# Protected Weyl semimetals within 2D chiral classes

Faruk Abdulla $^{1,2}$ 

<sup>1</sup>Harish-Chandra Research Institute, A CI of Homi Bhabha National Institute,

Chhatnag Road, Jhunsi, Prayagraj (Allahabad) 211019, India and

<sup>2</sup>Physics Department, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel

Weyl semimetals in three dimensions can exist independently of any symmetry apart from translations. Conversely, in two dimensions, Weyl semimetals are believed to require additional symmetries including crystalline symmetries to exist. In this study, we demonstrate that a 2D Weyl semimetal phase can exist in systems with Hamiltonians possessing internal symmetries, such as time reversal  $\mathcal{T}$ , charge conjugation  $\mathcal{C}$ , and their combined chiral symmetry  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{CT}$ , only. Starting from a minimal-dimension Dirac Hamiltonian, we establish the presence of a stable Weyl semimetal phase in each of the five chiral classes: AIII, BDI, CII, DIII, and CI in two dimension. Similar to 3D Weyl semimetals where Weyl points possess nontrivial topological charges (Chern number), the Weyl points in WSMs within the 2D chiral classes are characterized by the Z winding numbers. In accordance with the bulk-boundary correspondence, protected Fermi arc edge states emerge, connecting the projections of Weyl points that carry opposite topological charges. Unlike the surface states in 3D WSMs, the edge states of WSMs within 2D chiral classes are completely dispersionless and are always at the zero energy due to the protecting chiral symmetry.

# I. INTRODUCTION

In the recent decades, three dimensional topological Weyl semimetals (WSMs) [1-9] have gone through an intensive studies owing to their many exotic properties, such as chiral anomaly [10–13], negative magneto resistance [14–20], quantum oscillation and topologically protected Fermi arc surface states [21–24]. In 3D WSMs, non degenerate valence and conduction bands touch at a finite number of isolated points in 3D Brillouin zone (BZ), in such a way that the low energy dispersion around each of the touching points is linear in every direction. Thus, when the chemical potential is pinned at the Weyl point (WP), the low energy physics in 3D WSMs are governed by the relativistic massless Weyl fermions. The WSM state is the most robust topological gapless phase in three dimensions because it does not require any symmetry (except lattice translation) for the protection of the Weyl points.

Considering the novelty of the WSM state and the exotic phenomena it exhibits in three dimensions, it is natural to ask whether WPs can occur in two dimensional materials also. Let us first clarify the usage of the terms WPs and WSMs state in two-dimensional systems. Just as in three-dimensional WSMs, two-dimensional systems can exhibit instances where the nondegenerate valence and conduction bands come into contact at isolated points within the two-dimensional BZ. Around these specific points of contact, the energy dispersion is linear in all directions. These touching points within two-dimensional systems, akin to the WPs found in three-dimensional WSMs, exhibit analogous energy dispersion characteristics and possess nontrivial topological charges that safeguard their existence. Consequently, these touching points are also termed WPs, and materials hosting them are referred to as 2D WSMs [25-37]. A Dirac semimetal in 2D, where doubly degenerate valence and conduction bands touch linearly at isolated points

(called Dirac points) in 2D BZ, is distinguished from a 2D WSM by the degeneracy of the touching point.

The presence of nontrivial topology in the band structure of gapless semimetals and metals can be understood by enclosing the band touching point(s) in a lower dimensional manifold within the BZ. By construction the Hamiltonian, which is restricted on the enclosing manifold is always gapped, describes a lower dimensional insulators. Thus, the topological categorization of band touching phenomena in metals and semimetals simplifies to the classification of topological insulators found on the lower-dimensional enclosed manifold [38-42]. For instance, in the context of 3D Weyl semimetals, a WP is surrounded by a two-dimensional surface, and the Hamiltonian confined to this surface characterizes Chern insulator. Therefore, the WPs in 3D WSMs carry a nonzero Chern numbers which protect them from gap opening when subjected to an arbitrary but small perturbation.

Unlike 3D WSM, the reduced dimension believed to require additional symmetries, including crystalline symmetries, to protect a WP in two dimensional materials. Previous theoretical investigations find existence of 2D WSM state in a square lattice with non zero phase in the hopping where WPs are protected by an antiunitary composite symmetry which is a combination of a translation and sublattice symmetry [25, 26], WPs protected by space-time inversion [30, 36, 43], WPs protected by timereversal, two fold rotation and nonsymmorphic symmetries [27], mirror symmetry [31], 2D WPs induced by non-Abelian gauge field [32], WPs protected by two fold as well as three fold crystalline rotational symmetry [35, 37]. Recently, a set of 2D materials, namely PtCl<sub>3</sub>, MnNBr,  $Cr_2C$ , NiCS<sub>3</sub>, CrN, Mn<sub>2</sub>NT<sub>2</sub>, and NpAs, VI<sub>3</sub> [35, 44–50], have been proposed as candidates for WSMs in two dimensions. Since these WSMs in 2D require some form of crystalline symmetry, the edge states at the boundary are in general not protected. Thus identifying 2D WSMs by employing ARPES measurement like in 3D WSM [6-8],

poses a challenge to the experimentalist.

As we discuss in Sec. II, chiral symmetry S, which anticommutes with the Bloch Hamiltonian  $SH(\mathbf{k})S^{-1} =$  $-H(\mathbf{k})$  for all  $\mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y)$ , is crucial to have WPs protected by internal symmetries in two dimensions. By internal symmetries, we mean time-reversal  $\mathcal{T}$ , particlehole C and their product, the chirality  $S = \mathcal{TC}$  [38] only. In what follows, other symmetries such as crystalline symmetries involving space, spin-rotation symmetry are irrelevant in our classification. Since our classification involves only internal symmetries, we focus on the Altland-Zirnbauer (AZ) symmetry class. There are five such AZ symmetry classes : AIII ( $\mathcal{T}^2 = \mathcal{C}^2 = 0$ ), BDI ( $\mathcal{T}^2 = \mathcal{C}^2 = 1$ ), CII ( $\mathcal{T}^2 = \mathcal{C}^2 = -1$ ), CI ( $\mathcal{T}^2 = -\mathcal{C}^2 = 1$ ) and DIII ( $\mathcal{T}^2 = -\mathcal{C}^2 = -1$ ) which have chiral symmetry.

It is known for decades that the transition from a 3D topological insulator to a trivial insulator, within non chiral classes, passes through an intermediate gapless phase which is a topological WSM in three dimensions. Murakami [1] and Burkov-Hook-Balents [4] demonstrated that the generic Hamiltonian in class AII in 3D possesses a stable gapless phase (as an intermediate phase between topological and trivial insulating states) which is generically a topological WSM. Recently, the authors in the Refs. [51, 52] have shown that the generic Hamiltonian within the 3D chiral classes AIII, DIII, CI and CI also possesses a stable gapless phase which is generically a topological *nodal line* semimetal in three dimensions. Interestingly, the nodal line semimetal state which appears as an intermediate gapless phase between topological and trivial insulating states does not require any crystal symmetry for protection.

Previous studies assumed the necessity for the class to have a nontrivial topological insulator phase in three dimensions, in order to have a stable gapless phase as an intermediate state in the transition from topological to trivial insulating states. However, this is not a necessary condition. A general Hamiltonian within a given class in a given dimension, which has trivial topology in that dimension, can still possesses a stable gapless phase with nontrivial topology. Here we focus on the chiral classes in two dimensions.

In two dimensions, Hamiltonian in the chiral classes (excluding DIII) has trivial topology [38]. In this work, first, we show that the generic Hamiltonian in any of the five AZ chiral class in two dimensions possesses a robust semimetal phase. By generic Hamiltonian, we mean that all coupling terms allowed within a given symmetry class are present in the Hamiltonian. Second, as a consequence of the first, we show that this gapless state, which is a WSM in two dimensions, does not require any crystalline symmetry or spin rotation symmetry for the protection of the Weyl points. In fact, we find that the WSM is the only stable semimetal phase within the 2D chiral classes. Third, we show that the WSMs, which we have uncovered within the chiral classes, are topologically nontrivial because the WPs carry a nontrivial Z topological charge

which is the topological winding number

$$W = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C d\mathbf{k} \cdot \operatorname{Tr} \left( Q^{-1} \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} Q \right), \qquad (1)$$

where C is an enclosing loop surrounding a WP in the 2D BZ. Hamiltonian in a chiral class can always be brought to block off-diagonal form and the matrix  $Q(\mathbf{k})$  in Eq. 1 is the upper right block. Because the WPs carry a non-trivial topological charge (an 1D invariant), they cannot be removed immediately by adding perturbations to the Hamiltonian in a given chiral symmetry class.

We verify our claims by writing down the generic, minimal dimension Hamiltonian (Dirac Hamiltonian with all the possible terms allowed in the symmetry class) in every chiral class. We solve the Hamiltonian for gapless solutions to show explicitly that there always exist a stable semimetal phase which is a topological WSM in twodimension. We verify its topological protection by computing the topological charge W of the WPs using Eq. 1. The WPs always come in pair with opposite topological charges  $W = \pm 1$ . When translation and the chiral symmetry preserved, the only way to destroy a WP is to bring it close to another WP of opposite topological charge to gap them out pairwise.

By the bulk boundary correspondence, there exists topologically protected zero energy edge states at the boundary. Like the Fermi arc surface states in 3D WSMs, the zero energy edge states (Fermi arcs edge states) in 2D WSMs always connect a pair of WPs which carry opposite topological charges (an example in Fig. 2). However there is an important distinction between the surface states of a 3D WSMs and the edge states of a 2D WSMs: For WSMs in 3D, the surface states are dispersionless along one direction but disperse linearly along the transverse direction, whereas the edge states of WSMs in 2D are completely dispersionless due to the reduced dimensionality.

The fact that the Hamiltonian in classes BDI, CII, CI and DIII has antiunitary time-reversal and particle-hole symmetry, puts a restriction on the minimum number of WPs which can appear in the theory. Similar to WSMs in 3D, WPs of 2D WSMs always come in pair so that net topological charge remains zero. The number of WPs in a WSM which belongs to BDI and CII ( $\mathcal{T}^2 = \mathcal{C}^2$ ) is always a multiple of two, whereas in class CI and DIII ( $\mathcal{T}^2 = -\mathcal{C}^2$ ) the number of WPs must be a multiple of four.

The plan of the paper is as follows: In Sec. II, we briefly review band touching in a generic two bands model to illustrate how WPs can occur in two dimensions when chiral symmetry is imposed. Then in Sec. III, we set up and fully explore a minimal model with a four by four Hamiltonian in every chiral classes and show how a generic gapless phase in a given chiral class can be obtained. We write down lattice models in Sec. IV to demonstrate the Fermi arc edge states associated with the WPs of WSM in two dimensions. We discuss our findings and conclude in Sec. V. Technical details

regarding the transformation of the winding invariant Wunder time-reversal can be found in the Appendix A.

# II. WEYL TOUCHING IN A GENERIC TWO BANDS MODEL

Since Weyl touching, either in 2D or 3D, involves only two non degenerate bands, one can consider a generic two bands model,

$$H(\mathbf{k}) = f_1(\mathbf{k})\sigma_x + f_2(\mathbf{k})\sigma_y + f_3(\mathbf{k})\sigma_z, \qquad (2)$$

to see whether a robust Weyl touching is possible between the two bands. We have ignored the identity term  $f_0(\mathbf{k})\sigma_0$  because it is not relevant to our analysis below. The  $\sigma$ 's in Eq. 2 are the two by two Pauli matrices. For touching between the two bands, all the three function  $f_i(\mathbf{k}), i = (1, 2, 3)$ , must vanish simultaneously for some  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_0$ . This is always possible in 3D because one has three variables  $\mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y, k_z)$  to tune to make the three function  $f_i(\mathbf{k})$  vanish simultaneouesly. If there is no additional constraint on  $f_i(\mathbf{k})$  due to some crystalline symmetry, the touching at  $\mathbf{k}_0$  will be in general linear in every direction. Therefore Weyl points in 3D appear without any symmetry requirement except translation. Clearly, any small perturbation  $\delta f_i(\mathbf{k})\sigma_i$  cannot remove the touching point immediately, but can shift the touching point in the k-space. Topological nature of the WP at  $\mathbf{k}_0$  is revealed by considering a 2D enclosing surface surrounding the WP in the 3D BZ. For a generic enclosing 2D surface, the Hamiltonian restricted on it belongs to class A and a 2D Hamiltonian in this class has nontrivial topology which is characterized by the Chern number [53]

$$C = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S} d\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{F},\tag{3}$$

where S is a 2D enclosing surface within the 3D BZ and  $\mathbf{F} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$  is the Berry curvature. So WPs in three dimensions carry nonzero Chern numbers which provide them topological protection against gap opening.

