

The spin-flip-induced quadrupole resonance in odd- A exotic atoms

Fredrik P. Gustafsson,¹ Daniel Pęczak,^{2,3} and Tomasz Sowiński³

¹Physics Department, CERN, 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

²Faculty of Physics, Warsaw University of Technology, Ulica Koszykowa 75, PL-00662 Warsaw, Poland

³Institute of Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Aleja Lotnikow 32/46, PL-02668 Warsaw, Poland

We examine the possible existence of quadrupole resonances in exotic atoms containing odd- A nuclei. We find that the spin-flip of the de-exciting exotic particles can induce a resonance, altering the orbital angular momentum of the nucleus by one quanta. This process results in an excited nucleus with a suppression in X-ray photon emissions during the exotic atom cascade. We provide specific cases of antiprotonic atoms of stable elements where this resonance effect is expected to occur. The study of this phenomena may provide insight into the strong interaction of deeply bound antiprotonic states in the proximity of the unpaired nucleon, and serve as a tool for probing short-lived excited nuclear states.

I. INTRODUCTION

Exotic atoms are formed by the substitution of an electron with an exotic negatively charged particle such as an antiproton, muon, pion or kaon. These exotic particles, owing to their greater mass, are deeply bound within the electron cloud, positioning them in close proximity to the atomic nucleus. This renders them highly susceptible to short-range interactions, such as the weak and strong nuclear forces, making them invaluable tools for probing fundamental interactions [1, 2]. While exotic atoms are typically short-lived, either due to the intrinsic lifetime of the exotic particle or resulting from the annihilation with nucleons in the nucleus, as seen with antiprotons, spectroscopic analysis of these atoms can offer a unique insights into nuclear properties like mass, charge radii, and shape [3–8]. A notable feature of exotic atoms is their significantly higher transition energies compared to conventional electronic systems. These energies often lie in the range of keV to MeV, as opposed to the eV scale typical of electrons [9]. Interestingly, these energies are comparable with energy scales of nuclear phenomena. In some cases, the energy of a nuclear electric quadrupole (E2) transition and the X-ray transition of an exotic atom can be sufficiently close to create a resonance effect [5, 10–12]. This resonance suppresses the emission of an X-ray photon from the cascade, resulting from the direct coupling with the quadrupole excitation of the nucleus. This quadrupole resonance effect have mainly been observed in exotic atoms containing spin-0 nuclei with bound pions, kaons, and antiprotons [2, 10].

Studies of this resonance effect in antiprotonic atoms have provided insight of the influence of the shift and width caused by the strong interaction influence of deeply bound states, which are not accessible through conventional spectroscopy measurements of direct x-rays from the antiproton cascade [12]. So far, cases of this resonance effect have only been studied for collective quadrupole excitations of the nucleus, such as rotations and vibrations, leading to a change of the nuclear spin by two quanta, as observed in nuclei with spin-0 ground states. In this article, we extend phenomenologically the

study of the nuclear resonance effect to odd- A nuclei, with the focus on antiprotonic atoms. We demonstrate that, in this case, the spin-flip of the antiproton results in the excitation of the nuclear spin by one quanta typically associated with single-particle excitation of the unpaired nucleons. Furthermore, we identify candidates of stable nuclei where we anticipate this spin-flip-induced nuclear resonance effect to occur. Finally we discuss potential scenarios where this phenomena could be used as a probe for nuclear structure and strong interaction effects.

II. THE SYSTEM

The most convenient way of considering corrections coming from the quadrupole interactions is to write the Hamiltonian describing the system of deformed nucleus and orbiting antiproton as

