Quantum magnetism in the frustrated square lattice oxyhalides $YbBi_2IO_4$ and $YbBi_2ClO_4^*$

Pyeongjae Park,^{1,†} G. Sala,² Th. Proffen,³ Matthew B. Stone,³ Andrew D. Christianson,¹ and Andrew F. May^{1,‡}

¹Materials Science & Technology Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

²Spallation Neutron Source, Second Target Station,

Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, 37831, USA

³Neutron Scattering Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA

Square-lattice systems offer a direct route for realizing 2D quantum magnetism with frustration induced by competing interactions. In this work, the square-lattice materials $YbBi_2IO_4$ and $YbBi_2ClO_4$ were investigated using a combination of magnetization and specific heat measurements on polycrystalline samples. Specific heat measurements provide evidence for long-range magnetic order below $T_{\rm N} = 0.21 \, {\rm K} \, (0.25 \, {\rm K})$ for YbBi₂IO₄ (YbBi₂ClO₄). On the other hand, a rather broad maximum is found in the temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility, located at $T_{\rm max} = 0.33\,{\rm K}$ (0.38 K) in YbBi₂IO₄ (YbBi₂ClO₄), consistent with the quasi-2D magnetism expected for the large separation between the magnetic layers. Estimation of the magnetic entropy supports the expected Kramers' doublet ground state for Yb^{3+} and the observed paramagnetic behavior is consistent with a well-isolated doublet. Roughly two-thirds of the entropy is consumed above $T_{\rm N}$, due to a combination of the quasi-2D behavior and magnetic frustration. The impact of frustration is examined from the viewpoint of a simplified J_1 - J_2 square lattice model, which is frustrated for antiferromagnetic interactions. Specifically, a high-temperature series expansion analysis of the temperature-dependent specific heat and magnetization data yields $J_2/J_1 = 0.30$ (0.23) for YbBi₂IO₄ (YbBi₂ClO₄). This simplified analysis suggests strong frustration that should promote significant quantum fluctuations in these compounds, and thus motivates future work on the static and dynamic magnetic properties of these materials.

I. INTRODUCTION

The S = 1/2 square lattice antiferromagnet has served as a pivotal spin model for understanding quantum magnetism in two dimensions (2D) [1–5]. Intense interest in this model emerged from its relevance to high-temperature superconducting cuprates, in which the Cu^{2+} ions form a quasi-2D square lattice structure of spin-1/2 moments [1, 6]. It was proposed that the inherent quantum spin fluctuations within this spin system contribute to the formation of superconductivity in cuprates. This was naturally extended to the suggestion of a new quantum-entangled magnetic ground state devoid of classical long-range order [7], now called a quantum spin liquid (QSL). Although it is now established that a S = 1/2 square lattice with nearest-neighbor (NN) antiferromagnetic interactions (J_1) develops long-range order, quantum fluctuations still play a role in this system [1, 8-10]. This is notably evident through a large

reduction in its ordered moment, which diminishes to approximately 60% of the classical limit [1, 8]. Additionally, spectroscopic studies of the spin dynamics of S = 1/2 square lattice materials have revealed indications of fractionalized quasi-particles [9] and quantum entanglement [10], making model systems in this class potential testing grounds for such behavior.

The importance of quantum effects in S = 1/2square lattice antiferromagnets can be further amplified by adding frustration. This can be realized by antiferromagnetic second NN interactions (J_2) . In this case, competition between J_1 and J_2 introduces exchange frustration on a square lattice, which, combined with low spin and low dimension, substantially increases the influence of quantum fluctuations [1-5]. In accordance with this idea, theoretical works have suggested possible emergence of a QSL within a parameter range of $0.4 < J_2/J_1 < 0.6$ in which the frustration is maximized [11–14]. Notably, this phase emerges between the regions of Neel-type $(J_2/J_1 < 0.4)$ and columnar-type $(0.6 < J_2/J_1)$ antiferromagnetic orders, effectively bridging these two phases seamlessly by suppressing each order. Thus, the $S = 1/2 J_1 - J_2$ square lattice model provides a simple but informative platform for studying 2D quantum magnetism where the degree of quantum fluctuations is systematically controlled by J_2 . Consequently, continuous efforts have been made to find such frustrated S = 1/2 square lattice materials with sizable antiferromagnetic J_2 [15–19].

Halide based materials have risen as important sources for studying magnetic behavior in 2D lattices [20]. Such investigations range from studying genuine 2D mag-

^{*} This manuscript has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The United States Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes. The Department of Energy will provide public access to these results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public Access Plan (http://energy.gov/downloads/doe-public-access-plan).

[†] parkp@ornl.gov

[‡] mayaf@ornl.gov



FIG. 1. Crystal structure of YbBi₂XO₄ (X=Cl, I). (a) The tetragonal unit cell of YbBi₂XO₄, having the space group P4/mmm (123). The Yb ions form a stack of square lattices separated by Bi and Cl (I) layers. (b) The oxygen coordination of the Yb ions. The distances between Yb and O are all equal. The ratio of in-plane to out-of-plane O–O distances (d_{ab}/d_c) are 0.996 for both YbBi₂IO₄ and YbBi₂ClO₄. (c) Nearest-neighbor (J_1) and second nearest-neighbor (J_2) exchange interactions of a Yb³⁺ square lattice. Crystal structures were drawn with Vesta [31].

netism in atomically-thin samples fabricated by mechanical exfoliation [21–25] to nearly ideal realizations of quantum magnetism [26–29]. Notably, the latter realizations were all achieved by spin-orbit coupled electron configurations (*i.e.*, *J*-manifold) in which the Kramers' doublet ground states manifest an effective spin-1/2 ($J_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$) degree of freedom. This pseudospin mechanism has provided an important method of designing quantum magnets beyond cuprate (Cu^{2+}) or vanadate (V^{4+}) systems that have been the subjects of intense investigation [30]. Particular success has been found in hexagonal systems such as honeycomb RuCl₃ [26, 27] and YbCl₃ [28], and triangular CoI₂ [29]. However, $J_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ sqaure lattice materials built upon halide chemistry are still lacking.

