

SINGULAR LIMIT OF BSDES AND OPTIMAL CONTROL OF TWO SCALE SYSTEMS WITH JUMPS IN INFINITE DIMENSIONAL SPACES

ELENA BANDINI, GIUSEPPINA GUATTERI, AND GIANMARIO TESSITORE

ABSTRACT. The paper is devoted to a stochastic optimal control problem for a two scale, infinite dimensional, stochastic system. The state of the system consists of “slow” and “fast” component and its evolution is driven by both continuous Wiener noises and discontinuous Poisson-type noises. The presence of discontinuous noises is the main feature of the present work. We use the theory of backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs) to prove that, as the speed of the fast component diverges, the value function of the control problem converges to the solution of a reduced forward backward system that, in turn, is related to a reduced stochastic optimal control problem. The results of this paper generalize to the case of discontinuous noise the ones in [18] and [24].

Keywords: Discontinuous noise. Poisson random measures. Optimal control of two scales systems. Backward stochastic differential equations. Stochastic evolution equations in Hilbert spaces.

AMS 2020: 60H15, 60G51, 60G57, 93C70, 93E03.

1. INTRODUCTION

Stochastic dynamic models that evolve based on distinct and divergent time scales constitute a well-established area of research, encompassing both controlled and uncontrolled scenarios. This field has found extensive applications, as evidenced by classical results detailed in [21], and more recent applications, such as those explored in [8] and [4], particularly in the realms of neural networks and climate models.

Our specific focus lies in examining two scales controlled systems featuring both Wiener and discontinuous (jump) noise:

E. Bandini

University of Bologna, Department of Mathematics, piazza di Porta San Donato 5, 40126 Bologna (Italy).

E-mail: elena.bandini7@unibo.it.

G. Guatteri

Politecnico di Milano, Department of Mathematics, via Bonardi 9, 20133 Milan (Italy).

E-mail: giuseppina.guatteri@polimi.it.

G. Tessitore

University of Milano-Bicocca, Department of Mathematics and its Applications, via Roberto Cozzi 55, 20125 Milan (Italy).

E-mail: gianmario.tessitore@unimib.it.

This research was partially supported by the 2023 GNAMPA-INdAM project *Riduzione del modello in sistemi dinamici in dimensione infinita a due scale*.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} dX_t^\varepsilon = AX_t^\varepsilon dt + b(X_t^\varepsilon, Q_t^\varepsilon, \alpha_t)dt + RdW_t^1 + \int_{H \setminus 0} w [N_1(dt dw) - r(X_t^\varepsilon, Q_t^\varepsilon, \alpha_t, w)\nu_1(dw)dt], \\ X_0 = x_0 \in H, \\ \varepsilon dQ_t^\varepsilon = [BQ_t^\varepsilon + F(X_t^\varepsilon, Q_t^\varepsilon)]dt + G\rho(\alpha_t)dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon}GdW_t^2 \\ \quad + \int_{K \setminus 0} w [\varepsilon N_2^\varepsilon(dt dw) - \gamma(\alpha_t, w)\nu_2(dw)dt], \\ Q_0^\varepsilon = q_0 \in K. \end{array} \right.$$

In the above, process (α) is the control, (X^ε) is the slowly evolving state variable and (Q^ε) is the fast state variable. Notice that (α) , (X^ε) and (Q^ε) all take values in infinite dimensional spaces. $(W_t^1)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(W_t^2)_{t \geq 0}$ are independent cylindrical Wiener. $N_1(dt dw)$ and $N_2^\varepsilon(dt dw) = N_2(d(t/\varepsilon) dw)$ are Poisson random measures, independent of each other and from $(W_t^1)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(W_t^2)_{t \geq 0}$, with compensated random measures

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{N}_1(dt dw) &= N_1(dt dw) - \nu_1(dw)dt, \\ \tilde{N}_2^\varepsilon(d(t/\varepsilon) dw) &= N_2(dt dw) - \varepsilon^{-1}\nu_2(dw)dt, \end{aligned}$$

while r and γ represent their controlled intensity. Finally, A and B are, possibly unbounded, linear operators, we assume that $B + F$ is dissipative and that operator R is invertible; in other words it is crucial to suppose that, if we forget the controlled terms, the fast variable has an ‘‘ergodic’’ behaviour while the slow variable is perturbed by non degenerate gaussian noise.

The above state equation is coupled with a standard cost functional as:

$$J^\varepsilon(x_0, q_0, \alpha) = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^1 l(X_t^\varepsilon, Q_t^\varepsilon, \alpha_t)dt + h(X_1^\varepsilon) \right].$$

The value function of the optimal control problem is then classically defined by:

$$V^\varepsilon(x_0, q_0) = \inf_{\alpha} J^\varepsilon(x_0, q_0, \alpha). \quad (1.1)$$

Our purpose is to characterize the limiting value function $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} V^\varepsilon(x_0, q_0)$.

Numerous papers have addressed the aforementioned issue within the finite-dimensional framework, specifically considering continuous noise. In works such as [21] and [20], results are derived through direct computations in particular scenarios. Meanwhile, in the investigations conducted in [1], [2], [3], [7] and [9], the problem is approached by examining the convergence of viscosity solutions to the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation, which describes the evolution of the approximating value functions V^ε .

Regarding the infinite-dimensional scenario, the earliest results, to the best of our knowledge, can be traced back to [18]. In this work, the cylindrical Wiener process case is addressed, assuming non-degeneracy of the noise in the slow evolution and introducing a new approach to overcome the technical challenges inherent in studying HJB equations in infinite-dimensional spaces. In particular, the approximating value functions V^ε are represented through a forward-backward system of stochastic differential equations, as outlined in [14, Chapter 6].

The critical technical aspect lies in proving that the solutions to the resulting singular sequence of forward-backward stochastic differential equations converge towards a reduced system existing in a smaller space. This reduced system involves the solution of an ‘‘ergodic’’ BSDE, a class of equations discussed in works such as [16] and [17].

Subsequently, in [19], the condition of non-degeneracy for the noise in the slow equation is eliminated through the application of a vanishing noise argument. The final outcome is expressed as the value function of a reduced stochastic optimal control problem.

Finally in [24] the author, assuming that the noise is gaussian and of trace class, successfully applies viscosity solution techniques to the problem and extends the range of treatable systems, including, for instance, the case of control dependent noise.

In this context, the main innovation lies in the inclusion of discontinuous noise, present in both the slow and fast equations. Building on the approach introduced in [18], we opt for the forward-backward stochastic system representation. This choice is motivated by its suitability for handling non-Gaussian noises, particularly because the inclusion of Poisson measures would introduce complicated integro-differential terms in the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation, see [25], [26].

We introduce the following forward-backward system to represent $V^\varepsilon(x_0, q_0)$ (see [6] for the extension of the classical results to the discontinuous case):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} dX_t = AX_t dt + RdW_t^1 + \int_{H \setminus 0} w [N_1(dt dw) - \nu_1(dw)dt], \\ X_0 = x_0 \in H, \\ \varepsilon dQ_t^\varepsilon = [BQ_t^\varepsilon + F(X_t, Q_t^\varepsilon)]dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon}GdW_t^2 + \int_{K \setminus 0} w [\varepsilon N_2^\varepsilon(dt dw) - \nu_2(dw)dt], \\ Q_0^\varepsilon = q_0 \in K, \\ -dY_t^\varepsilon = \psi\left(X_t, Q_t^\varepsilon, Z_t^\varepsilon, \frac{\Xi_t^\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, U_t^\varepsilon(\cdot), \frac{\Theta_t^\varepsilon(\cdot)}{\varepsilon}\right)dt - Z_t^\varepsilon dW_t^1 - \Xi_t^\varepsilon dW_t^2 \\ - \int_{H \setminus 0} U_t^\varepsilon(w) [N_1(dt dw) - \nu_1(dw)dt] - \int_{K \setminus 0} \Theta_t^\varepsilon(w) \left(N_2^\varepsilon(dt dw) - \frac{\nu_2(dw)}{\varepsilon}dt\right), \\ Y_1^\varepsilon = h(X_1). \end{array} \right.$$

The main technical result, see Theorem 4.4, consists in the proof that the solution of the forward-backward system above (depending on ε in a singular way) converges towards the solution of the following “reduced” system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} dX_t = AX_t dt + RdW_t^1 + \int_{H \setminus 0} w [N_1(dt dw) - \nu_1(dw)dt], \\ X_0 = x_0, \\ -d\bar{Y}_t = \lambda(X_t, \bar{Z}_t, \bar{U}_t(\cdot))dt - \bar{Z}_t dW_t^1 - \int_{H \setminus 0} \bar{U}_t(w) [N_1(dt dw) - \nu_1(dw)dt], \\ \bar{Y}_1 = h(X_1). \end{array} \right.$$

Here, λ represents the value function of a suitable ergodic control problem. Similar to the approach in [18], the proof relies on a discretization strategy for the slow variable and entails solving an “ergodic” BSDE, see [16]. The introduction of Poisson random measures N_1 and N_2 introduces novel and non-trivial technical challenges.

After establishing the result concerning the singular forward-backward system, we can finalize the program by expressing the limit $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} V^\varepsilon(x_0, q_0)$ through the solution of an appropriate reduced forward-backward system (refer to Theorem 5.3). Additionally, this limit can be further characterized as the value function of a suitable “reduced” control problem (refer to Theorem 6.2).

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 establishes notations. In Section 3 we point out some results on the forward system. In Section 4 we present and prove the main result concerning the convergence of singular forward-backward systems of stochastic equations. Section 5 applies the aforementioned convergence result to the original stochastic two-scale control problem featuring jump noise. Finally, in Section 6, we provide an interpretation of the main result in the context of a “reduced” optimal control problem. We eventually provide some results on the Girsanov transformation for general semimartingales in the Appendix.

2. NOTATION

For any topological space Λ , $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda)$ denotes the corresponding Borel σ -algebra. Let E be a Banach space norm $|\cdot|_E$ or simply $|\cdot|$ when no confusion is possible; for any other Banach space F , we denote by $L(E, F)$ the space of bounded linear operators from E to F , endowed with the usual norm. If $F = \mathbb{R}$, the dual space $L(E, \mathbb{R})$ is denoted by E^* . We also use the shortwritng $L(E)$ for $L(E, E)$.

Ξ, H, K are Hilbert spaces with scalar product denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. They are assumed to be real and separable and the dual of Hilbert spaces will never be identified with the space itself. By $L_2(\Xi, H)$ (resp. $L_2(\Xi, K)$) we will denote the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators from Ξ to H (resp. to K).

We consider a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, and a filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying the usual conditions. T will be a fixed horizon, and \mathcal{P} will denote the σ -algebra of predictable sets on $\Omega \times [0, T]$.

We consider two cylindrical (\mathcal{F}_t) -Wiener processes $(W_t^1)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(W_t^2)_{t \geq 0}$ with values in Ξ . We assume $(W_t^1)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(W_t^2)_{t \geq 0}$ to be independent. $N_1(dt dw)$, $N_2(dt dw)$ will be independent (\mathcal{F}_t) -Poisson random measures on H and on K respectively, with compensated random measures

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{N}_1(dt dw) &= N_1(dt dw) - \nu_1(dw)dt, \\ \tilde{N}_2(dt dw) &= N_2(dt dw) - \nu_2(dw)dt,\end{aligned}$$

where $\nu_1(dw)$ and $\nu_2(dw)$ are σ -finite measure on H and on K respectively, such that

$$\int_{H \setminus 0} |w|^2 \nu_1(dw) < \infty, \quad \int_{K \setminus 0} |w|^2 \nu_2(dw) < \infty.$$

$N_1(dt dw)$ and $N_2(dt dw)$ are also independent of $(W_t^1)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(W_t^2)_{t \geq 0}$. Moreover for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ we set

$$N_2^\varepsilon(dt dw) = N_2(d(t/\varepsilon) dw)$$

that is a random measure with compensator $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nu_2(dw)dt$.

We also introduce

$$\begin{aligned}L^2(\nu_1) &:= \{f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable} : \int_{H \setminus 0} |f(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) < \infty\}, \\ L^2(\nu_2) &:= \{f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable} : \int_{K \setminus 0} |f(w)|^2 \nu_2(dw) < \infty\},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{L}^2(\tilde{N}_1) &:= \{\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{B}(H)\text{-measurable processes } U \text{ such that the norm} \\ &\quad \|U\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\tilde{N}_1)} := \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \int_{H \setminus 0} |U_s(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) ds \right] \right)^{1/2} \text{ is finite}\}, \\ \mathbb{L}^2(\tilde{N}_2) &:= \{\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{B}(K)\text{-measurable processes } U \text{ such that the norm} \\ &\quad \|U\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\tilde{N}_2)} := \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \int_{K \setminus 0} |U_s(w)|^2 \nu_2(dw) ds \right] \right)^{1/2} \text{ is finite}\}.\end{aligned}$$

$\mathbb{L}^{2, \text{loc}}(\tilde{N}_1)$ (resp. $\mathbb{L}^{2, \text{loc}}(\tilde{N}_2)$) denotes the set of processes defined on \mathbb{R}_+ such that their restriction to $[0, T]$ belongs to $\mathbb{L}^{2, \text{loc}}(\tilde{N}_1)$ (resp. $\mathbb{L}^2(\tilde{N}_2)$).

We also define the following spaces of equivalence classes of processes with values in a Hilbert space V :

$S^2(\mathbb{D}([0, T]; V)) := \{\mathcal{P}\text{-measurable processes } X \text{ with càdlàg paths in } V, \text{ such that the norm}$

$$|X|_{S^2} := \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_s|_V^2 ds \right] \right)^{1/2} \text{ is finite},$$

$\mathbb{L}_V^2 := \{\mathcal{P}\text{-measurable processes } X \text{ with values in } V, \text{ such that the norm}$

$$|X|_{\mathbb{L}_V^2} := \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T |X_s|_V^2 ds \right] \right)^{1/2} \text{ is finite} \}.$$

$S^{2, \text{loc}}(\mathbb{D}([0, +\infty); V))$ denotes the set of processes defined on \mathbb{R}_+ such that their restriction to $[0, T]$ belongs to $S^2(\mathbb{D}([0, T]; V))$. $\mathbb{L}_V^{2, \text{loc}}$ denotes the set of processes defined on \mathbb{R}_+ such that their restriction to $[0, T]$ belongs to \mathbb{L}_V^2 .

