

Recent development in biconservative submanifolds

Bang-Yen CHEN

Abstract. A submanifold $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^m$ is called *biharmonic* if it satisfies $\Delta^2\phi = 0$ identically, according to the author. On the other hand, G.-Y. Jiang studied biharmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds as critical points of the bienergy functional, and proved that biharmonic maps φ are characterized by vanishing of bitension τ_2 of φ . During last three decades there has been a growing interest in the theory of biharmonic submanifolds and biharmonic maps.

The study of H -submanifolds of \mathbb{E}^m were derived from biharmonic submanifolds by only requiring the vanishing of the tangential component of $\Delta^2\phi$. In 2014, R. Caddeo et. al. named a submanifold M in any Riemannian manifold “biconservative” if the stress-energy tensor \hat{S}_2 of bienergy satisfies $\text{div } \hat{S}_2 = 0$. Caddeo et. al. also shown that a Euclidean submanifolds is an H -submanifold if and only if the tangential component of τ_2 vanishes and hence the notions of H -submanifolds and of biconservative submanifolds coincide for Euclidean submanifolds. The first results on biconservative hypersurfaces were proved by T. Hasanis and T. Vlachos, where they called such hypersurfaces *H-hypersurfaces* in 1995. Since then biconservative submanifolds has attracted many researchers and a lot of interesting results were obtained. The aim of this article is to provide a comprehensive survey on recent developments on biconservative submanifolds done most during the last decade.

2020 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 31B30, 53-02, 53B25, 53C40

1. Introduction

As a special topic in the theory of finite type submanifolds, the notion of biharmonic submanifold M of a Euclidean m -spaces \mathbb{E}^m was introduced in the 1980s by the author as an isometric immersion $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^m$ of a Riemannian n -manifold M into \mathbb{E}^m with biharmonic position vector field ϕ , i.e., $\Delta^2\phi = 0$ (see, e.g., [15, 41]), where Δ denotes the Laplacian of M . According to the

famous Beltrami's formula $\Delta\phi = -nH$, the condition " $\Delta^2\phi = 0$ " is equivalent to " $\Delta H = 0$ ", where H denote the mean curvature vector.

It is well-known that biharmonic surfaces in \mathbb{E}^3 are minimal, which leads to the following conjecture of the author [15] posed in 1991.

Biharmonic Conjecture: *Biharmonic submanifolds of Euclidean spaces are minimal.*

This conjecture was verified for many cases in a lot of papers under additional geometric properties (see, e.g., [17, 26, 40, 41, 48, 53, 57, 61, 75, 90]). However, this conjecture remains open til now.

Independently, biharmonic maps were studied by G.-Y. Jiang in [65, 68], in much abstract form; in terms of a variational problem for maps between Riemannian manifolds as critical points of the L^2 -norm of the tension field. More precisely, a biharmonic map $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ between two Riemannian manifolds is a critical point of the *bienergy functional*:

$$E_2(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \|\tau(\varphi)\|^2 dv,$$

where M is a compact manifold and $\tau(\varphi) = \text{Trace}(\nabla d\varphi)$ is the tension field of the map φ . Jiang proved in [65] that biharmonic maps are characterized by the Euler-Lagrange equation or the biharmonic equation:

$$\tau_2(\varphi) = -\Delta^\phi \tau(\varphi) - \text{Trace } R^N(d\varphi(\cdot), \tau(\varphi))d\varphi(\cdot) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\tau_2(\varphi)$ is called the *bitension* of φ , R^N is the Riemann curvature tensor of N , and

$$\Delta^\phi Z = \text{Tr}(\nabla^\phi \nabla^\phi - \nabla_{\nabla_M}^\phi)Z.$$

In 2002, R. Caddeo, S. Montaldo and C. Oniciuc [5] observed that the condition " $\tau_2(\varphi) = 0$ " is equivalent to the condition " $\Delta^2\varphi = 0$ " for Euclidean submanifolds. Consequently, these two definitions of biharmonicity coincide when the ambient space is Euclidean. During last three decades there has been a growing interest in the theory of biharmonic submanifolds and biharmonic maps (see, e.g., [90]).

