

On a Rice theorem for dynamical properties of SFTs on groups

Nicanor Carrasco-Vargas*

Abstract

Let G be a group with undecidable domino problem, such as \mathbb{Z}^2 . We prove that all nontrivial dynamical properties for sofic G -subshifts are undecidable, that this is not true for G -SFTs, and an undecidability result for dynamical properties of G -SFTs similar to the Adian-Rabin theorem. Furthermore we prove a Rice-like result for dynamical invariants asserting that every computable real-valued invariant for G -SFTs that is monotone by disjoint unions and products is constant.

1 Introduction

There has been a recent interest in extending the study of SFTs on \mathbb{Z} to SFTs on other groups. An important obstruction that has arisen for this project is of an algorithmic nature. Indeed, many dynamical questions become undecidable when we move from \mathbb{Z} -SFTs to \mathbb{Z}^2 -SFTs. Lind [38] called this the “swamp of undecidability”. One way to better understand this swamp is to ask whether we have a result analogous to Rice’s theorem, which states that *every* nontrivial semantic property of computer programs is algorithmically undecidable [44]. Nontrivial means that some element satisfies the property and some element does not. This result has been paradigmatic in the sense that *Rice-like theorems* have been discovered in a variety of mathematical contexts [36, 20, 19, 26, 37, 22, 21, 44, 1, 43, 25, 2]. In this work we consider the following question:

Question 1.1. *Is there a Rice theorem for dynamical properties of SFTs on \mathbb{Z}^2 ? What about SFTs on other groups?*

That is, the goal of this note is converting the metaphor *swamp of undecidability* from [38] to precise mathematical statements.

Known results

These results are stated in terms of sets of tilings of \mathbb{Z}^2 . We recall that a **tile** (or Wang tile) is a unit square with colored edges, a **tileset** τ is a finite set of

*Mathematics faculty, Jagiellonian University, Kraków, Poland; nicanor.vargas@uj.edu.pl.

tiles, a **tiling** is a function $\mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \tau$ satisfying the rule that two tiles that share an edge must have the same color at that edge, and a **set of tilings** is the collection of all tilings associated to a tileset. Sets of tilings of \mathbb{Z}^2 and \mathbb{Z}^2 -SFTs are related by the fact that every set of tilings of \mathbb{Z}^2 is a \mathbb{Z}^2 -SFT and every \mathbb{Z}^2 -SFT is topologically conjugate to a set of tilings [4].

1. In [17] the authors prove the undecidability of set equality and set inclusion for sets of tilings of \mathbb{Z}^2 , and ask for a Rice theorem for tilings.
2. In [37] the authors consider properties of sets of tilings of \mathbb{Z}^2 that are preserved by “zoom”. Informally two tilesets are equivalent in this sense when the tiles from each tileset produce unique macro-tiles that satisfy the same matching rules from the other tileset. The authors prove that all nontrivial properties of sets of tilings preserved by “zoom” are undecidable.
3. In [21] the authors prove the undecidability of every property of sets of tilings of \mathbb{Z}^2 that is stable by topological conjugacy, by direct products among nonempty systems, and is not satisfied by the empty set.

Results

In this work we consider dynamical properties of SFTs, that is, properties preserved by topological conjugacy. We investigate the (un)decidability of these properties for SFTs on finitely generated groups. A first observation is that a Rice theorem is not possible in this setting: the property of having some fixed point is nontrivial and decidable from presentations (Proposition 3.1). Thus we need to add some hypotheses to the properties considered in order to prove a Rice-like theorem.

Our undecidability results are related to the domino problem, the algorithmic problem of determining whether an SFT is empty. Berger [13] proved that the domino problem is undecidable for \mathbb{Z}^d , $d \geq 2$, and this has been recently extended to a large class of finitely generated groups [5, 8, 9, 11, 14, 18, 28, 34, 40, 7]. In [9] the authors conjecture that all non virtually free groups have undecidable domino problem.

Our main result states that if G has undecidable domino problem, then all dynamical properties of G -SFTs that satisfy a mild technical condition are undecidable (Theorem 3.6). Our result covers several dynamical properties of common interest, such as transitivity, minimality, and others (see Section 3.1), and can be applied to all nontrivial dynamical properties that are preserved by topological factor maps or topological extensions (Corollary 3.7). We mention that Theorem 3.6 exhibits an analogy with the Adian-Rabin theorem for group properties [2, 43], the “Rice-like theorem in group theory”. A number of analogies have been observed between group theory and symbolic dynamics [30, 33, 29].

