

# Index of Bargmann–Fock space and Landau levels

Guo Chuan Thiang\*

Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, Peking University, China  
ORCID: 0000-0003-0268-0065

January 15, 2024

## Abstract

The trace of the commutator of certain Toeplitz operators on the Bargmann–Fock space is computed in an elementary way. This calculation exhibits the Carey–Helton–Howe–Pincus index theory for a new class of examples, and is fundamental for the quantum Hall effect in physics.

## 1 Preliminaries on Fock space

The (Bargmann–Segal–) *Fock space*  $\mathcal{H}$  is the space of holomorphic functions on the complex plane  $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ , which are square-integrable with respect to Gaussian-weighted Lebesgue measure  $e^{-|z|^2} d\mu$ . It has been studied extensively since its introduction in [2] over sixty years ago.

We report on an index-theoretic feature of  $\mathcal{H}$  which seems to have escaped attention, and which has direct application to the quantum Hall effect. For the latter, we offer an elementary direct calculation of the quantized Hall conductance of a Landau level, which surprisingly, seems unavailable in the literature.

Recall that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$(\varphi, \psi) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{\varphi(z)} \psi(z) e^{-|z|^2} d\mu(z).$$

Furthermore, it has reproducing kernel

$$\kappa_z(w) = e^{w\bar{z}}, \quad w, z \in \mathbb{C},$$

in the sense that  $(\kappa_z, \psi) = \psi(z)$  holds for all  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ .

It will be convenient to attach the Gaussian weight to the Fock space functions, and regard  $\mathcal{H}$  as a Hilbert subspace of  $L^2(\mathbb{C}) \equiv L^2(\mathbb{C}, \mu)$ . So, e.g., the functions

$$z \mapsto z^n e^{-|z|^2/2}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \tag{1}$$

---

\*thgchuan@gmail.com

form an orthogonal basis for  $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2(\mathbb{C})$ . We write

$$P : L^2(\mathbb{C}, \mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

for the orthogonal projection, which has integral kernel

$$P(z, w) = \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-\frac{|z|^2 + |w|^2}{2}} e^{z\bar{w}} \quad (2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z-w|^2} e^{iw \wedge z}, \quad w \wedge z := w_1 z_2 - z_2 w_1, \quad (3)$$

with respect to Lebesgue measure  $\mu$ . It is smooth and exponentially decaying (with respect to Euclidean distance) away from the diagonal.

## 2 Fock–Toeplitz commutator trace

On the full Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{C})$ , each  $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C})$  acts as a bounded operator by pointwise multiplication (denoted with the same symbol  $f$ ), and the actions of any  $f_1, f_2$  obviously commute. However, their compressions to  $\mathcal{H}$ , i.e., the *Fock–Toeplitz operators*

$$P_f := P f P$$

with *symbol functions*  $f$ , may not commute.

In contrast to  $L^2(\mathbb{C})$ , the subspace  $\mathcal{H}$  has a preference for the counter-clockwise orientation on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $f_1, f_2$  denote the characteristic functions on the right half-plane and the upper half-plane, respectively. The above observation suggests that the “counter-clockwise product”  $P_{f_2} P_{f_1}$  differs from the “clockwise product”  $P_{f_1} P_{f_2}$ . This prompts us to investigate the trace of the commutator,

$$\mathrm{Tr}[P_{f_1}, P_{f_2}].$$

*Remark 2.1.* A necessary condition for  $\mathrm{Tr}[P_{f_1}, P_{f_2}] \neq 0$  is that  $P_{f_1} P_{f_2}$  and  $P_{f_2} P_{f_1}$  are *not* individually trace class. For otherwise, they must have the same trace, and  $\mathrm{Tr}[P_{f_1}, P_{f_2}] = 0$ . A naïve computation

$$“\mathrm{Tr}[P_{f_1}, P_{f_2}] = \mathrm{Tr}(P_{f_1} P_{f_2}) - \mathrm{Tr}(P_{f_2} P_{f_1})”$$

will therefore generally fail.

