

# Abnormal Bifurcation of the Double Binding Energy Differences and Proton-Neutron Pairing: Nuclei Close to $N = Z$ Line from Ni to Rb

Y. P. Wang,<sup>1</sup> Y. K. Wang,<sup>1</sup> F. F. Xu,<sup>1</sup> P. W. Zhao,<sup>1,\*</sup> and J. Meng<sup>1,2,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology,  
School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

<sup>2</sup>Center for Theoretical Physics, China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing, 102413, China  
(Dated: June 6, 2024)

The recently observed abnormal bifurcation of the double binding energy differences  $\delta V_{pn}$  between the odd-odd and even-even nuclei along the  $N = Z$  line from Ni to Rb has challenged the nuclear theories. To solve this problem, a shell-model-like approach based on the relativistic density functional theory is established, by treating simultaneously the neutron-neutron, proton-neutron, and proton-proton pairing correlations both microscopically and self-consistently. Without any *ad hoc* parameters, the calculated results well reproduce the observations, and the mechanism for this abnormal bifurcation is found to be due to the enhanced proton-neutron pairing correlations in the odd-odd  $N = Z$  nuclei, compared with the even-even ones. The present results provide an excellent interpretation for the abnormal  $\delta V_{pn}$  bifurcation, and provide a clear signal for the existence of the proton-neutron pairing correlations for nuclei close to the  $N = Z$  line.

The double binding energy difference  $\delta V_{pn}$ , is an important mass filter for atomic nuclei, and has been frequently used to isolate the residual proton-neutron ( $pn$ ) interaction [1, 2]. It is closely related to many nuclear structure phenomena, such as the onset of collectivity and deformation [3–6], the evolution of the underlying shell structure [7], and the phase transition behavior in nuclei [4, 8]. The study of  $\delta V_{pn}$ , particularly along the line of the nuclei with equal numbers of protons and neutrons, is of great importance to deepen our understanding of the nuclear force [9]. For example, the considerable enhancement of  $\delta V_{pn}$  for  $N = Z$  nuclei in the light  $sd$  shell has been regarded as the fingerprint for the Wigner’s SU(4) symmetry of the nuclear force [10]. For heavier nuclei in the  $sd$  shell and even lower  $fp$  shell, the decrease of  $\delta V_{pn}$  with mass number is related to the breaking of the Wigner’s SU(4) symmetry due to the increasing spin-orbit and Coulomb interactions.

For the upper  $fp$ -shell nuclei, however, the  $\delta V_{pn}$  shows quite puzzling behavior. On one hand, restrengthening  $\delta V_{pn}$  values have been observed [11, 12] for the odd-odd nuclei, and this phenomenon might be attributed to the restoration of the pseudo-SU(4) symmetry [13], the enhanced overlaps of the proton and neutron wave functions [14–16] or the nuclear deformation [6, 17]. On the other hand, a recent experiment has reported an abnormal bifurcation, namely, opposite evolving trends of  $\delta V_{pn}$  with mass number for the even-even and odd-odd  $N = Z$  nuclei from Ni to Rb [18], which cannot be understood by the aforementioned physical mechanisms and, thus, brought severe challenges to the theoretical models, including the macroscopic-microscopic models [19–23], the shell model [24], and the density functional theories (DFTs) [25–27]. Note that the macroscopic-microscopic models and DFTs are quite successful for a global description of nuclear masses over the whole nuclear chart. Their failure in describing the observed  $\delta V_{pn}$  bifurcation

indicates that important physics may be missing in the current nuclear models.

The valence-space in-medium similarity renormalization group calculations imply that the three-nucleon force has a significant impact on the behavior of  $\delta V_{pn}$ , but the obtained amplitudes of the  $\delta V_{pn}$  bifurcation between the even-even and odd-odd  $N = Z$  nuclei are dramatically overestimated [18]. The inclusion of a phenomenological Wigner term in the macroscopic-microscopic models [19–23] and DFT [27] also results in a  $\delta V_{pn}$  bifurcation between the even-even and odd-odd  $N = Z$  nuclei, but the  $\delta V_{pn}$  for the odd-odd nuclei are systematically underestimated [18].