We also consider dynamical invariants of SFTs taking values in partially ordered sets. We show that if G has undecidable domino problem then every abstract real-valued dynamical invariant for G -SFTs that is nondcreasing by

disjoint unions and direct products must be constant (Theorem 4.1). This result covers topological entropy for amenable groups and other related invariants. We mention that for some amenable groups it is known a much stronger fact: the existence of SFTs whose entropy is a non-computable real number [27, 10, 12]. The conclusion of Theorem 4.1 is much weaker, but it is a much more general result.

We also consider the larger class of sofic subshifts. We prove that if the domino problem for G is undecidable, then a Rice theorem for dynamical properties of sofic subshift holds. That is, all nontrivial dynamical properties are undecidable (Theorem 5.1).

All our undecidability results are proved through a many-one reduction to the domino problem for G . In informal words our results show that most dynamical properties are harder than the domino problem. As in the original Rice's theorem our proofs are very short. They are based on the computability of direct products and disjoint unions at the level of presentations. In contrast, although tiles can be defined on Cayley graphs of finitely generated groups, the proofs of the results in [37, 17] are specific to \mathbb{Z}^2 , and it is unclear whether they could be generalized to a group that is not residually finite.

2 Preliminaries

We start reviewing shift spaces, see also [16]. Let G be a finitely generated group and A be a finite alphabet. We endow $A^G = \{x: G \rightarrow A\}$ with the prodiscrete topology and the continuous shift action $G \curvearrowright A^G$ defined by $(gx)(h) = x(g^{-1}h)$. A closed and shift-invariant subset of A^G is called a **subshift**. A **morphism** of subshifts $X \subset A^G$ and $Y \subset B^G$ is a map $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ that is continuous and commutes with the shift action. We call it **conjugacy** when it is bijective, **embedding** when it is injective, and **factor** when it is surjective. In the last case we also say that Y is a **factor** of X and that X **extends** Y . By the Curtis-Hedlund-Lyndon theorem ϕ is a morphism if and only if there is a finite set $D \subset G$ and a **local function** $\mu: A^D \rightarrow B$ such that $\phi(x)(g) = \mu((g^{-1}x)|_D)$ for all $g \in G$. A property of subshifts is called a **dynamical property** when it is invariant by conjugacy.

We now review subshifts of finite type (SFTs) and their presentations, see also [6, 4]. Let S be a finite and symmetric generating set for G . Given a word $w \in S^*$ we denote by \underline{w} the corresponding group element.

Definition 2.1. A **pattern presentation** is a function $p: W \rightarrow A$, where $W \subset S^*$ is a finite set of words and $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ is a finite alphabet. We say that p **appears** in $x \in A^G$ at $g \in G$ when $x(g\underline{w}) = p(w)$ for every $w \in W$. An **SFT presentation** is a tuple (A, \mathcal{F}) of a finite alphabet $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ and a finite set \mathcal{F} of pattern presentations associated to S . It determines the subshift $X_{(A, \mathcal{F})}$ of all configurations in A^G where no pattern presentation from \mathcal{F} appears. We call $X_{(A, \mathcal{F})}$ a **subshift of finite type (SFT)**. The **domino problem** $\text{DP}(G)$ is the set of all presentations (A, \mathcal{F}) such that $X_{(A, \mathcal{F})}$ is empty.

A survey on the domino problem can be found in [4]. Observe that the empty set is an SFT with our definitions. Some authors consider the empty set as a subshift [18], while others exclude it by definition [3]. Here we follow the first convention. This is a natural choice in our setting: an algorithm able to detect a particular property from G -SFT presentations should give the same output when given presentations of the empty subshift. Furthermore we can not exclude these presentations without assuming that the domino problem for G is decidable. A consequence of this convention is that a dynamical property must assign yes/no value to the empty subshift.

When we consider the decidability of a dynamical property \mathcal{P} from presentations of G -SFTs we formally refer to the set $\{(A, \mathcal{F}) \mid X_{(A, \mathcal{F})} \text{ satisfies } \mathcal{P}\}$. Our undecidability results will follow from many-one reductions of the form $\mathcal{P} \geq_m \text{DP}(G)$, but this notion is not required to understand the proofs.

3 Undecidability of dynamical properties of SFTs

In this section we study the undecidability of dynamical properties of SFTs. The following example shows that a Rice theorem fails in this setting.

