

Comment on *Machine Learning the Operator Content of the Critical Self-Dual Ising-Higgs Gauge Model*, arXiv:2311.17994v1

Claudio Bonati,¹ Andrea Pelissetto,² and Ettore Vicari³

¹*Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Pisa and INFN Largo Pontecorvo 3, I-56127 Pisa, Italy*

²*Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Roma Sapienza and INFN Sezione di Roma I, I-00185 Roma, Italy*

³*Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Pisa, Largo Pontecorvo 3, I-56127 Pisa, Italy*

(Dated: January 22, 2024)

We critically discuss the results reported in arXiv:2311.17994v1 by L. Oppenheim, M. Koch-Janusz, S. Gazit, and Z. Ringel, on the multicritical behavior of the three-dimensional Ising-Gauge model at the multicritical point. We argue that their results do not contradict the theoretical scenario put forward in *Multicritical point of the three-dimensional \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge Higgs model*, Phys. Rev. B **105**, 165138 (2022), arXiv:2112.01824, that predicted a multicritical behavior controlled by the stable XY fixed point of an effective three-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson Φ^4 field theory. Actually, their results, as well as all numerical results reported so far in the literature, are consistent with a multicritical XY scenario.

The three-dimensional (3D) Ising-Higgs, or \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge Higgs, model considered in Ref. [1] is a gauge-spin model defined on a cubic lattice. The fundamental fields are \mathbb{Z}_2 (Ising) spins $s_{\mathbf{x}} = \pm 1$ and \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge variables $\sigma_{\mathbf{x},\mu} = \pm 1$, associated with the lattices sites and bonds, respectively. The Hamiltonian reads [2–5]

$$H = -J \sum_{\mathbf{x},\mu} s_{\mathbf{x}} \sigma_{\mathbf{x},\mu} s_{\mathbf{x}+\hat{\mu}} - \kappa \sum_{\mathbf{x},\mu>\nu} \sigma_{\mathbf{x},\mu} \sigma_{\mathbf{x}+\hat{\mu},\nu} \sigma_{\mathbf{x}+\hat{\nu},\mu} \sigma_{\mathbf{x},\nu}. \quad (1)$$

The studies reported in Refs. [1, 6] focused on the behavior at the multicritical point (MCP) located along the self-dual line, see Fig. 1. Refs. [1, 6, 7] determined the critical exponents at the MCP, obtaining results that are in very good agreement with each other, and that apparently indicate that the MCP is an XY multicritical point (the numerical estimates of the critical exponents differ from the most accurate estimates of the XY exponents by approximately 1%). This multicritical XY scenario would imply that the critical correlations at the MCP experience an effective enlarged O(2) global symmetry. To verify this possibility, Ref. [1] investigated if there exists a conserved current, i.e., a vector operator of renormalization-group (RG) dimension 2, associated with the emerging global continuous O(2) symmetry. They used a sophisticated numerical method, looking for the putative conserved current in the space of essentially local and relatively simple operators defined on small lattices (in the language appropriate for 2+1 systems, their operators are local both in space and in the imaginary-time direction). They did not find any such operator.

We would like to stress that this result is *not* in contradiction with the interpretation of the numerical results proposed in Ref. [6]. The multicritical transition is due to the interplay of gauge and spin degrees of freedom. The first (rather standard) assumption made in Ref. [6] was that one can obtain an effective description by considering the order parameters that characterize the critical behavior along the two lines that meet at the MCP (the \mathbb{Z}_2 lines in the




FIG. 1: Sketch of the phase diagram of the 3D \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge Higgs model (1). The dashed and thick lines correspond to the self-dual line. Along the thick line first-order transitions occur. The two lines labeled “ \mathbb{Z}_2 ” are related by duality and correspond to Ising transitions. The three lines meet at a MCP on the self-dual line.

figure). On one side, the transition is of magnetic type and thus we expect the order parameter to be a scalar field ϕ_1 . The gauge transition is a topological transition and therefore it is characterized by the absence of a gauge-invariant local order parameter. The order parameter should be a nonlocal function of the gauge degrees of freedom. Now, it comes the second, more delicate assumption, i.e. that one can extend the duality, which is formally defined as a mapping of the Hamiltonian parameters that essentially preserves the free energy, to a mapping of fields and operators. Using this mapping, we argue, we can redefine the fields so that the nonlocal gauge order parameter is mapped into a scalar field ϕ_2 that interacts locally with ϕ_1 . If this conjecture holds, one can explain the observed multicritical XY behavior in a standard fashion. The multicritical behavior is effectively described by the multicritical $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson (LGW) field theory, with Hamiltonian density