The notion of biconservative submanifolds is derived from the theory of biharmonic submanifolds by only requiring the vanishing of the tangential component of $\Delta^2\phi$ for Euclidean submanifolds or the tangential component of $\tau_2(\varphi)$ for arbitrary Riemannian submanifolds. The first results on biconservative hypersurfaces were obtained by T. Hasanis and T. Vlachos in [61], where biconservative hypersurfaces are called *H-hypersurfaces*.

One of advantages of studying biconservative submanifolds is that one will reveal the influence of the tangential component of the biharmonic equation on submanifolds. Hence, it may give us informations on the biharmonic conjecture. Moreover, it would provide some informations that how much one can rely on just the vanishing of tangential part of the biharmonic equation as well as how many results remain valid under this half condition.

Since the last decade, the study of biconservative submanifolds attracts many researchers and a lot of interesting results have been obtained. The

main purpose of this paper is to present a comprehensive survey of recent developments on biconservative submanifolds. It is author's intention that this paper would provide useful references for graduate students as well as for researchers working on this interesting and very active research topic in differential geometry.

2. Stress-Energy tensor and biconservative submanifolds

According to D. Hilbert in [62], the *stress-energy tensor* associated with a variational problem is a symmetric 2-covariant tensor \hat{S} conservative at critical points, i.e., $\operatorname{div} \hat{S} = 0$. In the context of harmonic maps φ between two Riemannian manifolds the stress-energy tensor has been studied in details by P. Baird and J. Eells in [1] and A. Sanini in [100].

The Euler-Lagrange equation associated to the energy is equivalent to the vanishing of the tension field $\tau_1(\varphi) = \operatorname{Trace}(\nabla d\varphi)$ (see, e.g., [42]), and the tensor

$$\hat{S} = \frac{1}{2}|d\varphi|^2g - \varphi^*\tilde{g},$$

which satisfies $\operatorname{div} \hat{S} = -\langle \tau_1(\varphi), d\varphi \rangle$. Hence, we have $\operatorname{div} \hat{S} = 0$ when the map φ is harmonic.

For isometric immersions, the condition $\operatorname{div} \hat{S} = 0$ is always satisfied, because $\tau_1(\varphi)$ is normal. The study of the stress-energy tensor for the bienergy was initiated G.-Y. Jiang [66] and afterwards by E. Loubeau, S. Montaldo, and C. Oniciuc [72]. Its expression is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}_2(X, Y) &= \frac{1}{2}|\tau_1(\varphi)|^2\langle X, Y \rangle + \langle d\varphi, \nabla\tau_1(\varphi) \rangle\langle X, Y \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle d\varphi(X), \nabla_Y\tau_1(\varphi) \rangle - \langle d\varphi(Y), \nabla_X\tau_1(\varphi) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and it satisfies the condition

$$\operatorname{div} \hat{S}_2 = -\langle \tau_2(\varphi), d\varphi \rangle, \quad (2.1)$$

and thus it conform to the principle of a stress-energy tensor for the bienergy.

For an isometric immersion $\varphi : (M, g) \rightarrow (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$, equation (2.1) becomes

$$\operatorname{div} \hat{S}_2 = -\tau_2(\varphi)^T. \quad (2.2)$$

Based on these facts, R. Caddeo, S. Montaldo, C. Oniciuc and P. Piu [6] defined the notion of *biconservative submanifolds* as follows.

Definition 2.1. A submanifold of a Riemannian manifold is called *biconservative* if it satisfies $\operatorname{div} \hat{S}_2 = 0$.

3. Basics of submanifolds

For general references on submanifolds we refer to [24, 26, 27, 28].

