

# Deuteron gravitational form factors: exchange currents

Fangcheng He<sup>1,\*</sup> and Ismail Zahed<sup>1,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Center for Nuclear Theory, Department of Physics and Astronomy,  
Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York 11794-3800, USA*

(Dated: January 18, 2024)

Following on our recent analysis of the energy momentum tensor (EMT) of light nuclei in the impulse approximation, we evaluate the leading exchange corrections also upto momenta of the order of the nucleon mass. The exchange contributions to the EMT, are composed of the pair interaction, plus the seagull and the pion exchange interactions, modulo the recoil correction. The exchange contributions are shown to satisfy the current conservation requirement. These contributions are small compared to those from the impulse approximation for most of the deuteron gravitational form factors (GFFs), for momenta smaller than half of the nucleon mass. For larger momenta, the exchange contributions are significant for the deuteron A- and D-GFFs. We suggest that the pion GFFs can be extracted from the exchange contributions of select deuteron GFFs.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The lightest and simplest nuclear system is the deuteron, with binding energy (2.225 MeV), charge radius (2.16 fm) and magnetic moment (0.857 in Bohr magnetons). The deuteron large size and weak binding, suggests that its shape is largely determined by the exchange of a pion.

The gravitational form factors (GFFs) of the deuteron carry important information on its mass and spin distribution. The deuteron GFFs provide important insights to the fundamental gravitational content of a light nucleus. Model calculations of the deuteron GFFs include the lightcone convolution model [1] and more recently the Skyrme model [2], et al. Empirically, the gluon GFFs of light nuclei maybe accessible through threshold electromagnetic production of heavy mesons (charmonium, bottomonium) off nuclei.

The nucleus is composed of nucleons (protons and neutrons) bound by strong QCD interactions. Most of what is known about nuclei, follow from their electromagnetic properties using elastic electron scattering at intermediate energies, where the nucleons appear as rigid but extended bodies exchanging mesons, albeit mostly pions. The disparity between the fundamental and unconfined degrees of QCD (quarks and gluons) and the observed but confined degrees of freedom (mesons and nucleons) necessitates the exploration of innovative probing methods.

The ultimate goal is to unravel the composition of the nucleons, and the interactions between nucleons in a nucleus.

Recently, we investigated the deuteron GFFs using the impulse approximation, with momentum transfer in the nucleon mass range, i.e.  $k \sim m_N$  [3]. We found large deviations of the deuteron GFFs with that of the nucleon. One the other hand, it is well established that the meson exchange-current contributions to the electromagnetic form factors of two- and three-nucleon systems are not negligible [4–7] at large momentum transfer, i.e.  $k \geq \frac{1}{2}m_N$ . The motivation of this work is to analyse the corrections induced by pion exchange currents to the deuteron GFFs.

This paper is a follow up on our recent analysis of the EMT of light nuclei in the impulse approximation [3]. In section II we discuss the Born exchange corrections to the impulse approximation, where the relativistic contributions are first retained. To leading order in the pion-nucleon pseudo-vector coupling, the exchange contributions are shown to satisfy the EMT current conservation condition on general ground. This is explicitly checked in the non-relativistic limit. In section III we detail the exchange contributions stemming from the non-relativistic reduction of the nucleon pair exchange, the seagull and pion exchanges, as well the recoil correction. In section IV we compare the exchange contributions to the impulse approximation for the deuteron EMT. Our conclusions are in section V.

\* fangcheng.he@stonybrook.edu

† ismail.zahed@stonybrook.edu

## II. DEUTERON EXCHANGE EMT

The corrections to the impulse approximation will be sought in the Born corrections at tree level. The results will be checked to satisfy the EMT conservation law. The same observation holds for the non-relativistic limit of the extracted exchange currents.

### A. Born approximation

The exchange contributions to the EMT in the Born approximation, are shown in Fig. 1. Using the canonical pseudo-vector coupling for the pion, the contributions ( $a + b$ ) in Fig. 1 amount to

$$T_{X\pi}^{\mu\nu}(k, 1, 2) = -\left(\frac{g_{\pi N}}{2m_N}\right)^2 \bar{u}(p'_1) \left( \not{q}_2 \gamma_5 \tau_1^a S_F(p_1 + k) T_N^{\mu\nu}(p_1 + k, p_1) + T_N^{\mu\nu}(p'_1, p'_1 - k) S_F(p'_1 - k) \not{q}_2 \gamma_5 \tau_1^a \right) u(p_1) \\ \times \Delta_\pi(q_2^2) \bar{u}(p'_2) \not{q}_2 \gamma_5 \tau_2^a u(p_2) + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \quad (1)$$

where the coupling constant  $g_{\pi N}$  is related to the nucleon axial charge  $g_A$  through the Goldberger-Treiman relation  $g_{\pi N}/m_N = g_A/f_\pi$ , with the pion decay constant  $f_\pi = 93$  MeV. Here  $S_F$  and  $\Delta_\pi$  are the nucleon and pion propagators with  $S_F(l) = i/(l - m_N + i0)$  and  $\Delta_\pi(q_{1,2}) = i/(q_{1,2}^2 - m_\pi^2 + i0)$ . The nucleon EMT  $T_N^{\mu\nu}(l_2, l_1)$  is

$$T_N^{\mu\nu}(l_2, l_1) = A(k) \gamma^{(\mu} P_l^{\nu)} + B(k) \frac{i P_l^{(\mu} \sigma^{\nu)\alpha} k_\alpha}{2m_N} + C(k) \frac{k^\mu k^\nu - \eta^{\mu\nu} k^2}{m_N} - g^{\mu\nu} \left( \frac{l_1 + l_2}{2} - m_N \right) \quad (2)$$

where  $P_l = \frac{l_1 + l_2}{2}$ . The last term is the off-shell contribution. In leading order in chiral perturbation theory (ChPT),  $A(k) = 1$ ,  $B(k) = C(k) = 0$ . [8]. Away from the chiral point, the nucleon GFFs have been extracted from experiment [9], with overall agreement with QCD lattice simulations [10], pQCD factorisation [11] and dual gravity [12, 13]. The contribution (c) with the intermediate pion EMT contributes

$$T_{X\pi\pi}^{\mu\nu}(k, 1, 2) = -\left(\frac{g_{\pi N}}{2m_N}\right)^2 T_\pi^{\mu\nu}(q_2, q_1) \bar{u}(p'_1) \not{q}_1 \gamma_5 \tau_1^a u(p_1) \Delta_\pi(q_1) \Delta_\pi(q_2) \bar{u}(p'_2) \not{q}_2 \gamma_5 \tau_2^a u(p_2) \quad (3)$$

The general pion EMT can be written as

$$T_\pi^{\mu\nu}(q_2, q_1) = \langle \pi, q_2 | T_\pi^{\mu\nu}(0) | \pi, q_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (g^{\mu\nu} k^2 - k^\mu k^\nu) T_{1\pi}(k) + \frac{1}{2} l^\mu l^\nu T_{2\pi}(k) - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} (q_2^2 + q_1^2 - 2m_\pi^2) \quad (4)$$

where  $l^\mu = (q_1 + q_2)^\mu$  and  $k^\mu = (q_2 - q_1)^\mu$ , with the pion invariant form factors  $T_{1\pi}(k) = T_{2\pi}(k) = 1$  in leading order in ChPT [8]. The last seagull contribution (d) to the EMT is

$$T_{XS}^{\mu\nu}(k, 1, 2) = i \left( \frac{g_{\pi N}}{2m_N} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\lambda} q_2^\nu + \frac{1}{2} g^{\nu\lambda} q_2^\mu - g^{\mu\nu} q_2^\lambda \right) \\ \times \bar{u}(p'_1) \gamma_\lambda \gamma_5 \tau_1^a u(p_1) \Delta_\pi(q_2) \bar{u}(p'_2) \not{q}_2 \gamma_5 \tau_2^a u(p_2) + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \quad (5)$$

To the order considered, we have checked that the  $g^{\mu\nu}$  contributions in (2) and (5) cancel out. They will not be considered in what follows.

### B. EMT current conservation

The conservation of the EMT exchange contributions in the Born approximation and to leading order, amount to

$$k_\mu (T_{X\pi}^{\mu\nu}(k, 1, 2) + T_{X\pi\pi}^{\mu\nu}(k, 1, 2) + T_{XS}^{\mu\nu}(k, 1, 2)) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{g_{\pi N}^3}{m_N^3}\right) \quad (6)$$

which is seen to follow readily for the on-shell leg of each of the first two contributions, and after some




FIG. 1: Exchange contributions to the EMT: (a+b) pair contribution; (c) pion exchange contribution; (d) Seagull contribution. The solid, dashed and wavy lines represent the nucleon, pion and graviton, respectively.

algebra for the off-shell parts plus the seagull contributions. Note that in Eq. (6), the nucleon and pion EMT are fixed by ChPT.

