# arXiv:2401.08304v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 16 Jan 2024

# Multifractality of Many-Body Non-Hermitian Skin Effect

Shu Hamanaka<sup>1,\*</sup> and Kohei Kawabata<sup>2,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

<sup>2</sup>Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8581, Japan

(Dated: January 17, 2024)

The non-Hermitian skin effect, anomalous localization of an extensive number of eigenstates induced by nonreciprocal dissipation, plays a pivotal role in non-Hermitian topology and significantly influences the open quantum dynamics. However, its genuinely quantum characterization in manybody systems has vet to be developed. Here, we elucidate that the skin effect manifests itself as multifractality in the many-body Hilbert space. This multifractality does not accompany the singleparticle skin effect and hence is intrinsic to the many-body skin effect. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the many-body skin effect can coexist with spectral statistics of random matrices, in contrast to multifractality associated with the many-body localization, which necessitates the absence of ergodicity. We also illustrate multifractality caused by the Liouvillian skin effect in Markovian open quantum systems. Our work establishes a defining characterization of the non-Hermitian skin effect and uncovers a fundamental relationship between multifractality and ergodicity in open quantum many-body systems.

Multifractality emerges ubiquitously in nature [1]. Prime examples in condensed matter physics include multifractal wave functions induced by disorder [2–4]. Sufficiently strong disorder leads to localization of coherent waves and influences transport properties. The interplay of disorder and other system parameters causes localization (Anderson) transitions [5], at which critical single-particle wave functions exhibit multifractal behavior [6-10]. Many-body interactions change the nature of the Anderson localization and lead to the many-body localization [11–15]. Notably, whereas multifractality is confined to critical points in single-particle systems, it can occur even in the depths of many-body-localized phases [16–19]. This originates from the intricate structure of the many-body Hilbert space and draws a crucial distinction between the Anderson and many-body localization.

Another universal mechanism of localization is the non-Hermitian skin effect [20–22]. This phenomenon denotes the extreme sensitivity of the bulk to the boundary conditions due to nonreciprocal dissipation, accompanied by the anomalous localization of an extensive number of eigenstates [20–36]. Such anomalous localization, not relying on disorder, lacks counterparts in closed systems and is intrinsic to open systems. The skin effect plays a pivotal role in topological phases of non-Hermitian systems [37-50] and has been experimentally observed in open classical systems of mechanical metamaterials [51], electrical circuits [52, 53], photonic lattices [54], and active particles [55], as well as open quantum systems of single photons [56], ultracold atoms [57], and digital quantum processors [58]. Recently, beyond band theory, topology and skin effect in non-Hermitian interacting systems have attracted growing interest [59– 80]. Dynamical signatures of the skin effect have also been investigated within the framework of the quantum master equation [81-86]. Despite such considerable interest, no genuinely quantum characterizations of the manybody skin effect have been formulated. For example, several recent works investigated local particle number distributions in real space for non-Hermitian interacting systems [61, 63, 65–67, 74–79]. However, this approach cannot capture the intricate structure of the many-body Hilbert space or provide quantitative measures of localization. Consequently, the distinctive role of the skin effect in open quantum many-body systems has remained elusive.

In this Letter, we elucidate that the skin effect manifests multifractality in non-Hermitian strongly correlated systems, thereby providing a distinctive hallmark of the many-body skin effect. We also show that the many-body skin effect can coexist with spectral statistics of random matrices. This contrasts with multifractality associated with the many-body localization, which is incompatible with ergodicity. In addition to non-Hermitian Hamiltonians, we demonstrate multifractality of the many-body skin effect within the Lindblad master equation. Our work reveals a defining characteristic of the many-body skin effect and underscores its fundamental role in open quantum many-body systems.

Multifractal scaling.—We consider a normalized wave function  $|\psi\rangle$  in a given computational basis  $|n\rangle$ 's,  $|\psi\rangle =$  $\sum_{n=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \psi_n | n \rangle \ (\psi_n \in \mathbb{C})$ , with the Hilbert space dimension  $\mathcal{N}$ . From the *q*th moments of this wave function, we introduce the *q*th participation entropy as

$$S_q \coloneqq \frac{1}{1-q} \log \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\mathcal{N}} |\psi_n|^{2q} \right). \tag{1}$$

For q = 2, the participation entropy reduces to the conventional inverse participation ratio  $S_2$  $-\log\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\mathcal{N}} |\psi_n|^4\right).$ Importantly, the participation entropy  $S_q$  quantifies

the localization properties in the given Hilbert space. For



FIG. 1. Multifractality of the non-Hermitian spin chain in Eq. (2)  $(t = 1/\sqrt{2}, J = 1, g = (5 + \sqrt{5})/8, h = (1 + \sqrt{5})/4)$ . (a, b) Complex spectrum (Re E/L, Im E/L) scaled by the system length L = 14 under the (a) periodic boundary conditions (PBC) and (b) open boundary conditions (OBC) ( $\gamma = 0.8$ ). The color bars show the multifractal dimension  $D_2 = S_2/\log N$  for each right eigenstate. (c, d) Multifractal dimension  $D_2$  as a function of Re E/L for the different system lengths L under (c) PBC and (d) OBC ( $\gamma = 0.8$ ). (e, f) Participation entropy  $\langle S_2 \rangle$  averaged over all right eigenstates as a function of the Hilbert space dimension N under (e) PBC and (f) OBC ( $L = 4, 5, \dots, 14$ ). (g) Average multifractal dimension  $\langle D_2 \rangle$  as functions of non-Hermiticity  $\gamma$  under both PBC (red dots) and OBC (blue dots).

perfectly delocalized states, we have  $S_q = \log \mathcal{N}$ . For states localized in a finite region of the Hilbert space, by contrast,  $S_q$  no longer depends on  $\mathcal{N}$ . Between these two opposite regimes, states can exhibit the intermediate behavior  $S_q = D_q \log \mathcal{N}$  with  $0 < D_q < 1$ , implying that they are infinitely extended but not perfectly delocalized through the Hilbert space—multifractality. Here,  $D_q := S_q / \log \mathcal{N}$  quantifies the effective dimension of the wave function occupying the Hilbert space.

In noninteracting disordered systems, single-particle eigenstates respectively exhibit  $D_q = 0$  and  $D_q = 1$  in the localized and delocalized phases, between which multifractality  $0 < D_q < 1$  can appear concomitantly with the Anderson transitions [5]. In interacting disordered systems, many-body eigenstates can exhibit  $0 < D_q < 1$  for substantial disorder, representing a hallmark of the many-body localization [19]. Below, we study the participation entropy  $S_q$  in many-body non-Hermitian Hamiltonians and Lindbladians, and demonstrate that the many-body skin effect is distinguished by multifractal dimensions  $0 < D_q < 1$ .