3. THE FORWARD SYSTEM

Given four operators $A : D(A) \subseteq H \rightarrow H$, $B : D(B) \subseteq K \rightarrow K$, $R : \Xi \rightarrow H$, $G : \Xi \rightarrow K$ and $x_0 \in H$, $q_0 \in K$, we consider the following system of SDEs:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = AX_t dt + RdW_t^1 + \int_{H \setminus 0} w [N_1(dt dw) - \nu_1(dw)dt], \\ X_0 = x_0, \\ \varepsilon dQ_t^\varepsilon = [BQ_t^\varepsilon + F(X_t, Q_t^\varepsilon)]dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon} GdW_t^2 + \int_{K \setminus 0} w [\varepsilon N_2^\varepsilon(dt dw) - \nu_2(dw)dt], \\ Q_0^\varepsilon = q_0, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where the ‘‘slow’’ variable X takes values in H and the ‘‘fast’’ variable Q^ε takes values in K .

We will consider the following assumptions on the coefficients of the system (3.1).

(HAB) $A : D(A) \subseteq H \rightarrow H$ and $B : D(B) \subseteq K \rightarrow K$ are two linear unbounded operators generating the C_0 semigroups $\{e^{tA}\}_{t \geq 0}$, $\{e^{tB}\}_{t \geq 0}$ over H and K respectively, such that

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{tA}|_{L(H)} &\leq M_A e^{w_A t}, \quad t \geq 0, M_A > 0, w_A \in \mathbb{R}, \\ |e^{tB}|_{L(K)} &\leq M_B e^{w_B t}, \quad t \geq 0, M_B > 0, w_B \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for $t > 0$, e^{tA} and e^{tB} are Hilbert-Schmidt operators with

$$|e^{tA}|_{L_2(H, H)} + |e^{tB}|_{L_2(K, K)} \leq Mt^{-\gamma t},$$

for all $t \in (0, 1)$, suitable $\gamma \in (0, 1/4)$ and $M > 0$.

(HRG) $R \in L(\Xi, H)$, $G \in L(\Xi, K)$.

(HF) $F : H \times K \rightarrow K$ is bounded, and there exists $L_F > 0$:

$$|F(x, y) - F(x', y')|_K \leq L_F (|x - x'|_H + |y - y'|_K), \quad x, x' \in H, y, y' \in K.$$

(HF + B) $B + F$ is dissipative: there exists $\mu > 0$ such that

$$\langle Bq + F(x, q) - [Bq' + F(x, q')], q - q' \rangle \leq -\mu |q - q'|_K^2, \quad x \in H, q, q' \in D(B).$$

Let $(\gamma_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a cylindrical Wiener process with values in Ξ and $p(\omega, dt dv)$ a random measure on K with compensator $\kappa_t(\omega, v)\nu(dv)dt$, where $\nu(dw)$ is a σ -finite measure on K and κ is a random field on K such that

$$\int_{K \setminus 0} |v|^2 |\kappa_s(\omega, v)|^2 \nu(dv) < \infty \quad \text{for every } (\omega, s) \in \Omega \times [0, T]. \quad (3.2)$$

We denote by $(\beta_t^B)_{t \geq 0}$ the stochastic convolution

$$\beta_t^B := \int_0^t e^{(t-s)B} \left(G d\gamma_s + \int_{K \setminus 0} v [p(ds dv) - \kappa_s(v)\nu(dv)ds] \right).$$

We will assume the following:

$$(\mathbf{H}\beta^B) \sup_{t \geq 0} \mathbb{E}[|\beta_t^B|_K^2] < \infty.$$

Remark 3.1. We notice that $(\mathbf{H}\beta^B)$ is automatically satisfied when B is dissipative and consequently $w_B < 0$ in (\mathbf{HAB}) .

3.1. Well-posedness results. The well-posedness of the slow equation in system (3.1) comes from the following theorem, that is a direct corollary of Theorem 9.29 in [22].

Lemma 3.1. *Let assumptions (\mathbf{HAB}) , (\mathbf{HRG}) , (\mathbf{HF}) , $(\mathbf{HF+B})$, and $(\mathbf{H}\beta^B)$ hold. For any $T < \infty$, $\tau \in [0, T]$ and any \mathcal{F}_τ -measurable square integrable random variable $\tilde{X}_\tau \in H$,*

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = AX_t dt + RdW_t^1 + \int_{H \setminus 0} z [N_1(dt dz) - \nu_1(dz)dt], & t \in [\tau, T], \\ X_\tau = \tilde{X}_\tau \end{cases}$$

has a unique (up to modification) mild solution $(X_t^{T, \tilde{X}_\tau})_{t \in [\tau, T]}$ with a càdlàg version. More precisely, there exists a unique process $(X_t)_{t \in [\tau, T]} \in S^2(\mathbb{D}([\tau, T]; H))$ such that

$$X_t = e^{(t-\tau)A} \tilde{X}_\tau + \int_\tau^t e^{(s-\tau)A} R dW_s^1 + \int_\tau^t e^{(s-\tau)A} \int_{H \setminus 0} z [N_1(ds dz) - \nu_1(dz)ds]$$

is satisfied \mathbb{P} -a.s. Moreover, for every T there exists $c_T < +\infty$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in [\tau, T]} \mathbb{E}[|X_t^{T,x} - X_t^{T,y}|_H^2] \leq c_T |x - y|_H^2, \quad x, y \in H,$$

and, for all $p \geq 1$, there exists a positive constant c_p depending only on p and on the quantities introduced in the hypotheses, such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [\tau, T]} |X_t^{T,x}|^p \right] \leq c_p (1 + |x|^p), \quad x \in H. \quad (3.3)$$

Concerning the fast equation in system (3.1), we start by noticing that, if we make the change of time $s \mapsto s\varepsilon$, it reads

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon dQ_{\varepsilon t}^\varepsilon = [BQ_{\varepsilon t}^\varepsilon + F(X_t, Q_{\varepsilon t}^\varepsilon)]\varepsilon dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon} G dW_{\varepsilon t}^2 + \int_{K \setminus 0} w [\varepsilon N_2^\varepsilon(d(\varepsilon t) dw) - \varepsilon \nu_2(dw)dt], & t \in [0, T], \\ Q_0 = q_0, \end{cases}$$

that in turn gives

$$\begin{cases} d\hat{Q}_t = [B\hat{Q}_t + F(X_t, \hat{Q}_t)]dt + G d\hat{W}_t^2 + \int_{K \setminus 0} w [N_2(dt dw) - \nu_2(dw)dt], & t \in [0, T], \\ \hat{Q}_0 = q_0, \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

where we have set

$$\hat{Q}_t := Q_{\varepsilon t}^\varepsilon, \quad \hat{W}_t^2 := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} dW_{\varepsilon t}^2.$$

We have the following.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(\Gamma_s)_{s \geq 0}$ be a given, H -valued, predictable process in $\mathbb{L}_H^{2,\text{loc}}$. Let $(g_s)_{s \geq 0}$ be a given, K -valued, process with $g \in \mathbb{L}_K^{2,\text{loc}}$. Let $(\gamma_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a Q -Wiener process with values in Ξ and $p(\omega, dt dv)$ a random measure on K with compensator $\kappa_t(\omega, v)\nu(dv)dt$, where $\nu(dw)$ is a σ -finite measure on K and κ is a random field on K such that (3.2) holds true. Let us consider the following equation:*

$$\begin{cases} dQ_t = [BQ_t + F(\Gamma_t, Q_t)]dt + g_t dt + Gd\gamma_t + \int_{K \setminus 0} v[p(dt dv) - \kappa_t(v)\nu(dv)dt], & t \in [0, T], \\ Q_0 = q_0. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

Then equation (3.5) admits a unique mild solution $Q \in S^{2,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{D}([0, \infty); K))$. Moreover, for all $T > 0$, there exists $k < \infty$, independent of T , such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[|Q_t|_K^2] \leq k \left(1 + |q_0|_K^2 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[|\Gamma_t|_H^2] + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[|\beta_t^B|_K^2] + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[|g_t|_K^2] \right). \quad (3.6)$$

Finally, if $(\Gamma'_s)_{s \geq 0}$ is another process in $\mathbb{L}_H^{2,\text{loc}}$, and Q' is a the mild solution of equation

$$\begin{cases} dQ'_t = [BQ'_t + F(\Gamma'_t, Q'_t)]dt + g_t dt + Gd\gamma_t + \int_{K \setminus 0} v[p(dt dv) - \kappa_t(v)\nu(dv)dt], & t \in [0, T], \\ Q'_0 = q_0, \end{cases}$$

then, for all $T > 0$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$|Q_T - Q'_T| \leq \kappa \int_0^T e^{-\mu(T-s)} |\Gamma_s - \Gamma'_s| ds$$

where μ is the dissipativity constant in $(\mathbf{HF} + \mathbf{B})$, and κ does not depend on T .

Proof. The proof follows the same arguments of [22] as far as existence and uniqueness of the solution and estimate (3.6) is concerned, while the last estimate follows as in [23] (also see [18][Lemma 3.10]) noticing that

$$\begin{cases} d(Q_t - Q'_t) = [B(Q_t - Q'_t) + F(\Gamma'_t, Q'_t) - F(\Gamma_t, Q_t)]dt, & t \in [0, T], \\ Q'_0 = q_0. \end{cases}$$

□

For fixed $\Gamma \equiv x \in H$, $q_0 \in K$ and $g \equiv 0$, equation (3.4) is a special case of equation (3.5) and Lemma 3.2 applies to it. We will denote by \hat{Q}^{x, q_0} the mild solution to equation (3.4).

4. LIMIT EQUATION AND CONVERGENCE OF SINGULAR BSDES

We introduce a function $h : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following assumption.

(Hh) $h : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded, and there exist positive constants L_h and M :

$$|h(x) - h(x')| \leq L_h |x - x'|_H, \quad |h(x)| \leq M, \quad x, x' \in H, q, q' \in K.$$

We consider the following forward-backward system for $t \in [0, 1]$:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = AX_t dt + RdW_t^1 + \int_{H \setminus 0} w[N_1(dt dw) - \nu_1(dw)dt], \\ X_0 = x_0 \in H, \\ \varepsilon dQ_t^\varepsilon = [BQ_t^\varepsilon + F(X_t, Q_t^\varepsilon)]dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon}GdW_t^2 + \int_{K \setminus 0} w[\varepsilon N_2^\varepsilon(dt dw) - \nu_2(dw)dt], \\ Q_0^\varepsilon = q_0 \in K, \\ -dY_t^\varepsilon = \psi\left(X_t, Q_t^\varepsilon, Z_t^\varepsilon, \frac{\Xi_t^\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, U_t^\varepsilon(\cdot), \frac{\Theta_t^\varepsilon(\cdot)}{\varepsilon}\right)dt - Z_t^\varepsilon dW_t^1 - \Xi_t^\varepsilon dW_t^2 \\ - \int_{H \setminus 0} U_t^\varepsilon(w)(N_1(dt dw) - \nu_1(dw)dt) - \int_{K \setminus 0} \Theta_t^\varepsilon(w)\left(N_2^\varepsilon(dt dw) - \frac{\nu_2(dw)}{\varepsilon}dt\right), \\ Y_1^\varepsilon = h(X_1). \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Theorem 4.1. *Assume **(HAB)**, **(HRG)**, **(HF)**, **(HF+B)**, **(H β^B)**, **(H ψ)** and **(Hh)**. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a unique 7-uple of processes $(X, Q^\varepsilon, Y^\varepsilon, Z^\varepsilon, \Xi^\varepsilon, U^\varepsilon(\cdot), \Theta^\varepsilon(\cdot))$ in $S^2(\mathbb{D}([0, T]; H)) \times S^2(\mathbb{D}([0, T]; K)) \times S^2(\mathbb{D}([0, +\infty); \mathbb{R})) \times \mathbb{L}_{\Xi^*}^2 \times \mathbb{L}_{\Xi^*}^2 \times \mathbb{L}^2(\tilde{N}_1) \times \mathbb{L}^2(\tilde{N}_2)$ such that \mathbb{P} -a.s. the system (4.1) is verified for all $t \in [0, 1]$.*

Proof. System (4.1) is a decoupled forward-backward (that is, the forward equation can be solved independently, see for instance [15]). The proof of existence and uniqueness for the finite dimensional case can be found in [13] and can be extended easily to the infinite dimensional setting following [6]. \square

We aim at studying the limit behaviour of Y^ε as ε goes to 0.

4.1. The ergodic parametrized BSDE.

To formulate an equation for the limiting system we first have to introduce ergodic BSDEs in the context of discontinuous noise extending the results of [18, section 4] and [16, section 4]. We remark that ergodic BSDEs with jumps in infinite dimension have also been studied in a different contest in [12].

We assume that we are given a function $\psi : H \times K \times \Xi^* \times \Xi^* \times L^2(\nu_1) \times L^2(\nu_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, on which we ask the following.

(H ψ)

- a) ψ measurable;
- b) $\sup_{x \in H, q \in K} \psi(x, q, 0, 0, 0) =: M_\psi < \infty$;
- c) there exist positive constants $L_x, L_q, L_z, L_\zeta, L_u, L_\vartheta$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\psi(x, q, z, \zeta, u, \vartheta) - \psi(x', q', z', \zeta', u', \vartheta')| \\ & \leq L_z |z - z'|_{\Xi^*} + L_\zeta |\zeta - \zeta'|_{\Xi^*} \\ & + L_u \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |u_s(w) - u'_s(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + L_\vartheta \left(\int_{K \setminus 0} |\vartheta_s(w) - \vartheta'_s(w)|^2 \nu_2(dw) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & + L_x \left(1 + |z|_{\Xi^*} + \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |u_s(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) |x - x'|_H \\ & + L_q \left(1 + |z|_{\Xi^*} + \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |u_s(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) |q - q'|_K; \end{aligned}$$

- d) for every $x \in H$, $q \in K$, $z, \zeta \in \Xi^*$, $u, u' \in L^2(\nu_1)$, $\vartheta, \vartheta' \in L^2(\nu_2)$, there exist measurable functions $\gamma_1 : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma_2 : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, (that may depend on $x, q, z, \zeta, u, u', \vartheta, \vartheta'$) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, q, z, \zeta, u, \vartheta) - \psi(x, q, z, \zeta, u', \vartheta) & \leq \int_{H \setminus 0} (u(w) - u'(w)) \gamma_1(w) \nu_1(dw), \\ \psi(x, q, z, \zeta, u, \vartheta) - \psi(x, q, z, \zeta, u, \vartheta') & \leq \int_{K \setminus 0} (\vartheta(w) - \vartheta'(w)) \gamma_2(w) \nu_2(dw), \end{aligned}$$

and satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(1 \wedge |w|_H) \leq \gamma_1(w) \leq C_2(1 \wedge |w|_H), \quad C_1 \in (-1, 0], C_2 \geq 0, \\ \bar{C}_1(1 \wedge |w|_K) \leq \gamma_2(w) \leq \bar{C}_2(1 \wedge |w|_K), \quad \bar{C}_1 \in (-1, 0], \bar{C}_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.1. Notice that the lipschitzianity of f in the two latter components stated in **(Hf)**-c) is a consequence of **(Hf)**-d).

