

Hierarchical control for the coupled fourth order parabolic equations

Yating Li and Muming Zhang*

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northeast Normal University,
Changchun 130024, China.

Abstract

In this paper, we obtain a null controllability result for a coupled fourth order parabolic system based on the Stackelberg-Nash strategies. For this purpose, we first prove the existence and uniqueness of Nash equilibrium pair of the original system and its explicit expression is provided. Next, we investigate the null controllability of Nash equilibrium to the corresponding optimal system. By duality theory, we establish an observability estimate for the coupled fourth order parabolic system. Such an estimate is obtained by a new global Carleman estimate we derived.

Key Words. Coupled fourth order parabolic equation, Stackelberg-Nash strategy, Observability inequality, Carleman estimate

1 Introduction and main result

Let $T > 0$ and $N \geq 2$. Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain whose boundary $\partial\Omega$ is regular enough. Let ω, ω_1 and ω_2 be three given nonempty open subsets of Ω , and $\omega_i \cap \omega = \emptyset (i = 1, 2)$. Denote by χ_ω the characteristic function of ω . Put $Q = \Omega \times (0, T)$ and $\Sigma = \partial\Omega \times (0, T)$. Unless otherwise stated, we assume that the set of indicators i mentioned in this paper contains $i = 1$ and $i = 2$.

Consider the following coupled fourth order parabolic equations:

$$\begin{cases} y_{1,t} + \Delta^2 y_1 + a_{11}y_1 + a_{12}y_2 = g\chi_\omega + h_1\chi_{\omega_1} + h_2\chi_{\omega_2} & \text{in } Q, \\ y_{2,t} + \Delta^2 y_2 + a_{21}y_1 + a_{22}y_2 = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ y_j = \Delta y_j = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, j = 1, 2, \\ y_j(x, 0) = y_j^0(x) & \text{in } \Omega, j = 1, 2, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

*Corresponding author (M. Zhang): zhangmm352@nenu.edu.cn.

This work is supported by National Key R&D Program of China under grant 2023YFA1009002, and NSF of China under grants 12001094, 12001087 and 11971179, and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities under grant 2412020QD027.

where $g \in L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$ is the leader control, $h_i \in L^2(\omega_i \times (0, T))$ ($i = 1, 2$) are the follower controls, $y = y(\cdot, \cdot; g, h_1, h_2) = (y_1, y_2)^T$ is the state. $a_{ij} = a_{ij}(\cdot, \cdot) \in L^\infty(Q)$ ($i, j = 1, 2$), $y_j^0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ ($j = 1, 2$) are given functions, and we write $y^0 = (y_1^0, y_2^0)$.

The aim of this paper is to study the hierarchical control of the coupled fourth order parabolic equations (1.1). For this purpose, we need to introduce two types of functionals.

(1) The main cost functional:

$$J(g) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega \times (0, T)} |g|^2 dx dt. \quad (1.2)$$

(2) The secondary cost functionals:

$$J_i(g; h_1, h_2) = \frac{\alpha_i}{2} \int_{O_{i,d} \times (0, T)} |y_1 - y_{1,d}^i|^2 + |y_2 - y_{2,d}^i|^2 dx dt + \frac{\mu_i}{2} \int_{\omega_i \times (0, T)} \rho_*^2 |h_i|^2 dx dt, \quad (1.3)$$

where α_i and μ_i are positive constants, $\rho_* = \rho_*(t)$ is given in (4.4) as a positive function, $O_{i,d} \subseteq \Omega$ ($i = 1, 2$) are nonempty open subsets of Ω , which represent two observation domains, $y_d^i = (y_{1,d}^i, y_{2,d}^i) \in L^2(O_{i,d} \times (0, T))^2$ are given functions, and $y = y(\cdot, \cdot; g, h_1, h_2)$ is the solution of system (1.1) corresponding to the leader g and the followers (h_1, h_2) .

We give the definition of Nash equilibrium pair for the secondary cost functionals J_i as follows.

Definition 1.1 For any given leader control g , a follower control pair (\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2) is said to be a Nash equilibrium for (J_1, J_2) , if the following identities

$$J_1(g; \bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2) = \min_{h_1} J_1(g; h_1, \bar{h}_2), \quad \forall h_1 \in L^2(\omega_1 \times (0, T)), \quad (1.4)$$

$$J_2(g; \bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2) = \min_{h_2} J_2(g; \bar{h}_1, h_2), \quad \forall h_2 \in L^2(\omega_2 \times (0, T)) \quad (1.5)$$

hold.

The main interests in this paper center on the null controllability of coupled fourth order parabolic system (1.1) with Stackelberg-Nash strategies, that is, we have two goals:

1. For any given leader control g , prove that the Nash equilibrium for (J_1, J_2) exists, denoted by $(\bar{h}_1(g), \bar{h}_2(g))$.
2. Prove the existence of a leader control $\bar{g} \in L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$ that satisfies

$$J(\bar{g}) = \min_g J(g; \bar{h}_1(g), \bar{h}_2(g)), \quad \forall g \in L^2(\omega \times (0, T)), \quad (1.6)$$

subjecting to the restriction

$$y(\cdot, T; \bar{g}, \bar{h}_1(\bar{g}), \bar{h}_2(\bar{g})) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (1.7)$$

where $y = y(\cdot, \cdot; \bar{g}, \bar{h}_1(\bar{g}), \bar{h}_2(\bar{g}))$ is the solution of system (1.1) corresponding to the leader \bar{g} and its Nash equilibrium $(\bar{h}_1(\bar{g}), \bar{h}_2(\bar{g}))$.

Our main result is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *Assume that $O_{1,d} = O_{2,d}$, denoted as O_d , $O_d \cap \omega \neq \emptyset$ and μ_i ($i=1,2$) are sufficiently large. If*

$$a_{21} \geq a_0 > 0 \text{ or } -a_{21} \geq a_0 > 0 \text{ in } (O_d \cap \omega) \times (0, T)$$

and

$$\int_{O_d \times (0, T)} |y_{j,d}^i|^2 dx dt < +\infty, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \quad (1.8)$$

then for any $y^0 = (y_1^0, y_2^0) \in L^2(\Omega)^2$, there exists a control $\bar{g} \in L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$ and the corresponding Nash equilibrium $(\bar{h}_1(\bar{g}), \bar{h}_2(\bar{g}))$ such that the solution of (1.1) satisfies (1.6) and (1.7).

In classical control theory, there are two fundamental problems: controllability and optimal control. Roughly speaking, controllability means that we can find a control to achieve a predetermined goal, while optimal control means finding the best way to achieve a goal in a sense. However, controllability and optimal control can be involved simultaneously in practical problems, i.e., multi-objective control problems. It is necessary to exert more than one control in order to accomplish multiple objectives. Therefore, hierarchical control is proposed by Lions in [14] to solve the control problem of multiple objectives. These objectives may be cooperative or noncooperative, and achieving multiple objectives means finding some sense of equilibrium. Here we refer to the Nash equilibrium in Game theory, which was proposed by J. F. Nash in [16], also called the noncooperative game equilibrium. The main idea of the Stackelberg-Nash strategy (see [17]) is to choose its own strategy according to the possible strategies of other participants to ensure that one can obtain the maximum benefit. The leader makes decisions first, and followers choose strategies based on leader's decisions. There are numerous studies on the Stackelberg-Nash controllability for parabolic equations (see [2],[3],[4] and the rich references cited therein). In [7] and [8], the Stackelberg-Nash exact controllability for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equations, a special class of nonlinear fourth-order parabolic equations, was discussed. It is worth mentioning that, [18] studied the hierarchical exact controllability problem of the fourth-order linear and semilinear parabolic equations. About coupled parabolic systems, in [12], the authors analyzed the Stackelberg-Nash null controllability of a coupled linear parabolic system. Further, the similar problem for linear and semilinear degenerate parabolic equations was investigated in [1]. However, to our best knowledge, there are no references considering the Stackelberg-Nash null controllability of system (1.1).

In this paper, we study the hierarchic control based on Stackelberg-Nash strategy for the coupled fourth order parabolic equations. Hierarchical control problems are generally solved by first proving the existence of Nash equilibrium pair of the original system, then proving the null controllability of Nash equilibrium to the corresponding optimal system. Up to now, several authors have already studied the problem of controllability for the fourth order parabolic equations of one and higher dimensions (see, for instance, [6],[9],[11],[13],[19],[20]). We refer to [10] for the first result for the Carleman estimate of a fourth order parabolic equation in dimension $n \geq 2$. Further, the controllability of the coupled fourth order parabolic equations was investigated in [5]. Recently, there are some authors discussing the controllability of fourth order stochastic parabolic equations (see [15]).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we shall prove the existence and uniqueness of a Nash equilibrium for (J_1, J_2) . In Section 3, we transform the existence of leader control satisfying (1.6) and (1.7) into an observability estimate for a coupled parabolic

system. Section 4 is devoted to deriving a new Carleman estimate for coupled fourth order parabolic equations. Based on this, the observability estimate is established in Section 5.

2 Nash equilibrium

In this section, we establish the existence and uniqueness of the Nash equilibrium and give its explicit expression.

