

Einstein gravity as the thermal equilibrium state of a nonminimally coupled scalar field geometry

Numa Karolinski^{1,*} and Valerio Faraoni^{1,†}

¹*Department of Physics & Astronomy, Bishop's University,
2600 College Street, Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada J1M 1Z7*

We test ideas of the recently proposed first-order thermodynamics of scalar-tensor gravity using an exact geometry sourced by a conformally coupled scalar field. We report a non-monotonic behaviour of the effective “temperature of gravity” not observed before and due to a new term in the equation describing the relaxation of gravity toward its state of equilibrium, *i.e.*, Einstein gravity, showing a richer range of thermal behaviours of modified gravity than previously thought.

I. INTRODUCTION

Einstein’s theory of gravity, General Relativity (GR) has been very successful in the regimes in which it is tested [1–4] but there is little doubt that, ultimately, it has to be replaced by some other theory (of which there is no shortage [1, 5–8]). First, GR contains spacetime singularities inside black holes and in cosmology, thus predicting its own failure. These spacetime singularities should presumably be cured by quantum mechanics, but virtually all attempts to introduce quantum corrections also introduce deviations from GR [9, 10]. The low-energy limit of the simplest string theory, the bosonic string, does not reproduce GR but yields $\omega = -1$ Brans-Dicke gravity instead [11, 12].

In addition to severe observational tensions [13, 14], from the theoretical point of view the standard GR-based Λ -Cold Dark Matter (Λ CDM) model of cosmology [15] is left wanting. Its main ingredient, *i.e.*, the dark energy accounting for approximately 70% of the energy content of the universe, was introduced overnight to explain the current acceleration of the universe discovered in 1998 with Type Ia supernovae. The nature of this dark energy is a mystery. It is believed that, if one explains it with the cosmological constant Λ , extreme fine-tuning arises. An alternative to dark energy consists of modifying gravity at large scales [16, 17]. For this purpose, $f(R)$ theories of gravity are very popular (see [18–20] for reviews). The first scenario of inflation in the early universe, Starobinsky inflation [21], which is also the scenario favoured by current observations [22], is based on quadratic corrections to GR, where $f(R) = R + \alpha R^2$ (here R denotes the Ricci scalar).

$f(R)$ gravity is a subclass of scalar-tensor gravity, the prototype of which is the original Brans-Dicke theory [23] later generalized by various authors [24–27]. These “old” or “first generation” scalar-tensor theories were further generalized by Horndeski [28]. The past decade has seen intense research activity on the rediscovered Horndeski theories, which were believed to be the most general

scalar-tensor gravities with second order equations of motion, avoiding the notorious Ostrogradsky instability that plagues theories with higher order equations. This record now belongs to further generalizations, the so-called Degenerate Higher Order Scalar-Tensor (DHOST) theories (see, *e.g.*, [29–44] and the references therein).

From another point of view, the idea has been proposed that perhaps, unlike the other three known interactions, gravity is not fundamental but could instead be emergent, similar to the way macroscopic thermodynamics emerges from microscopic degrees of freedom. This idea has been pursued in various implementations, see *e.g.*, [45–50]. One remarkable piece of work is Jacobson’s thermodynamics of spacetime, in which the Einstein equation of GR is derived with purely thermal considerations and plays a role analogous to that of a macroscopic equation of state [51]. Furthermore, quadratic gravity (which is an $f(R)$, therefore a scalar-tensor, gravity) can be obtained in a similar way, but its derivation requires the introduction of entropy-generation terms [52]. The implication is that GR is a thermal equilibrium state at zero temperature, while modified gravity corresponds to an excited state at higher temperature [52, 53].¹ Problem is, the “temperature of gravity” and equations describing the relaxation to the GR equilibrium have never been found, in spite of a large literature on spacetime thermodynamics.

Recently, a more modest proposal was advanced in which this idea of modified gravity being an excitation of the GR equilibrium is re-examined in a context completely different from Jacobson’s thermodynamics of spacetime. This new proposal, dubbed “first-order thermodynamics of scalar-tensor gravity” begins by noticing that the field equations of this class of theories can be written as effective Einstein equations with an effective stress-energy tensor in their right-hand side acting as an effective source, which has the structure of a dissipative fluid. This fact is well-known in special scalar-tensor theories or for special geometries (especially cosmological ones) [54] and it extends to generic “first-generation”

* nkarolinski22@ubishops.ca

† vfaraoni@ubishops.ca

¹ This fact is natural when extra propagating degrees of freedom, in addition to the two massless spin-two modes of GR, are excited.

scalar–tensor theories [55, 56] and to “viable” Horndeski [57, 58] gravity. Taking this dissipative structure seriously, one attempts to apply Eckart’s first–order thermodynamics [59] to it. Rather unexpectedly, the main constitutive relation of Eckart’s theory (a generalized Fourier law) is satisfied [56], which makes it possible to read off this equation the product \mathcal{KT} of a “thermal conductivity of spacetime” \mathcal{K} and of the “temperature of gravity” \mathcal{T} [56, 58, 60–64]. GR, obtained when the gravitational Brans–Dicke–like scalar field ψ is constant, corresponds to $\mathcal{KT} = 0$ while scalar–tensor gravity is a state at $\mathcal{KT} > 0$ [56, 58, 60, 61].

Thus far, this formalism is the closest that one has come to defining a “temperature of gravity”. An equation describing the approach to the GR thermal equilibrium, or the departure from it, is also provided in the formalism [60, 61], which is still under development. The formalism has been extended to “viable” Horndeski gravity [58], applied to cosmology [63, 65], to the Einstein frame description of these theories [66], and to multi–scalar–tensor theories [67]. To make progress and gain insight into this new thermal description of gravity, one needs to test its basic ideas with special theories of modified gravity and their analytic solutions. While this work has begun [68–71], there are still many open questions and we continue this study here by applying the first–order thermodynamics to a special solution of nonminimally coupled scalar field theory (which is a scalar–tensor gravity) found recently by Sultana [72]. This spacetime is inhomogeneous, spherically symmetric, and time–dependent and is conformal to a GR geometry obtained by Sultana by generalizing a previous GR solution due to Wyman [73] to include a (positive) cosmological constant Λ (we refer to the latter as the Sultana–Wyman solution).

In order to fix the notation, the next section recalls the basics of scalar–tensor gravity and of nonminimally coupled scalar field theory and introduces Sultana’s solution, whose geometry has already been studied in detail in [68]. Section III discusses the approach to the GR equilibrium identified by $\mathcal{KT} = 0$. This discussion includes a term in the relevant relaxation equation that was set to zero for simplicity in previous literature. Section IV makes a brief parallel with an exact solution of Brans–Dicke theory conformal to the Sultana–Wyman solution, discussing its thermal properties.

We follow the notation of Ref. [74]: the signature of the metric tensor g_{ab} is $-+++$, units are used in which the speed of light c and Newton’s constant G are unity (but, for convenience, we restore G when discussing the nonminimally coupled scalar field), $\kappa \equiv 8\pi G$, R_{ab} is the Ricci tensor, $R \equiv R^a{}_a$, $G_{ab} \equiv R_{ab} - Rg_{ab}/2$ is the Einstein tensor, and $\square \equiv g^{ab}\nabla_a\nabla_b$ is the curved space d’Alembertian.

II. SCALAR–TENSOR GRAVITY AND THE SULTANA SOLUTION

The gravitational sector of “first generation” scalar–tensor gravity is described by the Jordan frame action [23–27]

$$S_{\text{ST}} = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\psi R - \frac{\omega(\psi)}{\psi} \nabla^c \psi \nabla_c \psi - V(\psi) \right], \quad (2.1)$$

where ψ is the Brans–Dicke–like scalar field corresponding, approximately, to the inverse of the effective gravitational coupling $G_{\text{eff}} = 1/\psi$, $\omega(\psi)$ is the “Brans–Dicke coupling” (which was constant in the original Brans–Dicke theory [23]), $V(\psi)$ is a scalar field potential, and g is the determinant of the spacetime metric g_{ab} . The vacuum field equations obtained by varying the action (2.1) are

$$G_{ab} = \frac{\omega}{\psi^2} \left(\nabla_a \psi \nabla_b \psi - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} \nabla^c \psi \nabla_c \psi \right) + \frac{1}{\psi} \left(\nabla_a \nabla_b \psi - g_{ab} \square \psi \right) - \frac{V}{2\psi} g_{ab}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\square \psi = \frac{1}{2\omega + 3} \left(\psi \frac{dV}{d\psi} - 2V - \frac{d\omega}{d\psi} \nabla^c \psi \nabla_c \psi \right). \quad (2.3)$$

By conformally rescaling the metric and redefining the scalar field according to

$$g_{ab} \rightarrow \tilde{g}_{ab} = \Omega^2 g_{ab} = \psi g_{ab}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\psi \rightarrow \tilde{\psi} = \sqrt{\frac{|2\omega + 3|}{16\pi}} \ln \left(\frac{\psi}{\psi_*} \right) \quad (2.5)$$

(where ψ_* is a positive constant), the Brans–Dicke action is recast in its Einstein frame form (where quantities are denoted by a tilde)

$$S_{\text{BD}} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \left[\frac{\tilde{R}}{16\pi} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{ab} \tilde{\nabla}_a \tilde{\psi} \tilde{\nabla}_b \tilde{\psi} - U(\tilde{\psi}) \right], \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$U(\tilde{\psi}) = \frac{V(\psi)}{\psi^2} \Big|_{\psi=\psi(\tilde{\psi})}. \quad (2.7)$$

In the Einstein conformal frame, vacuum scalar–tensor gravity looks like GR sourced by a minimally coupled scalar field $\tilde{\psi}$. The conformal transformation is commonly used as a solution–generating technique using GR solutions sourced by minimally coupled scalar fields as seeds.