Remark 4.2. One can easily deduce (see e.g. the proof of Lemma 19.3.8 in [11]) from Hypothesis **(H ψ)-d**) the existence of two functions γ_s^1 and γ_s^2 (that may depend on $x, q, z, \zeta, u, u', \vartheta, \vartheta'$) satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(1 \wedge |w|_H) &\leq \gamma^1(w) \leq C_2(1 \wedge |w|_H), & C_1 \in (-1, 0], C_2 \geq 0, \\ \bar{C}_1(1 \wedge |w|_K) &\leq \gamma^2(w) \leq \bar{C}_2(1 \wedge |w|_K), & \bar{C}_1 \in (-1, 0], \bar{C}_2 \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and such that

$$\psi(x, q, z, \zeta, u, \vartheta) - \psi(x, q, z, \zeta, u', \vartheta) = \int_{H \setminus 0} \gamma^1(w)(u(w) - u'(w))\nu_1(dw)$$

and

$$\psi(x, q, z, \zeta, u, \vartheta) - \psi(x, q, z, \zeta, u(\cdot), \vartheta'(\cdot)) = \int_{K \setminus 0} \gamma^2(w)(\vartheta(w) - \vartheta'(w))\nu_2(dw).$$

We are interested in ergodic BSDEs of the form: \mathbb{P} -a.s., for all $x \in H, q \in K, z \in \Xi^*, u \in L^2(\nu_1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Y}_t &= \hat{Y}_T + \int_t^T [\psi(x, \hat{Q}_s^{x,q}, z, \hat{\Xi}_s, u(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s(\cdot)) - \lambda(x, z, u(\cdot))] ds \\ &\quad - \int_t^T \hat{\Xi}_s d\hat{W}_s^2 - \int_t^T \int_{\Xi \setminus 0} \hat{\Theta}_s(w) [N_2(ds dw) - \nu_2(dw) ds], \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where $\hat{Q}_s^{x,q}$ is the unique solution to

$$\begin{cases} d\hat{Q}_t = [B\hat{Q}_t + F(x, \hat{Q}_t)]dt + Gd\hat{W}_t^2 + \int_{K \setminus 0} w [N_2(dt dw) - \nu_2(dw) dt], & t \in [0, T] \\ \hat{Q}_0 = q. \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

Remark 4.3. Under assumptions **(HAB)**, **(HRG)**, **(HF)**, **(HF+B)**, and **(H β^B)**, the solution \hat{Q} to (4.3) satisfies

$$|\hat{Q}_t^{x,q} - \hat{Q}_t^{x,q'}|_K \leq e^{-\mu t} |q - q'|_K, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad q, q' \in K, \quad x \in H, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\hat{Q}_t^{x,q}|_K^2 \right] \leq C_T (1 + |q|_K^2), \quad q \in K, \quad x \in H, \quad (4.5)$$

for some constant C_T only depending on T (estimate (4.4) is a direct consequence of assumption **(HF+B)**, while the proof of (4.5) follows the same lines of the one of (3.6)).

The theorem below is the analogue of the result in [18, section 4]. We omit the proof since, on the one side it is similar to the one in [18], on the other side the technical issues related to the presence of discontinuous noise will be treated, in all details, in the much more complicated proof of Theorem 4.4.

Theorem 4.2. *Under assumptions **(HAB)**, **(HRG)**, **(HF)**, **(HF+B)**, **(H β^B)**, and **(H ψ)**, there exist measurable functions*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{v} &: H \times K \times \Xi^* \times L^2(\nu_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ \bar{\vartheta} &: H \times K \times \Xi^* \times L^2(\nu_1) \rightarrow L^2(\nu_2), \\ \bar{\zeta} &: H \times K \times \Xi^* \times L^2(\nu_1) \rightarrow \Xi^*, \\ \lambda &: H \times \Xi^* \times L^2(\nu_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

such that

(1) for all fixed $x \in H$, $z \in \Xi^*$, $u \in L^2(\nu_1)$, \bar{v} is Lipschitz with respect to q and verifies, for some $c > 0$,

$$|\bar{v}(x, q, z, u)| \leq c \left(1 + |z| + \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |u(\ell)|^2 \nu_1(d\ell) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) |q|_K;$$

(2) if we set, for fixed $x \in H$, $q \in K$, $z \in \Xi^*$, $u \in L^2(\nu_1)$,

$$\begin{cases} \bar{Y}_t^{x,q,z,u} := \bar{v}(x, \hat{Q}_t^{x,q}, z, u), \\ \bar{\Xi}_t^{x,q,z,u} := \bar{\zeta}(x, \hat{Q}_t^{x,q}, z, u), \\ \bar{\Theta}_t^{x,q,z,u}(\cdot) := \bar{\vartheta}(x, \hat{Q}_t^{x,q}, z, u)(\cdot), \end{cases}$$

then $\bar{\Xi}^{x,q,z,u} \in \mathbb{L}^{2,\text{loc}}$, $\bar{\Theta}^{x,q,z,u}(\cdot) \in \mathbb{L}^{2,\text{loc}}(\tilde{N}_2)$, and the ergodic BSDE (4.2) is satisfied by $(\bar{Y}^{x,q,z,u}, \bar{\Xi}^{x,q,z,u}, \bar{\Theta}^{x,q,z,u}(\cdot), \lambda(x, z, u))$.

Moreover, if in addition, we impose that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $|\bar{Y}_t^{x,q,z,u}| \leq c(1 + |Q_t^{q_0}|)$, then $\lambda(x, z, u)$ is uniquely determined.

(3) for fixed $x, x' \in H$, $z, z' \in \Xi^*$, $u, u' \in L^2(\nu_1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda(x, z, u) - \lambda(x', z', u')| &\leq L'_x \left(1 + |z|_{\Xi^*} + \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |u(\ell)|^2 \nu_1(d\ell) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) |x - x'|_H \\ &\quad + L'_z |z - z'|_{\Xi^*} + L'_u \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |u(\ell) - u'(\ell)|^2 \nu_1(d\ell) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constants L'_x, L'_z, L'_u .

4.2. Main Convergence Result.

We can now come back to our main object of investigation, namely the characterization of the limit, as ε goes to 0, of the sequence Y_0^ε (where Y^ε is the third component of the solution to equation (4.1).)

Our candidate limit is the component \bar{Y} of the solution of the following forward-backward system on the finite horizon $[0, 1]$ and on the reduced space H :

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = AX_t dt + RdW_t^1 + \int_{H \setminus 0} w [N_1(dt dw) - \nu_1(dw)dt], \\ X_0 = x_0, \\ -d\bar{Y}_t = \lambda(X_t, \bar{Z}_t, \bar{U}_t(\cdot)) dt - \bar{Z}_t dW_t^1 - \int_{H \setminus 0} \bar{U}_t(w) [N_1(dt dw) - \nu_1(dw)dt], \\ \bar{Y}_1 = h(X_1), \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

where λ is the function in Theorem 4.2 and h is the function in **(Hh)**.

Theorem 4.3. *Let assumptions **(HAB)**, **(HRG)**, **(HF)**, **(HF+B)**, **(H β^B)**, **(H ψ)** and **(Hh)** hold true. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a unique 4-tuple of processes $(X, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{U}(\cdot))$ in $S^2(\mathbb{D}([0, T]; H)) \times S^2(\mathbb{D}([0, +\infty); \mathbb{R})) \times \mathbb{L}_{\Xi^*}^2 \times \mathbb{L}^2(\tilde{N}_1)$ such that \mathbb{P} -a.s. the system (4.6) is verified for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Moreover, there exist measurable functions*

$$\bar{v} : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \bar{\zeta} : H \rightarrow \Xi^*, \quad \bar{\vartheta} : H \rightarrow L^2(\nu_1),$$

such that

$$\bar{Y}_t = \bar{v}(X_t), \quad \bar{Z}_t = \bar{\zeta}(X_t), \quad \bar{U}_t(\cdot) = \bar{\vartheta}(X_t)(\cdot).$$

Proof. See the proof of Theorem 4.1. \square

The following is the main technical result of the paper. The proof has the same structure of the one of [18][Theorem 5.4]. The involvement of discontinuous noises induces nontrivial technical difficulties. The main differences lie in the application of the Girsanov transform (see Appendix A) and in the estimates of the residual process $R^{\varepsilon, N}$, see (4.21).

Theorem 4.4. *Let assumptions **(HAB)**, **(HRG)**, **(HF)**, **(HF+B)**, **(H β^B)**, **(H ψ)** and **(Hh)** hold true. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, let $(X, Q^\varepsilon, Y^\varepsilon, Z^\varepsilon, \Xi^\varepsilon, U^\varepsilon(\cdot))$ and $(X, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{U}(\cdot))$ be respectively the unique solution to the forward-backward systems (4.1) and (4.6) obtained in Theorems 4.1 and 4.3. Then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} Y_0^\varepsilon = \bar{Y}_0.$$

Proof. Adding and subtracting the term $\int_0^1 \psi\left(X_t, Q_t^\varepsilon, \bar{Z}_t, \frac{\Xi_t^\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, \bar{U}_t(\cdot), \frac{\Theta_t^\varepsilon(\cdot)}{\varepsilon}\right) dt$ in the equation for Y^ε , we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0^\varepsilon - \bar{Y}_0 &= \int_0^1 \left(\psi\left(X_t, Q_t^\varepsilon, Z_t^\varepsilon, \frac{\Xi_t^\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, U_t^\varepsilon(\cdot), \frac{\Theta_t^\varepsilon(\cdot)}{\varepsilon}\right) - \psi\left(X_t, Q_t^\varepsilon, \bar{Z}_t, \frac{\Xi_t^\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, \bar{U}_t(\cdot), \frac{\Theta_t^\varepsilon(\cdot)}{\varepsilon}\right) \right) dt \\ &+ \int_0^1 \left(\psi\left(X_t, Q_t^\varepsilon, \bar{Z}_t, \frac{\Xi_t^\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, \bar{U}_t(\cdot), \frac{\Theta_t^\varepsilon(\cdot)}{\varepsilon}\right) - \lambda(X_t, \bar{Z}_t, \bar{U}_t(\cdot)) \right) dt \\ &- \int_0^1 (Z_t^\varepsilon - \bar{Z}_t) dW_t^1 - \int_0^1 \Xi_t^\varepsilon dW_t^2 \\ &- \int_0^1 \int_{H \setminus \{0\}} (U_t^\varepsilon(w) - \bar{U}_t(w)) (N_1(dt dw) - \nu_1(dw) dt) \\ &- \int_0^1 \int_{K \setminus \{0\}} \Theta_t^\varepsilon(w) \left(N_2^\varepsilon(dt dw) - \frac{\nu_2(dw)}{\varepsilon} dt \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. We introduce a partition of the interval $[0, 1]$ of the form $t_k = k2^{-N}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^N$, and we define a triple of step processes $(X^N, \tilde{Z}^N, \tilde{U}^N(\cdot))$ as follows:

$$X_t^N = X_{t_k}, \quad t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}), \quad k = 0, \dots, 2^N - 1, \quad (4.8)$$

$$\tilde{Z}_t^N = 0, \quad t \in [0, t_1), \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{Z}_t^N = 2^N \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \bar{Z}_\ell d\ell, \quad t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}), \quad k = 1, \dots, 2^N - 1 \quad (4.9)$$

$$\tilde{U}_t^N(\cdot) = 0, \quad t \in [0, t_1), \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{U}_t^N(\cdot) = 2^N \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \bar{U}_\ell^N(\cdot) d\ell, \quad t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}), \quad k = 1, \dots, 2^N - 1. \quad (4.10)$$

By construction one has

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^1 |\tilde{Z}_t^N - \bar{Z}_t|^2 dt \right] = 0, \quad (4.11)$$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^1 \int_{H \setminus \{0\}} |\tilde{U}_t^N(w) - \bar{U}_t(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) dt \right] = 0. \quad (4.12)$$

For $k = 0, \dots, 2^N - 1$ we consider the following class of forward SDEs of the type in (3.4):

$$\begin{cases} d\hat{Q}_t^{N,k} = [B\hat{Q}_t^{N,k} + F(X_{t_k}, \hat{Q}_t^{N,k})] dt + Gd\hat{W}_t^2 + \int_{K \setminus \{0\}} w [N_2(dt dw) - \nu_2(dw) dt], & t \geq \frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}, \\ \hat{Q}_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^{N,k} = \hat{Q}_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^{N,k-1}, \end{cases} \quad (4.13)$$

with $\hat{W}_t^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} dW_{\varepsilon t}^2$. At this point, let

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{v} &: H \times K \times \Xi^* \times L^2(\nu_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ \bar{\zeta} &: H \times K \times \Xi^* \times L^2(\nu_1) \rightarrow \Xi^*, \\ \bar{\vartheta} &: H \times K \times \Xi^* \times L^2(\nu_1) \rightarrow L^2(\nu_2), \end{aligned}$$

be the functions introduced in Theorem 4.2, and set, for $t \geq \frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}$,

$$\begin{cases} \check{Y}_t^{N,k} := \bar{v}(X_{t_k}, \hat{Q}_t^{N,k}, \tilde{Z}_{t_k}^N, \tilde{U}_{t_k}^N(\cdot)), \\ \check{\Xi}_t^{N,k} := \bar{\zeta}(X_{t_k}, \hat{Q}_t^{N,k}, \tilde{Z}_{t_k}^N, \tilde{U}_{t_k}^N(\cdot)), \\ \check{\Theta}_t^{N,k}(\cdot) := \bar{\vartheta}(X_{t_k}, \hat{Q}_t^{N,k}, \tilde{Z}_{t_k}^N, \tilde{U}_{t_k}^N(\cdot))(\cdot). \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 4.2, $(\check{Y}^{N,K}, \check{\Xi}^{N,K}, \check{\Theta}^{N,K}(\cdot), \lambda(X_{t_k}, \tilde{Z}_{t_k}^N, \tilde{U}_{t_k}^N(\cdot)))$ verifies, for all $k = 0, \dots, 2^N - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \check{Y}_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^{N,k} - \check{Y}_s^{N,k} - \int_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^s [\psi(X_{t_k}, \hat{Q}_s^{N,k}, \tilde{Z}_{t_k}^N, \check{\Xi}_s^{N,K}, \tilde{U}_{t_k}^N(\cdot), \check{\Theta}_s^{N,k}(\cdot)) - \lambda(X_{t_k}, \tilde{Z}_{t_k}^N, \tilde{U}_{t_k}^N(\cdot))] ds \\ + \int_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^s \check{\Xi}_s^{N,k} d\hat{W}_s^2 + \int_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^s \int_{K \setminus 0} \check{\Theta}_s^{N,k}(w) [N_2(ds dw) - \nu_2(dw) ds] = 0, \quad s \geq \frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Moreover, there exists $c > 0$ independent of k and N , such that

$$|\check{Y}_s^{N,k}| \leq c \left(1 + |\tilde{Z}_{t_k}^N| + \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |\tilde{U}_{t_k}^N(\cdot)|^2 \nu_1(d\ell) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) |\hat{Q}_s^{N,k}|_K, \quad s \geq \frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}. \quad (4.15)$$