2.1 Existence and uniqueness of a Nash equilibrium

Define the spaces

$$H_i = L^2(\omega_i \times (0, T)), \quad i = 1, 2, \quad H = H_1 \times H_2.$$

and put the operators $\Lambda_i : H_i \rightarrow L^2(Q)^2$ by $\Lambda_i h_i = y^i$, where $y^i = (y_1^i, y_2^i)$ ($i = 1, 2$) are the solutions of the following systems

$$\begin{cases} y_{1,t}^i + \Delta^2 y_1^i + a_{11} y_1^i + a_{12} y_2^i = h_i \chi_{\omega_i} & \text{in } Q, \\ y_{2,t}^i + \Delta^2 y_2^i + a_{21} y_1^i + a_{22} y_2^i = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ y_j^i = \Delta y_j^i = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \quad j = 1, 2, \\ y_j^i(x, 0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \quad j = 1, 2. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

For any $g \in L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$, we write the solution of (1.1) as follows

$$y = y^1 + y^2 + u(g) = \Lambda_1 h_1 + \Lambda_2 h_2 + u(g),$$

where $u(g) = (u_1(g), u_2(g))^T$ is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} u_{1,t} + \Delta^2 u_1 + a_{11} u_1 + a_{12} u_2 = g \chi_{\omega} & \text{in } Q, \\ u_{2,t} + \Delta^2 u_2 + a_{21} u_1 + a_{22} u_2 = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ u_j = \Delta u_j = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \quad j = 1, 2, \\ u_j(x, 0) = y_j^0(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \quad j = 1, 2. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Thus, the functionals (1.3) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} J_i(g; h_1, h_2) &= \frac{\alpha_i}{2} \int_{O_{i,d} \times (0, T)} \|\Lambda_1 h_1 + \Lambda_2 h_2 + u(g) - y_d^i\|^2 dx dt + \frac{\mu_i}{2} \int_{\omega_i \times (0, T)} \rho_*^2 |h_i|^2 dx dt \\ &= \frac{\alpha_i}{2} \int_{O_{i,d} \times (0, T)} \|\Lambda_1 h_1 + \Lambda_2 h_2 - \tilde{y}_d^i\|^2 dx dt + \frac{\mu_i}{2} \int_{\omega_i \times (0, T)} \rho_*^2 |h_i|^2 dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{y}_d^i = y_d^i - u(g) \chi_{O_{i,d}}$ ($i = 1, 2$), and $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the usual Euclidian norm in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Since the functionals J_1, J_2 are strictly convex, by Definition 1.1, it follows that (\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2) is a Nash equilibrium for (J_1, J_2) if and only if

$$\left(\frac{\partial J_1}{\partial h_1}(g, \bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2), h_1 \right) = 0, \quad \forall h_1 \in H_1; \quad \left(\frac{\partial J_2}{\partial h_2}(g, \bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2), h_2 \right) = 0, \quad \forall h_2 \in H_2,$$

where $\left(\frac{\partial J_1}{\partial h_1}(g, \bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2), h_1\right)$ represents *Gâteaux* derivative of J_1 at $(g, \bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2)$ along h_1 . That is

$$\mu_1 \int_{\omega_1 \times (0, T)} \rho_*^2 \bar{h}_1 h_1 \, dx dt + \alpha_1 \int_{O_{1,d} \times (0, T)} (\Lambda_1 \bar{h}_1 + \Lambda_2 \bar{h}_2 - \tilde{y}_d^1) \cdot \Lambda_1 h_1 \, dx dt = 0, \forall h_1 \in H_1.$$

Therefore, (\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2) is a Nash equilibrium if and only if

$$\mu_i \int_{\omega_i \times (0, T)} \rho_*^2 \bar{h}_i h_i \, dx dt + \alpha_i \int_{O_{i,d} \times (0, T)} (\Lambda_1 \bar{h}_1 + \Lambda_2 \bar{h}_2 - \tilde{y}_d^i) \cdot \Lambda_i h_i \, dx dt = 0, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (2.3)$$

For any $(h_1, h_2) \in H$, it holds that

$$\mu_i (\rho_*^2 \bar{h}_i, h_i)_{L^2(\omega_i \times (0, T))} + \alpha_i \left(\Lambda_i^* \left[(\Lambda_1 \bar{h}_1 + \Lambda_2 \bar{h}_2)|_{O_{i,d}} - \tilde{y}_d^i \right], h_i \right)_{L^2(\omega_i \times (0, T))} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(\Omega)}$ denotes the internal product in $L^2(\Omega)$, and $\Lambda_i^* \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(Q)^2, H_i)$ is the adjoint operator of Λ_i . This implies

$$\mu_i \rho_*^2 \bar{h}_i + \alpha_i \Lambda_i^* \left[(\Lambda_1 \bar{h}_1 + \Lambda_2 \bar{h}_2)|_{O_{i,d}} \right] = \alpha_i \Lambda_i^* \tilde{y}_d^i, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

For any $h = (h_1, h_2)$, we define the operator $K = (K_1, K_2)$, where $K_1 \in \mathcal{L}(H, H_1)$ and $K_2 \in \mathcal{L}(H, H_2)$ are given by

$$K_i h = \mu_i \rho_*^2 h_i + \alpha_i \Lambda_i^* \left[(\Lambda_1 h_1 + \Lambda_2 h_2)|_{O_{i,d}} \right], \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Therefore, $\bar{h} = (\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2)$ is a Nash equilibrium pair equivalent to

$$K_i \bar{h} = \alpha_i \Lambda_i^* \tilde{y}_d^i, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (2.4)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (Kh, h)_H &= (K_1 h, h_1)_{H_1} + (K_2 h, h_2)_{H_2} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \mu_i \|\rho_* h_i\|_{L^2(\omega_i \times (0, T))}^2 + \alpha_1 (\Lambda_1 h_1 + \Lambda_2 h_2, \Lambda_1 h_1)_{L^2(O_{1,d} \times (0, T))} \\ &\quad + \alpha_2 (\Lambda_1 h_1 + \Lambda_2 h_2, \Lambda_2 h_2)_{L^2(O_{2,d} \times (0, T))}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

By applying Cauchy inequality with ε , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_1 (\Lambda_1 h_1 + \Lambda_2 h_2, \Lambda_1 h_1)_{L^2(O_{1,d} \times (0, T))} \\ &= \alpha_1 \|\Lambda_1 h_1\|_{L^2(O_{1,d} \times (0, T))}^2 + \alpha_1 (\Lambda_2 h_2, \Lambda_1 h_1)_{L^2(O_{1,d} \times (0, T))} \\ &\geq \alpha_1 \|\Lambda_1 h_1\|_{L^2(O_{1,d} \times (0, T))}^2 - \frac{\alpha_1}{2\varepsilon} \|\Lambda_1 h_1\|_{L^2(O_{1,d} \times (0, T))}^2 - \frac{\alpha_1 \varepsilon}{2} \|\Lambda_2 h_2\|_{L^2(O_{1,d} \times (0, T))}^2 \\ &\geq -\frac{\alpha_1}{4} \|\Lambda_2 h_2\|_{L^2(O_{1,d} \times (0, T))}^2 \geq -\frac{\alpha_1}{4} \|\Lambda_2 \chi_{O_{1,d}}\|_{H_{1,d}}^2 \|h_2\|_{H_2}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where $\varepsilon = 1/2$, and $\|\cdot\|_{H_{i,d}}$ denotes the norm in $\mathcal{L}(H_{3-i}, L^2(O_{i,d} \times (0, T)))$. We put $\rho_0 = \min_{t \in [0, T]} \rho_*(t)$.

By (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Kh, h)_H &\geq \mu_1 \rho_0^2 \|h_1\|_{H_1}^2 + \mu_2 \rho_0^2 \|h_2\|_{H_2}^2 - \frac{\alpha_1}{4} \|\Lambda_2 \chi_{O_{1,d}}\|_{H_{1,d}}^2 \|h_2\|_{H_2}^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha_2}{4} \|\Lambda_1 \chi_{O_{2,d}}\|_{H_{2,d}}^2 \|h_1\|_{H_1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for μ_1, μ_2 large enough satisfying

$$\mu_1 > \frac{\alpha_2 \|\Lambda_1 \chi_{O_{2,d}}\|_{H_{2,d}}^2}{4\rho_0^2}, \quad \mu_2 > \frac{\alpha_1 \|\Lambda_2 \chi_{O_{1,d}}\|_{H_{1,d}}^2}{4\rho_0^2}, \quad (2.7)$$

we have

$$(Kh, h)_H \geq \tau \|h\|_H^2, \quad (2.8)$$

where $\tau = \min_{i=1,2} \left\{ \mu_i \rho_0^2 - \frac{\alpha_{3-i}}{4} \|\Lambda_i \chi_{O_{3-i,d}}\|_{H_{3-i,d}}^2 \right\}$. By (2.7), $\tau > 0$.

We introduce the functional $F(h, q) : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $F(h, q) = (Kh, q)_H$. Then, according to the definition of K , F is bounded and bilinear. Moreover, by (2.8), F is coercive. Applying Lax-Milgram theorem, for any given $v \in H$, there exists a unique $\bar{h} = (\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2) \in H$ such that

$$F(\bar{h}, q) = (v, q)_H, \quad \forall q \in H.$$

Set $v = (\alpha_1 \Lambda_1^* \tilde{y}_d^1, \alpha_2 \Lambda_2^* \tilde{y}_d^2)^T$, then we have $K\bar{h} = v$ and (2.4) holds, where the function \bar{h} is the desired Nash equilibrium pair. Thus we deduce the existence and uniqueness of the Nash equilibrium related to (J_1, J_2) .

2.2 Explicit expression of the Nash equilibrium pair

We have proved that, for μ_1, μ_2 large enough, there exists a unique Nash equilibrium (\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2) for (J_1, J_2) in Subsection 2.1. Moreover, by (2.3), (\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2) is the Nash equilibrium pair equivalent to

$$\mu_i \int_{\omega_i \times (0,T)} \rho_*^2 \bar{h}_i \hat{h}_i dxdt + \alpha_i \int_{O_{i,d} \times (0,T)} (\Lambda_1 \bar{h}_1 + \Lambda_2 \bar{h}_2 - \tilde{y}_d^i) \cdot \Lambda_i \hat{h}_i dxdt = 0, \quad \forall \hat{h}_i \in H_i. \quad (2.9)$$

Since $y = \Lambda_1 \bar{h}_1 + \Lambda_2 \bar{h}_2 + u(g)$, and $\tilde{y}_d^i = y_d^i - u(g)\chi_{O_{i,d}}$, we have $\Lambda_1 \bar{h}_1 + \Lambda_2 \bar{h}_2 - \tilde{y}_d^i = y - y_d^i$ in $O_{i,d} \times (0, T)$. Note that $\hat{y}^i = \Lambda_i \hat{h}_i$, by (2.9), we get

$$\mu_i \int_{\omega_i \times (0,T)} \rho_*^2 \bar{h}_i \hat{h}_i dxdt + \alpha_i \int_{O_{i,d} \times (0,T)} (y_1 - y_{1,d}^i) \cdot \hat{y}_1^i + (y_2 - y_{2,d}^i) \cdot \hat{y}_2^i dxdt = 0, \quad \forall \hat{h}_i \in H_i, \quad (2.10)$$

where $\hat{y}^i = (\hat{y}_1^i, \hat{y}_2^i)$ is the solution of (2.1). By (2.10), we have the following expression of the Nash equilibrium.