In this article, we report the physical properties of the tetragonal materials YbBi₂IO₄ and YbBi₂ClO₄ (Fig. 1), which are members of large class of oxy-halide magnetic materials $\text{ReBi}_2 \text{XO}_4$ (Re = rare-earth elements, X = Cl, Br, I) [32]. The Yb sites in these compounds form square lattices, with the separation between each layer more than twice as long as the nearest Yb–Yb distance. The temperature-dependent magnetization precisely follows the Curie-Weiss behavior from 50 K to $\sim 1 \text{ K}$, with a negative Curie-Weiss temperature of $\theta_{\rm CW}$ = -0.78 K (-0.73 K) in YbBi₂IO₄ (YbBi₂ClO₄). The specific heat (C_p) measurements reveal the ordering of Yb³⁺ moments below $T_{\rm N} = 0.21 \,\mathrm{K} \,(0.25 \,\mathrm{K})$ in YbBi₂IO₄ (YbBi₂ClO₄). The estimated total magnetic entropy $(\sim R \ln(2))$ indicates Yb^{3+} in $YbBi_2IO_4$ and $YbBi_2ClO_4$ manifests wellisolated Kramers' doublet ground states, consistent with

the Curie-Weiss law being valid up to $50 \text{ K} \sim 220 T_{\text{N}}$. Meanwhile, temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibilities exhibit rather broad maxima at temperatures (T_{max}) higher than T_{N} . This evidences strong spin correlations above T_{N} , consistent with the observation that the majority of the magnetic entropy is released above T_{N} in these materials. Notably, both the $|\theta_{\text{CW}}|/T_{\text{max}}$ ratio and J_2/J_1 estimated from a high-temperature series expansion analysis suggest these compounds possess sizable frustration, indicating a possibility of strong quantum effects.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

 $\label{eq:polycrystalline} Polycrystalline \ YbBi_2IO_4 \ \ and \ \ YbBi_2ClO_4 \ \ were$ formed by reactions of Bi_2O_3 , Yb_2O_3 , and BiIO or BiClOin evacuated SiO_2 tubing. $YbBi_2IO_4$ was obtained from a stoichiometric reaction at 950°C for a total of 6 d with one intermediate grinding. For $YbBi_2ClO_4$, after an initial reaction at 950°C for 100 h, the material was ground and heated at 900°C for 100 h. After this, impurities were detected by laboratory x-ray diffraction, and thus an additional $\approx 1\%$ of the original mass of BiClO and Bi₂O₃ were added and the sample was heated at 850°C for 150 h, which resulted in the product used in the experiments reported herein. Yb_2O_3 was dried prior to use. The source powders of BiIO and BiClO were formed by reacting stoichiometric mixtures of Bi_2O_3 and ultra-dry BiI_3 spheres or anyhydrous BiCl₃ at 550°C for 24 h with an intermediate dwell of 10 h at 300-350°C ; the reagents were ground together in a glovebox and subsequently sealed under vacuum in SiO_2 without exposure to air.

Sample quality was initially checked using a commercial powder x-ray diffractometer (PANalytical X'Pert Pro MPD) with Cu– $K_{\alpha 1}$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.5406$ Å) from an incident beam monochromator. A full structural analysis was performed using neutron diffraction data collected at the POWGEN time-of-flight diffractometer at the Spallation Neutron Source (SNS) [33]. For the measurements, a YbBi₂IO₄ (YbBi₂ClO₄) powder sample with a mass of 6.09 g (3.73 g) was loaded in a standard vanadium can. The data were collected at 30 K with the high resolution mode and a center wavelength of 1.5 Å. Rietveld refinement was performed using the FullProf software package [34].

Magnetization and specific heat measurements were performed in Quantum Design measurement systems. Magnetization measurements were performed in an MPMS3 using a gelcap to hold the polycrystalline materials within a standard measurement straw. Data below 1.8 K were obtained using the iHe-3 insert, for which samples were affixed to the straw by mixing them with high-vacuum grease. Specific heat measurements below 2.5 K were conducted using a dilution refrigerator insert within a Dynacool. In order to improve the mechanical integrity and thermal equilibration of the samples, the powders were gently ground with an equal mass of high

