

# **A contribution to discern the true impact of covid-19 on human mortality**

**Stefano Barone**

University of Palermo

Department of Agricultural, Food and Forestry Sciences

Address: Viale delle Scienze, building 4 - 90128 Palermo, Italy

stefano.barone@unipa.it

## **ABSTRACT**

The years 2020 and 2021 were characterized by the covid-19 pandemic. The true impact of the pandemic on populations' health and life has still to be completely discerned.

Objective difficulties to account deaths due to covid-19, determined the risk of underestimating such impact, hence official mortality data started to be examined.

The main objective of this work is to discern the true impact of covid-19 in EU during the crucial years 2020 and 2021.

The mortality trends, already increasing in EU in pre-pandemic years, are modelled.

The excess mortality attributable to covid-19, on yearly basis, is estimated via a novel strategy combining datasets from different official sources. Considering demographic and geographic factors, new indexes are formulated, which allow rankings of EU countries, and socio-political and economic reflections. The new indexes here formulated provide a different perspective to see the relative impact of the pandemic in

EU.

This work, also in line with the conclusions of previously published authoritative papers on demographic studies of excess mortality, represents an original methodology, which timely implemented, can be at the service of public decision makers for future emerging needs.

### **Keywords**

Covid-19, Coronavirus, pandemic demographic impact, excess mortality.

## **INTRODUCTION**

The year 2020 was characterized by the onset of covid-19, a disease due to the SARS-COV-2 virus, which drastically affected humanity, found unprepared and without adequate treatments.

Pharmaceutical companies were called to promptly develop vaccines, products that normally take longer time to be ready.

As from the beginning of 2021, the pandemic was still ongoing, but also vaccinations started. Today it is possible to make a balance of 2020 and 2021 in terms of the worst possible effect of the disease, i.e. the human mortality. *Zagheni (2021)* reflects upon the high importance of understanding the real effect of covid pandemic on mortality and on the acceleration and amplification of demographic effects, which requires bigger research efforts to be fully understood.

It is also important in demographic research the opportunity of cross-national comparisons and reasoning on the effects of the pandemic, not only in terms of mortality but also fertility (*Merchant 2021; Zhao 2021*).

Recent studies focused on daily reported number of deaths related to covid-19 (*Millimet & Parmeter 2022*), on clinical footprint to infer about covid-19 mortality (*Rodríguez & Mena 2022*). Approaches and metrics were suggested, highlighting limitations concerning the data collection and analysis. It was noted that different countries have defined and used different protocols to test the most severe cases and to report the eventual cause of death of a patient as related to covid-19. However, even in the same country, such a major issue of death counting occurred (*Cireraa et al. 2021*). Analysis of the covid mortality data in different countries, shows large discrepancies; however, even in a limited geographical region, there has been manifested variation of pandemic impact (*Breen & Ermisch 2021*). Some demographic studies deepen the links between covid mortality and age (*Medford & Trias-Llimós 2020*). The number of deaths was highly correlated with the number of cases; the case fatality rate seemed to slowly converge towards a common value across countries. Through the analysis of time series, a time lag between cases and deaths was found, different from country to country, due to different covid-19 testing practices, living conditions, healthcare protocols, population demographics, climate, and others (*Barone & Chakhunashvili, 2022*). Pandemic has developed in waves. Some countries suffered a mortality rate higher in the second wave than in the first. The opposite happened in other countries (*Morwinsky, Nitsche & Acosta 2021*).

All these studies, although well documented and methodologically sound, collapse if the data on mortality due to covid are not reliable.

With the cumulated data and acquired knowledge, and due to the above-mentioned problems of accounting, after the first two years of pandemic – the most dramatic – an updated balance can be made by looking also at the *excess mortality*.

Studies on excess mortality with established methods and models are not new in demography (see e.g. *Nepomuceno et al 2022* and references therein), as well as in epidemiology (see e.g. *Fouillet et al. 2006; Housworth & Langmuir 1974*).

The main research question was: why don't look at the excess mortality data for 2020 and 2021, trying to better understand the impact of covid-19?

As an illustrative example, this is a simple analysis made in the end of 2020. Over the month of November 2020 in Italy there were 76291 officially reported deaths (data source: *ISTAT 2022*). In the previous five years (2015-2019), the average number of deaths in the same month was 51462 (data source: *ISTAT 2022*). So, in November 2020 there were 24829 more deaths. However, only 16583 deaths were officially reported as due to covid-19 (data source: *ECDC 2022*). How to justify 8246 excessive deaths in one single month?

In France it was promptly observed the considerable excess mortality which occurred in the period March-April 2020 and the variability between European countries, and within countries (*Dahoo & Gaudy, 2020*). Having sample data, comorbidities and institutional factors can be considered as covariates in the analysis of covid mortality (*Rodriguez & Mena 2022; Najera & Ortega-Avila 2021*). Some authors calculated the excess mortality due to covid in Italian small islands in 2020-2021, noting that small and rather isolated communities were characterized by lower mortality rates (*Riccò et al. 2022*).

Rapid analysis of mortality during an epidemic is a priority for surveillance and control, and the excess mortality may be partly due to the epidemic disease, and partly due to the excess burden caused by the disease within the health care system (*Setel et al. 2020*).

Researchers found that many covariates may have had either positive or negative effect on mortality during the pandemic, however their conjoint effect is nowadays considered neutral.

In densely populated and complex countries as Brazil, the use of excess mortality to assess the pandemic impact and for epidemiological surveillance was promptly used (*Freitas et al. 2020*).

The comparison between excess mortality and officially reported covid-related mortality was examined with data of many countries worldwide (*Achilleos et al. 2022*), but until August 2020. Also in Iran (*Safavi-Naini et al. 2022*), and in Russia (*Kobak 2021*) it was observed a big discrepancy between excess mortality and covid-related mortality, supporting the adoption of excess mortality as an indicator of the true pandemic impact.