Proposition 2.1 *Suppose that μ_i ($i = 1, 2$) are sufficiently large, then for all $g \in L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$, there exists a unique Nash equilibrium (\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2) for (J_1, J_2) such that (1.4) and (1.5) hold, simultaneously. Moreover,*

$$\bar{h}_i = -\frac{1}{\mu_i} \rho_*^{-2} \varphi_1^i \chi_{\omega_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (2.11)$$

where $(y_1, y_2, \varphi_1^i, \varphi_2^i)$ is the solution of the following coupled system corresponding to a function g :

$$\begin{cases} y_{1,t} + \Delta^2 y_1 + a_{11} y_1 + a_{12} y_2 = g \chi_\omega - \frac{1}{\mu_1} \rho_*^{-2} \varphi_1^1 \chi_{\omega_1} - \frac{1}{\mu_2} \rho_*^{-2} \varphi_1^2 \chi_{\omega_2} & \text{in } Q, \\ y_{2,t} + \Delta^2 y_2 + a_{21} y_1 + a_{22} y_2 = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ -\varphi_{1,t}^i + \Delta^2 \varphi_1^i + a_{11} \varphi_1^i + a_{21} \varphi_2^i = \alpha_i (y_1 - y_{1,d}^i) \chi_{O_{i,d}} & \text{in } Q, \\ -\varphi_{2,t}^i + \Delta^2 \varphi_2^i + a_{12} \varphi_1^i + a_{22} \varphi_2^i = \alpha_i (y_2 - y_{2,d}^i) \chi_{O_{i,d}} & \text{in } Q, \\ y_j = \Delta y_j = 0, \quad \varphi_j^i = \Delta \varphi_j^i = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \quad j = 1, 2, \\ y_j(x, 0) = y_j^0(x), \quad \varphi_j^i(x, T) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \quad j = 1, 2. \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

Proof: We introduce the following adjoint system of (2.1):

$$\begin{cases} -\varphi_{1,t}^i + \Delta^2 \varphi_1^i + a_{11} \varphi_1^i + a_{21} \varphi_2^i = \alpha_i (y_1 - y_{1,d}^i) \chi_{O_{i,d}} & \text{in } Q, \\ -\varphi_{2,t}^i + \Delta^2 \varphi_2^i + a_{12} \varphi_1^i + a_{22} \varphi_2^i = \alpha_i (y_2 - y_{2,d}^i) \chi_{O_{i,d}} & \text{in } Q, \\ \varphi_j^i = \Delta \varphi_j^i = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \quad j = 1, 2, \\ \varphi_j^i(x, T) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \quad j = 1, 2, \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

where $y = (y_1, y_2)$ is the solution of (1.1), $y_d^i = (y_{1,d}^i, y_{2,d}^i)$ are given functions. Multiplying the first and second equations of (2.13) by \hat{y}_1^i , \hat{y}_2^i , and integrating them on Q ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q \varphi_1^i \cdot \hat{y}_{1,t}^i + \varphi_1^i \cdot \Delta^2 \hat{y}_1^i + a_{11} \varphi_1^i \hat{y}_1^i + a_{21} \varphi_2^i \hat{y}_1^i \, dxdt &= \int_Q \alpha_i (y_1 - y_{1,d}^i) \cdot \hat{y}_1^i \chi_{O_{i,d}} \, dxdt, \\ \int_Q \varphi_2^i \cdot \hat{y}_{2,t}^i + \varphi_2^i \cdot \Delta^2 \hat{y}_2^i + a_{12} \varphi_1^i \hat{y}_2^i + a_{22} \varphi_2^i \hat{y}_2^i \, dxdt &= \int_Q \alpha_i (y_2 - y_{2,d}^i) \cdot \hat{y}_2^i \chi_{O_{i,d}} \, dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

By the first and second equations of (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q \varphi_1^i (\hat{h}_i \chi_{\omega_i} - a_{12} \hat{y}_2^i) + a_{21} \varphi_2^i \hat{y}_1^i \, dxdt &= \int_Q \alpha_i (y_1 - y_{1,d}^i) \cdot \hat{y}_1^i \chi_{O_{i,d}} \, dxdt, \\ \int_Q \varphi_2^i (-a_{21} \hat{y}_1^i) + a_{12} \varphi_1^i \hat{y}_2^i \, dxdt &= \int_Q \alpha_i (y_2 - y_{2,d}^i) \cdot \hat{y}_2^i \chi_{O_{i,d}} \, dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

Adding up the above expressions, we have

$$\int_Q \varphi_1^i \hat{h}_i \chi_{\omega_i} \, dxdt = \alpha_i \int_{O_{i,d} \times (0, T)} (y_1 - y_{1,d}^i) \cdot \hat{y}_1^i + (y_2 - y_{2,d}^i) \cdot \hat{y}_2^i \, dxdt. \quad (2.14)$$

Combining this with (2.10), we find that

$$\mu_i \int_{\omega_i \times (0, T)} \rho_*^2 \bar{h}_i \hat{h}_i \, dxdt + \int_{\omega_i \times (0, T)} \varphi_1^i \hat{h}_i \, dxdt = 0, \quad \forall \hat{h}_i \in H_i, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

This implies

$$\mu_i \rho_*^2 \bar{h}_i + \varphi_1^i \chi_{\omega_i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

which completes the proof. \square

3 Reduction of the null controllability problem

By Proposition 2.1, we know that, for any control function g , there exists a unique Nash equilibrium pair (\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2) . Moreover, the solution of system (1.1) corresponding to the above control g and (\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2) is also the solution of (2.12). Therefore, the null controllability of the system (1.1) can be translated into finding a control $\bar{g} \in L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$ such that the solution to (2.12) satisfies

$$y_1(\cdot, T; \bar{g}) = 0, \quad y_2(\cdot, T; \bar{g}) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$J(\bar{g}) = \min_g J(g), \quad \forall g \in L^2(\omega \times (0, T)). \quad (3.2)$$

We consider the following adjoint equations of (2.12):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -\psi_{1,t} + \Delta^2 \psi_1 + a_{11} \psi_1 + a_{21} \psi_2 = \alpha_1 \gamma_1^1 \chi_{O_{1,d}} + \alpha_2 \gamma_1^2 \chi_{O_{2,d}} & \text{in } Q, \\ -\psi_{2,t} + \Delta^2 \psi_2 + a_{12} \psi_1 + a_{22} \psi_2 = \alpha_1 \gamma_2^1 \chi_{O_{1,d}} + \alpha_2 \gamma_2^2 \chi_{O_{2,d}} & \text{in } Q, \\ \gamma_{1,t}^i + \Delta^2 \gamma_1^i + a_{11} \gamma_1^i + a_{12} \gamma_2^i = -\frac{1}{\mu_i} \rho_*^{-2} \psi_1 \chi_{\omega_i} & \text{in } Q, \\ \gamma_{2,t}^i + \Delta^2 \gamma_2^i + a_{21} \gamma_1^i + a_{22} \gamma_2^i = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ \psi_j = \Delta \psi_j = 0, \quad \gamma_j^i = \Delta \gamma_j^i = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \quad j = 1, 2, \\ \psi_j(x, T) = \psi_j^T, \quad \gamma_j^i(x, 0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \quad j = 1, 2, \end{array} \right. \quad (3.3)$$

where $\psi^T = (\psi_1^T, \psi_2^T) \in L^2(\Omega)^2$. Assume that $O_{1,d} = O_{2,d} = O_d$, then (3.3) can be simplified as follows

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -\psi_{1,t} + \Delta^2 \psi_1 + a_{11} \psi_1 + a_{21} \psi_2 = (\alpha_1 \gamma_1^1 + \alpha_2 \gamma_1^2) \chi_{O_d} & \text{in } Q, \\ -\psi_{2,t} + \Delta^2 \psi_2 + a_{12} \psi_1 + a_{22} \psi_2 = (\alpha_1 \gamma_2^1 + \alpha_2 \gamma_2^2) \chi_{O_d} & \text{in } Q, \\ \gamma_{1,t}^i + \Delta^2 \gamma_1^i + a_{11} \gamma_1^i + a_{12} \gamma_2^i = -\frac{1}{\mu_i} \rho_*^{-2} \psi_1 \chi_{\omega_i} & \text{in } Q, \\ \gamma_{2,t}^i + \Delta^2 \gamma_2^i + a_{21} \gamma_1^i + a_{22} \gamma_2^i = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ \psi_j = \Delta \psi_j = 0, \quad \gamma_j^i = \Delta \gamma_j^i = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \quad j = 1, 2, \\ \psi_j(x, T) = \psi_j^T, \quad \gamma_j^i(x, 0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \quad j = 1, 2. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.4)$$

By the duality theory, we can transform the null controllability of the system (2.12) with respect to y into the following observability inequality.

Proposition 3.1 *If there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the solutions ψ and $\gamma^i = (\gamma_1^i, \gamma_2^i)$ ($i = 1, 2$) of (3.4) satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\psi_1(x, 0)|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\psi_2(x, 0)|^2 dx + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_Q |\gamma_1^i|^2 dxdt + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_Q |\gamma_2^i|^2 dxdt \\ & \leq \tilde{C} \int_{\omega \times (0, T)} |\psi_1|^2 dxdt, \quad \forall \psi^T \in L^2(\Omega)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

then, the solutions of (2.12) satisfy (3.1) and (3.2).

Proof. For any $\psi^T \in L^2(\Omega)^2$, we construct the following functional

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}(\psi^T) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega \times (0, T)} |\psi_1|^2 dxdt + \int_{\Omega} y_1^0 \psi_1(x, 0) dx + \int_{\Omega} y_2^0 \psi_2(x, 0) dx \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{O_d \times (0, T)} \alpha_i \gamma_1^i y_{1,d}^i dxdt - \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{O_d \times (0, T)} \alpha_i \gamma_2^i y_{2,d}^i dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that \tilde{F} is continuous and strictly convex. Next, we prove that \tilde{F} is coercive.