Coming to the band touching in 2D, we see that it is in general not possible to make three function vanish by tuning only two variables  $\mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y)$ . However, if one imposes appropriate symmetries on  $H(\mathbf{k})$ , then one can obtain robust touching protected by those symmetries. As it was shown by the earlier works, some form of crystalline symmetry (sometimes more than one) may stabilize a Weyl point in 2D. Here comes our crucial observation: if chiral symmetry is imposed on  $H(\mathbf{k})$ , then one of the  $f_i(\mathbf{k})$  must vanish identically for all  $\mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y)$ . Suppose, we represent the chiral symmetry operation by  $S = \sigma_z$ , then the generic two bands model can have only two terms in the Bloch-Hamiltonian

$$H(\mathbf{k}) = f_1(\mathbf{k})\sigma_x + f_2(\mathbf{k})\sigma_2.$$
(4)

For band touching, the two function  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  must vanish simultaneously for some  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_0$ . And this is always possible in 2D because one has two variables  $\mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y)$ to tune to make the two function  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  vanish. Clearly, any small perturbation  $\delta h = \delta f_1(\mathbf{k})\sigma_x + \delta f_2(\mathbf{k})\sigma_y$  cannot remove the touching point immediately but shifts it in the 2D k-space. So in 2D, WPs are generically obtained in a chiral symmetric two bands model without requirement of any crystalline symmetry. Topological nature of the WP at  $\mathbf{k}_0$  is revealed by considering a 1D enclosing loop surrounding the WP in the 2D BZ. As we have discussed in the previous section, the WPs in 2D systems (which belongs to a chiral class) are characterised by the 1D AIII topological insulator invariant W given in Eq. 1.

Note that the chiral symmetry may originate from sublattice, orbital or is simply obtained from the combined symmetry of time-reversal and particle-hole [38]. It may also be an emergent symmetry in a theory [54]. The above example illustrates the possibility of a 2D WSM with chiral symmetry (but no crystalline symmetries) but is too simple to accommodate the full complexity of a generic 2D Hamiltonian with spin and orbital degrees of freedom. As we have stated earlier, we claim that the generic Hamiltonian in all the five AZ chiral classes namely AIII, BDI, CII, CI and DIII has a robust gapless phase which is a WSM in two-dimension. In what follows, we will verify our claim by writing down a Dirac Hamiltonian for each of the five chiral classes, which we will then perturb by all the symmetry-allowed terms and solve to explicitly show that the gapless phase is indeed a topologically protected WSM in two-dimension.

# III. WEYL SEMIMETALS IN THE 2D CHIRAL CLASSES

It is straightforward to argue that if the generic Hamiltonian within the chiral classes possesses a stable gapless phase, then it cannot be a Dirac semimetal. Since our Hamiltonian has all the terms in the symmetry class, the inversion and the other crystalline symmetry are in general broken. Therefore the gapless phase cannot be a Dirac semimetal which necessarily requires crystalline symmetry for protection [27]. It cannot be a protected nodal loop semimetal either: Suppose it is a topologically protected nodal loop semimetal. Then the Hamiltonian restricted on an enclosing manifold must be topologically nontrivial. For a nodal line in 2D BZ, the enclosing surface is a zero dimensional manifold which consists of only two points: one inside and the other one outside the nodal loop. In general the Hamiltonian, which is restricted on this manifold, has chiral symmetry only. Therefore, the Hamiltonian restricted on the enclosing manifold belongs to class AIII. Since 0D Hamiltonian in class AIII has trivial topology, the gapless phase cannot be a stable nodal loop semimetal. Therefore we assert that the gapless phase (if exists) must be a WSM in two dimensions and the WPs within the 2D chiral classes are classified by the winding numbers W which characterize topological insulators belonging to class AIII in one dimension.

Reason for the WPs in any of the five chiral classes can be classified by a single topological invariant W may be understood through the following argument: Suppose there is a WP in the theory. Its topology can be revealed by investigating the lower dimensional insulator (1D insulator) which is living on an enclosing manifold surrounding the Weyl point. For a WP in a 2D BZ, the enclosing manifold is a closed loop surrounding the Weyl point. For a generic enclosing loop, the  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $-\mathbf{k}$  points of the 2D BZ are not included. This implies that the Hamiltonian which is restricted on a generic enclosing loop will not respect the anti-unitary time-reversal and the particle-hole symmetry of the full Hamiltonian in the class. So the Hamiltonian which is restricted on a generic enclosing loop belongs to class AIII. We know that AIII has nontrivial topology in one dimension: The 1D insulators in class AIII are classified by a Z topological invariant which is the winding number W defined in Eq. 1. Therefore, the WPs (if exist) in the chiral classes can always be classified by a universal topological invariant W.

Our calculation scheme is very similar to those presented in the Refs. [51, 52]. The goal is to initially write down the most general, spinful,  $4 \times 4$  Hamiltonian within each chiral classes. Subsequently, we proceed to calculate the energy spectrum, with a particular focus on solving for zero energy, corresponding to the occurrence of band touching. In all the chiral classes, we explicitly show that the condition for zero energy always consists of two independent equations which involve momenta and the parameters in the Hamiltonian. Then we apply our arguments given in the previous section that we have two momenta  $k_x$ ,  $k_y$  in 2D, which we can tune to satisfy two equations whose solution space generically describes a WSM in two-dimension. After establishing the existence of 2D WSM gapless phase, we verify topological protection of the WPs by computing their topological charges W using Eq. 1.

# A. Class AIII

To write down a generic spinful Hamiltonian in class AIII, let us begin with a simple Dirac Hamiltonian

$$H_0(\mathbf{k}) = \eta_x \otimes (k_x \sigma_x + k_y \sigma_y) + m \eta_y. \tag{5}$$

Here  $\sigma$ 's and  $\eta$ 's, are two by two Pauli matrices, act on spin and pseudo spin degrees of freedom, respectively. In addition to the chirality  $S = \eta_z$ , this Hamiltonian is also symmetric under the time-reversal  $\mathcal{T} = i\eta_x \sigma_y \mathcal{K}$ ( $\mathcal{K}$  complex conjugates anything to its right). We must break this time-reversal symmetry to obtain Hamiltonian in class AIII. The Hamiltonian in Eq. 5 has other crystalline symmetries as well. For instance, it has inversion symmetry realized by  $\mathcal{I} = \eta_y$ , and mirror symmetries in the axes directions realized by  $\mathcal{M}_i = \eta_y \sigma_i$ , i = x, y. Below, in the process of constructing the generic Hamiltonian in class AIII, we will break the crystalline symmetries.

The Hamiltonian in class AIII preserves only chiral symmetry. There are five more terms which can be added to  $H_0(\mathbf{k})$  without breaking the chiral symmetry:  $\eta_x$ ,  $\eta_x \sigma_z$  and  $\eta_y \sigma_i$  (i = 1, 2, 3). Therefore the most general Hamiltonian in class AIII is

$$H_{\text{AIII}}(\mathbf{k}) = H_0(\mathbf{k}) + \tilde{m}\eta_x\sigma_z + V\eta_x + \eta_y \otimes \mathbf{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\tilde{m}$ , V are real scalar and  $\mathbf{A} = (A_x, A_y, A_z)$  is a real vector so that the Hamiltonian remain hermitian. Note that the terms  $\tilde{m}\eta_x\sigma_z$  and  $\eta_y \otimes \mathbf{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$  make sure that time reversal is broken and the Hamiltonian in Eq. 6 belongs to class AIII. Further the terms  $\tilde{m}\eta_x\sigma_z$  and  $V\eta_x$  break inversion as well as mirror symmetries. Since crystalline symmetries are irrelevant in our discussion, the three scalars  $m, \tilde{m}, V$  and the vector  $\mathbf{A}$  can be any function of momenta  $k_x, k_y$ .

To show that the Hamiltonian  $H_{\text{AIII}}$  in Eq. 6 possesses a robust gapless phase, first we have to find its spectrum and then solve for band touching in the six dimensional parameter space  $\mathbf{X} = (m, \tilde{m}, V, \mathbf{A})$ . Since the Hamiltonian has chiral symmetry, the band touching can occur at the zero energy only. To find the spectrum of  $H_{\text{AIII}}$ , we square it, collect the terms and repeat this until the resulting Hamiltonian becomes proportional to identity. We find the following energy spectrum,

$$E^{2}(\mathbf{k}) = \tilde{\mathbf{k}}^{2} + \mathbf{A}^{2} + V^{2} + m^{2} \pm 2\sqrt{(V\tilde{\mathbf{k}} + m\mathbf{A})^{2} + (\tilde{\mathbf{k}} \times \mathbf{A})^{2}},$$
(7)

where  $\tilde{\mathbf{k}} = (k_x, k_y, \tilde{m})$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2 + \tilde{m}^2$ . For band touching (zero energy solution), we must have

$$\left(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}^2 + \mathbf{A}^2 + V^2 + m^2\right)^2 = 4\left(\left(V\tilde{\mathbf{k}} + m\mathbf{A}\right)^2 + \left(\tilde{\mathbf{k}} \times \mathbf{A}\right)^2\right),\tag{8}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\left(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}^2 + m^2 - \mathbf{A}^2 - V^2\right)^2 + 4\left(\tilde{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \mathbf{A} - mV\right)^2 = 0.$$
(9)

Clearly the zero energy solutions, which are given by the the intersection of two curves  $k_x^2 + k_y^2 + \tilde{m}^2 + m^2 = \mathbf{A}^2 + V^2$  and  $A_1k_x + A_2k_y = mV - \tilde{m}A_3$  in the  $k_x \cdot k_y$  space, generically describe point touchings (two fold degenerate). These touching points are the WPs in the theory. To see the zero energy solutions describe a stable WSM phase, suppose there is a WP at  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_0$  for a given choice of the parameters  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_0$ . Given the smoothness of the curves in parameters (only polynomial dependence), the solution for WPs should exist for any arbitrary but small change in parameters  $\delta \mathbf{X}_0$ . A small change in parameters  $\mathbf{X}_0 + \delta \mathbf{X}_0$  cannot gap out a WP immediately but only can shift its location to  $\mathbf{k}_0 + \delta \mathbf{k}_0$ . Therefore we have

established our primary claim that the generic Hamiltonian in class AIII possesses a robust gapless semimetal phase which is a WSM in two dimensions.

As previously discussed, the WSM phase we have identified, has topological protection which is revealed by computing the topological charge W of the WPs using Eq. 1. When the parameters **X** are taken momentum independent, there exists only two Weyl points. Numerical computations of the invariant W reveal that these two WPs bear opposite topological charges  $W = \pm 1$ . Given their nontrivial topological charge, perturbations within the symmetry class cannot eliminate them unless two WPs with opposing topological charges approach each other and undergo pairwise annihilation.