A. Jordan frame scalar–tensor gravity

The first step leading to the first–order thermodynamics of scalar–tensor gravity consists of writing the field equations as effective Einstein equations $G_{ab} = T_{ab}^{(\psi)}$ by collecting all terms other than the Einstein tensor G_{ab} in the right–hand side. By assuming that $\nabla^a \psi$ is timelike and future–oriented, $T_{ab}^{(\psi)}$ necessarily has the structure of a dissipative fluid energy–momentum tensor [74]

$$T_{ab}^{(\psi)} = \rho^{(\psi)} u_a u_b + P^{(\psi)} h_{ab} + \pi_{ab}^{(\psi)} + q_a^{(\psi)} u_b + q_b^{(\psi)} u_a, \quad (2.8)$$

with four–velocity

$$u^a = \frac{\nabla^a \psi}{\sqrt{-\nabla^c \psi \nabla_c \psi}}, \quad (2.9)$$

spatial 3–metric

$$h_{ab} = u_a u_b + g_{ab}, \quad (2.10)$$

energy density

$$\rho^{(\psi)} = T_{ab}^{(\psi)} u^a u^b, \quad (2.11)$$

isotropic pressure

$$P^{(\psi)} = \frac{1}{3} T^{(\psi)a}{}_a, \quad (2.12)$$

heat flux density

$$q_a^{(\psi)} = -T_{cd}^{(\psi)} u^c h_a{}^d, \quad (2.13)$$

and anisotropic stress tensor

$$\pi_{ab}^{(\psi)} = T_{cd}^{(\psi)} h_a{}^c h_b{}^d - P h_{ab}. \quad (2.14)$$

The isotropic pressure is decomposed into non–viscous and viscous contributions,

$$P = \bar{P} + P_{\text{visc}}. \quad (2.15)$$

This dissipative fluid structure is common to all symmetric 2–index tensors and, *per se*, there is no physics in the decomposition (2.8) [63]. However, by taking seriously the dissipative fluid structure of $T_{ab}^{(\psi)}$, one is tempted to apply Eckart’s first–order thermodynamics [59] to it. This dissipative ψ –fluid does not, in general, satisfy energy conditions and it is impossible to identify unambiguously all the thermodynamical quantities familiar from real fluids. However, one can limit oneself to considering the assumptions of Eckart’s thermodynamics that relate heat flux density, anisotropic stresses, and viscous pressure to the kinematic quantities of the effective fluid, *i.e.*, the assumed constitutive relations where

$$q_a = -\mathcal{K} h_{ab} (\nabla^b \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T} \dot{u}^b), \quad (2.16)$$

$$\pi_{ab} = -2\eta \sigma_{ab}, \quad (2.17)$$

$$P_{\text{visc}} = -\zeta \Theta, \quad (2.18)$$

\mathcal{K} is the thermal conductivity, $\dot{u}^a \equiv u^c \nabla_c u^a$ is the fluid four–acceleration, $\Theta \equiv \nabla_c u^c$ is the expansion scalar, and

$$\sigma_{ab} = h_a{}^c h_b{}^d \nabla_{(c} u_{d)} \quad (2.19)$$

is the shear tensor, while ζ and η are effective bulk and shear viscosity coefficients. It is a miracle that the effective ψ –fluid satisfies Eckart’s constitutive relation (2.16) (with $h_{ab} \nabla^b \mathcal{T} = 0$). This fact allows one to identify the product of a “thermal conductivity” \mathcal{K} and a “temperature” \mathcal{T} of gravity as [60, 61]

$$\mathcal{K} \mathcal{T} = \frac{\sqrt{-\nabla^c \psi \nabla_c \psi}}{\kappa \psi}. \quad (2.20)$$

GR, obtained for $\psi = \text{const.}$, corresponds to thermal equilibrium at $\mathcal{K} \mathcal{T} = 0$. The approach to (or departure from) this GR equilibrium is described by [61]

$$\frac{d(\mathcal{K} \mathcal{T})}{d\bar{\tau}} = \kappa (\mathcal{K} \mathcal{T})^2 - \Theta \mathcal{K} \mathcal{T} + \frac{\square \psi}{\kappa \psi}, \quad (2.21)$$

where $\bar{\tau}$ is the proper time along the lines of the ψ –fluid and $d/d\bar{\tau} \equiv u^c \nabla_c$. The expansion scalar is [56]

$$\Theta = \nabla_a u^a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\nabla_c \psi \nabla^c \psi}} \left(\square \psi - \frac{\nabla^a \psi \nabla^b \psi \nabla_a \nabla_b \psi}{\nabla_e \psi \nabla^e \psi} \right). \quad (2.22)$$

B. Nonminimally coupled scalar fields

Let us come to nonminimally coupled scalar fields. The gravitational sector of nonminimally coupled scalar field theory is described by the Jordan frame action

$$S_{\text{NMC}} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\kappa} - \xi \phi^2 \right) \frac{R}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^c \phi \nabla_c \phi - V(\phi) \right], \quad (2.23)$$

where the constant ξ describes the nonminimal coupling of the scalar ϕ to the Ricci scalar. The corresponding field equations read

$$G_{ab} = \kappa (1 - \kappa \xi \phi^2)^{-1} \left(\nabla_a \phi \nabla_b \phi - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} \nabla^c \phi \nabla_c \phi - V g_{ab} + \xi \left[g_{ab} \square(\phi^2) - \nabla_a \nabla_b (\phi^2) \right] \right), \quad (2.24)$$

$$\square \phi - \xi R \phi - \frac{dV}{d\phi} = 0. \quad (2.25)$$

Nonminimal coupling (*i.e.*, $\xi \neq 0$) seems to have been originally introduced in the context of radiation problems in curved space [75]. It is unavoidable when quantizing a scalar field on a curved background ([76], see

also [77–81]). The value $\xi = 1/6$ (conformal coupling) makes Eq. (2.25) conformally invariant if $V(\phi)$ is quartic or identically zero. From a classical point of view, conformal coupling is necessary to avoid causal pathologies, *i.e.*, the propagation of a massive scalar field ϕ strictly along the light cone [82]. $\xi = 1/6$ is also an infrared fixed point of the renormalization group in Grand Unified Theories [83–89].

The field equations for the conformally coupled ϕ can be written as

$$G_{ab} = G_{\text{eff}} T_{ab}^{(\psi)}, \quad (2.26)$$

where

$$G_{\text{eff}} = \frac{G}{1 - \alpha^2 \phi^2}, \quad \alpha \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{6}} \quad (2.27)$$

is the effective gravitational coupling strength. The effective fluid quantities derived from $T_{ab}^{(\phi)}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{(\psi)} = T_{ab}^{(\psi)} u^a u^b = & \left(1 - \frac{4\pi\phi^2}{3}\right)^{-1} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^e \phi \nabla_e \phi \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{V(\phi)}{2} + \frac{1}{6} \left[\frac{\nabla^a \phi \nabla^b \phi \nabla_a \nabla_b (\phi^2)}{\nabla^e \phi \nabla_e \phi} - \square(\phi^2) \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(\psi)} = \frac{1}{3} T^{(\psi)a}{}_{a} = & \left(1 - \frac{4\pi\phi^2}{3}\right)^{-1} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^e \phi \nabla_e \phi \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{V(\phi)}{2} + \frac{1}{18} \left[\frac{\nabla^a \phi \nabla^b \phi \nabla_a \nabla_b (\phi^2)}{\nabla^e \phi \nabla_e \phi} + 2\square(\phi^2) \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} q_a^{(\psi)} = -T_{cd}^{(\psi)} u^c h_a{}^d = & \frac{\left(1 - 4\pi\phi^2/3\right)^{-1} \nabla^c \phi \nabla^d \phi}{6 \left(-\nabla^e \phi \nabla_e \phi\right)^{3/2}} \\ & \left[\nabla_d \phi \nabla_a \nabla_c (\phi^2) - \nabla_a \phi \nabla_c \nabla_d (\phi^2) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{ab}^{(\psi)} = T_{cd}^{(\psi)} h_a{}^c h_b{}^d - P h_{ab} = & -\frac{\left(1 - 4\pi\phi^2/3\right)^{-1}}{6 \nabla^e \phi \nabla_e \phi} \\ & \times \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \left(\nabla_a \phi \nabla_b \phi - g_{ab} \nabla^e \phi \nabla_e \phi \right) \left[\square(\phi^2) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \frac{\nabla^c \phi \nabla^d \phi \nabla_c \nabla_d (\phi^2)}{\nabla^e \phi \nabla_e \phi} \right] + \nabla^d \phi \left[\nabla_d \phi \nabla_a \nabla_b (\phi^2) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \nabla_b \phi \nabla_a \nabla_d (\phi^2) - \nabla_a \phi \nabla_b \nabla_d (\phi^2) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{\nabla^c \phi \nabla_a \phi \nabla_b \phi \nabla_c \nabla_d (\phi^2)}{\nabla^e \phi \nabla_e \phi} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

Here we are interested in a particular solution for a conformally coupled scalar field to elucidate features of the first-order thermodynamics of scalar-tensor gravity. The starting point is a solution of GR with a minimally coupled (*i.e.*, $\xi = 0$) scalar field found by Wyman² [73]

$$d\tilde{s}^2 = -\kappa r^2 dt^2 + 2dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_{(2)}^2, \quad (2.32)$$

$$\tilde{\phi}(t) = \tilde{\phi}_0 t, \quad (2.33)$$

where $d\Omega_{(2)}^2 \equiv d\vartheta^2 + \sin^2 \vartheta d\varphi^2$ is the line element on the unit 2-sphere and $\tilde{\phi}_0$ is a constant. Wyman's "other" solution coincides with a special case of interior solutions for relativistic stars with a stiff fluid found by Ibañez & Sanz [90] in 1982, previously studied by Buchdahl & Land [91]. This is, in turn, a special case of the Tolman IV class of solutions of the Einstein equations discovered in 1939 [92–94]. The matching with an exterior GR solution was studied in [95].