By Cauchy inequality with ε , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}(\psi^T) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega \times (0,T)} |\psi_1|^2 dxdt \\ &\quad - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left[\int_{\Omega} |\psi_1(x,0)|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\psi_2(x,0)|^2 dx + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{O_d \times (0,T)} |\gamma_1^i|^2 dxdt + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{O_d \times (0,T)} |\gamma_2^i|^2 dxdt \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \left[\int_{\Omega} |y_1^0|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |y_2^0|^2 dx + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{O_d \times (0,T)} \alpha_i^2 |y_{1,d}^i|^2 dxdt + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{O_d \times (0,T)} \alpha_i^2 |y_{2,d}^i|^2 dxdt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.1), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}(\psi^T) &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon \tilde{C}}{2} \right) \int_{\omega \times (0,T)} |\psi_1|^2 dxdt \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \left[\int_{\Omega} |y_1^0|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |y_2^0|^2 dx + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{O_d \times (0,T)} \alpha_i^2 |y_{1,d}^i|^2 dxdt + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{O_d \times (0,T)} \alpha_i^2 |y_{2,d}^i|^2 dxdt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Take $\varepsilon = 1/2\tilde{C}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}(\psi^T) &\geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\omega \times (0,T)} |\psi_1|^2 dxdt \\ &\quad - \tilde{C} \left[\int_{\Omega} |y_1^0|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |y_2^0|^2 dx + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{O_d \times (0,T)} \alpha_i^2 |y_{1,d}^i|^2 dxdt + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{O_d \times (0,T)} \alpha_i^2 |y_{2,d}^i|^2 dxdt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (1.8) with the above inequality we get that \tilde{F} is coercive. Therefore, there exists a minimizer $\hat{\psi}^T$ such that $\tilde{F}(\hat{\psi}^T) = \min_{\psi^T \in L^2(\Omega)^2} \tilde{F}(\psi^T)$. Denote by $\hat{\psi} = (\hat{\psi}_1, \hat{\psi}_2)$ and $\hat{\gamma}^i = (\hat{\gamma}_1^i, \hat{\gamma}_2^i)$ the solutions of (3.4) corresponding to $\hat{\psi}^T$, and denote by ψ and $\gamma^i = (\gamma_1^i, \gamma_2^i)$ the solutions of (3.4) corresponding to $\psi^T \in L^2(\Omega)^2$. Since (3.4) is a linear system, it follows that

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tilde{F}(\hat{\psi}^T + \beta \psi^T) - \tilde{F}(\hat{\psi}^T)}{\beta} = 0.$$

By calculation, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\omega \times (0,T)} \hat{\psi}_1 \psi_1 dxdt - \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{O_d \times (0,T)} \alpha_i \hat{\gamma}_1^i y_{1,d}^i dxdt - \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{O_d \times (0,T)} \alpha_i \hat{\gamma}_2^i y_{2,d}^i dxdt \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} y_1^0 \psi_1(x,0) dx + \int_{\Omega} y_2^0 \psi_2(x,0) dx = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

On the other hand, multiplying both sides of the first four equations of (2.12) by $\psi_1, \psi_2, \gamma_1^i$ and γ_2^i , respectively, and integrating them on Q , by (2.12) and (3.3), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_Q [(\alpha_1 \gamma_1^1 \chi_{O_{1,d}} + \alpha_2 \gamma_1^2 \chi_{O_{2,d}}) \cdot y_1 + (\alpha_1 \gamma_2^1 \chi_{O_{1,d}} + \alpha_2 \gamma_2^2 \chi_{O_{2,d}}) \cdot y_2] dxdt \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} y_1 \psi_1 dx \Big|_0^T + \int_{\Omega} y_2 \psi_2 dx \Big|_0^T \\ &= \int_Q (g \chi_{\omega} - \frac{1}{\mu_1} \rho_*^{-2} \varphi_1^1 \chi_{\omega_1} - \frac{1}{\mu_2} \rho_*^{-2} \varphi_1^2 \chi_{\omega_2}) \cdot \psi_1 dxdt, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

$$\int_Q \left(-\frac{1}{\mu_i} \rho_*^{-2} \varphi_1^i \psi_1 \chi_{\omega_i} \right) dxdt = \int_Q [\alpha_i (y_1 - y_{1,d}^i) \gamma_1^i \chi_{O_{i,d}} + \alpha_i (y_2 - y_{2,d}^i) \gamma_2^i \chi_{O_{i,d}}] dxdt. \quad (3.8)$$

By (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\omega \times (0,T)} g \psi_1 dxdt - \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{O_{i,d} \times (0,T)} \alpha_i \gamma_1^i y_{1,d}^i dxdt - \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{O_{i,d} \times (0,T)} \alpha_i \gamma_2^i y_{2,d}^i dxdt \\ &= \int_{\Omega} y_1 \psi_1 dx \Big|_0^T + \int_{\Omega} y_2 \psi_2 dx \Big|_0^T. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that, if (3.1) holds, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\omega \times (0,T)} g \psi_1 dxdt - \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{O_{i,d} \times (0,T)} \alpha_i \gamma_1^i y_{1,d}^i dxdt - \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{O_{i,d} \times (0,T)} \alpha_i \gamma_2^i y_{2,d}^i dxdt \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} y_1^0 \psi_1(x, 0) dx + \int_{\Omega} y_2^0 \psi_2(x, 0) dx = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Combining (3.6) with (3.9), we know that the control can be taken as $\bar{g} = \hat{\psi}_1 \chi_{\omega}$, making (3.1) and (3.2) hold. \square

4 Carleman estimate of the coupled fourth order parabolic system

In order to establish the Carleman estimate of the coupled fourth order parabolic system, we need to introduce some weight functions:

$$\sigma(x, t) = \frac{e^{4\lambda \|\eta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}} - e^{\lambda(2\|\eta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \eta(x))}}{t^{1/2}(T-t)^{1/2}}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\tau(x, t) = \frac{e^{\lambda(2\|\eta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \eta(x))}}{t^{1/2}(T-t)^{1/2}}. \quad (4.2)$$

Here $\eta \in C^4(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfies

$$\eta|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad |\nabla\eta| \geq C_0 > 0 \text{ in } \Omega \setminus \bar{\omega}', \quad \omega' \subset \omega. \quad (4.3)$$

Set

$$\sigma^*(t) = \max_{\bar{\Omega}} \sigma(x, t), \quad \rho_*(t) = e^{\frac{s\sigma^*}{2}}. \quad (4.4)$$

We recall a known global Carleman estimate for a single fourth-order parabolic equation.

Lemma 4.1 ^[10] *There exist constants $C > 0$ and $s_0, \lambda_0 \geq 1$, such that for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, $s \geq s_0(T^{1/2} + T)$ and any solution φ of*

$$\begin{cases} -\varphi_t + \Delta^2 \varphi = f & \text{in } Q, \\ \varphi = \Delta \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ \varphi(x, T) = \varphi_T(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_Q e^{-2s\sigma} \left[\lambda^8 (s\tau)^6 |\varphi|^2 + \lambda^6 (s\tau)^4 |\nabla\varphi|^2 + \lambda^4 (s\tau)^3 |\Delta\varphi|^2 + \lambda^4 (s\tau)^2 |\nabla^2\varphi|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \lambda^2 (s\tau) |\nabla\Delta\varphi|^2 + s^{-1}\tau^{-1} (|\varphi_t|^2 + |\Delta^2\varphi|^2) \right] dxdt \\
& \leq C_0 \left(\lambda^8 \int_{\omega \times (0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^7 |\varphi|^2 dxdt + \int_Q e^{-2s\sigma} |f|^2 dxdt \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where $\varphi_T \in L^2(\Omega)$, $f \in L^2(Q)$.

Let $\theta_j = \alpha_1 \gamma_j^1 + \alpha_2 \gamma_j^2$ ($j = 1, 2$). Then (3.4) can be simplified as follows:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
-\psi_{1,t} + \Delta^2\psi_1 + a_{11}\psi_1 + a_{21}\psi_2 = \theta_1 \chi_{O_d} & \text{in } Q, \\
-\psi_{2,t} + \Delta^2\psi_2 + a_{12}\psi_1 + a_{22}\psi_2 = \theta_2 \chi_{O_d} & \text{in } Q, \\
\theta_{1,t} + \Delta^2\theta_1 + a_{11}\theta_1 + a_{12}\theta_2 = -\rho_*^{-2} \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\mu_1} \chi_{\omega_1} + \frac{\alpha_2}{\mu_2} \chi_{\omega_2} \right) \psi_1 & \text{in } Q, \\
\theta_{2,t} + \Delta^2\theta_2 + a_{21}\theta_1 + a_{22}\theta_2 = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\
\psi_j = \Delta\psi_j = 0, \theta_j = \Delta\theta_j = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, j = 1, 2, \\
\psi_j(x, T) = \psi_j^T, \theta_j(x, 0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, j = 1, 2,
\end{array} \right. \tag{4.7}$$

where $\psi_j^T \in L^2(\Omega)$, $j = 1, 2$.

In what follows, we put

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\varphi) := & \int_Q e^{-2s\sigma} \left[\lambda^8 (s\tau)^6 |\varphi|^2 + \lambda^6 (s\tau)^4 |\nabla\varphi|^2 + \lambda^4 (s\tau)^3 |\Delta\varphi|^2 + \lambda^4 (s\tau)^2 |\nabla^2\varphi|^2 \right. \\
& \left. + \lambda^2 (s\tau) |\nabla\Delta\varphi|^2 + s^{-1}\tau^{-1} (|\varphi_t|^2 + |\Delta^2\varphi|^2) \right] dxdt,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\rho_0 = \min_{t \in [0, T]} \rho_*(t), \text{ where } \rho_*(t) \text{ is given in (4.4)}. \tag{4.8}$$

We derive the following Carleman estimate for (4.7) in this section.

