt}_{\Sigma}\mathbb{E}$ denotes the full subcategory of $\text{Pt}\mathbb{E}$ whose objects are the split epimorphism (f, s) in \mathbb{E} with $f \in \Sigma$.

When, in addition, *the class Σ contains the identity maps, is stable under composition and left cancellable*, the situation becomes even clearer since we are now assured that the map s_0 and the composition map $d_1 : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ belong to Σ . So, we get a fully faithful inclusion $j : \text{Cat}_{\Sigma}\mathbb{E} \hookrightarrow T_{\Sigma}\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$ where $\text{Cat}_{\Sigma}\mathbb{E}$ denotes the full subcategory of $\text{Cat}\mathbb{E}$ whose objects are the internal categories in Σ .

6.2. T -categories and cartesian monads. In this way, we get our more meaningful result:

Theorem 6.1. *When the monad (T, λ, μ) is cartesian, a T -category coincides with an internal category X_{\bullet}^T in KlT whose leg d_0 belongs to the subcategory \mathbb{E} . A T -functor, coincides with an internal functor in KlT whose image by the functor $(\)_0 : \text{Cat}(KlT) \rightarrow KlT$ belongs to the subcategory \mathbb{E} . The image by the cartesian inclusion functor $\bar{F}^T : \mathbb{E} \hookrightarrow KlT$ of any internal category is a T -category. The image of any T -category by the fully faithful functor $K_T : KlT \rightarrow \text{Alg}T$ produces an internal category in $\text{Alg}T$.*

Proof. It is a corollary of the previous proposition where $\Sigma = \mathbb{E}$. \square

By Proposition III.2.21 in [11], Burroni observed that, when the monad (T, λ, μ) is cartesian, the image by the functor $\bar{U}^T = U^T \cdot K_T : KlT \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ of the 3-truncated simplicial object in KlT induced by a T -category in \mathbb{E} is an internal category in \mathbb{E} , but he did not produced the previous characterization; for that the Proposition 2.3 concerning the behaviour of the maps of \mathbb{E} inside KlT and the Proposition 2.5 concerning the existence of a certain class of pullbacks in KlT are needed. According to the previous proposition, the category $T\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$ is defined by any of the following pullbacks:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} \twoheadrightarrow \text{Cat}KlT & & T\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} \longrightarrow \text{CatAlg}T \\ \downarrow & \downarrow D_{KlT}^0 & \downarrow & \downarrow D_{\text{Alg}T}^0 \\ \text{Pt}\mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\text{Pt}(\bar{F}^T)} \text{Pt}KlT & & \text{Pt}\mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\text{Pt}(F^T)} \text{PtAlg}T \end{array}$$

Let us denote by $(\)_T : T\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ the forgetful functor associating with any T -category X_{\bullet}^T its "object of objects" X_0 .

Proposition 6.3. *When the monad (T, λ, μ) is cartesian, the category $T\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$ has pullbacks and the forgetful functor $(\)_T$ is cartesian; it is a fibration such that, in the following commutative diagram, the inclusion $\text{Cat}(\bar{F}^T) : \text{Cat}\mathbb{E} \hookrightarrow T\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$ is*

fully faithful, cartesian and preserves the cartesian maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Cat}\mathbb{E} & \xrightarrow{\text{Cat}(\bar{F}^T)} & T\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} \\ (\cdot)_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow (\cdot)_T \\ \mathbb{E} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{E} \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $f_\bullet : X_\bullet^T \rightarrow Z_\bullet^T$ and $g_\bullet : Y_\bullet^T \rightarrow Z_\bullet^T$ be a pair of T -functors. Consider the following levelwise pullbacks in \mathbb{E} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_0 & \xrightarrow{p_0^X} & X_0 \\ p_0^Y \downarrow & & \downarrow f_0 \\ Y_0 & \xrightarrow{g_0} & Z_0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P_1 & \xrightarrow{p_1^X} & X_1 \\ p_1^Y \downarrow & & \downarrow f_1 \\ Y_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & Z_1 \end{array}$$

The split epimorphisms (d_0, s_0) in \mathbb{E} produce a split epimorphism $(d_0^P, s_0^P) : P_1 \rightrightarrows P_0$ in \mathbb{E} . And since the injection $\mathbb{E} \hookrightarrow K\mathcal{I}T$ is cartesian (Proposition 2.2), the maps " δ_1 " : $X_1 \cdots > X_0$ in $K\mathcal{I}T$ produces a map " δ_1 " : $P_1 \cdots > P_0$ in $K\mathcal{I}T$; from that the structure P_\bullet^T of T -category on this induced pointed graph follows. By this construction, the functors $(\cdot)_T$ and $\text{Cat}(\bar{F}^T)$ are cartesian.

To show that this functor is a fibration, we have first to check that the classical construction of the cartesian maps above a map $f : X \rightarrow Y_0$ is valid in $K\mathcal{I}T$, namely to build some joint pullbacks in $K\mathcal{I}T$. So let Y_\bullet^T be a T -category and $f : X \rightarrow Y_0$ any map in \mathbb{E} . The following diagram where any square is a pullback in $K\mathcal{I}T$ makes it explicit:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{"}\delta_1\text{"}} & X \\ \bar{\phi} \downarrow & & \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\text{"}\delta_1\text{"}} & Y_0 \\ \bar{d}_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow d_0 & & \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y_0 & & \end{array}$$

Whence a morphism of pointed graphs in $K\mathcal{I}T$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f} \cdot \bar{\phi}} & Y_1 \\ \bar{d}_0 \cdot \bar{\phi} \downarrow \text{"}\delta_1\text{"} \cdot \tilde{f} & & \downarrow d_0 \text{"}\delta_1\text{"} \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y_0 \end{array}$$

which, by general arguments, endows the left hand side reflexive graph with an internal category structure X_\bullet^T in $K\mathcal{I}T$. By Theorem 6.1, this internal category in $K\mathcal{I}T$ is a T -category.

We have now to check the universal property: so, let Z_\bullet^T be another T -category and $g_\bullet : Z_\bullet^T \rightarrow Y_\bullet^T$ a T -functor, such that $g_0 = f \cdot h$ for some $h : Z_0 \rightarrow X$ in \mathbb{E} . Again, by general arguments, we certainly get an internal functor $h_\bullet : Z_\bullet^T \rightarrow X_\bullet^T$ in $K\mathcal{I}T$ such that $h_0 = h \in \mathbb{E}$. Since both Z_\bullet and X_\bullet are T -categories and h_0 belongs to \mathbb{E} , then h_\bullet is a T -functor, see Theorem 6.1. Since \bar{F}^T preserves pullbacks, $\text{Cat}(\bar{F}^T)$ preserves the fully faithful internal functors, namely the cartesian maps with respect to $(\cdot)_0$. \square

In our context, the construction of the endofunctor Dec can be extended to T -categories:

Proposition 6.4. *Given any cartesian monad (T, λ, μ) , there is an endofunctor Dec on $T\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$ which mimicks the endofunctor Dec on $Cat\mathbb{E}$. However the co-unit ϵ does not belong to $T\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$.*

Proof. Consider the upper part of the following vertical diagram in KIT :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \xrightarrow{d_0} & & \xrightarrow{d_0} & & \xrightarrow{d_0} \\
 DecX_\bullet : & X_4 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & X_3 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & X_2 & \xleftarrow{s_0} & X_1 \\
 & \xrightarrow{d_2} & & \xrightarrow{d_2} & & \xrightarrow{d_1} & & \\
 & \xrightarrow{d_3} & & \xrightarrow{d_0} & & \xrightarrow{d_0} & & \\
 \epsilon_{X_\bullet} \downarrow & \delta_4 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 X_\bullet : & X_3 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & X_1 & \xleftarrow{s_0} & X_0 \\
 & \xrightarrow{d_2} & & \xrightarrow{d_0} & & \xrightarrow{d_0} & & \\
 & \xrightarrow{\delta_3} & & \xrightarrow{\delta_2} & & \xrightarrow{\delta_1} & &
 \end{array}$$

where X_4 is defined by the pullback in KIT of the map $d_0 : X_3 \rightarrow X_2 \in \mathbb{E}$ along the map $\delta_3 : X_3 \cdots > X_2 \in KIT$. \square

Proposition 6.5. *Given any cartesian monad (T, λ, μ) , the fully faithful inclusion $Cat(\bar{F}^T) : Cat\mathbb{E} \hookrightarrow T\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$ admit a right adjoint \mathbb{R} which preserves the cartesian maps (=fully faithful functors).*

Proof. Let us start with a T -category X_\bullet^T and define $\mathbb{R}(X_\bullet^T)$ by the following fully faithful internal functor in $Cat\mathbb{E}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \bar{X}_1 & \xrightarrow{\check{\lambda}_1} & T(X_1) & \xrightarrow{T(\delta_1)} & \\
 \bar{d}_0 \updownarrow \bar{s}_0 & \bar{d}_1 & T(d_0) & T(\bar{s}_0) & T^2(X_0) \\
 X_0 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{X_0}} & T(X_0) & \xleftarrow{\mu_{X_0}} &
 \end{array}$$

It determines the left hand side T -functor in $T\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$ where $\bar{\lambda}_1$ is the factorization of $\check{\lambda}_1$ through λ_{X_1} :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \xrightarrow{\check{\lambda}_1} & & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{X_1}} & & \xrightarrow{T(\delta_1)} \\
 \bar{X}_1 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\lambda}_1} & X_1 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{X_1}} & T(X_1) & \xrightarrow{T(\delta_1)} & \\
 \bar{d}_0 \updownarrow \bar{s}_0 & \bar{d}_1 & \downarrow d_0 & \updownarrow \bar{s}_0 & T(d_0) & T(\bar{s}_0) & T^2(X_0) \\
 X_0 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{X_0}} & X_0 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{X_0}} & T(X_0) & \xleftarrow{\mu_{X_0}} &
 \end{array}$$

since " δ_1 ". $\bar{\lambda}_1 = \bar{d}_1$ in KIT means $\delta_1.\bar{\lambda}_1 = \lambda_{X_0}.\bar{d}_1$ in \mathbb{E} which is true since $\lambda_{X_0}.\bar{d}_1 = \mu_{X_0}.T(\delta_1).\check{\lambda}_1 = \mu_{X_0}.T(\delta_1).\lambda_{X_1}.\bar{\lambda}_1 = \mu_{X_0}.\lambda_{T(X_0)}.\delta_1.\bar{\lambda}_1 = \delta_1.\bar{\lambda}_1$.