*Model.*—To capture a general feature of the many-body skin effect, we study the following nonintegrable non-

Hermitian spin chain:

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left[ \frac{t}{2} \left( (1+\gamma) \, \sigma_i^- \sigma_{i+1}^+ + (1-\gamma) \, \sigma_i^+ \sigma_{i+1}^- \right) + J \sigma_i^z \sigma_{i+1}^z + g \sigma_i^x + h \sigma_i^z \right]$$
(2)

with the real parameters  $t, \gamma, J, g, h \in \mathbb{R}$ . Here,  $\sigma_i^x, \sigma_i^y$ , and  $\sigma_i^z$  are Pauli matrices at site *i*, and  $\sigma_i^+ := \sigma_i^x + i\sigma_i^y$  $(\sigma_i^- := \sigma_i^x - i\sigma_i^y)$  is the spin raising (lowering) operator. The dimension of the Hilbert space is  $\mathcal{N} = 2^L$ . In the absence of non-Hermiticity (i.e.,  $\gamma = 0$ ), this model reduces to the XXZ model with both longitudinal and transverse fields, which is a prototypical model of nonintegrable quantum many-body systems [87]. The non-Hermitian term  $\gamma$  describes the asymmetric hopping of the spin magnetization and can be implemented, for example, by continuous monitoring and postselection of the null measurement outcome [88, 89]. Additionally, such nonreciprocal XX coupling is relevant to the asymmetric simple exclusion process [90, 91].

For J = g = h = 0, this model reduces to a non-Hermitian free fermionic model introduced by Hatano and Nelson [92]. This model is the simplest model subject to the skin effect [21, 28, 44]. In fact, while all single-particle eigenstates form delocalized Bloch waves under the periodic boundary conditions, they are localized at either edge under the open boundary conditions. We find that these skin modes accompany the vanishing multifractal dimension  $D_q = 0$ , indicating the perfect localization in the single-particle Hilbert space [93]. By contrast, we below demonstrate that many-body interactions change the nature of the skin modes and lead to multifractal dimensions  $0 < D_q < 1$ .

Multifractality.—Through exact diagonalization, we calculate the complex spectrum and multifractal dimension  $D_{q=2}$  for each right eigenstate under both periodic and open boundary conditions [Fig. 1 (a, b)]. While multifractality generally depends on the choice of the computational basis, we here consider the spin configuration. The complex spectrum undergoes substantial changes depending on the different boundary conditions. While many eigenstates exhibit large multifractal dimensions  $D_2 \simeq 1$  under the periodic boundary conditions, their counterparts under the open boundary conditions merely exhibit much smaller  $D_2$ . These drastic changes suggest the skin effect. Notably, multifractal dimensions  $D_2$ quantify the degree of localization dependent on manybody eigenenergies E. Figure 1(c, d) shows the distributions of multifractal dimensions  $D_2$ . Under both boundary conditions,  $D_2$  realizes the maximum around the center of the many-body spectrum. It is noteworthy that  $D_2$  deviates from unity even under the periodic boundary conditions, which should stem from the locality constraints in a similar manner to Hermitian quantum many-body systems [94].

To investigate the multifractal scaling, we obtain the participation entropy  $\langle S_{q=2} \rangle$  averaged over all right eigenstates as a function of the Hilbert space dimension  $\mathcal{N}$  [Fig. 1 (e, f)]. While we confirm the proportional relation  $\langle S_2 \rangle \propto \log \mathcal{N}$  for all the cases, its gradient decreases for larger non-Hermiticity  $\gamma$  under the open boundary conditions. Fitting these results, we also obtain the dependence of the average multifractal dimensions  $\langle D_2 \rangle$  on  $\gamma$  [Fig. 1 (g)]. Whereas  $\langle D_2 \rangle$  remains nearly constant under the periodic boundary conditions. This signifies the stronger skin effect in the many-body Hilbert space. Importantly, the many-body skin effect does not necessitate disorder, as opposed to the many-body localization.

Several recent works studied local particle number distributions subject to the skin effect [61, 63, 65–67, 74– 79]. However, the localization of many-body skin modes should not be captured in real space but in many-body Hilbert space. The significant difference in multifractal dimensions between the different boundary conditions provides a quantitative measure of the skin effect inherent in non-Hermitian many-body systems. Additionally, we also investigate multifractality in the interacting Hatano-Nelson model [75, 76, 93, 95–97]. Despite integrability, the many-body skin effect manifests itself as multifractality, akin to the nonintegrable model in Eq. (2). This further shows the generality of multifractality as a char-



FIG. 2. Singular-value statistics of level-spacing ratios for the non-Hermitian spin chain in Eq. (2) under the periodic boundary conditions (PBC; red dots) and open boundary conditions (OBC; blue dots)  $(t = 1/\sqrt{2}, \gamma = 0.6, J = 1,$  $g = (5 + \sqrt{5})/8, h = (1 + \sqrt{5})/4, L = 14)$ . The averages of the level-spacing ratios are  $\langle r \rangle = 0.5297$  for PBC and  $\langle r \rangle = 0.5299$  for OBC. All the results are taken from singular values away from the spectral edges and averaged over 50 disorder realizations. The black dashed curve is the analytical results for small non-Hermitian random matrices in class AI [i.e.,  $p(r) = 27 (r + r^2)/4 (1 + r + r^2)^{5/2}$ ].

acteristic of the many-body skin effect.

Spectral statistics.—Similarly to many-body skin modes, many-body-localized modes exhibit multifractality. However, we find a crucial distinction in quantum chaotic behavior, especially spectral statistics. Several recent works developed measures of chaotic behavior in open quantum systems [98–110]; we here employ the statistics of singular values [111]. While the singular-value statistics universally follow the randommatrix statistics in the chaotic regime, they instead follow the Poisson statistics in the integrable regime, providing a diagnosis of the dissipative quantum chaos or its absence.

We calculate singular values of the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian in Eq. (2) and obtain the distribution of their spacing ratios  $r_n$ 's (Fig. 2), defined as

$$r_{n} \coloneqq \min\left(\frac{s_{n+1} - s_{n}}{s_{n} - s_{n-1}}, \frac{s_{n} - s_{n-1}}{s_{n+1} - s_{n}}\right) \quad (0 \le r_{n} \le 1),$$
(3)

for an ordered set of singular values  $s_n$ 's  $(n = 1, 2, \dots, N)$ . Here, to break unwanted symmetry, we add a small disordered term  $\sum_{i=1}^{L} \varepsilon_i \sigma_i^z \sigma_{i+1}^z$  with a random number  $\varepsilon_i$  distributed uniformly in [-0.1, 0.1] for each site *i*, which is expected not to affect multifractality significantly. Under both periodic and open boundary conditions, the singular-value statistics conform to the statistics of non-Hermitian random matrices [112], which indicates the dissipative quantum chaos even in the presence of the skin effect.