			YbBi ₂ IO	4	YbBi ₂ ClO ₄					
		a	a = b = 3.8658(1) Å, $c = 9.5052(1)$ Å				a = b = 3.8196(1) Å, $c = 8.8169(1)$ Å			
Atom	Site	x/a	y/b	z/c	$B_{\rm iso}$ (Å ²)	x/a	y/b	z/c	$B_{\rm iso}$ (Å ²)	
Yb	1a	0	0	0	0.057(14)	0	0	0	0.041(10)	
(I,Cl)	1b	0	0	0.5	0.148(27)	0	0	0.5	0.235(13)	
Bi	2h	0.5	0.5	0.7425(1)	0.038(10)	0.5	0.5	0.7195(1)	0.002(11)	
Ο	4i	0.5	0	0.8581(1)	0.236(10)	0.5	0	0.8463(1)	0.193(12)	
		$R_p(\%) = 8.26, R_{wp}(\%) = 6.86$					$R_p(\%) = 5.23, R_{wp}(\%) = 5.85$			
	$R_{ m exp}(\%) = 0.722, \chi^2 = 90.4$					$R_{\rm exp}(\%) = 0.793, \chi^2 = 54.4$				

TABLE I. Crystal structure of $YbBi_2(I,Cl)O_4$ determined by Rietveld refinement of the powder neutron diffraction data collected at 30 K (Fig. 2). The space group is P4/mmm (No. 123). The occupancies of all sites are equal to unity.

purity silver powder and then pressed into a small pellet. The contribution of the silver powder was subtracted using in-house data for silver's specific heat, which is much smaller than the magnetic contribution of the samples at low-temperatures. AC susceptibility measurements down to 100 mK were performed using a dilution refrigerator insert with the ac-dr option from Quantum Design. A portion of the pellets used for specific heat (including Ag powder) was affixed to the sample holder using N-grease. Measurements were completed upon warming after thermalizing below 100 mK for several hours. Data were collected using 227 and 756 Hz and the broad maxima of susceptibility observed occurred at the same temperature for both frequencies; a static DC field was not applied and the ac driving amplitude was 2 Oe.

III. RESULTS

A. Structure analysis

The crystal structures of $YbBi_2IO_4$ and $YbBi_2ClO_4$ were studied through their time-of-flight neutron diffraction profiles collected at T = 30 K. Fig. 2 show the data and Rietveld refinement results as a function of momentum transfer $|\mathbf{Q}|$. Nuclear Bragg peaks of likely impurity phases $(Yb_2O_3, YbI_2, BiI_3, Bi_2O_3, BiIO, Yb(IO_3)_3,$ YbBi₂, and Yb₅Bi₃) were not observed in the neutron diffraction profile. Yet we note that we observed two unidentified impurity peaks in the YbBi₂IO₄ data, located at d = 1.84 and 2.13 Å, with intensities less than 0.1% compared to those originating from YbBi₂IO₄. The refinements based on the previously-reported tetragonal unit cell (P4/mmm) [32] yield an excellent agreement with the data, the results of which are summarized in Table I. Notably, the chloride exhibits smaller thermal displacement parameters (B_{iso}) of Yb, Bi, and O, which is especially noticeable on the Bi site.

The magnetic Yb³⁺ ions form a square lattice through edge sharing of square prismatic polyhedra as shown in Fig. 1(b)–(c). The NN distances are equal to the *a* lattice parameter, and the second NN is on the diagonal at a



FIG. 2. Powder neutron diffraction profiles of (a) YbBi₂IO₄ and (b) YbBi₂ClO₄ collected at 30 K. Solid black lines in (a) and (b) are the simulated profile from the refinement result shown in Table I. Solid blue lines are the difference between the data and simulations. Green vertical ticks denote the positions of nuclear reflections.

distance of $\sqrt{2a}$. Each layer of the Yb³⁺ square lattice is well isolated from each other, with a separation equal to the *c* lattice parameter. As shown in Table I, the ratio of in-plane to out-of-plane distances is greater than 2 (*c/a* = 2.308 in YbBi₂ClO₄ and *c/a* = 2.459 in YbBi₂IO₄), which drives the expectation for genuine quasi-2D magnetic behavior dominated by square lattice physics. This remains true even when comparing the out-of-plane distance with the second NN distance. The ratio ($c/\sqrt{2a}$ = 1.632 in YbBi₂ClO₄ and 1.739 in YbBi₂IO₄) is still comparable or even larger than the ratio of in-plane to out-of-plane bond distances in other quasi-2D square lattice antiferromagnets [16, 18, 35, 36]. Notably, the lattice parameter c of YbBi₂IO₄ is nearly 8% larger than that of YbBi₂ClO₄, while in-plane lattice parameters, differ by ~1.2% with the chloride having the smaller unit cell.

As expected from the space group, the oxygen coordination around Yb³⁺ is highly symmetric (Fig. 1(b)). The eight O²⁻ ligands are evenly spaced from Yb³⁺ and form a nearly cubic-shaped polyhedron. Notably, the refined crystal structure of both YbBi₂IO₄ and YbBi₂ClO₄ possesses a slight (~0.4%) elongation of the polyhedron along the *c*-axis, as evident from the ratio of in-plane to out-of-plane O–O distances $(d_{\rm ab}/d_{\rm c})$ being smaller than 1 (Fig. 1(b)).