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_R + \mathcal{H}_r + \mathcal{H}_Q, \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{H}_R is the Hamiltonian acting solely in the nucleus subspace while \mathcal{H}_r is the Dirac Hamiltonian for the antiproton in the spherically symmetric electric potential, $V(r) = -Z/r$. Its bound eigenstates $|n, j, m, \kappa\rangle$ are conveniently labeled with the principal quantum number n , the total angular momentum j , its projection m , and the sign of the relativistic quantum number $\kappa = \pm 1$. Although in principle the combined integer $\ell = j + \kappa/2$ is not a good quantum number, for interpretation purposes it can be viewed as an orbital angular momentum number. In the non-relativistic limit (Pauli approximation), corresponding spinor wavefunctions have a form [13, 14]

$$\langle \mathbf{r} | n, j, m, + \rangle = R_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^n(r) \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{j-m+1}{2j+2}} Y_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\vartheta) \\ \sqrt{\frac{j+m+1}{2j+2}} Y_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{m+\frac{1}{2}}(\vartheta) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2a)$$

$$\langle \mathbf{r} | n, j, m, - \rangle = R_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^n(r) \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{j+m}{2j}} Y_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\vartheta) \\ \sqrt{\frac{j-m}{2j}} Y_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{m+\frac{1}{2}}(\vartheta) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2b)$$

where $R_\ell^n(r)$ encodes radial probability amplitude while $Y_\ell^m(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})$ is a spherical harmonic function. In the following, we consider the most typical experimental scenario when the antiproton substitutes one of the inner most electrons, enters to the Rydberg-like orbit with corresponding principal number $n \approx 40$, and then undergoes spontaneous transitions to lower n states emitting X-ray photons [1, 9]. Since Rydberg orbits are spatially well-localised we assume that ℓ and m are as large as possible, *i.e.*, they are close to the principal quantum number n .

Quantum description of the nucleus is not so straightforward, especially when odd- A nuclei are considered. Therefore we work within the simplest possible framework capturing the essence of the quadrupole resonance phenomena in odd- A systems. This gives us a path to explain in details all aspects of the phenomena, to specify conditions for its observability, and to estimate its basic measurable features. Of course, one can use more sophisticated nuclear models, especially for cases when quadrupole moments of the nucleus are essentially dependent on its quantum state, to obtain more accurate predictions [15–19]. Nevertheless, the general scheme outlined below will not change.

Our framework is based on the fundamental assumption that the density of nuclear matter, represented by $\rho(\mathbf{R})$, exhibits minimal dependence on its quantum state. Then deformations of the nucleus are characterized by the nuclear quadrupole tensor:

$$Q_{2\mu} = \sqrt{\frac{16\pi}{5}} \int d^3\mathbf{R} \rho(\mathbf{R}) R^2 Y_2^\mu(\boldsymbol{\Theta}) \quad (3)$$

while its rotational quantum states are described within the Bohr-Mottelson collective rotational model framework [20–23]. Assuming additionally that the nucleus is axially symmetric one finds that the quadrupole tensor has only one nonvanishing element Q_{20} equal to the intrinsic quadrupole moment Q_0/e . Moreover, all the eigenstates of \mathcal{H}_R are expressed explicitly in terms of Wigner D-matrices

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\Theta} | JKM \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2J+1}{16\pi^2}} \left[\xi_K D_{MK}^J(\boldsymbol{\Theta}) + (-1)^{J+K} \xi_{\bar{K}} D_{M-\bar{K}}^J(\boldsymbol{\Theta}) \right]. \quad (4)$$

Here we assumed that J is half-integer since we focus on odd- A elements, similarly as its projections K, M .

III. QUADRUPOLE INTERACTIONS

The remaining part of the Hamiltonian, \mathcal{H}_Q , dominated by the quadrupole interactions, essentially accounts for all contributions not captured by the point-like approximation of the nucleus. Within our framework, this Hamiltonian can be decomposed into terms that act independently on the nucleus and antiproton sectors, as

follows [10]

$$\mathcal{H}_Q = -\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{5}} Z Q_0 Y_2^0(\boldsymbol{\Theta}) r^{-3} Y_2^0(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}), \quad (5)$$

where we measure all energies and lengths in natural units of the Coulomb problem $\alpha^2 m_{\bar{p}} c^2 \approx 50 \text{ keV}$ and $\hbar/(\alpha m_{\bar{p}} c) \approx 28.8 \text{ fm}$, while $\alpha \approx 1/137$ is the fine-structure constant.