### B. Class BDI

A generic spinful Hamiltonian in 2D belonging to class BDI has time-reversal  $\mathcal{T}$ , particle-hole  $\mathcal{C}$  and their product  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}$  preserved with  $\mathcal{T}^2 = \mathcal{C}^2 = 1$ . To write down a generic Hamiltonian in this class, let us begin with a simple Dirac Hamiltonian (as we did for the class AIII)

$$H_0(\mathbf{k}) = \eta_y \otimes (k_x \sigma_x + k_y \sigma_z) + m \eta_x.$$
(10)

Here  $\sigma$ 's and  $\eta$ 's, are two by two Pauli matrices, act on spin and pseudo spin degrees of freedom respectively. The time-reversal and the particle-hole operators are realized by  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{C} = i\eta_z \mathcal{K}$  respectively, obeying  $\mathcal{T}^2 = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{C}^2 = 1$ . Note the Hamiltonian in Eq. 10 is not the most general Hamiltonian in class BDI. There are three additional terms which can be added without breaking time-reversal and particle-hole symmetry: a scalar  $\delta = \eta_y \sigma_y$ , and a vector  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\eta_x \sigma_x, \eta_x \sigma_3)$ . Now we can write down the most general (minimal dimension) Hamiltonian in class BDI,

$$H_{\text{BDI}}\left(\mathbf{k}\right) = \eta_{y} \otimes \left(k_{x}\sigma_{x} + k_{y}\sigma_{z}\right) + m\eta_{x} + \tilde{m}\delta + \mathbf{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha},$$
(11)

where  $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, A_2)$  is a two component real vector and  $\tilde{m}$  is a real scalar. The set of parameters  $\mathbf{X} = (m, \tilde{m}, \mathbf{A})$  can only be an even function of momenta to keep the time-reversal and particle-hole symmetry preserved. Without loss of generality, we can consider the following quadratic dependence on momenta:  $X_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k}) = X_{\alpha 0} - \sum_{ij} t_{ij}^{(X_{\alpha})} k_i k_j$ , where  $X_{\alpha}, \alpha = 1, 2, ..4$ , is a component of the four-dimensional vector  $\mathbf{X}$ , and  $X_{\alpha 0}$ ,  $t_{ij}^{(X_{\alpha})}$  are all real numbers. It is easily checked that the crystalline symmetries, including inversion and mirrors, are broken.

Now by computing the energy spectrum and solving it for zero energy, we can explicitly show whether the Hamiltonian  $H_{\text{BDI}}(\mathbf{k})$  in Eq. 11 possesses a stable gapless phase. Repeating the same calculation as we did for class AIII Hamiltonian, we find the following energy spectrum of  $H_{\text{BDI}}(\mathbf{k})$ ,

$$E^{2}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k}^{2} + \mu^{2} + \mathbf{A}^{2} \pm 2\sqrt{\mu^{2}\mathbf{A}^{2} + (\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{A})^{2}},$$
 (12)

where  $\mu^2 = m^2 + \tilde{m}^2$ . The condition for band touching (or zero energy) is easily obtained:

$$(\mathbf{k}^2 + \mu^2 - \mathbf{A}^2)^2 + 4(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{A})^2 = 0.$$
 (13)

Clearly the zero energy solutions, which are given by the the intersection of two smooth curves (i)  $\mathbf{k}^2 + \mu^2 = \mathbf{A}^2$  and (ii)  $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$  in the k-space, generically describe point touchings i.e. Weyl points. Further from the smoothness of the two curves in parameters, it follows that for a given choice of the parameters  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_0$ , if  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_0$  is a solution, then a small change in the parameters  $\mathbf{X}_0 + \delta \mathbf{X}_0$  cannot remove the touching point immediately but can shift it in the k-space to  $\mathbf{k}_0 + \delta \mathbf{k}_0$ . This implies that there exists a finite region for solution of WPs in the parameter space. Therefore (like in class AIII) a generic Hamiltonian in class BDI also possesses a stable gapless WSM phase in two-dimension.

The WSM phase has topological protection which can be verified by computing the topological charge W of the WPs by surrounding them in a closed loop. When the parameters **X** are independent of momenta, the location of the WPs can be easily obtained by finding the intersection of the circle  $\mathbf{k}^2 + \mu^2 = \mathbf{A}^2$  with the straight line  $A_1k_x + A_2k_y = 0$ . Clearly there are only two WPs which are time-reversal partner of each other. We have computed the topological charge W of these WPs, and we found they carry opposite topological charges  $W = \pm 1$ . Non zero topological charges make the WPs topologically robust. The only way to gap out a WP is to approach another WP of opposite topological charge, causing them to mutually annihilate.

#### C. Class CII

A Hamiltonian belonging to the symmetry class CII possesses time-reversal  $(\mathcal{T})$ , particle-hole  $(\mathcal{C})$  and the chiral  $(\mathcal{S})$  symmetry with  $\mathcal{T}^2 = -1, \mathcal{C}^2 = -1$ . Following the similar steps as we did for class AIII and BDI, the most general (minimal dimension) spinful Hamiltonian in class CII is

$$H_{\rm CII}(\mathbf{k}) = \eta_x \otimes (k_x \sigma_x + k_y \sigma_z) + V_1 \eta_x + V_2 \eta_y \sigma_y + \mathbf{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha},$$
(14)

where  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\eta_y \sigma_1, \eta_y \sigma_3)$ ,  $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, A_2)$  is a two component real vector, and  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$  are real scalars. Like in class BDI, the set of parameters  $\mathbf{X} = (V_1, V_2, \mathbf{A})$ can be any even function of momentum without breaking the time-reversal and particle-hole symmetry and we can choose them to be quadratic in momenta:  $X_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k}) =$  $X_{\alpha 0} - \sum_{ij} t_{ij}^{(X_{\alpha})} k_i k_j$ , where  $X_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, ..4$ , is a component of the four-dimensional vector  $\mathbf{X}$ , and  $X_{\alpha 0}$ ,  $t_{ij}^{(X_{\alpha})}$ are all real numbers. For a generic choice of  $t_{ij}^{(X_{\alpha})}$ , it is easily checked that crystalline symmetries, including inversion and mirrors, are broken. The energy spectrum is given by

$$E^{2}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k}^{2} + \mathbf{A}^{2} + V^{2} \pm 2\sqrt{V^{2}\mathbf{k}^{2} + (\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{A})^{2}},$$
 (15)

where  $V^2 = V_1^2 + V_2^2$ . We note that this energy spectrum is identical to the energy spectrum of  $H_{\text{BDI}}(\mathbf{k})$  given in Eq. 12 with the replacement  $\mu^2 \to V^2$ . So, the arguments given after Eq. 13 for the BDI class, apply to this case as well. Therefore, we arrive at the conclusion that a generic Hamiltonian in class CII possesses a robust gapless phase which is WSM in two dimensions.

We have confirmed the topological robustness of the WPs by calculating their topological charges W. Our results indicate that the WPs carry non-zero topological charges. Notably, pairs of Weyl points serving as time-reversal partners exhibit opposite topological charges  $W = \pm 1$ .

### D. Class CI

Hamiltonians belonging to class CI must have timereversal ( $\mathcal{T}$ ), particle-hole ( $\mathcal{C}$ ), and the chiral ( $\mathcal{S}$ ) symmetry with  $\mathcal{T}^2 = 1, \mathcal{C}^2 = -1$ . To write down a generic Hamiltonian in this class, let us begin with a minimal dimension Dirac Hamiltonian (as we did for other classes)

$$H_0(\mathbf{k}) = (k_x \eta_x + k_y \eta_y) \otimes \sigma_y \tag{16}$$

Here  $\sigma$ 's and  $\eta$ 's, are two by two Pauli matrices, act on spin and pseudo spin degrees of freedom, respectively as it was for the other classes. The time-reversal and the particle-hole operators are realized by  $\mathcal{T} = \eta_x \mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{C} = -i\eta_y \mathcal{K}$  respectively, obeying  $\mathcal{T}^2 = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{C}^2 = -1$ . The chirality operator is obtained from the product of timereversal and particle-hole:  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}\mathcal{C} = \eta_z$ . Note that there is no mass term (which anticommutes with the kinetic terms) for the 4 × 4 Dirac Hamiltonian in class CI. This was also the case for class CII. The four fold degenerate Dirac point located at  $k_x = k_y = 0$  is a fine tuned point. We will shortly see when the remaining terms allowed by the symmetry class are added, the Dirac point immediately goes to Weyl points.

To write down the most general Hamiltonian in the class, we have to find all the terms allowed by the symmetry class and add them to  $H_0(\mathbf{k})$  in Eq. 16. There are six such terms which can be arranged as two scalars and two (two component) vectors:  $\eta_x$ ,  $\eta_y$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\eta_x \sigma_x, \eta_x \sigma_z)$  and  $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\eta_y \sigma_x, \eta_y \sigma_z)$ . The most general four by four Hamiltonian in class CI is then

$$H_{\rm CI}(\mathbf{k}) = (k_x \eta_x + k_y \eta_y) \otimes \sigma_y + V_1 \eta_x + V_2 \eta_y \qquad (17)$$
$$+ \mathbf{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta},$$

where  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$  are scalars and  $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, A_2)$ ,  $\mathbf{B} = (B_1, B_2)$  are two components vectors. It is easily checked that the Hamiltonian  $H_{\rm CI}(\mathbf{k})$  respects both time-reversal  $\mathcal{T}H_{\rm CI}(\mathbf{k})\mathcal{T}^{-1} = H_{\rm CI}(-\mathbf{k})$  and particle-hole  $\mathcal{C}H_{\rm CI}(\mathbf{k})\mathcal{C}^{-1} = -H_{\rm CI}(-\mathbf{k})$  symmetry implemented by  $\mathcal{T} = \eta_x \mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{C} = -i\eta_y \mathcal{K}$  respectively, obeying  $\mathcal{T}^2 = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{C}^2 = -1$ ). The parameters  $\mathbf{X} = (V_1, V_2, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})$  can be any even function of momenta without altering the timereversal and the particle-hole symmetry. Without loss of generality, we can choose the following quadratic dependence on momenta:  $X_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k}) = X_{\alpha 0} - \sum_{ij} t_{ij}^{(X_{\alpha})} k_i k_j$ , where  $X_{\alpha}, \alpha = 1, 2, ...6$ , is a component of the six-dimensional vector  $\mathbf{X}$ , and  $X_{\alpha 0}, t_{ij}^{(X_{\alpha})}$  are all real.

In the following we will show the Hamiltonian  $H_{\rm CI}(\mathbf{k})$  possesses a robust gapless phase by computing its energy spectrum and solving it for zero energy. Following the routine steps as before, we get the following condition for zero energy (band touching)

$$\left(\tilde{k}^{2} - \tilde{V}^{2} + \mathbf{A}^{2} - \mathbf{B}^{2}\right)^{2} + \left(k_{x}k_{y} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} - V_{1}V_{2}\right)^{2} = 0$$
(18)

where  $\tilde{k}^2 = k_x^2 - k_y^2$  and  $\tilde{V}^2 = V_1^2 - V_2^2$ . Since the sum of two squares is zero, they must vanish separately

$$k_x^2 - k_y^2 = \mathbf{B}^2 - \mathbf{A}^2 - \tilde{V}^2 \tag{19a}$$

$$k_x k_y = V_1 V_2 - \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}. \tag{19b}$$

Similar to the preceding instances within class AIII, BDI, and CII, we observe that the solution space, which is given by the intersection of two smooth curves in the 2D k-space, generically describes Weyl touchings. Note that for any small deformations in the parameters  $\mathbf{X} =$  $(V_1, V_2, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})$  in the Hamiltonian of Eq. 17 will slightly deform the two intersecting curves, and thus slightly shift the location of the WPs in k-space. This implies that there exists a finite region in the parameter space for solution of WPs. So, the WSM phase is a stable semimetal phase. When the parameters  $\mathbf{X}$  are taken independent of momenta, the locations of WPs are given by the intersection of two hyperbolas. Note that the hyperbola represented by the first condition is symmetric about both the  $k_x$  and  $k_y$  axes, where the second hyperbola is symmetric about the straight line  $k_y = \pm k_x$ . Intersections can occur only at two points (WPs). The WPs are timereversal partner of each other. We have computed their topological charges W and we find that they carry identical charges W = -1. Since the WPs carry nontrivial topological charges, they cannot be gapped out by small perturbations unless two WPs of opposite charges come close and annihilate each other pairwise.

