

Reservoir computing with logistic map

R. Arun¹ M. Sathish Aravindh¹, A. Venkatesan², M. Lakshmanan¹

¹*Department of Nonlinear Dynamics, School of Physics,
Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli - 620 024, India.*

²*PG & Research Department of Physics, Nehru Memorial College (Autonomous),
Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Puthanampatti, Tiruchirappalli - 621 007, India.**

Recent studies on reservoir computing essentially involve a high dimensional dynamical system as the reservoir, which transforms and stores the input as a higher dimensional state, for temporal and nontemporal data processing. We demonstrate here a method to predict temporal and nontemporal tasks by constructing virtual nodes as constituting a reservoir in reservoir computing using a nonlinear map, namely logistic map, and a simple finite trigonometric series. We predict three nonlinear systems, namely Lorenz, Rössler, and Hindmarsh-Rose, for temporal tasks and a seventh order polynomial for nontemporal tasks with great accuracy. Also, the prediction is made in the presence of noise and found to closely agree with the target. Remarkably, the logistic map performs well and predicts close to the actual or target values. The low values of the root mean square error confirm the accuracy of this method in terms of efficiency. Our approach removes the necessity of continuous dynamical systems for constructing the reservoir in reservoir computing. Moreover, the accurate prediction for the three different nonlinear systems suggests that this method can be considered a general one and can be applied to predict many systems. Finally, we show that the method also accurately anticipates the time series for the future (self prediction).

I. INTRODUCTION

Remarkable growth of machine learning has created a need for deeper exploration in order to fully comprehend its potential and implications. In order to fundamentally understand machine learning (ML), it is necessary to delve into its underlying mechanisms and characteristics. The significant prominence and growth of ML techniques in recent years have prompted researchers to further investigate and comprehend their potential implications.

Neural network, also known as artificial neural network (ANN), a subset of machine learning, resembles human brain by mimicking the way how biological neurons process information within themselves [1]. ANN contains an input layer, an output layer and in between one or many hidden layers, where each layer is formed with nodes. ANN is denoted as deep neural network (DNN) when there are large number of hidden layers [2]. Each node has associated weight, bias and threshold. The nodes are connected to other nodes in the successive layers in such a way that the information supplied by the input layer is feed forwarded through the hidden layers to the output layer. The activation function activates every node if its output is above the specified threshold. The nodes are trained with the available samples and the mismatch between the prediction and true output, called cost function, is reduced by tuning the weights and bias by back propagation using methods such as gradient descent method [3].

To predict sequential data like time series and language translation the recurrent neural network (RNN) is used

where the output is fed back into the nodes to add the impact of the past information to the present state of the nodes. Though the RNNs are efficient in predicting time dependent data, they are difficult in training. Reservoir computing (RC) which is a RNN based framework, is efficient in training to perform a given task [4–6]. In RC, the network that is the hidden layer is not trained but only the output or readout layer is trained. The network is called reservoir since it transforms the input as a high-dimensional spatiotemporal pattern and stores them in it in such a way that a linear transformation can produce the desired output through the readout layer. The connection weights within the reservoir are randomly assigned and kept fixed throughout the training. The connection weights to the readout layer are obtained for the corresponding actual output by linear regression. By training only the readout layer, the complex computations required for learning are offloaded to the reservoir, thereby reducing the computational burden and training time. Hence the computational effort is considerably reduced in RC when compared to RNN and this makes it a highly efficient and practical approach for various machine learning tasks [7]. Reservoir computing has been successfully applied in a wide range of applications, including time series prediction [8], spatiotemporal prediction [9], speech recognition [10], image classification [11], etc. Also, chaotic signals that are overlaid are separated using RC. Remarkably, the reservoir need not contain a large complex network and it can be any kind of dynamical system, as long as it is capable of transforming an input into higher dimensional state and as long as it can be perturbed by input and its output observed. The dynamical system which is rich in its dynamics can act like a high-dimensional system and encodes the inputs as a temporal pattern instead of multiplexing the input in state space as in conventional RC. In 2011,

* arunbdu@gmail.com,sathisharavindh@gmail.com,av.phys@gmail.com,lakshmanan.cnd@gmail.com

Appeltant *et al* showed that inputs can be multiplexed in time rather than space by turning a single nonlinear node as a virtually high dimensional system [12]. By constructing a reservoir in terms of virtual nodes they have demonstrated speech recognition task. Dion, Mejaouri and Sylvestre have achieved RC by constructing a network of virtual nodes multiplexed in time by the dynamics of oscillating silicon beam which exhibits Duffing nonlinearity and proved time series prediction and spoken word recognition [13]. Haynes *et al* have shown that a single autonomous Boolean logic element can be used as a physical system [14]. Jensen and Tufte have employed Murali-Lakshmanan-Chua chaotic circuit to provide the nonlinear node and predicted nontemporal tasks [15]. Very recently, Mandal, Sinha and Shrimali have proved that a single forced driven pendulum can be considered as a single node reservoir in RC and they have predicted the temporal and nontemporal tasks with great accuracy [16].

