

## Idempotents and connected components

Abolfazl Tarizadeh

ABSTRACT. In this article, we first prove a general theorem which asserts that every quasi-component of a quasi-spectral space is connected. As an application, it is shown that every connected component of a quasi-compact quasi-separated scheme is the intersection of all clopen (both open and closed) subsets containing a point. In particular, the connected components of an affine scheme  $\text{Spec}(R)$  are precisely of the form  $V(J)$  where  $J$  is a proper ideal of the ring  $R$  generated by a set of idempotent elements such that  $R/J$  has no nontrivial idempotents. The above result also contributes to the following open problem: Is there a way to describe the connected components of the projective space  $\mathbb{P}_A^n = \text{Proj}(A[x_0, \dots, x_n])$  in a precise form (similar the affine case)? Next, we characterize the finiteness of the number of connected components of an arbitrary (quasi-compact) topological space in terms of purely algebraic conditions. In particular, a topological space  $X$  has finitely many connected components if and only if  $X$  has finitely many clopens. In this case,  $|\text{Clop}(X)| = 2^n$  where  $n$  is the number of connected components of  $X$ . More surprisingly, we prove that a quasi-compact space  $X$  has finitely many connected components, i.e.  $\kappa = |\pi_0(X)| < \infty$  if and only if  $|\text{Clop}(X)| = 2^\kappa$ . In the same vein, we prove that a commutative ring  $R$  has finitely many idempotents if and only if there exists a decomposition  $R \simeq \prod_{k=1}^n R/(1 - e_k)$  with each  $e_k$  is a primitive idempotent of  $R$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that every connected component of a compact (quasi-compact and Hausdorff) space is the intersection of all clopen subsets containing a point (see e.g. [1, Exercise I.10.1] or [3, Theorem 6.1.23]). In addition to the quasi-compactness, the Hausdorff assumption and hence normality play a major role in proving this fundamental theorem. But on the other hand, an affine scheme  $\text{Spec}(R)$  is not necessarily Hausdorff or normal but its connected components still have the above property (note that  $\text{Spec}(R)$  is Hausdorff if and only if  $\dim(R) = 0$ . More generally,  $\text{Spec}(R)$  is a normal space if and only if  $R$  is a Gelfand ring, i.e. every prime ideal of  $R$  is contained in a unique maximal ideal). Then quite inspired by these observations, our first goal in this article is to investigate general quasi-compact spaces that are not necessarily Hausdorff but whose connected components still have the above property (note that non-Hausdorff spaces naturally arise in many fields of mathematics, especially commutative algebra and algebraic geometry). In fact, we prove the following general theorem which is one of the main results of this article:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Every quasi-component of a quasi-spectral space is connected.*

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14A25, 13A15, 14A15, 17C27.

*Key words and phrases.* Connected component; quasi-component; quasi-spectral space; primitive idempotent; clopen subset.

The intersection of all clopen subsets of a topological space containing a point is called the *quasi-component* of that point. In general, every connected component of a space is contained in the quasi-component of the corresponding point. By a quasi-spectral space we mean a quasi-compact space with a basis consisting of quasi-compact open subsets such that the intersection of any two members of the basis is quasi-compact. Our theorem (Theorem 1.1), beside the above classical theorem (which asserts that every quasi-component of a compact space is connected), is the most general result in the context of connectedness of all quasi-components. As a main consequence of Theorem 1.1, every connected component of a quasi-compact quasi-separated scheme is the intersection of all clopen subsets containing a point (it should be noted that many important geometric objects that arise in algebraic geometry are quasi-compact quasi-separated schemes). The above result also makes a contribution to the following question (asked by us):

**Problem 1.2.** *Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be an  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded ring which is a finitely generated algebra over the base subring  $R_0$ . Then, is there a way to describe the connected components of the projective space  $\text{Proj}(R)$  in a precise form (similar the affine case)?*

Note that for any  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded ring  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$ , then  $\text{Proj}(R)$  is always a separated scheme. This scheme is quasi-compact if and only if  $R$  is a finitely generated  $R_0$ -algebra, or equivalently, there exists finitely many homogeneous elements in  $R$  of positive degree that generates the irrelevant ideal  $R_+ = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} R_n$ .

Hence, Theorem 1.1 reduces the above problem to the problem of describing the clopen subsets of  $\text{Proj}(R)$  in a precise form. But as far as we know, the precise description of the clopen subsets is still unknown even for the projective space  $\mathbb{P}_A^n = \text{Proj}(A[x_0, \dots, x_n])$  with  $n \geq 1$ .

Having the above property (i.e. every quasi-component is connected) also allows us to identify the space of connected components of such a space with the prime spectrum of its Boolean ring of the clopen subsets (see Lemma 3.8 and its consequences).

Next, we characterize the finiteness of the number of connected components of an arbitrary (quasi-compact) topological space. In fact, Theorems 4.1, 4.2 and 4.5 give us interesting (and somewhat unexpected) equivalences for the finiteness of connected components in terms of purely algebraic conditions. In particular, we show that a topological space  $X$  has finitely many connected components if and only if there exists an isomorphism of rings  $\text{Clop}(X) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$  with  $n \geq 0$  a natural number, or equivalently,  $\text{Clop}(X)$  as a vector space over the field  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  has a basis consisting of primitive elements. In the same vein, we prove that a quasi-compact space  $X$  has finitely many connected components if and only if there exists an isomorphism of rings  $\text{Clop}(X) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2)^\kappa$  with  $\kappa = |\pi_0(X)|$  and  $\pi_0(X)$  denotes the set of all connected components of  $X$ . Finally, we show that a commutative ring  $R$  has finitely many idempotents if and only if there exists an isomorphism of rings  $R \simeq \prod_{k=1}^n R/(1 - e_k)$  with each  $e_k$  is a primitive idempotent of  $R$ , or equivalently,  $R \simeq \prod_{i \in \kappa} R/(1 - e_i)$  where each  $e_i$  is primitive idempotent of  $R$  and  $\kappa$  is the cardinal of connected components of  $\text{Spec}(R)$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We collect in this section some basic background for the reader's convenience. First we recall a key notion that plays a major role in several results of this article: by a *primitive idempotent* we mean a nonzero idempotent  $e$  in a commutative ring  $R$  such that if  $ee' = e'$  for some nonzero idempotent  $e' \in R$ , then  $e = e'$ . It can be seen that any two (distinct) primitive idempotents of a commutative ring are orthogonal. Note that a primitive idempotent of a Boolean ring is also called a primitive element.

