

Perturbation Spectra of Warm Inflation in $f(Q, T)$ Gravity

Maryam Shiravand^{1,*}, Mehrdad Farhoudi^{1,†} and Parviz Goodarzi^{2‡}

¹*Department of Physics, Shahid Beheshti University, Evin, Tehran 19839, Iran*

²*Department of Physics, Faculty of Basic Sciences,
Ayatollah Boroujerdi University, Boroujerd, Iran*

(Dated: July 10, 2024)

We investigate the warm inflationary scenario within the context of the linear version of $f(Q, T)$ gravity, coupled with both the inflaton scalar field and the radiation field, under the conditions of the strong dissipation regime. First, we calculate the modified Friedmann equations and the modified slow-roll parameters. Subsequently, we apply the slow-roll approximations to derive the scalar power spectrum and the tensor power spectrum. Also, we develop formulations of the scalar and tensor perturbations for the $f(Q, T)$ gravity with the warm inflation scenario. Furthermore, we scrutinize two different forms of the dissipation coefficient, a constant and a function of the inflaton field, to determine the scalar spectral index, the tensor-to-scalar ratio and the temperature for the power-law potential case. By imposing some constraints on the free parameters of the model, we attain results in good agreement with both the Planck 2018 data and the joint Planck, BK15 and BAO data for the tensor-to-scalar ratio, and consistent results aligned with the Planck 2018 data for the scalar spectral index. In addition, the obtained results are within the range of observational data for the amplitude of the scalar power spectrum. Consequently, we are able to revive the power-law potential that was previously ruled out by observational data. Moreover, for both dissipation coefficients, the model leads to a scalar spectral index with the blue and red tilts in agreement with the WMAP three years data.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq; 04.50.Kd; 98.80.Es; 04.25.Nx; 11.25.Db

Keywords: Warm Inflation; Modified Gravity; Scalar Power Spectrum; Tensor Power Spectrum; Cosmological Inflation; Strong Dissipation Regime

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, numerous scientific investigations, both theoretical and observational, have been carried out to comprehend the dynamics of the Universe. The outcomes of these studies align closely with the widely accepted cosmological model known as Λ CDM, which is based on general relativity (GR). Concurrently, surveys examining the cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation have provided crucial insights into the formation and development of the Universe [1, 2]. Despite the overall agreement, certain perplexing concepts like the flatness and horizon problems persist within the standard cosmological model. The concept of inflation has proven to be a highly successful framework for addressing the issues in the standard cosmology. It also serves as a foundation for explaining the generation and evolution of the primordial seeds that give rise to the large-scale structure of the Universe [3–7].

The conventional inflation model consists of two distinct evolutionary phases. The first phase is characterized by an accelerated expansion driven by an inflaton field that has negligible kinetic energy compared to its potential energy, a condition known as slow-roll. Notably, the quantum fluctuations of the inflaton can lead to a period of inflation and provide an explanation for

the origin of large-scale structures [8, 9]. In simpler terms, cosmic inflation produces density perturbations, which can be observed through the measurement of temperature variations in the CMB [10, 11]. On the other hand, a broad range of potential functions capable of describing an inflationary period have been thoroughly investigated and constrained using measurements of CMB anisotropies [12]. In the subsequent stage, known as reheating, the inflaton undergoes decay and gives rise to matter and radiation fields similar to a hot big bang scenario [13]. The major challenge lies in successfully bridging the evolution of the Universe towards the end of this era. One intriguing approach to address this issue involves investigating inflation in the context of the warm inflation scenario. Warm inflation takes into account thermal effects and interactions between the inflaton field and other particles in the Universe [14–17]. These interactions facilitate the continuous transfer of energy from the inflaton to the surrounding particles and create a significant thermal environment. The inclusion of thermal effects introduces dissipation processes and has the potential to produce noticeable deviations from the expected outcomes of cold inflation [18]. This is achieved by introducing a dissipation coefficient [17, 19–21]. The dissipation term can be derived using quantum field theory methods within a two-stage mechanism for the field interaction [22, 23]. Consequently, warm inflation offers an alternative explanation for the emergence of cosmic structure. Thus, the advantages of warm inflation encompass the automatic reheating process at the end of inflation, where the thermal bath begins to surpass the

* ma_shiravand@sbu.ac.ir

† m-farhoudi@sbu.ac.ir

‡ parviz.goodarzi@abru.ac.ir

vacuum energy, as well as the suppression of contributions to the tensor-to-scalar ratio [24]. This feature can revive many inflationary models from the perspective of observational data.

A consequence of the friction term, which characterizes the decay of the inflaton field into the radiation field during inflation, is that the density perturbations are now mainly influenced by larger thermal fluctuations rather than caused by quantum fluctuations [25]. For scalar perturbations, the interaction between the inflaton field and the radiation field leads to entropy perturbations along with adiabatic ones. This arises from the characterization of warm inflation as an inflationary model with two fundamental fields. In this framework, dissipative effects have the capacity to produce a diverse range of spectra [26]. In the large-scale limit of slow-roll conditions, curvature perturbations remain constant and entropy perturbations become negligible [21]. In the literature, there are many works on cosmological perturbations, see, e.g., Refs. [21, 25, 27–33].

On the other hand, while GR has been successful in predicting cosmological phenomena (see, e.g., Refs. [34, 35]), it is not ideal for explaining the existence of dark sectors (dark matter and dark energy) and their impacts on the dynamics of the Universe, which align well with observational data [36–40]. Such shortcomings have prompted researchers to explore alternative theories of gravity, see, e.g., Refs. [41–48]. Various modified theories of gravity have also been investigated in the context of inflationary cosmology, with the aim of providing better alignment between their predictions and observational data [3, 49–58].

Alternative approaches in modifying GR involve exploring geometries beyond the Riemannian framework, such as torsion and nonmetricity, which provide more geometric descriptions of gravity. Early explorations in this direction were conducted by Weyl, whose goal was to establish a geometric interpretation for electromagnetism and a unified theory encompassing gravitation and electromagnetism [59, 60]. To incorporate this extension of geometry, Weyl introduced a compensating vector field and also proposed that during a parallel transformation of a vector, not only its direction but also its magnitude undergoes a change. Within this concept, the connection of the system can be divided into two parts, such that one part is a connection that accounts for the variation in the magnitude of the vector, while the other part is the usual Levi-Civita connection that represents changes in the direction of the vector under a parallel transport. An important point is that both connections are torsionless within the framework of the Weyl geometry. Weyl attempted to interpret the introduced vector field as the electromagnetic potential, but his theory ultimately proved unsuccessful and was even rejected by himself [61].

Nevertheless, Dirac made an attempt to revive the Weyl geometry. He demonstrated [62] that the distinction between the Weyl and Riemannian geometries lies in

the expression of the partial derivative. In fact, through the Weyl gauge transformation, the Weyl space can be reduced to a Riemannian space with a metric that is conformally related to the original metric. However, it has been argued [62] that the Weyl theory fails to describe the electromagnetic interaction because the introduced vector field does not interact with the spinor, unlike the electromagnetic potential. While the Weyl theory had limited physical success, it nevertheless presented some intriguing aspects. Notably, one of the significant features of the Weyl geometry is the presence of a nonzero covariant derivative of the metric tensor, which geometrically leads to the introduction of a quantitative term known as nonmetricity tensor. To simplify the geometric formalism of gravitation, an alternative theory called the teleparallel equivalent of GR (TEGR) was proposed. TEGR utilizes the Weitzenböck connection, which has zero curvature and nonmetricity tensors but non-zero torsion [63].

Another alternative framework called symmetric teleparallel gravity has also been developed, utilizing a connection with zero curvature and torsion tensors while incorporating a nonmetricity tensor to describe gravitational interactions. This symmetric teleparallel gravity was further modified to coincide with both GR and $f(Q)$ gravity¹, specifically in a gauge known as the coincident gauge, where the connection vanishes [64, 65]. A significant aspect of this theory is its ability to separate gravitational and inertial effects, something not achievable in GR. The symmetric teleparallel gravity offers an alternative geometrical description of gravity that is dynamically equivalent to GR. The theory is governed by the Einstein-Hilbert action in the absence of boundary terms and naturally gives rise to self-accelerating cosmological solutions in the early and late stages of the Universe, see, e.g., Refs. [66–68]. For a review of the teleparallel gravity, see Ref. [69].

An alternative extension of the symmetric teleparallel gravity theory involves the coupling between the geometry and the trace of the energy-momentum tensor in action as an arbitrary function $f(Q, T)$. This construction enables a straightforward description of gravitational interactions in the presence of geometry-matter coupling. The obtained cosmological solutions can account for both the accelerating and decelerating evolutionary phases of the Universe. Consequently, $f(Q, T)$ gravity shows promise in providing valuable insights into describing both the early and late stages of the Universe [70]. To date, numerous works and several cosmological aspects of $f(Q, T)$ gravity have been investigated [71–91]. In this research, we investigate the warm inflation in $f(Q, T)$ gravity. Warm inflation has already been considered in different areas and in alternative gravitational theories, see, e.g., Refs. [26, 92–99]. Our focus lies in the context of linear version of $f(Q, T)$ gravity,

¹ The Q term is the nonmetricity scalar described in Sec. III.

where in our previous paper [84], we showed that the evolution of a general linear version of $f(Q, T)$ gravity is not fixed on a de Sitter expansion or on the Minkowski metric. Although, it is also claimed that the linear version of $f(Q, T)$ gravity is dynamically equivalent to the linear version of $f(R, T)$ gravity that is claimed to be GR with a little change in the content of matter [100–102]. However, this equivalence is a matter of debate, and besides, it provides another geometrical formalism. In addition, we justified [84] the utilization of the linear version of $f(Q, T)$ gravity by providing a comparison showing possible differences with what is obtained from the GR case. Indeed, we indicated that the parameters in the linear version of $f(Q, T)$ gravity provide better fittings to the observational data than GR. Furthermore, through this version of gravity and based on the joint Planck, BK15 and BAO data, we revived [84] the previously excluded natural potential.

The work structure is as follows. In Sec. II, we present some essential relations on the conventional warm inflation and the related slow-roll conditions and parameters. Then, in Sec. III, we give an overview of the $f(Q, T)$ gravity in the framework of Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW). Sec. IV is devoted to the investigation of warm inflation within the context of a linear version of $f(Q, T)$ gravity. In Sec. V, we investigate the cosmological perturbations originating from $f(Q, T)$ gravity during warm inflation. Thereafter, in Sec. VI, an analysis is conducted regarding warm inflation featuring a power-law potential in the strong dissipation regime, where constant and variable dissipation coefficients are considered. At the end of this section, we discuss the weak dissipation regime very briefly. Finally, in Sec. VII, we summarize the concluding results obtained.

II. COSMOLOGICAL WARM INFLATION

Let us first start with the action of GR in the presence of a matter field as

$$S = \int \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{R}{2\kappa} + L_m \right) d^4x. \quad (1)$$

Here, g is the metric determinant, R stands for the Ricci scalar, $\kappa = 1/M_{\text{Pl}}^2 = 8\pi G$ where M_{Pl} signifies the reduced Planck mass in the natural units with $\hbar = 1 = c$, and L_m denotes the Lagrangian of a matter field. By varying action (1) with respect to the metric, one obtains

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2)$$

where $T_{\mu\nu}$ represents the energy-momentum tensor generally defined as

$$T_{\mu\nu} \equiv -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g}L_m)}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}. \quad (3)$$

We also consider the spatially flat FLRW geometry

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2), \quad (4)$$

where $a(t)$ represents the cosmological scale factor at the cosmic time t .

Assume that the matter is the inflaton scalar field with the Lagrangian

$$L_m^{[\phi]} = -\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi - V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi), \quad (5)$$

where we have used $\phi \equiv \phi(t)$ as a homogeneous scalar field called inflaton, $V(\phi)$ is its potential, and the lowercase Greek indices run from zero to three. Then, upon inserting expression (5) into definition (3), it gives

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu}^{[\phi]} &= \partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi - g_{\mu\nu} \left[\frac{1}{2}\partial_\sigma\phi\partial^\sigma\phi + V(\phi) \right] \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi) \right] \delta_\mu^0\delta_\nu^0 + a^2 \left[\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi) \right] \delta_\mu^i\delta_{\nu i}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where the lowercase Latin indices run from one to three. The energy-momentum tensor associated with the inflaton field (which is conserved in this case) can be characterized as equivalent to a perfect fluid with a linear barotropic equation of state $p^{[\phi]} = w^{[\phi]}\rho^{[\phi]}$. Here, $\rho^{[\phi]}$ and $p^{[\phi]}$ are, respectively, the energy density and the pressure density of the inflaton field as

$$\rho^{[\phi]} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi) \quad \text{and} \quad p^{[\phi]} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi), \quad (7)$$

where the dot represents the derivative with respect to the cosmic time. Also, the trace of the energy-momentum tensor is

$$T^{[\phi]} = \dot{\phi}^2 - 4V. \quad (8)$$

The variation of action (1) with respect to the inflaton field gives its corresponding field equation, and hence utilizing all field equations, one obtains the corresponding Friedmann equations.

Now, in the warm inflationary paradigm, the inflaton field interacts with other fields during the inflationary era [15, 17], and this leads to the dissipation energy of inflaton as radiation. That is, the inflaton field decays into radiation, and radiation is produced continuously. Under these considerations, by employing Eq. (2) and relations (4), (6) and (7), while assuming that the radiation field is a perfect fluid, we readily derive the new Friedmann equations, which are amended in the case of warm inflation, as [15]

$$3H^2 = \kappa \left(\rho^{[\phi]} + \rho^{[\gamma]} \right), \quad (9)$$

$$2\dot{H} + 3H^2 = -\kappa \left(p^{[\phi]} + p^{[\gamma]} \right). \quad (10)$$

Here, $H(t) \equiv \dot{a}(t)/a(t)$ is the Hubble parameter, and $\rho^{[\gamma]}$ and $p^{[\gamma]}$ represent the energy density and the pressure density associated with the radiation, respectively. Actually, in the warm inflation, the background source is

$$\rho = \rho^{[\phi]} + \rho^{[\gamma]} \quad \text{and} \quad p = p^{[\phi]} + p^{[\gamma]}, \quad (11)$$

which is now conserved.

Analogous to damped harmonic oscillators, where the damping term introduces dissipation effects and prevents energy conservation with the rate of energy loss, it is common [103–105] to consider the continuity equation

$$\dot{\rho}^{[\phi]} + 3H(\rho^{[\phi]} + p^{[\phi]}) = -\Upsilon\dot{\phi}^2 \quad (12)$$

for the inflaton field in the warm inflation. Here, Υ represents the dissipation coefficient and, as a positive value in accordance with the second law of thermodynamics, governs the transition of energy from the inflaton into the radiation during the inflationary era. The dissipation of inflaton into radiation leads to the generation of entropy. With a thermodynamic potential as $V(\phi, \tau)$, the thermodynamic relation defines the entropy density of radiation, denoted by $s(\phi, \tau)$, in terms of the thermodynamic potential as [21]

$$s(\phi, \tau) = -\frac{\partial V(\phi, \tau)}{\partial \tau}, \quad (13)$$

where τ is the temperature of the thermal bath. Obviously, if we consider the potential only as $V(\phi)$, the corresponding entropy will vanish. Also, since the dissipation leads to the generation of radiation, knowing that its pressure density is $p^{[\gamma]} = \rho^{[\gamma]}/3$, its energy density changes over time as

$$\dot{\rho}^{[\gamma]} + 4H\rho^{[\gamma]} = \Upsilon\dot{\phi}^2. \quad (14)$$

Furthermore, by substituting relations (7) into Eq. (12), it gives the corresponding Klein-Gordon equation as

$$\ddot{\phi} + \dot{\phi}(3H + \Upsilon) + V' = 0, \quad (15)$$

where the prime denotes derivative with respect to the inflaton field. Then, by defining the dissipation rate, which quantifies the rate of the dissipation coefficient relative to the rate of expansion as

$$\chi \equiv \frac{\Upsilon}{3H}, \quad (16)$$

we can rewrite Eq. (15) as

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi}(1 + \chi) + V' = 0. \quad (17)$$

The $\chi \gg 1$ corresponds to strong dissipation regime, while $\chi \ll 1$ corresponds to the domain of weak dissipation. Additionally, various forms for the dissipation coefficient can be considered, such as a constant Υ_0 , a function of the inflaton field $\Upsilon(\phi)$, a function of the temperature of the thermal bath $\Upsilon(\tau)$, and/or a combination of both $\Upsilon(\phi, \tau)$ [15, 16, 106]. In the following, we investigate the necessary conditions for warm inflation.