In this article, following the general methodology for RC [12–16] where the reservoir can be constructed by the virtual nodes, we propose here the interesting possibility that one can use as RC just a nonlinear map or the map with a simple trigonometric function, encoding the inputs as temporal patterns instead of a continuous dynamical system. Also, we prove the universality of this method by predicting both nontemporal and temporal tasks. In the case of a nontemporal task, we predict the nature of a polynomial function, and in a temporal task, we predict three nonlinear chaotic systems that include Lorenz, Rössler and Hindmarsh-Rose systems with great accuracy. Also, we train the reservoir with the variable $x(t)$ and predict the same variable for the future time (self prediction) of Rössler system.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, the prediction for the nontemporal task is discussed to predict a polynomial function, and in Sec. III, the temporal task is explained to predict three nonlinear systems, namely the Lorenz, Rössler and Hindmarsh-Rose oscillators using the logistic map as a reservoir for the prediction. In Appendix, both the nontemporal and temporal tasks are predicted out by incorporating an additional simple trigonometric series function as a reservoir, and also the self prediction of the Rössler system is discussed. Finally, we present our conclusion in Sec. IV.

II. PREDICTION FOR NONTEMPORAL TASK

For a nontemporal task we consider the prediction of a seventh degree polynomial $f(x) = (x - 3)(x - 2)(x - 1)x(x + 1)(x + 2)(x + 3)$ for $u_{min} \leq x \leq u_{max}$. From a few available samples $(x_i, f(x_i))$ ($= (u_i, v_i)$ for convenience) between the chosen limits u_{min} and u_{max} , where $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, L$, a state vector matrix R of the reservoir is formed and then a weight matrix W corresponding to the linear transformation between the actual output and the reservoir state vector matrix is determined by train-




FIG. 1. The schematic diagram for training with the logistic map. The input u_i is linearly transformed into a_i and then is supplied into the logistic map to form virtual nodes for the reservoir.

ing the reservoir. Further, the polynomial is predicted for the complete set of x values between u_{min} and u_{max} by using the obtained weight matrix.

Two row vectors u and v of size $1 \times L$ are formed from the samples (u_i, v_i) as $u = [u_1, u_2, \dots, u_i, \dots, u_L]$ and $v = [v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_L]$. The state vector matrix of the reservoir is constructed by L column vectors corresponding to each input u_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$. Each input is multiplexed, forming virtual nodes, and state vector of size $L \times 1$ corresponding to each input is formed. For multiplexing, each input element u_i is supplied to the logistic map equation

$$\omega_{n+1} = a_i \omega_n (1 - \omega_n), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, L, \quad (1)$$

via a_i through the linear transformation

$$a_i = a_{min} + \left(\frac{a_{max} - a_{min}}{u_{max} - u_{min}} \right) (u_i - u_{min}). \quad (2)$$

The quantities a_{min} and a_{max} in Eq.(2) are chosen based on the requirement of using chaotic or non-chaotic region, in the bifurcation diagram of the logistic map (1), for better prediction (see Fig.2). Equation (1) is iterated for $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_P$ corresponding to each a_i . The initial value of $\omega = \omega_0$ is taken as 0.95 throughout this paper. P is a hyper-parameter i.e. it can be tuned to enhance the accuracy in prediction. Therefore, we can generate P number of ω 's for each a_i as $\omega_1^i, \omega_2^i, \dots, \omega_P^i$. The input u_i is then multiplexed via a_i by $\omega_1^i, \omega_2^i, \dots, \omega_P^i$. A reservoir state vector (column vector) Y_i corresponding to a_i with size $(P \times 1)$ is formed from the data points $\omega_1^i, \omega_2^i, \dots, \omega_P^i$ as

$$Y_i = [\omega_1^i, \omega_2^i, \dots, \omega_P^i]^T. \quad (3)$$

Similarly, the reservoir state vectors Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_L for L inputs are computed corresponding to the choice of parameters $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_L$, respectively, and they are stacked together to form a reservoir state vector matrix

$R = [Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_L]$ with the size $P \times L$ as

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1^1 & \omega_1^2 & \omega_1^3 & \dots & \omega_1^L \\ \omega_2^1 & \omega_2^2 & \omega_2^3 & \dots & \omega_2^L \\ \omega_3^1 & \omega_3^2 & \omega_3^3 & \dots & \omega_3^L \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \omega_P^1 & \omega_P^2 & \omega_P^3 & \dots & \omega_P^L \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

The linear transformation between the vector v and the reservoir state vector matrix R is given by (see Fig.1)

$$v = W_n R, \quad (5)$$

where W_n is the weight matrix for the nontemporal (n) task case with size $(1 \times P)$ and it can be obtained from the reservoir state vector matrix R and the actual output vector v by Eq.(5) as

$$W_n = v R^{-1}, \quad (6)$$

by employing the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse [18].