The Sultana geometry with $\Lambda > 0$ [72] coincides with another special case of a class of perfect fluid solutions given by Ibañez & Sanz. It should probably be called Buchdahl-Land-Sultana-Wyman-Ibañez-Sanz (BLSWIS) solution. More precisely, this BLSWIS metric is a special limit of Buchdahl & Land's [91] stiff fluid solution of the Einstein equations with vanishing cosmological constant but pressure

$$P = \rho - \rho_0 \quad (2.34)$$

(where ρ is the fluid energy density and ρ_0 is a constant), which is supposed to describe an incompressible fluid but, in practice, a cosmological constant is re-introduced.

The more general Buchdahl-Land solution constitutes a special case of the Tolman IV class of solutions [93] describing the interior of a perfect fluid ball with cosmological constant [92].

Sultana [72] generalized the Wyman solution to the case in which there is a positive cosmological constant Λ . This geometry (that we refer to as the Sultana-Wyman solution of GR) can be regarded as the Einstein frame version of a Jordan frame solution of conformally coupled scalar field theory. Then, the inverse conformal map from the Einstein to the Jordan frame produces a new vacuum solution of this theory (which is, of course, conformal to the Sultana-Wyman solution of GR), here referred to as the Sultana solution.

The inhomogeneous, spherically symmetric, and time-dependent Sultana solution of conformally coupled scalar

² This is sometimes called Wyman's "other" solution to distinguish it from the better-known solution discovered by Fisher [96] and rediscovered over and over again [73, 97–99]. The latter is the general solution of the $\Lambda = 0$ Einstein equations which is static, spherically symmetric, asymptotically flat, and with a free scalar field as the source (see the recent review [100]).

field theory is [72]

$$ds^2 = \cosh^2(\alpha t) \left[-\kappa r^2 dt^2 + \frac{2dr^2}{1 - 2\Lambda r^2/3} + r^2 d\Omega_{(2)}^2 \right], \quad (2.35)$$

$$\phi(t) = \pm \frac{1}{\alpha} \tanh(\alpha t), \quad (2.36)$$

where $-\infty < t < +\infty$ and $0 < r < \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\Lambda}}$. The scalar field potential obtained by mapping back the cosmological constant Λ from the Einstein to the Jordan conformal frame is the Higgs potential [72]

$$V(\phi) = \frac{\Lambda}{\kappa} (1 - \alpha^2 \phi^2)^2. \quad (2.37)$$

In general, the conformal map between Jordan and Einstein frames produces scalar field potentials that are not physically motivated, but this is not the case here. $V(\phi)$ is non-negative since (following [72]) we assume $\Lambda \geq 0$. The effective gravitational coupling strength (2.27) is kept positive by requiring that $-\phi_c < \phi < \phi_c$, where $\phi_c \equiv \alpha^{-1} = \sqrt{6/\kappa}$ is a critical scalar field value. With this potential, the action (2.23) is invariant under the exchange $\phi \rightarrow -\phi$.

The Sultana geometry exhibits a spacetime singularity at $r = 0$, where the Kretschmann scalar

$$R_{abcd}R^{abcd} = \frac{3 + 2 \cosh(\alpha t) + \cosh(4\alpha t)}{3r^4 \cosh^8(\alpha t)} \quad (2.38)$$

diverges [72].

The nature of the Sultana–Wyman solution of GR was studied in detail in Ref. [101], which analyzes its radial null geodesics. Since the Sultana geometry (2.35), (2.36) is conformal to the Sultana–Wyman geometry of GR its causal structure, which is conformally invariant, is the same. In particular, the singularity at $r = 0$, where the curvature invariants diverge, persists. This is a naked singularity because no apparent horizons cover it. The equation locating all the apparent horizons, when they exist, is $\nabla^c \mathcal{R} \nabla_c \mathcal{R} = 0$, where \mathcal{R} is the areal radius [102] and this equation does not admit solutions in the Sultana spacetime [101].

The gradient of the scalar field (2.36)

$$\nabla^a \phi = \mp \frac{\delta_0^a}{\kappa r^2 \cosh^4(\alpha t)} \quad (2.39)$$

is timelike,

$$\nabla^a \phi \nabla_a \phi = -\frac{1}{\kappa r^2 \cosh^6(\alpha t)} < 0 \quad (2.40)$$

for any time t , but is not future-oriented (and, therefore, cannot be used to define an effective fluid four-velocity) unless the negative sign is adopted in Eq. (2.36), which

we do here:³ hence from now on $\phi(t) = -\alpha^{-1} \tanh(\alpha t)$ and $\psi = 1 - \alpha^2 \phi^2$. With this choice, the four-velocity of the effective ϕ -fluid

$$u^a = \frac{\nabla^a \psi}{\sqrt{-\nabla^e \psi \nabla_e \psi}} = \frac{\delta_0^a}{\sqrt{\kappa} r \cosh(\alpha t)} \quad (2.41)$$

is timelike, future-oriented, and normalized to $u^c u_c = -1$ at all times t and coincides with the time direction of the observers comoving with the effective fluid. The three-dimensional metric on the 3-space orthogonal to u^a is h_{ab} , described in Eq. (2.10), where $h_{ab} u^a = h_{ab} u^b = 0$. For convenience, we report below the kinematic quantities defined by u^a .

By using the Christoffel symbols

$$\Gamma_{00}^0 = \alpha \tanh(\alpha t), \quad (2.42)$$

$$\Gamma_{00}^1 = \frac{\kappa r}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2\Lambda r^2}{3} \right), \quad (2.43)$$

$$\Gamma_{00}^2 = \Gamma_{00}^3 = 0, \quad (2.44)$$

the effective fluid four-acceleration

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}^a &= u^c \nabla_c u^a = u^0 (\partial_0 u^a + \Gamma_{00}^a u^0) \\ &= \delta_1^a \frac{(1 - 2\Lambda r^2/3)}{2r \cosh^2(\alpha t)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.45)$$

turns out to be purely radial as expected. The velocity gradient (twice) projected onto the three-space orthogonal to u^a decomposes as

$$V_{ab} \equiv h_a^c h_b^d \nabla_d u_c = \sigma_{ab} + \frac{\Theta}{3} h_{ab}, \quad (2.46)$$

where Θ is the expansion scalar, σ_{ab} is the trace-free shear tensor, and the antisymmetric part of V_{ab} , the vorticity (ω_{ab}), vanishes because u^a is derived from a scalar and is orthogonal to the hypersurfaces of constant ϕ and constant time t . Four-acceleration and shear are purely spatial, $\dot{u}_c u^c = \sigma_{ab} u^a = \sigma_{ab} u^b = 0$ and $\pi^a_a = 0$.