The contributions in Fig. 1 (a+b) contain the positive frequency plus the negative frequency nucleon contribution in the intermediate state say of the deuteron. The former is part of the deuteron potential and should be removed from the contribution to the exchange current operator. With this in mind,

we split the Feynman intermediate propagator

$$S_F(P) = S_F^+(P) + S_F^-(P) \quad (7)$$

We now define the exchange EMT current by removing the nucleon state

$$T_X^{\mu\nu} = T_{X\pi}^{\mu\nu-}(k, 1, 2) + T_{X\pi\pi}^{\mu\nu}(k, 1, 2) + T_{XS}^{\mu\nu}(k, 1, 2) \quad (8)$$

which is seen to satisfy the modified EMT conservation

$$k_\mu T_X^{\mu\nu} \equiv k_\mu (T_{X\pi}^{\mu\nu-}(k, 1, 2) + T_{X\pi\pi}^{\mu\nu}(k, 1, 2) + T_{XS}^{\mu\nu}(k, 1, 2)) = -k_\mu T_{X\pi}^{\mu\nu+}(k, 1, 2) \quad (9)$$

More specifically, for in-out on-shell nucleons, we have

$$k_\mu T_{X\pi}^{\mu\nu+}(k, 1, 2) = -T_N^{0\nu}(p'_1, p'_1 - k) V_\pi(p'_1 - k, p_1; p'_2, p_2) + V_\pi(p'_1, p_1 + k; p'_2, p_2) T_N^{0\nu}(p_1 + k, p_1) + 1 \leftrightarrow 2 \quad (10)$$

with the tree-level pseudo-vector pion exchange potential

$$V_\pi(p'_1, p_1; p'_2, p_2) = -i \left( \frac{g_{\pi N}}{2m_N} \right)^2 \bar{u}(p'_1) \not{q}_1 \gamma_5 \tau^a u(p_1) \Delta_\pi(q_2) \bar{u}(p'_2) \not{q}_2 \gamma_5 \tau^a u(p_2) \quad (11)$$

Hence, the right-hand-side of (9) is emanable to the commutator as a convolution in momentum space, of the pion exchange potential with the EMT current

$$k_\mu T_{X\pi}^{\mu\nu+} = [V_\pi, T_N^{0\nu}] \quad (12)$$

We now separate the time component of the exchange current and define the Hamiltonian

$$H = T + V_\pi$$

as the sum of single nucleon kinetic contribution  $T$ , plus the pair nucleon pion exchange contribution  $V_\pi$ ,

with the continuity equation

$$k^i T_X^{i\nu} - [T, T_X^{0\nu}] - [V_\pi, T_N^{0\nu}] \sim 0 \quad (13)$$

In the non-relativistic limit, the second contribution is subleading, and (13) is satisfied by the leading contributions

$$k^i T_X^{i\nu} - [V_\pi, T_N^{0\nu}] \sim 0 \quad (14)$$




FIG. 2: Exchange contributions to the EMT: (a) The pair contribution, (b) seagull contribution and (c) the pion exchange contribution; (d) refers to the recoil plus wave function renormalization contributions. The solid, dashed and wavy lines represent the nucleon, pion and graviton, respectively.

### III. NON-RELATIVISTIC REDUCTION

To extract the non-relativistic contributions to the exchange currents, we will make use of the diagrams in Fig. 2, with the positive nucleon contribution in (a) subtracted, and the recoil contribution and wave-function renormalization in (d) retained. Again, the

wiggly line refers to the insertion of the EMT, and the dashed line to the pion exchange contribution using the pseudo-vector coupling.

#### A. Fig. 2a : Pair contribution

The non relativistic reduction for Fig. (2)a is

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{X\pi}^{00,ij}(k) &= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{m_N^4}\right) \\
 T_{X\pi}^{0j}(k) &= A(k)\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{(2m_N)^2} \frac{\sigma_2 \cdot q_2 (-i(k \times q_2)^j - q_2^j \sigma_1 \cdot P_d + \sigma_1 \cdot q_2 P_d^j - \sigma_1^j P_d \cdot q_2)}{4m_N w_{q_2}^2} \\
 &+ (1 \leftrightarrow 2) + \left(\frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{m_N^4}\right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

with  $P_d = p_1 + p'_1 = 2P - q_2$  and  $w_{q_2} = (\vec{q}_2^2 + m_\pi^2)^{1/2}$ . To evaluate the contributions (15) in a deuteron state, we follow our recent analysis in [3]. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left\langle +\frac{k}{2}m' \left| T_{X\pi}^{0j} \right| -\frac{k}{2}m \right\rangle \\
 &= \int d^3P \frac{d^3q_2}{(2\pi)^3} \Phi_{m'}^\dagger \left( \vec{P} + \frac{\vec{k}}{4} - \vec{q}_2 \right) T_{X\pi}^{0j} \Phi_m \left( \vec{P} - \frac{\vec{k}}{4} \right) \\
 &= \int d^3P \frac{d^3q_2}{(2\pi)^3} d^3r_1 d^3r_2 e^{i(\vec{P} + \frac{\vec{k}}{4} - \vec{q}_2) \cdot \vec{r}_1} e^{-i(\vec{P} - \frac{\vec{k}}{4}) \cdot \vec{r}_2} \varphi_{m'}^\dagger(r_1) T_{X\pi}^{0j} \varphi_m(r_2) \\
 &= \frac{\langle m' | (\vec{S} \times i\vec{k})^j | m \rangle}{2} J_{X\pi}
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where the form factor  $J_{X\pi}(k)$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{X\pi}(k) = & \frac{1}{8\pi m_N} A(k) \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{(2m_N)^2} \int \frac{dr}{r} \left\{ m_\pi^2 \bar{Y}_1 \left( 2u^2 - \sqrt{2}uw - 2w^2 \right) j_0 \left( \frac{kr}{2} \right) \right. \\
& - \frac{j_1 \left( \frac{kr}{2} \right)}{kr} m_\pi^2 \left[ 4m_\pi r u^2 Y_2 - w \left( 3\sqrt{2}m_\pi r^2 u' Y_2 + m_\pi r w Y_2 - 6\sqrt{2}r u' \bar{Y}_1 + 15w \bar{Y}_1 \right) \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \sqrt{2}u \left( w \left( 10m_\pi r Y_2 - 24\bar{Y}_1 \right) + 3rw' \left( m_\pi r Y_2 - 2\bar{Y}_1 \right) \right) \right] \right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where  $u$  and  $w$  represent the reduced radial components  ${}^3S_1$  and  ${}^3D_1$  of the deuteron wavefunction, which have been solved in our previous work [3],

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_0 &= e^{-m_\pi r} / (m_\pi r) \\
\bar{Y}_1 &= (1 + 1/(m_\pi r)) Y_0 \\
Y_2 &= (3/(m_\pi r)^2 + 3/(m_\pi r) + 1) Y_0
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

following the conventions in [7] for the electromagnetic current.

### B. Fig. 2b : Seagull contribution

The non relativistic reduction for the seagull contribution in Fig. 2b, reads

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{XS}^{00} &= \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{m_N^4} \right) \\
T_{XS}^{0j} &= \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{(2m_N)^2} \left( \frac{\sigma_1^j \vec{P}_d \cdot \vec{q}_2 \vec{\sigma}_2 \cdot \vec{q}_2}{4m_N w_{q_2}^2} - \frac{q_2^j \sigma_1 \cdot P_d \sigma_2 \cdot q_2}{4m_N w_{q_2}^2} \right) + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{m_N^4} \right) \\
T_{XS}^{ij} &= -\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{(2m_N)^2} \frac{q_2^i \sigma_1^j + q_2^j \sigma_1^i}{2w_{q_2}^2} \vec{\sigma}_2 \cdot \vec{q}_2 + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{m_N^4} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

The  $t^{0j}$  contribution to the deuteron EMT, is

$$\left\langle +\frac{k}{2} m' \left| T_{XS}^{0j} \right| -\frac{k}{2} m \right\rangle = \frac{\langle m' | (\vec{S} \times i\vec{k})^j | m \rangle}{2} J_{XS} \tag{20}$$

where the form factor  $J_{XS}$  can be expressed as

$$J_{XS}(k) = \left( \frac{g_{\pi N}}{2m_N} \right)^2 \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \int dr \frac{3m_\pi^3}{4\sqrt{2}\pi k m_N} j_1 \left( \frac{kr}{2} \right) (uw' - u'w) Y_2 \tag{21a}$$