#### E. Class DIII

Hamiltonian that belongs to AZ symmetry class DIII must have time-reversal ( $\mathcal{T}$ ), particle-hole ( $\mathcal{C}$ ) and the chiral ( $\mathcal{S}$ ) symmetry and they should obey  $\mathcal{T}^2 = -1, \mathcal{C}^2 =$ 1. Following the similar steps as we did for the other classes, we obtain the most general (minimal dimension) spinful Hamiltonian in class DIII:

$$H_{\text{DIII}}(\mathbf{k}) = \eta_x \otimes (k_x \sigma_x + k_y \sigma_y) + m \eta_y + V \eta_x, \quad (20)$$

where m, V are two real scalars. The time-reversal and the particle-hole operators are implemented by  $\mathcal{T} = -i\eta_x \sigma_y \mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{C} = \eta_y \sigma_y \mathcal{K}$  respectively, obeying  $\mathcal{T}^2 = -1$ ,  $\mathcal{C}^2 = 1$ . The chirality operator is obtained from the product of time-reversal and particle-hole:  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{TC} = \eta_z$ . The inversion and mirrors symmetries are broken due to the term  $V\eta_x$ . Any left over crystalline symmetry can be removed by considering V and m an arbitrary but even function of momenta. The evenness of  $V(\mathbf{k})$  and  $m(\mathbf{k})$  is required to keep the antiunitary time-reversal and particle-hole symmetry preserved. Without loss of generality, we can consider the following quadratic dependence on momenta:  $m(\mathbf{k}) = m_0 - \sum_{ij} t_{ij}^{(m)} k_i k_j$ ,  $V(\mathbf{k}) = V_0 - \sum_{ij} t_{ij}^{(V)} k_i k_j$ , where  $m_0, V_0, t_{ij}^{()}$  are all real. The energy spectrum is found to be,

$$E^{2}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k}^{2} + m^{2}(\mathbf{k}) + V^{2}(\mathbf{k}) \pm 2\sqrt{V^{2}(\mathbf{k})m^{2}(\mathbf{k})}.$$
 (21)

The condition for band touching (or zero energy) is readily obtained:

$$k_x^2 + k_y^2 = V^2(\mathbf{k}), \qquad \sum_{ij} t_{ij}^{(m)} k_i k_j = m_0.$$
 (22)

Clearly, the solution space, which is given by the intersection of two smooth curves in the 2D k-space, generically describes Weyl touchings. From the smoothness of the two curves, it follows that the solution for WPs must exist in a finite region in the parameter space. Therefore we have established our claim that the generic Hamiltonian within the class DIII possesses a stable gapless phase which is a WSM in two-dimension.

This WSM is a topologically robust gapless phase. We have confirmed the topological robustness of the WPs by calculating their topological charges W. To find the location of the WPs, let us assume V is independent of momenta. Then the solution for WPs is given by the intersection of the circle  $k_x^2 + k_y^2 = V^2$  with the conic section  $\sum_{ij} t_{ij}^{(m)} k_i k_j = m_0$ . Since there is no linear term in momenta in the conic section, the curve has to be symmetric about the origin  $k_x = k_y = 0$ . So, the intersections between the circle and the conic section, should occur at four points (WPs). We have numerically computed the topological charge W of these four WPs. We find that the pair of WPs, which are time-reversal partners, carries same charges, which is opposite to the charges carried by the other pair. The topological charges of the two pairs are  $W = \pm 1$ , thus establishing the WPs topologically nontrivial.

#### F. Discussion

In summary of the foregoing analysis, we have demonstrated that the generic Hamiltonian within each chiral class exhibits a robust semimetal phase which is WSM in two dimensions. Notably, within the realm of 2D chiral classes, WSM stands as the sole stable gapless semimetal phase. Importantly, the WSMs within these 2D chiral classes do not necessitate any crystalline symmetry (except for lattice translations) for the protection of Weyl points. The topological stability of this 2D WSM state stems from the nontrivial topological charge carried by the Weyl points. This topological charge, denoted as the winding number W, serves as the invariant for 1D topological insulators in class AIII. Weyl points always appear in pairs. Similar to WSMs in three dimensions, the sole means of annihilating a WP in a symmetry class is by bringing two WPs of opposite topological charges into proximity and pairwise annihilation.

We have observed that in classes BDI and CII (CI and DIII), a WP carries a topological charge which is opposite (identical) to its time-reversal partner. This occurrence is a consequence of the class-specific constraints:  $\mathcal{T}^2 = \mathcal{C}^2$  in BDI and CII, compelling the topological charge W of a WP to be opposite to its time-reversed partner's charge. Conversely, the constraint  $\mathcal{T}^2 = -\mathcal{C}^2$  in CI and DIII results in WPs and their time-reversed partners possessing identical topological charges (see Appendix A for a derivation). We will shortly see a consequence of this in a lattice model of WSMs in two dimensions.

Let us revisit our decision to utilize a four-by-four Dirac Hamiltonian across all five chiral classes in two dimensions. While it is established that the minimal dimension of the Dirac Hamiltonian in 2D chiral classes is four, a notable constraint emerges when employing a four-by-four Dirac Hamiltonian specifically within the two classes, CII and CI. We observe that the Dirac Hamiltonian  $H_0(\mathbf{k})$  in class CII (Eq. 14) and CI (Eq. 16) is inherently massless. In fact, it is not possible to add a mass term to a four by four Dirac Hamiltonian belonging to chiral classes CII and CI in two dimensions. The minimum dimension required for a massive Dirac Hamiltonian within these classes is eight in two dimensions. This prompts an inquiry into whether the generic Hamiltonian in the chiral classes CII and CI, constructed from an eight-by-eight massive Dirac Hamiltonian, still exhibits the WSM state as a robust, gapless phase. The authors in the Refs. [51, 52] have constructed the generic Hamiltonian from a eight-by-eight Dirac Hamiltonian by adding all the terms allowed in the symmetry classes CII and CI. Although, the focus was in three spatial dimensions, their calculations extend to two dimensions with an appropriate modification of the kinetic term—specifically, the  $k_z$  term is excluded. Subsequently, upon analyzing the solutions, we observe the emergence of a WSM state as a robust gapless phase for the generic (eight-by-eight) Hamiltonian in both the CII and CI classes.

We seek to juxtapose our findings with those of Refs. [1, 3, 51, 52]. In their works [1, 3], it was established that the generic Hamiltonian in the non chiral class AII in three dimensions possesses a stable semimetal phase which exists as an intermediate phase between the topological and trivial insulating states. This semimetal phase is a WSM in three dimensions. Recently, the Refs. [51, 52] demonstrated that the generic Hamiltonian in

the chiral classes AIII, DIII, CII and CI in three dimensions, possesses a stable nodal line semimetal phase which exists as an intermediate phase between the topological and trivial insulating states. The presence of a stable semimetal phase within classes AII, AIII, DIII, CII, and CI in 3D can be intuitively grasped as follows. In three dimensions, each of the classes AII, AIII, DIII, CII, and CI accommodates a topologically nontrivial insulator. The transition from a topologically nontrivial to a trivial insulating state must necessarily traverse through an intermediate gapless state. Consequently, the assurance of an intermediate gapless state's existence in a given dimension is inherent to an AZ class exhibiting nontrivial topology in that dimension.

In view of the above argument, our finding may not appear immediately apparent. With the exception of DIII, all other chiral classes exhibit trivial topology in two dimensions. However, we have demonstrated the existence of a stable gapless phase within all five chiral classes in two dimensions. Remarkably, across all chiral classes, this gapless phase manifests as a WSM in the two-dimensional realm. This WSM state is topologically robust and does not require any crystalline symmetry for protection of the Weyl points.

# IV. WEYL SEMIMETALS AND THEIR BOUNDARY STATES IN A LATTICE

Having established the existence of 2D topological WSM as a robust gapless phase in the continuum models within the chiral classes, now we want to write down a few illustrative lattice models of 2D WSMs to study their topologically protected edge states. Before we move on to the discussion of edge states, we must understand how the topological charge W transforms under time-reversal in the different chiral classes. In 3D WSM, the presence of additional time-reversal symmetry forces a WP to have monopole charge which is opposite to its time-reversal partner. Similarly, the presence of time-reversal and particle-hole symmetry in the BDI, CII, CI and DIII classes forces a WP to have a topological charge W which is fixed by the charge of its time-reversal partner.

As we have shown in the Appendix A, a pair of WPs, which are related by time-reversal symmetry, carries opposite charges in class BDI, CII and identical charges in class CI and DIII. It is the relation  $\mathcal{T}^2 = \mathcal{C}^2$  in class BDI and CII, which forces a WP to have charge W which is opposite to its time-reversal partner. Similarly it is  $\mathcal{T}^2 = -\mathcal{C}^2$  in class CI and DIII, which puts condition on a WP to have charge W which is identical to the charge carried by its time-reversal partner.

Now we can easily establish from the periodicity of the BZ in a lattice that the minimal number of WPs is two (four) in class BDI, CII (CI, DIII). Consider a loop  $C_1$  which encloses only one WP, then its topological charge is given by the integration (in Eq. 1) over the closed loop  $C_1$ . Now consider another closed loop  $C_2$  which encloses



FIG. 1. (a) Two Weyl points (black dots) in a 2D BZ, with enclosing loops  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ . (b) A pair of Weyl points with opposite topological charges  $W = \pm 1$ . The vertical lines  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are two closed lines at a constant  $k_x$  values.

all the WPs in the 2D BZ (as in Fig. 1a), then the total charge is given by

$$\sum_{i} W_{i} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_{2}} d\mathbf{k} \cdot \operatorname{Tr} \left( Q^{-1} \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} Q \right), \qquad (23)$$

where  $W_i$ 's are the charges of the individual WPs. Since there are no WPs (or gapless points) outside the loop  $C_2$ , we can expand the loop  $C_2$  continuously to the BZ boundary without altering the total charge  $\sum_i W_i$ . By periodicity of the BZ, the boundary of the BZ is equivalent to a point. This implies that the line integral in Eq. 23 must vanish. So the sum of the topological charges of all the WPs must be zero in a lattice. We note that the above result for WPs in 2D is same as the Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem [11] for Weyl fermions in 3D in a lattice. Now it is clear why the minimal number of WPs has to be two (four) in class BDI, CII (CI, DIII). Since, there is no time-reversal or particle-hole symmetry in class AIII, the total number of WPs in AIII models of WSMs will be a multiple of two.

### A. Fermi arc edge states

In 3D WSMs, the existence of surface states is attributed to the nontrivial topological charge (Chern number) carried by the Weyl points. Consider a WSM with two WPs at  $\mathbf{k} = (k_0, 0, 0)$  and  $-\mathbf{k} = (-k_0, 0, 0)$ . The Fermi arc surface states of the WSM are understood as a collection of the Chern insulator's (which exist for fixed  $k_x$  values in between  $k_0$  and  $-k_0$ ) chiral edge states [2–4].

The above argument about the existence of Fermi arc surface states in 3D WSM also applies to 2D WSMs which we have uncovered in this work. The difference is that now we have to consider 1D closed lines (instead of planes) and the insulators living on the closed lines describe 1D AIII insulators (instead of Chern insulators) for the case of 2D WSMs in the chiral classes. Let us briefly go through the argument to establish that the 2D WSMs must have Fermi arc edge states, similar to the



FIG. 2. Energy spectrum (about zero energy) of the Hamiltonian in Eq. 24 in a cylinder geometry with finite length  $L_y = 60$ . The edge states (highlighted in blue), which exist only at the zero energy, forms a Fermi arc by joining the projections of WPs of opposite charges located at  $k_x/\pi = -1/3$ and 1/3.