More broadly, let us define a *switch function* to be a non-decreasing measurable function  $\Lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , such that there exists an interval  $[c, d]$  with

$$\Lambda(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < c, \\ 1, & x > d. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

This generalizes the Heaviside step function. As observed in Prop. 6.9 of [1], see Fig. 1, a basic property of switch functions is

$$\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \Lambda(x+a) - \Lambda(x) = a, \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (5)$$




Figure 1: For a switch function  $\Lambda$ , the integral (5) is the signed area between the graph of  $\Lambda$  and the graph of its  $a$ -translate, which equals  $a$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be any switch functions, so*

$$f_1(u) = \Lambda_1(u_1), \quad f_2(u) = \Lambda_2(u_2), \quad (u = u_1 + iu_2 \in \mathbb{C})$$

*are switch functions of the first and second coordinates, respectively. Then*

$$\mathrm{Tr}[P_{f_1}, P_{f_2}] = \frac{1}{2\pi i}. \quad (6)$$

*Remark 2.3.* An interpretation of (6) as a Fredholm index is provided in (16)–(17) later, and a generalization is given in Theorem 3.1.

*Proof.* Step 1. In view of Remark 2.1, we first rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} [P_{f_1}, P_{f_2}] &= P f_1 P f_2 P - P f_2 P f_1 P \\ &= P(f_1 P - P f_1)(f_2 P - P f_2) - P(f_2 P - P f_2)(f_1 P - P f_1) \\ &= P[f_1, P][f_2, P] - P[f_2, P][f_1, P], \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

so that *products* of commutators appear in each term on the right. For  $i = 1, 2$ , write  $[c_i, d_i] \subset \mathbb{R}$  for the interpolation region of  $\Lambda_i$ , as in (4). Notice that

$$[f_1, P] = f_1 P(1 - f_1) - (1 - f_1) P f_1,$$

with  $\mathrm{Supp}(f_1) \subset [c_1, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathrm{Supp}(1 - f_1) \subset (\infty, d_1] \times \mathbb{R}$ . This means that the operator  $[f_1, P]$  is supported near the vertical strip  $[c_1, d_1] \times \mathbb{R}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . More precisely, in view of Eq. (3), the integral kernel of  $[f_1, P]$  decays exponentially away from this strip. Similarly,  $[f_2, P]$  is supported near the horizontal strip  $\mathbb{R} \times [c_2, d_2]$ . So  $[f_1, P][f_2, P]$  is supported near the compact square  $[c_1, d_1] \times [c_2, d_2]$ , see Fig. 2. Consequently,  $[f_1, P][f_2, P]$  is trace class (e.g., Lemma 3.17 of [9]). This means that the trace of (7) makes sense, and is given by the integral

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}[P_{f_1}, P_{f_2}] &= \int_{u \in \mathbb{C}} (P[f_1, P][f_2, P])(u, u) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \\ &= \int_{u, v, w \in \mathbb{C}} P(u, v) P(v, w) P(w, u) (f_1(v) - f_1(w)) (f_2(w) - f_2(u)) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Step 2. The formula (3) shows that the integral kernel of  $P$  enjoys the translation covariance

$$P(u - t, v - t) = U_t(u) P(u, v) U_t(v)^{-1}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{C},$$




Figure 2: Let  $f_1, f_2$  be smooth switch functions in the first and second coordinates of  $\mathbb{C}$ , respectively. The integral kernel of  $[f_1, P][f_2, P]$  is concentrated near the intersection of the interpolation regions for  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ .

where  $U_t(u) = e^{iu\wedge t}$ . In particular, setting  $t = w$ , we deduce that

$$P(u, v)P(v, w)P(w, u) = P(u - w, v - w)P(v - w, 0)P(0, u - w) \quad (9)$$

$$= P(u - w, v - w) \cdot \frac{1}{\pi^2} e^{-\frac{|u-w|^2 + |v-w|^2}{2}}. \quad (10)$$

Substituting (10) into (8) and changing variables from  $u, v$  to  $u+w, v+w$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}[P_{f_1}, P_{f_2}] &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{u, v, w \in \mathbb{C}} P(u, v) e^{-\frac{|u|^2 + |v|^2}{2}} (f_1(v+w) - f_1(w))(f_2(w) - f_2(u+w)) \\ &\quad - (1 \leftrightarrow 2). \end{aligned}$$

Step 3. The  $w$ -integral above is easily carried out with the help of (5),

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{w \in \mathbb{C}} (f_1(v+w) - f_1(w))(f_2(w) - f_2(u+w)) \quad - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \\ &= \int_{w_1 \in \mathbb{R}} (\Lambda_1(v_1 + w_1) - \Lambda_1(w_1)) \int_{w_2 \in \mathbb{R}} (\Lambda_2(w_2) - \Lambda_2(u_2 + w_2)) \quad - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \\ &= v_1(-u_2) - v_2(-u_1) \equiv u \wedge v. \end{aligned}$$