Let us set, for $s \in [0, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}]$,

$$\hat{Q}_s^N = \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \hat{Q}_s^{N,k} 1_{[\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}]}(s), \quad (4.16)$$

and

$$\check{\Xi}_s^N = \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \check{\Xi}_s^{N,k} 1_{[\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}]}(s), \quad \check{\Theta}_s^N(\cdot) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \check{\Theta}_s^{N,k}(\cdot) 1_{[\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}]}(s).$$

Taking $s = \frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}$ in (4.14), we get that, for all $k = 0, \dots, 2^N - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \check{Y}_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^{N,k} - \check{Y}_{\frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}}^{N,k} - \int_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^{\frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}} \left(\psi(X_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{Q}_s^N, \tilde{Z}_{\varepsilon s}^N, \check{\Xi}_s^N, \tilde{U}_{\varepsilon s}^N(\cdot), \check{\Theta}_s^N(\cdot)) - \lambda(X_{\varepsilon s}^N, \tilde{Z}_{\varepsilon s}^N, \tilde{U}_{\varepsilon s}^N(\cdot)) \right) ds \\ + \int_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^{\frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}} \check{\Xi}_s^N d\hat{W}_s^2 + \int_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^{\frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}} \int_{K \setminus 0} \check{\Theta}_s^N(w) [N_2(ds dw) - \nu_2(dw) ds] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

where we have set, for $s \in [0, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Q}_s^N &:= \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \hat{Q}_s^{N,k} 1_{[\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon})}(s), \\ \check{\Xi}_s^N &:= \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \check{\Xi}_s^{N,k} 1_{[\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon})}(s), \\ \check{\Theta}_s^N &:= \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \check{\Theta}_s^{N,k} 1_{[\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon})}(s), \end{aligned}$$

and we have used that, for $s \in [\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}]$, one has $X_{t_k} = X_{\varepsilon s}^N$, $\tilde{Z}_{t_k}^N = \tilde{Z}_{\varepsilon s}^N$ and $\tilde{U}_{t_k}^N(\cdot) = \tilde{U}_{\varepsilon s}^N(\cdot)$ (recall (4.8)-(4.9)-(4.10)).

Let us now go back to (4.7). The term

$$\int_0^1 \left(\psi \left(X_t, Q_t^\varepsilon, \bar{Z}_t, \frac{\bar{\Xi}_t^\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, \bar{U}_t(\cdot), \frac{\Theta_t^\varepsilon(\cdot)}{\varepsilon} \right) - \lambda(X_t, \bar{Z}_t, \bar{U}_t(\cdot)) \right) dt$$

can be rewritten as

$$\varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \int_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^{\frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}} \left(\psi(X_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{Q}_s^\varepsilon, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{\Xi}_s^\varepsilon, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)) - \lambda(X_{\varepsilon s}, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}(\cdot)) \right) ds,$$

where we have denoted $\hat{Q}_s^\varepsilon = Q_{\varepsilon t}^\varepsilon$, $\hat{\Xi}_s^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \Xi_{\varepsilon s}^\varepsilon$ and $\hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Theta_{\varepsilon s}^\varepsilon(\cdot)$. Adding in the previous expression the null terms in (4.17) for $k = 0, \dots, 2^N - 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \left(\psi\left(X_t, Q_t^\varepsilon, \bar{Z}_t, \frac{\Xi_t^\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, \bar{U}_t(\cdot), \frac{\Theta_t^\varepsilon(\cdot)}{\varepsilon}\right) - \lambda(X_t, \bar{Z}_t, \bar{U}_t(\cdot)) \right) dt \\ &= \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \left(\check{Y}_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^{N,k} - \check{Y}_{\frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}}^{N,k} \right) \\ &+ \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \int_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^{\frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}} \left(\psi(X_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{Q}_s^\varepsilon, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{\Xi}_s^\varepsilon, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)) - \psi(X_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{Q}_s^N, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{\Xi}_s^N, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}^N(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^N(\cdot)) \right) ds \\ &- \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \int_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^{\frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}} \left(\lambda(X_{\varepsilon s}, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}(\cdot)) - \lambda(X_{\varepsilon s}^N, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}^N, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}^N(\cdot)) \right) ds \\ &+ \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \int_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^{\frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}} \check{\Xi}_s^N d\hat{W}_s^2 + \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \int_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^{\frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}} \int_{K \setminus 0} \check{\Theta}_s^N(w) (N_2(ds dw) - \nu_2(dw) ds). \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

On the other hand, the first term in the right-hand side of (4.7) reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \left(\psi\left(X_t, Q_t^\varepsilon, Z_t^\varepsilon, \frac{\Xi_t^\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, U_t^\varepsilon(\cdot), \frac{\Theta_t^\varepsilon(\cdot)}{\varepsilon}\right) - \psi\left(X_t, Q_t^\varepsilon, \bar{Z}_t, \frac{\Xi_t^\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, \bar{U}_t(\cdot), \frac{\Theta_t^\varepsilon(\cdot)}{\varepsilon}\right) \right) dt \\ &= \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \left(\psi(X_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{Q}_s^\varepsilon, Z_{\varepsilon s}^\varepsilon, \hat{\Xi}_s^\varepsilon, U_{\varepsilon s}^\varepsilon(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)) - \psi(X_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{Q}_s^\varepsilon, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{\Xi}_s^\varepsilon, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)) \right) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Plugging (4.19) and (4.18) in (4.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0^\varepsilon - \bar{Y}_0 &= \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \left(\check{Y}_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^{N,k} - \check{Y}_{\frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}}^{N,k} \right) \\ &+ \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \left(\psi(X_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{Q}_s^\varepsilon, Z_{\varepsilon s}^\varepsilon, \hat{\Xi}_s^\varepsilon, U_{\varepsilon s}^\varepsilon(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)) - \psi(X_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{Q}_s^\varepsilon, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{\Xi}_s^\varepsilon, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)) \right) ds \\ &+ \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \left(\psi(X_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{Q}_s^\varepsilon, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{\Xi}_s^\varepsilon, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)) - \psi(X_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{Q}_s^N, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{\Xi}_s^N, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}^N(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^N(\cdot)) \right) ds \\ &- \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \left(\lambda(X_{\varepsilon s}, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}(\cdot)) - \lambda(X_{\varepsilon s}^N, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}^N, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}^N(\cdot)) \right) ds \\ &- \int_0^1 (Z_t^\varepsilon - \bar{Z}_t) dW_t^1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \left(\check{\Xi}_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^N - \hat{\Xi}_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^\varepsilon \right) dW_t^2 \\ &- \int_0^1 \int_{H \setminus 0} (U_t^\varepsilon(w) - \bar{U}_t(w)) (N_1(dt dw) - \nu_1(dw) dt) \\ &- \varepsilon \int_0^1 \int_{K \setminus 0} \left(\check{\Theta}_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^N(w) - \hat{\Theta}_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^\varepsilon(w) \right) \left(N_2^\varepsilon(dt dw) - \frac{\nu_2(dw)}{\varepsilon} dt \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Now we notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi(X_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{Q}_s^\varepsilon, Z_{\varepsilon s}^\varepsilon, \hat{\Xi}_s^\varepsilon, U_{\varepsilon s}^\varepsilon(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)) - \psi(X_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{Q}_s^\varepsilon, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{\Xi}_s^\varepsilon, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)) \\
& + \psi(X_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{Q}_s^\varepsilon, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{\Xi}_s^\varepsilon, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)) - \psi(X_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{Q}_s^N, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{\Xi}_s^N, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}^N(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)) \\
& = \psi(X_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{Q}_s^\varepsilon, Z_{\varepsilon s}^\varepsilon, \hat{\Xi}_s^\varepsilon, U_{\varepsilon s}^\varepsilon(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)) - \psi(X_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{Q}_s^\varepsilon, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{\Xi}_s^\varepsilon, U_{\varepsilon s}^\varepsilon(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)) \\
& + \psi(X_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{Q}_s^\varepsilon, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{\Xi}_s^\varepsilon, U_{\varepsilon s}^\varepsilon(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)) - \psi(X_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{Q}_s^\varepsilon, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{\Xi}_s^\varepsilon, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)) \\
& + R_s^{\varepsilon, N} \\
& + \psi(X_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{Q}_s^N, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{\Xi}_s^N, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}^N(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)) - \psi(X_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{Q}_s^N, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{\Xi}_s^N, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}^N(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)) \\
& + \psi(X_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{Q}_s^N, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{\Xi}_s^N, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}^N(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)) - \psi(X_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{Q}_s^N, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{\Xi}_s^N, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}^N(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^N(\cdot)),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have set

$$R_s^{\varepsilon, N} := \psi(X_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{Q}_s^\varepsilon, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{\Xi}_s^\varepsilon, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)) - \psi(X_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{Q}_s^N, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{\Xi}_s^N, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}^N(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)). \quad (4.21)$$

Recalling Hypothesis **(H ψ)-c**, there exist $L_x, L_q, L_z, L_u > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
|R_s^{\varepsilon, N}| & \leq L_z |\bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s} - \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}^N|_{\Xi^*} + L_u \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |\bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}(w) - \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}^N(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& + L_x \left(1 + |\bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}|_{\Xi^*} + \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |\bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) |X_{\varepsilon s} - X_{\varepsilon s}^N|_H \\
& + L_q \left(1 + |\bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}|_{\Xi^*} + \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |\bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) |\hat{Q}_s^\varepsilon - \hat{Q}_s^N|_K.
\end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

At this point we introduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta^{1, \varepsilon}(s) & = \begin{cases} \frac{|\psi(X_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{Q}_s^\varepsilon, Z_{\varepsilon s}^\varepsilon, \hat{\Xi}_s^\varepsilon, U_{\varepsilon s}^\varepsilon(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)) - \psi(X_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{Q}_s^\varepsilon, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{\Xi}_s^\varepsilon, U_{\varepsilon s}^\varepsilon(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot))|}{|Z_{\varepsilon s}^\varepsilon - \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}|^2} (Z_{\varepsilon s}^\varepsilon - \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s})^*, & \text{if } |Z_{\varepsilon s}^\varepsilon - \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}| \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\
\delta^{2, \varepsilon, N}(s) & = \begin{cases} \frac{|\psi(X_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{Q}_s^N, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{\Xi}_s^N, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}^N(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)) - \psi(X_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{Q}_s^N, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{\Xi}_s^N, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}^N(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^N(\cdot))|}{|\hat{\Xi}_s^\varepsilon - \hat{\Xi}_s^N|^2} (\hat{\Xi}_s^\varepsilon - \hat{\Xi}_s^N)^*, & \text{if } |\hat{\Xi}_s^\varepsilon - \hat{\Xi}_s^N| \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

We notice that, by Hypothesis **(H ψ)-c**, we have the uniform bounds

$$|\delta^{1, \varepsilon}(s)| \leq L_z, \quad |\delta^{2, \varepsilon, N}(s)| \leq L_\zeta, \quad s \in [0, 1/\varepsilon]. \quad (4.23)$$

Moreover, by Hypothesis **(H ψ)-d**, Remark 4.2 insures the existence of two functions $\gamma_s^{1, \varepsilon}$ and $\gamma_s^{2, \varepsilon, N}$, depending also on $U^\varepsilon, \bar{U}, \hat{\Theta}^\varepsilon, \hat{\Theta}^N$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1(1 \wedge |w|_H) & \leq \gamma_s^{1, \varepsilon}(w) \leq C_2(1 \wedge |w|_{\Xi}), \quad C_1 \in (-1, 0], C_2 \geq 0, \\
\bar{C}_1(1 \wedge |w|_K) & \leq \gamma_s^{2, \varepsilon, N}(w) \leq \bar{C}_2(1 \wedge |w|_K), \quad \bar{C}_1 \in (-1, 0], \bar{C}_2 \geq 0,
\end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

and such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi(X_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{Q}_s^\varepsilon, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{\Xi}_s^\varepsilon, U_{\varepsilon s}^\varepsilon(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)) - \psi(X_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{Q}_s^\varepsilon, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}, \hat{\Xi}_s^\varepsilon, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)) \\
& = \int_{H \setminus 0} \gamma_s^{1, \varepsilon}(w) (U_{\varepsilon s}^\varepsilon(w) - \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}(w)) \nu_1(dw)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi(X_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{Q}_s^N, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{\Xi}_s^N, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}^N(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)) - \psi(X_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{Q}_s^N, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}^N, \hat{\Xi}_s^N, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}^N(\cdot), \hat{\Theta}_s^N(\cdot)) \\
& = \int_{K \setminus 0} \gamma_s^{2, \varepsilon, N}(w) (\hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(w) - \hat{\Theta}_s^N(w)) \nu_2(dw).
\end{aligned}$$

Identity (4.20) reads

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_0^\varepsilon - \bar{Y}_0 &= \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \left(\check{Y}_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^{N,k} - \check{Y}_{\frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}}^{N,k} \right) + \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} R_s^{\varepsilon,N} ds \\
&+ \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \delta_s^{1,\varepsilon} (Z_{\varepsilon s}^\varepsilon - \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}) ds + \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \delta_s^{2,\varepsilon,N} (\hat{\Xi}_s^\varepsilon - \check{\Xi}_s^N) ds \\
&+ \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \int_{H \setminus \{0\}} \gamma_s^{1,\varepsilon}(w) (U_{\varepsilon s}^\varepsilon(w) - \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}(w)) \nu_1(dw) ds \\
&+ \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \int_{K \setminus \{0\}} \gamma_s^{2,\varepsilon,N}(w) (\hat{\Theta}_s^\varepsilon(w) - \check{\Theta}_s^N(w)) \nu_2(dw) ds \\
&- \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \left(\lambda(X_{\varepsilon s}, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}(\cdot)) - \lambda(X_{\varepsilon s}^N, \bar{Z}_{\varepsilon s}^N, \bar{U}_{\varepsilon s}^N(\cdot)) \right) ds \\
&- \int_0^1 (Z_t^\varepsilon - \bar{Z}_t) dW_t^1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \left(\check{\Xi}_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^N - \hat{\Xi}_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^\varepsilon \right) dW_t^2 \\
&- \int_0^1 \int_{H \setminus \{0\}} (U_t^\varepsilon(w) - \bar{U}_t(w)) (N_1(dt dw) - \nu_1(dw) dt) \\
&- \varepsilon \int_0^1 \int_{K \setminus \{0\}} \left(\check{\Theta}_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^N(w) - \hat{\Theta}_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^\varepsilon(w) \right) \left(N_2^\varepsilon(dt dw) - \frac{\nu_2(dw)}{\varepsilon} dt \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and, rescaling the time, it gives