Let (M, g) be a pseudo-Riemannian n -manifold. We denote by ∇ the Levi-Civita connection of (M, g) . Then the Riemann curvature tensor R of M is the $(1, 3)$ tensor field given by

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z$$

for $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$, where $\mathfrak{X}(TM)$ denotes the space consists of all (smooth) vector fields in the tangent bundle TM and let $[\ , \]$ be the Lie bracket. At any point $p \in M$, for a given basis $\{X, Y\}$ of a plane section $\pi \subset T_p M$, we put

$$Q(X, Y) = g(X, X)g(Y, Y) - g(X, Y)^2.$$

We call the plane section π *nondegenerate* whenever $Q(X, Y) \neq 0$. For a nondegenerate plane section π , the sectional curvature $K(\pi)$ is defined by

$$K(\pi) = \frac{g(R(X, Y)Y, X)}{Q(X, Y)}.$$

The *Ricci tensor* Ric_p at a point $p \in M$ is given by

$$Ric_p(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i g(R(E_i, X)Y, E_i),$$

where $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_p M$; and the *scalar curvature* is defined by

$$\tau = \sum_{i < j} K(E_i, E_j).$$

A Riemannian manifold (M, g) with $\dim M \geq 3$ is called an *Einstein manifold* if its Ricci tensor satisfies $Ric = cg$ for some constant c .

Remark 3.1. In this article, by a *real space form* we mean a Riemannian manifold of constant sectional curvature. We denote an m -dimensional real space form of constant curvature c simply by $R^m(c)$.

For an isometric immersion $\phi : M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ of a pseudo-Riemannian submanifold (M, g) into another pseudo-Riemannian manifold (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) , we denote the Levi-Civita connections of M and \tilde{M} by ∇ and $\tilde{\nabla}$, respectively. Then the formulas of Gauss and Weingarten of M in \tilde{M} are given respectively by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y), \quad (3.1)$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_\xi X + D_X \xi, \quad (3.2)$$

where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(T^\perp M)$, where $\mathfrak{X}(T^\perp M)$ is the space consists of all (smooth) normal vector fields of M .

The h, A and D given in (3.1)–(3.2) are called the *second fundamental form*, *shape operator* and *normal connection* of M , respectively. The shape operator A_ξ is a self-adjoint endomorphism of $T_p M$. Furthermore, the shape operator and the second fundamental form are related by

$$\langle h(X, Y), \xi \rangle = \langle A_\xi X, Y \rangle,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product associated with the metric. The *mean curvature vector* of M is given by

$$H = \frac{1}{n} \text{Trace } h = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_j h(E_j, E_j). \quad (3.3)$$

A submanifold M is called *CMC* if M has constant mean curvature α , where $\alpha = \|H\|$, and M is called *PMCV* if its mean curvature vector H is parallel in the normal bundle, i.e., $DH = 0$ (see, e.g., [8, 23]). Moreover, M is called *minimal* (resp., *totally geodesic*) if its mean curvature vector (resp., its second fundamental form) vanishes identically. Furthermore, M is called *totally umbilical* if it satisfies

$$h(X, Y) = g(X, Y)H, \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(TM);$$

and M is called *pseudo-umbilical* if its shape operator satisfies

$$A_H = \lambda I$$

for some function $\lambda \in C^\infty(M)$, where I is the identity map.

Let R and \tilde{R} denote the Riemann curvature tensors of M and of \tilde{M} , respectively, and R^D the curvature tensor of the normal bundle $T^\perp M$ defined by

$$R^D(X, Y)\xi = D_X D_Y \xi - D_Y D_X \xi - D_{[X, Y]}\xi.$$

Then the equations of Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci of M are given respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y; Z, W) &= \tilde{R}(X, Y; Z, W) + \tilde{g}(h(X, W), h(Y, Z)) \\ &\quad - \tilde{g}(h(X, Z), h(Y, W)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

$$(\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z)^\perp = (\bar{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z) - (\bar{\nabla}_Y h)(X, Z), \quad (3.5)$$

$$R^D(X, Y; \xi, \eta) = \tilde{R}^D(X, Y; \xi, \eta) + g([A_\xi, A_\eta](X), Y), \quad (3.6)$$

where $[A_\xi, A_\eta] = A_\xi A_\eta - A_\eta A_\xi$ and $\bar{\nabla}h$ is defined by