The  $t^{ij}$  contribution can be parametrized as [14]

$$\begin{aligned}
t^{ij} &= \left\langle +\frac{k}{2} m' \left| T_{XS}^{ij} \right| -\frac{k}{2} m \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{k^i k^j - \delta^{ij} k^2}{4m_D} D_{0,XS} \delta_{m'm} + \frac{(k^j k^\alpha Q_{m'm}^{i\alpha} + k^i k^\alpha Q_{m'm}^{j\alpha} - k^2 Q_{m'm}^{ij} - \delta^{ij} Q_{m'm}^{\alpha\beta} k_\alpha k_\beta)}{2m_D} D_{2,XS} \\
&+ \frac{1}{4m_D} (k^i k^j - \delta^{ij} k^2) Q_{m'm}^{\alpha\beta} \hat{k}_\alpha \hat{k}_\beta D_{3,XS} + Q_{m'm}^{ij} D_{4,XS} + \delta^{ij} \delta_{m'm} D_{5,XS} + \delta^{ij} \delta_{m'm} D_{6,XS} Q^{\alpha\beta} \hat{k}_\alpha \hat{k}_\beta,
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where  $D_{0,XS}$ ,  $D_{2,XS}$  and  $D_{3,XS}$  are the form factors which satisfy the current conserved condition. The contributions  $\delta^{ij}$  and  $Q^{ij}$  in (22), appear to upset the conservation law  $k^i T^{ij}$ . As we show below, the

conservation law is upheld in the non-relativistic limit when all contributions are added up. As a result, the contributions  $\delta^{ij}$  and  $Q^{ij}$  cancel out. With this in mind, we can extract them by defining

$$\tilde{t}^{ij} = t^{ij} - \frac{1}{3}\delta^{ij}t_l^l - \frac{3}{5}Q^{ij}t^{\alpha\beta}Q^{\alpha\beta} \quad (23)$$

which is traceless and satisfies  $\tilde{t}^{ij}Q^{ij} = 0$ . Using  $\tilde{t}^{ij}$ , one can solve for the form factors  $D_{\{0,2,3\},XS}$  as

$$D_{0,XS} = \frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{(2m_N)^2}\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 m_\pi^2 \int dr j_2 \left( \frac{kr}{2} \right) \frac{2m_D (-m_\pi r u^2 Y_2 + 2\sqrt{2}uw(3\bar{Y}_1 - 2m_\pi r Y_2) + w^2(m_\pi r Y_2 - 3\bar{Y}_1))}{3\pi k^2 r} \quad (24a)$$

$$D_{2,XS} = -\frac{g_{\pi N}^2 \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2}{(2m_N)^2} \frac{m_D m_\pi^2}{14\pi k^2} \int \frac{dr}{r} \left\{ j_2 \left( \frac{kr}{2} \right) \left[ 14m_\pi r u^2 Y_2 + 7\sqrt{2}uw(6\bar{Y}_1 - m_\pi r Y_2) + 2w^2(2m_\pi r Y_2 + 3\bar{Y}_1) \right] \right. \\ \left. + 18w^2 j_4 \left( \frac{kr}{2} \right) (m_\pi r Y_2 - 2\bar{Y}_1) \right\} \quad (24b)$$

$$D_{3,XS} = \frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{(2m_N)^2}\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \frac{9m_D m_\pi^2 w^2}{\pi k^2} \int \frac{dr}{r} j_4 \left( \frac{kr}{2} \right) (m_\pi r Y_2 - 2\bar{Y}_1) \quad (24c)$$

### C. Fig. 2c : Pion exchange contribution

The non relativistic reduction of the pion exchange contribution in Fig. 2c, yields

$$T_{X\pi\pi}^{00} = -\frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{(2m_N)^2}\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \frac{\vec{k}^2 \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{q}_1 \vec{\sigma}_2 \cdot \vec{q}_2}{2w_{q_1}^2 w_{q_2}^2} T_{1\pi}(k) + \frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{(2m_N)^2}\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \frac{\sigma_1 \cdot q_1 \sigma_2 \cdot q_2}{2} \left( \frac{1}{w_{q_2}^2} + \frac{1}{w_{q_1}^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{m_N^4}\right) \\ T_{X\pi\pi}^{0j} = -\frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{(2m_N)^2}\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \frac{(q_1^j + q_2^j) \vec{P}_d \cdot \vec{k} \sigma_1 \cdot q_1 \sigma_2 \cdot q_2}{4m_N w_{q_1}^2 w_{q_2}^2} T_{2\pi}(k) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{m_N^4}\right) \\ T_{X\pi\pi}^{ij} = \frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{(2m_N)^2}\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \left( \frac{(\vec{k}^2 \delta^{ij} - k^i k^j) \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{q}_1 \vec{\sigma}_2 \cdot \vec{q}_2}{2w_{q_1}^2 w_{q_2}^2} T_{1\pi}(k) + \frac{(q_1^i + q_2^i)(q_1^j + q_2^j) \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{q}_1 \vec{\sigma}_2 \cdot \vec{q}_2}{2w_{q_1}^2 w_{q_2}^2} T_{2\pi}(k) \right) \\ - \delta^{ij} \frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{(2m_N)^2}\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \frac{\sigma_1 \cdot q_1 \sigma_2 \cdot q_2 (\vec{q}_1^2 + \vec{q}_2^2 + 2m_\pi^2)}{2w_{q_1}^2 w_{q_2}^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{m_N^4}\right) \quad (25)$$

The second contributions in each of  $T_{X\pi\pi}^{00}$  and  $T_{X\pi\pi}^{ij}$  follow from the off-shell part in (4). Their contribution to the deuteron EMT, are

$$\left\langle +\frac{k}{2}m' \left| T_{X\pi\pi}^{00} \right| -\frac{k}{2}m \right\rangle = m_D A_{X\pi\pi} \delta_{mm'} + Q_{X\pi\pi} \frac{\langle m' | Q^{\alpha\beta} | m \rangle k^\alpha k^\beta}{2m_D} \\ \left\langle +\frac{k}{2}m' \left| T_{X\pi\pi}^{0j} \right| -\frac{k}{2}m \right\rangle = \frac{\langle m' | (\vec{S} \times i\vec{k})^j | m \rangle}{2} J_{X\pi\pi} \\ \left\langle +\frac{k}{2}m' \left| T_{X\pi\pi}^{ij} \right| -\frac{k}{2}m \right\rangle = \frac{k^i k^j - \delta^{ij} k^2}{4m_D} D_{0,X\pi\pi} \delta_{m'm} + \frac{(k^j k^\alpha Q_{m'm}^{i\alpha} + k^i k^\alpha Q_{m'm}^{j\alpha} - k^2 Q_{m'm}^{ij} - \delta^{ij} Q_{m'm}^{\alpha\beta} k_\alpha k_\beta)}{2m_D} D_{2,X\pi\pi} \\ + \frac{1}{4m_D} (k^i k^j - \delta^{ij} k^2) Q_{m'm}^{\alpha\beta} \hat{k}_\alpha \hat{k}_\beta D_{3,X\pi\pi} \quad (26)$$

For the  $T^{ij}$  component, we only keep the contributions that satisfy the current conservation law. As we noted above, the upsetting terms cancel out when all contributions are added up. The corresponding form factors are lengthy and given in Appendix A.

### D. Fig. 2d : Recoil contribution

For the recoil contribution in left panel in Fig. 2d, the amplitude can be written as

$$T_{Rc}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{(2m_N)^2} \frac{\bar{u}(p'_1) \not{q}_2 \gamma_5 \tau_1^a (\gamma_0 E_p - \vec{\gamma} \cdot (\vec{p}_1 + \vec{k}) + m) T_N^{\mu\nu} u(p_1)}{4E_p w_{q_2} (E_p - E_{p'_1} + w_{q_2}) (E_{p'_2} - E_{p_2} + w_{q_2})} \bar{u}(p'_2) \not{q}_2 \gamma_5 \tau_2^a u(p_2) \quad (27)$$

As for the disconnected diagrams, which contribute to the wave function renormalization factor, they can be written as [15]

$$T_{wf}^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} T_{Rc}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{(2m_N)^2} \frac{\bar{u}(p'_1) \not{q}_2 \gamma_5 \tau_1^a (\gamma_0 E_p - \vec{\gamma} \cdot (\vec{p}_1 + \vec{k}) + m) T_N^{\mu\nu} u(p_1)}{8E_p w_{q_2} (E_{p'_1} - E_p + w_{q_2}) (E_{p_2} - E_{p'_2} + w_{q_2})} \bar{u}(p'_2) \not{q}_2 \gamma_5 \tau_2^a u(p_2) \quad (28)$$

As a result, the recoil contribution and wave function renormalization  $T_{XR}^{\mu\nu} = T_{Rc}^{\mu\nu} + T_{wf}^{\mu\nu}$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} T_{XR}^{00} &= -\frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{(2m_N)^2} \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 A(k) \frac{q_2 \cdot k \sigma_1 \cdot q_2 \sigma_2 \cdot q_2}{2w_{q_2}^4} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{m_N^4}\right) \\ T_{XR}^{0j} &= \frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{(2m_N)^2} \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \left\{ \left( -\frac{P_d^j k \cdot q_2 \sigma_1 \cdot q_2 \sigma_2 \cdot q_2}{4m_N w_{q_2}^4} \right) A(k) + (A(k) + B(k)) \left( \frac{ik \cdot q_2 \sigma_2 \cdot q_2 (k \times q_2)^j}{8m_N w_{q_2}^4} \right) \right\} \\ &\quad + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{m_N^4}\right) \\ T_{XR}^{ij} &= \left( \frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{m_N^4} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

