

WEIGHT FILTRATIONS AND DERIVED MOTIVIC MEASURES

ANUBHAV NANAVATY

ABSTRACT. Let k be a field admitting resolution of singularities. We lift a number of motivic measures, such as the Gillet–Soulé measure and the compactly supported \mathbb{A}^1 -Euler characteristic, to derived motivic measures in the sense of Campbell–Wolfson–Zakharevich, answering various questions in the literature. We do so by generalizing the construction of the Gillet–Soulé weight complex to show that it is well-defined up to a certain notion of weak equivalence in the category of simplicial smooth projective varieties. For a k -variety X , the collection of all Gillet–Soulé weight complexes of X form a ‘weakly constant’ pro-object of simplicial varieties, and under mild assumptions, the K -theory of a Waldhausen category is equivalent to the K -theory of its weakly constant pro-objects. This leads us to a new proof of the existence of the Gillet–Soulé weight filtration, along with the weight filtration on both the stable and unstable homotopy type of a variety over k . We show these constructions provide the aforementioned derived motivic measures, or maps of spectra, out of $K(\mathcal{V}_k)$, the Zakharevich K -theory of varieties.

1. INTRODUCTION

Many constructions that probe geometry of algebraic varieties provide *motivic measures*, or ring homomorphisms of the form:

$$K_0(\mathcal{V}_k) \rightarrow R$$

$K_0(\mathcal{V}_k)$ is the Grothendieck ring of k -varieties, the free abelian group generated by isomorphism classes of separated k -schemes of finite type, modulo the relations

$$[Z] + [X \setminus Z] = [X]$$

for $Z \subset X$ a Zariski closed subvariety. The ring structure is given by $[X] \cdot [Y] := [X \times Y]$. The target of the ring homomorphism, R , is usually π_0 of a commutative ring spectrum. Motivic measures provide useful ways to distinguish varieties, or prove facts about how they decompose.

Throughout this paper, let k be a field admitting resolution of singularities. Under this assumption, [SG96] construct the integral Chow motive for a variety X , giving rise to a motivic measure:

$$K_0(\mathcal{V}_k) \rightarrow K_0(\mathrm{Ch}^b(\mathrm{Chow}^{\mathrm{eff}}))$$

where $\mathrm{Ch}^b(\mathrm{Chow}^{\mathrm{eff}})$ is the category of bounded chain complexes of effective Chow motives (see Section 2.1 for a full review of the construction).

Similarly, the compactly supported \mathbb{A}^1 -Euler characteristic provides a motivic measure sending

$$[X] \mapsto \chi_{c, \mathbb{A}^1}(X) \in \mathrm{GW}(k) = \pi_0(\mathrm{End}(1_{\mathrm{SH}(k)}))$$

where $\mathrm{GW}(k)$ is the Grothendieck-Witt ring over k , and $\mathrm{End}(1_{\mathrm{SH}(k)})$ is the endomorphism spectrum of the unit object in $\mathrm{SH}(k)$, the motivic stable homotopy category. This invariant can be computed for many examples, yielding interesting geometric information about the isomorphism class of X (see [AMBO⁺22] for

This work was supported in part by NSF Grant No. DMS-1944862.

an exposition). Notably, the target of both of these motivic measures is (non-trivially) π_0 of a commutative ring spectrum, and in [Zak17], Zakharevich showed that the same is true of the source by constructing a spectrum $K(\mathcal{V}_k)$ whose path components recover the Grothendieck ring of varieties. It is therefore natural to ask whether or not these motivic measures lift to *derived motivic measures*, i.e. maps of spectra that recover the motivic measure on path components. One reason for doing so is that such lifts allow one to probe the higher K-theory of varieties $K_i(\mathcal{V}_k)$, which to quote [CWZ19] “remembers not only that certain varieties decompose into other varieties, which is what $K_0(\mathcal{V}_k)$ does, but also how.” This approach is manifest in the work of [CWZ19], which lifts the derived motivic measure associated to compactly supported étale cohomology $[X] \mapsto [H_{\text{ét}}^*(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)]$ to show that for p prime, there is a splitting $K(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{F}_p}) \simeq \mathbb{S} \vee \tilde{K}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{F}_p})$, where \mathbb{S} is the sphere spectrum and $\tilde{K}_i(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{F}_p})$ is non zero for arbitrarily large $i \in \mathbb{N}$. In [BG21], it is further shown that for $k \subset \mathbb{C}$, there are infinitely many linearly independent non-torsion elements of arbitrarily high degree in $K(\mathcal{V}_k)$ by using the derived motivic measure associated to the mixed Hodge structure constructed in [BGN21].

This paper grew out of an attempt to answer the following questions from the literature:

Question 1 (7.5 of [CWZ19]). Can one lift the Gillet–Soulé motivic measure?

Question 2 (1.14 of [AMBO⁺22]). Can one lift the compactly supported \mathbb{A}^1 -Euler characteristic?

We answer these questions affirmatively, and in the process construct a variety of other derived motivic measures that are interesting in their own right.

Theorem 1.1. *Let k be a field admitting resolution of singularities. There exists a homotopy commutative diagram of spectra*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & K(\text{sShv}_{\text{cdh}}^{\text{perf}}(\text{Sch}(k))_*) & \xrightarrow{10.7} & K(\text{SH}^{\text{perf}}(k)) & \xrightarrow{10.8} & \text{End}(1_{\text{SH}(k)}) \\
 & \nearrow 7.8 & & & \downarrow 8.14 & & \\
 K(\mathcal{V}_k) & \xrightarrow{6.6} & K(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}(k)) & \xrightarrow{8.12} & K(\text{C}^{\text{gm}}(k, R)) & & \\
 & \searrow 3.27 & & & \downarrow 9.3 & & \\
 & & & & K(\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\text{Chow}^{\text{eff}}(R))) & &
 \end{array}$$

where $K(\mathcal{V}_k)$ denotes the Zakharevich spectrum of k -varieties, constructed in [Zak17], and the other spectra are K-theory spectra of Waldhausen categories. At the level of π_0 , the map $K_0(\mathcal{V}_k) \rightarrow K_0(\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\text{Chow}^{\text{eff}}(R)))$ recovers the Gillet–Soulé measure, and the map $K_0(\mathcal{V}_k) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{End}(1_{\text{SH}(k)})) = \text{GW}(k)$ lifts the compactly supported \mathbb{A}^1 -Euler characteristic. We label each map with the associated theorem/proposition/corollary in the paper where we prove that such a map exists.¹

The key insight behind Theorem 1.1 is to re-interpret and generalize the construction of the Gillet–Soulé weight complex. In particular, we construct a category of “well-pointed” simplicial smooth projective varieties, $\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$ in 3.8, along with a subcategory of weak equivalences $\mathbf{w}(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$ in 3.15. Let $L(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})$ denote the ∞ -categorical localization of a 1-category \mathcal{C} with respect to a wide subcategory $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{C}$ of weak equivalences, and let $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) := hL(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})$ denote the classical 1-categorical localization. By carefully studying the work done in [SG96], we obtain an assignment $X \mapsto M(X) \in \text{Ho}(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$ which we call the Gillet–Soulé premotive (see 3.24). We prove:

¹10.7 and 10.8 are constructed in [Rön16], but we cite them in our paper for convenience of the reader.

Theorem 1.2. [Theorem 6.1] *Let k be a field admitting resolution of singularities. The assignment to a variety of its Gillet–Soulé pre motive*

$$X \mapsto M(X) \in \mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\mathrm{perf}})$$

further extends to ∞ -functors:

$$\begin{aligned} f^! : (\mathcal{V}_k^{\mathrm{open}})^{\mathrm{op}} &\rightarrow L\left(\mathrm{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\mathrm{perf}}, \mathbf{w}(\mathrm{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\mathrm{perf}})\right) \\ f_! : (\mathcal{V}_k^{\mathrm{closed}}) &\rightarrow L\left(\mathrm{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\mathrm{perf}}, \mathbf{w}(\mathrm{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\mathrm{perf}})\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{w}(\mathrm{s}\mathbb{V}_^{\mathrm{perf}})$ is the subcategory of weak equivalences given by the Waldhausen structure on $\mathrm{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\mathrm{perf}}$ in 3.15. Here, $\mathcal{V}_k^{\mathrm{open}}$ is the category of open immersions of \mathcal{V}_k , and $\mathcal{V}_k^{\mathrm{closed}}$ is the category of closed embeddings of \mathcal{V}_k . $f_!$ and $f^!$ also agree on isomorphisms.*

To prove this theorem, it’s enough to work with relative categories and hammock localizations defined in [DK80] as models for ∞ -categories and their localizations. This theorem is the main tool that allows us to construct the diagram in 1.1, and results in a new proof of the Gillet–Soulé weight filtration being well-defined. In the original work, [SG96] use key properties of Gersten resolution to prove well-definedness, whereas in our work, we rely on the ‘realization functors’ out of $\mathrm{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\mathrm{perf}}$ and basic geometric arguments from [SG96].

1.1. Outline. We now give a brief summary of the work done in each section of the paper. Section 2 reviews Chow motives and the Gillet–Soulé construction, along with some preliminaries on Waldhausen categories and pro-objects. In Section 3, the results of Section 2 allow us to put a certain Waldhausen structure on $\mathrm{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\mathrm{perf}}(k)$ (see 3.21). In Section 4, we put a Waldhausen structure on the category of its ‘weakly-constant’ pro-objects $\mathrm{Pro}^{\mathrm{wc}}(\mathrm{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\mathrm{perf}}(k))$ (see 4.2 for a proper definition) and show the equivalences both of their Dwyer–Kan hammock localizations and K -theory spectra via the following general theorem:

Theorem 1.3 (Theorems 4.7 and 4.8). *Let \mathcal{C} be a Waldhausen category with FFWC such that all morphisms are weak cofibrations,² and such that every undercategory $c \downarrow \mathcal{C}$ also inherits a Waldhausen with FFWC structure from \mathcal{C} . Let $\mathbf{w}\mathcal{C}$ denote the subcategory of weak equivalences. The fully faithful inclusion $\mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Pro}^{\mathrm{wc}}(\mathcal{C})$ induces an equivalence of ∞ -categories:*

$$L\left(\mathrm{Pro}^{\mathrm{wc}}(\mathcal{C}), \mathbf{w}(\mathrm{Pro}^{\mathrm{wc}}(\mathcal{C}))\right) \simeq L\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{w}\mathcal{C}\right)$$

along with an equivalence of spectra:

$$K(\mathrm{Pro}^{\mathrm{wc}}(\mathcal{C})) \simeq K(\mathcal{C})$$

In order to do this, we heavily use results from [BM11]. In Section 5, we prove the most technical lemmas of the paper. The most important lemma is 5.5, which proves that for any variety X , the collection of all Gillet–Soulé pre-motives of X form a pro-object in a certain category, which we call the category of *pro-weight complexes*. Work in Section 4 and 5 demonstrates that construction of Gillet–Soulé gives a more general notion of a weight filtration than in [SG96], and so in Section 6 we begin by proving Theorem 1.2. We also construct the map $K(\mathcal{V}_k) \rightarrow K(\mathrm{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\mathrm{perf}})$ in 6.6. Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 6.6 are the main results of the paper. In Sections 7-10, the remaining maps in 1.1 are constructed. In doing so, we show that the Gillet–Soulé weight filtration is well defined in both the homotopy category of simplicial cdh sheaves and the stable homotopy category. In Section 8, we recover the work done in [BGN21], which constructed a derived

²see 2.18 for a precise definition of FFWC and weak cofibrations

motivic measure $K(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{C}^{\text{gm}}(R))$ (see 8.16). In Section 9, we review work of [Sos19] to construct a map of K -theory spectra

$$K(\mathbb{C}^{\text{gm}}(R)) \rightarrow K(\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\text{Chow}^{\text{eff}}))$$

giving us a map:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(\mathbb{S}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}) & \longrightarrow & K(\mathbb{S}\text{Shv}_{\text{cdh}}(\text{Sch})_*) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & K(\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\text{Chow}^{\text{eff}})) \end{array}$$

that recovers the derived Gillet–Soulé motivic measure (see Theorem 9.2). This theorem gives us another proof that the weight structure constructed in [SG96] is well-defined.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to acknowledge Jesse Wolfson, his advisor, for suggesting this project and for countless insightful discussions and suggestions. The author acknowledges Denis-Charles Cisinski and Marc Hoyois for helpful discussions. Lastly, the author acknowledges Liam Keenan, Vladimir Sosnilo, Thomas Brazelton, Oliver Brauning and Alexander Haberman for a careful reading on earlier drafts.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON THE CHOW WEIGHT COMPLEX, PRO-OBJECTS, AND K-THEORY

2.1. Chow Motives and the Gillet–Soulé Construction. We first briefly review parts of the Gillet–Soulé construction of the weight complex of a variety. For the rest of the paper, assume k is a field that admits resolution of singularities. We will drop k from the notation whenever possible.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{V} denote the category of varieties, or separated schemes of finite type over k , where the morphisms are k -morphisms. Let $\mathcal{V}^{\text{prop}}$ be the wide subcategory of varieties where we restrict our morphism sets to proper morphisms. Write \mathbb{V} as the category of smooth projective varieties.

Definition 2.2. Write Corr as the additive category of correspondences modulo numerical equivalence. The objects are smooth projective varieties, and morphisms are defined as follows:

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Corr}}(X, Y) := \bigoplus_{i \in I} A^{\dim(Y_i)}(X \times Y_i)$$

where I indexes the connected components of Y , and $A^k(-)$ denotes the k -th Chow group. The composition map

$$\circ : \text{Hom}_{\text{Corr}}(X, Y) \times \text{Hom}_{\text{Corr}}(Y, Z) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Corr}}(X, Z)$$

where X, Y, Z are connected, is generated by sending two cycles $\Gamma \in Z^{\dim(Y)}(X, Y)$ and $\Lambda \in Z^{\dim(W)}(Y, Z)$, to $(\Gamma \times Z) \cap (X \times \Lambda)$ in $A^{\dim(Z)}(X \times Z)$ via the pushforward map induced by the projection $X \times Y \times Z \rightarrow X \times Z$, and where ‘ \cap ’ denotes the cycle-theoretic intersection.

Definition 2.3. Chow^{eff} , or the category of effective Chow motives, is then defined to be the category with objects: (X, p) , where X is a smooth projective variety and $p \in A^{\dim(X)}(X \times X)$ is a projector, i.e. $p^2 = p$. The morphism sets are defined as:

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Chow}^{\text{eff}}}\left((X, p), (Y, q)\right) := \{f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Corr}}(X, Y) : f \circ p = q \circ f\}$$

For a further discussion of effective Chow motives, see [SG96].

Remark 2.4. *There is a contravariant functor $I : \mathbb{V}^{op} \hookrightarrow \text{Chow}^{\text{eff}}$ which is the identity on objects and on morphisms $(f : X \rightarrow Y) \mapsto [\Gamma_f]^T$, where Γ_f denotes the graph of f in $X \times Y$.*

Definition 2.5. Let $s\mathbb{V}$ denote the category of simplicial objects in \mathbb{V}

Definition 2.6. We can use the functor I in 2.4 to define a new functor

$$\Phi : s\mathbb{V} \rightarrow \text{Ch}(\text{Chow}^{\text{eff}})$$

Which sends a simplicial variety X_\bullet to the *Moore complex* of $I(X_\bullet)$, i.e. the complex:

$$\cdots \rightarrow I(X_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i d_i^{n+1}} I(X_n) \xrightarrow{\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i d_i^n} I(X_{n-1}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

We are now in a position to review the Gillet–Soulé construction in [SG96], where they build, for each variety X , a complex $M_\bullet(X)$ in the homotopy category of bounded chain complexes of effective Chow motives, $\text{Ch}^b(\text{Chow}^{\text{eff}})$. We start by defining a topology on the category schemes.

Proposition 2.7 (1.4.1 of [SG96]). *An envelope is a proper morphism of schemes $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that for all field extensions K/k , the induced map on K points $f : X(K) \rightarrow Y(K)$ is surjective. These covers specify a Grothendieck topology on \mathcal{V} .*

Definition 2.8. A *hyperenvelope* is a morphism of simplicial schemes $f : X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ that is a hypercover in the envelope topology on \mathcal{V} . In other words, for all fields k , the induced morphism on k points $X_\bullet(k) \rightarrow Y_\bullet(k)$ is a trivial Kan fibration.

Convention 2.9. *If $h_X : \tilde{X}_\bullet \rightarrow X_\bullet$ is a hyperenvelope where \tilde{X}_n is smooth and projective for all n , then we call h_X a smooth projective hyperenvelope.*

If resolution of singularities is available, we have the following theorems at our disposal from [SG96]:

Theorem 2.10 (Lemma 2 of [SG96]). *Given any proper simplicial variety X_\bullet (i.e. where each X_n is proper), there exists a smooth projective hyperenvelope \tilde{X}_\bullet of X_\bullet .*

Corollary 2.11 (Lemma 2 of [SG96]). *Given any proper morphism $X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ between proper simplicial varieties, there exists smooth projective hyperenvelopes $h_X : \tilde{X}_\bullet \rightarrow X_\bullet$ and $h_Y : \tilde{Y}_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ respectively and a map $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X}_\bullet \rightarrow \tilde{Y}_\bullet$ such that the following diagram of simplicial varieties commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X}_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{Y}_\bullet \\ \downarrow h_X & & \downarrow h_Y \\ X_\bullet & \xrightarrow{f} & Y_\bullet \end{array}$$

Further, the induced morphism of simplicial varieties:

$$\tilde{X}_\bullet \rightarrow X_\bullet \times_{Y_\bullet} \tilde{Y}_\bullet$$

is also a hyperenvelope.

Convention 2.12. *Let $s\mathcal{V}$ denote the category of simplicial varieties. Recall that $\text{Ar}(s\mathcal{V})$ denotes the arrow category of $s\mathcal{V}$, where the objects of $\text{Ar}(s\mathcal{V})$ are morphisms of $s\mathcal{V}$ and the morphisms of $\text{Ar}(s\mathcal{V})$ are commutative squares. As constructed in 2.11, \tilde{f} is called a smooth projective hyperenvelope of f in $\text{Ar}(\mathcal{V})$.*

Proof of 2.10. First, consider the hyperenvelope $X_\bullet \times_{Y_\bullet} \tilde{Y}_\bullet \rightarrow X_\bullet$ (the pullback of a hypercover is a hypercover). Then, take a smooth projective hyperenvelope \tilde{X}_\bullet of $X_\bullet \times_{Y_\bullet} \tilde{Y}_\bullet$, which exists by 2.8. Compositions of hypercovers remain hypercovers, so we have that \tilde{X}_\bullet is a hyperenvelope of X_\bullet , and the induced morphism $\tilde{f} := \tilde{X}_\bullet \rightarrow \tilde{Y}_\bullet$ is the desired hyperenvelope of f . \square

The proof of the following corollary is similar to the previous one.

Theorem 2.13. *Given a proper morphism of arrows $\Phi : f \rightarrow g$ in $\text{Ar}(s\mathcal{V})$, where $f : X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$, $g : Z_\bullet \rightarrow W_\bullet$ are proper simplicial morphisms of proper simplicial varieties, there exist smooth projective hyperenvelopes \tilde{f}, \tilde{g} of f and g respectively and a morphism of arrows $\tilde{\Phi} : \tilde{f} \rightarrow \tilde{g}$ such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{f} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{g} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ f & \longrightarrow & g \end{array}$$

Further, we require that the induced morphism $\tilde{\Phi} : \tilde{f} \times_g \tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{f} \times_g \tilde{g}$ is a smooth projective hyperenvelope. We will call such $\tilde{\Phi}$ smooth projective hyperenvelopes of Φ .

Using these theorems, we can now construct the integral Chow motive of X . The procedure is as follows:

- (1) Choose a proper variety \overline{X} such that there is an open embedding $X \rightarrow \overline{X}$. \overline{X} is called a *compactification* of X .
- (2) Choose a smooth projective hyperenvelope \tilde{j}_X of the proper inclusion $j_X : \overline{X} - X \rightarrow \overline{X}$, viewed as a morphism between constant simplicial varieties.
- (3) Define $\mathbf{M}(X)$ to be the isomorphism class of $\text{Cone}(\tilde{j}_X) \in \text{Ho}(\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\text{Chow}))$. Much of the hard work is in this step, i.e. showing that $\mathbf{M}(X)$ is well defined up to homotopy equivalence and is homotopic to a bounded chain complex, despite all of the choices made.

For closed embeddings $f : Z \rightarrow X$, choose compactifications \overline{Z} of Z , \overline{X} of X and find smooth projective hyperenvelopes over the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \overline{Z} & \longleftarrow & \overline{\Gamma_f} - \Gamma_f & \longrightarrow & \overline{X} \\ j_Z \uparrow & & j_\Gamma \uparrow & & j_X \uparrow \\ \overline{Z} - Z & \longleftarrow & \overline{\Gamma_f} & \longrightarrow & \overline{X} - X \end{array}$$

where $\overline{\Gamma_f}$ is the closure of the graph Γ_f viewed in $\overline{X} \times \overline{Z}$, and the horizontal arrows are simply projections. In Section 2.3, p.141 of [SG96], it is shown that any hyperenvelope $\pi_X : \tilde{j}_\Gamma \rightarrow \tilde{j}_X$ of the projection $\pi_X : j_\Gamma \rightarrow j_X$ induces a homotopy equivalence $\text{Cone}(\tilde{j}_\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Cone}(\tilde{j}_X)$ in chain complexes of Chow motives, giving us a morphism $\mathbf{M}(Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}(X)$. Finally, for any open inclusion $f : U \rightarrow X$, choose a compactification $\overline{X} - X$, observe that it is also a compactification of U , and find a smooth projective hyperenvelope of the proper inclusion $\overline{X} - X \rightarrow \overline{X} - U$ to give a contravariant morphism $\mathbf{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}(U)$. We observe at the outset that the approaches towards assigning maps to closed embeddings and open immersions differ significantly, and it is not immediately clear how to make either of these assignments functorial.

2.2. Waldhausen K -theory. We now review some preliminaries about Waldhausen categories with functorial factorizations of weak cofibrations (or FFWC) and recall how to endow DG categories with a Waldhausen

structure with FFWC. In the case of chain complexes in an idempotent complete exact category, we use work of [Büh10] to show that this type of category will have a more concrete Waldhausen with FFWC structure, which will be useful for later on.

Definition 2.14. A Waldhausen category \mathcal{W} is a category with a choice of a zero object, 0 , equipped with a subcategory of weak equivalences $\mathbf{w}(\mathcal{W})$ and a subcategory of cofibrations $\mathbf{co}(\mathcal{W})$ such that:

- (1) Isomorphisms are both included in $\mathbf{w}(\mathcal{W})$ and $\mathbf{co}(\mathcal{W})$
- (2) For each object $A \in \mathbf{ob}(\mathcal{W})$ the map $0 \rightarrow A$ is a cofibration
- (3) If $A \rightarrow B$ is a cofibration, and $A \rightarrow C$ is any morphism, the pushout $B \cup_A C$ exists and the induced map $C \rightarrow B \cup_A C$ is also a cofibration
- (4) Given the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \longleftarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ A' & \longleftarrow & B' & \longrightarrow & C' \end{array}$$

where the right horizontal morphisms are cofibrations and the vertical morphisms are weak equivalences, the induced map $A \cup_B C \rightarrow A' \cup_{B'} C'$ is a weak equivalence.

Definition 2.15. In a Waldhausen category \mathcal{W} , a *weak cofibration* is a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that in $\mathbf{Ar}(\mathcal{W})$, there is a zig-zag of morphisms $f \rightarrow g_1 \leftarrow g_2 \rightarrow \cdots \leftarrow g_n \rightarrow g$, where the legs of each morphism of arrows are weak equivalences in \mathcal{W} and g is a cofibration in \mathcal{W} .

Definition 2.16. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $[n]$ denote the category associated to the poset $\{0 < 1 < \cdots < n\}$.

Definition 2.17. For any category \mathcal{W} , define $\mathbf{Fun}([n], \mathcal{W})$ to be the category of functors from $[n]$ to \mathcal{W} .

Just taking $n = 1$, a functor $[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ picks out a morphism of \mathcal{W} . Further, a morphism in $\mathbf{Fun}([1], \mathcal{W})$ denotes a commutative square in \mathcal{W} .