A double integral remains,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}[P_{f_1}, P_{f_2}] &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{u, v \in \mathbb{C}} P(u, v) e^{-\frac{|u|^2 + |v|^2}{2}} u \wedge v \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{=} \frac{1}{\pi^3} \int_{u, v \in \mathbb{C}} e^{-(|u|^2 + |v|^2)} e^{u\bar{v}} u \wedge v, \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

and it can be evaluated by passing to polar coordinates  $u = r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, v = r_2 e^{i\theta_2}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Tr}[P_{f_1}, P_{f_2}] \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^3} \int_{r_1, r_2 \in [0, \infty)} r_1 r_2 e^{-(r_1^2 + r_2^2)} \int_{\theta_1, \theta_2 \in [0, 2\pi]} e^{r_1 r_2 e^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_2)}} r_1 r_2 \sin(\theta_2 - \theta_1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^3} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty dr_1 dr_2 (r_1 r_2)^2 e^{-(r_1^2 + r_2^2)} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_1 \int_{S^1} \frac{dz}{iz} e^{r_1 r_2 \bar{z}} \cdot \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2i} \quad (z := e^{i(\theta_2 - \theta_1)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^3} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty dr_1 dr_2 (r_1 r_2)^2 e^{-(r_1^2 + r_2^2)} \cdot (2\pi) \cdot (2\pi i) \left( \frac{r_1 r_2}{-2} \right) \quad (\text{residue theorem}) \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi i} \left( \underbrace{\int_0^\infty dr r^3 e^{-r^2}}_{1/2} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi i}. \end{aligned}$$

□

### 3 Index-theoretic connections

Prop. 2.2 can be understood in the following operator-theoretic contexts.

#### 3.1 Helton–Howe trace on Bergman space

The *Bergman space*  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{D})$  is the Hilbert subspace of  $L^2$ -analytic functions on the open unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$ . Write  $P_{\text{Berg}} : L^2(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  for the orthogonal projection. For symbol functions  $f : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , the Toeplitz operators are

$$T_f := P_{\text{Berg}} f P_{\text{Berg}}.$$

For smooth symbols, Helton–Howe proved the formula (Theorem 7.2 of [7])

$$\text{Tr}[T_f, T_g] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{D}} df \wedge dg, \quad (12)$$

and its generalization to higher-dimensional Bergman spaces. A further generalization to weighted Bergman spaces and  $C^2$  symbols was achieved in [11].

Let  $f = f_1, g = f_2$  be smooth switch functions with  $f'_1, f'_2$  supported within a small distance of the  $y$ -axis and the  $x$  axis, respectively. Then  $df_1 \wedge df_2$  is a bump 2-form of mass 1 supported near the origin. Formula (12) says that

$$\text{Tr}[T_{f_1}, T_{f_2}] = \frac{1}{2\pi i},$$

which is the Bergman space version of Prop. 2.2.

For Fock–Toeplitz operators  $P_f$ , it is rather more challenging to determine general conditions on symbol functions ensuring that  $[P_f, P_g]$  is trace class. Having done so, it will be interesting to establish the analogue of (12).

#### 3.2 Carey–Helton–Howe–Pincus index theory

A pair of bounded self-adjoint operators  $A, B$  is said to *almost commute*, if  $[A, B]$  is trace class. Historically, the main examples came from singular integral operators on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and Toeplitz operators on the circle or disc. Prop. 2.2 indicates that Fock–Toeplitz operators provide another source of interesting examples, and we shall elaborate a little more on this.

Let  $p, q$  be polynomials in two variables. Since  $[A, B]$  is trace class, the operator  $[p(A, B), q(A, B)]$  is also trace class. Strictly speaking, there is an ordering ambiguity in the expressions  $p(A, B)$  and  $q(A, B)$ , but  $\text{Tr}[p(A, B), q(A, B)]$  is unambiguous due to cyclicity of the trace. So we see that

$$(p, q) \mapsto \text{Tr}[p(A, B), q(A, B)]$$

makes sense, and it is natural to seek a bilinear formula for  $\text{Tr}[p(A, B), q(A, B)]$ , not just for  $\text{Tr}[A, B]$  alone.