It is clear that, as long as purely spherically symmetric interaction is considered (vanishing \mathcal{H}_Q), product states of orbiting antiproton $|njm\kappa\rangle$, and nucleus $|JKM\rangle$ diagonalize the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}_R + \mathcal{H}_r$. Since quadrupole interactions preserve total angular momentum, it is convenient to work in the basis of combined states of well-defined total angular momentum \mathcal{F} ($|j - J| \leq \mathcal{F} \leq j + J$) and its projection \mathcal{M} as

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}; nj\kappa JK\rangle &= (-1)^{j+J+\mathcal{M}} \sqrt{2\mathcal{F}+1} \\ &\times \sum_{m=-j}^j \begin{pmatrix} j & J & \mathcal{F} \\ m & \mathcal{M}-m & -\mathcal{M} \end{pmatrix} |njm\kappa\rangle |JK(\mathcal{M}-m)\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

In this basis, all the matrix elements of the quadrupole Hamiltonian can be calculated using the decomposition rule [4, 5]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}; nj\kappa JK | \mathcal{H}_Q | \mathcal{F}'\mathcal{M}'; n'j'\kappa' J'K' \rangle &= \delta_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}'} \delta_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}'} \\ &\times (-1)^{1+j'+J+\mathcal{F}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{5}} Z Q_0 \left\{ \begin{matrix} j & j' & 2 \\ J' & J & \mathcal{F} \end{matrix} \right\} \langle nj | r^{-3} | n'j' \rangle \\ &\times \langle JKM | Y_2^0(\boldsymbol{\Theta}) | J'K'M' \rangle \langle jm\kappa | Y_2^0(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) | j'm'\kappa' \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Now it is clear that to obtain the transition amplitudes one can calculate contributing parts independently in nuclear and electronic sectors. However, due to the conservation of total angular momentum \mathcal{F} and its projection \mathcal{M} , final contributions must combine to meet this constrain.

A. Transitions in nuclear sector

Utilizing explicit expressions for the eigenfunctions of the collective rotational model (4) one finds straightforwardly matrix elements of the quadrupole moment operator in the nuclear sector

$$\begin{aligned} \langle JKM | Y_2^0(\boldsymbol{\Theta}) | J'K'M' \rangle &= \\ &\sqrt{\frac{5}{4\pi}} \sqrt{(2J+1)(2J'+1)} \delta_{MM'} \delta_{KK'} \\ &\times \begin{pmatrix} J & 2 & J' \\ M & 0 & -M \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J & 2 & J' \\ K & 0 & -K \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Quantitatively this matrix element is nuclear-model dependent. However, qualitatively it captures principled

properties of the quadrupole coupling between nuclear states. Therefore, if needed, its value can be tuned to experimental values by a simple dimensionless multiplicative factor without changing the physical picture. Here, we continue with the simple assumption of a constant quadrupole moment, the influence of changing quadrupole moment between states is discussed later in the discussion section.

Having this expression in hand, one deduces that quadrupole interactions can trigger only well-specified transition of the nucleus. For example, if the even- A element is considered and the nucleus remains in its ground state $|0^+\rangle$, then the only non-vanishing transition amplitude (equal to $1/\sqrt{4\pi}$) couples to the first excited state of the same band $|2^+\rangle$. In this particular case the angular momentum of the nucleus increases by two quanta ($\Delta J = 2$) and, due to the conservation of \mathcal{F} , it must be compensated by a decrease of the angular momentum of an orbiting particle by the same amount. This is indeed possible since quadrupole electric field inherently couples electronic states of orbiting particle with $\Delta\ell = 2$ (see the next subsection for details).

Situation is slightly different when odd- A elements are considered. Then the nucleus, being a fermionic particle, has non-vanishing half-integer spin with single-particle excitations which typically result in the increase of spin by one quanta. Fortunately, it is quite easy to verify that the matrix element (8) is also non-zero in these cases. As an example, Ruthenium-101 nucleus with ground state $M = K = J = 5/2^+$ and excited state $J' = 7/2^+$ is equal to $\sqrt{125/(288\pi)}$, i.e., is of the same order as the matrix element for even- A nuclei.