Proposition 3.1. *Let G be a finitely generated group. Then the property of G -SFTs of containing a fixed point is decidable from presentations.*

Proof. Recall that a fixed point of an SFT is a configuration x such that $gx = x$ for all $g \in G$. Let (A, \mathcal{F}) be a G -SFT presentation, and for each $a \in A$ denote by $x_a: G \rightarrow A$ the configuration with constant value a . Note that a fixed point in $X_{(A, \mathcal{F})}$ is equal to x_a for some $a \in A$. Clearly a pattern presentation $p: W \rightarrow A$ appears in x_a if and only if p has constant value a , and this is a decidable property of p . Thus the following algorithm proves the statement: on input (A, \mathcal{F}) check whether for some $a \in A$ the set \mathcal{F} fails to contain a pattern presentation with constant value a . \square

In order to prove our undecidability results we need to verify the computability of direct products and disjoint unions at the level of presentations.

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a finitely generated group. There is an effective procedure which, given two presentations (A, \mathcal{F}) and (B, \mathcal{C}) of G -SFTs, outputs a presentation of a G -SFT that is topologically conjugate to the direct product $X_{(A, \mathcal{F})} \times X_{(B, \mathcal{C})}$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha: \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a computable bijection, and let $\pi_1, \pi_2: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the computable functions defined by $\pi_i(\alpha(n_1, n_2)) = n_i$, $i = 1, 2$. On input (A, \mathcal{F}) and (B, \mathcal{C}) , our algorithm starts defining the alphabet of the new SFT as $C = \{\alpha(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\} \subset \mathbb{N}$. Then we compute a set \mathcal{G} of pattern presentations as follows. For each $p: W \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{F} (resp. $p: S \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C}), we add to \mathcal{G} every function $q: W \rightarrow C$ such that $\pi_1 \circ q = p$ (resp. $\pi_2 \circ q = p$). The output is (C, \mathcal{G}) . It is clear that $X_{(C, \mathcal{G})}$ is conjugate to the direct product $X_{(A, \mathcal{F})} \times X_{(B, \mathcal{C})}$. Indeed, the map $\phi: X_{(C, \mathcal{G})} \rightarrow X_{(A, \mathcal{F})} \times X_{(B, \mathcal{C})}$ given by $\phi(x)(g) = (\pi_1(x(g)), \pi_2(y(g)))$ is a topological conjugacy. \square

The proof of the following result is very similar, and is left to the reader.

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be a finitely generated group. There is an effective procedure which, given two SFT presentations (A, \mathcal{F}) and (B, \mathcal{C}) , outputs a presentation of an SFT that is topologically conjugate to the disjoint union $X_{(A, \mathcal{F})} \sqcup X_{(B, \mathcal{C})}$.*

Remark 3.4. *According to the definitions the direct product of two SFTs is not an SFT, but a topological dynamical system that is conjugate to an SFT. We will ignore this subtlety for the sake of clarity.*

In the following definition we propose the term Berger property because of the analogy with Markov properties of finitely presented groups and the Adyan-Rabin undecidability theorem [39].

Definition 3.5. *A dynamical property \mathcal{P} of G -SFTs is called a **Berger property** if there are two G -SFTs X_- and X_+ satisfying the following:*

1. X_+ satisfies \mathcal{P} .
2. Every SFT that factors onto X_- fails to satisfy \mathcal{P} .
3. There is a morphism from X_+ to X_- .

The subshift X_+ is allowed to be empty.

The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 3.6. *Let G be a finitely generated group with undecidable domino problem. Then every Berger property of G -SFTs is undecidable.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{P} be a Berger property, and let X_+ , X_- be as in Definition 3.5. Given an SFT presentation (A, \mathcal{F}) we define Z as the disjoint union of X_+ and $X_{(A, \mathcal{F})} \times X_-$. We claim that Z has property \mathcal{P} if and only if $X_{(A, \mathcal{F})}$ is empty. Indeed, if $X_{(A, \mathcal{F})}$ is empty then Z is topologically conjugate to X_+ . If $X_{(A, \mathcal{F})}$ is nonempty, then Z factors over X_- . This follows from two facts: that for $X_{(A, \mathcal{F})}$ nonempty $X_{(A, \mathcal{F})} \times X_-$ factors over X_- , and that there is a topological morphism from X_+ to X_- . If \mathcal{P} was a decidable property, then we could decide whether $X_{(A, \mathcal{F})}$ is empty by computing a presentation for Z , which is possible thanks to Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, and then checking whether Z satisfies \mathcal{P} . This contradicts the undecidability of $\text{DP}(G)$. \square