Proposition 4.1 *Suppose that $O_d \cap \omega \neq \emptyset$, μ_i are sufficiently large and $a_{21} \geq a_0 > 0$ or $-a_{21} \geq a_0 > 0$ in $(O_d \cap \omega) \times (0, T)$. Then there exist constants $C_1 > 0$ and $\lambda_1, s_1 \geq 1$, such that for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_1, s \geq s_1$, the solution of system (4.7) satisfies*

$$I(\psi_1) + I(\psi_2) + I(\theta_1) + I(\theta_2) \leq C_1 \lambda^{24} \int_{\omega \times (0, T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{34} |\psi_1|^2 dxdt, \tag{4.9}$$

where $C_1 = C_1(\Omega, \omega, \rho_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \mu_1, \mu_2)$.

Proof. Since $O_d \cap \omega \neq \emptyset$, we choose a nonempty open set ω' satisfying $\overline{\omega'} \subset O_d \cap \omega$.

First, applying Lemma 4.1 to the solutions ψ_j and θ_j of (4.7), respectively, we obtain

$$I(\psi_1) \leq C_0 \left(\lambda^8 \int_{\omega' \times (0, T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^7 |\psi_1|^2 dxdt + \int_Q e^{-2s\sigma} |\theta_1 \chi_{O_d} - a_{11}\psi_1 - a_{21}\psi_2|^2 dxdt \right),$$

$$I(\psi_2) \leq C_0 \left(\lambda^8 \int_{\omega' \times (0, T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^7 |\psi_2|^2 dxdt + \int_Q e^{-2s\sigma} |\theta_2 \chi_{O_d} - a_{12}\psi_1 - a_{22}\psi_2|^2 dxdt \right),$$

$$I(\theta_1) \leq C_0 \left(\lambda^8 \int_{\omega' \times (0, T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^7 |\theta_1|^2 dxdt + \int_Q e^{-2s\sigma} \left| -\rho_*^{-2} \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\mu_1} \chi_{\omega_1} + \frac{\alpha_2}{\mu_2} \chi_{\omega_2} \right) \psi_1 - a_{11}\theta_1 - a_{12}\theta_2 \right|^2 dxdt \right),$$

and

$$I(\theta_2) \leq C_0 \left(\lambda^8 \int_{\omega' \times (0, T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^7 |\theta_2|^2 dxdt + \int_Q e^{-2s\sigma} \left| -a_{21}\theta_1 - a_{22}\theta_2 \right|^2 dxdt \right).$$

Taking a sufficiently large s , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & I(\psi_1) + I(\psi_2) + I(\theta_1) + I(\theta_2) \\ & \leq C_0 \lambda^8 \int_{\omega' \times (0, T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^7 (|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2 + |\theta_1|^2 + |\theta_2|^2) dxdt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Next, we estimate the last two terms in the right-hand side of (4.10). Choose a nonempty set ω'' satisfying $\overline{\omega'} \subset \omega'' \subset O_d \cap \omega$, and take a cut-off function $\xi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$ in \mathbb{R}^N , $\xi = 1$ in ω' , $\text{supp}\xi \subseteq \omega''$, and

$$\frac{\nabla \xi}{\xi^{1/2}} \in L^\infty(\Omega)^N, \quad \frac{\Delta \xi}{\xi^{1/2}} \in L^\infty(\Omega), \quad \frac{\nabla^2 \xi}{\xi^{1/2}} \in L^\infty(\Omega)^{N^2}, \quad \frac{\nabla \Delta \xi}{\xi^{1/2}} \in L^\infty(\Omega)^N, \quad \frac{\Delta^2 \xi}{\xi^{1/2}} \in L^\infty(\Omega).$$

We define $\delta = e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^7$. By (4.7) and $\xi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, $\nabla \xi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, $\delta|_{t=0} = 0$, $\delta|_{t=T} = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & C_0 \lambda^8 \int_{\omega' \times (0, T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^7 |\theta_1|^2 dxdt + C_0 \lambda^8 \int_{\omega' \times (0, T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^7 |\theta_2|^2 dxdt \\ & \leq C_0 \lambda^8 \int_{O_d \times (0, T)} \delta \xi |\theta_1|^2 dxdt + C_0 \lambda^8 \int_{O_d \times (0, T)} \delta \xi |\theta_2|^2 dxdt \\ & = C_0 \lambda^8 \int_{O_d \times (0, T)} \delta \xi \theta_1 (-\psi_{1,t} + \Delta^2 \psi_1 + a_{11}\psi_1 + a_{21}\psi_2) dxdt \\ & \quad + C_0 \lambda^8 \int_{O_d \times (0, T)} \delta \xi \theta_2 (-\psi_{2,t} + \Delta^2 \psi_2 + a_{12}\psi_1 + a_{22}\psi_2) dxdt \\ & = C_0 \lambda^8 \int_Q [\psi_1 (\delta \xi \theta_1)_t + \psi_1 \Delta^2 (\delta \xi \theta_1) + \psi_1 \delta \xi a_{11} \theta_1 + \psi_2 \delta \xi a_{21} \theta_1] dxdt \\ & \quad + C_0 \lambda^8 \int_Q [\psi_2 (\delta \xi \theta_2)_t + \psi_2 \Delta^2 (\delta \xi \theta_2) + \psi_1 \delta \xi a_{12} \theta_2 + \psi_2 \delta \xi a_{22} \theta_2] dxdt \\ & = C_0 \lambda^8 \int_Q \psi_1 \delta \xi (\theta_{1,t} + \Delta^2 \theta_1 + a_{11} \theta_1 + a_{12} \theta_2) + \psi_2 \delta \xi (\theta_{2,t} + \Delta^2 \theta_2 + a_{21} \theta_1 + a_{22} \theta_2) dxdt \\ & \quad + C_0 \lambda^8 \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_Q \psi_j [(\delta \xi)_t \theta_j + \Delta^2 (\delta \xi) \theta_j + 4 \nabla \Delta (\delta \xi) \cdot \nabla \theta_j + 2 \Delta (\delta \xi) \cdot \Delta \theta_j + 2 \nabla^2 (\delta \xi) \cdot \Delta \theta_j \\ & \quad + 2 \Delta (\delta \xi) \cdot \nabla^2 \theta_j + 4 \nabla (\delta \xi) \cdot \nabla \Delta \theta_j] dxdt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

On the one hand, it is calculated by (4.1) and (4.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_t| & \leq C e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{10}, \quad |\nabla \delta| \leq C \lambda e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^8, \quad |\Delta \delta| \leq C \lambda^2 e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^9, \\ |\nabla^2 \delta| & \leq C \lambda^2 e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^9, \quad |\nabla \Delta \delta| \leq C \lambda^3 e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{10}, \quad |\Delta^2 \delta| \leq C \lambda^4 e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{11}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

On the other hand, we obtain from (4.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &:= \int_Q \psi_1 \delta \xi (\theta_{1,t} + \Delta^2 \theta_1 + a_{11} \theta_1 + a_{12} \theta_2) \, dxdt \\
&= \int_Q \psi_1 \delta \xi \rho_*^{-2} \left(-\frac{\alpha_1}{\mu_1} \chi_{\omega_1} - \frac{\alpha_2}{\mu_2} \chi_{\omega_2} \right) \psi_1 \, dxdt \\
&\leq C_1 \int_{\omega'' \times (0,T)} \psi_1^2 \delta \, dxdt = C_1 \int_{\omega'' \times (0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^7 |\psi_1|^2 \, dxdt,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$I_2 := \int_Q \psi_2 \delta \xi (\theta_{2,t} + \Delta^2 \theta_2 + a_{21} \theta_1 + a_{22} \theta_2) \, dxdt = 0.$$