Recent studies (*Karlinsky & Kobak 2021; Wang et al. 2022; Msemburi et al. 2022*) involving many countries worldwide, found that the excess mortality due to covid is much higher than the officially reported one and also highly variable between countries. A very recent article (*Śleszyński et al 2023*), analyzing the mortality data in Europe on a detailed spatial scale, shows that the SARS-COV-2 virus might have produced its fatal effects largely before the year 2020.

Based on excess mortality data, it was also noted that covid-19 was the third cause of deaths in 2020 in the USA (*Fricker 2021*). Statistical methods for handling excess mortality data were set up and tested during the first wave of pandemic in Italy (*Henry et al. 2022*).

The first evidences of the use of the excess mortality to assess the impact of covid pandemic, together with the evidence of deficiencies in data collection of covid cases

and related deaths, convinced also the WHO to institute a committee of experts to deepen the work on excess mortality, which brought to light impressive results starting from mid-2022 (“*Estimates of Excess Mortality...*” 2022, *World Health Organization online document 2023, Knutson et al 2022*).

*Nepomuceno et al (2022)* show the results of a brilliant work (sensitivity analysis) where they compare the use of several different mortality indexes, several expected mortality models, several different time series lengths, and several different time scales of data, to evaluate the effect on the estimation of excess mortality. The work here presented is aligned with their conclusions. In addition, in this work, data from a rather homogeneous, but still wide territory, (the 27 EU countries) are collected and analyzed. The method of analysis deviates from the currently adopted methods thanks to an original way of combining data sources.

A notation specification. Revising the wide and cross-disciplinary literature on the topic, it was found a distinction between:

$$\text{Mortality rate} = \frac{\text{number of deaths}}{\text{population}}$$

$$\text{Case fatality rate} = \frac{\text{number of deaths due to a disease}}{\text{number of people affected by the disease}}$$

Hereby, it will be defined an “(all cause) mortality” as the number of deaths generally occurred and “covid mortality” as the number of deaths to be ascribed to the covid disease.

This article is so structured: in Section 2, to fix the problem, a simple descriptive analysis of all-cause mortality data in Italy for the years 2020 and 2021 is presented, highlighting the dramatic impact of the first two epidemic picks. Widening the perspective, to show the pandemic effect in a more general, but still homogeneous,

scenario, Section 3 focuses on the trends of all-cause mortality in the 27 EU countries, highlighting the unambiguous effect of pandemic. In Section 4, still extended at EU level, the focus is on the excess mortality and its derivation through statistical modelling. Section 5 is devoted to the estimation strategy of true covid-19 mortality. The excess mortality is used to discern the covid mortality, unveiling the discrepancies between what officially declared and what can be more realistically inducted from data. Section 6 is focused on the formulation of indexes, explicating the discrepancy and the relative fatal impact of the pandemic, taking into account also countries' populations and geographic areas. Section 7 summarizes the methods used in this research work and their justification, gives the conclusions and future perspectives.

## **DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS OF ALL-CAUSE MORTALITY DATA IN ITALY IN 2020-2021**

Due to the problems concerning the classification of covid related deaths, researchers started analyzing data concerning all-cause mortality. An exploratory analysis, which can be taken as a first example, concerns the case of Italy, which has been considered as the most impacted country in EU, especially in the pandemic outbreak period. The dramatic impact of the covid-19 pandemic in Italy in 2020 in terms of mortality was already well documented (*Rovetta & Bhagavathula 2022*).

Data for this analysis were downloaded by the Italian Institute of Statistics (*ISTAT 2022*). Data concerning all-cause mortality in Italy can be considered unaffected by uncertainty. The mortality data from all causes on the Italian territory in the years 2020-2021 and for the previous five-year period 2015-2019 showed that in 2020 there were two major peaks in mortality compared to the past: in the periods March-April and

October-December. Also in the other months, the mortality seemed to be higher than in the past. Overall, in the twelve months of 2020, there were over 100,000 deaths more than the average of the previous five-years. Concerning 2021, two peaks in mortality could still be observed in the periods March-April and October-December, but lower than in 2020 (note that these two periods in 2021 were affected by lockdown, i.e. tight restrictions to people circulation). For the rest of the year, the overall mortality did not differ much from 2020, being even higher in some months. Overall, in 2021 there were about 46,000 excessive deaths than in average 2015-2019.

## **MORTALITY TRENDS IN THE EU COUNTRIES**

A research question is: how does mortality in 2020-2021 relate to the trend of the previous years? The analysis shall be extended to a sample of countries large enough to allow general considerations, but not too large, to assure a rather homogeneous population. Therefore, in this research work, the analysis was limited to the 27 EU countries. All-cause mortality data were downloaded from the official database *EUROSTAT (2022)*.

Figure 1 shows the time series of the all-cause mortality data for the 27 EU countries (plots in alphabetic order). Stationary trends with a spike in 2020/2021 can be observed only in few countries, while in most of the countries the mortality trend was already increasing. Except for the case of Ireland, showing a steady increasing trend, the other trends show a sharp acceleration in 2020. A deceleration in 2021 appears only in some cases (visibly, Belgium, Italy, Luxembourg, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden).



## **EXCESS MORTALITY ESTIMATION IN THE EU COUNTRIES.**

The time series analysis of all-cause mortality in 2020 and 2021 for the EU countries shifts the reasoning to the excess mortality. The excess mortality is systematically studied and officially reported by the EU agency *EUROMOMO (2022)*. The excess mortality, in a certain period, is the difference between the number of occurred deaths, compared to what expected, so called “mortality baseline”, in turn estimated from the past. They adopt a Poisson g.l.m., corrected for overdispersion (see *EUROMOMO* website, Methods section, and related references). The excess mortality in the whole Europe, cumulated up to the end of 2020 and the one cumulated up to the end of 2021 were very close to each other, and both were very different from the previous three years. This confirms that 2021 was characterized by the presence of a special cause of mortality still dramatically weighing on the European population.