In noninteracting disordered systems at critical points, multifractality accompanies the critical statistics of single-particle spectra that characterize the Anderson



FIG. 3. Multifractality of the Liouvillian skin effect  $(t = 1/2\sqrt{2}, J = 1, h = (1 + \sqrt{5})/4)$ . In both bra and ket spaces, the particle number is chosen as L/2 and (L-1)/2for even and odd L, respectively. (a, b) Liouvillian spectrum  $(\text{Re }\lambda/L, \text{Im }\lambda/L)$  scaled by the system length L = 8 under the (a) periodic boundary conditions (PBC) and (b) open boundary conditions (OBC) ( $\gamma = 0.6$ ). The color bars show the multifractal dimension  $D_2 = S_2/\log \mathcal{N}$  for each right eigenoperator. (c, d) Participation entropy  $\langle S_2 \rangle$  averaged over all right eigenoperators as a function of the double Hilbert space dimension  $\mathcal{N}$  under (c) PBC and (d) OBC ( $L = 2, 3, \dots, 8$ ). (e) Average multifractal dimension  $\langle D_2 \rangle$  as functions of the dissipation strength  $\gamma$  under both PBC (red dots) and OBC (blue dots).

transitions [5]. In interacting systems with substantial disorder, multifractality coincides with the Poisson statistics of many-body spectra [14, 15]. By contrast, we elucidate that the many-body skin effect coexists with the random-matrix statistics even in the presence of multifractality. This coexistence captures a hallmark of the many-body skin effect and uncovers a distinctive connection between multifractality and ergodicity in open quantum systems.

Liouvillian skin effect.—While we have hitherto focused on non-Hermitian Hamiltonians, multifractality accompanies the many-body skin effect even within the quantum master equation. We investigate Markovian open quantum systems described by  $d\rho/dt = \mathcal{L}(\rho)$  with the Lindbladian [113–115]

$$\mathcal{L}(\rho) = -\mathrm{i}[H,\rho] + \sum_{n} \left[ L_n \rho L_n^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ L_n^{\dagger} L_n, \rho \right\} \right]. \quad (4)$$

Here, H is a Hermitian Hamiltonian for the coherent dynamics, and  $L_n$ 's are dissipators for the nonunitary coupling with an external environment. Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is a superoperator acting on the density operator  $\rho$ , its eigenstates are operators. To study multifractality of these eigenoperators, we double the Hilbert space and map  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\rho$  to a non-Hermitian operator and a state, respectively. Specifically, we transform the bra degree of freedom into an additional ket degree of freedom and map the density operator  $\rho = \sum_{ij} \rho_{ij} |i\rangle \langle j|$  to a pure state  $|\rho\rangle = \sum_{ij} \rho_{ij} |i\rangle |j\rangle$  in the double Hilbert space. Through this operator-state mapping, the Lindblad equation reduces to  $d |\rho\rangle / dt = \mathcal{L} |\rho\rangle$  with

$$\mathcal{L} = -i \left( H \otimes I - I \otimes H^* \right) + \sum_{n} \left[ L_n \otimes L_n^* - \frac{1}{2} \left( L_n^{\dagger} L_n \otimes I \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( I \otimes L_n^T L_n^* \right) \right].$$
(5)

Here, we choose the Hermitian Hamiltonian H as Eq. (2) with  $\gamma = g = 0$ . To realize the skin effect, we consider the nonreciprocal dissipators [35, 82, 116]

$$L_n = \sqrt{2\gamma} \sigma_n^- \sigma_{n+1}^+ \quad (\gamma \ge 0; \ n = 1, 2, \cdots, L).$$
 (6)

Similar to the non-Hermitian term in Eq. (2), these dissipators incoherently push the spin magnetization from the left to the right, inducing the Liouvillian skin effect. In the individual bra and ket spaces, this Lindbladian is invariant under U(1) spin rotation and conserves the spin magnetization  $\sum_{i=1}^{L} \sigma_i^z$  [i.e., strong U(1) symmetry [109, 110, 117, 118]]. We focus on the half-filled subsector with zero magnetization  $\sum_{i=1}^{L} \sigma_i^z = 0$  in both bra and ket spaces.

We exactly diagonalize the Lindbladian  $\mathcal{L}$  and obtain the complex spectrum and multifractal dimension  $D_{q=2}$ for each right eigenoperator [Fig. 3(a, b)]. Depending on whether we impose the periodic or open boundary conditions, the complex spectrum differs substantially, signifying the Liouvillian skin effect. Under the periodic boundary conditions, the majority of eigenoperators in the spectral bulk exhibit  $D_2 \simeq 1$ , indicating the delocalization through the double Hilbert space. Under the open boundary conditions, by contrast, all eigenoperators exhibit lower multifractal dimensions. We further calculate the participation entropy  $\langle S_2 \rangle$  averaged over all right eigenoperators and thus the average multifractal dimensions  $\langle D_2 \rangle$  [Fig. 3 (c, d, e)]. Consistent with the above discussion,  $\langle D_2 \rangle$  under the open boundary conditions is much smaller than  $\langle D_2 \rangle$  under the periodic boundary conditions and significantly deviates from

unity, demonstrating multifractality accompanied by the Liouvillian skin effect. Unlike the previous case for the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian in Eq. (2),  $\langle D_2 \rangle$  does not necessarily decrease even if the dissipation strength  $\gamma$  increases. This should originate from the inherent structure in the double Hilbert space.

Discussions.—Despite the considerable recent interest in the non-Hermitian skin effect, its many-body characterization has remained unestablished. In this Letter, we have uncovered a connection between two crucial but previously unrelated physical concepts—multifractality and skin effect. Multifractality does not accompany the single-particle skin effect and provides a defining feature of the many-body skin effect. In contrast to the manybody localization, multifractality due to the many-body skin effect can coexist with the random-matrix spectral statistics. This lets us revisit a fundamental relationship between multifractality and ergodicity, and reveals a unique role of the skin effect in open quantum systems.

While we have focused on multifractality defined solely by right eigenstates in this Letter, we may also introduce multifractality by the combination of right and left eigenstates. For comparison, we also calculate multifractal dimensions from both right and left eigenoperators for the many-body Lindbladian [93], which may be relevant to the relaxation dynamics [82, 84]. It is worthwhile to further study such different measures of multifractality systematically. Moreover, recent years have seen various types of nonequilibrium phase transitions in open quantum systems. For example, the interplay between unitary dynamics and skin effect causes an anomalous entanglement phase transition [35]. It merits further study to investigate multifractality across such phase transitions in open quantum systems.

*Note added.*—After the completion of this work, we became aware of a recent related work [119].

We thank Ryusuke Hamazaki, Takashi Mori, Shoki Sugimoto, and Tsuneya Yoshida for helpful discussions. We appreciate the long-term workshop "Quantum Information, Quantum Matter and Quantum Gravity" (YITP-T-23-01) held at Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics (YITP), Kyoto University. S.H. acknowledges travel support from MEXT KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid for Transformative Research Areas (A) through the "Extreme Universe" collaboration (Grant No. JP21H05182 and No. JP22H05247). S.H. is supported by MEXT WISE Program.

- \* hamanaka.shu.45p@st.kyoto-u.ac.jp
- <sup>†</sup> kawabata@issp.u-tokyo.ac.jp
- B. Mandelbrot, *The Fractal Geometry of Nature* (W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1983).

