

Higher bracket structure of density operators in Weyl fermion systems and topological insulators

Edwin Langmann,^{1,*} Shinsei Ryu,^{2,†} and Ken Shiozaki^{3,‡}

¹*Department of Theoretical Physics, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden*

²*Department of Physics, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA*

³*Center for Gravitational Physics and Quantum Information,*

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

(Dated: January 19, 2024)

We study the algebraic structure of electron density operators in gapless Weyl fermion systems in $d = 3, 5, 7, \dots$ spatial dimensions and in topological insulators (without any protecting symmetry) in $d = 4, 6, 8, \dots$ spatial dimensions. These systems are closely related by the celebrated bulk-boundary correspondence. Specifically, we study the higher bracket – a generalization of commutator for more than two operators – of electron density operators in these systems. For topological insulators, we show that the higher-bracket algebraic structure of density operators structurally parallels with the Girvin-MacDonald-Platzman algebra (the $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra), the algebra of electron density operators projected onto the lowest Landau level in the quantum Hall effect. By the bulk-boundary correspondence, the bulk higher-bracket structure mirrors its counterparts at the boundary. Specifically, we show that the density operators of Weyl fermion systems, once normal-ordered with respect to the ground state, their higher bracket acquires a c -number part. This part is an analog of the Schwinger term in the commutator of the fermion current operators. We further identify this part with a cyclic cocycle, which is a topological invariant and an element of Connes' noncommutative geometry.

CONTENTS

I. Introduction	2
A. Review of the density operator algebra in the QHE	2
B. Higher-dimensional generalization	4
C. Organization and summary of the paper	5
II. Second quantization, higher-brackets and noncommutative geometry	7
A. Second quantization map and normal order	7
B. Relation to non-commutative geometry	9
C. Higher brackets	10
D. Generalization to arbitrary even spacetime dimensions	13
E. Non-Abelian current algebras in even spacetime dimensions	14
III. Bulk density operator algebra	15
A. Set up	15
B. The bulk algebra in $(2+1)d$	16
C. The bulk algebra in generic even space dimensions	18
IV. The Wannier functions and the bulk-boundary relation	20
A. The bulk algebra (the GMP algebra)	20
B. The boundary algebra (the $U(1)$ Kac-Moody algebra)	21
C. Beyond the $(2+1)d$ Landau levels	23
1. $(2+1)d$ Chern insulators	23
2. The $(4+1)$ -dimensional lowest Landau level	24
V. Discussion	25
Acknowledgments	27

* langmann@kth.se

† shinseir@princeton.edu

‡ ken.shiozaki@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

I. INTRODUCTION

Topological insulators represent a class of condensed matter systems that defy conventional characterization. While fully gapped in the bulk, they support gapless excitations along their surface or edge. The key to their remarkable behavior lies in the underlying topology of the quantum states, where topological invariants dictate the emergence of robust, protected surface states immune to scattering and disorder. Examples of topological insulators include, in a broad context, the quantum Hall effect (QHE) in two spatial dimensions, and its lattice incarnation, Chern insulators, the quantum spin Hall effect, time-reversal symmetric topological insulators in three spatial dimensions, and so forth. In the last decade, topological insulators have been a central topic in condensed matter physics, and many examples have been discovered experimentally [1–3].

In this paper, we consider the algebra of electron density operators in topological band insulators in their bulk and also at their boundaries. At the single-particle level, previous works explored the higher-bracket structure of the projected electron position operators in bulk topological insulators [4–10] – see below for more descriptions of the higher bracket structure. The scope of this paper is its many-body incarnations, which may open a door into a collective description of topological insulators that may be able to incorporate interaction effects and fractional counterparts of topological insulators.

A. Review of the density operator algebra in the QHE

The motivation and the scope of the paper is best described by briefly reviewing the physics of the (2+1)d QHE.

It is well known that one of the most fundamental properties of the QHE is the non-commutativity of electron coordinates: Once the dynamics of electrons is constrained within a given (e.g., the lowest) Landau level, their position operators do not commute,

$$[X, Y] = -i\ell_0^2, \quad (1.1)$$

where X and Y are the x and y components of the single-particle (first quantized) electron coordinate operator projected onto the lowest Landau level (LLL), and ℓ_0 is the magnetic length. One can also consider arbitrary functions of electron coordinates $f(x, y)$ and their projected counterparts, $f(X, Y)$. Once properly (anti-normal) ordered, the commutator of two such operators $f(X, Y)$ and $g(X, Y)$ satisfies

$$[f(X, Y), g(X, Y)] = \{\{f, g\}\}(X, Y), \quad (1.2)$$

where $\{\{\cdot\cdot\}\}$ is the Moyal bracket defined by

$$\{\{f, g\}\} := -2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i\ell_0^2/2)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} ((\partial_x^{2n+1} f)(\partial_y^{2n+1} g) - (\partial_y^{2n+1} f)(\partial_x^{2n+1} g)).$$

Note that to the lowest order in ℓ_0 , the Moyal bracket simplifies to the Poisson bracket,

$$[f(X, Y), g(X, Y)] = -i\ell_0^2 \{f, g\}_{\text{PB}}(X, Y) + \dots, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\{f, g\}_{\text{PB}} = (\partial_x f)(\partial_y g) - (\partial_y f)(\partial_x g)$.

The coordinate non-commutativity is translated into a non-trivial algebra obeyed by the electron density operators: The function $f(x, y)$ defines a corresponding many-body (*second quantized*) operator through

$$\hat{\rho}(f) := \int_{M_2} d^2x f(x, y) \hat{\rho}(x, y), \quad (1.4)$$

where $\hat{\rho}(x, y)$ is the electron density operator projected to the lowest Landau level, and the integral is over the 2d spatial manifold M_2 that hosts the droplet of the electron liquid. $\hat{\rho}(f)$ satisfies what is known as the Girvin-MacDonald-Platzman (GMP) algebra,

$$[\hat{\rho}(f_1), \hat{\rho}(f_2)] = \hat{\rho}(\{\{f_1, f_2\}\}). \quad (1.5)$$

This density operator algebra (or its counterpart in terms of electron coordinates) is also known as the $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra or the Fairlie-Fletcher-Zachos algebra [11–18]. The GMP algebra plays a crucial role in studying, e.g., bulk charge neutral collective excitations in the fractional quantum Hall effect. (Our focus in this paper however will be edge excitations and integer filling.) By taking $f(x, y) = e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$, Eq. (1.5) reduces to an alternative, but equivalent form of the GMP algebra, written in terms of the Fourier modes of the projected density operator $\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q})$:

$$[\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_1), \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_2)] = 2i \sin((\ell_0^2/2)\mathbf{q}_1 \wedge \mathbf{q}_2) \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) \quad (1.6)$$

where $\mathbf{q} \wedge \mathbf{q}' = q_x q'_y - q_y q'_x$.¹

Let us now turn our attention to the boundary of the quantum Hall droplet. The density operators at the boundary of the droplet obey the $U(1)$ current (Kac-Moody) algebra

$$[\hat{\varrho}(f_1), \hat{\varrho}(f_2)] = \frac{-i\nu}{2\pi} \int_{\partial M_2} f_1 df_2, \quad (1.7)$$

where ν is the filling fraction, $\hat{\varrho}(f)$ is the boundary electron density operator weighted by an envelope function $f(x)$, $\hat{\varrho}(f) = \int_{\partial M_2} dx f(x) \hat{\varrho}(x)$, where x parameterizes the flat one-dimensional space ∂M_2 , the boundary of the bulk manifold M_2 .² Taking $f_{\alpha=1,2}(x) = \delta(x - x_\alpha)$, the $U(1)$ current algebra can also be written as

$$[\hat{\varrho}(x_1), \hat{\varrho}(x_2)] = \frac{-i\nu}{2\pi} \partial_{x_1} \delta(x_1 - x_2), \quad (1.8)$$

where $x_{1,2}$ is the coordinate along the edge. The right-hand side is known as the Schwinger term. The density operator algebra Eq. (1.7) can be derived from the non-interacting chiral edge mode. For integer filling, $\nu = 1$, say, it is described by the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \int_{\partial M_2} dx \hat{\psi}^\dagger (-i\partial_x) \hat{\psi} \quad (1.9)$$

where $\hat{\psi}/\hat{\psi}^\dagger$ is the fermion annihilation/creation operator for Weyl fermion modes. Here, $\hat{\psi} \cdots \hat{\psi}$ represents normal ordering with respect to the ground state of Eq. (1.9). The fermion density operator $\hat{\varrho}(x) = \hat{\psi}^\dagger \hat{\psi}$, once properly normal-ordered with respect to the ground state, obeys the $U(1)$ current algebra.

The bulk and boundary density operator algebras are closely related. To derive the boundary algebra (1.7) from the bulk algebra (1.5), we follow Ref. [18], and set $f_{\alpha=1,2}(x, y) = f_\alpha(x)g(y)$ in Eq. (1.5):

$$\begin{aligned} & [\hat{\rho}(f_1), \hat{\rho}(f_2)] \\ &= \frac{i\ell_0^2}{2} \int dx \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy [(\partial_x f_1) f_2 - (\partial_x f_2) f_1] \partial_y g^2 \hat{\rho}(x, y). \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

To capture dynamics at the edge, we take an envelope function $g(y)$ such that $g(y) \rightarrow 0$ at $y \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and $g(y) = 1$ around $y = 0$, resulting in $[\hat{\rho}(f_1), \hat{\rho}(f_2)] \sim -i\rho_0 \ell_0^2 \int_{\partial M_2} f_1 df_2$. Clearly, the result does not depend on the details of this function as long as $\partial_y g \rightarrow 0$ as $y \rightarrow \pm\infty$. Furthermore, we replace the density operator by its expectation value inside the droplet, $\hat{\rho} \rightarrow \langle \hat{\rho} \rangle =: \rho_0$ for $y < 0$, and note $\rho_0 = \nu/\ell_0^2$. After renaming $\hat{\rho} \rightarrow \hat{\varrho}$, we recover the boundary algebra (1.7).

There is an alternative derivation of the boundary current algebra from the bulk density operator algebra, in which the effect of the boundary to the many-body ground state is more explicitly taken into account [19, 20]. In the presence of a (smooth) confining potential, the Landau levels are (weakly) dispersed, and a finite droplet of an integer quantum Hall state is formed by filling all Landau levels below the chemical potential. Once the ground state is specified, operators have to be *normal ordered* with respect to the ground state: For any quadratic operator of the form $\sum A_{nm} \hat{c}_n^\dagger \hat{c}_m$ where $\hat{c}_n^\dagger/\hat{c}_n$ are the fermion creation/annihilation operators for states within the LLL, we consider its normal-ordered counterpart $\sum A_{nm} \hat{c}_n^\dagger \hat{c}_m \ddagger$. Once normal-ordered density operators are considered, the GMP algebra acquires a central extension term. Expanded in small magnetic length, this is nothing but the boundary current algebra – see Section IV for more details.

¹ In the literature, one often finds an extra factor of $e^{(\ell_0^2/2)\mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}$ in the RHS of Eq. (1.6). This factor arises as a multiplicative renormalization when one anti-normal orders the single-particle operator $e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$. See also the discussion around Eq. (4.5) in the language of second quantization.

² We use $\hat{\rho}$ and $\hat{\varrho}$ to denote the bulk and boundary density operators, respectively. The bulk density operator is un-normal-ordered, and obtained by projecting the electron density operator to a given set of (topological) bands. The boundary density operator is normal-ordered with respect to the ground state of the gapless boundary Hamiltonian. We will use \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{q} etc. to denote the d -dimensional bulk spatial coordinates and momentum. On the other hand, we will use \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{q} etc. to denote the $(d-1)$ -dimensional boundary spatial coordinates and momentum.

We close the brief review of the (2+1)d QHE with some comments.

First, the GMP algebra and the bulk-boundary correspondence of the QHE can be generalized to Chern insulators or anomalous quantum Hall systems, i.e., (2+1)d lattice systems exhibiting the QHE even in the absence of a uniform applied magnetic field. In Chern insulators, the GMP algebra does not arise in its original form due to the non-uniform distribution of the Berry curvature in momentum space. Nevertheless, once quantum Hall liquid is formed, it has been observed that the GMP algebra emerges at low energies, and it is used to identify the topological nature of (fractional) Chern insulators [21–23].

Second, the $U(1)$ current algebra (1.7) is an example of affine Lie algebras (Kac-Moody algebra), which are infinite-dimensional extensions of finite-dimensional Lie algebras. What appears on the RHS of Eq. (1.7) is the Schwinger term that arises due to regularization to remove ultraviolet divergences. The Schwinger term is an example of the Kac-Peterson cocycle, which is a specific 2-cocycle and plays a crucial role in the classification of the central extensions of Kac-Moody algebras. The current algebras, both Abelian and non-Abelian ones, appear in various contexts including (1+1)d many-body quantum systems at criticality and play a vital role as spectrum generating algebra. In this paper, our primary focus remains on the Abelian case. Nevertheless, our results apply also to non-Abelian cases (the interested reader can find details in Section II E).

B. Higher-dimensional generalization

Guided by the above algebraic structure in the QHE, in this paper, we will seek an analogous algebra of the electron density operators in higher dimensional topological insulators. In higher-dimensional topological insulators, there is growing evidence that non-commutative geometry may also play an important role [4–10]. The relevant non-commutativity, however, involves an n -ary structure or n -bracket structure with $n > 2$. For example, in (4+1)d class A topological insulators, the relevant coordinate algebra involves the four-bracket of the projected electron coordinates,

$$[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4] := \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} X_\alpha X_\beta X_\gamma X_\delta, \quad (1.11)$$

where X_α ($\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are the α -th components of the (single-particle or first quantized) electron coordinate operator projected onto the occupied Bloch bands of topological insulators. The four-bracket structure can be motivated by recalling that, in momentum space, the projected position operators are covariant derivative $X_\alpha = i\partial/\partial k_\alpha - \mathcal{A}_\alpha(\mathbf{k})$ where $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(\mathbf{k})$ is the (non-Abelian) Berry connection in momentum space. Then, the four-bracket is given by the topological density $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{F}_{\gamma\delta}(\mathbf{k})$, where $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k}) = [X_\alpha, X_\beta]$ is the Berry curvature. As an example, for the quantum Hall state in (4+1)d, the four-bracket is proportional to the identity operator and given by [8] $[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4] = -\ell_0^4$, in complete analogy to the (2+1)d case. (This algebra is obtained for the (4+1)d quantum Hall state realized on the 4d sphere, and taking the flat space limit around the north pole. Here, the “magnetic length” ℓ_0 is roughly related to the radius of the 4d sphere normalized by the strength of the monopole placed “inside” of the sphere.) The algebraic structure of the type (1.11) is known as higher-order Lie algebra; see, for example, Ref. [24].

Following the discussion of the (2+1)d QHE above, for functions of the coordinates X_α , $f_{\alpha=1,2,3,4}(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4)$, we expect that their four bracket $[f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4]$ reflects the non-trivial four-bracket structure of the coordinates. We note that to properly define f_α as a quantum mechanical operator, we need to introduce a proper ordering of operators, which is not clear at this moment. Nevertheless, to the lowest order in ℓ_0 , we expect

$$\begin{aligned} [f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4] &\sim \{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\} \\ &= \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \partial_\alpha f_1 \partial_\beta f_2 \partial_\gamma f_3 \partial_\delta f_4, \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

where what appears on the RHS, $\{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\}$, is the so-called Nambu bracket, a generalization of the Poisson bracket [25]. Unlike the case of the Moyal product in (2+1)d, the structures at higher orders are unclear.

The n -bracket algebra of the projected electron coordinate operators at the level of single-particle quantum mechanics is an interesting higher structure. Following once again the analogy with the (2+1)d case, we may expect that the n -bracket algebra also plays an important role at the level of many-body quantum physics. In this paper, we consider a fully antisymmetrized product of four projected fermion density operators, both in the bulk and boundary of higher-dimensional topological insulators. For presentational simplicity, we mostly focus on the case of four spatial dimensions here. Specifically, we introduce the four-bracket for four second-quantized operators acting on the fermion Fock space (many-body Hilbert space). For example, for the bulk (projected) density operator $\hat{\rho}(f_\alpha)$, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} &[\hat{\rho}(f_1), \hat{\rho}(f_2), \hat{\rho}(f_3), \hat{\rho}(f_4)]_{mod} \\ &:= \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \hat{\rho}(f_\alpha) \hat{\rho}(f_\beta) \hat{\rho}(f_\gamma) \hat{\rho}(f_\delta) - (\text{two-body terms}). \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

Here, the first term is the direct analogue of Eq. (1.11) defined with the single-particle Hilbert space. In the second term, we subtract some two-body terms: The precise definition of the subtraction term can be found in Section II. We call this object the modified 4-bracket. Following the discussion in the QHE, the above algebra (1.12) implies that $[\hat{\rho}(f_1), \hat{\rho}(f_2), \hat{\rho}(f_3), \hat{\rho}(f_4)]_{mod} \sim \hat{\rho}(\{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\})$. Setting $f_\alpha(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = f_\alpha(x_1, x_2, x_3)g(x_4)$ and assuming the same profile of $g(x_4)$ as in the case of the QHE, and the constant density in the bulk, we would then conclude the density operator algebra on the surface of a (4+1)d topological insulator is

$$[\hat{\rho}(f_1), \hat{\rho}(f_2), \hat{\rho}(f_2), \hat{\rho}(f_3)] \sim \int_{\partial M_4} f_1 df_2 df_3 df_4. \quad (1.14)$$

This argument suggests the existence of an analog of the Schwinger term if one considers the four-bracket of the electron density operator on the surface of a topological insulator. Guided by this observation, one of our main goals in this paper is to examine this idea by developing calculations similar to the purely boundary calculation of the Schwinger term in the (2+1)d QHE.