The contribution to the GFF from Fig. 2d can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle +\frac{k}{2} m' \left| T_{XR}^{00} \right| -\frac{k}{2} m \right\rangle &= m_D A_{XR} \delta_{mm'} + Q_{XR} \frac{\langle m' | Q^{\alpha\beta} | m \rangle k^\alpha k^\beta}{2m_D} \\ \left\langle +\frac{k}{2} m' \left| T_{XR}^{0j} \right| -\frac{k}{2} m \right\rangle &= \frac{\langle m' | (\vec{S} \times i\vec{k})^j | m \rangle}{2} J_{XR} \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where

$$A_{XR}(k) = \frac{g_{\pi N}^2 \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 A(k)}{(2m_N)^2 m_D} \int dr \frac{km_\pi^2}{24\pi} j_1\left(\frac{kr}{2}\right) \left[ u^2(m_\pi r Y_2 - 5\bar{Y}_1) + 4\sqrt{2}uw(m_\pi r Y_2 - 2\bar{Y}_1) - w^2(m_\pi r Y_2 + \bar{Y}_1) \right] \quad (31a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{XR}(k) &= \frac{g_{\pi N}^2 \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 A(k)}{(2m_N)^2} m_D \int dr \frac{m_\pi^2}{40\pi k} \left\{ j_1\left(\frac{kr}{2}\right) \left[ 8u^2(m_\pi r Y_2 - 5\bar{Y}_1) + 4\sqrt{2}uw(2\bar{Y}_1 - m_\pi r Y_2) + 10w^2(m_\pi r Y_2 + \bar{Y}_1) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 3j_3\left(\frac{kr}{2}\right) \left[ -4m_\pi r u^2 Y_2 + 2\sqrt{2}uw(m_\pi r Y_2 + 3\bar{Y}_1) + 5w^2(3\bar{Y}_1 - m_\pi r Y_2) \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (31b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_{XR}(k) &= \left( \frac{g_{\pi N}}{2m_N} \right)^2 A(k) \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \int dr \frac{3m_\pi^2}{16\pi k m_N r^2} \left\{ 2j_1\left(\frac{kr}{2}\right) \left[ r \left( 2\sqrt{2}u(w'\bar{Y}_1 - m_\pi w Y_2) + m_\pi w^2 Y_2 - 2\sqrt{2}u'w\bar{Y}_1 \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 6w^2\bar{Y}_1 \right] - krw j_2\left(\frac{kr}{2}\right) \left[ (2\sqrt{2}u(\bar{Y}_1 - m_\pi r Y_2) + w(m_\pi r Y_2 + 2\bar{Y}_1)) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + krw\bar{Y}_1 (2\sqrt{2}u - w) j_0\left(\frac{kr}{2}\right) \right\} \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{g_{\pi N}}{2m_N} \right)^2 (A(k) + B(k)) \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \int dr \frac{km_\pi^2}{160\pi m_N} \left\{ j_1\left(\frac{kr}{2}\right) \left[ 2u^2(m_\pi r Y_2 - 5\bar{Y}_1) \right. \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sqrt{2}uw(\bar{Y}_1 - 2m_\pi r Y_2) + w^2(m_\pi r Y_2 + 4\bar{Y}_1) \Big] \\
& + j_3 \left( \frac{kr}{2} \right) \left[ 2m_\pi r u^2 Y_2 + 2\sqrt{2}uw(m_\pi r Y_2 - 3\bar{Y}_1) + w^2(m_\pi r Y_2 - 6\bar{Y}_1) \right] \Big\} \quad (31c)
\end{aligned}$$

### E. EMT conservation in non-relativistic limit

To check (14) in the non-relativistic limit, we combine the result in (19) with that in (25), to have

$$\begin{aligned}
k^i T_{XS}^{ij} + k^i T_{X\pi\pi}^{ij} &= -\frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{(2m_N)^2} \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \left( \frac{\sigma_2 \cdot q_2 k \cdot q_2 \sigma_1^j + \sigma_1 \cdot k \sigma_2 \cdot q_2 q_2^j}{2w_{q_2}^2} + \frac{\sigma_1 \cdot q_1 k \cdot q_1 \sigma_2^j + \sigma_2 \cdot k \sigma_1 \cdot q_1 q_1^j}{2w_{q_1}^2} \right) \\
&+ \frac{g_{\pi N}^2}{(2m_N)^2} \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \left( \frac{q_1^j}{w_{q_1}^2} - \frac{q_2^j}{w_{q_2}^2} \right) \sigma_1 \cdot q_1 \sigma_2 \cdot q_2 \\
&= \frac{g_{\pi N}^2 \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2}{(2m_N)^2} \left( \frac{-\sigma_2 \cdot q_2 k \cdot q_2 \sigma_1^j + \sigma_1 \cdot (k - 2q_2) \sigma_2 \cdot q_2 q_2^j}{2w_{q_2}^2} - \frac{\sigma_1 \cdot q_1 k \cdot q_1 \sigma_2^j + \sigma_2 \cdot (k - 2q_2) \sigma_1 \cdot q_1 q_1^j}{2w_{q_1}^2} \right) \quad (32)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have set  $T_{1\pi} = T_{2\pi} = 1$ . On the other hand,  $[V_\pi, T_N^{0\nu}]$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
[V_\pi, T^{0j}] &= -\frac{g_{\pi N}^2 \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2}{(2m_N)^2} \left( \frac{\sigma_1 \cdot q_2 \sigma_2 \cdot q_2}{w_{q_2}^2} (p_1^j + \frac{k^j}{2} + \frac{(\sigma_1 \times ik)^j}{4}) - (p_1^j - \frac{k^j}{2} + \frac{(\sigma_1 \times ik)^j}{4}) \frac{\sigma_1 \cdot q_2 \sigma_2 \cdot q_2}{w_{q_2}^2} \right) \\
&- \frac{g_{\pi N}^2 \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2}{(2m_N)^2} \left( \frac{\sigma_1 \cdot q_1 \sigma_2 \cdot q_1}{w_{q_1}^2} (p_2^j + \frac{k^j}{2} + \frac{(\sigma_2 \times ik)^j}{4}) - (p_2^j - \frac{k^j}{2} + \frac{(\sigma_2 \times ik)^j}{4}) \frac{\sigma_1 \cdot q_1 \sigma_2 \cdot q_1}{w_{q_1}^2} \right) \\
&= -\frac{g_{\pi N}^2 \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2}{(2m_N)^2} \left( \frac{\sigma_2 \cdot q_2 k \cdot q_2 \sigma_1^j - \sigma_1 \cdot (k - 2q_2) \sigma_2 \cdot q_2 q_2^j}{2w_{q_2}^2} + \frac{\sigma_1 \cdot q_1 k \cdot q_1 \sigma_2^j + \sigma_2 \cdot (k - 2q_2) \sigma_1 \cdot q_1 q_1^j}{2w_{q_1}^2} \right) \quad (33)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have set  $A(k^2) = 1$  and  $B(k^2) = 0$ , which are the leading ChPT values.

## IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

To summarise, the exchange contributions in the deuteron state are

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{DX}^{00} &= T_{X\pi\pi}^{00} + T_{XR}^{00} \\
T_{DX}^{0j} &= T_{X\pi}^{0j} + T_{XS}^{0j} + T_{X\pi\pi}^{0j} + T_{XR}^{0j} \\
T_{DX}^{ij} &= T_{XS}^{ij} + T_{X\pi\pi}^{ij} \quad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

The corresponding GFFs from the exchange currents are

$$\begin{aligned}
A_X &= A_{X\pi\pi}(A1a) + A_{XR}(31a) \\
Q_X &= Q_{X\pi\pi}(A1b) + Q_{XR}(31b) \\
J_X &= J_{X\pi}(17) + J_{XS}(21a) + J_{X\pi\pi}(A1c) + J_{XR}(31c) \\
D_{0,X} &= D_{0,XS}(24a) + D_{0,X\pi\pi}(A1d)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{2,X} &= D_{3,XS}(24b) + D_{3,X\pi\pi}(A1e) \\
D_{3,X} &= D_{3,XS}(24c) + D_{3,X\pi\pi}(A1f) \quad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

with the contributions from the a-pair, b-seagull, c-pipi and d-recoil labeled by the pertinent result. In the forward limit, we note that  $T_{DX}^{00} = -V_\pi$  which is the pion pair potential. When combined with the deuteron result  $T_D^{00}$  from the impulse approximation in [3], we obtain the sum rule for the deuteron mass

$$m_D \sim 2m_N + \left\langle \frac{P^2}{m_N^2} - V_\pi \right\rangle_D .$$

with the bracket including the recoil correction next to leading order in  $P$ , and the pion exchange correction. Note that the contribution of  $-V_\pi$  to






FIG. 3: The deuteron GFFs in the impulse approximation from [3], along with exchange corrections from this work. The subscript “imp” refer to the impulse approximation, while the subscripts “ $X\pi$ ”, “ $XS$ ”, “ $X\pi\pi$ ” and “ $XR$ ” refer to the exchange contributions from the pair diagram (Fig. 2a), seagull diagram (Fig. 2b), pion exchange diagram (Fig. 2c) and recoil contribution (Fig. 2d), respectively. These results are for the pion GFFs set to  $T_{1\pi} = T_{2\pi} = 1$ .

the deuteron energy from pion exchange through the mass GFF, is consistent with the one made in DIS in [17]. We now proceed to compare the changes due to (34) on the results from our analysis, using

the impulse approximation in [3].