Using the above weight matrix W_n , the polynomial $f(x)$ can be predicted for L new inputs $u' = [u'_1, u'_2, \dots, u'_L]$ for which the output is unknown by

$$V = W_n R'. \quad (7)$$

The L elements of u' can be random or uniform between $x = u_{min}$ and $x = u_{max}$. The matrix R' in Eq.(7) is the reservoir state vector matrix constructed for u' by the same procedure discussed above. We predict the polynomial $f(x)$ for $L (= 100)$ number of x 's uniformly distributed between $u_{min} = -3$ and $u_{max} = +3$ and it is plotted in Fig.3(a) in the absence of noise. The parameters are kept as $P = 100$, $a_{min} = 2.1$ and $a_{max} = 2.2$. The solid blue line indicates the actual result and the red open circles indicate the prediction that matches well with the actual result.

To verify the efficiency of this procedure in prediction over noise, both the input and output of the the samples (u_i, v_i) are supplied along with the strength of noise 0.1 (i.e. the random noise is uniformly distributed between -0.1 and 0.1). The weight matrix W_n is then obtained corresponding to these noisy samples and then the prediction is made for the uniformly distributed x 's (along with noise) between -3 and +3 as discussed before. Surprisingly, the predicted output plotted by red downward triangles match well with the target values plotted by the blue upward triangles. From Figs.(3)(a) and (b) we can clearly observe that the polynomial is accurately predicted in the absence and presence of noise, respectively. Statistically, the accuracy of the prediction with the target is measured by the root mean square error

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^L (v_i - V_i)^2 / L}. \quad (8)$$




FIG. 2. Bifurcation diagram of the logistic map. The vertical dashed line separates the periodic region and chaotic region ($a > 3.57$). The periodic regions include period-1 region ($1.0 < a < 3.0$), period-2 cycle region ($3.0 < a < 3.449$), period-4 cycle region ($3.449 < a < 3.544112$) and so on (see for example, ref.[17]).




FIG. 3. Prediction of the polynomial $f(x) = (x - 3)(x - 2)(x - 1)x(x + 1)(x + 2)(x + 3)$ for x between -3 and +3 for the strengths of (a) noise = 0 and (b) noise = 0.1. Here $L = 100$, $P = 100$, $a_{min} = 2.1$, $a_{max} = 2.2$, $u_{min} = -3$ and $u_{max} = 3$.

The set v_i is the actual output value set for the corresponding predicted output set V_i . In the above nontemporal task for predicting the polynomial $f(x)$ the values of the root mean square errors are calculated as 3.475412×10^{-3} and 6.152246×10^{-2} corresponding to the absence (Fig.3(a)) and presence (Fig.3(b)) of noise, respectively.

Here, one may note that the prediction for $f(x)$ has been done with $a_{min} = 2.1$ and $a_{max} = 2.2$. The input is supplied through the small window (i.e. $\Delta a = a_{max} - a_{min} = 0.1$) of the parameter a in the logistic map. The parameter window is small and cannot be increased more than 0.1 while maintaining the $RMSE$ below 1.0 for better prediction. Also, the a_{min} can be varied only between 0 and 2.8 and the error is large when it is increased beyond 2.8. It implies that the polynomial is predicted only when the parameter a is in the period-1 region ($1 < a < 3$) of the logistic map but not in the period-2 cycle ($3 < a < 3.449$), period-4 cycle ($3.449 < a < 3.544112$) and so on and chaotic regions ($a > 3.57$) [17].

The parameter window is shown to be increased and the polynomial is predicted over a wider range of a , including the chaotic regime, by forming a simple finite series of trigonometric functions from the values obtained through the logistic map (ω_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, P$). The details are given in Appendix.