For  $N = Z$  nuclei, it is very important to take into account the  $pn$  pairing correlations. In particular, for odd-odd  $N = Z$  nuclei, the last valence neutron and proton could be paired due to the  $pn$  pairing correlations, which are responsible for the phenomenological Wigner terms [28–31]. To solve the puzzle of the  $\delta V_{pn}$  bifurcation, a microscopic model which could treat the neutron-neutron ( $nn$ ), proton-proton ( $pp$ ) and  $pn$  pairing correlations simultaneously and self-consistently is necessary. The blocking effects for odd-odd nuclei should be treated carefully.

The nuclear DFT starts from a universal density functional and has achieved great successes in describing many nuclear phenomena [32–37]. It is a promising framework to consider the  $pn$  pairing correlations in a microscopic way. In the conventional Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer and Bogoliubov methods, the particle number conservation is violated and the Pauli blocking effects in odd-nucleon systems cannot be treated exactly [38]. The shell-model-like approach (SLAP), also known as particle number conserving method, treats the pairing correlations and the blocking effects exactly by diagonalizing the many-body Hamiltonian in a properly truncated many-particle configuration (MPC) space with good particle

number [39, 40]. It has been implemented in the relativistic [41–46] and nonrelativistic [47, 48] DFTs to treat the  $nn$  and  $pp$  pairing correlations and widely used to investigate both the nuclear ground-state and excited-state properties. Nevertheless, a self-consistent treatment of the  $pn$  pairing correlations is missing.

In this Letter, based on the relativistic DFT (RDFT), a SLAP is developed, which allows a microscopic and self-consistent treatment of the  $nn$ ,  $pp$  and  $pn$  pairing correlations simultaneously. The developed approach will be applied to investigate the abnormal  $\delta V_{pn}$  bifurcation for the  $N = Z$  nuclei from Ni to Rb.

In the SLAP based on RDFT (RDFT-SLAP), the many-body Hamiltonian reads

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_{\text{pair}}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\hat{H}_0$  is the one-body part, and  $\hat{H}_{\text{pair}}$  is the pairing part. The one-body part reads,

$$\hat{H}_0 = \sum_{k>0} \left[ \varepsilon_k^\pi \left( a_k^\dagger a_k + a_{\bar{k}}^\dagger a_{\bar{k}} \right) + \varepsilon_k^\nu \left( b_k^\dagger b_k + b_{\bar{k}}^\dagger b_{\bar{k}} \right) \right], \quad (2)$$

where  $\bar{k}$  represents the time conjugate of the state  $k$ , and  $\varepsilon_k^{\pi(\nu)}$  are the single-proton (neutron) energies obtained from the Dirac equation,

$$[-i\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} + \beta(m + S) + V] \psi_k = \varepsilon_k \psi_k. \quad (3)$$

Here, the scalar field  $S$  and vector field  $V$  are connected in a self-consistent way to the scalar and vector densities, for details see Ref. [41]. The pairing part reads,

$$\hat{H}_{\text{pair}} = \sum_{T_z=0,\pm 1} \hat{H}_{\text{pair}}^{T_z}, \quad \hat{H}_{\text{pair}}^{T_z} = -G \sum_{k,k'>0}^{k \neq k'} P_{k,T_z}^\dagger P_{k',T_z}, \quad (4)$$

where  $G$  is the effective pairing strength, and  $k \neq k'$  means that the self-scattering for the nucleon pairs is forbidden [41]. The  $nn$  and  $pp$  pair creation operators are  $P_{k,1}^\dagger = b_k^\dagger b_{\bar{k}}^\dagger$  and  $P_{k,-1}^\dagger = a_k^\dagger a_{\bar{k}}^\dagger$  for  $T_z = \pm 1$ , and the  $pn$  pair creation operator is  $P_{k,0}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( b_k^\dagger a_{\bar{k}}^\dagger + a_k^\dagger b_{\bar{k}}^\dagger \right)$  for  $T_z = 0$ .

The nuclear wave functions are expressed as

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i,\{s_k\}} C_i^{\{s_k\}} |\text{MPC}_i^{\{s_k\}}\rangle. \quad (5)$$

The many-particle configurations  $|\text{MPC}_i^{\{s_k\}}\rangle$  with exact proton number  $Z$  and neutron number  $N$  are expressed as  $|l_1 l_2 \cdots l_N m_1 m_2 \cdots m_Z\rangle = b_{l_1}^\dagger b_{l_2}^\dagger \cdots b_{l_N}^\dagger a_{m_1}^\dagger a_{m_2}^\dagger \cdots a_{m_Z}^\dagger |0\rangle$ , and the corresponding configuration energy is denoted as  $E_i$ . Here  $s_k$  represents the eigenvalue of the seniority operator  $\hat{s}_k$  for the state  $k$  [49], and it is a good quantum number. The expansion coefficients  $C_i^{\{s_k\}}$  and, thus, the wave functions are determined by diagonalizing the Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}$  in the MPC space.