Since the conservation law in III E is only satisfied at the leading order in ChPT, we first set the pion GFF to their ChPT values  $T_{1\pi} = T_{2\pi} = 1$  in




FIG. 4: Same as Fig. 3 but with the parametrized pion GFFs following from the QCD Lattice calculation in [16] in Eq. 36.

our numerical results. For the nucleon GFFs, we use the ones detailed in our previous work [3], which are borrowed from the Lattice calculation in [10] and the dual gravity calculation in [13], for a direct comparison between the exchange currents and the impulse approximation. In Fig 3 we show the results solely from the impulse approximation derived in [3], in

blue-dotted lines for all the deuteron GFFs. The added exchange contributions from the pair, seagull, pipi and recoil as detailed in (35) for each of the deuteron GFFs are shown in cyan-, orange-, green-, red-dotted lines. To show the correction due to the pion GFFs, we borrow their parameterization from

the recent Lattice calculation in [16],

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{1\pi}^g(k) &= \frac{0.596}{1 + \frac{\vec{k}^2}{0.677 \text{ GeV}^2}} \\
T_{1\pi}^q(k) &= \frac{0.304}{1 + \frac{\vec{k}^2}{1.44 \text{ GeV}^2}} \\
T_{2\pi}^g(k) &= \frac{0.546}{1 + \frac{\vec{k}^2}{1.129 \text{ GeV}^2}} \\
T_{2\pi}^q(k) &= \frac{0.481}{1 + \frac{\vec{k}^2}{1.262 \text{ GeV}^2}} \quad (36)
\end{aligned}$$

The same results with the pion GFFs following the parametrization (36) from the lattice results in [16], are shown in Fig 4. For the seagull and off-shellness part, we do not have the parameterization form currently, so they are only quoted from the leading order in ChPT. The main differences are seen in the deuteron GFFs  $|A, D_0, D_3|$ , offering the possibility of extracting the pion GFFs from the deuteron exchange pion contribution, as we detail further below.

The deuteron mass GFF  $|A|$  receives contributions solely from the impulse approximation (blue-circles) and the pion exchange diagram (green-diamonds). There is no seagull contribution, and the recoil contribution is very small (red-triangle). A comparison of Fig 3 (without the pion form factors) and Fig 4 (with the pion form factors), shows large deviations in the range  $k > \frac{1}{2}m_N$ . This suggests that the pion GFF  $T_{1\pi}$  can be extracted from a measurement of the deuteron mass GFF  $|A|$ . The recoil corrections are small (red-triangle) due to a large cancellation between the recoil contribution and wave-function renormalization, much like for the electromagnetic form factors [15, 18].

The exchange contributions to the deuteron spin and quadrupole GFFs  $|J, Q|$  shown in Fig 4, are relatively small for a wide range of  $k \leq m_N$ . The deuteron GFFs  $|D_{0,2,3}|$  shown in Fig 4, receive exchange contributions from the seagull and pion ( $X\pi\pi$ ) contributions, but none from the recoil corrections.

The correction from the seagull plus pion exchange contributions for  $|D_0|$  (standard D-term) in Fig 4, are small for  $k \leq \frac{1}{2}m_N$ . The seagull contribution moves down the diffractive peak from the impulse approximation (blue-circles) from about  $0.9 \text{ GeV}^2$  to about  $0.5 \text{ GeV}^2$  (orange-squares), before being shifted up (green-diamonds) to about  $0.7 \text{ GeV}^2$  by the pion exchange contribution ( $X\pi\pi$ ).

The exchange seagull contribution (orange-squares) is relatively large for  $|D_2|$  (D-term

for mixed tensor-spin-spin contribution) (orange-squares) in Fig 4, but very small for  $|D_3|$  (D-term for mixed tensor-quadrupole contribution) for most of the range of  $k$  supported by our approximation. This is mostly due to the fact that  $D_2$  in the impulse approximation, is generated by a non-local interaction proportional to the D-wave admixture  $w$  which is small, while the seagull exchange contribution (24b) includes the contribution from the S-wavefunction  $u$  which is large.

In contrast, the exchange pion contribution (green-diamonds) in Fig 4, shifts down  $|D_3|$  at larger momenta. This observation once combined with the one for  $|A|$  above, suggests that the pion GFFs  $T_{1\pi,2\pi}$  can be extracted from the exchange contributions in  $|A, D_3|$ . With the exception of  $|D_2|$ , most of the GFFs mass, quadrupole and spin radii are left unchanged by the exchange corrections. We note that the pion exchange contribution in the standard deuteron D-term  $D_0$  at large  $k$ , will modify the deuteron pressure distribution obtained in the impulse approximation at small distances.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

We have analyzed the exchange contributions to the deuteron EMT, by including the contributions from the pair, seagull, pion exchange and recoil in the non-relativistic Born approximation. This reduction is expected to hold for momenta within a nucleon mass range. The exchange contributions were shown to satisfy the conservation law for the EMT.

The pion exchange contribution appears to be sizable in the deuteron GFFs  $|A, D_3|$  when the pion GFF are added to the impulse approximation, with the seagull and recoil contributions being small in the range of a nucleon mass. This suggests the possibility of empirically extracting the pion GFFs from the measured deuteron GFFs  $|A, D_3|$ .

Most of the exchange contributions are small in the range  $k \leq \frac{1}{2}m_N$ , leaving unchanged the mass, quadrupole and spin radii in the deuteron. This may reflect on the fact that the low gravitational multipole transition rates maybe insensitive to the meson exchange currents, the exception being the deuteron  $D_2$  GFF as we have explained above. This result may be viewed as the gravitational analogue of Siegert theorem for the electromagnetic current in nuclei [19, 20]. However, this observation deserves a

more thorough investigation.

### Acknowledgements

We thank Zein-Eddine Meziani for discussions. This work is supported by the Office of Science, U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-FG-88ER40388. This research is also supported in part within the framework of the Quark-Gluon Tomog-

raphy (QGT) Topical Collaboration, under contract no. DE-SC0023646.