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_0^\varepsilon - \bar{Y}_0 &= \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \left(\check{Y}_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^{N,k} - \check{Y}_{\frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}}^{N,k} \right) + \int_0^1 R_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon,N} dt \\
&- \int_0^1 \left(\lambda(X_t, \bar{Z}_t, \bar{U}_t(\cdot)) - \lambda(X_t^N, \bar{Z}_t^N, \bar{U}_t^N(\cdot)) \right) dt \\
&+ \int_0^1 \delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon} (Z_t^\varepsilon - \bar{Z}_t) dt - \int_0^1 (Z_t^\varepsilon - \bar{Z}_t) dW_t^1 \\
&+ \int_0^1 \delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{2,\varepsilon,N} (\hat{\Xi}_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^\varepsilon - \check{\Xi}_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^N) dt - \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \left(\check{\Xi}_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^N - \hat{\Xi}_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^\varepsilon \right) dW_t^2 \\
&- \int_0^1 \int_{H \setminus \{0\}} (U_t^\varepsilon(w) - \bar{U}_t(w)) \left(N_1(dt dw) - (\gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}(w) + 1) \nu_1(dw) dt \right) \quad (4.25) \\
&- \varepsilon \int_0^1 \int_{K \setminus \{0\}} \left(\check{\Theta}_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^N(w) - \hat{\Theta}_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^\varepsilon(w) \right) \left(N_2^\varepsilon(dt dw) - (\gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{2,\varepsilon,N}(w) + 1) \frac{\nu_2(dw)}{\varepsilon} dt \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We set, for $s \in [0, 1]$,

$$M_s^1 := \int_{[0, s]} \delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon} dW_t^1 + \int_{]0, s]} \int_{H \setminus \{0\}} \gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}(w) (N_1(dt dw) - \nu_1(dw) dt), \quad (4.26)$$

$$M_s^2 := \int_{[0, s]} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{2,\varepsilon,N} dW_t^2 + \int_{]0, s]} \int_{K \setminus \{0\}} \gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{2,\varepsilon,N}(w) \left(N_2^\varepsilon(dt dw) - \frac{\nu_2(dw)}{\varepsilon} dt \right). \quad (4.27)$$

Notice that the jumps of M^1 and M^2 in (4.26)-(4.27) are completely described by the discontinuities of the processes X and Q (see system (4.1)), namely

$$\Delta M_s^1 = \gamma_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}(\Delta X_s), \quad \Delta M_s^2 := \gamma_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon}}^{2,\varepsilon,N}(\Delta Q_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon}}^\varepsilon), \quad s \in [0, 1],$$

where for any càdlàg process L we have set

$$\Delta L_s := L_s - L_{s-}.$$

We define (see (A.1))

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathbb{P}^{\varepsilon,N}}{d\mathbb{P}} &:= \mathcal{E}_1(M^1 + M^2) = e^{\int_0^1 \delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon} dW_t^1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |\delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}|^2 dt} e^{-\int_{]0,1]} \int_{H \setminus 0} \gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}(\ell) \nu_1(d\ell) dt} \\ &\cdot e^{\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{2,\varepsilon,N} dW_t^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |\delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{2,\varepsilon,N}|^2 dt} e^{-\int_{]0,1]} \int_{K \setminus 0} \gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{2,\varepsilon,N}(\ell) \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nu_2(d\ell) dt} \\ &\cdot \prod_{n \geq 1: T_n, S_n \leq 1} \left(\gamma_{\frac{T_n}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}(\Delta X_{T_n}) + \gamma_{\frac{S_n}{\varepsilon}}^{2,\varepsilon,N}(\Delta Q_{\frac{S_n}{\varepsilon}}^\varepsilon) + 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where T_n (resp. S_n) denote the jump times of $N_1(dt dw)$ (resp. $N_2(dt dw)$).

By the Girsanov Theorem A.3 (notice that by (4.24) $\gamma^{i,\varepsilon}$ is uniformly bounded and $\gamma^{i,\varepsilon}(\omega, t, \cdot) \in L^2(\nu_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, for all $(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]$), the compensator of $(N_1(dt dz), N_2^\varepsilon(dt dz))$ under \mathbb{P}^ε is

$$\left((\gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}(w) + 1) \nu_1(dw) dt, (\gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{2,\varepsilon,N}(w) + 1) \frac{\nu_2(dw)}{\varepsilon} dt \right),$$

and the process

$$(\tilde{W}^1, \tilde{W}^2) := \left(W^1 - \int_{]0, \cdot]} \delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon} dt, W^2 - \int_{]0, \cdot]} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{2,\varepsilon,N} dt \right)$$

is such that \tilde{W}^1 and \tilde{W}^2 are cylindrical Wiener processes under $\mathbb{P}^{\varepsilon,N}$. Taking the expectation $\mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon,N}$ under the new probability $\mathbb{P}^{\varepsilon,N}$ in (4.25), we get

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0^\varepsilon - \bar{Y}_0 &= \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon,N} \left[\check{Y}_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^{N,k} - \check{Y}_{\frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}}^{N,k} \right] + \mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon,N} \left[\int_0^1 R_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon,N} dt \right] \\ &\quad - \mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon,N} \left[\int_0^1 \left(\lambda(X_t, \bar{Z}_t, \bar{U}_t(\cdot)) - \lambda(X_t^N, \tilde{Z}_t^N, \tilde{U}_t^N(\cdot)) \right) dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling estimate (4.22), and the fact that, by Theorem 4.2, there exist some positive constants L'_x, L'_z, L'_u such that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\lambda(X_t, \bar{Z}_t, \bar{U}_t(\cdot)) - \lambda(X_t^N, \tilde{Z}_t^N, \tilde{U}_t^N(\cdot))| \\ &\leq L'_x \left(1 + |\bar{Z}_t|_{\Xi^*} + \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |\bar{U}_t(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) |X_t - X_t^N|_H \\ &\quad + L'_z |\bar{Z}_t - \tilde{Z}_t^N|_{\Xi^*} + L'_u \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |\bar{U}_t(w) - \tilde{U}_t^N(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

previous identity yields

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_0^\varepsilon - \bar{Y}_0 &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\tilde{Y}_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^{N, k} - \tilde{Y}_{\frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}}^{N, k} \right] + (L_z + L'_z) \mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\int_0^1 |\bar{Z}_t - \tilde{Z}_t^N|_{\Xi^*} dt \right] \\
&+ (L_u + L'_u) \mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\int_0^1 \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |\bar{U}_t(w) - \tilde{U}_t^N(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \right] \\
&+ (L_x + L'_x) \mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\int_0^1 \left(1 + |\bar{Z}_t|_{\Xi^*} + \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |\bar{U}_t(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) |X_t - X_t^N|_H dt \right] \\
&+ L_q \mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\int_0^1 \left(1 + |\bar{Z}_t|_{\Xi^*} + \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |\bar{U}_t(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) |\hat{Q}_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^\varepsilon - \hat{Q}_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^N|_K dt \right] \\
&=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

We notice that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} dX_t = AX_t dt - R \delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1, \varepsilon} dt + R d\tilde{W}_t^1 + R \int_{H \setminus 0} w [N_1(dt dw) - \nu_1(dw) dt], \\ X_0 = x_0, \\ -d\bar{Y}_t = \lambda(X_t, \bar{Z}_t, \bar{U}_t(\cdot)) dt - \bar{Z}_t [d\tilde{W}_t^1 - \delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1, \varepsilon} dt] - \int_{H \setminus 0} \bar{U}_t(w) [N_1(dt dw) - \nu_1(dw) dt], \\ \bar{Y}_1 = h(X_1). \end{array} \right. \tag{4.29}$$

We apply the Girsanov Theorem A.1 with $\nu(\omega, dt d\ell) = (\gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1, \varepsilon}(\omega, \ell) + 1) \nu_1(d\ell) dt$, $\beta(\omega, t) = \delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1, \varepsilon}(\omega)$ and $\Gamma(\omega, t, \ell) = (\gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1, \varepsilon}(\omega, \ell) + 1)^{-1}$. It follows that, if $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^\varepsilon := \rho \mathbb{P}^{\varepsilon, N}$, with

$$\rho = e^{\int_0^1 \delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1, \varepsilon} d\tilde{W}_t^1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |\delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1, \varepsilon}|^2 dt} e^{\int_{[0, 1]} \int_{H \setminus 0} \gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1, \varepsilon}(w) \nu_1(dw) dt} \prod_{n \geq 1: T_n \leq 1} (\gamma_{\frac{T_n}{\varepsilon}}^{1, \varepsilon}(\Delta X_{T_n}) + 1)^{-1}, \tag{4.30}$$

then

$$\tilde{W}_s^1 - \int_{[0, s]} \delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1, \varepsilon} dt, \quad s \in [0, 1],$$

is a cylindrical Wiener process, and the compensator of $N_1(\omega, dt d\ell)$ is $\nu_1(d\ell) dt$, while \tilde{W}_2 remains a Wiener process and the compensator of $N_2^\varepsilon(\omega, dt d\ell)$ is $(\gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{2, \varepsilon, N}(\omega, \ell) + 1) \frac{\nu_2(d\ell)}{\varepsilon} dt$.

In particular, by the uniqueness of the solution of the forward backward system (4.29) (see Theorem 4.3), the law of the process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^\varepsilon$ coincides with its law under \mathbb{P} . Moreover, also the laws of $(\bar{Z}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(\tilde{Z}_t^N)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(\bar{U}_t(\cdot))_{t \geq 0}$ and $(\tilde{U}_t^N(\cdot))_{t \geq 0}$ under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^\varepsilon$ coincide with the corresponding laws under \mathbb{P} . As a matter of fact, again by Theorem 4.3, $\bar{Z}_t = \bar{\zeta}(X_t)$ (resp. $\bar{U}_t(\cdot) = \bar{\vartheta}(X_t)(\cdot)$) where $\bar{\zeta}$ (resp. $\bar{\vartheta}$) is a deterministic Borel-measurable function from H to Ξ^* (resp. to $L^2(\nu_1)$), so the laws of $(\bar{Z}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(\tilde{Z}_t^N)_{t \geq 0}$ (resp. of $(\bar{U}_t(\cdot))_{t \geq 0}$ and $(\tilde{U}_t^N(\cdot))_{t \geq 0}$) depend only on the law of X in a non-anticipating way. From these considerations it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\rho \int_0^1 |\bar{Z}_t|_{\Xi^*}^2 dt \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^1 |\bar{Z}_t|_{\Xi^*}^2 dt \right] < +\infty, \tag{4.31}$$

$$\mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\rho \int_0^1 \int_{H \setminus 0} |\bar{U}_t(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) dt \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^1 \int_{H \setminus 0} |\bar{U}_t(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) dt \right] < +\infty. \tag{4.32}$$

Now we notice that, by (4.23) and (4.24), there exist constants η , C and M such that

$$|\gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}(w)| \leq C_1 \vee C_2, \quad 0 < \eta \leq (\gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}(w) + 1)^{-1} \leq C, \quad |\delta_{t/\varepsilon}^{1,\varepsilon}| \leq M. \quad (4.33)$$

In particular, for every $p \geq 1$,

$$(\gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}(w) + 1)^p \leq \frac{1}{\eta^p}.$$

Recalling (4.30), for every $p \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{-p} &= e^{-\int_0^1 p \delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon} d\tilde{W}_t + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 p |\delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}|^2 dt} e^{-\int_{]0,1]} \int_{H \setminus 0} p \gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}(w) \nu_1(dw) dt} \prod_{n \geq 1: T_n \leq 1} (\gamma_{\frac{T_n}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}(\Delta X_{T_n}) + 1)^p \\ &= e^{-\int_0^1 p \delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon} d\tilde{W}_t + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 p |\delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}|^2 dt} e^{-\int_{]0,1]} \int_{H \setminus 0} p \gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}(w) \nu_1(dw) dt} \\ &\quad e^{\pm \int_{]0,1]} \int_{H \setminus 0} [1 - (\gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}(w) + 1)^p] (\gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}(w) + 1) \nu_1(dw) dt} e^{\pm \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 p^2 |\delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}|^2 dt} \prod_{n \geq 1: T_n \leq 1} (\gamma_{\frac{T_n}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}(\Delta X_{T_n}) + 1)^p \\ &= e^{\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (p+p^2) |\delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}|^2 dt} e^{\int_{]0,1]} \int_{H \setminus 0} (-1 - \gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}(w)(p+1) + (\gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}(w) + 1)^{p+1}) \nu_1(dw) dt} \tilde{\rho}_p(1), \end{aligned}$$

with $\tilde{\rho}_p$ the exponential martingale defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}_p(r) &:= e^{-\int_0^r p \delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon} d\tilde{W}_t - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^r p^2 |\delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}|^2 dt} e^{\int_{]0,r]} \int_{H \setminus 0} [1 - (\gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}(w) + 1)^p] (\gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}(w) + 1) \nu_1(dw) dt} \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{n \geq r: T_n \leq 1} (\gamma_{\frac{T_n}{\varepsilon}}^{1,\varepsilon}(\Delta X_{T_n}) + 1)^p. \quad (4.34) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for every $p \geq 1$

$$\rho^{-p} \leq \kappa_p \tilde{\rho}_p(1), \quad (4.35)$$

where we have set

$$\kappa_p := e^{\frac{1}{2}(p-p^2)M^2} e^{p(1-\frac{1}{C}) - \frac{1}{C} + \frac{1}{\eta^{p+1}}} \nu_1(H).$$

Since $\mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon,N}[\tilde{\rho}_p(1)] = 1$, we get that, for every $p \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon,N}[\rho^{-p}] \leq \kappa_p. \quad (4.36)$$

Let us now go back to (4.28). We have to estimate the terms I_i , $i = 1, \dots, 7$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{X,N} &:= \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |X_t - X_t^N|_H, \quad \Delta_{Z,N} := \int_0^1 |\bar{Z}_t - \tilde{Z}_t^N|_{\Xi}^2 dt, \\ \Delta_{U,N} &:= \int_0^1 \int_{H \setminus 0} |\bar{U}_t(w) - \tilde{U}_t^N(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where X^N , \tilde{Z}^N and \tilde{U}^N are the processes defined in (4.8)-(4.9)-(4.10).