B. Transitions of orbiting particle

Analysis of possible transitions in orbiting particle sector is similar. By taking the central field solutions of Dirac equation for orbiting antiproton (2), one finds angular matrix elements of the electronic part of the quadrupole interactions

$$\begin{aligned} \langle jm+|Y_{20}(\vartheta)|j'm'+\rangle = & \quad (9a) \\ & (-1)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{5}{4\pi}} \delta_{mm'} \begin{pmatrix} j+\frac{1}{2} & 2 & j'+\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ & \times \left[\sqrt{(j-m+1)(j'-m+1)} \begin{pmatrix} j+\frac{1}{2} & 2 & j'+\frac{1}{2} \\ -m+\frac{1}{2} & 0 & m-\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \right. \\ & \left. - \sqrt{(j+m+1)(j'+m+1)} \begin{pmatrix} j+\frac{1}{2} & 2 & j'+\frac{1}{2} \\ -m-\frac{1}{2} & 0 & m+\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \right], \end{aligned}$$




FIG. 1. Schematic chart of possible decays from electronic states of an orbiting antiproton triggered by quadrupole interactions, having orbital angular momentum ℓ . Note that in addition to the typical transitions with $\Delta j = 0$ and $\Delta j = -2$ caused by amplitudes (9a) and (9b), transitions that change the total particle spin by $\Delta j = -1$ and $\Delta j = -3$ are also possible due to the simultaneous change of the orbital angular momentum with $\Delta\ell = -2$ and the flip of particle spin. They are controlled by amplitudes (9c).

$$\begin{aligned} \langle jm-|Y_{20}(\vartheta)|j'm'-\rangle = & \quad (9b) \\ & (-1)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{5}{4\pi}} \delta_{mm'} \begin{pmatrix} j-\frac{1}{2} & 2 & j'-\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ & \times \left[\sqrt{(j+m)(j'+m)} \begin{pmatrix} j-\frac{1}{2} & 2 & j'-\frac{1}{2} \\ -m+\frac{1}{2} & 0 & m-\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \right. \\ & \left. - \sqrt{(j-m)(j'-m)} \begin{pmatrix} j-\frac{1}{2} & 2 & j'-\frac{1}{2} \\ -m-\frac{1}{2} & 0 & m+\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle jm+|Y_{20}(\vartheta)|j'm'-\rangle = & \quad (9c) \\ & (-1)^{m+\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{5}{4\pi}} \delta_{mm'} \begin{pmatrix} j+\frac{1}{2} & 2 & j'-\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ & \times \left[\sqrt{(j-m+1)(j'+m)} \begin{pmatrix} j+\frac{1}{2} & 2 & j'-\frac{1}{2} \\ -m+\frac{1}{2} & 0 & m-\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \right. \\ & \left. + \sqrt{(j+m+1)(j'-m)} \begin{pmatrix} j+\frac{1}{2} & 2 & j'-\frac{1}{2} \\ -m-\frac{1}{2} & 0 & m+\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Essentially, there are three different types of non-vanishing matrix elements (schematically presented in Fig. 1). The first two involve transitions between states having the same sign of relativistic quantum number κ . In these cases, the antiproton experiences a small shift of the energy (matrix element with $\Delta j = 0$) or undergoes transition with $\Delta j = -2$ keeping the spin projection unchanged. During this transition, a whole change of the angular momentum comes from the orbital change $\Delta\ell = -2$. As mentioned in previous subsection, due to the conservation of \mathcal{F} , this transition must be accompanied by a corresponding change of angular momentum of the nucleus, $\Delta J = +2$. For exactly this reason, this transition is typically considered for E2 resonance of even- A

nuclei between $|0^+\rangle$ and $|2^+\rangle$ internal states [6, 10–12]. But of course it may be also considered in odd- A systems.

The third possibility exists between states of opposite κ . Then, although the antiproton undergoes transition with change of the orbital angular momentum $\Delta\ell = -2$, the total angular momentum change is $\Delta j = -3$ or $\Delta j = -1$ due to simultaneous flipping of antiproton spin. The latter is also possible without changing orbital angular momentum, $\Delta\ell = 0$, and leads to mixing of different spin states. Correspondingly, in the presence of these transitions, the nucleus must undergo excitation with spin changed by three or one quanta. In the generic scenario considered here for odd- A elements where $\Delta J = 1$ only transitions with $\Delta j = -1$ are allowed. Thus, this transition become typical for odd- A elements. It is worth noticing here, that this particular transitions are possible since there is a spin-orbit coupling between electronic states of orbiting particle. Thus, its amplitude is evidently smaller when compared to the spin-preserving transitions. However, since in odd- A anti-protonic atoms the spin-preserving channels are locked, this transition is the leading term forced by quadrupole interaction.