Further, by (4.12) and Hölder's inequality, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &:= \int_Q \psi_j (\delta \xi)_t \theta_j \, dxdt = \int_Q \psi_j \theta_j \xi \delta_t \, dxdt \\
&\leq \int_{\omega'' \times (0,T)} \psi_j \theta_j \delta_t \, dxdt \leq C \int_{\omega'' \times (0,T)} \psi_j \theta_j e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{10} \, dxdt \\
&\leq \frac{C}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\omega'' \times (0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{14} |\psi_j|^2 \, dxdt + \frac{C\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\omega'' \times (0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^6 |\theta_j|^2 \, dxdt,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 &:= \int_Q \psi_j \theta_j \Delta^2 (\delta \xi) \, dxdt \\
&= \int_Q \psi_j \theta_j (\Delta^2 \delta \cdot \xi + 4\nabla \Delta \delta \cdot \nabla \xi + 2\Delta \delta \cdot \Delta \xi + 2\nabla^2 \delta \cdot \Delta \xi + 2\Delta \delta \cdot \nabla^2 \xi \\
&\quad + 4\nabla \delta \cdot \nabla \Delta \xi + \delta \cdot \Delta^2 \xi) \, dxdt \\
&= \int_Q \psi_j \theta_j (\Delta^2 \delta \cdot \xi + 4\nabla \Delta \delta \cdot \frac{\nabla \xi}{\xi^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot \xi^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2\Delta \delta \cdot \frac{\Delta \xi}{\xi^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot \xi^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2\nabla^2 \delta \cdot \frac{\Delta \xi}{\xi^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot \xi^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + 2\Delta \delta \cdot \frac{\nabla^2 \xi}{\xi^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot \xi^{\frac{1}{2}} + 4\nabla \delta \cdot \frac{\nabla \Delta \xi}{\xi^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot \xi^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta \cdot \frac{\Delta^2 \xi}{\xi^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot \xi^{\frac{1}{2}}) \, dxdt \\
&\leq C \int_{\omega'' \times (0,T)} \psi_j \theta_j (|\Delta^2 \delta| + |\nabla \Delta \delta| + |\Delta \delta| + |\nabla^2 \delta| + |\nabla \delta| + |\delta|) \, dxdt \\
&\leq \frac{\lambda^8 C}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\omega'' \times (0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{16} |\psi_j|^2 \, dxdt + \frac{C\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\omega'' \times (0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^6 |\theta_j|^2 \, dxdt,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_5 &:= \int_Q \psi_j \cdot \nabla \theta_j \cdot \nabla \Delta (\delta \xi) \, dxdt \\
&\leq \frac{\lambda^6 C}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\omega'' \times (0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{16} |\psi_j|^2 \, dxdt + \frac{C\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\omega'' \times (0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^4 |\nabla \theta_j|^2 \, dxdt,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_6 &:= \int_Q \psi_j \cdot \Delta \theta_j \cdot \Delta (\delta \xi) \, dxdt \\
&\leq \frac{\lambda^4 C}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\omega'' \times (0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{15} |\psi_j|^2 \, dxdt + \frac{C\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\omega'' \times (0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^3 |\Delta \theta_j|^2 \, dxdt,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_7 &:= \int_Q \psi_j \cdot \Delta \theta_j \cdot \nabla^2(\delta \xi) \, dxdt \\
&\leq \frac{\lambda^4 C}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\omega'' \times (0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{15} |\psi_j|^2 \, dxdt + \frac{C\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\omega'' \times (0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^3 |\Delta \theta_j|^2 \, dxdt,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_8 &:= \int_Q \psi_j \cdot \nabla^2 \theta_j \cdot \Delta(\delta \xi) \, dxdt \\
&\leq \frac{\lambda^4 C}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\omega'' \times (0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{16} |\psi_j|^2 \, dxdt + \frac{C\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\omega'' \times (0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^2 |\nabla^2 \theta_j|^2 \, dxdt,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
I_9 &:= \int_Q \psi_j \cdot \nabla \Delta \theta_j \cdot \nabla(\delta \xi) \, dxdt \\
&\leq \frac{\lambda^2 C}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\omega'' \times (0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{15} |\psi_j|^2 \, dxdt + \frac{C\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\omega'' \times (0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau) |\nabla \Delta \theta_j|^2 \, dxdt.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above inequalities of I_1 to I_9 into (4.11), by (4.10), and taking ε sufficiently small, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&I(\psi_1) + I(\psi_2) + I(\theta_1) + I(\theta_2) \\
&\leq C_1 \lambda^{16} \int_{\omega'' \times (0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{16} |\psi_1|^2 \, dxdt + C_0 \lambda^{16} \int_{\omega'' \times (0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{16} |\psi_2|^2 \, dxdt. \tag{4.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we deal with the last term in (4.13). Let $\tilde{\omega}$ be a subset of $O_d \cap \omega$, such that $\overline{\omega''} \subset \tilde{\omega} \subset O_d \cap \omega$. Take a cut-off function $\tilde{\xi} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying $0 \leq \tilde{\xi} \leq 1$, in \mathbb{R}^N , $\tilde{\xi} = 1$, in ω'' , $\text{supp} \tilde{\xi} \subseteq \tilde{\omega}$, and

$$\frac{\nabla \tilde{\xi}}{\tilde{\xi}^{1/2}} \in L^\infty(\Omega)^N, \quad \frac{\Delta \tilde{\xi}}{\tilde{\xi}^{1/2}} \in L^\infty(\Omega), \quad \frac{\nabla^2 \tilde{\xi}}{\tilde{\xi}^{1/2}} \in L^\infty(\Omega)^{N^2}, \quad \frac{\nabla \Delta \tilde{\xi}}{\tilde{\xi}^{1/2}} \in L^\infty(\Omega)^N, \quad \frac{\Delta^2 \tilde{\xi}}{\tilde{\xi}^{1/2}} \in L^\infty(\Omega).$$

Define $\tilde{\delta} = e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{16}$. Notice that $a_{21} \geq a_0 > 0$ in $(O_d \cap \omega) \times (0, T)$ and $\tilde{\xi}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, $\nabla \tilde{\xi}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, $\tilde{\delta}|_{t=0} = 0$, $\tilde{\delta}|_{t=T} = 0$. By (4.7), with the same argument as in (4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_0 \int_{\omega'' \times (0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{16} |\psi_2|^2 \, dxdt = a_0 \int_{\omega'' \times (0,T)} \tilde{\delta} \tilde{\xi} |\psi_2|^2 \, dxdt \\
&\leq \int_{\omega'' \times (0,T)} \tilde{\delta} \tilde{\xi} a_{21} |\psi_2|^2 \, dxdt \leq \int_Q \tilde{\delta} \tilde{\xi} \psi_2 (\psi_{1,t} - \Delta^2 \psi_1 - a_{11} \psi_1 + \theta_1 \chi_{O_d}) \, dxdt \tag{4.14} \\
&= \int_Q \left[-\psi_1 (\tilde{\delta} \tilde{\xi} \psi_2)_t - \psi_1 \Delta^2 (\tilde{\delta} \tilde{\xi} \psi_2) - a_{11} \tilde{\delta} \tilde{\xi} \psi_1 \psi_2 + \tilde{\delta} \tilde{\xi} \theta_1 \psi_2 \chi_{O_d} \right] \, dxdt.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{\delta}_t| &\leq C e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{19}, \quad |\nabla \tilde{\delta}| \leq C \lambda e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{17}, \quad |\Delta \tilde{\delta}| \leq C \lambda^2 e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{18}, \\
|\nabla^2 \tilde{\delta}| &\leq C \lambda^2 e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{18}, \quad |\nabla \Delta \tilde{\delta}| \leq C \lambda^3 e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{19}, \quad |\Delta^2 \tilde{\delta}| \leq C \lambda^4 e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{20}. \tag{4.15}
\end{aligned}$$

Denote by K_1 to K_4 the items in the right hand side of (4.14), respectively. By the definition of $\tilde{\xi}$,

Hölder's inequality and (4.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1 &\leq \int_Q |\psi_1(\tilde{\delta}\tilde{\xi}\psi_2)_t| dxdt = \int_Q |\psi_1\tilde{\xi}(\tilde{\delta}_t\psi_2 + \tilde{\delta}\psi_{2,t})| dxdt \\
&\leq \int_{\tilde{\omega}\times(0,T)} |\psi_1\psi_2\tilde{\delta}_t + \psi_1\psi_{2,t}\tilde{\delta}| dxdt \\
&\leq \frac{C}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\tilde{\omega}\times(0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma}(s\tau)^{33}|\psi_1|^2 dxdt + \frac{C\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\tilde{\omega}\times(0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma}(s\tau)^6|\psi_2|^2 dxdt \\
&\quad + \frac{C\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\tilde{\omega}\times(0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma}(s\tau)^{-1}|\psi_{2,t}|^2 dxdt,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
K_2 &\leq \int_Q |\psi_1\Delta^2(\tilde{\delta}\tilde{\xi}\psi_2)| dxdt \\
&= \int_Q \psi_1(\Delta^2(\tilde{\delta}\tilde{\xi}) \cdot \psi_2 + 4\nabla\Delta(\tilde{\delta}\tilde{\xi}) \cdot \nabla\psi_2 + 2\Delta(\tilde{\delta}\tilde{\xi}) \cdot \Delta\psi_2 + 2\nabla^2(\tilde{\delta}\tilde{\xi}) \cdot \Delta\psi_2 \\
&\quad + 2\Delta(\tilde{\delta}\tilde{\xi}) \cdot \nabla^2\psi_2 + 4\nabla(\tilde{\delta}\tilde{\xi}) \cdot \nabla\Delta\psi_2 + \tilde{\delta}\tilde{\xi} \cdot \Delta^2\psi_2) dxdt \\
&\leq \frac{\lambda^8 C}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\tilde{\omega}\times(0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma}(s\tau)^{34}|\psi_1|^2 dxdt + \frac{C\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\tilde{\omega}\times(0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma}(s\tau)^6|\psi_2|^2 dxdt \\
&\quad + \frac{C\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\tilde{\omega}\times(0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma}(s\tau)^4|\nabla\psi_2|^2 dxdt + \frac{C\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\tilde{\omega}\times(0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma}(s\tau)^3|\Delta\psi_2|^2 dxdt \\
&\quad + \frac{C\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\tilde{\omega}\times(0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma}(s\tau)^2|\nabla^2\psi_2|^2 dxdt + \frac{C\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\tilde{\omega}\times(0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma}(s\tau)|\nabla\Delta\psi_2|^2 dxdt \\
&\quad + \frac{C\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\tilde{\omega}\times(0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma}(s\tau)^{-1}|\Delta^2\psi_2|^2 dxdt,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
K_3 &\leq \int_Q |a_{11}\tilde{\delta}\tilde{\xi}\psi_1\psi_2| dxdt \\
&\leq \frac{C}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\tilde{\omega}\times(0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma}(s\tau)^{26}|\psi_1|^2 dxdt + \frac{C\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\tilde{\omega}\times(0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma}(s\tau)^6|\psi_2|^2 dxdt,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
K_4 &\leq \int_Q |\tilde{\delta}\tilde{\xi}\theta_1\psi_2\chi_{O_a}| dxdt \leq \int_{\tilde{\omega}\times(0,T)} |e^{-2s\sigma}(s\tau)^{16}\theta_1\psi_2| dxdt \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tilde{\omega}\times(0,T)} e^{-4s\sigma}(s\tau)^{32}|\psi_2|^2 dxdt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tilde{\omega}\times(0,T)} |\theta_1|^2 dxdt.
\end{aligned}$$

We take a sufficiently large s , such that $e^{-2s\sigma}(s\tau)^{26} < \tilde{\varepsilon} < 1$, then

$$K_4 \leq \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{2} \int_{\tilde{\omega}\times(0,T)} e^{-2s\sigma}(s\tau)^6|\psi_2|^2 dxdt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tilde{\omega}\times(0,T)} |\theta_1|^2 dxdt.$$

Similarly, the above estimates still hold when $-a_{21} \geq a_0 > 0$ in $(O_d \cap \omega) \times (0, T)$. We substitute the estimates of K_1, K_2, K_3 and K_4 into (4.14). By (4.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & I(\psi_1) + I(\psi_2) + I(\theta_1) + I(\theta_2) \\ & \leq C_1 \lambda^{24} \int_{\tilde{\omega} \times (0, T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{34} |\psi_1|^2 dxdt + C_0 \lambda^{16} \int_{\tilde{\omega} \times (0, T)} |\theta_1|^2 dxdt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

We will finally estimate the local term concerning θ_1 . By the classical energy estimates for the third and fourth equations in (4.7), we have

$$\int_Q (|\theta_1|^2 + |\theta_2|^2) dxdt \leq C_2 \left(\frac{\alpha_1^2}{\mu_1^2} + \frac{\alpha_2^2}{\mu_2^2} \right) \int_Q |\rho_*^{-2} \psi_1|^2 dxdt, \quad (4.17)$$

where $C_2 = C_2(\Omega, \omega, T, \|a_{11}\|_{L^\infty(Q)}, \|a_{12}\|_{L^\infty(Q)}, \|a_{21}\|_{L^\infty(Q)}, \|a_{22}\|_{L^\infty(Q)})$.