The analysis of the excess all-cause mortality, restricted to the case of the age group 0-14 years in Europe was different. The cumulated excess mortality in 2021, lower than in 2020 in the first nine months of the year, tended to increase at the end of the year. However, examining the previous years, it was realized that the 2021 trend was in line with the (pre-pandemic) past; indeed 2020 was exceptional, since lockdown periods had probably reduced the mortality due to accidental causes in that age group.

The idea is to split the time series 2010-2021 in two periods, the pre-pandemic period 2010-2019 and the two following years 2020-2021. The model adopted for the mortality time series in the pre-pandemic period and to forecast years 2020 and 2021 is a *double exponential smoothing* (also called Holt’s exponential smoothing).

The model is basically made of two components, *level* and *trend*, at each time point (*year* in this case). The model uses two *smoothing constants*,  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  ( $0 \leq \alpha, \gamma \leq$

1), updating the two components at each time point.

The updating equations are:

$$L_t = \alpha \cdot Y_t + (1 - \alpha) \cdot [L_{t-1} + T_{t-1}] \quad (1)$$

$$T_t = \gamma [L_t - L_{t-1}] + (1 - \gamma) T_{t-1} \quad (2)$$

where:

$L_t$  is the *level* at time  $t$

$T_t$  is the *trend* at time  $t$

$Y_t$  is the (mortality) data value at time  $t$

The equation (1) tells that the level of the data at time point  $t$  is partially explained by the registered value  $Y$  at that time and partially explained by the forecasting (level + slope) from the previous time point.

The equation (2) tells that the trend (slope) of the data series at time point  $t$  can be partially explained by the gap of levels between  $t$  and  $t-1$  and partially explained by the trend estimated at the previous time point.

Initial values for level and trend ( $L_0 ; T_0$ ) and the smoothing constants are estimated through an *optimal ARIMA(0,2,2)* model fitted to 2010-2019 data.

The forecasts for time points  $t + 1$  and  $t + 2$  are given by:

$$\hat{Y}_{t+1} = L_t + T_t \quad (3)$$

$$\hat{Y}_{t+2} = L_t + 2 T_t \quad (4)$$

Prediction limits (lower bound LB, upper bound UB) are based on the *mean absolute deviation* (MAD) accuracy measure; formulas are given in *Farnum & Stanton*

(1989).

Calculations were done in MINITAB<sup>®</sup>, forecasting the all-cause mortality for 2020 and 2021, for the 27 EU countries. Figure 2 shows an example of the MINITAB output. The original time series of deaths, the smoothed series, and the forecasting of the years 2020 and 2021 (predicted values and confidence intervals) are plotted in the left upper corner of the Figure. The upper right side of the Figure shows the analyses of residuals, which were satisfactory for all of the 27 EU countries.

All predicted values of mortality in 2020 and 2021 (point and interval estimates), based on trends 2010-2019, calculated through the adopted method, are reported in Table 1 (together with the actual deaths provided by EUROSTAT). From the Table it can be noted that the actual deaths registered in 2020 and 2021, lie outside the prediction confidence intervals in most of the cases.

## **USING THE EXCESS ALL-CAUSE MORTALITY TO BETTER ESTIMATE COVID MORTALITY.**

In this Section, it is illustrated how the estimated excess mortality can be utilized to better estimate the covid mortality. The last six columns of Table 1 provide the estimated excess mortality (henceforth abbreviated EM), the "covid cases" (abbreviated CC) and the official deaths "by covid" (abbreviated DC), for 2020 and 2021.

By plotting the data in 3D scatterplots (both for 2020 and 2021), it was evident a clear correlation between EM, CC, and DC. To be noted that the three variables are independently derived. Moreover, by projecting the 3D scatterplots on the three coordinate planes, it was possible to note that:

1. the strongest correlations concerned DC-CC and DC-EM;

2. simple linear regression models could not be adopted. In fact, an inflated variation by increasing values of the “x” and “y”, makes inappropriate the linear model in the original variables, suggesting a log transformation;
3. an increase of variation from 2020 to 2021 was evident.

A summary of the regression analyses DC vs. CC and DC vs. EM for the year 2020 is shown in Figure 3. Note that the regression analysis DC-EM for year 2020 is done on 26 data points instead of 27, being excluded Denmark, since a negative predicted EM made the log transformation impossible.

The regression models in log-log scales are well fitting.

The following statistical analysis strategy is: by using the two regression models, it is possible to predict DC in two different ways: for a given CC, and for a given EM. So, separately for each country, it is possible to derive two values of predicted DC, which can be compared to the officially declared value of DC. This strategy is followed because, for the above discussed issues, there is less uncertainty in CC and EM, than on officially declared DC.

The results are reported in Table 2 for 2020 and 2021, while Figure 4a (only for 2020) plots the values of Table 2 in log scale, to make an easier comparison between the countries. From Figure 4a it is possible to note that the predicted DC by CC (orange dash symbol) is sometimes higher and sometimes lower than the DC predicted by EM (blue dash symbol). The numbers of the two cases are almost the same (14 vs. 13). This is an outstanding result, meaning that the two predictive methods are balanced.

The officially declared DC (black cross symbol) lies within the two predicted values only in some cases, while in most of the cases it lies over or below the prediction

interval. This implies that the declared DC can be either considered *reliable* or not.