Fermi arc surface states in 3D Weyl semimetals. Consider two closed lines  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  as shown in Fig. 1b. Suppose the Hamiltonian, which is restricted on the line  $L_1$ , describes a trivial insulator. Then the Hamiltonian restricted on the line  $L_2$  must describes a 1D AIII topological insulator, because the line  $L_2$  has crossed a WP of nonzero topological charge. Since AIII topological insulator in 1D has protected edge states, the 2D WSM must have topologically protected edge states which are given by the collection of the 1D topological insulator's edge states for all the  $k_x$  values in between the two WPs of opposite topological charges. The zero energy edge states must lie on an arc (Fermi arc edge states) joining the WP projections of opposite charges on the 1D edge BZ.

An important point to note about the edge states of WSMs in 2D is that the edge states are completely dispersionless. For WSMs in 3D, the surface states is dispersionless along one direction forming Fermi arc states, but they disperse linearly along the transverse direction. For WSMs in 2D, there is no transverse direction because of the reduced dimensionality of the system. Therefore all the edge states of WSMs within the 2D chiral classes live solely at the zero energy, forming Fermi arcs joining the projections of WPs on the 1D edge BZ.

Below we demonstrate the Fermi arc edge states of a 2D WSM, belonging to BDI class, though a simple model. Let us consider a two bands model with minimal two WPs,

$$H(\mathbf{k}) = (1 + \cos k_0 - \cos k_x - \cos k_y) \eta_x + \sin k_y \eta_y,$$
(24)

where  $\eta$ 's are the Pauli matrices which represent a pseudo spin. The Hamiltonian preserve time-reversal with  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{K}$  and particle-hole with  $\mathcal{C} = \eta_z \mathcal{K}$ . The chiral symmetry is given by the product of time-reversal and particle-hole



FIG. 3. (a) Location of the WPs of the Hamiltonian in Eq. 26. (b) Energy spectrum (about zero energy) in a cylinder geometry with finite length  $L_y = 80$ . The edge states (highlighted in blue), which exist only at the zero energy, forms a Fermi arc by joining the projections of WPs of opposite charges  $W = \pm 1$ .

 $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{TC} = \eta_z$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}^2 = \mathcal{C}^2 = 1$ , the Hamiltonian in Eq. 24 belongs to class BDI. There are only two WPs located at  $\mathbf{k}_w = (\pm k_0, 0)$ . Their topological charges W of the two WPs are computed using Eq. 1, we find they carry  $W = \pm 1$  respectively. To see the edge states associated with the WPs, we have to take the system finite along an appropriate direction. Since the two WPs has non overlapping projections only on the 1D  $k_x$  BZ, the system has to be taken finite along the y-direction to see its topological Fermi arc edge states. We have computed the energy spectrum by exact diagonalization, in a cylinder geometry with finite length  $L_y = 60$ . In Fig. 2, we have shown the relevant energy spectrum about the zero energy to focus on the edge states. We can clearly see that the zero energy edge states (all the edge states are at zero energy) form a Fermi arc joining the projections of WPs of opposite charges.

### 1. Fermi arc edge states in CI and CII models

A straightforward way to obtain lattice model is to take the continuum model of 2D WSMs (as given in Eqs. 6, 11, 14, 17 and 20) and replace i) the linear terms in " $k_i$ " by "sin  $k_i$ " and ii) the quadratic terms by "cos  $k_i$ ". Following this prescription, we can write down a general lattice (hopping) model

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{n},j} c^{\dagger}(\mathbf{n}) \ 2\mathcal{M} \ c(\mathbf{n}) - \left(c^{\dagger}(\mathbf{n} + a\hat{e}_{j}) \ \mathcal{T}_{j} \ c(\mathbf{n}) + H.c\right),$$
(25)

which is defined on a square lattice. Here  $n = a(n_1, n_2)$ ,  $n_i$  being integers, denotes the lattice sites,  $\hat{e}_j$  is the unit vector along  $j^{th}$  direction. We will set the lattice constant a = 1. The matrix dimension of the onsite term  $\mathcal{M}$  and the hopping term  $\mathcal{T}_j$  (should not be confused with the time-reversal operator  $\mathcal{T}$  which does not have subscript) depends on the symmetry class, and it is given by the

matrix dimension of the Dirac Hamiltonian in the symmetry classes. Note that the onsite term  $\mathcal{M}$  includes all the momentum-independent terms (as given in the continuum Dirac models) allowed by the symmetry class. The hopping matrices  $\mathcal{T}_j$ , j = 1, 2, may be expressed in a generic form  $\mathcal{T}_j = t_j \tilde{\gamma} + i\gamma_j$ , where *i* is the imaginary unit and  $t_j$ 's are real numbers. The  $\gamma_j$  matrices, j = 1, 2, anticommutes with each other and  $\tilde{\gamma}$  need not to anticommute with  $\gamma_j$  (as is the case for four bands models in class CI and CII). Now we can explicitly specify  $\gamma_j, \tilde{\gamma}, \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_j$  to obtain a lattice model of 2D WSM in each of the five chiral classes and investigate their Fermi arc edge states.

We have seen earlier that the Hamiltonian in class BDI, CII obey  $\mathcal{T}^2 = \mathcal{C}^2$  and those in class CI, DIII obey  $\mathcal{T}^2 = -\mathcal{C}^2$ , and a WP carries a topological charge which is opposite (equal) to the charge carried by its time-reversal partner in class BDI, CII (CI, DIII) in two dimensions. It is needless to go through all the chiral classes to demostrate the Fermi arc edge states, here we choose one chiral class with  $\mathcal{T}^2 = \mathcal{C}^2$  and another chiral class with  $\mathcal{T}^2 = -\mathcal{C}^2$  to demonstrate the existence of Fermi arc edge states associated with the Weyl points.

In class CII, the Dirac Hamiltonian in Eq. 14 is four by four, therefore  $c^{\dagger}(\mathbf{n})$  and  $c(\mathbf{n})$  are the four components Dirac fermion creation and annihilation operators respectively. From the Dirac Hamiltonian in Eq. 14, we read the two anticommuting Gamma matrices  $\gamma_1 = \eta_x \sigma_x$ and  $\gamma_2 = \eta_x \sigma_z$ . We choose  $\tilde{\gamma} = \eta_x \sigma_0$ , which is the  $V_1$ term in Eq. 14. Then the matrix  $\mathcal{M}$  which contains the momentum independent terms of  $H_{\text{CII}}$  in Eq. 14 is

$$\mathcal{M} = V_1 \eta_x \sigma_0 + V_2 \eta_y \sigma_y + \mathbf{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}.$$

Therefore, a CII lattice model (Fourier transforming H in Eq. 25) of WSM in two dimensions is given by

$$H_{\text{CII, lat}}(\mathbf{k}) = \sin k_x \cdot \eta_x \sigma_x + \sin k_y \cdot \eta_x \sigma_z + \left(\mathcal{M} - (t_1 \cos k_x + t_2 \cos k_y)\eta_x\right). \quad (26)$$

For the Femi arc edge states associated with the WPs, we take a representative choice of the parameters:  $t_1 =$  $0.7, t_2 = 0.8, V_1 = 0.5, V_2 = 0.2$  and  $\mathbf{A} = (0.1, 0.4)$ . For this choice of parameters, there exist four WPs as shown in Fig. 3a. Clearly, the projections of the WPs on the  $k_x$  axis are non-overlapping and they are well separated. Therefore the Fermi arc edge states should be clearly seen when the system is taken finite along the y-direction so that  $k_x$  remains a good quantum number. To see the Fermi arc edge states, we have numerically computed the spectrum of the lattice model in Eq. 26, in a cylinder geometry with finite length  $L_y = 80$  along the y-direction and plotted it in Fig. 3b. We clearly see that the zero energy edge states, which lie in between the bulk valence and conduction bands, join the projections of WPs of opposite charges to form an arc.

Like class CII, the  $c^{\dagger}(\mathbf{n})$  and  $c(\mathbf{n})$  are the four components Dirac fermion creation and annihilation operators respectively in class CI. The two anticommuting Gamma



FIG. 4. (a) Location of the WPs of the Hamiltonian in Eq. 27. Note that the pair of WPs, which are related by timereversal, carries identical charge in a CI model of WSM. (b) Energy spectrum (about zero energy) in a cylinder geometry with finite length  $L_y = 80$ . The edge states (highlighted in blue), which exist only at the zero energy, forms a Fermi arc by joining the projections of WPs of opposite charges  $W = \pm 1$ .

matrices can be easily read from the Dirac Hamiltonian in Eq. 17:  $\gamma_1 = \eta_x \sigma_y$  and  $\gamma_2 = \eta_y \sigma_y$ . We can choose  $\tilde{\gamma} = \eta_x \sigma_0$ , which is the  $V_1$  term in Eq. 17. Then the matrix  $\mathcal{M}$  which contains the momentum independent terms of  $H_{\rm CI}$  in Eq. 17 is

$$\mathcal{M} = V_1 \eta_x + V_2 \eta_y + \mathbf{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta},$$

where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  have been defined in Eq. 17. Therefore, a CI lattice model (Fourier transforming *H* in Eq. 25) of WSM is given by

$$H_{\text{CI, lat}}(\mathbf{k}) = \sin k_x \cdot \eta_x \sigma_y + \sin k_y \cdot \eta_y \sigma_y + \left(\mathcal{M} - (t_1 \cos k_x + t_2 \cos k_y)\eta_x\right). \quad (27)$$

We take a representative choice of parameters:  $t_1 =$  $0.7, t_2 = 0.9, V_1 = 0.4, V_2 = 0.3, \mathbf{A} = (0.3, 0.2)$  and  $\mathbf{B} = (0.5, 0.3)$ , so that the Hamiltonian in Eq. 27 describes a WSM state. For this choice of parameters, the WSM state hosts four WPs as depicted in Fig. 4a. Since the WPs are well separated along the  $k_x$ -direction, their projections on the  $k_x$  axis are also well separated. Therefore the Fermi arc edge states should be clearly seen when the system is taken finite along the y-direction so that  $k_x$ remains a good quantum number. For the Fermi arc edge states, we take the system finite along the y-direction and compute the spectrum numerically. The spectrum is plotted in Fig. 4b. Similar to the previous cases of edge states of WSM models in class BDI and CII, here also in class CI, the edge states, which exist only at zero energy, form an arc by joining the projections of WPs of opposite topological charges.

In the preceding discussion, we derived lattice models from the continuous Dirac models (given in Sec. III) in a straightforward way by substituting the linear terms in " $k_i$ " with "sin  $k_i$ " and the quadratic terms with "cos  $k_i$ ", without delving into the specifics of the lattice structure and the sources of these terms. Now, as we move forward, we turn our attention to more realistic systems, namely Graphene and a 2D SSH model, to demonstrate that when chiral symmetry is maintained, Weyl points consistently emerge within their gapless phases.

### B. Graphene and 2D SSH model

Graphene is a topological semimetal with Dirac cones at the Fermi energy. The Bloch Hamiltonian [55, 56] (for a nearest neighbour hopping model) is

$$H(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f(\mathbf{k}) \\ f^*(\mathbf{k}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} = f_1(\mathbf{k})\sigma_x + f_2(\mathbf{k})\sigma_y, \quad (28)$$

where  $f(\mathbf{k}) = 1 + e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_{2}} + e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_{3}}$  and  $f_{1}(\mathbf{k}) = 1 + e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_{3}}$  $\cos \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_2 + \cos \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_3, \ f_2(\mathbf{k}) = -\sin \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_2 + \sin \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_3.$ The lattice vectors  $\mathbf{R}_n$  (n = 1, 2, 3) are given by  $\mathbf{R}_n =$  $a(\cos 2\pi (n-1)/3, \sin 2\pi (n-1)/3)$ . Here  $\sigma$ 's represent the two sublattices A and B of the graphene honeycomb lattice. The spinless graphene Hamiltonian in Eq. 28 obey time-reversal with  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{K}$  and particle-hole with  $\mathcal{C} = \sigma_z \mathcal{K}$ . The Hamiltonian has chiral symmetry  $\mathcal{S} = \sigma_z$  which originates due to the sublattice symmetry. Clearly the time-reversal and particle-hole symmetry obey  $\mathcal{T}^2 = \mathcal{C}^2 = 1$ . Therefore the spinless graphene Hamiltonian in Eq. 28 belongs to the chiral class BDI. There are two gapless points in the energy spectrum at  $\pm \mathbf{K} = \pm \frac{4\pi}{3a}(1,0)$  and the low energy spectrums around  $\pm \mathbf{K}$  form Dirac cone. For spinless graphene, the Dirac cones are nondegenerate. Therefore, according to the definition of WPs in 2D (discussed in the introduction), the touching points  $\pm \mathbf{K}$  of spinless graphene are called Weyl points. Recall that a WSM belonging to the BDI class has a minimum of two Weyl points carrying opposite topological charges, and Graphene satisfies this requirement. To verify topological protection of the two WPs, we compute the topological charge W (using Eq. 1) in a close loop surrounding the WPs. We find that the two WPs at  $\pm \mathbf{K}$  carry opposite topological charges  $W = \pm 1$ . Thus, the spinless graphene (described by Eq. 28) is a two dimensional topological WSM in class BDI.

