RESURF Ga₂O₃-on-SiC Field Effect Transistors for Enhanced Breakdown Voltage

Junting Chen, *Graduate Student Member, IEEE*, Junlei Zhao, Jin Wei, and Mengyuan Hua, *Member, IEEE*

*Abstract***— Heterosubstrates have been extensively studied as a method to improve the heat dissipation of Ga₂O₂ devices. In this simulation work, we propose a novel role for** p**-type available heterosubstrates, as a component of** a reduced surface field (RESURF) structure in Ga₂O₃ lat**eral field-effect transistors (FETs). The RESURF structure can eliminate the electric field crowding and contribute to higher breakdown voltage. Using SiC as an example, the designing strategy for doping concentration and dimensions of the** *p***-type region is systematically studied using TCAD modeling. To mimic realistic devices, the impacts of interface charge and binding interlayer at the Ga2O³ /SiC interface are also explored. Additionally, the feasibility of the RESURF structure for high-frequency switching operation is supported by the short time constant (**∼**0.5 ns) of charging/discharging the** *p***-SiC depletion region. This study demonstrates the great potential of utilizing the electrical properties of heat-dissipating heterosubstrates to achieve a uniform electric field distribution in Ga2O³ FETs.**

*Index Terms***— Ga2O³ , heterosubstrate, SiC, RESURF, field effect transistors**

I. INTRODUCTION

 $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ALLIUM oxide (Ga₂O₃) has attracted intensive research interests in deep-ultraviolet photodetectors [1], power ALLIUM oxide $(Ga₂O₃)$ has attracted intensive research electronics [2], [3], and radio-frequency applications [4] in recent years. With the merit of intrinsic material properties of Ga₂O₃, such as ultrawide bandgap ($E_{\rm g} \simeq 4.8 \text{ eV}$), high critical electric field ($E_{\rm crit.} \simeq 8$ MV·cm), and decent electron mobility $(\mu_{\rm n} \simeq 200 \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{V}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1})$, the Ga₂O₃-based power electronics have much higher Baliga's figure of merit (BFOM) compared to Si and other wide-bandgap semiconductors [3]. However, the intrinsically low thermal conductivity of Ga_2O_3 ($\kappa_\text{T} \simeq 0.1$ -0.3 W⋅m⁻¹⋅K⁻¹ [5]) becomes a major obstacle in the design of power electronics, because the resultant high thermal resistance and self-heating effects seriously hamper the efficiency,

Manuscript received xx Month 2023; revised xx Month 2023; accepted xx Month 2023. Date of publication xx Month 2023; date of current version xx Month 2023. The review of this article was arranged by Editor Xxxx. Yyyyy. *(Corresponding author: Mengyuan Hua.)*

Junting Chen is with the Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518055, China, and also with the Department of Electronic and Computer Engineering, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong, China.

Junlei Zhao, and Mengyuan Hua is with the Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518055, China (e-mail: huamy@sustech.edu.cn).

Jin Wei is with the Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China.

reliability, and scalability of the $Ga₂O₃$ power devices [6], and hence their competitiveness in power applications.

As a remedy for this thermal management challenge, heat dissipation of $Ga₂O₃$ -based devices can be improved by utilizing heterogeneous semiconductor substrates with higher thermal conductivity, such as sapphire [7], [8], diamond [9]– [11], GaN, and SiC. Among these heterosubstrates, SiC is an attractive choice owing to its high thermal conductivity and low cost. The thermal conductivity of SiC is 490 W⋅m⁻¹⋅K⁻¹, better than most typical semiconductor substrates (e.g., 150 W⋅m⁻¹⋅K⁻¹ for Si, 46 W⋅m⁻¹⋅K⁻¹ for sapphire, and 130 W⋅m⁻¹⋅K⁻¹ for GaN [12]). Compared with diamond, large-diameter SiC wafers can be produced at a much lower cost which can be further reduced with polycrystalline samples [13]. Heterogeneous binding of $Ga₂O₃$ on SiC substrate has been demonstrated through surface activation bonding [13], fusion bonding [12], and direct growth [14], [15]. Moreover, wafer-scale integration of hundreds-nm Ga_2O_3 thin film on SiC can be achieved with the ion-cutting technique [16].

As a result of the effectively promoted heat dissipation, $Ga₂O₃$ field-effect transistors (FETs) based on such heterosubstrates deliver improved thermal stability and suppressed selfheating effects [17], [18]. Currently, overcoming the interfacial thermal impediments of the heterogeneous integration is still an active area of research. However, the investigations are mainly focused on the thermal properties and heat dissipation, whereas the electrical properties of the heterosubstrates and their potential benefits for the power electronics have not been extensively explored.