Slow-Roll Conditions and Parameters

While considering relations (7) and Eqs. (9), (14) and (17), for warm inflation to occur and last long enough, the conditions of slow-roll must be satisfied as

$$\dot{\phi}^2 \ll V(\phi), \quad \ddot{\phi} \ll 3H\dot{\phi}(1 + \chi) \quad (18)$$

and

$$\rho^{[\gamma]} \ll \rho^{[\phi]}, \quad \dot{\rho}^{[\gamma]} \ll 4H\rho^{[\gamma]} \text{ and } \Upsilon\dot{\phi}^2. \quad (19)$$

Here, the last two terms indicate the dominance of the inflaton energy density over the radiation energy density during the warm inflationary epoch and also imply the state of relative stability in radiation generation [15, 20, 21].

Under these considerations, Eqs. (9), (17) and (14) are respectively reduced to

$$3H^2 \approx \kappa V, \quad (20)$$

$$3H\dot{\phi}(1 + \chi) \approx -V', \quad (21)$$

$$\rho^{[\gamma]} \approx \frac{\Upsilon\dot{\phi}^2}{4H} = \frac{3}{4}\chi\dot{\phi}^2 \stackrel{\text{also}}{=} C_\gamma\tau^4, \quad (22)$$

where $C_\gamma = g_*\pi^2/30$ represents the Stefan-Boltzmann constant for the black-body radiation [103]. Also, the constant g_* denotes the effective number of relativistic degrees of freedom of the radiation energy density in the thermal bath at the temperature τ , whose value is approximately 106.75 for the standard model particles [107]. In addition, relations (7) read

$$\rho^{[\phi]} \approx V(\phi) \approx -p^{[\phi]} \Rightarrow \rho^{[\phi]} + p^{[\phi]} \approx 0 \quad (23)$$

during inflation. Also, by differentiating Eq. (20) and employing the Klein-Gordon Eq. (21), we obtain the equation for \dot{H} as

$$\dot{H} \approx -\kappa(1 + \chi)\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2}. \quad (24)$$

Following Refs. [108–112], there are several parameters that can be used to generally parameterize the slow-roll approximations, namely

$$\epsilon \equiv -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}, \quad (25)$$

$$\eta \equiv -\frac{\ddot{H}}{H^2} - \frac{\dot{H}}{2\dot{H}H}, \quad (26)$$

$$\lambda \equiv -\frac{\dot{\rho}^{[\gamma]}}{H\rho^{[\gamma]}}. \quad (27)$$

Warm inflation occurs when these slow-roll parameters meet the conditions

$$\epsilon \ll 1, \quad |\eta| \ll 1, \quad |\lambda| \ll 1. \quad (28)$$

However, when the inflation ends, the value of the slow-roll parameters becomes one. Utilizing Eqs. (20), (21),

(22) and (24) plus conditions (28), we can rephrase the slow-roll parameters in terms of the potential at leading order as

$$\epsilon \approx \frac{1}{2\kappa(1+\chi)} \left(\frac{V'}{V} \right)^2 \equiv \frac{\epsilon_V}{1+\chi}, \quad (29)$$

$$\eta \approx \frac{1}{\kappa(1+\chi)} \left(\frac{V''}{V} \right) \equiv \frac{\eta_V}{1+\chi}, \quad (30)$$

$$\lambda \approx \frac{1}{\kappa(1+\chi)} \left(\frac{\Upsilon'V'}{\Upsilon V} \right) \equiv \frac{\lambda_V}{1+\chi}, \quad (31)$$

where obviously $1+\chi > 0$ because χ is positive by definition, and we have assumed that Υ is, in general, an explicit function of the inflaton field. Therefore, for warm inflation to occur, the conditions $\epsilon_V \ll 1+\chi$, $\eta_V \ll 1+\chi$ and $\lambda_V \ll 1+\chi$ must hold.

Moreover, using Eqs. (20) and (21), we can write the radiation energy density in terms of the potential as

$$\rho^{[\gamma]} \approx \frac{\chi}{4\kappa(1+\chi)^2} \frac{(V')^2}{V}. \quad (32)$$

Subsequently, by employing, respectively, relations (29), (18) and (7), we obtain the relation between the radiation energy density and the inflaton energy density during warm inflation as

$$\rho^{[\gamma]} \approx \frac{\chi}{2(1+\chi)} \epsilon V \approx \frac{\chi}{2(1+\chi)} \epsilon \rho^{[\phi]}. \quad (33)$$

This relation is self-consistent with the dominance of the inflaton energy density over the radiation energy density during the warm inflationary epoch, relation (19). At the end of inflation, in the strong dissipative regime, $\rho^{[\gamma]} \approx \rho^{[\phi]}/2$, and in the weak dissipative regime, still $\rho^{[\gamma]} \ll \rho^{[\phi]}$.

Another significant parameter during inflation is the e-folding number. This parameter quantifies the extent of expansion of the Universe throughout the inflationary epoch and is generally defined as [113, 114]

$$N \equiv \ln \left(\frac{a_{\text{end}}}{a} \right) = \int_t^{t_{\text{end}}} H dt. \quad (34)$$

Here, the subscript ‘end’ denotes the value of the quantities at the end of inflation. Utilizing Eqs. (20) and (21), the e-folding number in the case of warm inflation can be reformulated in terms of the potential as

$$N = \int_{\phi}^{\phi_{\text{end}}} \frac{H}{\dot{\phi}} d\phi \approx \kappa \int_{\phi_{\text{end}}}^{\phi} (1+\chi) \frac{V}{\sqrt{V}} d\phi. \quad (35)$$

In the subsequent sections, we first provide a concise overview of the theoretical underpinnings of $f(Q, T)$ gravity² and then investigate its cosmological consequences.

III. $f(Q, T)$ GRAVITY: A BRIEF REVIEW

At first, it is known that any general connection can be decomposed into three independent components, namely

$$\Gamma^\alpha{}_{\mu\nu} = \{\alpha{}_{\mu\nu}\} + K^\alpha{}_{\mu\nu} + L^\alpha{}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (36)$$

where $\{\alpha{}_{\mu\nu}\}$, $K^\alpha{}_{\mu\nu}$ and $L^\alpha{}_{\mu\nu}$ represent the Christoffel symbol, the contorsion tensor, and the disformation tensor, respectively. These terms are

$$\begin{aligned} \{\alpha{}_{\mu\nu}\} &= \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} (\partial_\mu g_{\beta\nu} + \partial_\nu g_{\beta\mu} - \partial_\beta g_{\mu\nu}), \\ K^\alpha{}_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{T}^\alpha{}_{\mu\nu} + \mathbb{T}_{(\mu\nu)}{}^\alpha, \\ L^\alpha{}_{\mu\nu} &\equiv -\frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} (Q_{\mu\beta\nu} + Q_{\nu\beta\mu} - Q_{\beta\mu\nu}), \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where $\mathbb{T}^\alpha{}_{\mu\nu} = 2\Gamma^\alpha{}_{[\mu\nu]}$ represents the torsion tensor and $Q_{\alpha\mu\nu} \equiv \nabla_\alpha g_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$ stands for the nonmetricity tensor.

Then, for later use, we define the traces of nonmetricity tensor, the superpotential tensor and the nonmetricity scalar, respectively, as

$$Q_\alpha \equiv Q_\alpha{}^\mu{}_\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{Q}_\alpha \equiv Q^\mu{}_{\alpha\mu}, \quad (38)$$

$$P^\alpha{}_{\mu\nu} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} L^\alpha{}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4} (Q^\alpha - \tilde{Q}^\alpha) g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \delta_{(\mu}^\alpha Q_{\nu)}, \quad (39)$$

$$Q \equiv -g^{\mu\nu} (L^\alpha{}_{\beta\mu} L^\beta{}_{\nu\alpha} - L^\alpha{}_{\beta\alpha} L^\beta{}_{\mu\nu}). \quad (40)$$

However, in the case of vanishing the Riemann and torsion tensors, i.e. a flat manifold case, there is always an adapted coordinate system (i.e., the coincident gauge) where $\nabla_\mu \xrightarrow{*} \partial_\mu$, that is the connection effectively vanishes. Consequently, in this particular system, relations (36) and (40) read

$$L^\alpha{}_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{*}{=} -\{\alpha{}_{\mu\nu}\}, \quad (41)$$

$$Q \stackrel{*}{=} -g^{\mu\nu} \left(\{\alpha{}_{\beta\mu}\} \{\beta{}_{\nu\alpha}\} - \{\alpha{}_{\beta\alpha}\} \{\beta{}_{\mu\nu}\} \right), \quad (42)$$

where the second result is equal to the minus of the effective Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian term. Moreover, relation (42) can be easily derived with metric (4) and obtain

$$Q = 6H^2, \quad (43)$$

which is valid as a scalar in any frame.

Now, let us investigate the action for $f(Q, T)$ gravity in the presence of the inflaton field as

$$S = \int \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{f(Q, T)}{2\kappa} + L_m^{[\phi]} \right] d^4x, \quad (44)$$

where its dynamical variables are the inflaton field, the metric, and the connection, which we assume to be in the

² For more details, see, e.g., Refs. [70, 84] and references therein.

case of vanishing the Riemann and torsion tensors. Under these assumptions, varying action (44) with respect to the metric and the connection, respectively, gives the field equations

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa T_{\mu\nu}^{[\phi]} &= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \nabla_\alpha (f_Q \sqrt{-g} P^\alpha{}_{\mu\nu}) - \frac{f g_{\mu\nu}}{2} \\ &+ f_T (T_{\mu\nu}^{[\phi]} + \Theta_{\mu\nu}) - f_Q (P_{\mu\alpha\beta} Q_\nu{}^{\alpha\beta} - 2 Q^{\alpha\beta}{}_\mu P_{\alpha\beta\nu}) \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

and

$$\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu (2\sqrt{-g} f_Q P^{\mu\nu}{}_\alpha + \kappa \mathcal{H}_\alpha{}^{\mu\nu}) = 0, \quad (46)$$

where

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu} \equiv g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\delta T_{\alpha\beta}^{[\phi]}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}, \quad f_Q \equiv \frac{\partial f(Q, T)}{\partial Q}, \quad f_T \equiv \frac{\partial f(Q, T)}{\partial T} \quad (47)$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_\alpha{}^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{f_T \sqrt{-g}}{2\kappa} \frac{\delta T^{[\phi]}}{\delta \Gamma^\alpha{}_{\mu\nu}} + \frac{\delta (\sqrt{-g} L_m^{[\phi]})}{\delta \Gamma^\alpha{}_{\mu\nu}}. \quad (48)$$

Considering all the assumptions and after some manipulations, these field equations lead to the modified Friedmann equations

$$\kappa \rho^{[\phi]} = \frac{f}{2} - 6FH^2 - \frac{2\tilde{G}}{1+\tilde{G}} (\dot{F}H + F\dot{H}), \quad (49)$$

$$\kappa p^{[\phi]} = -\frac{f}{2} + 6FH^2 + 2(\dot{F}H + F\dot{H}), \quad (50)$$

where $F \equiv f_Q$ and $\kappa\tilde{G} \equiv f_T$. By introducing the effective energy and pressure densities, as a conserved perfect fluid, we rewrite the above equations as

$$3H^2 = \frac{f}{4F} - \frac{\kappa}{2F} \left[(1 + \tilde{G}) \rho^{[\phi]} + \tilde{G} p^{[\phi]} \right] \equiv \kappa \rho^{[\text{eff}]}, \quad (51)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2\dot{H} + 3H^2 &= \frac{\kappa}{2F} \left[(1 + \tilde{G}) \rho^{[\phi]} + (2 + \tilde{G}) p^{[\phi]} \right] \\ &+ \frac{f}{4F} - \frac{2\dot{F}H}{F} \equiv -\kappa p^{[\text{eff}]}, \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Also, the evolution of the Hubble parameter is

$$\dot{H} + \frac{\dot{F}}{F} H = \frac{\kappa}{2F} (1 + \tilde{G}) (\rho^{[\phi]} + p^{[\phi]}). \quad (53)$$

Furthermore, we recall that the energy-momentum tensor of the source, $T_{\mu\nu}^{[\phi]}$, is not conserved in the context of the $f(Q, T)$ gravity. To illustrate this, let us first rewrite the field equation (45) as

$$\begin{aligned} &f_T (T^{[\phi]\mu}{}_\nu + \Theta^\mu{}_\nu) - \kappa T^{[\phi]\mu}{}_\nu \\ &= \frac{f}{2} \delta^\mu{}_\nu + f_Q Q_\nu{}^{\alpha\beta} P^\mu{}_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \nabla_\alpha (f_Q \sqrt{-g} P^{\alpha\mu}{}_\nu). \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Then, while using the field equation (46), the covariant divergence of $T_{\mu\nu}^{[\phi]}$ can be obtained from the above equation, which becomes [33, 70]

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu T^{[\phi]\mu}{}_\nu - \frac{1}{f_T + \kappa} \left(f_T \partial_\nu p^{[\phi]} - \frac{1}{2} f_T \partial_\nu T^{[\phi]} - B_\nu \right) = 0, \quad (55)$$

where $f_T + \kappa \neq 0$, the symbol \mathcal{D}_μ signifies the covariant derivative with respect to the Levi-Civita connection, and

$$\begin{aligned} B_\nu &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \left[Q_\mu \nabla_\alpha (\sqrt{-g} f_Q P^{\alpha\mu}{}_\nu) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \nabla_\mu \nabla_\alpha (\sqrt{-g} f_Q P^{\alpha\mu}{}_\nu) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Eq. (55) also indicates that in the case of metricity, i.e. when $B_\nu = 0$, plus lack of the matter-curvature coupling, i.e. when $f_T = 0$, the energy-momentum tensor of the source is conserved. However, finding a $T_{\mu\nu}^{[\text{eff}]}$ to write Eq. (55) in the form of its covariant divergence equal to zero is not an easy task. That is why we resort to the definition of $\rho^{[\text{eff}]}$ and $p^{[\text{eff}]}$, as given in Eqs. (51) and (52), to define such an effective conserved term.

IV. WARM INFLATION IN $f(Q, T)$ GRAVITY

In this section, we investigate the warm inflationary concept within the framework of the linear version of $f(Q, T)$ gravity in the presence of the inflaton scalar field ϕ . In fact, we consider the specific functional form of $f(Q, T)$ gravity as

$$f(Q, T) = \alpha Q + \beta T^{[\phi]}, \quad (57)$$

where α and β are constants within the model.