As we have discussed earlier, the WPs, in spinless graphene which belongs to class BDI, cannot be removed by small perturbations unless the two WPs come close and annihilate each other pairwise. However, if the chiral symmetry broken perturbations are added, the WPs are not topologically protected and can get gapped out immediately. One illustrative instance of such perturbation is the inclusion of a spin-orbit coupling term [56]

$$h_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}) = \lambda g(\mathbf{k}) \sigma_z \otimes s_z, \qquad (29)$$

where  $g(\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} \sum_{n=1,2,3} \sin \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_n$ , and the Pauli matrix  $s_z$  represents electron's spin. This term breaks the chiral symmetry because of the  $\sigma_z$  dependence in  $h_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k})$ , immediately creates a gap in the spectrum.

Let us now examine another example of WSM protected by internal symmetry in two-dimension: In a 2D SSH (hopping) model [36, 57] featuring dimerized bonds along both the x and y directions, as depicted in Fig. 5, we can represent it as follows

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \left( t_x d_1^{\dagger}(\mathbf{R}) d_2(\mathbf{R}) + t d_4^{\dagger}(\mathbf{R}) d_3(\mathbf{R}) + H.c \right)$$
$$+ \left( t_y d_2^{\dagger}(\mathbf{R}) d_3(\mathbf{R}) + t d_1^{\dagger}(\mathbf{R}) d_4(\mathbf{R}) + H.c \right)$$
$$+ \left( t d_2^{\dagger}(\mathbf{R}) d_1(\mathbf{R} + \hat{x}) + t_x d_3^{\dagger}(\mathbf{R}) d_4(\mathbf{R} + \hat{x}) + H.c \right)$$
$$+ \left( t_y d_4^{\dagger}(\mathbf{R}) d_1(\mathbf{R} + \hat{y}) + t d_3^{\dagger}(\mathbf{R}) d_2(\mathbf{R} + \hat{y}) + H.c \right)$$
(30)

There are four lattice sites, labelled  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , in the unit cell. To diagonolize H, we Fourier transform  $d_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{R})$  and  $d_{\alpha}(\mathbf{R})$  to get the following Bloch Hamiltonian in the basis  $d(\mathbf{k}) = (d_1(\mathbf{k}) \ d_3(\mathbf{k}) \ d_2(\mathbf{k}) \ d_4(\mathbf{k}))^T$ 

$$H(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q(\mathbf{k}) \\ Q^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (31)$$

where  $Q(\mathbf{k})$  is a two by two matrix

$$Q(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} t_x + te^{ik_x} & t + t_y e^{ik_y} \\ t_y + te^{-ik_y} & t + t_x e^{-ik_x} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (32)

For symmetry analysis, it is useful to write the Bloch Hamiltonian in Eq. 31 in the following compact form

$$H(\mathbf{k}) = f_{x1} \cdot \eta_x \tau_0 + f_{x2} \cdot \eta_y \tau_0 + f_{x3} \cdot \eta_x \tau_z + f_{x4} \cdot \eta_y \tau_z + f_{y1} \cdot \eta_x \tau_x + f_{y2} \cdot \eta_y \tau_x + f_{y3} \cdot \eta_x \tau_y + f_{y4} \cdot \eta_y \tau_y,$$
(33)

where  $f_{x1}(\mathbf{k}) = (t + t_x)(1 + \cos k_x)/2$ ,  $f_{x2}(\mathbf{k}) = (t_x - t)\sin k_x/2$ ,  $f_{x3}(\mathbf{k}) = (t_x - t)(1 - \cos k_x)/2$ ,  $f_{x4}(\mathbf{k}) = -(t_x + t)\sin k_x/2$ ,  $f_{y1}(\mathbf{k}) = (t_y + t)(1 + \cos k_y)/2$ ,  $f_{y2}(\mathbf{k}) = (t - t_y)\sin k_y/2$ ,  $f_{y3}(\mathbf{k}) = (t_y + t)\sin k_y/2$ ,  $f_{y4}(\mathbf{k}) = (t_y - t)(1 - \cos k_y)/2$ . We notice that the function  $f_{xi/yi}(\mathbf{k})$  multiplied to  $\eta_{\mu}\tau_{\nu}$  is odd (even), when  $\eta_{\mu}\tau_{\nu}$  is complex (real). Therefore the Hamiltonian  $H(\mathbf{k})$  in Eq. 31 is symmetric under time-reversal with  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{K}$ . It also obeys particle-hole symmetry with  $\mathcal{C} = \eta_z \mathcal{K}$ . The chiral symmetry  $\mathcal{S} = \eta_z$  is obvious from the block-offdiagonal form of  $H(\mathbf{k})$  in Eq. 31. Since  $\mathcal{T}^2 = \mathcal{C}^2 = 1$ , the 2D SSH Hamiltonian H in Eq. 30 belongs to the chiral class BDI.

In the following, we will see that the 2D SSH model in Eq. 30 has a stable gapless phase (in its phase diagram) which is a topological WSM in two-dimension. To find gapless phases, we need to compute the zeros of the energy spectrum  $E(\mathbf{k})$ . The energy spectrum is given by

$$E_{\xi}^{2}(\mathbf{k}) = A_{\xi}^{2}(\mathbf{k}) + B_{\xi}^{2}(\mathbf{k}),$$

$$A_{\xi}(\mathbf{k}) = (t + t_{x})\cos\frac{k_{x}}{2} + \xi(t + t_{y})\cos\frac{k_{y}}{2},$$

$$B_{\xi}(\mathbf{k}) = (t - t_{x})\sin\frac{k_{x}}{2} - \xi(t - t_{y})\sin\frac{k_{y}}{2},$$
(34)

where  $\xi = \pm 1$ . The gapless points, which are given by the intersection of the two smooth curves  $A_{\xi}(\mathbf{k}) = 0$  and



FIG. 5. Schematic of 2D lattice where SSH model is defined. The dimerized hopping along x-direction is denoted by t,  $t_x$ and those along y-direction are t,  $t_y$ .

 $B_{\xi}(\mathbf{k}) = 0$ , are generically Weyl points. The Weyl points are located at  $\pm \mathbf{K} = \pm (K_x, -K_y)$ , where  $K_{x/y}$  is given by

$$K_{x/y} = 2\cos^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{(t+t_{y/x})^2(2t-t_x-t_y)}{4t(t^2-t_xt_y)}}\right).$$
 (35)

As long as, the quantity inside the square root lies in the range [0, 1), small change in the parameters  $t, t_x, t_y$  can not remove these Weyl points. There is a finite region in the parameter space for solution of Weyl points. The pair of WPs are topologically protected because they carry nonzero and opposite topological charges  $W = \pm 1$ . Any small perturbation, within the class BDI, cannot gap out the WPs unless they meet at some point in the BZ and annihilate each other.

### V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Previously, the WSMs in two dimension have been believed to require some form of crystalline symmetry (e.g. inversion, mirror, rotation, nonsymmorphic symmetries), in addition to the internal symmetries, to exist as a robust phase. Depending on which crystalline symmetries are present, the classification of the WPs gets modified and so the topological charges they carry. Furthermore, the boundary states which are considered as hallmark of nontrivial bulk topology, may not be protected because the protecting crystalline symmetries could be in general broken for a finite size systems.

In this work, we have demonstrated the existence of a robust WSM state within 2D chiral classes by formulating a comprehensive Hamiltonian for each chiral class. This Hamiltonian is crafted by incorporating all permissible terms, in accordance with the symmetry class, into the minimal Dirac Hamiltonian. We have unambiguously shown the generic Hamiltonian within the 2D chiral classes possesses a robust semimetal phase which is a topological Weyl semimetal. Notably, within the realm of 2D chiral classes, WSM stands as the sole stable gapless semimetal phase. Most importantly, this WSM state within the chiral classes does not require any crystalline symmetry for its protection. Independent to which chiral class, the WSM state belongs to, the state is characterized by Z type invariant which is the winding number W defined in Eq. 1. The topological invariant is calculated on a close loop surrounding a WP. The enclosing manifold can be a generic loop which may not include **k** and its time reversed momenta  $-\mathbf{k}$ . Therefore, the Hamiltonian restricted on this close loop in general belongs to class AIII which has nontrivial topology in one dimension. The topological invariant is the winding number (Z) defined in Eq. 1.

Like 3D WSMs, the WPs in 2D WSM always come in pairs with opposite charges so that the net topological charge is zero. The only way to eliminate a WP within the 2D chiral classes is by bringing two WPs of opposite charges into close proximity for mutual annihilation. A Weyl semimetal state belonging to 2D chiral classes AIII, BDI, and CII possesses at least two WPs with opposite charges. However, within the DIII and CI classes, it is impossible for a WSM state to exist with just two WPs; the minimum requirement is four, due to the constraints imposed by  $\mathcal{T}^2 = -\mathcal{C}^2$  in these two classes.

Similar to the Fermi arc surface states of WSM in 3D, the 2D WSMs in the chiral class also host topological Fermi arc edge states which always join the projections of WPs of opposite topological charges on the edge BZ. However there is an important distinction between the Fermi arc surface states in 3D WSMs and Fermi arc edge states in 2D WSMs: In the case of three-dimensional WSMs, the surface states exhibit no dispersion in one direction, but they disperse linearly in the perpendicular direction. Conversely, in two-dimensional WSMs, edge states are completely dispersionless due to the reduced dimensionality.

Upon incorporating our findings with those in the Refs. [1, 3, 51, 52], a noteworthy relationship becomes evident—linking an AZ symmetry class to the topological semimetal (protected by internal symmetry) it harbors as a robust gapless phase: The generic Hamiltonian belonging to the non chiral classes (e.g. AII, A, AI) possesses WSM as a robust semi-metallic phase in three dimensions. Nodal line semimetals in 3D protected by the internal symmetries exist as a robust gapless phase within the 3D chiral classes. However within the 2D chiral classes, WSMs emerge as a robust topological semimetal protected by internal symmetries. Given this interesting observation, it is natural to ask whether there exists a robust topological semimetal protected by internal symmetry within the non chiral classes in two dimensions. We hope to address this question in the near future.

Many other open questions remain. An important one is to pursue whether a WSM state in the 2D chiral classes survive in presence of disorder. In case of WSM in 3D, it is known that the WSM state is perturbatively stable to disorder [58–65], where the WSM undergoes a transition to a diffusive metal at a finite critical disorder strength. There is another line of argument where the rare region effects have been believed to destroy the WSM at arbitrarily weak disorder [66–69]. However in case of WSM state in the 2D chiral classes, a generic disorder which may break the protecting chiral symmetry is expected to destroy the WSM state by gapping out the WPs. An interesting future direction would involve investigating the fate of the WSM state within 2D chiral classes, in presence of chiral symmetric disorder (off-diagonal disorders).