III. PREDICTION FOR TEMPORAL TASK

In this section we intend to perform the temporal task of predicting the time series of one variable of a nonlinear system by supplying the time series of another variable of the same system as input. We consider three different nonlinear systems, namely the Lorenz, Rössler and Hindmarsh-Rose oscillators, and predict their $y(t)$ variable from that of $x(t)$. This temporal task of predicting the time series requires a sequential form of input of the past data to predict the future. For this temporal task, let the total number of samples available for training is L and the samples are given by $(x_i(t), y_i(t))$, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$. For convenience let $(u_i, v_i) = (x_i(t), y_i(t))$. Unlike the nontemporal task that we discussed in the previous section, these samples (u_i, v_i) are sequential data corresponding to time $t = t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots, t_L$. Here the u_i and v_i can be denoted as inputs and outputs, respectively, and their corresponding state vectors are given by the row vectors $u = [u_1, u_2, \dots, u_L]$ and $v = [v_1, v_2, \dots, v_L]$. The reservoir state vector (Z_i) corresponding to the input u_i is constructed as $Z_i = [w_0 Y_{i-m}, \dots, w_{m-1} Y_{i-1}, w_m Y_i]^T$ along with m previous inputs to bring the effect of past memory in prediction. Here, the finite memory parameter m is a hyper parameter. Also Y_k , $k = i - m, i - m + 1, \dots, i$, corresponding to the input u_k , $k = i - m, i - m + 1, \dots, i$, is similarly constructed as a column vector with size $(P \times 1)$ by Eq.(3), using Eqs.(1) and (2), in the same way explained above for the nontem-

poral task. Here w_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ are the weights assigned to Y_k , $k = i - m, i - m + 1, \dots, i$. The values of w_j are uniformly distributed in the range $[0, 1]$ and hence $w_0 = 0$ and $w_m = 1$. Therefore, the size of the reservoir state vector Z_i for the temporal task is $(mP \times 1)$. Similarly, all the reservoir state vectors Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_L corresponding to the inputs u_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$, respectively, are obtained and the reservoir state vector matrix R is formed by stacking them together as $R = [Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_L]$. Since $w_0 = 0$ the reservoir state vector matrix R is constructed for the samples (u_i, v_i) , $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$ as

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 Y_{2-m} & w_1 Y_{3-m} & w_1 Y_{4-m} & \dots & w_1 Y_{L-m+1} \\ w_2 Y_{3-m} & w_2 Y_{4-m} & w_2 Y_{5-m} & \dots & w_2 Y_{L-m+2} \\ w_3 Y_{4-m} & w_3 Y_{5-m} & w_3 Y_{6-m} & \dots & w_3 Y_{L-m+3} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ w_{m-2} Y_{-1} & w_{m-2} Y_0 & w_{m-2} Y_1 & \dots & w_{m-2} Y_{L-2} \\ w_{m-1} Y_0 & w_{m-1} Y_1 & w_{m-1} Y_2 & \dots & w_{m-1} Y_{L-1} \\ w_m Y_1 & w_m Y_2 & w_m Y_3 & \dots & w_m Y_L \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

with the size of $(mP \times L)$. Using the above matrix R the weight matrix W_t for this temporal (t) task can be obtained as

$$W_t = vR^{-1}. \quad (10)$$

The size of the weight matrix W_t is $(1 \times mP)$. After obtaining the weight matrix W_t , the outputs corresponding to the new set of sequential data inputs $u' = [u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{L+1}]$ are predicted for the output $V = [V_2, V_3, \dots, V_{L+1}]$ using the relation

$$V = W_t R'. \quad (11)$$

Here R' is the revised reservoir state vector matrix for the new set of inputs. Similarly, the process is repeated to predict the outputs for the successive set of sequential inputs.

A. Lorenz system

The Lorenz system, governed by the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= 10(y - x), \\ \dot{y} &= x(28 - z) - y, \\ \dot{z} &= xy - (8/3)z, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

is predicted for the variable $y(t)$ from the input $x(t)$. The predictions are shown from $t = 50$ to $t = 100$ in Figs.4(a) and 4(b) in the absence and presence of noise, respectively, where the actual output i.e. target is plotted in green solid line and the prediction is plotted as red




FIG. 4. Prediction of Lorenz system for $y(t)$ from the input $x(t)$ for the strengths of (a) noise = 0 and (b) noise = 0.01. Here, $a_{min} = 1$, $a_{max} = 2$, $u_{min} = -17$, $u_{max} = 17$, $P = 3$ and $m = 100$.




FIG. 5. Prediction of Rössler system for $y(t)$ from the input $x(t)$ for the strength of (a) noise = 0 and (b) noise = 0.01. Here, $a_{min} = 1$, $a_{max} = 2$, $u_{min} = -10$, $u_{max} = 12$, $P = 3$ and $m = 100$.

dashed line. The strength of the noise has been taken as 0.01. The prediction is made with the choice of the parameters as $a_{min} = 1$, $a_{max} = 2$, $u_{min} = -17$, $u_{max} = 17$, $P = 3$ and $m = 100$. The training is done from $t = 40.00$ to $t = 49.99$ with 1000 data points and the prediction is made from $t = 50.00$ to $t = 99.99$ as shown in Figs.4(a) and (b). From Figs.4(a) and (b) we can observe that the prediction is good and matches well with the target. The root mean square error $RMSE$ is calculated for the prediction between the times $t = 50$ and $t = 1000$ (95000 numbers of data) as 1.541608×10^{-3} in the absence of noise and 7.168561×10^{-2} in the presence of noise. The initial conditions for training as well as prediction are $(x^*, y^*, z^*) = (25, 18, 120)$ and the time step is kept as 0.01 for the generation of input $x(t)$ and prediction of output $y(t)$. It has been verified that the prediction is made even after $t = 1000$ with good accuracy.