### Appendix A: Pion exchange contribution

The results of the GFFs for the pion exchange contribution in Fig. 2c are

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{X\pi\pi}(k) &= \frac{g_{\pi N}^2 \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2}{(2m_N)^2} T_{1\pi}(k) \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} dt \int dr \left\{ -\frac{k^2}{1536\pi m_D} j_0(krt) \left[ k^2 r (4t^2 - 1) Y_0^{\pi\pi} (8u^2 - 4\sqrt{2}uw - 9w^2) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - 72L_\pi^2 r w \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} (2\sqrt{2}u - w) + 24L_\pi Y_0^{\pi\pi} (4u^2 - 2\sqrt{2}uw + 5w^2) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{k j_1(krt)}{768\pi m_D r t} \left[ k^2 r Y_0^{\pi\pi} (32L_\pi r t^2 (u^2 + 4\sqrt{2}uw - w^2) - 3(4t^2 - 1) w (2\sqrt{2}u - 7w)) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + 12L_\pi (4L_\pi r u^2 \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} - 2\sqrt{2}uw(L_\pi r \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} + 3Y_0^{\pi\pi})) + w^2(5L_\pi r \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} + 3Y_0^{\pi\pi}) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{k^2 j_2(krt)}{10752\pi m_D r t^2} \left[ (4t^2 - 1) w Y_0^{\pi\pi} (4k^2 r^2 t^2 (63\sqrt{2}u + 25w) - 3255w) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - 56L_\pi^2 r^2 t^2 \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} (4u^2 - 2\sqrt{2}uw + 5w^2) + 168L_\pi r t^2 w Y_0^{\pi\pi} (2\sqrt{2}u - w) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + j_4(krt) \frac{31k^4 r (4t^2 - 1) w^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi}}{3584\pi m_D} \right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{g_{\pi N}^2 \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2}{(2m_N)^2} \int dr \frac{m_\pi^2}{48\pi k m_D r^2} \left\{ -2j_1\left(\frac{kr}{2}\right) \left[ 2k^2 r^2 \bar{Y}_1 (u^2 + 4\sqrt{2}uw - w^2) + 12m_\pi r u^2 Y_2 \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - 6\sqrt{2}uw(m_\pi r Y_2 + 3\bar{Y}_1) + 3w^2(5m_\pi r Y_2 + 3\bar{Y}_1) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 3kr j_0\left(\frac{kr}{2}\right) \left[ 2\sqrt{2}uw(3m_\pi r Y_2 + \bar{Y}_1) - w^2(3m_\pi r Y_2 + 5\bar{Y}_1) - 4u^2 \bar{Y}_1 \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + kr j_2\left(\frac{kr}{2}\right) \left[ 4m_\pi r u^2 Y_2 - 2\sqrt{2}uw(m_\pi r Y_2 + 3\bar{Y}_1) + w^2(5m_\pi r Y_2 + 3\bar{Y}_1) \right] \right\} \tag{A1a} \\
Q_{X\pi\pi}(k) &= \frac{g_{\pi N}^2 \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2}{(2m_N)^2} T_{1\pi}(k) \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} dt \int dr \left\{ -\frac{k^2 m_D r j_0(krt)}{32\pi} \left[ (4t^2 - 1) Y_0^{\pi\pi} (2u^2 - \sqrt{2}uw - 3w^2) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{k m_D Y_0^{\pi\pi} j_1(krt)}{320\pi t} \left[ 16L_\pi r t^2 (10u^2 - 5\sqrt{2}uw - w^2) - 15(4t^2 - 1) w (2\sqrt{2}u - 7w) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{m_D j_2(krt)}{448\pi r t^2} \left[ (4t^2 - 1) w^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} (74k^2 r^2 t^2 - 1407) - 28L_\pi^2 r^2 t^2 \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} (4u^2 - 2\sqrt{2}uw + 5w^2) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + 84L_\pi r t^2 w Y_0^{\pi\pi} (2\sqrt{2}u - w) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{27k m_D L_\pi r t w^2 Y_0 j_3(krt)}{40\pi} - \frac{3k^2 m_D r (4t^2 - 1) w^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} j_4(krt)}{448\pi} \right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{g_{\pi N}^2 \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2}{(2m_N)^2} \int dr \frac{m_D m_\pi^2}{20\pi k^2 r} \left\{ -5j_2\left(\frac{kr}{2}\right) \left[ -4m_\pi r u^2 Y_2 + 2\sqrt{2}uw(m_\pi r Y_2 + 3\bar{Y}_1) - w^2(5m_\pi r Y_2 + 3\bar{Y}_1) \right] \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ 2kr\bar{Y}_1 \left( -10u^2 + 5\sqrt{2}uw + w^2 \right) j_1 \left( \frac{kr}{2} \right) + 27krw^2\bar{Y}_1 j_3 \left( \frac{kr}{2} \right) \Big\} \quad (\text{A1b})$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_{X\pi\pi}(k) = & \frac{g_{\pi N}^2 \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2}{(2m_N)^2 m_N} T_{2\pi}(k) \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} dt \int dr \left\{ \frac{L_\pi j_0(krt)}{384\pi r} \left[ 72L_\pi w \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} (w - 2\sqrt{2}u) \right. \right. \\ & - \left. \left. k^2 r (4t^2 - 1) Y_0^{\pi\pi} (\sqrt{2}u(2w - rw') + w (\sqrt{2}ru' - w)) \right] \right. \\ & - \frac{3L_\pi j_1(krt)}{320\pi kr^2 t} \left[ k^2 r w (-8\sqrt{2}L_\pi r^2 t^2 u' \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} + 8rt^2 (L_\pi w \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} - 2\sqrt{2}u' Y_0^{\pi\pi})) + 5(4t^2 - 1) w Y_0^{\pi\pi} \right] \\ & + 2\sqrt{2}uk^2 r (-8L_\pi r t^2 w \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} + 4rt^2 w' (L_\pi r \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} + 2Y_0^{\pi\pi})) + (4t^2 + 5) w Y_0^{\pi\pi} \\ & + 20L_\pi \left( r (2\sqrt{2}u(w' \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} - L_\pi w Y_2^{\pi\pi}) + L_\pi w^2 Y_2^{\pi\pi} - 2\sqrt{2}u' w \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi}) + 6w^2 \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} \right) \Big] \\ & + \frac{L_\pi j_2(krt)}{2688\pi r^2 t^2} \left[ 2\sqrt{2}uw ((4t^2 - 1) Y_0^{\pi\pi} (26k^2 r^2 t^2 - 147) - 504L_\pi r t^2 \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi}) \right. \\ & + \left. \sqrt{2}ruw' (1008L_\pi r t^2 \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} - (4t^2 - 1) Y_0^{\pi\pi} (26k^2 r^2 t^2 - 147)) \right. \\ & - \left. 2r^2 t^2 w (13k^2 (4t^2 - 1) w Y_0^{\pi\pi} + 504\sqrt{2}L_\pi u' \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi}) + 26\sqrt{2}k^2 r^3 (4t^2 - 1) t^2 w u' Y_0^{\pi\pi} \right. \\ & - \left. 21r (7\sqrt{2} (4t^2 - 1) w u' Y_0^{\pi\pi} - 24L_\pi t^2 w^2 \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi}) + 147 (4t^2 - 1) w^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} \right] \\ & - \frac{3kL_\pi t j_3(krt)}{40\pi r} \left[ \sqrt{2}u(2w - rw')(3Y_0^{\pi\pi} - L_\pi r \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi}) + rw (\sqrt{2}u'(3Y_0^{\pi\pi} - L_\pi r \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi}) + L_\pi w \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi}) \right] \\ & + \left. j_4(krt) \frac{11k^2 L_\pi (4t^2 - 1) Y_0^{\pi\pi}}{896\pi} \left[ \sqrt{2}u(2w - rw') + w (\sqrt{2}ru' - w) \right] \right\} \quad (\text{A1c}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0,X\pi\pi}(k) = & m_D \frac{g_{\pi N}^2 \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2}{(2m_N)^2} T_{1\pi}(k) \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} dt \int dr \left\{ \frac{j_0(krt)}{384\pi} \left[ -k^2 r (4t^2 - 1) Y_0^{\pi\pi} (8u^2 - 4\sqrt{2}uw - 9w^2) \right. \right. \\ & + \left. \left. 72L_\pi^2 r w \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} (2\sqrt{2}u - w) - 24L_\pi Y_0^{\pi\pi} (4u^2 - 2\sqrt{2}uw + 5w^2) \right] \right. \\ & + \frac{j_1(krt)}{192\pi krt} \left[ k^2 r Y_0^{\pi\pi} (32L_\pi r t^2 (u^2 + 4\sqrt{2}uw - w^2) - 3(4t^2 - 1) w (2\sqrt{2}u - 7w)) \right. \\ & + \left. 12L_\pi (4L_\pi r u^2 \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} - 2\sqrt{2}uw(L_\pi r \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} + 3Y_0^{\pi\pi})) + w^2 (5L_\pi r \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} + 3Y_0^{\pi\pi}) \right] \\ & + \frac{j_2(krt)}{2688\pi r t^2} \left[ (4t^2 - 1) w Y_0^{\pi\pi} (4k^2 r^2 t^2 (63\sqrt{2}u + 25w) - 3255w) \right. \\ & - \left. 56L_\pi^2 r^2 t^2 \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} (4u^2 - 2\sqrt{2}uw + 5w^2) + 168L_\pi r t^2 w Y_0^{\pi\pi} (2\sqrt{2}u - w) \right] \\ & + \left. \frac{j_4(krt)}{896\pi} 31k^2 r (4t^2 - 1) w^2 \bar{Y}_0^{\pi\pi} \right\} \\ & + m_D \frac{g_{\pi N}^2 \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2}{(2m_N)^2} T_{2\pi}(k) \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} dt \int dr \left\{ -\frac{j_0(krt)}{864\pi k^2 r} \left[ 12L_\pi^2 w \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} (k^2 r^2 (\sqrt{2}(40t^2 + 17)u - (68t^2 + 25)w) \right. \right. \\ & + \left. \left. 120w) - 72k^2 L_\pi r t^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} (28u^2 - 14\sqrt{2}uw - 3w^2) - 9k^4 r^2 t^2 (4t^2 - 1) Y_0^{\pi\pi} (8u^2 - 4\sqrt{2}uw - 9w^2) \right. \right. \\ & + \left. \left. 40L_\pi^4 r^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} (4u^2 - 2\sqrt{2}uw + 5w^2) + 40L_\pi^3 r (4u^2 (Y_0^{\pi\pi} + 5Y_2^{\pi\pi}) - 2\sqrt{2}uw (Y_0^{\pi\pi} + 8Y_2^{\pi\pi})) \right. \right. \\ & + \left. \left. w^2 (5Y_0^{\pi\pi} - 8Y_2^{\pi\pi}) \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{tj_1(krt)}{240\pi kr} \left[ k^2 r Y_0^{\pi\pi} \left( 2L_\pi r \left( 20(8t^2 - 1)u^2 + 32\sqrt{2}(14t^2 - 1)uw + (59 - 316t^2)w^2 \right) \right. \right. \\
& - 15(4t^2 - 1)w \left( 2\sqrt{2}u - 7w \right) \left. \right) - 4L_\pi \left( 28L_\pi r u^2 (2L_\pi r Y_2^{\pi\pi} - 15\bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi}) \right. \\
& \left. \left. + 2\sqrt{2}uw(L_\pi r(112L_\pi r Y_2^{\pi\pi} - 321\bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi}) + 105Y_0^{\pi\pi}) + w^2(L_\pi r(321\bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} - 116L_\pi r Y_2^{\pi\pi}) - 465Y_0^{\pi\pi}) \right) \right] \\
& - \frac{j_2(krt)}{24192\pi k^4 r^3 t^2} \left[ 32L_\pi^3 r \left( 4u^2 (Y_0^{\pi\pi} (113k^2 r^2 t^2 - 525) + Y_2^{\pi\pi} (124k^2 r^2 t^2 - 2625)) \right. \right. \\
& + 2\sqrt{2}uw (Y_0^{\pi\pi} (454k^2 r^2 t^2 + 525) + 8Y_2^{\pi\pi} (13k^2 r^2 t^2 + 525)) - w^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} (2k^2 r^2 t^2 + 2625) \\
& - 8w^2 Y_2^{\pi\pi} (176k^2 r^2 t^2 - 525) \left. \right) + 32L_\pi^4 r^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} \left( 4u^2 (113k^2 r^2 t^2 - 525) + 2\sqrt{2}uw (454k^2 r^2 t^2 + 525) \right. \\