Definition 2.18. Let \mathcal{W} be a Waldhausen category. Let $\mathbf{Fun}^{wc}([1], \mathcal{W})$ be the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Fun}([1], \mathcal{W})$ consisting of those functors whose image is a weak cofibration. Write $\mathbf{Fun}^{c,w}([2], \mathcal{W})$ for the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Fun}([2], \mathcal{W})$ consisting of those diagrams which are a cofibration followed by a weak equivalence. A functorial factorization of weak cofibrations (FFWC) is a functor

$$T : \mathbf{Fun}^{wc}([1], \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}^{c,w}([2], \mathcal{W})$$

such that

$$(d^1)^* \circ T = \text{id}_{\mathbf{Fun}^{wc}([1], \mathcal{W})}$$

Here $d^1 : [1] \rightarrow [2]$ takes 0 to 0 and 1 to 2 . More transparently, T sends on objects:

$$(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \mapsto \begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & Tf \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \simeq \\ & & Y \end{array}$$

Definition 2.19 (2.6 of [BM11]). If \mathcal{W} is a Waldhausen category that admits FFWC with functor T , it further admits functorial mapping cylinders for weak cofibrations (FMCWC) if there is a natural transformation

$Y \rightarrow Tf$ splitting the natural weak equivalence $Tf \xrightarrow{\cong} Y$, giving us the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Y & \\ & \downarrow & \searrow \\ X & \hookrightarrow Tf & \xrightarrow{\cong} Y \end{array}$$

In the paragraph directly after definition 2.6. of [BM11] the following lemma is proved:

Lemma 2.20. *If \mathcal{W} is Waldhausen with FFWC such that all morphisms are weak cofibrations, then \mathcal{W} also admits FMCWC.*

In [BM11], FMCWC is an important property because of the following theorem, stated for below our purposes. It will be important for the proof of 4.8:

Theorem 2.21 (5.5 of [BM11]). *Let \mathcal{W} denote a Waldhausen category with FMCWC, and with every morphism being a weak cofibration. If $\mathbf{w}(\mathcal{W})$ denotes the category of weak equivalences, then $(\mathcal{W}, \mathbf{w}(\mathcal{W}))$ admits a homotopy calculus of left fractions.³*

In [BGN21], the K -theory of DG categories comes from a model structure discussed in [Toë07] Section 3.1 which we now explain.

Proposition 2.22 (Proposition 1 of [BGN21]). *Let \mathcal{A} be a category enriched in R -dgm (the category of differential graded R modules for any commutative ring R) and \mathcal{A}^{op} -dgm denote the category of right DG \mathcal{A} -modules, i.e the category of functors $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{A}, R\text{-dgm})$. There is a cofibrantly generated model structure on \mathcal{A}^{op} -dgm, such that a morphism $F \rightarrow G$ of right DG modules is a weak equivalence (resp. a fibration) if and only if for every $X \in \mathcal{A}$ the induced morphism of complexes $F(X) \rightarrow G(X)$ is a weak equivalence (respectively a fibration) of differential graded chain complexes over the commutative ring R , where fibrations and weak equivalences are defined via the projective model structure on the category of chain complexes in R -dgm.*

Definition 2.23. The Waldhausen K -theory of a DG category \mathcal{A} is defined to be the Waldhausen K -theory of cofibrant and perfect right DG \mathcal{A} -modules, i.e. $\text{perf}_{\text{co}}(\mathcal{A}^{op}\text{-dgm})$.

Definition 2.24 (Before Remark 1 of [BGN21]). Let $H^0(\mathcal{A})$ denote the homotopy category of the DG category \mathcal{A} . \mathcal{A} is called triangulated if the Yoneda embedding $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{op}\text{-mod}$ induces an equivalence of homotopy categories:

$$H^0(\mathcal{A}) \hookrightarrow \text{Ho}(\text{perf}_{\text{co}}(\mathcal{A}^{op}\text{-dgm}))$$

Where $\text{Ho}(\text{perf}_{\text{co}}(\mathcal{A}^{op}\text{-dgm}))$ is the homotopy category given by the model structure on $\text{perf}_{\text{co}}(\mathcal{A}^{op}\text{-dgm})$.

Note that every object of \mathcal{A} is now equated with its representable dg-presheaf in \mathcal{A}^{op} -dgm, which will certainly be cofibrant and perfect. The following proposition is proved in Lemma 1 of [BGN21]:

Proposition 2.25. *$\text{perf}_{\text{co}}(\mathcal{A}^{op}\text{-mod})$ has FFWC, where every morphism is a weak cofibration*

We now recall some lemmas and propositions from [Büh10] to set up the Waldhausen K -theory of bounded chain complexes of an idempotent complete additive category, in particular that of effective Chow motives.

Definition 2.26. An additive category \mathcal{A} is idempotent complete if for every $p : X \rightarrow X$ such that $p^2 = p$, there is a decomposition $X \cong A \oplus I$ such that $p = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ i.e. each idempotent map is a split monomorphism.

³See definition 5.3 of [BM11] for a review of this concept

Definition 2.27. If $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the category of chain complexes of \mathcal{A} , we have that a complex B_\bullet is acyclic if each differential map:

$$Z^n A \rightarrow A \rightarrow Z^{n+1} A$$

splits, i.e. can be written as $B \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}} B \oplus C \xrightarrow{[0 \ 1]} C$

Definition 2.28. Let \mathcal{A} be an additive category. A morphism of chain complexes $f_\bullet : A_\bullet \rightarrow B_\bullet$ in $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$ is called a quasi-isomorphism if the homological mapping cone, defined as

$$(C_f)_n := B_n \oplus A_{n-1}$$

with differential $d_n = \begin{bmatrix} d_n^B & f_{n-1} \\ 0 & d_{n-1}^A \end{bmatrix}$ is acyclic in the sense of 2.24.

Definition 2.29. Let $\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the full subcategory of chain complexes A_\bullet that are compact objects of the derived category $D(\mathcal{A})$ as constructed in 10.4 of [Büh10]. Notably, all chain complexes quasi-isomorphic to bounded complexes are objects in this category. We call this the subcategory of perfect chain complexes of \mathcal{A} .

The next proposition follows quickly from the work done in [Büh10].

Proposition 2.30. *If \mathcal{A} is idempotent complete, $\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A})$ has a Waldhausen structure where:*

- (1) *Cofibrations are level-wise inclusions, i.e. maps $A_\bullet \rightarrow A_\bullet \oplus B_\bullet$ such that for each n , we have an inclusion onto a direct summand in \mathcal{A} :*

$$A_n \rightarrow A_n \oplus B_n$$

- (2) *Weak equivalences are quasi-isomorphisms*

Remark 2.31. *Note that cofibrations are not necessarily split. For notational convenience, when we write $A_\bullet \oplus B_\bullet$, we mean the coproduct of graded objects of \mathcal{A} (forgetting the chain maps).*

Proof. We verify each of the conditions in definition 2.14. It is immediate that isomorphisms are cofibrations, and since isomorphisms are chain homotopy equivalences they are also quasi-isomorphisms by 11.2 of [Kel96], verifying condition 1. Condition 2 is satisfied immediately. For condition 3, if we take the pushout along a cofibration $A_\bullet \rightarrow A_\bullet \oplus B_\bullet$ diagram in $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_\bullet & \longrightarrow & A_\bullet \oplus B_\bullet \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C_\bullet & \longrightarrow & C_\bullet \oplus B_\bullet \end{array}$$

then if $A_\bullet \oplus B_\bullet$ and C_\bullet are objects of $\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A})$, then since compact objects in $D(\mathcal{A})$ are a strictly full subcategory, B_\bullet and therefore the pushout must also be compact in $D(\mathcal{A})$ and therefore in $\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A})$, showing us that pushouts along cofibrations exist in $\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A})$. Since $C_\bullet \rightarrow C_\bullet \oplus B_\bullet$ is an inclusion of graded objects of \mathcal{A} , we have satisfied condition 3. For condition 4, consider any diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_\bullet & \xleftarrow{j} & B_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B_\bullet \oplus C_\bullet \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow h \\ A'_\bullet & \xleftarrow{j} & B'_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B'_\bullet \oplus C'_\bullet \end{array}$$

where the right horizontal maps are cofibrations and the vertical maps are weak equivalences. We need to show that the induced morphism on pushouts $\psi : A_{\bullet} \oplus C_{\bullet} \rightarrow A'_{\bullet} \oplus C'_{\bullet}$ is a weak equivalence. We can more explicitly write $h = \begin{bmatrix} g & h_{12} \\ 0 & h_{22} \end{bmatrix}$. We can then consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{\bullet} \oplus B_{\bullet} \oplus C_{\bullet} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A_{\bullet} \oplus C_{\bullet} \\ \downarrow f \oplus h & & \downarrow \psi \\ A'_{\bullet} \oplus B'_{\bullet} \oplus C'_{\bullet} & \xrightarrow{\varphi'} & A'_{\bullet} \oplus C'_{\bullet} \end{array}$$

where $\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\varphi' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & j' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, and $\psi = \begin{bmatrix} f & j' \circ h_{12} \\ 0 & h_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ is the induced map on pushouts. Note that $f \oplus h$ is a quasi-isomorphism (as f, g are quasi-isomorphisms), and the above diagram shows that ψ is a retract of $f \oplus h$. This also tells us that the induced morphism on mapping cones $C_{\psi} \rightarrow C_{f \oplus h}$ will admit a section $s : C_{f \oplus h} \rightarrow C_{\psi}$ (i.e. a left inverse). Since $f \oplus g$ is a quasi-isomorphism, $C_{f \oplus g}$ is acyclic and by Lemma 10.7 of [Büh10] (which relies crucially on idempotent completeness) C_{ψ} is acyclic, making ψ a quasi-isomorphism (i.e. a weak equivalence) and concluding the proof. \square

Proposition 2.32. *The Waldhausen structure on $\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A})$ in 2.30 has FFWC.*

Proof. The first observation to make is that any morphism in $\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A})$ is a weak cofibration, i.e. $\text{Fun}^{wc}([1], \text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A})) = \text{Fun}([1], \text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A}))$. Indeed, for a morphism $f_{\bullet} : X_{\bullet} \rightarrow Y_{\bullet}$ in $\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A})$, we define a functor $\varphi : \text{Fun}([1], \text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A})) \rightarrow \text{Fun}([2], \text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A}))$ sending on objects

$$\varphi(f_{\bullet}) = (X_{\bullet} \rightarrow M_{f_{\bullet}} \rightarrow Y_{\bullet})$$

where $M_{f_{\bullet}}$ is the homological mapping cylinder of f_{\bullet} . Further, for any morphism Ψ in $\text{Fun}([1], \text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A}))$ as shown below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{\bullet} & \xrightarrow{f_{\bullet}} & Y_{\bullet} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X'_{\bullet} & \xrightarrow{g_{\bullet}} & Y'_{\bullet} \end{array}$$

$\varphi(\Psi) : \varphi(f_{\bullet}) \rightarrow \varphi(g_{\bullet})$ is defined to be the induced morphism on mapping cylinders:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_{\bullet} & \longrightarrow & M_{f_{\bullet}} & \longrightarrow & Y_{\bullet} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X'_{\bullet} & \longrightarrow & M_{g_{\bullet}} & \longrightarrow & Y'_{\bullet} \end{array}$$

Since the compositions of the upper and lower horizontal morphisms are f and g respectively, we can conclude that $(d^1)^* \varphi = id_{\text{Fun}^{wc}([1], \text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A}))}$. \square

We recall the notion of an exact/weakly exact functor from [Wal06] and [BM11]:

Definition 2.33. A functor $F : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ between two Waldhausen categories \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{V} is (resp. weakly) *exact* if:

- It preserves (resp. weak) cofibrations
- It preserves weak equivalences
- It sends pushouts along cofibrations to (resp. weak) pushouts along cofibrations

A weak pushout is simply a commutative square such that there is a zig-zag of weak equivalences from it to a pushout square.

Proposition 2.34. [Wal06],[BM11] *An exact functor $F : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ between two Waldhausen categories \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{V} lifts to a map of K -theory spectra $K(F) : K(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{V})$. The same result holds if both \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{V} have FFWC and F is weakly exact.*

We now state a key theorem of [BM11] that we will use repeatedly in the paper (we state a slightly weaker version for our purposes):

Theorem 2.35 (1.3 of [BM11]). *Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a weakly exact functor between two saturated Waldhausen (i.e. whose weak equivalences satisfy the 2-out-of-3 property) categories with FFWC. Suppose that F induces an equivalence on homotopy categories, and that $F(f)$ is a weak equivalence iff f is an weak equivalence. then*

$$K(F) : K(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{D})$$

is an equivalence of spectra.

2.3. Pro-objects. We review some more background on pro-objects, including the straightening lemma for morphisms of pro-objects (this can be found in more detail both the appendix of [AM06] and Section 3 of [BGW16]). The main purpose of this review is to give ways to concretely describe morphisms of pro-objects.

Definition 2.36. Given a filtered category I and a small category \mathcal{C} , a pro-object of \mathcal{C} is a functor:

$$X : I^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

Definition 2.37. The collection of pro-objects of \mathcal{C} form a category $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$, where the objects are pro-objects $X : I^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and the morphisms between pro-objects, say $X : I^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $Y : J^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, are of the form:

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})}(X, Y) := \lim_{j \in J} \left(\lim_{i \in I} \text{Hom}(X(i), Y(j)) \right)$$

In other words, a morphism Φ is given by compatible collections of morphisms:

$$\{[f_j] \in \varinjlim_{i \in I} \text{Hom}(X_i, Y_j)\}_{j \in \text{ob}(J)}$$

Here, compatible means that for any morphism $\varphi : j' \rightarrow j$ and $f : X_i \rightarrow Y_j \in [f_j]$, we have $Y_\varphi \circ f \in [f_{j'}]$. We can describe morphisms between pro-objects more generally via the straightening lemmas, a full discussion of which can be found in appendix A.3 of [AM06] or in Section 3 of [BGW16].

Definition 2.38. Given a morphism $\{[f_j] \in \varinjlim_{i \in I} \text{Hom}(X_i, Y_j)\}_{j \in \text{ob}(J)}$ from $X \rightarrow Y$, it is possible to represent this morphism as a pair $(\varphi, \{f_j\}_{j \in \text{ob}(J)})$, where $\varphi : \text{Ob}(J) \rightarrow \text{Ob}(I)$ is a function and $f_j : X_{\varphi(j)} \rightarrow Y_j$ is a representative of $[f_j]$. We call $(\varphi, \{f_j\}_{j \in \text{ob}(J)})$ a *representation of φ indexed by Y*

Definition 2.39 (1.5 of the Appendix of [AM06]). Let I be filtered. A functor $\Phi : I \rightarrow J$ is *cofinal* if:

- (1) For every $j \in \text{ob}(J)$ there is an $i \in \text{ob}(I)$ and a morphism $j \rightarrow \varphi(i)$
- (2) If $j \in \text{ob}(J)$ and $i \in \text{ob}(I)$ and if $f, g : j \rightarrow \varphi(i)$ are two morphisms in J , then there exists i' and a morphism $h : i \rightarrow i'$ such that $\varphi(h) \circ f = \varphi(h) \circ g$.

Note that φ being cofinal implies that J is also filtered, and that cofinality is transitive.

Definition 2.40 (Before 3.1 of the Appendix of [AM06]). For a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of pro-objects, where $X : I^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $Y : J^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, let $I \downarrow_{\mathcal{C}} J$ denote the category with objects $\{(i, j, \alpha_{ij} : X_i \rightarrow Y_j)\}$ where α_{ij}

represents f , and a morphism $(i, j, \alpha_{ij}) \rightarrow (i', j', \alpha_{i'j'})$ correspond to commutative squares of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_i & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{ij}} & Y_j \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_{i'} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{i'j'}} & Y_{j'} \end{array}$$

where the vertical morphisms are contained in the respective pro-objects. Further, given a finite diagram of pro-objects, $D : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ we can define a diagram $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ as representing D in a similar manner.

We state the straightening lemma in the following way for use in sections 4 and 5:

Proposition 2.41 (3.3 of the Appendix of [AM06]). *Let \mathcal{J} denote a finite diagram with commutation relations, and suppose that \mathcal{J} has no loops, i.e., that the beginning and end of a chain of arrows are always distinct. Consider a functor $D : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ and the collection of diagrams representing $D : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$:*

$$S_D := \{F : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \mid F \text{ represents } D\}$$

S_D is cofiltered, and if $i \in I$ indexes the objects of \mathcal{J} and D_i denotes the image of i in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$, then the projection maps $S_D^{op} \rightarrow D_i^{op}$ are cofinal. So, in some cases, can represent a diagram of pro-objects by a pro-object of diagrams.

3. A WALDHAUSEN CATEGORY OF SIMPLICIAL SMOOTH PROJECTIVE VARIETIES

Recall that \mathbb{V} is the category of smooth projective varieties and $s\mathbb{V}$ denotes its simplicial objects. We first review some constructions in $s\mathbb{V}$ which will be useful later on.

Definition 3.1. Let $\Delta^n : \Delta^{op} \rightarrow s\mathbb{V}$ denote the simplicial variety sending on objects:

$$[\ell] \mapsto \coprod_{0 \leq i_0 \leq \dots \leq i_\ell \leq n} *$$

where $* := \text{Spec}(k)$. We label the copy of $*$ associated to $i_0 \leq \dots \leq i_\ell$ as $[i_0, \dots, i_\ell]$. The face and degeneracy maps are the same deletion and insertion maps of Δ^n as in the usual setting of simplicial sets.

Note that the face and degeneracy maps are simply coproducts of identity maps, making them morphisms of varieties.

Remark 3.2. *If FinSet denotes the category of finite sets, and $\text{FinSet} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{V}$ denotes the embedding sending a finite set $X \mapsto \coprod_X \text{Spec}(k)$, then Δ^n in definition 3.1 is just the image of the usual Δ^n via the induced functor on simplicial objects $s\text{FinSet} \hookrightarrow s\mathbb{V}$*

Definition 3.3. Let $\{0\}$ denote the simplicial subvariety of Δ^n defined by the elements of the form $[0 \dots 0]$ in Δ^n , and likewise, let $\{1\}$ denote the simplicial subvariety of Δ^n defined by elements of the form $[1 \dots 1]$. Note that these are both constant simplicial sets.

Definition 3.4. Given a morphism of simplicial varieties $f_\bullet : X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$, we define the simplicial mapping cylinder to be the pushout:

$$sM_{f_\bullet} := (X_\bullet \times \Delta^1) \cup^{i_1, f_\bullet} (Y_\bullet)$$

where $i_1 : X_\bullet \hookrightarrow X_\bullet \times \Delta^1$ is the inclusion map onto $X_\bullet \times \{1\}$.

For a morphism $f_\bullet : X_\bullet \hookrightarrow Y_\bullet$ such that for all n , the map f_n is an inclusion of the form $f_n : X_n \rightarrow X_n \amalg X'_n$, pushouts along f_\bullet exist in $s\mathbb{V}$. So, sM_{f_\bullet} exists in $s\mathbb{V}$. The following proposition is well-documented in the literature, as the proof is the same as in simplicial sets.

Proposition 3.5. *Any morphism $f_\bullet : X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ in $s\mathbb{V}$ factors as:*

$$X_\bullet \xrightarrow{i_0} sM_{f_\bullet} \xrightarrow{\simeq} Y_\bullet$$

where the first simplicial map is a level-wise inclusion of X_\bullet onto the direct summand $X_\bullet \times \{0\}$, and the second map is a simplicial homotopy equivalence.

Definition 3.6. We define the simplicial mapping cone of a morphism $f_\bullet : X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ as the pushout:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_\bullet & \xrightarrow{i_0} & sM_{f_\bullet} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & sC_{f_\bullet} \end{array}$$

In order to put a Waldhausen structure on the category of simplicial varieties, we must first make them *well-pointed*, which we now discuss.

Definition 3.7. We call the undercategory $*/s\mathbb{V}$ the category of *pointed* simplicial smooth projective varieties, i.e. whose objects are morphisms $(* \rightarrow X_\bullet)$ from the constant simplicial set $*$ to a simplicial variety X_\bullet , and morphisms between two objects, say from $(* \rightarrow X_\bullet)$ to $(* \rightarrow Y_\bullet)$, are diagrams of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & * & \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \\ X_\bullet & \xrightarrow{f_\bullet} & Y_\bullet \end{array}$$

i.e. simplicial maps $f_\bullet : X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ that respect $*$.

Definition 3.8. Let $s\mathbb{V}_*$ denote the full subcategory of $*/s\mathbb{V}$ consisting the pointed objects such that for each n , the pointed morphism is of the form:

$$* \hookrightarrow X_n \amalg *$$

i.e. the pointed morphism is an inclusion onto a direct summand $* \hookrightarrow X_\bullet \amalg *$, viewed as graded schemes (i.e. forgetting the degeneracy and face maps). We call $s\mathbb{V}_*$ the category of *well-pointed* simplicial smooth projective varieties. As a slight abuse of notation, we write $X_\bullet \amalg *$ to denote a well-pointed object going forward unless necessary.

The following corollary justifies that we can replace $*/s\mathbb{V}$ with $s\mathbb{V}_*$:

Corollary 3.9. *The inclusion $s\mathbb{V}_* \hookrightarrow */s\mathbb{V}$ induces an equivalence of relative categories*

$$(s\mathbb{V}_*, \text{w.e.}) \simeq (*/s\mathbb{V}, \text{w.e.})$$

in the sense that it is fully faithful, and essentially weakly surjective (i.e. every object in $/s\mathbb{V}$ is simplicial homotopy equivalent to an object of $s\mathbb{V}_*$).*

Proof. We just need to show that the inclusion is essentially weakly surjective. For an object $(f_\bullet : * \rightarrow X_\bullet)$ of $*/s\mathbb{V}$, since the map $i_0 : * \rightarrow sM_{f_\bullet}$ is an inclusion onto a direct summand by 3.5, the map defines well-pointed object of $*/s\mathbb{V}$. Further, 3.5 states that the map $sM_{f_\bullet} \rightarrow X_\bullet$ is a simplicial homotopy equivalence. \square

In, working with $s\mathbb{V}_*$, we have also not lost any information in $*/s\mathbb{V}$, as the following proposition is immediate:

Proposition 3.10. *There is faithful embedding: $s\mathbb{V} \hookrightarrow s\mathbb{V}_*$ given on objects by:*

$$X_\bullet \mapsto X_\bullet \coprod \ast$$

and on morphisms, $f_\bullet : X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ gets sent to $f_\bullet \coprod id_\ast : X_\bullet \coprod \ast \rightarrow Y_\bullet \coprod \ast$.

We now put a Waldhausen structure on $s\mathbb{V}_*$:

Definition 3.11. In $s\mathbb{V}_*$, let $\mathbf{co}(s\mathbb{V}_*)$ denote the subcategory with the same objects as $s\mathbb{V}_*$ but whose morphisms $f_\bullet : X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ are level-wise isomorphisms onto a direct summand, i.e. for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$f_n : X_n \rightarrow X_n \coprod Y_n$$

So, f_\bullet , viewed as just a morphism of graded schemes, is a inclusion onto a direct summand

Remark 3.12 (Notational Remark). *From now on, when we write $A_\bullet \rightarrow A_\bullet \coprod B_\bullet$, we mean a inclusion on to the direct summand of graded schemes (and not simplicial schemes), i.e a cofibration in $s\mathbb{V}_*$.*

Remark 3.13. *Given any diagram:*

$$A_\bullet \leftarrow B_\bullet \rightarrow B_\bullet \coprod C_\bullet$$

where the right morphism is a cofibration, then the pushout

$$A_\bullet \cup_{B_\bullet} (B_\bullet \coprod C_\bullet)$$

is well-defined, as it is done level-wise in $s\mathbb{V}_*$. At the level of graded schemes, the pushout is simply $A_\bullet \coprod C_\bullet$. Further, the induced map $A_\bullet \rightarrow A_\bullet \coprod C_\bullet$ is again a cofibration in $s\mathbb{V}_*$, as it is an inclusion onto a direct summand of graded schemes.

Definition 3.14. In $s\mathbb{V}_*$, let $w(s\mathbb{V}_*)$ denote the smallest subcategory containing the following morphisms:

- (1) Simplicial homotopy equivalences,
- (2) Morphisms of the form:

$$f_\bullet \coprod id_\ast : X_\bullet \coprod \ast \rightarrow Y_\bullet \coprod \ast$$

such that $f_\bullet : X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ is a hyperenvelope,

- (3) Extensions of (1) and (2), i.e. given a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_\bullet & \xrightarrow{f_\bullet} & Y_\bullet \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ X'_\bullet & \xrightarrow{f'_\bullet} & Y'_\bullet \end{array}$$

where the vertical morphisms are of compositions of morphisms of type (1) or (2), then we define the induced map on mapping cones $sC_{f_\bullet} \rightarrow sC_{f'_\bullet}$ to also be a weak equivalence.