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z) = D_X h(Y, Z) - h(\nabla_X Y, Z) - h(Y, \nabla_X Z).$$

In particular, if the ambient space \tilde{M} is a real space form $R^m(c)$, then the equations of Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(X, Y)Z, W) &= g(A_{h(Y, Z)}X, W) - g(A_{h(X, Z)}Y, W) \\ &\quad + c(g(X, W)g(Y, Z) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z) = (\bar{\nabla}_Y h)(X, Z), \quad (3.8)$$

$$\tilde{g}(R^\perp(X, Y)\xi, \eta) = g([A_\xi, A_\eta]X, Y). \quad (3.9)$$

Let (\mathbb{E}_s^m, g_0) denote the pseudo-Euclidean m -space whose metric tensor is given by

$$g_0 = - \sum_{i=1}^s dx_i^2 + \sum_{j=s+1}^m dx_j^2, \quad (3.10)$$

where $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ is the rectangular coordinate system of \mathbb{E}_s^m . Then (\mathbb{E}_s^m, g_0) is called the *pseudo-Euclidean m -space* with index s .

For $c > 0$, if we put

$$S_s^m(c) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{E}_s^{m+1} : \langle x, x \rangle = \frac{1}{c^2} > 0 \right\}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$H_s^m(-c) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{E}_{s+1}^{m+1} : \langle x, x \rangle = -\frac{1}{c^2} < 0 \right\}, \quad (3.12)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the indefinite inner product on \mathbb{E}_s^m , then $S_s^m(c)$ and $H_s^m(-c)$ are complete pseudo-Riemannian manifolds with index s and of constant sectional curvature $c > 0$ and $-c < 0$, respectively. In particular the pseudo-Riemannian manifolds \mathbb{E}_1^m , $S_1^m(c)$ and $H_1^m(-c)$ are called the *Minkowski spacetime*, *de Sitter spacetime* and *anti-de Sitter spacetime*, respectively. These three spacetimes are known as the *Lorentzian space forms*.

In the following, by a *nondegenerate* submanifold of a Lorentzian space form, we mean a submanifold which is spacelike or timelike.

4. Two basic formulas and biconservative equations

The following formula of Beltrami is basic in submanifold theory.

Theorem 4.1. [2] *If $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_s^m$ is an isometric immersion of a pseudo-Riemannian n -manifold M into a pseudo-Euclidean m -space \mathbb{E}_s^m , then we have*

$$\Delta\phi = -nH. \quad (4.1)$$

An immediate consequence of Proposition 4.1 is the following.

Corollary 4.2. *Every spacelike minimal submanifold M in a pseudo-Euclidean space \mathbb{E}_s^m is non-closed.*

Another application of Theorem 4.1 is the following.

Corollary 4.3. *Every spacelike minimal submanifold M in a pseudo-hyperbolic space $H_s^m(-1)$ is non-closed.*

The theory of finite type submanifolds was initiated by the author in late 1990s (see, e.g., [9, 11, 12, 35, 17, 26]). In particular, the following result is basic and very useful in the study of finite type submanifolds.

Theorem 4.4. [9, 12] *If $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_s^m$ is an isometric immersion of a pseudo-Riemannian n -manifold M into \mathbb{E}_s^m , then we have*

$$\Delta H = \Delta^D H + \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i h(A_H e_i, e_i) + (\Delta H)^T, \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$(\Delta H)^T = \frac{n}{2} \nabla \|H\|^2 + 2 \text{Trace}(A_{DH}) \quad (4.3)$$

is the tangential component of ΔH , Δ^D is the Laplacian associated with the normal connection D , $\|H\|^2 = \langle H, H \rangle$, and

$$\text{Trace}(A_{DH}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i A_{D_{E_i}H} E_i$$

for an orthonormal frame $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ of TM .

Theorem 4.4 implies immediately the following.

Corollary 4.5. *Let M be a pseudo-Riemannian submanifold of \mathbb{E}_s^m . If M is PMCV, then it is biconservative.*

For submanifolds in an arbitrary Riemannian manifold, we have the following result from [5, 89].