From the above discussion it follows that independently of the parity of the nucleus only the transitions with $\Delta\ell = 2$ are allowed. Therefore, if we consider scenario of an exotic particle orbiting in a high Rydberg orbit, *i.e.*, $\ell \sim n - 1$ and $m \sim \ell$, the most probable transitions is $|n, \ell = n - 1\rangle \rightarrow |n - 2, \ell' = n - 3\rangle$ with $m = m' = n - 3$. This observation is directly related to the fact that the prefactor originating in the radial matrix element $\langle n_j | r^{-3} | n'_{j'} \rangle$ quickly decays with increasing difference $\Delta n = n - n'$. Here, one should also note that this factor calculated for the most typical scenario, $\langle n_j | r^{-3} | n - 2, j - 1 \rangle$, quickly decays with increasing n (much faster than dipolar factor $\langle n_j | r^{-1} | n - 1, j - 1 \rangle$). Therefore, overall quadrupole transition amplitudes with large initial n are strongly suppressed thus we only consider $n \leq 8$ orbitals.

IV. THE RESONANCE

The matrix elements of the quadrupole Hamiltonian are rather small when compared with typical energy spacings between coupled electronic states, which is of the order of $\Delta E_{\bar{p}} = E_{n_j} - E_{n-2, j-2}$, where $j = \ell \pm 1/2 = n - 1 \pm 1/2$. Thus, the correction is mainly reflected in a relatively small mixing of states in the same $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{M})$ -subspace. However, when the energy difference between relevant electronic states of orbiting anti-proton is close to the excitation energy of the nucleus, ΔE_N , one can suspect resonant behaviour triggered by this coupling. For even- A elements, this behaviour is quite well studied and explored experimentally [5, 10–12]. In contrary, for odd- A elements, due to non-trivial interplay between orbital and spin degrees of motion, observation of the resonance has not yet been explored. An exemplary case of mentioned ruthenium ^{101}Ru is displayed in




FIG. 2. General scheme for matching the energetic condition for quadrupole resonance using the example of an antiprotonic Ruthenium-101. The $7/2^+$ excited state 939 keV above the $5/2^+$ ground state matches the energy difference between electronic states of orbiting antiproton $|n = 7, \ell = 6\rangle$ and $|n = 5, \ell = 4\rangle$. Due to required total angular momentum conservation, the resonance is fully controlled by spin-flipping transitions (9c). In this case the states $|7, 11/2, m, +; 5/2, K, M\rangle$ and $|5, 9/2, m, -; 7/2, K, M\rangle$ are resonantly coupled by quadrupole interactions for any allowed quantum numbers m, K , and M which are conserved.

Fig. 2. It turns out that the energy difference between $|n = 7, \ell = 6\rangle$ and $|n = 5, \ell = 4\rangle$ states is very close to the separation energy between nucleus ground-state $|5/2^+\rangle$ and the $|7/2^+\rangle$ excited state at 939 keV. Moreover, due to the required total angular momentum conservation, this coupling is controlled solely by spin-flipping transitions (9c) (the two others are forbidden by selection rules) and the initial states $|7, 11/2, m, +; 5/2, K, M\rangle$ and final states $|5, 9/2, m, -; 7/2, K, M\rangle$ are resonantly coupled by quadrupole interactions for any quantum numbers m, K , and M that obey angular momentum projection conservation. The coupling matrix element $\langle initial | \mathcal{H}_Q | final \rangle / Q_0$ calculated for $m = 4, K = M = 5/2$ to 190 eV/b thus is of the same order as the energy difference $\Delta E_N - \Delta E_{\bar{p}}$, we therefore expect the resonance effect to be of significant relevance here.