Now, let $h_\bullet : Z_\bullet \rightarrow X_\bullet^T$ be any T -functor with $Z_\bullet \in Cat\mathbb{E}$. This means that we get $\delta_1.h_1 = \lambda_{X_0}.h_0.d_1$ in \mathbb{E} . We have to check that the map $h_1 : Z_1 \rightarrow X_1$ factors through $\bar{\lambda}_1$ or equivalently that $\lambda_{X_1}.h_1$ factors through $\check{\lambda}_1$. For that it is enough

to check that we have an internal functor in $Cat\mathbb{E}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Z_1 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{X_1}.h_1} & T(X_1) & \xrightarrow{T(\delta_1)} & \\
 \downarrow d_0 & \left\| \begin{array}{c} s_0 \\ \downarrow \\ d_1 \end{array} \right. & \downarrow T(d_0) & \uparrow T(\bar{s}_0) & \\
 Z_0 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{X_0}.h_0} & T(X_0) & \xleftarrow{\mu_{X_0}} & T^2(X_0)
 \end{array}$$

which is straightforward. The functor \mathbb{R} preserves the fully faithful functors since the functor $K_T : K!T \rightarrow \text{Alg}T$ is cartesian as soon as so is the monad. \square

Now, when the monad is cartesian, the injective functor: $T\sharp C_{\mathbb{E}} : \text{Alg}T \hookrightarrow T\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} \hookrightarrow \text{Cat}(K!T)$ is defined by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{"1"}_{T^2(X)}} \\ \xleftarrow{\lambda_{T(X)}} \\ T^2(X) \xrightarrow{\mu_X} T(X) \\ \xleftarrow{T(\lambda_X)} \\ \xrightarrow{T(\xi)} \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{"1"}_{T(X)}} \\ \xleftarrow{\lambda_X} X \\ \xrightarrow{\xi} \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

which makes commute the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Alg}T & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Cat}(K!T) \\
 U^T \downarrow & & \downarrow (\cdot)_0 \\
 \mathbb{E} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{E}
 \end{array}$$

Proposition 6.6. *The upper injective functor is cartesian. We get $\mathbb{R}(T\sharp C_{\mathbb{E}}(X, \xi)) = \Delta.U^T(X, \xi) = \Delta_X$.*

Proof. The first point is straightforward since the pullbacks of internal categories are levelwise and that it is also the case for the T -algebras when T is cartesian. For the second point, given any T -algebra (X, ξ) , consider the following joint pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{1_X} & X & & \\
 \lambda_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_X & & \\
 T(X) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{T(X)}} & T^2(X) & \xrightarrow{\mu_X} & T(X) \\
 \xi \downarrow & & \downarrow T(\xi) & & \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\lambda_X} & T(X) & &
 \end{array}$$

\square

The composition functor $\text{Alg}T \hookrightarrow \text{Cat}(K!T) \xrightarrow{\text{Cat}(\bar{F}^T)} \text{Cat}(\text{Alg}T)$ gives rise, for any $X \in \mathbb{E}$ to the following internal category in $\text{Alg}T$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mu_{T(X)}} \\ \xleftarrow{T(\lambda_{T(X)})} \\ (T^3(X), \mu_{T^2(X)}) \xrightarrow{T(\mu_X)} (T^2(X), \mu_{T(X)}) \\ \xleftarrow{T^2(\lambda_X)} \\ \xrightarrow{T^2(\xi)} \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mu_X} \\ \xleftarrow{T(\lambda_X)} (T(X), \mu_X) \\ \xrightarrow{T(\xi)} \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

For further developments on these internal categories, see [4]. On the other hand, the "intersection" of the inclusions $Cat(\bar{F}^T) : Cat\mathbb{E} \hookrightarrow Cat(KIT)$ and $AlgT \hookrightarrow Cat(KIT)$ is clearly the empty set.

6.3. T -groupoids. Is the notion of T -groupoid meaningful? Probably not in general, but it is clear that when a T -category coincides with an internal category in KIT , it is legitimate to say that a T -category:

$$X_\bullet : \begin{array}{ccccc} & \overset{\text{"}\delta_3\text{"}}{\dashrightarrow} & & \overset{\text{"}\delta_2\text{"}}{\dashrightarrow} & & \overset{\text{"}\delta_1\text{"}}{\dashrightarrow} \\ & \xleftarrow{s_2^2} & & \xleftarrow{s_1^1} & & \xleftarrow{s_0} \\ & \xrightarrow{d_2^2} & & \xrightarrow{d_1^1} & & \xrightarrow{d_0} \\ X_3 & \xleftarrow{s_1^1} & X_2 & \xleftarrow{s_0} & X_1 & \xrightarrow{d_0} & X_0 \\ & \xrightarrow{d_1^1} & & \xrightarrow{d_0} & & & \\ & \xleftarrow{s_0} & & \xleftarrow{s_0} & & & \\ & \xrightarrow{d_0^2} & & \xrightarrow{d_0^1} & & & \end{array}$$

is a T -groupoid when:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{d_1^1} & \\ X_2 & \xleftarrow{s_0^1} & X_1 \xrightarrow{d_0} X_0 \\ & \xrightarrow{d_0^1} & \end{array}$$

is a kernel equivalence relation in \mathbb{E} .

6.4. When T -algebras produce T -groupoids. We shall try now to answer the question: when is the image $T\sharp C_{\mathbb{E}}(X, \xi)$ an internal groupoid in KIT ?

Proposition 6.7. *Let Σ be a pullback stable class of morphisms and (T, λ, μ) be a strongly Σ -cartesian monad on \mathbb{E} . Suppose that the object X is such that the following diagram is a kernel equivalence relation:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{T(\mu_X)} & \\ T^3(X) & \xleftarrow{T(\lambda_{T(X)})} & T^2(X) \xrightarrow{\mu_X} T(X) \\ & \xrightarrow{\mu_{T(X)}} & \end{array}$$

Then the object $T(X)$ satisfies the same property. Suppose moreover that Σ is a bijective on objects left cancellable subcategory of \mathbb{E} containing all the isomorphisms. Then any algebra $\xi : T(X) \rightarrow X$ on X belongs to Σ and the following diagram is a kernel equivalence relation:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{T(\xi)} & \\ T^2(X) & \xleftarrow{T(\lambda_X)} & T(X) \xrightarrow{\xi} X \\ & \xrightarrow{\mu_X} & \end{array}$$

So, the T -category $T\sharp C_{\mathbb{E}}(X, \xi)$ is actually a T -groupoid, i.e. an internal groupoid in the Kleisli category KIT .

Proof. Since μ_X is in Σ and μ is Σ -cartesian, the map $T(\mu_{T(X)})$ delineates the composition map of an internal category in \mathbb{E} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\mu_{T^2(X)}} & \\ T^4(X) & \xleftarrow{T(\mu_{T(X)})} & T^3(X) \xleftarrow{T(\lambda_{T(X)})} T^2(X) \\ & \xrightarrow{T^2(\mu_X)} & \xrightarrow{T(\mu_X)} \end{array}$$

When the diagram in question is a kernel equivalence relation, its image by T is a kernel equivalence relation (i.e. the pair $(T(\mu_{T(X)}), T^2(\mu_X))$ is the kernel pair of $T(\mu_X)$), and this category is actually a groupoid. By duality, the pair $(\mu_{T^2(X)}, T(\mu_{T(X)}))$ is the kernel equivalence relation of $\mu_{T(X)}$ and we get the first assertion.

Any T -algebra $\xi : T(X) \rightarrow X$ produces the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
T^4(X) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{T^2(X)}} & T^3(X) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{T(X)}} & T^2(X) \\
\downarrow T^3(\xi) & \xrightarrow{T(\mu_{T(X)})} & \downarrow T^2(\xi) & \xleftarrow{T(\lambda_{T(X)})} & \downarrow T(\xi) \\
& \xrightarrow{T^2(\mu_X)} & & \xrightarrow{T(\mu_X)} & \\
T^3(X) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{T(X)}} & T^2(X) & \xrightarrow{\mu_X} & T(X) \\
& \xrightarrow{T^2(\xi)} & & \xleftarrow{T(\lambda_X)} & \xrightarrow{T(\xi)}
\end{array}$$

Now, since the square in question is a pullback, the maps $T(\xi)$ and $T^2(\xi)$ makes the lower row an internal groupoid. So, the involutive "inversion" mapping $\gamma_\xi : T^2(X) \rightarrow T^2(X)$ of this groupoid exchanges the maps μ_X and $T(\xi)$. Since, by assumption, the isomorphism γ_X is in Σ which is a subcategory of \mathbb{E} , then $T(\xi) = \mu_X \cdot \gamma_\xi$ belongs to Σ . Now, the identity $\lambda_X \cdot \xi = T(\xi) \cdot \lambda_{T(X)}$ shows that $\xi \in \Sigma$, since Σ is left cancellable.

It remains to check the last assertion. Since the lower row of the diagram above is a groupoid, by duality, the following diagram is a kernel equivalence relation:

$$T^3(X) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{T(\mu_X)} \\ \xrightarrow{T^2(\xi)} \end{array} T^2(X) \xrightarrow{T(\xi)} T(X)$$

The endofunctor T is conservative since λ is the equalizer of the pair $(\lambda_T, T(\lambda))$ (since λ is in Σ and λ Σ -cartesian); accordingly it reflects the pullbacks of maps in Σ , and the following diagram is thus a kernel equivalence relation:

$$T^2(X) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mu_X} \\ \xrightarrow{T(\xi)} \end{array} T(X) \xrightarrow{\xi} X$$

□

Corollary 6.1. *Let (T, λ, μ) be a cartesian monad on \mathbb{E} . Suppose the object X is such that the following diagram is a kernel equivalence relation:*

$$T^3(X) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{T(\mu_X)} \\ \xleftarrow{T(\lambda_X)} \\ \xrightarrow{\mu_{T(X)}} \end{array} T^2(X) \xrightarrow{\mu_X} T(X)$$

Then any T -algebra $\xi : T(X) \rightarrow X$ on X is such that the T -category $\mathbf{T}\sharp\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{E}}(X, \xi)$ is actually a T -groupoid, i.e. an internal groupoid in \mathbf{KIT} .

In Section 9.3 we shall produce a cartesian monad where this condition is satisfied for any object X .

7. WHEN INTERNAL CATEGORIES IN \mathbb{E} COINCIDE WITH \mathbb{G} -CATEGORIES IN $\text{Pt}\mathbb{E}$

In this section we shall show that the category $\text{Cat}\mathbb{E}$ of internal categories in \mathbb{E} coincides with a specific subcategory of the category of \mathbb{G} -categories in $\text{Pt}\mathbb{E}$.