In this work, we demonstrate the utilization of p -type doping of SiC heterosubstrate as a component of a reduced surface field (RESURF) structure to suppress electric field $(E$ -field) crowding in lateral Ga_2O_3 FETs. The E-field crowding is one of the major issues that limit the breakdown voltages (BVs) of lateral high-voltage devices. The RESURF technology is firstly developed to address this issue in silicon devices [19], and is later implemented in SiC [20], [21], and GaN devices [22], showing remarkable benefits in terms of more evenly-distributed E-field, and thus higher BVs. As for Ga_2O_3 , the lack of p -type doping techniques poses a fundamental challenge to realizing the RESURF structures. In contrast, ptype doping is readily available for SiC substrates. The upper limit of the acceptor doping concentration in SiC is as high as 10^{20} cm⁻³, with the feasibility of selective-area doping. Therefore, in addition to improving the thermal dissipation,

Fig. 1. Schematic structures and *E-*field strengths at breakdown voltages of (a,b) conventional Ga₂O₃/SiC FET; and (c,d) FET with the *n*-Ga₂O₃/*p*-SiC RESURF structures. The device with RESURF structures withstands much higher V_{DS} . In (b), the *E*-field along cutline g-g' is shown in the inset. In (d), the *E*-field along cutline g-g' and h-h' is shown in the inset. The red dots (A, B, and C) and red line (B') in (c) are potential breakdown positions, and are critical in the following discussion. The values of key simulation parameters in (a): L_{GS}, L_G, L_{GD}, t_c, t_{buf}, N_D are 2 μm, 2 μm,
10 μm, 0.2 μm, 1.5 μm, and 3×10¹⁷ cm⁻³, respectively. One respectively. The breakdown is defined as the *E*-field in the Ga₂O₃ reaches 8 MV·cm⁻¹, or the *E*-field in the SiC reaches 3 MV·cm⁻¹.

the Ga_2O_3 -on-SiC heterosubstrate also offers an excellent platform to construct RESURF structures with *n*-type $Ga₂O₃$ to achieve a more evenly distributed E-field, and thus higher BV. To guide the experimental demonstration of the RESURF structures, the impact of key parameters, such as acceptor concentration (N_A) and dimensions of the p-SiC region are studied. To mimic the realistic devices, the influences of $A1_2O_3$ binding interlayer and charges at the Ga_2O_3/SiC interface are investigated. In final, the charging/discharging rates of the p-SiC region during the fast switching transient are also investigated.

II. PROPOSAL OF RESURF STRUCTURES AND FABRICATING FEASIBILITY

As shown in Fig. [1,](#page-1-0) the device structure (Fig. [1](#page-1-0) (a)) and the E -field distribution (Fig. [1](#page-1-0) (b)) of the conventional heterosubstrate Ga_2O_3 -on-SiC FET is compared with that of a proposed selective-area p -SiC RESURF FET (Fig. [1](#page-1-0) (c) and (d)). In the conventional FET, the E-field crowds at the drain-side gate corner, and the BV of the device is 253 V. By introducing a selective-area p -SiC with optimal parameters (Fig. [1](#page-1-0) (d)), the E-field is distributed over a larger area instead of crowding at the gate corner, benefiting to a higher BV of 2055 V. It is noticed that, the RESURF structures can be combined with other techniques (e.g., field plates [23], and p -NiO [24] on the top surface) to further increase the BV of devices.

The fabrication of the proposed RESURF Ga_2O_3 -on-SiC FETs is feasible based on existing techniques. The conventional Ga_2O_3 -on-SiC FETs have been successfully fabricated [16], [25], [26]. Based on the existing devices, the fabrication of the proposed RESURF FETs requires two additional steps: (i) the selective area p-doping of the SiC substrate, and (ii) the Ohmic contact of the p -SiC. The selective-area p-doping technique is well-established and commonly used in SiC devices [27]. Moreover, the doping of the SiC substrate can be done before integrated to the Ga_2O_3 layer, so the engineering of p -SiC region is rather independent from the following fabrication of Ga_2O_3 devices. As for the Ohmic contact, forming Ohmic contact to the p -SiC needs high temperature (600-1100 $^{\circ}$ C) annealing process [28], whereas forming Ohmic contact to the $n-Ga_2O_3$ needs low temperature (400-600 °C) annealing process [29]. As a result, the Ohmic contact to the p-SiC should be formed before the formation of the Ohmic contact to the n -Ga₂O₃. Overall, utilizing the electrical properties of the heterosubstrate by the proposed RESURF structures would not add too much complexity to the device fabrication process.