(4) If we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
X_\bullet & \xrightarrow{f_\bullet} & Y_\bullet & & \\
\downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
h_X & & X'_\bullet & \xrightarrow{h_{Y'}} & Y'_\bullet \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X & \xrightarrow{h_{X'}} & Y & \xrightarrow{h_{Y'}} & Y' \\
\downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
& & X' & \longrightarrow & Y'
\end{array}$$

$h_X, h_Y, h_{X'}, h_{Y'}$ are hyperenvelopes and the bottom square is an abstract blowup square of proper varieties over k , i.e. a cartesian square where $X' \rightarrow Y'$ is a closed embedding and $Y \rightarrow Y'$ is a proper map, then we also define the induced map on mapping cones $sC_{f_\bullet} \rightarrow sC_{f'_\bullet}$ to also be a weak equivalence.

Definition 3.15. Let $\mathbf{w}(s\mathbb{V}_*)$ denote the smallest subcategory containing $w(s\mathbb{V}_*)$ such that:

(1) Given any diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
A_\bullet & \longleftarrow & B_\bullet & \longrightarrow & B_\bullet \amalg C_\bullet \\
\downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\
A'_\bullet & \longleftarrow & B'_\bullet & \longrightarrow & B'_\bullet \amalg C'_\bullet
\end{array}$$

as in axiom 4 of 2.14 where the vertical morphisms are in $\mathbf{w}(s\mathbb{V}_*)$ and the horizontal morphisms are cofibrations as in 3.11, then the induced morphism on pushouts:

$$A_\bullet \amalg C_\bullet \rightarrow A'_\bullet \amalg C'_\bullet$$

is in $\mathbf{w}(s\mathbb{V}_*)$

(2) Given morphisms $g : X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ and $f : Y_\bullet \rightarrow Z_\bullet$ such that any two of the morphisms: $f, g, f \circ g$ are in $\mathbf{w}(s\mathbb{V}_*)$, then so is the third.

Remark 3.16. *It should be noted that $\mathbf{w}(s\mathbb{V}_*)$ can be explicitly constructed as follows: Let $\{\mathcal{C}_i \subset s\mathbb{V}_*\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ denote the collection of subcategories of $s\mathbb{V}_*$ containing $w(s\mathbb{V}_*)$ and satisfying properties (1) and (2) in 3.15. Note that $s\mathbb{V}_*$ is contained in this set, so it is non empty. Then, we can define*

$$\mathbf{w}(s\mathbb{V}_*) := \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{C}_i$$

more precisely, the objects of $\mathbf{w}(s\mathbb{V}_)$ are the same as $s\mathbb{V}_*$ (as $w(s\mathbb{V}_*)$ is a wide subcategory) and the morphisms will be defined as the intersection of morphism sets in \mathcal{C}_i . One can readily prove that $\mathbf{w}(s\mathbb{V}_*)$ in fact a category, and it will also satisfy both the axioms of definition 3.15. by virtue of all of its morphisms living in each of the \mathcal{C}_i . By construction, it will be the minimal choice.*

Proposition 3.17. $(s\mathbb{V}_*, \mathbf{w}(s\mathbb{V}_*), \mathbf{co}(s\mathbb{V}_*))$ is a Waldhausen category.

Proof. First, observe that $*$ is a zero object in $s\mathbb{V}_*$, and we denote it 0. We now explain why each of the axioms in 2.14. are satisfied

- (1) Since isomorphisms are simplicial homotopy equivalences, they are contained in $\mathbf{w}(s\mathbb{V}_*)$. Isomorphisms are also cofibrations by definition.
- (2) Since the morphism from the zero object is a level-wise inclusion onto a direct summand, it is a cofibration.
- (3) Axiom 3 is satisfied by Remark 3.13

(4) Axiom 4 is automatically satisfied due to (1) of definition 3.15

□

Proposition 3.18. *If $f_\bullet : X_\bullet \amalg * \rightarrow Y_\bullet \amalg *$ is a morphism of well-pointed objects, then sM_{f_\bullet} defines a well-pointed object such that the factorization*

$$X_\bullet \amalg * \xrightarrow{\Delta^1} sM_{f_\bullet} \xrightarrow{\simeq} Y_\bullet \amalg *$$

holds in $s\mathbb{V}_*$, i.e as well-pointed objects.

Proof. Since $i_{\Delta_1} : X_\bullet \amalg * \xrightarrow{\Delta^1} M_{f_\bullet}$ is an isomorphism onto a direct summand of graded simplicial schemes (forgetting the simplicial maps), it follows that the restriction to $*$:

$$i_{\Delta_1}|_* : * \rightarrow sM_{f_\bullet}$$

defines a well-pointed object, promoting i_{Δ_1} to a morphism of well-pointed objects, and a cofibration in $s\mathbb{V}_*$. Since f_\bullet respects the inclusions of $*$ into both $X_\bullet \amalg *$ and $Y_\bullet \amalg *$, the map:

$$sM_{f_\bullet} \xrightarrow{\simeq} Y_\bullet \amalg *$$

respects the inclusions of $*$ into sM_{f_\bullet} and $Y_\bullet \amalg *$ as well, making it a simplicial homotopy equivalence of well-pointed objects and thus a weak equivalence in $s\mathbb{V}_*$. □

Proposition 3.19. *$s\mathbb{V}_*$ has FFWC.*

Proof. The first observation to make is that any morphism in $s\mathbb{V}_*$ is a weak cofibration, i.e. $\text{Fun}^{wc}([1], s\mathbb{V}_*) = \text{Fun}([1], s\mathbb{V}_*)$. Indeed, for a morphism $f_\bullet : X_\bullet \amalg * \rightarrow Y_\bullet \amalg *$ in $s\mathbb{V}_*$, we have the commutative diagram in $s\mathbb{V}_*$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_\bullet \amalg * & \xrightarrow{f_\bullet} & Y_\bullet \amalg * \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ X_\bullet \amalg * & \hookrightarrow sM_{f_\bullet} \xrightarrow{\simeq} & Y_\bullet \amalg * \end{array}$$

where in the bottom row, the first map is a cofibration and the second is a weak equivalence in $s\mathbb{V}_*$ by 3.18. The rest of the proof is the same as in Proposition 2.32, but with sM_{f_\bullet} instead of M_{f_\bullet} . □

Definition 3.20. Let $\text{Ho}(s\mathbb{V}_*) := s\mathbb{V}_*[\mathbf{w}(s\mathbb{V}_*)^{-1}]$ denote the homotopy category of $s\mathbb{V}_*$ obtained by inverting weak equivalences. Let $s\mathbb{V}_*^b$ denote the full subcategory of $s\mathbb{V}_*$ of simplicial objects that that can be obtained from taking finite iterations of simplicial mapping cones, finite coproducts/products of constant representable simplicial objects. Lastly, write $s\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$ as the full subcategory of $s\mathbb{V}_*$ whose objects are isomorphic in $\text{Ho}(s\mathbb{V}_*)$ to objects of $s\mathbb{V}_*^b$. We call simplicial objects in $s\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$ *perfect* objects of $s\mathbb{V}_*$.

Corollary 3.21. *The subcategories of cofibrations and weak equivalences in $s\mathbb{V}_*$ from 3.17 restricted to $s\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$ makes $s\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$ Waldhausen with FFWC.*

Proof. Notice that coproducts of weak equivalences are also weak equivalences by (1) of 3.15 so pushouts along cofibrations will be contained in $s\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$. Containing pushouts along cofibrations also implies that $s\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$ will contain all of its mapping cylinders, meaning that the proof of $s\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$ having FFWC is the same as in 3.19. □

A first observation is that one can use the Gillet and Soulé approach described in the previous section to construct a weight complex for a variety in $s\mathbb{V}_*$.

Definition 3.22. A Gillet–Soulé *pre-motive* of X in \mathfrak{sV}_* is a simplicial mapping cone of the form $sC_{\tilde{j}_X}$, where \tilde{j}_X is a smooth projective hyperenvelope of the proper inclusion $j_X : \overline{X} - X \rightarrow \overline{X}$, for \overline{X} a compactification of X .

The following proposition is a re-wording of Theorem 2 of [SG96] for our purposes, and we adapt the proof to our setting:

Proposition 3.23. *All Gillet–Soulé pre-motives of a variety X in \mathfrak{sV}_* are isomorphic in the homotopy category of \mathfrak{sV}_* .*

Proof. Consider any two pre-motives $sC_{\tilde{j}_{X_1}}, sC_{\tilde{j}_{X_2}}$ of X . Recall that we need to choose compactifications $\overline{X}_1, \overline{X}_2$ and smooth projective hyperenvelopes $\tilde{j}_{X,i} : \overline{X}_i - X \rightarrow \tilde{X}_i$ to construct $sC_{\tilde{j}_{X_1}}$ and $sC_{\tilde{j}_{X_2}}$ respectively. We can consider the compactification $\overline{X} := \overline{X}_1 \times \overline{X}_2$ of X where the open immersion $X \rightarrow \overline{X}$ is the diagonal map. This gives us the elementary blow-up squares for $i = 1, 2$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{X}_f - X & \xrightarrow{j_f} & \overline{X}_f \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \overline{X}_i - X & \xrightarrow{j_{X,i}} & \overline{X}_i \end{array}$$

where \overline{X}_f is the closure of the graph of the diagonal embedding in $\overline{X}_1 \times \overline{X}_2$. Finding a smooth projective hyperenvelope of j_f (which exists by 2.11) that fits into the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\overline{X}_f - X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}_f} & \tilde{X}_{f,\bullet} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widetilde{\overline{X}_i - X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}_{X,i}} & \tilde{X}_{i,\bullet} \end{array}$$

we see that by (4) of definition 3.14, the induced maps on simplicial mapping cones $sC_{\tilde{j}_f} \rightarrow sC_{\tilde{j}_{X,i}}$ are weak equivalences in \mathfrak{sV}_* . This shows that we can find a zig-zag of weak equivalences between any two weight complexes \mathfrak{sV}_* , concluding the proof. \square

We now also reprove Theorem 2(i) of [SG96] for our setting:

Theorem 3.24. *For any variety X , every weight complex of X lies in $\mathfrak{sV}_*^{\text{perf}}$.*

Proof. By 3.23, it suffices to show that we can construct one weight complex that is in $\mathfrak{sV}_*^{\text{b}}$. Given a variety X , we let $U \subset X$ be a smooth dense open subset and Z denote its complement. The proof of Theorem 2.3 of [SG96] shows that we can choose weight complexes $sC_{\tilde{j}_U}, sC_{\tilde{j}_Z}, sC_{\tilde{j}_X}$ of U, Z, X that give a pushout square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} sC_{\tilde{j}_Z} & \longrightarrow & sC_{\tilde{j}_X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & sC_{\tilde{j}_U} \end{array}$$

So, assuming we know that the proposition holds true for U and Z , we know it holds for X . By Noetherian induction, we can assume that X is smooth and quasi-projective. We can then take a smooth projective compactification \overline{X} of X such that $\overline{X} - X = D^{\text{red}}$, where D is a divisor with normal crossings in \overline{X} . Now, we can choose \overline{X} to be its own weight complex if we view \overline{X} as a constant in \mathfrak{sV}_* , so the proposition holds for \overline{X} . Since $\dim(\overline{X} - X) < \dim(X)$, we have by the inductive hypothesis that the proposition holds for $\overline{X} - X$

as well. Appealing again to the proof of 2.3 of [SG96] we can find a pushout diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} sC_{\tilde{j}_X} & \longrightarrow & sC_{\tilde{j}_{\overline{X}}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & sC_{\tilde{j}_{\overline{X}-X}} \end{array}$$

for weight complexes of X, \overline{X} , and $\overline{X} - X$, proving the statment for X . So, we can conclude the result for all X . \square

We are now in a position to construct the map of K-theory spectra from $s\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$ to $\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\text{Chow}^{\text{eff}})$.

Proposition 3.25. *Chow^{eff} is an idempotent complete additive category, so by Proposition 2.30, $\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\text{Chow}^{\text{eff}})$ has a Waldhausen structure with FFWC.*

Proposition 3.26. *The functor Φ in 2.6 induces a functor*

$$\Phi_* : s\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\text{Chow}^{\text{eff}})$$

which is in fact an exact functor of Waldhausen categories.

Proof. We first show that the restriction $\Phi_* : s\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \text{Ch}(\text{Chow}^{\text{eff}})$ preserves finite colimits and is an exact functor of Waldhausen categories by verifying the axioms of exactness in the order listed in 2.33. Then, since Φ_* must preserve weak equivalences, it will follow that the image of Φ_* will land in $\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\text{Chow}^{\text{eff}})$. Note that the map $\mathbb{V} \rightarrow \text{Chow}^{\text{eff}}$ in 2.4 preserves finite colimits and monomorphisms (and so inclusions onto direct summands), which implies that Φ_* preserves cofibrations. Further, a pushout along a monomorphism will remain a pushout along a monomorphism, i.e. pushouts along cofibrations are preserved. As for weak equivalences, note that Φ_* sends simplicial homotopy equivalences to chain homotopy equivalences, which are in turn quasi-isomorphisms by 10.7 of [Büh10]. Further, hyperenvelopes are sent to chain homotopy equivalences in $\text{Ch}(\text{Chow})$ by Proposition 2 of [SG96]. Next, observe for a morphism $f : X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$, $\Phi_*(sC_f)$ is the un-normalized homological mapping cone, which is homotopy equivalent to usual homological mapping cone $C_{\Phi_*(f)}$, So, if we have a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_\bullet & \xrightarrow{f} & Y_\bullet \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X'_\bullet & \xrightarrow{g} & Y'_\bullet \end{array}$$

in $s\mathbb{V}_*$, where the vertical morphisms are compositions of chain homotopy equivalences and hyperenvelope maps, then this diagram will get sent to one in $\text{Ch}(\text{Chow}^{\text{eff}})$ where the vertical maps are chain homotopies, implying that the induced morphism on mapping cones $C_{\Phi_*(f)} \rightarrow C_{\Phi_*(g)}$ is also a chain homotopy. This gives us a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi_*(sC_f) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & C_{\Phi_*(f)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \Phi_*(sC_g) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & C_{\Phi_*(g)} \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are chain homotopies as well by the same proof of 2.5.3 of [GJ09]. Since chain homotopy is an equivalence relation, we can conclude that $\Phi_*(sC_f) \rightarrow \Phi_*(sC_g)$ must also be a weak equivalence. Lastly, for any diagram as in (4) of 3.14:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
X_\bullet & \xrightarrow{f_\bullet} & Y_\bullet & & \\
\downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
h_X \downarrow & & X'_\bullet & \xrightarrow{h_Y} & Y'_\bullet \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X & \xrightarrow{h_{X'}} & Y & \xrightarrow{h_{Y'}} & Y' \\
\downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
& & X' & \longrightarrow & Y'
\end{array}$$

$h_X, h_Y, h_{X'}, h_{Y'}$ are hyperenvelopes and the bottom square is an abstract blowup square of proper varieties over k , the induced map $sC_{f_\bullet} \rightarrow sC_{g_\bullet}$ is sent to a chain homotopy in $\text{Ch}(\text{Chow})$ by the discussion in Section 2.3 of [SG96]. So, we see that all morphisms in $w(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$ are sent to chain homotopies in $\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\text{Chow})$. Now, observe that the subcategory of $\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$ whose morphisms are sent to chain homotopy equivalences in $\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\text{Chow})$ will be a category containing $w(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$ and satisfying properties (1) and (2) of definition 3.15 as pushouts along cofibrations are preserved and chain homotopy is an equivalence relation, $\mathbf{w}(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$ will be sent to the subcategory of chain homotopies in $\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\text{Chow})$, proving that weak equivalences are preserved. \square

Definition 3.27. We write the induced map of spectra in the previous proposition as:

$$K(\Phi_*) : K(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}) \rightarrow K(\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\text{Chow}))$$

4. PRO-OBJECTS OF WALDHAUSEN CATEGORIES

In order to get a map of spectra $K(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow K(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$, we will first need to construct a K -theory spectrum out of a suitable subcategory of pro-objects of $\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$; this spectrum will be homotopy equivalent to $K(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$. Further, we can show that their Dwyer-Kan hammock localizations are equivalent, where localizations are taken with respect to the subcategory of weak equivalences. These equivalences are instances of a more general phenomenon, which we outline in this section.

Definition 4.1. For the remainder of this section, we let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{w}(\mathcal{C}), \mathbf{co}(\mathcal{C}))$ denote a Waldhausen category with FFWC (see 2.18 for a definition) where every morphism in \mathcal{C} is a weak cofibration, and for every object $c \in \mathcal{C}$, the associated undercategory has a Waldhausen structure:

$$\left((c \downarrow \mathcal{C}, \mathbf{w}(\mathcal{C}) \cap (c \downarrow \mathcal{C}), \mathbf{co}(\mathcal{C}) \cap (c \downarrow \mathcal{C})) \right)$$

which also has FFWC.

Definition 4.2. Let $\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C})$ denote the subcategory of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ consisting of pro-objects $\{X : I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}\}$ such that all morphisms within X are isomorphisms in the homotopy category $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$. We refer to these as *weakly constant pro-objects*.

We now use the Waldhausen structure on \mathcal{C} to define one on $\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C})$.

Proposition 4.3. $\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C})$ is a Waldhausen category defined such that a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a weak equivalence (resp. cofibration) if one can find a representation of f indexed by Y (see definition 2.38) by weak equivalences (resp. cofibrations) in \mathcal{C} .

Proof. The proof follows for the same reasons that \mathcal{C} is a Waldhausen category. We verify the axioms of 2.14. Given an isomorphism of pro-objects $f : X \rightarrow Y$, where $X : I^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $Y : I^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, pick any representation of f indexed by Y , i.e. $\{f_j : X_{\varphi(j)} \rightarrow Y_j\}_{j \in \text{ob}(J)}$ and any representation of f^{-1} indexed by X , i.e. $\{f_i^{-1} : Y_{\psi(i)} \rightarrow X_i\}_{i \in \text{ob}(I)}$. We see that

$$\{f_i^{-1} \circ f_{\psi(i)} : X_{\varphi(\psi(i))} \rightarrow X_i\}_{i \in \text{ob}(I)}$$

is a representation of $f \circ f^{-1} = id$ indexed by X , meaning that each f_i is an isomorphism. Therefore, f is indexed in Y by isomorphisms in $\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$, which are both weak equivalences and cofibrations in \mathcal{C} . Therefore f is both a weak equivalence and cofibration in $\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C})$, proving the first axiom. For the second axiom, note that the 0 object of $\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C})$ is the constant 0 object of \mathcal{C} , and any morphism from $0 \rightarrow (X : I^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C})$ in $\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C})$ can only be written in the form $\{0 \rightarrow X_i\}_{i \in \text{ob}(I)}$, which are all cofibrations in \mathcal{C} , showing that $0 \rightarrow X$ is a cofibration in $\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C})$. For axiom 3, consider the diagram of pro-objects:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \downarrow g & & \\ Z & & \end{array}$$

where the horizontal morphism is a cofibration, and the indexing categories of X, Y, Z are I, J, K respectively. By definition, we can find a compatible collection

$$\{f_j : X_{\varphi(j)} \rightarrow Y_j\}_{j \in \text{ob}(J)}$$

cofibrations of \mathcal{C} representing f , and we can pick any representation of g , $\{g_k : X_{\psi(k)} \rightarrow Z_k\}_{k \in \text{ob}(K)}$. The induced morphism on the pushout is represented by the morphisms:

$$\{Z_k \rightarrow Z_k \cup_{X_{\psi(k)}} Y'_j\}_{(k,j) \in \text{ob}(K \times J)}$$

As which are all cofibrations in \mathcal{C} . So, we have a pushout diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow \\ Z & \longrightarrow & Z \cup_X Y \end{array}$$

where the bottom morphism is a cofibration in $\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C})$, finishing the proof of axiom 3. The proof of axiom 4 follows similarly to that of axiom 3. \square

Remark 4.4. *Every pro-object $X : I^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ in $\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C})$ is weakly equivalent for any object i of I , to the constant pro-object $X(i)$, as the identity morphism $\{id_{X(i)} : X(i) \rightarrow X(i)\}$ is a representation of a morphism $X \rightarrow X(i)$ indexed by $X(i)$.*

Proposition 4.5. *The Waldhausen structure on $\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C})$ admits FFWC with every morphism being a weak cofibration.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{C} has FFWC where every morphism is a weak cofibration, there exists a factorization functor $\varphi : \text{Fun}([1], \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}^{c,w}([2], \mathcal{C})$ with properties outlined in 2.18. For shorthand notation, we write:

$$\varphi(f : X \rightarrow Y) = X \rightarrow T_f \rightarrow Y$$

It is immediate that φ extends to a functor on pro-objects of each category:

$$\text{Pro}(\varphi) : \text{Pro}(\text{Fun}([1], \mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \text{Pro}(\text{Fun}^{c,w}([2], \mathcal{C}))$$

For any morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ between weakly constant pro-objects $X : I^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, $Y : J^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, we choose a representation $\{\varphi, f_j : X_{\varphi(j)} \rightarrow Y_j\}_{j \in J}$ indexed by Y , and define $\text{Pro}(\varphi)$ to send it to the composition $\{f_j : X_{\varphi(j)} \rightarrow T_{f_j} \rightarrow G_j\}_{j \in J}$, where the first morphisms are cofibrations, and the second morphisms are weak equivalences. Now, since $T : \text{Ar}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defines a functor, it also sends pro-objects of morphisms of \mathcal{C} to pro-objects of \mathcal{C} , making $\{T_{f_j}\}_{j \in J}$ a pro-object indexed by J . As a result, we have that $\{X_{\varphi(j)} \rightarrow T_{f_j} \rightarrow Y_j\}_{j \in J}$ represents a composition of morphisms $F \rightarrow \text{Pro}(T)_f \rightarrow G$, where $\text{Pro}(T)_f$ is the pro-object $\{T_{f_j}\}_{j \in J}$. The first morphism is represented by the inclusions $\{\varphi, X_{\varphi(j)} \rightarrow T_{f_j}\}_{j \in J}$, i.e. a collection of cofibrations indexed by $\{T_{f_j}\}$ and so it is a cofibration in $\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C})$. The second morphism is represented by simplicial homotopy equivalences, i.e. weak equivalences $\{id, T_{f_j} \rightarrow Y_j\}_{j \in J}$ indexed by Y , making it a weak equivalence in $\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C})$. So, $\text{Pro}(\varphi)$ restricts to a functor:

$$\text{Pro}(\varphi) : \text{Fun}([1], \text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \text{Fun}^{c,w}([2], \text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C}))$$

The rest of the proof, i.e. that $\text{Pro}(\varphi)$ does in fact satisfy the necessary conditions to give us a functorial factorization of weak cofibrations, follows in a straightforward way from the fact that φ satisfies the required conditions. Since we defined $\text{Pro}(\varphi)$ on all morphisms of $\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C})$, we see that all morphisms are weak cofibrations. \square

For what follows, we recommend Section 2 of [DK80] for a discussion of the Dwyer-Kan hammock localization. We provide a quick definition here for the convenience of the reader:

Definition 4.6 (2.1 of [DK80]). Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})$ denote a category with weak equivalences. The Dwyer-Kan hammock localization, $L^H\mathcal{C}$, is a simplicial category (and hence a model for an ∞ -category) defined as follows: for every $X, Y \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ the k -simplices of $L^H\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ are commutative diagrams of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & C_{0,1} & \longleftrightarrow & C_{0,2} & \longleftrightarrow & \dots & \longleftrightarrow & C_{0,n} & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & C_{1,1} & \longleftrightarrow & C_{1,2} & \longleftrightarrow & \dots & \longleftrightarrow & C_{1,n} & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 X & \longleftarrow & \vdots & \longleftrightarrow & \vdots & \longleftrightarrow & \vdots & \longleftrightarrow & \vdots & \longrightarrow & Y \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & C_{k,1} & \longleftrightarrow & C_{k,2} & \longleftrightarrow & \dots & \longleftrightarrow & C_{k,n} & &
 \end{array}$$

where n can vary, all vertical maps are in \mathcal{W} . In each column, all maps go in the same direction, and if they go left, then they are in \mathcal{W} . Further, no columns contain all identity maps, and maps in adjacent columns go in different directions. Face and degeneracy maps are the usual deletion and insertion maps.

Theorem 4.7. *Let \mathcal{C} be a Waldhausen category satisfying the assumptions of 4.1. The inclusion $\mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C})$ induces an equivalence of simplicial categories:*

$$L^H(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow L^H(\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C}))$$

where $L^H\mathcal{C}$ denotes the hammock localization of a Waldhausen category \mathcal{C} with respect to its weak equivalences.