B. Magnetization and heat capacity measurements

Fig. 3(a)-(b) shows the temperature-dependent magnetization of $YbBi_2IO_4$ and $YbBi_2ClO_4$ from 50 K to $0.4~\mathrm{K}.$ Remarkably, $\mathrm{YbBi_2IO_4}$ and $\mathrm{YbBi_2ClO_4}$ exhibit almost the same magnetization curve. No sign of a phase transition is found in this temperature range. As evident from the inset of Fig. 3(a), the measured magnetization precisely follows the Curie-Weiss law for $2 < T < 50 \,\mathrm{K}$. This implies that the excited crystal field levels of Yb^{3+} have a negligible contribution to the magnetism below 50 K. Orange solid lines in Fig. 3(b) are the fit results with modified Curie-Weiss law $(\chi(T) = \chi_0 + C/(T - \theta_{\rm CW}))$, where $\theta_{\rm CW}$ denotes the Curie-Weiss temperature) for a temperature range from 2 K to 20 K. The fitting yielded $\theta_{\rm CW} = -0.78(3)$ K and $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 3.15(1)\mu_{\text{B}}$ for YbBi₂IO₄, and $\theta_{\text{CW}} = -0.73(3)$ K and $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 3.12(1)\mu_{\text{B}}$ for $\text{YbBi}_2\text{ClO}_4$. Note that the fitted χ_0 was less than $10^{-3} \,\mathrm{emu} \,\mathrm{mol}^{-1} \,\mathrm{Oe}^{-1}$ for both compounds. As expected from the magnitude of fitted $\theta_{\rm CW}$, the measured M/H deviates from the Curie-Weiss behavior below around 0.8 K; see the inset of Fig. 3(b).

The isothermal magnetization data shown in Fig. 3(c) provide further information of the Yb³⁺ magnetism in YbBi₂IO₄ and YbBi₂ClO₄. At 0.4 K, full polarization of magnetic moments is achieved by applying a magnetic field up to 70 kOe. The saturated magnetization estimated from the high-field data yields ~1.8 $\mu_{\rm B}$ /Yb³⁺ for each compound. For $J_{\rm eff} = 1/2$ (as will become clear in the following paragraphs), this indicates the averaged g-factor of ~3.6 for each compound, which is consistent with the magnitude of $\mu_{\rm eff} = g\sqrt{J(J+1)}$ (J = 1/2) obtained from the Curie-Weiss fitting assumed to occur within the doublet.

Upon further cooling, we observed clear signs of magnetic phase transitions in YbBi₂IO₄ and YbBi₂ClO₄. First, a broad peak appears in the real part of the ac magnetic susceptibility (χ'_{ac}) measured down to ~0.1 K in a dilution refrigerator (Fig. 4(a)). This peak is centered at $T_{max} = 0.33$ K for YbBi₂IO₄ and $T_{max} = 0.38$ K



FIG. 3. Magnetic properties of YbBi₂IO₄ and YbBi₂ClO₄ above 0.4 K ($T > T_N$). (a)–(b) Temperature-dependent magnetization data measured down to 0.4 K under a 10 kOe external magnetic field. The insets show the inverse magnetic susceptibility, demonstrating (a) the Curie-Weiss behavior below 50 K and (b) deviation from the Curie-Weiss behavior below around 0.8 K. Solids lines in (b) are fit to the modified Curie-Weiss law between 2 K and 20 K. (b) Isothermal magnetization data from combined ³He and ⁴He experiments.



FIG. 4. Phase transitions and magnetic correlations found for T < 0.4 K. (a) Temperature-dependent ac susceptibility (f = 756 Hz) measured down to 100 mK. (b) Heat capacity $(C_{\rm P})$ of YbBi₂IO₄ and YbBi₂ClO₄ around their Neel temperatures $T_{\rm N} = 0.21$ K and 0.25 K, respectively. (c) The entropy obtained by direct integration of measured $C_{\rm P}/T$ without any background subtraction.

for YbBi₂ClO₄. This behavior is associated with shortrange correlations above $T_{\rm N}$ due to the 2D character of the magnetism. In addition, no meaningful signal was found in the imaginary part ($\chi'_{\rm ac}$), implying a fully compensated antiferromagnetic spin structure (*i.e.*, zero net magnetization) of YbBi₂IO₄ and YbBi₂ClO₄ [37]. On the other hand, the heat capacity measurements are characterized by a sharp λ -shaped peak at 0.21 K (0.25 K) for YbBi₂IO₄ (YbBi₂ClO₄), which suggests the onset of long-range order in these compounds (Fig.4(b)). These transition temperatures ($T_{\rm N}$) are ~1.54 times lower than $T_{\rm max}$ found in the ac susceptibility. Notably, the $T_{\rm N}$ of YbBi₂ClO₄ is 19 % higher than that of YbBi₂IO₄, consistent with the observation that $T_{\rm max}$ was 15 % higher in YbBi₂ClO₄.

The magnetic entropy across the phase transition was estimated by integrating C_p/T and the results are shown in Fig. 4(c). Due to the very low temperatures involved, the phonon contribution to the entropy is assumed to be negligible in Fig. 4(c). The entropy saturates to $\approx R \ln(2) (R = 8.314 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{mol}^{-1})$, suggesting a wellisolated Kramers' doublet ground state that gives rise to $J_{\rm eff} = 1/2$ magnetic moments. This isolation is also evident in the fact that both $YbBi_2IO_4$ and $YbBi_2CIO_4$ follow the Curie-Weiss law up to 50 K ($\sim 220 T_{\rm N}$); thermal populations of higher-energy crystal field levels should be nearly zero around a few kelvin. In addition to supporting the $J_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ picture in these compounds, the entropy calculation reveals that nearly two-thirds of the total magnetic entropy is consumed above $T_{\rm N}$. This indicates the formation of sizable spin-spin correlation at a temperature 3–4 times higher than $T_{\rm N}$, consistent with the deviation from the Curie-Weiss behavior below $0.8\,\mathrm{K}$ (Fig. 3(a)).