Theorem 4.6. *If $\phi : M \rightarrow \tilde{M}^m$ is an isometric immersion of a Riemannian n -manifold (M, g) into another Riemannian manifold (\tilde{M}^m, \tilde{g}) , then ϕ is biharmonic if and only if the following two equations are satisfied:*

$$2 \text{Trace}(\nabla A_{DH}) + \frac{n}{2} \nabla \|H\|^2 = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{R}(e_i, H)e_i)^T, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\Delta^D H - \sum_{i=1}^n h(A_H E_i, E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{R}(E_i, H)E_i)^\perp, \quad (4.5)$$

where $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ is an orthonormal local frame of TM .

Theorem 4.6 implies the following.

Corollary 4.7. *If $\phi : M \rightarrow \tilde{M}^m$ is an isometric immersion of a Riemannian n -manifold (M, g) into another Riemannian manifold (\tilde{M}^m, \tilde{g}) , then ϕ is biconservative if and only if equation (4.4) holds identically.*

Using the Codazzi equation, one easily find the next result.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $\phi : M^n \rightarrow \tilde{M}^m$ be a nondegerate submanifold of \tilde{M} . Then we have*

$$\text{Trace}(\nabla A_H) = \frac{n}{2} \text{grad}(\|H\|^2) + \text{Trace} A_{\nabla^\perp H}(\cdot) + \text{Trace}(R^N(\cdot, H)\cdot)^T.$$

Because every biharmonic submanifold is biconservative, the family of biconservative submanifolds is much richer than the family of biharmonic submanifolds.

When the ambient space \tilde{M}^m is a real space form, Corollary 4.7 reduces to the following.

Corollary 4.9. [6] *If M is an n -dimensional submanifold of a real space form of constant curvature c , then M is biconservative if and only if we have*

$$2 \text{Trace}(\nabla A_{DH}) = -\frac{n}{2} \nabla \|H\|^2 \quad (4.6)$$

In particular, if M is a hypersurface, Corollary 4.9 reduces to

Corollary 4.10. [6] *If M is a hypersurface of a real space form $R^{n+1}(c)$ of constant curvature c , then M is biconservative if and only if we have*

$$A(\nabla\alpha) = -\frac{n}{2}\alpha\nabla\alpha, \quad (4.7)$$

where $\alpha = \|H\|$.

Analogous to Corollary 4.5, Corollary 4.9 implies the following.

Corollary 4.11. *Let M be a submanifold of a real space form. If M has parallel mean curvature vector, then M is biconservative.*

Clearly, Corollary 4.11 implies

Corollary 4.12. *CMC hypersurfaces of a real space form are biconservative.*

5. Geometry of biconservative surfaces in $R^3(c)$

Let (M^2, g) be a Riemannian 2-manifold. Then we can write the metric g in terms of an isothermal coordinates as $g = e^{2\rho}(dx^2 + dy^2)$, where $\rho \in C^\infty(M^2)$. As usual, let us put

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right).$$

Then $g(A_H(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}), \frac{\partial}{\partial z})$ is called *holomorphic* if $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}g(A_H(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}), \frac{\partial}{\partial z}) = 0$ holds.

5.1. Characterizations of biconservative surface

For surfaces of an arbitrary Riemannian manifold, we have the following characterization result from [76, 81].

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\phi : (M^2, g) \rightarrow (\tilde{M}^m, \tilde{g})$ be a surface in a Riemannian m -manifold \tilde{M}^m . Then the following four statements are equivalent:*

- (a) M is a biconservative surface;
- (b) $g(A_H(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}), \frac{\partial}{\partial z})$ is holomorphic;
- (c) A_H is a Codazzi tensor.
- (d) the mean curvature $\alpha = \|H\|$ is constant.

Remark 5.2. In the case of biharmonic surfaces, the fact that $g(A_H(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}), \frac{\partial}{\partial z})$ is holomorphic if and only if M is CMC was proved in [73].

From Corollary 4.12 we know that CMC surfaces in any real 3-space form are biconservative, thus the study of non-CMC biconservative surfaces is more interesting.