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} [(\partial_\mu \varphi_1)^2 + (\partial_\mu \varphi_2)^2] + \frac{1}{2} (r_1 \varphi_1^2 + r_2 \varphi_2^2) + \frac{1}{4!} [v_1 \varphi_1^4 + v_2 \varphi_2^4 + 2w \varphi_1^2 \varphi_2^2]. \quad (2)$$

This model has been extensively studied [6, 8–12]. In particular, it admits a bicritical point with a critical behavior controlled by a stable XY fixed point. The main conjecture of Ref. [6] is that this stable XY fixed point is the relevant one that controls the multicritical XY behavior in the \mathbb{Z}_2 Higgs theory. Obviously, in the LGW approach there exists a local current that is conserved at the XY fixed point and that therefore characterizes the $O(2)$ enlargement of the symmetry. However, once we go back to the original gauge variables by the conjectured duality mapping, the current should become a nonlocal function of the gauge variables. Thus, the nonexistence of a *local* conserved current within the lattice \mathbb{Z}_2 Higgs theory does not contradict the conjecture of Ref. [6]. Note that the expected behavior at the MCP differs substantially from that observed in the 3D lattice Ashkin-Teller model, also considered in Ref. [1], in which there is a standard and straightforward method to identify the correct conserved current.

Finally, we point out that one should distinguish the standard XY point of an $O(2)$ vector model from that emerging at a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ MCP. In the RG language, the relevant multicritical fixed point is obtained by performing RG transformations in a different class of Hamiltonians (those with $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry) and therefore it cannot be exactly identified with the standard XY one. For instance, at the MCP there are additional relevant and irrelevant operators that break the $O(2)$ symmetry [6].

In conclusion, on the basis of the above arguments, we believe that the final claim of Ref. [1], i.e. that their results are “strikingly inconsistent” with the scenario outlined in Ref. [6], is incorrect. Actually, they provide further support to the multicritical XY scenario put forward in Ref. [6].

-
- [1] L. Oppenheim, M. Koch-Janusz, S. Gazit, and Z. Ringel, Machine Learning the Operator Content of the Critical Self-Dual Ising-Higgs Gauge Model, arXiv:2311.17994v1.
 - [2] F. J. Wegner, Duality in generalized Ising models and phase transitions without local order parameters, Jour. of Math. Phys. 12, 2259 (1971).
 - [3] R. Balian, J. M. Drouffe, and C. Itzykson, Gauge fields on a lattice. II. Gauge-invariant Ising model, Phys. Rev. D 11, 2098 (1975).
 - [4] E. Fradkin and S. Shenker, Phase diagrams of lattice gauge theories with Higgs fields, Phys. Rev. D 19, 3682 (1979).
 - [5] J. B. Kogut, An introduction to lattice gauge theory and spin systems, Rev. Mod. Phys. 51, 659 (1979).
 - [6] C. Bonati, A. Pelissetto, and E. Vicari, Multicritical point of the three-dimensional \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge Higgs model, Phys. Rev. B 105, 165138 (2022), arXiv:2112.01824.
 - [7] A. Somoza, P. Serna, and A. Nahum, Self-dual criticality in three-dimensional \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge theory with matter, Phys. Rev. X 11, 041008 (2021), arXiv:2012.15845.
 - [8] M. E. Fisher and D. R. Nelson, Spin Flop, Supersolids, and Bicritical and Tetracritical Points, Phys. Rev. Lett. 32, 1350 (1974).
 - [9] D. R. Nelson, J. M. Kosterlitz, and M. E. Fisher, Renormalization-Group Analysis of Bicritical and Tetracritical Points Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 813 (1974); J. M. Kosterlitz, D. R. Nelson, and M. E. Fisher, Bicritical and tetracritical points in anisotropic antiferromagnetic systems, Phys. Rev. B 13, 412 (1976).
 - [10] A. Pelissetto and E. Vicari, Critical phenomena and renormalization group theory, Phys. Rep. 368, 549 (2002).
 - [11] P. Calabrese, A. Pelissetto, and E. Vicari, Multicritical behavior of $O(n_1) \oplus O(n_2)$ -symmetric systems, Phys. Rev. B 67, 054505 (2003).
 - [12] M. Hasenbusch and E. Vicari, Anisotropic perturbations in 3D $O(N)$ vector models, Phys. Rev. B 84, 125136 (2011).