III. *E*-FIELD REGULATION IN RESURF STRUCTURES

In this section, the impacts of the three key parameters, including the acceptor concentration (N_A) , the thickness (t_p) , and the length (L_p) of the p-SiC region (as illustrated in Fig. [1](#page-1-0) (c)) are systemically studied. Meanwhile, the influence of the interface charges and the Al_2O_3 interlayer at the $Ga₂O₃/SiC$ interface in practical devices are also discussed. In the following discussions, as labeled in Fig. [1](#page-1-0) (c), three critical potential breakdown positions are: (i) the gate corner at drain side (point A), (ii) the p -SiC edge at drain side (line B'), and (iii) the corner of the drain-side n^+ -Ga₂O₃ (point C). We note that the E -field at point B is very close or equal (97-100% at

Fig. 2. *E*-field strength along cutline (a) g-g' and (b) h-h' of FET with the n -Ga₂O₃/p-SiC RESURF structure with increasing N_A at V_{DS} = 800 V. Fixed $L_p = 7 \mu m$ and $t_p = 0.8 \mu m$ (c) Overall BVs depending on the N_A .

 V_{DS} =800 V) to the peak value of the E-field along line B'. Therefore, for simplicity, the E -field at point B will be used to represent that along line B'.

A. Acceptor Concentration of the p-SiC

Fig. [2](#page-2-0) (a) and (b) show the E -field distribution along the cutlines g-g' and h-h', respectively, with the different N_A in the p -SiC at a V_{DS} of 800 V. Without the RESURF structures, the E-field tends to crowd at point A, leading to low-voltage device breakdown. Low-level p -doping (N_A in range of 0.2-0.6 $\times 10^{17}$ cm⁻³) contributes negative space charge in the p -SiC to terminate a part of the E -field that originally directs to point A, and results in a fast reduction of the E-field at point A from 12.2 MV \cdot cm⁻¹ to 3.1 MV \cdot cm⁻¹. However, as a compensation, the E -field at point B increases from 0.4 MV·cm⁻¹ to 2.3 MV·cm⁻¹. With the N_A further increasing to 1.4×10^{17} cm⁻³, more holes in the *p*-SiC can further deplete the Ga_2O_3 channel. Consequently, the depletion region in the $Ga₂O₃$ extends toward drain terminal, leading to the E-field at point C increases from 0.4 $MV \cdot cm^{-1}$ to 6.9 MV \cdot cm⁻¹, and that at point B further increases to 3.2 MV \cdot cm⁻¹. Meanwhile, the E-field at point A shows less significant decrease (2.7 MV·cm⁻¹ at N_A of 1.4×10^{17} cm⁻³). Since line B' $(p-SiC)$ has a lower critical E-field

 $(3 \text{ MV} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1})$ compared to point A $(Ga_2O_3, 8 \text{ MV} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1})$, the

Fig. 3. *E*-field strength along cutline (a) g-g' and (b) h-h' of FET with the *n*-Ga₂O₃/p-SiC RESURF structure with changing t_p at V_{DS} = 800 V. Fixed $\overline{N}_A = 0.8 \times 10^{17}$ cm⁻³ and $L_p = 7$ µm. (c) Overall breakdown voltages depending on the *t*p.

breakdown point can easily transfer from point A to line B' when the $p-SiC$ starts to terminate the E-field. Fig. [2](#page-2-0) (c) shows the relationship between the overall BV and N_A . When the N_A increases from 0.2×10^{17} to 0.5×10^{17} cm⁻³, the BV increases because the E-field at point A is reduced. Starting from the N_A of 0.5×10^{17} cm⁻³, the breakdown at line B' dominates the breakdown of the devices. The BV decreases with the further increasing of N_A due to the increase of the E-field along line B'. Overall, the N_A of 0.5×10^{17} cm⁻³ is the nearly balanced value for the E -field at points A and B to simultaneously reach their critical E-fields.