## **DISCREPANCY AND FATALITY INDEXES.**

During the most dramatic years of the pandemic, 2020 and 2021, there have been continuous and exhausting debates on the true numbers of deaths due to covid, compared to those officially declared by the various countries.

With the previous methodology, having been able to estimate the covid mortality data with higher precision, it is therefore possible to determine a *discrepancy index*, which can be useful for making critical reasoning and comparisons between countries.

To define a discrepancy index, it is firstly necessary to *standardize* the numbers of deaths by using reference population numbers (source: EUROSTAT database, populations 2019; data reported on Table 3, second column).

Let us define:

$d_1$  = standardized min predicted DC

$d_2$  = standardized max predicted DC

$d_3$  = standardized officially declared DC.

Being min and max predicted DC, respectively the smallest and the largest of the two DC values predicted by CC and EM, see Figure 4a.

The calculated values of  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$  and  $d_3$  are reported in Table 3 and plotted (only for 2020) in Figure 4b, showing that there were some outstanding cases (countries 23-Romania, 26-Spain, 27-Sweden).

However, a complete comparison shall be made by considering at least two aspects:

1. The distance  $d_2 - d_1$ , i.e. the distance between the maximum and the minimum standardized predicted DC, for such a distance (meaning prediction uncertainty) “the lower the better”.
2. The absolute value of the distance between the standardized declared DC and the mean value of the two standardized predicted DC. The lower this distance (meaning that the declared DC is close to the “most realistic value”), the better.

Based on the previous considerations, a composite *discrepancy index* is so formulated:

$$I_D = (d_2 - d_1) + \left| d_3 - \frac{1}{2}(d_1 + d_2) \right| \quad (5)$$

The discrepancy index  $I_D$  considers the two previous aspects, giving them the same importance. Table 3 reports the calculated discrepancy index for 2020 and 2021. Figure 5a,b plots the discrepancy index in bar charts (sorted from high to low discrepancy), showing that the worst case (Spain) largely outperformed all others in 2020, while also Romania was in a very critical situation in 2021.

From the previous analyses, assumed that a measure of the pandemic impact can be the mean predicted DC (henceforth denoted  $\overline{DC}$ ), it is possible to make further considerations.

Certainly, the absolute value of the number of deaths is meaningful. However, relative weight should also be considered. It could be objected that the number of deaths should be referred to the corresponding population, since there is no doubt that one thing is to suffer ten deaths over a population of one hundred subjects, another is to

suffer ten deaths over a population of one thousand subjects, being the first case intuitively worse than the second.

It could also be objected that mortality should also be seen in relation to a pertaining geographical area, since one thing is to suffer the loss of ten individuals over a territory that is a hundred square kilometers; another thing is to refer to a territory of one thousand square kilometers. The second case being intuitively less critical.

Therefore, with data of  $\overline{DC}$ , population ( $P$ ), and land area ( $A$ ) for the EU countries (see Table 3), a pandemic fatal impact index  $I_F$  can be calculated:

$$I_F = \overline{DC} / (P \cdot A) \quad (6)$$

The bar chart of Figure 5c shows the calculated  $I_F$  (Table 3) sorted from the highest to the lowest. Looking at this chart, the interpretation of the pandemic fatal impact radically changes respect to the common opinion, indicating that the small country of Malta was impressively impacted, much distantly from other EU countries.

By removing the cases of the very small countries Cyprus, Luxembourg and Malta, causing a crushing of the other bars, it becomes more visible the relative fatal impact on the other countries (Figure 5d).

A note should be reserved to Sweden, since its “land area” includes a vast inhabited area due to severe climatic reasons. If realistically considering the 50% of the area, Sweden would lie between Estonia and Italy in 2020 and between Italy and Poland in 2021, so in a bit more critical position.

In this kind of analysis (index  $I_F$ ), the small countries are penalized. However, this is the reality, unless making an absurd reasoning so that the higher population density, higher losses the better. In this case the land area  $A$  would be placed in the nominator of

the ratio (6).

Hence, to mitigate the effect of land area, and to complete the framework of these comparative analyses, by removing  $A$  from (6), another index is derived, which can be considered as a covid fatality rate, given by the ratio of the mean predicted DC and the population size:

$$I'_F = \overline{DC}/P \quad (7)$$

The bar charts of Figure 5e,f show the calculated  $I'_F$  sorted from the highest to the lowest for 2020 and 2021. These plots depict a more balanced situation between the EU countries, but a fatality ranking that changes quite much from 2020 to 2021 and gives a picture of the pandemic impact rather distant from the common opinion.

## CONCLUSIONS

This work was based on accurate statistical analysis and limited use of inferential methods by using population datasets. The aim is to reach a wide readership, being the topic globally important and the involved issues still needing clarifications and better solutions for the future. It was given importance to clear tables and graphics.

A remarkable excess mortality occurred in 2020 worldwide, nowadays clearly attributed to covid-19 pandemic. At EU level, the excess mortality in 2020 due to covid-19 is hereby estimated in 338,401 deaths (sum of “ $\overline{DC}$  (2020)” column in Table 3). The year 2020 was the year of the pandemic outbreak, with rather free circulation of the new virus, absence of vaccines and adequate treatments. For completeness of reasoning, and to be consistent with other studies on populations, the year 2021 was involved in this analysis, as it was characterized by better knowledge on the virus, vaccinations and



more adequate treatments.

Looking at the excess mortality for 2021, compared to 2020 and to the past, unfortunately we don't see any improvement. Excess mortality due to covid in EU in 2021 is here estimated in about 448,420 deaths. So, even higher than 2020 and significantly higher than in pre-pandemic years.