The shear tensor and expansion scalar in coordinates $(t, r, \vartheta, \varphi)$ are (see Appendix A)

$$\sigma_{ab} = 0, \quad (2.47)$$

$$\Theta = \frac{3 \tanh(\alpha t)}{\sqrt{6} r \cosh(\alpha t)}. \quad (2.48)$$

Next, one computes the effective fluid quantities composing the stress-energy tensor (2.8), obtaining (cf. Ap-

³ A possible alternative consists of defining $u^a \equiv -(-\nabla^c \phi \nabla_c \phi)^{-1/2} \nabla^a \phi$ [62].

pendix A)

$$\rho^{(\psi)} = \frac{\cosh(2\alpha t) \operatorname{sech}^4(\alpha t)}{2\kappa r^2} + \frac{\Lambda \operatorname{sech}^2(\alpha t)}{2\kappa}, \quad (2.49)$$

$$P^{(\psi)} = \frac{\cosh(2\alpha t) \operatorname{sech}^4(\alpha t)}{6\kappa r^2} - \frac{\Lambda \operatorname{sech}^2(\alpha t)}{2\kappa}, \quad (2.50)$$

$$q_a^{(\psi)} = \delta_a^1 \frac{2 \tanh(\alpha t)}{\sqrt{6} \kappa r^2 \cosh(\alpha t)}, \quad (2.51)$$

$$\pi_{ab}^{(\psi)} = 0. \quad (2.52)$$

Furthermore, the viscous pressure reads

$$P_{\text{visc}}^{(\psi)} = \frac{\sinh^2(\alpha t) - 1}{3\kappa r^2 \cosh^4(\alpha t)}. \quad (2.53)$$

III. FIRST-ORDER THERMODYNAMICS AND APPROACH TO THE GR EQUILIBRIUM

As for any first-generation scalar-tensor theory, Eckart's generalization (2.16) of the Fourier law is satisfied, giving

$$q_{(\psi)}^a = -\mathcal{K}h_{ab} (\nabla^b \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T} \dot{u}^b) = \frac{2 \sinh(\alpha t)}{\sqrt{6} \kappa r \cosh^2(\alpha t)} \dot{u}^a, \quad (3.1)$$

which yields

$$\mathcal{K}\mathcal{T} = -\frac{2 \sinh(\alpha t)}{\sqrt{6} \kappa r \cosh^2(\alpha t)}. \quad (3.2)$$

$\mathcal{K}\mathcal{T}$ is positive-definite for $t < 0$, therefore we restrict our consideration to negative times in the following. It is convenient to introduce a new time coordinate τ defined by $d\tau \equiv \cosh(\alpha t) dt$, or

$$\tau(t) = \frac{\sinh(\alpha t)}{\alpha}, \quad (3.3)$$

which is always well-defined since $d\tau/dt > 0$ at all times. In terms of τ , the Sultana solution reads

$$ds^2 = -\kappa r^2 d\tau^2 + (1 + \alpha^2 \tau^2) \left(\frac{2dr^2}{1 - 2\Lambda r^2/3} + r^2 d\Omega_{(2)}^2 \right), \quad (3.4)$$

$$\phi(\tau) = -\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2 \tau^2}}, \quad (3.5)$$

while

$$\mathcal{K}\mathcal{T} = \frac{-2\alpha\tau}{\sqrt{6}\kappa r (1 + \alpha^2 \tau^2)}. \quad (3.6)$$

Using $\psi = 1 - \alpha^2 \phi^2 = (1 + \alpha^2 \tau^2)^{-1}$, it is straightfor-




FIG. 1. Evolution of $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{T}$ with the time τ (in units in which $r = 1$ and $G = 1$). The solid (purple) curve represents $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{T}(\tau, 1)$. The dashed vertical (orange) line marks the maximum value of $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{T}(\tau, 1)$.

ward to see that Eq. (3.6) matches the general expression (2.20) of $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{T}$ in first-generation scalar-tensor gravity. Furthermore, one has

$$\square\phi = 0 \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$R = \frac{-4\kappa V}{1 - \alpha^2 \phi^2} = -4\Lambda (1 - \alpha^2 \phi^2) = -\frac{4\Lambda}{\cosh^2(\alpha t)}, \quad (3.8)$$

consistent with the equation of motion of ϕ . However, $\square\psi \neq 0$ and the last term on the right-hand side of Eq. (2.21) does not vanish, allowing for a more generic test of the basic ideas of Refs. [56, 58, 60–64].

As is clear from Eq. (3.6), $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{T} \rightarrow +\infty$ as $r \rightarrow 0^+$: the naked spacetime singularity at $r = 0$ is “hot”, in the sense that gravity departs from GR there, and the deviation is infinite. In the infinite past, $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$ (also $t \rightarrow -\infty$), $\phi(\tau)$ approaches a constant and $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{T}$ tends to zero, thus gravity approaches GR asymptotically (Fig. 1). This fact is consistent with the idea that gravity “cools” as 3-space expands. In fact, the finite volume of 3-space is

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(3)}(\tau) &= \int d^3x \sqrt{g^{(3)}(\tau)} \\ &= \int_0^{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2\Lambda}}} dr \int_0^\pi d\vartheta \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \sqrt{\frac{2(1 + \alpha^2 \tau^2)^3}{1 - 2\Lambda r^2/3}} r^2 \sin\vartheta \\ &= 4\pi\sqrt{2} (1 + \alpha^2 \tau^2)^{3/2} \int_0^{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2\Lambda}}} dr \frac{r^2}{\sqrt{1 - 2\Lambda r^2/3}} \\ &= \frac{3\sqrt{3}\pi^2}{2\Lambda^{3/2}} (1 + \alpha^2 \tau^2)^{3/2} \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow -\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

The 3-volume $V^{(3)}$ is infinite in the infinite past $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$, decreases monotonically for $\tau < 0$, and reaches its absolute minimum $V_{\min} = \frac{3\sqrt{3}\pi^2}{2\Lambda^{3/2}}$ at $\tau = 0$, then increases monotonically, diverging again as $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$.

Since $\mathcal{KT} \rightarrow 0^+$ and $V^{(3)} \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$, the Sultana solution corroborates the idea that the expansion of space “cools” gravity, even when the third term $\frac{\square\psi}{\kappa\psi}$ in Eq. (2.21) does not vanish and for non-cosmological spacetimes in which 3-space still expands. The effective gravitational coupling $G_{\text{eff}} = \frac{G}{1-(\phi/\phi_c)^2} \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$ and $\phi \rightarrow -\phi_c$. Thus far, based on previous exact solution examples, singularities of G_{eff} have been regarded on par with spacetime singularities where gravity becomes “hot”. As the Sultana solution shows, this picture is at least incomplete because here G_{eff} , which depends only on time, diverges where 3-space expands without limit, but gravity “cools” instead. The effect of 3-space expansion dominates over divergences of the gravitational coupling. In the end, it is the product $G_{\text{eff}}T_{ab}^{(\phi)}$ that enters the right-hand side of the field equations, so whether gravity approaches GR or departs from it is determined by the vanishing of this product and not by the individual factors G_{eff} and $T_{ab}^{(\phi)}$.

Increasing \mathcal{KT} means increasing deviation from GR: we have

$$\frac{d(\mathcal{KT})}{d\bar{\tau}} \equiv u^c \nabla_c (\mathcal{KT}) = u^\tau \frac{d(\mathcal{KT})}{d\tau}, \quad (3.10)$$

where $\bar{\tau}$ is the proper time along the fluid lines of the effective ϕ -fluid. The normalization $u^c u_c = -1$ (or, alternatively, the transformation property $u^\tau = \frac{\partial\tau}{\partial t} u^t$) gives $u^\tau = 1/(\sqrt{\kappa}r)$ and

$$\frac{d(\mathcal{KT})}{d\bar{\tau}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}r} \frac{d(\mathcal{KT})}{d\tau} = \frac{\alpha^2\tau^2 - 1}{3\sqrt{\kappa}r(1 + \alpha^2\tau^2)^2}. \quad (3.11)$$

$\mathcal{KT}(\tau, r)$ increases for $-\infty < \tau < -\alpha^{-1}$, where it has a maximum $\mathcal{KT}_{\max} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}\kappa r}$ and decreases for $-\alpha^{-1} < \tau < 0$, vanishing as $\tau \rightarrow 0^-$ and changing concavity at $\tau = -\sqrt{3}\alpha$. Therefore, gravity is extremely close to GR in the far past, then it gradually departs from it but only to a finite extent (\mathcal{KT} remains finite at all radii $r > 0$), then approaches GR again, coinciding with it at $\tau = 0$. To the best of our knowledge, all analytic solutions of scalar-tensor gravity studied thus far exhibit instead a monotonic approach to, or departure from, the GR equilibrium state.

The third term $\frac{\square\psi}{\kappa\psi}$ in the right-hand side of Eq. (2.21) is responsible for the non-monotonic behaviour of \mathcal{KT} and dominates near $\tau = 0$. It is possible for gravity to depart from GR and return to it after a temporary deviation, a behaviour that was not observed before in the literature, which was limited to examples in which $\square\psi = 0$.

IV. A RELATED SOLUTION OF BRANS-DICKE AND $f(R)$ GRAVITY

It is useful to contrast the thermal behaviour of gravity in the Sultana geometry with the one of other solutions in which $\square\psi = 0$. To this end, we choose a recent solution of Brans-Dicke theory closely related to the Sultana spacetime of the previous sections, for which the thermal evolution of gravity has not been discussed.

A family of solutions of Brans-Dicke gravity was generated in Ref. [101] using the conformal transformation from Einstein to Jordan frame and the Sultana-Wyman geometry as a seed, obtaining

$$ds^2 = -\kappa r^2 d\tau^2 + \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{\tau_*}\right)^2 \left(\frac{2dr^2}{1 - 2\Lambda r^2/3} + r^2 d\Omega_{(2)}^2\right), \quad (4.1)$$

$$\psi(\tau) = \frac{\psi_*}{\left(1 - \tau/\tau_*\right)^2}, \quad (4.2)$$

where τ_* and ψ_* are constants related to the initial conditions. The Jordan frame scalar field potential is the simple mass term

$$V(\psi) = \frac{m^2\psi^2}{2}, \quad m^2 = \frac{2\Lambda}{\kappa}. \quad (4.3)$$

This geometry is also a solution of pure R^2 gravity, which is given by the action

$$S_{f(R)} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} f(R) \quad (4.4)$$

where [101]

$$f(R) = \frac{\kappa}{4\Lambda} R^2 \quad (4.5)$$

and the effective scalar field is $\psi = f'(R) = \kappa R/(2\Lambda)$. This theory does not have a Newtonian limit around a flat background [103] (although it admits one around de Sitter backgrounds [104]), but approximates Starobinsky inflation in $f(R) = R + \alpha R^2$ gravity at high curvatures.