B. Thickness of the p-SiC

As shown in the Fig. [3](#page-2-1) (a) and (b), the effect of t_p on the E-field distribution is similar to that of N_A . As expected, the increase of t_p firstly redirects a portion of the E-field from point A to point B, indicated as a fast reduction of E field at point A. Moreover, the further increase of t_p extends the depletion region of the $n-Ga₂O₃$ channel toward drain terminal, resulting in the larger E -field from point C to point B. Similar to the overall trend in Fig. [2](#page-2-0) (c), the BV increases with t_p increasing from 0.2 µm to 0.5 µm (Fig. [3](#page-2-1) (c)), owning to the reduction of E -field peak at point A. Then, the

Fig. 4. *E*-field strength along cutline (a) g-g' and (b) h-h' of FET with the *n*-Ga₂O₃/*p*-SiC RESURF structure with changing *L*_p at *V*_{DS} = 800 V. Fixed $N_A = 0.8 \times 10^{17}$ cm⁻³ and $t_p = 0.6$ µm. (c) Overall BVs depending on the L_p.

BV decreases with the t_p further increasing from 0.5 μ m to 1.2 µm owing to the increase of the E-field peak at point B.

Based on the analyses shown in Figs. [2](#page-2-0) and [3,](#page-2-1) we conclude that an optimal overall BV of the device can be reached by carefully engineering the N_A and t_p in the p-SiC region with respect to a balanced E -field distribution simultaneously close to the critical points of the E-field at point A in Ga_2O_3 and point B in SiC. It is worth noting that, in both Fig. [2](#page-2-0) (a) and Fig. [3](#page-2-1) (a), E-field peaks appear at point C when the N_A and t_p is very large (at N_A of 1.4×10^{17} cm⁻³ and t_p of 1.2 μ m, respectively). However, in those cases, the line B' in the SiC breakdowns before the E-field at point C reaches the critical value. As a result, the E -field at point C would not be a critical concern as long as N_A and t_p is not aggressively large.

C. Length of the p-SiC

Fig. [4](#page-3-0) (a) and (b) show the E -field distribution with different L_p . The p-SiC region with too short L_p can not sufficiently block the E -field from crowding at point A. An E -field peak of 8.5 MV \cdot cm⁻¹ can be seen at the $L_{\rm p}$ of 1 µm. On the other hand, the p-SiC should not be too close to the drain terminal, otherwise it will cause the E-field to crowd at point C. A sudden increase of the E-field peak at point C $(22 \text{ MV} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1})$ can be found when the L_p reaches 9 μ m (Fig. [4](#page-3-0) (a)). When

Fig. 5. Effects of the different types of charge at the Ga_2O_3/SiC interfaces on the BVs. Fixed $N_A = 0.6 \times 10^{17}$ cm⁻³, $t_p = 0.6$ μ m, and $L_p = 7 \mu m$.

the L_p is in a proper range (3-7 μ m in this simulation), the E-field at point A and point B decreases monotonically with L_p increase (Fig. [4](#page-3-0) (b)), because a longer p-SiC benefits to a longer depletion region in the Ga_2O_3 channel, and thus a smaller E-field at a same V_{DS} bias. To sum up, an L_p of 7 μ m is the optimal L_p in this simulation, which provides a wide depletion region in $Ga₂O₃$ channel, but does not lead to E-field crowing at point C.

D. Interface Charge

To mimic the realistic condition of device, the impact of net charges at the Ga_2O_3/SiC interface is studied. In Fig. [5,](#page-3-1) the BV with respect to the interface charge density is plotted. The BV is normalized to that of the device without interface charge. A small amount of negative charge $(2 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2})$ can act as field plates, which help blocking the point A from high E-field, thus slightly improve the breakdown voltage. As for the case where there is a large amount of negative interface charge, it is similar to the case where the L_p is very long (9 μ m in Fig. [4\)](#page-3-0). Excessive negative interface charge will cause the E-field to crowd at point C, lowering the breakdown voltage.

Positive interface charge has monotonic impact on the breakdown voltage. The positive interface charge compensates the negative space charge in the p -SiC region, and thus eliminates the improvement brought by the RESURF structures, causing the E -field to crowd at point A again. Moreover, the excessive positive interface charge can emit E-field to point A, further enhancing the E-field at point A. As a result, the BV decreases monotonically with positive interface charge. In practical applications, the interface charge at the Ga_2O_3/SiC interface should be carefully controlled.