Recall that the monad $(\mathbb{G}, \sigma, \pi)$ is strongly \mathbb{Q} -cartesian on $\text{Pt}\mathbb{E}$ (Section 4), accordingly we get:

Proposition 7.1. *The full subcategory $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}\text{-CatPt}\mathbb{E}$ of $\mathbb{G}\text{-CatPt}\mathbb{E}$ whose objects are the \mathbb{G} -categories with a \mathbb{Q} -cartesian 0-leg coincides with the category whose objects are the discrete fibrations $h_{\bullet} : X_{\bullet} \rightarrow Y_{\bullet}$ in \mathbb{E} , where $h_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ is endowed with a given splitting t_0 and whose morphisms are the commutative squares between discrete fibrations in $\text{Cat}\mathbb{E}$ as on the left hand side:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{\bullet} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{\bullet}} & \bar{X}_{\bullet} \\ h_{\bullet} \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{h}_{\bullet} \\ Y_{\bullet} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\bullet}} & \bar{Y}_{\bullet} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X_0 & \xrightarrow{\psi_0} & \bar{X}_0 \\ h_0 \downarrow \uparrow t_0 & & \bar{h}_0 \downarrow \uparrow \bar{t}_0 \\ Y_0 & \xrightarrow{\phi_0} & \bar{Y}_0 \end{array}$$

such that the above right hand side square is a morphism in $\text{Pt}\mathbb{E}$.

Proof. Apply Propositions 6.2 and 4.2. \square

We shall be interested now in the full subcategory of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}\text{-CatPt}\mathbb{E}$ whose objects are the \mathbb{G} -categories having, in addition, an idomorphic 1-leg.

Theorem 7.1. *The full subcategory of $\mathbb{G}\text{-CatPt}\mathbb{E}$ whose objects are the \mathbb{G} -categories with a \mathbb{Q} -cartesian 0-leg and an idomorphic 1-leg is isomorphic to the category $\text{Cat}\mathbb{E}$ of internal categories in \mathbb{E} .*

Proof. Let $h_{\bullet} : X_{\bullet} \rightarrow Y_{\bullet}$ be a \mathbb{G} -category with a \mathbb{Q} -cartesian 0-leg, namely a vertical discrete fibration with a section t_0 of h_0 :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \xleftarrow{d_{0X}} & & \xleftarrow{d_0} & \\ X_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0^Y} & X_1 & \xleftarrow{d_1} & X_2 \\ & \xleftarrow{d_1^X} & & \xleftarrow{d_2} & \\ h_0 \uparrow \uparrow t_0 & & \downarrow h_1 & & \downarrow h_2 \\ & \xleftarrow{d_{0Y}} & & \xleftarrow{d_0} & \\ Y_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0} & Y_1 & \xleftarrow{d_1} & Y_2 \\ & \xleftarrow{d_{1Y}} & & \xleftarrow{d_2} & \end{array}$$

we shall denote by t_i the induced section of h_i . Its underlying \mathbb{G} -graph:

$$(h_0, t_0) \xleftarrow{(d_0^Y, d_0^X)} (h_1, t_1) \xrightarrow{(d_1^X, R(d_1^X)) \cdot \sigma(h_1, t_1)} \mathbb{G}(h_0, t_0)$$

is the following one:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X_1 & & \\ & d_{0X} \swarrow & \uparrow h_1 & \searrow (d_1^X \cdot t_1 \cdot h_1, d_1^X) & \\ X_0 & & & & R[h_0] \\ & \uparrow h_0 & \downarrow t_1 & & \uparrow p_0^{h_0} \\ & & Y_1 & & \downarrow s_0^{h_0} \\ & \downarrow h_0 & \swarrow d_{0Y} & \searrow d_1^X \cdot t_1 & X_0 \\ Y_0 & & & & \end{array}$$

Saying that its 1-leg is idomorphic is saying that $d_1^X \cdot t_1 = 1_{Y_1}$. Whence:

1) $h_0 = h_0 \cdot d_1^X \cdot t_1 = d_1^Y \cdot h_1 \cdot t_1 = d_1^Y$, and 2) $t_0 = t_0 \cdot d_1^Y \cdot s_0^Y = d_1^X \cdot t_1 \cdot s_0^Y = s_0^Y$, and

consequently $(h_0, t_0) = (d_1, s_0)$. Similarly we have $d_2^X.t_2 = 1_{Y_2}$. Whence:
 1) $h_1 = h_1.d_2^X.t_2 = d_2^Y.h_2.t_2 = d_2^Y$, and 2) $t_1 = t_1.d_2^Y.s_1^Y = d_2^X.t_2.s_1^Y = s_1^Y$, and consequently $(h_1, t_1) = (d_2, s_1)$. Accordingly, we get the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \xleftarrow{d_0} & & \xleftarrow{d_0} & \\
 Y_1 & \xrightarrow{s_0} & Y_2 & \xleftarrow{d_1} & Y_3 \\
 & \xleftarrow{d_1} & & \xleftarrow{d_2} & \\
 \uparrow d_1 & \begin{array}{c} s_0 \\ \downarrow \end{array} & \downarrow d_2 & & \downarrow d_3 \\
 Y_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0} & Y_1 & \xleftarrow{d_1} & Y_2 \\
 & \xleftarrow{d_1} & & \xleftarrow{d_2} &
 \end{array}$$

which is nothing but the discrete fibration $\epsilon_{Y_\bullet} : DecY_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ with the section s_0 , and nothing more. So that a $\mathbb{G}\mathfrak{Q}$ -category with an idomorphic 1-leg is just an internal category in \mathbb{E} . Conversely any internal category Y_\bullet in \mathbb{E} produce the above $\mathbb{G}\mathfrak{Q}$ -category with an idomorphic 1-leg. \square

Now, consider the injective functor $\mathbb{G}\sharp C : \text{Alg}\mathbb{G} = \text{Grd}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}\text{-Cat}(\text{Pt}\mathbb{E})$; according to Proposition 6.7, any \mathbb{G} -algebra is \mathfrak{Q} -cartesian, so that the pointed \mathbb{G} -graph underlying $\mathbb{G}\sharp C((d_0, s_0), (d_1, d_2))$ (following the notations of Section 4):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathbb{G}(d_0, s_0) & \\
 (d_1, d_2) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \xrightarrow{1_{\mathbb{G}(d_0, s_0)}} \\
 (d_0, s_0) & \xrightarrow{\sigma(d_0, s_0)} & \mathbb{G}(d_0, s_0)
 \end{array}$$

has a \mathfrak{Q} -cartesian 0-leg and an idomorphic 1-leg. Accordingly, the injective functor $\mathbb{G}\sharp C$ factors through $\text{Cat}\mathbb{E}$, producing the natural inclusion $\text{Grd}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \text{Cat}\mathbb{E}$. So, not only the monad $(\mathbb{G}, \sigma, \pi)$ produces the category $\text{Grd}\mathbb{E} = \text{Alg}\mathbb{G}$ of internal groupoids, but also it entirely rules the previous inclusion.

8. INTERNAL n -GROUPOIDS AND n -CATEGORIES

In this section, we shall show that the constructions and results of the previous section about the monad $(\mathbb{G}, \sigma, \pi)$ have a natural extension to the internal (strict) n -groupoids and n -categories.

8.1. The monad $(\mathbb{G}_F, \sigma_F, \pi_F)$. We shall first introduce a locating process for the monad $(\mathbb{G}, \sigma, \pi)$ up to a fibration. So let $F : \bar{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ be any fibration whose underlying functor is cartesian; we denote by F_W the fiber above $W \in \mathbb{E}$.

Lemma 8.1. *Given any fibration $F : \bar{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ whose underlying functor is cartesian, then any fiber F_W has pullbacks.*

Proof. Given pair (f, g) of maps with same codomain in the fiber $F_{F(Y)}$ and their pullback in $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P & \xrightarrow{p_X} & X \\
 p_Y \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
 Z & \xrightarrow{g} & Y
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 F(P) & \xrightarrow{F(p_X)} & F(X) \\
 F(p_Y) \downarrow & & \parallel F(f) \\
 F(Z) & \xrightarrow{F(g)} & F(Y)
 \end{array}$$

we get $F(p_X) = F(p_Y) = \gamma$, where γ is an isomorphism in \mathbb{E} . Taking $\zeta : \bar{P} \rightarrow P$ the cartesian map above γ^{-1} with domain \bar{P} furnishes the pullback of the pair (f, g) inside the fiber $F_{F(Y)}$. \square

Let us denote by $\text{Pt}_F \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ the full subcategory of $\text{Pt} \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ whose objects are the split epimorphisms in a fiber of F , it is obtained by the following left hand side pullback where $1_{\mathbb{E}}(W) = (1_W, 1_W)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathbb{Q}_F & \longrightarrow & \\ \text{Pt}_F \bar{\mathbb{E}} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\bar{\mathbb{E}}}} & \text{Pt} \bar{\mathbb{E}} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Q}_{\bar{\mathbb{E}}}} & \bar{\mathbb{E}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Pt} F & & \\ \mathbb{E} & \xrightarrow{1_{\mathbb{E}}} & \text{Pt} \mathbb{E} & & \end{array}$$

This makes $\text{Pt}_F \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ a cartesian category and any functor in this diagram is a cartesian one. This produces, in addition, the upper horizontal cartesian functor \mathbb{Q}_F which becomes a subfibration of $\mathbb{Q}_{\bar{\mathbb{E}}}$ by the following *specification of the base-change functor* ψ^* : starting with any split epimorphism $(f, s) : X \rightrightarrows Y$ in the fiber $F_{F(Y)}$, take the pullback in $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$ as on the left hand side which produces a cartesian map above ψ in $\text{Pt} \bar{\mathbb{E}}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{X} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}} & X \\ \bar{f} \downarrow \bar{s} \uparrow & & f \downarrow s \uparrow \\ Z & \xrightarrow{\psi} & Y \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} F(\bar{X}) & \xrightarrow{F(\bar{\psi})} & F(X) \\ F(\bar{f}) \downarrow & & \parallel F(f) \\ F(Z) & \xrightarrow{F(\psi)} & F(Y) \end{array}$$

So, in the right hand side image by F in \mathbb{E} which is a pullback, the map $F(\bar{f}) = \gamma$ is an isomorphism whose inverse is $F(\bar{s})$. Taking the cartesian isomorphism $\zeta : \check{X} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ above γ^{-1} with codomain \bar{X} produces the desired split epimorphism $(\bar{f} \cdot \zeta, \zeta^{-1} \cdot \bar{s}) : \check{X} \rightrightarrows Z$ in the fiber above $F(Z)$. *From now on we shall use the previous specification in the construction of the base-change functors ψ^* .*

We shall denote by \mathbb{Q}_F the class of the cartesian maps with respect to the fibration \mathbb{Q}_F (namely pullbacks between split epimorphisms belonging to a fiber) and, again, we shall call idomorphisms the morphisms (y, x) in $\text{Pt}_F \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ whose lower map y in \mathbb{E} is an identity map. Modulo the above precisions, *the monad* $(\mathbb{G}, \sigma, \pi)$ *on* $\text{Pt} \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ *is stable on* $\text{Pt}_F \bar{\mathbb{E}}$; for sake of clarity, we shall denote it by $(\mathbb{G}_F, \sigma_F, \pi_F)$.