In the language of many-one reductions we proved that a Berger property \mathcal{P} satisfies $\mathcal{P} \geq_m \text{DP}(G)$ and thus it is $\text{DP}(G)$ -hard. Note that Theorem 3.6 applies to every nontrivial property that is preserved to topological factors and is satisfied by the empty subshift. Since the negation of a property preserved to topological factors is preserved to topological extensions, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.7. *Let G be a finitely generated group with undecidable domino problem. Every nontrivial dynamical property for G -SFTs which is preserved to topological factors (resp. extensions), and which is satisfied (resp. not satisfied) by the empty subshift, is undecidable.*

The assumption on the empty subshift is necessary: the decidable property from Proposition 3.1 is preserved to factors.

3.1 Examples

Here we present some examples. We start observing that the decidability of a property could be altered if we include or exclude the empty subshift:

Example 3.8. *Let \mathcal{P} be the property of having some fixed point. We proved that \mathcal{P} is decidable in Proposition 3.1. However “ \mathcal{P} or empty” is a Berger property. It suffices to take $X_+ = \emptyset$, and X_- as a nonempty SFT having no fixed point.*

There is a simple class of properties where this situation is prevented:

Remark 3.9. *Let \mathcal{P} be a Berger property, and let X_+ and X_- as in Definition 3.5. If X_+ is nonempty, then both “ \mathcal{P} or empty” and “ \mathcal{P} and nonempty” are Berger properties. This is shown by the same pair of SFTs X_+ and X_- .*

Many commonly studied dynamical properties can be shown to satisfy this criterion, and thus they are Berger properties regardless of the value assigned to the empty subshift. Now we review a few of them.

Example 3.10. *A G -SFT is topologically transitive when it contains a configuration with dense orbit. Transitivity is a Berger property. Indeed, it suffices to choose X_+ as an SFT with exactly one configuration, and choose X_- as an SFT with two fixed points. Note that topological extensions of X_- are not transitive.*

Example 3.11. *A G -SFT is minimal if it has no proper nonempty subsystem. This is a Berger property by the same reasoning as in the previous example.*

Example 3.12. *A configuration $X \in A^G$ is called strongly aperiodic when $gx \neq x$ for every $g \in G$ different to the identity. Consider the property \mathcal{AD} of having at least strongly aperiodic configuration. This property is known as the aperiodic domino problem. The negation of \mathcal{AD} is a Berger property: it suffices to take X_+ as an SFT with only one configuration, and $X_- = \{0, 1\}^G$.*

The complexity of \mathcal{AD} for the group \mathbb{Z}^d is studied in detail in [15]. The authors prove that this problem is Π_1^0 -complete for $d = 2$ and Σ_1^1 -complete for $d \geq 4$. Its exact complexity is not known for $d = 3$. The argument presented here only shows that \mathcal{AD} is Π_1^0 -hard for $d \geq 2$.

Example 3.13. *Let $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$, $d \geq 2$. A G -SFT has topologically complete positive entropy (TCPE) when every topological factor is either a singleton with the trivial action by G , or has positive topological entropy. We claim that TCPE is a Berger property. Indeed, let X_- be the SFT $\{0, 1\}^G \cup \{2, 3\}^G$. This system fails to have TCPE because it factors onto the SFT with exactly two configurations and zero topological entropy. The same is true for all extensions of X_- . Now let $X_+ = \{0, 1\}^G$. It is well known that this system has TCPE, and thus we have proved that TCPE is a Berger property. This argument only shows that*

TCPE is Σ_1^0 -hard. The complexity of this property is studied in detail in [45], where it is shown that it is Π_1^1 -complete.

Example 3.14. Let G be amenable. The following are Berger properties for every nonempty SFT X :

- The property $\mathcal{C}(X)$ of being conjugate to X .
- The property $\mathcal{F}(X)$ of being a factor of X .
- The property $\mathcal{I}(X)$ of embedding into X .

In the three cases it suffices to let $X_+ = X$, and let X_- be the disjoint union of X with an SFT with nonzero topological entropy. Note that $\mathcal{C}(X)$ and $\mathcal{I}(X)$ are conjugacy-invariant counterparts of properties studied in [17, Section 3] (see also [32]). Admitting an embedding from X is not in general a Berger property, see Section 6.

It is natural to ask what is the complexity of the properties considered here as Theorem 3.6 only shows that Berger properties are $\text{DP}(G)$ -hard.