Let us mention here, that in fact, the scenario discussed above for antiprotonic ruthenium is not unique and in principle may be observed for different stable and unstable odd- A isotopes. In Table. I we list candidates of odd- A stable elements for which the energy matching for quadrupole transition is comparable with the corresponding quadrupole coupling matrix element. More precisely, we assume that the effects of quadrupole resonance can be experimentally relevant if the coupling matrix element is not less than 5% of the energy difference between coupled states. Obviously, due to the quick decaying of the quadrupole matrix element

TABLE I. Examples of stable odd- A antiprotonic atoms where the spin-flip-induced quadrupole resonance. The nuclear transition energies were acquired from [24] and the antiproton transitions were calculated using. In parenthesis, we indicate tentatively assigned nuclear spins of the excited states. Follow text for more details.

isotope	Z	N	nuclear spin		ΔE_N (keV)	$\Delta E_{\bar{p}}$ (keV)	n	
			ground	excited			initial	final
			NUCLEUS			ANTIPROTON		
¹⁰¹ Ru	44	57	5/2	(7/2)	938.65	939.40	7	5
¹¹¹ Cd	48	63	1/2	3/2	1115.57	1119.20	7	5
¹²³ Sb	51	72	7/2	(9/2)	1260.80	1264.81	7	5
¹⁶⁵ Ho	67	98	7/2	(9/2)	2178.00	2189.96	7	5
¹⁶⁹ Tm	69	100	1/2	(3/2)	2312.2	2323.38	7	5
¹⁸³ W	74	109	1/2	(3/2)	2667.8	2667.47	7	5
²⁰³ Tl	81	122	1/2	(3/2)	1988.88	1987.73	8	6

$\langle nj|r^{-3}|n-2, j'\rangle$ with increasing n , the most interesting are these elements for which the resonant n is small, since then the energy matching may be less accurate. On the other hand, when n is sufficiently small, the corresponding antiprotonic orbit is in close proximity to the surface of the nucleus. In this case, the resonant condition is strongly affected by the influence of the strong interaction which is neglected in these studies.

Thus, precise measurements of the spin-flip-induced resonance effect could provide valuable insight into the strong interaction shifts and width of antiproton orbits in the close proximity to the nucleus containing the unpaired nucleon. The emergence of this resonance effect measured along isotopic chains may give further insight into the influence of the unpaired nucleon on the nuclear periphery. Furthermore, if the spin and moments of the nuclear ground-state is well understood then the observation of this resonance effect may prove itself as a complementary tool for decoupling the properties of the short-lived excited nuclear state. For example, the spin of the upper level nuclear states of the proposed transitions have only been tentatively assigned, see Table. I. Hence, the observation of this resonance effect could provide information of the nuclear spin and nuclear moments of these short-lived excited states. However, in order to decouple the strong interaction effects more detailed calculations are required which is beyond the scope of this work.

For completeness, let us also mention that the same spin-flip-induced quadrupole resonance could be in principle observed for other exotic atoms containing heavy fermionic particles. The most natural candidates are muonic atoms. However, in practice, in these cases, the energy scales of electronic transitions are significantly smaller, thus fulfilling the resonance condition is much more demanding. This means probably that only an-

tiprotonic (or heavier) atoms may serve as appropriate candidates for observing spin-flipping resonance.

V. FINAL REMARKS

This work extends the study of the nuclear resonance effect of exotic atoms by focusing on odd- A nuclei with half-integer ground-state spin. We show that $\Delta J = 1$ nuclear excitations can be directly triggered by $\Delta\ell = 2$ transitions of the decaying antiproton undergoing spin-flip. We present cases of odd- A antiprotonic atoms where the spin-flip-induced quadrupole resonance effect is expected to occur. The observation of this effect could reveal the strong interaction influence on deeply bound antiproton orbitals on nuclei with unpaired nucleons and potentially serve as a tool for benchmarking nuclear structure of short-lived excited nuclear states.

The rapid development of antiproton facilities and the upcoming availability of antiprotons that can be transported would allow greater access of antiprotons to the nuclear physics community which will allow for new probes for nuclear physics, such as the one proposed in this work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are very grateful to Michael Doser, Piotr Magierski, Leszek Próchniak, and Katarzyna Wrzosek-Lipska for their insightful comments and inspiring questions. The authors are also indebted for many valuable discussions with AEgIS Collaboration members. The work by T. S. and D. P. was supported as part of a project funded by the Polish Ministry of Education and Science on the basis of agreement no. 2022/WK/06.