IV. DISCUSSION

The size of $\theta_{\rm CW}$, $T_{\rm max}$, and $T_{\rm N}$ in YbBi₂IO₄ and YbBi₂ClO₄ merits a deeper quantitative comparison as a diagnostic of whether these systems are frustrated. This is because $T_{\rm max}$ and $T_{\rm N}$ are suppressed by frustration whereas $\theta_{\rm CW}$ simply captures a sum of all exchange interactions in a spin system (*i.e.*, $\theta_{\rm CW} \propto (J_1 + J_2)$ for the J_1 - J_2 model). First, even though the ratio $|\theta_{\rm CW}|/T_{\rm N}$ = 3.71 (2.92) found in YbBi₂IO₄ (YbBi₂ClO₄) largely surpasses 1, this alone should not be deemed as an indication of frustration in a 2D square lattice antiferromagnet. This is because $T_{\rm N}$ also depends on the size of inter-layer coupling (J_c) and thus $|\theta_{\rm CW}|/T_{\rm N}$ larger than 1 can occur without any frustration. Previous experimental studies of quasi-2D square lattice antiferromagnets demonstrate such a case: while they reported $|\theta_{\rm CW}|/T_{\rm N} \sim 2.75$ for Sr_2CuTeO_6 and $|\theta_{CW}|/T_N \sim 1.7$ for $Zn_2VO(PO_4)_2$, both compounds are known to have very small J_2/J_1 (*i.e.*, very little frustration) [17, 36].

Instead, $|\theta_{\rm CW}|/T_{\rm max}$ better estimates the degree of frustration as $T_{\rm max}$ stems from the spin correlation of a 2D square lattice. Indeed, this ratio was reported to be close to 1 for both Sr₂CuTeO₆ and Zn₂VO(PO₄)₂ [17, 36], and quantum Monte Carlo simulations yield $|\theta_{\rm CW}|/T_{\rm max} = 1.069$ (close to 1) for $J_2 = 0$ in the J_{1^-} J_2 model [16, 38]. Interestingly, the $|\theta_{\rm CW}|/T_{\rm max}$ values of YbBi₂IO₄ and YbBi₂ClO₄ are 2.36 and 1.92, respectively,



FIG. 5. Quantitative analysis of the first and second NN exchange interactions using a high-temperature series expansion methodology. (a)–(d) Best fit of the measured C_p and $\chi(T)$ with the high-temperature series expansion. The grayed data points in (a) contain a putative impurity signal and thus are excluded from the fitting. A short note on this impurity is provided in the main text. (e)–(f) Two-dimensional color plots of the goodness-of-fit (χ^2) as a function of J_1 and J_2 . Orange star symbols denote J_1 and J_2 of the best fits ($\chi^2 = \chi^2_{\min}$) shown in (a)–(d). Blue solid lines in (e)–(f) are the boundary between the Neel-type antiferromagnetic order and the spin liquid phase ($J_2 = 0.4J_1$). Grey dashed lines in (e)–(f) indicate the (J_1 , J_2) parameter sets that yield $\theta_{\rm CW} = -0.78$ K and -0.73 K in $\chi(T)$ of the high-temperature series expansion.

suggesting both YbBi₂IO₄ and YbBi₂ClO₄ possess frustration. This frustration, in addition to the prounounced 2D character, may have further contributed to the significant spin-spin correlations above $T_{\rm N}$ in YbBi₂(I,Cl)O₄. However, it is important to note that a fitted $\theta_{\rm CW}$ value can be influenced by various extrinsic factors in the measurement, necessitating more concrete evidence of frustration in YbBi₂IO₄ and YbBi₂ClO₄.

To further explore the possibility of frustrated interactions, we conducted a high-temperature series expansion analysis based on the $S = 1/2 J_1 - J_2$ Heisenberg model frequently used for frustrated square lattices. This was done by performing a dual fit of measured $C_p(T)$ and

 $\chi(T)$ with their theoretical form derived by the hightemperature series expansion. We assumed J_1 is larger than J_2 as it is more likely from a bond length perspective. The resultant J_1 and J_2 values enable us to estimate the degree of frustration for each compound based on J_2/J_1 . We used the analytic forms derived by Ref. [39] that include polynomials up to the eighth order. The temperature range for the fitting was set to $0.6 \,\mathrm{K}$ $< T < 4 \,\mathrm{K}$ for C_p ; the magnetic contribution above 4 K is nearly zero and the lattice contribution is negligible below 4 K. For $\chi(T)$, we used 0.6 K < T < 10 K. Note that the high-temperature series expansion is valid only for a temperature range higher than the thermodynamic energy scale of a spin system, *i.e.*, $k_{\rm B}T$ > $S(S+1)\sqrt{J_1^2+J_2^2}$ [39]. As will become clear in the following paragraph, 0.6 K is much higher than the thermodynamic energy scale of YbBi₂IO₄ and YbBi₂ClO₄ obtained from this analysis, thereby satisfying the aforementioned condition. Calculated $C_p(T)$ and $\chi(T)$ were multiplied by scale factors to match their magnitude with our data (Fig. 5(a)-(d)). In other words, our analysis only fitted the temperature dependence of $C_p(T)$ and $\chi(T)$, which is sensitive enough to discern the optimal values of J_1 and J_2 .