Since $\rho_*^{-4} \leq e^{-2s\sigma}$, take μ_1, μ_2 sufficiently large, then the right term of (4.17) can be absorbed by $I(\psi_1)$, by (4.16) and (4.17), it holds that

$$I(\psi_1) + I(\psi_2) + I(\theta_1) + I(\theta_2) \leq C_1 \lambda^{24} \int_{\tilde{\omega} \times (0, T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{34} |\psi_1|^2 dxdt, \quad (4.18)$$

then the desired estimate in Proposition 4.1 follows. \square

5 Observability of the coupled fourth order parabolic system

Proposition 5.1 *Suppose that $O_d \cap \omega \neq \emptyset$, μ_i are sufficiently large and $a_{21} \geq a_0 > 0$ or $-a_{21} \geq a_0 > 0$ in $(O_d \cap \omega) \times (0, T)$. Then there exists a positive constant \tilde{C} , such that for all $\psi^T \in L^2(\Omega)^2$, the solutions ψ and $\gamma^i = (\gamma_1^i, \gamma_2^i)$ ($i = 1, 2$) of (3.4) satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\psi_1(x, 0)|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\psi_2(x, 0)|^2 dx + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_Q |\gamma_1^i|^2 dxdt + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_Q |\gamma_2^i|^2 dxdt \\ & \leq \tilde{C} \int_{\omega \times (0, T)} |\psi_1|^2 dxdt. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{\eta} \in C^1[0, T]$ be a function satisfying:

$$0 \leq \tilde{\eta} \leq 1 \text{ in } [0, T], \quad \tilde{\eta} = 1 \text{ in } [0, T/2], \quad \tilde{\eta} = 0 \text{ in } [3T/4, T], \quad |\tilde{\eta}_t| \leq C/T.$$

Multiplying both sides of the first two equations of (4.7) by $\tilde{\eta}\psi_1$ and $\tilde{\eta}\psi_2$, respectively, and integrating them in Ω , by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta} \cdot (\psi_1^2 + \psi_2^2) dx + \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta} \cdot (|\Delta \psi_1|^2 + |\Delta \psi_2|^2) dx \\ & = - \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta} a_{11} |\psi_1|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta} a_{22} |\psi_2|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta} (a_{12} + a_{21}) \psi_1 \psi_2 dx \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta}_t (\psi_1^2 + \psi_2^2) dx + \int_{\Omega} \theta_1 \tilde{\eta} \psi_1 \chi_{O_d} dx + \int_{\Omega} \theta_2 \tilde{\eta} \psi_2 \chi_{O_d} dx \\ & \leq \|a_{11}\|_{L^\infty(Q)} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta} |\psi_1|^2 dx + \|a_{22}\|_{L^\infty(Q)} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta} |\psi_2|^2 dx \\ & \quad + \frac{\|a_{12}\|_{L^\infty(Q)} + \|a_{21}\|_{L^\infty(Q)}}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta} |\psi_1|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta} |\psi_2|^2 dx \right) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta}_t (\psi_1^2 + \psi_2^2) dx \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta} (\psi_1^2 + \psi_2^2) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta} (\theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2) dx. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta} \cdot (\psi_1^2 + \psi_2^2) dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta} \cdot (|\Delta\psi_1|^2 + |\Delta\psi_2|^2) dx \\
& \leq (1 + 2A) \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta}(\psi_1^2 + \psi_2^2) dx + \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta}(\theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2) dx - \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta}_t(\psi_1^2 + \psi_2^2) dx,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where $A := \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \|a_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(Q)}$. Multiplying both sides of (5.2) by $e^{(1+2A)t}$ and integrating it in $[0, T]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T -\frac{d}{dt} \left(e^{(1+2A)t} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta}(\psi_1^2 + \psi_2^2) dx \right) dt + 2 \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta} e^{(1+2A)t} (|\Delta\psi_1|^2 + |\Delta\psi_2|^2) dx dt \\
& \leq \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta} e^{(1+2A)t} (\theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2) dx dt - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta}_t e^{(1+2A)t} (\psi_1^2 + \psi_2^2) dx dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Noticing that

$$|\tilde{\eta}_t| \leq C/T \text{ in } [T/2, 3T/4]; \quad \tilde{\eta}_t = 0 \text{ in } [0, T/2) \cup (3T/4, T],$$

and by (5.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& -e^{(1+2A)t} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta}(\psi_1^2 + \psi_2^2) dx \Big|_0^T + 2 \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \int_{\Omega} e^{(1+2A)t} (|\Delta\psi_1|^2 + |\Delta\psi_2|^2) dx dt \\
& + 2 \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}T} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta} e^{(1+2A)t} (|\Delta\psi_1|^2 + |\Delta\psi_2|^2) dx dt \\
& \leq \int_0^{\frac{3}{4}T} \int_{\Omega} e^{(1+2A)t} (\theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2) dx dt + \frac{C}{T} \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}T} \int_{\Omega} e^{(1+2A)t} (\psi_1^2 + \psi_2^2) dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $e^{(1+2A)t}$ have lower and upper bounds in $[0, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} (|\psi_1(x, 0)|^2 + |\psi_2(x, 0)|^2) dx + \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta\psi_1|^2 + |\Delta\psi_2|^2) dx dt \\
& \leq C_2 \left(\int_0^{\frac{3}{4}T} \int_{\Omega} (\theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2) dx dt + \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}T} \int_{\Omega} (\psi_1^2 + \psi_2^2) dx dt \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

On the other hand, for any $\tau \in [0, T/2]$, we multiply both sides of (5.2) by $e^{(1+2A)t}$ and integrate it in $[\tau, T]$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\tau}^T -\frac{d}{dt} \left(e^{(1+2A)t} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta}(\psi_1^2 + \psi_2^2) dx \right) dt + 2 \int_{\tau}^T \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta} e^{(1+2A)t} (|\Delta\psi_1|^2 + |\Delta\psi_2|^2) dx dt \\
& \leq \int_{\tau}^T \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta} e^{(1+2A)t} (\theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2) dx dt - \int_{\tau}^T \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\eta}_t e^{(1+2A)t} (\psi_1^2 + \psi_2^2) dx dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Since $\tilde{\eta}(T) = 0$, $\tilde{\eta}(\tau) = 1$, and $e^{(1+2A)t}$ is bounded in $[0, T]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} (|\psi_1(x, \tau)|^2 + |\psi_2(x, \tau)|^2) dx \\
& \leq C_2 \left(\int_0^{\frac{3}{4}T} \int_{\Omega} (|\theta_1|^2 + |\theta_2|^2) dx dt + \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}T} \int_{\Omega} (|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2) dx dt \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Integrating (5.6) with respect to τ in $[0, T/2]$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \int_{\Omega} (|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2) dx dt \\ & \leq C_2 \left(\int_0^{\frac{3}{4}T} \int_{\Omega} (|\theta_1|^2 + |\theta_2|^2) dx dt + \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}T} \int_{\Omega} (|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2) dx dt \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Combining (5.4) with (5.7), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (|\psi_1(x, 0)|^2 + |\psi_2(x, 0)|^2) dx + \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \int_{\Omega} (|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2 + |\Delta\psi_1|^2 + |\Delta\psi_2|^2) dx dt \\ & \leq C_2 \left(\int_0^{\frac{3}{4}T} \int_{\Omega} (|\theta_1|^2 + |\theta_2|^2) dx dt + \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}T} \int_{\Omega} (|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2) dx dt \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Define the function

$$l(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{T}{2}, & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{T}{2}, \\ t^{\frac{1}{2}}(T-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \frac{T}{2} \leq t \leq T, \end{cases} \quad (5.9)$$

and the following associated weight functions

$$\bar{\sigma}(x, t) = \frac{e^{4\lambda\|\eta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}} - e^{\lambda(2\|\eta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \eta(x))}}{l(t)}, \quad (5.10)$$

$$\bar{\tau}(x, t) = \frac{e^{\lambda(2\|\eta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \eta(x))}}{l(t)}, \text{ where } \eta \text{ is as in (4.3)}. \quad (5.11)$$

Since $\bar{\sigma}(x, t)$ and $\bar{\tau}(x, t)$ have lower and upper bounds in $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T/2]$, similar to (5.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (|\psi_1(x, 0)|^2 + |\psi_2(x, 0)|^2) dx + \bar{I}_{[0, \frac{T}{2}]}(\psi_1) + \bar{I}_{[0, \frac{T}{2}]}(\psi_2) \\ & \leq C_2 \left(\int_0^{\frac{3}{4}T} \int_{\Omega} (|\theta_1|^2 + |\theta_2|^2) dx dt + \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}T} \int_{\Omega} (|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2) dx dt \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

where $\bar{I}_{[a, b]}(\psi) = \int_a^b \int_{\Omega} e^{-2s\bar{\sigma}}(s\bar{\tau})^6 |\psi|^2 dx dt + \int_a^b \int_{\Omega} e^{-2s\bar{\sigma}}(s\bar{\tau})^3 |\Delta\psi|^2 dx dt$.