B. Rössler system

The Rössler system, governed by the equations

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= -y - z, \\ \dot{y} &= x + 0.2y, \\ \dot{z} &= 0.2 + z(x - 5.7),\end{aligned}\quad (13)$$

is predicted and plotted in Figs.5(a) and 5(b) in the absence and presence of the noise, respectively for the parameters $a_{min} = 1$, $a_{max} = 2$, $u_{min} = -10$, $u_{max} = 12$, $P = 3$ and $m = 100$. Here the strength of the noise is 0.01. In both the figures the target is plotted by solid green line and the prediction is plotted by dashed red line between the times $t = 500.0$ and $t = 999.9$. The training was made with 1000 data points between the times $t = 400.0$ and $t = 499.9$. Figs.5(a) and (b) imply that the prediction is great for both the cases of absence and presence of noise. The initial conditions $(x^*, y^*, z^*) = (0.1, 0.2, 0.3)$ are taken for the training and prediction. The time step is maintained as 0.1. The root mean square error for the predictions between $t = 500$ and $t = 10000$ with 95000 data in the absence and presence of the noise are calculated as 4.660823×10^{-2} and 5.376042×10^{-2} , respectively.

C. Hindmarsh-Rose system

The Hindmarsh-Rose (HR) system, governed by the equations

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= y + 3x^2 - x^3 - z + 3.25, \\ \dot{y} &= 1 - 5x^2 - y, \\ \dot{z} &= 0.006(4(x + 1.6) - z),\end{aligned}\quad (14)$$

is predicted for the time between $t = 500.0$ and $t = 999.9$ in Fig.6(a) for the absence of noise and in Fig.6(b) for the presence of noise. The strength of noise is kept here




FIG. 6. Prediction of Hindmarsh-Rose system for $y(t)$ from the input $x(t)$ for the strength of (a) noise = 0 and (b) noise = 0.01. Here, $a_{min} = 1$, $a_{max} = 2$, $u_{min} = -1.2$, $u_{max} = 1.8$, $P = 5$ and $m = 100$.

as 0.01. The target is plotted by solid green line and the prediction is plotted by red dashed line. The parameters were chosen as $a_{min} = 1$, $a_{max} = 2$, $u_{min} = -1.2$, $u_{max} = 1.8$, $P = 5$ and $m = 100$. Also, the time step and the initial conditions for the training and prediction are taken as 0.1 and $(x^*, y^*, z^*) = (-1.6, 4.0, 2.75)$ and 0.1, respectively. The training was done with 1000 data points between the times $t = 400.0$ and $t = 499.9$. The prediction is satisfactory as shown in the Figs.6(a) and (b), and the root mean square error for the predictions between $t = 500$ and $t = 10000$ (95000 data) is determined as 1.032240×10^{-3} for the prediction without noise and 1.464032×10^{-2} with noise.

In the nontemporal task the predictions were made with good accuracy for the parameter window $\Delta a = 0.1$ since the error in the prediction becomes large when $\Delta a > 0.1$. However, in temporal cases, where the nonlinear systems were predicted with $a_{max} = 2$, $a_{min} = 1$ and $\Delta a = 1$, the parameter window can be increased up to 4. This implies that the temporal cases can be predicted accurately with the parameter a in the periodic as well as chaotic ranges of the logistic map. We have predicted the three nonlinear systems for the different ranges of parameter window (i) $(a_{min}, a_{max}) = (1, 2)$, (ii) $(a_{min}, a_{max}) = (3, 3.4)$ and (iii) $(a_{min}, a_{max}) = (3.6, 4)$ corresponding

Dynamical System	(a_{min}, a_{max})	$RMSE$ (noise=0)	$RMSE$ (noise=0.01)
Lorenz	(1, 2)	1.541608×10^{-3}	7.168561×10^{-2}
	(3.0, 3.4)	8.99947×10^{-4}	7.286838×10^{-2}
	(3.6, 4)	7.703143×10^{-4}	7.221521×10^{-2}
Rössler	(1, 2)	4.660823×10^{-2}	5.376042×10^{-2}
	(3.0, 3.4)	4.772730×10^{-2}	5.529051×10^{-2}
	(3.6, 4)	4.744061×10^{-2}	5.481263×10^{-2}
Hindmarsh-Rose	(1, 2)	1.032240×10^{-3}	1.464032×10^{-2}
	(3.0, 3.4)	1.538142×10^{-3}	1.378490×10^{-2}
	(3.6, 4)	2.299932×10^{-3}	1.440286×10^{-2}

TABLE I. RMSE values for the three dynamical systems for different ranges of (a_{min}, a_{max}) in the presence (noise = 0.01) and absence of noise. Here the values of P , m , u_{min} and u_{max} for the Lorenz, Rössler and Hindmarsh-Rose systems are kept as same as used in Figs.4, 5 and 6, respectively.

to the regions (logistic map) of period-1, period-2 cycle and chaos in the presence and absence of noise and the results are listed in Table.1. The $RMSE$ values listed in Table.1 confirms the accuracy in the prediction of the three nonlinear systems for the larger parameter windows of a i.e. in the periodic and chaotic regions of the logistic map.