Note that the obtained wave function  $|\Psi\rangle$  is used to determine the occupation probabilities for the single-particle states, and thus the nucleon densities should be updated, which in turn determines the scalar and vector fields  $S$  and  $V$  in the Dirac equation (3). Therefore, the full framework should be solved iteratively to achieve the self-consistency [41, 44, 45]. Once a self-consistent solution is obtained, one can calculate the pairing energy,

$$E_{\text{pair}} = \langle \Psi | \hat{H}_{\text{pair}} | \Psi \rangle = \sum_{ij} C_i^* C_j \langle \text{MPC}_i | \hat{H}_{\text{pair}} | \text{MPC}_j \rangle, \quad (6)$$

which is added to the total energy of RDFT.

In this work, the relativistic density functional PC-PK1 [50] is adopted. The Dirac equation (3) is solved in the three-dimensional harmonic oscillator basis in Cartesian coordinates [51] with ten major shells, and the quadrupole deformation including triaxiality is considered self-consistently.

The dimension of the MPC space and the corresponding pairing strength  $G$  are determined by the odd-even mass differences of the  $N = Z + 2$  nuclei from Ni to Rb. In Fig. 1, the calculated odd-even mass differences  $\Delta_n^{(3)}(N, Z) = [B(N-1, Z) + B(N+1, Z)]/2 - B(N, Z)$  and  $\Delta_p^{(3)}(N, Z) = [B(N, Z-1) + B(N, Z+1)]/2 - B(N, Z)$  [52] are shown, in comparison with the experimental ones extracted from AME'20 [53, 54]. The experimental odd-even mass differences are well reproduced by the calculation with the pairing strength  $G = 0.8$  MeV. The corresponding MPC space is truncated by  $E_{\text{cut}} = 16$  MeV, which means only the MPCs with the energies  $E_i \leq 16$  MeV are included in the model space. In addition, a variation of the pairing strength by 10% does not change the odd-even mass differences  $\Delta_n^{(3)}$  and  $\Delta_p^{(3)}$  significantly.

With the pairing strength  $G$  thus determined, the binding energies for the  $N = Z, Z \pm 1, Z \pm 2$  nuclei around Ni and Rb region are calculated. The differences between the calculated binding energies and the data [53, 54] are shown in Fig. 2. As shown in Fig. 2 (a), without the pairing correlations, the deviations between the calculated binding energies and the data are large. The root-mean-square (rms) deviations are 3.388 MeV for  $N = Z$  nuclei and 3.380 MeV for  $N \neq Z$  ones. After the inclusion of the  $nn$  and  $pp$  pairing, as shown in Fig. 2 (b), the descriptions of the binding energies are improved. The rms deviation for  $N = Z$  nuclei changes to 1.460 MeV, and for  $N \neq Z$  nuclei changes to 0.832 MeV. After including the  $pn$  pairing, as shown in Fig. 2 (c), the agreements become better. The rms deviation is 0.832 MeV for  $N = Z$  nuclei and 0.671 MeV for  $N \neq Z$  nuclei [55]. These results illustrate the importance of the pairing correlations for nuclei near the  $N = Z$  line, in particular the  $pn$  pairing correlations.




FIG. 1. Odd-even mass differences  $\Delta_n^{(3)}$  (a) and  $\Delta_p^{(3)}$  (b) for the  $N = Z + 2$  nuclei from Ni to Rb calculated by RDFT-SLAP (lines) in comparison with the data (symbols). The gray bands correspond to the odd-even mass differences for the pairing strength  $G$  varying by 10%.




FIG. 2. Differences between the calculated binding energies and the data for the  $N = Z, Z \pm 1, Z \pm 2$  nuclei around Ni and Rb region without pairing (a), with the  $nn$  and  $pp$  pairing (b), and with the  $nn$ ,  $pp$  and  $pn$  pairing (c). The root-mean-square deviation for the  $N = Z$  ( $N \neq Z$ ) nuclei  $\sigma_{N=Z}$  ( $\sigma_{N \neq Z}$ ) is also given.