Analogous to Sec. II, the dynamics of the warm inflation scenario in the framework of $f(Q, T)$ gravity can be described as

$$3H^2 = \kappa (\rho^{[\text{eff}]} + \rho^{[\gamma]}), \quad (58)$$

$$2\dot{H} + 3H^2 = -\kappa (p^{[\text{eff}]} + p^{[\gamma]}). \quad (59)$$

Although the inflaton field decays into radiation, due to Eqs. (51) and (52), we have

$$\dot{\rho}^{[\text{eff}]} + 3H (\rho^{[\text{eff}]} + p^{[\text{eff}]}) = -\Upsilon \dot{\phi}^2, \quad (60)$$

$$\dot{\rho}^{[\gamma]} + 4H \rho^{[\gamma]} = \Upsilon \dot{\phi}^2. \quad (61)$$

Furthermore, while considering the slow-roll condition $\rho^{[\gamma]} \ll \rho^{[\phi]}$, upon utilizing relations (7), (8), (43), (53) and (57) into Eqs. (58) and (59), we obtain

$$3H^2 \approx -\frac{(\kappa + \beta) \dot{\phi}^2 + 2V(\phi) (\kappa + 2\beta)}{2\alpha} \approx \kappa \rho^{[\text{eff}]}, \quad (62)$$

$$2\dot{H} + 3H^2 \approx \frac{(\kappa + \beta) \dot{\phi}^2 - 2V(\phi) (\kappa + 2\beta)}{2\alpha} \approx -\kappa p^{[\text{eff}]}. \quad (63)$$

Then, by substituting Eqs. (62) and (63) into Eq. (60), we can derive the corresponding modified Klein-Gordon equation

$$\ddot{\phi}(\kappa + \beta) + 3H\dot{\phi}(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\chi) + V'(\kappa + 2\beta) \approx 0. \quad (64)$$

In addition, by differentiating Eq. (62) while using Eq. (64), we obtain the modified equation governing \dot{H} as

$$\dot{H} \approx - \left(\frac{\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\chi}{-\alpha} \right) \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} \quad (65)$$

that modifies Eq. (53) in this case for the warm inflation scenario. Actually, the last term on the right-hand side of Eq. (65) comes from Eq. (22).

Also, in this model, the slow-roll conditions (18) should be modified as

$$(\kappa + \beta)\dot{\phi}^2 \ll 2V(\kappa + 2\beta), \quad (66)$$

$$(\kappa + \beta)\ddot{\phi} \ll 3H\dot{\phi}(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\chi). \quad (67)$$

By employing these approximations, Eqs. (62) and (64) read

$$3H^2 \approx \frac{-(\kappa + 2\beta)}{\alpha} V, \quad (68)$$

$$3H\dot{\phi}(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\chi) \approx -(\kappa + 2\beta)V'. \quad (69)$$

Then, using Eqs. (22), (65), (68) and (69) plus conditions (28) into relations (25), (26) and (27), we can derive the modified slow-roll parameters within the linear version of $f(Q, T)$ gravity (57) in terms of the inflaton potential as

$$\epsilon \approx \frac{-\alpha}{2(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\chi)} \left(\frac{V'}{V} \right)^2, \quad (70)$$

$$\eta \approx \frac{-\alpha}{\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\chi} \left(\frac{V''}{V} \right), \quad (71)$$

$$\lambda \approx \frac{-\alpha}{\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\chi} \left(\frac{\Upsilon'V'}{\Upsilon V} \right), \quad (72)$$

where $\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\chi \neq 0$. As is obvious, the comparison of these parameters with the corresponding relations (29), (30), and (31) shows that the slow-roll parameters have been modified by

$$\frac{1}{\kappa(1 + \chi)} \rightarrow \frac{-\alpha}{\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\chi}. \quad (73)$$

Moreover, the obtained results dictate the constraint

$$\frac{-\alpha}{\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\chi} > 0, \quad (74)$$

which, in turn, for negative values of α , we must have

$$\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\chi > 0. \quad (75)$$

Additionally, by using Eqs. (68), (69) and (70) into Eq. (22), we obtain the radiation energy density in this case as

$$\rho^{[\gamma]} \approx \frac{\chi(\kappa + 2\beta)}{2(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\chi)} \epsilon V \approx \frac{-\kappa\alpha\chi}{2(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\chi)} \epsilon \rho^{[\text{eff}]}, \quad (76)$$

where the last approximation is done employing Eq. (68) with approximation (62). Relation (76) shows that $\rho^{[\gamma]} \ll \rho^{[\text{eff}]}$, which is consistent with the condition $\rho^{[\gamma]} \ll \rho^{[\phi]}$. Also, at the end of inflation, in the strong dissipative regime, $\rho^{[\gamma]} \approx \rho^{[\text{eff}]} / 2$, and in the weak dissipative regime, still $\rho^{[\gamma]} \ll \rho^{[\text{eff}]}$. In addition, utilizing Eqs. (68) and (69), the e-folding number in this case is

$$N \approx \frac{1}{-\alpha} \int_{\phi_{\text{end}}}^{\phi} (\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\chi) \frac{V}{V'} d\phi. \quad (77)$$

V. COSMOLOGICAL PERTURBATIONS

The observations acquired from the CMB show that the power spectrum of primordial perturbations aligns well with the power spectrum predictions in the inflationary theory. In the cold inflation model, these perturbations stem from the quantum fluctuations of the inflaton field. However, in the context of warm inflation, its significance lies in the involvement of dissipative effects throughout the inflationary period. This action leads to the simultaneous occurrence of radiation production and inflationary expansion. Dissipation is attributed to a friction term that characterizes the process of dissipating a scalar field into a thermal bath through its interaction with other fields. Moreover, warm inflation illustrates the influential role of thermal fluctuations in generating initial perturbations during inflation. In these models, density fluctuations stem primarily from thermal fluctuations rather than quantum fluctuations, and these fluctuations constitute the initial conditions for the formation of large-scale structures [18, 109]. In the following subsections, we first prepare the necessary equations for scalar and tensor perturbations in the context of warm inflation within the $f(Q, T)$ gravity. Then, we probe the effects of these perturbations on the linear version of $f(Q, T)$ gravity relation (57).

A. SCALAR PERTURBATIONS

In this subsection, we explore an equation that governs cosmological perturbations within a system that encompasses both the inflaton field and radiation. In the process of perturbation, we mainly perturb four quantities, namely the metric, the four-velocity of the radiation fluid, the inflaton field and the energy density of the radiation field. Of course, these perturbations in turn cause the other quantities involved to be perturbed. Furthermore, our particular focus is up to the second-order of perturbations; and, to be consistent with the literature, from now on, we show unperturbed quantities with a bar over them.

For perturbing the metric in the Einstein frame by adopting the Newtonian/longitudinal gauge, the perturbation of the background (unperturbed) metric (4), when the energy-momentum tensors involved are diagonal, is

expressed as [21, 27, 32]

$$ds^2 = -(1 + 2\Psi)dt^2 + a^2(t)(1 - 2\Psi)\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j. \quad (78)$$

Here, the metric perturbation variable is assumed to be $|\Psi(t, \mathbf{x})| \ll 1$, which parameterizes the scalar perturbations. For later use, from the perturbed metric (78), we obtain

$$\delta g^{\mu\nu} \approx \text{diag} [2\Psi, 2\Psi/a^2, 2\Psi/a^2, 2\Psi/a^2]. \quad (79)$$

In the warm inflation scenario, it is possible to divide the energy-momentum tensor into two components, that is, the effective component (which consists of the inflaton part and the correction terms related to the $f(Q, T)$ theory, i.e. Eqs. (51) and (52)) and the radiation part denoted by $T_{\mu\nu}^{[\gamma]}$. That is, we have

$$T_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}^{[\gamma]} + T_{\mu\nu}^{[\text{eff}]}. \quad (80)$$

As mentioned, the radiation field is described as a perfect barotropic fluid, i.e.

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{[\gamma]} = \frac{\rho^{[\gamma]}}{3} (4u_\mu u_\nu + g_{\mu\nu}), \quad (81)$$

with the same relation for the corresponding unperturbed one. In this regard, the components of the unperturbed four-velocity of the radiation fluid, \bar{u}_μ , are $\bar{u}_0 = -1$ and $\bar{u}_i = 0$ in the rest frame. For perturbing the four-velocity of the radiation fluid, we assume that the perturbed four-velocity of the radiation fluid is

$$u_\mu(t, \mathbf{x}) = \bar{u}_\mu(t) + \delta u_\mu(t, \mathbf{x}) \quad (82)$$

with the normalization constraint

$$u^\alpha u_\alpha = g^{\mu\nu} u_\mu u_\nu = -1, \quad (83)$$

where, obviously, $u^\mu \approx \bar{u}^\mu + \delta u^\mu + \bar{u}_\nu \delta g^{\mu\nu}$. The variable δu_μ represents the perturbed part of the four-velocity of the radiation fluid, where $\delta u^\mu \equiv \delta(u^\mu) = \delta(g^{\mu\nu} u_\nu)$ [31], and constraint (83) gives

$$\delta u_0 \approx -\Psi \quad \Rightarrow \quad \delta u^0 \approx -\Psi. \quad (84)$$

However, the velocity perturbation, δu_i , stands as an independent dynamic variable that can³ be defined as

$$\delta u_i \equiv a(t)\partial_i(\delta\mathbf{u}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \delta u^i \approx a(t)\partial^i(\delta\mathbf{u}), \quad (85)$$

where $\delta\mathbf{u}$ is a scalar velocity potential [31, 115].

For perturbing the inflaton field and the energy density of the radiation field, we assume that these perturbed fields are

$$\phi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \bar{\phi}(t) + \delta\phi(t, \mathbf{x}), \quad (86)$$

$$\rho^{[\gamma]}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \bar{\rho}^{[\gamma]}(t) + \delta\rho^{[\gamma]}(t, \mathbf{x}), \quad (87)$$

and in turn, we have $\delta p^{[\gamma]} = \delta\rho^{[\gamma]}/3$.

Next, the exchange of energy between the two components $\rho^{[\phi]}$ (and as a result $\rho^{[\text{eff}]}$) and $\rho^{[\gamma]}$ can be depicted through a flux term as [116]

$$\Lambda_\mu = -\Upsilon u^\nu \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi. \quad (88)$$

From the same relation for its corresponding unperturbed one, we obtain $\bar{\Lambda}_0 = -(\dot{\bar{\phi}})^2 \bar{\Upsilon}$ and $\bar{\Lambda}_i = 0$. Hence, Eqs. (60) and (61) read

$$\dot{\bar{\rho}}^{[\text{eff}]} + 3H(\bar{\rho}^{[\text{eff}]} + \bar{p}^{[\text{eff}]}) = -\bar{\Lambda}^0, \quad (89)$$

$$\dot{\bar{\rho}}^{[\gamma]} + 4H\bar{\rho}^{[\gamma]} = \bar{\Lambda}^0. \quad (90)$$

In the same merit, and in general, the conservation of the corresponding energy-momentum tensors can be written as

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_\mu \bar{T}^{[\text{eff}]\mu}_\nu = -\bar{\Lambda}_\nu, \quad (91)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_\mu \bar{T}^{[\gamma]\mu}_\nu = \bar{\Lambda}_\nu, \quad (92)$$

with the same equations for the corresponding perturbed ones.

At this stage, we prepare some necessary equations for use in the next steps. By writing the perturbed exchange of energy as $\Lambda_\mu = \bar{\Lambda}_\mu + \delta\Lambda_\mu$ and substituting all terms into relation (88), we obtain the components of perturbation of exchange energy as⁴

$$\delta\Lambda_0 = -(\dot{\bar{\phi}})^2 \delta\Upsilon + (\dot{\bar{\phi}})^2 \Psi \bar{\Upsilon} - 2\bar{\Upsilon} \dot{\bar{\phi}} \partial_0(\delta\phi), \quad (93)$$

$$\delta\Lambda_i = -\bar{\Upsilon} \dot{\bar{\phi}} \partial_i(\delta\phi). \quad (94)$$

Also, by perturbing Eq. (92) for the corresponding perturbed quantities, i.e. $\delta(\bar{\mathcal{D}}_\mu T^{[\gamma]\mu}_\nu) = \delta\Lambda_\nu$, while using metric (78) (to compute the Levi-Civita connection), relations (81), (82) and (87), and Eqs. (90), (93) and (94), we obtain from its zeroth and i-th components, respectively

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0(\delta\rho^{[\gamma]}) + 4H\delta\rho^{[\gamma]} + \frac{4}{3}\bar{\rho}^{[\gamma]}a\nabla^2(\delta\mathbf{u}) - 4\dot{\Psi}\bar{\rho}^{[\gamma]} \\ \approx \delta\Upsilon(\dot{\bar{\phi}})^2 - \Psi\bar{\Upsilon}(\dot{\bar{\phi}})^2 + 2\bar{\Upsilon}\dot{\bar{\phi}}\partial_0(\delta\phi) \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 4\left[\bar{\rho}^{[\gamma]}\partial_0\partial_i(\delta\mathbf{u}) + \dot{\bar{\rho}}^{[\gamma]}\partial_i(\delta\mathbf{u}) + 4H\bar{\rho}^{[\gamma]}\partial_i(\delta\mathbf{u})\right] \\ \approx -a^{-1}\left[3\bar{\Upsilon}\dot{\bar{\phi}}\partial_i(\delta\phi) + \partial_i(\delta\rho^{[\gamma]}) + 4\bar{\rho}^{[\gamma]}\partial_i\Psi\right], \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

³ This is possible because for scalar perturbations, such a ‘flow’ is irrotational.

⁴ Although relation $[\partial_\mu, \delta] = 0$ holds, we do not use it to avoid mixing up functions, e.g., ϕ with $\delta\phi$, where the former actually is $\phi(t)$ and the latter $\delta\phi(t, \mathbf{x})$.

where $\nabla^2 = \partial_i \partial^i$.

Furthermore, by perturbing the field equation (45) while using all necessary equations, one can obtain [33] from its 0-0 component, trace, and 0-i components, respectively

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta\rho^{[\phi]} \left[\kappa + \frac{3}{2}\overline{f_T} - \overline{f_{TT}}(\overline{\rho^{[\phi]}} + \overline{p^{[\phi]}}) \right] \\ & + \delta p^{[\phi]} \left[-\frac{1}{2}\overline{f_T} + 3\overline{f_{TT}}(\overline{\rho^{[\phi]}} + \overline{p^{[\phi]}}) \right] \\ & \approx 2\overline{f_Q} \left(3H^2\Psi + 3H\dot{\Psi} - a^{-2}\nabla^2\Psi \right) \\ & + 72H^3\overline{f_{QQ}} \left(H\Psi + \dot{\Psi} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4}\overline{f_T}\delta\rho^{[\phi]} - \left(\frac{\kappa}{2} + \frac{3}{4}\overline{f_T} \right) \delta p^{[\phi]} \\ & \approx \overline{f_Q} \left(4H\dot{\Psi} + 3H^2\Psi + \dot{H}\Psi + \ddot{\Psi} \right) \\ & + 12H\overline{f_{QQ}} \left(4H^2\dot{\Psi} + 3H^3\Psi + 4H\dot{H}\Psi + 3\dot{H}\dot{\Psi} + \ddot{\Psi} \right) \\ & + 12H^2\overline{f_{QQ}} \left(H\Psi + \dot{\Psi} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\overline{\rho^{[\phi]}} + \overline{p^{[\phi]}} \right) (\kappa + \overline{f_T}) a(t)\delta u \\ & \approx 2\overline{f_Q} \left(H\Psi + \dot{\Psi} \right) + 12H\overline{f_{QQ}} \left(2\dot{H}\Psi + H\dot{\Psi} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

where $\overline{f_{QQ}} = \partial\overline{f_Q}/\partial\overline{Q}$ and $\overline{f_{TT}} = \partial\overline{f_T}/\partial\overline{T}$. Note that, Eqs. (97)-(99) are reduced to the corresponding equations in GR [31] when $\overline{f_Q} = -1$, $\overline{f_{QQ}} = 0$ and $\overline{f_T} = 0 = \overline{f_{TT}}$.