Below C will denote a constant independent of N and ε , that may vary from line to line. Let us start by considering I_4 . Applying the Hölder inequality and using (4.31)-(4.32) and

(4.36), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 &= (L_x + L'_x) \mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\int_0^1 \left(1 + |\bar{Z}_t|_{\Xi^*} + \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |\bar{U}_t(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) |X_t - X_t^N|_H dt \right] \\
&\leq (L_x + L'_x) \mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\Delta_{X, N} \int_0^1 \left(1 + |\bar{Z}_t|_{\Xi^*} + \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |\bar{U}_t(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) dt \right] \\
&= (L_x + L'_x) \mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\rho^{-3/4} (\rho^{1/4} \Delta_{X, N}) \rho^{1/2} \int_0^1 \left(1 + |\bar{Z}_t|_{\Xi^*} + \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |\bar{U}_t(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) dt \right] \\
&\leq (L_x + L'_x) (\mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} [\rho^{-3}])^{1/4} (\mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} [\rho \Delta_{X, N}^4])^{1/4} \\
&\quad \cdot \left(2 \mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\rho \int_0^1 \left(1 + |\bar{Z}_t|_{\Xi^*}^2 + \int_{H \setminus 0} |\bar{U}_t(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) \right) dt \right] \right)^{1/4} \\
&\leq C (\mathbb{E} [\Delta_{X, N}^4])^{1/4}. \tag{4.37}
\end{aligned}$$

Concerning I_2 , applying the Hölder inequality, (4.31) for $\bar{Z}_t - \tilde{Z}_t^N$ in place of \bar{Z}_t , and (4.36), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= (L_z + L'_z) \mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\int_0^1 |\bar{Z}_t - \tilde{Z}_t^N|_{\Xi^*} dt \right] \\
&= (L_z + L'_z) \mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\rho^{-1/2} \rho^{1/2} \int_0^1 |\bar{Z}_t - \tilde{Z}_t^N|_{\Xi^*} dt \right] \\
&\leq (L_z + L'_z) (\mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} [\rho^{-1}])^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\rho \int_0^1 |\bar{Z}_t - \tilde{Z}_t^N|_{\Xi^*}^2 dt \right] \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C (\mathbb{E} [\Delta_{Z, N}])^{1/2}. \tag{4.38}
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously, for I_3 (using (4.32) for $\bar{U}_t - \tilde{U}_t^N$ in place of \bar{U}_t) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &= (L_u + L'_u) \mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\int_0^1 \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |\bar{U}_t(w) - \tilde{U}_t^N(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \right] \\
&\leq (L_u + L'_u) (\mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} [\rho^{-1}])^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\rho \int_0^1 \int_{H \setminus 0} |\bar{U}_t(w) - \tilde{U}_t^N(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) dt \right] \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C (\mathbb{E} [\Delta_{U, N}])^{1/2}. \tag{4.39}
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\tilde{Y}_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^{N, k} - \tilde{Y}_{\frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}}^{N, k} \right] \tag{4.40} \\
&\leq \varepsilon c \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\left(1 + |\tilde{Z}_{t_k}^N| + \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |\tilde{U}_{t_k}^N(\cdot)|^2 \nu_1(d\ell) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left(1 + |\hat{Q}_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^N|_K + |\hat{Q}_{\frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}}^N|_K \right) \right], \\
&\leq \varepsilon c \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \left(\mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\rho \left(1 + |\tilde{Z}_{t_k}^N|^2 + \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |\tilde{U}_{t_k}^N(\cdot)|^2 \nu_1(d\ell) \right) \right) \right] \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\rho^{-1} \left(1 + |\hat{Q}_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^N|_K + |\hat{Q}_{\frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}}^N|_K \right)^2 \right] \right)^{1/2}, \\
&\leq \varepsilon c \kappa_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \left(\mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\rho \left(1 + |\tilde{Z}_{t_k}^N|^2 + \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |\tilde{U}_{t_k}^N(\cdot)|^2 \nu_1(d\ell) \right) \right) \right] \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\tilde{\rho}_1(1) \left(1 + |\hat{Q}_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^N|_K + |\hat{Q}_{\frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}}^N|_K \right)^2 \right] \right)^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\rho}_1(1)$ is defined in (4.34) and κ_p is the constant defined in (4.35) with $p = 1$, and $c > 0$ is the constant (independent of k and N) appearing in (4.15).

We define a new probability $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^{\varepsilon, N}$ by $d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^{\varepsilon, N} = \tilde{\rho}_1(1) d\mathbb{P}^{\varepsilon, N}$. Then last term in the previous inequality can be written as:

$$\mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\tilde{\rho}_1(1) \left(1 + |\hat{Q}_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^N|_K + |\hat{Q}_{\frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}}^N|_K \right)^2 \right] = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\left(1 + |\hat{Q}_{\frac{t_k}{\varepsilon}}^N|_K + |\hat{Q}_{\frac{t_{k+1}}{\varepsilon}}^N|_K \right)^2 \right]$$

Under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^{\varepsilon, N}$,

$$\tilde{W}_s^1 = \tilde{W}_s^1 + \int_0^s \delta_r^{1, \varepsilon} dr, \quad s \in [0, 1],$$

is a cylindrical Wiener process, and the compensator of $N_1(\omega, dt d\ell)$ is $(\gamma_t^{1, \varepsilon, N}(\omega, \ell) + 1)^2 \nu_1(d\ell) dt$ on $[0, 1]$, while \tilde{W}_2 remains a Wiener process and $N_2^\varepsilon(\omega, dt d\ell)$ has compensator $(\gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{2, \varepsilon, N}(\omega, \ell) + 1) \frac{\nu_2(d\ell)}{\varepsilon} dt$.

Therefore the forward equation (4.29) reads:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = AX_t dt - 2R \delta_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1, \varepsilon} dt + \int_{H \setminus 0} w(2\gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1, \varepsilon}(w) + \gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1, \varepsilon}(w)^2) \nu_1(dw) dt + Rd\tilde{W}_t^1 + \\ \int_{H \setminus 0} w[N_1(dt dw) - (\gamma_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^{1, \varepsilon}(w) + 1)^2 \nu_1(dw) dt], \\ X_0 = x_0, \end{cases}$$

moreover we have that, by (4.16) and (4.13), $(\hat{Q}_t^N)_{t \in [0, 1/\varepsilon]}$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} d\hat{Q}_t^N = [B\hat{Q}_t^N + F(X_{\varepsilon t}, \hat{Q}_t^N)] dt - G \delta_t^{2, \varepsilon, N} dt - \int_{K \setminus 0} w \gamma_t^{2, \varepsilon}(w) \nu_2(dw) dt + G d\hat{W}_t^2 \\ + \int_{K \setminus 0} w[N_2(dt dw) - (1 + \gamma_t^{2, \varepsilon}(w)) \nu_2(dw) dt] \\ \hat{Q}_0^N = q_0, \end{cases}$$

with $\hat{W}_t^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} d\tilde{W}_{\varepsilon t}^2$. On the other hand, according to (4.1), $(\hat{Q}_t^\varepsilon = Q_{\varepsilon t}^\varepsilon)_{t \in [0, 1/\varepsilon]}$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} d\hat{Q}_t^\varepsilon &= [B\hat{Q}_t^\varepsilon + F(X_{\varepsilon t}, \hat{Q}_t^\varepsilon)]dt - G\delta_t^{2, \varepsilon, N} dt - \int_{K \setminus 0} w \gamma_t^{2, \varepsilon}(w) \nu_2(dw) dt + Gd\hat{W}_t^2 \\ &+ \int_{K \setminus 0} w [N_2(dt dw) - (1 + \gamma_t^{2, \varepsilon}(w)) \nu_2(dw) dt] \\ \hat{Q}_0^\varepsilon &= q_0. \end{cases}$$

Then by Lemma 3.2 with $g_t = -G\delta_t^{2, \varepsilon, N} - \int_{K \setminus 0} w \gamma_t^{2, \varepsilon}(w) \nu_2(dw)$, and recalling that (4.23), there exists $k_2 < \infty$, independent of ε and N such that,

$$\sup_{t \in [0, 1/\varepsilon]} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}^{\varepsilon, N} [|\hat{Q}_t^N|_K^2] \leq k_2 \left(1 + |q_0|_K^2 + \sup_{t \in [0, 1/\varepsilon]} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}^{\varepsilon, N} [|\hat{X}_{\varepsilon t}|_H^2] + |G|_{L(\Xi, K)}^2 \right). \quad (4.41)$$

Recalling estimate (3.3),

$$\sup_{t \in [0, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}]} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}^{\varepsilon, N} [|\hat{X}_{\varepsilon t}|_H^2] \leq c(1 + |x_0|^2).$$

where the constant c , in view of (4.33), can be chosen independently of ε , N , estimate (4.41) reads

$$\sup_{t \in [0, 1/\varepsilon]} \mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} [|\hat{Q}_t^N|_K^2] \leq c. \quad (4.42)$$

Then, plugging (4.42) in (4.40) we get

$$I_1 \leq 3\varepsilon c \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \left(\mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\left(1 + |\tilde{Z}_{t_k}^N|^2 + \int_{H \setminus 0} |\tilde{U}_{t_k}^N(\cdot)|^2 \nu_1(d\ell) \right) \right] \right)^{1/2}. \quad (4.43)$$

Proceeding as above, recalling (4.31)-(4.32) and (4.9)-(4.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\rho \left(1 + |\tilde{Z}_{t_k}^N|^2 + \int_{H \setminus 0} |\tilde{U}_{t_k}^N(\cdot)|^2 \nu_1(d\ell) \right) \right] \\ & \leq c \left(\mathbb{E} \left[1 + 2^N \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} |\bar{Z}_t|^2 dt + 2^N \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \int_{H \setminus 0} |\bar{U}_t^N(\cdot)|^2 \nu_1(d\ell) \right] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.44)$$

with c doesn't depend neither on ε nor on N . Plugging in turn (4.44) in (4.43) we achieve

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 & \leq \varepsilon C \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[1 + 2^N \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} |\bar{Z}_t|^2 dt + 2^N \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \int_{H \setminus 0} |\bar{U}_t^N(\cdot)|^2 \nu_1(d\ell) \right] \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \varepsilon C \sum_{k=0}^{2^N-1} \left(1 + 2^{\frac{N}{2}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} |\bar{Z}_t|^2 dt \right] \right)^{1/2} + 2^{\frac{N}{2}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \int_{H \setminus 0} |\bar{U}_t^N(\cdot)|^2 \nu_1(d\ell) \right] \right)^{1/2} \right) \\ & \leq \varepsilon C \left(2^N + 2^{\frac{3}{2}N} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^1 |\bar{Z}_t|^2 dt \right] \right)^{1/2} + 2^{\frac{3}{2}N} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^1 \int_{H \setminus 0} |\bar{U}_t^N(\cdot)|^2 \nu_1(d\ell) \right] \right)^{1/2} \right) \\ & = C\varepsilon (2^N + 2^{\frac{3}{2}N}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.45)$$

Concerning now I_5 , we notice that \mathbb{P} -a.s., for all $s > 0$,

$$|\hat{Q}_s^\varepsilon - \hat{Q}_s^N| \leq K \int_0^s e^{-\mu(s-t)} |X_{\varepsilon t} - X_{\varepsilon t}^N| dt, \quad (4.46)$$

where μ is the dissipative constant in $(\mathbf{HF} + \mathbf{B})$, and K does not depend of ε and N . Thus we deduce, thanks to (4.46) and proceeding as for I_4 ,

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 &\leq L_q K \mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N} \left[\int_0^1 \left(1 + |\bar{Z}_t|_{\Xi^*}^2 + \int_{H \setminus 0} |\bar{U}_t(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) \right) \left(\int_0^{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}} e^{-\mu(s-r)} |X_{\varepsilon r} - X_{\varepsilon r}^N| dr \right) dt \right] \\ &\leq C(\mathbb{E}^{\varepsilon, N}[\Delta_{X, N}^4])^{1/4}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.47)$$

Collecting (4.37)-(4.38)-(4.39)-(4.47)-(4.45), we get from (4.28) that

$$Y_0^\varepsilon - \bar{Y}_0 \leq C(\varepsilon(2^N + 2^{\frac{3}{2}N}) + (\mathbb{E}[\Delta_{Z, N}])^{1/2} + (\mathbb{E}[\Delta_{U, N}])^{1/2} + (\mathbb{E}[\Delta_{X, N}^4])^{1/4}). \quad (4.48)$$

Finally we notice that having X càdlàg paths and since by (3.3) $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |X_t|^4] < \infty$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\Delta_{X, N}^4] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, by (4.11)-(4.12),

$$\mathbb{E}[\Delta_{Z, N}] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[\Delta_{U, N}] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, letting first $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and then $N \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.48) we get the result. \square

5. THE TWO SCALE CONTROL PROBLEM

One of the purposes of the article is to give a representation of the limit of the value functions of a sequence of optimal control problems for a singularly perturbed infinite dimensional state equation.

5.1. Formulation of the problem. We denote by \mathcal{A} the set of predictable processes $(\alpha_t)_{t \in [0, 1]}$ taking values in a complete metric space U . Given a solution (X, Q^ε) of (3.1) and a control $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, we associate to them a probability measure $\mathbb{P}^{\varepsilon, \alpha}$ on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) that we are going to describe. Let $b : H \times K \times U \rightarrow H$, $\rho : U \rightarrow K$, $r : H \times K \times U \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma : U \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be measurable functions satisfying the following assumptions.

(Hb ρ) There exist positive constants L_b and M' such that, for every $x, x' \in H$, $q, q' \in K$, $a \in U$,

$$\begin{aligned} |b(x, q, a) - b(x', q', a)|_H &\leq L_b(|x - x'|_H + |q - q'|_K), \\ |b(x, q, a)|_H + |\rho(a)|_K &\leq M'. \end{aligned}$$

(Hr γ) There exist constants $C_r > 1$, $L_r > 0$ and $C_\gamma > 1$ such that, for every $x \in H$, $q \in K$, $a \in U$, $w \in \Xi$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \eta &\leq r(x, q, a, w) \leq C_r, \\ |r(x, q, a, w) - r(x', q', a, w)| &\leq L_r(|x - x'|_H + |q - q'|_K), \\ 0 < \eta' &\leq \gamma(a, w) \leq C_\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

We also need to add the following additional assumption on the operator R .

(H $^?$) R admits a bounded right inverse $R^{-1} \in L(H, \Xi)$.