In this article, all rings are assumed to be commutative. If  $r$  is an element of a ring  $R$ , then  $D(r) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) : r \notin \mathfrak{p}\}$  and  $V(r) = \text{Spec}(R) \setminus D(r)$ .

Let  $X$  be a topological space. It is a basic fact from the general topology that the connected components of  $X$  are precisely the equivalence classes obtained from the connected relation  $\sim$  defined on  $X$  as: for any  $x, y \in X$  then  $x \sim y$  if there exists a connected subset  $C$  in  $X$  with  $x, y \in C$ . By  $\pi_0(X) = \{[x] : x \in X\}$  we mean the space of connected components of  $X$  equipped with the quotient topology. By  $\text{Clop}(X)$  we mean the set of all clopen (both open and closed) subsets of  $X$ . It can be seen that  $\text{Clop}(X)$  by the addition  $A + B = (A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B)$  and multiplication  $A \cdot B = A \cap B$  is a Boolean ring (the empty set is the zero and  $X$  is the unit of this ring). In fact,  $\text{Clop}(X)$  is a subring of the power set ring  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  (for more information see e.g. [5]). It is also clear that a nonempty topological space  $X$  is connected if and only if  $X$  has no nontrivial clopens. For any topological space  $X$ , if  $A$  is a primitive element of the Boolean ring  $\text{Clop}(X)$  then  $A$  is a connected component of  $X$ . If  $C = [x]$  is a connected component of a topological space  $X$  and  $A$  is a clopen subset of  $X$  containing the point  $x \in X$ , then  $C \subseteq A$  and hence  $C$  is contained in the quasi-component of  $x$  (i.e. the intersection of all clopen subsets of  $X$  containing the point  $x$ ).

For any commutative ring  $R$ , then the set of its idempotents  $\mathcal{B}(R) = \{e \in R : e = e^2\}$  by the addition  $e \oplus e' = e + e' - 2ee'$  and multiplication  $e \cdot e' = ee'$  is a (commutative) ring. We call  $\mathcal{B}(R)$  the Boolean ring of  $R$ . The primitive idempotents of  $R$  and  $\mathcal{B}(R)$  are the same. The map  $\mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \text{Clop}(\text{Spec}(R))$  given by  $e \mapsto D(e)$  is an isomorphism of rings (for the details see [5, Theorem 3.1]). As an application,  $\text{Spec}(R)$  is connected if and only if  $R$  has no nontrivial idempotents. As

another application, finite Boolean rings are precisely of the form  $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n = \prod_{k=1}^n \mathbb{Z}/2$

where  $n \geq 0$  is a natural number and  $\mathbb{Z}/2 = \{0, 1\}$  is the field of integers modulo 2. Indeed, if  $R$  is a finite Boolean ring then  $X = \text{Spec}(R)$  is a finite discrete space and so we have isomorphisms of rings  $R \simeq \text{Clop}(X) = \mathcal{P}(X) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$  where  $n = |X|$  is the number of primitive elements of  $R$ .

If  $X$  is a topological space, then by  $H_0(X)$  we mean the set of all continuous functions  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  where the set of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  is equipped with the discrete topology. This set by the addition  $(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$  and multiplication  $(f \cdot g)(x) = f(x)g(x)$  is a (commutative) ring. If  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is a continuous map and  $C$  is a connected component of  $X$  then  $f(C)$  is a connected subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$  and so  $f(C) = \{r_C\}$  for some integer  $r_C \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then the map  $H_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\kappa = \prod_{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}$  given by  $f \mapsto (r_C)_{C \in \pi_0(X)}$  is an injective morphism of rings with  $\kappa = |\pi_0(X)|$ .

For any topological space  $X$ , the map  $f : \text{Clop}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_0(X))$  given by  $A \mapsto f_A$  is an isomorphism of rings where  $f_A : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is the characteristic function of  $A$  that is defined by  $f_A(x) = 1$  if  $x \in A$  otherwise  $f_A(x) = 0$ .

**Remark 2.1.** Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of rings such that  $B$  as an  $A$ -module is generated by a subset  $S \subseteq B$  with  $bb' = 0$  for all distinct elements  $b, b' \in S$ . Then the unit element of  $B$  can be written as  $1 = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k$  where  $b_k \in S$  for all  $k$ . Indeed, there exists finitely many (distinct) elements  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in S$  such that  $1 = \sum_{k=1}^n f(a_k)b_k$  with  $a_k \in A$  for all  $k$ . But we have  $b_i = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n f(a_k)b_k\right)b_i = f(a_i)b_i$ . Hence,  $1 = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k$ . In particular, the unit ideal  $B$  is generated by the  $b_k$ , i.e.  $B = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ .

### 3. CONNECTED COMPONENTS OF A QUASI-SPECTRAL SPACE

The main result of this section asserts that every connected component of a quasi-spectral space is the intersection of all clopen subsets containing a point. So we first need to define the notion of quasi-spectral space:

**Definition 3.1.** By a *quasi-spectral space* we mean a quasi-compact space  $X$  with a basis consisting of quasi-compact open subsets of  $X$  such that the intersection of any two members of the basis is quasi-compact.

We know that the union of every finitely many quasi-compact subspaces of a topological space is quasi-compact. Hence, the intersection of any two quasi-compact open subsets of a quasi-spectral space is quasi-compact.

Recall from [4] that by a spectral space we mean a quasi-spectral space that is also sober, i.e. every irreducible closed subset has a unique generic point. Every set equipped with the cofinite topology is a spectral space. For any commutative ring  $R$ , the space  $\text{Spec}(R)$  is spectral. More generally, the underlying space of a scheme  $X$  is spectral if and only if  $X$  is quasi-compact quasi-separated.