Since

$$\nabla^c \psi = \frac{2\psi_* \delta_0^c}{\kappa \tau_* r^2 \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_*} - 1\right)^3} \quad (4.6)$$

and $\nabla^c \psi \nabla_c \psi < 0$, the scalar field gradient is timelike but is future-oriented only for $\tau > \tau_*$, to which range we restrict.

The geometry was analyzed in [101]: the Ricci scalar and Ricci tensor squared are

$$R = \frac{1}{\kappa(1 - \tau/\tau_*)^2} \left(2\Lambda\psi_* - \frac{4\omega}{\tau_*^2 r^2}\right), \quad (4.7)$$

$$R_{ab}R^{ab} = \frac{1}{\kappa\tau_*^4 r^4 (1 - \tau/\tau_*)^4} \left(\frac{9}{\kappa\tau_*^2} - 4 + \frac{8\Lambda r^2}{3} \right) + \frac{\Lambda^2}{\kappa^2\psi_*^2} (1 - \tau/\tau_*)^4. \quad (4.8)$$

Both curvature scalars diverge as $r \rightarrow 0^+$ and as $\tau \rightarrow \tau_*^+$ which locate, respectively, timelike and spacelike space-time singularities. The former is again a naked central singularity in a background created by the cosmological constant, now morphed into the Jordan frame $V(\psi)$. Moreover, $G_{\text{eff}} = 1/\psi \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau \rightarrow \tau_*^+$.

The effective temperature for this Brans–Dicke solution is given by

$$\mathcal{KT} = \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{\kappa}\tau_*r|1 - \tau/\tau_*|} : \quad (4.9)$$

it diverges as $r \rightarrow 0^+$ and as $\tau \rightarrow \tau_*^+$, while it vanishes asymptotically as $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ and is monotonically decreasing in τ -time. This behaviour is not as interesting as that of the Sultana solution (3.4), (3.5) and Eq. (2.21) describing the approach to the GR equilibrium misses the third term on its right-hand side since here $\square\psi = 0$.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In previous literature on the first-order thermodynamics of scalar–tensor gravity [56, 58, 60–64], Eq. (2.21) was always studied in situations in which $\square\psi = 0$ to simplify the analysis. Two key ideas of this formalism emerged in this context: a) spacetime singularities are “hot”; b) the expansion of 3-space “cools” gravity [56, 58, 60–64]. Are these ideas valid only in the limited context $\square\psi = 0$ or are they generic? Thus far, there is no known example in which the third term on the right-hand side of Eq. (2.21), proportional to $\square\psi/\psi$, is non-vanishing and is allowed to play a role. It is interesting to learn how it can affect the evolution of \mathcal{KT} and the Sultana solution (3.4), (3.5) for a conformally coupled scalar field in the Higgs potential (2.37) allowed us to do just that. In this geometry, gravity is asymptotically Einstein gravity in the far past, then deviates from it but only by a finite extent (*i.e.*, by a finite \mathcal{KT}), and returns to GR. This is a new behaviour due to the term $\frac{\square\psi}{\kappa\psi}$: all example solutions previously examined show a monotonic relaxation to GR or departure from it, as exemplified by the Brans–Dicke geometry of Sec. IV.⁴

In the general theory, it is not possible to predict *a priori* the sign of $\frac{\square\psi}{\kappa\psi}$ and, therefore, its cooling or heating effect on gravity. Specifying the functions $V(\psi)$ and $\omega(\psi)$, assuming ∇_c to be timelike, and restricting to $2\omega + 3 > 0$

in order to avoid a phantom field ψ seems to help, for then Eq. (2.3) yields

$$\frac{\square\psi}{\kappa\psi} = \frac{\psi V' - 2V + \omega' |\nabla^c\psi\nabla_c\psi|}{\kappa\psi |2\omega + 3|}, \quad (5.1)$$

where a prime denotes differentiation with respect to ψ and, of course, $\psi > 0$ to ensure that $G_{\text{eff}} > 0$ (we have inserted an absolute value to make explicit the sign of the term containing $\nabla^c\psi\nabla_c\psi < 0$). For given $V(\phi)$ and $\omega(\phi)$ (often, ω is constant), it is possible to predict the sign of $\square\psi/\psi$ but whether this term dominates or not, or whether it vanishes asymptotically cannot be decided *a priori*. To conclude, although the two key ideas of the first-order thermodynamics of scalar–tensor gravity are corroborated, the full range of possible behaviours of gravity is richer.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported, in part, by the Natural Sciences & Engineering Research Council of Canada (grant 2023–03234 to V. F.) and by a Bishop’s University Graduate Entrance Scholarship (N. K.).

Appendix A EFFECTIVE FLUID COMPONENTS

In order to compute the various fluid quantities, it is useful to know that

$$\left(1 - \frac{4\pi\phi^2}{3}\right)^{-1} = \cosh^2(\alpha t), \quad (A.1)$$

$$\square\phi = 0, \quad (A.2)$$

$$\square(\phi^2) = \frac{-2}{\kappa r^2 \cosh^6(\alpha t)}, \quad (A.3)$$

$$\nabla^a\phi\nabla_a\phi = \frac{-1}{\kappa r^2 \cosh^6(\alpha t)}, \quad (A.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_a\nabla_b(\phi^2) &= \delta_a^0\delta_b^0 \frac{[2 - 6\sinh^2(\alpha t)]}{\cosh^4(\alpha t)} \\ &\quad - (\delta_a^0\delta_b^1 + \delta_a^1\delta_b^0) \frac{2\tanh(\alpha t)}{\alpha r \cosh^2(\alpha t)} \\ &\quad - (\delta_a^2\delta_b^2 + \delta_a^3\delta_b^3 \sin^2\theta) \frac{2\tanh^2(\alpha t)}{\kappa \cosh^2(\alpha t)}, \end{aligned} \quad (A.5)$$

$$\nabla^a\phi\nabla^b\phi\nabla_a\nabla_b\phi = \frac{3\alpha\tanh(\alpha t)}{\kappa^2 r^4 \cosh^{10}(\alpha t)} \quad (A.6)$$

$$\nabla^a\phi\nabla^b\phi\nabla_a\nabla_b(\phi^2) = \frac{2[1 - 3\sinh^2(\alpha t)]}{\kappa^2 r^4 \cosh^{12}(\alpha t)}. \quad (A.7)$$

⁴ It can be regarded as a Brans–Dicke cousin of the Sultana solution since, like the latter, it is conformal to the Sultana–Wyman geometry.

The energy density (2.28) for the Sultana metric (2.35) and scalar field (2.36) is computed using Eqs. (A.1), (A.3), (A.4) and (A.7) in Eq. (2.28), which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{(\psi)} &= \cosh^2(\alpha t) \left\{ \frac{\text{sech}^6(\alpha t)}{2\kappa r^2} + \frac{\Lambda \text{sech}^4(\alpha t)}{2\kappa} \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{1}{6} \left[\left(\frac{2-6\sinh^2(\alpha t)}{\kappa^2 r^4 \cosh^{12}(\alpha t)} \right) \left(\frac{-\kappa r^2}{\text{sech}^6(\alpha t)} \right) + \frac{2\text{sech}^6(\alpha t)}{\kappa r^2} \right] \right\} \\ &= \frac{\cosh(2\alpha t) \text{sech}^4(\alpha t)}{2\kappa r^2} + \frac{\Lambda \text{sech}^2(\alpha t)}{2\kappa} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

using $1 + 2\sinh^2 x = \cosh(2x)$.

The isotropic pressure (2.29) of the Sultana solution (2.35), (2.36) is

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(\psi)} &= \cosh^2(\alpha t) \left\{ \frac{\text{sech}^6(\alpha t)}{2\kappa r^2} - \frac{\Lambda \text{sech}^4(\alpha t)}{2\kappa} \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{1}{18} \left[\left(\frac{2-6\sinh^2(\alpha t)}{\kappa^2 r^4 \cosh^{12}(\alpha t)} \right) \left(\frac{-\kappa r^2}{\text{sech}^6(\alpha t)} \right) - \frac{4\text{sech}^6(\alpha t)}{\kappa r^2} \right] \right\} \\ &= \frac{\cosh(2\alpha t) \text{sech}^4(\alpha t)}{6\kappa r^2} - \frac{\Lambda \text{sech}^2(\alpha t)}{2\kappa}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

The viscous pressure for a conformally coupled scalar field can be found by subtracting the isotropic pressure of

a minimally coupled scalar field, $P_{\xi=0}^{(\psi)}$, from the isotropic pressure of a conformally coupled scalar field, $P_{\xi=1/6}^{(\psi)}$, which is equivalent to the result of Eq. (A.9):

$$P_{\text{visc}}^{(\psi)} = P_{\xi=1/6}^{(\psi)} - P_{\xi=0}^{(\psi)} = \frac{\sinh^2(\alpha t) - 1}{3\kappa r^2 \cosh^4(\alpha t)}. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Moving to the heat flux for the conformally coupled scalar field, Eqs. (2.30), (A.1), and (A.4) give

$$q_a^{(\psi)} = \frac{\cosh(\alpha t)}{6\sqrt{\kappa}r} \left[-\nabla_a \nabla_0(\phi^2) + \delta_a^0 \nabla_0 \nabla_0(\phi^2) \right]. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