E. Al2O³ Interlayer

An additional Al_2O_3 interlayer at the Ga_2O_3/SiC interface (Fig. [6](#page-4-0) (a)) is often adopted to improve the interface binding quality and hence the thermal dissipation [16], [26]. The E field distribution with and without the 20-nm Al_2O_3 interlayer is compared in Fig. 6 (b) (cutline g-g') and Fig. 6 (c) (cutline h-h'). The Al_2O_3 interlayer slightly weakens the impact of the

Fig. 6. (a) Schematic illustration of Al_2O_3 interlayer. *E*-field strength along the cutlines (b) g-g' and (c) h-h' of the device with and without the 20 nm Al₂O₃ interlayer. Fixed $N_A = 0.8 \times 10^{17}$ cm⁻³, $t_p = 0.8$ μm, and L_p $= 7 \mu m$. $\bar{V}_{DS} = 800 \text{ V}$.

RESURF structure, leading to a 1.7% increase of peak E-field at point A, a 0.4% decrease of peak E-field at point B, and a 67% decrease of peak E-field at point C. As points A and B are the dominate breakdown points in proper designed devices, and the influence of the Al_2O_3 interlayer at points A and B is quite small, the Al_2O_3 interlayer in the practical devices will not limit the adoption of the proposed RESURF structures.

In summary, with the preset dimensions and doping concentration of the n -Ga₂O₃ channel epilayers, the optimal BV can be obtained when the point A and the line B' reach their critical E-field simultaneously. In the example shown in Fig. [1](#page-1-0) (d), by carefully engineering the N_A and the dimensions of p-SiC, at $V_{DS} = BV = 2055$ V, the E-field at point A is 7.91 MV \cdot cm⁻¹, and that at point B is 2.99 MV \cdot cm⁻¹, indicating that point A and point B breakdown almost at the same time. Meanwhile, the interface charges should be minimized in practical fabrication process, and the binding interlayer has less impact on the BV.

IV. SWITCHING SPEED OF RESURF DEVICES

During practical switching process, V_{DS} switches between high and low values. When the V_{DS} switches to high values, holes will leave the p -SiC to establish a depletion region (Fig. [7](#page-4-1) (a)). When the V_{DS} switches to low values, holes will flow back to the p -SiC. The charging/discharging rate of the depletion region is limited by the contact resistance and sheet resistance of the p -SiC, and should be fast enough for high frequency applications. To estimate the (dis)charging time of the p -SiC's depletion region, the simulation in Fig. [7](#page-4-1) (b) is

Fig. 7. (a) Schematic illustration of the depletion region in the *p*-SiC at high *V*_{DS} bias, and its charging/discharging path. "Cap." represents the capacitor formed by the depletion region. "Res." is the equivalent resistance when charging/discharging the depletion region. (b) Illustration of the V_{DS} waveform applied in the simulation. (c) Absolute current passing through the *p*-SiC region after a sudden rise/drop of *V*_{DS}. (d) Extrapolated effective time constant (τ_{eff}) of (dis)charging the *p*-SiC region. Fixed *N*_A = 0.6×10¹⁷ cm⁻³, *t*_p = 0.6 μm, and *L*_p = 7 µm. The contact resistance of the Ohmic contact to the *p*-SiC is calibrated to 10⁻⁴ Ω·cm⁻² [28]. The hole mobility in SiC is estimated to be 100 cm² \cdot V⁻¹ \cdot s⁻¹ according to the N_A [30]. $V_{GS} = -20$ V.

conducted. First, V_{DS} is suddenly (within 0.1 ns) increased from 0 to 800 V (charging), or decreased from 800 to 0 V (discharging). Then, the current through the $p-SiC$ is monitored (Fig. [7](#page-4-1) (c)), from which the effective time constant (τ_{eff}) for charging/discharging are both estimated to be \sim 0.5 ns after a ∼0.5 ns of oscillation (Fig. [7](#page-4-1) (d)). Therefore, the (dis)charging time (usually defined at $5\tau_{\text{eff}}$) for the p-SiC's depletion region is ∼2.5 ns. The fast (dis)charging rate demonstrates the feasibility of such p-SiC RESURF structures in high frequency (MHz level) switching applications.