C. Organization and summary of the paper

Let us now outline our calculations and main results. We start in Section II A by recalling some known facts about second quantization and normal ordering. The formalism reviewed there (as well as its higher-bracket counterparts developed later in Sections II C and II D) is used throughout the paper, both for the bulk and boundary density operator algebras. For the rest of Section II, we focus on the boundaries of topological insulators. Specifically, we consider the density operator algebra of the Weyl fermion theory in even spacetime dimensions, such as the (1+1)d edge theory (1.9) or the (3+1)-dimensional Weyl fermion theory with the Hamiltonian,

$$\hat{H}_{Weyl} = \int_{\partial M_4} d^3 \mathbf{x} \sum_{i=1}^3 \hat{\psi}^\dagger (-i\sigma_i \partial_i) \hat{\psi} \dagger, \quad (1.15)$$

where $\hat{\psi}^\dagger, \hat{\psi}$ are the two-component creation/annihilation operators of the Weyl fermion mode, and $\sigma_{i=1,2,3}$ are the 2×2 Pauli matrices. These theories appear on the boundaries of (2+1)- and (4+1)-dimensional class A topological insulators, respectively.

In Section II B, using the (1+1)-dimensional edge theory (1.9) as an example, we shortly describe a mathematical interpretation of the Schwinger term in Eqs. (1.7) and (1.8) in the context of noncommutative geometry (NCG) [26, 27]. This short intercourse guides us regarding how to generalize the Schwinger term and the boundary density operator algebra in higher dimensions, as described in Sections II C and II D.

Taking the (3+1)-dimensional Weyl fermion theory (1.15) as an example, let us describe the main result of Section II C. As in the case of (1+1) dimensions, we consider the normal-ordered density operator $\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) = \dagger \hat{\psi}^\dagger \hat{\psi} \dagger(\mathbf{x})$ of the boundary system (1.15), and also the smeared density operator $\hat{\rho}(f) = \int d^3 \mathbf{x} f(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{x})$. The 4-bracket of the density operators is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & [\hat{\rho}(f_1), \hat{\rho}(f_2), \hat{\rho}(f_3), \hat{\rho}(f_4)] \\ &= \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \hat{\rho}(f_\alpha) \hat{\rho}(f_\beta) \hat{\rho}(f_\gamma) \hat{\rho}(f_\delta) \\ &= \hat{\rho}([f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4]) + b_4([f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4]) + \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \hat{Q}_{0,2}(f_\alpha f_\beta \otimes f_\gamma f_\delta), \end{aligned} \quad (1.16)$$

Here, $\hat{Q}_{0,2}$ is some four-fermion operator. The part which is of most interest is b_4 , which is a linear c -number valued function of the four-bracket coming from normal ordering. As we will see, this part gives rise to a proper generalization of the Schwinger term. In the analogous (same) notation, the $U(1)$ current algebra (1.7) in (1+1)d arises as follows, $[\hat{\rho}(f_1), \hat{\rho}(f_2)] = \hat{\rho}([f_1, f_2]) + b_2([f_1, f_2])$, where the c -number part b_2 comes from normal ordering; the non-trivial (well-known) result is that, when computed carefully (with proper regularizations), this gives rise to a finite nontrivial Schwinger term.

As explained in Section II C, the connection with noncommutative geometry motivates us to split b_4 into two parts,

$$b_4([f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4]) = S_4(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) + R_4(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4). \quad (1.17)$$

The rationale for this splitting is that S_4 is given in terms of traces of trace-class operators (in the single particle Hilbert space, i.e., the Hilbert space of square-integrable functions on 3d space M_3 with spin 1/2 degrees of freedom),

while R_4 is not. Hence, S_4 is robust (insensitive) to the choice of the UV cutoff, while R_4 is not. The first term S_4 was evaluated in Ref. [28] and is given by

$$S_4(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{\partial M_4} f_1 df_2 df_3 df_4. \quad (1.18)$$

This can be thought of as a proper generalization of the Schwinger term in (1+1)d, the RHS of Eq. (1.8). Given the fragility of R_4 , i.e., sensitivity to the choice of the UV cutoff, we are tempted to remove (subtract) R_4 and interpret this as a further renormalization of the 4-bracket of densities (in addition to removing 4-body terms). This proposal is motivated, if not dictated, by the following general principle in quantum field theory: only quantities that are independent of regularization details are of physics interest. Importantly, as we show in Section III C, this renormalization does not spoil the consistency of the algebra of densities with the (renormalized) 4-bracket. The resulting (3+1)d density operator algebra is

$$[\hat{\rho}(f_1), \hat{\rho}(f_2), \hat{\rho}(f_3), \hat{\rho}(f_4)]_{mod} = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{\partial M_4} f_1 df_2 df_3 df_4. \quad (1.19)$$

While we explain our construction in detail for the (3+1)d case, it is straightforward to generalize our results to arbitrary even spacetime dimensions. In particular, the d -dimensional Abelian current algebra is given by

$$[\hat{\rho}(f_1), \dots, \hat{\rho}(f_d)]_{mod} = -(d/2)! \left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^{d/2} \int_{\partial M_d} f_1 df_2 \dots df_d. \quad (1.20)$$

See Section III D for details.

In Section III, we discuss the density operator algebra in the bulk of topological insulators in generic $d = 2n = \text{even}$ dimensions. There, we consider the electron density operator projected to a given set of (topological) bands. The final result for $d = \text{even}$ dimensional topological insulators is

$$\begin{aligned} & [\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_1), \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_2), \dots, \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_d)]_{mod} \\ &= (\mathbf{q}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{q}_d) \sum_{\hat{a}, \hat{b}=1}^{N_-} \Omega \int_{\text{BZ}} \frac{d^d \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_d} \\ & \times \sum_{\hat{c}_1, \dots, \hat{c}_{d/2-1}=1}^{N_-} \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{\hat{a} \hat{c}_1}}{2} \dots \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\mu_{d-1} \mu_d}^{\hat{c}_{d/2-1} \hat{b}}}{2} \hat{\chi}_{\hat{a}}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) \hat{\chi}_{\hat{b}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{q}_d) + O(q^{d+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (1.21)$$

Here, $[\dots]_{mod}$ is the modified d -bracket mentioned in Eq. (1.13)³, $\hat{\chi}^\dagger$ and $\hat{\chi}$ represent electron creation and annihilation operators of the topological bands of interest, Ω is the volume of the unit cell, hatted indices run over occupied bands and $\mathcal{F}^{\hat{a}\hat{b}} = (1/2)\mathcal{F}_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{\hat{a}\hat{b}} dk^{\mu_1} dk^{\mu_2}$ is the Berry curvature – see Section III for notations and details. Provided the Berry curvature is constant in momentum space, Eq. (1.21) reduces to

$$[\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_1), \dots, \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_d)]_{mod} = \rho_0^{-1} (\mathbf{q}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{q}_d) (d/2)! \left(\frac{-i}{2\pi}\right)^{d/2} Ch_{d/2} \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{q}_d) + O(q^{d+1}), \quad (1.22)$$

where $\rho_0 = N_-/\Omega = (\text{the number of occupied bands})/(\text{the volume of the unit cell})$ is the average density, Ch_n is the n -th Chern number ($n = d/2$),

$$\begin{aligned} Ch_n &:= \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{\text{BZ}} \text{Tr}_{occ}(\mathcal{F}^n) \\ &= \frac{1}{(d/2)!} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^{d/2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{d/2} \int_{\text{BZ}} d^d \mathbf{k} \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_d} \text{Tr}_{occ}(\mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \mu_2} \dots \mathcal{F}_{\mu_{d-1} \mu_d}), \end{aligned} \quad (1.23)$$

³ Recall that the bulk density operator is not normal-ordered and there is no c-number part in Eq. (1.21), unlike the boundary density operator algebra (1.20). Hence, we do not need the additional renormalization mentioned above.

where the trace is taken over the occupied band indices. We note that, with this definition of the Chern numbers, the level of the Chern-Simons term in the effective response action of a $(d+1)$ -dimensional topological insulator coincides with $Ch_{d/2}$ (see, e.g., [29]). In terms of the envelope functions $f_\alpha(\mathbf{x})$ the bulk algebra (1.22) translates into

$$[\hat{\rho}(f_1), \dots, \hat{\rho}(f_d)]_{mod} \sim \rho_0^{-1} (d/2)! \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} \right)^{d/2} Ch_{d/2} \hat{\rho}(\{f_1, \dots, f_d\}). \quad (1.24)$$

Setting $f_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow f_\alpha(\mathbf{x})g(x_d)$ and replacing $\hat{\rho} \rightarrow \rho_0$ deep inside the bulk, we deduce the boundary algebra,

$$[\hat{\rho}(f_1), \dots, \hat{\rho}(f_d)]_{mod} \sim -(d/2)! \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} \right)^{d/2} Ch_{d/2} \int_{\partial M_d} f_1 df_2 \cdots df_d, \quad (1.25)$$

where we noted $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_d \partial(g^d)/\partial x_d \rho(\mathbf{r}) \sim \rho_0 \int_{-\infty}^0 dx_d \partial(g^d)/\partial x_d$. The bulk algebra (1.22) thus compares well with the boundary algebra (1.20).

The bulk algebra (1.22) is calculated using the Bloch wave functions. Instead, we can work with the hybrid Wannier functions. This in particular allows us to obtain the boundary (as well as bulk) density operator algebras. The use of the Wannier functions is discussed in Section IV, by taking the (2+1)d and (4+1)d LLLs as an example.

Finally, we conclude in Section V by presenting the summary and outlook.

II. SECOND QUANTIZATION, HIGHER-BRACKETS AND NONCOMMUTATIVE GEOMETRY

A. Second quantization map and normal order

We start by introducing some notations. Let A be an operator on the single-particle Hilbert space V . For basis $\{|n\rangle\}$ of V , matrix elements of A are given by $A_{mn} = \langle m|A|n\rangle$. In the second quantization, we consider the operator corresponding to A (the second quantization map) on the Fock space,

$$\hat{Q}_0(A) = \sum_{mn} A_{mn} \hat{\psi}_m^\dagger \hat{\psi}_n, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\hat{\psi}_n^\dagger/\hat{\psi}_n$ are the fermion creation/annihilation operator associated to the single-particle state $|n\rangle$ satisfying canonical anticommutator relations, $\{\hat{\psi}_n, \hat{\psi}_m^\dagger\} = \delta_{nm}$ and $\{\hat{\psi}_n, \hat{\psi}_m\} = 0$. For the product of two second-quantized operators $\hat{Q}_0(A)$ and $\hat{Q}_0(B)$,

$$\hat{Q}_0(A)\hat{Q}_0(B) = \hat{Q}_0(AB) - \hat{Q}_{0,2}(A \otimes B) \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\hat{Q}_{0,2}(A \otimes B) = \sum_{klmn} A_{kl} B_{mn} \hat{\psi}_k^\dagger \hat{\psi}_l^\dagger \hat{\psi}_m \hat{\psi}_n \quad (2.3)$$

is the second quantized map for a 2-particle operator $A \otimes B$. Noting $\hat{Q}_{0,2}(A \otimes B) = \hat{Q}_{0,2}(B \otimes A)$, the commutator $[\hat{Q}_0(A), \hat{Q}_0(B)]$ is given by

$$[\hat{Q}_0(A), \hat{Q}_0(B)] = \hat{Q}_0([A, B]). \quad (2.4)$$

Let us consider a many-body ground state in the Fock space, which is a Fermi-Dirac sea given by $|GS\rangle = \prod_{n<0} \hat{\psi}_n^\dagger |0\rangle$. For the specified ground state, $\hat{Q}_0(A)$ may be ill-defined since the expectation value $\langle GS|\hat{Q}_0(A)|GS\rangle$ may be divergent. This can be resolved for many operators A by introducing a normal-ordered counterpart of $\hat{Q}_0(A)$:

$$\hat{Q}(A) = \sum_{mn} A_{mn} \hat{\psi}_m^\dagger \hat{\psi}_n \ddagger, \quad (2.5)$$

where we define the normal order as

$$\ddagger \hat{\psi}_m^\dagger \hat{\psi}_n \ddagger = \begin{cases} -\hat{\psi}_n \hat{\psi}_m^\dagger & (n = m < 0), \\ \hat{\psi}_m^\dagger \hat{\psi}_n & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

For later use, let us introduce a notation

$$A_{\epsilon\epsilon'} = P_{\epsilon}AP_{\epsilon'} \quad (\epsilon, \epsilon' = \pm), \quad (2.7)$$

where P_- (P_+) is the projection onto $n < 0$ ($n > 0$) states. We also define the operator $F = P_+ - P_-$. This is a self-adjoint operator squaring to the identity operator.

For the product of two normal-ordered operators $\hat{Q}(A)$ and $\hat{Q}(B)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Q}(A)\hat{Q}(B) &= \hat{Q}_{0,2}(A \otimes B) - \hat{Q}_0(A)\text{Tr}(B_{--}) - \text{Tr}(A_{--})\hat{Q}_0(B) + \text{Tr}((AB)_{--}) \\ &= \hat{Q}_2(A \otimes B) + \hat{Q}(AP_+B - BP_-A) + \text{Tr}(A_{-+}B_{+-}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where \hat{Q}_2 is the normal-ordered counterpart of $\hat{Q}_{0,2}$,

$$\hat{Q}_2(C) := \sum_{klmn} C_{klmn} \hat{\psi}_k^\dagger \hat{\psi}_l \hat{\psi}_m^\dagger \hat{\psi}_n. \quad (2.9)$$

Here, normal ordering for n -body operators with $n > 1$ is defined analogously to the 1-body case; all fermion operators annihilating the ground state, i.e., $\hat{\psi}_{m>0}$ and $\hat{\psi}_{m<0}^\dagger$, are moved to the right. E.g., $\hat{\psi}_k^\dagger \hat{\psi}_l \hat{\psi}_m^\dagger \hat{\psi}_n$ is $\hat{\psi}_k^\dagger \hat{\psi}_m^\dagger \hat{\psi}_n \hat{\psi}_l$ if $k, l > 0$, $-\hat{\psi}_l \hat{\psi}_m^\dagger \hat{\psi}_n \hat{\psi}_k^\dagger$ if $k, l < 0$, $\hat{\psi}_k^\dagger \hat{\psi}_l \hat{\psi}_m^\dagger \hat{\psi}_n$ if $k > 0, l < 0$, and $\hat{\psi}_m^\dagger \hat{\psi}_n \hat{\psi}_k^\dagger \hat{\psi}_l$ if $k < 0, l > 0$. Noting $\hat{Q}_2(A \otimes B) = \hat{Q}_2(B \otimes A)$, the commutator of two normal-ordered operators $\hat{Q}(A)$ and $\hat{Q}(B)$ is given by

$$[\hat{Q}(A), \hat{Q}(B)] = \hat{Q}([A, B]) + b_2([A, B]), \quad (2.10)$$

where $b_2([A, B])$ is a c-number and defined by

$$b_2([A, B]) = \text{Tr}([A, B]_{--}). \quad (2.11)$$

For many operators of interest in physics, $b_2([A, B])$ is not well-defined. However, one can make it well-defined by the following computation,

$$\begin{aligned} b_2([A, B]) &= \text{Tr}((AB)_{--} - (BA)_{--}) \\ &= \text{Tr}(A_{-+}B_{+-} - B_{-+}A_{+-}) + \text{Tr}([A_{--}, B_{--}]) \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

and dropping the term $\text{Tr}([A_{--}, B_{--}])$. We emphasize that, since this is done for operators where neither $\text{Tr}((AB)_{--})$ nor $\text{Tr}((BA)_{--})$ are well-defined, setting $\text{Tr}([A_{--}, B_{--}])$ to zero can only be done after a nontrivial regularization in general (since for operators in infinite dimensions, the trace of a commutator is not well-defined in general). Thus, by some regularization, one can replace $b_2([A, B])$ in Eq. (2.10) by

$$S_2(A, B) = \text{Tr}(A_{-+}B_{+-} - B_{-+}A_{+-}). \quad (2.13)$$

The resulting abstract current algebra,

$$[\hat{Q}(A), \hat{Q}(B)] = \hat{Q}([A, B]) + S_2(A, B), \quad (2.14)$$

has many special cases of interest in physics. Specifically, the formula (2.14) can be used for operators appearing in (1+1)d quantum field theories, leading to, for example, the (1+1)d current algebra, the affine Kac-Moody algebra, Virasoro algebra, $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra [30–32].