- [2] P. W. Anderson, Absence of Diffusion in Certain Random Lattices, Phys. Rev. 109, 1492 (1958).
- [3] E. Abrahams, P. W. Anderson, D. C. Licciardello, and T. V. Ramakrishnan, Scaling Theory of Localization: Absence of Quantum Diffusion in Two Dimensions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 673 (1979).
- [4] P. A. Lee and T. V. Ramakrishnan, Disordered electronic systems, Rev. Mod. Phys. 57, 287 (1985).
- [5] F. Evers and A. D. Mirlin, Anderson transitions, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 1355 (2008).
- [6] F. Wegner, Inverse participation ratio in  $2 + \varepsilon$  dimensions, Z Physik B **36**, 209 (1980).
- [7] C. Castellani and L. Peliti, Multifractal wavefunction at the localisation threshold, J. Phys. A 19, L429 (1986).
- [8] M. Schreiber and H. Grussbach, Multifractal wave functions at the Anderson transition, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 607 (1991).
- [9] C. Mudry, C. Chamon, and X.-G. Wen, Twodimensional conformal field theory for disordered systems at criticality, Nucl. Phys. B 466, 383 (1996).
- [10] F. Evers and A. D. Mirlin, Fluctuations of the Inverse Participation Ratio at the Anderson Transition, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 3690 (2000); A. D. Mirlin and F. Evers, Multifractality and critical fluctuations at the Anderson transition, Phys. Rev. B 62, 7920 (2000).
- [11] I. V. Gornyi, A. D. Mirlin, and D. G. Polyakov, Interacting Electrons in Disordered Wires: Anderson Localization and Low-T Transport, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 206603 (2005).
- [12] D. M. Basko, I. L. Aleiner, and B. L. Altshuler, Metal-insulator transition in a weakly interacting manyelectron system with localized single-particle states, Ann. Phys. **321**, 1126 (2005).
- [13] V. Oganesyan and D. A. Huse, Localization of interacting fermions at high temperature, Phys. Rev. B 75, 155111 (2007); A. Pal and D. A. Huse, Many-body localization phase transition, Phys. Rev. B 82, 174411 (2010).
- [14] R. Nandkishore and D. A. Huse, Many-Body Localization and Thermalization in Quantum Statistical Mechanics, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. 6, 15 (2015).
- [15] D. A. Abanin, E. Altman, I. Bloch, and M. Serbyn, Colloquium: Many-body localization, thermalization, and entanglement, Rev. Mod. Phys. **91**, 021001 (2019).
- [16] D. J. Luitz, N. Laflorencie, and F. Alet, Many-body localization edge in the random-field Heisenberg chain, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 081103 (2015).
- [17] V. E. Kravtsov, I. M. Khaymovich, E. Cuevas, and M. Amini, A random matrix model with localization and ergodic transitions, New J. Phys. 17, 122002 (2015).
- [18] M. Serbyn, Z. Papić, and D. A. Abanin, Thouless energy and multifractality across the many-body localization transition, Phys. Rev. B 96, 104201 (2017).
- [19] N. Macé, F. Alet, and N. Laflorencie, Multifractal Scalings Across the Many-Body Localization Transition, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 180601 (2019).
- [20] T. E. Lee, Anomalous Edge State in a Non-Hermitian Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 133903 (2016).
- [21] S. Yao and Z. Wang, Edge States and Topological Invariants of Non-Hermitian Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 086803 (2018).
- [22] F. K. Kunst, E. Edvardsson, J. C. Budich, and E. J. Bergholtz, Biorthogonal Bulk-Boundary Corre-

spondence in Non-Hermitian Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 026808 (2018).

- [23] Y. Xiong, Why does bulk boundary correspondence fail in some non-hermitian topological models, J. Phys. Commun. 2, 035043 (2018).
- [24] V. M. Martinez Alvarez, J. E. Barrios Vargas, and L. E. F. Foa Torres, Non-Hermitian robust edge states in one dimension: Anomalous localization and eigenspace condensation at exceptional points, Phys. Rev. B 97, 121401(R) (2018).
- [25] C. H. Lee and R. Thomale, Anatomy of skin modes and topology in non-Hermitian systems, Phys. Rev. B 99, 201103(R) (2019).
- [26] T. Liu, Y.-R. Zhang, Q. Ai, Z. Gong, K. Kawabata, M. Ueda, and F. Nori, Second-Order Topological Phases in Non-Hermitian Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 076801 (2019).
- [27] C. H. Lee, L. Li, and J. Gong, Hybrid Higher-Order Skin-Topological Modes in Nonreciprocal Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 016805 (2019).
- [28] K. Yokomizo and S. Murakami, Non-Bloch Band Theory of Non-Hermitian Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 066404 (2019).
- [29] K. Zhang, Z. Yang, and C. Fang, Correspondence between Winding Numbers and Skin Modes in Non-Hermitian Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 126402 (2020).
- [30] N. Okuma, K. Kawabata, K. Shiozaki, and M. Sato, Topological Origin of Non-Hermitian Skin Effects, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 086801 (2020).
- [31] M. M. Denner, A. Skurativska, F. Schindler, M. H. Fischer, R. Thomale, T. Bzdušek, and T. Neupert, Exceptional topological insulators, Nat. Commun. 12, 5681 (2021).
- [32] R. Okugawa, R. Takahashi, and K. Yokomizo, Secondorder topological non-Hermitian skin effects, Phys. Rev. B 102, 241202(R) (2020).
- [33] K. Kawabata, M. Sato, and K. Shiozaki, Higher-order non-Hermitian skin effect, Phys. Rev. B 102, 205118 (2020).
- [34] K. Zhang, Z. Yang, and C. Fang, Universal non-Hermitian skin effect in two and higher dimensions, Nat. Commun. 13, 2496 (2022).
- [35] K. Kawabata, T. Numasawa, and S. Ryu, Entanglement Phase Transition Induced by the Non-Hermitian Skin Effect, Phys. Rev. X 13, 021007 (2023).
- [36] H.-Y. Wang, F. Song, and Z. Wang, Amoeba formulation of the non-Hermitian skin effect in higher dimensions, arXiv:2212.11743.
- [37] M. S. Rudner and L. S. Levitov, Topological Transition in a Non-Hermitian Quantum Walk, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 065703 (2009).
- [38] M. Sato, K. Hasebe, K. Esaki, and M. Kohmoto, Time-Reversal Symmetry in Non-Hermitian Systems, Prog. Theor. Phys. **127**, 937 (2012); K. Esaki, M. Sato, K. Hasebe, and M. Kohmoto, Edge states and topological phases in non-Hermitian systems, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 205128 (2011).
- [39] Y. C. Hu and T. L. Hughes, Absence of topological insulator phases in non-Hermitian *PT*-symmetric Hamiltonians, Phys. Rev. B 84, 153101 (2011).
- [40] H. Schomerus, Topologically protected midgap states in complex photonic lattices, Opt. Lett. 38, 1912 (2013).
- [41] D. Leykam, K. Y. Bliokh, C. Huang, Y. D. Chong, and

F. Nori, Edge Modes, Degeneracies, and Topological Numbers in Non-Hermitian Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 040401 (2017).