The expression inside the square brackets of Eq. (A.12) is zero when $a = 0$, as it should be since $q_a^{(\psi)}$ is purely spatial. The second term inside these square brackets is zero for any other value of a , and only when $a = 0, 1$ is the first term non-zero. The use of Eq. (A.5) results in

$$q_a^{(\psi)} = \delta_a^1 \frac{\cosh(\alpha t)}{6\sqrt{\kappa}r} \left[-\nabla_1 \nabla_0(\phi^2) \right] = \frac{2\delta_a^1 \tanh(\alpha t)}{\sqrt{6}\kappa r^2 \cosh(\alpha t)}. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Since the anisotropic stress tensor $\pi_{ab}^{(\psi)}$ is purely spatial, we only compute its spatial components π_{ij} ($i, j = 1, 2, 3$). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{ij} &= \frac{(1-\alpha^2\phi^2)}{-6\nabla^e\phi\nabla_e\phi} \left\{ -\frac{h_{ij}}{3} \nabla^e\phi\nabla_e\phi \left[\square(\phi^2) - \frac{\nabla^c\phi\nabla^d\phi\nabla_c\nabla_d(\phi^2)}{\nabla^e\phi\nabla_e\phi} \right] \right. \\ &+ \left. (\nabla^d\phi\nabla_d\phi) \nabla_i\nabla_j(\phi^2) - \nabla_j\phi\nabla^d\phi\nabla_i\nabla_d(\phi^2) - \nabla_i\phi\nabla^d\phi\nabla_j\nabla_d(\phi^2) + \nabla_i\phi\nabla_j\phi \frac{\nabla^c\phi\nabla^d\phi\nabla_c\nabla_d(\phi^2)}{\nabla^e\phi\nabla_e\phi} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Using the fact that $\nabla_i\phi = 0$ and computing

$$\Gamma_{ij}^0 = \frac{\alpha \tanh(\alpha t)}{\kappa r^2} \left(\frac{2\delta_i^1 \delta_j^1}{1-2\Lambda r^2/3} + \delta_i^2 \delta_j^2 r^2 + \delta_i^3 \delta_j^3 r^2 \sin^2 \vartheta \right), \quad (\text{A.14})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_i\nabla_j(\phi^2) &= 2\phi\nabla_i\nabla_j\phi = -2\phi\Gamma_{ij}^0\dot{\phi} \\ &= -\frac{2\tanh^2(\alpha t)}{\kappa r^2 \cosh^2(\alpha t)} \left(\frac{2\delta_i^1 \delta_j^1}{1-2\Lambda r^2/3} + \delta_i^2 \delta_j^2 r^2 + \delta_i^3 \delta_j^3 r^2 \sin^2 \vartheta \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla^d\phi\nabla_d\phi) \nabla_i\nabla_j(\phi^2) &= \frac{-1}{\kappa r^2 \cosh^6(\alpha t)} \frac{-2\tanh^2(\alpha t)}{\kappa r^2 \cosh^2(\alpha t)} \left(\frac{2\delta_i^1 \delta_j^1}{1-2\Lambda r^2/3} + \delta_i^2 \delta_j^2 r^2 + \delta_i^3 \delta_j^3 r^2 \sin^2 \vartheta \right) \\ &= \frac{2\tanh^2(\alpha t)}{\kappa^2 r^2 \cosh^{10}(\alpha t)} h_{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.16})$$

$$\nabla^d\phi\nabla_i\nabla_d(\phi^2) = \frac{\delta_i^1}{\kappa r^3 \cosh^6(\alpha t)} \quad (\text{A.17})$$

and, putting everything together, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{ij} &= \frac{\kappa r^2 \cosh^6(\alpha t)}{6(1 - \tanh^2(\alpha t))} \left\{ \frac{h_{ij}}{3\kappa r^2 \cosh^6(\alpha t)} \left[\frac{-2}{\kappa r^2 \cosh^2(\alpha t)} + \frac{2(1 - 3 \sinh^2(\alpha t))}{\kappa r^2 \cosh^6(\alpha t)} \right] + \frac{2 \tanh^2(\alpha t)}{\kappa^2 r^4 \cosh^{10}(\alpha t)} h_{ij} \right\} \\ &= \frac{\kappa r^2 \cosh^8(\alpha t)}{6} \left[\frac{-2 \tanh^2(\alpha t)}{\kappa^2 r^4 \cosh^{10}(\alpha t)} h_{ij} + \frac{2 \tanh^2(\alpha t)}{\kappa^2 r^4 \cosh^{10}(\alpha t)} \right] = 0.\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A.18})$$

The shear tensor is [56]

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{ab} &= (-\nabla^e \phi \nabla_e \phi)^{-3/2} \left[-(\nabla^e \phi \nabla_e \phi) \nabla_a \nabla_b \phi - \frac{1}{3} (\nabla_a \phi \nabla_b \phi - g_{ab} \nabla^c \phi \nabla_c \phi) \square \phi \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{3} \left(g_{ab} + \frac{2 \nabla_a \phi \nabla_b \phi}{\nabla^e \phi \nabla_e \phi} \right) \nabla_c \nabla_d \phi \nabla^d \phi \nabla^c \phi + (\nabla_a \phi \nabla_c \nabla_b \phi + \nabla_b \phi \nabla_c \nabla_a \phi) \nabla^c \phi \right].\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A.19})$$

Since σ_{ab} is purely spatial, one only needs to compute the spatial components, which straightforwardly gives $\sigma_{ab} = 0$. Using now the four-velocity and Eq. (A.4),

the expansion scalar (2.22) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta &= \nabla_a \left(\frac{\nabla^a \phi}{\sqrt{-\nabla^b \phi \nabla_b \phi}} \right) \\ &= \partial_a \left(\frac{\delta_0^a \operatorname{sech}(\alpha t)}{\sqrt{\kappa} r} \right) + \Gamma_{ab}^a \left(\frac{\delta_0^b \operatorname{sech}(\alpha t)}{\sqrt{\kappa} r} \right) \\ &= \frac{3 \tanh(\alpha t)}{\sqrt{6} r \cosh(\alpha t)}.\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A.20})$$

-
- [1] C. M. Will, *Theory and Experiment In Gravitational Physics*, second edition (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2018).
 - [2] C. M. Will, “The Confrontation between General Relativity and Experiment,” *Living Rev. Rel.* **17**, 4 (2014) doi:10.12942/lrr-2014-4 [arXiv:1403.7377 [gr-qc]].
 - [3] E. Berti, E. Barausse, V. Cardoso, L. Gualtieri, P. Pani, U. Sperhake, L. C. Stein, N. Wex, K. Yagi and T. Baker, *et al.* “Testing General Relativity with Present and Future Astrophysical Observations,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **32**, 243001 (2015) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/32/24/243001 [arXiv:1501.07274 [gr-qc]].
 - [4] T. Baker, D. Psaltis and C. Skordis, “Linking Tests of Gravity On All Scales: from the Strong-Field Regime to Cosmology,” *Astrophys. J.* **802**, 63 (2015) doi:10.1088/0004-637X/802/1/63 [arXiv:1412.3455 [astro-ph.CO]].
 - [5] T. Clifton, P. G. Ferreira, A. Padilla and C. Skordis, “Modified Gravity and Cosmology,” *Phys. Rept.* **513**, 1-189 (2012) doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2012.01.001 [arXiv:1106.2476 [astro-ph.CO]].
 - [6] L. Heisenberg, “A systematic approach to generalisations of General Relativity and their cosmological implications,” *Phys. Rept.* **796**, 1-113 (2019) doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2018.11.006 [arXiv:1807.01725 [gr-qc]].
 - [7] L. Heisenberg, “Scalar-Vector-Tensor Gravity Theories,” *JCAP* **10**, 054 (2018) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2018/10/054 [arXiv:1801.01523 [gr-qc]].
 - [8] E. N. Saridakis *et al.* [CANTATA], “Modified Gravity and Cosmology: An Update by the CANTATA Network,” [arXiv:2105.12582 [gr-qc]].
 - [9] K. S. Stelle, “Renormalization of Higher Derivative Quantum Gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **16**, 953-969 (1977) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.16.953
 - [10] K. S. Stelle, “Classical Gravity with Higher Derivatives,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **9**, 353-371 (1978) doi:10.1007/BF00760427
 - [11] C. G. Callan, Jr., E. J. Martinec, M. J. Perry and D. Friedan, “Strings in Background Fields,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **262**, 593-609 (1985) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(85)90506-1
 - [12] E. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin, “Quantum String Theory Effective Action,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **261**, 1-27 (1985) [erratum: *Nucl. Phys. B* **269**, 745-745 (1986)] doi:10.1016/0550-3213(85)90559-0