We conclude this section with remarks. First, we note that in intermediate steps of the derivation of Eq. (2.14) above, it is understood that the operators A, B are replaced by regularized operators A^Λ, B^Λ , with Λ some regularization parameter, so that all traces are well-defined. It is clear that the final result (after removing the regularization) does not depend on what regularization is used, which is why we do not specify the regularization. To give a specific example, consider the case when V is the single-particle Hilbert space of square-integrable functions on M_1 , and A is a real-valued function $A = f(x)$. In Fourier space, $f(x)$ is represented by its Fourier counterpart, $\tilde{f}(p - p') = \int_{M_1} dx f(x) e^{i(p-p') \cdot x}$. A natural operator regulation of this would be to replace $\tilde{f}(p - p')$ by $\tilde{f}^\Lambda(p, p') = \chi(p/\Lambda) \tilde{f}(p - p') \chi(p'/\Lambda)$, where $\chi(\xi)$ is some function of $\xi \geq 0$ which is smooth at $\xi = 0$ such that $\chi(0) = 1$ and $\chi(\xi) \rightarrow 0$ as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$, for example, $\chi(\xi) = e^{-\xi^2}$ or $\chi(\xi) = 1/(\xi^2 + 1)$. The trace of commutators of regularized operators is always 0, and hence

$b_2([A, B]) := \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \text{Tr}([A^\Lambda, B^\Lambda]_{--}) = S_2(A, B)$. Here, $S_2(A, B)$ is given in terms of traces of trace-class operators and hence independent of the regularization.⁴

Second, we stress that it is important that the above computation is done for non-commuting operators A, B even if one is only interested in cases where $[A, B] = 0$: the regularized operators A^Λ, B^Λ do not commute even if A, B do. Moreover, allowing for non-commuting operators makes clear that there is an important consistency condition: on the one-particle level, the commutator satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}[[A_\alpha, A_\beta], A_\gamma] = 0, \quad (2.15)$$

and Eq. (2.14) is only consistent if the operators $\hat{Q}(A)$ satisfy the Jacobi identity as well. Clearly, this is true if and only if

$$\delta S_2(A_1, A_2, A_3) := \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}S_2([A_\alpha, A_\beta], A_\gamma) = 0, \quad (2.16)$$

which is known as cocycle condition. It is well-known that this condition is satisfied for the Schwinger term in Eq. (2.13).

B. Relation to non-commutative geometry

We shortly describe a mathematical interpretation of the Schwinger term Eq. (2.13) in the context of noncommutative geometry [26, 27] which we use as a guide in Section II C to generalize Eq. (2.14) to 3+1 dimensions. For that, it is useful to write operators as 2×2 -matrices as follows [33],

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{++} & A_{+-} \\ A_{-+} & A_{--} \end{pmatrix}$$

so that F can be identified with the Pauli σ_3 -matrix. Thus,

$$[F, A] = 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{+-} \\ -A_{-+} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \{F, A\} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} A_{++} & 0 \\ 0 & -A_{--} \end{pmatrix},$$

allowing us to write the Schwinger term in Eq. (2.13) as

$$S_2(A, B) = \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}_c(A[F, B]), \quad (2.17)$$

with the conditional trace defined as follows,

$$\text{Tr}_c(A) := \text{Tr}(A_{++} + A_{--}).$$

Note that Tr_c is a generalization of the conventional trace (since, for the conventional trace $\text{Tr}(A)$ to exist, all operators $A_{\epsilon\epsilon'}$ for $\epsilon, \epsilon' = \pm$ need to be trace class, whereas only $A_{++} + A_{--}$ needs to be trace class for $\text{Tr}_c(A)$ to exist). It is also interesting to note that the Schwinger terms S_2 can be written using the conventional trace and the 2d epsilon symbol as follows,

$$S_2(A_1, A_2) = \frac{1}{8}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\text{Tr}(F[F, A_\alpha][F, A_\beta]). \quad (2.18)$$

In noncommutative geometry, $iA[F, B]$ is a natural generalization of the de Rham 1-form fdg , and Tr_c is a corresponding generalization of integration of de Rham forms [28]. This is made precise in 2d by the identity

$$\text{Tr}_c(f_1[F, f_2]) = -\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{M_1} f_1 df_2 \quad (2.19)$$

⁴ In addition to the operator regularization mentioned in the main text, in which one replaces A by A^Λ , there is another so-called vacuum regularization. In this regularization, we introduce a regularized vacuum $|GS_\Lambda\rangle$ as the state where only the eigenstates with energies in the range $-\Lambda < E < 0$ are filled. Clearly, $|GS_\Lambda\rangle \rightarrow |GS\rangle$. With the regularized vacuum, $b_2([A, B]) = \text{Tr}(P_-[A, B])$ can be regularized as $b_2^\Lambda([A, B]) = \text{Tr}(P_\Lambda[A, B])$ with the cut-off trace $\text{Tr}_\Lambda(A) := \text{Tr}(P_\Lambda A)$ where P_Λ is the projection to subspace of energies in the range $-\Lambda < E < 0$. When $[A, B] = 0$, e.g., when we consider scalar functions $A = f_1$ and $B = f_2$, it therefore is clear that $b_2^\Lambda([f_1, f_2]) = \text{Tr}_\Lambda(P_-[f_1, f_2]) = 0$. Therefore, if one uses the vacuum regularization, one has to renormalize the commutator of the fermion currents by subtracting $R_2 = \text{Tr}([A_{--}, B_{--}])$ to obtain the correct result. The same reasoning applies to all $d = 2, 3, 6, \dots$; In the Abelian case, $[f_1, \dots, f_d] = 0$, and it therefore is clear that $b_d^\Lambda([f_1, \dots, f_d]) = \text{Tr}_\Lambda(P_-[f_1, \dots, f_d]) = 0$; one can show that the same is true also in the non-Abelian case. Thus, for the vacuum regularization, $R_d^{\text{vac-reg}} = -S_d$ for all $d = 2, 4, 6, \dots$. Following the 2d case, our proposal is to renormalize the d -bracket of densities in higher dimensions by removing R_d .

with $f_1 df_2 = f_1 \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x} dx$, where $f_\alpha = f_\alpha(x)$ are real-valued functions on a 1-dimensional space M_1 (which can be either \mathbb{R} (real line) or \mathbb{S}^1 (circle)), and F is the sign of the 1d Weyl operator $-i\partial_x$ (i.e., F amounts to multiplication with $\text{sign}(p)$ in Fourier space). By specializing Eqs. (2.14) and (2.17) to the one-particle Hilbert space of square-integrable functions on M_1 (so that f_α and F can be identified with operators on this Hilbert space) and using the identity in Eq. (2.19), one obtains

$$[\hat{Q}(f_1), \hat{Q}(f_2)] = -\frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{M_1} f_1 df_2,$$

and by identifying $\hat{Q}(f_i)$ with $\hat{\rho}(f_i)$ this becomes exactly the (1+1)d current algebra in Eq. (1.7) for $\nu = 1$ and $\partial M_2 = M_1$.

It is known that there is a natural generalization of Eq. (2.19) to arbitrary odd space dimensions $d-1 = 2n-1$ [28],

$$\text{Tr}_c(f_1[F, f_2] \cdots [F, f_d]) = \left(-\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^{d/2} \frac{2^d (d/2)!}{d!} \int_{M_{d-1}} f_1 df_2 \cdots df_d \quad (2.20)$$

(note that the constant in front of the integral is written as $(-i)^{d-1} (2i)^{d/2-1} 2\pi^{(d-1)/2} / (d-1)(2\pi)^{d-1} \Gamma((d-1)/2)$ in Ref. [28]) with the following de Rham form in $(d-1)$ space dimensions,

$$f_1 df_2 \cdots df_d := \epsilon^{i_1 \cdots i_{d-1}} f_1 \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_{i_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial f_d}{\partial x_{i_{d-1}}} dx_1 \cdots dx_{d-1}$$

for $f_\alpha = f_\alpha(\mathbf{x})$ real-valued functions on $(d-1)$ -dimensional space M_{d-1} , which can be either \mathbb{R}^{d-1} or the torus \mathbb{T}^{d-1} . Here, as the grading operator F , we consider the sign of the $(d-1)$ d Weyl operator $-i \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \Gamma_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ with $2^{d/2-1} \times 2^{d/2-1}$ -matrices Γ_i such that $\{\Gamma_i, \Gamma_j\} = 2\delta_{ij}$ (i.e., F amounts to multiplication with $\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \Gamma_i p_i / |\mathbf{p}|$ in Fourier space); in particular, in $(3+1)$ d,

$$\text{Tr}_c(f_1[F, f_2][F, f_3][F, f_4]) = -\frac{1}{3\pi^2} \int_{M_3} f_1 df_2 df_3 df_4 \quad (2.21)$$

where $\Gamma_i = \sigma_i$ are the Pauli sigma matrices.

C. Higher brackets

In Section II A, we have encountered the Schwinger term $S_2(A, B)$ providing a $U(1)$ central extension term of a Lie algebra; see Ref. [34] for further details. To generalize this result for topological insulators in higher dimensions, we will now consider the products of more than two second-quantized operators. In general, for second quantized operators $\hat{O}_{\alpha=1, \dots, d}$, we consider the d -bracket defined by

$$[\hat{O}_1, \hat{O}_2, \dots, \hat{O}_d] = \epsilon^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_d} \hat{O}_{\alpha_1} \hat{O}_{\alpha_2} \cdots \hat{O}_{\alpha_d}. \quad (2.22)$$

Of special interest to us is the case where \hat{O}_α is given by the second quantization map of some single-particle operator A_α ; namely, we are interested in the d -bracket of the unordered second quantization maps $\hat{Q}_0(A_\alpha)$ and their normal-ordered counterparts $\tilde{Q}(A_\alpha)$, i.e., $[\tilde{Q}_0(A_1), \tilde{Q}_0(A_2), \dots, \tilde{Q}_0(A_d)]$ and $[\hat{Q}(A_1), \hat{Q}(A_2), \dots, \hat{Q}(A_d)]$ for even d .

In the rest of this section, we focus on $d = 4$ (generalizations to arbitrary even- d brackets can be found in Section II D). First, for unordered operators $\hat{Q}_0(A_\alpha)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & [\hat{Q}_0(A_1), \hat{Q}_0(A_2), \hat{Q}_0(A_3), \hat{Q}_0(A_4)] \\ &= \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \hat{Q}_0(A_\alpha) \hat{Q}_0(A_\beta) \hat{Q}_0(A_\gamma) \hat{Q}_0(A_\delta) \\ &= \hat{Q}_0([A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4]) + \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \hat{Q}_{0,2}(A_\alpha A_\beta \otimes A_\gamma A_\delta), \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

where we noted Eq. (2.2) and

$$\begin{aligned} & [\hat{Q}_0(A_1), \hat{Q}_0(A_2), \hat{Q}_0(A_3), \hat{Q}_0(A_4)] \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \left\{ \hat{Q}_0([A_\alpha, A_\beta]), \hat{Q}_0([A_\gamma, A_\delta]) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \left[\hat{Q}_0(\{[A_\alpha, A_\beta], [A_\gamma, A_\delta]\}) + 2\hat{Q}_{0,2}([A_\alpha, A_\beta] \otimes [A_\gamma, A_\delta]) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

This suggests to define a *modified* 4-bracket by subtracting $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\hat{Q}_{0,2}(A_\alpha A_\beta \otimes A_\gamma A_\delta)$ from the four-brackets $[\hat{Q}_0(A_1), \hat{Q}_0(A_2), \hat{Q}_0(A_3), \hat{Q}_0(A_4)]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & [\hat{Q}_0(A_1), \hat{Q}_0(A_2), \hat{Q}_0(A_3), \hat{Q}_0(A_4)]_{mod} \\ & := [\hat{Q}_0(A_1), \hat{Q}_0(A_2), \hat{Q}_0(A_3), \hat{Q}_0(A_4)] - \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\hat{Q}_{0,2}(A_\alpha A_\beta \otimes A_\gamma A_\delta). \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

Indeed, this yields

$$[\hat{Q}_0(A_1), \hat{Q}_0(A_2), \hat{Q}_0(A_3), \hat{Q}_0(A_4)]_{mod} = \hat{Q}_0([A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4]), \quad (2.26)$$

which is a natural analogue of Eq. (2.4).

Similarly, the 4-bracket of the normal ordered operators $\hat{Q}(A_\alpha)$ is evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned} & [\hat{Q}(A_1), \hat{Q}(A_2), \hat{Q}(A_3), \hat{Q}(A_4)] \\ & = \frac{1}{8}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\left\{\hat{Q}_0([A_\alpha, A_\beta]), \hat{Q}_0([A_\gamma, A_\delta])\right\} \\ & = \hat{Q}([A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4]) + b_4([A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4]) + \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\hat{Q}_{0,2}(A_\alpha A_\beta \otimes A_\gamma A_\delta) \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

where the c-number

$$b_4([A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4]) = \text{Tr}([A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4]_{--}) \quad (2.28)$$

arises from normal ordering, as before. This c-number piece can be conveniently split into two parts, $b_4 = R_4 + S_4$, where (to simplify notation, we sometimes write A, B, C, D instead of A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 in the following)

$$\begin{aligned} R_4(A, B, C, D) & = \epsilon^{ABCD}\text{Tr}(A_{-+}B_{++}C_{++}D_{+-} + A_{--}B_{--}C_{-+}D_{+-}), \\ S_4(A, B, C, D) & = \epsilon^{ABCD}\text{Tr}(A_{-+}B_{+-}C_{-+}D_{+-}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

This can be seen by computing

$$\begin{aligned} b_4([A, B, C, D]) & = \epsilon^{ABCD} \sum_{\epsilon, \epsilon', \epsilon'' = \pm} \text{Tr}(A_{-\epsilon}B_{\epsilon\epsilon'}C_{\epsilon'\epsilon''}D_{\epsilon''-}) \\ & = \epsilon^{ABCD}\text{Tr}(A_{-+}B_{+-}C_{-+}D_{+-} + A_{-+}B_{++}C_{++}D_{+-} + A_{--}B_{--}C_{-+}D_{+-}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

using the cyclicity of trace and the antisymmetry for labels $\{A, B, C, D\}$ to verify that

$$\epsilon^{ABCD}\text{Tr}(A_{--}B_{--}C_{--}D_{--}) = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ABCD}\text{Tr}([A_{--}, B_{--}C_{--}D_{--}]) = 0 \quad (2.31)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon^{ABCD}\text{Tr}(A_{--}B_{-+}C_{+-}D_{--} + A_{-+}B_{+-}C_{-+}D_{--} + A_{--}B_{-+}C_{++}D_{+-} + A_{-+}B_{++}C_{+-}D_{--}) \\ & = \epsilon^{ABCD}\text{Tr}([A_{--}, B_{-+}C_{+-}D_{--}] + [A_{--}, B_{-+}C_{++}D_{+-}]) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

Using the grading operator F , it is straightforward to write Eq. (2.29) using notation introduced in Section II B,

$$\begin{aligned} R_4(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4) & = \frac{1}{16}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\text{Tr}(F\{F, A_\alpha\}\{F, A_\beta\}[F, A_\gamma][F, A_\delta]), \\ S_4(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4) & = -\frac{1}{32}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\text{Tr}(F[F, A_\alpha][F, A_\beta][F, A_\gamma][F, A_\delta]). \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

Moreover, the latter formula is equivalent to [28]

$$S_4(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4) = -\frac{1}{16}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\text{Tr}_c(A_\alpha[F, A_\beta][F, A_\gamma][F, A_\delta]), \quad (2.34)$$

which makes manifest that S_4 is a generalization to noncommutative geometry (NCG) of an integral of a de Rham form in (3+1)d.