Another interesting direction would be to investigate pairwise annihilation of WPs induced by the orbital magnetic fields. Recent research, as highlighted in Refs. [70, 71], has showcased the profound implications of pairwise annihilation in a three dimensional WSMs, unveiling a myriad of novel phases in the presence of an external orbital magnetic fields. We foresee the emergence of similarly compelling physics in the context of WSMs in two dimensions. We hope to investigate these and other intriguing questions in the near future.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wants to thank Ganpathy Murthy and Ankur Das for insightful discussion. I would like to thank Sumathi Rao for her valuable comments on the manuscript. The author acknowledges the financial support provided by the Infosys Foundation. Additionally, I wish to express my sincere thanks to ICTS for their warm hospitality during my visit, where a significant portion of this work was undertaken.

### Appendix A: Transformation of W under time-reversal in different chiral classes

In the main text, we have seen that a WP carries a topological charge W (defined in Eq. 1) which is opposite (equal) to the charge carried by its time-reversed partner in class BDI, CII (DIII, CI). In this appendix, we provide a proof of this. For convenience, let us work with a basis in which the chirality operator  $S = \eta_z \otimes \mathbb{I}_n$  ( $\mathbb{I}_n$  is a  $n \times$ n identity matrix,  $\eta$ 's are Pauli matrices) is diagonal. The Bloch-Hamiltonian is a  $2n \times 2n$  dimensional matrix. Given the chirality operator  $\mathcal{S} = \eta_z \otimes \mathbb{I}_n$ , we can choose the time-reversal operator to be (i)  $\mathcal{T} = i\eta_0 U\mathcal{K}$  or (ii)  $\mathcal{T} = i\eta_x \tilde{U}\mathcal{K}$ , where  $U_z \tilde{U}$  are  $n \times n$  unitary matrices satisfying  $UU^* = \alpha$ ,  $\tilde{U}\tilde{U}^* = \alpha$ , with  $\alpha = \pm 1$ . Note that we have an alternative choice (i)  $\mathcal{T} = i\eta_z U\mathcal{K}$  or (ii)  $\mathcal{T} = i\eta_{\mu}\tilde{U}\mathcal{K}$ , but they are identical to the former choice up to a unitary transformation in the  $\eta$  space. Without loss of generality, we will work with the former choice. Now from S = TC, it follows that (i)  $C = i\eta_z UK$  or (ii)  $\mathcal{C} = i\eta_y U \mathcal{K}$ . Therefore, in the basis in which  $\mathcal{S} = \eta_z \mathbb{I}_n$ , the time-reversal and particle-hole operators are given by either

(i) 
$$\mathcal{T} = i\eta_0 U\mathcal{K}, \quad \mathcal{C} = i\eta_z U\mathcal{K}, \quad U^*U = \alpha,$$
 (A1)



FIG. 6. Two WPs, which are time-reversal partner of each other at  $\mathbf{k}_0$  and  $-\mathbf{k}_0$ , carry topological charges W and W' respectively. Under time-reversal, the integration loop C goes to C' without altering the orientation i.e. it remains counter-clockwise.

or

(

(ii) 
$$\mathcal{T} = i\eta_x \tilde{U}\mathcal{K}, \quad \mathcal{C} = i\eta_y \tilde{U}\mathcal{K}, \quad \tilde{U}^*\tilde{U} = \alpha.$$
 (A2)

The case (i), in which  $\mathcal{T}^2 = \mathcal{C}^2 = \alpha$ , represents the symmetry class BDI, if  $\alpha = 1$ , and CII, if  $\alpha = -1$ . And the case (ii), in which  $\mathcal{T}^2 = -\mathcal{C}^2 = \alpha$ , represent the DIII (for  $\alpha = -1$ ) or CI (for  $\alpha = 1$ ) symmetry class. Now we are ready to show how the topological charge of a WP transform under time-reversal within the BDI, CII, DIII, CI classes. To begin, we will concentrate on the BDI and CII classes. Suppose we have a pair of WPs at  $\mathbf{k}_0$  and at time-reversed momentum  $-\mathbf{k}_0$  which carry charges W and W' respectively. We want to find a relation between W and W'. The charge W carried by the WP at  $\mathbf{k}_0$  is given by the line-integral in Eq. 1 over the close loop C (which is traversed counterclockwise) as shown in Fig. 6. Under time-reversal, the topological charge W goes to

$$W \to \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C'} d\mathbf{k} \cdot \operatorname{Tr} \left( Q'^{-1} \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} Q' \right)$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{C'} d\mathbf{k} \cdot \operatorname{Im} \left[ \operatorname{Tr} \left( Q'^{-1} \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} Q' \right) \right]$  (A3)

which must be equal to the topological charge W' of the time-reversed WP at  $-\mathbf{k}_0$ . In going from first to second line, we have used the fact that W is real to rewrite the integral in a form which will be useful later. To relate W' with W, we have to find how  $Q(\mathbf{k})$  transforms under time-reversal i.e. we have to relate  $Q'(\mathbf{k})$  with  $Q(\mathbf{k})$ .

The  $Q'(\mathbf{k})$  can be obtained by evaluating  $\mathcal{T}H(\mathbf{k})\mathcal{T}^{-1}$ . In BDI and CII class

$$\mathcal{T}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q(\mathbf{k}) \\ Q^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{T}^{-1}$$
  
=  $i\eta_0 U \mathcal{K} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q(\mathbf{k}) \\ Q^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} i \alpha \eta_0 U \mathcal{K}$   
=  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha U Q^*(\mathbf{k}) U^* \\ \alpha U Q^T(\mathbf{k}) U^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , (A4)

where we have used  $\mathcal{T}^2 = \alpha$  and  $\mathcal{T}^{-1} = \alpha \mathcal{T}$ . From Eq. A4, we read the transformed  $Q'(\mathbf{k})$  and  $Q'^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k})$  to be

$$Q(\mathbf{k}) \to Q'(\mathbf{k}) = \alpha U Q^*(\mathbf{k}) U^*$$
  

$$Q^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) \to Q'^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) = \alpha U Q^T(\mathbf{k}) U^*.$$
(A5)

From the above equation, the transformation of  $Q'^{-1}({\bf k})$  can be obtained

$$Q^{\prime-1}(\mathbf{k}) = \alpha^{-1} U^T \left( Q^{-1}(\mathbf{k}) \right)^* U^{\dagger}.$$
 (A6)

Now we can express the integral in Eq. A3 in terms of  $Q(\mathbf{k})$  by inserting the above expressions of  $Q'(\mathbf{k})$  and  $Q'^{-1}(\mathbf{k})$ . We simplify the integrand as follows

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(Q^{\prime^{-1}}\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}Q^{\prime}\right)$$

$$=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha^{-1}U^{T}\left(Q^{-1}(\mathbf{k})\right)\right)^{*}U^{\dagger}\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}\alpha UQ^{*}(\mathbf{k})U^{*}\right)$$

$$=\alpha^{-1}\alpha \operatorname{Tr}\left(U^{T}\left(Q^{-1}(\mathbf{k})\right)\right)^{*}\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}Q^{*}(\mathbf{k})U^{*}\right)$$

$$=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(Q^{-1}(\mathbf{k})\right)^{*}\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}Q^{*}(\mathbf{k})U^{*}U^{T}\right)$$

$$=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(Q^{-1}(\mathbf{k})\right)^{*}\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}Q^{*}(\mathbf{k})\right)$$

$$=\operatorname{Tr}\left(Q^{-1}(\mathbf{k})\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}Q(\mathbf{k})\right)^{*}.$$
(A7)

In the above manipulation, we have used  $U^*U^T =$ 

- S. Murakami, Phase transition between the quantum spin hall and insulator phases in 3d: emergence of a topological gapless phase, New Journal of Physics 9, 356 (2007).
- [2] X. Wan, A. M. Turner, A. Vishwanath, and S. Y. Savrasov, Topological semimetal and fermi-arc surface states in the electronic structure of pyrochlore iridates, Phys. Rev. B 83, 205101 (2011).
- [3] A. A. Burkov and L. Balents, Weyl semimetal in a topological insulator multilayer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 127205 (2011).
- [4] A. A. Burkov, M. D. Hook, and L. Balents, Topological nodal semimetals, Phys. Rev. B 84, 235126 (2011).
- [5] G. Xu, H. Weng, Z. Wang, X. Dai, and Z. Fang, Chern semimetal and the quantized anomalous hall effect in hgcr<sub>2</sub>se<sub>4</sub>, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 186806 (2011).
- [6] S.-Y. Xu, I. Belopolski, N. Alidoust, M. Neupane, G. Bian, C. Zhang, R. Sankar, G. Chang, Z. Yuan, C.-C. Lee, S.-M. Huang, H. Zheng, J. Ma, D. S. Sanchez, B. Wang, A. Bansil, F. Chou, P. P. Shibayev, H. Lin, S. Jia, and M. Z. Hasan, Discovery of a weyl fermion semimetal and topological fermi arcs, Science **349**, 613 (2015).
- [7] S.-Y. Xu, N. Alidoust, I. Belopolski, Z. Yuan, G. Bian, T.-R. Chang, H. Zheng, V. N. Strocov, D. S. Sanchez, G. Chang, C. Zhang, D. Mou, Y. Wu, L. Huang, C.-C. Lee, S.-M. Huang, B. Wang, A. Bansil, H.-T. Jeng, T. Neupert, A. Kaminski, H. Lin, S. Jia, and M. Zahid Hasan, Discovery of a weyl fermion state with fermi

 $(U^{\dagger}U)^{T} = 1$ , cyclic property of trace, and assumed the unitary matrix U to be momentum independent. The above simplification implies the following important relation

$$\operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(Q^{\prime^{-1}}\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}Q^{\prime}\right)\right] = -\operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(Q^{-1}\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}Q\right)\right]. \quad (A8)$$

Therefore, we find that

$$W' = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{k} \cdot \operatorname{Im} \left[ \operatorname{Tr} \left( Q'^{\dagger} \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} Q' \right) \right]$$
(A9a)

$$= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{k} \cdot \operatorname{Im} \left[ \operatorname{Tr} \left( Q^{\dagger} \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} Q \right) \right]$$
(A9b)

$$=-W$$
 (A9c)

i.e. the topological charge W of a WP is opposite to the charge carried by its time-reversed partner in class BDI and CII. A similar relation between the topological charges of two WPs which are related by the timereversal symmetry can be established in class DIII and CI. Going through a similar exercise as above, we find that

$$\operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(Q^{\prime^{-1}}\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}Q^{\prime}\right)\right] = \operatorname{Im}\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(Q^{-1}\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}Q\right)\right]. \quad (A10)$$

in class DIII and CI. Therefore the topological charge W of a WP has to be equal to the charge carried by its time-reversed partner in class DIII and CI.

arcs in niobium arsenide, Nature Physics 11, 748 (2015).

- [8] B. Q. Lv, H. M. Weng, B. B. Fu, X. P. Wang, H. Miao, J. Ma, P. Richard, X. C. Huang, L. X. Zhao, G. F. Chen, Z. Fang, X. Dai, T. Qian, and H. Ding, Experimental discovery of weyl semimetal taas, Phys. Rev. X 5, 031013 (2015).
- [9] B. Q. Lv, N. Xu, H. M. Weng, J. Z. Ma, P. Richard, X. C. Huang, L. X. Zhao, G. F. Chen, C. E. Matt, F. Bisti, V. N. Strocov, J. Mesot, Z. Fang, X. Dai, T. Qian, M. Shi, and H. Ding, Observation of weyl nodes in taas, Nature Physics 11, 724 (2015).
- [10] S. L. Adler, Axial-vector vertex in spinor electrodynamics, Phys. Rev. 177, 2426 (1969).
- [11] H. Nielsen and M. Ninomiya, The adler-bell-jackiw anomaly and weyl fermions in a crystal, Physics Letters B 130, 389 (1983).
- [12] V. Aji, Adler-bell-jackiw anomaly in weyl semimetals: Application to pyrochlore iridates, Phys. Rev. B 85, 241101 (2012).
- [13] A. A. Zyuzin and A. A. Burkov, Topological response in weyl semimetals and the chiral anomaly, Phys. Rev. B 86, 115133 (2012).
- [14] D. T. Son and B. Z. Spivak, Chiral anomaly and classical negative magnetoresistance of weyl metals, Phys. Rev. B 88, 104412 (2013).
- [15] E. V. Gorbar, V. A. Miransky, and I. A. Shovkovy, Chiral anomaly, dimensional reduction, and magnetoresistivity of weyl and dirac semimetals, Phys. Rev. B 89, 085126

(2014).