In addition, by substituting Eq. (55) into Eq. (91), for the case of warm inflation, one obviously obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & -(\overline{f_T} + \kappa) \overline{\mathcal{D}}_\mu \overline{T^{[\phi]\mu}}_\nu + \overline{f_T} \partial_\nu \overline{p^{[\phi]}} - \frac{1}{2}\overline{f_T} \partial_\nu \overline{T^{[\phi]}} \\ & = \overline{B}_\nu + (\overline{f_T} + \kappa) \overline{\Lambda}_\nu \equiv \overline{U}_\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (100)$$

The perturbation of the right-hand side of this equation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \delta U_\mu & = \delta B_\mu + \delta\overline{f_T} \overline{\Lambda}_\mu + (\overline{f_T} + \kappa) \delta\Lambda_\mu \\ & = \delta B_\mu + \overline{f_{TT}} \overline{\Lambda}_\mu \delta T^{[\phi]} + (\overline{f_T} + \kappa) \delta\Lambda_\mu \\ & = \delta B_\mu + \overline{f_{TT}} \overline{\Lambda}_\mu \left(-\delta\rho^{[\phi]} + 3\delta p^{[\phi]} \right) + (\overline{f_T} + \kappa) \delta\Lambda_\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

where we have assumed that the function $f(Q, T)$ does not have mixed terms in Q and T , i.e. $f(Q, T) = g(Q) + h(T)$. Then, the 0-component of the perturbation

of Eq. (100) reads [33]

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\kappa + \overline{f_T} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{f_T} \left(1 - \frac{\partial_0(\delta p^{[\phi]})}{\partial_0(\delta\rho^{[\phi]})} \right) \right] \dot{\delta} \\ & - \left\{ 3H(\kappa + \overline{f_T}) \left[\frac{(\kappa + \overline{f_T}) w^{[\phi]} - \frac{1}{2}\overline{f_T} (1 - w^{[\phi]})}{\kappa + \overline{f_T} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{f_T} (1 - w^{[\phi]})} - \frac{\delta p^{[\phi]}}{\delta\rho^{[\phi]}} \right] \right. \\ & + \frac{3H(\kappa + \overline{f_T}) (w^{[\phi]} + 1)}{2 \left[\kappa + \overline{f_T} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{f_T} (1 - w^{[\phi]}) \right]} \left[\overline{f_T} \left(1 - \frac{\partial_0(\delta p^{[\phi]})}{\partial_0(\delta\rho^{[\phi]})} \right) \right. \\ & + \overline{f_{TT}} \overline{\rho^{[\phi]}} \left(1 - 3\frac{\delta p^{[\phi]}}{\delta\rho^{[\phi]}} \right) (1 - 3w^{[\phi]}) \left. \right] + 3H\overline{f_{TT}} \overline{\rho^{[\phi]}} \\ & \times \left(1 - 3\frac{\delta p^{[\phi]}}{\delta\rho^{[\phi]}} \right) (w^{[\phi]} + 1) \left[\frac{\overline{f_T} (1 - w^{[\phi]})}{2\kappa + \overline{f_T} (3 - w^{[\phi]})} \right] \left. \right\} \delta \\ & + (\kappa + \overline{f_T}) \frac{\overline{\rho^{[\phi]}} + \overline{p^{[\phi]}}}{\overline{\rho^{[\phi]}}} \left[a^{-1}\nabla^2(\delta u) - 3\dot{\Psi} \right] \\ & \approx \frac{-\nabla^2 \left[8H\overline{f_Q}\Psi - 12H^2\overline{f_{QQ}}(\dot{\Psi} + H\Psi) \right]}{a^2\overline{\rho^{[\phi]}}} \\ & + \frac{\overline{f_{TT}}(-\delta\rho^{[\phi]} + 3\delta p^{[\phi]})\overline{\Lambda}_0 + (\overline{f_T} + \kappa)\delta\Lambda_0}{\overline{\rho^{[\phi]}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (102)$$

where $(\rho^{[\phi]} - \overline{\rho^{[\phi]}})/\overline{\rho^{[\phi]}} = \delta\rho^{[\phi]}/\overline{\rho^{[\phi]}} \equiv \delta$ is the density contrast parameter, $w^{[\phi]} = \overline{p^{[\phi]}}/\overline{\rho^{[\phi]}}$ represents the equation of state parameter, and as $w^{[\phi]}$ is a constant, we have used⁵ $\dot{p}^{[\phi]}/\dot{\rho}^{[\phi]} = w^{[\phi]}$. We recall that the right-hand side of this equation comes from δU_μ which is due to nonmetricity and warm inflation. Hence, in the case of metricity and without warm inflation, in the slow-roll regime by taking $w^{[\phi]} \approx -1$, Eq. (102) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\kappa + \overline{f_T} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{f_T} \left(1 - \frac{\partial_0(\delta p^{[\phi]})}{\partial_0(\delta\rho^{[\phi]})} \right) \right] \dot{\delta} \\ & + 3H(\kappa + \overline{f_T}) \frac{\delta\rho^{[\phi]} + \delta p^{[\phi]}}{\overline{\rho^{[\phi]}}} \\ & + (\kappa + \overline{f_T}) \frac{\overline{\rho^{[\phi]}} + \overline{p^{[\phi]}}}{\overline{\rho^{[\phi]}}} \left[a^{-1}\nabla^2(\delta u) - 3\dot{\Psi} \right] \approx 0. \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

This equation recalls the corresponding equation in GR [31] when $\overline{f_T} = 0$.

On the other hand, by using relations (7), (79) and $\delta V = \overline{V}'\delta\phi$, while paying attention to relation (5) to know where $(\overline{\phi})^2$ comes from, the perturbation of the energy density and pressure density of the scalar field is

⁵ According to the Newton-Laplace equation, the speed of sound in fluids, in general, is $c_s^2 = (\partial p/\partial\rho)_S$, where the last S stands for isentropically, i.e. constant entropy. Hence, in the literature, $c_s^2 = \dot{p}/\dot{\rho}$ has been used in, e.g., Refs. [33, 86, 88].

obtained as

$$\delta\rho^{[\phi]} \approx \dot{\bar{\phi}} \partial_0(\delta\phi) + \bar{V}'(\bar{\phi}) \delta\phi - (\dot{\bar{\phi}})^2 \Psi, \quad (104)$$

$$\delta p^{[\phi]} \approx \dot{\bar{\phi}} \partial_0(\delta\phi) - \bar{V}'(\bar{\phi}) \delta\phi - (\dot{\bar{\phi}})^2 \Psi. \quad (105)$$

The sum of these equations clearly gives

$$\delta\rho^{[\phi]} + \delta p^{[\phi]} \approx 2 \left[\dot{\bar{\phi}} \partial_0(\delta\phi) - (\dot{\bar{\phi}})^2 \Psi \right]. \quad (106)$$

Up to here, the main unknowns of perturbation are $\Psi = \Psi(t, \mathbf{x})$, $\delta\mathbf{u} = \delta\mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{x})$, $\delta\phi = \delta\phi(t, \mathbf{x})$, $\delta\rho^{[\gamma]} = \delta\rho^{[\gamma]}(t, \mathbf{x})$, $\delta\rho^{[\phi]} = \delta\rho^{[\phi]}(t, \mathbf{x})$ and $\delta p^{[\phi]} = \delta p^{[\phi]}(t, \mathbf{x})$, which are supposed to be determined through the model equations. Meanwhile, as mentioned, the other quantities involved will be perturbed subsequently, e.g. $V \equiv \bar{V}(\phi) = \bar{V}(\bar{\phi} + \delta\phi) \approx \bar{V}(\bar{\phi}) + \bar{V}'(\bar{\phi}) \delta\phi$, and in the same way, $\rho^{[\phi]} \approx \bar{\rho}^{[\phi]} + \bar{\rho}^{[\phi]'} \delta\phi$ and $\Upsilon \approx \bar{\Upsilon} + \bar{\Upsilon}' \delta\phi$ when Υ is an explicit function of ϕ . However, later we will consider special forms for the potential and the dissipation coefficient. Accordingly, we have a system of six coupled differential equations (95)-(99) and (102) for the unknown quantities. In general, these equations enable us to explore the evolution of perturbed quantities. However, up to here, mentioning the approximate equations is due to their writing up to the second-order of perturbations. Since each quantity in the background and perturbation varies slowly during warm inflation, in the continuation, we rewrite all the relevant equations according to the corresponding slow-roll approximations.

To proceed, we first transfer all the perturbed quantities into Fourier space, which results in the spatial components of the quantities taking the form $e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$, where \mathbf{k} represents the wavenumber vector of the corresponding modes. Accordingly, in this process, we introduce the following definitions⁶

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(t, \mathbf{x}) &= \Psi(t) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}, & \delta\mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{x}) &= \delta\mathbf{u}(t) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}, \\ \delta\phi(t, \mathbf{x}) &= \delta\phi(t) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}, & \delta\rho^{[\gamma]}(t, \mathbf{x}) &= \delta\rho^{[\gamma]}(t) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}, \\ \delta\rho^{[\phi]}(t, \mathbf{x}) &= \delta\rho^{[\phi]}(t) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}, & \delta p^{[\phi]}(t, \mathbf{x}) &= \delta p^{[\phi]}(t) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}. \end{aligned} \quad (107)$$

Therefore, we can substitute $\partial_j \rightarrow ik_j$ and $\nabla^2 \rightarrow -k^2$ wherever necessary in the system equations.

Then, for the purpose of slow-roll approximations, we eliminate any quantity with a higher time derivative and assume the plausible approximations $\dot{H} \ll H^2$, $\ddot{\Psi} \ll H\dot{\Psi}$, $\dot{\Psi} \ll H\Psi$, $\dot{H}\dot{\Psi} \ll H^3\Psi$ and $\bar{f}_{\text{QQ}} \ll H\bar{f}_{\text{QQ}}$. Also, we consider modes whose longest wavelength satisfies $k/a(t) \ll H$. Hence, under these considerations, Eqs.

(97) and (98) are reduced, respectively, to

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta\rho^{[\phi]}(t) \left[\kappa + \frac{3}{2}\bar{f}_{\text{T}} - \bar{f}_{\text{TT}}(\bar{\rho}^{[\phi]} + \bar{p}^{[\phi]}) \right] \\ &+ \delta p^{[\phi]}(t) \left[-\frac{1}{2}\bar{f}_{\text{T}} + 3\bar{f}_{\text{TT}}(\bar{\rho}^{[\phi]} + \bar{p}^{[\phi]}) \right] \\ &\approx 6H^2\Psi(t) (\bar{f}_{\text{Q}} + 12\bar{f}_{\text{QQ}}H^2), \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\bar{f}_{\text{T}}\delta\rho^{[\phi]}(t) - \left(\kappa + \frac{3}{2}\bar{f}_{\text{T}} \right) \delta p^{[\phi]}(t) \\ &\approx 6H^2\Psi(t) (\bar{f}_{\text{Q}} + 12\bar{f}_{\text{QQ}}H^2). \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

We also assume⁷ that the order of \bar{f}_{T} is the same as the order of $T^{[\phi]}/\bar{f}_{\text{TT}}$. Then, using relation (8) and the slow-roll approximation $(\dot{\bar{\phi}})^2 \ll \bar{V}$, we get $\bar{f}_{\text{TT}}(\bar{\rho}^{[\phi]} + \bar{p}^{[\phi]}) \ll \bar{f}_{\text{T}}$. Hence, Eq. (108) reads

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\kappa + \frac{3}{2}\bar{f}_{\text{T}} \right) \delta\rho^{[\phi]}(t) - \frac{1}{2}\bar{f}_{\text{T}}\delta p^{[\phi]}(t) \\ &\approx 6H^2\Psi(t) (\bar{f}_{\text{Q}} + 12\bar{f}_{\text{QQ}}H^2). \end{aligned} \quad (110)$$

Thereafter, subtracting Eq. (109) from Eq. (110) gives

$$\delta\rho^{[\phi]}(t) + \delta p^{[\phi]}(t) \approx 0, \quad (111)$$

and in turn, $w^{[\phi]} \approx -1$, as expected during inflation. Accordingly, first inserting relations (107) into Eq. (106) and then substituting its result into Eq. (111) yields

$$\Psi(t) \approx \frac{\partial_0[\delta\phi(t)]}{\dot{\bar{\phi}}}, \quad (112)$$

and in turn, Eqs. (104) and (105) give

$$\delta\rho^{[\phi]}(t) \approx \bar{V}'(\bar{\phi}) \delta\phi(t) \approx -\delta p^{[\phi]}(t). \quad (113)$$

Similarly, we assume that the order of \bar{f}_{Q} is the same as the order of Q/\bar{f}_{QQ} . Then, using relation (43) and the slow-roll approximation $\dot{H} \ll H^2$, we get $\bar{f}_{\text{QQ}}\dot{H} \ll \bar{f}_{\text{Q}}$. Hence, while also using the slow-roll condition $\dot{\Psi} \ll H\Psi$, Eq. (99) is reduced to

$$\delta\mathbf{u}(t) \approx \frac{2\bar{f}_{\text{Q}}H\Psi(t)}{a(t) (\bar{\rho}^{[\phi]} + \bar{p}^{[\phi]}) (\kappa + \bar{f}_{\text{T}})}. \quad (114)$$

Furthermore, by using the slow-roll approximations $\partial_0(\delta\rho^{[\gamma]}) \ll H\delta\rho^{[\gamma]}$, $\dot{\Psi} \ll H\Psi$ and $k/a(t) \ll H$,⁸ and

⁶ In the literature, $\delta\mathbf{u}(t)$ has been introduced as proportional to $v(t)/k$; however, we prefer to keep it consistent with the other relevant quantities.

⁷ Of course, this is a restrictive assumption.

⁸ Actually, for adequately slow evolution, we ignore terms involving long-wavelength perturbations.

employing Eq. (22) and relation $\delta\Upsilon = \bar{\Upsilon}'\delta\phi$, Eq. (95) is reduced to

$$\frac{\delta\rho^{[\gamma]}(t)}{\rho^{[\gamma]}} \approx \frac{\bar{\Upsilon}'}{\bar{\Upsilon}}\delta\phi(t) - \Psi(t) + 2\frac{\partial_0[\delta\phi(t)]}{\dot{\bar{\phi}}} \approx \frac{\bar{\Upsilon}'}{\bar{\Upsilon}}\delta\phi(t) + \Psi(t), \quad (115)$$

where we have used relation (112) in the last approximation. Also, by transferring to momentum space, Eq. (96) reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{\rho}^{[\gamma]}\partial_0[\delta\mathbf{u}(t)] + \bar{\rho}^{[\gamma]}\dot{\delta\mathbf{u}}(t) + 4H\bar{\rho}^{[\gamma]}\delta\mathbf{u}(t) \\ & \approx -\frac{1}{a(t)}\left[\frac{3}{4}\bar{\Upsilon}\dot{\bar{\phi}}\delta\phi(t) + \frac{\delta\rho^{[\gamma]}(t)}{4} + \bar{\rho}^{[\gamma]}\Psi(t)\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (116)$$

Then, by applying the slow-roll approximation $\partial_0[\bar{\rho}^{[\gamma]}\delta\mathbf{u}(t)] \ll H\bar{\rho}^{[\gamma]}\delta\mathbf{u}(t)$ while using Eq. (115), it reads

$$\delta\mathbf{u}(t) \approx -\frac{1}{4aH}\left[\frac{3\bar{\Upsilon}\dot{\bar{\phi}}}{4\bar{\rho}^{[\gamma]}}\delta\phi(t) + \frac{\bar{\Upsilon}'}{4\bar{\Upsilon}}\delta\phi(t) + \frac{5}{4}\Psi(t)\right]. \quad (117)$$

Next, by substituting $\delta\mathbf{u}(t)$ from Eq. (114) into Eq. (117), while using relations (7) and Eq. (22), we obtain

$$\Psi(t) \approx -\frac{\dot{\bar{\phi}}(\kappa + \bar{f}_T)(\bar{\Upsilon}'\dot{\bar{\phi}} + 12H\bar{\Upsilon})}{\bar{\Upsilon}[5(\dot{\bar{\phi}})^2(\kappa + \bar{f}_T) + 32H^2\bar{f}_Q]}\delta\phi(t). \quad (118)$$

Finally, while considering Eq. (103), and using Eqs. (23) and (111), and the slow-roll condition $\dot{\Psi} \ll H\Psi$, Eq. (102) is reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\kappa + 2\bar{f}_T)}{\rho^{[\phi]}(t)}\left[\partial_0(\delta\rho^{[\phi]}(t)) - \frac{\dot{\rho}^{[\phi]}(t)}{\rho^{[\phi]}(t)}\delta\rho^{[\phi]}(t)\right] \\ & \approx \frac{4k^2H\Psi(t)}{a^2(t)\rho^{[\phi]}(t)}(2\bar{f}_Q - 3H^2\bar{f}_{QQ}) - \frac{4\bar{f}_{TT}\delta\rho^{[\phi]}(t)}{\rho^{[\phi]}(t)}\bar{\Lambda}_0 \\ & + \frac{\bar{f}_T + \kappa}{\rho^{[\phi]}(t)}\delta\Lambda_0. \end{aligned} \quad (119)$$

At this stage, all the perturbed equations involve exclusively temporal aspects.