D. Simultaneous prediction of $y(t)$ and $z(t)$ from $x(t)$

In the previous sections, only the $y(t)$ of the three nonlinear dynamical systems was predicted from the input $x(t)$. Here, we intend to predict the variables $y(t)$ and $z(t)$ simultaneously from $x(t)$. The procedure for this simultaneous prediction is given below: To obtain the weight matrix for this prediction the row vector v in Eq.(10) can be replaced by a matrix $[v^y, v^z]^T$ with two row vectors v^y and v^z having output elements $[v_1^y, v_2^y, \dots, v_L^y]$ and $[v_1^z, v_2^z, \dots, v_L^z]$ corresponding to $y(t)$ and $z(t)$, respectively. The reservoir state vector matrix R is constructed from the input vector $u = [u_1, u_2, \dots, u_L]$ corresponding to $x(t)$ as before. After finding the weight matrix W_t (with size $2 \times mP$), both the variables $y(t)$ and $z(t)$ are predicted for the input $u' = [u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{L+1}]$ by the relation given by Eq.(11) for the output $V = [V^y, V^z]^T$. Here $V^y = [V_2^y, V_3^y, \dots, V_{L+1}^y]$ and $V^z = [V_2^z, V_3^z, \dots, V_{L+1}^z]$ are the output vectors corresponding to $y(t)$ and $z(t)$, respectively. The $y(t)$ and $z(t)$ variables of the Hindmarsh-Rose system are predicted from the input $x(t)$ in the absence of noise and plotted in Fig.7 for $a_{min} = 3.6$, $a_{max} = 4$, $u_{min} = -1.2$, $u_{max} = 1.8$, $P = 5$ and $m = 100$. The figure confirms that the prediction is good and the $RMSE$ s are determined to be 2.922806×10^{-4} and 3.059959×10^{-4} for $y(t)$ and $z(t)$, respectively. We have also predicted the self prediction of $x(t)$ of the Rössler system from the same input $x(t)$ by




FIG. 7. Prediction of Hindmarsh-Rose system for $y(t)$ and $z(t)$ from the input $x(t)$ without noise. Here, $a_{min} = 3.6$, $a_{max} = 4$, $u_{min} = -1.2$, $u_{max} = 1.8$, $P = 5$ and $m = 100$.

logistic map and trigonometric series function (for details see Appendix).

IV. CONCLUSION

We have successfully demonstrated that both temporal and nontemporal tasks can be predicted well by constructing a reservoir using the well-known nonlinear map, that is the logistic map, or the map with a simple trigonometric series (when needed) in reservoir computing. The logistic map is used to transform the input into a higher dimensional system by constructing virtual nodes. We have predicted a seventh order polynomial for nontemporal tasks and three nonlinear systems, namely the Lorenz, Rössler, and Hindmarsh-Rose oscillators, for temporal tasks with logistic map. The polynomial is accurately predicted in the absence and presence of noise, with the root mean square error 3.475412×10^{-3} and 6.152246×10^{-2} , respectively. Also, we have predicted the three nonlinear dynamical systems for the variable $y(t)$ from the input $x(t)$ in the presence and absence of noise with a strength of 10^{-2} . The root mean square errors for the Lorenz, Rössler, and Hindmarsh-Rose systems, are determined

as 1.541608×10^{-3} , 4.660823×10^{-2} , and 1.46902×10^{-4} , respectively, in the absence of noise. The same errors are determined as 7.168561×10^{-2} , 5.376042×10^{-2} , and 2.070528×10^{-3} , respectively, in the presence of noise strength 10^{-4} . The low values of the root mean square error for both the temporal and nontemporal tasks confirm that the accuracy of the above method is quite satisfactory and that it can be employed as an effective reservoir in reservoir computing. The parameter window for the input is increased by constructing a simple trigonometric series for time multiplexing the input with virtual nodes. The time series of $x(t)$ of the Rössler system is predicted with good accuracy by the logistic map as well as with the trigonometric series. Also, the self prediction of the remaining two state variables $y(t)$ and $z(t)$ of the same system and the three state variables of the other two nonlinear systems are verified (results not shown here) by the logistic map and trigonometric series.