- [16] A. A. Burkov, Negative longitudinal magnetoresistance in dirac and weyl metals, Phys. Rev. B 91, 245157 (2015).
- [17] X. Li, B. Roy, and S. Das Sarma, Weyl fermions with arbitrary monopoles in magnetic fields: Landau levels, longitudinal magnetotransport, and density-wave ordering, Phys. Rev. B 94, 195144 (2016).
- [18] H.-Z. Lu and S.-Q. Shen, Quantum transport in topological semimetals under magnetic fields, Frontiers of Physics 12, 127201 (2017).
- [19] K. Das and A. Agarwal, Linear magnetochiral transport in tilted type-i and type-ii weyl semimetals, Phys. Rev. B 99, 085405 (2019).
- [20] K. Das, S. K. Singh, and A. Agarwal, Chiral anomalies induced transport in weyl metals in quantizing magnetic field, Phys. Rev. Res. 2, 033511 (2020).
- [21] A. C. Potter, I. Kimchi, and A. Vishwanath, Quantum oscillations from surface fermi arcs in weyl and dirac semimetals, Nature Communications 5, 5161 (2014).
- [22] P. J. W. Moll, N. L. Nair, T. Helm, A. C. Potter, I. Kimchi, A. Vishwanath, and J. G. Analytis, Transport evidence for fermi-arc-mediated chirality transfer in the dirac semimetal cd3as2, Nature 535, 266 (2016).
- [23] A. Narayanan, M. D. Watson, S. F. Blake, N. Bruyant, L. Drigo, Y. L. Chen, D. Prabhakaran, B. Yan, C. Felser, T. Kong, P. C. Canfield, and A. I. Coldea, Linear magnetoresistance caused by mobility fluctuations in *n*-doped cd<sub>3</sub>as<sub>2</sub>, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 117201 (2015).
- [24] E. V. Gorbar, V. A. Miransky, I. A. Shovkovy, and P. O. Sukhachov, Origin of dissipative fermi arc transport in weyl semimetals, Phys. Rev. B 93, 235127 (2016).
- [25] J.-M. Hou, Hidden-symmetry-protected topological semimetals on a square lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 130403 (2013).
- [26] J.-M. Hou, Moving and merging of dirac points on a square lattice and hidden symmetry protection, Phys. Rev. B 89, 235405 (2014).
- [27] S. M. Young and C. L. Kane, Dirac semimetals in two dimensions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 126803 (2015).
- [28] S. Α. Yang, Dirac and weyl materials: Fundamental aspects and some spintronapplications, SPIN 06. (2016),ics 1640003 https://doi.org/10.1142/S2010324716400038.
- [29] H. Isobe and N. Nagaosa, Coulomb interaction effect in weyl fermions with tilted energy dispersion in two dimensions, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 116803 (2016).
- [30] J. Kim, S. S. Baik, S. W. Jung, Y. Sohn, S. H. Ryu, H. J. Choi, B.-J. Yang, and K. S. Kim, Two-dimensional dirac fermions protected by space-time inversion symmetry in black phosphorus, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 226801 (2017).
- [31] J.-Y. You, C. Chen, Z. Zhang, X.-L. Sheng, S. A. Yang, and G. Su, Two-dimensional weyl half-semimetal and tunable quantum anomalous hall effect, Phys. Rev. B 100, 064408 (2019).
- [32] Y. Guo, Z. Lin, J.-Q. Zhao, J. Lou, and Y. Chen, Twodimensional tunable dirac/weyl semimetal in non-abelian gauge field, Scientific Reports 9, 18516 (2019).
- [33] X. Feng, J. Zhu, W. Wu, and S. A. Yang, Twodimensional topological semimetals<sup>\*</sup>, Chinese Physics B 30, 107304 (2021).
- [34] Y. J. Jin, B. B. Zheng, X. L. Xiao, Z. J. Chen, Y. Xu, and H. Xu, Two-dimensional dirac semimetals without inversion symmetry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 116402 (2020).
- [35] W. Meng, X. Zhang, Y. Liu, L. Wang, X. Dai, and

G. Liu, Two-dimensional weyl semimetal with coexisting fully spin-polarized type-i and type-ii weyl points, Applied Surface Science **540**, 148318 (2021).

- [36] C.-A. Li, S.-J. Choi, S.-B. Zhang, and B. Trauzettel, Dirac states in an inclined two-dimensional su-schriefferheeger model, Phys. Rev. Res. 4, 023193 (2022).
- [37] E. V. C. Lopes, R. J. Baierle, R. H. Miwa, and T. M. Schmidt, Noncentrosymmetric two-dimensional weyl semimetals in porous si/ge structures (2023), arXiv:2305.05756 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci].
- [38] S. Ryu, A. P. Schnyder, A. Furusaki, and A. W. W. Ludwig, Topological insulators and superconductors: tenfold way and dimensional hierarchy, New Journal of Physics 12, 065010 (2010).
- [39] P. Hořava, Stability of fermi surfaces and k theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 016405 (2005).
- [40] Y. X. Zhao and Z. D. Wang, Topological classification and stability of fermi surfaces, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 240404 (2013).
- [41] S. Matsuura, P.-Y. Chang, A. P. Schnyder, and S. Ryu, Protected boundary states in gapless topological phases, New Journal of Physics 15, 065001 (2013).
- [42] Y. X. Zhao and Z. D. Wang, Topological connection between the stability of fermi surfaces and topological insulators and superconductors, Phys. Rev. B 89, 075111 (2014).
- [43] T. c. v. Bzdušek and M. Sigrist, Robust doubly charged nodal lines and nodal surfaces in centrosymmetric systems, Phys. Rev. B 96, 155105 (2017).
- [44] J.-Y. You, C. Chen, Z. Zhang, X.-L. Sheng, S. A. Yang, and G. Su, Two-dimensional weyl half-semimetal and tunable quantum anomalous hall effect, Phys. Rev. B 100, 064408 (2019).
- [45] Y. Shi, L. Li, X. Cui, T. Song, and Z. Liu, Mnnbr monolayer: A high-temperature ferromagnetic halfmetal with type-ii weyl fermions, physica status solidi (RRL) – Rapid Research Letters 15, 2100115, https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/pssr.202100115.
- [46] G.-G. Li, R.-R. Xie, L.-J. Ding, W.-X. Ji, S.-S. Li, C.-W. Zhang, P. Li, and P.-J. Wang, Two-dimensional weyl semi-half-metallic nics3 with a band structure control-lable by the direction of magnetization, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 23, 12068 (2021).
- [47] T. He, X. Zhang, Y. Liu, X. Dai, G. Liu, Z.-M. Yu, and Y. Yao, Ferromagnetic hybrid nodal loop and switchable type-i and type-ii weyl fermions in two dimensions, Phys. Rev. B 102, 075133 (2020).
- [48] X.-P. Wei, N. Yang, J. Shen, and X. Tao, Ferromagnetic weyl semimetals and quantum anomalous hall effect in 2d half-metallic mn2nt2, Physica E: Low-dimensional Systems and Nanostructures 140, 115164 (2022).
- [49] X. Zou, N. Mao, B. Li, W. Sun, B. Huang, Y. Dai, and C. Niu, Antiferromagnetic topological crystalline insulator and mixed weyl semimetal in two-dimensional npas monolayer, New Journal of Physics 23, 123018 (2021).
- [50] T. Jia, W. Meng, H. Zhang, C. Liu, X. Dai, X. Zhang, and G. Liu, Weyl fermions in vi3 monolayer, Frontiers in Chemistry 8, 10.3389/fchem.2020.00722 (2020).
- [51] F. Abdulla, G. Murthy, and A. Das, Topological nodal line semimetals with chiral symmetry (2023), arXiv:2311.18667 [cond-mat.mes-hall].
- [52] F. Abdulla, G. Murthy, and A. Das, Stable nodal line semimetals in the chiral classes in three dimensions (2023), arXiv:2401.02966 [cond-mat.mes-hall].

- [53] N. P. Armitage, E. J. Mele, and A. Vishwanath, Weyl and dirac semimetals in three-dimensional solids, Rev. Mod. Phys. **90**, 015001 (2018).
- [54] Y. Chen, Y.-M. Lu, and H.-Y. Kee, Topological crystalline metal in orthorhombic perovskite iridates, Nature Communications 6, 6593 (2015).
- [55] S. Konschuh, M. Gmitra, and J. Fabian, Tight-binding theory of the spin-orbit coupling in graphene, Phys. Rev. B 82, 245412 (2010).
- [56] D. Kochan, S. Irmer, and J. Fabian, Model spin-orbit coupling hamiltonians for graphene systems, Phys. Rev. B 95, 165415 (2017).
- [57] C. Li, S. Lin, G. Zhang, and Z. Song, Topological nodal points in two coupled su-schrieffer-heeger chains, Phys. Rev. B 96, 125418 (2017).
- [58] E. Fradkin, Critical behavior of disordered degenerate semiconductors. i. models, symmetries, and formalism, Phys. Rev. B 33, 3257 (1986).
- [59] E. Fradkin, Critical behavior of disordered degenerate semiconductors. ii. spectrum and transport properties in mean-field theory, Phys. Rev. B 33, 3263 (1986).
- [60] P. Goswami and S. Chakravarty, Quantum criticality between topological and band insulators in 3+1 dimensions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 196803 (2011).
- [61] A. Altland and D. Bagrets, Effective field theory of the disordered weyl semimetal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 257201 (2015).
- [62] K. Kobayashi, T. Ohtsuki, K.-I. Imura, and I. F. Herbut, Density of states scaling at the semimetal to metal transition in three dimensional topological insulators, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 016402 (2014).
- [63] B. Sbierski, G. Pohl, E. J. Bergholtz, and P. W. Brouwer,

Quantum transport of disordered weyl semimetals at the nodal point, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 026602 (2014).

- [64] T. Louvet, D. Carpentier, and A. A. Fedorenko, On the disorder-driven quantum transition in three-dimensional relativistic metals, Phys. Rev. B 94, 220201 (2016).
- [65] B. Roy, R.-J. Slager, and V. Juričić, Global phase diagram of a dirty weyl liquid and emergent superuniversality, Phys. Rev. X 8, 031076 (2018).
- [66] R. Nandkishore, D. A. Huse, and S. L. Sondhi, Rare region effects dominate weakly disordered threedimensional dirac points, Phys. Rev. B 89, 245110 (2014).
- [67] J. H. Pixley, D. A. Huse, and S. Das Sarma, Rare-regioninduced avoided quantum criticality in disordered threedimensional dirac and weyl semimetals, Phys. Rev. X 6, 021042 (2016).
- [68] J. H. Pixley, D. A. Huse, and S. Das Sarma, Uncovering the hidden quantum critical point in disordered massless dirac and weyl semimetals, Phys. Rev. B 94, 121107 (2016).
- [69] J. H. Pixley, Y.-Z. Chou, P. Goswami, D. A. Huse, R. Nandkishore, L. Radzihovsky, and S. Das Sarma, Single-particle excitations in disordered weyl fluids, Phys. Rev. B 95, 235101 (2017).
- [70] F. Abdulla, Pairwise annihilation of weyl nodes by magnetic fields in the hofstadter regime (2023), arXiv:2312.02463 [cond-mat.mes-hall].
- [71] F. Abdulla, A. Das, S. Rao, and G. Murthy, Timereversal-broken Weyl semimetal in the Hofstadter regime, SciPost Phys. Core 5, 014 (2022).