Before presenting the results of our series expansion analysis, we would like to briefly mention a potential impurity signal in $C_p(T)$ of YbBi₂IO₄ around 2.2 K (Fig. 5(a)). The most likely impurity candidate is Yb₂O₃ as it undergoes magnetic phase transition at 2.25 K [40]. However, as mentioned earlier in this article, our powder neutron diffraction data (Fig. 2) revealed no nuclear Bragg peaks of Yb₂O₃. This observation raises two possibilities: i) the quantity of Yb₂O₃, though not negligible, might be exceedingly small, or ii) it falls outside the range of considered impurity candidates, possibly related to the two unidentified impurity peaks found in our neutron diffraction data (see Section III. A.)

Fig. 5(a)-(d) displays the optimal fit results for $YbBi_2IO_4$ and $YbBi_2ClO_4$, and corresponding parameters are marked as orange stars in Fig. 5(e)–(f): (J_1, J_2) = (0.043 meV, 0.013 meV) for YbBi₂IO₄ and (0.043 meV, 0.013 meV) $0.010 \,\mathrm{meV}$) for YbBi₂ClO₄. Regarding potential systematic errors included in this analysis, the fitted parameters contain uncertainty to some extent, which are roughly represented by the blue-colored regions in Fig. 5(e)-(f). The range $0.26 \leq J_2/J_1 \leq 0.37$ ($0.16 \leq J_2/J_1 \leq 0.34$) expresses the uncertainty based on $2\chi^2_{\rm min}$ in YbBi₂IO₄ $(YbBi_2ClO_4)$. However, even considering such uncertainty, our analysis clearly suggests sizable antiferromagnetic J_2 in both compounds, again indicating YbBi₂IO₄ and $YbBi_2ClO_4$ are frustrated. In addition, we varied the fitted temperature ranges and only observed small variations of J_1 and J_2 values within the uncertainty illustrated as the blue-colored regions in Fig. 5(e)-(f).

Another noteworthy result is that the fitted J_2/J_1 of YbBi₂IO₄ (~ 0.30) is larger than that of YbBi₂ClO₄(~ 0.23), implying that YbBi₂IO₄ possesses stronger frustration. This can naturally describe the lower $T_{\rm N}$ and $T_{\rm max}$

of YbBi₂IO₄ than those of YbBi₂ClO₄, despite its larger (or nearly equal) $|\theta_{\rm CW}|$. However, we note that qualitatively the same tendency can be mimicked if $\rm YbBi_2ClO_4$ has stronger ferromagnetic J_c ; this also increases T_N while decreasing $|\theta_{\rm CW}|$ to some extent. A shorter c lattice parameter found in YbBi₂ClO₄ (see Table I) further supports this possibility. Yet the sign of J_c cannot be determined by the results presented in this work. This requires a magnetic neutron diffraction measurement, which can confirm the sign of J_c by revealing relative spin alignment between the Yb³⁺ moments connected by J_c . Meanwhile, a comparison to exact-diagonalization (ED) results implies that our analysis might be underestimating J_2/J_1 : according to ED, $|\theta_{\rm CW}|/T_{\rm max} = 1.92$ found in YbBi₂ClO₄ corresponds to J_2/J_1 larger than 0.25 [16]. Therefore, the accurate values of J_2/J_1 , as well as the validity of the J_1 - J_2 model, should be confirmed by future measurements (e.g., inelastic neutron scattering). In particular, symmetry allowed anisotropic exchange interactions are another possible source of frustration, which are not considered in our isotropic spin model. Although recent studies suggest that Yb³⁺-based quantum magnets often consist of Heisenberg type exchange interactions [28, 41], future inelastic neutron scattering experiments are required to clarify this possibility.

Based on the parameters suggested by the hightemperature series expansion analysis, both compounds lie in the region of a classical Neel-type magnetic ground state. However, they are very close to the highlyfrustrated regime in the $S = 1/2 J_1 - J_2$ square lattice model. This is evident in Fig. 5(e)–(f); the fitted J_2/J_1 (especially for YbBi₂IO₄) are not far from the suggested phase boundary line between the Neel order and spin liquid ($J_2 = 0.4J_1$). Thus, sizable quantum fluctuations are expected in these compounds. For instance, in a S = 1/2triangular lattice antiferromagnet, proximity to its spinliquid region led to an observation of significant quantum entanglement between local moments and the dominance of fractionalized quasi-particles, even though a classical long-range order is present [41]. Similar exotic features might be anticipated in the highly-frustrated S = 1/2 square lattice, thereby making YbBi₂IO₄ and YbBi₂ClO₄ worthy of further investigation.

V. CONCLUSION

We have studied the magnetic properties of YbBi₂IO₄ and YbBi₂ClO₄ by measuring their specific heat and magnetization. The results suggest that the Yb³⁺ sublattice realizes an ideal $J_{\rm eff} = 1/2$ square lattice by forming a well-isolated Kramers' doublet. The specific heat measurements reveal that YbBi₂IO₄ and YbBi₂ClO₄ form long-range order below $T_{\rm N} = 0.21$ K and 0.25 K, respectively. However, sizable spin correlations are evidenced at temperatures much higher than $T_{\rm N}$, which is attributed to a combination of pronounced two dimensional character and frustration. YbBi₂IO₄ and YbBi₂ClO₄ could be ideal platforms for investigating quantum effects of frustrated square lattice antiferromagnets, and thus this study motivates future work on the magnetic ground state and excitations in these and related phases.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Science and Engineering Division. A portion of this research used resources at the Spallation Neutron Source, a DOE Office of Science User Facility operated by the Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