In the 1970s, Helton–Howe and Carey–Pincus obtained deep results in this direction [6, 4]. Of relevance to us are the following, taken from [4].

1. There is a compactly supported Borel measure  $\mu_{A,B}$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ , such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}[p(A, B), q(A, B)] = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \{p, q\} d\mu_{A,B}. \quad (13)$$

Here,  $p, q$  are regarded as functions of the real and imaginary parts of  $x+iy \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\{p, q\}$  is the Poisson bracket  $\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}$ .

2. The measure  $\mu_{A,B}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure  $\mu$ , with Radon–Nikodym derivative the so-called *principal function*

$$G_{A,B} = \frac{d\mu_{A,B}}{d\mu}.$$

Furthermore, whenever  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  lies outside the essential spectrum of  $A + iB$ ,

$$G_{A,B}(z) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \mathrm{Index}(A + iB - z). \quad (14)$$

Unfortunately, it is a great challenge to find *naturally occurring* examples of  $A, B$  for which much else is explicitly known about the representing measure  $\mu_{A,B}$  (or  $G_{A,B}$ ). This makes it difficult to appreciate how the formula (13) can be applied.

Remarkably, the pair of Fock–Toeplitz operators

$$A = P_{f_1}, \quad B = P_{f_2},$$

does not suffer from the above-mentioned difficulty; in fact, we shall outline how it showcases the power of (13).

At large  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , the function  $f_1 + if_2 : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  takes values in the boundary  $\partial S$  of the unit square  $S = [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{C}$ . In [12], we show that the operator  $P_{f_1} + iP_{f_2} = P_{f_1+if_2}$  has essential spectrum

$$\mathrm{ess\text{-}spec}(P_{f_1+if_2}) \subset \partial S. \quad (15)$$

For large  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , the operator  $P_{f_1+if_2} - \lambda$  is invertible with zero index. Together with (14) and the continuity of index, the result (15) implies that

$$G_{P_{f_1}, P_{f_2}} = \frac{in}{2\pi} \cdot \chi_S, \quad n = \mathrm{Index}(P_{f_1+if_2} - \lambda_0), \quad (16)$$

where  $\chi_S$  is the characteristic function on  $S$ , and  $\lambda_0$  may be chosen to be any point in the interior of  $S$ .

The initial case of the formula (13) is therefore

$$\mathrm{Tr}[P_{f_1}, P_{f_2}] = \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\mu_{P_{f_1}, P_{f_2}} = \frac{in}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \chi_S d\mu = \frac{in}{2\pi}, \quad (17)$$

with  $n$  being the index identified in Eq. (16). (Notice that this equality identifies the Hall conductance of  $P$  as a bona fide Fredholm index (up to a  $2\pi$  factor)).

*Our Prop. 2.2 provides the explicit calculation that  $n = -1$ . Putting this back into the general Carey–Helton–Howe–Pincus formula, Eq. (13), immediately yields the following generalization of Prop. 2.2:*

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $P$  be the Fock space projection and  $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be any switch functions in the first and second coordinates respectively, as in Prop. 2.2. Then for any polynomials  $p, q$  in two variables,*

$$\mathrm{Tr}[p(P_{f_1}, P_{f_2}), q(P_{f_1}, P_{f_2})] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_S \{p, q\},$$

where  $S$  is the unit square  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{C}$  equipped with Lebesgue measure, and  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$  is the Poisson bracket.

## 4 Quantized Hall conductance and macroscopic index

The setting of Prop. 2.2 and Theorem 3.1 originates from the quantum Hall effect in physics.

Let  $H = H^*$  be a self-adjoint magnetic Schrödinger operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{C})$ . For *Fermi energy*  $E$  in a spectral gap of  $H$ , the *Fermi projection* is the spectral projection  $P^{(E)} = \chi_{(\infty, E]}(H)$ . The quantum mechanical ground state of the (non-interacting) electrons in  $\mathbb{C}$  (at zero temperature) is described by  $P^{(E)}$ . For switch functions  $f_1, f_2$  in the  $x$  and  $y$  coordinates respectively, the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\text{Hall}}(P^{(E)}) &:= -i\text{Tr}\left(P^{(E)}[[f_1, P^{(E)}], [f_2, P^{(E)}]]\right) \\ &\stackrel{(7)}{=} -i\text{Tr}[P_{f_1}^{(E)}, P_{f_2}^{(E)}], \quad P_{f_i}^{(E)} = P^{(E)} f_i P^{(E)}, \end{aligned}$$

is the *Kubo formula* for the *Hall conductance* of  $P^{(E)}$ , see [5, 1] for derivations.