The estimates of excess mortality are based on predictive models that consider the previous history. Therefore, with the time passing, if considering the years 2020 and 2021, characterized by such a jump of mortality, the baseline will be inflated, so that we will be less and less aware of the dramatic effect of covid, in terms of mortality.

This analysis referred to EU countries where the management of the pandemic was rather homogeneous, due to common EU directives. In other countries like for example China, South Korea and Australia, the management of the pandemic has been deployed in completely different ways.

This work, in line with the conclusions of previously published papers on demographic studies of excess mortality, represents an original methodology, which regularly implemented, can constitute a toolset at the service of public decision makers for future emerging needs.

## **DATA AVAILABILITY**

All necessary data for the analyses presented in this article, were extracted, and downloaded from the official websites of ISTAT (2022), ECDC (2022), EUROSTAT (2022) and EUROMOMO (2022). Computations were done by MS Excel and MINITAB. Datasets and calculation files are available on a public web repository.

## REFERENCES

- Achilleos, S., et al. Excess all-cause mortality and covid-19-related mortality: a temporal analysis in 22 countries, from January until August 2020. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 51, 35-53. <https://doi.org:10.1093/ije/dyab123> (2022).
- Barone, S., Chakhunashvili, A. “Pandemetrics: systematically assessing, monitoring, and controlling the evolution of a pandemic”. *Qual. Quant.*, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-022-01424-7> (2022).
- Breen R, Ermisch J. The distributional impact of COVID-19: Geographic variation in mortality in England. *Demogr Res.* (2021) 44:397–414. 10.4054/DemRes.2021.44.17.
- Cireraa, L., Segurac, A., Hernándezb, I. Defunciones por COVID-19: no están todas las que son y no son todas las que están. *Gac. Sanit.* 35, 590–593 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaceta.2020.06.006> (2021).
- Dahoo, U., Gaudy, L. “In France, as in Europe, a peak in excess mortality linked to covid-19 at the end of March-beginning of April”. *INSEE FOCUS* n.200, published on: 07/29/2020 <https://www.insee.fr/fr/statistiques/4637552> (2020).
- ECDC – European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control | Homepage. Retrieved December 20th, 2022, from <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en>
- Estimates of Excess Mortality Attributable to Covid-19. *Popul. Dev. Rev.* 2022 Sep;48(3):908-910. doi: 10.1111/padr.12514.
- EUROMOMO - European Mortality Monitoring Project. Retrieved December 20th, 2022, from [www.euromomo.eu/graphs-and-maps](http://www.euromomo.eu/graphs-and-maps)
- EUROSTAT - Statistical office of the European Union. Retrieved Sept 3, 2022, from <https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/main/data/database>
- Farnum N.R. and Stanton L.W. (1989). *Quantitative Forecasting Methods*. PWS-Kent.

- Fouillet A, Rey G, Laurent F, Pavillon G, Bellec S, Guihenneuc-Jouyaux C, Clavel J, Jouglia E, Hémon D. Excess mortality related to the August 2003 heat wave in France. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*. 2006;80:16–24. doi: 10.1007/s00420-006-0089-4.
- Freitas, A. R. R. et al. Tracking excess deaths associated with the covid-19 epidemic as an epidemiological surveillance strategy-preliminary results of the evaluation of six Brazilian capitals. *Rev. Soc. Bras. Med. Trop.* 53, e20200558 <https://doi.org/10.1590/0037-8682-0558-2020> (2020).
- Fricker, R. D. Covid-19: one year on.... *Significance*. 18, 12-15. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1740-9713.01485> (2021).
- Henry, N. J., Elagali, A., Nguyen, M., Give Chipeta M., Moore C. E. Variation in excess all-cause mortality by age, sex, and province during the first wave of the covid-19 pandemic in Italy. *Sci. Rep.* 12, 1077 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-04993-7> (2022).
- Housworth J, Langmuir AD. Excess mortality from epidemic influenza, 1957-1966. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 1974;100:40–48. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a112007.
- ISTAT – Istituto Nazionale di Statistica. Retrieved Sept 3, 2022, from <https://www.istat.it/it/archivio/240401>
- Karlinsky, A. & Kobak, D. Tracking excess mortality across countries during the COVID-19 pandemic with the World Mortality Dataset. *eLife* 10, e69336 (2021).
- Knutson V, Aleshin-Guendel S, Karlinsky A, Msemburi W, Wakefield J. Estimating Global and Country-Specific Excess Mortality During the COVID-19 Pandemic. arXiv preprint arXiv:220509081. 2022.

- Kobak D. Excess mortality reveals Covid's true toll in Russia. *Significance*. 2021a;18:16–19. doi: 10.1111/1740-9713.01486
- Medford A, Trias-Llimòs S. Population age structure only partially explains the large number of COVID-19 deaths at the oldest ages. *Demographic Research*. 2020;43(19):533–544. doi: 10.4054/DemRes.2020.43.19.
- Merchant, Emily Klancher. “Assessing the Demographic Consequences of the Covid-19 Pandemic.” In *Covid-19 and the Global Demographic Research Agenda*, edited by Landis MacKellar and Rachel Friedman, 37–41. New York: Population Council, 2021.
- Millimet D.L., Parmeter C.F. COVID-19 severity: a new approach to quantifying global cases and deaths. *J. Roy. Stat. Soc.* 2022;185:1178–1215.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/rssa.12826> (2022)
- Morwinsky S, Nitsche N, Acosta E. COVID-19 fatality in Germany: Demographic determinants of variation in case-fatality rates across and within German federal states during the first and second waves. *Demographic Research*. 2021;45(45):1355–1372. doi: 10.4054/DemRes.2021.45.45.
- Msemburi, W., Karlinsky, A., Knutson, V. et al. The WHO estimates of excess mortality associated with the COVID-19 pandemic. *Nature* (2022).  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05522-2>
- Najera, H., Ortega-Avila, A. G., Health and institutional risk factors of covid-19 mortality in Mexico, 2020, *Am. J. Prev. Med.*, 60, 471-7,  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2020.10.015> (2021).
- Nepomuceno MR, Klimkin I, Jdanov DA, Alustiza-Galarza A, Shkolnikov VM. Sensitivity Analysis of Excess Mortality due to the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Popul Dev Rev*. 2022 Jun;48(2):279-302. doi: 10.1111/padr.12475.