4 Uncomputability of dynamical invariants of SFTs

Recall that a real number x is computable when there is an algorithm providing rational approximations to x with any desired precision. We say that a real-valued dynamical invariant \mathcal{I} for G -SFTs is computable from presentations when there is an algorithm which given a presentation for X , provides rational approximations to $\mathcal{I}(X)$ with any desired precision.

The fundamental dynamical invariant for \mathbb{Z}^2 -SFTs is topological entropy. This invariant is in general not computable since there are \mathbb{Z}^2 -SFTs whose topological entropy is a non-computable number [27]. The same is true for the related invariant of entropy dimension [41, 23]. In view of these results, it is natural to ask whether some dynamical invariant of \mathbb{Z}^2 -SFTs is computable.

The main result of this section is a Rice-like theorem for all real-valued invariants satisfying mild monotony conditions:

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a finitely generated group with undecidable domino problem. Every computable dynamical invariant for G -SFTs that is nondecreasing by disjoint unions and products with nonempty systems is constant.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{I} be an invariant as in the statement, and suppose that there are two SFTs X_0 and Y_0 with $\mathcal{I}(X_0) < \mathcal{I}(Y_0)$. Let q be a rational number with $\mathcal{I}(X_0) < q < \mathcal{I}(Y_0)$. Given a possibly empty SFT X , we define Z by

$$Z = X_0 \sqcup Y_0 \times X.$$

By our assumptions on \mathcal{I} we have $\mathcal{I}(Z) < q$ when $X = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{I}(Z) > q$ when $X \neq \emptyset$. Since we can compute a presentation for Z from a presentation

for X (Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3), and thanks to our assumption on the computability of \mathcal{I} , given X we can determine in finite time whether $\mathcal{I}(Z) > q$ or $\mathcal{I}(Z) < q$. This amounts to determining whether $X = \emptyset$. This contradicts our hypothesis on $\text{DP}(G)$. Thus $\mathcal{I}(X_0) = \mathcal{I}(Y_0)$ and \mathcal{I} is constant. \square

It follows that for every amenable group with undecidable domino problem, topological entropy of SFTs is not computable from presentations. We mention that for some groups beyond \mathbb{Z}^d , $d \geq 2$ it is also known the existence of SFTs whose entropy is a non-computable real number [10, 12]. Our result has a much weaker conclusion, but its proof is remarkably simple and covers many other invariants. For instance, it covers invariants designed for zero-entropy systems that are similar to entropy dimension (see [35]). Our result also holds if the invariant is only defined for nonempty subshifts or is only assumed to be computable for nonempty subshifts:

Remark 4.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a class of G -SFTs such that (1) for every $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and nonempty SFT Y we have $X \times Y \in \mathcal{C}$, and (2) \mathcal{C} is closed by disjoint unions. Then Theorem 4.1 holds within \mathcal{C} : every dynamical invariant that is defined on \mathcal{C} , is computable in \mathcal{C} , and is monotone by products and unions on \mathcal{C} , must have constant value on \mathcal{C} . The proof follows the same argument.*

Some dynamical properties are known to imply the computability of topological entropy for \mathbb{Z}^2 -SFTs [24, 42]. The observation in the previous paragraph shows that any such property can not verify (1) and (2).

We finish this section with a result for invariants taking values on abstract partially ordered sets. This result can be applied to recursion-theoretical invariants such as the Turing degree of the language of the SFT [31].

Theorem 4.3. *Let G be a finitely generated group with undecidable domino problem. Let \mathcal{I} be a dynamical invariant for G -SFTs taking values in a partially ordered set (\mathcal{R}, \leq) , which is non-increasing by factor maps, and whose value is minimal at the empty subshift. Then for every $r \in \mathcal{R}$ the following properties of a G -SFT X are either trivial or undecidable: $\mathcal{I}(X) \geq r$, $\mathcal{I}(X) \leq r$, $\mathcal{I}(X) > r$, and $\mathcal{I}(X) < r$.*

Proof. We consider in detail the property $\mathcal{I}(X) \leq r$, the other three cases are similar. Let \mathcal{P} be the property $\mathcal{I}(X) \leq r$ and observe that this property is preserved to factors. If the empty subshift verifies \mathcal{P} then our claim follows from Corollary 3.7. If the empty subshift does not verify \mathcal{P} then this property is trivial: this follows from the transitivity of \leq , and the hypothesis that the value of \mathcal{I} is minimal at the empty subshift. \square

5 Undecidability of dynamical properties of sofic subshifts

In this section we prove that if G is a group with undecidable domino problem, then all nontrivial dynamical properties of sofic G -subshifts are undecidable from presentations.