Proposition 8.1. *The endofunctor \mathbb{G}_F on $\text{Pt}_F \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ is cartesian. It preserves and reflects the class \mathbb{Q}_F . The monad $(\mathbb{G}_F, \sigma_F, \pi_F)$ is strongly \mathbb{Q}_F -cartesian. Furthermore, given any object (g, t) in $\text{Pt}_F \bar{\mathbb{E}}$, the following diagram is a kernel equivalence relation in $\text{Pt} \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ with its (levelwise) quotient:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\pi_F \mathbb{G}_F(g, t)} & \\ \mathbb{G}_F^3(g, t) & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{G}_F(\sigma_F \mathbb{G}_F(g, t))} & \mathbb{G}_F^2(g, t) \xrightarrow{\pi_F(g, t)} \mathbb{G}_F(g, t) \\ & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{G}_F \pi_F(g, t)} & \end{array}$$

Proof. It is just Proposition 4.1 restricted to the full subcategory $\text{Pt}_F \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ of $\text{Pt} \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ since the inclusion $\iota_{\bar{\mathbb{E}}}$ preserves the cartesian maps and the monad $(\mathbb{G}_F, \sigma_F, \pi_F)$ is just the restriction to $\text{Pt}_F \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ of the monad $(\mathbb{G}, \sigma, \pi)$ on $\text{Pt} \bar{\mathbb{E}}$. \square

Proposition 8.2. *Any algebra $\alpha : \mathbb{G}_F(g, t) \rightarrow (g, t)$ of this monad necessarily belongs to the class \mathbb{Q}_F . The category of algebras of the monad $(\mathbb{G}_F, \sigma_F, \pi_F)$ on $\text{Pt}_F \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ is the full subcategory $\text{Grd}^F \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ of $\text{Grd} \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ whose objects are the internal groupoids in the fibers of F .*

Proof. This time, it is just a restriction of Theorem 4.1 to the full subcategory $\text{Pt}_F \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ of $\text{Pt} \bar{\mathbb{E}}$. \square

We shall denote by $(\)_0^F$ the diagonal functor of the following commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Alg} \mathbb{G}_F = \text{Grd}^F \bar{\mathbb{E}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Grd} \bar{\mathbb{E}} \\ \downarrow U^{\mathbb{G}_F} & \searrow (\)_0^F & \downarrow (\)_0 \\ \mathbb{G}_F \curvearrowright \text{Pt}_F \bar{\mathbb{E}} & \xrightarrow{\quad \mathbb{1}_F \quad} & \bar{\mathbb{E}} \end{array}$$

Definition 8.1. A functor $F : \bar{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ is called a *fibred reflection* when it is cartesian and is a fibration such that any fiber F_W of F has a terminal object $T(W)$ which is stable under any base-change functor.

The easiest examples of fibred reflection are the fibrations $(\)_0 : \text{Cat} \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ and $(\)_0 : \text{Grd} \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$, when \mathbb{E} is a cartesian category with a terminal object; in both cases, the terminal object in the fiber above the object X in \mathbb{E} being the undiscrète equivalence relation $\nabla_X = R[\tau_X]$, where $\tau_X : X \rightarrow 1$ is the terminal map. We are going to show now that when F is a fibred reflection, so are the forgetful functors $(\)_0^F : \text{Grd}^F \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ and $(\)_0^F : \text{Cat}^F \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$. First, the previous terminology comes from the following:

Proposition 8.3. [7] A functor $F : \bar{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ is a fibred reflection if and only if:
 1) the functor F is cartesian and has a right adjoint right inverse $T : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ such that the unit $\eta_X : X \rightarrow TF(X)$ of this co-adjoint pair is such that $F(\eta_X) = 1_{F(X)}$;
 2) for any map $h : Z \rightarrow F(X)$ in $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$, there is a map $\bar{h} : \bar{Z} \rightarrow X$ in \mathbb{E} such that $F(\bar{h}) = h$ and the following square is a pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{Z} & \xrightarrow{\quad \bar{h} \quad} & X \\ \eta_{\bar{Z}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta_X \\ TF(\bar{Z}) = T(Z) & \xrightarrow{\quad TF(\bar{h})=T(h) \quad} & TF(X) \end{array}$$

Proof. Suppose F is a fibred reflection. Choose a terminal object $T(W)$ in the fiber F_W ; this determines a right adjoint right inverse T of F with $\eta_X : X \rightarrow TF(X)$ the terminal map in the fiber $F_{F(X)}$. Then a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$ is cartesian with respect to F if and only if the following left hand side square is a pullback in $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$, see Section 1 in [7] for instance:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & Y \\ \eta_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta_Y \\ TF(X) & \xrightarrow{\quad TF(f) \quad} & TF(Y) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \check{Z} & \xrightarrow{\quad \check{h} \quad} & X \\ \check{\eta} \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta_X \\ T(Z) & \xrightarrow{\quad T(h) \quad} & TF(X) \end{array}$$

Now starting with a map $h : Z \rightarrow F(X)$ in $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$, take the above right hand side pullback in $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$. Since F is cartesian, its image by F is a pullback in \mathbb{E} , and $F(\check{\eta})$ is an isomorphism. Taking $\gamma : \bar{Z} \rightarrow \check{Z}$ the invertible cartesian map above $F(\check{\eta})^{-1}$ with codomain \bar{Z} , produces the desired map $\bar{h} = \check{h} \cdot \gamma : \bar{Z} \rightarrow X$ of condition 2).

Conversely, let F be a functor satisfying the two above conditions. Condition 1) implies that any map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ making the above left hand side square a

pullback is cartesian with respect to F , while Condition 2) guarantees the existence of a cartesian map above any map h . Then $\eta_X : X \rightarrow TF(X)$ is necessarily the terminal map in the fiber $F_{F(X)}$. \square

Proposition 8.4. *When the fibration $F : \bar{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ is a fibered reflection, so is the forgetful functor $(\)_0^F : Grd^F \bar{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{E}}$.*

Proof. The kernel equivalence relation $R[\eta_X]$ produces a groupoid $R_\bullet[\eta_X]$ in the fiber $F_{F(X)}$ (since $F(\eta_X) = 1_{F(X)}$) such that $R_0[\eta_X] = X$, and it is clearly a terminal object among the groupoids Z_\bullet in the fiber $F_{F(X)}$ such that $Z_0 = X$.

Let us check that $(\)_0^F$ is a fibration whose base-change functors preserve these terminal objects. So, let X_\bullet be any internal groupoid in a fiber of F and $h : Z \rightarrow X_0$ be any map in $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$; then consider the following left hand side pullback in the following left hand side diagram in $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$, where $\bar{\eta}_1 X_\bullet$ is the factorization of the pair $(d_0^{X_\bullet}, d_1^{X_\bullet})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \bar{Z}_1 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\eta}} & R[\eta_Z] & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p_0} \\ \xleftarrow{p_1} \end{array} & Z & \xrightarrow{\eta_Z} & TF(Z) & & \bar{Z}_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\bar{\eta}_\bullet} & R_\bullet[\eta_Z] \\
 \bar{h}_1 \downarrow & & R(h) \downarrow & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow TF(h) & & \bar{h}_\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow R_\bullet(h) \\
 X_1 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\eta}_1 X_\bullet} & R[\eta_{X_0}] & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p_0} \\ \xleftarrow{p_1} \end{array} & X_0 & \xrightarrow{\eta_{X_0}} & TF(X_0) & & X_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\bar{\eta}_\bullet X_\bullet} & R_\bullet[\eta_{X_0}]
 \end{array}$$

This produces an internal groupoid in $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$, since this pullback in $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$ is underlying the right hand side pullback in $Grd \bar{\mathbb{E}}$. The map $\bar{\eta}$ is not necessarily inside a fiber, but certainly $F(\bar{\eta})$ is an isomorphism since $F(\bar{\eta}_1 X_\bullet) = 1_{F(X_0)}$. Take the invertible cartesian map $\zeta_1 : Z_1 \rightarrow \bar{Z}_1$ above $F(\bar{\eta})^{-1}$ with codomain \bar{Z}_1 , then the associated internal groupoid Z_\bullet (which is isomorphic to \bar{Z}_\bullet) belongs to $Grd_F \bar{\mathbb{E}}$. It then straightforward to check that the internal functor $h_\bullet \cdot \zeta_\bullet : Z_\bullet \rightarrow X_\bullet$ is the desired cartesian map above h with respect to the functor: $(\)_0^F : Grd^F \bar{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{E}}$. This construction makes $R_\bullet(h)$ a cartesian map above h , which means that the terminal object $R_\bullet[\eta_{X_0}]$ in the fiber of $(\)_0^F$ is preserved by the base-change functor along h ; in other words this means that the fibration $(\)_0^F$ is a fibered reflection. \square

Theorem 8.1. *The full subcategory of $\mathbb{G}_F\text{-Cat}(\text{Pt}_F \bar{\mathbb{E}})$ whose objects are the \mathbb{G}_F -categories with a \blacktriangleleft_F -cartesian 0-leg and an idomorphic 1-leg is isomorphic to the full subcategory $Cat^F \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ of $Cat \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ whose objects are the internal categories in the fibers of F . The inclusion $\mathbb{G}_F \sharp C_F : \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{G}_F} \hookrightarrow Cat^F \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ coincides with the following upper one:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Grd^F \bar{\mathbb{E}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Cat^F \bar{\mathbb{E}} \\
 (\)_0^F \downarrow & & \downarrow (\)_0^F \\
 \bar{\mathbb{E}} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \bar{\mathbb{E}}
 \end{array}$$

When F is a fibered reflection, so is $(\)_0^F : Cat^F \bar{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{E}}$.