The fundamental example comes from the magnetic Laplacian  $H_b$ , or *Landau operator*, for a magnetic field of uniform strength  $b > 0$  perpendicular to the plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . Geometrically, there is a Hermitian line bundle  $\mathcal{L}^\nabla \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  whose connection  $\nabla$  has curvature 2-form  $-ib \cdot dx \wedge dy = \frac{b}{2} d\bar{z} \wedge dz$ . In the *symmetric gauge*, the  $\mathfrak{u}(1)$ -valued connection 1-form is  $\mathcal{A} = \frac{b}{4}(z d\bar{z} - \bar{z} dz) = \frac{ib}{2}(y dx - x dy)$ , and the Landau operator is concretely given by the self-adjoint operator

$$H_b = (d + \mathcal{A})^*(d + \mathcal{A}) = -(\partial_x + \frac{iby}{2})^2 - (\partial_y - \frac{ibx}{2})^2.$$

The spectrum of  $H_b$  is readily solved by considering the associated Dirac operator twisted by  $\mathcal{L}^\nabla$ , which reads

$$D_b = -2i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial - \frac{b}{4}\bar{z} \\ \bar{\partial} + \frac{b}{4}z & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \partial = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x - i\partial_y), \quad \bar{\partial} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x + i\partial_y), \quad (18)$$

and satisfies the Schrödinger–Lichnerowicz identity,

$$D_b^2 = \begin{pmatrix} H_b - b & 0 \\ 0 & H_b + b \end{pmatrix}.$$

The well-known result (Landau quantization of spectrum [8])

$$\text{Spec}(H_b) = \{(2n + 1)b : n = 0, 1, \dots\}$$

follows readily. Furthermore, the lowest eigenspace, called the *lowest Landau level* (LLL) eigenspace, is

$$\ker(H_b - b) = \ker(D_b) = \ker(\bar{\partial} + \frac{b}{4}z). \quad (19)$$

For  $b = 2$ , we recognize the infinitely-degenerate eigenspace (19) as the Fock space  $\mathcal{H}$  of holomorphic functions multiplied by a Gaussian weight  $e^{-|z|^2/2}$  (see Eq. (1)). In other words, the Fock space projection  $P$  is exactly the Fermi projection for the LLL of the Landau operator  $H_{b=2}$ . (The same holds for general  $b > 0$ , with the Gaussian weight in the definition of Fock space modified to  $e^{-b|z|^2/4}$ .)

It is a folklore result in physics that the Hall conductance of  $P$  equals

$$\sigma_{\text{Hall}}(P) = -i\text{Tr}[P_{f_1}, P_{f_2}] = -\frac{1}{2\pi}.$$

Despite its apparently elementary proof, Proposition (2.2) is, to our knowledge, the first direct verification of this claim.

Indeed, the least indirect verification that we are aware of appears in [1], where the authors used their theory of *relative index of projections* to prove that

$$2\pi i \text{Tr}[P_{f_1}, P_{f_2}] = -\text{Index}\left(P \frac{z}{|z|} P\right), \quad (20)$$

and read off the latter Fredholm index to be  $-1$  using the explicit basis (1).

*Remark 4.1.* The first steps in the proof of Proposition (2.2) are basically a simplified version of the preparatory steps made in [1] to establish Eq. (20), their Theorem 6.8. We mention that the trace class property of  $[P_{f_1}, P_{f_2}]$  was not established in [1]; instead, a limit of truncated traces was used, see pp. 414 of [1].

The integral (11) appears in [3] Lemma 5, which states that the so-called *Chern character*  $\text{Ch}(P)$  equals  $-1$ . The Chern character was identified with a related Kubo formula applicable to homogeneous projections, and involves a trace-per-unit-area instead of the ordinary trace.