- J. D. Reger and A. P. Young, Monte Carlo simulations of the spin-1/2 Heisenberg antiferromagnet on a square lattice, Physical Review B 37, 5978 (1988).
- [2] E. Dagotto and A. Moreo, Phase diagram of the frustrated spin-1/2 heisenberg antiferromagnet in 2 dimensions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 2148 (1989).
- [3] M. S. Makivić and H.-Q. Ding, Two-dimensional spin-1/2 heisenberg antiferromagnet: A quantum monte carlo study, Physical Review B 43, 3562 (1991).
- [4] H. J. Schulz and T. A. L. Ziman, Finite-size scaling for the two-dimensional frustrated quantum heisenberg antiferromagnet, Europhysics Letters 18, 355 (1992).
- [5] P. Chandra and B. Doucot, Possible spin-liquid state at large S for the frustrated square Heisenberg lattice, Phys. Rev. B 38, 9335 (1988).
- [6] E. Manousakis, The spin-1/2 heisenberg antiferromagnet on a square lattice and its application to the cuprous oxides, Reviews of Modern Physics 63, 1 (1991).
- [7] P. W. Anderson, The resonating valence bond state in La₂CuO₄ and superconductivity, science 235, 1196

(1987).

- [8] C. J. Hamer, W. Zheng, and P. Arndt, Third-order spinwave theory for the Heisenberg antiferromagnet, Physical Review B 46, 6276 (1992).
- [9] B. Dalla Piazza, M. Mourigal, N. B. Christensen, G. J. Nilsen, P. Tregenna-Piggott, T. G. Perring, M. Enderle, D. F. McMorrow, D. A. Ivanov, and H. M. Rønnow, Fractional excitations in the square-lattice quantum antiferromagnet, Nature Physics 11, 62 (2015).
- [10] N. B. Christensen, H. M. Rønnow, D. F. McMorrow, A. Harrison, T. G. Perring, M. Enderle, R. Coldea, L. P. Regnault, and G. Aeppli, Quantum dynamics and entanglement of spins on a square lattice, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **104**, 15264 (2007).
- [11] H.-C. Jiang, H. Yao, and L. Balents, Spin liquid ground state of the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ square J_1 - J_2 Heisenberg model, Physical Review B **86**, 024424 (2012).
- [12] S. Morita, R. Kaneko, and M. Imada, Quantum spin liquid in spin 1/2 J_1-J_2 Heisenberg model on square lattice: Many-variable variational Monte Carlo study

combined with quantum-number projections, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan **84**, 024720 (2015), doi: 10.7566/JPSJ.84.024720.

- [13] S.-S. Gong, W. Zhu, D. N. Sheng, O. I. Motrunich, and M. P. A. Fisher, Plaquette ordered phase and quantum phase diagram in the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ J_1-J_2 square Heisenberg model, Physical Review Letters **113**, 027201 (2014).
- [14] W.-Y. Liu, S.-S. Gong, Y.-B. Li, D. Poilblanc, W.-Q. Chen, and Z.-C. Gu, Gapless quantum spin liquid and global phase diagram of the spin- $1/2 J_1 J_2$ square antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model, Science Bulletin **67**, 1034 (2022).
- [15] P. Carretta, N. Papinutto, C. B. Azzoni, M. C. Mozzati, E. Pavarini, S. Gonthier, and P. Millet, Frustrationdriven structural distortion in VOMoO₄, Physical Review B 66, 094420 (2002).
- [16] R. Melzi, P. Carretta, A. Lascialfari, M. Mambrini, M. Troyer, P. Millet, and F. Mila, $\text{Li}_2\text{VO}(\text{Si},\text{Ge})\text{O}_4$, a prototype of a two-dimensional frustrated quantum heisenberg antiferromagnet, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1318 (2000).
- [17] O. Mustonen, S. Vasala, E. Sadrollahi, K. P. Schmidt, C. Baines, H. C. Walker, I. Terasaki, F. J. Litterst, E. Baggio-Saitovitch, and M. Karppinen, Spin-liquid-like state in a spin-1/2 square-lattice antiferromagnet perovskite induced by d10-d0 cation mixing, Nature Communications 9, 1085 (2018).
- [18] S. Vasala, J. G. Cheng, H. Yamauchi, J. B. Goodenough, and M. Karppinen, Synthesis and characterization of Sr₂Cu(W_{1-x}Mo_x)O₆: A quasi-two-dimensional magnetic system, Chemistry of Materials **24**, 2764 (2012), doi: 10.1021/cm301154n.
- [19] M. Watanabe, N. Kurita, H. Tanaka, W. Ueno, K. Matsui, and T. Goto, Valence-bond-glass state with a singlet gap in the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ square-lattice random J_1-J_2 heisenberg antiferromagnet Sr₂CuTe_{1-x}W_xO₆, Physical Review B **98**, 054422 (2018).
- [20] M. McGuire, Crystal and magnetic structures in layered, transition metal dihalides and trihalides, Crystals 7, 121 (2017).
- [21] B. Huang, G. Clark, E. Navarro-Moratalla, D. R. Klein, R. Cheng, K. L. Seyler, D. Zhong, E. Schmidgall, M. A. McGuire, and D. H. Cobden, Layer-dependent ferromagnetism in a van der waals crystal down to the monolayer limit, Nature 546, 270 (2017).
- [22] Q. Song, C. A. Occhialini, E. Ergeçen, B. Ilyas, D. Amoroso, P. Barone, J. Kapeghian, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and A. S. Botana, Evidence for a singlelayer van der waals multiferroic, Nature **602**, 601 (2022).
- [23] H. Ju, Y. Lee, K.-T. Kim, I. H. Choi, C. J. Roh, S. Son, P. Park, J. H. Kim, T. S. Jung, and J. H. Kim, Possible persistence of multiferroic order down to bilayer limit of van der waals material NiI₂, Nano letters **21**, 5126 (2021).
- [24] K. S. Burch, D. Mandrus, and J.-G. Park, Magnetism in two-dimensional van der waals materials, Nature 563, 47 (2018).
- [25] B. Huang, M. A. McGuire, A. F. May, D. Xiao, P. Jarillo-Herrero, and X. Xu, Emergent phenomena and proximity effects in two-dimensional magnets and heterostructures, Nature Materials 19, 1276 (2020).
- [26] A. Banerjee, J. Yan, J. Knolle, C. A. Bridges, M. B. Stone, M. D. Lumsden, D. G. Mandrus, D. A. Tennant, R. Moessner, and S. E. Nagler, Neutron scattering in the proximate quantum spin liquid α – RuCl₃, Science **356**,