Proof. We aim to invoke Theorem 1.4 of [BM11], which states that if

$$\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow \text{Ho}(\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C}))$$

is equivalence of categories, and for each $X \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, the induced map on undercategories:

$$\text{Ho}(X \downarrow \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ho}(X \downarrow \text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C}))$$

is an equivalence, then $L^H(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow L^H(\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C}))$ is an equivalence of simplicial categories.

We first show that the functor $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow \text{Ho}(\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C}))$ is fully faithful and essentially surjective. Essential surjectivity follows from remark 4.3. For fully faithfulness we invoke Lemma 3.4.1 of [Kra10]. Observe that $(\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C}), \mathbf{w}(\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C})))$ admits a homotopy calculus of left fractions by 2.21. Further, \mathcal{C} is a full subcategory of \mathcal{C} such that

$$\mathbf{w}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbf{w}(\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C})) \cap \mathcal{C}$$

Further, for any weak equivalence

$$\sigma : X \rightarrow Y^{\text{Pro}}$$

where X is a constant pro-object (but Y^{Pro} may not be constant), we have a representation $\{X \rightarrow Y_j\}_{j \in \text{ob}(J)}$ where each $X \rightarrow Y_j$ is a weak equivalence in \mathcal{C} . For some $j \in \text{ob}(J)$ we can pick any object Y_j in Y^{Pro} and we have a weak equivalence $\tau : Y^{\text{Pro}} \xrightarrow{\cong} Y_j$, and so we have

$$\tau \circ \sigma : X \xrightarrow{\cong} Y^{\text{Pro}} \xrightarrow{\cong} Y_j$$

which is a weak equivalence in \mathcal{C} . These conditions allow us to invoke lemma 3.4.1 of [Kra10] which concludes that

$$\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow \text{Ho}(\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C}))$$

is fully faithful. So, we have a fully faithful and essentially surjective inclusion, giving us an equivalence of homotopy categories.

We now show the second condition needed to invoke 1.4 of [BM11]. Observe that if we show for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, that $X \downarrow \text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C})$ admits a homotopy calculus of left fractions, we can use the exact same argument as in the last paragraph (i.e. use 3.4.1 of [Kra10]). Now, due to 4.3 and 4.5 along with with the assumption on the undercategories of \mathcal{C} in 4.1, $X \downarrow \text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C})$ admits a Waldhausen with FFWC structure (where every morphism is a weak cofibration) inherited from $X \downarrow \mathcal{C}$. So, by 2.21, $X \downarrow \text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C})$ admits a homotopy calculus of left fractions and we can conclude. \square

We can now state and prove that the resulting K-theory spectra of $\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C})$ is equivalent to that of \mathcal{C}

Theorem 4.8. *Let \mathcal{C} be a Waldhausen category satisfying the assumptions of 4.1. The inclusion $i : \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C})$ induces an equivalence:*

$$K(i) : K(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} K(\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C}))$$

Proof. We verify that the functor i satisfies the conditions of 2.35 to conclude the result. First note that by definition of their respective Waldhausen structures, the inclusion is an exact functor of Waldhausen categories, and further, $i(f)$ is a weak equivalence iff f is a weak equivalence. The fact that the inclusion induces an equivalence of homotopy categories follows from 4.7, so 2.35 gives us that $K(i) : K(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K(\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathcal{C}))$ is in fact an equivalence. \square

Corollary 4.9. *$\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$ satisfies the assumptions of 4.1, implying that the inclusion $\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}} \hookrightarrow \text{Pro}^{wc}(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$ induces the equivalences of their hammock localizations with respect to weak equivalences:*

$$L^H(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}) \rightarrow L^H(\text{Pro}^{wc}(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}))$$

along with maps of their K -theory spectra

$$K(\mathfrak{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} K(\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathfrak{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}))$$

Proof. The fact that $\mathfrak{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$ is Waldhausen with FFWC and that every morphism is a weak cofibration is proven in 3.21. We must simply check that for all $X \in \text{ob}(\mathfrak{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$, the induced Waldhausen structure on $X \downarrow \mathfrak{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$ has FFWC. This is true due to the properties of the simplicial mapping cylinder: For any morphism $f : Y \rightarrow Z$ under X , the simplicial mapping cylinder factorization gives us a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X & & \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\quad} & sM_f & \xrightarrow{\cong} & Z \end{array}$$

In other words, sM_f is in the undercategory of X for any such $f : X \rightarrow Y$. So in this case, the functorial factorization on $\mathfrak{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$ induces one on the undercategory $X \downarrow \mathfrak{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$. \square

5. THE CATEGORY OF PRO-WEIGHT COMPLEXES

We re-frame the above approach using pro-objects in order to lift the Gillet–Soulé measure. The goal of this section is to construct the category of *pro-weight complexes*, or $PH(\mathcal{V})$ to encode all of the choices that are made in constructing the integral Chow motive.

Definition 5.1. Let \mathcal{V}^{hyp} denote the category where the objects are triples:

$$(X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X)$$

where $\overline{X} = \overline{X'} \amalg *$ is disjoint union of $\overline{X'}$, a compactification of X , with a copy of $\text{Spec}(k)^4$. The map $\tilde{j}_X : \overline{X} - X \rightarrow \overline{X}$ is a smooth projective hyperenvelope of the map $j_X : \overline{X} - X \rightarrow \overline{X}$. Morphisms are of the form $(\overline{f}, \tilde{f}) : (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \overline{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y)$, where $\overline{f} : \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{Y}$ is a proper morphism such that $\overline{f}(\overline{X} - X) \subset \overline{Y} - Y$, and $\tilde{f} : \tilde{j}_X \rightarrow \tilde{j}_Y$ is a hyperenvelope of $\overline{f}|_{\overline{X}-X}$, that is we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{j}_X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{j}_Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ j_X & \xrightarrow{\overline{f}|_{\overline{X}-X}} & j_Y \end{array}$$

where the bottom row consists of j_X, j_Y viewed as morphisms of constant simplicial varieties (not necessarily smooth/projective).

Remark 5.2. For a proper morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we can pick compactifications $\overline{X'}$ and \overline{Y} . Defining \overline{X} to be the closure of graph of X in $\overline{X'} \times \overline{Y}$, we observe that \overline{X} is a proper variety and a compactification of X . Label $j_X : \overline{X} - X \rightarrow \overline{X}$ and $j_Y : \overline{Y} - Y \rightarrow \overline{Y}$. Since the map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is closed and $\overline{f}|_X = f$, there is a restriction morphism of arrows $\overline{f} : j_X \rightarrow j_Y$. Taking a smooth projective hyperenvelope $\tilde{f} : \tilde{j}_X \rightarrow \tilde{j}_Y$ of the map \overline{f} via Theorem 2.10, we get a morphism $(\overline{f}, \tilde{f}) : (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \overline{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y)$ in \mathcal{V}^{hyp} . In other words, $\mathcal{V}^{\text{hyp}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is fibered in filtered categories.

Remark 5.3. Recall the way that Gillet and Soulé construct a map of Chow motives for an open inclusion. If $U \rightarrow X$ is an open immersion and we choose a compactification \overline{X} of X , then since \overline{X} is a compactification

⁴The disjoint base-point is used heavily in the proof of 5.9

of U as well, we get a square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{X} - X & \xrightarrow{j_X} & \overline{X} \\ \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \overline{X} - U & \xrightarrow{j_U} & \overline{X} \end{array}$$

Calling this morphism $i_f : j_X \rightarrow j_U$, and taking a smooth projective hyperenvelope $\tilde{i}_f : \tilde{j}_X \rightarrow \tilde{j}_U$, we again see that $(i_f, \tilde{i}_f) : (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \rightarrow (U, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_U)$ gives us a morphism in \mathcal{V}^{hyp} .

These two types of morphisms will be used heavily in the next section; first they will be used to construct morphisms of pro-objects of \mathcal{V}^{hyp} :

Definition 5.4. Given $X \in \mathcal{V}$, we define the *pro-weight complex* of X to be the subcategory of \mathcal{V}^{hyp} consisting of objects:

$$P_X := \{(X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \in \mathcal{V}^{hyp} : \overline{X} \text{ is a compactification of } X\}$$

and morphisms in P_X are of the form $(\overline{f}, f) : (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X}', \tilde{j}'_X)$ such that if $i : X \rightarrow \overline{X}$, $i' : X \rightarrow \overline{X}'$ denote the open immersions, $\overline{f} \circ i = i'$. In other words, \overline{f} leaves X unchanged.

We now show that P_X is in fact a pro-object:

Proposition 5.5. For all $X \in \mathcal{V}$, $P_X \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{V}^{hyp})$.

Proof. We need to show the following things:

- (1) P_X is non-empty, i.e. the weight complex of a variety can always be constructed, as reviewed in the last paragraph of Section 2.1
- (2) For any two objects $(X, \overline{X}_1, \tilde{j}_{X_1}); (X, \overline{X}_2, \tilde{j}_{X_2})$, there exists $(X, \overline{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y)$ and morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & (X, \overline{X}_1, \tilde{j}_{X_1}) \\ & \nearrow & \\ (X, \overline{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y) & & \\ & \searrow & \\ & & (X, \overline{X}_2, \tilde{j}_{X_2}) \end{array}$$

In order to show this, take \overline{Y} to be the closure of the diagonal embedding of X in $\overline{X}_1 \times \overline{X}_2$. Since the product of open embeddings $X \rightarrow \overline{X}_1 \times \overline{X}_2$ is also an open embedding, \overline{Y} is a compactification of X . Let us denote:

$$j_{X_1, X_2} : \overline{Y} - X \rightarrow \overline{Y}$$

and label π_i , for $i = 1, 2$ to be the arrows of proper maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{Y} - X & \xrightarrow{j_{X_1, X_2}} & \overline{Y} \\ \downarrow \pi_i & & \downarrow \pi_i \\ \overline{X}_i - X & \xrightarrow{j_{X_i}} & \overline{X}_i \end{array}$$

obtained by projection. We define the hyperenvelopes $j_i := \tilde{j}_{X_i} \times_{j_{X_i}} j_{X_1, X_2}$, i.e. to be pullbacks of j_{X_1, X_2} along π_i . Now, we can take a smooth projective hyperenvelope of the pullback of $j_1 \times_{j_{X_1, X_2}} j_2$.

Calling this \tilde{j}_{X_1, X_2} , we get the following diagram of hyperenvelopes

$$(5.0.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{j}_{X_1, X_2} & \longrightarrow & j_1 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{j}_{X_1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ j_2 & \longrightarrow & j_{X_1, X_2} & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & j_{X_1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_2 & & \\ \tilde{j}_{X_2} & \longrightarrow & j_{X_2} & & \end{array}$$

So, \tilde{j}_{X_1, X_2} is a smooth projective hyperenvelope of j_{X_1, X_2} . If we now label $\tilde{\pi}_i : \tilde{j}_{X_1, X_2} \rightarrow \tilde{j}_{X_i}$, we can conclude that $(X, \overline{Y}, \tilde{j}_{X_1, X_2})$ is the desired object we need, as it is in P_X and equipped with morphisms

$$(\pi_i, \tilde{\pi}_i) : (X, \overline{Y}, \tilde{j}_{X_1, X_2}) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X}_i, \tilde{j}_{X_i})$$

- (3) We now need to show that given any two morphisms $(\overline{f}_1, \tilde{f}_1), (\overline{f}_2, \tilde{f}_2) : (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \rightarrow (X, \overline{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y)$, there exists an object $(X, \overline{Z}, \tilde{j}_Z)$ and a morphism $(\overline{g}, \tilde{g}) : (X, \overline{Z}, \tilde{j}_Z) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X)$ such that $\overline{f}_1 \circ \overline{g} = \overline{f}_2 \circ \overline{g}$ and $\tilde{f}_1 \circ \tilde{g} = \tilde{f}_2 \circ \tilde{g}$.

Now, given two morphisms of the form:

$$\overline{f}_1, \overline{f}_2 : \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{Y}$$

where $\overline{X}, \overline{Y}$ are compactifications of X and Y , then $eq(\overline{f}_1, \overline{f}_2)$ is a proper subvariety of \overline{X} by [Sta23, Tag 01KM] (which relies on \overline{X} being separated), and if $i : X \rightarrow \overline{X}$ denotes the embedding, then $\overline{f}_1 \circ i = \overline{f}_2 \circ i$ implies that X is also an open subvariety of $eq(\overline{f}_1, \overline{f}_2)$. Set

$$j_{eq} := eq(\overline{f}_1, \overline{f}_2) - X \rightarrow eq(\overline{f}_1, \overline{f}_2)$$

Our goal will be to show that the induced map on equalizers: $eq(\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2) \rightarrow eq(\overline{f}_1, \overline{f}_2)$ is a hyperenvelope. As discussed in 1.4.1 [SG96], it suffices to check that for all fields K , the map on K points $eq(\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2)(K) \rightarrow eq(\overline{f}_1, \overline{f}_2)(K)$ is a trivial Kan fibration, i.e it is surjective on 0 simplices and satisfies the boundary-filling condition. Let for each $i = 1, 2$, let $\tilde{f}_i^1 : \tilde{X}_\bullet \rightarrow \tilde{Y}_\bullet$ to be the first leg of the square \tilde{f}_i and $\tilde{f}_i^2 : \overline{X} - X_\bullet \rightarrow \overline{Y} - Y_\bullet$ denote the second leg. We see that for the commutative cube:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{eq}(\tilde{f}_1^1, \tilde{f}_2^1) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{Y}_\bullet & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \Delta & \\ & & \tilde{X}_\bullet & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{f}_1^1, \tilde{f}_2^1)} & \tilde{Y} \times \tilde{Y} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ eq(\overline{f}_1, \overline{f}_2) & \longrightarrow & \overline{Y} & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow f_2 & \downarrow & \Delta & \\ & & \overline{X} & \xrightarrow{(\overline{f}_1, \overline{f}_2)} & \overline{Y} \times \overline{Y} \end{array}$$

the upper and lower squares are pullbacks by definition of the equalizer and the vertical legs (except for $\tilde{eq}(\tilde{f}_1^1, \tilde{f}_2^1) \rightarrow eq(\overline{f}_1, \overline{f}_2)$) are hyperenvelopes. Passing to K points, we see that given a diagram of

simplicial sets of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial\Delta[n] & \longrightarrow & eq(\tilde{f}_1^1, \tilde{f}_2^1)(K) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta[n] & \longrightarrow & eq(\bar{f}_1, \bar{f}_2)(K) \end{array}$$

We use that $\tilde{X}_\bullet(K) \rightarrow \bar{X}(K)$ and $\tilde{Y}_\bullet(K) \rightarrow \bar{Y}(K)$ are trivial Kan fibrations, giving us lifts:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \partial\Delta[n] & \longrightarrow & \tilde{Y}_\bullet & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \Delta & \\ & & \tilde{X}_\bullet & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{f}_1^1, \tilde{f}_2^1)} & \tilde{Y} \times \tilde{Y} \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \Delta[n] & \longrightarrow & \bar{Y} & \xrightarrow{(\bar{f}_1, \bar{f}_2)} & \bar{Y} \times \bar{Y} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \Delta & \\ & & \bar{X} & \xrightarrow{(\bar{f}_1, \bar{f}_2)} & \bar{Y} \times \bar{Y} \end{array}$$

which then must factor through a lift of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial\Delta[n] & \longrightarrow & eq(\tilde{f}_1^1, \tilde{f}_2^1)(K) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \Delta[n] & \longrightarrow & eq(\bar{f}_1, \bar{f}_2)(K) \end{array}$$

by universal property of the pullback, proving that $eq(\tilde{f}_1^1, \tilde{f}_2^1)(K) \rightarrow eq(\bar{f}_1, \bar{f}_2)(K)$ is a trivial Kan fibration for all K , and therefore a hyperenvelope. A similar argument shows that $eq(\tilde{f}_1^2, \tilde{f}_2^2) \rightarrow eq(\bar{f}_1|_{\bar{X}-X}, \bar{f}_2|_{\bar{X}-X})$ is a hyperenvelope. So,

$$\tilde{e}q := eq(\tilde{f}_1^2, \tilde{f}_2^2) \rightarrow eq(\tilde{f}_1^1, \tilde{f}_2^1)$$

will be a hyperenvelope of j_{eq} . Taking a smooth projective hyperenvelope of $\tilde{e}q$, calling it \tilde{j}_{eq} , we see that

$$(X, eq(\bar{f}_1, \bar{f}_2), \tilde{j}_{eq})$$

is an object of P_X and the induced morphism to $(X, \bar{X}, \tilde{j}_X)$ equalizes (\bar{f}_1, \tilde{f}_1) and (\bar{f}_2, \tilde{f}_2) as desired. \square

Definition 5.6. We define the category of pro-weight complexes, $PH(\mathcal{V})$, to be the full subcategory of $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{V}^{hyp})$ consisting of the objects P_X , for $X \in \mathcal{V}$.

Now, we further understand what morphisms between these pro-objects look like in $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{V}^{hyp})$.

Proposition 5.7. Given a proper morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$, there exists a morphism of pro-objects $P_f : P_X \rightarrow P_Y$, represented by the pro-object of morphisms:

$$A_f := \left\{ (\bar{f}, \tilde{f}) : (X, \bar{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \bar{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y) \mid (X, \bar{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \in P_X, (Y, \bar{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y) \in P_Y, \bar{f}|_X = f \right\}$$

Further, the assignment is functorial, i.e. we have a functor $P : \mathcal{V}^{prop} \rightarrow PH(\mathcal{V})$, where \mathcal{V}^{prop} as defined in 2.1 is the category of varieties, but where the morphisms are restricted to only proper morphisms.

Proof. We must show 3 things:

- (1) A_f is a pro-object in the category of morphisms of \mathcal{V}^{hyp} ,
- (2) The projection maps $A_f^{op} \rightarrow P_X^{op}$ and $A_f^{op} \rightarrow P_Y^{op}$ are cofinal,
- (3) Let given $g: X \rightarrow Y$, $f: Y \rightarrow Z$ denote two proper morphisms. Recall

$$S_{P_f \circ P_g} : \{(\bar{f}, \tilde{f}) \circ (\bar{g}, \tilde{g}) : (X, \bar{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \bar{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y) \mid (\bar{f}, \tilde{f}) \in A_f, (\bar{g}, \tilde{g}) \in A_g\}$$

which represents the morphism $P_f \circ P_g$ via the straightening lemma in 2.34. We show that there is a cofinal functor $A_{f \circ g} \rightarrow S_{P_f \circ P_g}$ so that $A_{f \circ g}$ represents $P_f \circ P_g$ (not just $P_{f \circ g}$). This will prove functoriality.

Proof of (1): We follow the same logic as in the proof of 5.5. First, observe that A_f is non-empty by remark 5.2. Given objects of A_f , i.e. two morphisms:

$$(\bar{f}, \tilde{f}) : (X, \bar{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \bar{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y)$$

and

$$(\bar{g}, \tilde{g}) : (X, \bar{X}', \tilde{j}'_X) \rightarrow (X, \bar{Y}', \tilde{j}'_Y)$$

such that $\bar{g}|_X = \bar{f}|_X = f$ we see that there is an induced map:

$$\bar{f} \times \bar{g} : j_{X_1, X_2} \rightarrow j_{Y_1, Y_2}$$

where j_{X_1, X_2} and j_{Y_1, Y_2} are defined in (2) of 5.5. giving us the diagram of arrows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} j_X & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & j_Y \\ \pi_1 \uparrow & & \pi_1 \uparrow \\ j_{X, X'} & \xrightarrow{\bar{f} \times \bar{g}} & j_{Y, Y'} \\ \downarrow \pi_2 & & \downarrow \pi_2 \\ j'_X & \xrightarrow{\bar{g}} & j'_Y \end{array}$$

pulling back $\tilde{f} : \tilde{j}_X \rightarrow \tilde{j}_Y$ along the square π_1 to get $\tilde{f} \times_{\bar{g}} (\bar{f} \times \bar{g})$ and similarly pulling back $\tilde{g} : \tilde{j}'_X \rightarrow \tilde{j}'_Y$ along the square π_2 to get $\tilde{g} \times_{\bar{f}} (\bar{f} \times \bar{g})$ we see that both of these morphisms are hyperenvelopes of $j_{X, X'} \rightarrow j_{Y, Y'}$. Take a smooth projective hypervenvelope over $\tilde{f} \times_{\bar{g}} (\bar{f} \times \bar{g})$ and $\tilde{g} \times_{\bar{f}} (\bar{f} \times \bar{g})$ and call it $\widetilde{f \times g}$. Then, we have the following morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & (\bar{f}, \tilde{f}) \\ & \nearrow & \\ (\bar{f} \times \bar{g}, \widetilde{f \times g}) & & \\ & \searrow & \\ & & (\bar{g}, \tilde{g}) \end{array}$$

in A_f . Next, observe that for a pair of arrows $(\bar{f}, \tilde{f}), (\bar{g}, \tilde{g}) : (X, \bar{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \bar{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y)$ the same proof as in (3) of the proof of 5.5 will show that there will be a map

$$(X, eq(\bar{f}, \bar{g}), \tilde{j}_{eq}) \rightarrow (X, \bar{X}, \tilde{j}_X)$$

equalizing both (\bar{f}, \tilde{f}) and (\bar{g}, \tilde{g}) . We can thus conclude that A_f is a pro-object of morphisms of \mathcal{V}^{hyp} .

Proof of (2): We prove that each of the maps are cofinal by verifying the conditions of 2.39 in order. Cofinality of $A_f^{op} \rightarrow P_Y^{op}$ follows from the fact that the functor $A_f \rightarrow P_Y$ is surjective on both objects (verifying the first condition) and morphisms (verifying the second condition). To see why this is true on objects, observe that for every $(Y, \bar{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y)$, we can construct $(X, \bar{X}, \tilde{j}_X)$ and a map $(X, \bar{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \bar{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y)$ in A_f by 5.2. Further, given any morphism $(Y, \bar{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y) \rightarrow (Y, \bar{Y}', \tilde{j}_Y')$, we see that if we compose it with a morphism $(X, \bar{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \bar{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y)$ (which we know already exists), the composition $(X, \bar{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \rightarrow (X, \bar{Y}', \tilde{j}_Y')$ will also lie in A_f , meaning that $A_f \rightarrow P_Y$ is also surjective on morphisms. In order to verify the conditions for cofinality of $A_f^{op} \rightarrow P_X^{op}$, more work is required. For the first condition, taking any object $(X, \bar{X}, \tilde{j}_X)$ in P_X , we see that for any morphism $(X, \bar{X}', \tilde{j}_X') \rightarrow (Y, \bar{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y)$ in P_Y , we have a morphism:

$$(X, \bar{X} \times \bar{X}', \tilde{j}_{X, X'}) \rightarrow (X, \bar{X}', \tilde{j}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \bar{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y)$$

in A_f , and further, we have a morphism $(X, \bar{X} \times \bar{X}', \tilde{j}_{X, X'}) \rightarrow (X, \bar{X}, \tilde{j}_X)$ in P_X proving the first condition for cofinality. As for the second, given a morphism $(X, \bar{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \bar{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y)$ in A_f and two morphisms P_X :

$$(\bar{g}, \tilde{g}), (\bar{h}, \tilde{h}) : (X, \bar{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \rightarrow (X, \bar{X}', \tilde{j}_X')$$

In (3) of the proof of 5.5 there is a map

$$i : (X, eq(\bar{g}, \bar{f}), \tilde{j}_{eq}) \rightarrow (X, \bar{X}, \tilde{j}_X)$$

equalizing (\bar{g}, \tilde{g}) and (\bar{h}, \tilde{h}) , but also if we compose i with $(X, \bar{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \bar{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y)$, we see that the induced morphism $(X, eq(\bar{g}, \bar{f}), \tilde{j}_{eq}) \rightarrow (Y, \bar{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y)$ will also lie in A_f . So, we have the commutative triangle:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, eq(\bar{g}, \bar{f}), \tilde{j}_{eq}) & & \\ \downarrow i & \searrow & \\ (X, \bar{X}, \tilde{j}_X) & \longrightarrow & (Y, \bar{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y) \end{array}$$

which will get sent to the morphism i via the projection $A_f \rightarrow P_X$, which shows that there is a morphism in A_f whose image in P_X equalizes (\bar{g}, \tilde{g}) and (\bar{h}, \tilde{h}) , proving the last condition of cofinality of $A_f^{op} \rightarrow P_X^{op}$. So, we can conclude that if f is proper, then A_f defines a morphism $P_X \rightarrow P_Y$.