- Riccò, M., et al. Excess mortality on Italian small islands during the sars-cov-2 pandemic: an ecological study. *Infect. Dis. Rep.* 14, 391-412. <https://doi:10.3390/idr14030043> (2022).
- Rodríguez, C.E., Mena, R.H.: COVID-19 clinical footprint to infer about mortality. *J. Roy. Stat. Soc.: Series A (Statistics in Society)* (2022), 11.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/rssa.12947>. – DOI 10.1111/rssa.12947. – ISSN 0964–1998
- Rovetta, A., Bhagavathula, A. The impact of covid-19 on mortality in Italy: retrospective analysis of epidemiological trends. *JMIR Public Health Surveill.* 8, e36022  
<https://doi.org:10.2196/36022> (2022)
- Safavi-Naini, S. A. A. et al. Excess all-cause mortality and covid-19 reported fatality in Iran (April 2013–September 2021): age and sex disaggregated time series analysis. *BMC Res. Notes.* 15, 130. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-022-06018-y> (2022).
- Setel, P., et al. Mortality surveillance during the covid-19 pandemic. *Bull. World Health Organ.* 98, 374. <https://doi:10.2471/BLT.20.263194> (2020).
- Śleszyński, P., Kurek, S., Krzysztofik, R., & Owsiniński, J. W. (2023). Do variations in anomalous mortality in Europe in fall and winter of 2019–2020 tell us anything on the timing of SARS-CoV-2 outbreak? *Population, Space and Place*, e2724.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/psp.2724>
- Wang, H. et al. Estimating excess mortality due to the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic analysis of covid-19-related mortality, 2020–21. *Lancet.* 399, 1513-36  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)02796-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02796-3) (2022).
- World Health Organization. Methods for Estimating the Excess Mortality Associated with the COVID-19 Pandemic. (2022). Available online at:  
<https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/world-health-data-platform/covid-19->

excessmortality/who\_methods\_for\_estimating\_the\_excess\_mortality\_associated\_with\_the\_covid-19\_pandemic.pdf?sfvrsn=5a05fa76\_1&download=true (accessed March 3, 2023)

Zagheni, Emilio. “Covid-19: A Tsunami That Amplifies Existing Trends in Demographic Research.” In *Covid-19 and the Global Demographic Research Agenda*, edited by Landis MacKellar and Rachel Friedman, 77–82. New York: *Population Council*, 2021.

Zhao, Zhongwei. “The Influence of the Covid-19 Pandemic on the Study of Macro-social Determinants of Population Health and Mortality.” In *Covid-19 and the Global Demographic Research Agenda*, edited by Landis MacKellar and Rachel Friedman, 83–89. New York: *Population Council*, 2021.

**Table 1. Actual and predicted deaths; Estimated Excess Mortality, Covid Cases, and Deaths by Covid for 2020 and 2021 for the 27 EU countries.**

Country	Country Code	AD2020	Prediction 2020			AD2021	Prediction 2021			Estimated EM (2020)	CC (2020)	DC (2020)	Estimated EM (2021)	CC (2021)	DC (2021)
			LB	EXPD	UB		LB	Expected	UB						
Austria	1	91599	81943	85153	88364	91962	82443	85938	89433	6446	360767	6431	6024	914787	9197
Belgium	2	126896	106409	111057	115706	112331	106245	111455	116664	15839	650041	19819	876	1475862	8563
Bulgaria	3	124735	104997	108823	112648	148995	104781	108903	113026	15912	202266	7515	40092	544842	23375
Croatia	4	57023	50289	52900	55510	62712	50210	53006	55801	4124	210837	3920	9707	504408	8618
Cyprus	5	6422	5544	6174	6805	7110	5616	6296	6977	248	15101	78	814	144176	568
Czechia	6	129289	109039	113359	117680	139891	109614	114245	118877	15930	732567	12016	25646	1752631	24339
Denmark	7	54645	53966	55835	57703	57152	-	56216	-	-1190	156508	907	936	606526	1938
Estonia	8	15811	15345	15775	16206	18587	-	15738	-	36	28393	233	2849	213738	1692
Finland	9	55488	53986	54952	55918	57659	54342	55369	56396	536	36676	598	2290	241354	1150
France	10	669137	607893	627025	646157	657134	613220	635195	657170	42112	2620425	44826	21939	7352375	59135
Germany	11	985572	932157	966461	1000765	1023687	940102	978323	1016545	19111	1754283	51010	45364	5440699	67134
Greece	12	130620	120292	126519	132747	143329	121322	128120	134918	4101	138850	4838	15209	1072003	20790
Hungary	13	141326	126519	131167	135814	156131	126577	131634	136692	10159	322514	9537	24497	933901	29649
Ireland	14	31765	30834	31482	32130	33058	31053	31753	32454	283	91779	2239	1305	696780	3675
Italy	15	746146	612396	648310	684225	709035	613760	652604	691447	97836	2107166	74159	56431	4018517	63243
Latvia	16	28854	27185	28166	29147	34600	27017	28071	29125	688	40904	635	6529	235770	3935
Lithuania	17	43547	36376	38322	40269	47746	35562	37879	40196	5225	147990	1845	9867	378766	5571
Luxembourg	18	4609	4196	4404	4611	4489	4237	4482	4727	205	41272	396	7	58282	407
Malta	19	4084	3492	3755	4017	4163	3545	3825	4106	329	11101	166	338	39696	258
Netherlands	20	168678	151155	155760	160366	170972	152799	157786	162773	12918	795539	11405	13186	2336036	9514
Poland	21	477355	399460	415932	432405	519517	403476	421148	438819	61423	1294878	28554	98369	2813337	68500
Portugal	22	123357	109069	113315	117561	124802	109741	114374	119006	10042	413678	6906	10428	1013820	11989
Romania	23	297039	255712	264713	273713	334473	256484	266069	275654	32326	632263	15767	68404	1176628	42985
Slovakia	24	59089	51964	54054	56144	73461	52075	54318	56561	5035	285091	2250	19143	1090159	14415
Slovenia	25	24016	20287	20942	21597	23261	20492	21198	21905	3074	124034	3025	2063	341757	2885
Spain	26	491602	411958	430694	449429	449270	414323	434687	455052	60908	2009358	54374	14583	4813486	36850
Sweden	27	98124	88166	90981	93796	91958	87874	90930	93987	7143	454758	9817	1028	881840	5534