From the binding energies, the  $\delta V_{pn}$  can be extracted as [10]

$$\delta V_{pn}^{ee}(N, Z) = \frac{1}{4} [B(N, Z) - B(N-2, Z) - B(N, Z-2) + B(N-2, Z-2)], \quad (7)$$

for even-even nuclei with  $N = Z$ , and

$$\delta V_{pn}^{oo}(N, Z) = [B(N, Z) - B(N-1, Z) - B(N, Z-1) + B(N-1, Z-1)], \quad (8)$$

for odd-odd nuclei with  $N = Z$ . The extracted theoretical results and data [18] are shown in Fig. 3. The experimental  $\delta V_{pn}^{ee}$  (red circles) decrease smoothly with the mass number, while the  $\delta V_{pn}^{oo}$  (blue squares) exhibit a distinct tendency; this is the challenging puzzle of the abnormal  $\delta V_{pn}$  bifurcation observed in Ref. [18]. When

the  $nn$ ,  $pp$  and  $pn$  pairing correlations are taken into account simultaneously (solid lines), the calculated results well reproduce the evolution of  $\delta V_{pn}$  for both the odd-odd and even-even nuclei. The agreement remains even by changing the pairing strength by 10%. In contrast, if the  $pn$  pairing correlations are switched off (dashed lines), the calculated results cannot reproduce the bifurcation. As shown clearly in Fig. 3, the successful reproducing for the abnormal  $\delta V_{pn}$  bifurcation is due to the enhancement of the  $\delta V_{pn}$  for the odd-odd  $N = Z$  nuclei by the  $pn$  pairing correlations.

To further understand why the  $pn$  pairing correlations have more significant influence on the  $\delta V_{pn}$  for the odd-odd nuclei as compared to the even-even ones, in Fig. 4 (a), the calculated  $pn$  pairing energies,  $E_{\text{pair}}^{\text{pn}} = \langle \Psi | \hat{H}_{\text{pair}}^{T_z=0} | \Psi \rangle = \sum_{ij} C_i^* C_j \langle \text{MPC}_i | \hat{H}_{\text{pair}}^{T_z=0} | \text{MPC}_j \rangle$ , are shown as functions of the sums of the configuration energies for  $N = Z$  odd-odd nucleus  $^{66}\text{As}$  and even-even nucleus  $^{64}\text{Ge}$ . For  $^{66}\text{As}$ , the nonvanishing value of  $E_{\text{pair}}^{\text{pn}}$




FIG. 3. Calculated  $\delta V_{pn}$  for the even-even (red lines) and odd-odd (blue lines)  $N = Z$  nuclei from Ni to Rb with (solid lines) and without (dashed lines) the  $pn$  pairing, in comparison with the data (symbols) [18]. The gray bands correspond to the results with the pairing strength  $G$  varying by 10%.




FIG. 4. (a) Calculated  $pn$  pairing energies  $E_{\text{pair}}^{\text{pn}}$  as functions of the sums of the configuration energies for the  $i$ th and  $j$ th MPC,  $E_i + E_j$ , for  $^{66}\text{As}$  and  $^{64}\text{Ge}$ . The gray bands correspond to the results for the pairing strength  $G$  varying by 10%. (b) Single-particle energies for the odd-odd nucleus  $^{66}\text{As}$ . The single-proton levels are renormalized to the first single-neutron level above the  $N = Z = 28$  shell. The lowest-energy MPC and the lowest excitation with nonvanishing contribution to the  $pn$  pairing energy  $E_{\text{pair}}^{\text{pn}}$  are schematically shown. (c) Same as (b), but for the even-even nucleus  $^{64}\text{Ge}$ .

starts at about 3.5 MeV, while for  $^{64}\text{Ge}$ , about 6.9 MeV. This can be understood from the lowest MPC and the lowest excitation contributing to the  $pn$  pairing energy for  $^{66}\text{As}$  and  $^{64}\text{Ge}$  as shown in Figs. 4 (b) and 4 (c). With the increase of  $E_i + E_j$ , the  $pn$  pairing energy for  $^{66}\text{As}$  is significantly larger than that for  $^{64}\text{Ge}$ . Changing the pairing strength  $G$  by 10% will influence the  $pn$  pairing energy by around 1 MeV for  $^{66}\text{As}$ , and by around 0.4 MeV for  $^{64}\text{Ge}$ . These results in Fig. 4 suggest that the  $pn$  pairing correlations have more influence on the odd-odd nuclei than on the even-even nuclei, and this explains why the  $pn$  pairing correlations would significantly en-

hance the  $\delta V_{pn}$  for the odd-odd  $N = Z$  nuclei in Fig. 3, and thus result in the abnormal  $\delta V_{pn}$  bifurcation.