We start by defining presentations for sofic subshifts. Let S be a finite and symmetric generating set for G , and let $\pi: S^* \rightarrow G$ be defined by $\pi(w) = \underline{w}$. A **local function presentation** is a function $\mu: A^W \rightarrow B$, where W is a finite subset of S^* and A and B are finite subsets of \mathbb{N} . The local function μ_0 presented by μ is defined as follows. We set $D = \pi(W)$, and define $\mu_0: A^D \rightarrow B$ by $\mu_0(p) = \mu(p \circ \pi)$. A **sofic G -subshift presentation** is a tuple (A, \mathcal{F}, μ, B) , where $A, B \subset \mathbb{N}$ are finite alphabets, (A, \mathcal{F}) is a G -SFT presentation, and $\mu: A^W \rightarrow B$, $W \subset S^*$ is a local function presentation. The sofic subshift associated to this presentation $Y_{(A, \mathcal{F}, \mu, B)}$ is the image of $X_{(A, \mathcal{F})}$ under the topological factor map with local function presented by μ .

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a finitely generated group with undecidable domino problem. Then all nontrivial dynamical properties of sofic G -subshifts are undecidable.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{P} be a nontrivial dynamical property of sofic subshifts. Replacing \mathcal{P} by its negation if necessary, we can assume that the empty subshift does not satisfy the property. As \mathcal{P} is nontrivial, there is a sofic subshift Y_+ satisfying \mathcal{P} . We fix this subshift for the rest of the proof. We also fix a presentation $(A_+, \mathcal{F}_+, \mu_+, B_+)$ for Y_+ , and also $W_+ \subset A^*$ with $\mu_+: A_+^{W_+} \rightarrow B_+$. We define a computable function f whose input is a presentation (A, \mathcal{F}) of a G -SFT, and whose output $f(A, \mathcal{F})$ is the presentation of a sofic G -subshift such that $Y_{f(A, \mathcal{F})}$ has property \mathcal{P} if and only if $X_{(A, \mathcal{F})}$ is nonempty. The existence of this function proves that \mathcal{P} is undecidable, as otherwise it could be used to solve the domino problem for G .

On input (A, \mathcal{F}) , the function f starts by computing a presentation (A', \mathcal{F}') , such that $X_{(A', \mathcal{F}')}$ is topologically conjugate to the direct product $X_{(A_+, \mathcal{F}_+)} \times X_{(A, \mathcal{F})}$. For this we use Lemma 3.2. Note that the alphabet A' is equal to $\{\alpha(a_+, a) \mid a_+ \in A_+, a \in A\}$, where α was defined in the proof of Lemma 3.2, and where we also fixed computable functions π_1, π_2 satisfying $\pi_i(\alpha(n_1, n_2)) = n_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Next, we define a local function presentation $\mu: A'^{W_+} \rightarrow B_+$ by $p \mapsto \mu(p) = \mu_0(\pi_2 \circ p)$. Finally, the output of the computable function f is $(A', \mathcal{F}', \mu', B_+)$. It is clear that f has the mentioned properties. \square

In the language of many one reductions we proved that every nontrivial property of sofic subshifts \mathcal{P} that is not verified by the empty subshift satisfies $\mathcal{P} \geq_m \text{DP}(G)$.

6 Further remarks

The results presented here can be used to show the undecidability of many dynamical properties of SFTs of common interest in the case of a group with undecidable domino problem. However, we consider that the frontier between decidability and undecidability is rather unclear as we know very little about the decidable region in the “swamp of undecidability”. That is, we know very few decidable dynamical properties.

A natural generalization of Proposition 3.1 is as follows. Given an SFT X , let $\mathcal{E}(X)$ be the property of admitting an embedding from X . Proposition 3.1 shows that this property is decidable when $|X| = 1$, and the proof can be easily generalized to the case where X is finite. The following question raises naturally:

Question 6.1. *Is there an infinite SFT X such that $\mathcal{E}(X)$ is decidable?*

We also mention that in this work we have focused on properties preserved by conjugacy, but most of the arguments presented here can be adapted to set properties of SFTs. In this context an analogous of $\mathcal{E}(X)$ is the property $\mathcal{C}(X)$ of containing X . It turns out that the decidability of $\mathcal{C}(X)$ admits a characterization. The following argument was communicated to us by J.Kari.