Notes: AD=Actual Deaths (source: EUROSTAT); LB=Lower Bound; UB=Upper Bound; EXPD=Expected Deaths; EM=Excess Mortality; CC = Covid Cases; DC = Deaths by Covid;

*Table 2. Predicted DC (by CC and by EM) and officially declared DC, for the 27 EU countries in 2020 and 2021.*

Country	Country code	DC (2020) (predicted by CC)	DC (2020) (predicted by EM)	Declared DC (2020)	DC (2021) (predicted by CC)	DC (2021) (predicted by EM)	Declared DC (2021)
Austria	1	6832	5930	6431	9813	8212	9197
Belgium	2	13686	12676	19819	16743	2251	8563
Bulgaria	3	3451	12726	7515	5501	29311	23375
Croatia	4	3625	4065	3920	5047	11311	8618
Cyprus	5	162	377	78	1246	2142	568
Czechia	6	15759	12738	12016	20286	21716	24339
Denmark	7	2550	1151*	907	6201	1151	1938
Estonia	8	340	73	233	1934	4968	1692
Finland	9	460	725	598	2215	4290	1150
France	10	70905	28966	44826	100647	19555	59135
Germany	11	44161	14856	51010	71900	31846	67134
Greece	12	2214	4046	4838	11715	15291	20790
Hungary	13	5985	8709	9537	10042	21058	29649
Ireland	14	1358	422	2239	7240	2941	3675
Italy	15	54823	59057	74159	51256	36872	63243
Latvia	16	523	895	635	2158	8667	3935
Lithuania	17	2387	4965	1845	3665	11437	5571
Luxembourg	18	529	322	396	453	85	407
Malta	19	112	480	166	295	1186	258
Netherlands	20	17369	10670	11405	27964	13894	9514
Poland	21	30862	39849	28554	34418	53543	68500
Portugal	22	8029	8625	6906	11007	11869	11989
Romania	23	13245	23164	15767	12999	41956	42985
Slovakia	24	5175	4812	2250	11936	17845	14415
Slovenia	25	1938	3171	3025	3267	3999	2885
Spain	26	51833	39567	54374	62706	14866	36850
Sweden	27	8978	6467	9817	9419	2506	5534

Notes: \*Estimation based on natural scale regression





*Table 3. Standardized values of min, max predicted and declared DC. Discrepancy index  $I_D$ . Pandemic fatal impact index  $I_F$ .*