- [42] Y. Xu, S.-T. Wang, and L.-M. Duan, Weyl Exceptional Rings in a Three-Dimensional Dissipative Cold Atomic Gas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 045701 (2017).
- [43] H. Shen, B. Zhen, and L. Fu, Topological Band Theory for Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 146402 (2018); V. Kozii and L. Fu, Non-Hermitian Topological Theory of Finite-Lifetime Quasiparticles: Prediction of Bulk Fermi Arc Due to Exceptional Point, arXiv:1708.05841.
- [44] Z. Gong, Y. Ashida, K. Kawabata, K. Takasan, S. Higashikawa, and M. Ueda, Topological Phases of Non-Hermitian Systems, Phys. Rev. X 8, 031079 (2018).
- [45] K. Kawabata, K. Shiozaki, M. Ueda, and M. Sato, Symmetry and Topology in Non-Hermitian Physics, Phys. Rev. X 9, 041015 (2019).
- [46] H. Zhou and J. Y. Lee, Periodic table for topological bands with non-Hermitian symmetries, Phys. Rev. B 99, 235112 (2019).
- [47] H.-G. Zirnstein, G. Refael, and B. Rosenow, Bulk-Boundary Correspondence for Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians via Green Functions, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 216407 (2021).
- [48] D. S. Borgnia, A. J. Kruchkov, and R.-J. Slager, Non-Hermitian Boundary Modes and Topology, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 056802 (2020).
- [49] K. Kawabata, K. Shiozaki, and S. Ryu, Topological Field Theory of Non-Hermitian Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 216405 (2021).
- [50] E. J. Bergholtz, J. C. Budich, and F. K. Kunst, Exceptional topology of non-Hermitian systems, Rev. Mod. Phys. 93, 015005 (2021).
- [51] M. Brandenbourger, X. Locsin, E. Lerner, and C. Coulais, Non-reciprocal robotic metamaterials, Nat. Commun. 10, 4608 (2019); A. Ghatak, M. Brandenbourger, J. van Wezel, and C. Coulais, Observation of non-Hermitian topology and its bulk-edge correspondence in an active mechanical metamaterial, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 117, 29561 (2020).
- [52] T. Helbig, T. Hofmann, S. Imhof, M. Abdelghany, T. Kiessling, L. W. Molenkamp, C. H. Lee, A. Szameit, M. Greiter, and R. Thomale, Generalized bulkboundary correspondence in non-Hermitian topolectrical circuits, Nat. Phys. 16, 747 (2020); T. Hofmann, T. Helbig, F. Schindler, N. Salgo, M. Brzezińska, M. Greiter, T. Kiessling, D. Wolf, A. Vollhardt, A. Kabaši, C. H. Lee, A. Bilušić, R. Thomale, and T. Neupert, Reciprocal skin effect and its realization in a topolectrical circuit, Phys. Rev. Research 2, 023265 (2020).
- [53] X. Zhang, Y. Tian, J.-H. Jiang, M.-H. Lu, and Y.-F. Chen, Observation of higher-order non-Hermitian skin effect, Nat. Commun. 12, 5377 (2021).
- [54] S. Weidemann, M. Kremer, T. Helbig, T. Hofmann, A. Stegmaier, M. Greiter, R. Thomale, and A. Szameit, Topological funneling of light, Science 368, 311 (2020).
- [55] L. S. Palacios, S. Tchoumakov, M. Guix, I. P. S. Sánchez, and A. G. Grushin, Guided accumulation of active particles by topological design of a second-order skin effect, Nat. Commun. 12, 4691 (2020).
- [56] L. Xiao, T. Deng, K. Wang, G. Zhu, Z. Wang, W. Yi, and P. Xue, Non-Hermitian bulk-boundary correspon-

dence in quantum dynamics, Nat. Phys. 16, 761 (2020).

- [57] Q. Liang, D. Xie, Z. Dong, H. Li, H. Li, B. Gadway, W. Yi, and B. Yan, Dynamic Signatures of Non-Hermitian Skin Effect and Topology in Ultracold Atoms, Phys. Rev. Lett. **129**, 070401 (2022).
- [58] R. Shen, T. Chen, B. Yang, and C. H. Lee, Observation of the non-Hermitian skin effect and Fermi skin on a digital quantum computer, arXiv:2311.10143.
- [59] C.-X. Guo, X.-R. Wang, C. Wang, and S.-P. Kou, Non-Hermitian dynamic strings and anomalous topological degeneracy on a non-Hermitian toric-code model with parity-time symmetry, Phys. Rev. B 101, 144439 (2020).
- [60] T. Yoshida, K. Kudo, and Y. Hatsugai, Non-Hermitian fractional quantum Hall states, Sci. Rep. 9, 16895 (2019).
- [61] S. Mu, C. H. Lee, L. Li, and J. Gong, Emergent Fermi surface in a many-body non-Hermitian fermionic chain, Phys. Rev. B 102, 081115(R) (2020).
- [62] W. Xi, Z.-H. Zhang, Z.-C. Gu, and W.-Q. Chen, Classification of topological phases in one dimensional interacting non-Hermitian systems and emergent unitarity, Sci. Bull. 66, 1731 (2021).
- [63] E. Lee, H. Lee, and B.-J. Yang, Many-body approach to non-Hermitian physics in fermionic systems, Phys. Rev. B 101, 121109(R) (2020).
- [64] N. Matsumoto, K. Kawabata, Y. Ashida, S. Furukawa, and M. Ueda, Continuous Phase Transition without Gap Closing in Non-Hermitian Quantum Many-Body Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 260601 (2020).
- [65] D.-W. Zhang, Y.-L. Chen, G.-Q. Zhang, L.-J. Lang, Z. Li, and S.-L. Zhu, Skin superfluid, topological Mott insulators, and asymmetric dynamics in an interacting non-Hermitian Aubry-André-Harper model, Phys. Rev. B 101, 235150 (2020).
- [66] T. Liu, J. J. He, T. Yoshida, Z.-L. Xiang, and F. Nori, Non-Hermitian topological Mott insulators in onedimensional fermionic superlattices, Phys. Rev. B 102, 235151 (2020).
- [67] Z. Xu and S. Chen, Topological Bose-Mott insulators in one-dimensional non-Hermitian superlattices, Phys. Rev. B 102, 035153 (2020).
- [68] H. Shackleton and M. S. Scheurer, Protection of paritytime symmetry in topological many-body systems: Non-Hermitian toric code and fracton models, Phys. Rev. Research 2, 033022 (2020).
- [69] C. H. Lee, Many-body topological and skin states without open boundaries, Phys. Rev. B 104, 195102 (2021);
  R. Shen and C. H. Lee, Non-Hermitian skin clusters from strong interactions, Commun. Phys. 5, 238 (2022).
- [70] K. Yang, S. C. Morampudi, and E. J. Bergholtz, Exceptional Spin Liquids from Couplings to the Environment, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 077201 (2021).
- [71] T. Yoshida and Y. Hatsugai, Correlation effects on non-Hermitian point-gap topology in zero dimension: Reduction of topological classification, Phys. Rev. B 104, 075106 (2021).
- [72] T. Hyart and J. L. Lado, Non-Hermitian many-body topological excitations in interacting quantum dots, Phys. Rev. Research 4, L012006 (2022).
- [73] T. Orito and K.-I. Imura, Unusual wave-packet spreading and entanglement dynamics in non-Hermitian disordered many-body systems, Phys. Rev. B 105, 024303 (2022).