Proof. Once again, the first point is only a straightforward restriction of Theorem 6.1 to the full subcategory $\text{Pt}_F \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ of $\text{Pt} \bar{\mathbb{E}}$. And the second one holds since the previous proof for $Grd^F \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ is still valid for $Cat^F \bar{\mathbb{E}}$, which means, as expected, that the terminal objects in the fibers $Grd_X^F \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ and $Cat_X^F \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ are the same one. \square

8.2. When the fibration F has protomodular fibers. Recall from [9], that a category \mathbb{C} is protomodular when any base-change functor of the fibration $\blacktriangleleft_{\mathbb{C}} : \text{Pt} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is conservative, that any protomodular category is a Mal'tsev one in the

sense of [12] (namely any reflexive relation in \mathbb{C} is an equivalence relation), and that any internal category in a Mal'tsev category is an internal groupoid [13].

The easiest examples of protomodular category are the category \mathbf{Gp} of groups and $\mathbf{Gp}\mathbb{E}$ of internal groups in \mathbb{E} when \mathbb{E} is cartesian. More generally any fiber of the fibration $(\)_0 : \mathbf{Grd}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ is protomodular, again see [9], and when \mathbb{C} is protomodular, so is the category $\mathbf{Grd}\mathbb{C}$. When the fibration F has protomodular fibers, then the extension determined by Theorem 8.1 does not produce anything new:

Proposition 8.5. *When the fibration F has protomodular fibers, the inclusion $\mathbb{G}\sharp_{\mathbb{C}_F} : \mathbf{Alg}\mathbb{G}_F = \mathbf{Grd}^F\bar{\mathbb{E}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}^F\bar{\mathbb{E}}$ is an isomorphism of categories, and the fibered reflection $(\)_0^F : \mathbf{Grd}^F\bar{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ has protomodular fibers as well.*

Proof. Following what we just recalled, internal categories and internal groupoids do coincide inside the protomodular fibers of $F : \bar{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$; whence the first point. Now, the fiber $(\)_0^{F,X}$ of $(\)_0^F$ above the object $X \in \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ is a cartesian subcategory of the category $\mathbf{Grd}(F_{F(X)})$ which, as we just recalled above, is protomodular since so is $F_{F(X)}$. Accordingly, so is this fiber $(\)_0^{F,X}$. \square

8.3. 2-categories and 2-groupoids. (Strict) 2-categories and 2-groupoids have been introduced by Ehresmann in [15]. Internally speaking, the category $2\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$ of internal 2-categories in \mathbb{E} is nothing but the full subcategory of the category $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{Cat}\mathbb{E})$ of double categories whose objects are the internal categories in the fibers of the fibration $(\)_0 : \mathbf{Cat}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$, see Section VI.2 in [7]. So we are in the situation investigated in Section 8.1 with the fibration $F = (\)_0$. This section will be devoted to the translation of the results of Section 8.1, and this will show how, again, the monad $(\mathbb{G}, \sigma, \pi)$ entirely rules the construction of the category $2\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$. Similarly, the category $2\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E}$ of internal 2-groupoids in \mathbb{E} is nothing but the full subcategory of the category $\mathbf{Grd}(\mathbf{Grd}\mathbb{E})$ of double groupoids whose objects are the internal groupoids in the fibers of the fibration $(\)_0 : \mathbf{Grd}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$.

Let us begin by the category $2\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E}$. In this way, $2\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E} = \mathbf{Grd}^{(\)_0}\mathbf{Grd}\mathbb{E}$. When there is no ambiguity, a 2-groupoid will be denoted by the central part X_{\bullet}^2 of the internal groupoid defining it in a fiber of $(\)_0 : \mathbf{Grd}_E\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$:

$$X_{\bullet}^2 \times_0 X_{\bullet}^2 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_{\bullet}^{2,2}} \\ \xrightarrow{d_{\bullet}^{2,1}} \\ \xrightarrow{d_{\bullet}^{2,0}} \end{array} X_{\bullet}^2 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_{\bullet}^{2,1}} \\ \xleftarrow{s_{\bullet}^{2,0}} \\ \xrightarrow{d_{\bullet}^{2,0}} \end{array} X_{\bullet}^1$$

where the left hand side object is a pullback in this fiber. The internal groupoid X_{\bullet}^1 is called the groupoid of 1-morphisms, while the internal groupoid X_{\bullet}^2 is called the groupoid of 2-morphisms or 2-cells. Let us translate now the results of Section 8.1 with $F = (\)_0 : \mathbf{Grd}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$. For that and for sake of simplicity we shall denote:

- 1) by $\mathbf{Pt}_0\mathbf{Grd}\mathbb{E}$ the category $\mathbf{Pt}_{(\)_0}\mathbf{Grd}\mathbb{E}$ whose objects are the split epimorphisms between internal groupoids lying in a fiber of $(\)_0$,
- 2) by $\mathbb{P}_{(\)_0}\mathbb{E}$ the fibration $\mathbb{P}_{(\)_0} : \mathbf{Pt}_0\mathbf{Grd}\mathbb{E} = \mathbf{Pt}_{(\)_0}\mathbf{Grd}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{Grd}\mathbb{E}$ associating with any split epimorphism of this kind its codomain,
- 3) and by $(\mathbb{G}_1, \sigma_1, \pi_1)$ the monad $(\mathbb{G}_{(\)_0}, \sigma_{(\)_0}, \pi_{(\)_0})$ on the category $\mathbf{Pt}_0\mathbf{Grd}\mathbb{E}$.

So we get:

Proposition 8.6. *1) The category $2\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Alg}\mathbb{G}_1$. The forgetful functor $(\)_1 : 2\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{Grd}\mathbb{E}$ associating the groupoid X_{\bullet}^1 with the 2-groupoid X_{\bullet}^2*

is a fibered reflection.

2) The inclusion $\mathbb{G}\sharp\mathbb{C}_1 : \mathbf{Alg}\mathbb{G}_1 = 2\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E} \hookrightarrow \text{Cat}^{(\cdot)_0}\text{Grd}\mathbb{E}$ is an isomorphism of categories and the fibered reflection $(\cdot)_1 : 2\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \text{Grd}\mathbb{E}$ has protomodular fibers.

Proof. For the first point, just apply Propositions 8.1, 8.2 and 8.4 to the fibration $(\cdot)_0 : \text{Grd}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$. For the second one, apply Proposition 8.5. \square

Let us translate now the results of Section 8.1 related with $F = (\cdot)_0 : \text{Cat}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$. In this way, $2\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} = \text{Cat}^{(\cdot)_0}\text{Cat}\mathbb{E}$. Again, when there is no ambiguity, a 2-category will be denoted by the central part X_\bullet^2 of the internal category producing it in a fiber of $(\cdot)_0 : \text{Cat}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$:

$$X_\bullet^2 \times_0 X_\bullet^2 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_\bullet^{2,2}} \\ \xrightarrow{d_\bullet^{2,1}} \\ \xrightarrow{d_\bullet^{2,0}} \end{array} X_\bullet^2 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_\bullet^{2,1}} \\ \xleftarrow{s_\bullet^{2,0}} \\ \xrightarrow{d_\bullet^{2,0}} \end{array} X_\bullet^1$$

where the left hand side object is a pullback in this fiber of $(\cdot)_0 : \text{Cat}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$. The internal category X_\bullet^1 is called the category of 1-morphisms, while the internal category X_\bullet^2 is called the category of 2-morphisms or 2-cells. Again for sake of simplicity, we shall denote:

- 1) by $\text{Pt}_0\text{Cat}\mathbb{E}$ the category $\text{Pt}_{(\cdot)_0}\text{Cat}\mathbb{E}$ whose objects are the split epimorphisms between internal categories lying in a fiber of $(\cdot)_0$,
 - 2) by $\mathbb{P}_0^C\mathbb{E}$ the fibration $\mathbb{P}_{(\cdot)_0} : \text{Pt}_0\text{Cat}\mathbb{E} = \text{Pt}_{(\cdot)_0}\text{Cat}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \text{Cat}\mathbb{E}$ associating with any split epimorphism of this kind its codomain,
 - 3) and by $(\mathbb{G}_1^C, \sigma_1^C, \pi_1^C)$ the monad $(\mathbb{G}_{(\cdot)_0}, \sigma_{(\cdot)_0}, \pi_{(\cdot)_0})$ on the category $\text{Pt}_0\text{Cat}\mathbb{E}$.
- Now translating the results of Section 8.1 we get:

Theorem 8.2. 1) The category $\mathbf{Alg}\mathbb{G}_1^C$ is the full subcategory $2_G\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$ of $\text{Grd}(\text{Cat}\mathbb{E})$ whose objects are the internal groupoids in the fibers of $(\cdot)_0 : \text{Cat}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$, namely the 2-categories with invertible 2-cells. The forgetful functor $(\cdot)_1 : 2_G\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \text{Cat}\mathbb{E}$ associating the category X_\bullet^1 with the 2-category X_\bullet^2 is a fibered reflection.

2) The full subcategory of $\mathbb{G}_1^C\text{-Cat}(\text{Pt}_0\text{Cat}\mathbb{E})$ whose objects are the \mathbb{G}_1^C -categories with a $\mathbb{P}_0^C\mathbb{E}$ -cartesian 0-leg and an idomorphic 1-leg is isomorphic to the category of internal categories in the fibers of $(\cdot)_0$, namely to the category $2\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$ of internal 2-categories.

3) The inclusion $\mathbb{G}\sharp\mathbb{C}_1 : \mathbf{Alg}\mathbb{G}_1^C \hookrightarrow 2\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$ coincides with the following upper horizontal one in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 2_G\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 2\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} \\ (\cdot)_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow (\cdot)_1 \\ \text{Cat}\mathbb{E} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \text{Cat}\mathbb{E} \end{array}$$

where the vertical functors are fibered reflections.

Proof. Apply Proposition 8.2 and Theorem 8.1. \square

8.4. n -categories and n -groupoids. Again, (strict) n -categories have been introduced in [15]. Internally speaking, the category $(n+1)\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$ of internal $(n+1)$ -categories in \mathbb{E} is defined by induction, see for instance [8].

We defined the fibered reflection $(\cdot)_1 : 2\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \text{Cat}\mathbb{E}$. Suppose we have defined the fibered reflection $(\cdot)_{n-1} : n\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow (n-1)\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$. Then the category $(n+1)\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$ of $(n+1)$ -categories is the full subcategory of $\text{Cat}(n\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E})$ whose objects

are the internal categories in the fibers of $(\)_{n-1}$. We are now in the situation investigated in Section 8.1 with $F = (\)_{n-1}$. This section will be devoted to the translation of the results of Section 8.1, and this will show how, this time, the monad $(\mathbb{G}, \sigma, \pi)$ entirely rules the construction of the category $(n+1)\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$. Similarly, the category $(n+1)\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E}$ of internal $(n+1)$ -groupoids in \mathbb{E} is inductively defined as the full subcategory of the category $\text{Grd}(n\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E})$ whose objects are the internal groupoids in the fibers of the fibration $(\)_{n-1} : n\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow (n-1)\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E}$.