Proof of (3): We first observe that objects of $A_{f \circ g}$ are morphisms of the form $(X, \bar{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \rightarrow (Z, \bar{Z}, \tilde{j}_Z)$ that *do not* necessarily factor through any $(Y, \bar{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y)$. However, $S_{P_f \circ P_g}$ is contained in the subcategory of $A_{f \circ g}$ of morphisms factor through an object of P_Y . We consider the map $A_{f \circ g} \hookrightarrow S_{P_f \circ P_g}$. In order to show cofinality, consider any morphism $(\bar{h}, \tilde{h}) : (X, \bar{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \rightarrow (Z, \bar{Z}, \tilde{j}_Z)$ of $A_{f \circ g}$, i.e. such that $\bar{h}|_X = f \circ g$, we pick a compactification \bar{Y} of Y and denote \bar{Y}_1 to be the closure of the image of Y in $\bar{Y} \times \bar{Z}$, and similarly, let \bar{X}_1 denote the closure of X in $\bar{X} \times \bar{Y}$. Define $\bar{g}_1 := \bar{X}_1 \rightarrow \bar{Y}_1$ and $\bar{f} := \bar{Y}_1 \rightarrow \bar{Z}$. If $j_Y := \bar{Y}_1 - Y \rightarrow \bar{Y}_1$, then \bar{f} also gives us an arrow $j_Y \rightarrow j_Z$. if we take a pullback of \tilde{j}_Z along $\bar{f} : j_Y \rightarrow j_Z$, we get a hyperenvelope $j_Y \times_{j_Z} \tilde{j}_Z$ of j_Y . Taking a smooth projective hyperenvelope \tilde{j}_Y of $j_Y \times_{j_Z} \tilde{j}_Z$, we have a morphism:

$$(Y, \bar{Y}_1, \tilde{j}_Y) \rightarrow (Z, \bar{Z}, \tilde{j}_Z)$$

If we define $j_{X,1} := \bar{X}_1 - X \rightarrow \bar{X}_1$, then pulling back \tilde{j}_Y along the map $j_{X,1} \rightarrow j_Y$ and taking a smooth projective hyperenvelope $\tilde{j}_{X,1}$, we get a morphism $(X, \bar{X}_1, \tilde{j}_{X,1}) \rightarrow (Y, \bar{Y}_1, \tilde{j}_Y)$. By cofinality

of $A_{f \circ g}$ in P_X (proved in pt. 1 of this proof) we can find morphisms $(X, \overline{X}_2, \tilde{j}_{X,2}) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X}_1, \tilde{j}_{X,1})$ and $(X, \overline{X}_2, \tilde{j}_{X,2}) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X)$ fitting into the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (X, \overline{X}_2, \tilde{j}_{X,2}) & \longrightarrow & (X, \overline{X}_1, \tilde{j}_{X,1}) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{f})} & (Y, \overline{Y}_1, \tilde{j}_Y) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{g}, \tilde{g})} & (Z, \overline{Z}, \tilde{j}_Z) \\ & \searrow & & & \nearrow & & \\ & & (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X) & & & & \end{array}$$

and the morphism in the first row is in $S_{P_f \circ P_g}$. This proves the first condition for a cofiltering. For the second condition, we must consider a commutative diagram of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{g}, \tilde{g})} & (Y, \overline{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{f})} & (Z, \overline{Z}, \tilde{j}_Z) \\ \Downarrow & & & & \Downarrow \\ (X, \overline{X}', \tilde{j}'_X) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{g}, \tilde{g})} & & & (Z, \overline{Z}', \tilde{j}'_Z) \end{array}$$

Since P_Z and P_X are cofiltered, we have morphisms $(Z, \overline{Z}_{eq}, \tilde{j}_{eq,Z}) \rightarrow (Z, \overline{Z}, \tilde{j}_Z)$ and $(X, \overline{X}_{eq}, \tilde{j}_{eq,X}) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X)$ equalizing the right-hand side vertical morphisms. Next, since $A_f^{op} \rightarrow P_Z^{op}$ is cofinal, there is a map $(Y, \overline{Y}', \tilde{j}'_Y) \rightarrow (Z, \overline{Z}_{eq}, \tilde{j}_{eq,Z})$ fitting into the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Y, \overline{Y}', \tilde{j}'_Y) & \longrightarrow & (Z, \overline{Z}_{eq}, \tilde{j}_{eq,Z}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (Y, \overline{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{f})} & (Z, \overline{Z}, \tilde{j}_Z) \end{array}$$

Similarly, cofinality of $A_g^{op} \rightarrow P_Y^{op}$ gives us a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, \overline{X}', \tilde{j}'_X) & \longrightarrow & (Y, \overline{Y}', \tilde{j}'_Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{g}, \tilde{g})} & (Y, \overline{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y) \end{array}$$

Lastly, using that P_X is cofiltered (using both conditions of cofiltering) we can find $(X, \overline{X}'', \tilde{j}''_X)$ that fits into the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & (X, \overline{X}'', \tilde{j}''_X) & \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ (X, \overline{X}_{eq}, \tilde{j}_{eq,X}) & & (X, \overline{X}', \tilde{j}'_X) \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ & (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X) & \end{array}$$

This gives us the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(X, \overline{X}'', \tilde{j}_X'') & \longrightarrow & (Y, \overline{Y}', \tilde{j}_Y') & \longrightarrow & (Z, \overline{Z}_{eq}, \tilde{j}_{eq,Z}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X) & \xrightarrow{(\overline{g}, \tilde{g})} & (Y, \overline{Y}, \tilde{j}_Y) & \xrightarrow{(\overline{f}, \tilde{f})} & (Z, \overline{Z}, \tilde{j}_Z) \\
\Downarrow & & & & \Downarrow \\
(X, \overline{X}', \tilde{j}_X') & \xrightarrow{(\overline{g}, \tilde{g})} & & & (Z, \overline{Z}', \tilde{j}_Z')
\end{array}$$

where where all compositions of vertical morphisms are equal. So, $A_{f \circ g}^{op} \rightarrow S_{P_f \circ P_g}^{op}$ is cofinal, finishing the proof that $P : \mathcal{V}^{prop} \rightarrow PH(\mathcal{V})$ is a functor. □

Now, we move towards defining a morphism $P_X \rightarrow P_U$ given an open inclusion $U \hookrightarrow X$:

Definition 5.8. Recall (see 5.3 for more details) that for an open immersion $f : U \rightarrow X$, we have a *contravariant* morphism between weight complexes $M(X) \rightarrow M(U)$. In the notation of 5.3, let

$$\Phi_f := \{(id, \tilde{i}_f) : (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \rightarrow (U, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_U)\}_{(\overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \in (P_X)_U}$$

be a subcategory of morphisms in \mathcal{V}^{hyp} . Here, \tilde{i}_f is a hyperenvelope of the inclusion $j_X \rightarrow j_U$ induced by the identity map on \overline{X} . The morphisms of Φ_f are of the form $(\overline{g}, \tilde{g}) : (id, \tilde{i}_f) \rightarrow (id, \tilde{i}'_f)$ in the following sense: \overline{g} is the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
j_X & \xrightarrow{i_f} & j_U \\
\downarrow \overline{g}_1 & & \downarrow \overline{g}_2 \\
j'_X & \xrightarrow{i_f} & j'_U
\end{array}$$

and \tilde{g} is the square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{j}_X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}_f} & \tilde{j}_U \\
\downarrow \tilde{g}_1 & & \downarrow \tilde{g}_2 \\
\tilde{j}'_X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}_f} & \tilde{j}'_U
\end{array}$$

where $(\overline{g}_1, \tilde{g}_1)$ is a morphism of P_X and $(\overline{g}_2, \tilde{g}_2)$ is a morphism of P_Y .

Theorem 5.9. *For any open immersion $f : U \rightarrow X$, Φ_f is cofiltered and represents a morphism $P_X \rightarrow P_U$. If $\mathbf{co}(\mathcal{V})$ denotes the category of open immersions of \mathcal{V} , then Φ defines a contravariant functor:*

$$\mathbf{co}(\mathcal{V})^{op} \rightarrow PH(\mathcal{V})$$

Proof. Just like in the proof of Proposition 5.7, we must show the following 3 things:

- (1) Φ_f is a pro-object of morphisms of \mathcal{V}^{hyp}
- (2) The projection maps $\Phi_f^{op} \rightarrow P_X^{op}$ and $\Phi_f^{op} \rightarrow P_U^{op}$ are cofinal
- (3) For a composition of embeddings $U \xrightarrow{f} V \xrightarrow{g} X$, we label the category of morphisms representing $\Phi_f \circ \Phi_g$ as:

$$S_{\Phi_f \circ \Phi_g}$$

We must show that the inclusion $\Phi_{g \circ f} \rightarrow S_{\Phi_f \circ \Phi_g}$ is cofinal.

For (1)-(3), we follow the same strategy as the proof of 5.7. In (1) we verify each condition of a pro-object as in 5.7, and for (2) and (3) we prove both cofinality conditions for each functor in the order of 2.39.

Proof of (1): We see that by remark 5.3, Φ_f is non-empty. Next, given any two morphisms $i_k := (i_{f,k}, \tilde{i}_{f,k}) \in \Phi_f$ for $k = 1, 2$, we need to find another morphism $i' := (i_f, \tilde{i}'_f)$ and arrows $i' \rightarrow i_k$ in Φ_f for $k = 1, 2$. So, if $\tilde{i}_{f,k}$ are the diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\overline{X}_k - X}_\bullet & \longrightarrow & \tilde{X}_{k,\bullet} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\widetilde{\overline{X}_k - U})_{k,\bullet} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{X}_{k,\bullet} \end{array}$$

which are smooth projective hyperenvelopes of $i_{f,k}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{X}_k - X & \longrightarrow & \overline{X}_k \\ \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \overline{X}_k - U & \longrightarrow & \overline{X}_k \end{array}$$

Recalling that $\overline{X}_1 \times \overline{X}_2$ is a compactification of X , writing $\overline{X}' := \overline{X}_1 \times \overline{X}_2$, $j'_X := \overline{X}' - X \rightarrow \overline{X}'$, $j'_U := \overline{X}' - U \rightarrow \overline{X}'$, and $j_U := \overline{X}' - U \rightarrow \overline{X}'$ we have the following diagrams for each $k = 1, 2$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} j'_X & \longrightarrow & j'_U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ j_{X,k} & \longrightarrow & j_{U,k} \end{array}$$

induced by the projections $\overline{X}' \rightarrow \overline{X}_k$. For each k , we can find smooth projective hyperenvelopes of the above square, i.e. the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} j_{\tilde{X}',k} & \longrightarrow & j_{\tilde{U}',k} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ j_{\tilde{X},k} & \longrightarrow & j_{\tilde{U},k} \end{array}$$

Take a smooth projective hyperenvelope \tilde{j}'_U over both $j_{\tilde{U}',k}$. Next, take a smooth projective hyperenvelope \tilde{j}'_X over the hyperenvelopes (for $k = 1, 2$) $\tilde{j}'_U \times_{j'_{\tilde{U},k}} j_{\tilde{X}',k}$. Labelling $\tilde{i}'_f = \tilde{j}'_X \rightarrow \tilde{j}'_U$, we see that $(X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}'_X) \in (P_X)_U$ and

$$i'_f := (id, \tilde{i}'_f) : (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}'_X) \rightarrow (U, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}'_U)$$

is an element of Φ_f . Further, we have morphisms $i'_f \rightarrow i_k$ in Φ_f .

We also need to show that for any parallel arrows $(\overline{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi}), (\overline{\varphi}', \tilde{\varphi}') : i_1 = (i_{f,1}, \tilde{i}_{f,1}) \rightarrow i_2 = (i_{f,2}, \tilde{i}_{f,2})$ in Φ_f , there exists a morphism $i' \in \Phi_f$ and an arrow $(\overline{\psi}, \tilde{\psi}) : i' \rightarrow i_1$ such that $(id, \tilde{\varphi}') \circ (id, \tilde{\psi}) = (id, \tilde{\varphi}) \circ (id, \tilde{\psi})$. Firstly, $\overline{\varphi}, \overline{\varphi}'$ give us the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} j_X & \xrightarrow{i_{f,1}} & j_U \\ \overline{\varphi}_1 \downarrow \downarrow \overline{\varphi}_1 & & \overline{\varphi}_2 \downarrow \downarrow \overline{\varphi}'_2 \\ j_X & \xrightarrow{i_{f,2}} & j'_U \end{array}$$

and a similar diagram exists for $\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi}'$. Recalling the constructions of $(X, eq(\overline{\varphi}_1, \overline{\varphi}_1'), \tilde{j}_{eq,X}) \in P_X$ and $(U, eq(\overline{\varphi}_2, \overline{\varphi}_2'), \tilde{j}_{eq,U}) \in P_U$ in part 3 of Proposition 5.5, we first observe that on $\overline{X}, eq(\overline{\varphi}_1, \overline{\varphi}_1') = eq(\overline{\varphi}_2, \overline{\varphi}_2')$, so we get an arrow of morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} eq(\overline{\varphi}_1, \overline{\varphi}_1') - X & \longrightarrow & eq(\overline{\varphi}_1, \overline{\varphi}_1') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ eq(\overline{\varphi}_2, \overline{\varphi}_2') - U & \longrightarrow & eq(\overline{\varphi}_2, \overline{\varphi}_2') \end{array}$$

Write the top morphism as $j_{eq,X}$ and the bottom morphism $j_{eq,U}$. Taking $\tilde{j}'_{eq,X}$ to be a smooth projective hyperenvelope of $j_{eq,X}$ over both $j_{eq,X} \times_{j_{eq,U}} \tilde{j}_{eq,U}$ and $\tilde{j}_{eq,X}$, we not only see that $(X, eq(\overline{\varphi}_1, \overline{\varphi}_1'), \tilde{j}'_{eq}) \in P_X$, but we also have the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} j_{eq,X} & \longrightarrow & j_{eq,U} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ j_X & \xrightarrow{i_{f,1}} & j_U \\ \tilde{\varphi}'_1 \downarrow \downarrow \tilde{\varphi}_1 & & \tilde{\varphi}'_2 \downarrow \downarrow \tilde{\varphi}_2 \\ j_X & \xrightarrow{i_{f,2}} & j'_U \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{j}'_{eq,X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}_{f,eq}} & \tilde{j}_{eq,U} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{j}_X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}_{f,1}} & \tilde{j}_U \\ \tilde{\varphi}'_1 \downarrow \downarrow \tilde{\varphi}_1 & & \tilde{\varphi}'_2 \downarrow \downarrow \tilde{\varphi}_2 \\ \tilde{j}_X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}_{f,2}} & \tilde{j}'_U \end{array}$$

So the morphism

$$(id, \tilde{i}_{f,eq}) : (X, eq(\overline{\varphi}_1, \overline{\varphi}_1'), \tilde{j}'_{eq,X}) \rightarrow (U, eq(\overline{\varphi}_1, \overline{\varphi}_1'), \tilde{j}'_{eq,U})$$

in Φ_f satisfies the desired properties.

Proof of (2): In order to show that the projection $\Phi_f^{op} \rightarrow P_X^{op}$ is cofinal, we the first condition is to show that for any object of $(X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X)$ of P_X , there is a morphism $(X', \overline{X}', \tilde{j}'_X) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X)$ such that $(X', \overline{X}', \tilde{j}'_X)$ is the target of a morphism in Φ_f^{op} . We see that by remark 5.3 the functor is surjective on objects, which satisfies the first condition of cofinality. For the second condition, suppose we are given the diagram

$$(5.0.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X) & \longrightarrow & (U, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_U) \\ \Downarrow & & \\ (X, \overline{X}', \tilde{j}'_X) & & \end{array}$$

where the morphism $(X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \rightarrow (U, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_U)$ is in Φ_f . Then, since P_X is cofiltered, there exists a morphism $(X, \overline{X}_{eq}, \tilde{j}_{eq}) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X)$ equalizing the vertical maps. Further, \overline{X}_{eq} is also a compactification of U , and if we write $j_{eq,U} : \overline{X}_{eq} - U \rightarrow \overline{X}_{eq}$ and $j_U : \overline{X} - U \rightarrow \overline{X}$, we get a morphism $j_{eq} \rightarrow j_U$. Pulling back \tilde{j}_U along this map and taking a smooth projective hyperenvelope $\tilde{j}_{eq,U}$, we have the

diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(X, \overline{X}_{eq}, \tilde{j}_{eq}) & \longrightarrow & (U, \overline{X}_{eq}, \tilde{j}_{eq,U}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X) & \longrightarrow & (U, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_U) \\
\Downarrow & & \\
(X, \overline{X}', \tilde{j}'_X) & &
\end{array}$$

In other words, there is a morphism in Φ_f whose image in P_X equalizes the vertical morphisms in (5.0.2), which is the second condition of cofinality.

Next we must show that $\Phi_f^{op} \rightarrow P_U^{op}$ is cofinal, which is harder. Given an object $(U, \overline{U} \amalg *, \tilde{j}_U)$ of P_U , if $i : U \rightarrow \overline{U}$ denotes the open immersion, write $\overline{im(i)}$ as the closure of U in \overline{U} . U is Zariski dense in $\overline{im(i)}$. We also have that U is Zariski dense in the closure of its image in X , so taking V to be the complement of its Zariski closure, we have that $U \amalg V$ is Zariski dense in X . Given compactifications $V \rightarrow \overline{V}$ and $X \rightarrow \overline{X}$ such that the images are dense, we consider the closure of the image of the graph morphism:

$$\Gamma_f : U \amalg V \rightarrow (\overline{im(i)} \amalg \overline{V}) \times \overline{X}$$

denoted $\overline{\Gamma}_f \subset (\overline{im(i)} \amalg \overline{V}) \times \overline{X}$. Observe that $\overline{\Gamma}_f$ is a compactification of U , and the morphism $\overline{\Gamma}_f \rightarrow \overline{X}$ is proper and birational. By 2.11 of [Con07] there exists $U \amalg V$ -admissible blowups of $\overline{\Gamma}_f$ and \overline{X} that are isomorphic, i.e. two blowup maps $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \overline{\Gamma}_f$ and $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \overline{X}$ with open immersion $U \amalg V \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ compatible with the blow-up map. In the proof 2.11 and as explained in Lemma 2.7 of loc. cit., we can actually make the blowup $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \overline{X}$ to be X -admissible as X both contains $U \amalg V$ and is also a Zariski dense open subset of \overline{X} . Further, we have a map $\overline{im(i)} \amalg \overline{V} \rightarrow \overline{im(i)} \amalg *$ sending \overline{V} to $*$. So, we have a composition of proper maps:

$$\tilde{X} \rightarrow \overline{\Gamma}_f \rightarrow (\overline{im(i)} \amalg \overline{V}) \rightarrow (\overline{im(i)} \amalg *) \rightarrow (\overline{U} \amalg *)$$

that will send $\tilde{X} - U$ to $\overline{U} - U$ and when restricted to U is the identity. We know we can construct a smooth projective hyperenvelope \tilde{j}'_U that gives us a morphism $(U, \tilde{X}, \tilde{j}'_U) \rightarrow (U, \overline{U}, \tilde{j}_U)$. Next, we can find a hyperenvelope \tilde{j}'_X of $\tilde{X} - X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ and a morphism $\tilde{j}_X \rightarrow \tilde{j}'_U$ that allows us to construct the diagram of morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(U, \tilde{X}, \tilde{j}'_U) & \longleftarrow & (X, \tilde{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \\
\downarrow & & \\
(U, \overline{U} \amalg *, \tilde{j}_U) & &
\end{array}
\tag{5.0.3}$$

which proves the first cofinality condition. For the second condition, we are given a pair of morphisms $(\overline{f}, \tilde{f}), (\overline{g}, \tilde{g}) : (U, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_U) \rightarrow (U, \overline{U}, \tilde{j}'_U)$ such that there exists a morphism $(X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \rightarrow (U, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_U)$. Let $\overline{im(X)} \subset \overline{X}$ denote the closure of X inside \overline{X} and $\overline{im(U)} \subset eq(\overline{f}, \overline{g})$ denote the closure of U inside the equalizer (which is a compactification of U). Just as in 5.0.3, we can find a compactification of X and U dominating $\overline{im(U)}$ and $\overline{im(X)}$ respecting the open embedding $U \hookrightarrow X$, call it \tilde{X} . Since

both $\overline{im(U)}$ and $\overline{im(X)}$ are closed subsets of \overline{X} , we have the commutative diagram:

$$(5.0.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ eq(\overline{f}, \overline{g}) & & \overline{im(X)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \overline{X} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \overline{X} \end{array}$$

which respect the open immersion $U \hookrightarrow X$. If $(U, eq(\overline{f}, \overline{g}), \tilde{j}_{eq})$ denotes the object that equalizes $(\overline{f}, \tilde{f})$ and $(\overline{g}, \tilde{g})$, then we can find hyperenvelopes \tilde{j}'_X of $\tilde{X} - X \rightarrow \overline{X}$ and \tilde{j}'_U of $\tilde{X} - U \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ that give us the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{j}'_U & \longleftarrow & \tilde{j}'_X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{j}_{eq} & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{j}_U & \longleftarrow & \tilde{j}_X \end{array}$$

i.e. image of the morphism $(X, \tilde{X}, \tilde{j}'_X) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X)$ in P_U will equalize $(\overline{f}, \tilde{f})$ and $(\overline{g}, \tilde{g})$, proving cofinality.

Proof of (3): The proof that $\Phi_{g \circ f} \mapsto S_{\Phi_f \circ \Phi_g}$ is the same as (3) in the proof of 5.7. □

Lastly, we would like to relate $PH(\mathcal{V})$ to $\text{Pro}(s\mathcal{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$. This is done via the simplicial mapping cone:

Definition 5.10. The simplicial cone defines a functor, which we call the *pre-motive functor*

$$M : PH(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{Pro}^{wc}(s\mathcal{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$$

where on objects $M_X := M(P_X) = \{sCone(\tilde{j}_X) : (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \in P_X\}$. For morphism $\Phi : P_X \rightarrow P_Y$, we define $M(\Phi) := \{sCone(\tilde{f}) : (\overline{f}, \tilde{f}) \text{ represents } \Phi\}$.

6. LIFTING THE MEASURE TO $s\mathcal{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$

We are now in a position prove the existence of a well-defined weight filtration in $L^H(s\mathcal{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$ equipped with covariant morphisms for closed embeddings and contravariant morphisms for open immersions, and to build the map on K-theory spectra via the construction of a weak W-exact functor in the sense of [Cam19]. Recall that in [Cam19], \mathcal{V} is shown to be an *SW*-category, or a category with three distinguished classes of morphisms:

- (1) *Cofibrations*, consisting of closed embeddings. Let $\mathbf{co}(\mathcal{V})$ denote the corresponding category.
- (2) *Complements*, consisting of open immersions. Let $\mathbf{comp}(\mathcal{V})$ denote the corresponding category.
- (3) *Weak equivalences*, consisting of isomorphisms. Let $\mathbf{w}(\mathcal{V})$ denote the corresponding category.

We use these subcategories, along with work from Section 4 and 5 to state the following theorem:

Theorem 6.1. *The pre-motive functor $X \mapsto M_X$ induces the following infinity functors:*

$$\mathbf{w}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow L^H(s\mathcal{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{co}(\mathcal{V}) &\rightarrow L^H(\mathbf{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}) \\ \mathbf{comp}(\mathcal{V})^{op} &\rightarrow L^H(\mathbf{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})\end{aligned}$$

that on π_0 send $X \mapsto M(X) \in \text{Ho}(\mathbf{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$. In other words, the Gillet–Soulé pre motive construction $M(X)$ is well-defined in $L^H(\mathbf{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$, and comes equipped covariant morphisms associated to closed embeddings along with contravariant morphisms associated to open immersions.

Proof. Composing 5.7 with 5.10, we have a functor of 1-categories

$$\mathbf{co}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathbf{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$$

The fact that M_X in fact lands in weakly constant pro-objects of $\mathbf{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$ follows from Theorem 3.24. Dwyer–Kan hammock localization gives a map of ∞ -categories

$$\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathbf{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}) \rightarrow L^H(\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathbf{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}))$$

By 4.7, we have a map $\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathbf{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}) \rightarrow L^H(\mathbf{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$, and so a map $\mathbf{co}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow L^H(\mathbf{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$. Restricting to $\mathbf{w}(\mathcal{V}) \subset \mathbf{co}(\mathcal{V})$, we acquire the first ∞ -functor in the theorem. The last ∞ -functor in the theorem is constructed in the same way as $\mathbf{co}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow L^H(\mathbf{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$ but using the functor in 5.9. The fact that they all agree on 0-simplices follows from the fact that they all agree on objects in $\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathbf{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$. \square

Instead of distinguished exact sequences in an exact category or quotients in a Waldhausen category, \mathcal{V} has said to have *subtraction sequences* of the form $Z \rightarrow X \leftarrow U$, where the first morphism is a cofibration, the second is a complement, and $X - Z = U$. Associated to an SW-category is a K-theory spectrum, first constructed in [Z] and then in [Cam19]. In order to construct maps from the K-theory spectrum of an SW category to the K-theory spectrum of a Waldhausen category, the notion of a W-exact functor is introduced in [Cam19]. We recall the definition here.