**Example 3.2.** Here we give examples of quasi-spectral spaces that are not spectral. Every finite space is quasi-spectral, but it is not necessarily spectral. For the second example, first recall that by a primary ideal we mean a proper ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  such that if  $ab \in I$  with  $a \notin \sqrt{I}$  for some  $a, b \in R$ , then  $b \in I$ . Let  $X$  be the set of all primary ideals of  $R$ . It is clear that  $\text{Spec}(R) \subseteq X$  and we have a map  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  given by  $I \mapsto \sqrt{I}$ . So there exists a (unique) topology over  $X$  such that its base opens are of the form  $U_r = \{I \in X : r \notin \sqrt{I}\}$  with  $r \in R$ . It is clear that  $U_1 = X$  and  $U_r \cap U_{r'} = U_{rr'}$  for all  $r, r' \in R$ . Every base open  $U_r$  is quasi-compact, because if  $U_r = \bigcup_{k \in S} U_{r_k}$  then  $r \in \sqrt{(r_k : k \in S)}$  and so there exists some finite subset  $S' \subseteq S$  with  $r \in \sqrt{(r_k : k \in S')}$ , this yields that  $U_r = \bigcup_{k \in S'} U_{r_k}$  and so  $U_r$  is quasi-compact. Hence,  $X$  is quasi-spectral. The closed subsets of  $X$  are precisely of the form  $\mathcal{V}(J) = \{I \in X : J \subseteq \sqrt{I}\}$  where  $J$  is an ideal of  $R$ . For any primary ideal  $I$  of  $R$  we have  $\overline{\{I\}} = \mathcal{V}(I)$ . If  $M$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$  then  $\overline{\{M\}}$  is the set of all ideals  $I$  of  $R$  with  $\sqrt{I} = M$ . In particular,  $M^2 \in X$ . If  $M \neq M^2$  then  $M, M^2$  are distinct generic points of  $\overline{\{M\}}$ . Hence,  $X$  is not necessarily spectral. It can be also seen that every irreducible closed subset of  $X$  has a generic point. The

space  $X$  in general does not necessarily have a closed point. If  $I$  is a closed point of  $X$  then  $I$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ . But we observed that the converse does not hold. If  $R$  is a von-Neumann regular ring, then the closed points of  $X$  are precisely the prime (maximal) ideals of  $R$ .

Now we prove one of the key results of this article:

**Theorem 3.3.** *Every quasi-component of a quasi-spectral space is connected.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a quasi-spectral space and let  $F = \bigcap_{\substack{A \in \text{Clop}(X), \\ x \in A}} A$  be the intersection

of all clopen subsets of  $X$  containing a point  $x \in X$ . Suppose that  $F$  is the union of disjoint closed subsets  $F'$  and  $F''$ . We may assume  $x \in F'$ . It will be enough to show that  $F'$  is the intersection of some clopen subsets of  $X$  containing  $x$  (if the case, then we will have  $F \subseteq F'$  and so  $F''$  will be empty). Clearly  $F'$  is an open subset of  $F$  and so  $F' = F \cap U'$  for some open subset  $U'$  of  $X$ . We may write  $U' = \bigcup_i U_i$

where each  $U_i$  is a basis open and so is a quasi-compact open subset of  $X$ . But  $F'$  and so  $F''$  are closed subsets of  $X$ , and hence  $F'$  is quasi-compact in  $X$ . Thus  $F'$  is contained in the union of finitely many of the  $U_i$ , i.e.  $F' \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$ . But  $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$  is a quasi-compact open subset of  $X$  and  $F' = F \cap U$ . Similarly, there exists a quasi-compact open subset  $V$  in  $X$  with  $F'' = F \cap V$ . We have  $F \cap U \cap V = F' \cap F'' = \emptyset$

and so  $U \cap V \subseteq X \setminus F = \bigcup_{\substack{A \in \text{Clop}(X), \\ x \in A}} (X \setminus A)$ . By hypothesis,  $U \cap V$  is quasi-

compact, so there exist finitely many clopens  $A_1, \dots, A_m$  in  $X$  containing  $x$  such that  $U \cap V \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m (X \setminus A_i)$ . This yields that  $(\bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i) \cap U \cap V = \emptyset$ . We also have

$F \subseteq U \cup V$ . But  $X \setminus (U \cup V)$  is a closed subset of  $X$  and hence is quasi-compact. Thus there exist finitely many clopens  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  in  $X$  containing  $x$  such that  $\bigcap_{k=1}^n B_k \subseteq U \cup V$ . Then the clopen  $W = (\bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i) \cap (\bigcap_{k=1}^n B_k)$  is the union of disjoint open subsets  $W \cap U$  and  $W \cap V$ . Hence,  $W \cap U$  is a closed subset of  $W$  and so it is a closed subset of  $X$ . Thus  $W \cap U$  is a clopen subset of  $X$  containing  $x$ . Then

$F' = \bigcap_{\substack{A \in \text{Clop}(X), \\ x \in A}} (A \cap W \cap U)$  is the intersection of the clopen subsets  $A \cap W \cap U$  of

$X$  containing  $x$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Here, by a regular ideal we mean an ideal of a ring  $R$  that is generated by a set of idempotent elements of  $R$ . Every maximal element of the set of proper regular ideals of  $R$  is called a max-regular ideal of  $R$ . If  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $R$  then the ideal  $P^* = (e \in P : e = e^2)$  generated by all idempotent elements in  $P$  is a max-regular ideal of  $R$ . This, in particular, shows that every proper regular ideal of  $R$  is contained in a max-regular ideal of  $R$ . It can be also seen that every max-regular ideal of  $R$  is of the form  $P^*$  for some prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$ . We have then the following results:

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $J$  be a proper regular ideal of a ring  $R$ . Then  $J$  is a max-regular ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $R/J$  has no nontrivial idempotents.*

*Proof.* Assume  $J$  is a max-regular ideal of  $R$ . If  $x + J$  is an idempotent in  $R/J$  for some  $x \in R$  then  $x - x^2 \in J$  and so  $x - x^2 = re$  for some idempotent  $e \in J$  and some