Country code	P	A	d1 (2020)	d2 (2020)	d3 (2020)	$I_D$ (2020)	d1 (2021)	d2 (2021)	d3 (2021)	$I_D$ (2021)	$\overline{DC}$ (2020)	$I_F$ (2020)	$\overline{DC}$ (2021)	$I_F$ (2021)
1	8858775	82409	6.4E-02	8.4E-02	8.9E-02	1.6E-04	1.4E-03	1.7E-03	1.6E-03	3.1E-04	6381	8.74E-09	9012	1.23E-08
2	11455519	30280	1.5E-02	2.6E-02	1.8E-02	1.4E-03	4.1E-04	3.0E-03	1.6E-03	2.8E-03	13181	3.80E-08	9497	2.74E-08
3	7000039	108560	1.3E-02	1.8E-02	2.0E-02	7.4E-03	4.2E-03	2.2E-02	1.8E-02	2.2E-02	8088	1.06E-08	17406	2.29E-08
4	4076246	55960	2.6E-03	9.6E-03	5.7E-03	6.2E-06	6.1E-05	1.4E-04	1.0E-04	8.1E-05	3845	1.69E-08	8179	3.59E-08
5	875899	9240	5.3E-03	5.7E-03	7.2E-03	8.3E-05	2.5E-04	4.4E-04	1.2E-04	4.1E-04	269	3.33E-08	1694	2.09E-07
6	10649800	77240	1.2E-03	2.1E-03	2.5E-03	7.8E-05	3.0E-04	3.2E-04	3.6E-04	7.1E-05	14248	1.73E-08	21001	2.55E-08
7	5806081	42430	2.3E-03	2.5E-03	3.6E-03	2.3E-04	1.1E-04	6.1E-04	1.9E-04	6.6E-04	1851	7.51E-09	3676	1.49E-08
8	1324820	42390	1.5E-03	2.5E-03	1.6E-03	6.2E-06	4.1E-05	1.1E-04	3.6E-05	1.0E-04	207	3.68E-09	3451	6.14E-08
9	5517919	303890	2.9E-03	3.1E-03	2.5E-03	2.5E-05	2.1E-04	4.0E-04	1.1E-04	3.9E-04	593	3.53E-10	3253	1.94E-09
10	67012883	547557	1.8E-03	2.3E-03	1.7E-03	1.2E-03	5.1E-04	2.7E-03	1.6E-03	2.2E-03	49936	1.36E-09	60101	1.64E-09
11	83019213	348560	7.6E-04	1.9E-03	1.2E-03	8.4E-04	5.3E-04	1.2E-03	1.1E-03	9.2E-04	29509	1.02E-09	51873	1.79E-09
12	10724599	128900	9.3E-04	1.5E-03	1.5E-03	1.8E-03	6.1E-03	8.0E-03	1.1E-02	5.7E-03	3130	2.26E-09	13503	9.77E-09
13	9772756	90530	5.9E-04	1.2E-03	4.5E-04	2.5E-04	5.2E-04	1.1E-03	1.5E-03	1.3E-03	7347	8.30E-09	15550	1.76E-08
14	4904240	68890	2.5E-04	7.3E-04	8.5E-04	2.6E-04	3.3E-04	8.2E-04	4.1E-04	6.5E-04	890	2.64E-09	5090	1.51E-08
15	60359546	294140	1.0E-03	1.2E-03	1.1E-03	2.1E-03	3.6E-03	5.0E-03	6.2E-03	3.3E-03	56940	3.21E-09	44064	2.48E-09
16	1919968	62200	4.2E-04	4.5E-04	2.0E-04	4.6E-05	2.2E-04	8.9E-04	4.0E-04	8.2E-04	709	5.94E-09	5413	4.53E-08
17	2794184	62674	3.1E-04	4.5E-04	4.9E-04	1.1E-03	9.0E-04	2.8E-03	1.4E-03	2.4E-03	3676	2.10E-08	7551	4.31E-08
18	613894	2590	1.1E-04	2.5E-04	8.9E-05	4.3E-05	1.6E-05	8.3E-05	7.5E-05	9.3E-05	426	2.68E-07	269	1.69E-07
19	493559	320	4.8E-05	1.5E-04	2.5E-04	4.7E-05	2.8E-05	1.1E-04	2.4E-05	1.3E-04	296	1.88E-06	741	4.69E-06
20	17282163	33720	1.9E-04	2.4E-04	1.8E-04	1.3E-03	2.0E-03	4.0E-03	1.4E-03	3.6E-03	14020	2.41E-08	20929	3.59E-08
21	37972812	306230	3.3E-05	7.7E-05	1.6E-05	9.1E-04	2.0E-03	3.1E-03	4.0E-03	2.5E-03	35356	3.04E-09	43980	3.78E-09
22	10276617	91590	5.9E-05	9.7E-05	7.3E-05	7.2E-04	3.9E-03	4.2E-03	4.3E-03	5.1E-04	8327	8.85E-09	11438	1.22E-08
23	19414458	230170	5.4E-05	9.2E-05	6.5E-05	1.4E-02	1.5E-02	4.8E-02	4.9E-02	5.1E-02	18205	4.07E-09	27477	6.15E-09
24	5450421	48088	4.3E-05	6.8E-05	5.6E-05	2.7E-04	1.0E-03	1.6E-03	1.3E-03	5.6E-04	4993	1.91E-08	14891	5.68E-08
25	2080908	20140	1.1E-05	4.5E-05	1.6E-05	8.2E-04	1.6E-03	1.9E-03	1.4E-03	7.1E-04	2555	6.10E-08	3633	8.67E-08
26	46937060	498800	4.4E-05	4.9E-05	4.7E-05	3.4E-02	2.4E-02	1.0E-01	6.0E-02	8.1E-02	45700	1.95E-09	38786	1.66E-09
27	10230185	410340	1.6E-06	7.2E-06	5.0E-06	9.3E-03	5.1E-03	1.9E-02	1.1E-02	1.5E-02	7723	1.84E-09	5962	1.42E-09

Notes: P=Population; d1 = (std) min predicted DC; d2=(std) max predicted DC; d3=(std) declared DC; A= Land Area [km<sup>2</sup>];  $\overline{DC}$  = Mean Predicted DC



Figure 1. All-cause mortality trends 2010-2021 in the 27 EU countries.





### Smoothing Constants

$\alpha$	0.32
$\gamma$	0.41

### Forecasts

Year	Forecast	LB	UB
2020	85153.1	81942.7	88363.5
2021	85937.9	82442.6	89433.3



*Figure 2. Example of double exponential smoothing of the (yearly) all-cause mortality time series 2010-2019 in Austria and forecasting for 2020 and 2021.*



Estimated regression equation  
 $\text{Log}_{10}(\text{DC}_{2020}) = -2.723 + 1.180 \text{Log}_{10}(\text{CC}_{2020})$

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Regression	1	15.9943	15.9943	384.45	0.000
Error	25	1.0401	0.0416		
Total	26	17.0344			




Estimated regression equation:  
 $\text{Log}_{10}(\text{DC}_{2020}) = 0.5538 + 0.8451 \text{Log}_{10}(\text{EM}_{2020})$

Analysis of Variance


Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Regression	1	14.2375	14.2375	146.42	0.000
Error	24	2.3337	0.0972		
Total	25	16.5711			

Figure 3. Regression (log10 transformed) DC vs. CC and DC vs. EM, for the EU countries in 2020.

(a)



(b)



**Figure 4. For the 27 EU countries in 2020: predicted and declared DC (vertical axis in log scale) (a). Standardized min predicted, max predicted and declared DC (b).**









Figure 5. Discrepancy index 2020 (a) and 2021 (b). Fatal impact index 2020-2021 (c). Rescaled plot without Cyprus, Luxembourg, Malta (d). Fatality rate 2020 (e) and 2021 (f).