Definition 5.3. A maximal biconservative surfaces with $\nabla\alpha \neq 0$ everywhere is called a *standard biconservative surface*, and the domain of their defining immersion endowed with the induced metric is called an *abstract standard biconservative surface*.

5.2. Biconservative surfaces in \mathbb{E}^3

In [80], S. Nistor constructed some complete biconservative surfaces in \mathbb{E}^3 as follows.

Theorem 5.4. *If $(\mathbb{R}^2, g = c(\cosh u)^6(du^2 + dv^2))$ ($c > 0$) is a surface, then we have:*

- (a) *the metric g on \mathbb{R}^2 is complete;*
- (b) *the Gauss curvature $G = G(u)$ of the surface satisfies*

$$G(u) = -\frac{3}{c(\cosh u)^8} < 0, \quad G'(u) = \frac{24 \sinh u}{c(\cosh u)^9},$$

and therefore $\nabla G \neq 0$ at any point of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus Ov$;

- (c) *the immersion $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^2, g) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ given by*

$$\phi(u, v) = (f(u) \cos 3v, f(u) \sin 3v, k(u))$$

is a biconservative surface in \mathbb{R}^3 , where

$$f(u) = \frac{\sqrt{c}}{3} (\cosh u)^3, \quad k(u) = \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sinh 2u + u \right), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In [80], S. Nistor determined (locally) Riemannian surfaces which admit biconservative immersions with $\nabla \alpha \neq 0$ in \mathbb{E}^3 ($\alpha = \|H\|$). She also classified complete biconservative surfaces in \mathbb{E}^3 as the next two results.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $(\mathbb{R}^2, g_c = c(\cosh u)^6(du^2 + dv^2))$ be a Riemannian surface, where c is a positive constant. Then we have*

- (a) *the metric on \mathbb{R}^2 is complete;*
- (b) *$\nabla \alpha \neq 0$ at any point of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus Ov$, where Ov is the v -axis in \mathbb{R}^2 ;*
- (c) *the immersion $\phi_c : (\mathbb{R}^2, g_c) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^3$ given by*

$$\phi_c : (u, v) = (f(u) \cos 3v, f(u) \sin 3v, k(u))$$

is biconservative in \mathbb{E}^3 , where

$$f(u) = \frac{c^{1/2}}{3} (\cosh u)^3, \quad k(u) = \frac{c^{1/2}}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sinh 2u + u \right), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem 5.6. *Any two complete biconservative surfaces in \mathbb{E}^3 differ by a homothety of \mathbb{E}^3 .*

For biconservative surfaces of revolution in \mathbb{E}^3 , R. Caddeo, S. Montaldo, C. Oniciuc and P. Piu proved the following result.

Theorem 5.7. [6] *Let M be a biconservative surface of revolution in \mathbb{E}^3 with non-constant mean curvature. Then, locally, the surface can be parametrized by $\phi_c(u, v) = (u \cos v, u \sin v, f(u))$, where*

$$f(u) = \frac{3}{2c} \left(u^{1/3} \sqrt{cu^{2/3} - 1} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} \ln \left[2(cu^{1/3} + \sqrt{c^2u^{2/3} - c}) \right] \right),$$

with c a positive constant and $u \in (c^{-3/2}, \infty)$. The parametrization ϕ_c consists of a family of biconservative surfaces of revolution any two of which are not locally isometric.

A *regular surface* in \mathbb{E}^3 is a surface which is defined by an embedding. S. Nistor and C. Oniciuc [83] obtained the following.

Theorem 5.8. *The only complete biconservative regular surfaces in the Euclidean 3-space \mathbb{E}^3 are either CMC surfaces or certain surfaces of revolution. In particular, any compact biconservative regular surface in \mathbb{E}^3 is a round sphere.*

5.3. Biconservative surfaces in S^3

For biconservative surfaces in $S^3(1)$, we have the next result of S. Nistor.