Proposition 6.2. *$\mathcal{C}(X)$ is decidable if and only if the set*

$$L(X) = \{p: W \rightarrow A \mid W \subset S^* \text{ is finite and } p \text{ appears in some } x \in X\}$$

is decidable.

Proof. Let (A, \mathcal{F}) be a presentation for X , and suppose that $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is decidable. Then for every pattern presentation p we have $p \notin L(X)$ if and only if X is contained in $X_{(A, \{p\})}$. This proves the forward implication. For the backward implication suppose that $L(X)$ is decidable, and let (B, \mathcal{G}) be an SFT presentation. It follows from the definitions that (B, \mathcal{G}) verifies $\mathcal{C}(X)$ if and only if no element from \mathcal{G} appears in $L(X)$. This is decidable by hypothesis and thus the backward implication is proved. \square

Acknowledgements

This work has benefited from helpful suggestions and remarks of different people. I thank the anonymous reviewer, C.Rojas, S. Barbieri, J. Kari, B. Hellouin de Menibus, T. Meyerovich, and C. F. Nyberg-Brodde. This work was supported by ANID 21201185, ANID/CENIA FB210017, MSCA 731143, and a grant from the Priority Research Area SciMat under the Strategic Programme Excellence Initiative at Jagiellonian University.

References

- [1] S. I. Adian and V. G. Durnev. Decision problems for groups and semi-groups. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 55(2):207, 2000.
- [2] S. I. Adyan. Algorithmic unsolvability of problems of recognition of certain properties of groups. In *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (NS)*, volume 103, pages 533–535, 1955.
- [3] K. Ali Akbar and V. Kannan. Set of periods of a subshift. *Proceedings-Mathematical Sciences*, 128(5):63, 2018.

- [4] N. Aubrun, S. Barbieri, and E. Jeandel. About the domino problem for subshifts on groups. *Sequences, groups, and number theory*, pages 331–389, 2018.
- [5] N. Aubrun, S. Barbieri, and E. Moutot. The Domino Problem is Undecidable on Surface Groups. In P. Rossmanith, P. Heggernes, and J.-P. Katoen, editors, *44th International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science (MFCS 2019)*, volume 138 of *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs)*, pages 46:1–46:14, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2019. Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik.
- [6] N. Aubrun, S. Barbieri, and M. Sablik. A notion of effectiveness for subshifts on finitely generated groups. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 661:35–55, 2017.
- [7] N. Aubrun, N. Bitar, and S. Huriot-Tattegrain. Strongly aperiodic sfts on generalized baumslag–solitar groups. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 44(5):1209–1238, 2024.
- [8] N. Aubrun and J. Kari. Tiling problems on baumslag-solitar groups. In T. Neary and M. Cook, editors, *Proceedings Machines, Computations and Universality 2013*, Zürich, Switzerland, 9/09/2013 - 11/09/2013, volume 128 of *Electronic Proceedings in Theoretical Computer Science*, pages 35–46. Open Publishing Association, 2013.
- [9] A. Ballier and M. Stein. The domino problem on groups of polynomial growth. *Groups, Geometry, and Dynamics*, 12(1):93–105, 2018.
- [10] S. Barbieri. On the entropies of subshifts of finite type on countable amenable groups. *Groups, Geometry, and Dynamics*, 15(2):607–638, 2021.
- [11] L. Bartholdi. The domino problem for hyperbolic groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.06952*, 2023.
- [12] L. Bartholdi and V. Salo. Shifts on the lamplighter group. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.14508*, 2024.
- [13] R. Berger. *The undecidability of the domino problem*. Number 66. American Mathematical Soc., 1966.
- [14] N. Bitar. Contributions to the domino problem: Seeding, recurrence and satisfiability. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.08911*, 2023.
- [15] A. Callard and B. Hellouin de Menibus. The Aperiodic Domino Problem in Higher Dimension. In P. Berenbrink and B. Monmege, editors, *39th International Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science (STACS 2022)*, volume 219 of *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs)*, pages 19:1–19:15, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2022. Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik.