In the continuation, we represent the system equations for the warm inflation model in the linear version of $f(Q, T)$ gravity, relation (57). At first, by applying Eqs. (68) and (69) into relation (118), it reads

$$\Psi(t) \approx -\frac{\bar{V}'(\kappa + \beta)\left[\alpha\bar{V}'\bar{\Upsilon}' + 12\bar{\Upsilon}\bar{V}(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi})\right]}{\bar{\Upsilon}\left[5\alpha\bar{V}'^2(\kappa + \beta) + 32\bar{V}^2(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi})^2\right]}\delta\phi(t). \quad (120)$$

Thereafter, by substituting relations (23), (93) (while using relations (112) and $\delta\Upsilon = \bar{\Upsilon}'\delta\phi$ into it) and (113)

into Eq. (119), and then rearranging its terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\kappa + 2\beta)\left[\frac{\bar{V}''}{\bar{V}} - \frac{\bar{V}'^2}{\bar{V}^2} + \frac{(\kappa + \beta)\bar{\Upsilon}'\dot{\bar{\phi}}}{\bar{V}(\kappa + 2\beta)}\right]\delta\phi(t) \\ & \approx \left[-\frac{(\kappa + 2\beta)\bar{V}'}{\bar{V}\dot{\bar{\phi}}} - (\kappa + \beta)\frac{\bar{\Upsilon}'}{\bar{V}}\right]\partial_0[\delta\phi(t)] + \frac{8\alpha k^2 H\Psi(t)}{a^2\bar{V}\dot{\bar{\phi}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

Now, by using first Eq. (69) and then Eq. (68) into the last term on the right-hand side of this equation, it reads

$$\frac{8\alpha k^2 H\Psi(t)}{a^2\bar{V}\dot{\bar{\phi}}} \approx \frac{8k^2(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi})}{a^2\bar{V}'}\Psi(t). \quad (122)$$

Thereupon, by substituting $\Psi(t)$ from relation (120) into it, while using the slow-roll parameters (70) and (72), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{8\alpha k^2 H\Psi(t)}{a^2\bar{V}\dot{\bar{\phi}}} \\ & \approx \frac{-8k^2(\kappa + \beta)(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi})(12 - \lambda)}{a^2\bar{V}[-10(\kappa + \beta)\epsilon + 32(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi})]}\delta\phi(t) \\ & \approx \frac{-3k^2(\kappa + \beta)}{a^2\bar{V}}\delta\phi(t) \approx \frac{k^2(\kappa + \beta)(\kappa + 2\beta)}{a^2H^2\alpha}\delta\phi(t), \end{aligned} \quad (123)$$

where we have employed conditions $\epsilon \ll 1$ and $\lambda \ll 1$ in the middle approximation and Eq. (68) in the last approximation. However, due to long-wavelength perturbations, i.e. with $k^2 \ll a^2H^2$, we can ignore this term. Therefore, by using definition (16) and Eq. (69) into Eq. (121), it reads

$$\begin{aligned} & (\kappa + 2\beta)\left[\frac{\bar{V}''}{\bar{V}} - \frac{\bar{V}'^2}{\bar{V}^2} - \frac{(\kappa + \beta)\bar{\chi}}{(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi})}\frac{\bar{\Upsilon}'\bar{V}'}{\bar{\Upsilon}\bar{V}}\right]\delta\phi(t) \\ & \approx \frac{3H}{\bar{V}}\left[(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi}) - (\kappa + \beta)\bar{\chi}\right]\partial_0[\delta\phi(t)] \\ & \approx \frac{-\bar{V}'(\kappa + 2\beta)\left[(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi}) - (\kappa + \beta)\bar{\chi}\right]}{\bar{V}(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi})}\frac{d[\delta\phi(t)]}{d\phi}, \end{aligned} \quad (124)$$

where $\kappa + 2\beta \neq 0$ has been assumed, and Eq. (69) has been used in the last approximation. At last, from Eq. (124), we obtain the solution

$$\delta\phi(t) \approx C \exp[\zeta(\phi)], \quad (125)$$

where C is a constant and $\zeta(\phi)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(\phi) \equiv \int \left\{ -\frac{\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi}}{(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi}) - (\kappa + \beta)\bar{\chi}}\left(\frac{\bar{V}''}{\bar{V}'} - \frac{\bar{V}'}{\bar{V}}\right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{(\kappa + \beta)\bar{\chi}}{(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi}) - (\kappa + \beta)\bar{\chi}}\frac{\bar{\Upsilon}'}{\bar{\Upsilon}} \right\} d\phi. \end{aligned} \quad (126)$$

It has been shown [15, 21, 26] that the density fluctuation derived from solution (125) transforms as

$$\Delta_R \approx C \approx \delta\phi(t) \exp[-\zeta(\phi)]. \quad (127)$$

Actually, in this relation, $\delta\phi(t)$ represents the fluctuation of the scalar field during the warm inflationary era, generated by the thermal interaction with the radiation field as [20, 26]

$$[\delta\phi(t)]^2 = \frac{k_F \tau}{2\pi^2}, \quad (128)$$

where k_F is the freeze-out scale. The freeze-out time, t_F , is determined when the damping rate (i.e., the coefficient of the term $\partial_0 [\delta\phi(t)]$ in the middle line of relation (124)) falls below the expansion rate H [21, 25]. Hence, the freeze-out scale is

$$k_F \approx \sqrt{\frac{3H^2}{\bar{V}}} [(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi}) - (\kappa + \beta)\bar{\chi}]. \quad (129)$$

Accordingly, relation (127) undergoes the modification

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_R^2 &\approx \frac{k_F \tau}{2\pi^2} \exp[-2\zeta(\phi)] \\ &\approx \frac{\tau \exp[-2\zeta(\phi)]}{2\pi^2} \sqrt{\frac{3H^2}{\bar{V}}} [(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi}) - (\kappa + \beta)\bar{\chi}]. \end{aligned} \quad (130)$$

The above relation, derived from the perturbation theory at the horizon crossing, can be compared with the amplitude of the scalar power spectrum observed through the CMB radiation data at a pivot scale. In this regard, the Planck 2018 data and the WMAP three years data give the value of the amplitude of the scalar power spectrum as $\Delta_R^2(k_0) \approx \mathcal{O}(10^{-9})$ at the pivot scale $k_0 = 0.002 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ [118–120].

In the continuation, the scalar spectral index, n_S , is [117]

$$n_S - 1 = \frac{d \ln \Delta_R^2}{d \ln k}, \quad (131)$$

where the inflationary observables are calculated at the first horizon crossing [117], i.e. when $k = aH$. Hence, by inserting relation (130) into relation (131), we get

$$\begin{aligned} n_S - 1 &\approx \frac{1}{2} \frac{d \ln k_F^2}{d \ln(aH)} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{d \ln \tau^4}{d \ln(aH)} - \frac{2d\zeta(\phi)}{d \ln(aH)} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d \ln k_F^2}{d\phi} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{d \ln \tau^4}{d\phi} - \frac{2d\zeta(\phi)}{d\phi} \right) \frac{\dot{\phi}}{\dot{\phi}} \frac{dt}{d \ln(aH)}. \end{aligned} \quad (132)$$

In this regard, by employing relations (22), (25), (68), (69) and (129), we obtain

$$\frac{d \ln k_F^2}{d\phi} \approx \frac{-(\kappa + \beta + \kappa\alpha)\bar{\chi}}{[(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi}) - (\kappa + \beta)\bar{\chi}]} \left(\frac{\bar{\Upsilon}'}{\bar{\Upsilon}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\bar{V}'}{\bar{V}} \right), \quad (133)$$

$$\frac{d \ln \tau^4}{d\phi} \approx \frac{(\kappa + \beta + \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi}) \bar{\Upsilon}'}{(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi}) \bar{\Upsilon}} - \frac{(3\kappa + 3\beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi}) \bar{V}'}{2(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi}) \bar{V}} + 2 \frac{\bar{V}''}{\bar{V}'}, \quad (134)$$

$$\frac{1}{\dot{\phi}} \frac{d \ln(aH)}{dt} \approx \frac{(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi}) \bar{V}}{\alpha \bar{V}'} (1 - \epsilon). \quad (135)$$

Now, utilizing these relations, while employing relation (126) and using the modified slow-roll parameters (70), (71) and (72), relation (132) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} n_S - 1 &\approx \left\{ \frac{8(\kappa + \beta) - (\kappa + \beta + 9\kappa\alpha)\bar{\chi}}{2[(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi}) - (\kappa + \beta)\bar{\chi}]} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{3\kappa + 3\beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi}}{4(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi})} \right\} \epsilon \\ &\quad - \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi})}{(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi}) - (\kappa + \beta)\bar{\chi}} \right\} \eta \\ &\quad + \left\{ \frac{(5\kappa + 5\beta + \kappa\alpha)\bar{\chi}}{2[(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi}) - (\kappa + \beta)\bar{\chi}]} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\kappa + \beta + \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi}}{4(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi})} \right\} \lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (136)$$

where condition $1 + \epsilon \approx 1$ has been used.

B. TENSOR PERTURBATIONS

In this subsection, we consider the evolution of tensor perturbations. For this purpose, we denote the related metric as [121]

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)(\delta_{ij} + h_{ij})dx^i dx^j, \quad (137)$$

wherein tensor perturbations are characterized by the spatially symmetric, transverse, traceless tensor h_{ij} , with properties such that $\partial^i h_{ij} = 0 = h_i^i$. Accordingly, the result can be achieved by perturbing the energy-momentum tensor up to the second-order within the framework of linear perturbations as [33]

$$\ddot{h}_{ij} + \left\{ 3H - \frac{d}{dt} [\ln(f_Q)] \right\} \dot{h}_{ij} + \frac{k^2}{a^2} h_{ij} = 0. \quad (138)$$

This equation determines the evolution of tensor perturbations. It is interesting to note that the functional form of Eq. (138) is the same as the corresponding one obtained in $f(Q)$ gravity [67]. Still, in the linear functional form of $f(Q, T)$ gravity, relation (57), one has $d[\ln(f_Q)]/dt = 0$. Nevertheless, the contribution of $f(Q, T)$ gravity from the source $T^{[\phi]}$ to the tensor modes can be obtained through the presence of the Hubble parameter term, H , in Eq. (138). Indeed, the modified Friedmann equations of the model, specifically Eqs. (62) and (63), determine the effect of the parameters of such a model on the tensor perturbations.

In Ref. [122], a method has been provided to compute the emergence of tensor perturbations during warm inflation by introducing an additional factor $\coth[k/(2\tau)]$

that modifies the power spectrum of the tensor fluctuation modes as [26]

$$\Delta_{\text{T}}^2 = \frac{4H^2}{\pi} \coth\left(\frac{k}{2\tau}\right). \quad (139)$$

Utilizing Eq. (68), this relation becomes

$$\Delta_{\text{T}}^2 \approx \frac{4(\kappa + 2\beta)\bar{V}(\phi)}{-3\alpha\pi} \coth\left(\frac{k}{2\tau}\right). \quad (140)$$

However, due to the weak nature of gravitational interactions, the tensor primordial power spectrum remains unaltered, similar to its state during cold inflation without any modification.

Furthermore, the inflationary parameter, denoted as the tensor-to-scalar ratio r , is

$$r = \frac{\Delta_{\text{T}}^2}{\Delta_{\text{R}}^2}. \quad (141)$$

By applying relations (130) (while using Eq. (68) into it) and (140), it reads

$$r \approx \frac{\kappa\bar{V}(\phi)\sqrt{(\kappa + 2\beta)} \exp[2\zeta(\phi)]}{3\tau\sqrt{-\alpha}[(\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi}) - (\kappa + \beta)\bar{\chi}]} \coth\left(\frac{k}{2\tau}\right), \quad (142)$$

which dictates

$$\kappa + 2\beta > 0 \quad (143)$$

and

$$\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\bar{\chi} > (\kappa + \beta)\bar{\chi} \quad (144)$$

for negative values of α .

In the warm inflationary paradigm, the primordial scalar power spectrum undergoes an increase during the warm inflation era compared to the cold inflation era, whereas the tensor power spectrum remains consistent with the cold inflation period. Consequently, the reduction of the tensor-to-scalar ratio, compared to the cold inflation scenario, has the potential to revive models that have been disregarded due to discrepancies with observational data. Subsequently, we investigate the inflationary characteristics within the framework of warm inflation, considering the linear version of $f(Q, T)$ gravity, which is coupled with both the inflaton field and the radiation field. This analysis encompasses a power-law potential conducted under the context of the strong dissipation regime. Within this regime, it becomes possible to employ the approximation where $\chi \gg 1$.

VI. STRONG DISSIPATION REGIME

Henceforth, since the quantities involved are unperturbed ones, we will not label them with a bar for simplicity. In the strong dissipation regime, we make the plausible assumption

$$\beta \ll -\kappa\alpha\chi, \quad (145)$$

which, for negative values of α , while considering condition (75), requires

$$-\alpha\chi > 1. \quad (146)$$

However, we prefer to assume $|\alpha\chi| \gg 1$. Under these assumptions, we can write

$$\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\chi \approx -\kappa\alpha\chi. \quad (147)$$

Hence, condition (74) is always satisfied, since we have

$$\frac{-\alpha}{\kappa + \beta - \kappa\alpha\chi} > 0 \quad \longrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{\kappa\chi} > 0, \quad (148)$$

where $\kappa\chi$ is obviously a positive value. Also, for negative values of α , under these assumptions, condition (144) requires

$$\kappa + \beta + \kappa\alpha < 0. \quad (149)$$

In addition, assumption (145) implies that when α takes on negative values, the β parameter encompasses both positive and negative values. Nevertheless, when α is a positive value, a prerequisite emerges for β to hold negative values.

Furthermore, in the context of the strong dissipation regime and under these assumptions, the modified slow-roll parameters (70), (71) and (72) become

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &\approx \frac{1}{2\kappa\chi} \left(\frac{V'}{V}\right)^2, & \eta &\approx \frac{1}{\kappa\chi} \left(\frac{V''}{V}\right), \\ \lambda &\approx \frac{1}{\kappa\chi} \left(\frac{\Upsilon'V'}{\Upsilon V}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (150)$$

Also, the e-folding number (77) reads

$$N \approx \kappa \int_{\phi_{\text{end}}}^{\phi} \chi \frac{V}{V'} d\phi. \quad (151)$$

Thus, the model parameters α and β do not appear in the slow-roll parameters and the e-folding number. Moreover, relation (126) and the scalar spectral index (136) are now

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(\phi) &\approx \int \left[\frac{-\kappa\alpha}{\kappa + \beta + \kappa\alpha} \left(\frac{V''}{V'} - \frac{V'}{V}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\kappa + \beta}{\kappa + \beta + \kappa\alpha} \frac{\Upsilon'}{\Upsilon} \right] d\phi, \end{aligned} \quad (152)$$

$$\begin{aligned} n_{\text{S}} - 1 &\approx \frac{3\kappa + 3\beta + 19\kappa\alpha}{4(\kappa + \beta + \kappa\alpha)} \epsilon - \frac{\kappa + \beta + 5\kappa\alpha}{2(\kappa + \beta + \kappa\alpha)} \eta \\ &\quad - \frac{9\kappa + 9\beta + \kappa\alpha}{4(\kappa + \beta + \kappa\alpha)} \lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (153)$$

As mentioned, the behavior of the dissipation coefficient has a crucial impact on the evolution of the inflaton field and the resulting cosmological observables such as

the spectrum of the scalar and tensor perturbations. It is a key parameter that distinguishes warm inflation from the standard cold inflation scenario. In the subsequent analysis, we will investigate the power-law potential under two variations of the dissipation coefficient, one of them as a constant value and the other as a function of the inflaton scalar field to the power of two, within the context of the strong dissipation regime.