Consider the processes

$$\begin{aligned} M^1 &:= \int_{[0, \cdot]} R^{-1} b(X_t, Q_t^\varepsilon, \alpha_t) dW_t^1 + \int_{[0, \cdot]} \int_{H \setminus 0} [r(X_t, Q_t^\varepsilon, \alpha_t, w) - 1] (N_1(dt dw) - \nu_1(dw) dt), \\ M^2 &:= \int_{[0, \cdot]} \frac{\rho(\alpha_t)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} dW_t^2 + \int_{[0, \cdot]} \int_{K \setminus 0} [\gamma(\alpha_t, w) - 1] \left(N_2^\varepsilon(dt dw) - \frac{\nu_2(dw)}{\varepsilon} dt \right), \end{aligned}$$

and set

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}^{\varepsilon, \alpha}}{d\mathbb{P}} := \mathcal{E}_1(M^1 + M^2).$$

By the Girsanov Theorem A.3, the compensator of $(N_1(dt dz), N_2^\varepsilon(dt dz))$ under $\mathbb{P}^{\varepsilon, \alpha}$ is $(r(X_t, Q_t^\varepsilon, \alpha_t, w)\nu_1(dw)dt, \frac{\gamma(\alpha_t, w)}{\varepsilon}\nu_2(dw)dt)$, and the process

$$(\tilde{W}^1, \tilde{W}^2) := \left(W^1 - \int_{[0, \cdot]} R^{-1}b(X_t, Q_t^\varepsilon, \alpha_t)dt, W^2 - \int_{[0, \cdot]} \frac{\rho(\alpha_t)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}dt \right)$$

is such that \tilde{W}^1 and \tilde{W}^2 are independent cylindrical Wiener processes under $\mathbb{P}^{\varepsilon, \alpha}$. We can therefore conclude that the solution (X, Q^ε) of (3.1) satisfies as well the system of controlled SDEs:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = AX_t dt + b(X_t, Q_t^\varepsilon, \alpha_t)dt + Rd\tilde{W}_t^1 + \int_{H \setminus 0} w[N_1(dt dw) - r(X_t, Q_t^\varepsilon, \alpha_t, w)\nu_1(dw)dt], \\ X_0 = x_0 \in H, \\ \varepsilon dQ_t^\varepsilon = [BQ_t^\varepsilon + F(X_t, Q_t^\varepsilon)]dt + G\rho(\alpha_t)dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon}Gd\tilde{W}_t^2 \\ \quad + \int_{K \setminus 0} w[\varepsilon N_2^\varepsilon(dt dw) - \gamma(\alpha_t, w)\nu_2(dw)dt], \\ Q_0^\varepsilon = q_0 \in K. \end{cases}$$

5.2. Solution of the problem: the BSDE approach. Let us now consider a measurable function $l : H \times K \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following assumption.

(Hl) There exist positive constants L_l and M_l such that, for every $x, x' \in H$, $q, q' \in K$, $a \in U$,

$$\begin{aligned} |l(x, q, a) - l(x', q', a)| &\leq L_l(|x - x'|_H + |q - q'|_K), \\ |l(x, q, a)| &\leq M_l. \end{aligned}$$

We define, for $x \in H$, $q \in K$, $z, \zeta \in \Xi^*$, $u \in L^2(\nu_1)$, $\vartheta \in L^2(\nu_2)$, the function

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, q, z, \zeta, u, \vartheta) &:= \inf_{a \in U} \left\{ l(x, q, a) + z[R^{-1}b(x, q, a)] + \zeta\rho(a) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{H \setminus 0} u(w)(r(x, q, a, w) - 1)\nu_1(dw) + \int_{K \setminus 0} \vartheta(w)(\gamma(a, w) - 1)\nu_2(dw) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Proposition 5.1. *Let assumptions (Hb ρ), (Hr γ), (H') and (Hl) hold true. Then the function ψ in (5.1) verifies hypothesis (H ψ).*

Proof. By standard estimates

$$\begin{aligned} &|\psi(x, q, z, \zeta, u, \vartheta) - \psi(x', q', z', \zeta', u', \vartheta')| \\ &\leq L_u \left(\int_{\Xi \setminus 0} |u_s(w) - u'_s(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + L_\vartheta \left(\int_{\Xi \setminus 0} |\vartheta_s(w) - \vartheta'_s(w)|^2 \nu_2(dw) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + L_x \left(1 + |z|_{\Xi^*} + \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |u_s(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) |x - x'|_H \\ &\quad + L_q \left(1 + |z|_{\Xi^*} + \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |u_s(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) |q - q'|_K, \end{aligned}$$

for some constants $L_x, L_q, L_z, L_\zeta, L_u, L_\vartheta > 0$ defined opportunely.

Finally, we have to verify that for every $x \in H$, $q \in K$, $z, \zeta \in \Xi^*$, $u, u' \in L^2(\nu_1)$, $\vartheta, \vartheta' \in L^2(\nu_2)$, there exist measurable functions $\gamma_1 : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma_2 : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (depending on $x, q, z, \zeta, u, \vartheta, \vartheta'$) such that

$$\psi(x, q, z, \zeta, u, \vartheta) - \psi(x, q, z, \zeta, u', \vartheta) \leq \int_{H \setminus 0} (u(w) - u'(w)) \gamma_1(w) \nu_1(dw) \quad (5.2)$$

$$\psi(x, q, z, \zeta, u, \vartheta) - \psi(x, q, z, \zeta, u, \vartheta') \leq \int_{K \setminus 0} (\vartheta(w) - \vartheta'(w)) \gamma_2(w) \nu_2(dw), \quad (5.3)$$

and satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(1 \wedge |w|_H) \leq \gamma_1(w) \leq C_2(1 \wedge |w|_H), \quad C_1 \in (-1, 0], C_2 \geq 0, \\ \bar{C}_1(1 \wedge |w|_K) \leq \gamma_2(w) \leq \bar{C}_2(1 \wedge |w|_K), \quad \bar{C}_1 \in (-1, 0], \bar{C}_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{H \setminus 0} u(w)(r(x, q, a, w) - 1) \nu_1(dw) \\ &= \int_{H \setminus 0} u'(w)(r(x, q, a, w) - 1) \nu_1(dw) + \int_{H \setminus 0} (u(w) - u'(w))(r(x, q, a, w) - 1) \nu_1(dw) \\ &\leq \int_{H \setminus 0} u'(w)(r(x, q, a, w) - 1) \nu_1(dw) + \int_{H \setminus 0} (u(w) - u'(w)) \gamma_1(w) \nu_1(dw) \end{aligned}$$

with $\gamma_1(w) := \sup_{a \in U} (r(x, q, a, w) - 1) I_{[0, +\infty[}(u(w) - u'(w)) + \inf_{a \in U} (r(x, q, a, w) - 1) I_{[0, +\infty[}(u'(w) - u(w))$. Notice that, by assumption **(Hr γ)**,

$$-1 < \eta - 1 \leq \gamma_1(w) \leq C_r - 1, \quad C_r > 1.$$

Adding and subtracting in both sides $l(x, q, a) + z[R^{-1}b(x, q, a)] + \zeta \rho(a) + \int_{H \setminus 0} u(w)(r(x, q, a, w) - 1) \nu_1(dw)$ and taking the infimum over $a \in U$, we get (5.2).

Analogously, one observes that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{K \setminus 0} \vartheta(w)(\gamma(a, w) - 1) \nu_2(dw) \leq \int_{K \setminus 0} \vartheta'(w)(\gamma(a, w) - 1) \nu_2(dw) \\ &+ \int_{K \setminus 0} (\vartheta(w) - \vartheta'(w)) \gamma_2(w) \nu_2(dw) \end{aligned}$$

with $\gamma_2(w) := \sup_{a \in U} (\gamma(a, w) - 1) I_{[0, +\infty[}(\vartheta(w) - \vartheta'(w)) + \inf_{a \in U} (\gamma(a, w) - 1) I_{[0, +\infty[}(\vartheta'(w) - \vartheta(w))$. In particular, by assumption **(Hr γ)**,

$$-1 < \eta' - 1 \leq \gamma_2(w) \leq C_\gamma - 1, \quad C_\gamma > 1.$$

Proceeding as before (5.3) follows. \square

Let $h : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function satisfying **(Hh)**. We consider the following BSDE: \mathbb{P} -a.s., for $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^\varepsilon &= h(X_1) + \int_t^1 \psi \left(X_s, Q_s^\varepsilon, Z_s^\varepsilon, \frac{\Xi_s^\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, U_s^\varepsilon(\cdot), \frac{\Theta_s^\varepsilon(\cdot)}{\varepsilon} \right) ds - \int_t^1 Z_s^\varepsilon dW_s^1 - \int_t^1 \Xi_s^\varepsilon dW_s^2 \quad (5.4) \\ &- \int_t^1 \int_{H \setminus 0} U_s^\varepsilon(w) (N_1(ds dw) - \nu_1(dw) ds) - \int_t^1 \int_{K \setminus 0} \Theta_s^\varepsilon(w) \left(N_2^\varepsilon(ds dw) - \frac{\nu_2(dw)}{\varepsilon} ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let assumptions **(HAB)**, **(HRG)**, **(HF)**, **(HF+B)**, **(H β^B)**, **(Hb ρ)**, **(Hr γ)**, **(H')**, **(Hl)** and **(Hh)** hold true. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a unique solution $(X, Q^\varepsilon, Y^\varepsilon, Z^\varepsilon, \Xi^\varepsilon, U^\varepsilon(\cdot))$ to the forward-backward system (5.4), and*

$$Y_0^\varepsilon = V^\varepsilon(x_0, q_0), \quad x_0 \in H, q_0 \in K,$$

where V^ε is the value function in (1.1).

Proof. The well-posedness of the forward-backward system (5.4) directly follows from Theorem 4.1 together with Lemma 5.1. Then the control interpretation of Y_0^ε follows as in [6], that extends to the infinite dimensional framework the classical representation in finite dimension, see e.g. [10]. \square

The following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.4, together with Lemma 5.2.

Theorem 5.3. *Let assumptions **(HAB)**, **(HRG)**, **(HF)**, **(HF+B)**, **(H β^B)**, **(Hb ρ)**, **(Hr γ)**, **(H')**, **(Hl)** and **(Hh)** hold true, and let $(X, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{U}(\cdot))$ be the unique solution to the forward-backward system (4.6). Then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} V^\varepsilon(x_0, q_0) = \bar{Y}_0, \quad x_0 \in H, q_0 \in K,$$

where V^ε , $\varepsilon > 0$, is the value function in (1.1).

6. CONTROL INTERPRETATION OF THE LIMIT FORWARD-BACKWARD SYSTEM

Let $(X, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{U}(\cdot))$ be the unique solution to the forward-backward system (4.6). We aim now at interpreting \bar{Y}_0 as the value function of a corresponding reduced control problem. To this end, we start by noticing that, for every $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\bar{Y}_t = h(X_t) + \int_t^1 \lambda(X_s, \bar{Z}_s, \bar{U}_s(\cdot)) ds - \int_t^1 \bar{Z}_s dW_s^1 - \int_t^1 \int_{H \setminus 0} \bar{U}_s(w) [N_1(ds dw) - \nu_1(dw) ds], \quad (6.1)$$

where $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the mild solution of

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = AX_t dt + RdW_t^1 + \int_{H \setminus 0} w [N_1(dt dw) - \nu_1(dw) dt], \\ X_0 = x_0, \end{cases}$$

and (see Theorem 4.2) $\lambda : H \times \Xi^* \times L^2(\nu_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is uniquely determined and satisfies, for fixed $x \in H$, $z, z' \in \Xi^*$, $u, u' \in L^2(\nu_1)$,

$$|\lambda(x, z, u) - \lambda(x, z', u')| \leq L_z |z - z'|_{\Xi^*} + L_u \left(\int_{H \setminus 0} |u(w) - u'(w)|^2 \nu_1(dw) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (6.2)$$

$$|\lambda(x, 0, 0)| \leq c(1 + |x|_H), \quad (6.3)$$

for some positive constants L_z , L_u and c . Moreover, $\lambda(\cdot, z, u)$ is concave with respect to z and u , so by the Fenchel-Moreau theorem one can write $\lambda = \lambda_{**}$, where, for all $x \in H$,

$$\lambda_*(x, p, v) = \inf_{z \in \Xi^*, u \in L^2(\nu_1)} (-zp - \langle u, 1 - v \rangle_{L^2(\nu_1)} - \lambda(x, z, u)), \quad p \in \Xi, v \in L^2(\nu_1). \quad (6.4)$$

It follows that, for all $x \in H$, $z \in \Xi$ and $u \in L^2(\nu_1)$,

$$\lambda(x, z, u) = \inf_{p \in \Xi, v \in L^2(\nu_1)} (-zp - \langle u, 1 - v \rangle_{L^2(\nu_1)} - \lambda_*(x, p, v)).$$

Moreover by the Lipschitzianity of λ we can restrict the infimum to a bounded set, namely the following holds.

Proposition 6.1. *We have*

$$\lambda(x, z, u) = \inf_{\substack{p \in \Xi, v \in L^2(\nu_1): \\ |p| \leq L_z, |1-v|_{L^2(\nu_1)} \leq L_u}} (-zp - \langle u, 1-v \rangle_{L^2(\nu_1)} - \lambda_*(x, p, v)), \quad (6.5)$$

where L_z and L_u are the Lipschitz constants in (6.2).

Proof. By (6.4) together with (6.3) we get

$$\lambda_*(x, p, v) \leq -\lambda(x, 0, 0) \leq c(1 + |x|), \quad p \in \Xi, v \in L^2(\nu_1).$$

Then, again by (6.4) together with (6.2), we obtain that, for all $p \in \Xi, v \in L^2(\nu_1)$,

$$\lambda_*(x, p, v) \leq \inf_{z \in \Xi^*, u \in L^2(\nu_1)} (-zp - \langle u, 1-v \rangle_{L^2(\nu_1)} + L_z |z|_{\Xi^*} + L_u |u|_{L^2(\nu_1)}) + c.$$

It follows that $\lambda_*(x, p, v) = -\infty$ if $|p|_{\Xi} > L_z$ or $|1-v|_{L^2(\nu_1)} > L_u$, which implies (6.5). \square

We now define

$$\mathcal{S} := \{(p_s, v_s(\cdot))_{s \in [0,1]} : p \text{ progressively measurable s.t. } |p_s|_{\Xi} \leq L_z, \\ v(\cdot) \text{ predictable s.t. } |1-v_s|_{L^2(\nu_1)} \leq L_u\}.$$

For every $(p, v(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{S}$, let us set

$$M := - \int_{[0, \cdot]} p_t^* dW_t^1 + \int_{[0, \cdot]} \int_{H \setminus 0} (v_t(w) - 1) [N(dt dw) - \nu_1(dw) dt].$$

Being $(1 - v_t(\omega, \cdot)) \in L^2(\nu_1)$ for all $(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0, 1]$, and since, for all $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{1}{2} \langle M^c \rangle_t + \langle M^d \rangle_t} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |p_s|^2 ds + \int_0^t \int_{H \setminus 0} (1 - v_s(w))^2 \nu_1(dw) ds} \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\left(\frac{1}{2} L_z^2 + L_u\right) t} \right] < \infty,$$

by Theorem A.1 together with Corollary A.2, under $\mathbb{P}^{p,v}$ defined by

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}^{p,v}}{d\mathbb{P}} := \mathcal{E}_1(M),$$

the process

$$W_t^p := \int_0^t p_s ds + W_t^1, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

is a Q_1 -Wiener process, and $N_1(dt dw)$ has compensator $v_t(w) \nu_1(dw) dt$.