APPENDIX

In Sec. II the polynomial was predicted with the parameter window $\Delta a = 0.1$ and it was mentioned that the error will become large when the range is increased further. If there is a requirement for supplying large number of data as input the parameter window should be large enough to accommodate the inputs and it will be preferable if the value of Δa is ≥ 0.5 . Here, we discuss a




FIG. 8. The schematic diagram for training with trigonometric function. The input u_i is linearly transformed into a_i and then is supplied into logistic map to form a time varying function.

methodology to increase the size of the parameter window to predict the polynomial in the nontemporal task by constructing a simple trigonometric function formed by the iterated values of logistic map $\omega_1^i, \omega_2^i, \dots, \omega_P^i$ corresponding to each input u_i . The input u_i is then multiplexed in time via a_i by a simple trigonometric series function given by (see Fig.8)

$$y^i(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{k=P} \sin(\omega_k^i t). \quad (\text{A1})$$

From Eq.(A1), one can collect N data points $y_1^i, y_2^i, \dots, y_N^i$ for $y^i(t)$ at $t = t_j$, where $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$




FIG. 9. The prediction of polynomial $f(x) = (x-3)(x-2)(x-1)x(x+1)(x+2)(x+3)$ between -3 and $+3$ in the absence of noise with $a_{min} = 3$ and $a_{max} = 3.5$. Here $L = 100$, $P = 100$, $u_{min} = -3$ and $u_{max} = 3$.

corresponding to u_i . Here the step size $(t_{j+1} - t_j)$ is given by t_N/N , and $t_0 = 0$ and t_N are the initial and final values of t , respectively. N is the total number of data points we intend to collect corresponding to each u_i or a_i for the prediction. Here, t_N and N are the hyper-parameters. t_0 is taken as 0 for this entire work. A reservoir state vector (column vector) Y_i corresponding to a_i and u_i is formed with size $(N \times 1)$ from the data points $y_1^i, y_2^i, \dots, y_N^i$ as $Y_i = [y_1^i, y_2^i, \dots, y_N^i]^T$. After constructing the reservoir state vectors Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_L corresponding to u_1, u_2, \dots, u_L (L inputs) using Eq.(A1), they are stacked together to form a reservoir state vector matrix $R = [Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_L]$ with the size $N \times L$ as

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} y_1^1 & y_1^2 & y_1^3 & \dots & y_1^L \\ y_2^1 & y_2^2 & y_2^3 & \dots & y_2^L \\ y_3^1 & y_3^2 & y_3^3 & \dots & y_3^L \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ y_N^1 & y_N^2 & y_N^3 & \dots & y_N^L \end{bmatrix}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

From the reservoir state vector matrix R and the output vector v the weight matrix W_{nt} for the nontemporal task is obtained by using Eq.(6) and the output is predicted as given by Eq.(5). The polynomial $f(x)$ is predicted based on this procedure and plotted in Fig.9 without noise for the parameter window $\Delta a = 0.5$. The parameters are $a_{min} = 3.0$ and $a_{max} = 3.5$, $P = 5$, $N = 100$, $t_0 = 0$ and $t_N = 10$. The solid blue line is for target and the red open circle is for prediction. The $RMSE$ is determined as 6.896466×10^{-2} .

This procedure of trigonometric series can also be used to predict temporal tasks with reservoir matrix constructed by $R = [Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_L]$ similar to the construction procedure used for temporal task discussed in Sec.III above. Here the reservoir state vector Z_i corresponding to the input u_i is given by $Z_i = [w_0 Y_{i-m}, \dots, w_{m-1} Y_{i-1}, w_m Y_i]^T$ along with m previous inputs, where $Y_i = [y_1^i, y_2^i, \dots, y_N^i]^T$. The data points





FIG. 10. Prediction of Rössler system for $x(t)$ from the input $x(t)$ for the strength of noise 0.01 by (a) trigonometric function with $P = 5$, $N = 100$, $t_N = 10$, $a_{min} = 1$ and $a_{max} = 3.5$, and (b) logistic map with $P = 20$, $a_{min} = 3$ and $a_{max} = 3.5$.

$y_1^i, y_2^i, \dots, y_N^i$ for the input u_i are obtained from Eq.(A1) instead of $\omega_1^i, \omega_2^i, \dots, \omega_P^i$ obtained from logistic map.