1055 (2017).

- [27] S.-H. Do, S.-Y. Park, J. Yoshitake, J. Nasu, Y. Motome, Y. S. Kwon, D. Adroja, D. Voneshen, K. Kim, and T.-H. Jang, Majorana fermions in the kitaev quantum spin system α – RuCl₃, Nature Physics **13**, 1079 (2017).
- [28] G. Sala, M. B. Stone, B. K. Rai, A. F. May, P. Laurell, V. O. Garlea, N. P. Butch, M. D. Lumsden, G. Ehlers, and G. Pokharel, Van hove singularity in the magnon spectrum of the antiferromagnetic quantum honeycomb lattice, Nature communications 12, 171 (2021).
- [29] C. Kim, S. Kim, P. Park, T. Kim, J. Jeong, S. Ohira-Kawamura, N. Murai, K. Nakajima, A. Chernyshev, M. Mourigal, *et al.*, Bond-dependent anisotropy and magnon decay in cobalt-based kitaev triangular antiferromagnet, Nature Physics **19**, 1624 (2023).
- [30] D. Inosov, Quantum magnetism in minerals, Advances in Physics 67, 149 (2018).
- [31] K. Momma and F. Izumi, VESTA3 for three-dimensional visualization of crystal, volumetric and morphology data, Journal of Applied Crystallography 44, 1272 (2011).
- [32] M. Schmidt, H. Oppermann, C. Hennig, R. Henn, E. Gmelin, N. Söger, and M. Binnewies, Investigations on the bismuth rare-earth oxyhalides Bi₂REO₄X (X = Cl, Br, I), Zeitschrift fur Anorganische und Allgemeine Chemie **626**, 125 (2000).
- [33] A. Huq, J. P. Hodges, L. Heroux, and O. Gourdon, Powgen: a third-generation high resolution high-throughput powder diffraction instrument at the spallation neutron source, Zeitschrift fur Kristallographie Proceedings 1, 127 (2011).
- [34] J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, Recent advances in magnetic structure determination by neutron powder diffraction, Physica B **192**, 55 (1993).
- [35] O. Mustonen, S. Vasala, K. P. Schmidt, E. Sadrollahi, H. C. Walker, I. Terasaki, F. J. Litterst, E. Baggio-Saitovitch, and M. Karppinen, Tuning the S = 1/2square-lattice antiferromagnet $Sr_2Cu(Te_{1-x}W_x)O_6$ from néel order to quantum disorder to columnar order, Physical Review B **98**, 064411 (2018).
- [36] N. Kini, E. Kaul, and C. Geibel, Zn₂VO(PO₄)₂: an S= 1/2 heisenberg antiferromagnetic square lattice system, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 18, 1303 (2006).
- [37] M. Bałanda, Ac susceptibility studies of phase transitions and magnetic relaxation: Conventional, molecular and low-dimensional magnets, Acta Physica Polonica A 124, 964 (2013).
- [38] H. Kageyama, T. Kitano, N. Oba, M. Nishi, S. Nagai, K. Hirota, L. Viciu, W. JB, J. Yasuda, Y. Baba, *et al.*, Spin-singlet ground state in two-dimensional S = 1/2frustrated square lattice:(CuCl)LaNb₂O₇, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan **74**, 1702 (2005).
- [39] H.-J. Schmidt, A. Lohmann, and J. Richter, Eighthorder high-temperature expansion for general heisenberg hamiltonians, Phys. Rev. B 84, 104443 (2011).
- [40] R. Moon, H. Child, W. Koehler, and L. Raubenheimer, Magnetic structure of Er₂O₃ and Yb₂O₃, Journal of Applied Physics **38**, 1383 (1967).
- [41] A. Scheie, E. Ghioldi, J. Xing, J. Paddison, N. Sherman, M. Dupont, L. Sanjeewa, S. Lee, A. Woods, D. Abernathy, *et al.*, Proximate spin liquid and fractionalization in the triangular antiferromagnet KYbSe₂, Nature Physics, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567 (2023).