$r \in R$ . It follows that  $(x - x^2)(1 - e) = re(1 - e) = 0$  and so  $x(1 - e) = x^2(1 - e)$ . This shows that  $e' := x(1 - e)$  is idempotent. But  $J = P^*$  for some prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$ . Thus  $e' \in J$  or  $1 - e' \in J$ . If  $e' \in J$  then  $x \in J$ . If  $1 - e' \in J$  then  $1 - x \in J$ . Hence,  $R/J$  has no nontrivial idempotents. The reverse implication is clear.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then every quasi-component of  $\text{Spec}(R)$  is connected.*

*Proof.* It follows immediately from Theorem 3.3. We also give an alternative proof that is interesting in its own right: Let  $F = \bigcap_{\substack{A \in \text{Clop}(X), \\ x \in A}} A$  be the intersection of all clopen subsets of  $X = \text{Spec}(R)$  containing a point  $P$  (i.e.  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ ). It is well known that every clopen subset of  $\text{Spec}(R)$  is of the form  $V(e) = D(1 - e)$  for some idempotent  $e \in R$ . It follows that  $F = V(P^*)$ . But  $P^*$  is a max-regular ideal of  $R$ . Thus by Lemma 3.4,  $R/P^*$  has no nontrivial idempotents and so  $F = V(P^*) \simeq \text{Spec}(R/P^*)$  is connected.  $\square$

One of the main results of [4, Theorem 6] (see also [2, §12.6.12]) asserts that every spectral space is homeomorphic to the prime spectrum of a commutative ring. But without using this theorem (which is very difficult to prove), the following result is deduced:

**Corollary 3.6.** *Every quasi-component of a spectral space is connected.*

*Proof.* It follows immediately from Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** *Every connected component of a quasi-compact quasi-separated scheme is the intersection of all clopen subsets containing a point.*

*Proof.* The underlying space of every scheme has a basis consisting of affine opens, and every affine scheme is quasi-compact. It is well known that a scheme  $X$  is quasi-separated if and only if the intersection of any two quasi-compact (affine) open subsets of  $X$  is quasi-compact. Hence, the underlying space of a quasi-compact quasi-separated scheme is quasi-spectral (in fact, it is spectral). Then the assertion follows from Theorem 3.3 or Corollary 3.6.  $\square$

Next we prove the following general result:

**Lemma 3.8.** *For a topological space  $X$  with  $R = \text{Clop}(X)$  the following assertions hold.*

- (i) *The map  $f : \pi_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  given by  $[x] \mapsto M_x \cap R$  is continuous where  $M_x = \mathcal{P}(X \setminus \{x\})$ .*
- (ii) *The above map  $f$  is injective if and only if every quasi-component of  $X$  is connected.*
- (iii) *If  $X$  is quasi-compact, then the above map  $f$  is surjective and closed.*

*Proof.* (i): For each  $x \in X$ , then  $M_x$  is a maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  and so  $M_x \cap R$  is a prime (maximal) ideal of  $R$ . If  $[x] = [y]$  for some  $x, y \in X$  then we need to show that  $M_x \cap R = M_y \cap R$ . Take  $A \in M_x \cap R$ . If  $A \notin M_y \cap R$  then  $A$  is a clopen subset of  $X$  containing  $y$  and so the connected component  $[y]$  is contained in  $A$ . It follows that  $x \in A$  which is a contradiction. Thus  $M_x \cap R \subseteq M_y \cap R$  and so  $M_x \cap R = M_y \cap R$ , because  $M_x \cap R$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ . Hence, the above map  $f$  is well-defined. This map is continuous, because for each  $A \in R$  then  $g^{-1}(f^{-1}(D(A))) = A$  is an

open subset of  $X$  where  $D(A) = \{P \in \text{Spec}(R) : A \notin P\}$  is a basis open of  $\text{Spec}(R)$  and  $g : X \rightarrow \pi_0(X)$  is the canonical map that is given by  $x \mapsto [x]$ .

(ii): We know that every connected component  $[x]$  of  $X$  is contained in the intersection of all clopen subsets of  $X$  containing the point  $x \in X$ . Assume  $f$  is injective. If  $y \in \bigcap_{\substack{A \in \text{Clop}(X), \\ x \in A}} A$  then  $M_y \cap R \subseteq M_x \cap R$  and so  $M_y \cap R = M_x \cap R$ , because  $M_y \cap R$

is a maximal ideal of  $R$ . But  $f$  is injective and so  $y \in [x]$ . Conversely, suppose  $M_x \cap R = M_y \cap R$  for some  $x, y \in X$ . If  $y \notin [x]$  then by hypothesis, there exists some clopen  $A$  in  $X$  containing  $x$  such that  $y \notin A$ . This yields that  $A \in M_y \cap R$  which is a contradiction. Thus  $y \in [x]$  and so  $[x] = [y]$ . Hence,  $f$  is injective.

(iii): If  $X$  is quasi-compact then  $\pi_0(X)$  is quasi-compact. The space  $\text{Spec}(R)$  is Hausdorff, because the prime spectrum of a commutative ring  $R$  is Hausdorff if and only if every prime ideal of  $R$  is maximal. It can be seen that every continuous map from a quasi-compact space into a Hausdorff space is a closed map. Hence,  $f$  is a closed map. To see surjectivity, suppose that  $P$  is a prime (maximal) ideal of  $R$  with  $P \neq M_x \cap R$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then for each  $x \in X$ ,  $P$  is not contained in  $M_x \cap R$  and so there exists some  $A_x \in P$  such that  $x \in A_x$ . Thus  $\{A_x : x \in X\}$  is an open covering of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is quasi-compact, there exist finitely many points  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  with  $X = \bigcup_{k=1}^n A_{x_k} \in P$ . But this is a contradiction, because  $X$  is the unit element of the ring  $R$ .  $\square$

In the above lemma, the converse of (iii) does not hold. For example, take a connected space  $X$  that is not quasi-compact, then  $\pi_0(X)$  and  $\text{Spec}(R)$  will be singletons and so the map  $f : \pi_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  will be surjective and closed.