Although (20) is less direct than Proposition (2.2), the connection to a Fredholm index provided valuable insight into the extraordinary *stability* of the *quantized* value of  $\sigma_{\text{Hall}}$  observed in quantum Hall effect experiments; our (17) gives another connection to a Fredholm index. In this regard, a vast generalization was recently achieved in [9]: the expression  $2\pi i \text{Tr}[P_{f_1}, P_{f_2}]$  was proved to be a certain *integral* pairing in coarse geometry and index theory [10]. Briefly, the pairing depends only on the coarse (co)homology of the data  $P, f_1, f_2$ , and is therefore extremely stable, even against small-scale changes of metric on the manifold  $\mathbb{C}$ . Of particular importance is that the projection  $P$  may be continuously varied inside a very general Fréchet algebra of operators with rapid off-diagonal decrease in local trace norms (§3 of [9]), without changing the integral pairing. Such variations from idealized Landau level eigenprojections are to be expected in actual “dirty” experiments.

As usual in index theory, we can only exploit the abstract pairing invariances after actually computing the pairing for some concrete examples, e.g., for  $P$  the Fock space projection. Since we had already established  $P$  as a kernel projection for a Dirac operator (Eq. (19)), the abstract index theorem of J. Roe, Theorem 4.42 of [10] provides (and verifies) the pairing result in this case. However, Roe’s calculation was based on a  $K$ -theoretic formulation of the so-called *coarse index* of an *untwisted* spin Dirac operator (Eq. (18) with  $b = 0$ ), whose spectrum does not exhibit Landau quantization. Consequently, Roe’s actual calculation had to be performed on some auxiliary virtual projection  $P_1 \ominus P_2$  which is  $K$ -theoretically equivalent to  $P$ , rather than on  $P$  itself. Our direct calculation, Prop. 2.2, provides a much simpler base case for Roe’s theory.

In general, Dirac operators on the noncompact manifold  $\mathbb{C}$  do not have Fredholm indices in the ordinary sense. Instead, one has to consider the coarse index (or its pairings), which is sometimes called a “higher index” in the mathematics literature. This terminology is, unfortunately, not very specific in physical meaning. As discussed in §5.2 of [9], spatially localized projections always give rise to trivial pairings. So the non-triviality of  $2\pi i \text{Tr}[P_{f_1}, P_{f_2}]$  reveals a *macroscopic* index-theoretic property of  $P$  (quantized Hall conductance), and its invariances under *microscopic* perturbations.



## Acknowledgements

The author thanks X. Tang and J. Xia for helpful discussions.

## Statements and Declarations

No funding was received to assist with the preparation of this manuscript. The author has no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

## Data availability statement

This manuscript has no associated data.

## References

- [1] Avron, J.E., Seiler, R., Simon, B.: Charge deficiency, charge transport and comparison of dimensions. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **159** 399–422 (1994)
- [2] Bargmann, V.: On a Hilbert space of analytic functions and an associated integral transform part I. *Comm. Pure. Appl. Math.* **14** 187–214 (1961)
- [3] Bellissard, J., van Elst, A., Schulz-Baldes, H.: The noncommutative geometry of the quantum Hall effect. *J. Math. Phys.* **35** 5373–5451 (1994)
- [4] Carey, R.W., Pincus, J.D.: An invariant for certain operator algebras. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **71**(5) 1952–1956 (1974)
- [5] Elgart, A., Schlein, B.: Adiabatic charge transport and the Kubo formula for Landau-type Hamiltonians. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **57**(5) 590–615 (2004)
- [6] Helton, J.W., Howe, R.E.: Integral operators: traces, index, and homology. In: *Proceedings of a Conference on Operator Theory, 1973*. Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg, 2006
- [7] Helton, J.E., Howe, R.E.: Traces of commutators of integral operators. *Acta Math.* **135**(1) 271–305 (1975)
- [8] Landau, L.: Diamagnetismus der Metalle. *Zeit. Phys.* **64** 629–637 (1930)
- [9] Ludewig, M., Thiang, G.C.: Quantization of conductance and the coarse cohomology of partitions. [arXiv:2308.02819](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.02819)
- [10] Roe, J.: Coarse cohomology and index theory on complete Riemannian manifolds. *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* **104**(497), 1993
- [11] Tang, X., Wang, Y., Zheng, D.: Helton-Howe trace, Connes-Chern characters and Toeplitz quantization of Bergman spaces. *Adv. Math.* **433** 109324 (2023)
- [12] Thiang, G.C., Xia, J.: Fock space: A bridge between Fredholm index and the quantum Hall effect. Preprint 2024.