Let us begin by the category $(n+1)\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E}$. When there is no ambiguity, a $(n+1)$ -groupoid will be denoted by the central part X_{\bullet}^{n+1} of the internal groupoid defining it in a fiber of $(\)_{n-1} : n\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow (n-1)\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E}$:

$$X_{\bullet}^{n+1} \times_{n_1} X_{\bullet}^{n+1} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_{\bullet}^{n+1,2}} \\ \xrightarrow{d_{\bullet}^{n+1,1}} \\ \xrightarrow{d_{\bullet}^{n+1,0}} \end{array} X_{\bullet}^{n+1} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_{\bullet}^{n+1,1}} \\ \xleftarrow{s_{\bullet}^{n+1,0}} \\ \xrightarrow{d_{\bullet}^{n+1,0}} \end{array} X_{\bullet}^n$$

where the left hand side object is a pullback in this fiber. The n -groupoid X_{\bullet}^n is called the n -groupoid of n -morphisms, while the n -groupoid X_{\bullet}^{n+1} is called the n -groupoid of $(n+1)$ -morphisms or $(n+1)$ -cells. Let us translate now the results of Section 8.1 with $F = (\)_{n-1}$. For that and for sake of simplicity we shall denote: 1) by $\text{Pt}_{n-1}n\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E}$ the category $\text{Pt}_{(\)_{n-1}}n\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E}$ whose objects are the split epimorphisms between internal n -groupoids lying in a fiber of $(\)_{n-1}$, 2) by $\mathbb{P}_{n-1}\mathbb{E}$ the fibration $\mathbb{P}_{(\)_{n-1}} : \text{Pt}_{n-1}n\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow n\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E}$ associating with any split epimorphism of this kind its codomain, 3) and by $(\mathbb{G}_n, \sigma_n, \pi_n)$ the monad $(\mathbb{G}_{(\)_{n-1}}, \sigma_{(\)_{n-1}}, \pi_{(\)_{n-1}})$ on the category $\text{Pt}_{n-1}n\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E}$. Now translating the results of the Sections 8.1 and 8.2, we get:

Proposition 8.7. 1) *The category $(n+1)\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E}$ of internal $(n+1)$ -groupoids is isomorphic to $\text{Alg}\mathbb{G}_n$. The forgetful functor $(\)_n : (n+1)\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow n\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E}$ associating the n -groupoid X_{\bullet}^n with the $(n+1)$ -groupoid X_{\bullet}^{n+1} is a fibered reflection.* 2) *The inclusion: $\mathbb{G}_{\sharp}C_n : \text{Alg}\mathbb{G}_n = (n+1)\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E} \hookrightarrow \text{Cat}^{(\)_{n-1}}n\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E}$ is an isomorphism of categories and the fibered reflection: $(\)_n : (n+1)\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow n\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E}$ has protomodular fibers.*

Proof. For the first point, apply Propositions 8.1, 8.2 and 8.4 to the fibered reflection $(\)_{n-1} : n\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow (n-1)\text{-Grd}\mathbb{E}$. For the second one, apply Proposition 8.5. \square

Let us translate now the results of Section 8.1 related to the fibration $(\)_{n-1} : n\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow (n-1)\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$. In this way, $(n+1)\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} = \text{Cat}^{(\)_{n-1}}n\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$. Again, when there is no ambiguity, a $(n+1)$ -category will be denoted by the central part X_{\bullet}^{n+1} of the internal category producing it in a fiber of $(\)_{n-1} : n\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow (n-1)\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$:

$$X_{\bullet}^{n+1} \times_{n-1} X_{\bullet}^{n+1} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_{\bullet}^{n+1,2}} \\ \xrightarrow{d_{\bullet}^{n+1,1}} \\ \xrightarrow{d_{\bullet}^{n+1,0}} \end{array} X_{\bullet}^{n+1} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_{\bullet}^{n+1,1}} \\ \xleftarrow{s_{\bullet}^{n+1,0}} \\ \xrightarrow{d_{\bullet}^{n+1,0}} \end{array} X_{\bullet}^n$$

where the left hand side object is a pullback in this fiber. The internal category X_{\bullet}^n is called the category of n -morphisms, while the internal category X_{\bullet}^{n+1} is called the category of $(n+1)$ -morphisms or $(n+1)$ -cells. Again for sake of simplicity, we shall denote:

- 1) by $\text{Pt}_{n-1}\text{Cat}\mathbb{E}$ the category $\text{Pt}_{(\)_{n-1}}n\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$ whose objects are the split epimorphisms between internal n -categories lying in a fiber of $(\)_{n-1}$,
- 2) by $\mathbb{Q}_{n-1}^C\mathbb{E}$ the fibration $\mathbb{Q}_{(\)_{n-1}} : \text{Pt}_{n-1}n\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow n\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$ associating with any split epimorphism of this kind its codomain,
- 3) and by $(\mathbb{G}_n^C, \sigma_n^C, \pi_n^C)$ the monad $(\mathbb{G}_{(\)_{n-1}}, \sigma_{(\)_{n-1}}, \pi_{(\)_{n-1}})$ on the category $\text{Pt}_{n-1}n\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$. Now translating the results of Section 8.1 we get:

Theorem 8.3. 1) The category $\text{Alg}\mathbb{G}_n^C$ is the full subcategory $(n+1)_G\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$ of $(n+1)\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$ whose objects are the internal groupoids in the fibers of $(\)_{n-1} : n\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow (n-1)\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$, namely the $(n+1)$ -categories with invertible $(n+1)$ -cells. The forgetful functor $(\)_{n-1} : n\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} \rightarrow (n-1)\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$ associating the n -category X_\bullet^n with the $(n+1)$ -category X_\bullet^{n+1} is a fibered reflection.

2) The full subcategory of $\mathbb{G}_n^C\text{-Cat}(\text{Pt}_{n-1}n\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E})$ whose objects are the \mathbb{G}_n^C -categories with a $\mathbb{Q}_{n-1}^C\mathbb{E}$ -cartesian 0-leg and an idomorphic 1-leg is isomorphic to the category of internal categories in the fibers of $(\)_{n-1}$, namely to $(n+1)\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$.

3) The inclusion $\mathbb{G}_n^C : \text{Alg}\mathbb{G}_n^C \hookrightarrow (n+1)\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}$ coincides with the following upper horizontal one in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (n+1)_G\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (n+1)\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} \\
 (\)_n \downarrow & & \downarrow (\)_n \\
 n\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} & \xlongequal{\quad} & n\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}
 \end{array}$$

where the vertical functors are fibered reflections.

Proof. Apply Proposition 8.2 and Theorem 8.1. \square

Accordingly, the construction of the following tower of fibered reflections is entirely ruled by the monad $(\mathbb{G}, \sigma, \pi)$:

$$\dots n\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{(\)_{n-1}} (n-1)\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} \dots \dots 2\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{(\)_1} \text{Cat}\mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{(\)_0} \mathbb{E}$$

9. THE T_{X_\bullet} -CATEGORIES

9.1. The general case. In section 3.2 we observed that, when X_\bullet is an internal category, the monad $(T_{X_\bullet}, \lambda_{X_\bullet}, \mu_{X_\bullet})$ on \mathbb{E}/X_0 is cartesian, and that the algebras of this monad coincide with the discrete fibrations above X_\bullet , so that $\text{Alg}T_{X_\bullet} = \text{Dis}F/X_\bullet$. We are now going to investigate what are that the T_{X_\bullet} -categories.

Proposition 9.1. *Given any internal category X_\bullet in the category \mathbb{E} , then the category $T_{X_\bullet}\text{-Cat}(\mathbb{E}/X_0)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Cat}\mathbb{E}/X_\bullet$.*

Proof. A pointed T_{X_\bullet} -graph on an object $g_0 : Y_0 \rightarrow X_0$ of \mathbb{E}/X_0 is given by a diagram of the following kind in \mathbb{E} , where $g_0.d_0^Y = \gamma = d_0.d_1^*(g_0).d_1 = d_0.g_1$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & Y_1 \\
 & \nearrow d_0^Y & \searrow \bar{d}_1 = (d_1^Y, g_1) \\
 & s_0^Y & \\
 Y_0 & \xrightarrow{\sigma_0^{g_0}} & d_1^*(Y_0) \\
 \downarrow g_0 & \nearrow \gamma & \downarrow d_1^*(g_0) \\
 X_0 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & X_1 \\
 & \longleftarrow s_0 & \\
 & \longleftarrow d_0 &
 \end{array}$$

satisfying $(d_1^Y, g_1).s_0^Y = \sigma_0^{g_0} = (1_{Y_0}, s_0.g_0)$, namely $d_1^Y.s_0^Y = 1_{Y_0}$ and $g_1.s_0^Y = s_0.g_0$. Accordingly it is equivalent to a morphism of internal reflexif graphs in \mathbb{E} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \xleftarrow{d_0^Y} & \\
 Y_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0^Y} & Y_1 \\
 \downarrow g_0 & \xleftarrow{d_1^Y} & \downarrow g_1 \\
 & \xleftarrow{d_0} & \\
 X_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0} & X_1 \\
 & \xleftarrow{d_1} &
 \end{array}$$

We have to build now the pullback of $\bar{d}_1 = (d_1^Y, g_1)$ along $T_{X_\bullet}(d_0^Y)$, namely the pullback of d_1^Y along d_0^Y whose domain is denoted Y_2 :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & d_1^*(Y_1)^{(d_2^Y, g_1.d_1^Y)} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & Y_2 \\
 \downarrow d_0^Y & \xleftarrow{\delta_1^{g_0, d_0}} & \downarrow T_{X_\bullet}(g_0) & \downarrow d_0^Y & \\
 Y_0 & \xrightarrow{\sigma_0^{g_0}} & d_1^*(Y_0) & \xleftarrow{(d_1^Y, g_1)} & Y_1 \\
 \downarrow g_0 & \xleftarrow{\delta_1^{g_0}} & \downarrow d_1^*(g_0) & & \\
 X_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0} & X_1 & &
 \end{array}$$