V. CONCLUSION

In this work, the electrical properties of heat-dissipating $Ga₂O₃$ -on-SiC heterosubstrate have been utilized to construct RESURF structures in $Ga₂O₃$ FETs. The proposed RESURF structures can evenly distribute the E-field to achieve higher BVs. With careful design of the N_A and dimensions of p -SiC, as well as eliminating the interface charges, the BV can be improved from 253 V to 2055 V with the RESURF structures. The Al_2O_3 interlayer in the existing heterosubstrate devices has minimal influence on the RESURF structures. Additionally, RESURF $Ga₂O₃$ -on-SiC FETs shows a fast (dis)charging rate for high-frequency switching applications. This study provides a demonstration of unlocking the full potential of heat-dissipating heterosubstrates by leveraging their electrical properties.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. J. Pearton, J. Yang, P. H. Cary, F. Ren, J. H.Kim, M. J. Tadjer, and M. A. Mastro, "A review of $Ga₂O₃$ materials, processing, and devices," *Appl. Phys. Rev.*, vol. 5, no. 1, p. 011301, Jan. 2018, doi[:10.1063/1.5006941.](http:///dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.5006941)
- [2] J. Zhang, P. Dong, K. Dang, Y. Zhang, Q. Yan, H. Xiang, J. Su, Z. Liu, M. Si, J. Gao, M. Kong, H. Zhou, and Y. Hao, "Ultra-wide bandgap semiconductor Ga₂O₃ power diodes," *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 3900, Jul. 2022, doi[:10.1038/s41467-022-31664-y.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-31664-y)
- [3] A. J. Green, J. Speck, G. Xing, P. Moens, F. Allerstam, K. Gumaelius, T. Neyer, Arias-PurdueAndrea, V. Mehrotra, A. Kuramata, K. Sasaki, S. Watanabe, K. Koshi, J. Blevins, O. Bierwagen, S. Krishnamoorthy, K. Leedy, A. R. Arehart, A. T. Neal, S. Mou, S. A. Ringel, A. Kumar, A. Sharma, K. Ghosh, U. Singisetti, W. Li, K. Chabak, K. Liddy, A. Islam, S. Rajan, S. Graham, S. Choi, Z. Cheng, and M. Higashiwaki, "β-gallium oxide power electronics," *APL Mater.*, vol. 10, no. 2, p. 029201, Feb. 2022, doi[:10.1063/5.0060327.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/5.0060327)
- [4] M. Singh, M. A. Casbon, M. J. Uren, J. W. Pomeroy, S. Dalcanale, S. Karboyan, P. J. Tasker, M. H. Wong, K. Sasaki, A. Kuramata, S. Yamakoshi, M. Higashiwaki, and M. Kuball, "Pulsed large signal RF performance of field-plated Ga2O³ MOSFETs," *IEEE Electron Device Lett.*, vol. 39, no. 10, pp. 1572–1575, Aug. 2018, doi[:10.1109/LED.2018.2865832.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/LED.2018.2865832)
- [5] M. D. Santia, N. Tandon, and J. D. Albrecht, "Lattice thermal conductivity in β-Ga₂O₃ from first principles," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 107, no. 4, p. 041907, Jul. 2015, doi[:10.1063/1.4927742.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4927742)
- [6] Y. Zhang, B. Wang, M. Xiao, J. Spencer, R. Zhang, J. Knoll, C. Di-Marino, G.-Q. Lu, K. Sasaki, and C. Buttay, "(Invited) How to achieve low thermal resistance and high electrothermal ruggedness in Ga2O³ devices?" *ECS Trans.*, vol. 104, no. 5, p. 21, Oct. 2021, doi[:10.1149/10405.0021ecst.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1149/10405.0021ecst)