- [74] F. Alsallom, L. Herviou, O. V. Yazyev, and M. Brzezińska, Fate of the non-Hermitian skin effect in many-body fermionic systems, Phys. Rev. Research 4, 033122 (2022).
- [75] S.-B. Zhang, M. M. Denner, T. Bzdušek, M. A. Sentef, and T. Neupert, Symmetry breaking and spectral structure of the interacting Hatano-Nelson model, Phys. Rev. B 106, L121102 (2022).
- [76] K. Kawabata, K. Shiozaki, and S. Ryu, Many-body topology of non-Hermitian systems, Phys. Rev. B 105, 165137 (2022).
- [77] W. N. Faugno and T. Ozawa, Interaction-Induced Non-Hermitian Topological Phases from a Dynamical Gauge Field, Phys. Rev. Lett. **129**, 180401 (2022).
- [78] B. H. Kim, J.-H. Han, and M. J. Park, Collective non-Hermitian skin effect: Point-gap topology and the doublon-holon excitations in non-reciprocal many-body systems, arXiv:2309.07894.
- [79] T. Yoshida, S.-B. Zhang, T. Neupert, and N. Kawakami, Non-Hermitian Mott Skin Effect, arXiv:2309.14111.
- [80] K. Shimomura and M. Sato (in preparation).
- [81] F. Song, S. Yao, and Z. Wang, Non-Hermitian Skin Effect and Chiral Damping in Open Quantum Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 170401 (2019).
- [82] T. Haga, M. Nakagawa, R. Hamazaki, and M. Ueda, Liouvillian Skin Effect: Slowing Down of Relaxation Processes without Gap Closing, Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 070402 (2021).
- [83] C.-H. Liu, K. Zhang, Z. Yang, and S. Chen, Helical damping and dynamical critical skin effect in open quantum systems, Phys. Rev. Research 2, 043167 (2020).
- [84] T. Mori and T. Shirai, Resolving a Discrepancy between Liouvillian Gap and Relaxation Time in Boundary-Dissipated Quantum Many-Body Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 230604 (2020).
- [85] F. Yang, Q.-D. Jiang, and E. J. Bergholtz, Liouvillian skin effect in an exactly solvable model, Phys. Rev. Research 4, 023160 (2022).
- [86] S. Hamanaka, K. Yamamoto, and T. Yoshida, Interaction-induced Liouvillian skin effect in a fermionic chain with a two-body loss, Phys. Rev. B 108, 155114 (2023).
- [87] H. Kim and D. A. Huse, Ballistic Spreading of Entanglement in a Diffusive Nonintegrable System, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 127205 (2013).
- [88] M. B. Plenio and P. L. Knight, The quantum-jump approach to dissipative dynamics in quantum optics, Rev. Mod. Phys. 70, 101 (1998).
- [89] A. J. Daley, Quantum trajectories and open many-body quantum systems, Adv. Phys. 63, 77 (2014).
- [90] L.-H. Gwa and H. Spohn, Six-vertex model, roughened surfaces, and an asymmetric spin Hamiltonian, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 725 (1992); Bethe solution for the dynamical-scaling exponent of the noisy Burgers equation, Phys. Rev. A 46, 844 (1992).
- [91] D. Kim, Bethe ansatz solution for crossover scaling functions of the asymmetric XXZ chain and the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang-type growth model, Phys. Rev. E 52, 3512 (1995).
- [92] N. Hatano and D. R. Nelson, Localization Transitions in Non-Hermitian Quantum Mechanics, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 570 (1996); Vortex pinning and non-Hermitian quantum mechanics, Phys. Rev. B 56, 8651 (1997).
- [93] See the Supplemental Material for details on the nonin-

teracting Hatano-Nelson model, the interacting Hatano-Nelson model, and the Liouvillian skin effect.

- [94] A. Bäcker, M. Haque, and I. M. Khaymovich, Multifractal dimensions for random matrices, chaotic quantum maps, and many-body systems, Phys. Rev. E 100, 032117 (2019); M. Haque, P. A. McClarty, and I. M. Khaymovich, Entanglement of midspectrum eigenstates of chaotic many-body systems: Reasons for deviation from random ensembles, Phys. Rev. E 105, 014109 (2022).
- [95] G. Albertini, S. R. Dahmen, and B. Wehefritz, Phase diagram of the non-Hermitian asymmetric XXZ spin chain, J. Phys. A 29, L369 (1996).
- [96] T. Fukui and N. Kawakami, Spectral flow of nonhermitian Heisenberg spin chain with complex twist, Nucl. Phys. B 519, 715 (1998).
- [97] Y. Nakamura and N. Hatano, A Non-Hermitian Critical Point and the Correlation Length of Strongly Correlated Quantum Systems, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 75, 104001 (2006).
- [98] R. Grobe, F. Haake, and H.-J. Sommers, Quantum Distinction of Regular and Chaotic Dissipative Motion, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 1899 (1988); R. Grobe and F. Haake, Universality of cubic-level repulsion for dissipative quantum chaos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 2893 (1989).
- [99] Z. Xu, L. P. García-Pintos, A. Chenu, and A. del Campo, Extreme Decoherence and Quantum Chaos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 014103 (2019).
- [100] R. Hamazaki, K. Kawabata, and M. Ueda, Non-Hermitian Many-Body Localization, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 090603 (2019).
- [101] S. Denisov, T. Laptyeva, W. Tarnowski, D. Chruściński, and K. Życzkowski, Universal Spectra of Random Lindblad Operators, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 140403 (2019).
- [102] T. Can, Random Lindblad dynamics, J. Phys. A 52, 485302 (2019).
- [103] R. Hamazaki, K. Kawabata, N. Kura, and M. Ueda, Universality classes of non-Hermitian random matrices, Phys. Rev. Research 2, 023286 (2020).
- [104] G. Akemann, M. Kieburg, A. Mielke, and T. Prosen, Universal Signature from Integrability to Chaos in Dissipative Open Quantum Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 254101 (2019).
- [105] L. Sá, P. Ribeiro, and T. Prosen, Complex Spacing Ratios: A Signature of Dissipative Quantum Chaos, Phys. Rev. X 10, 021019 (2020).
- [106] J. Li, T. Prosen, and A. Chan, Spectral Statistics of Non-Hermitian Matrices and Dissipative Quantum Chaos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 170602 (2021).
- [107] A. M. García-García, L. Sá, and J. J. M. Verbaarschot, Symmetry Classification and Universality in Non-Hermitian Many-Body Quantum Chaos by the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev Model, Phys. Rev. X 12, 021040 (2022).
- [108] G. Cipolloni and J. Kudler-Flam, Entanglement Entropy of Non-Hermitian Eigenstates and the Ginibre Ensemble, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 010401 (2023).
- [109] L. Sá, P. Ribeiro, and T. Prosen, Symmetry Classification of Many-Body Lindbladians: Tenfold Way and Beyond, Phys. Rev. X 13, 031019 (2023).
- [110] K. Kawabata, A. Kulkarni, J. Li, T. Numasawa, and S. Ryu, Symmetry of Open Quantum Systems: Classification of Dissipative Quantum Chaos, PRX Quantum

4, 030328 (2023).