Our discussion so far is rather general and applies to any system with the fermion Fock space endowed with a grading operator. We now specialize to the Hilbert space of square-integrable functions on 3d space M_3 with spin

1/2 degrees of freedom and F equal to the sign of the 3d Weyl operator $-i \sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$. As for A_α , we consider spin-independent, real-valued functions on M_{d-1} , $f_\alpha(\mathbf{x})$. Here, remarks like the ones in the final paragraph of Section II B apply. In particular, the precise meaning of the above expressions is to replace the operators A_α by their regularized counterparts A_α^Λ and then take a limit where the regularization parameter Λ is removed. (We note that the cyclicity of trace, used in deriving above expressions, applies to the product of regularized operators.) Now, the rationale for the splitting of b_4 into S_4 and R_4 is that S_4 is given in terms of traces of trace-class operators, while R_4 is not: As pointed out by Mickelsson and Rajeev [32], in $d-1$ spatial dimensions, the pertinent operators A_α and F obey the condition that $[F, A_\alpha]$, and hence $A_{\alpha,+}$ and $A_{\alpha,-}$, are the d -th Schatten class operators on the single-particle Hilbert space. Here, for an operator A in the d -th Schatten class, $(A^\dagger A)^{d/2}$ are trace-class. In particular, for $d=4$ products like $A_{\alpha+} A_{\beta-} A_{\gamma+} A_{\delta-}$ are trace-class (see [35] for mathematical background on Schatten classes). Consequently, while S_4 does not depend on the regularization used, R_4 is affected by the regularization. For example, if one uses a naive cutoff scheme, in which one cut-offs the matrix elements of f_α in momentum space, $\tilde{f}^\Lambda(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = \chi(|\mathbf{p}|/\Lambda) \tilde{f}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}) \chi(|\mathbf{q}|/\Lambda)$ for some cutoff function like $\chi(x) = e^{-x^2}$, one can show by a brute-force calculation, $R_4 = -S_4$ and hence $b_4 = 0$. While the vanishing of b_4 may naively be consistent with an expression like Eq. (2.28), it is not robust since in other regularization schemes, e.g., spin-dependent ones, one can get $R_4 \neq -S_4$ [36].

Since S_4 , but not R_4 , is well-defined for the pertinent one-particle operators in (3+1)d [28], we not only subtract $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \hat{Q}_{0,2}(A_\alpha A_\beta \otimes A_\gamma A_\delta)$ but also $R_4(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4)$ to obtain a well-defined modified 4-bracket of the normal-ordered operators,

$$\begin{aligned} & [\hat{Q}(A_1), \hat{Q}(A_2), \hat{Q}(A_3), \hat{Q}(A_4)]_{mod} \\ & := [\hat{Q}(A_1), \hat{Q}(A_2), \hat{Q}(A_3), \hat{Q}(A_4)] - \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \hat{Q}_{0,2}(A_\alpha A_\beta \otimes A_\gamma A_\delta) - R_4(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4); \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

This yields

$$[\hat{Q}(A_1), \hat{Q}(A_2), \hat{Q}(A_3), \hat{Q}(A_4)]_{mod} = \hat{Q}([A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4]) + S_4(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4). \quad (2.36)$$

For f_α real-valued functions on M_3 , we can identify $\hat{Q}(f_\alpha)$ with the smeared density operators $\hat{\rho}(f_\alpha)$, and Eq. (2.21) implies

$$[\hat{\rho}(f_1), \hat{\rho}(f_2), \hat{\rho}(f_3), \hat{\rho}(f_4)]_{mod} = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{M_3} f_1 df_2 df_3 df_4, \quad (2.37)$$

using the identity $\int_{M_3} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} f_\alpha df_\beta df_\gamma df_\delta = 4! \int_{M_3} f_1 df_2 df_3 df_4$. This is our chiral current algebra in (3+1)d and one of the main results in this paper.

As we will see later in Section III, the robust part of b_4 , i.e., S_4 , compares well with the bulk calculation, consistent with the bulk-boundary correspondence. Our proposal to remove (renormalize) R_4 is further motivated by mathematical consistency: It is known that the 4-bracket of one-particle operators satisfies the following generalized Jacobi identity [24],

$$\frac{1}{144} \epsilon^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 \alpha_6 \alpha_7} [[A_{\alpha_1}, A_{\alpha_2}, A_{\alpha_3}, A_{\alpha_4}], A_{\alpha_5}, A_{\alpha_6}, A_{\alpha_7}] = 0, \quad (2.38)$$

and it is therefore natural to request that Eq. (2.36) is consistent in the sense that

$$\frac{1}{144} \epsilon^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 \alpha_6 \alpha_7} [[\hat{Q}(A_{\alpha_1}), \hat{Q}(A_{\alpha_2}), \hat{Q}(A_{\alpha_3}), \hat{Q}(A_{\alpha_4})]_{mod}, \hat{Q}(A_{\alpha_5}), \hat{Q}(A_{\alpha_6}), \hat{Q}(A_{\alpha_7})]_{mod} = 0,$$

similarly as for the commutator relations discussed in Section II A. Clearly, this consistency is fulfilled for operators A_α satisfying the cocycle condition $\delta S_4(A_1, \dots, A_7) = 0$ where

$$\delta S_4(A_1, \dots, A_7) := \frac{1}{144} \epsilon^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 \alpha_6 \alpha_7} S_4([A_{\alpha_1}, A_{\alpha_2}, A_{\alpha_3}, A_{\alpha_4}], A_{\alpha_5}, A_{\alpha_6}, A_{\alpha_7}). \quad (2.39)$$

If we specialize to real-valued function, $A_\alpha = f_\alpha$, it is clear from $S_4(f_1, \dots, f_4) \propto \int_{M_3} f_1 df_2 df_3 df_4$ and $[f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4] = 0$ that

$$\delta S_4(f_1, \dots, f_7) = 0, \quad (2.40)$$

i.e., the (3+1)d current algebra above is consistent.

We stress that the consistency condition $\delta S_4 = 0$ does not have to be fulfilled for regularized operators: Eq. (2.40) is enough to prove the consistency of our (3+1)d current algebra. Still, it is interesting to know if Eq. (2.36) is consistent for other special cases of interest in physics. We therefore computed $\delta S_4(A_1, \dots, A_7)$ directly and obtained the following result [36],

$$\delta S_4(A_1, \dots, A_7) = -\frac{1}{768} \epsilon^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 \alpha_6 \alpha_7} \text{Tr} (A_{\alpha_1} \{F, A_{\alpha_2}\} [F, A_{\alpha_3}] [A_{\alpha_4}, [F, A_{\alpha_5}] [F, A_{\alpha_6}]] [F, A_{\alpha_7}]). \quad (2.41)$$

Since this is not identically zero, Eq. (2.36) is not consistent for *all* operators such that Eq. (2.36) is well-defined. However, this result shows that Eq. (2.36) is consistent for another important case of interest in physics: as shown in Section II E, Eq. (2.41) and known results in the literature [37] imply that Eq. (2.40) holds true even for matrix-valued functions f_α . For this reason, Eq. (2.36) provides a consistent current algebra in (3+1)d even in the non-Abelian case.

D. Generalization to arbitrary even spacetime dimensions

We present generalizations of the results in Section II C to arbitrary d -brackets where $d = 2n$ is even.

We start with the unordered operators $\hat{Q}_0(A_\alpha)$. It is straightforward to generalize our arguments for $d = 2n = 4$ to arbitrary $d = 2n$ and thus show that $[\hat{Q}_0(A_1), \hat{Q}_0(A_2), \dots, \hat{Q}_0(A_d)]$ is a linear combination of k -body terms for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and by defining a modified d -bracket $[\hat{Q}_0(A_1), \hat{Q}_0(A_2), \dots, \hat{Q}_0(A_d)]_{mod}$ by dropping all k -body terms with $k > 1$ (i.e., keeping only the 1-body terms) one obtains

$$[\hat{Q}_0(A_1), \hat{Q}_0(A_2), \dots, \hat{Q}_0(A_d)]_{mod} = \hat{Q}_0([A_1, A_2, \dots, A_d]). \quad (2.42)$$

Motivated by our results for $d = 2n = 4$, we make the following ansatz for the modified d -bracket for the normal ordered operators $\hat{Q}(A_\alpha)$,

$$[\hat{Q}(A_1), \hat{Q}(A_2), \dots, \hat{Q}(A_d)]_{mod} := \hat{Q}_0([A_1, A_2, \dots, A_d]) - R_d(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_d) \quad (2.43)$$

with a multilinear and antisymmetric function R_d to be found and

$$\hat{Q}_0([A_1, A_2, \dots, A_d]) = \hat{Q}([A_1, A_2, \dots, A_d]) + b_d([A_1, A_2, \dots, A_d]) \quad (2.44)$$

where

$$b_d([A_1, A_2, \dots, A_d]) = \text{Tr}([A_1, A_2, \dots, A_d]_{--}). \quad (2.45)$$

Thus, the key computation is the generalization of Eq. (2.30) from $d = 2n = 4$ to arbitrary $d = 2n$,

$$b_d([A_1, A_2, \dots, A_d]) = \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{d-1} = \pm} \epsilon^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_d} \text{Tr}((A_{\alpha_1})_{-\epsilon_1} (A_{\alpha_2})_{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} \dots (A_{\alpha_d})_{\epsilon_{d-1}}), \quad (2.46)$$

etc. There is a single term in this sum which is finite for the pertinent one-particle operators in $d = 2n$ spacetime dimensions, namely the term with alternating signs $\epsilon_j = (-1)^{j-1}$ for $j = 1, \dots, d-1$ [28],

$$S_d(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_d) := \epsilon^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_d} \text{Tr}((A_{\alpha_1})_{-+} (A_{\alpha_2})_{+-} \dots (A_{\alpha_d})_{+-}). \quad (2.47)$$

Thus, we set R_d equal to the remaining terms,

$$R_d(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_d) := b_d([A_1, A_2, \dots, A_d]) - S_d(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_d). \quad (2.48)$$

Many of these remaining terms cancel by the cyclicity of the trace and the antisymmetry of the epsilon symbol, similarly as in the special case $d = 2n = 4$. It would be interesting to compute a simple formula for R_d taking into account these cancellations and generalizing the formula for R_4 in Eq. (2.29), but this is left to future work.

Thus, the result is

$$[\hat{Q}(A_1), \dots, \hat{Q}(A_d)]_{mod} = \hat{Q}([A_1, \dots, A_d]) + S_d(A_1, \dots, A_d) \quad (2.49)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} S_d(A_1, \dots, A_d) &= \frac{(-1)^{d/2-1}}{2^{d+1}} \epsilon^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_d} \text{Tr}(F[F, A_{\alpha_1}][F, A_{\alpha_2}] \dots [F, A_{\alpha_d}]) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{d/2-1}}{2^d} \epsilon^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_d} \text{Tr}_c(A_{\alpha_1}[F, A_{\alpha_2}] \dots [F, A_{\alpha_d}]) \end{aligned} \quad (2.50)$$

obtained from Eq. (2.47) using the notation introduced in Section (IIB); the latter formula makes precise that S_d is a generalization to NCG of an integral of the de Rham form in $d - 1$ dimensions. In particular, specializing the operators A_α to real-valued functions f_α on M_{d-1} and F to the sign of the Weyl operators in $d - 1$ space dimensions (see the paragraph after Eq. (2.20) for a more detailed description), identifying $\hat{Q}(A_\alpha)$ with $\hat{\varrho}(f_\alpha)$, and using the identity in Eq. (2.20), we obtain the following natural generalization of Eq. (2.37),

$$[\hat{\varrho}(f_1), \dots, \hat{\varrho}(f_d)]_{mod} = - (d/2)! \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} \right)^{d/2} \int_{M_{d-1}} f_1 df_2 \cdots df_d, \quad (2.51)$$

using $\int_{M_{d-1}} \epsilon^{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_d} f_{\alpha_1} df_{\alpha_2} \cdots df_{\alpha_d} = d! \int_{M_{d-1}} f_1 df_2 \cdots df_d$. It is known that the d -bracket satisfies the following generalized Jacobi identity [24],

$$\frac{1}{d!(d-1)!} \epsilon^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_{2d-1}} [[A_{\alpha_1}, \dots, A_d], A_{d+1}, \dots, A_{2d-1}] = 0 \quad (2.52)$$

and, similarly as for $d = 2n = 4$, this suggests that the following consistency conditions should be fulfilled, $\delta S_d = 0$ with

$$\delta S_d(A_1, \dots, A_{2d-1}) := \frac{1}{d!(d-1)!} \epsilon^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_{2d-1}} S_d([A_{\alpha_1}, \dots, A_d], A_{d+1}, \dots, A_{2d-1}). \quad (2.53)$$

In the special case $A_\alpha = f_\alpha$ etc. leading to Eq. (2.51), $S_d \propto \int_{M_{d-1}} f_1 df_2 \cdots df_d$ and $[f_1, \dots, f_d] = 0$ imply

$$\delta S_d(f_1, \dots, f_{2d-1}) = 0, \quad (2.54)$$

which proves that our d -dimensional current algebra in Eq. (2.51) is consistent. It would be interesting to generalize Eq. (2.41) from $d = 4$ to general $d = \text{even}$, but this is left to future work.

E. Non-Abelian current algebras in even spacetime dimensions

In this paper, we emphasize the Abelian case where the operators A_α are real-valued functions f_α which commute, $[f_\alpha, f_\beta] = 0$; this corresponds to the important special case of $U(1)$ current algebras. However, it is also interesting to consider matrix-valued functions f_α corresponding to a theory where the fermions have an additional color index. As we now show, our general results allow for such non-Abelian current algebras in higher dimensions.

Consider a theory of Weyl fermions on $(d - 1) = (2n - 1)$ -dimensional space M_{d-1} (which either is \mathbb{R}^{d-1} or a $(d - 1)$ -dimensional torus),

$$\hat{H}_{Weyl} = \int_{M_{d-1}} d^{d-1} \mathbf{x} \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \dagger \hat{\psi}_a^\dagger (-i\Gamma_i \partial_i) \hat{\psi}_a \dagger, \quad (2.55)$$

where $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i=1, \dots, d-1}$ are hermitian $\nu \times \nu$ -matrices, $\nu = 2^{d/2-1}$, satisfying the relations $\{\Gamma_i, \Gamma_j\} = 2\delta_{ij}$, and the fermion operators carry a color index $a = 1, \dots, N$ (in addition to the index corresponding to the Γ_i -matrices which we suppress). For example, the case $N = 2$ corresponds to the case of fermions where the color index can be identified with spin $a = \uparrow, \downarrow$ which, in addition to charge transport, can also describe the transport of spin. In such a theory, we can consider the non-Abelian current operators

$$\varrho(f) := \int_{M_{d-1}} d^{d-1} \mathbf{x} f_{ab}(\mathbf{x}) \dagger \hat{\psi}_a^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\psi}_b(\mathbf{x}) \dagger \quad (2.56)$$

where $f(\mathbf{x}) = \{f_{ab}(\mathbf{x})\}_{a,b=1}^N$ are functions on space M_{d-1} with values in the hermitian $N \times N$ -matrices. We then can get the d -brackets of these currents from our general result in Eqs. (2.49)–(2.50) by choosing as Hilbert space the space of square-integrable functions on M_{d-1} with values in vectors $\mathbb{C}_{spin}^\nu \otimes \mathbb{C}_{color}^N$, F the sign of the 1-particle Weyl operator $\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-i\Gamma_i \partial_i)$, and $A_\alpha = f_\alpha$ (matrix-valued functions on M_{d-1}). Using the following known non-Abelian generalization of Eq. (2.20) [28],

$$\text{Tr}_c(f_1 [F, f_2] \cdots [F, f_d]) = \left(-\frac{i}{2\pi} \right)^{d/2} \frac{2^d (d/2)!}{d!} \int_{M_{d-1}} \text{tr}_N(f_1 df_2 \cdots df_d) \quad (2.57)$$

where tr_N is the usual trace of $N \times N$ matrices, this yields

$$[\hat{\rho}(f_1), \hat{\rho}(f_2), \dots, \hat{\rho}(f_d)]_{\text{mod}} = \hat{\rho}([f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d]) - \left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^{d/2} \frac{(d/2)!}{d!} \int_{M_{d-1}} \epsilon^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_d} \text{tr}_N(f_{\alpha_1} df_{\alpha_2} \dots df_{\alpha_d}). \quad (2.58)$$

Note that, for $d = 2n = 2$, we recover the well-known non-Abelian current algebra in (1+1)d (see e.g. [33])

$$[\hat{\rho}(f_1), \hat{\rho}(f_2)] = \hat{\rho}([f_1, f_2]) - \frac{i}{4\pi} \int_{M_1} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \text{tr}_N(f_\alpha df_\beta)$$

which is consistent (as discussed in Section II A). For $2n = 4$, we obtain

$$[\hat{\rho}(f_1), \hat{\rho}(f_2), \hat{\rho}(f_3), \hat{\rho}(f_4)]_{\text{mod}} = \hat{\rho}([f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4]) - \frac{1}{48\pi^2} \int_{M_3} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \text{tr}_N(f_\alpha df_\beta df_\gamma df_\delta). \quad (2.59)$$

As shown in the following paragraph, Eq. (2.41) and known results in the literature [37] imply that this non-Abelian current algebra in (3+1)d is consistent as well. For $d = 2n > 4$, we do not have a proof that Eq. (2.58) is consistent (it certainly would be interesting to find such a proof).