We can finally give the following result that follows the classical argument relating the BDSEs to control problems. We sketch the proof for the reader convenience.

Theorem 6.2. *Assume that (HAB), (HRG), (HF), (HF+B), (H β^B), (Hb ρ), (Hr γ), (H γ), (Hl) and (Hh) hold true. Then*

$$\bar{Y}_0 = \inf_{(p, v(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}^{p,v} \left[h(X_1) - \int_0^1 \lambda_*(X_s, p_s, v_s(\cdot)) ds \right].$$

Proof. We prove the two inequalities separately.

(\Leftarrow) Let $(p, v(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{S}$. By Proposition 6.1, for all $t \in [0, 1]$, from (6.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{Y}_t &= h(X_1) + \int_t^1 \lambda(X_s, \bar{Z}_s, \bar{U}_s(\cdot)) ds - \int_t^1 \bar{Z}_s dW_s^1 - \int_t^1 \int_{H \setminus 0} \bar{U}_s(w) [N_1(ds dw) - \nu_1(dw) ds] \\
&\leq h(X_1) - \int_t^1 \lambda_*(X_s, p_s, v_s(\cdot)) ds - \int_t^1 \bar{Z}_s p_s ds - \int_t^1 \int_{H \setminus 0} (1 - v_s(w)) \bar{U}_s(w) \nu_1(dw) ds \\
&\quad - \int_t^1 \bar{Z}_s dW_s^1 - \int_t^1 \int_{H \setminus 0} \bar{U}_s(w) [N_1(ds dw) - \nu_1(dw) ds] \\
&= h(X_1) - \int_t^1 \lambda_*(X_s, p_s, v_s(\cdot)) ds - \int_t^1 \bar{Z}_s dW_s^p \\
&\quad - \int_t^1 \int_{H \setminus 0} \bar{U}_s(w) [N_1(ds dw) - v_s(w) \nu_1(dw) ds].
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the conditional expectation with respect to $\mathbb{P}^{p,v}$ we get

$$\bar{Y}_t \leq \mathbb{E}^{p,v} \left[h(X_1) - \int_t^1 \lambda_*(X_s, p_s, v_s(\cdot)) ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right], \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

that provides

$$\bar{Y}_t \leq \inf_{(p,v(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}^{p,v} \left[h(X_1) - \int_t^1 \lambda_*(X_s, p_s, v_s(\cdot)) ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right], \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

by the arbitrariness of $(p, v(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{S}$.

(\Rightarrow) Again by Proposition 6.1, we may choose, for any $n \geq 1$, $(p_t^n, v_t^n(\cdot))_{t \in [0,1]}$ such that $|p_s^n|_{\Xi} \leq L_z$, $|1 - v_s^n|_{L^2(\nu_1)} \leq L_u$, and

$$\lambda(X_t, \bar{Z}_t, \bar{U}_t(\cdot)) \geq -\bar{Z}_t p_t^n - \langle \bar{U}_t, 1 - v_t^n \rangle_{L^2(\nu_1)} - \lambda_*(X_t, p_t^n, v_t^n(\cdot)) - \frac{1}{n}.$$

By a measurable selection theorem (see e.g. Theorems 8.2.10 and 8.2.11 in [5]) we can assume that $(p_t^n)_{t \in [0,1]}$ are progressively measurable and $(v_t^n(\cdot))_{t \in [0,1]}$ are predictable.

Recalling (6.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{Y}_t &= h(X_1) + \int_t^1 \lambda(X_s, \bar{Z}_s, \bar{U}_s(\cdot)) ds - \int_t^1 \bar{Z}_s dW_s^1 - \int_t^1 \int_{H \setminus 0} \bar{U}_s(w) [N_1(ds dw) - \nu_1(dw) ds] \\
&\geq h(X_1) - \int_t^1 \bar{Z}_s p_s^n ds - \int_t^1 \int_{H \setminus 0} \bar{U}_s(w) (1 - v_s^n(w)) \nu_1(dw) ds - \int_t^1 \lambda_*(X_s, p_s^n, v_s^n(\cdot)) ds \\
&\quad - \frac{1-t}{n} - \int_t^1 \bar{Z}_s dW_s^1 - \int_t^1 \int_{H \setminus 0} \bar{U}_s(w) [N_1(ds dw) - \nu_1(dw) ds] \\
&= h(X_1) - \int_t^1 \lambda_*(X_s, p_s^n, v_s^n(\cdot)) ds - \frac{1-t}{n} \\
&\quad - \int_t^1 \bar{Z}_s dW_s^{p^n} - \int_t^1 \int_{H \setminus 0} \bar{U}_s(w) [N_1(ds dw) - v_s^n(w) \nu_1(dw) ds].
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the conditional expectation with respect to \mathbb{P}^{p^n, v^n} we get

$$\bar{Y}_t + \frac{1-t}{n} \geq \mathbb{E}^{p^n, v^n} \left[h(X_1) - \int_t^1 \lambda_*(X_s, p_s^n, v_s^n(\cdot)) ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right], \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

We can therefore conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Y}_t &\geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}^{p^n, v^n} \left[h(X_1) - \int_t^1 \lambda_*(X_s, p_s^n, v_s^n(\cdot)) ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\ &\geq \inf_{(p, v(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}^{p, v} \left[h(X_1) - \int_t^1 \lambda_*(X_s, p_s, v_s(\cdot)) ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right], \quad t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

□

APPENDIX.

A. Girsanov Theorems. In the sequel we will denote by $\mathcal{E}(S)$ the Doléans-Dade exponential of general real-valued semimartingale S . We recall that

$$\mathcal{E}_T(S) = e^{S_T - \frac{1}{2} \langle S^c \rangle_T} \prod_{s \leq T} (1 + \Delta S_s) e^{-\Delta S_s}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

We recall the following version of the Girsanov theorem for martingales, see e.g. Theorem 15.3.10 in [11].

Theorem A.1. *Let W be an (\mathcal{F}_t) cylindrical Wiener process on Ξ , and $\mu(\omega, dt d\ell)$ a locally integrable integer-valued (\mathcal{F}_t) -random measure on Ξ , with compensator $\nu(\omega, dt d\ell)$. Assume that $\nu(\{t\} \times \Xi) = 0$ up to indistinguishability (so μ has no predictable jumps).*

Let $\beta : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \Xi^$ and $\Gamma : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \Xi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be predictable functions. Suppose that $\Gamma - 1$ is stochastically ν -integrable, and set*

$$M := \int_{[0, \cdot]} \beta(t) dW_t + \int_{[0, \cdot]} \int_{\Xi \setminus \{0\}} [\Gamma(t, \ell) - 1] (\mu(dt d\ell) - \nu(dt d\ell)). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

If $\mathcal{E}(M)$ is a uniformly integrable martingale, then under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ defined by

$$\frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}{d\mathbb{P}} := \mathcal{E}_T(M)$$

the process $W - \int_{[0, \cdot]} \beta(t) dt$ is a cylindrical Wiener process, and the compensator of $\mu(\omega, dt d\ell)$ is $\Gamma(\omega, t, \ell) \nu(\omega, dt d\ell)$.

Remark A.1. Noting that by (A.2)

$$-\Delta M_s = \int_{\Xi \setminus \{0\}} (1 - \Gamma(s, \ell)) N(\{s\} \times d\ell),$$

we have

$$\prod_{s \leq T} e^{-\Delta M_s} = e^{\sum_{s \leq T} (-\Delta M_s)} = e^{\int_{[0, T]} \int_{\Xi \setminus \{0\}} (1 - \Gamma(s, \ell)) \mu(ds d\ell)},$$

so (A.1) reads

$$\mathcal{E}_T(M) = e^{\int_0^T \beta(t) dW_t - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |\beta(t)|^2 dt} e^{\int_{[0, T]} \int_{\Xi \setminus \{0\}} [1 - \Gamma(t, \ell)] \nu(d\ell dt)} \prod_{n \geq 1: T_n \leq T} \Gamma(T_n, \xi_n),$$

where $(T_n, \xi_n)_n$ denotes the sequence of jump times and positions associated to the counting measure μ .

The following result provides a sufficient condition under which a local martingale M is uniformly integrable, see e.g. Corollary 15.4.4 in [11].

Corollary A.2. *Let M be a local martingale with $\Delta M \geq -1$, and let*

$$T = \inf\{t : \Delta M_t = -1\} = \inf\{t : \mathcal{E}_t(M) = 0\}.$$

If M is a locally square integrable local martingale, and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{1}{2}\langle M^c \rangle_T + \langle M^d \rangle_T}\right] < \infty,$$

then $\mathcal{E}(M)$ is a uniformly integrable martingale and $\{\mathcal{E}_\infty(M) > 0\} = \{T = \infty\}$ almost surely.

With the help of Corollary A.2, when μ is a Poisson random measure one can specialize Theorem A.1 in the following way.

Theorem A.3. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), \mathbb{P})$ be a fixed filtered probability space. Let W be an (\mathcal{F}_t) cylindrical Wiener process on Ξ , and $N(dt d\ell)$ an (\mathcal{F}_t) -Poisson random measure on Ξ , with compensator $F(d\ell)ds$, such that $\int_{\Xi \setminus 0} \|\ell\|_{\Xi}^2 F(d\ell) < \infty$. Suppose we have uniformly bounded functions $\beta : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \Xi^*$, $\Gamma : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \Xi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\Gamma(\omega, t, \cdot) - 1 \in L^2(F)$ for all $(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]$, and define*

$$M := \int_{[0, \cdot]} \beta(t) dW_t + \int_{[0, \cdot]} \int_{\Xi \setminus 0} [\Gamma(t, \ell) - 1] (N(dt d\ell) - F(d\ell)dt).$$

Then, setting

$$\frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}{d\mathbb{P}} := \mathcal{E}_T(M),$$

we have that $\frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}{d\mathbb{P}} \Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t}$ is a positive square integrable martingale. Moreover, under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$,

$$W^{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} := W - \int_{[0, \cdot]} \beta(t) dt$$

is a cylindrical Wiener process, and the compensator of $N(\omega, dt d\ell)$ is $\Gamma(\omega, t, \ell)F(d\ell)dt$.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Alvarez and M. Bardi. Viscosity solutions methods for singular perturbations in deterministic and stochastic control. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 40(4):1159–1188, 2001/02.
- [2] O. Alvarez and M. Bardi. Singular perturbations of nonlinear degenerate parabolic PDEs: a general convergence result. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 170(1):17–61, 2003.
- [3] O. Alvarez, M. Bardi, and C. Marchi. Multiscale problems and homogenization for second-order Hamilton-Jacobi equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 243(2):349–387, 2007.
- [4] S. Assing, F. Flandoli, and U. Pappalettera. Stochastic model reduction: convergence and applications to climate equations. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 21(4):3813–3848, 2021.
- [5] J.-P. Aubin and H. Frankowska. *Set-valued analysis*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2009. Reprint of the 1990 edition [MR1048347].
- [6] E. Bandini, F. Confortola, and A. Cosso. BSDE representation and randomized dynamic programming principle for stochastic control problems of infinite-dimensional jump-diffusions. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 24:Paper No. 81, 37, 2019.
- [7] M. Bardi and A. Cesaroni. Optimal control with random parameters: a multiscale approach. *Eur. J. Control*, 17(1):30–45, 2011.
- [8] M. Bardi and H. Kouhrouh. Singular perturbations in stochastic optimal control with unbounded data. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.*, 29:Paper No. 52, 25, 2023.

- [9] M. Bardi, A. Cesaroni, and L. Manca. Convergence by viscosity methods in multiscale financial models with stochastic volatility. *SIAM J. Financial Math.*, 1(1):230–265, 2010.
- [10] G. Barles, R. Buckdahn, and E. Pardoux. Backward stochastic differential equations and integral-partial differential equations. *Stochastic Rep.*, 60(1-2):57–83, 1997.
- [11] S. N. Cohen and R. J. Elliott. *Stochastic calculus and applications*. Probability and its Applications. Springer, Cham, second edition, 2015.
- [12] S.N. Cohen and V Fedyashov. Ergodic bsdes with jumps and time dependence. Preprint, arXiv:1406.4329v2, 2015.
- [13] F. Confortola and M. Fuhrman. Filtering of continuous-time Markov chains with noise-free observation and applications. *Stochastics*, 85(2):216–251, 2013.
- [14] G. Fabbri, F. Gozzi, and A. Swiech. *Stochastic optimal control in infinite dimension*, volume 82 of *Probability Theory and Stochastic Modelling*. Springer, Cham, 2017. Dynamic programming and HJB equations, With a contribution by Marco Fuhrman and Gianmario Tessitore.
- [15] M. Fuhrman and G. Tessitore. Nonlinear Kolmogorov equations in infinite dimensional spaces: the backward stochastic differential equations approach and applications to optimal control. *Ann. Probab.*, 30(3):1397–1465, 2002.
- [16] M. Fuhrman, Y. Hu, and G. Tessitore. Ergodic BSDEs and optimal ergodic control in Banach spaces. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 48(3):1542–1566, 2009.
- [17] G. Guatteri and G. Tessitore. Ergodic BSDEs with multiplicative and degenerate noise. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 58(4):2050–2077, 2020.
- [18] G. Guatteri and G. Tessitore. Singular limit of BSDEs and optimal control of two scale stochastic systems in infinite dimensional spaces. *Appl. Math. Optim.*, 83(2):1025–1051, 2021.
- [19] G. Guatteri and G. Tessitore. Singular limit of two-scale stochastic optimal control problems in infinite dimensions by vanishing noise regularization. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 60(1):575–596, 2022.
- [20] Yu. Kabanov and W. J. Runggaldier. On control of two-scale stochastic systems with linear dynamics in the fast variables. *Math. Control Signals Systems*, 9(2):107–122, 1996.
- [21] Yu. M. Kabanov and S. M. Pergamenshchikov. On optimal control of singularly perturbed stochastic differential equations. In *Modeling, estimation and control of systems with uncertainty (Sopron, 1990)*, volume 10 of *Progr. Systems Control Theory*. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1991.
- [22] S. Peszat and J. Zabczyk. *Stochastic partial differential equations with Lévy noise*, volume 113 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [23] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk. *Ergodicity for infinite-dimensional systems*, volume 229 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [24] A. Swiech. Singular perturbations and optimal control of stochastic systems in infinite dimension: HJB equations and viscosity solutions. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.*, 27:Paper No. 6, 34, 2021.
- [25] A. Swiech and J. Zabczyk. Uniqueness for integro-PDE in Hilbert spaces. *Potential Anal.*, 38:233–259, 2013.
- [26] A. Swiech and J. Zabczyk. Integro-PDE in Hilbert spaces: existence of viscosity solutions. *Potential Anal.*, 45:703–736, 2016.