- [7] Z. Hu, H. Zhou, K. Dang, Y. Cai, Z. Feng, Y. Gao, Q. Feng, J. Zhang, and Y. Hao, "Lateral β-Ga₂O₃ Schottky barrier diode on sapphire substrate with reverse blocking voltage of 1.7 kV," *IEEE J. Electron Devices Soc.*, vol. 6, pp. 815–820, Jul. 2018, doi[:10.1109/JEDS.2018.2853615.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/JEDS.2018.2853615)
- [8] A. Y. Polyakov, N. B. Smirnov, I. V. Shchemerov, E. B. Yakimov, V. I. Nikolaev, S. I. Stepanov, A. I. Pechnikov, A. V. Chernykh, K. D. Shcherbachev, A. S. Shikoh, A. Kochkova, A. A. Vasilev, and S. J. Pearton, "Deep trap spectra of Sn-doped α -Ga₂O₃ grown by halide vapor phase epitaxy on sapphire," *APL Mater.*, vol. 7, no. 5, p. 051103, May 2019, doi[:10.1063/1.5094787.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.5094787)
- [9] W. Wang, Q. Yuan, D. Han, J. Sun, N. Liu, S. Hu, C. Liu, W. Zhang, and J. Ye, "High-temperature deep ultraviolet photodetector based on a crystalline Ga₂O₃-diamond heterostructure," IEEE *Electron Device Lett.*, vol. 43, no. 12, pp. 2121–2124, Oct. 2022, doi[:10.1109/LED.2022.3214981.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/LED.2022.3214981)
- [10] Z. Cheng, V. D. Wheeler, T. Bai, J. Shi, M. J. Tadjer, T. Feygelson, K. D. Hobart, M. S. Goorsky, and S. Graham, "Integration of polycrystalline Ga₂O₃ on diamond for thermal management," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 116, no. 6, p. 062105, Feb. 2020, doi[:10.1063/1.5125637.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.5125637)
- [11] Z. Cheng, L. Yates, J. Shi, M. J. Tadjer, K. D. Hobart, and S. Graham, "Thermal conductance across β -Ga₂O₃-diamond van der Waals heterogeneous interfaces," *APL Mater.*, vol. 7, no. 3, p. 031118, Mar. 2019, doi[:10.1063/1.5089559.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.5089559)
- [12] Y. Song, D. Shoemaker, J. H. Leach, C. McGray, H.-L. Huang, A. Bhattacharyya, Y. Zhang, C. U. Gonzalez-Valle, T. Hess, S. Zhukovsky, K. Ferri, R. M. Lavelle, C. Perez, D. W. Snyder, J.-P. Maria, B. Ramos-Alvarado, X. Wang, S. Krishnamoorthy, J. Hwang, B. M. Foley, and S. Choi, "Ga₂O₃-on-SiC composite wafer for thermal management of ultrawide bandgap electronics," *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, vol. 13, no. 34, pp. 40 817–40 829, Aug. 2021, doi[:10.1021/acsami.1c09736.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsami.1c09736)
- [13] C.-H. Lin, N. Hatta, K. Konishi, S. Watanabe, A. Kuramata, K. Yagi, and M. Higashiwaki, "Single-crystal-Ga₂O₃/polycrystalline-SiC bonded substrate with low thermal and electrical resistances at the heterointerface," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 114, no. 3, p. 032103, Jan. 2019, doi[:10.1063/1.5051720.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.5051720)
- [14] F. Hrubišák, K. Hušeková, X. Zheng, A. Rosová, E. Dobročka, M. Ťapajna, M. Mičušík, P. Nádaždy, F. Egyenes, J. Keshtkar, E. Kováčová, J. W. Pomeroy, M. Kuball, and F. Gucmann, "Heteroepitaxial growth of $Ga₂O₃$ on 4H-SiC by liquid-injection MOCVD for improved thermal management of Ga₂O₃ power devices," *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A*, vol. 41, no. 4, p. 042708, Jun. 2023, doi[:10.1116/6.0002649.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1116/6.0002649)
- [15] N. Nepal, D. S. Katzer, B. P. Downey, V. D. Wheeler, L. O. Nyakiti, D. F. Storm, M. T. Hardy, J. A. Freitas, E. N. Jin, D. Vaca, L. Yates, S. Graham, S. Kumar, and D. J. Meyer, "Heteroepitaxial growth of β-