& - w^2 (2k^2 r^2 t^2 + 2625) \left. \right) - 3L_\pi^2 \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} \left( k^4 r^4 t^2 (672(24t^2 - 1)u^2 + 224\sqrt{2}(262t^2 - 19)uw + (5977 - 9604t^2)w^2) \right. \\
& - 48k^2 r^2 w (7\sqrt{2}(532t^2 - 109)u + (2234t^2 + 1085)w) + 201600w^2 \left. \right) \\
& + 288k^2 L_\pi r t^2 w Y_0^{\pi\pi} \left( k^2 r^2 t^2 (546\sqrt{2}u + 179w) - 6510w \right) \\
& + 36k^4 r^2 (4t^2 - 1) t^2 w Y_0^{\pi\pi} \left( 4k^2 r^2 t^2 (63\sqrt{2}u + 25w) - 3255w \right) \left. \right] \\
& + \frac{L_\pi j_3(krt)}{80\pi k^3 r^2 t^3} \left[ - 2k^2 r t^2 \left( 16L_\pi^2 r^2 t^2 u^2 Y_2^{\pi\pi} + 64\sqrt{2}L_\pi r t^2 uw (L_\pi r Y_2^{\pi\pi} - 3\bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi}) \right. \right. \\
& + w^2 (2L_\pi r t^2 (27L_\pi r Y_2^{\pi\pi} - 92\bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi}) + 735(4t^2 - 1)Y_0^{\pi\pi}) \left. \right) + k^4 r^3 (4t^2 - 1) t^4 w Y_0^{\pi\pi} (32\sqrt{2}u + 61w) \\
& + 140L_\pi w^2 (20L_\pi r t^2 Y_2^{\pi\pi} + (3 - 92t^2)\bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi}) \left. \right] \\
& - \frac{j_4(krt)}{88704\pi k^2 r t^2} \left[ 3L_\pi^2 w \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} \left( 4k^2 r^2 t^2 (308\sqrt{2}(4t^2 - 1)u + (7364t^2 - 3887)w) + 99(4613 - 16148t^2)w \right) \right. \\
& + 792k^2 L_\pi r (836t^2 - 147) t^2 w^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} + 12276k^4 r^2 (4t^2 - 1) t^4 w^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} + 1760L_\pi^4 r^2 t^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} (4u^2 - 2\sqrt{2}uw + 5w^2) \\
& + 352L_\pi^3 r t^2 (20u^2 (Y_0^{\pi\pi} + 5Y_2^{\pi\pi}) - 10\sqrt{2}uw (Y_0^{\pi\pi} + 8Y_2^{\pi\pi}) + w^2 (25Y_0^{\pi\pi} - 481Y_2^{\pi\pi})) \left. \right] \\
& + \frac{L_\pi j_5(krt)}{64\pi kt} w^2 (28k^2 r (4t^2 - 1) t^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} + L_\pi ((380t^2 - 47)\bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} - 48L_\pi r t^2 Y_2^{\pi\pi})) \\
& - \frac{303L_\pi^2 r (4t^2 - 1) w^2 \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} j_6(krt)}{1408\pi} \left. \right\} \tag{A1d}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{2,X\pi\pi}(k) & = m_D \frac{g_{\pi N \tau_1}^2 \cdot \tau_2}{(2m_N)^2} T_{2\pi}(k) \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} dt \int dr \left\{ \frac{L_\pi j_0(krt)}{288\pi k^2 r} \left[ - 9L_\pi w^2 \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} (k^2 r^2 (4t^2 + 1) - 16) \right. \right. \\
& + 288k^2 r t^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} (2u^2 - \sqrt{2}uw + 3w^2) + 4L_\pi^3 r^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} (4u^2 - 2\sqrt{2}uw + 5w^2) \\
& \left. \left. + 4L_\pi^2 r (4u^2 (Y_0^{\pi\pi} + 5Y_2^{\pi\pi}) - 2\sqrt{2}uw (Y_0^{\pi\pi} + 8Y_2^{\pi\pi}) + w^2 (5Y_0^{\pi\pi} - 8Y_2^{\pi\pi})) \right] \right. \\
& + \frac{L_\pi t j_1(krt)}{20\pi kr} \left[ 8L_\pi r u^2 (2L_\pi r Y_2^{\pi\pi} - 15\bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi}) + 4\sqrt{2}uw (L_\pi r (3\bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} - 2L_\pi r Y_2^{\pi\pi}) + 15Y_0^{\pi\pi}) \right. \\
& \left. + w^2 (L_\pi r (17L_\pi r Y_2^{\pi\pi} + 30\bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi}) - 210Y_0^{\pi\pi}) \right] \\
& - \frac{L_\pi j_2(krt)}{4032\pi k^4 r^3 t^2} \left[ 8L_\pi^2 r (4u^2 (Y_0^{\pi\pi} (26k^2 r^2 t^2 + 105) + Y_2^{\pi\pi} (525 - 122k^2 r^2 t^2)) \right. \\
& \left. - 2\sqrt{2}uw (Y_0^{\pi\pi} (26k^2 r^2 t^2 + 105) - 44k^2 r^2 t^2 Y_2^{\pi\pi} + 840Y_2^{\pi\pi}) \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 5w^2 (Y_0^{\pi\pi} (26k^2r^2t^2 + 105) + 8Y_2^{\pi\pi} (20k^2r^2t^2 - 21)) + 8L_\pi^3r^2Y_0^{\pi\pi} (4u^2 - 2\sqrt{2}uw + 5w^2) (26k^2r^2t^2 + 105) \\
& - 9L_\pi w \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} (2k^2r^2 (168\sqrt{2} (8t^2 - 1) u - (668t^2 + 63) w) + k^4r^4 (580t^2 - 41) t^2w - 3360w) \\
& - 288k^2rt^2w^2Y_0^{\pi\pi} (37k^2r^2t^2 + 147) \Big] \\
& + \frac{L_\pi j_3(krt)}{80\pi k^3 r^2 t^3} \Big[ 2k^2rt^2 (32L_\pi^2r^2t^2u^2Y_2^{\pi\pi} - 16\sqrt{2}L_\pi r t^2uw(L_\pi r Y_2^{\pi\pi} + 6\bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi})) \\
& + w^2 (4L_\pi r t^2(L_\pi r Y_2^{\pi\pi} - 15\bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi}) + 45 (4t^2 - 1) Y_0^{\pi\pi}) + 15k^4r^3 (4t^2 - 1) t^4w^2Y_0^{\pi\pi} \\
& + 60L_\pi w^2 ((20t^2 + 6) \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} - 11L_\pi r t^2Y_2^{\pi\pi}) \Big] \\
& + \frac{L_\pi j_4(krt)}{14784\pi k^2 r t^2} \Big[ 9L_\pi w^2 \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} (1576k^2r^2t^4 + 90t^2 (k^2r^2 - 286) + 3003) + 396k^2rt^2 (21 - 172t^2) w^2Y_0^{\pi\pi} \\
& - 968L_\pi^3r^2t^2Y_0^{\pi\pi} (4u^2 - 2\sqrt{2}uw + 5w^2) \\
& - 88L_\pi^2rt^2 (44u^2(Y_0^{\pi\pi} + 5Y_2^{\pi\pi}) - 22\sqrt{2}uw(Y_0^{\pi\pi} + 8Y_2^{\pi\pi}) + 5w^2(11Y_0^{\pi\pi} - 68Y_2^{\pi\pi})) \Big] \\
& + \frac{3L_\pi w^2 j_5(krt) (2k^2r (4t^2 - 1) t^2Y_0^{\pi\pi} + L_\pi ((52t^2 - 5) \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} - 8L_\pi r t^2Y_2^{\pi\pi}))}{32\pi kt} \\
& - \left. \frac{81L_\pi^2r (4t^2 - 1) w^2 \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} j_6(krt)}{704\pi} \right\} \tag{A1e}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{3,X\pi\pi}(k) & = m_D \frac{g_{\pi N}^2 \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2}{(2m_N)^2} T_{1\pi}(k) \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} dt \int dr \left\{ - \frac{k^2r (4t^2 - 1) Y_0^{\pi\pi} (2u^2 - \sqrt{2}uw - 3w^2) j_0(krt)}{16\pi} \right. \\
& + \frac{kY_0^{\pi\pi} j_1(krt) (16L_\pi r t^2 (10u^2 - 5\sqrt{2}uw - w^2) - 15 (4t^2 - 1) w (2\sqrt{2}u - 7w))}{160\pi t} \\
& + \frac{j_2(krt)}{224\pi r t^2} \Big[ (4t^2 - 1) w^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} (74k^2r^2t^2 - 1407) - 28L_\pi^2r^2t^2 \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} (4u^2 - 2\sqrt{2}uw + 5w^2) \\
& + 84L_\pi r t^2 w Y_0^{\pi\pi} (2\sqrt{2}u - w) \Big] - \frac{27kL_\pi r t w^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} j_3(krt)}{20\pi} - \frac{3k^2r (4t^2 - 1) w^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} j_4(krt)}{224\pi} \Big\} \\
& + m_D \frac{g_{\pi N}^2 \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2}{(2m_N)^2} T_{2\pi}(k) \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} dt \int dr \left\{ \frac{j_0(krt)}{96\pi k^2 r} \Big[ L_\pi^2 w \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} (k^2r^2 (2\sqrt{2} (1 - 4t^2) u + (580t^2 + 143) w) - 1296w) \right. \\
& - 2304k^2 L_\pi r t^2 w^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} + 24k^4 r^2 (4t^2 - 1) t^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} (2u^2 - \sqrt{2}uw - 3w^2) - 36L_\pi^4 r^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} (4u^2 - 2\sqrt{2}uw + 5w^2) \\
& - 36L_\pi^3 r (4u^2(Y_0^{\pi\pi} + 5Y_2^{\pi\pi}) - 2\sqrt{2}uw(Y_0^{\pi\pi} + 8Y_2^{\pi\pi}) + w^2(5Y_0^{\pi\pi} - 8Y_2^{\pi\pi})) \Big] \\
& - \frac{t j_1(krt)}{40\pi k} \Big[ k^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} (8L_\pi r (5 (8t^2 - 1) u^2 + \sqrt{2} (1 - 14t^2) uw + (17 - 70t^2) w^2) \\
& - 15 (4t^2 - 1) w (2\sqrt{2}u - 7w)) + 306L_\pi^2 w^2 (L_\pi r Y_2^{\pi\pi} - 4\bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi}) \Big] \\
& - \frac{j_2(krt)}{672\pi k^4 r^3 t^2} \Big[ 180L_\pi^3 r (2k^2r^2t^2 - 21) (4u^2(Y_0^{\pi\pi} + 5Y_2^{\pi\pi}) - 2\sqrt{2}uw(Y_0^{\pi\pi} + 8Y_2^{\pi\pi}) + w^2(5Y_0^{\pi\pi} - 8)) \\
& + 180L_\pi^4 r^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} (4u^2 - 2\sqrt{2}uw + 5w^2) (2k^2r^2t^2 - 21) \\
& + L_\pi^2 \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} (-k^4 r^4 t^2 (336 (24t^2 - 1) u^2 - 4\sqrt{2} (956t^2 - 29) uw + (2884t^2 + 2531) w^2) \\
& + 15k^2r^2w (14\sqrt{2} (1 - 4t^2) u + (4924t^2 + 1001) w) - 136080w^2) \Big]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 144k^2L_\pi r t^2 w Y_0^{\pi\pi} \left( k^2 r^2 t^2 \left( 14\sqrt{2}u + 141w \right) - 1680w \right) + 12k^4 r^2 (4t^2 - 1) t^2 w^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} (74k^2 r^2 t^2 - 1407) \Big] \\
& - \frac{L_\pi j_3(krt)}{20\pi k^3 r^2 t} \left[ 2k^2 r \left( 80L_\pi^2 r^2 t^2 u^2 Y_2^{\pi\pi} - 20\sqrt{2}L_\pi r t^2 u w (2L_\pi r Y_2^{\pi\pi} + 3\bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi}) \right. \right. \\
& + w^2 (L_\pi r t^2 (169L_\pi r Y_2^{\pi\pi} - 606\bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi}) + 1215 (4t^2 - 1) Y_0^{\pi\pi}) \Big) \\
& + 6k^4 r^3 t^2 w Y_0^{\pi\pi} \left( \sqrt{2} (4t^2 - 1) u + 18 (1 - 5t^2) w \right) - 5355L_\pi w^2 (L_\pi r Y_2^{\pi\pi} - 4\bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi}) \Big] \\
& + \frac{j_4(krt)}{2464\pi k^2 r^2 t} \left[ L_\pi^2 w \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} \left( k^2 r^2 t^2 \left( 242\sqrt{2} (4t^2 - 1) u + (8347 - 46060t^2) w \right) + 99 (10804t^2 - 2625) w \right) \right. \\
& + 792k^2 L_\pi r (4t^2 + 7) t^2 w^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} + 132k^4 r^2 (4t^2 - 1) t^4 w^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} + 836L_\pi^4 r^2 t^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} \left( 4u^2 - 2\sqrt{2}uw + 5w^2 \right) \\
& + 836L_\pi^3 r t^2 \left( 4u^2 (Y_0^{\pi\pi} + 5Y_2^{\pi\pi}) - 2\sqrt{2}uw (Y_0^{\pi\pi} + 8Y_2^{\pi\pi}) + w^2 (5Y_0^{\pi\pi} - 8Y_2^{\pi\pi}) \right) \Big] \\
& + \frac{3L_\pi w^2 j_5(krt)}{8\pi kt} \left[ 2k^2 r (4t^2 - 1) t^2 Y_0^{\pi\pi} + L_\pi (2L_\pi r t^2 Y_2^{\pi\pi} + (4t^2 - 3) \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi}) \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \frac{171L_\pi^2 r (4t^2 - 1) w^2 \bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} j_6(krt)}{352\pi} \right] \right\} \tag{A1f}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $L_\pi = [m_\pi^2 + (\frac{1}{4} - t^2)\vec{k}^2]^{1/2}$ , and  $Y_0^{\pi\pi}$ ,  $\bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi}$  and  $Y_2^{\pi\pi}$  are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_0^{\pi\pi} &= e^{-L_\pi r} / (L_\pi r) \\
\bar{Y}_1^{\pi\pi} &= (1 + 1/(L_\pi r)) Y_0^{\pi\pi} \\
Y_2^{\pi\pi} &= (3/(L_\pi r)^2 + 3/(L_\pi r) + 1) Y_0^{\pi\pi} \tag{A1g}
\end{aligned}$$