This induces a map $g_2 : Y_2 \rightarrow X_2$ such that $g_1.d_0^Y = d_0.g_2$ and $g_1.d_2^Y = d_2.g_2$. Accordingly, we get the following diagram where the two central "vertical" triangles commute and where $g_2 = d_2^*(g_1).d_2$, with $\bar{d}_2 = (d_2^Y, g_1.d_0^Y)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & & & Y_2 \\
 & & & & & \xleftarrow{d_0^Y} & \\
 & & & & & \xleftarrow{d_1^Y} & \\
 & & & & & \xleftarrow{d_2^*(Y_1)} & \\
 & & & & & \xleftarrow{\delta_1^{d_0, g_1}} & \\
 & & & & & \xleftarrow{\delta_1^{g_0}} & \\
 & & & & & \xleftarrow{T_{X_\bullet}(\bar{d}_1)} & \\
 & & & & & \xleftarrow{\delta_2^{g_1}} & \\
 Y_0 & \xrightarrow{\sigma_0^{g_0}} & d_1^*(Y_0) & \xrightarrow{\delta_1^{d_0, g_1}} & (d_1.d_2)^*(Y_0) & \xleftarrow{d_2^*(g_1)} & \\
 \downarrow g_0 & \xleftarrow{\delta_1^{g_0}} & \downarrow d_1^*(g_0) & \downarrow \delta_2^{g_0} & \downarrow (d_1.d_2)^*(g_0) & & \\
 X_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0} & X_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{d_0} & \\
 & \xleftarrow{d_1} & \downarrow d_0 & \xleftarrow{d_2} & \downarrow d_0 & & \\
 & & X_0 & \xleftarrow{d_0} & X_1 & & \\
 & & & \xleftarrow{d_1} & & &
 \end{array}$$

The structure of T_{X_\bullet} -category on g_0 is then completed by the data of a map $d_1^Y : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ in \mathbb{E}/X_0 such that Burroni's Axioms 4, 7, 8 hold. The first part of Axioms 4 is $d_0^Y.d_1^Y = d_0^Y.d_0^Y$ (which implies that d_1^Y is a map in the slice category \mathbb{E}/X_0), while the second part is $\bar{d}_1.d_1^Y = \mu_{X_\bullet}(g_0).T_{X_\bullet}(\bar{d}_1).d_2 = \delta_1^{g_0}.T_{X_\bullet}(\bar{d}_1).d_2$. This second part is equivalent to $d_1^Y.d_1^Y = d_1^Y.d_2^Y$ and $g_1.d_1^Y = d_1.g_2$, which would complete the

structure of an internal functor:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \xleftarrow{d_0^Y} & & \xleftarrow{d_0^Y} & \\
 Y_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0^Y} & Y_1 & \xleftarrow{d_1^Y} & Y_2 \\
 \downarrow g_0 & & \downarrow g_1 & & \downarrow g_2 \\
 & \xleftarrow{d_1^Y} & & \xleftarrow{d_2^Y} & \\
 X_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0} & X_1 & \xleftarrow{d_1} & X_2 \\
 & \xleftarrow{d_1} & & \xleftarrow{d_2} &
 \end{array}$$

provided that neutrality and associativity of the composition map $d_1^Y : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ hold, which is straightforward with Axioms 7 and 8. \square

The inclusion $Cat(\mathbb{E}/X_0) = Cat\mathbb{E}/\Delta_{X_0} \rightarrow T_{X_\bullet}\text{-}Cat(\mathbb{E}/X_0) = Cat\mathbb{E}/X_\bullet$ is given by composition, in $Cat\mathbb{E}$, with the inclusion functor $\Delta_{X_0} \rightarrow X_\bullet$; while its coadjoint \mathbb{R} (see Proposition 6.5) is obtained by the pullback in $Cat\mathbb{E}$ along this inclusion functor.

9.2. The T_{X_\bullet} -groupoids.

Proposition 9.2. *Given any internal category X_\bullet in the category \mathbb{E} , a T_{X_\bullet} -groupoid is functor above X_\bullet whose domain is a groupoid. Accordingly, the category $T_{X_\bullet}\text{-}Grd(\mathbb{E}/X_0)$ is given by the following pullback:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T_{X_\bullet}\text{-}Grd(\mathbb{E}/X_0) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Cat\mathbb{E}/X_\bullet \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{dom} \\
 Grd\mathbb{E} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Cat\mathbb{E}
 \end{array}$$

Proof. According to Section 6.3, a T_{X_\bullet} -category gives rise to a T_{X_\bullet} -groupoid if and only if the map $d_1^Y : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ produces the following kernel equivalence relation:

$$Y_0 \xleftarrow{d_0^Y} Y_1 \xleftarrow{d_1^Y} Y_2$$

which is equivalent to the fact that Y_\bullet is a groupoid. \square

9.3. The T_{X_\bullet} -categories when X_\bullet is a groupoid. By Section 4.4, we know that:

$$T_{X_\bullet} \xleftarrow{\mu_{X_\bullet}} T_{X_\bullet}^2 \xleftarrow{\mu_{X_\bullet}, T_{X_\bullet}} T_{X_\bullet}^3$$

is a kernel equivalence relation if and only if X_\bullet is a groupoid. In this case, by Corollary 6.1, any T_{X_\bullet} -algebra produces a T_{X_\bullet} -groupoid and we get the following string of inclusions:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 AlgT_{X_\bullet} & \xrightarrow{T_{X_\bullet}, \#C} & T_{X_\bullet}\text{-}Grd(\mathbb{E}/X_0) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & T_{X_\bullet}\text{-}Cat(\mathbb{E}/X_0) \\
 \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\
 DFib/X_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Grd\mathbb{E}/X_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Cat\mathbb{E}/X_\bullet
 \end{array}$$

10. T -OPERADS AND T -MULTICATEGORIES

About thirty years after Burroni's work [11] (which was published in french), his ideas have been independantly rediscovered by Leinster [21] and Hermida [17] in the following context.

According to the historical note, p. 63, of Leinster's encyclopedia about operads [22], the notions of operad and multicategory gradually emerged from multiple horizons until they found a name, the first one in May [24] and the second one in Lambek [20], before being completely stabilized. Finally, starting with $\mathbb{E} = \text{Set}$ and (M, λ, μ) the free monoid monad which is cartesian as we recalled above, *operads appeared to coincide with M -categories with only one object*, while *muticategories appeared to coincide with M -categories* [21]. Then Leinster introduced the terminology T -operads and T -multicategory for the same notions related to any cartesian monad (T, λ, μ) . So, in the cartesian context, T -multicategory in the sense of Leinster coincides with T -category in the sense of Burroni.

So, given any cartesian monad (T, λ, μ) and following our results, and with respect to our notations related to the inclusion $\bar{F}^T : \mathbb{E} \hookrightarrow K\!l\!T$, a T -multicategory in \mathbb{E} is nothing but an internal category in $K\!l\!T$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \xrightarrow{\text{"}\delta_3\text{"}} & & \xrightarrow{\text{"}\delta_2\text{"}} & & \xrightarrow{\text{"}\delta_1\text{"}} \\
 & \xrightarrow{d_2} & & \xrightarrow{s_1} & & \xrightarrow{s_0} \\
 X_3 & \xleftarrow{s_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & X_1 & \xleftarrow{s_0} & X_0 \\
 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & & \xrightarrow{s_0} & & \xrightarrow{d_0} \\
 & \xrightarrow{d_0} & & \xrightarrow{d_0} & &
 \end{array}$$

Warning: Leinster's designation of a T -operad in terms of "generalized monoid" could be a bit confusing, because, beyond the undisputable existence of a unit e and of an internal "operation" m , a T -operad is an actual internal category in $K\!l\!T$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \xrightarrow{\text{"}\delta_2\text{"}} & & \xrightarrow{\text{"}\delta_1\text{"}} & \\
 X \times_{\delta_1} X & \xleftarrow{s_1} & X & \xleftarrow{e} & 1 \\
 & \xrightarrow{m} & & \xrightarrow{\tau_X} & \\
 & \xrightarrow{s_0} & & \xrightarrow{\tau_X} & \\
 & \xrightarrow{\tau_0^X} & & &
 \end{array}$$

since the object 1 does not stay a terminal object in $K\!l\!T$, unless $T(1) \simeq 1$, and consequently the map m is far from being a classical binary operation.

10.1. The cartesian monad $(T_{X^T}, \lambda_{X^T}, \mu_{X^T})$. Given any cartesian monad (T, λ, μ) on \mathbb{E} and any T -category X^T , Leinster introduced in [21] a notion of algebras associated with them. Indeed, on the model of Section 3.2, we get a cartesian monad $(T_{X^T}, \lambda_{X^T}, \mu_{X^T})$ on the slice category \mathbb{E}/X_0 :
 1) we get a cartesian functor on \mathbb{E}/X_0 since, in the cartesian context, \mathbb{E} becomes a

pullback stable subcategory of KlT :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Z & \xrightarrow{\sigma_0^h} & d_1^*(Z) & \xleftarrow{\delta_1^h} & (d_1.d_2)^*(Z) \\
 \downarrow h & \dashv\!\!\dashv\!\!\dashrightarrow & \downarrow d_1^*(h) & \dashv\!\!\dashv\!\!\dashrightarrow & \downarrow (d_1.d_2)^*(h) \\
 & \text{"}\delta_1^h\text{"} & & \text{"}\delta_2^h\text{"} & \\
 X_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0} & X_1 & \xleftarrow{d_1} & X_2 \\
 & \dashv\!\!\dashv\!\!\dashrightarrow & & \dashv\!\!\dashv\!\!\dashrightarrow & \\
 & \text{"}\delta_1\text{"} & & \text{"}\delta_2\text{"} & \\
 & & \downarrow d_0 & & \downarrow d_0 \\
 & & X_0 & \xleftarrow{d_0} & X_1 \\
 & & & \dashv\!\!\dashv\!\!\dashrightarrow & \\
 & & & \text{"}\delta_1\text{"} & \\
 & & & & \downarrow d_0 \\
 & & & & X_0
 \end{array}$$

$$h \xrightarrow{\sigma_0^h} T_{X_\bullet^T}(h) \xleftarrow{\delta_1^h} T_{X_\bullet^T}^2(h)$$

2) and, in addition, since the diagram $X_0 \xrightarrow{s_0} X_1 \xleftarrow{d_1} X_2$ lies in \mathbb{E} , so does:

$Z \xrightarrow{\sigma_0^h} d_1^*(Z) \xleftarrow{\delta_1^h} (d_1.d_2)^*(Z)$; accordingly, this monad is entirely defined inside the slice category \mathbb{E}/X_0 . So, in our terms, we get:

Proposition 10.1. *When (T, λ, μ) is a cartesian monad, the algebras of the monad $(T_{X_\bullet^T}, \lambda_{X_\bullet^T}, \mu_{X_\bullet^T})$ are the discrete fibrations above X_\bullet^T in the category $CatKlT$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \xleftarrow{d_0} & \\
 Z_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0} & Z_1 \\
 \downarrow h_0 & \dashv\!\!\dashv\!\!\dashrightarrow & \downarrow h_1 \\
 & \text{"}\delta_1\text{"} & \\
 X_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0} & X_1 \\
 & \dashv\!\!\dashv\!\!\dashrightarrow & \\
 & \text{"}\delta_1\text{"} &
 \end{array}$$

in other words, we get $\text{Alg}T_{X_\bullet^T} = \text{Dis}F(T\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}/X_\bullet^T)$.