- [13] L. Verde, T. Treu and A. G. Riess, “Tensions between the Early and the Late Universe,” *Nature Astron.* **3**, 891 doi:10.1038/s41550-019-0902-0 [arXiv:1907.10625 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [14] E. Di Valentino, O. Mena, S. Pan, L. Visinelli, W. Yang, A. Melchiorri, D. F. Mota, A. G. Riess and J. Silk, “In the realm of the Hubble tension—a review of solutions,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **38**, no.15, 153001 (2021) doi:10.1088/1361-6382/ac086d [arXiv:2103.01183 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [15] L. Amendola and S. Tsujikawa, *Dark Energy, Theory and Observations* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010).
- [16] S. Capozziello, S. Carloni and A. Troisi, “Quintessence without scalar fields,” *Recent Res. Dev. Astron. Astrophys.* **1**, 625 (2003) [arXiv:astro-ph/0303041 [astro-ph]].
- [17] S. M. Carroll, V. Duvvuri, M. Trodden and M. S. Turner, “Is cosmic speed - up due to new gravitational physics?,” *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 043528 (2004) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.70.043528 [arXiv:astro-ph/0306438 [astro-ph]].
- [18] T. P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, “ $f(R)$ Theories of Gravity,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 451-497 (2010) doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.82.451 [arXiv:0805.1726 [gr-qc]].
- [19] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, “ $f(R)$ theories,” *Living Rev. Rel.* **13**, 3 (2010) doi:10.12942/lrr-2010-3 [arXiv:1002.4928 [gr-qc]].
- [20] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, “Unified cosmic history in modified gravity: from F(R) theory to Lorentz non-invariant models,” *Phys. Rept.* **505**, 59-144 (2011) doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2011.04.001 [arXiv:1011.0544 [gr-qc]].
- [21] A. A. Starobinsky, “A New Type of Isotropic Cosmological Models Without Singularity,” *Phys. Lett. B* **91**, 99-102 (1980) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(80)90670-X
- [22] C. L. Bennett *et al.* (WMAP Collaboration), “Nine-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Final Maps and Results”, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **208** (2013) 20.
- [23] C. Brans and R. H. Dicke, “Mach’s principle and a relativistic theory of gravitation”, *Phys. Rev.* **124**, 925-935 (1961) doi:10.1103/PhysRev.124.925.
- [24] P. G. Bergmann, “Comments on the scalar tensor theory”, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **1**, 25-36 (1968) doi:10.1007/BF00668828.
- [25] K. Nordtvedt, “Equivalence Principle for Massive Bodies. 2. Theory”, *Phys. Rev.* **169**, 1017-1025 (1968) doi:10.1103/PhysRev.169.1017.
- [26] R. V. Wagoner, “Scalar tensor theory and gravitational waves”, *Phys. Rev. D* **1**, 3209-3216 (1970) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.1.3209.
- [27] K. Nordtvedt, Jr., “PostNewtonian metric for a general class of scalar tensor gravitational theories and observational consequences”, *Astrophys. J.* **161**, 1059-1067 (1970) doi:10.1086/150607.
- [28] G. W. Horndeski, “Second-order scalar-tensor field equations in a four-dimensional space”, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **10**, 363 (1974), doi:10.1007/BF01807638.
- [29] C. Deffayet, G. Esposito-Farèse and A. Vikman, “Covariant Galileon”, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 084003 (2009) arXiv:0901.1314.
- [30] C. Deffayet, S. Deser and G. Esposito-Farèse, “Generalized Galileons: All scalar models whose curved background extensions maintain second-order field equations and stress-tensors”, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 064015 (2009), arXiv:0906.1967.
- [31] C. Deffayet, X. Gao, D. A. Steer and G. Zahariade, “From k-essence to generalised Galileons”, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 064039 (2011), arXiv:1103.3260.
- [32] J. Gleyzes, D. Langlois, F. Piazza and F. Vernizzi, “Healthy theories beyond Horndeski”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, no. 21, 211101 (2015) arXiv:1404.6495.
- [33] J. Gleyzes, D. Langlois, F. Piazza and F. Vernizzi, “Exploring gravitational theories beyond Horndeski”, *JCAP* **1502**, 018 (2015) arXiv:1408.1952.
- [34] D. Langlois and K. Noui, “Degenerate higher derivative theories beyond Horndeski: evading the Ostrogradski instability”, *JCAP* **1602**, no. 02, 034 (2016) arXiv:1510.06930.
- [35] D. Langlois and K. Noui, “Hamiltonian analysis of higher derivative scalar-tensor theories”, *JCAP* **1607**, no. 07, 016 (2016) arXiv:1512.06820.
- [36] J. Ben Achour, D. Langlois and K. Noui, “Degenerate higher order scalar-tensor theories beyond Horndeski and disformal transformations”, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, no. 12, 124005 (2016) arXiv:1602.08398.
- [37] M. Crisostomi, K. Koyama and G. Tasinato, “Extended Scalar-Tensor Theories of Gravity”, *JCAP* **1604**, no. 04, 044 (2016) arXiv:1602.03119.
- [38] H. Motohashi, K. Noui, T. Suyama, M. Yamaguchi and D. Langlois, “Healthy degenerate theories with higher derivatives”, *JCAP* **1607**, no. 07, 033 (2016) arXiv:1603.09355.
- [39] J. Ben Achour, M. Crisostomi, K. Koyama, D. Langlois, K. Noui and G. Tasinato, “Degenerate higher order scalar-tensor theories beyond Horndeski up to cubic order”, *JHEP* **1612**, 100 (2016) arXiv:1608.08135.
- [40] M. Crisostomi, R. Klein and D. Roest, “Higher Derivative Field Theories: Degeneracy Conditions and Classes”, *JHEP* **1706**, 124 (2017) arXiv:1703.01623.
- [41] D. Langlois, “Dark energy and modified gravity in degenerate higher-order scalar-tensor (DHOST) theories: A review”, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **28**, no. 05, 1942006 (2019) arXiv:1811.06271.
- [42] D. Langlois, “Degenerate Higher-Order Scalar-Tensor (DHOST) theories”, arXiv:1707.03625.
- [43] P. Creminelli, M. Lewandowski, G. Tambalo and F. Vernizzi, “Gravitational Wave Decay into Dark Energy”, *JCAP* **1812**, no. 12, 025 (2018) arXiv:1809.03484.
- [44] D. Langlois, R. Saito, D. Yamauchi and K. Noui, “Scalar-tensor theories and modified gravity in the wake of GW170817”, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, no. 6, 061501 (2018) arXiv:1711.07403.
- [45] T. Padmanabhan, “Emergent gravity and dark energy”, [arXiv:0802.1798 [gr-qc]].
- [46] T. Padmanabhan, “Thermodynamical Aspects of Gravity: New insights,” *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **73**, 046901 (2010) doi:10.1088/0034-4885/73/4/046901 [arXiv:0911.5004 [gr-qc]].
- [47] B. L. Hu, “Emergent/Quantum Gravity: Macro/Micro Structures of Spacetime,” *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **174**, 012015 (2009) doi:10.1088/1742-6596/174/1/012015 [arXiv:0903.0878 [gr-qc]].
- [48] E. P. Verlinde, “On the Origin of Gravity and the Laws of Newton,” *JHEP* **04**, 029 (2011) doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2011)029 [arXiv:1001.0785 [hep-