Then we give several applications of the above results:

**Corollary 3.9.** *If  $X$  is a quasi-compact space and every quasi-component of  $X$  is connected, then  $\pi_0(X)$  is canonically homeomorphic to  $\text{Spec}(\text{Clop}(X))$ .*

*Proof.* It is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.8.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.10.** *If  $X$  is a quasi-spectral space, then  $\pi_0(X) \simeq \text{Spec}(\text{Clop}(X))$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.8.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.11.** *If  $X$  is a compact space, then  $\pi_0(X) \simeq \text{Spec}(\text{Clop}(X))$ .*

*Proof.* It is well known that every quasi-component of a compact (quasi-compact and Hausdorff) space is connected. Then the assertion follows from Corollary 3.9 or Lemma 3.8.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.12.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space. Then  $X$  is compact totally disconnected if and only if  $X \simeq \text{Spec}(\text{Clop}(X))$ .*

*Proof.* The implication “ $\Rightarrow$ ” follows from Corollary 3.11. The reverse implication follows from the fact that the prime spectrum of a zero dimensional ring is compact totally disconnected.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.13.** *For any ring  $R$  with  $X = \text{Spec}(R)$ , then  $\pi_0(X) \simeq \text{Spec}(\text{Clop}(X)) \simeq \text{Spec}(\mathcal{B}(R))$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.5, every quasi-component of  $\text{Spec}(R)$  is connected. Now the above first homeomorphism follows from Corollary 3.9. We know that the map  $\mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \text{Clop}(X)$  given by  $e \mapsto D(e)$  is an isomorphism of rings. Hence, their prime spectra are homeomorphic.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.14.** *If  $X$  is a quasi-compact quasi-separated scheme, then  $\pi_0(X) \simeq \text{Spec}(\text{Clop}(X))$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from Corollaries 3.7 and 3.9.  $\square$

Both the new theorem (every quasi-component of a quasi-spectral space is connected) and the classical theorem (every quasi-component of a compact space is connected) are supplemented by the following two examples showing that there are quasi-compact spaces that are neither Hausdorff nor quasi-spectral, but whose quasi-components can be connected or disconnected.

**Example 3.15.** We give an example of a quasi-compact space that is neither Hausdorff nor quasi-spectral, and has a quasi-component that is not connected. To this end, let  $X = \{1/n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{0, b\}$  with  $b$  is a (fixed) rational number other than 0 and all  $1/n$ . The topology over  $X$  is consisting of all  $U$  such that  $U$  is a subset of  $S = \{1/n : n \geq 1\}$  ( $S$  is considered discrete) or if  $0 \in U$  (resp. if  $b \in U$ ) then  $U$  contains almost all of the  $1/n$ , i.e.  $S \setminus U$  is a finite set. Then with this topology, the sequence  $(1/n)$  is convergent to both 0 and  $b$ . Hence, the space  $X$  is quasi-compact, but it is not Hausdorff. Moreover, it can be seen that the intersection of all clopen subsets of  $X$  containing the point 0 equals  $\{0, b\}$ . But  $\{0, b\}$  is not connected, because both  $S \cup \{0\}$  and  $S \cup \{b\}$  are (quasi-compact) open subsets of  $X$ . Also,  $X$  is not quasi-spectral, because  $(S \cup \{0\}) \cap (S \cup \{b\}) = S$  is not quasi-compact.

**Example 3.16.** Here we give an example of a quasi-compact space that is neither Hausdorff nor quasi-spectral, but every quasi-component is connected. To achieve this purpose, take  $X = \{-1/n : n \geq 1\} \cup [0, 1]$ , the union of  $S = \{-1/n : n \geq 1\}$  and the closed interval  $[0, 1]$ . Similarly above, the topology over  $X$  is consisting of all  $U$  such that  $U$  is a subset of  $S$  or if  $x \in U$  for some  $x \in [0, 1]$  then  $U$  is the union of an open neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $[0, 1]$  and the complement of a finite subset of  $S$ . Then with this topology, every element of  $[0, 1]$  is a limit point of the sequence  $S$ . Hence, the space  $X$  is quasi-compact, but it is not Hausdorff. Moreover, every quasi-component of  $X$  is connected, because the quasi-component of each  $x \in S$  equals the connected subset  $\{x\}$ , and the quasi-component of 0 equals  $[0, 1]$  which is connected. Finally,  $X$  is not quasi-spectral. Because every closed subspace of a quasi-spectral space is quasi-spectral, but the closed subspace  $[0, 1]$  of  $X$  is not quasi-spectral. Indeed, a connected Hausdorff space with nontrivial topology cannot be a quasi-spectral space.

#### 4. FINITENESS OF CONNECTED COMPONENTS

The main results of this section (see Theorems 4.1, 4.2 and 4.5) give us equivalences for the finiteness of the number of connected components of an arbitrary (quasi-compact) topological space in terms of purely algebraic statements.

**Theorem 4.1.** *For a topological space  $X$  the following assertions are equivalent:*  
 (i)  $X$  has finitely many connected components.



- (ii) We have an isomorphism of rings  $\text{Clop}(X) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$  for some natural number  $n \geq 0$ .
- (iii) The unit ideal  $\text{Clop}(X)$  is generated by a set of its primitive elements.
- (iv)  $\text{Clop}(X)$  as a vector space over the field  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  has a basis consisting of primitive elements.
- (v) We have an isomorphism of rings  $H_0(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$  for some natural number  $n \geq 0$ .
- (vi)  $H_0(X)$  is a free Abelian group with a basis consisting of primitive idempotents.
- (vii) The unit ideal  $H_0(X)$  is generated by a set of its primitive idempotents.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v): Without loss of generality, we may assume  $X$  is nonempty (because if  $X$  is empty then  $\text{Clop}(X) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2)^0$  and  $H_0(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^0$  are the zero rings, and the unit ideal  $\text{Clop}(X)$  is generated by the empty set). Assume  $C_1, \dots, C_n$  are all (distinct) connected components of  $X$  with  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $C_k$  is a clopen subset of  $X$  and so  $C_k \in \text{Clop}(X)$  for all  $k$ . In fact, it can be seen that each  $C_k$  is a primitive element of  $\text{Clop}(X)$ . The unit element of  $\text{Clop}(X)$  can be written as  $X = \bigcup_{k=1}^n C_k = \sum_{k=1}^n C_k$ . This shows that the unit ideal  $\text{Clop}(X)$  is generated by the

$C_k$ , i.e.  $\text{Clop}(X) = (C_1, \dots, C_n)$ . If  $A \in \text{Clop}(X)$  then  $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^n A \cap C_k = \sum_{k=1}^n AC_k$ .