Definition 6.2. Given an SW-category \mathcal{C} and a Waldhausen category \mathcal{W} with FFWC, a (resp. weak) W-exact functor is a triple of functors (F_l, F^l, F_w) such that:

- (1) $F_l : \mathbf{co}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$
- (2) $F^l : \mathbf{comp}(\mathcal{C})^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$
- (3) $F_w : \mathbf{w}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{w}(\mathcal{W})$
- (4) For an object $X \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, $F_l(X) = F^l(X) = F_w(X)$
- (5) For any pullback diagram in \mathcal{C} (on the left) where i, i' are complements and j, j' are cofibrations, the corresponding diagram commutes in \mathcal{W} (on the right):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{j} & Y & & F(X) & \xrightarrow{F_l(j)} & F(Y) \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow i' & & F^l(i) \uparrow & & \uparrow F^l(i') \\ Z & \xrightarrow{j'} & W & & F(Z) & \xrightarrow{F_l(j')} & W \end{array}$$

- (6) For a subtraction sequence $Z \xrightarrow{f} X \leftarrow^i U$, the corresponding diagram in \mathcal{W} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(Z) & \xrightarrow{F_l(f)} & F(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow F^l(i) \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F(U) \end{array}$$

is (resp. weakly) equivalent to a pushout diagram.

- (7) For any commutative diagram in \mathcal{C} (on the left) where i, i' are weak equivalences and j, j' are cofibrations, the corresponding diagram commutes in \mathcal{W} (on the right):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{j} & Y \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow i' \\ Z & \xrightarrow{j'} & W \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F(X) & \xrightarrow{F_!(j)} & F(Y) \\ F_w(i) \downarrow & & \downarrow F_w(i') \\ F(Z) & \xrightarrow{F_!(j')} & F(W) \end{array}$$

and a similar statement holds for complement maps.

The following proposition is directly from [Cam19]:

Theorem 6.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be an SW category, \mathcal{W} be a Waldhausen category with FFWC, and $(F_!, F^!, F_w) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ be either W -exact or weak W -exact. Then, $(F_!, F^!, F_w)$ determines a map of K -theory spectra:*

$$K(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{W})$$

We now use this definition and theorem to get the desired lift.

Theorem 6.4. *There exists a weakly W -exact functor $(F_!, F^!, F_w) : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{Pro}^{wc}(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$ sending on objects:*

$$X \mapsto M_X := \{s\text{Cone}(\tilde{j}_X) : (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \in P_X\}$$

Consequently, this assignment gives us a map of K -theory spectra, which we will label

$$\text{Pro}(V) : K(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow K(\text{Pro}^{wc}(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}))$$

Definition 6.5. Recalling the axioms in [Cam19], Defn. 5.2, we define for variety $X \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{V})$,

$$F^!(X) = F_w(X) = F_!(X) = M_X$$

Now, we define them on morphisms.

- (1) $F_! : \mathbf{co}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{Pro}^{wc}(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$ is defined by sending a cofibration $f : Z \rightarrow X$ to the following morphism of pro-objects:

$$F_!(f) := M(P_f) : M_Z \rightarrow M_X$$

where P_f is the morphism constructed in 5.7.

- (2) $F^! : \mathbf{comp}(\mathcal{V})^{op} \rightarrow (\text{Pro}^{wc}(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}))$ is defined by sending an open immersion $f : U \rightarrow X$ to

$$F^!(f) := M(\Phi_f) : M_X \rightarrow M_U$$

where Φ_f is the morphism constructed in 5.9

- (3) $F_w : \mathbf{w}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{Pro}^{wc}(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$ is just defined as the restriction of $F_!$ to $\mathbf{w}(\mathcal{V})$

Proof of Theorem 6.3. The first 4 conditions of W -exactness can be immediately verified. Showing property 5 requires the most work. Given a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xleftarrow{f} & X \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow g' \\ W & \xleftarrow{f'} & Y \end{array}$$

where f, f' are closed embeddings and g, g' are open immersions, we show that we have a commutative diagram

$$(6.0.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P_Z & \xrightarrow{P_f} & P_X \\ \Phi_g \uparrow & & \uparrow \Phi_{g'} \\ P_W & \xrightarrow{P_{f'}} & P_Y \end{array}$$

in $PH(\mathcal{V})$. This can be done by observing that the subcategory of arrows of $PH(\mathcal{V})$ consists of diagrams (not necessarily commutative) in $PH(\mathcal{V})$ of the form:

$$(6.0.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (Z, \overline{Z}, \tilde{j}_Z) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{f}, \tilde{f})} & (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \\ (id, \tilde{g}) \uparrow & & \uparrow (id, \tilde{g}') \\ (W, \overline{Z}, \tilde{j}_W) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{f}', \tilde{f}')} & (Y, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_Y) \end{array}$$

Where

$$(6.0.3) \quad (W, \overline{Z}, \tilde{j}_W) \rightarrow (Z, \overline{Z}, \tilde{j}_Z) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X)$$

represents $P_f \circ \Phi_g$ and

$$(6.0.4) \quad (W, \overline{Z}, \tilde{j}_W) \rightarrow (Y, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_Y) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X)$$

represents $\Phi_{g'} \circ P_{f'}$. This is a pro-object of morphisms representing (6.0.1) (see [AM06] A.3.4 for the proof). Now, A.3.5 of [AM06] tells us that we just need to find for each diagram of the form (6.0.2) a morphism $(W, \overline{W}, \tilde{j}'_W) \rightarrow (W, \overline{Z}, \tilde{j}_W)$ equalizing (6.0.3) and (6.0.4). Observe that the morphisms $j_W \rightarrow j_X$ in (6.0.3) and (6.0.4) are already equal, giving us the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{j}_W & \Longrightarrow & \tilde{j}_X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ j_W & \longrightarrow & j_X \end{array}$$

taking the equalizer of the two morphisms from $\tilde{j}_W \rightarrow \tilde{j}_X$, we have that by the same proof as in (3) of 5.5, the equalizer of these two morphisms which we label j_{eq} , is a hyperenvelope of j_W . Taking a smooth projective hyperenvelope \tilde{j}_{eq} of j_{eq} , we get a morphism of the form $(W, \overline{W}, \tilde{j}_{eq}) \rightarrow (W, \overline{Z}, \tilde{j}_W)$ equalizing (6.0.3) and (6.0.4) as desired, proving that (6.0.1) is a commutative diagram.

For condition 6, give a subtraciton sequence $Z \rightarrow X \leftarrow U$, the proof of 2.4 of [SG96] constructs a morphism $(Z, \overline{Z}, \tilde{j}_Z) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \rightarrow (U, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_U)$ such that the induced morphism on cones:

$$sCone(\tilde{j}_Z) \rightarrow sCone(\tilde{j}_X) \rightarrow sCone(\tilde{j}_U)$$

is a pushout square in $s\mathbb{V}_*$. Since we have a weak equivalence of pro-objects:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M_U & \longrightarrow & M_X & \longrightarrow & M_Z \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ sCone(\tilde{j}_Z) & \longrightarrow & sCone(\tilde{j}_X) & \longrightarrow & sCone(\tilde{j}_U) \end{array}$$

we can conclude that the upper row is weakly equivalent to a pushout square.

For condition 7, $F_w = F!$ so the proof of condition 7 holds by functoriality. \square

Corollary 6.6. *Since we have the homotopy equivalence $K(\mathrm{Pro}^{wc}(\mathrm{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\mathrm{perf}})) \simeq K(\mathrm{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\mathrm{perf}})$ by Theorem 4.8 given by the inclusion of constant pro-objects $\mathrm{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\mathrm{perf}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pro}^{wc}(\mathrm{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\mathrm{perf}})$, we can compose with the map $K(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow K(\mathrm{Pro}^{wc}(\mathrm{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\mathrm{perf}}))$ to get the derived motivic measure*

$$K(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow K(\mathrm{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\mathrm{perf}})$$

which at the level of K_0 , sends $[X] \mapsto [\mathrm{sCone}(\tilde{j}_X)]$, where \tilde{j}_X is any smooth projective hyperenvelope of $\overline{X} - X \rightarrow \overline{X}$, and where \overline{X} is any compactification of X .

We also have the following:

Corollary 6.7. *If \tilde{X} is a smooth projective variety, then the motivic measure in 6.6 will map $[\tilde{X}] \in K_0(\mathcal{V})$ to its corresponding class $[\tilde{X}] \in K_0(\mathrm{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\mathrm{perf}})$ viewed as a constant simplicial variety.*

Proof. If \tilde{X} is smooth and projective, then it is its own compactification, i.e. we can define $j_{\tilde{X}} : \emptyset \rightarrow \tilde{X}$, and $\mathrm{sCone}(j_{\tilde{X}}) = \tilde{X}$, viewed as a constant simplicial object in $\mathrm{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\mathrm{perf}}$. We can use Corollary 6.6 to conclude the proof. \square

Definition 6.8. Composing V with the map on K-theory in 3.27, we have the map:

$$K(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow K(\mathrm{Ch}^b(\mathrm{Chow}))$$

which on the level of K_0 sends the isomorphism class of a variety $[X]$ to the isomorphism class of any of its weight complexes $[\Phi_*(\mathrm{sCone}(\tilde{j}_X))]$. By 3.22, this is precisely the isomorphism class of the Chow motive of X in the sense of Gillet–Soulé, and so we call this map the derived Gillet–Soulé measure.

7. THE DERIVED MEASURE TO SIMPLICIAL CDH SHEAVES

We must recall some basic definitions used in the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory of Morel and Voevodsky. Consider the cdh topology on Sch_k , the category of Noetherian schemes over k . This is defined in 1.2.2 of [Voe06]. Recall the following: by 2.17 of [Voe10], envelopes are coverings in the cdh topology. Another important fact about cdh sheaves is the following definition:

Proposition 7.1 (1.2.6 of [Voe06]). *A cdh sheaf sends abstract blow-up squares, i.e. pullback squares of the form:*

$$(7.0.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ A & \xrightarrow{e} & X \end{array}$$

to pullback diagrams, where p is proper, e is a closed embedding and $p^{-1}(X - e(A)) \rightarrow X - e(A)$ is an isomorphism.

The following proposition follows from 6.17 of [HKK18]:

Proposition 7.2. *The cdh topology on Sch_k restricted to Sm_k , the subcategory of smooth varieties over k , is subcanonical, i.e. representable presheaves of smooth schemes are cdh-sheaves.*

Lemma 7.3. *Consider the Yoneda embedding $r : \mathrm{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\mathrm{perf}} \rightarrow \mathrm{sShv}_{\mathrm{cdh}}(\mathrm{Sch})_*$ sending a simplicial smooth projective variety X_\bullet to its representable $r(X_\bullet) = (rX)_\bullet$ (which is a simplicial cdh sheaf by 7.2). Then, r preserves coproducts.*

Proof. Let $A, B \in \mathbf{sV}_*^{\text{perf}}$ denote two simplicial objects concentrated in degree 0. For any *cdh*-sheaf \mathcal{F} , we have the natural isomorphism in the presheaf category PreSch_* via Yoneda:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\text{PreSch}_*}(r(A \coprod B), \mathcal{F}) &= \mathcal{F}(A \coprod B) \\ &\cong \mathcal{F}(A) \times \mathcal{F}(B) \quad (\text{coproduct squares are cdh}) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\text{PreSch}_*}(rA, \mathcal{F}) \times \text{Hom}_{\text{PreSch}_*}(rB, \mathcal{F}) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\text{PreSch}_*}(rA \coprod rB, \mathcal{F}) \end{aligned}$$

The sheafification functor $\# : \text{PreSch}_* \rightarrow \text{Shv}_{\text{cdh}}(\text{Sch})_*$ preserves finite products and colimits, and is also the right adjoint to the inclusion functor $\text{Shv}_{\text{cdh}}(\text{Sch})_* \hookrightarrow \text{PreSch}_*$, further giving us the natural isomorphisms:

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{PreSch}_*}(r(A \coprod B), \mathcal{F}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Shv}_{\text{cdh}}(\text{Sch})_*}(r(A \coprod B), \mathcal{F})$$

and

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{PreSch}_*}(rA \coprod rB, \mathcal{F}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Shv}_{\text{cdh}}(\text{Sch})_*}((rA) \coprod (rB), \mathcal{F})$$

In other words, we have the natural isomorphism for any sheaf \mathcal{F} :

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Shv}(\text{Sch})_*}((rA) \coprod (rB), \mathcal{F}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Shv}(\text{Sch})_*}(r(A \coprod B), \mathcal{F})$$

We can therefore conclude that in $\mathbf{sShv}_{\text{cdh}}(\text{Sch})_*$

$$r(A \coprod B) = r(A) \coprod r(B)$$

i.e. the functor preserves coproducts on constant simplicial objects. Since coproducts of simplicial objects are taken degree-wise, we have that the functor on all of $\mathbf{sV}_*^{\text{perf}}$ must also preserve coproducts. \square

In order to turn $\text{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}(\text{Sch})_*$ into a Waldhausen category we recall the following proposition, Theorem 5 of [Jar87], attributed to Joyal:

Proposition 7.4. *Let $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of simplicial sheaves. $\mathbf{sShv}_{\text{cdh}}(\text{Sch})_*$ has a cofibrantly generated proper model structure where:*

- *f is a weak equivalence if it induces an isomorphism on homotopy sheaves*
- *f is a cofibration if it is a degree-wise monomorphism*
- *f is a fibration if it has the right lifting property with respect to any cofibration that is a weak equivalence*

Definition 7.5. We define a simplicial sheaf $\mathcal{F}_\bullet \in \mathbf{sShv}_{\text{cdh}}(\text{Sch})_*$ to be perfect if there exists \tilde{G}_\bullet that can be obtained from taking simplicial mapping cones and coproducts or products of constant representable simplicial sheaves such that \mathcal{F}_\bullet and \tilde{G}_\bullet are isomorphic in the homotopy category $\text{Ho}(\mathbf{sShv}_{\text{cdh}}(\text{Sch})_*)$.

Definition 7.6. Let $\text{perf}_{\text{co}}(\mathbf{sShv}_{\text{cdh}}(\text{Sch})_*)$ denote the subcategory of perfect and cofibrant objects in $\mathbf{sShv}_{\text{cdh}}(\text{Sch})_*$.

Proposition 7.7. *The classes of cofibrations and weak equivalences in $\text{perf}_{\text{co}}(\mathbf{sShv}_{\text{cdh}}(\text{Sch})_*)$ give it a Waldhausen structure with FFWC*

Proof. The proof that $\text{perf}_{\text{co}}(\mathbf{sShv}_{\text{cdh}}(\text{Sch})_*)$ is Waldhausen follows immediately from the model category axioms, and the FFWC portion of the proof is the same as in Lemma 1 of [BGN21], which relies on the fact that the model structure is cofibrantly generated. \square

Proposition 7.8. *The Yoneda embedding $r : s\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}} \hookrightarrow \text{perf}_{\text{co}}(\text{sShv}_{\text{cdh}}(\text{Sch})_*)$ is an exact functor of Waldhausen categories, where $s\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$ has the Waldhausen structure given in 3.15.*

Proof. By 7.3, the functor preserves level-wise inclusions onto direct summands of coproducts, so since any cofibration f_\bullet in $s\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$ is of the form $f_n : X_n \hookrightarrow X_n \amalg Y_n$, we see that rf_\bullet will also be such that $rf_n : rX_n \hookrightarrow rX_n \amalg rY_n$, which is a level-wise monomorphism in $\text{sShv}_{\text{cdh}}(\text{Sch})_*$. So, r preserves cofibrations. A similar argument will show that r preserves level-wise pushouts along cofibrations in $s\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$ are preserved. In the case of weak equivalences, isomorphisms and simplicial homotopy equivalences $s\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$ will be isomorphisms and homotopy equivalences respectively in sPreSch_* just by functoriality. Lemma 1 of [Jar07] shows that all hypercovers are local weak equivalences in sPreSch_* given the same model structure as in 7.4, and so they will remain local weak equivalences after sheafification. Now, consider a diagram of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_\bullet & \xrightarrow{f} & B_\bullet & \longrightarrow & s\text{Cone}[f] \\ \downarrow h_A & & \downarrow h_B & & \downarrow \\ A'_\bullet & \xrightarrow{g} & B'_\bullet & \longrightarrow & s\text{Cone}[g] \end{array}$$

where h_A, h_B are hyperenvelopes or simplicial homotopy equivalences. We already know that rh_A, rh_B are weak equivalences of simplicial sheaves, and therefore so must be the induced morphism on cones. Lastly, consider a cubical diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_\bullet & \xrightarrow{f_\bullet} & Y_\bullet & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ h_X \downarrow & & X'_\bullet & \xrightarrow{h_{Y'}} & Y'_\bullet \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{h_{X'}} & Y & \xrightarrow{h_{Y'}} & Y' \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ & & X' & \longrightarrow & Y' \end{array}$$

where the bottom square is an abstract blow-up square of proper varieties and the vertical morphisms are hyperenvelopes. The map $Y \rightarrow Y'$ is a cdh cover, and so the induced map on the sheafification $rY \rightarrow rY'$ is an effective epimorphism by [Sta23, Tag 00WT] and [Sta23, Tag 086K]. This means that $rY \times_{rY'} rY \rightrightarrows rY'$ is a coequalizer, so we have a diagram of sheaves:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} rX & \xrightarrow{\quad} & rY & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ & & rY \times_{rY'} rY & & \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ rX' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & rY' & & \end{array}$$

where every path from $rX \rightarrow rY'$ commutes, implying that the outer square is a pushout square. Since the map $rX \rightarrow rY$ is a level-wise monomorphism of sheaves (as Yoneda is limit preserving) the square is a homotopy pushout square. By 13.5.9 of [Hir03] the the upper square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_\bullet & \xrightarrow{f_\bullet} & Y_\bullet \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X'_\bullet & \xrightarrow{f'_\bullet} & Y'_\bullet \end{array}$$

is a homotopy cofiber square, and so the induced map on homotopy cofibers is a weak equivalence. The homotopy cofiber in $\mathrm{sShv}_{\mathrm{cdh}}(\mathrm{Sch})_*$ of a morphism between representables of smooth projective varieties will be the same as the image of the simplicial mapping cone of a morphism in $\mathrm{sV}_*^{\mathrm{perf}}$, meaning that $r(\mathrm{s}C_{f_\bullet}) \rightarrow r(\mathrm{s}C_{f'_\bullet})$ will be a weak equivalence. So, Yoneda sends morphisms in $w(\mathrm{sV}_*^{\mathrm{perf}})$ to weak equivalences in $\mathrm{sShv}_{\mathrm{cdh}}(\mathrm{Sch})_*$. Now, consider the subcategory of $\mathrm{sV}_*^{\mathrm{perf}}$ whose morphisms land in weak equivalences in $\mathrm{sShv}_{\mathrm{cdh}}(\mathrm{Sch})_*$. Since Yoneda preserves pushouts along cofibrations and weak equivalences are saturated in $\mathrm{sShv}_{\mathrm{cdh}}(\mathrm{Sch})_*$, this subcategory satisfies the two axioms of definition 3.13, implying it contains $\mathbf{w}(\mathrm{sV}_*)$. So, we can actually conclude that Yoneda preserves all weak equivalences. Since Yoneda additionally preserves mapping cones and coproducts, it will by definition send perfect objects of sV_* to perfect objects of $\mathrm{sShv}_{\mathrm{cdh}}(\mathrm{Sch})_*$. Lastly, the image of $\mathrm{sV}_*^{\mathrm{perf}}$ via Yoneda lands in cofibrant objects as all objects are cofibrant in the model structure outlined in 7.4. \square

Corollary 7.9. *Writing $K(\mathrm{sShv}_{\mathrm{cdh}}(\mathrm{Sch})_*)$ as the Waldhausen K -theory of perfect and cofibrant objects of $\mathrm{sShv}_{\mathrm{cdh}}(\mathrm{Sch})_*$, we have a motivic measure*

$$K(\mathcal{V}_k) \rightarrow K(\mathrm{sShv}_{\mathrm{cdh}}(\mathrm{Sch})_*)$$

On the level of K_0 , this map will be $[X] \mapsto [r(\tilde{j}_X)]$, where $[r(\tilde{j}_X)]$ is the isomorphism class of the representable presheaf associated to any weight complex of X .

Corollary 7.10. *At the level of $K_0(\mathrm{sShv}_{\mathrm{cdh}}(\mathrm{Sch})_*)$, for any proper variety \overline{X} , the map in 7.9 sends:*

$$[\overline{X}] \mapsto [(r\overline{X})^\#]$$

where $(r\overline{X})^\#$ is the sheafification of the representable presheaf of \overline{X} . It therefore follows by 7.9 that for any variety X , the isomorphism class in $\mathrm{sShv}_{\mathrm{cdh}}(\mathrm{Sch})_$ of the weight complex can be computed as*

$$[r(\mathrm{s}C_{\tilde{j}_X})] = [(r\overline{X})^\#] + [r(\overline{X} - X)^\#]$$

where \overline{X} is any compactification of X .

Proof. When building the weight complex of a proper variety \overline{X} , it serves as its own compactification, and so any smooth projective hyperenvelope \tilde{X}_\bullet of \overline{X} is a weight complex of \overline{X} in $\mathrm{sV}_*^{\mathrm{perf}}$. Further, the map $\tilde{X}_\bullet \rightarrow \overline{X}$ is a cdh hypercover in Sch and also in $\mathrm{sShv}_{\mathrm{cdh}}(\mathrm{Sch})_*$, implying that in $K_0(\mathrm{sShv}_{\mathrm{cdh}}(\mathrm{Sch})_*)$, we have $[r\tilde{X}_\bullet] = [r(\overline{X})^\#]$. This proves that the motivic measure in 7.9 sends the isomorphism class of a proper variety $[\overline{X}]$ to isomorphism class of its corresponding cdh sheaf $[r(\overline{X})^\#]$. The rest of the corollary follows. \square

8. RECOVERING THE DERIVED VOEVODSKY MEASURE

Recall that [BGN21] constructs a derived motivic measure to $K(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{gm}}(R))$, where

$$\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{gm}}(R) = \mathrm{perf}_{\mathrm{co}}((\mathcal{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}, \mathrm{Nis}}^{\mathrm{eff}})^{\mathrm{op}} - R\mathrm{mod})$$

gives us the K -theory of the DG category of perfect effective geometric Voevodsky motives with coefficients in a commutative ring R , i.e. $\mathcal{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}, \mathrm{Nis}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(R)$ constructed in [BV08]. We first review the notion of both the usual and compactly supported Voevodsky motive in loc. cit.

Definition 8.1. For a commutative ring R , if X and Y are varieties over a field k , define:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Cor}_R(X, Y) &:= R(\Gamma \subset Y \times X, \Gamma \text{ is a reduced, irreducible subscheme,} \\ &\quad \pi_2 : \Gamma \rightarrow X \text{ finite, dominant over a connected component}) \end{aligned}$$

Here, $R\langle S \rangle$ denotes the free R module generated by the set S . Let Sm denote the category of smooth varieties and $Cor_R(Sm)$ denote the category with the same objects, but the morphisms are the elements of $Cor_R(X, Y)$. Note that there is an associative composition in $Cor_R(Sm)$ that is defined the same way as in *Chow*.

Proposition 8.2. *There is an inclusion functor $\mathbb{V} \rightarrow Cor_R(Sm)$, which is the identity on objects and where $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbb{V} will be sent to the graph $\Gamma_f \in Cor_R(X, Y)$.*

Definition 8.3. Let $Cor_R(Sm)^{op} - R - \text{mod}$ be the category of pre-sheaves of R -modules on $Cor_R(Sm)$, and $Cor_R(Sm)^{op} - \text{dgm}$ similarly denote the category of pre-sheaves of DG R -modules on $Cor_R(Sm)$. Observe that $Cor_R(Sm)^{op} - \text{mod}$ is a full subcategory of $Cor_R(Sm)^{op} - \text{dgm}$.

Definition 8.4. For $X \in ob(Cor_R(Sm))$, we let $R_{tr}[X] := Hom_{Cor_R(Sm)}(-, X)$ denote its representable DG-presheaf, i.e. in $Cor(Sm)^{op} - R - \text{dgm}$ (it is actually an object of $Cor_R(Sm)^{op} - R - \text{mod}$).