- [111] K. Kawabata, Z. Xiao, T. Ohtsuki, and R. Shindou, Singular-Value Statistics of Non-Hermitian Random Matrices and Open Quantum Systems, PRX Quantum 4, 040312 (2023).
- [112] The non-Hermitian Hamiltonian in Eq. (2) respects time-reversal symmetry (i.e.,  $H^* = H$ ). Consequently, its spectral statistics follow the random-matrix statistics for class AI [45, 103]. Time-reversal symmetry also requires the complex spectrum to be symmetric about the real axis [see Fig. 1 (a, b)].
- [113] V. Gorini, A. Kossakowski, and E. C. G. Sudarshan, Completely positive dynamical semigroups of *N*-level systems, J. Math. Phys. **17**, 821 (1976).
- [114] G. Lindblad, On the generators of quantum dynamical semigroups, Commun. Math. Phys. 48, 119 (1976).
- [115] H.-P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007).
- [116] M. Žnidarič, Relaxation times of dissipative many-body quantum systems, Phys. Rev. E 92, 042143 (2015).
- [117] B. Buča and T. Prosen, A note on symmetry reductions of the Lindblad equation: transport in constrained open spin chains, New J. Phys. 14, 073007 (2012).
- [118] V. V. Albert and L. Jiang, Symmetries and conserved quantities in Lindblad master equations, Phys. Rev. A 89, 022118 (2014).
- [119] J. Gliozzi, G. De Tomasi, and T. L. Hughes, Many-body Non-Hermitian Skin Effect for Multipoles, arXiv:2401.04162.

# Supplemental Material for "Multifractality of Many-Body Non-Hermitian Skin Effect"

### I. NONINTERACTING HATANO-NELSON MODEL

We study the Hatano-Nelson model [92]

$$H = 2t \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left[ (1+\gamma) c_{i+1}^{\dagger} c_i + (1-\gamma) c_i^{\dagger} c_{i+1} \right],$$
(I.1)

where  $c_i$   $(c_i^{\dagger})$  annihilates (creates) a fermion at site  $i, t \in \mathbb{R}$  denotes the average hopping amplitude, and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  denotes the asymmetry of the hopping amplitudes. The equivalent spin model reads

$$H = \frac{t}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left[ (1+\gamma) \,\sigma_i^- \sigma_{i+1}^+ + (1-\gamma) \,\sigma_i^+ \sigma_{i+1}^- \right]. \tag{I.2}$$

Here, we calculate multifractal dimensions of right eigenstates in the single-particle Hilbert space for both periodic and open boundary conditions. The dimension of the single-particle Hilbert space is  $\mathcal{N} = L$ .

Under the periodic boundary conditions, generic right eigenstates are given as

$$|\psi_n\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{i=1}^{L} e^{ik_n i} c_i^{\dagger} |\operatorname{vac}\rangle, \quad k_n \coloneqq \frac{2\pi n}{L} \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, L - 1)$$
(I.3)

with the vacuum  $|vac\rangle$  of fermions (i.e.,  $c_i |vac\rangle = 0$  for all *i*). The *q*th participation entropy in the single-particle Hilbert space is obtained as

$$S_q = \frac{1}{1-q} \log \left( \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left| \frac{e^{ik_n i}}{\sqrt{L}} \right|^{2q} \right) = \log L \tag{I.4}$$

for arbitrary q. Thus, the eigenstates are perfectly delocalized through the single-particle Hilbert space (i.e.,  $D_q = 1$ ).

Under the open boundary conditions, on the other hand, right eigenstates are given as (see, for example, Sec. SI of the Supplemental Material in Ref. [28])

$$|\psi_n\rangle \propto \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left(\beta^i \sin\left(k_n i\right)\right) c_i^{\dagger} |\operatorname{vac}\rangle, \quad \beta \coloneqq \sqrt{\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma}}, \quad k_n \coloneqq \frac{\pi n}{L+1} \quad (n = 1, 2, \cdots, L).$$
(I.5)

All of these eigenstates are localized at the right (left) edge for  $\gamma > 0$  ( $\gamma < 0$ ), which is a signature of the non-Hermitian skin effect in the single-particle Hilbert space. For simplicity, we approximate these single-particle eigenstates as

$$|\psi_n\rangle \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left(\beta e^{\mathbf{i}k_n}\right)^i c_i^{\dagger} |\mathbf{vac}\rangle \tag{I.6}$$

with the normalization constant

$$N = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \beta^{2i} = \frac{\beta^2 \left(\beta^{2L} - 1\right)}{\beta^2 - 1}.$$
(I.7)

This simplification is essentially the same as the procedure of the non-Bloch band theory [21, 28] and should capture the nature of the skin effect. Alternatively, these states become the exact eigenstates when we add onsite potentials to both edges in an appropriate manner (see, for example, Appendix D of Ref. [35]). Then, the qth participation entropy is obtained as

$$S_q \simeq \frac{1}{1-q} \log \left( \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left| \frac{(\beta e^{ik_n})^i}{\sqrt{N}} \right|^{2q} \right) = \frac{1}{1-q} \log \left( \left( \frac{\beta^2 - 1}{\beta^2 (\beta^{2L} - 1)} \right)^q \frac{\beta^{2q} (\beta^{2qL} - 1)}{\beta^{2q} - 1} \right) \simeq \frac{1}{1-q} \log \left( \frac{(\beta^2 - 1)^q}{\beta^{2q} - 1} \right).$$
(I.8)

In the last approximate equality, we assume  $L \to \infty$  and  $\beta > 1$  (i.e.,  $\gamma > 0$ ). Thus,  $S_q$  does not depend on the system length L for arbitrary q, indicating the perfect localization of the eigenstates in the single-particle Hilbert space (i.e.,  $D_q = 0$ ).

# II. INTERACTING HATANO-NELSON MODEL

We consider multifractality of the many-body skin effect in the interacting Hatano-Nelson model [75, 76]:

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left[ 2t \left( (1+\gamma) c_{i+1}^{\dagger} c_{i} + (1-\gamma) c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{i+1} \right) + 4J c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{i} c_{i+1}^{\dagger} c_{i+1} \right],$$
(II.1)

where  $c_i$   $(c_i^{\dagger})$  annihilates (creates) a fermion at site *i*. Moreover,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  denotes the average hopping amplitude,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  the asymmetry of the hopping amplitudes, and  $J \in \mathbb{R}$  the two-body interaction. Up to irrelevant constant terms, this non-Hermitian model is equivalent to the XXZ spin chain with the asymmetric XX coupling [95–97],

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left[ \frac{t}{2} \left( (1+\gamma) \,\sigma_i^- \sigma_{i+1}^+ + (1-\gamma) \,\sigma_i^+ \sigma_{i+1}^- \right) + J \sigma_i^z \sigma_{i+1}^z \right],\tag{II.2}$$

which reduces to the non-Hermitian spin chain in the main text with no external fields (i.e., g = h = 0). In contrast to the non-Hermitian spin chain in the main text with generic parameters, the interacting Hatano-Nelson model is invariant under U(1) spin rotation around the z axis. In fact, it respects

$$\left[H, \sum_{i=1}^{L} \sigma_i^z\right] = 0. \tag{II.3}$$

Consequently, we study the multifractal scaling in a fixed subspace of the spin magnetization  $\sum_{i=1}^{L} \sigma_i^z$ . Below, we assume the even system length L and focus on the half filling (i.e.,  $\sum_{i=1}^{L} \sigma_i^z = 0$ ). It is also notable that this model should be integrable by the Bethe ansatz [95–97]. This contrasts with the non-Hermitian spin model introduced in the main text, which does not seem to be applicable to the Bethe ansatz and hence should be nonintegrable. The conformity of the singular-value statistics to the random-matrix statistics also corroborates nonintegrability.