To conclude this section, we prove the consistency of Eq. (2.59), i.e., we show that $\delta S_4(f_1, \dots, f_7) = 0$ holds true even if the function f_α are matrix-valued. For that, we first compute $\delta S_4(f_1, \dots, f_7)$ by specializing Eq. (2.41) to $A_\alpha = f_\alpha$ and computing the resulting expression in a gradient expansion, using symbol calculus of pseudodifferential operators [37]. We use that the symbol of the operator F is $F(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i p_i / |\mathbf{p}|$ (where p_i are the components of the momentum \mathbf{p}), the symbol of the operator $[F, f_\alpha]$ is

$$(-i) \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial F(\mathbf{p})}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial f_\alpha(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i};$$

this implies that the leading term in the gradient expansion of $\delta S_4(f_1, \dots, f_7)$ obtained from Eq. (2.41) is a linear combination of terms

$$\int_{M_3} \epsilon^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_7} \text{tr}_N \left(f_{\alpha_1} f_{\alpha_2} \partial_{i_1} f_{\alpha_3} [f_{\alpha_4}, \partial_{i_2} f_{\alpha_5} \partial_{i_3} f_{\alpha_6}] \partial_{i_4} f_{\alpha_7} \right)$$

where $\partial_i f_\alpha$ is short for $\frac{\partial f_\alpha}{\partial x_i}$ (see Ref. [37] for details). Thus, Eq. (2.41) implies that $\delta S_4(f_1, \dots, f_4)$ is an integral of terms with at least 4 differentiations. On the other hand, Eqs. (2.34) and (2.57) imply

$$S_4(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) = -\frac{1}{48\pi^2} \int_{M_3} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \text{tr}_N(f_\alpha df_\beta df_\gamma df_\delta),$$

and computing $\delta S_4(f_1, \dots, f_7) = (1/144) \epsilon^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_7} S_4([f_{\alpha_1}, f_{\alpha_2}, f_{\alpha_3}, f_{\alpha_4}], f_{\alpha_5}, f_{\alpha_6}, f_{\alpha_7})$ from this one finds that it is an integral of terms with 3 differentiations. This leads to a contradiction unless $\delta S_4(f_1, \dots, f_7) = 0$, which proves the result.

III. BULK DENSITY OPERATOR ALGEBRA

In this section, we discuss the bulk density operator algebra for (topological) band insulators. We first warm up by discussing (2+1)d Chern insulators, and then look at higher-dimensional topological insulators.

A. Set up

Let us start by introducing the necessary notations for one-particle lattice Hamiltonians defined on a d -dimensional hypercubic lattice whose lattice constant is \mathbf{a} . Consider a tight-binding Hamiltonian,

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'} \hat{c}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \hat{c}(\mathbf{x}'), \quad (3.1)$$

where $\hat{c}(\mathbf{x})$ is an N_f -component fermion annihilation operator, and index $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in (\mathbf{a}\mathbb{Z})^d = \{\mathbf{an} | \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ labels a site on a d -dimensional lattice (the internal indices are suppressed). The volume of the unit cell is $\Omega = \mathbf{a}^d$. Each

block in the single particle Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ is an $N_f \times N_f$ matrix, and subjected to the hermiticity condition $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x})^\dagger = \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$. The components in $\hat{c}(\mathbf{x})$ can describe, e.g., orbitals or spin degrees of freedom, as well as different sites within a crystal unit cell centered at \mathbf{x} .⁵

Provided the system has translational symmetry, $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')$, with periodic boundary conditions in each spatial direction (i.e., the system is defined on a torus T^d). Hereafter, we take a thermodynamic limit. Performing the Fourier transformation, we obtain the Hamiltonian in momentum space,

$$\hat{H} = \Omega \int_{T^d} \frac{d^d \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} \hat{c}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k}) \hat{c}(\mathbf{k}), \quad (3.2)$$

where the crystal momentum \mathbf{k} runs over the first Brillouin zone torus $T^d = [-\pi/\mathbf{a}, \pi/\mathbf{a}]^d$, and the Fourier component of the fermion operator and the Hamiltonian are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{c}(\mathbf{x}) &= \Omega \int_{T^d} \frac{d^d \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \hat{c}(\mathbf{k}), \\ \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in (\mathbf{a}\mathbb{Z})^d} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

The Bloch Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k})$ is diagonalized by N_f vectors

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k}) u^a(\mathbf{k}) = \epsilon^a(\mathbf{k}) u^a(\mathbf{k}), \quad a = 1, \dots, N_f, \quad (3.4)$$

where the eigenvectors are normalized as $[u^a(\mathbf{k})]^\dagger u^b(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_f} [u_i^a(\mathbf{k})]^* u_i^b(\mathbf{k}) = \delta^{ab}$. The fermion field operator can be expanded in terms of the eigenvectors as

$$\hat{c}_i(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} u_i^a(\mathbf{k}) \hat{\chi}_a(\mathbf{k}), \quad i = 1, \dots, N_f, \quad (3.5)$$

where $\hat{\chi}_a(\mathbf{k})$ represents a fermionic operator in the eigenbasis and given by

$$\hat{\chi}_a(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_f} [u_i^a(\mathbf{k})]^* \hat{c}_i(\mathbf{k}). \quad (3.6)$$

Below, we will focus on a particular set of bands; we focus on N_- bands below the Fermi energy and we assume they are separated by the other bands by an energy gap. We then project out N_+ bands for each \mathbf{k} with $N_+ + N_- = N_f$. We call the set of filled Bloch eigenbases $\{u^{\hat{a}}(\mathbf{k})\}$, where hatted indices $\hat{a} = 1, \dots, N_-$ labels the bands of our interest only. From here on, we will use the shorthand notation $\langle u^a(\mathbf{k}) | u^b(\mathbf{k}') \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N_f} [u_i^a(\mathbf{k})]^* [u_i^b(\mathbf{k}')]$.

B. The bulk algebra in (2+1)d

In this section, we summarize a commutator relation of the projected density operator for Chern insulators in the bulk [21, 38]. (2+1)d Chern insulators are those insulators characterized by non-zero first Chern number in momentum space:

$$Ch_1 = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int d^2 \mathbf{k} \sum_{\hat{a}=1}^{N_-} \mathcal{F}_{xy}^{\hat{a}\hat{a}}(\mathbf{k}), \quad (3.7)$$

where \mathcal{F} is the Berry curvature and given in terms of the Berry connection \mathcal{A} as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{\hat{a}\hat{b}} &= [d\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A}]^{\hat{a}\hat{b}} = d\mathcal{A}^{\hat{a}\hat{b}} + \sum_{\hat{c}=1}^{N_-} \mathcal{A}^{\hat{a}\hat{c}} \wedge \mathcal{A}^{\hat{c}\hat{b}}, \\ \mathcal{A}^{\hat{a}\hat{b}} &= \langle u^{\hat{a}} | du^{\hat{b}} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

⁵ In this paper, for simplicity, we assume that all internal degrees of freedom are spatially localized at the center of the unit cell, \mathbf{x} . In other words, we set the Fourier transformation to momentum space as $|\mathbf{k}, i\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in (\mathbf{a}\mathbb{Z})^d} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} |\mathbf{x}, i\rangle$, where i represents the internal degrees of freedom in the unit cell, and $|\mathbf{k}, i\rangle$ is periodic in the BZ. Within this treatment, the Bloch function $|\phi^a(\mathbf{k})\rangle$ has no extra phases depending on the localized position in the unit cell, i.e., $\langle \mathbf{k}, i | \phi^a(\mathbf{k}) \rangle = e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} u_i^a(\mathbf{k})$. This simplification can not be applied to effects that depend on the spatial embedding of the internal degrees of freedom, for instance, the semiclassical equations of motion.

Because of the presence of the bulk energy gap, focusing on low energies, we consider dynamics within the occupied bands. The projected fermion field operator $\hat{\psi}_i(\mathbf{x})$ is given by restricting the band sum to occupied states,

$$\hat{\psi}_i(\mathbf{k}) := \sum_{\hat{a}=1}^{N_-} u_i^{\hat{a}}(\mathbf{k}) \hat{\chi}_{\hat{a}}(\mathbf{k}), \quad (3.9)$$

$$\hat{\psi}_i(\mathbf{x}) = \Omega \int_{T^d} \frac{d^d \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \hat{\psi}_i(\mathbf{k}), \quad (3.10)$$

and the projected density operator is defined by

$$\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{i=1}^{N_f} \hat{\psi}_i^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\psi}_i(\mathbf{x}). \quad (3.11)$$

Note that in Eq. (3.9), the eigenvectors $u^{\hat{a}}(\mathbf{k})$ and the fermion operators $\hat{\chi}_{\hat{a}}(\mathbf{k})$ might not be globally defined over the BZ for each. These are separately gauge-dependent under the gauge transformation $u^{\hat{a}}(\mathbf{k}) \mapsto \sum_{\hat{b}=1}^{N_-} u^{\hat{b}}(\mathbf{k}) [V(\mathbf{k})]_{\hat{b}\hat{a}}$ and $\hat{\chi}_{\hat{a}}(\mathbf{k}) \mapsto \sum_{\hat{b}=1}^{N_-} [V(\mathbf{k})]_{\hat{b}\hat{a}}^* \hat{\chi}_{\hat{b}}(\mathbf{k})$, where $V(\mathbf{k}) \in U(N_-)$. However, the projected fermion operator $\hat{\psi}_i(\mathbf{k})$ remains gauge-independent.

The Fourier transformation of $\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{x})$ is given by $\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) = \Omega \int \frac{d^d \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^d} e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q})$, and $\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q})$ is expanded in terms of the Bloch basis $\hat{\chi}_{\hat{a}}(\mathbf{k})$ as

$$\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}) = \Omega \int_{T^d} \frac{d^d \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{\hat{a}, \hat{b}=1}^{N_-} \langle u^{\hat{a}}(\mathbf{k}) | u^{\hat{b}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) \rangle \hat{\chi}_{\hat{a}}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) \hat{\chi}_{\hat{b}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}). \quad (3.12)$$

The matrix elements of $\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q})$ with respect to the one-particle basis $\{\hat{\chi}_{\hat{a}}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) | 0\rangle\}_{\mathbf{k} \in T^d, \hat{a}=1, \dots, N_-}$ (which are $U(N_-)$ gauge-dependent) are

$$[\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q})]_{\mathbf{k}\hat{a}; \mathbf{k}'\hat{b}} = \frac{(2\pi)^d}{\Omega} \delta^d(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}) \langle u^{\hat{a}}(\mathbf{k}) | u^{\hat{b}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) \rangle. \quad (3.13)$$

A product of two $[\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q})]$ has a simple form

$$\begin{aligned} ([\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q})][\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}')])_{\mathbf{k}\hat{a}; \mathbf{k}'\hat{b}} &= \frac{(2\pi)^d}{\Omega} \delta^d(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}') \\ &\times \sum_{\hat{c}=1}^{N_-} \langle u^{\hat{a}}(\mathbf{k}) | u^{\hat{c}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) \rangle \langle u^{\hat{c}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) | u^{\hat{b}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}') \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

There is a similar expression for $[\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_1)][\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_2)] \cdots [\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_n)]$ for any n .

Let us now evaluate the commutator of the projected density operators. Naively, we expect that it should have the same properties as the integer QHE in the long wavelength limit. We note that, generically, for second quantized one-particle operators $\hat{Q}_0(A) = \sum_{mn} A_{mn} \hat{\psi}_m^\dagger \hat{\psi}_n$, their commutator is given by $[\hat{Q}_0(A), \hat{Q}_0(B)] = \hat{Q}_0([A, B])$. Thus the commutator of $\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q})$ is easily obtained from the product formula (3.14),

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_1), \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_2)] &= \sum_{\hat{a}, \hat{b}} \Omega \int_{T^d} \frac{d^d \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{\hat{c}=1}^{N_-} \epsilon^{i_1 i_2} \langle u^{\hat{a}}(\mathbf{k}) | u^{\hat{c}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1) \rangle \\ &\times \langle u^{\hat{c}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1) | u^{\hat{b}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) \rangle \hat{\chi}_{\hat{a}}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) \hat{\chi}_{\hat{b}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

In the long-wavelength limit, we can show the following relation

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\hat{c}=1}^{N_-} \epsilon^{i_1 i_2} \langle u^{\hat{a}}(\mathbf{k}) | u^{\hat{c}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1) \rangle \langle u^{\hat{c}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1) | u^{\hat{b}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) \rangle \\ &= (\mathbf{q}_1 \wedge \mathbf{q}_2) \epsilon^{\mu_1 \mu_2} \frac{\mathcal{F}^{\hat{a}\hat{b}}_{\mu_1 \mu_2}(\mathbf{k})}{2} + O(q^3), \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where $\mathbf{q}_1 \wedge \mathbf{q}_2 = \epsilon^{\mu_1 \mu_2} (q_1)_{\mu_1} (q_2)_{\mu_2} = (q_1)_x (q_2)_y - (q_1)_y (q_2)_x$, and $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{k})$ is the $N_- \times N_-$ non-Abelian Berry curvature. Thus, we obtain

$$[\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_1), \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_2)] = (\mathbf{q}_1 \wedge \mathbf{q}_2) \sum_{\hat{a}, \hat{b}} \Omega \int_{T^d} \frac{d^d \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \epsilon^{\mu_1 \mu_2} \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{\hat{a} \hat{b}}(\mathbf{k})}{2} \hat{\chi}_{\hat{a}}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) \hat{\chi}_{\hat{b}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) + O(q^3). \quad (3.17)$$

If the Berry curvature were to be constant over the BZ, $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}) = \text{const.}$, the projected density operator would obey the w_∞ algebra in the long wavelength limit,

$$[\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_1), \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_2)] = -i\Omega \frac{Ch_1}{2\pi} (\mathbf{q}_1 \wedge \mathbf{q}_2) \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) + O(q^3) \quad (\text{for } \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}) = \text{const.}), \quad (3.18)$$

as in the integer QHE. Here, Ch_1 is the first Chern number of the bulk Chern insulator. Generically, however, the Berry curvature is not constant in the BZ; The density operator algebra in generic Chern insulators is given by neither $W_{1+\infty}$ or w_∞ algebra, and not directly related to the first Chern number Ch_1 . Nevertheless, as we will show below, the topological invariant Ch_1 still shows up in the current algebra if one considers a many-body ground state in the presence of a boundary.