But  $AC_k = A \cap C_k$  is a clopen subset of the connected space  $C_k$ . Thus  $A \cap C_k$  is the empty or the whole space  $C_k$ . Then we may write  $AC_k = s_k \cap C_k = s_k C_k$  with  $s_k \in \{\emptyset, X\}$ . So  $A = \sum_{k=1}^n s_k C_k$ . Then we show that such a presentation is unique.

If  $\sum_{k=1}^n s_k C_k = \emptyset$  then  $s_i \cap C_i = s_i C_i = C_i (\sum_{k=1}^n s_k C_k) = \emptyset$  and  $C_i \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $s_i = \emptyset$  for all  $i$ . This shows that  $\{C_1, \dots, C_n\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -space  $\text{Clop}(X)$ . It also shows that the Boolean ring  $\text{Clop}(X)$  has  $2^n$  elements and so it is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$ . Finally, we have an injective morphism of rings  $H_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$  that is given by  $f \mapsto (r_1, \dots, r_n)$  with  $f(C_k) = \{r_k\}$  for all  $k$ . If  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , then the map  $g : \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  defined by  $g(C_k) = \{a_k\}$  for all  $k$  is continuous. Because for each  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $g^{-1}(\{b\}) = \bigcup_{a_k=b} C_k$  is an open subset of  $X$ . Hence, the above ring

map  $H_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$  is an isomorphism.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): It can be seen that the primitive idempotents of a direct product of nonzero rings  $\prod_{k \in S} R_k$  are precisely of the form  $e_k = (\delta_{i,k})_{i \in S}$  with  $k \in S$  and  $\delta_{i,k}$  is the

Kronecker delta. By hypothesis, there exists an isomorphism of rings  $f : (\mathbb{Z}/2)^n \rightarrow \text{Clop}(X)$ . In the ring  $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$  we have  $1 = \sum_{k=1}^n e_k$  and so  $X = \sum_{k=1}^n A_k = \bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k$  with

$A_k = f(e_k)$  is a primitive element of  $\text{Clop}(X)$  for all  $k$ . Thus each  $A_k$  is a connected component of  $X$ . Conversely, assume  $C$  is a connected component of  $X$ . We may write  $C = \bigcup_{k=1}^n C \cap A_k$ . It follows that  $C \cap A_k \neq \emptyset$  for some  $k$ . But  $C \cap A_k$  is a clopen subset of  $C$ . Thus  $C \cap A_k = C$ . This yields that  $C \subseteq A_k$  and so  $C = A_k$ . Hence,  $\pi_0(X) = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ .

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): We may assume  $\text{Clop}(X) \neq 0$  (because  $X$  is the empty if and only if  $\text{Clop}(X) = 0$ ). Then by hypothesis, there exist finitely many (distinct) primitive elements  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \text{Clop}(X)$  with  $n \geq 1$  such that  $X = \sum_{k=1}^n B_k A_k = \bigcup_{k=1}^n B_k \cap A_k$  where  $B_k \in \text{Clop}(X)$  for all  $k$ . It is clear that  $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\} \subseteq \pi_0(X)$ . Conversely,

take  $C \in \pi_0(X)$ . We have  $C = \bigcup_{k=1}^n C \cap B_k \cap A_k$ . Thus  $C \cap B_k \cap A_k \neq \emptyset$  for some  $k$ . But  $C \cap B_k \cap A_k$  is a clopen subset of the connected space  $C$ . Then  $C = C \cap B_k \cap A_k \subseteq A_k$  and so  $C = A_k$ . Hence,  $\pi_0(X) = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ .

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): It is clear (see Remark 2.1).

(v) $\Rightarrow$ (vi): By hypothesis, there exists an isomorphism of rings  $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow H_0(X)$ . Consider the primitive idempotents  $e_k = (\delta_{i,k})_{i=1}^n$  of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ , then we will show that  $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  is a basis for the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $H_0(X)$  where each  $f_k = \varphi(e_k)$  is a primitive idempotent of  $H_0(X)$ . If  $g \in H_0(X)$  then there exists some  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  such that  $g = \varphi((a_1, \dots, a_n)) = \varphi(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k e_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi(a_k e_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k f_k$ . To complete

the proof, we show that such a representation is unique. If  $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k f_k = 0$  then

$f_i(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k f_k) = 0$ . It follows that  $a_i f_i = 0$ . But  $f_i \neq 0$  and so  $f_i(x)$  is a nonzero integer for some  $x \in X$ . We have  $a_i f_i(x) = 0$  and so  $a_i = 0$  for all  $i$ .

(vi) $\Rightarrow$ (vii): It is clear (see Remark 2.1).

(vii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): The assertion is deduced from the identification  $\mathcal{B}(H_0(X)) \simeq \text{Clop}(X)$  and the fact that distinct primitive idempotents  $e$  and  $e'$  are orthogonal and hence  $e \oplus e' = e + e'$ .  $\square$

In the following result, the cardinal  $\kappa = |\pi_0(X)|$  is not assumed to be finite. This is indeed the strengthening point of this theorem.

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $X$  is a quasi-compact space with  $\kappa = |\pi_0(X)|$  then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  has finitely many connected components (i.e.  $\kappa < \infty$ ).
- (ii) We have an isomorphism of rings  $\text{Clop}(X) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2)^\kappa$ .
- (iii)  $\text{Clop}(X)$  has the cardinality  $2^\kappa$ .
- (iv) We have an isomorphism of rings  $H_0(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^\kappa$ .
- (v) The connected components of  $X$  are precisely the primitive elements of  $\text{Clop}(X)$ .