Theorem 5.9. [80] *If M^2 is a biconservative surface in $S^3(1) \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ with $\alpha > 0$ and $(\nabla\alpha) \neq 0$ everywhere on M^2 with $\alpha = \|H\|$, then, locally, the surface can be parametrized by*

$$\phi_c(u, v) = \gamma(u) + \frac{4\kappa(u)^{-3/4}}{3\sqrt{c}} (v_1(\cos v - 1) + v_2 \sin v),$$

where c is a real number, v_1, v_2 are two orthonormal constant vectors in \mathbb{R}^4 , γ is a curve parametrized by arc-length satisfying

$$\langle \gamma(u), v_1 \rangle = \frac{4\kappa(u)^{-3/4}}{3\sqrt{c_1}}, \quad \langle \gamma(u), v_2 \rangle = 0,$$

and, the curvature $\kappa = \kappa(u)$ of γ is a positive non-constant solution of

$$\kappa''\kappa = \frac{7}{4}(\kappa')^2 + \frac{4}{3}\kappa^2 - 4\kappa^4. \quad (5.1)$$

By using a methods developed in [52], and a similar discussion as given in [6], Y. Fu [52] established explicit classifications of biconservative surfaces in $S^3(1)$ as follows.

Theorem 5.10. *If $\phi : M \rightarrow S^3(1) \subset \mathbb{E}^4$ is a biconservative surface in $S^3(1)$, then M is either a CMC surface or locally a rotational surface defined by*

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(u \cos v, u \sin v, \sqrt{1 - u^2} \cos f, \sqrt{1 - u^2} \sin f \right),$$

where $u \in (0, 1)$ and

$$f = \pm \int \frac{3du}{u^{1/3}(1 - u^2)\sqrt{1 - 9u^{-2/3} - u^2}}.$$

5.4. Biconservative surfaces in H^3

S. Nistor and C. Oniciuc [84] constructed complete, simply connected, non-CMC biconservative surfaces in $H^3(-1)$, in an intrinsic and extrinsic way. They constructed three families of such surfaces. For each surface, the set of points where the gradient of the mean curvature function does not vanish is dense and has two connected components. In their intrinsic approach, they first constructed a complete simply-connected, abstract surface and proved that it admits a unique biconservative immersion in $H^{3(-1)}$.

More precisely, Nistor and Oniciuc [84] constructed the family of abstract complete surfaces $(\mathbb{R}^2, \tilde{g}_{-1,c})$, where c is a real constant. In fact, they proved the following (cf. Theorem 4.1 of [85]).

Theorem 5.11. [84] *There exists a unique biconservative immersion*

$$\Phi : (\mathbb{R}^2, g_{-1,c}) \rightarrow H^3(-1). \tag{5.2}$$

In [52], Fu also established “explicit” classifications of biconservative surfaces in $H^3(-1)$ as follows.

Theorem 5.12. *If $\phi : M \rightarrow H^3(-1) \subset \mathbb{E}_1^4$ is a nondegenerate biconservative surface, then M is either CMC or locally given by one of the following surfaces:*

(1) *a rotational surface defined by*

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(\sqrt{1 + u^2} \cosh f, u \cos v, \sqrt{1 + u^2} \sinh f, u \sin v, \right),$$

where $u \in (0, \infty)$ and

$$f = \pm \int \frac{3du}{u^{1/3}(1 + u^2)\sqrt{1 - 9u^{-2/3} + u^2}}.$$

(2) *a rotational surface defined by*

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(u \cosh v, u \sinh v, \sqrt{u^2 - 1} \cos f, \sqrt{u^2 - 1} \sin f \right),$$

where $u \in (1, \infty)$ and

$$f = \pm \int \frac{3du}{u^{1/3}(1 - u^2)\sqrt{9u^{-2/3} + u^2 - 1}}.$$

(3) *a rotational surface defined by*

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \left\{ u(v^2 + f^2) + \frac{1}{u} + u \right\}, \frac{1}{2} \left\{ u(v^2 + f^2) + \frac{1}{u} - u \right\}, uv, vf \right),$$

where $u \in (3^{3/4}, \infty)$ and

$$f = \int \frac{3du}{u^2\sqrt{u^{8/3} - 9}}.$$

5.5. Biconservative surfaces in $R^3(c)$

The next result of Caddeo, Montaldo, Oniciuc and Piu [6] provided an intrinsic properties of biconservative surfaces satisfying $\nabla\alpha \neq 0$ in $R^3(c)$.