- th]].
- [49] S. Carlip, “Challenges for Emergent Gravity,” *Stud. Hist. Phil. Sci. B* **46**, 200-208 (2014) doi:10.1016/j.shpsb.2012.11.002 [arXiv:1207.2504 [gr-qc]].
- [50] A. Giusti, “On the corpuscular theory of gravity,” *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **16**, no.03, 1930001 (2019) doi:10.1142/S0219887819300010
- [51] T. Jacobson, “Thermodynamics of space-time: The Einstein equation of state,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75** (1995) 1260, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.1260 [arXiv:gr-qc/9504004 [gr-qc]].
- [52] C. Eling, R. Guedens, and T. Jacobson, “Non-equilibrium thermodynamics of spacetime,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** (2006) 121301, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.121301 [arXiv:gr-qc/0602001 [gr-qc]].
- [53] G. Chirco, C. Eling and S. Liberati, “Reversible and Irreversible Spacetime Thermodynamics for General Brans-Dicke Theories,” *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011), 024032, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.83.024032 [arXiv:1011.1405 [gr-qc]].
- [54] M. S. Madsen, “Scalar Fields in Curved Space-times,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **5**, 627-639 (1988) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/5/4/010
- [55] L. O. Pimentel, “Energy Momentum Tensor in the General Scalar-Tensor Theory,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **6**, L263-L265 (1989) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/6/12/005
- [56] V. Faraoni and J. Côté, “Imperfect fluid description of modified gravities,” *Phys. Rev. D* **98** no. 8, 084019 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.98.084019 [arXiv:1808.02427 [gr-qc]].
- [57] U. Nucamendi, R. De Arcia, T. Gonzalez, F. A. Horta-Rangel and I. Quiros, “Equivalence between Horndeski and beyond Horndeski theories and imperfect fluids,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) no.8, 084054, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.084054 [arXiv:1910.13026 [gr-qc]].
- [58] A. Giusti, S. Zentarra, L. Heisenberg and V. Faraoni, “First-order thermodynamics of Horndeski gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, no.12, 124011 (2022) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.124011 [arXiv:2108.10706 [gr-qc]].
- [59] C. Eckart, “The thermodynamics of irreversible processes. 3. Relativistic theory of the simple fluid,” *Phys. Rev.* **58** (1940), 919-924 doi:10.1103/PhysRev.58.919
- [60] V. Faraoni and A. Giusti, “Thermodynamics of scalar-tensor gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, no.12, L121501 (2021) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.L121501 [arXiv:2103.05389 [gr-qc]].
- [61] V. Faraoni, A. Giusti and A. Mentrelli, “New approach to the thermodynamics of scalar-tensor gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, no.12, 124031 (2021) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.104.124031 [arXiv:2110.02368 [gr-qc]].
- [62] A. Giusti, S. Giardino and V. Faraoni, “Past-directed scalar field gradients and scalar-tensor thermodynamics,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **55**, no.3, 47 (2023) doi:10.1007/s10714-023-03095-7 [arXiv:2210.15348 [gr-qc]].
- [63] V. Faraoni and J. Houle, “More on the first-order thermodynamics of scalar-tensor and Horndeski gravity,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83**, no.6, 521 (2023) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-11712-7 [arXiv:2302.01442 [gr-qc]].
- [64] M. Miranda, D. Vernieri, S. Capozziello and V. Faraoni, “Fluid nature constrains Horndeski gravity,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **55**, no.7, 84 (2023) doi:10.1007/s10714-023-03128-1 [arXiv:2209.02727 [gr-qc]].
- [65] S. Giardino, V. Faraoni and A. Giusti, “First-order thermodynamics of scalar-tensor cosmology,” *JCAP* **04**, no.04, 053 (2022) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2022/04/053 [arXiv:2202.07393 [gr-qc]].
- [66] V. Faraoni, S. Giardino, A. Giusti and R. Vanderwee, “Scalar field as a perfect fluid: thermodynamics of minimally coupled scalars and Einstein frame scalar-tensor gravity,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83**, no.1, 24 (2023) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-11186-7 [arXiv:2208.04051 [gr-qc]].
- [67] M. Miranda, P. A. Graham and V. Faraoni, “Effective fluid mixture of tensor-multi-scalar gravity,” *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **138**, no.5, 387 (2023) doi:10.1140/epjp/s13360-023-03984-5 [arXiv:2211.03958 [gr-qc]].
- [68] V. Faraoni, A. Giusti, S. Jose and S. Giardino, “Peculiar thermal states in the first-order thermodynamics of gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, no.2, 024049 (2022) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.106.024049 [arXiv:2206.02046 [gr-qc]].
- [69] V. Faraoni and T. B. Françonnet, “Stealth metastable state of scalar-tensor thermodynamics,” *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, no.10, 104006 (2022) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.104006 [arXiv:2203.14934 [gr-qc]].
- [70] S. Giardino, A. Giusti and V. Faraoni, “Thermal stability of stealth and de Sitter spacetimes in scalar-tensor gravity,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83**, no.7, 621 (2023) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-11697-3 [arXiv:2302.08550 [gr-qc]].
- [71] V. Faraoni, P. A. Graham and A. Leblanc, “Critical solutions of nonminimally coupled scalar field theory and first-order thermodynamics of gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, no.8, 084008 (2022) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.106.084008 [arXiv:2207.03841 [gr-qc]].
- [72] J. Sultana, “Generating time dependent conformally coupled Einstein-scalar solutions,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **47**, no.7, 73 (2015) doi:10.1007/s10714-015-1916-2
- [73] M. Wyman, “Static spherically symmetric scalar fields in general relativity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **24**, 839 (1981).
- [74] R. M. Wald, *General Relativity* (Chicago University Press, Chicago, 1984).
- [75] N. A. Chernikov and E. A. Tagirov, “Quantum theory of scalar field in de Sitter space”, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré A* **9**, 109–141 (1968).
- [76] C. G. Callan, Jr., S. R. Coleman and R. Jackiw, “A New improved energy - momentum tensor,” *Annals Phys.* **59**, 42-73 (1970) doi:10.1016/0003-4916(70)90394-5
- [77] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984) doi:10.1017/CBO9780511622632
- [78] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, “Conformal Symmetry Breaking and Cosmological Particle Creation in $\lambda\phi^4$ Theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **22**, 322 (1980) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.22.322
- [79] B. L. Nelson and P. Panangaden, “Scaling behaviour of interacting quantum fields in curved spacetime”, *Phys. Rev. D* **25**, 1019-1027 (1982) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.25.1019

- [80] L. H. Ford and D. J. Toms, “Dynamical Symmetry Breaking Due to Radiative Corrections in Cosmology,” *Phys. Rev. D* **25**, 1510 (1982) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.25.1510
- [81] L. Parker and D. J. Toms, “Renormalization Group Analysis of Grand Unified Theories in Curved Space-time,” *Phys. Rev. D* **29**, 1584 (1984) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.29.1584
- [82] S. Sonego and V. Faraoni, “Coupling to the curvature for a scalar field from the equivalence principle,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **10**, 1185-1187 (1993) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/10/6/015
- [83] T. Muta and S. D. Odintsov, “Model dependence of the nonminimal scalar graviton effective coupling constant in curved space-time,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **06**, 3641-3646 (1991) doi:10.1142/S0217732391004206
- [84] I. L. Buchbinder and S. D. Odintsov, “Asymptotical conformal invariance in curved space-time,” *Lett. Nuovo Cim.* **42**, 379-381 (1985) doi:10.1007/BF02747058
- [85] I. L. Buchbinder, “Quantum Field Theory Renormalization in Curved Space-time and Renormalization Group Equations,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **34**, 605-628 (1986) doi:10.1002/prop.19860340902
- [86] E. Elizalde and S. D. Odintsov, “Renormalization group improved effective potential for finite grand unified theories in curved space-time,” *Phys. Lett. B* **333**, 331-336 (1994) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(94)90151-1 [arXiv:hep-th/9403132 [hep-th]].
- [87] I. Buchbinder, S.O. Odintsov and I. Shapiro, *Effective Action in Quantum Gravity* (IOP Publishing, Bristol, 1992).
- [88] I.L. Buchbinder, S.D. Odintsov and I.L. Shapiro, in *Group-Theoretical Methods in Physics*, M. Markov ed. (Nauka, Moscow, 1986).
- [89] S. D. Odintsov, “Renormalization Group, Effective Action and Grand Unification Theories in Curved Space-time,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **39**, 621-641 (1991) Print-90-0237 (TOMSK).
- [90] J. Ibañez and J. L. Sanz, “New exact static solutions to Einstein’s equations for spherically symmetric perfect fluid distributions”, *J. Math. Phys.* **23**, 1364–1365 (1982).
- [91] H. A. Buchdahl and W. Land, “The relativistic incompressible sphere”, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* 8(1) (1968), 6 doi: 10.1017/S1446788700004559.
- [92] M. S. R. Delgaty and K. Lake, “Physical acceptability of isolated, static, spherically symmetric, perfect fluid solutions of Einstein’s equations,” *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **115**, 395-415 (1998) doi:10.1016/S0010-4655(98)00130-1 [arXiv:gr-qc/9809013 [gr-qc]].
- [93] R. C. Tolman, “Static solutions of Einstein’s field equations for spheres of fluid”, *Phys. Rev.* **55**, 364 (1939).
- [94] H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M. MacCallum, C. Hoense-laers, E. Herlt, *Exact Solutions of the Einstein Field Equations* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003).
- [95] V. Faraoni, S. Jose and A. Leblanc, “Curious case of the Buchdahl-Land-Sultana-Wyman-Ibañez-Sanz spacetime,” *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, no.2, 024030 (2022) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.024030 [arXiv:2110.11289 [gr-qc]].
- [96] I. Z. Fisher, “Scalar mesostatic field with regard for gravitational effects,” *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **18**, 636-640 (1948) [arXiv:gr-qc/9911008 [gr-qc]].
- [97] H. A. Buchdahl, “Static solutions of the Brans-Dicke equations”, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **6**, 407 (1972).
- [98] O. Bergmann and R. Leipnik, “Space-time structure of a static spherically symmetric scalar field”, *Phys. Rev.* **107**, 1157 (1957).
- [99] A. I. Janis, E. T. Newman, and J. Winicour, “Reality of the Schwarzschild Singularity”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **20**, 878 (1968).
- [100] V. Faraoni, A. Giusti and B. H. Fahim, “Spherical inhomogeneous solutions of Einstein and scalar–tensor gravity: A map of the land,” *Phys. Rept.* **925**, 1-58 (2021) doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2021.04.003 [arXiv:2101.00266 [gr-qc]].
- [101] A. Banijamali, B. Fazlpour and V. Faraoni, “Wyman’s other scalar field solution, Sultana’s generalization, and their Brans-Dicke and R^2 relatives,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, no.6, 064017 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.064017 [arXiv:1905.07023 [gr-qc]].
- [102] V. Faraoni, *Cosmological and Black Hole Apparent Horizons*, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **907** (Springer, New York, 2015) doi:10.1007/978-3-319-19240-6
- [103] E. Pechlaner and R. Sexl, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **2**, 165 (1966).
- [104] H. K. Nguyen, “Emerging Newtonian potential in pure R^2 gravity on a de Sitter background,” *JHEP* **08**, 127 (2023) doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2023)127 [arXiv:2306.03790 [gr-qc]].