C. The bulk algebra in generic even space dimensions

We now generalize the (2+1)d results presented in the previous section to higher dimensional topological insulators. We start with the product of d projected density operators for $(d+1)$ -dimensional topological insulators. It is given in terms of the d -bracket of the corresponding single-particle operators as

$$[\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_1), \dots, \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_d)]_{\text{mod}} = \hat{Q}_0([\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_1)], \dots, [\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_d)]), \quad (3.19)$$

where $[\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q})]$ on the RHS represents a single-particle operator of $\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q})$ with respect to the single-particle basis $\{\hat{\chi}_{\hat{a}}^\dagger(\mathbf{k})|0\rangle\}_{\mathbf{k} \in T^d, \hat{a}=1, \dots, N_-}$. We will show that the RHS in Eq. (3.19) is evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{Q}_0([\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_1)], \dots, [\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_d)]) \\ &= \sum_{\hat{a}, \hat{b}=1}^{N_-} \Omega \int_{T^d} \frac{d^d \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} (\mathbf{q}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{q}_d) \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_d} \\ & \times \sum_{\hat{c}_1, \dots, \hat{c}_{d-1}=1}^{N_-} \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{\hat{a} \hat{c}_1}(\mathbf{k})}{2} \dots \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\mu_{d-1} \mu_d}^{\hat{c}_{d-1} \hat{b}}(\mathbf{k})}{2} \hat{\chi}_{\hat{a}}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) \hat{\chi}_{\hat{b}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{q}_d) + O(q^{d+1}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

for even d , where we denoted $(\mathbf{q}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{q}_d) = \det(\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_d) = \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_d} (q_1)_{\mu_1} \dots (q_d)_{\mu_d}$. To show Eq. (3.20), we start by noting that the Berry curvature \mathcal{F} is related with the projection matrix $P = \sum_{\hat{a}=1}^{N_-} |u^{\hat{a}}\rangle \langle u^{\hat{a}}|$ by

$$\mathcal{F}^{\hat{a} \hat{b}} = \langle du^{\hat{a}} | (1 - P) | du^{\hat{b}} \rangle = \langle u^{\hat{a}} | dP dP | u^{\hat{b}} \rangle. \quad (3.21)$$

Furthermore, the projected density operator is given in terms of the projection matrix as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}) &= \Omega \int_{T^d} \frac{d^d \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{\hat{a}, \hat{b}} \hat{\chi}_{\hat{a}}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) \langle u^{\hat{a}}(\mathbf{k}) | u^{\hat{b}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) \rangle \hat{\chi}_{\hat{b}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) \\ &= \Omega \int_{T^d} \frac{d^d \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{i,j} \hat{c}_i^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) [P(\mathbf{k}) P(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q})]_{ij} \hat{c}_j(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

We will find the following relations useful :

$$\begin{aligned} P^2 &= P, \quad P \partial_\mu P = \partial_\mu P (1 - P), \quad P \partial_\mu P P = 0, \\ P \partial_\mu P \partial_\nu P &= \partial_\mu P \partial_\nu P P, \quad \partial_\mu \partial_\nu P P = -P \partial_\mu P \partial_\nu P - P \partial_\nu P \partial_\mu P. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

To calculate the four-bracket $[[\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_1)], \dots, [\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}_d)]]$, we consider the following antisymmetrized product

$$(*) = \epsilon^{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4 \dots} P(\mathbf{k}) P(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_1}) P(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_1} + \mathbf{q}_{i_2}) P(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_1} + \mathbf{q}_{i_2} + \mathbf{q}_{i_3}) P(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_1} + \mathbf{q}_{i_2} + \mathbf{q}_{i_3} + \mathbf{q}_{i_4}) \dots \quad (3.24)$$

By noting

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} P(\mathbf{k}) [P(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) - P(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}')] P(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}') \\ &= \frac{1}{2} P \left[(q_\mu - q'_\mu) \partial_\mu P + \frac{1}{2} (q_\mu q_\nu - q'_\mu q'_\nu) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu P \right] \left[P + (q_\mu + q'_\mu) \partial_\mu P \right] + O(q^3) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} P \left[(q_\mu - q'_\mu) (q_\nu + q'_\nu) \partial_\mu P \partial_\nu P + \frac{1}{2} (q_\mu q_\nu - q'_\mu q'_\nu) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu P P \right] + O(q^3) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} P \left[(q_\mu - q'_\mu) (q_\nu + q'_\nu) \partial_\mu P \partial_\nu P - \frac{1}{2} (q_\mu q_\nu - q'_\mu q'_\nu) (P \partial_\mu P \partial_\nu P - P \partial_\nu P \partial_\mu P) \right] + O(q^3) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (q_\mu q'_\nu - q_\nu q'_\mu) P \partial_\mu P \partial_\nu P + O(q^3), \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

then, we can show

$$\begin{aligned} (*) &= \epsilon^{i_1 i_2 \dots} \frac{1}{2} P(\mathbf{k}) [P(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_1}) - P(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_2})] P(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_1} + \mathbf{q}_{i_2}) \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{1}{2} P(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_1} + \mathbf{q}_{i_2}) [P(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_1} + \mathbf{q}_{i_2} + \mathbf{q}_{i_3}) - P(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_1} + \mathbf{q}_{i_2} + \mathbf{q}_{i_4})] P(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_1} + \mathbf{q}_{i_2} + \mathbf{q}_{i_3} + \mathbf{q}_{i_4}) \dots \\ &= \epsilon^{i_1 i_2 \dots} \left[\frac{1}{2} ((q_{i_1})_{\mu_1} (q_{i_2})_{\mu_2} - (q_{i_1})_{\mu_2} (q_{i_2})_{\mu_1}) P \partial_{\mu_1} P \partial_{\mu_2} P + O(q^3) \right] \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2} ((q_{i_3})_{\mu_3} (q_{i_4})_{\mu_4} - (q_{i_3})_{\mu_4} (q_{i_4})_{\mu_3}) P \partial_{\mu_3} P \partial_{\mu_4} P + O(q^3) \right] \dots \\ &= \epsilon^{i_1 i_2 \dots} \left[(q_{i_1})_{\mu_1} (q_{i_2})_{\mu_2} P \partial_{\mu_1} P \partial_{\mu_2} P + O(q^3) \right] \left[(q_{i_3})_{\mu_3} (q_{i_4})_{\mu_4} P \partial_{\mu_3} P \partial_{\mu_4} P + O(q^3) \right] \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

In particular, for the product of $d + 1$ projectors and when the space dimension is d (here d is even),

$$(*) = (\mathbf{q}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{q}_d) \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_d} P \partial_{\mu_1} P \dots \partial_{\mu_d} P + O(q^{d+1}). \quad (3.27)$$

Finally, by noticing

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle u^{\hat{a}}(\mathbf{k}) \left| P(\mathbf{k}) P(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_1}) P(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_1} + \mathbf{q}_{i_2}) \dots P(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_1} + \dots + \mathbf{q}_{i_d}) \right| u^{\hat{b}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_1} + \dots + \mathbf{q}_{i_d}) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\hat{c}_1, \dots, \hat{c}_{d-1}=1}^{N_-} \langle u^{\hat{a}}(\mathbf{k}) | u^{\hat{c}_1}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_1}) \rangle \langle u^{\hat{c}_1}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_1}) | u^{\hat{c}_2}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_1} + \mathbf{q}_{i_2}) \rangle \\ &\quad \dots \times \left\langle u^{\hat{c}_{d-1}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_1} + \dots + \mathbf{q}_{i_{d-1}}) \left| u^{\hat{b}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_1} + \dots + \mathbf{q}_{i_d}) \right. \right\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

Eq. (3.27) leads to the main result (3.20) since

$$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon^{i_1 \dots i_d} \sum_{\hat{c}_1, \dots, \hat{c}_{d-1}=1}^{N_-} \langle u^{\hat{a}}(\mathbf{k}) | u^{\hat{c}_1}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_1}) \rangle \langle u^{\hat{c}_1}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_1}) | u^{\hat{c}_2}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_1} + \mathbf{q}_{i_2}) \rangle \dots \\ &\quad \dots \times \left\langle u^{\hat{c}_{d-1}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_1} + \dots + \mathbf{q}_{i_{d-1}}) \left| u^{\hat{b}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{i_1} + \dots + \mathbf{q}_{i_d}) \right. \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\hat{c}_1, \dots, \hat{c}_{d-1}=1}^{N_-} (\mathbf{q}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{q}_d) \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_d} \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{\hat{a} \hat{c}_1}}{2} \dots \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\mu_{d-1} \mu_d}^{\hat{c}_{d-1} \hat{b}}}{2} + O(q^{d+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

As in the (2+1)d case, the main result (3.20) can further be simplified assuming the uniform distribution of the Berry curvature and written in terms of the bulk Chern number. Specifically, we assume

$$\epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_d} \sum_{\hat{c}_1, \dots, \hat{c}_{d/2-1}=1}^{N_-} \mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{\hat{a} \hat{c}_1} \dots \mathcal{F}_{\mu_{d/2-1} \mu_d}^{\hat{c}_{d/2-1} \hat{b}} = \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_d} [\mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \mu_2} \dots \mathcal{F}_{\mu_{d-1} \mu_d}]^{\hat{a} \hat{b}} = \delta^{\hat{a} \hat{b}} C \quad (3.30)$$

where C is \mathbf{k} -independent. By taking the trace and integral of the both sides, we determine the constant as

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^d \mathbf{k} \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_d} \text{Tr}_{occ} [\mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \mu_2} \dots \mathcal{F}_{\mu_{d-1} \mu_d}] &= \frac{(2\pi)^d}{\Omega} N_- C \\ \implies C &= \rho_0^{-1} 2^{d/2} (d/2)! \left(\frac{-i}{2\pi} \right)^{d/2} Ch_{d/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

where the trace is taken over the filled bands, $(2\pi)^d/\Omega$ is the volume of the Brillouin zone, and $\rho_0 = N_-/\Omega$ is the average density. With the assumption, the RHS of the main result (3.20) simplifies as

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_d} \int_{\text{BZ}} \frac{d^d \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{\hat{a}, \hat{b}=1}^{N_-} \sum_{\hat{c}_1, \dots, \hat{c}_{d/2-1}=1}^{N_-} \hat{\chi}_{\hat{a}}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{\hat{a} \hat{c}_1} \dots \mathcal{F}_{\mu_{d-1} \mu_d}^{\hat{c}_{d/2-1} \hat{b}} \hat{\chi}_{\hat{b}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{q}_d) \\ = C \int_{\text{BZ}} \frac{d^d \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{\hat{a}, \hat{b}=1}^{N_-} \hat{\chi}_{\hat{a}}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) \delta^{\hat{a} \hat{b}} \hat{\chi}_{\hat{b}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{q}_d), \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

leading to Eq. (1.24).

IV. THE WANNIER FUNCTIONS AND THE BULK-BOUNDARY RELATION

We have used the Bloch wave functions to define the projected density operator and discussed the algebraic structure. An alternative approach is to use the Wannier functions. There, we can avoid the global issue of the Bloch functions. The topological nature of bands with non-zero Chern numbers nevertheless manifests as an obstruction to localizing the Wannier functions. Another advantage of the Wannier function is that it allows us to introduce a boundary to the system in a natural way. We can then discuss the boundary density operator algebra. To illustrate these points, we use the lowest Landau level (LLL) of the (2+1)d QHE. The following discussion is essentially the review of Ref. [19]. We will review the derivation of the the GMP algebra (the $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra), and also how the bulk GMP algebra is related to the $U(1)$ Kac-Moody algebra realized on the edge of the integer QHE. We also discuss the extension to the lattice models, i.e., Chern insulators.

The bulk and boundary algebras are related as follows: We consider a ground state of integer quantum hall fluid in the presence of a boundary, which is obtained by filling a set of Wannier functions. The density operators are normal-ordered with respect to this ground state. With the normal ordering, the algebra of the density operators acquires a central extension, which leads to, in the long wavelength limit, the Kac-Moody current algebra for (smeared) density operators near the edge. It should be noted that only the long wavelength limit of the $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra, the w_∞ algebra, which is the classical version of the $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra is needed to derive the Kac-Moody algebra at the edge.

We collect, as a start, known relevant results in the QHE. We will mostly focus on the integer QHE. The Hamiltonian of a single particle with mass m subjected to a uniform magnetic field B is $\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2m} (\mathbf{p} + e\mathbf{A})^2$, where $\partial_x A_y - \partial_y A_x = B$. We shall adopt the Landau gauge $\mathbf{A} = (-By, 0)$, which is convenient for our later discussion on a semi-infinite geometry with a straight edge located at $y = 0$. The LLL wave functions are given by

$$\phi_{k_x}(x, y) = \frac{1}{(\pi \ell_0^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{ik_x x} e^{-\frac{1}{2\ell_0^2} (y - \ell_0^2 k_x)^2} \quad (4.1)$$

with normalization $\langle \phi_{k_x} | \phi_{k'_x} \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \phi_{k_x}^*(x, y) \phi_{k'_x}(x, y) = (2\pi) \delta(k_x - k'_x)$. Here, $\ell_0 = 1/\sqrt{eB}$ is the magnetic length.

Let us assume that a real space geometry is an infinite cylinder of circumference L , $(x, y) \in S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, with the periodic boundary condition in the x -direction, $x = x + L$. We will eventually take the $L \rightarrow \infty$ limit. The LLL wave function with the wave number $k_x \in \mathbb{R}$ is localized in the y -direction around $\langle y \rangle = k_x/\ell_0^2$ with its width given by $\langle (\delta y)^2 \rangle \sim \ell_0^2$.

A. The bulk algebra (the GMP algebra)

We now move on to the second quantization formalism. The fermion field operators within the LLL are expanded as

$$\hat{\psi}(x, y) = \int \frac{dk_x}{2\pi} \hat{c}_{k_x} \phi_{k_x}(x, y), \quad (4.2)$$

where \hat{c}_{k_x} is the LLL fermion annihilation operator with momentum k_x . The $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra emerges as the algebra of unitary transformations preserving the LLL condition and the particle number. Such a unitary transformation is given by $\hat{c}_{k_x} \mapsto \hat{c}'_{k_x} = \sum_{k'_x} U_{k_x, k'_x} \hat{c}_{k'_x}$. Generators of the unitary transformations are given by

$$\hat{\rho}(f) = \int dx dy \hat{\rho}(x, y) f(x, y), \quad (4.3)$$

where $\hat{\rho}(x, y)$ is the density operator projected to the LLL, $\hat{\rho}(x, y) = \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x, y) \hat{\psi}(x, y)$, and $f(x, y)$ is an arbitrary envelope function. Of our interest is the algebra of the generators $\rho(f)$. We work with the Fourier components of $\hat{\rho}(x, y)$, which is given by $\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q})$ with $f(x, y) = e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}) &:= \int d^2x \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \\ &= \int \frac{dk_x}{2\pi} \hat{c}_{k_x}^\dagger \hat{c}_{k_x+q_x} e^{-\frac{i\ell_0^2}{2}q_y(2k_x+q_x)} e^{-\frac{\ell_0^2}{4}q^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

with $\mathbf{x} = (x, y)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_x, q_y)$.

We are interested in the commutator of the density operator, $[\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}), \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}')]$. We recall that, for second-quantized operators $\hat{Q}_0(A) = \sum_{mn} A_{mn} \hat{\psi}_m^\dagger \hat{\psi}_n$, the commutator between $\hat{Q}_0(A)$ and $\hat{Q}_0(B)$ is given by $[\hat{Q}_0(A), \hat{Q}_0(B)] = \hat{Q}_0([A, B])$. See Section II for a more systematic discussion of the formula of this type. For our purpose, $\hat{Q}_0(A) = \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q})$ and $\hat{Q}_0(B) = \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}')$. Furthermore, introducing a notation for matrix components of a second quantized operator \hat{O} as $[\hat{O}]_{n,m}$ where $\{n, m\}$ represent one-particle basis, $A = [\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q})]$ and $B = [\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}')]$. Explicitly, they are given by

$$[\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q})]_{k_x, k'_x} = (2\pi) \delta(k'_x - k_x - q_x) e^{-\frac{i\ell_0^2}{2}q_y(k_x+k'_x)} e^{-\frac{\ell_0^2}{4}q^2}. \quad (4.5)$$

It is convenient to introduce $\hat{W}(\mathbf{q}) = \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}) e^{\frac{\ell_0^2}{4}q^2}$, which obeys the GMP ($W_{1+\infty}$) algebra :

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{W}(\mathbf{q}), \hat{W}(\mathbf{q}')] &= \int \frac{dk_x}{2\pi} \int \frac{dk'_x}{2\pi} \hat{c}_{k_x}^\dagger \hat{c}_{k'_x} \int \frac{dk''_x}{2\pi} \left[[\hat{W}(\mathbf{q})]_{k_x, k''_x} [\hat{W}(\mathbf{q}')]_{k''_x, k'_x} - (\mathbf{q} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{q}') \right] \\ &= -2i \sin\left(\frac{\ell_0^2}{2} \mathbf{q} \wedge \mathbf{q}'\right) \hat{W}(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}'). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

In the long-wavelength limit $\ell_0 q \ll 1$, the GMP algebra reduces to

$$[\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}), \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}')] \simeq -i\ell_0^2 (\mathbf{q} \wedge \mathbf{q}') \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}') \quad (\ell_0 q \ll 1). \quad (4.7)$$

This is the w_∞ algebra, the algebra of classical area-preserving transformations. For the smeared density operator, $\hat{\rho}(f) = \int d^2x f(\mathbf{x}) \int \frac{d^2q}{(2\pi)^2} \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}) e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$, the w_∞ algebra is written as

$$[\hat{\rho}(f_1), \hat{\rho}(f_2)] \simeq i\ell_0^2 \hat{\rho}(\{f_1, f_2\}_{\text{PB}}) \quad (\ell_0 q \ll 1), \quad (4.8)$$

where $\{f_1, f_2\}_{\text{PB}} = \partial_x f_1 \partial_y f_2 - \partial_y f_1 \partial_x f_2$ is the Poisson bracket. If we include higher order terms in $\ell_0 q$, the Poisson bracket is replaced by the Moyal bracket.

B. The boundary algebra (the $U(1)$ Kac-Moody algebra)

The discussion so far does not refer to the properties of the ground state(s); the GMP algebra is simply the algebraic relation obeyed by the density operator projected to the LLL, and is utterly irrelevant to which ground state is realized in the LLL, which may depend on the filling fraction, interactions, etc. We now specialize in the integer QHE and discuss edge excitations and their current algebra following Azuma's approach [19].

Let us introduce a ground state by occupying the LLL states with $\langle y \rangle < 0$ whereas states with $\langle y \rangle > 0$ are unoccupied:

$$|GS\rangle := \prod_{k_x < 0} \hat{c}_{k_x}^\dagger |0\rangle, \quad (4.9)$$

Here, $|0\rangle$ the no particle state (i.e., annihilated by all \hat{c}_{k_x}) and one should recall that the LLL states are localized at $y = \ell_0^2 k_x$. Here, the state $|GS\rangle$ is viewed as the ground state of the integer QHE in the presence of a boundary (edge) at around $y = 0$. It should be noted that here we consider the single-particle wave functions in the LLL which are energy eigen functions in the absence of the boundary.