Adding the terms $\bar{I}_{[0, \frac{T}{2}]}(\theta_1)$ and $\bar{I}_{[0, \frac{T}{2}]}(\theta_2)$ to both sides of (5.12), and noticing that $e^{-2s\bar{\sigma}}(s\bar{\tau})^6$ has a lower bound in $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T/2]$, it concludes that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (|\psi_1(x, 0)|^2 + |\psi_2(x, 0)|^2) dx + \bar{I}_{[0, \frac{T}{2}]}(\psi_1) + \bar{I}_{[0, \frac{T}{2}]}(\psi_2) + \bar{I}_{[0, \frac{T}{2}]}(\theta_1) + \bar{I}_{[0, \frac{T}{2}]}(\theta_2) \\ & \leq C_2 \left(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}T} \int_{\Omega} (|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2 + |\theta_1|^2 + |\theta_2|^2) dx dt + \bar{I}_{[0, \frac{T}{2}]}(\theta_1) + \bar{I}_{[0, \frac{T}{2}]}(\theta_2) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

In order to deal with the terms $\bar{I}_{[0, \frac{T}{2}]}(\theta_1)$ and $\bar{I}_{[0, \frac{T}{2}]}(\theta_2)$ in the right side of (5.13), we use classical energy estimate for θ_j in (4.7), then

$$\int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \int_{\Omega} (|\theta_1|^2 + |\theta_2|^2 + |\Delta\theta_1|^2 + |\Delta\theta_2|^2) dx dt \leq C_2 \left(\frac{\alpha_1^2}{\mu_1^2} + \frac{\alpha_2^2}{\mu_2^2} \right) \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \int_{\Omega} |\rho_*^{-2}\psi_1|^2 dx dt. \quad (5.14)$$

Since $e^{-2s\bar{\sigma}}(s\bar{\tau})^6$ has an upper bound in $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T/2]$, we get

$$\bar{I}_{[0, \frac{T}{2}]}(\theta_1) + \bar{I}_{[0, \frac{T}{2}]}(\theta_2) \leq C \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \int_{\Omega} (|\theta_1|^2 + |\theta_2|^2 + |\Delta\theta_1|^2 + |\Delta\theta_2|^2) dx dt.$$

By (5.14), (4.8) and the fact that $e^{-2s\bar{\sigma}}(s\bar{\tau})^6$ has a lower bound in $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T/2]$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{I}_{[0, \frac{T}{2}]}(\theta_1) + \bar{I}_{[0, \frac{T}{2}]}(\theta_2) &\leq C_2 \left(\frac{\alpha_1^2}{\mu_1^2} + \frac{\alpha_2^2}{\mu_2^2} \right) \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \int_{\Omega} |\rho_*^{-2} \psi_1|^2 dx dt \\ &\leq C_2 \rho_0^{-4} \left(\frac{\alpha_1^2}{\mu_1^2} + \frac{\alpha_2^2}{\mu_2^2} \right) \bar{I}_{[0, \frac{T}{2}]}(\psi_1). \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

Taking μ_1, μ_2 large enough, by (5.13), (5.15) and Proposition 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} (|\psi_1(x, 0)|^2 + |\psi_2(x, 0)|^2) dx + \bar{I}_{[0, \frac{T}{2}]}(\psi_1) + \bar{I}_{[0, \frac{T}{2}]}(\psi_2) + \bar{I}_{[0, \frac{T}{2}]}(\theta_1) + \bar{I}_{[0, \frac{T}{2}]}(\theta_2) \\ &\leq C_2 \int_0^{\frac{3}{4}T} \int_{\Omega} (|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2 + |\theta_1|^2 + |\theta_2|^2) dx dt + C_2 \rho_0^{-4} \left(\frac{\alpha_1^2}{\mu_1^2} + \frac{\alpha_2^2}{\mu_2^2} \right) \bar{I}_{[0, \frac{T}{2}]}(\psi_1) \\ &\leq C_2 \left(I(\psi_1) + I(\psi_2) + I(\theta_1) + I(\theta_2) \right) \\ &\leq \tilde{C} \lambda^{24} \int_{\omega \times (0, T)} e^{-2s\sigma} (s\tau)^{34} |\psi_1|^2 dx dt \leq \tilde{C} \int_{\omega \times (0, T)} |\psi_1|^2 dx dt, \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

where $\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}(\Omega, \omega, T, \|a_{11}\|_{L^\infty(Q)}, \|a_{12}\|_{L^\infty(Q)}, \|a_{21}\|_{L^\infty(Q)}, \|a_{22}\|_{L^\infty(Q)}, \rho_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \mu_1, \mu_2)$.

In addition, we see that $\bar{\sigma} = \sigma, \bar{\tau} = \tau$ in $[T/2, T]$. By Proposition 4.1, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{I}_{[\frac{T}{2}, T]}(\psi_1) + \bar{I}_{[\frac{T}{2}, T]}(\psi_2) + \bar{I}_{[\frac{T}{2}, T]}(\theta_1) + \bar{I}_{[\frac{T}{2}, T]}(\theta_2) \\ &\leq I(\psi_1) + I(\psi_2) + I(\theta_1) + I(\theta_2) \leq C_1 \int_{\omega \times (0, T)} |\psi_1|^2 dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

Combining (5.16) with (5.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} (|\psi_1(x, 0)|^2 + |\psi_2(x, 0)|^2) dx + \bar{I}_{[0, T]}(\psi_1) + \bar{I}_{[0, T]}(\psi_2) + \bar{I}_{[0, T]}(\theta_1) + \bar{I}_{[0, T]}(\theta_2) \\ &\leq \tilde{C} \int_{\omega \times (0, T)} |\psi_1|^2 dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

On the other hand, by the classical energy estimates for γ^i ($i = 1, 2$) in (3.4), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \int_Q (|\gamma_1^i|^2 + |\gamma_2^i|^2) dx dt \leq C_2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{\mu_i^2} \int_{\omega_i \times (0, T)} |\rho_*^{-2} \psi_1|^2 dx dt. \quad (5.19)$$

By (4.4), (5.18) and $\bar{\sigma} \leq \sigma \leq \sigma^*$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\omega_i \times (0, T)} |\rho_*^{-2} \psi_1|^2 dx dt \leq \int_{\omega_i \times (0, T)} e^{-2s\bar{\sigma}} |\psi_1|^2 (s\bar{\tau})^6 \frac{1}{(s\bar{\tau})^6} dx dt \\ &\leq \max_Q \left[\frac{1}{(s\bar{\tau})^6} \right] \cdot \bar{I}_{[0, T]}(\psi_1) \leq \tilde{C} \int_{\omega \times (0, T)} |\psi_1|^2 dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

Further, by (5.19) and (5.20), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \int_Q (|\gamma_1^i|^2 + |\gamma_2^i|^2) dx dt \leq \tilde{C} \int_{\omega \times (0, T)} |\psi_1|^2 dx dt. \quad (5.21)$$

Combining (5.18) with (5.21), the desired estimate (5.1) follows. \square

References

- [1] F. D. Araruna, B. S. V. Araújo, E. Fernández-Cara, *Stackelberg-Nash null controllability for some linear and semilinear degenerate parabolic equations*, Math. Control Signals Systems, 30(3): Art 14, 31pp, 2018.
- [2] F. D. Araruna, E. Fernández-Cara, S. Guerrero, M. C. Santos, *New results on the Stackelberg-Nash exact controllability of linear parabolic equations*, Systems Control Lett., 104: 78-85, 2017.
- [3] F. D. Araruna, E. Fernández-Cara, M. C. Santos, *Stackelberg-Nash exact controllability for linear and semilinear parabolic equations*, ESAIM: Control Optim. Calc. Var., 21(3): 835-856, 2015.
- [4] F. D. Araruna, E. Fernández-Cara, L. C. da Silva, *Hierarchic control for exact controllability of parabolic equations with distributed and boundary controls*, Commun. Contemp. Math., 22(7): 1950034, 41pp, 2020.
- [5] N. Carreño, E. Cerpa, A. Mercado, *Boundary controllability of a cascade system coupling fourth- and second-order parabolic equations*, Systems Control Lett., 133, 104542, 7 pp, 2019.
- [6] N. Carreño, P. Guzmán, *On the cost of null controllability of a fourth-order parabolic equation*, J. Differential Equations, 261(11): 6485–6520, 2016.
- [7] N. Carreño, M. C. Santos, *Stackelberg-Nash exact controllability for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation*, J. Differential Equations, 266(9): 6068-6108, 2019.
- [8] N. Carreño, M. C. Santos, *Stackelberg-Nash exact controllability for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation with boundary and distributed controls*, J. Differential Equations, 343: 1-63, 2023.
- [9] H.-F. Chou, Y.-J. L. Guo, *Null boundary controllability for a fourth order semilinear equation*, Taiwanese J. Math., 10(1): 251-263, 2006.
- [10] S. Guerrero, K. Kassab, *Carleman estimate and null controllability of a fourth order parabolic equation in dimension $N \geq 2$* , J. Math. Pures Appl., 121(9): 135-161, 2019.
- [11] Y.-J. L. Guo, *Null boundary controllability for a fourth order parabolic equation*, Taiwanese J. Math., 6(3): 421-431, 2002.
- [12] V. Hernández-Santamaría, L. de Teresa, A. Poznyak, *Hierarchic control for a coupled parabolic system*, Portugal. Math., 73(2): 115-137, 2016.
- [13] K. Kassab, *Null controllability of semi-linear fourth order parabolic equations*, J. Math. Pures Appl., 9(136): 279-312, 2020.
- [14] J.-L. Lions, *Hierarchic control*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci., 104(1): 295-304, 1994.
- [15] Q. Lü, Y. Wang, *Null controllability for fourth order stochastic parabolic equations*, SIAM J. Control Optim., 60(3): 1563-1590, 2022.

- [16] J. F. Nash, *Equilibrium points in n-person games*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 36(1): 48-49, 1950.
- [17] H. von Stackelberg, *Marktform und Gleichgewicht*, Springer, 1934.
- [18] B. You, F. Li, *Hierarchical exact controllability of the fourth order parabolic equations*, arXiv:2211.00428v1.
- [19] H. Yu, *Null controllability for a fourth order parabolic equation*, Sci. China Ser. F, 52(11): 2127-2132, 2009.
- [20] Z. Zhou, *Observability estimate and null controllability for one-dimensional fourth order parabolic equation*, Taiwanese J. Math., 16(6): 1991-2017, 2012.