SELF PREDICTION

By making use of this procedure, the time series of $x(t)$ of the Rössler system is predicted by using the same $x(t)$ as an input. The training is made with the 5000 number of data points collected between $t = 10.0$ and $t = 509.9$ with the time-space 0.1 and the prediction is made from $t = 510.0$ to $t = 609.9$ with the weight matrix W_t obtained at $t = 509.9$. The weight matrix W_t is determined by $W_t = vR^{-1}$ using the reservoir state vector matrix R formed with the inputs from $t = 10.0$ to $t = 509.9$ and the outputs v from $t = 10.1$ to $t = 510.0$. The close prediction with the target is shown in Fig.10(a) where the target is plotted by green line and the prediction is plotted by red dashed line for $N = 100$, $t_N = 10$, $P = 5$, $a_{min} = 1$, $a_{max} = 3.5$ along with the noise strength 0.01. The $RMSE$ is determined as 0.735332. The same prediction

is also developed by the logistic map alone with $P = 20$, $a_{min} = 3$ and $a_{max} = 3.5$ and plotted in Fig.10(b) where the $RMSE$ is measured as 0.847661. Figures 10 confirm that the self prediction of $x(t)$ of Rössler system made by both the logistic map as well as the trigonometric function is quite good. Similarly, we have also verified the self prediction for the remaining state variables $y(t)$ and $z(t)$ in Rössler system as well as for all the state variables of the other two temporal systems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

M.L. wishes to thank the Department of Science and Technology for the award of a DST-SERB National Science Chair under Grant No. NSC/2020/00029 in which R.A. and M.S. are supported by Research Associateships.

-
- [1] B. Cheng, and D. M. Titterton, Neural Networks: A Review from a Statistical Perspective, *Statistical Science* **9**, 2, (1994).
- [2] J. Schmidhuber, Deep learning in neural networks: An overview, *Neural Networks* **61**, 85 (2015).
- [3] D. E. Rumelhart, G. E. Hinton, R. J. Williams, Learning representations by back-propagating errors, *Nature* **323**, 9 (1986).
- [4] M. Lukosevicius, H. Jaeger, Reservoir computing approaches to recurrent neural network training, *Computer Science Review* **3**, 127 (2009).
- [5] W. Maass, T. Natschläger, and H. Markram, Real-time computing without stable states: A new framework for neural computation based on perturbations, *Neural Computation* **14**, 2531 (2002).
- [6] H. Jaeger and H. Haas, Harnessing Nonlinearity: Predicting Chaotic Systems and Saving Energy in Wireless Communication, *Science* **304**, 78 (2004).
- [7] D. J. Gauthier, E. Bollt, A. Griffith, W. A. S. Barbosa, Next generation reservoir computing, *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 5564 (2021).
- [8] Y. Chen, Y. Qian, and X. Cui, Time series reconstructing using calibrated reservoir computing, *Sci. Rep.* **12**, 16318, (2022).
- [9] J. Pathak, B. Hunt, M. Girvan, Z. Lu, and E. Ott, Model-Free Prediction of Large Spatiotemporally Chaotic Systems from Data: A Reservoir Computing Approach, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 024102 (2018).
- [10] J. Torrejon, M. Riou, F. A. Araujo, S. Tsunegi, G. Khalsa, D. Querlioz, P. Bortolotti, V. Cros, K. Yakushiji, A. Fukushima, H. Kubota, S. Yuasa, M. D. Stiles, and J. Grollier, Neuromorphic computing with nanoscale spintronic oscillators, *Nature* **547**, 428 (2017).
- [11] Z. Tong and G. Tanaka, Reservoir Computing with Untrained Convolutional Neural Networks for Image Recognition, 2018 24th International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR), Beijing, China, pp. 1289-1294, (2018).
- [12] L. Appeltant, M.C. Soriano, G. Van der Sande, J. Danckaert, S. Massar, J. Dambre, B. Schrauwen, C.R. Mirasso, and I. Fischer, Information processing using a single dynamical node as complex system, *Nat. Commun.* **2**:468 (2011).
- [13] G. Dion, S. Mejaouri, and J. Sylvestre, Reservoir computing with a single delay-coupled non-linear mechanical oscillator, *J. Appl. Phys.* **124**, 152132 (2018).
- [14] N. D. Haynes, M. C. Soriano, D. P. Rosin, I. Fischer, and D. J. Gauthier, Reservoir computing with a single time-delay autonomous Boolean node, *Phys. Rev. E* **91**, 020801(R) (2015).
- [15] J. H. Jensen and G. Tufte, Reservoir computing with a chaotic circuit, in *Artificial Life Conference Proceedings 14* (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA), pp. 222–229 (2017).
- [16] S. Mandal, S. Sinha, and M. D. Shrimali, Machine-learning potential of a single pendulum, *Phys. Rev. E* **105**, 054203 (2022).
- [17] M. Lakshmanan, and S. Rajasekar, *Nonlinear Dynamics: Integrability, Chaos and Patterns*, Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, (2003).
- [18] João Carlos Alves Barata, Mahir Saleh Hussein, The Moore–Penrose pseudoinverse: A tutorial review of the theory, *Braz. J. Phys.*, **42**, 146-165 (2012).