In summary, a shell-model-like approach is developed based on the relativistic density functional theory, which allows a microscopic and self-consistent treatment of the neutron-neutron, proton-proton and proton-neutron pairing correlations simultaneously. The challenging puzzle of the abnormal  $\delta V_{pn}$  bifurcation between the odd-odd and even-even nuclei along the  $N = Z$  line from Ni to Rb is found to be originated from the proton-neutron pairing correlations. The proton-neutron pairing correlations would significantly enhance the  $\delta V_{pn}$  for odd-odd

$N = Z$  nuclei, and thus result in the  $\delta V_{pn}$  bifurcation. The proton-neutron pairing correlations improve the description of the masses not only for  $N = Z$  nuclei, but also for these nuclei near the  $N = Z$  line. These conclusions remain true even if the pairing strength is changed by 10%. The present results provide an excellent interpretation to the challenging puzzle of the abnormal  $\delta V_{pn}$  bifurcation, and provide a clear signal for the existence of the proton-neutron pairing correlations for  $N = Z$  nuclei.

This work was partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 11935003, No. 12105004, No. 12141501), the High-performance Computing Platform of Peking University, and the State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University.

---

\* pwzhao@pku.edu.cn

† mengj@pku.edu.cn

- [1] J. Y. Zhang, R. F. Casten, and D. S. Brenner, Phys. Lett. B 227, 1 (1989).
- [2] D. S. Brenner, C. Wesselborg, R. F. Casten, D. D. Warner, and J. Y. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B 243, 1 (1990).
- [3] I. Talmi, Rev. Mod. Phys. 34, 704 (1962).
- [4] P. Federman and S. Pittel, Phys. Lett. 69B, 385 (1977).
- [5] R. F. Casten, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 1991 (1985).
- [6] R. B. Cakirli and R. F. Casten, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 132501 (2006).
- [7] K. Heyde, P. Van Isacker, R. F. Casten, and J. L. Wood, Phys. Lett. 155B, 303 (1985).
- [8] P. Federman and S. Pittel, Phys. Lett. 77B, 29 (1978).
- [9] D. D. Warner, M. A. Bentley, and P. Van Isacker, Nat. Phys. 2, 311 (2006).
- [10] P. Van Isacker, D. D. Warner, and D. S. Brenner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 4607 (1995).
- [11] P. Schury, C. Bachelet, M. Block, G. Bollen, D. A. Davies *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 75, 055801 (2007).
- [12] I. Mardor *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 103, 034319 (2021).
- [13] P. Van Isacker, O. Juillet, and F. Nowacki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2060 (1999).
- [14] D. S. Brenner, R. B. Cakirli, and R. F. Casten, Phys. Rev. C 73, 034315 (2006).
- [15] L. Chen, Y. A. Litvinov, W. R. Plass, K. Beckert, P. Beller *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 122503 (2009).
- [16] R. B. Cakirli, K. Blaum, and R. F. Casten, Phys. Rev. C 82, 061304(R) (2010).
- [17] D. Bonatsos, S. Karampagia, R. B. Cakirli, R. F. Casten, K. Blaum, and L. A. Susam, Phys. Rev. C 88, 054309 (2013).
- [18] M. Wang, Y. H. Zhang, X. Zhou, X. H. Zhou, H. S. Xu *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 192501 (2023).
- [19] J. Duflo, and A. P. Zuker, Phys. Rev. C 52, R23(R) (1995).
- [20] P. Möller, J. R. Nix, W. D. Myers, W. J. Swiatecki, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 59, 185 (1995).