Ga₂O₃ films on SiC via molecular beam epitaxy," *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A*, vol. 38, no. 6, p. 063406, Oct. 2020, doi[:10.1116/6.0000452.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1116/6.0000452)

- [16] W. Xu, Y. Wang, T. You, X. Ou, G. Han, H. Hu, S. Zhang, F. Mu, T. Suga, Y. Zhang, Y. Hao, and X. Wang, "First demonstration of waferscale heterogeneous integration of Ga₂O₃ MOSFETs on SiC and Si substrates by ion-cutting process," in *2019 IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM)*, Dec. 2019, pp. 12.5.1–12.5.4, doi[:10.1109/IEDM19573.2019.8993501.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/IEDM19573.2019.8993501)
- [17] B. K. Mahajan, Y.-P. Chen, J. Noh, P. D. Ye, and M. A. Alam, "Electrothermal performance limit of β -Ga₂O₃ field-effect transistors," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 115, no. 17, p. 173508, Oct. 2019, doi[:10.1063/1.5116828.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.5116828)
- [18] S. A. O. Russell, A. Pérez-Tomás, C. F. McConville, C. A. Fisher, D. P. Hamilton, P. A. Mawby, and M. R. Jennings, "Heteroepitaxial β-Ga2O³ on 4H-SiC for an FET with reduced self heating," *IEEE J. Electron Devices Soc.*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 256–261, May 2017, doi[:10.1109/JEDS.2017.2706321.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/JEDS.2017.2706321)
- [19] J. Appels and H. Vaes, "High voltage thin layer devices (RESURF devices)," in *1979 IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM)*, Dec. 1979, pp. 238–241, doi[:10.1109/IEDM.1979.189589.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/IEDM.1979.189589)
- [20] N. Saks, S. Mani, A. Agarwal, and M. Ancona, "A 475-V high-voltage 6H-SiC lateral MOSFET," *IEEE Electron Device Lett.*, vol. 20, no. 8, pp. 431–433, Aug. 1999, doi[:10.1109/55.778167.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/55.778167)
- [21] K. Chatty, S. Banerjee, T. Chow, and R. Gutmann, "High-voltage lateral RESURF MOSFETs on 4H-SiC," *IEEE Electron Device Lett.*, vol. 21, no. 7, pp. 356–358, Jul. 2000, doi[:10.1109/55.847379.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/55.847379)
- [22] S. Karmalkar, J. Deng, and M. Shur, "Resurf AlGaN/GaN HEMT for high voltage power switching," *IEEE Electron Device Lett.*, vol. 22, no. 8, pp. 373–375, Aug. 2001, doi[:10.1109/55.936347.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/55.936347)
- [23] S. Sharma, K. Zeng, S. Saha, and U. Singisetti "Field-plated lateral $Ga₂O₃$ MOSFETs with polymer passivation and 8.03 kV breakdown voltage," *IEEE Electron Device Lett.*, vol. 41, no. 6, pp. 836–839, Apr. 2020, doi[:10.1109/LED.2020.2991146.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/LED.2020.2991146)
- [24] Y. Wang, H. Gong, X. Jia, G. Han, J. Ye, Y. Liu, H. Hu, X. Ou, X. Ma, and Y. Hao, "First Demonstration of RESURF and Superjunction β-Ga2O³ MOSFETs with *p*-NiO/*n*-Ga2O³ Junctions," in *2021 IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM)*, Dec. 2021, pp. 36.6.1– 36.6.4, doi[:10.1109/IEDM19574.2021.9720500.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/IEDM19574.2021.9720500)
- [25] Y. Song, A. Bhattacharyya, A. Karim, D. Shoemaker, H.-L. Huang, S. Roy, C. McGray, J. H. Leach, J. Hwang, S. Krishnamoorthy, and S. Choi, "Ultra-wide band gap Ga₂O₃-on-SiC MOSFETs," *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 7137–7147, Jan. 2023, doi[:10.1021/acsami.2c21048.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsami.2c21048)
- [26] Y. Wang, W. Xu, G. Han, T. You, F. Mu, H. Hu, Y. Liu, X. Zhang, H. Huang, T. Suga, X. Ou, X. Ma, and Y. Hao, "Channel properties of Ga2O3-on-SiC MOSFETs," *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, vol. 68, no. 3, pp. 1185–1189, Jan. 2021, doi[:10.1109/TED.2021.3051135.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TED.2021.3051135)
- [27] P. Godignon, F. Torregrosa, and K. Zekentes, "Chapter 3–Silicon Carbide Doping by Ion Implantation," in *Advancing Silicon Carbide Electronics Technology II*, Millersville, PA, USA: Materials Research Forum LLC, 2020, pp. 107–174, doi[:10.21741/9781644900673.](http://dx.doi.org/10.21741/9781644900673)
- [28] L. Huang, M. Xia, and X. Gu, "A critical review of theory and progress in Ohmic contacts to *p*-type SiC," *J. Cryst. Growth*, vol. 531, p. 125353, Feb. 2020, doi[:10.1016/j.jcrysgro.2019.125353.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrysgro.2019.125353)
- [29] M.-H. Lee and R. L. Peterson, "Process and characterization of Ohmic contacts for beta-phase gallium oxide," *J. Mater. Res.*, vol. 36, no. 23, pp. 4771–4789, Aug. 2021, doi[:10.1557/s43578-021-00334-y.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1557/s43578-021-00334-y)
- [30] H. Matsuura, M. Komeda, S. Kagamihara, H. Iwata, R. Ishihara, T. Hatakeyama, T. Watanabe, K. Kojima, T. Shinohe, and K. Arai, "Dependence of acceptor levels and hole mobility on acceptor density and temperature in Al-doped *p*-type 4H-SiC epilayers," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 96, no. 5, pp. 2708–2715, Sep. 2004, doi[:10.1063/1.1775298.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1775298)