We exactly diagonalize the interacting Hatano-Nelson model and obtain the complex spectrum, as well as the multifractal dimension for each right eigenstate [Fig. S1 (a, b)]. We choose the spin configuration as the computational basis of the participation entropy. Under the periodic boundary conditions, the complex spectrum seems to be more structured than that of the nonintegrable non-Hermitian spin chain in the main text, which is also consistent with integrability of the interacting Hatano-Nelson model. Under the open boundary conditions, the entire many-body spectrum becomes real valued. In fact, the interacting Hatano-Nelson model with open boundaries can be reduced to the Hermitian XXZ chain through the imaginary gauge transformation [92]. Moreover, Fig. S1 (c, d) shows the distribution of multifractal dimensions as a function of the real part of many-body eigenenergies. In contrast to the nonintegrable model in the main text, multifractal dimensions no longer exhibit the characteristic behavior in which their peak clearly appears at the center of the many-body spectrum. Again, this should reflect integrability of the interacting Hatano-Nelson model. Furthermore, we provide the multifractal scaling in Fig. S2. In a similar manner to the nonintegrable model in the main text, the average participation entropy  $\langle S_2 \rangle$  decreases as non-Hermiticity  $\gamma$  increases, showing the stronger many-body skin effect even in the presence of integrability. We also find that multifractal dimensions deviate from unity even under the periodic boundary conditions. This should also be a consequence of integrability, which leads to a departure from the random-matrix behavior.



FIG. S1. Multifractality of the interacting Hatano-Nelson model  $(t = 1/\sqrt{2}, \gamma = 0.6, J = 1)$ . The particle number is chosen as L/2 (i.e., half filling). (a, b) Complex spectrum (Re E/L, Im E/L) scaled by the system length L = 14 under the (a) periodic boundary conditions (PBC) and (b) open boundary conditions (OBC). The color bars show the multifractal dimension  $D_2 = S_2/\log N$  for each right eigenstate. (c, d) Multifractal dimension  $D_2$  as a function of Re E/L for the different system lengths L under (c) PBC and (d) OBC.



FIG. S2. Multifractality of the interacting Hatano-Nelson model  $(t = 1/\sqrt{2}, J = 1)$ . The particle number is chosen as L/2 (i.e., half filling). (a, b) Participation entropy  $\langle S_2 \rangle$  averaged over all right eigenstates as a function of the double Hilbert space dimension  $\mathcal{N}$  under the (a) periodic boundary conditions (PBC) and (b) open boundary conditions (OBC) ( $L = 4, 6, \dots, 14$ ). (c) Average multifractal dimensions  $\langle D_2 \rangle$  as functions of non-Hermiticity  $\gamma$  under both PBC (red dots) and OBC (blue dots).

# **III. LIOUVILLIAN SKIN EFFECT**

The many-body Lindbladian studied in the main text reads in the double Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{L} = -\mathrm{i}\left(H \otimes I - I \otimes H^*\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left[L_i \otimes L_i^* - \frac{1}{2}\left(L_i^{\dagger}L_i \otimes I\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(I \otimes L_i^T L_i^*\right)\right],\tag{III.1}$$

where the Hamiltonian is

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left[ \frac{t}{2} \left( \sigma_i^- \sigma_{i+1}^+ + \sigma_i^+ \sigma_{i+1}^- \right) + J \sigma_i^z \sigma_{i+1}^z + h \sigma_i^z \right],$$
(III.2)

and the dissipators are

$$L_i = \sqrt{2\gamma} \sigma_i^- \sigma_{i+1}^+. \tag{III.3}$$

Here, we introduce participation entropy and multifractal dimensions based on the combination of right and left eigenoperators, instead of those based solely on right eigenoperators. We consider right and left eigenoperators of non-Hermitian superoperators,

$$|r_{\alpha}\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\mathcal{N}} r_n |n\rangle, \quad |l_{\alpha}\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\mathcal{N}} l_n |n\rangle \quad (r_n, l_n \in \mathbb{C}), \qquad (\text{III.4})$$

where  $|n\rangle$ 's form a computational basis, and  $\mathcal{N}$  is the dimension of the double Hilbert space. We normalize these eigenoperators by

$$\langle r_{\alpha}|r_{\alpha}\rangle = \langle l_{\alpha}|l_{\alpha}\rangle = 1.$$
 (III.5)

Using both right and left eigenoperators, we introduce the qth participation entropy as

$$S_q^{\text{RL}} \coloneqq \frac{1}{1-q} \log \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\mathcal{N}} |r_n l_n|^q \right), \tag{III.6}$$

and the multifractal dimension as

$$D_q^{\mathrm{RL}} \coloneqq \frac{S_q^{\mathrm{RL}}}{\log \mathcal{N}} = \frac{1}{1-q} \frac{1}{\log \mathcal{N}} \log \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\mathcal{N}} |r_n l_n|^q \right).$$
(III.7)

We calculate the participation entropy  $\langle S_{q=2}^{\text{RL}} \rangle$  averaged over all right and left eigenoperators (Fig. S3). As we increase the double Hilbert space dimension  $\mathcal{N}$ , the average participation entropy  $\langle S_2^{\text{RL}} \rangle$  under the open boundary

conditions grows more slowly than  $\langle S_2^{\text{RL}} \rangle$  under the periodic boundary conditions. This behavior is qualitatively similar to the behavior of the average participation entropy  $\langle S_2 \rangle$  defined solely by right eigenoperators (see the main text). Additionally, as we increase the dissipation strength  $\gamma$ , the average participation entropy  $\langle S_2^{\text{RL}} \rangle$  remains almost the same for the periodic boundary conditions but increases for the open boundary conditions. It is worthwhile to further study the multifractal dimension  $D_q^{\text{RL}}$  defined by both right and left eigenoperators in a more systematic manner.



FIG. S3. Multifractality of the Liouvillian skin effect  $(t = 1/2\sqrt{2}, J = 1, h = (1 + \sqrt{5})/4)$ . In both bra and ket spaces, the particle number is chosen as L/2 and (L-1)/2 for even and odd L, respectively. Participation entropy  $\langle S_2^{\text{RL}} \rangle$  averaged over all right and left eigenoperators as a function of the double Hilbert space dimension  $\mathcal{N}$  under the (a) periodic boundary conditions (PBC) and (b) open boundary conditions (OBC)  $(L = 2, 3, \dots, 8)$ .