For the specified ground state, the density operator $\hat{\rho}(x, y)$ is not well-defined due to the ultraviolet (UV) divergence that comes from the Dirac (Fermi) sea. A well-defined density operator can nevertheless be introduced by taking the normal order, $\ddagger \hat{\rho}(x, y) \ddagger$. Here, the normal order for one-particle operators is defined by

$$\ddagger \hat{c}_{k_x}^\dagger \hat{c}_{k'_x} \ddagger = \begin{cases} -\hat{c}_{k_x} \hat{c}_{k'_x}^\dagger, & (k_x = k'_x < 0), \\ \hat{c}_{k_x}^\dagger \hat{c}_{k'_x}, & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases} \quad (4.10)$$

Let us now consider the commutator of the normal-ordered density operator $\ddagger \hat{\rho}(q_x, y) \ddagger$, $[\ddagger \hat{\rho}(q_x, y) \ddagger, \ddagger \hat{\rho}(q'_x, y') \ddagger]$. Here, $\hat{\rho}(q_x, y)$ is the Fourier transformation of $\hat{\rho}(x, y)$ along the x -direction and given by

$$\hat{\rho}(q_x, y) = \int \frac{dk_x}{2\pi} \hat{c}_{k_x}^\dagger \langle \tilde{\phi}_{k_x} | y \rangle \langle y | \tilde{\phi}_{k_x+q_x} \rangle \hat{c}_{k_x+q_x}, \quad (4.11)$$

where we introduced the basis of the one-particle states,

$$\langle y | \tilde{\phi}_{k_x} \rangle = \frac{1}{(\pi \ell_0^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\ell_0^2}(y - \ell_0^2 k_x)^2}. \quad (4.12)$$

Note that $\langle \tilde{\phi}_{k_x} | y \rangle \langle y | \tilde{\phi}_{k_x+q_x} \rangle$, and hence $\hat{\rho}(q_x, y)$, is exponentially localized around $y \sim \ell_0^2(k_x + \frac{q_x}{2})$ as

$$\langle \tilde{\phi}_{k_x} | y \rangle \langle y | \tilde{\phi}_{k_x+q_x} \rangle = \frac{1}{(\pi \ell_0^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{\ell_0^2}(y - \ell_0^2(k_x + \frac{q_x}{2}))^2} e^{-\frac{\ell_0^2}{4} q_x^2}. \quad (4.13)$$

We now recall, for two normal-ordered operators $\hat{Q}(A) = \ddagger \hat{Q}_0(A) \ddagger$ and $\hat{Q}(B) = \ddagger \hat{Q}_0(B) \ddagger$, their commutator is given by $[\hat{Q}(A), \hat{Q}(B)] = \hat{Q}([A, B]) + S_2(A, B)$, where we consider a many-body ground state in the Fock space given by $|GS\rangle = \prod_{n<0} \hat{\psi}_n^\dagger |0\rangle$, and $S_2(A, B)$ is a c -number and given by

$$S_2(A, B) = \sum_{n<0, m>0} (A_{nm} B_{mn} - B_{nm} A_{mn}). \quad (4.14)$$

The systematic discussion of the formula of type Eq. (4.14) is given in Section II. Specializing to our case, the commutator $[\ddagger \hat{\rho}(q_x, y) \ddagger, \ddagger \hat{\rho}(q'_x, y') \ddagger]$ is given by

$$[\ddagger \hat{\rho}(q_x, y) \ddagger, \ddagger \hat{\rho}(q'_x, y') \ddagger] = \hat{Q}([\hat{\rho}(q_x, y), [\hat{\rho}(q'_x, y')]]) + S_2([\hat{\rho}(q_x, y)], [\hat{\rho}(q'_x, y')]), \quad (4.15)$$

where the matrix elements of $\hat{\rho}(q_x, y)$ are given by

$$[\hat{\rho}(q_x, y)]_{k_x, k'_x} = (2\pi) \delta(k'_x - k_x - q_x) \langle \tilde{\phi}_{k_x} | y \rangle \langle y | \tilde{\phi}_{k_x+q_x} \rangle. \quad (4.16)$$

Following Ref. [18], we will further consider to take a spatial average of $\ddagger \hat{\rho}(q_x, y) \ddagger$, and introduce

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho}(q_x) &:= \int_{-L}^L dy \ddagger \hat{\rho}(q_x, y) \ddagger \\ &= \int_{-\Lambda}^{\Lambda} \frac{dk_x}{2\pi} \ddagger \hat{c}_{k_x}^\dagger \hat{c}_{k_x+q_x} \ddagger \langle \tilde{\phi}_{k_x} | P_L | \tilde{\phi}_{k_x+q_x} \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

with $P_L = \int_{-L}^L dy |y\rangle \langle y|$ and $L \gg \ell_0$. The operator $\hat{\rho}(q_x)$ is interpreted as the density operator which creates excitations at the boundary $y = 0$. We will eventually send $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and $L \rightarrow \infty$. Note that in this limit, $\int dy \hat{\rho}(q_x, y) = \int \frac{dk_x}{2\pi} \hat{c}_{k_x}^\dagger \hat{c}_{k_x+q_x} e^{-\frac{\ell_0^2}{4} q_x^2} = \int \frac{dk_x}{2\pi} \hat{c}_{k_x}^\dagger \hat{c}_{k_x+q_x} + O(q_x^2)$.

Let us now evaluate the first term in the RHS of Eq. (4.15). The relevant matrix elements of the first term are

$$\begin{aligned} &[[\hat{\rho}(q_x, y)], [\hat{\rho}(q'_x, y')]]_{k_x, k'_x} \\ &= (2\pi) \delta(k'_x - k_x - q_x - q'_x) \langle \tilde{\phi}_{k_x} | y \rangle \langle y | \tilde{\phi}_{k_x+q_x} \rangle \langle \tilde{\phi}_{k_x+q_x} | y' \rangle \langle y' | \tilde{\phi}_{k_x+q_x+q'_x} \rangle - (q_x \leftrightarrow q'_x). \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Recall that $\langle \tilde{\phi}_{k_x} | y \rangle \langle y | \tilde{\phi}_{k_x+q_x} \rangle$ is exponentially localized around $y \sim \ell_0^2(k_x + \frac{q_x}{2})$. Upon taking the spatial average in the y -direction, the matrix elements of $[[\hat{\rho}(q_x)], [\hat{\rho}(q'_x)]]$ may have contributions near $k_x, k'_x \sim L/\ell_0^2$. We can take the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ for an arbitrary fixed $k_x \in \mathbb{R}$, then $[[\hat{\rho}(q_x)], [\hat{\rho}(q'_x)]]_{k_x, k'_x} \rightarrow 0$ ($L \rightarrow \infty$). Thus, the first term in the RHS of Eq. (4.15), upon taking the spatial average in the y -direction, vanishes. As for the second term (the c -number part) of Eq. (4.15), it can be computed as

$$S_2([\hat{\rho}(q_x, y)], [\hat{\rho}(q'_x, y')]) = \delta(q_x + q'_x) \int_{-q_x}^0 dk_x \langle \tilde{\phi}_{k_x} | y \rangle \langle y | \tilde{\phi}_{k_x+q_x} \rangle \langle \tilde{\phi}_{k_x+q_x} | y' \rangle \langle y' | \tilde{\phi}_{k_x} \rangle. \quad (4.19)$$

Upon taking the spatial average in the y -direction, for $\ell_0^2 q_x \ll L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_2([\hat{\rho}(q_x)], [\hat{\rho}(q'_x)]) &= \delta(q_x + q'_x) \int_{-q_x}^0 dk_x |\langle \tilde{\phi}_{k_x} | P_L | \tilde{\phi}_{k_x+q_x} \rangle|^2 \\ &\rightarrow \delta(q_x + q'_x) \int_{-q_x}^0 dk_x |\langle \tilde{\phi}_{k_x} | \tilde{\phi}_{k_x+q_x} \rangle|^2 \quad (L \rightarrow \infty) \\ &= \delta(q_x + q'_x) q_x e^{-\frac{\ell_0^2}{2} q_x^2} \\ &= \delta(q_x + q'_x) q_x (1 + O((\ell_0 q_x)^2)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Note that the $\delta(q_x + q'_x) q_x$ factor arises from the condition of momenta $k'_x = k_x + q_x > 0$ and $k_x = k_x + q'_x < 0$. The microscopic structure of wave function $|\tilde{\phi}_{k_x}\rangle$ is not relevant.

Summarizing, the smeared density operator $\varrho(q_x)$ obeys the algebra

$$[\varrho(q_x), \varrho(q'_x)] = \delta(q_x + q'_x) q_x + O((\ell_0 q_x)^2), \quad (4.21)$$

at low energies. This is nothing but the $U(1)$ Kac-Moody algebra.

C. Beyond the (2+1)d Landau levels

1. (2+1)d Chern insulators

The above calculation for the (2+1)d QHE in the Landau gauge can be generalized to lattice systems (Chern insulators) and to higher dimensions by using the hybrid Wannier functions [39]. Let us start with the tight-binding model (3.1). For our purpose of introducing a straight boundary along the x -direction at around $y \sim 0$, it is convenient to consider the geometry which is periodic in the x -direction, and infinitely long in the y -direction. I.e., the geometry is an infinite cylinder. For simplicity, we consider only a single band $|u(k_x, k_y)\rangle$ to be occupied (and hence we drop the band index), and we set lattice constants as $a_x = a_y = 1$. The Bloch wave function can be chosen to obey a smooth and periodic gauge for the k_y -direction $|u(k_x, k_y + 2\pi)\rangle = |u(k_x, k_y)\rangle$. With this gauge choice, the hybrid Wannier function (which is exponentially localized along the y -direction) is defined by

$$|w(k_x, n_y)\rangle := \oint \frac{dk_y}{2\pi} e^{ik_y(\hat{n}_y - n_y)} |u(k_x, k_y)\rangle, \quad (4.22)$$

where \hat{n}_y is the y -component of the coordinate operator. The mean-localized position of $|w(k_x, n_y)\rangle$ is given by

$$\langle w(k_x, n_y) | \hat{n}_y | w(k_x, n_y) \rangle = n_y + \frac{\theta_y(k_x)}{2\pi}, \quad (4.23)$$

where $\theta_y(k_x)$ is the k_x -resolved polarization along the y -direction

$$\theta_y(k_x) = i \oint_0^{2\pi} dk_y \langle u(k_x, k_y) | \partial_{k_y} u(k_x, k_y) \rangle. \quad (4.24)$$

With this, the 1st Chern number is given by $Ch_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_0^{2\pi} d_k \theta_y(k_x) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Under the large gauge transformation of the Bloch wave functions $|u(k_x, k_y)\rangle \mapsto |u(k_x, k_y)\rangle e^{-in_y k_y}$, the polarization shifts as

$$\frac{\theta(k_x)}{2\pi} \mapsto \frac{\theta(k_x)}{2\pi} + n_y. \quad (4.25)$$

Thus, we can always choose the gauge of $|u(k_x, k_y)\rangle$ satisfying $\theta(0) \in [-\pi, \pi]$. It is crucial to observe that since $|w(k_x, n_y)\rangle$ satisfies

$$|w(k_x + 2\pi, n_y)\rangle = |w(k_x, n_y + Ch_1)\rangle, \quad (4.26)$$

the k_x -resolved polarization satisfies

$$\frac{\theta(k_x + 2\pi)}{2\pi} - \frac{\theta(k_x)}{2\pi} = Ch_1. \quad (4.27)$$

This means that, by changing k_x continuously (adiabatically) for $-\infty < k_x < +\infty$, one can span entirely the space of all occupied single-particle states. When $Ch_1 = 1$, this is essentially the behavior of the Landau level wavefunctions (4.1) in the Landau gauge. Note that k_x is originally defined in the BZ, but we have extended it to value in $\mathbb{R} \simeq [-\infty, +\infty]$. For simplicity, let us consider the case with $Ch_1 = 1$. The one-dimensional Wannier states $|w(k_x, n_y)\rangle$ can be labeled by single $k_x \in \mathbb{R}$ because of the relation $|w(k_x + 2\pi, n_y)\rangle = |w(k_x, n_y + 1)\rangle$. We thus define $|W_{k_x}\rangle := |w(k_x, n_y = 0)\rangle$ ($k_x \in \mathbb{R}$). The localized position of $|W_{k_x}\rangle$ is $\langle W_{k_x} | \hat{n}_y | W_{k_x} \rangle = \frac{\theta(k_x)}{2\pi} \in \mathbb{R}$. Using $|W_{k_x}\rangle$, we can essentially repeat the calculations in the previous section, i.e., we can define the projected density operator, the ground state in the presence of a boundary by filling with $|W_{k_x}\rangle$ with $k_x < 0$, and calculate the algebra of the projected and normal-ordered density operator. The Wannier functions can also be used to discuss higher-dimensional topological insulators on a lattice, and in the continuum.

2. The (4+1)-dimensional lowest Landau level

Let us have a closer look a particular continuum model realizing a (4+1)-dimensional topological insulator. A convenient model for us is the (4+1)-dimensional analog of the Landau levels introduced in Ref. [40], which is very similar to the (2+1)-dimensional Landau levels. One caveat of this model is that it is not translation invariant in all directions (and hence may be inconvenient to discuss the bulk density operator algebra). On the other hand, for the purpose of discussing the bulk-boundary relation, the lack of translation invariance in one direction is not a problem.

The model of the (4+1)-dimensional Landau levels is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{-\partial_4^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} \left[x_4 - \ell_0^2 \left(-i \sum_{i=1}^3 \partial_i \sigma_i \right) \right]^2 \quad (4.28)$$

with $\omega = 1/(m\ell_0)$. The energy eigenstates are labeled by the integer $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ (the "Landau level index"), the three-dimensional momentum $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, k_3)$, and the helicity $a = \pm$. The energy eigenvalues are given by $\epsilon^{na}(\mathbf{k}) = (n + 1/2)\omega$. I.e., for each Landau level, the spectrum is "flat" independent of \mathbf{k} and a . Placing the chemical potential in between Landau levels to realize a band insulator, this model exhibits the quantized non-linear electromagnetic response, which is the four-dimensional generalization of the QHE. If we impose open boundary conditions in the x_4 -direction, the system supports Weyl fermions with opposite chiralities on the two three-dimensional boundaries, respectively.

In the following, we shall focus on the LLL, $n = 0$. The LLL wave functions are given by

$$\vec{\phi}_{\mathbf{k},a}(\mathbf{x}, x_4) = \vec{\phi}_{\mathbf{k},a}(x_4) \cdot e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \vec{e}_a(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{(\pi\ell_0^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\ell_0^2}(x_4 - \ell_0^2\lambda_a(\mathbf{k}))^2} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \vec{e}_a(\mathbf{k}), \quad (4.29)$$

where the two-component spinor $\vec{e}_a(\mathbf{k})$ is an eigenstate of $\mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$, $(\mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})\vec{e}_a(\mathbf{k}) = \lambda_a(\mathbf{k})\vec{e}_a(\mathbf{k})$, $\lambda_{\pm}(\mathbf{k}) = \pm|\mathbf{k}|$. The LLL wave functions are localized in the x_4 -direction at around $\langle \phi_{\mathbf{k},a} | \hat{x}_4 | \phi_{\mathbf{k},a} \rangle = \ell_0^2 \lambda_a(\mathbf{k})$. The electron density operator projected onto the LLL and its Fourier transform is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}) &= \int dx_4 \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}, x_4) = \int dx_4 d^3\mathbf{x} e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, x_4) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}, a, b} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}a}^\dagger e^{-\frac{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}}{2\ell_0^2}(\lambda_b(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}) - \lambda_a(\mathbf{k}))^2} \vec{e}_a(\mathbf{k})^* \cdot \vec{e}_b(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}b}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

where $\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}a}^\dagger$ is the electron creation operator for the LLL, and we have averaged over the x_4 direction. In the long-wavelength limit $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$, the projected density operator is given by $\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}) \sim \sum_{\mathbf{k}, a} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},a}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q},a}$.

Following Azuma's approach, let us now consider a ground state by filling the LLL states with $\langle \hat{x}_4 \rangle < 0$, $|GS\rangle = \prod_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}-}^\dagger |0\rangle$, with the grading operator $F = 2P_+ - 1 = 2(1 - P_-) - 1 = 1 - 2P_-$ given by

$$F = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^3 k_i \sigma_i}{|\mathbf{k}|} = \text{sign } \mathcal{H}_{Weyl}. \quad (4.31)$$

Now, to consider the algebra of the normal-ordered, projected density operator we need to evaluate S_4 in Eq. (2.34) using the grading operator (4.31). This problem is identical to evaluating the density operator algebra within the (3+1)-dimensional Weyl fermion with the single-particle Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{Weyl} = -i \sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i \partial_i$. While we have treated the specific model of the Landau levels, Eq. (4.28), similar bulk-boundary correspondence can be established for more generic models.