*Proof.* The implications (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) and (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) follow from Theorem 4.1.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): There is nothing to prove.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): It is well known that a Boolean ring  $R$  is infinite if and only if  $|R| \leq |\text{Spec}(R)|$ . Indeed, for the direct implication see [6, Theorem 4.5] and the reverse implication is clear. Now if  $R = \text{Clop}(X)$  is infinite, then  $|R| = 2^\kappa \leq |\text{Spec}(R)|$ . But  $X$  is quasi-compact and so by Lemma 3.8(iii),  $|\text{Spec}(R)| \leq |\pi_0(X)| = \kappa$ . It follows that  $2^\kappa \leq \kappa$ . But this contradicts Cantor's theorem (which asserts that  $\kappa < 2^\kappa$  for any cardinal  $\kappa$ ). Thus  $R = \text{Clop}(X)$  is a finite ring and so  $\text{Clop}(X) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$  for some natural number  $n \geq 0$ . Then the assertion follows from Theorem 4.1.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): By hypothesis, the Boolean ring of  $H_0(X)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}^\kappa) = (\mathbb{Z}/2)^\kappa$ . The latter equality follows from the fact that for any direct product of rings  $\prod_k R_k$  we have  $\mathcal{B}(\prod_k R_k) = \prod_k \mathcal{B}(R_k)$ . But  $\text{Clop}(X)$  is (canonically) isomorphic to the Boolean ring of  $H_0(X)$ .

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (v): It is clear.

(v) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Since  $X$  is quasi-compact, there exist finitely many (distinct) primitive elements  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \text{Clop}(X)$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k$ . Hence,  $\pi_0(X) = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.3.** In Theorem 4.2, the assumption “quasi-compactness of  $X$ ” is necessary. Without this assumption, for instance, the implication “(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i)” will not be true. For example, consider the discrete topology over an infinite set  $X$ , then  $\text{Clop}(X) = \mathcal{P}(X)$  and the (discrete) space  $X$  is totally disconnected, i.e. it is homeomorphic to  $\pi_0(X)$ . But  $X$  has infinitely many connected components. Also note that in this theorem, the statement (iii) is weaker than statement (ii). In other words, there are examples of infinite Boolean rings  $R$  with cardinality  $|R| = 2^\kappa$  for some infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ , but  $R$  is not isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^\kappa$ . Indeed, by [6, Lemma 4.1], there is an infinite Boolean ring  $R$  with  $|R| = |\text{Spec}(R)| = 2^\kappa$ . But the ring  $R$  is not isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^\kappa$ . Because if  $R \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2)^\kappa \simeq \mathcal{P}(S)$  then their prime spectra will be homeomorphic:  $\text{Spec}(R) \simeq \text{Spec}(\mathcal{P}(S))$  where  $S$  is an infinite set with  $|S| = \kappa$ . This, in particular, yields that they have the same cardinality equal to  $2^\kappa$ . But it is well known that  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{P}(S))$  is the Stone-Ćech compactification of the infinite discrete space  $S$  and so its cardinality equals  $2^{2^\kappa}$  which is a contradiction. In fact, for any infinite cardinal  $\alpha$ , this ring  $R$  is not isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^\alpha$ . Because if they are isomorphic, then we will have  $2^\kappa = 2^\alpha$ . It follows that  $\alpha = \kappa$ , since otherwise we will have  $\alpha < \kappa$  or  $\kappa < \alpha$ . If  $\alpha < \kappa$  then  $\alpha < \kappa < 2^\kappa = 2^\alpha$  which contradicts the generalized continuum hypothesis. We get the same contradiction if  $\kappa < \alpha$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *If  $e$  is an idempotent of a ring  $R$  then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $e$  is a primitive idempotent of  $R$ .
- (ii)  $I = R(1 - e)$  is a max-regular ideal of  $R$ .
- (iii)  $D(e)$  is a connected component of  $\text{Spec}(R)$ .

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): We have  $I \neq R$ , because if  $I = R$  then  $1 = r(1 - e)$  and so  $e = 1e = r(1 - e)e = 0$  which is contradiction. Hence,  $I$  is a proper regular ideal of  $R$ . Then by Lemma 3.4, it suffices to show that  $R/I$  has no nontrivial idempotents. Assume  $x + I$  is an idempotent for some  $x \in R$ . If  $xe = 0$  then  $x = x(1 - e) \in I$ . Thus we may assume  $xe \neq 0$ . We have  $x - x^2 = r'(1 - e)$  for some  $r' \in R$ . It follows that  $xe = x^2e$  and so  $xe$  is idempotent. But  $(xe)e = xe$ . Thus by hypothesis,  $xe = e$ . It follows that  $1 - x = (1 - x)(1 - e) \in I$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): By Lemma 3.4,  $R/I$  has no nontrivial idempotents. Then  $\text{Spec}(R/I)$  is connected which is homeomorphic to  $V(I)$ . Thus  $V(I) = V(1 - e) = D(e)$  is a connected subset of  $\text{Spec}(R)$ . Hence,  $D(e)$  is contained in a connected component  $C$  of  $\text{Spec}(R)$ . By hypothesis,  $I \neq R$  and so  $e \neq 0$ . Thus  $D(e)$  is a nonempty clopen subset of a connected space  $C$ . Then  $D(e) = C$ .

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): By definition, every connected space is nonempty and so  $e \neq 0$ . Suppose that  $ee' = e'$  for some nonzero idempotent  $e' \in R$ . Then  $D(e')$  is a nonempty clopen subset of  $D(e)$  and so  $D(e') = D(e)$ . It follows that  $e = e'$ .  $\square$

In addition to the general equivalences given in Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, more useful equivalences in the prime spectrum case are obtained:

**Theorem 4.5.** *For a ring  $R$  the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{Spec}(R)$  has finitely many connected components.
- (ii)  $R$  has finitely many idempotents.
- (iii) We have an isomorphism of rings  $R \simeq \prod_{k=1}^n R/(1 - e_k)$  where  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is a finite set of distinct primitive idempotents of  $R$ .

(iv) We have an isomorphism of rings  $R \simeq \prod_{i \in \kappa} R/(1 - e_i)$  where each  $e_i$  is primitive idempotent of  $R$  and  $\kappa$  is the cardinal of connected components of  $\text{Spec}(R)$ .

(v) The unit ideal  $R$  is generated by a set of its primitive idempotents.