A. POWER-LAW POTENTIAL WITH CONSTANT DISSIPATION COEFFICIENT

In this subsection, with the power-law potential, we assume a constant dissipation coefficient, say Υ_0 . Power-law potentials represent a class of inflaton potentials that exhibit a straightforward mathematical structure. It contains the potential energy $V(\phi)$ which is directly proportional to the inflaton field ϕ raised to a fixed power n , namely

$$V(\phi) = \nu \phi^n, \quad (154)$$

where n is a positive rational number and ν is a constant of the model [123, 124]. In this case, the slow-roll parameters (150) become

$$\epsilon \approx \frac{n^2}{2\kappa\chi_0\phi^2}, \quad \eta \approx \frac{n(n-1)}{\kappa\chi_0\phi^2}, \quad \lambda \approx 0. \quad (155)$$

Also, Eq. (68) reads

$$3H^2 \approx \frac{\nu(\kappa+2\beta)}{-\alpha} \phi^n, \quad (156)$$

and in turn, the dissipation rate (16) is now

$$\chi_0 = \frac{\Upsilon_0}{3H} \approx \sqrt{\frac{-\alpha\Upsilon_0^2}{3\nu(\kappa+2\beta)}} \phi^{-\frac{n}{2}}. \quad (157)$$

Furthermore, by considering the condition $\epsilon(\phi_{\text{end}}) = 1$ alongside the above relations, we obtain the scalar field at the end of inflation as

$$\phi_{\text{end}} \approx \left[\frac{3\nu n^4 (\kappa+2\beta)}{-4\alpha (\kappa\Upsilon_0)^2} \right]^{1/(4-n)}, \quad (158)$$

which dictates $n \neq 4$. Also, the e-folding number (151) reads

$$N \approx \left[\phi^{(4-n)/2} - \phi_{\text{end}}^{(4-n)/2} \right] \left[\frac{-4\alpha (\kappa\Upsilon_0)^2}{3\nu n^2 (4-n)^2 (\kappa+2\beta)} \right]^{1/2}. \quad (159)$$

Subsequently, the scalar field at the first horizon crossing becomes

$$\phi \approx \left\{ \frac{-4\alpha (\kappa\Upsilon_0)^2}{3\nu n^2 (\kappa+2\beta) [n^2 + (4-n)^2 N^2]} \right\}^{1/(n-4)}. \quad (160)$$

In addition, in this case, relation (152) is

$$\zeta(\phi) \approx \int \frac{-\kappa\alpha}{\kappa+\beta+\kappa\alpha} \left(\frac{-1}{\phi} \right) d\phi = \frac{\kappa\alpha}{\kappa+\beta+\kappa\alpha} \ln \phi. \quad (161)$$

To calculate the temperature of the thermal bath, first by using relations (69), (147) and (156), we obtain

$$\dot{\phi}^2 \approx \frac{n^2 \nu (\kappa+2\beta)}{-3\alpha\kappa^2\chi_0^2} \phi^{(n-2)}. \quad (162)$$

Then, from relation (22), we have

$$\tau = \left(\frac{3\chi_0 \dot{\phi}^2}{4C_\gamma} \right)^{1/4}, \quad (163)$$

wherein by inserting relations (157) and (162), it reads

$$\tau \approx \left[\frac{n^2}{4C_\gamma \kappa^2 \Upsilon_0} \sqrt{\frac{3\nu^3 (\kappa+2\beta)^3}{(-\alpha)^3}} \right]^{1/4} \frac{3n-4}{\phi^8}. \quad (164)$$

Thereupon, by substituting relations (68), (157), (161) and (164) into relation (130) for the strong dissipation regime, we obtain

$$\Delta_{\text{R}}^2 \approx \sqrt{\frac{-n(\kappa+\beta+\kappa\alpha)}{4\pi^4\kappa} \left[\frac{\nu\Upsilon_0^2 (\kappa+2\beta)^5}{48C_\gamma^2 (-\alpha)^5} \right]^{1/4} \frac{(n-4)(\kappa+\beta+\kappa\alpha) - 16\kappa\alpha}{8(\kappa+\beta+\kappa\alpha)}}. \quad (165)$$

Then, by inserting relation (160) into the above relation, we can achieve the density fluctuation in terms of the e-folding number, N , and the other parameters, $n, \nu, \Upsilon_0, \alpha$ and β .

Eventually, by substituting relations (155), while using relation (157), into relation (153), we obtain the scalar spectral index in terms of the inflaton field as

$$n_{\text{S}} - 1 \approx \sqrt{\frac{3\nu(\kappa+2\beta)}{-\alpha\Upsilon_0^2}} n \phi^{(n-4)/2} \times \left[\frac{4(\kappa+\beta+5\kappa\alpha) - n(\kappa+\beta+\kappa\alpha)}{8\kappa(\kappa+\beta+\kappa\alpha)} \right] \approx \frac{4(\kappa+\beta+5\kappa\alpha) - n(\kappa+\beta+\kappa\alpha)}{4(\kappa+\beta+\kappa\alpha) \sqrt{n^2 + (4-n)^2 N^2}}, \quad (166)$$

where we have used relation (160) for the last row. Interestingly, in this model for the case of the strong dissipation regime, the scalar spectral index is obtained only as a function of the α, β, n and N parameters and independent of ν and Υ_0 . Also, utilizing relations (16), (147) and (161) into relation (142), the tensor-to-scalar ratio reads

$$r \approx \frac{\kappa\nu}{\tau} \sqrt{\frac{H(\kappa+2\beta)}{3\alpha\Upsilon_0(\kappa+\beta+\kappa\alpha)}} \coth\left(\frac{k}{2\tau}\right) \times \phi \left[\frac{n(\kappa+\beta+\kappa\alpha) + 2\kappa\alpha}{\kappa+\beta+\kappa\alpha} \right]. \quad (167)$$

Then, by substituting relations (160) and (164) into relation (167), we obtain the inflationary observable r as a function of the α , β , n , N , Υ_0 and ν parameters. Of course, later, when we want to calculate the inflationary observable r , we will use the value of the pivot scale $k_0 \approx 0.002 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ for the wavenumber k . Moreover, by substituting relations (160) and/or (158) into relation (164), we can also obtain the temperature of the thermal bath at the first horizon crossing and/or at the end of inflation, respectively.

To evaluate the ability of any theory of gravitation to explain inflation, it is essential that the predicted values of n_S and r be in agreement with the observational data. These observables offer valuable insights into the underlying mechanisms driving inflation and provide a means to test and refine theoretical models. Achieving a high degree of observational consistency ensures that our understanding of inflation remains grounded in empirical evidence and bolsters the credibility of our scientific endeavors. In this regard, the most recent constraints established by the Planck collaboration for the scalar spectral index and the tensor-to-scalar ratio are [119]

$$\begin{aligned} n_S &= 0.9649 \pm 0.0042 \\ &\text{(at 68\% CL, PlanckTT, TE, EE + lowE + lensing),} \\ r &< 0.10 \quad \text{(at 95\% CL, PlanckTT + lowE + lensing).} \end{aligned} \quad (168)$$

Nevertheless, through the combined examination of the Planck, BK15 and BAO data, additional restrictions have been imposed, further constricting the upper limit of r to a specific value of

$$r < 0.056 \quad \text{at 95\% CL.} \quad (169)$$

On the other hand, in some studies, e.g., Ref. [120], wherein the concept of warm inflation is examined within the framework of GR for a natural potential, due to a limited number of parameters in their model, it is possible to fix the scale of the scalar amplitude to what is observed in the CMB. Then, based on the number of e-folds, the parameter of their chosen potential and the dissipation coefficient can be fixed. However, in this different study, we are left with many parameters. Moreover, the purpose of this work is to implement and investigate the impact of the linear version of $f(Q, T)$ modified gravity on the warm inflationary scenario. Therefore, to proceed, we are required to keep the parameters of the potential and the dissipation coefficient fixed with a given e-folding number. Nevertheless, the scale of scalar amplitudes provides an additional constraint on the parameters used; hence, we need to incorporate such a constraint in the obtained results. In this regard, to ensure the correctness of the values used for the parameters in drawing the figures, we have also drawn the density fluctuation in terms of these values. However, our main goal is to achieve the scalar spectral index and the tensor-to-scalar ratio obtained from the model in comparison with the observational data.

Hence, in FIG. 1, we have depicted the amplitude of the scalar power spectrum, the scalar spectral index, the tensor-to-scalar ratio, and the temperature at the end of inflation with respect to the model parameters α and β for the power-law potential with $n = 2$, $N = 70$, $\nu \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-8})$ and $\Upsilon_0 \sim \mathcal{O}(0.5 \times 10^{-8})$.⁹ The results indicate that, in the range of $(-10^9) < \alpha < (-0.5 \times 10^9)$ and $-2 < \beta < 2$, the model-derived values are in good agreement for Δ_R^2 with both the Planck 2018 data and the WMAP three-year data [119, 120] and for r with both the Planck 2018 data (168) and the joint Planck, BK15 and BAO data (169). Also, the model produces a variety of spectra that span a range from blue tilt – indicated by $n_S > 1$ for $(-10^9) < \alpha < (-0.5 \times 10^9)$ – to red tilt – indicated by $n_S < 1$ for $-0.6 < \alpha < -0.4$ – in alignment with the WMAP three-year data [118]. However, in the range of $(-0.5 \times 10^9) < \alpha < -0.6$, the spectrum has values of n_S greater than one and less than one, although we have not shown the corresponding plots and have only shown the appropriate values. Furthermore, for the positive values of α , the model does not produce real results or compatible results with the observational data. Moreover, the result of FIG. 1 shows that the temperature at the end of inflation, with the chosen values, is approximately $\mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$. Although, by inserting the exact value of the κ parameter, its value becomes approximately $\mathcal{O}(10^{28})$.

B. POWER-LAW POTENTIAL WITH VARIABLE DISSIPATION COEFFICIENT

In this subsection, we continue the calculations with the assumption that the dissipative coefficient is

$$\Upsilon = \Upsilon_* \left(\frac{\phi}{\phi_0} \right)^2, \quad (170)$$

where Υ_* and ϕ_0 are constant. In this case, the slow-roll parameters can be derived in a similar way as we obtained relations (155), except for λ , which are now

$$\epsilon \approx \frac{n^2}{2\kappa\chi\phi^2}, \quad \eta \approx \frac{n(n-1)}{\kappa\chi\phi^2}, \quad \lambda \approx \frac{2n}{\kappa\chi\phi^2}. \quad (171)$$

Next, from the condition $\epsilon(\phi_{\text{end}}) = 1$, we can determine the value of the scalar field at the end of the inflationary era as

$$\phi_{\text{end}}^2 \approx \frac{n^2}{2\kappa\chi_{\text{end}}}. \quad (172)$$

⁹ Note that in this model, since n_S does not depend on ν and Υ_0 , by eliminating ϕ between the relations r and Δ_R^2 and getting their relation in terms of each other, while fixing $n = 2$ and $N = 70$ but floating α and β , we probed to find an acceptable value for each of ν and Υ_0 such that the values of r and Δ_R^2 fall within the acceptable range of observational data. Moreover, in drawing all figures, the values chosen within the given range of α and β satisfy conditions (145), (147) and (149).




FIG. 1. [color online] All shapes are drawn as functions of the model parameters α and β for the power-law potential with $n = 2$, $N = 70$, $\Upsilon_0 = 0.5 \times 10^{-8}$ and $\nu = 10^{-8}$ with $\kappa = 8\pi$, wherein (a) indicates the amplitude of the scalar power spectrum Δ_R^2 , (b) and (c) show the scalar spectral index n_s , (d) indicates the tensor-to-scalar ratio r , and (e) demonstrates the temperature at the end of inflation τ_{end} .

Thus, we need to specify the dissipation rate at the end of the inflationary era. For this purpose, by substituting the dissipation coefficient (170) into relation (16), while utilizing Eq. (156), we obtain

$$\chi \approx \sqrt{\frac{-\alpha \Upsilon_*^2}{3\nu(\kappa + 2\beta)} \phi^4} \frac{(4-n)}{2}. \quad (173)$$

Meanwhile, this case with the power of $n = 4$ clearly has a constant dissipation rate. In turn, relation (172) gives

$$\phi_{\text{end}} \approx \left[\frac{3\nu n^4 (\kappa + 2\beta) \phi_0^4}{-4\alpha(\kappa \Upsilon_*)^2} \right]^{1/(8-n)}, \quad (174)$$

which dictates $n \neq 8$.

As in the previous subsection, the e-folding number (151) for this case yields

$$N \approx \left[\phi^{(8-n)/2} - \phi_{\text{end}}^{(8-n)/2} \right] \left[\frac{-4\alpha(\kappa \Upsilon_*)^2}{3\nu n^2 (8-n)^2 (\kappa + 2\beta) \phi_0^4} \right]^{1/2}, \quad (175)$$

and in turn, the scalar field at the first horizon crossing

becomes

$$\phi \approx \left\{ \frac{-4\alpha(\kappa \Upsilon_*)^2}{3\nu n^2 (\kappa + 2\beta) [n^2 + (8-n)^2 N^2]} \phi_0^4 \right\}^{1/(n-8)}. \quad (176)$$

In addition, in this case, relation (152) is

$$\zeta(\phi) \approx \left[\frac{\kappa\alpha - 2(\kappa + \beta)}{\kappa + \beta + \kappa\alpha} \right] \ln \phi. \quad (177)$$

Also, by using relation (163) for this case, while substituting relations (162) and (173) into it, we obtain the radiation temperature as

$$\tau \approx \left[\frac{n^2}{4C_\gamma \kappa^2 \Upsilon_*} \sqrt{\frac{3\nu^3 (\kappa + 2\beta)^3 \phi_0^4}{(-\alpha)^3}} \right]^{1/4} \phi^{\frac{3n-8}{8}}. \quad (178)$$

Thereupon, by substituting relations (68), (173), (177) and (178) into relation (130) for the strong dissipation regime, we obtain

$$\Delta_R^2 \approx \sqrt{\frac{-n(\kappa + \beta + \kappa\alpha)}{4\pi^4 \kappa \phi_0} \left[\frac{\Upsilon_*^2 \nu (\kappa + 2\beta)^5}{48C_\gamma^2 (-\alpha)^5} \right]^{1/4}} \frac{(n+32)(\kappa + \beta) + (n-16)\kappa\alpha}{8(\kappa + \beta + \kappa\alpha)} \times \phi. \quad (179)$$

Then, by inserting relation (176) into the above relation, the density fluctuation can be expressed in terms of the e-folding number, N , and the other parameters, $n, \nu, \Upsilon_*, \phi_0, \alpha$ and β .