V. DISCUSSION

In this paper, we identified the higher-bracket structure for the algebra of the projected density operators in topological insulators, both for their bulk and boundaries. For the density operator on the boundary of topological insulators, we identified Schwinger-term-like c-number parts (e.g., S_4), which are topological invariants; they are the so-called cyclic cocycles in noncommutative geometry of Connes. At the non-interacting level, they play central roles in the noncommutative geometry approach to address the effects of disorder [41–44]. Our work finds a many-body (an interacting) counterpart of the noncommutative geometry approach to topological insulators.

There are many further issues to be discussed. Below, we list some of the significant further problems.

- Guided by the mathematical consistency (i.e., the generalized Jacobi identities) we proposed to drop R_4 (and its higher dimensional counterparts) by a suitable renormalization. It is nevertheless desirable to have a better physical understanding of the renormalization. We also note that it would also be possible to guide ourselves by using alternative mathematical structures than higher Lie algebras, such as n -Lie algebras [45], in which a different definition of the generalized Jacobi identity is used. See, for example, Ref. [46] and references there.

- In this paper, we focused on $d = \text{even}$ bulk spatial dimensions. It is interesting to study the case of $d = \text{odd}$ bulk spatial dimensions. Topological insulators in these dimensions need to be protected by some symmetry, such as chiral symmetry (i.e., symmetry class AIII). We note that this is a statement at the level of topological band theory – with interactions, the topological classification may be altered (reduced) or can be completely different in principle. With these remarks in mind, we can still look at the algebraic structure of (projected/normal-ordered) density operators. With chiral symmetry, relevant electron coordinates are the chiral coordinate operators [6]. Similarly, we need to consider the chiral density operators as relevant second-quantized operators. The bulk and boundary algebras for the chiral density operators can be calculated in a way similar to the calculations presented in this paper [36].

- Looking ahead, despite all these issues, we believe the higher bracket structure of the density operator would play an important role in the description of topological insulators – in particular in the presence of interactions. Here, it is worth recalling the crucial role played by the GMP algebra and the $U(1)$ current algebra in the context of the integer as well as fractional quantum Hall effects. The GMP algebra is relevant in describing bulk excitations such as magneto roton in the QHE; The current algebra of edge excitations that arise from the bulk GMP algebra upon inclusion of its central extension can be used as a spectrum-generating algebra. In a sense, the GMP algebra provides a collective or "hydrodynamic" description of quantum Hall states. In a similar vein, a natural next step following the present paper is an application of the n -bracket structure of the density operators to describe the bulk and boundary excitations of topological insulators, and their interacting counterparts, putative fractional topological insulators. It is therefore important to study if the n -bracket of the density operators, computed in this paper in the limit of vanishing interactions, can receive some renormalization or interaction corrections. Even in the absence of interactions, one may wonder to which extent the results of the present paper for the n -bracket of the density operators is robust, e.g., in the presence of disorder, or beyond the semiclassical treatment adopted in this paper. Possible connections with quantum anomalies should also be explored.

- One of the most pressing issues is the application of the higher-bracket structure of the density operators. Are there any physical observables or phenomena associated with the higher algebraic structure? To help find applications, in a separate paper [36], we plan to visit the algebra of (boundary) current operators. There, we consider the smeared current operators

$$\hat{J}_\mu(f) = \int_{\partial M_4} d^3 \mathbf{x} f_{ab}(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\psi}_a^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \sigma_\mu \hat{\psi}_b(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mu = 0, \dots, 3, \quad (5.1)$$

(We here introduce "color" or "flavor" indices $a, b = 1, \dots, N$, and consider non-Abelian currents.) We will show that the same c-number part S_4 , appeared in the 4-bracket of the density operator, also appears in the repeated

commutator of the current operators,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3} [[[\hat{J}_0(f_{i_0}), \hat{J}_1(f_{i_1})], \hat{J}_2(f_{i_2})], \hat{J}_3(f_{i_3})] \\ & = i\hat{J}_0([f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3]) + b_4([f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3]), \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

where b_4 is given by Eq. (2.28). As we did for the higher bracket of the normal-ordered density operator, b_4 can be split into S_4 and R_4 , and, by subtracting R_4 , we can introduce a regularized version of the repeated commutator. While the regular commutator appears naturally in the linear response theory, the repeated commutator structure may play a role in the non-linear response of the system: The change in an observable induced by a perturbation \hat{V} is $\delta\langle\hat{O}(t)\rangle = \langle\hat{O}(t)\rangle - \langle\hat{O}(0)\rangle = +i \int^t dt' \langle[\hat{V}(t'), \hat{O}]\rangle + (i^2/2!) \int^t dt' \int^t dt'' \langle[\hat{V}(t'), [\hat{V}(t''), \hat{O}]]\rangle + \dots$.

– Finally, let us discuss a possible field theory description of the higher-bracket structure. We recall that, while the geometrical aspects such as the GMP algebra are not captured by topological field theories, one can formulate the non-commutative Chern-Simons theory [47] that can capture both topological and geometrical aspects of integer as well as fractional quantum Hall states [48]. Here, we use the functional bosonization and derive the (hydrodynamic) effective field theory of $(d+1)$ d topological insulators [49]. In this approach, the generating functional of correlation functions of $U(1)$ currents, obtained by the path integral over the fermion (electron) field ψ^\dagger, ψ in the presence of the background $U(1)$ gauge field A^{ext} ,

$$Z[A^{\text{ext}}] = \int \mathcal{D}[\psi^\dagger] \mathcal{D}[\psi] \exp \left[iK_F(\psi^\dagger, \psi, A^{\text{ext}}) \right], \quad (5.3)$$

is expressed in terms of the bosonic path integral,

$$Z[A^{\text{ext}}] = \int D[a] \mathcal{D}[b] Z[a] \exp \left[\frac{i}{2\pi} \int b(da - dA^{\text{ext}}) \right]. \quad (5.4)$$

Here, $K_F[\psi^\dagger, \psi, A^{\text{ext}}]$ is the fermionic action describing the (topological) insulator in the presence of the background $U(1)$ gauge field, and a and b are dynamical 1- and $(d-1)$ -form gauge fields, respectively. From the coupling to the background field, we read off the bosonization dictionary in which the $U(1)$ current j is represented in term of b as $j \propto db$. We also notice the BF -type coupling between a and b at level 1. Let us first discuss the case of the integer QHE and Chern insulators in $(2+1)$ dimensions. For a band insulator with the first Chern number $Ch_1 = 1$, the leading part in $Z[a]$ in Eq. (5.4) is given by the $(2+1)$ d Chern-Simons term, $\ln Z[a] = (i/4\pi) \int ada + \dots$. The resulting topological field theory (the level-1 BF theory with the Chern-Simons term ada), $Z = \int \mathcal{D}[a] \mathcal{D}[b] \exp iS$ with $S = \int (1/2\pi)b(da - dA^{\text{ext}}) + (1/4\pi)ada$, correctly reproduces the topological properties, but not the geometric ones, such as the GMP algebra. One can however include higher order terms that appear in $Z[a]$ [50], leading to

$$S = \int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} b_\mu \partial_\nu (a - A^{\text{ext}})_\lambda + \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} a_\mu \partial_\nu a_\lambda + \frac{\theta}{3} \{a_\mu, a_\nu\} a_\lambda \right) \right] + \dots \quad (5.5)$$

where $\{\dots\}$ is the Poisson bracket, $\{a_\mu, a_\nu\} = \epsilon_{ij} \partial_i a_\mu \partial_j a_\nu$, and θ is a dimensionful parameter, the noncommutative parameter, inversely proportional to the applied magnetic field. (Here, \dots includes even higher order terms and, also, the Maxwell term.) One recognizes the term $(\theta/3)\{a_\mu, a_\nu\}a_\lambda$ as arising from the leading order expansion of the non-commutative Moyal product; the second part in S agrees with the leading order expansion of the non-commutative Chern-Simons term, $(1/4\pi)\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}(a_\mu \star \partial_\nu a_\lambda + (2i/3)a_\mu \star a_\nu \star a_\lambda)$.

Turning now to a $(4+1)$ d topological insulator with unit second Chern number $Ch_2 = 1$, say, the topological field theory is given in terms of the one-form a and three-form gauge b gauge fields. The leading topological term of the action is given by the $(4+1)$ d Chern-Simons term,

$$\ln Z[a] = \frac{i}{24\pi^2} \int d^5x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} a_\mu \partial_\nu a_\lambda \partial_\rho a_\sigma + \dots \quad (5.6)$$

As before, the resulting topological field theory (the level-1 BF theory with 5d Chern-Simons term) only reproduces the topological properties, and hence one needs to go beyond the leading order Chern-Simons term. We have not carried out this calculation. Nevertheless, a natural guess is to replace the Poisson bracket appearing in the $(2+1)$ d case by the Nambu bracket. This results in the action

$$S = \int d^5x \left[\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} b_{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial_\rho (a - A^{\text{ext}})_\sigma + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} (\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} a_\mu \partial_\nu a_\lambda \partial_\rho a_\sigma + \theta \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} \epsilon_{ijkl} \partial_i a_\mu \partial_j a_\nu \partial_k a_\lambda \partial_l a_\rho a_\sigma) \right] + \dots \quad (5.7)$$

This is our proposal for the field theory description of the higher-bracket structure of the density operators in $(4+1)$ d topological insulators. The derivation and analysis of this theory are left for future work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Alan Carey for a remark about higher Lie algebras that prompted us to return to this project and helped us to overcome a deadlock preventing us from finishing this project in 2015. S.R. thanks Yichen Hu, Charlie Kane, Kohei Kawabata, and Pok Man Tam for discussion on the non-linear response and density correlation functions of a Fermi gas (Fermi liquid) [51, 52], which have some similarities with the density operator algebra in this work. We thank Alan Carey, Jouko Mickelsson, Titus Neupert, Mike Stone, Raimar Wolkenhaar, and Peng Ye for useful discussions. This work is supported by INSPIRE grant at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. K.S. was supported by JSPS Postdoctoral Fellowship for Research Abroad. S.R. is supported by the National Science Foundation under Award No. DMR-2001181, and by a Simons Investigator Grant from the Simons Foundation (Award No. 566116). This work is supported by the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation through Grant GBMF8685 toward the Princeton theory program.

-
- [1] M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **82**, 3045 (2010).
 - [2] X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **83**, 1057 (2011).
 - [3] C.-K. Chiu, J. C. Teo, A. P. Schnyder, and S. Ryu, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **88**, [10.1103/revmodphys.88.035005](https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.88.035005) (2016).
 - [4] T. Neupert, L. Santos, S. Ryu, C. Chamon, and C. Mudry, *Physical Review B* **86**, [10.1103/physrevb.86.035125](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.86.035125) (2012).
 - [5] B. Estienne, N. Regnault, and B. A. Bernevig, *Physical Review B* **86**, [10.1103/physrevb.86.241104](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.86.241104) (2012).
 - [6] K. Shiozaki and S. Fujimoto, *Physical Review Letters* **110**, [10.1103/physrevlett.110.076804](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.110.076804) (2013).
 - [7] K. Hasebe, *Nuclear Physics B* **886**, 681 (2014).
 - [8] K. Hasebe, *Nuclear Physics B* **886**, 952 (2014).
 - [9] K. Hasebe, *Nuclear Physics B* **920**, 475 (2017).
 - [10] K. Hasebe, Generating quantum matrix geometry from gauged quantum mechanics (2023), [arXiv:2310.01051](https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.01051) [hep-th].
 - [11] S. M. Girvin, A. H. MacDonald, and P. M. Platzman, *Physical Review Letters* **54**, 581 (1985).
 - [12] S. M. Girvin, A. H. MacDonald, and P. M. Platzman, *Physical Review B* **33**, 2481 (1986).
 - [13] D. Fairlie, P. Fletcher, and C. Zachos, *Physics Letters B* **218**, 203 (1989).
 - [14] D. Fairlie and C. Zachos, *Physics Letters B* **224**, 101 (1989).
 - [15] P. Fletcher, *Physics Letters B* **248**, 323 (1990).
 - [16] S. Iso, D. Karabali, and B. Sakita, *Physics Letters B* **296**, 143 (1992).
 - [17] A. Cappelli, C. A. Trugenberger, and G. R. Zemba, *Nuclear Physics B* **396**, 465 (1993).
 - [18] J. Martínez and M. Stone, *International Journal of Modern Physics B* **07**, 4389 (1993).
 - [19] H. Azuma, *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **92**, 293 (1994).
 - [20] A. Cappelli and L. Maffi, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **51**, 365401 (2018).
 - [21] S. A. Parameswaran, R. Roy, and S. L. Sondhi, *Physical Review B* **85**, 241308 (2012), [arXiv:1106.4025](https://arxiv.org/abs/1106.4025) [cond-mat.str-el].
 - [22] S. A. Parameswaran, R. Roy, and S. L. Sondhi, *Comptes Rendus Physique* **14**, 816 (2013), [arXiv:1302.6606](https://arxiv.org/abs/1302.6606) [cond-mat.str-el].
 - [23] B. A. Bernevig and N. Regnault, *Physical Review B* **85**, 075128 (2012), [arXiv:1110.4488](https://arxiv.org/abs/1110.4488) [cond-mat.str-el].
 - [24] J. A. de Azcárraga and J. C. P. Bueno, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **184**, 669 (1997).
 - [25] Y. Nambu, *Physical Review D* **7**, 2405 (1973).
 - [26] A. Connes, *Noncommutative geometry* (Springer, 1994).
 - [27] J. Gracia-Bondía, J. Várilly, and H. Figueroa, *Elements of noncommutative geometry, Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher, Birkhäuser Boston* (Inc., Boston, MA, 2001).
 - [28] E. Langmann, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **36**, 3822 (1995), [hep-th/9501092](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9501092).
 - [29] H. Fukaya, T. Onogi, S. Yamaguchi, and X. Wu, *Physical Review D* **101**, [10.1103/physrevd.101.074507](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevd.101.074507) (2020).
 - [30] L. E. Lundberg, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **50**, 103 (1976).
 - [31] A. Pressley and G. Segal, *Loop Groups: Oxford University Press* (1986).
 - [32] J. Mickelsson and S. Rajeev, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **116**, 365 (1988).
 - [33] A. Carey and S. Ruijsenaars, *Acta Applicandae Mathematica* **10**, 1 (1987).
 - [34] E. Langmann, *Acta Physica Polonica B* **27**, 2477 (1996), [arXiv:hep-th/9608003](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9608003).
 - [35] B. Simon, *Trace ideals and their applications*, London Mathematical Society lecture note series ; 35 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge [Eng.] ;, 1979).
 - [36] E. Langmann, S. Ryu, and K. Shiozaki, unpublished.
 - [37] E. Langmann and J. Mickelsson, *Physics Letters B* **338**, 241 (1994).
 - [38] G. Murthy and R. Shankar, *Physical Review B* **86**, 195146 (2012), [arXiv:1207.2133](https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.2133).
 - [39] X.-L. Qi, *Physical Review Letters* **107**, 126803 (2011), [arXiv:1105.4298](https://arxiv.org/abs/1105.4298).
 - [40] Y. Li, S.-C. Zhang, and C. Wu, *Physical Review Letters* **111**, 186803 (2013), [arXiv:1208.1562](https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.1562) [cond-mat.str-el].
 - [41] J. Bellissard, A. van Elst, and H. Schulz-Baldes, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **35**, 5373 (1994).
 - [42] M. B. Hastings and T. A. Loring, *Annals of Physics* **326**, 1699 (2011), July 2011 Special Issue.
 - [43] T. A. Loring and M. B. Hastings, *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **92**, 67004 (2010).

- [44] E. Prodan, B. Leung, and J. Bellissard, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **46**, 485202 (2013).
- [45] V. T. Filippov, *Siberian Mathematical Journal* **26**, 879 (1985).
- [46] J. DeBellis, C. Sämann, and R. J. Szabo, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **51**, 10.1063/1.3503773 (2010).
- [47] L. Susskind, *The Quantum Hall Fluid and Non-Commutative Chern Simons Theory* (2001), [arXiv:hep-th/0101029](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0101029) [hep-th].
- [48] M. F. Lapa and T. L. Hughes, *Physical Review B* **97**, 10.1103/physrevb.97.205122 (2018).
- [49] A. Chan, T. L. Hughes, S. Ryu, and E. Fradkin, *Physical Review B* **87**, 10.1103/physrevb.87.085132 (2013).
- [50] E. Fradkin, V. Jejjala, and R. G. Leigh, *Nuclear Physics B* **642**, 483 (2002).
- [51] P. M. Tam, M. Claassen, and C. L. Kane, *Physical Review X* **12**, 10.1103/physrevx.12.031022 (2022).
- [52] P. M. Tam and C. L. Kane, *Topological density correlations in a fermi gas* (2023), [arXiv:2310.03737](https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.03737) [cond-mat.quant-gas].