Proof. Straightforward from the classical result on the monad $(T_{X_\bullet}, \lambda_{X_\bullet}, \mu_{X_\bullet})$ \square

10.2. The $T_{X_\bullet^T}$ -categories. It remains to characterize the $T_{X_\bullet^T}$ -categories. On the model of what happens for an ordinary internal category X_\bullet , we get:

Proposition 10.2. *The $T_{X_\bullet^T}$ -categories are the T -functors above X_\bullet^T :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \xleftarrow{d_0} & \\
 Y_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0} & Y_1 \\
 \downarrow g_0 & \dashv\!\!\dashv\!\!\dashrightarrow & \downarrow g_1 \\
 & \text{"}\delta_1\text{"} & \\
 X_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0} & X_1 \\
 & \dashv\!\!\dashv\!\!\dashrightarrow & \\
 & \text{"}\delta_1\text{"} &
 \end{array}$$

in other words, we get $T_{X_\bullet^T}\text{-Cat}(\mathbb{E}/X_0) = T\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}/X_\bullet^T$.

Proof. Let us follow step by step the proof of Proposition 9.1. A pointed $T_{X\dot{T}}$ -graph on an object $g_0 : Y_0 \rightarrow X_0$ of \mathbb{E}/X_0 is given by a diagram of the following kind in KlT , where $g_0 \cdot d_0^Y = \gamma = d_0 \cdot d_1^*(g_0) \cdot \bar{d}_1 = d_0 \cdot g_1$ which implies $g_1 \in \mathbb{E}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & Y_1 & \\
 d_0^Y \nearrow & & \searrow \bar{d}_1 = ({}^{\prime}\delta_1^Y, g_1) \\
 Y_0 & \xrightarrow{\sigma_0^{g_0}} & d_1^*(Y_0) \\
 \downarrow g_0 & \nearrow \gamma & \downarrow d_1^*(g_0) \\
 X_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0} & X_1 \\
 & \xleftarrow{d_0} &
 \end{array}$$


satisfying $({}^{\prime}\delta_1^Y, g_1) \cdot s_0^Y = \sigma_0^{g_0} = (1_{Y_0}, s_0 \cdot g_0)$, namely ${}^{\prime}\delta_1^Y \cdot s_0^Y = 1_{Y_0}$ and $g_1 \cdot s_0^Y = s_0 \cdot g_0$. Accordingly it is equivalent to a morphism of pointed T -graphs:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \xleftarrow{d_0^Y} & \\
 Y_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0^Y} & Y_1 \\
 \downarrow g_0 & \xleftarrow{{}^{\prime}\delta_1^Y} & \downarrow g_1 \\
 X_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0} & X_1 \\
 & \xleftarrow{{}^{\prime}\delta_1} &
 \end{array}$$

We have to build now the pullback of $T_{X\dot{T}}^T(d_0^Y)$ along $\bar{d}_1 = ({}^{\prime}\delta_1^Y, g_1)$ in KlT , which is nothing but the pullback of d_0^Y along ${}^{\prime}\delta_1^Y$ in KlT , whose domain is denoted Y_2 :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & d_1^*(Y_1) & \xleftarrow{({}^{\prime}\delta_2^Y, g_1, d_0^Y)} & Y_2 \\
 d_0^Y \downarrow & \xleftarrow{{}^{\prime}\delta_1^{g_0, d_0}} & \downarrow T_{X\dot{T}}(g_0) & & \downarrow d_0^Y \\
 Y_0 & \xrightarrow{\sigma_0^{g_0}} & d_1^*(Y_0) & \xleftarrow{({}^{\prime}\delta_1^Y, g_1)} & Y_1 \\
 g_0 \downarrow & \xleftarrow{{}^{\prime}\delta_1^{g_0}} & \downarrow d_1^*(g_0) & & \\
 X_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0} & X_1 & & \\
 & \xleftarrow{{}^{\prime}\delta_1} & & &
 \end{array}$$

This induces a map $g_2 : Y_2 \rightarrow X_2$ in KlT such that $g_1 \cdot d_0^Y = d_0 \cdot g_2$ (which implies that g_2 belongs to \mathbb{E}) and $g_1 \cdot {}^{\prime}\delta_2^Y = {}^{\prime}\delta_2 \cdot g_2$. Accordingly, we get the following diagram in KlT where the two central "vertical" triangles commute in \mathbb{E} and where $g_2 = d_2^*(g_1) \cdot \bar{d}_2$, with $\bar{d}_2 = ({}^{\prime}\delta_2^Y, g_1 \cdot d_0^Y)$:



The structure of T_{X^T} -category on g_0 is then completed by the data of a map $d_1^Y : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ in \mathbb{E}/X_0 (and thus in \mathbb{E}) such that Burroni's Axioms 4, 7, 8 hold. The first part of Axioms 4 is $d_0^Y \cdot d_1^Y = d_0^Y \cdot d_0^Y$, while the second part is $\bar{d}_1 \cdot d_1^Y = \mu_{X^T}(g_0) \cdot T_{X^T}(\bar{d}_1) \cdot \bar{d}_2 = \delta_1^{g_0} \cdot T_{X^T}(\bar{d}_1) \cdot \bar{d}_2$. This second part is equivalent to " δ_1^Y " $\cdot d_1^Y = "$ δ_1^Y " $\cdot "$ δ_2^Y " and $g_1 \cdot d_1^Y = d_1 \cdot g_2$, which would complete the structure of a T -functor:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \xleftarrow{d_0^Y} & & \xleftarrow{d_0^Y} & \\
 Y_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0^Y} & Y_1 & \xleftarrow{d_1^Y} & Y_2 \\
 & \xleftarrow{\delta_1^Y} & & \xleftarrow{\delta_2^Y} & \\
 g_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow g_1 & & \downarrow g_2 \\
 X_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0} & X_1 & \xleftarrow{d_1} & X_2 \\
 & \xleftarrow{\delta_1} & & \xleftarrow{\delta_2} &
 \end{array}$$

provided that neutrality and associativity of the composition map $d_1^Y : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ hold, which is straightforward with Axioms 7 and 8. \square

So, the canonical inclusion is the following one:

$$T_{X^T} \sharp C : \text{Alg } T_{X^T} = \text{DisF}(T\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}/X^T) \hookrightarrow T\text{-Cat}\mathbb{E}/X^T$$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Barr, *Relational algebras*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **137** (1969).
- [2] M. Barr, *Exact categories*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **236** (1971), 1-120.
- [3] M. Batanin, *The Eckmann-Hilton argument and higher operads*, Adv. in Math. **217** (2008), 334-385.
- [4] M. Batanin and C. Berger, *Homotopy theory for algebras over polynomial monads*, Theory Appl. Categ. **32** (2017), 148-253.
- [5] M. Batanin and F. De Leger, *Polynomial monads and delooping of mapping spaces*, J. Non commut. Geom. **13** (2019), 1521-1576.
- [6] D. Bourn, *The shift functor and the comprehensive factorization for the internal groupoids*, Cah. Top. Géom. Diff. **28** (1987), 197-226.
- [7] D. Bourn, *A right exactness property for internal categories*, Cah. Top. Géom. Diff. **29** (1988), 109-155.

- [8] D. Bourn, *Another denormalization theorem for abelian chain complexes*, Journal of pure and applied algebra **66** (1990), 229-249.
- [9] D. Bourn, *Normalization equivalence, kernel equivalence and affine categories*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **1488** (1991), 43-62.
- [10] D. Bourn and M. Gran, *Regular, Protomodular and Abelian Categories*, in Categorical Foundations, edited by M.C.Pedicchio and W.Tholen, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [11] A. Burroni, *T-catégories (dans un triple)*, Cah. Top. Géom. Diff. **12** (1971), 215-321.
- [12] A. Carboni, J. Lambek and M.C. Pedicchio, *Diagram chasing in Mal'cev categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **69** (1991), 271-284.
- [13] A. Carboni, M.C. Pedicchio and N. Pirovano, *Internal graphs and internal groupoids in Mal'cev categories*, CMS Conference Proceedings **13** (1992), 97-109.
- [14] Ch. Ehresmann, *Catégories structurées*, Annales Scient. de l'École Normale Sup. **80** (1963), 349-426.
- [15] Ch. Ehresmann, *Catégories et structures*, Dunod (1965).
- [16] S. Eilenberg and J.C. Moore, *Adjoint functors and triples*, Illinois J. Math. **9** (1965), 381-398.
- [17] Cl. Hermida, *Representable multicategories*, Advances in Math. **151** (2000), 164-225.
- [18] P.T. Johnstone, *Topos Theory*, L.M.S. Monographs **10**, Academic Press, London (1977).
- [19] H. Kleisli, *Every standard construction is induced by a pair of adjoint functors*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **16** (1965), 544-546.
- [20] J. Lambek, *Deductive systems and categories II: standard constructions and closed categories*, in P. Hilton (ed.), Category Theory, Homology Theory and their Applications I, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **86** (1969).
- [21] T. Leinster, *General operads and muticategories*, e-print math. CT/9810053, 1998.
- [22] T. Leinster, *Higher operads, higher categories*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **298** (2004), 448 p.
- [23] S. Mac Lane, *Category for the working mathematician*, Springer, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 5 (1971), 262 pp.
- [24] J.P. May, *The geometry of iterated loop spaces*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **271** (1972).
- [25] W. Tholen and L. Yeganeh, *The comprehensive factorization of Burroni's T-functors*, Theory Appl. Categ. **36** (2021), 206-249.

UNIV. LITTORAL CÔTE D'OPALE, UR 2597, LMPA, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES PURES ET APPLIQUÉES JOSEPH LIOUVILLE, F-62100 CALAIS, FRANCE

Email address: bourn@univ-littoral.fr