Eventually, by substituting relations (171), while using relation (173), into relation (153), we get the scalar spectral index in terms of the inflaton field as

$$\begin{aligned} n_S - 1 &\approx \sqrt{\frac{3\nu(\kappa+2\beta)\phi_0^4}{-\alpha\Upsilon_*^2}} n \phi^{(n-8)/2} \\ &\times \left[\frac{-16(2\kappa+2\beta-\kappa\alpha) - n(\kappa+\beta+\kappa\alpha)}{8\kappa(\kappa+\beta+\kappa\alpha)} \right] \\ &\approx \frac{-16(2\kappa+2\beta-\kappa\alpha) - n(\kappa+\beta+\kappa\alpha)}{4(\kappa+\beta+\kappa\alpha)\sqrt{n^2+(8-n)^2N^2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (180)$$

where we have used relation (176) for the last row. Again, it is interesting to note that in this model for the case of the strong dissipation regime, the scalar spectral index is obtained only as a function of the α, β, n and N parameters and independent of ν and Υ_* . Also, utilizing relations (16), (147) and (177) into relation (142), the tensor-to-scalar ratio reads

$$\begin{aligned} r &\approx \frac{\kappa\nu}{\tau} \sqrt{\frac{H\phi_0^2(\kappa+2\beta)}{3\alpha\Upsilon_*(\kappa+\beta+\kappa\alpha)}} \coth\left(\frac{k}{2\tau}\right) \\ &\times \phi \left[\frac{n(\kappa+\beta+\kappa\alpha) - (5\kappa+5\beta-\kappa\alpha)}{\kappa+\beta+\kappa\alpha} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (181)$$

Now, inserting relations (156), (176) and (178) into relation (181), we again obtain the inflationary observable r as a function of the $\alpha, \beta, n, N, \phi_0, \Upsilon_*$ and ν parameters.

As explained in the previous subsection, we have plotted the amplitude of the scalar power spectrum, the scalar spectral index, the tensor-to-scalar ratio, and the temperature at the end of inflation with respect to the model parameters α and β , while fixing $N = 70$, for the power-law potential with $n = 2, \nu \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-4}), \Upsilon_* \sim \mathcal{O}(0.5 \times 10^{-3})$ and $\phi_0 = 0.5 \times 10^3$ in FIG. 2, and with $n = 4, \nu \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-8}), \Upsilon_* \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-7/2})$ and $\phi_0 = 0.5 \times 10^4$ in FIG. 3. The results indicate that, for $n = 2$ within the range of $(-10^9) < \alpha < (-0.5 \times 10^9)$ and $-2 < \beta < 2$, the obtained values of the amplitude of the scalar power spectrum are approximately in the range of observational data. However, for $n = 4$ within the range of $(-10^{12}) < \alpha < (-0.5 \times 10^{12})$ and $-2 < \beta < 2$, its values are more consistent with observational data. Also, the obtained values of r for $n = 2$ are approximately $\sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$, and those for $n = 4$ are approximately $\sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-5} - 10^{-4})$. In both cases, the obtained values are in good agreement with both the Planck 2018 data (168) and the combined Planck, BK15, and BAO data (169). Also, the obtained results in both figures 2 and 3 for n_S indicate that the model can generate diverse spectra that extend across the spectrum range from the blue tilt to the red tilt in agreement with the WMAP three years data [118]. However, the obtained values of

both n_S and r are very sensitive to different values of the lower bound of the α parameter but not very sensitive to different values of the parameter β . Furthermore, in these cases, for positive values of the parameter α , no real and/or compatible results are attainable. In addition, the results show that the temperature at the end of inflation, with the chosen values, is approximately $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$ in FIG. 2 and $\mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$ in FIG. 3. Although, by inserting the exact value of the κ parameter, these values are approximately $\mathcal{O}(10^{14})$ and $\mathcal{O}(10^{13})$, respectively. Besides, for the power-law potential with $n = 4$, the dissipation rate is constant and does not depend on the inflaton scalar field.

In the weak dissipation regime, the scalar spectral index (136) becomes

$$n_S - 1 = \frac{19}{4}\epsilon - \frac{5}{2}\eta - \frac{1}{4}\lambda. \quad (182)$$

Also, relations (126) and (142) are now

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(\phi) &\approx \int \left(\frac{V'}{V} - \frac{V''}{V'} + \chi \frac{\Upsilon'}{\Upsilon} \right) d\phi, \\ r &\approx \frac{\kappa\sqrt{(\kappa+2\beta)}V}{3\tau\sqrt{-\alpha(\kappa+\beta)}} \exp[2\zeta(\phi)] \coth\left(\frac{k}{2\tau}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (183)$$

Moreover, within this regime, the slow-roll parameters (70), (71) and (72) become

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &\approx \frac{-\alpha}{2(\kappa+\beta)} \left(\frac{V'}{V} \right)^2, & \eta &\approx \frac{-\alpha}{(\kappa+\beta)} \left(\frac{V''}{V} \right), \\ \lambda &\approx \frac{-\alpha}{(\kappa+\beta)} \left(\frac{\Upsilon'V'}{\Upsilon V} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (184)$$

VII. CONCLUSIONS

Based on observations, it has been evident that the Universe has experienced two periods of accelerated expansion in its history. The first phase occurred in the early Universe, known as inflation, and the second phase is taking place in the late Universe, which is related to the dark energy sector.

The inflationary paradigm addresses the deficiencies of the standard cosmological model by introducing a period of accelerated expansion. In general, inflationary models can be categorized into two groups: cold inflation and warm inflation. In the cold inflation model, the inflationary scalar field does not interact with other fields or radiation. It slowly rolls down along its relatively flat potential, and the Universe experiences a quasi-exponential expansion during inflation, which comes to an end when the inflaton reaches the minimum of the potential. In this case, the inflaton begins to oscillate around this minimum and decays into the particles of the standard model, and the Universe, which has been in a very cold phase due to very rapid expansion, reheats and connects to the radiation-dominant era of the standard model of




FIG. 2. [color online] All shapes are drawn as functions of the model parameters α and β for the power-law potential with $n = 2$, $N = 70$, $\phi_0 = 0.5 \times 10^3$, $\Upsilon_* = 0.5 \times 10^{-3}$ and $\nu = 10^{-4}$ with $\kappa = 8\pi$, wherein (a) demonstrates the amplitude of the scalar power spectrum Δ_R^2 , (b) and (c) show the scalar spectral index n_s , (d) indicates the tensor-to-scalar ratio r , and (e) demonstrates the temperature at the end of inflation τ_{end} .

cosmology. This phase is called reheating. However, in the warm inflation model, the inflaton does interact with other fields, leading to an energy transfer from the inflaton to radiation field during its slow-roll. Towards the end of inflation, the inflaton undergoes complete decay into radiation, preventing the Universe from having a very cold phase due to inflation. As a consequence, the Universe is seamlessly transitioning into the radiation-dominant phase without the need for a separate reheating phase. While clear predictions have been successfully made by GR in describing cosmological phenomena, these predictions are not sufficient to fully account for the impacts of dark sectors on the dynamics of the Universe, which are consistent with observational data. Therefore, the exploration of alternative gravitational theories provides a compelling motivation to address this issue.

In this study, we have investigated warm inflation within the framework of the linear version of $f(Q, T)$ gravity. To accomplish this, we initially outlined the basic theoretical setup for warm inflation, starting with GR and considering an isotropic and homogeneous scalar field, commonly referred to as inflaton. Next, we have provided a concise explanation of the $f(Q, T)$ gravity theory along with its mathematical aspects and interconnections. Subsequently, we have applied this theory to a spatially flat FLRW metric while taking into account the

inflaton scalar field and a dissipation term. As a result, we have derived the modified Friedmann equations and the modified Klein-Gordon equation specific to the linear version of $f(Q, T)$ gravity. Furthermore, we have extracted the extended slow-roll parameters and extended slow-roll conditions for this model. Following that, we have analyzed the scalar and tensor perturbations, while we have developed the corresponding formulations, for the linear version of $f(Q, T)$ gravity with the warm inflation scenario. By employing the slow-roll conditions, we have calculated both the scalar power spectrum and the power spectrum of the tensor perturbations. Subsequently, we have determined the scalar spectral index and the tensor-to-scalar ratio based on these power spectra. Then, in the strong dissipation regime, by imposing a restriction on the parameters and assuming the power-law potential, we have derived the amplitude of the scalar power spectrum and the inflationary observables as well as the temperature of the thermal bath for two cases. One case involves a constant dissipation coefficient, while the other one considers a more general case, a specific function of the inflaton scalar field.

Under the assumption of the constant dissipation coefficient, we have obtained that the scalar spectral index is a function of two parameters of the linear model of $f(Q, T)$ gravity (α and β) plus the power value n of the




FIG. 3. [color online] All shapes are drawn as functions of the model parameters α and β for the power-law potential with $n = 4$, $N = 70$, $\phi_0 = 0.5 \times 10^4$, $\Upsilon_* = 10^{-7/2}$ and $\nu = 10^{-8}$ with $\kappa = 8\pi$, wherein (a) demonstrates the amplitude of the scalar power spectrum Δ_R^2 , (b) and (c) show the scalar spectral index n_s , (d) indicates the tensor-to-scalar ratio r , and (e) demonstrates the temperature at the end of inflation τ_{end} .

power-law potential and the e-folding number. Whereas, the amplitude of the scalar power spectrum and the tensor-to-scalar ratio are functions of these four parameters plus the constant coefficient of the potential and the dissipation coefficient. Then, we have plotted the amplitude of the scalar power spectrum, the scalar spectral index, the tensor-to-scalar ratio, and the temperature at the end of inflation with respect to the model parameters for the power-law potential with $n = 2$ while keeping the other parameters fixed. The results obtained for the amplitude of the scalar power spectrum, the scalar spectral index and the tensor-to-scalar ratio, in the selected range of negative values of the α parameter and positive and negative values of the β parameter, are in good agreement with the WMAP three years data, the Planck 2018 data, and the joint Planck, BK15 and BAO data. Also in this case, the model generates the scalar spectral index that shifts from a blue tilt to a red tilt as the parameter α increases, in alignment with the WMAP three-year data and the Planck 2018 data. Hence, the power-law potential can make predictions consistent with observational data. However, these inflationary observables rely crucially on the model parameters. For instance, when considering positive values of the parameter α , it is not possible to achieve results consistent with the observational data. Also, the results show that the temperature of the Universe at the end of inflation, with the chosen

values, is approximately $\mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$, although by inserting the exact value of the κ parameter, it is approximately $\mathcal{O}(10^{28})$.

Next, assuming that the variable dissipation coefficient is a function of the inflaton field to the power of two, we have again obtained that the scalar spectral index is a function of two model parameters plus the power value of the power-law potential and the e-folding number. Whereas, the amplitude of the scalar power spectrum and the tensor-to-scalar ratio are functions of these four parameters plus the constant coefficient of the potential and the two constant coefficients of the dissipation coefficient. Then, we have plotted the amplitude of the scalar power spectrum, the scalar spectral index, the tensor-to-scalar ratio, and the temperature at the end of inflation with respect to the model parameters for the power-law potential with $n = 2$ and $n = 4$ while keeping the other parameters fixed. The model produces a spectrum for the scalar spectral index that transitions from a blue tilt to a red tilt as the parameter α increases, which is in agreement with the WMAP three years data and the Planck 2018 data. The findings show that, for negative values of α and positive and negative values of β , the results obtained for the tensor-to-scalar ratio are consistent with both the Planck 2018 data and the joint Planck, BK15 and BAO data. For the amplitude of the scalar power spectrum for $n = 2$, they are approximately

within observational data, whereas $n = 4$ matches better with observational data. Once again, although these inflationary observables rely crucially on the model parameters, the power-law potential can make predictions consistent with observational data. Besides, in this case, again, when considering positive values of α , it is not possible to obtain results in agreement with observational data. Also, the results show that the temperature at the end of inflation, with the chosen values, is approximately $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$ for $n = 2$ and $\mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$ for $n = 4$, although by inserting the exact value of the κ parameter, these values are approximately $\mathcal{O}(10^{14})$ and $\mathcal{O}(10^{13})$, respectively.

Finally, in contrast to the results obtained from GR in the same scenario, since the $f(Q, T)$ gravity approach imposes tighter constraints on the value of the tensor-to-scalar ratio, it effectively revives the power-law poten-

tial. Such results can provide a justification for applying $f(Q, T)$ gravity. In addition, the utilization of the linear version of $f(Q, T)$ gravity produces distinct differences in the constraints on the parameter of the power-law potential compared to the results obtained under the GR assumptions. Therefore, by choosing the appropriate parameters of the model, the linear version of $f(Q, T)$ gravity in the warm inflationary paradigm can revive the power-law potential.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

M.S. and M.F. thank the Research Council of Shahid Beheshti University.

-
- [1] G. Hinshaw, et al., “Nine-year Wilkinson microwave anisotropy probe (WMAP) observations: Cosmological parameter results”, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **208** (2013) 19.
 - [2] N. Aghanim, et al., “Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters”, *Astron. Astrophys.* **641** (2020) A6; Erratum: *Astron. Astrophys.* **652** (2021) C4.
 - [3] A.A. Starobinsky, “A new type of isotropic cosmological models without singularity”, *Phys. Lett. B* **91** (1980) 99.
 - [4] A.H. Guth, “Inflationary universe: A possible solution to the horizon and flatness problems”, *Phys. Rev. D* **23** (1981) 347.
 - [5] A. Albrecht and P.J. Steinhardt, “Cosmology for grand unified theories with radiatively induced symmetry breaking”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48** (1982) 1220.
 - [6] A.D. Linde, “A new inflationary universe scenario: A possible solution of the horizon, flatness, homogeneity, isotropy and primordial monopole problems”, *Phys. Lett. B* **108** (1982) 389.
 - [7] A.D. Linde, “*Particle Physics and Inflationary Cosmology*”, (Harwood, Reading, 1990); its LaTeX version: *Contemp. Concepts Phys.* **5** (2005), 1.
 - [8] J.D. Barrow, “Graduated inflationary universes”, *Phys. Lett. B* **235** (1990) 40.
 - [9] J.D. Barrow, A.R. Liddle and C. Pahud, “Intermediate inflation in light of the three-year WMAP observations”, *Phys. Rev. D* **74** (2006) 127305.
 - [10] H.V. Peiris, et al., “First year Wilkinson microwave anisotropy probe (WMAP) observations: Implications for inflation”, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **148** (2003) 213.
 - [11] M. Tegmark, et al., [SDSS collaboration] “Cosmological parameters from SDSS and WMAP”, *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 103501.
 - [12] J. Martin, C. Ringeval, R. Trotta and V. Vennin, “The best inflationary models after Planck”, *JCAP* **1403** (2014) 039.
 - [13] B.A. Bassett, S. Tsujikawa and D. Wands, “Inflation dynamics and reheating”, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **78** (2006) 537.
 - [14] A. Berera and L.Z. Fang, “Thermally induced density perturbations in the inflation era”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74** (1995) 1912.
 - [15] A. Berera, “Warm inflation”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75** (1995) 3218.
 - [16] A. Berera, “Interpolating the stage of exponential expansion in the early universe: Possible alternative with no reheating”, *Phys. Rev. D* **55** (1997) 3346.
 - [17] A. Berera, “The warm inflation story”, *Univ.* **9** (2023) 272.
 - [18] A. Berera, M. Gleiser and R.O. Ramos, “A first principles warm inflation model that solves the cosmological horizon and flatness problems”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 264.
 - [19] H.P. de Oliveira and R.O. Ramos, “Dynamical system analysis for inflation with dissipation”, *Phys. Rev. D* **57** (1998) 741.
 - [20] A. Berera, “Warm inflation in the adiabatic regime – a model, an existence proof for inflationary dynamics in quantum field theory”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **585** (2000) 666.
 - [21] L.M.H. Hall, I.G. Moss and A. Berera, “Scalar perturbation spectra from warm inflation”, *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 083525.
 - [22] M. Bastero-Gil, A. Berera and R.O. Ramos, “Dissipation coefficients from scalar and fermion quantum field interactions”, *JCAP* **1109** (2011) 033.
 - [23] M. Bastero-Gil, A. Berera, R.O. Ramos and J.G. Rosa, “General dissipation coefficient in low-temperature warm inflation”, *JCAP* **1301** (2013) 016.
 - [24] M. Bellini, “Power spectrum of the primordial scalar field fluctuations in the warm inflation scenario”, *Phys. Rev. D* **58** (1998) 103518.
 - [25] A.N. Taylor and A. Berera, “Perturbation spectra in the warm inflationary scenario”, *Phys. Rev. D* **62** (2000) 083517.
 - [26] R. Herrera, S. del Campo and C. Campuzano, “Tachyon warm inflationary universe models”, *JCAP* **0610** (2006) 009.
 - [27] V.F. Mukhanov, H.A. Feldman and R.H. Brandenberger, “Theory of cosmological perturbations”, *Phys. Rep.* **215** (1992) 203.
 - [28] H.P. de Oliveira and S.E. Jorás, “On perturbations in warm inflation”, *Phys. Rev. D* **64** (2001) 063513.
 - [29] R.H. Brandenberger, “Lectures on the theory of cosmological perturbations”, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **646** (2004)