Definition 8.5. We also define

$$QDom_R(X, Y) := R(\Gamma \subset Y \times X, \Gamma \text{ is a reduced, irreducible subscheme,} \\ \pi_2 : \Gamma \rightarrow X \text{ quasi-finite, dominant over a connected component})$$

Proposition 8.6. *There is a functor*

$$R_{tr}^c : \mathcal{V}^{prop} \rightarrow Cor_R(Sm)^{op} - \text{mod}$$

Here, \mathcal{V}^{prop} is the category where the objects are k -varieties and the morphisms are only proper ones. For a variety X , $R_{tr}^c[X]$ is a pre-sheaf sending, on objects

$$Y \mapsto QDom_R(Y, X)$$

On morphisms $R_{tr}^c[X]$ sends a finite correspondence $\Gamma \in Cor_R(Y, Z)$ to the map $QDom_R(Z, X) \rightarrow QDom_R(Y, X)$ induced by $-\circ\Gamma$, and we extend the map R -linearly. Since Y is smooth, the composition map is well-defined. Further, R_{tr}^c sends morphisms $g : X \rightarrow Z$ to the natural transformation $\eta^g : R_{tr}^c[X] \rightarrow R_{tr}^c[Z]$ such that for each $Y \in Cor_R(Sm)^{op}$

$$\eta_Y^g : QDom_R(Y, X) \rightarrow QDom_R(Y, Z)$$

is induced by the standard pushforward of algebraic cycles along the proper map g^5 .

Proposition 8.7. *If X is a proper variety, then for any smooth variety Y , we have $QDom_R(Y, X) = Cor_R(Y, X)$. Therefore, $R_{tr}[X] = R_{tr}^c[X]$.*

Proof. Given a proper variety X and a closed integral subscheme $\Gamma \subset Y \times X$, we have that the projection $\Gamma \rightarrow X$ is closed in X , making it a proper map. Further, if the projection is quasi-finite and dominant on a connected component of X , then it is in fact finite (see Tag 02OG). This implies $QDom_R(Y, X) = Cor_R(Y, X)$. \square

The following proposition is the content of the material following 6.9.3 of [BV08]:

Proposition 8.8. *Let \mathcal{V}^{prop} denote the category of varieties where we consider only proper morphisms between them. The *cdh* topology on Sch will induce a *cdh* topology on $Cor_R(Sch)$, and we restrict our*

⁵In [BGN21], R_{tr}^c is referred to as $z_{equi}(-, 0)$, which is the notation in [MVW06]

attention to presheaves on $Cor_R(Sm) \subset Cor_R(\text{Sch})$, defining $\mathcal{P}_{tr,cdh}$ to be the DG enhancement of the derived category of cdh sheaves restricted to $Cor_R(Sm)$. There exists a cdh sheafification functor

$$C_{Cor_R(Sm)} : (Cor_R(Sm)^{op} - \text{sR} - \text{mod}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{tr}$$

along with a dg- \mathbb{A}^1 -localization functor, $C^\Delta : \mathcal{P}_{tr} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{tr}$, such that if we write $C^{\mathcal{M}} := C^\Delta \circ C_{Cor_R(Sm)}$, the composition

$$C^{\mathcal{M}} \circ R_{tr}^c : \mathcal{V}^{prop} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{tr}$$

is a DG lift of the assignment of the Voevodsky motive with compact support $X \mapsto M_{tr}^c(X)$ in [Voe00]. Define $M_{dg}^c := C^{\mathcal{M}} \circ R_{tr}^c$. One can extend M_{dg}^c to simplicial proper varieties, i.e.

$$sM_{dg}^c : s\mathcal{V}^{prop} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{tr}$$

by normalizing the associated simplicial presheaf with transfers and then applying $C^{\mathcal{M}}$.

Definition 8.9. We define $DM_{gm,cdh}^{\text{eff}}(R)$ objects of $Cor_R(Sm)^{op} - \text{R-dgm}$ quasi-isomorphic to bounded complexes of objects in the essential image of the functor M_{dg}^c of $Cor_R(Sm)^{op} - \text{R-dgm}$.

The next proposition shows us that the cdh topology does not change the category of motives:

Proposition 8.10 (Proposition 2 of [BV08]). *As Nisnevich covers are cdh covers, the ‘inclusion’ functor: $I : DM_{gm,cdh}^{\text{eff}}(R) \rightarrow DM_{gm,Nis}^{\text{eff}}(R)$ is a DG functor and quasi-equivalence (i.e. the induced morphism of triangulated categories is an equivalence).*

We conclude our review by citing an important proposition.

Proposition 8.11 ([BV08] - Lemma 6.9.1). *For any scheme X and $U \subset X$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_{dg}^c(X - U) & \longrightarrow & M_{dg}^c(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_{dg}^c(U) \end{array}$$

is quasi-isomorphic to a homotopy pushout square in $\mathcal{D}_{gm,Nis}^{\text{eff}}$

Now, we can build a map of K -theory spectra:

Proposition 8.12. *The functor $M_{dg} : s\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{per}} \rightarrow \text{C}^{\text{gm}}(R)$ is weakly exact, giving us a map:*

$$K(s\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{per}}) \rightarrow K(\text{C}^{\text{gm}}(R))$$

where $K(\text{C}^{\text{gm}}(R))$ is defined as in 2.23

Proof. All morphisms in both categories are weak cofibrations by 3.19 and 2.18. It is quick to check that simplicial homotopy equivalences will be global quasi-isomorphisms in $DM_{gm,Nis}^{\text{eff}}(R)$ and therefore weak equivalences in $\text{C}^{\text{gm}}(R)$. As for a hyperenvelope $X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$, we need to show that the image $R_{tr}[X_\bullet] \rightarrow R_{tr}[Y_\bullet]$ in $DM_{gm,Nis}^{\text{eff}}(R)$ is a global quasi isomorphism. Now, $\mathcal{P}_{tr,cdh}(R)$ is defined to be the DG enhancement of the derived category of cdh sheaves restricted to $Cor_R(Sm)$, in which the image of all cdh hypercoverings are global quasi-isomorphisms (see the lemma in 1.1 of [BV08]). We see that after applying C^Δ it will remain a global quasi-isomorphism in $DM_{gm,cdh}^{\text{eff}}(R)$, and due to the equivalence on their triangulated categories, will also be a quasi-isomorphism as an object of $DM_{gm,Nis}^{\text{eff}}(R)$ (and therefore a weak equivalence in $\text{C}^{\text{gm}}(R)$).

Further, $C^{\mathcal{M}} \circ R_{tr}$ preserves coproducts, as for any varieties X, Y we have that

$$0 = R_{tr}[0] \rightarrow R_{tr}[X] \oplus R_{tr}[Y] \rightarrow R_{tr}[X \coprod Y]$$

is a 3-term Zariski Mayer-Vietoris complex which is contained in \mathcal{J}^{Nis} (see [BV08] 4.4). As a result, we have an isomorphism

$$M_{dg}(X \coprod Y) \cong M_{dg}(X) \oplus M_{dg}(Y)$$

So, M_{dg} will preserve level-wise inclusions onto direct summands, and therefore pushouts along such inclusions, meaning that pushouts along cofibrations are preserved. This further implies that weak equivalences of type (3) in 3.14 will also be preserved. Next, since products and coproducts are the same in $\mathcal{D}_{gm, Nis}^{\text{eff}}(R)$, we see that coproducts will be preserved when we compose with the Yoneda map $\mathcal{D}_{gm, Nis}^{\text{eff}}(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\text{gm}}(R)$. Therefore, pushouts along level-wise inclusions will be preserved by $Y \circ M_{dg}$, so we have not only shown that pushouts along cofibrations are preserved, but that morphisms of the form (3) of definition 3.14 will also be preserved. As $\mathbf{C}^{\text{gm}}(R)$ inherits a Waldhausen structure from its model structure, the same argument in Proposition 7.18 will tell us that morphisms of the form (4) of definition 3.14 will also be sent to weak equivalences. So we have that $w(\mathbf{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$ will be sent to weak equivalences in $\mathbf{C}^{\text{gm}}(R)$. The subcategory of $\mathbf{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$ whose morphisms are weak equivalences in $\mathbf{C}^{\text{gm}}(R)$ satisfies the two axioms of definition of 3.15. and contains $w(\mathbf{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$, so in fact $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$ is also sent to weak equivalences. \square

Corollary 8.13. *Composing the map in Corollary 6.5 with that of Proposition 8.12, we get another derived measure:*

$$K(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow K(\mathbf{C}^{\text{gm}}(R))$$

Now, we compare this motivic measure to the one on cdh sheaves. The inclusion $Sm \hookrightarrow \text{Sch}$ gives a direct image functor: $f_* : \mathbf{sShv}_{\text{cdh}}(\text{Sch})_* \rightarrow \mathbf{sShv}_{\text{cdh}}(Sm)_*$, where $\mathbf{sShv}_{\text{cdh}}(Sm)_*$ denotes the category of simplicial cdh sheaves that are colimits of representables of Sm . Further, if $R[Sm]$ denotes the universal R -linear category associated to Sm , i.e. where $\text{Hom}_{R[Sm]}(X, Y) = R(\text{Hom}_{Sm}(X, Y))$, we have the ‘free’ functor:

$$\mathbf{sShv}_{\text{cdh}}(Sm)_* \rightarrow R[Sm]^{op} - \text{smod}$$

Lastly, by the remark after (2.1.3) of [BV08], we have a dg functor: $\Psi : R[Sm]^{op} - \text{smod} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{tr}$ (left adjoint to the ‘forgetting transfers’ functor) fitting into the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & R[Sm]^{op} - \text{mod} & \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \Psi \\ Sm & \xrightarrow{R_{tr}} & \mathcal{P}_{tr} \end{array}$$

where the functors out of Sm are Yoneda embeddings. Let us now denote $\Phi : \mathbf{sShv}_{\text{cdh}}(Sm)_* \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{tr}$ to be the composition of the free functor with Ψ . Using these functors, we can now construct a map of K -theory spectra:

Theorem 8.14. *Using the notation of 8.8 and 8.10*

$$I \circ C^{\mathcal{M}} \circ \Phi \circ f_* : \mathbf{sShv}_{\text{cdh}}(\text{Sch})_* \rightarrow DM_{gm, Nis}^{\text{eff}}(R)$$

is weakly exact between two Waldhausen categories with FFWC, giving us a map of K -theory spectra:

$$K(\mathbf{sShv}_{\text{cdh}}(\text{Sch})_*) \rightarrow K(\mathbf{C}^{\text{gm}}(R))$$

Proof. We first check that weak equivalences are preserved. In $\mathrm{sShv}_{\mathrm{cdh}}(\mathrm{Sch})_*$ they are given as local weak equivalences $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. These remain local weak equivalences under the direct image functor f_* . Next a local equivalence $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ gives a local weak equivalence of sheaves of simplicial abelian groups $R\mathcal{F} \rightarrow R\mathcal{G}$, and Ψ will send this to an isomorphism of cohomology sheaves. By the discussion in 1.11 of [BV08] this is a locally acyclic map of dg sheaves, which is an isomorphism in the derived category of dg sheaves of modules. Then $I \circ \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{M}}$ is a DG functor, so it will preserve this isomorphism on homotopy categories, i.e. it will be a weak equivalence in $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{gm}}(R)$. We now need to show that pushouts along cofibrations are sent to *weak* pushouts along cofibrations (which is any pushout in $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{gm}}(R)$ as all morphisms are weak cofibrations). As the direct image functor is a right adjoint and is computed as a filtered limit of simplicial sets, it will preserve monomorphisms and finite colimits, i.e. all pushouts along monomorphisms. Next, since the free functor is a left Quillen map, it must also send pushouts along cofibrations to pushouts. Lastly, DG functors preserve finite colimits, which allows us to conclude the second condition for weak exactness. \square

We have the following immediate corollary:

Corollary 8.15. *The weakly exact functors in 7.8, 8.12 and 8.14 commute, therefore giving us us a commutative diagram of spectra:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(\mathrm{sV}_*^{\mathrm{perf}}) & \longrightarrow & K(\mathrm{sShv}_{\mathrm{cdh}}(\mathrm{Sm})_*) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & K(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{gm}}(R)) \end{array}$$

Recall that [BGN21] had constructed a more direct measure which we recall here in the terminology of [BV08]:

Proposition 8.16. *There is a W -exact functor $(F^w, F^!, F_!) : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{gm}}(R)$ as follows: on objects, we directly send*

$$X \mapsto M_{\mathrm{dg}}^c[X]$$

On morphisms:

- (1) *For a cofibration (closed embedding) $f : X \hookrightarrow Y$, we simply define $F_!(f) = C^{\mathcal{M}}(R_{\mathrm{tr}}^c[f])$, which is well defined since closed immersions are proper. This construction is covariant as proper maps define pushforwards.*
- (2) *For a weak equivalence, i.e. isomorphism $f : X \xrightarrow{\cong} Y$, we just set $F^w(f) = F_!(f)$.*
- (3) *Finally, for a complement (open embedding) $f : X \xrightarrow{\circlearrowleft} Y$, we define the ‘restriction’ morphism, or $C^{\mathcal{M}}$ composed with the natural transformation $T_f^* : R_{\mathrm{tr}}^c[Y] \rightarrow R_{\mathrm{tr}}^c[X]$ such that on objects $U \in \mathrm{Cor}_R(\mathrm{Sm})^{\mathrm{op}}$ and correspondences $\Gamma \in \mathrm{QDom}_R(U, Y)$ (i.e. not an R -linear combination of correspondences) the map is given by the pullback induced by an open immersion $X \times U \rightarrow Y \times U$ ⁶:*

$$T_f^* : [\Gamma] \mapsto [(f \times \mathrm{id}_U)^*(\Gamma)] \in \mathrm{QDom}_R(U, X)$$

and we then extend R -linearly to define the map on all elements of $\mathrm{QDom}_R(U, X)$. Recall that $(f \times \mathrm{id}_U)^$ is explicitly given by*

$$[\Gamma] \mapsto [(f^{-1} \times \mathrm{id}_U)(\Gamma \cap (f(X) \times U))] = [(f^{-1} \times \mathrm{id}_U)(\Gamma)]$$

⁶As noted in [BV08], such a pullback can actually be given for any flat and quasi-finite morphism, not just an open immersion.

Here $[\Gamma]$ denotes the closed subvariety associated to the closed subset $\Gamma \subset Y \times U$, i.e. $(\Gamma, \mathcal{O}|_{Y \times U, \Gamma})$, where $\mathcal{O}|_{Y, \Gamma}$ is the structure sheaf localized at the closed subset Γ . So, we can in turn define

$$F^!(f) = C^{\mathcal{M}}(T_f^*) : M_{dg}^c(Y) \rightarrow M_{dg}^c(X)$$

Now, we would like to compare the two different K-theory maps from $K(\mathcal{V}_k) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{C}^{\text{gm}}(R))$. However, since the one in 8.12 is achieved via the route of pro-objects, we must compare these maps in the category of weakly constant pro-objects of $\mathbb{C}^{\text{gm}}(R)$, i.e. $\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathbb{C}^{\text{gm}}(R))$. The following proposition follows just as in 4.8:

Proposition 8.17. *Let $\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathbb{C}^{\text{gm}}(R))$ denote the subcategory of $\text{Pro}(\mathbb{C}^{\text{gm}}(R))$ consisting of pro-objects such that all the morphisms within the pro-object are weak equivalences. Just as in Propositions 4.4 and 4.5, $\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathbb{C}^{\text{gm}}(R))$ is Waldhausen with FFWC, where a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a weak equivalence (resp. cofibration) if one can find a representation of f indexed by Y by weak equivalences (resp. cofibrations) in $\mathbb{C}^{\text{gm}}(R)$.*

Proposition 8.18. *The weakly exact functor of Waldhausen categories in 8.12 also gives a weakly exact functor:*

$$\text{Pro}^{wc}(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}) \rightarrow \text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathbb{C}^{\text{gm}}(R))$$

Proof. The weakly exact functor in 8.12 $\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\text{gm}}(R)$ extends to a functor

$$\text{Pro}(M_{dg}) : \text{Pro}^{wc}(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}) \rightarrow \text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathbb{C}^{\text{gm}}(R))$$

on pro-objects as it preserves weak equivalences. Further if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism in $\text{Pro}^{wc}(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})$ and one can find a representation of f indexed by Y by weak equivalences (resp. cofibrations) in $\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}$, then $\text{Pro}(M_{dg})$ will also send these morphisms to weak equivalences (resp. weak cofibrations) in $\mathbb{C}^{\text{gm}}(R)$ via 8.12, which tells us that $\text{Pro}(M_{dg})$ preserves weak cofibrations and weak equivalences. By the same logic, $\text{Pro}(M_{dg})$ preserves level-wise inclusions onto direct summands just like M_{dg} , and therefore sends pushouts along cofibrations (which are inclusions into coproducts) to pushouts along weak cofibrations (i.e. weak pushout squares) concluding the proof. \square

The proof of the next proposition is the same as in Theorem 4.8:

Proposition 8.19. *The inclusion $\mathbb{C}^{\text{gm}}(R) \hookrightarrow \text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathbb{C}^{\text{gm}}(R))$ gives an equivalence*

$$K(\mathbb{C}^{\text{gm}}(R)) \xrightarrow{\cong} K(\text{Pro}^{wc}(\mathbb{C}^{\text{gm}}(R)))$$

We can now compare the derived measures. For a variety X , if we choose a compactification \overline{X} of X , and a smooth projective hyperenvelope \tilde{j}_X of $j_X : \overline{X} - X \rightarrow \overline{X}$, we get the following diagram of pre-sheaves with transfers:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_{dg}^c(\overline{X} - X_{\bullet}) \xrightarrow{M_{dg}^c(\tilde{j}_X)} M_{dg}^c(\tilde{X}_{\bullet}) & \longrightarrow & M_{dg}^c(\text{Cone}(\tilde{j}_X)) = \text{Cone}(M_{dg}^c[\tilde{j}_X]) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \\ M_{dg}^c(\overline{X} - X) \xrightarrow{M_{dg}^c(j_X)} M_{dg}^c(\overline{X}) & \longrightarrow & M_{dg}^c(X) \end{array}$$

Here, we recall Proposition 8.7, namely that $M_{dg}^c = M_{dg}$ for proper varieties. Above, as an abuse of notation, we equate a simplicial complex X_{\bullet} with its Moore complex $M(X_{\bullet})$. By Proposition 8.11,

$$0 \rightarrow M_{dg}^c[\overline{X} - X] \rightarrow M_{dg}^c[\overline{X}] \rightarrow M_{dg}^c[X] \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Further, the lemma in Section 1.11 of [BV08] tells us that the vertical arrows are also weak equivalences. As a result, the induced maps

$$\text{Cone}(M_{dg}^c[j_X]) \xrightarrow{\cong} M_{dg}^c[X]$$

and

$$\text{Cone}(M_{dg}^c[\tilde{j}_X]) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Cone}(M_{dg}^c[j_X])$$

are weak equivalences. Composing them gives us a collection of weak equivalences, each of which we denote

$$\Phi_{X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X} : M_{dg}^c(\text{Cone}(\tilde{j}_X)) \xrightarrow{\cong} M_{dg}^c(X)$$

Let $G = (G_1, G^!, G_w)$ define the W-exact functor which gives the motivic measure

$$K(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow K(\text{C}^{\text{gm}}(R)) \rightarrow K(\text{Pro}^{wc}(\text{C}^{\text{gm}}(R)))$$

where the first map in the composition is defined in 8.16, and let $F = (F_!, F^!, F_w)$ define the W-exact functor which gives the motivic measure

$$K(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow K(\text{Pro}^{wc}(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}})) \rightarrow K(\text{Pro}^{wc}(\text{C}^{\text{gm}}(R)))$$

where the first map in the composition is constructed in 6.3. We see that for each $X \in \mathcal{V}$, each $\Phi_{X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X}$ defines a weak equivalence $F(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} G(X)$, as $G(X)$ is just the constant pro-object $M_{dg}^c(X)$. A priori, it may be that different choices of compactifications/hyperenvelopes represent different weak equivalences between pro-objects. The next lemma shows that this is not the case.

Lemma 8.20. *For a fixed $X \in \mathcal{V}$ and choosing any objects $(X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X)$ in $PH(\mathcal{V})$, each morphism*

$$\Phi_{X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X} : M_{dg}^c(\text{Cone}(\tilde{j}_X))$$

represents the same morphism pro-objects

$$\Phi_X : F(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} G(X)$$

which is in fact a weak equivalence.

Proof. Given $(X, \overline{X}_1, \tilde{j}_{X_1}), (X, \overline{X}_2, \tilde{j}_{X_2})$ in $PH(\mathcal{V})$, one can check that the morphism $\Phi_{(X, \overline{X}_1 \times \overline{X}_2, \tilde{j}_{X_1, X_2})}$ fits into the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & M_{dg}^c[\text{Cone}(\tilde{j}_{X_1, X_2})] & & \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow \Phi_{(X, \overline{X}_1 \times \overline{X}_2, \tilde{j}_{X_1, X_2})} & \searrow & \\ M_{dg}^c[\text{Cone}(\tilde{j}_{X_1})] & & & & M_{dg}^c[\text{Cone}(\tilde{j}_{X_2})] \\ & \searrow \Phi_{(X, \overline{X}_1, \tilde{j}_{X_1})} & \downarrow & \swarrow \Phi_{(X, \overline{X}_2, \tilde{j}_{X_2})} & \\ & & M_{dg}^c[X] & & \end{array}$$

This shows that $\Phi_{(X, \overline{X}_1, \tilde{j}_{X_1})}$ and $\Phi_{(X, \overline{X}_2, \tilde{j}_{X_2})}$ represent the same weak equivalence of pro-objects. \square

Therefore, we can label the above weak equivalence Φ_X , and a particular representation of the morphism will be labeled $\Phi_{(X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X)}$.