- [16] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein and M. Coornaert. *Cellular automata and groups*. Springer Monogr. Math. Cham: Springer, 2nd edition edition, 2023.
- [17] J. Cervelle and B. Durand. Tilings: recursivity and regularity. *Theoretical computer science*, 310(1-3):469–477, 2004.
- [18] D. B. Cohen. The large scale geometry of strongly aperiodic subshifts of finite type. *Advances in Mathematics*, 308:599–626, 2017.
- [19] B. H. De Menibus and M. Sablik. Characterization of sets of limit measures of a cellular automaton iterated on a random configuration. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 38(2):601–650, 2018.
- [20] M. Delacourt. Rice’s theorem for μ -limit sets of cellular automata. In *International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming*, pages 89–100. Springer, 2011.
- [21] J.-C. Delvenne and V. D. Blondel. Quasi-periodic configurations and undecidable dynamics for tilings, infinite words and turing machines. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 319(1-3):127–143, 2004.
- [22] G. Gamard, P. Guillon, K. Perrot, and G. Theyssier. Rice-like theorems for automata networks. In *38th International Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science (STACS 2021)*, 2021.
- [23] S. Gangloff. Characterizing entropy dimensions of minimal multidimensional subshifts of finite type. *Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems: Series A*, 42(2), 2022.
- [24] S. Gangloff and B. H. de Menibus. Effect of quantified irreducibility on the computability of subshift entropy. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*, 39(4):1975–2000, 2019.
- [25] P. Guillon and G. Richard. Revisiting the Rice Theorem of Cellular Automata. In J.-Y. Marion and T. Schwentick, editors, *27th International Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science*, volume 5 of *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs)*, pages 441–452, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2010. Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik.
- [26] T. Hirst. A rice-style theorem for parallel automata. *Information and Computation*, 207(1):1–13, 2009.
- [27] M. Hochman and T. Meyerovitch. A characterization of the entropies of multidimensional shifts of finite type. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 2011–2038, 2010.
- [28] E. Jeandel. Translation-like actions and aperiodic subshifts on groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1508.06419*, 2015.

- [29] E. Jeandel. Computability in symbolic dynamics. In *Pursuit of the Universal: 12th Conference on Computability in Europe, CiE 2016, Paris, France, June 27-July 1, 2016, Proceedings 12*, pages 124–131. Springer, 2016.
- [30] E. Jeandel. Enumeration reducibility in closure spaces with applications to logic and algebra. In *2017 32nd Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science (LICS)*, pages 1–11. IEEE, 2017.
- [31] E. Jeandel and P. Vanier. Turing degrees of multidimensional sfts. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 505:81–92, 2013.
- [32] E. Jeandel and P. Vanier. Hardness of conjugacy, embedding and factorization of multidimensional subshifts. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 81(8):1648–1664, 2015.
- [33] E. Jeandel and P. Vanier. A characterization of subshifts with computable language. In *36th International Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science (STACS 2019)*. Schloss Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik, 2019.
- [34] E. Jeandel and P. Vanier. The undecidability of the domino problem. In *Substitution and Tiling Dynamics: Introduction to Self-inducing Structures: CIRM Jean-Morlet Chair, Fall 2017*, pages 293–357. Springer, 2020.
- [35] A. Kanigowski, A. Katok, and D. Wei. Survey on entropy-type invariants of sub-exponential growth in dynamical systems. *A Vision for Dynamics in the 21st Century: The Legacy of Anatole Katok*, pages 232–289, 2024.
- [36] J. Kari. Rice’s theorem for the limit sets of cellular automata. *Theoretical computer science*, 127(2):229–254, 1994.
- [37] G. Lafitte and M. Weiss. Computability of tilings. In *Fifth Ifip International Conference On Theoretical Computer Science–Tcs 2008*, pages 187–201. Springer, 2008.
- [38] D. Lind. Multi-dimensional symbolic dynamics. In *Symbolic dynamics and its applications. Lectures of the American Mathematical Society short course, San Diego, CA, USA, January 4–5, 2002*, pages 61–79. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2004.
- [39] R. C. Lyndon and P. E. Schupp. *Combinatorial group theory*, volume 89 of *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [40] M. Margenstern. The domino problem of the hyperbolic plane is undecidable. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 407(1-3):29–84, 2008.
- [41] T. Meyerovitch. Growth-type invariants for \mathbb{Z}^d subshifts of finite type and arithmetical classes of real numbers. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 184:567–589, 2011.

- [42] R. Pavlov and M. Schraudner. Entropies realizable by block gluing \mathbb{Z}^d shifts of finite type. *J. Anal. Math.*, 126:113–174, 2015.
- [43] M. O. Rabin. Recursive unsolvability of group theoretic problems. *Annals of Mathematics*, 67(1):172–194, 1958.
- [44] H. G. Rice. Classes of recursively enumerable sets and their decision problems. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 74:358–366, 1953.
- [45] L. Westrick. Topological completely positive entropy is no simpler in \mathbb{Z}^2 -SFTs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.11444*, 2019.