- 127.
- [30] N. Bartolo, P.-S. Corasaniti, A.R. Liddle and M. Malquarti, “Perturbations in cosmologies with a scalar field and a perfect fluid”, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 043532.
- [31] S. Weinberg, “Cosmology”, (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008).
- [32] P. Bari and K. Bhattacharya, “Evolution of scalar and vector cosmological perturbations through a bounce in metric $f(R)$ gravity in flat FLRW spacetime”, *JCAP* **1911** (2019) 019.
- [33] A. Nájera and A. Fajardo, “Cosmological perturbation theory in $f(Q, T)$ gravity”, *JCAP* **2203** (2022) 020.
- [34] A. Einstein, “Die grundlage der allgemeinen relativitätstheorie”, *Ann. Phys. (Berlin)* **49** (1916) 769.
- [35] C.M. Will, “The confrontation between general relativity and experiment”, *Living Rev. Rel.* **9** (2006) 3.
- [36] D. Huterer and M.S. Turner, “Prospects for probing the dark energy via supernova distance measurements”, *Phys. Rev. D* **60** (1999) 081301(R).
- [37] U. Alam, V. Sahni and A.A. Starobinsky, “The case for dynamical dark energy revisited”, *JCAP* **0406** (2004) 008.
- [38] U. Alam, V. Sahni and A.A. Starobinsky, “Exploring the properties of dark energy using type-Ia supernovae and other datasets”, *JCAP* **0702** (2007) 011.
- [39] M. Ishak, “Testing general relativity in cosmology”, *Living Rev. Rel.* **22** (2019) 1.
- [40] P.G. Ferreira, “Cosmological tests of gravity”, *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **57** (2019) 335.
- [41] M. Farhoudi, “On higher order gravities, their analogy to GR, and dimensional dependent version of Duff’s trace anomaly relation”, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **38** (2006) 1261.
- [42] M. Farhoudi, “Lovelock tensor as generalized Einstein tensor”, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **41** (2009) 117.
- [43] T.P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, “ $f(R)$ Theories of gravity”, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82** (2010) 451.
- [44] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, “ $f(R)$ theories”, *Living Rev. Rel.* **13** (2010) 3.
- [45] R. Zaregonbadi and M. Farhoudi, “Cosmic acceleration from matter-curvature coupling”, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **48** (2016) 142.
- [46] R. Zaregonbadi, M. Farhoudi and N. Riazi, “Dark matter from $f(R, T)$ gravity”, *Phys. Rev. D* **94** (2016) 084052.
- [47] Z. Haghani, M. Shiravand and S. Shahidi, “Energy conditions in mimetic- $f(R)$ gravity”, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **27** (2018) 1850049.
- [48] R. Zaregonbadi, N. Saba and M. Farhoudi, “Cosmological solutions of chameleon scalar field model”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83** (2023) 975.
- [49] A. Vilenkin, “Classical and quantum cosmology of the Starobinsky inflationary model”, *Phys. Rev. D* **32** (1985) 2511.
- [50] M.B. Mijić, M.S. Morris and W.-M. Suen, “The R^2 cosmology: Inflation without a phase transition”, *Phys. Rev. D* **34** (1986) 2934.
- [51] Y. Fujii and K.-I. Maeda, “The Scalar-Tensor Theory of Gravitation”, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003).
- [52] S.M. Carroll, et al., “The cosmology of generalized modified gravity models”, *Phys. Rev. D* **71** (2005) 063513.
- [53] L. Amendola, R. Gannouji, D. Polarski and S. Tsujikawa, “Conditions for the cosmological viability of $f(R)$ dark energy models”, *Phys. Rev. D* **75** (2007) 083504.
- [54] S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, “Unified cosmic history in modified gravity: From $f(R)$ theory to Lorentz non-invariant models”, *Phys. Rep.* **505** (2011) 59.
- [55] H. Shabani and M. Farhoudi, “Cosmological and solar system consequences of $f(R, T)$ gravity models”, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 044031.
- [56] N. Saba and M. Farhoudi, “Chameleon field dynamics during inflation”, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **27** (2018) 1850041.
- [57] S.M.M. Rasouli, N. Saba, M. Farhoudi, J. Marto and P.V. Moniz, “Inflationary universe in deformed phase space scenario”, *Ann. Phys.* **393** (2018) 288.
- [58] S.D. Odintsov, V.K. Oikonomou, I. Giannakoudi, F.P. Fronimos and E.C. Lymperiadou, “Recent advances on inflation”, *Symmetry* **15** (2023) 1701.
- [59] H. Weyl, “Gravitation und Elektrizität”, *Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, Sitz.* (1918) 465.
- [60] J.T. Wheeler, “Weyl geometry”, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **50** (2018) 80.
- [61] H. Weyl, “Raum-Zeit-Materie”, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1st ed. 1918, 4th ed. 1921). Its English version (of the 4th ed.) is: “Space-Time-Matter”, translated by: H.L. Brose (Dover Publications, New York, 1st ed. 1922, reprinted 1950).
- [62] P.A.M. Dirac, “Long range forces and broken symmetries”, *Proc. R. Soc. London A* **333** (1973) 403.
- [63] J.W. Maluf, “The teleparallel equivalent of general relativity”, *Ann. Phys.* **525** (2013) 339.
- [64] J.M. Nester and H.J. Yo, “Symmetric teleparallel general relativity”, *Chin. J. Phys.* **37** (1999) 113.
- [65] J.B. Jiménez, L. Heisenberg and T. Koivisto, “Coincident general relativity”, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 044048.
- [66] J. Lu, X. Zhao and G. Chee, “Cosmology in symmetric teleparallel gravity and its dynamical system”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** (2019) 530.
- [67] J.B. Jiménez, L. Heisenberg, T.S. Koivisto and S. Pekar, “Cosmology in $f(Q)$ geometry”, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) 103507.
- [68] N. Dimakis, A. Paliathanasis, M. Roumeliotis and T. Christodoulakis, “FLRW solutions in $f(Q)$ theory: The effect of using different connections”, *Phys. Rev. D* **106** (2022) 043509.
- [69] S. Bahamonde, et al., “Teleparallel gravity: From theory to cosmology”, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **86** (2023) 026901.
- [70] Y. Xu, G. Li, T. Harko and S.D. Liang, “ $f(Q, T)$ gravity”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** (2019) 708.
- [71] S. Arora, S.K.J. Pacif, S. Bhattacharjee and P.K. Sahoo, “ $f(Q, T)$ gravity models with observational constraints”, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **30** (2020) 100664.
- [72] Y. Xu, T. Harko, S. Shahidi and S.D. Liang, “Weyl type $f(Q, T)$ gravity, and its cosmological implications”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) 449.
- [73] S. Arora and P.K. Sahoo, “Energy conditions in $f(Q, T)$ gravity”, *Phys. Scripta* **95** (2020) 095003.
- [74] S. Arora, A. Parida and P.K. Sahoo, “Constraining effective equation of state in $f(Q, T)$ gravity”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** (2021) 555.
- [75] G. Gadbaill, S. Arora and P.K. Sahoo, “Viscous cosmology in the Weyl-type $f(Q, T)$ gravity”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** (2021) 1088.

- [76] G. Gadbail, S. Arora and P.K. Sahoo, “Power-law cosmology in Weyl-type $f(Q, T)$ gravity”, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **136** (2021) 1040.
- [77] S. Arora, J.R.L. Santos and P.K. Sahoo, “Constraining $f(Q, T)$ gravity from energy conditions”, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **31** (2021) 100790.
- [78] N. Godani and G.C. Samanta, “FRW cosmology in $f(Q, T)$ gravity”, *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **18** (2021) 2150134.
- [79] A. Pradhan and A. Dixit “Transit cosmological models with observational constraints in $f(Q, T)$ gravity”, *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **18** (2021) 2150159.
- [80] A.S. Agrawal, L. Pati, S.K. Tripathy and B. Mishra, “Matter bounce scenario and the dynamical aspects in $f(Q, T)$ gravity”, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **33** (2021) 100863.
- [81] L. Pati, B. Mishra and S.K. Tripathy, “Model parameters in the context of late time cosmic acceleration in $f(Q, T)$ gravity”, *Phys. Scripta* **96** (2021) 105003.
- [82] S. Arora, S.K.J. Pacif, A. Parida and P.K. Sahoo, “Bulk viscous matter and the cosmic acceleration of the universe in $f(Q, T)$ gravity”, *J. High Energy Astrophys.* **33** (2022) 1.
- [83] L. Pati, S.A. Kadam, S.K. Tripathy and B. Mishra, “Rip cosmological models in extended symmetric teleparallel gravity”, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **35** (2022) 100925.
- [84] M. Shiravand, S. Fakhry and M. Farhoudi, “Cosmological inflation in $f(Q, T)$ gravity”, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **37** (2022) 101106.
- [85] K. El Bourakadi, M. Koussour, G. Otalora, M. Bennai and T. Ouali, “Constant-roll and primordial black holes in $f(Q, T)$ gravity”, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **41** (2023) 101246.
- [86] R. Bhagat, S.A. Narawade and B. Mishra, “Weyl type $f(Q, T)$ gravity observational constrained cosmological models”, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **41** (2023) 101250.
- [87] M. Tayde, Z. Hassan and P.K. Sahoo, “Existence of wormhole solutions in $f(Q, T)$ gravity under non-commutative geometries”, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **42** (2023) 101288.
- [88] L. Pati, S.A. Narawade, S.K. Tripathy and B. Mishra, “Evolutionary behavior of cosmological parameters with dynamical system analysis in $f(Q, T)$ gravity”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83** (2023) 5.
- [89] S. Mandal, A. Singh and R. Chubey, “Cosmic evolution of holographic dark energy in $f(Q, T)$ gravity”, *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **20** (2023) 2350084.
- [90] S.A. Narawade, M. Koussour and B. Mishra, “Constrained $f(Q, T)$ gravity accelerating cosmological model and its dynamical system analysis”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **992** (2023) 116233.
- [91] S. Das and S. Mandal, “Aspects of cosmology in symmetric teleparallel $f(Q, T)$ gravity”, *arXiv: 2306.14912*.
- [92] K. Nozari, M. Shoukrani and B. Fazlpour, “Non-minimal warm inflation and perturbations on the warped DGP brane with modified induced gravity”, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **43** (2011) 207.
- [93] M.R. Setare and V. Kamali, “Tachyon warm-intermediate inflationary universe model in high dissipative regime”, *JCAP* **1208** (2012) 034.
- [94] M. Jamil, D. Momeni and R. Myrzakulov, “Warm intermediate inflation in $F(T)$ gravity”, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **54** (2015) 1098.
- [95] M. Sharif and A. Ikram, “Warm inflation in $f(\mathcal{G})$ theory of gravity”, *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **123** (2016) 40.
- [96] M. Sharif and I. Nawazish, “Warm intermediate inflation in $f(R)$ gravity”, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **362** (2017) 30.
- [97] W. Amaek, A. Payaka and P. Channuie, “Warm inflation in general scalar-tensor theory of gravity”, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 083501.
- [98] I. Shahid, R. Saleem and H.R. Kausar, “Warm inflation in hybrid metric-Palatini gravity under standard and irreversible thermodynamical approach”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83** (2023) 85.
- [99] S. Yeasmin, B. Deb and A. Deshamukhya, “Warm inflation in $f(R, T)$ gravity”, *Chin. J. Phys.* **85** (2023) 359.
- [100] S.B. Fisher and E.D. Carlson, “Reexamining $f(R, T)$ gravity”, *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) 064059.
- [101] T. Harko and P.H.R.S. Moraes, “Comment on “Reexamining $f(R, T)$ gravity”, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 064059 (2019)”, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) 108501.
- [102] S.B. Fisher and E.D. Carlson, “Response to comment on “Reexamining $f(R, T)$ gravity”, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) 108502.
- [103] M. Bastero-Gil and A. Berera, “Warm inflation model building”, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **24** (2009) 2207.
- [104] R. Arya, et al., “Revisiting CMB constraints on warm inflation”, *JCAP* **1802** (2018) 043.
- [105] M. Bastero-Gil, S. Bhattacharya, K. Dutta and M.R. Gangopadhyay, “Constraining warm inflation with CMB data”, *JCAP* **1802** (2018) 054.
- [106] Y. Zhang, “Warm inflation with a general form of the dissipation coefficient”, *JCAP* **0903** (2009) 023.
- [107] M. Correa, M.R. Gangopadhyay, N. Jaman and G.J. Mathews, “Primordial black-hole dark matter via warm natural inflation”, *Phys. Lett. B* **835** (2022) 137510.
- [108] A.R. Liddle, P. Parsons and J.D. Barrow, “Formalizing the slow roll approximation in inflation”, *Phys. Rev. D* **50** (1994) 7222.
- [109] A. Berera, I.G. Moss and R.O. Ramos, “Warm inflation and its microphysical basis”, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **72** (2009) 026901.
- [110] L. Visinelli, “Natural warm inflation”, *JCAP* **1109** (2011) 013.
- [111] M. R. Setare and V. Kamali, “Warm vector inflation”, *Phys. Lett. B* **726** (2013) 56.
- [112] R. Myrzakulov, L. Sebastiani and S. Zerbini, “Reconstruction of inflation models”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** (2015) 5.
- [113] A.R. Liddle, “An introduction to cosmological inflation”, *arXiv: astro-ph/9901124*.
- [114] D. Baumann, “TASI lectures on inflation”, *arXiv: 0907.5424*.
- [115] V. Mukhanov, “*Physics Foundations of Cosmology*”, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005).
- [116] I. G. Moss and C. Xiong, “Non-gaussianity in fluctuations from warm inflation”, *JCAP* **0704** (2007) 007.
- [117] J. Martin, C. Ringeval and V. Vennin, “Encyclopædia inflationaris”, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **5-6** (2014) 75.
- [118] D.N. Spergel, et al., [WMAP collaboration] “Wilkinson microwave anisotropy probe (WMAP) three year results: Implications for cosmology”, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **170** (2007) 377.
- [119] Y. Akrami, et al., “Planck 2018 results. X. Constraints on inflation”, *Astron. Astrophys.* **641** (2020) A10.
- [120] G. Montefalcone, V. Aragam, L. Visinelli and K. Freese, “Observational constraints on warm natural inflation”,

- JCAP* **03** (2023) 002.
- [121] K. Bhattacharya, S. Mohanty and R. Rangarajan, “Temperature of the inflaton and duration of inflation from WMAP data”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** (2006) 121302.
- [122] K. Bhattacharya, S. Mohanty and A. Nautiyal, “Enhanced polarization of the cosmic microwave background radiation from thermal gravitational waves”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** (2006) 251301.
- [123] A.D. Linde, “Chaotic inflation”, *Phys. Lett. B* **129** (1983) 177.
- [124] S.A. Pavluchenko, “Some constraints on inflation models with power-law potentials”, *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 021301(R).