Lemma 8.21. *The collection $\{\Phi_X\}_{X \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{V})}$ defines natural weak equivalences:*

$$F_! \Rightarrow G_!; \quad F^! \Rightarrow G^!; \quad F_w \Rightarrow G_w$$

Proof. Given a closed immersion $f : Z \hookrightarrow X$, the morphism $P_f : P_Z \rightarrow P_X$ is represented by morphisms of the form

$$(Z, \overline{Z}, \tilde{j}_Z) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X)$$

Therefore, for a particular choice of $\overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X$ and \tilde{j}_Z , we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\overline{Z} - Z} \bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \widetilde{\overline{X} - X} \bullet \\ \downarrow & \searrow \tilde{j}_Z & \swarrow \tilde{j}_X \\ & \tilde{Z} \bullet & \tilde{X} \bullet \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ & \overline{Z} & \overline{X} \\ \swarrow j_Z & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \swarrow j_X \\ \overline{Z} - Z & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \overline{X} - X \end{array}$$

Applying R_{tr}^c and localizing by $C^{\mathcal{M}}$, we have the square in $C^{\text{gm}}(R)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_{tr}^c[\text{Cone}(\tilde{j}_Z)] & \xrightarrow{F_!} & R_{tr}^c[\text{Cone}(\tilde{j}_X)] \\ \downarrow \Phi_{(Z, \overline{Z}, \tilde{j}_Z)} & & \downarrow \Phi_{(X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X)} \\ R_{tr}^c[Z] & \xrightarrow{G_!} & R_{tr}^c[X] \end{array}$$

This diagram represents the diagram of pro-objects:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_!(Z) & \xrightarrow{F_!(f)} & F_!(X) \\ \downarrow \Phi_Z & & \downarrow \Phi_X \\ G_!(Z) & \xrightarrow{G_!(f)} & G_!(X) \end{array}$$

So, we have a natural transformation $F_! \Rightarrow G_!$ comprised of weak equivalences. In order to construct the natural transformation $F^! \Rightarrow G^!$, we observe that for an open embedding $i : U \rightarrow X$, we showed that the associated morphism $P_U \rightarrow P_X$ in $PH(\mathcal{V})$ is represented by a pro-object of morphisms of the form $(X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X) \rightarrow (U, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_U)$, where \overline{X} is a compactification of X , \tilde{j}_U is a smooth projective hyperenvelope of $j_U : \overline{X} - U \rightarrow \overline{X}$, \tilde{j}_X is a smooth projective hyperenvelope of $j_X : \overline{X} - X \rightarrow \overline{X}$ and the morphism $\tilde{j}_X \rightarrow \tilde{j}_U$ commutes with the inclusion $j_X \rightarrow j_U$, i.e. we get the diagram:

$$(8.0.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\overline{X} - U} \bullet & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \widetilde{\overline{X} - X} \bullet \\ \downarrow & \searrow \tilde{j}_U & \swarrow \tilde{j}_X \\ & \tilde{X}' \bullet & \tilde{X} \bullet \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ & \overline{X} & \overline{X} \\ \swarrow j_U & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \swarrow j_X \\ \overline{X} - U & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \overline{X} - X \end{array}$$

In turn, applying R_{tr}^c and localizing by $C^{\mathcal{M}}$, we have:

$$(8.0.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M_{dg}^c(\text{Cone}(\tilde{j}_U)) & \longleftarrow & M_{dg}^c(\text{Cone}(\tilde{j}_X)) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ M_{dg}^c(\text{Cone}(j_U)) & \longleftarrow & M_{dg}^c(\text{Cone}(j_X)) \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are quasi-isomorphisms. We just need to show that following commutative diagram exists:

$$(8.0.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M_{dg}^c(\text{Cone}(j_U)) & \xleftarrow{F_!(i)} & M_{dg}^c(\text{Cone}(j_X)) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ M_{dg}^c(U) & \xleftarrow{G_!(i)} & M_{dg}^c(X) \end{array}$$

Observe that $M_{dg}^c(\text{Cone}(j_U)) = \text{Cone}(M_{dg}^c(j_U))$ is $M_{dg}^c(\overline{X})$ in degree 0 and $M_{dg}^c(\overline{X} - U)$ in degree 1 (and zero in every other degree), and likewise $M_{dg}^c(\text{Cone}(j_X))$ is $M_{dg}^c(\overline{X})$ in degree 0 and $M_{dg}^c(\overline{X} - X)$ in degree 1. Further, the vertical morphisms in the above diagram will be represented by morphisms defined by the pullback on algebraic cycles induced by the open embeddings $U \rightarrow \overline{X}$ and $X \rightarrow \overline{X}$ in degree 0, i.e. we have a commutative square:

$$(8.0.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M_{dg}^c(\overline{X}) & \longleftarrow & M_{dg}^c(\overline{X}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M_{dg}^c(U) & \longleftarrow & M_{dg}^c(X) \end{array}$$

since the complexes in the bottom row are only in degree 0, we see that (8.0.4) gives us degree 0 part of the maps defining the square (8.0.3). The degree one part of (8.0.3) is simply:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_{dg}^c(\overline{X} - U) & \longleftarrow & M_{dg}^c(\overline{X} - X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

which commutes, and since the bottom row is 0, the degree one part of (8.0.3) will trivially commute with the chain maps. So, (8.0.3) commutes. Composing (8.0.2) with (8.0.3) gives us:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_{dg}^c(\text{Cone}(\tilde{j}_U)) & \longleftarrow & M_{dg}^c(\text{Cone}(\tilde{j}_X)) \\ \downarrow \Phi_{(U, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_U)} & & \downarrow \Phi_{(X, \overline{X}, \tilde{j}_X)} \\ M_{dg}^c(U) & \longleftarrow & M_{dg}^c(X) \end{array}$$

which represents the morphism:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^1(U) & \xleftarrow{F_!(i)} & F^1(X) \\ \downarrow \Phi_U & & \downarrow \Phi_X \\ G^1(U) & \xleftarrow{G_!(i)} & G^1(X) \end{array}$$

This gives the natural transformation $F^! \Rightarrow G^!$ as desired. $F_w \Rightarrow G_w$ follows from $F_! \Rightarrow G_!$. \square

Corollary 8.22. *The natural isomorphisms in Lemma 8.21 give us the equivalence of derived motivic measures in 8.16 and 8.12.*

Proof. Lemma 8.21 shows that the maps on K -theory induced by F and G are homotopy equivalent, i.e. $K(F) \simeq K(G)$. One can then check that we therefore have the homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & K(\mathrm{Pro}^{wc}(\mathrm{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\mathrm{perf}})) & \longrightarrow & K(\mathrm{Pro}^{wc}(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{gm}}(R))) \\
& \nearrow & \uparrow \simeq & & \nearrow \\
K(\mathcal{V}) & & K(\mathrm{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\mathrm{perf}}) & & \\
& \searrow & \downarrow K(M_{dg} \circ N) & & \searrow \simeq \\
& & K(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{gm}}(R)) & &
\end{array}$$

which verifies the claim. \square

9. FROM VOEVODSKY TO CHOW MOTIVES

It would be nice to have a map $K(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow K(\mathrm{Ch}^{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathrm{Chow}^{\mathrm{eff}}))$ that would allow us to recover the derived Gillet–Soulé measure in 6.6. For the rest of the section, we write $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{Z})$ as C^{gm} . The following work is largely based on 6.7.4 of [BV08]:

Proposition 9.1. *There is a map of K -theory spectra $K(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{gm}}) \rightarrow K(\mathrm{Ch}^{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathrm{Chow}^{\mathrm{eff}}))$*

Proof. Let $DM_{gm, Nis}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\mathbb{V})$ denote the full subcategory of $DM_{gm, Nis}^{\mathrm{eff}}$ generated by direct summands of Voevodsky motives of smooth projective varieties. Let $(DM_{gm, Nis}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\mathbb{V}))^{\mathrm{pretr}}$ denote the smallest pretriangulated subcategory of $DM_{gm, Nis}^{\mathrm{eff}}$ containing $DM_{gm, Nis}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\mathbb{V})$, and let $(DM_{gm, Nis}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\mathbb{V}))^{\mathrm{perf}}$ denote the full subcategory of $DM_{gm, Nis}^{\mathrm{eff}}$ consisting of objects quasi-isomorphic to objects of $(DM_{gm, Nis}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\mathbb{V}))^{\mathrm{pretr}}$. By the proof of 6.7.4 of [BV08], the fully faithful inclusion:

$$(DM_{gm, Nis}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\mathbb{V}))^{\mathrm{perf}} \hookrightarrow DM_{gm, Nis}^{\mathrm{eff}}$$

induces an equivalence on homotopy categories. This implies that if $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{V})$ denotes the presheaf category of $(DM_{gm, Nis}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\mathbb{V}))^{\mathrm{perf}}$, then the inclusion $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{V}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{gm}}$ is weakly exact, and induces an equivalence of homotopy categories, and reflects weak equivalences. So by Theorem 1.3 of [BM11], we have that the induced map of K -theory spectra $K(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{V})) \rightarrow K(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{gm}})$ is an equivalence. Now, in [BV08] the dg-functor sending $DM_{gm, Nis}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\mathbb{V})$ to its homotopy category is denoted by

$$\epsilon_0 : DM_{gm, Nis}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\mathbb{V}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Chow}^{\mathrm{eff}}$$

It is the identity on objects and sends a map $f \mapsto H^0(f) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Chow}^{\mathrm{eff}}}(X, Y)$. This in turn induces a DG functor

$$\epsilon_0^{\mathrm{perf}} : (DM_{gm, Nis}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\mathbb{V}))^{\mathrm{perf}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ch}^{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathrm{Chow}^{\mathrm{eff}})$$

which is weakly exact and therefore gives a map of K -theory spectra $K(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{V})) \rightarrow K(\mathrm{Ch}^{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathrm{Chow}^{\mathrm{eff}})^{\mathrm{op}} - \mathrm{mod})$. So far, we have the map:

$$K(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{gm}}) \xleftarrow{\simeq} K(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{gm}}(\mathbb{V})) \rightarrow K(\mathrm{Ch}^{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathrm{Chow}^{\mathrm{eff}})^{\mathrm{op}} - \mathrm{mod})$$

Finally, viewing $\mathrm{Ch}^{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathrm{Chow}^{\mathrm{eff}})$ as a dg category (as it is a subcategory of complexes over an additive category), the dg-Yoneda embedding $\mathrm{Ch}^{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathrm{Chow}^{\mathrm{eff}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ch}^{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathrm{Chow}^{\mathrm{eff}})^{\mathrm{op}} - \mathrm{mod}$ induces a weak equivalence

on homotopy categories [Toë07] and one can quickly check that the rest of the axioms necessary to invoke 1.3 of [BM11] are satisfied for the embedding, so the induced map $K(\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\text{Chow}^{\text{eff}})) \rightarrow K(\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\text{Chow}^{\text{eff}})^{\text{op-mod}})$ is an equivalence. Therefore, we have the map:

$$K(\text{C}^{\text{gm}}) \xleftarrow{\simeq} K(\text{C}^{\text{gm}}(\mathbb{V})) \xrightarrow{K(\epsilon_0^{\text{perf}})} K(\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\text{Chow}^{\text{eff}})^{\text{op-mod}}) \xleftarrow{\simeq} K(\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\text{Chow}^{\text{eff}}))$$

Concluding the proof. □

Theorem 9.2. *The map of K-theory spectra in 8.8 fits into a homotopy commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}) & \longrightarrow & K(\text{C}^{\text{gm}}) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & K(\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\text{Chow}^{\text{eff}})) \end{array}$$

Proof. First observe that the weakly exact functor $\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \text{C}^{\text{gm}}$ factors through $\text{C}^{\text{gm}}(\mathbb{V})$, and therefore we have the homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}) & \longrightarrow & K(\text{C}^{\text{gm}}) \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \simeq \\ & & K(\text{C}^{\text{gm}}(\mathbb{V})) \end{array}$$

Then, we have the commutative diagram of weakly exact functors

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}} & \longrightarrow & (DM_{\text{eff}, \text{Nis}}^{\text{gm}}(\mathbb{V}))^{\text{pretr}} & \longrightarrow & \text{C}^{\text{gm}}(\mathbb{V}) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \epsilon_0^{\text{pretr}} & & \downarrow \\ & & \text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\text{Chow}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\text{Chow})\text{-dgm} \end{array}$$

By the work done in Proposition 8.1, the bottom functor induces an equivalence on K-theory spectra, so we get the homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}) & \longrightarrow & K(\text{C}^{\text{gm}}) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & K(\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\text{Chow})) \end{array}$$

which proves the proposition. □

Remark 9.3. *If we denote $\text{Chow}^{\text{eff}}(R)$ to be the category of Chow motives with coefficients in a commutative ring R , i.e. whose objects are the same as Chow^{eff} but where*

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Chow}^{\text{eff}}(R)}(X, Y) := \text{Hom}_{\text{Chow}^{\text{eff}}}(X, Y) \otimes R$$

the proof of 9.1 shows us that we have a commutative diagram, for each R :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(\text{s}\mathbb{V}_*^{\text{perf}}) & \longrightarrow & K(\text{C}^{\text{gm}}(R)) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & K(\text{Ch}^{\text{perf}}(\text{Chow}^{\text{eff}}(R))) \end{array}$$

10. THE DERIVED COMPACTLY SUPPORTED \mathbb{A}^1 EULER CHARACTERISTIC

We briefly review the work of [Rön16] which, with slight modification, provides a map of S^1 -spectra

$$K(\mathrm{sShv}_{\mathrm{cdh}}(Sm)_*) \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{SH}_{Nis}(k)}$$

The work done in the previous sections will promote this to a derived motivic measure

$$K(\mathcal{V}_k) \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{SH}_{Nis}(k)}$$

At the level of π_0 , this assigns to a variety its compactly supported \mathbb{A}^1 Euler characteristic

$$[X] \mapsto \chi_{c, \mathbb{A}^1}(X) \in \mathrm{GW}(k) = \pi_0(\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{SH}_{Nis}(k)})$$

Let $\mathrm{sShv}_{Nis, \mathbb{A}^1}(Sm)$ denote the category of simplicial *Nisnevich* sheaves on Sm with an \mathbb{A}^1 -local model structure due to [Jar87]. For a review of the Nisnevich topology, see [Voe10]. This can be defined as the Bousfield localization of the model structure on $\mathrm{sShv}_{Nis}(Sm)_*$ as defined in 7.4 with respect to the projections $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X$ for all $X \in Sm_k$ (see 2.5 of [MV99]).

Proposition 10.1. *The inclusion $Sm \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sch}$ gives us a direct image functor:*

$$f_* : \mathrm{sShv}_{\mathrm{cdh}}(\mathrm{Sch})_* \rightarrow \mathrm{sShv}_{Nis}(Sm)_*$$

Further, composing f_* with the \mathbb{A}^1 -localization functor:

$$L_{\mathbb{A}^1} : \mathrm{sShv}_{Nis}(Sm)_* \rightarrow \mathrm{sShv}_{Nis, \mathbb{A}^1}(Sm)_*$$

gives an exact functor of Waldhausen categories (of their perfect cofibrant objects).

Proof. Because all cdh covers are Nisnevich covers, we have a morphism of sites $(Sm)_{Nis} \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{Sch})_{\mathrm{cdh}}$. This gives a direct image functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathrm{sShv}_{\mathrm{cdh}}(Sm)_* \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow f_* \\ Sm & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{sShv}_{Nis}(Sm)_* \end{array}$$

Note that the direct image will preserve limits, finite colimits (i.e. pushouts along cofibrations) and local weak equivalences. Next, by 2.5 of [MV99] the functor $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ is a left Bousfield localization, meaning that it preserves weak equivalences and cofibrations (and pushouts along them). So, we get an exact functor of Waldhausen categories as desired. \square

In [Rön16], the K -theory of the unstable \mathbb{A}^1 category is constructed using a different model structure, which we define below:

Definition 10.2 ([Rön16] Definition 3.2). Let $\mathbf{M}(k)$ denote the category $\mathrm{sPre}(Sm)_*$ with a Nisnevich \mathbb{A}^1 -local model structure defined as follows: cofibrations are given as

$$\{(X \times \partial \Delta^n \hookrightarrow \Delta^n)_+\}_{X \in Sm_k}$$

Using the small object argument gives a cofibrant replacement functor $(-)^c$. An object B is fibrant if

- (1) $B(X)$ is a fibrant simplicial set for all $X \in Sm_k$.

(2) The image of every *Nisnevich elementary distinguished square*, i.e a pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

where $Y \rightarrow X$ is étale and $Y - V \rightarrow X - U$ is an isomorphism, is sent to a homotopy cartesian square.

(3) For every $X \in Sm_k$, $B(X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{pr} X)$ is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets.

A morphism $\varphi : D \rightarrow B$ is a weak equivalence if for every fibrant Z , we have

$$sSet_{sPre(Sm)_*}(\varphi^c, Z) : sSet_{sPre(Sm)_*}(B^c, Z) \rightarrow sSet_{sPre(Sm)_*}(D^c, Z)$$

is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets. A map is a fibration if it satisfies the right lifting property with respect to acyclic cofibrations.

Luckily the K -theory of perfect cofibrant objects associated to this model structure is the same as that associated to the model structure in 10.1

Proposition 10.3. *$\mathbf{M}(k)$ is a cofibrantly generated. Further, there is a left Quillen functor $sShv_{Nis, \mathbb{A}^1}(Sm)_* \rightarrow \mathbf{M}(k)$ that induces an equivalence on homotopy categories. Restricting to perfect cofibrant objects on both categories, we have an equivalence of K -theory spectra:*

$$K(sShv_{Nis, \mathbb{A}^1}(Sm)_*) \rightarrow K(\mathbf{M}(k))$$

Proof. First, there is cofibrantly generated Nisnevich-local model structure of Jardine on the category of simplicial presheaves (see remark 7.4 of [Dug01] for further discussion), which is denoted $sPre(Sm)_{*, Jardine}$. Proposition 8.1 of [Dug01] tells us that the known Quillen equivalence given by the inclusion $sShv_{Nis}(Sm)_* \hookrightarrow sPre(Sm)_{*, Jardine}$ remains a Quillen equivalence after we \mathbb{A}^1 -localize. Next, Theorem 2.17 of [DRØ03] proves that the identity functor on simplicial presheaves gives a Quillen equivalence between $sPre(Sm)_{*, Jardine, \mathbb{A}^1}$ and $\mathbf{M}(k)$. Since all of these categories have FFWC, we see that we get exact functors between their perfect cofibrant objects that induce isomorphisms on homotopy categories. Since weak equivalences are closed under retracts we can invoke 1.1 of [BM11] to get the equivalences of K -theory spectra. \square

Corollary 10.4. *Composing the map $K(\mathcal{V}_k) \rightarrow K(sShv_{cdh}(Sch)_*)$ constructed in 7.8 with 10.1 and 10.3, we have a new map of spectra:*

$$K(\mathcal{V}_k) \rightarrow K(\mathbf{M}(k))$$

Immediately, we can also define the following motivic measure constructed in 5.26 of [Cam19] that does not rely on a folklore proof (5.24 of loc. cit):

Proposition 10.5. *Let $k = \mathbb{C}$. By 4.2 of [Rön16] there is a map of K -theory spectra: $K(\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow A(*)$, where $A(*)$ is Waldhausen's A theory of a point. Composing this map with the one in 10.4*

$$K(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow A(*)$$

which on path components, will send

$$[X] \mapsto [X(\mathbb{C})]$$

if X is any smooth projective variety.

Now, we move to the stable setting. The following two propositions are 2.6 and 2.7 of [Rön16], but are written in greater generality than we need for our work:

Proposition 10.6. *Let $\mathrm{SH}(k) = \mathbf{Spt}_T(Sm)$ denote the category of T spectra with $T = S^{0,1} \wedge S^{1,1}$, where $S^1 = \Delta^1/\partial\Delta^1$ and $S^{1,1}$ is the mapping cylinder of the inclusion $k \xrightarrow{1} \mathbb{G}_m$. $\mathrm{SH}(k)$ admits a level-wise projective model structure such that the infinite suspension:*

$$\Sigma_+^\infty : \mathbf{M}(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}(k)$$

induces a map of K -theory spectra of perfect cofibrant objects, where in $\mathrm{SH}(k)$, perfect objects are finite homotopy colimits of suspension spectra of smooth varieties. Further Σ_+^∞ induces an equivalence of K -theory spectra via a dévissage argument.

However, this model structure does not respect the monoidal structure on $\mathrm{SH}(k)$, which is important for building the higher trace. As a result, we must actually deal with symmetric spectra (which in this case gives a Quillen equivalent model structure).

Proposition 10.7. *Let $\mathrm{SymSpt}_T(Sm)$ denote the category of symmetric T -spectra. $\mathbf{SymSpt}_T(Sm)$ also admits a level-wise projective model structure making it a monoidal model category Quillen equivalent to $\mathrm{SH}(k)$ (using the assumption that k is a field) giving a K -theory equivalence on the subcategory of cofibrant perfect objects. Further, the infinite suspension:*

$$\Sigma_+^\infty : \mathbf{M}(k) \rightarrow \mathbf{SymSpt}_T(Sm)$$

is a left Quillen functor which induces an equivalence on K -theory of perfect cofibrant objects, i.e.

$$K(\mathbf{M}(k)) \xrightarrow{\cong} K(\mathbf{SymSpt}_T(Sm))$$

The following is 6.6 of [Rön16]:

Proposition 10.8. *There is a trace map:*

$$K(\mathbf{SymSpt}_T(Sm)) \rightarrow \mathrm{End}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{SH}_k})$$

where $\mathrm{End}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{SH}_k})$ is the S^1 endomorphism spectrum of the unit object in SH_k . The homotopy groups of this S^1 spectrum are written as $\pi_{,0}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{SH}(k)})$. At the level of $\pi_{0,0}$, this sends the suspension spectrum of a variety X to its compactly supported \mathbb{A}^1 Euler characteristic*

$$[\Sigma_+^\infty X] \mapsto \chi_{c,\mathbb{A}^1}(X) \in \mathrm{GW}(k)$$

Corollary 10.9. *We have a map $K(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow K(\mathbf{SymSpt}_T(Sm))$ by composing 10.4 with 10.7. Composing this map in turn with 10.8 gives a derived motivic measure:*

$$K(\chi_{c,\mathbb{A}^1}) : K(\mathcal{V}_k) \rightarrow K(\mathrm{End}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{SH}_k}))$$

where $K(\mathrm{End}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{SH}_k}))$ is viewed as an S^1 spectrum. In particular, on K groups, we have homomorphisms:

$$K_*(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \pi_{*,0}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{SH}(k)})$$

Further, on the level of π_0 , we have, for a smooth variety X :

$$[X] \mapsto \chi_{c,\mathbb{A}^1}(X) \in \mathrm{GW}(k)$$

REFERENCES

- [AM06] Michael Artin and Barry Mazur. *Etale homotopy*, volume 100. Springer, 2006.
- [AMBO⁺22] Niny Arcila-Maya, Candace Bethea, Morgan Opie, Kirsten Wickelgren, and Inna Zakharevich. Compactly supported \mathbb{A}^1 -Euler characteristic and the Hochschild Complex. *Topology and its Applications*, 316:108108, 2022.
- [BG21] Oliver Braunling and Michael Groechenig. Classes in Zakharevich K-groups constructed from Quillen K-theory. *arXiv e-prints*, pages arXiv-2109, 2021.
- [BGN21] Oliver Braunling, Michael Groechenig, and Anubhav Nanavaty. The standard realizations for the K-theory of varieties. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.01168*, 2021.
- [BGW16] Oliver Braunling, Michael Groechenig, and Jesse Wolfson. Tate Objects in Exact Categories (with an appendix by Jan Stovicek and Jan Trlifaj). *Moscow Mathematical Journal*, 16(3):433–504, 2016.
- [BM11] Andrew J Blumberg and Michael A Mandell. Algebraic K-theory and abstract homotopy theory. *Advances in Mathematics*, 226(4):3760–3812, 2011.
- [Büh10] Theo Bühler. Exact categories. *Expositiones Mathematicae*, 28(1):1–69, 2010.
- [BV08] Alexander Beilinson and Vadim Vologodsky. A DG Guide to Voevodsky’s Motives. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 17(6):1709–1787, 2008.
- [Cam19] Jonathan Campbell. The K-theory spectrum of varieties. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 371(11):7845–7884, 2019.
- [Con07] Brian Conrad. Deligne’s notes on Nagata compactifications. *Journal-Ramanujan Mathematical Society*, 22(3):205, 2007.
- [CWZ19] Jonathan Campbell, Jesse Wolfson, and Inna Zakharevich. Derived ℓ -adic zeta functions. *Advances in Mathematics*, 354:106760, 2019.
- [DK80] William G Dwyer and Daniel M Kan. Calculating simplicial localizations. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 18(1):17–35, 1980.
- [DRØ03] Björn Ian Dundas, Oliver Röndigs, and Paul Arne Østvær. Motivic functors. *Documenta Mathematica*, 8:489–525, 2003.
- [Dug01] Daniel Dugger. Universal homotopy theories. *Advances in Mathematics*, 164(1):144–176, 2001.
- [GJ09] Paul G Goerss and John F Jardine. *Simplicial homotopy theory*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2009.
- [Hir03] Philip S Hirschhorn. *Model categories and their localizations*. Number 99. American Mathematical Soc., 2003.
- [HKK18] Annette Huber-Klawitter and Shane Kelly. Differential forms in positive characteristic, II: cdh-descent via functorial Riemann-Zariski spaces. *Algebra & Number Theory*, 12(3):649–692, 2018.
- [Jar87] John F Jardine. Simplicial presheaves. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 47(1):35–87, 1987.
- [Jar07] JF Jardine. Fields lectures: Simplicial presheaves. *Unpublished notes*, 2007.
- [Kel96] Bernhard Keller. Derived categories and their uses, Handbook of algebra, Vol. 1, 1996.
- [Kra10] Henning Krause. *Localization theory for triangulated categories*, page 161–235. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [MV99] Fabien Morel and Vladimir Voevodsky. \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory of schemes. *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 90:45–143, 1999.
- [MVW06] Carlo Mazza, Vladimir Voevodsky, and Charles A Weibel. *Lecture notes on motivic cohomology*, volume 2. American Mathematical Soc., 2006.
- [Rön16] Oliver Röndigs. The Grothendieck ring of varieties and algebraic K-theory of spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.09327*, 2016.
- [SG96] C Soulé and H Gillet. Descent, motives and K-theory. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 478:127–176, 1996.
- [Sos19] Vladimir Sosnilo. Theorem of the Heart in Negative K-Theory for Weight Structures. *Documenta Mathematica*, 24:2137–2158, 2019.
- [Sta23] The Stacks project authors. The stacks project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2023.
- [Toë07] Bertrand Toën. The homotopy theory of dg-categories and derived Morita theory. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 167(3):615–667, 2007.
- [Voe00] Vladimir Voevodsky. Triangulated categories of motives over a field. *Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories*, 143:188–238, 2000.

- [Voe06] Vladimir Voevodsky. Sheaves and sheaves with transfers in the cdh-topology. 2006.
- [Voe10] Vladimir Voevodsky. Unstable motivic homotopy categories in Nisnevich and cdh-topologies. *Journal of Pure and applied Algebra*, 214(8):1399–1406, 2010.
- [Wal06] Friedhelm Waldhausen. Algebraic K-theory of spaces. In *Algebraic and Geometric Topology: Proceedings of a Conference held at Rutgers University, New Brunswick, USA July 6–13, 1983*, pages 318–419. Springer, 2006.
- [Zak17] Inna Zakharevich. The K-theory of assemblers. *Advances in Mathematics*, 304:1176–1218, 2017.