*Proof.* (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii): For any ring  $R$  with  $X = \text{Spec}(R)$ , then the map  $\mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \text{Clop}(X)$  given by  $e \mapsto D(e)$  is an isomorphism of rings. Then apply Theorem 4.1.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): By Theorem 4.2(v), there exist finitely many (distinct) primitive idempotents  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in R$  such that  $\text{Spec}(R) = \bigcup_{k=1}^n D(e_k)$ . By Lemma 4.4(ii),  $R(1 - e_i) +$

$R(1 - e_k) = R$ . It can be seen that  $D(1) = \text{Spec}(R) = \bigcup_{k=1}^n D(e_k) = D(\sum_{k=1}^n e_k)$ .

But  $\sum_{k=1}^n e_k$  is idempotent and so  $\sum_{k=1}^n e_k = 1$ . It follows that  $\bigcap_{k=1}^n R(1 - e_k) =$

$R(\prod_{k=1}^n (1 - e_k)) = 0$ . Then by the Chinese remainder theorem, the ring map

$R \rightarrow \prod_{k=1}^n R/(1 - e_k)$  given by  $r \mapsto (r + R(1 - e_k))_{k=1}^n$  is an isomorphism of rings.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv): By Lemmas 3.4 and 4.4,  $R/(1 - e_k)$  has no nontrivial idempotents, and so  $R$  has  $2^n$  idempotents. It can be seen that  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is the set of all (distinct) primitive idempotents of  $R$ . Then by Theorem 4.2,  $n$  is the number of connected components of  $\text{Spec}(R)$ .

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i): By Lemma 4.4, each  $R(1 - e_i)$  is a max-regular ideal of  $R$ . Thus by Lemma 3.4,  $R/(1 - e_i)$  has no nontrivial idempotents. Then  $R$  has precisely  $2^\kappa$  idempotents. Then the assertion is deduced from Theorem 4.2(iii).

(i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (v): It easily follows from Theorem 4.1 and the fact that distinct primitive elements  $e$  and  $e'$  are orthogonal and hence  $e \oplus e' = e + e'$ .  $\square$

Note that in Theorem 4.5(iii),  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is indeed the set of all primitive idempotents of  $R$ .

**Example 4.6.** In Theorem 4.5 we observed that every nonzero ring with finitely many idempotents has at least a primitive idempotent. But there are nonzero rings (with infinitely many idempotents) without having any primitive idempotents. We will provide such an example. But first note that if  $R$  is a Boolean ring then the map  $e \mapsto D(e)$  is a bijective from the set of primitive idempotents of  $R$  onto the set of isolated points of  $\text{Spec}(R)$  (in the same vein, the map  $e \mapsto R(1 - e)$  is also a bijection from the set of primitive idempotents of  $R$  onto the set of finitely generated prime ideals of  $R$ ). The space  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ , the countably infinite product of the discrete space  $\{0, 1\}$ , equipped with the product topology is a compact totally disconnected space. Then by Corollary 3.12, there exists an (infinite) Boolean ring  $R$  such that  $\text{Spec}(R)$  is homeomorphic to  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ . But  $R$  has no primitive idempotents, because it can be seen that  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  has no isolated points (see [6, Remark 5.6]).

**Example 4.7.** For any ring  $R$ , by Lemma 4.4, we have an injective map  $e \mapsto D(e)$  from the set of primitive idempotents of  $R$  into  $\pi_0(\text{Spec}(R))$ . In particular, the cardinal of primitive idempotents of  $R \leq$  the cardinal of connected components of  $\text{Spec}(R)$ . If  $R$  has finitely many idempotents, then the equality holds. But by giving an example, we show that the converse is not true. Let  $X$  be an infinite set and let  $R$  be the Boolean ring of all subsets of  $X$  that are finite or cofinite (its complement is finite). Then it can be seen that the prime (maximal) ideals of  $R$

are precisely  $\text{Fin}(X)$  or of the form  $M_x \cap R$  where  $x \in X$  and  $M_x = \mathcal{P}(X \setminus \{x\})$ , and so  $\text{Spec}(R)$  has precisely  $|X| + 1 = |X|$  points (in fact, it can be shown that  $\text{Spec}(R)$  is the one-point compactification of the discrete space  $X$  whose point at infinity is  $\text{Fin}(X)$ , the set of all finite subsets of  $X$ ). The prime spectrum of every zero dimensional ring is totally disconnected, and hence the cardinal of connected components of  $\text{Spec}(R)$  is equal to  $|X|$ . The cardinal of primitive idempotents of  $R$  also equals  $|X|$ , because the primitive idempotents of  $R$  are precisely the singleton subsets of  $X$ . But  $R$  has infinitely many (idempotent) elements.

**Remark 4.8.** In Theorem 4.5(iv), the assumption “ $\kappa$  is the cardinal of connected components of  $\text{Spec}(R)$ ” is vital. Otherwise this theorem cannot be true. For example, take  $R = \mathcal{P}(X)$  with  $X$  an infinite set. Then  $R \simeq \prod_{x \in X} \mathbb{Z}/2 \simeq \prod_{x \in X} R/(1 - e_x)$  where each  $e_x = \{x\}$  is a primitive idempotent of  $R$ . Note that  $|X| < 2^{2^{|X|}} =$  the cardinal of connected components of  $\text{Spec}(R)$ . This example also shows that if a ring has  $2^\alpha$  idempotents with  $\alpha =$  the cardinal of primitive idempotents, then the ring does not necessarily have finitely many idempotents.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. E. Bredon, *Topology and Geometry*, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc. (1993).
- [2] M. Dickmann, N. Schwartz and M. Tressl, *Spectral Spaces*, Cambridge University Press (2019).
- [3] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Revised and completed edition. Heldermann, Berlin (1989).
- [4] M. Hochster, Prime ideal structure in commutative rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 142 (1969) 43-60.
- [5] A. Tarizadeh and Z. Taheri, Stone type representations and dualities by power set ring, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 225(11), (2021) 106737.
- [6] A. Tarizadeh and P.K. Sharma, Structural results on lifting, orthogonality and finiteness of idempotents, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. (RACSAM)*, 116(1), 54 (2022).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF BASIC SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF MARAGHEH, MARAGHEH, EAST AZERBAIJAN PROVINCE, IRAN.

*Email address:* ebulfez1978@gmail.com