- 
- [1] Adam Freese and Wim Cosyn, “Spatial densities of momentum and forces in spin-one hadrons,” *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 114013 (2022), [arXiv:2207.10787 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [2] Alberto Garcia Martin-Caro, Miguel Huidobro, and Yoshitaka Hatta, “Gravitational form factors of nuclei in the Skyrme model,” *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 034014 (2023), [arXiv:2304.05994 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [3] Fangcheng He and Ismail Zahed, “Gravitational form factors of light nuclei: Impulse approximation,” (2023), [arXiv:2310.12315 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [4] J. Hockert, D. O. Riska, M. Gari, and A. Huffman, “Meson exchange currents in deuteron electrodisintegration,” *Nucl. Phys. A* **217**, 14–28 (1973).
- [5] M. Chemtob, E. J. Moniz, and Mannque Rho, “Deuteron Electromagnetic Structure at Large Momentum Transfer,” *Phys. Rev. C* **10**, 344–352 (1974).
- [6] W. M. Kloet and J. A. Tjon, “MESON EXCHANGE EFFECTS ON THE CHARGE FORM-FACTORS OF THE TRINUCLEON SYSTEM,” *Phys. Lett. B* **49**, 419–422 (1974).
- [7] A. D. Jackson, A. Lande, and D. O. Riska, “Pion exchange currents in elastic electron deuteron scattering,” *Phys. Lett. B* **55**, 23–27 (1975).
- [8] H. Alharazin, D. Djukanovic, J. Gegelia, and M. V. Polyakov, “Chiral theory of nucleons and pions in the presence of an external gravitational field,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 076023 (2020), [arXiv:2006.05890 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [9] B. Duran *et al.*, “Determining the gluonic gravitational form factors of the proton,” *Nature* **615**, 813–816 (2023), [arXiv:2207.05212 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [10] Daniel C. Hackett, Dimitra A. Pefkou, and Phiala E. Shanahan, “Gravitational form factors of the proton from lattice QCD,” (2023), [arXiv:2310.08484 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [11] Yuxun Guo, Xiangdong Ji, Yizhuang Liu, and



- Jinghong Yang, “Updated analysis of near-threshold heavy quarkonium production for probe of proton’s gluonic gravitational form factors,” *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 034003 (2023), [arXiv:2305.06992 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [12] Kiminad A. Mamo and Ismail Zahed, “Diffractive photoproduction of  $J/\psi$  and  $\Upsilon$  using holographic QCD: gravitational form factors and GPD of gluons in the proton,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 086003 (2020), [arXiv:1910.04707 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [13] Kiminad A. Mamo and Ismail Zahed, “ $J/\psi$  near threshold in holographic QCD: A and D gravitational form factors,” *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 086004 (2022), [arXiv:2204.08857 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [14] Maxim V. Polyakov and Bao-Dong Sun, “Gravitational form factors of a spin one particle,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 036003 (2019), [arXiv:1903.02738 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [15] M. Gari and H. Hyuga, “Mesonic Degrees of Freedom in Nuclei and the Definition of Meson Exchange Currents,” *Z. Phys. A* **277**, 291–297 (1976).
- [16] Daniel C. Hackett, Patrick R. Oare, Dimitra A. Pefkou, and Phiala E. Shanahan, “Gravitational form factors of the pion from lattice QCD,” *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 114504 (2023), [arXiv:2307.11707 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [17] L. P. Kaptari, A. I. Titov, E. L. Bratkovskaya, and A. Yu. Umnikov, “Meson Exchange Corrections in Deep Inelastic Scattering on Deuteron,” *Nucl. Phys. A* **512**, 684–698 (1990).
- [18] Kohichi Ohta and Masashi Wakamatsu, “Nucleon Recoil Current in the Nuclear Weak and Electromagnetic Interactions,” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **55**, 131 (1976).
- [19] A. J. F. Siegert, “Note on the interaction between nuclei and electromagnetic radiation,” *Phys. Rev.* **52**, 787–789 (1937).
- [20] N. Austern and R. G. Sachs, “Interaction Effects on Radiative Transitions in Nuclei,” *Phys. Rev.* **81**, 710–716 (1951).