- [21] T. Kawano, S. Chiba, and H. Kouba, J. Nucl. Sci. Technol. 43, 1 (2006).
- [22] N. Wang, M. Liu, X. Wu, and J. Meng, Phys. Lett. B 734, 215 (2014).
- [23] P. Möller, A. J. Sierk, T. Ichikawa, and H. Sagawa, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 109-110, 1 (2016).
- [24] M. Honma, T. Otsuka, B. Brown, and T. Mizusaki, Eur. Phys. J. A 25, 499 (2005).
- [25] L. S. Geng, H. Toki, and J. Meng, Prog. Theor. Phys. 113, 785 (2005).
- [26] M. Kortelainen, J. McDonnell, W. Nazarewicz, P.-G. Reinhard, J. Sarich, N. Schunck, M. V. Stoitsov, and S. M. Wild, Phys. Rev. C 85, 024304 (2012).
- [27] S. Goriely, N. Chamel, and J. M. Pearson, Phys. Rev. C 88, 061302 (2013).
- [28] W. Satuła, and R. Wyss, Phys. Lett. B 393, 1 (1997).
- [29] W. Satuła, D. Dean, J. Gary, S. Mizutori, and W. Nazarewicz, Phys. Lett. B 407, 103 (1997).
- [30] I. Bentley, K. Neergård, and S. Frauendorf, Phys. Rev. C 89, 034302 (2014).
- [31] D. Negrea, and N. Sandulescu, Phys. Rev. C 90, 024322 (2014).
- [32] D. Vautherin, and D. M. Brink, Phys. Rev. C 5, 626 (1972).
- [33] J. Dechargé, and D. Gogny, Phys. Rev. C 21, 1568 (1980).
- [34] P. Ring, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 37, 193 (1996).
- [35] D. Vretenar, A. V. Afanasjev, G. A. Lalazissis, and P. Ring, Phys. Rep. 409, 101 (2005).
- [36] T. Nikšić, D. Vretenar, and P. Ring, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 66, 519 (2011).
- [37] *Relativistic Density Functional for Nuclear Structure*, edited by J. Meng (World Scientific, Singapore, 2016).
- [38] P. Ring and P. Schuck, *The Nuclear Many-Body Problem*, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980).
- [39] J. Y. Zeng, and T. S. Cheng, Nucl. Phys. A405, 1 (1983).
- [40] A. Volya, B. A. Brown, and V. Zelevinsky, Phys. Lett. B 509, 37 (2001).
- [41] J. Meng, J. Y. Guo, L. Liu, and S. Q. Zhang, Front. Phys. China 1, 38 (2006).
- [42] W. C. Chen, J. Piekarewicz, and A. Volya, Phys. Rev. C 89, 014321 (2014).
- [43] L. Liu, Z. H. Zhang, and P. W. Zhao, Phys. Rev. C 92, 044304 (2015).
- [44] Z. Shi, Z. H. Zhang, Q. B. Chen, S. Q. Zhang, and J. Meng, Phys. Rev. C 97, 034317 (2018).
- [45] Y. P. Wang and J. Meng, Phys. Lett. B 841, 137923 (2023).
- [46] F. F. Xu and Y. P. Wang, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 32, 2340007 (2023).
- [47] N. Pillet, P. Quentin, and J. Libert, Nucl. Phys. A697, 141 (2002).
- [48] W. Y. Liang, C. F. Jiao, Q. Wu, X. M. Fu, and F. R. Xu, Phys. Rev. C 92, 064325 (2015).
- [49] R. W. Richardson, Phys. Rev. 144, 874 (1966).
- [50] P. W. Zhao, Z. P. Li, J. M. Yao, and J. Meng, Phys. Rev. C 82, 054319 (2010).
- [51] T. Nikšić, N. Paar, D. Vretenar, and P. Ring, Comput. Phys. Commun. 185, 1808 (2014).
- [52] M. Bender, K. Rutz, P. G. Reinhard, and J. A. Maruhn, Eur. Phys. J. A 8, 59 (2000).
- [53] M. Wang, W. J. Huang, F. G. Kondev, G. Audi, and S. Naimi, Chin. Phys. C 45, 030003 (2021).
- [54] F. G. Kondev, M. Wang, W. J. Huang, S. Naimi, and G. Audi, Chin. Phys. C 45, 030001 (2021).
- [55] The expectation values for the isospin operator  $\hat{T}$  [38] are calculated for the ground states of all these nuclei,

and they agree with the experimental data, except for

$^{58}\text{Cu}$ . For  $^{58}\text{Cu}$ , in consistent with the data, the state with  $\langle\Psi|\hat{T}|\Psi\rangle = 0$  is chosen as the ground state.