[1] J. S. Cohen, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **67**, 1769 (2004).

[2] S. Devons and I. Duerdoth, “Muonic atoms,” in *Advances in Nuclear Physics: Volume 2*, edited by M. Baranger and E. Vogt (Springer US, New York, NY, 1969) pp. 295–423.

- [3] H. Acker, *Nuclear Physics* **87**, 153 (1966).
- [4] S. De Wit, G. Backenstoss, C. Daum, J. Sens, and H. Acker, *Nuclear Physics* **87**, 657 (1966).
- [5] A. Green, G. Liu, and S. Wycech, *Nuclear Physics A* **483**, 619 (1988).
- [6] S. Wycech, F. Hartmann, H. Daniel, W. Kanert, H. Plendl, T. von Egidy, J. Reidy, M. Nicholas, L. Redmond, H. Koch, A. Kreissl, H. Poth, and D. Rohmann, *Nuclear Physics A* **561**, 607 (1993).
- [7] M. Hori, A. Sótér, D. Barna, A. Dax, R. Hayano, S. Friedrich, B. Juhász, T. Pask, E. Widmann, D. Horváth, *et al.*, *Nature* **475**, 484 (2011).
- [8] X. Yang, S. Wang, S. Wilkins, and R. G. Ruiz, *Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics* **129**, 104005 (2023).
- [9] D. Gotta, K. Rashid, B. Fricke, P. Indelicato, and L. M. Simons, *The European Physical Journal D* **47**, 11 (2008).
- [10] M. Leon, *Nuclear Physics A* **260**, 461 (1976).
- [11] S. Wycech, G. Liu, and A. Green, *Physics Letters B* **252**, 27 (1990).
- [12] B. Kłos, S. Wycech, A. Trzcińska, J. Jastrzębski, T. Czosnyka, M. Kisieliński, P. Lubiński, P. Napiorkowski, L. Pierkowski, F. J. Hartmann, B. Ketzer, R. Schmidt, T. von Egidy, J. Cugnon, K. Gulda, W. Kurcewicz, and E. Widmann, *Phys. Rev. C* **69**, 044311 (2004).
- [13] H. Bethe and E. Salpeter, *Quantum Mechanics of One- and Two-Electron Atoms* (Springer, Berlin, 1957).
- [14] G. Drake, *Handbook of Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics* (Springer, New York, 2006).
- [15] A. S. de Toledo, M. N. Rao, O. Sala, and F. Krmpotić, *Phys. Rev. C* **16**, 438 (1977).
- [16] A. de Toledo, H. Hafner, and H. Klapdor, *Nuclear Physics A* **320**, 309 (1979).
- [17] L. Y. Jia, H. Zhang, and Y. M. Zhao, *Phys. Rev. C* **76**, 054305 (2007).
- [18] F. H. Al-Khudair, *Phys. Rev. C* **80**, 014306 (2009).
- [19] D. Deleanu, D. L. Balabanski, T. Venkova, D. Bucurescu, N. Mărginean, E. Ganioglu, G. C. ăta Danil, L. Atanasova, I. C. ăta Danil, P. Detistov, D. Filipescu, D. Ghiță, T. Glodariu, M. Ivașcu, R. Mărginean, C. Mihai, A. Negret, S. Pascu, T. Sava, L. Stroe, G. Suliman, and N. V. Zamfir, *Phys. Rev. C* **87**, 014329 (2013).
- [20] A. Bohr, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **48**, 365 (1976).
- [21] B. Mottelson, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **48**, 375 (1976).
- [22] H. A. Naqvi, C. Bahri, D. Troltenier, J. P. Draayer, and A. Faessler, *Zeitschrift für Physik A Hadrons and Nuclei* **351**, 259 (1995).
- [23] D. J. Rowe, A. E. McCoy, and M. A. Caprio, *Physica Scripta* **91**, 033003 (2016).
- [24] International Atomic Energy Agency, “Gamma-ray energies database,” <https://www-nds.iaea.org/> (2023), accessed: 20-12-2023.