Theorem 5.13. *If $\phi : M^2 \rightarrow R^3(c)$ is a biconservative surface satisfying $\nabla\alpha \neq 0$ ($\alpha = \|H\|$), then the Gauss curvature G satisfies*

- (1) $G = \det A + c = -3\alpha^2 + c, ;$
- (2) $c - G > 0, \nabla G \neq 0$ at any point of M^2 , and the level curves of G are circles in M^2 with constant curvature $\kappa = \frac{3}{8}|\nabla G|/(c - G);$
- (iii) G satisfies

$$(c - G)\Delta G - |\nabla G|^2 - \frac{8}{3}G(c - G)^2 = 0.$$

The following uniqueness result for biconservative surfaces is due to D. Fetcu, S. Nistor and C. Oniciuc [47].

Theorem 5.14. *Let (M^2, g) be an abstract surface and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. If M^2 admits two biconservative immersions into $R^3(c)$ such that the gradients of their mean curvature functions are everywhere nonzero, then the two immersions differ by an isometry of $R^3(c)$.*

Even if the notion of a biconservative submanifold belongs, obviously, to extrinsic geometry, in the particular case of biconservative surfaces in $N^3(c)$ one can give an intrinsic characterization of such surfaces.

The next result is also due to D. Fetcu, S. Nistor and C. Oniciuc [47].

Theorem 5.15. *If (M^2, g) is an abstract surface, then locally M^2 can be isometrically embedded in $R^3(c)$ as a biconservative surface such that $\nabla\alpha \neq 0$ everywhere on M^2 if and only if the Gauss curvature satisfies $G < c$ and $\nabla G \neq 0$ everywhere on M^2 , and its level curves are circles in M^2 with constant curvature $\kappa = \frac{3}{8}|\nabla G|/(c - G)$.*

In [82], S. Nistor also proved the next result.

Theorem 5.16. *Let (M^2, g) be an abstract surface and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that $G < c$ and $\nabla G \neq 0$ everywhere on M^2 , and the level curves of G are circles in M^2 with constant curvature $\kappa = \frac{3}{8}|\nabla G|/(c - G)$. Then, locally, there is a unique biconservative embedding $\phi : (M^2, g) \rightarrow R^3(c)$ such that the mean curvature function is positive and its gradient is nowhere zero.*

5.6. Uniqueness of complete biconservative surfaces in $R^3(c)$

In [85] S. Nistor and C. Oniciuc constructed complete, simply connected, non-CMC biconservative surfaces in $R^3(c)$ from either the extrinsic or the intrinsic point of view, and they proved the following uniqueness results.

Theorem 5.17. *If $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^3$ is a complete, simply connected, non-CMC biconservative surface in \mathbb{E}^3 , then, up to isometries of the domain and codomain, $M = (\mathbb{R}^2, g = c^2(\cosh^6 x)(dx^2 + dy^2))$ and*

$$\phi(x, y) = \left(\frac{c}{3}(\cosh^3 x) \cos(3y), \frac{c}{3}(\cosh^3 x) \sin(3y), \frac{c}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sinh(2x) + x \right) \right),$$

where c is a positive real constant.

Theorem 5.18. *Let $\Phi : M^2 \rightarrow S^3(1)$ be a complete, simply connected, non-CMC biconservative surface in $S^3(1)$. Then, up to isometries of the domain and codomain, M^2 and Φ are those given in Theorem 3.11 of [85].*

Theorem 5.19. *Let $\Phi : M^2 \rightarrow H^3(-1)$ be a complete, simply connected, non-CMC biconservative surface in $H^3(-1)$. Then, up to isometries of the domain and codomain, M^2 and Φ are those given in Theorem 5.9.*

Theorem 5.20. *Every compact biconservative surface in $R^3(c)$, $c \in \{-1, 0\}$, is CMC.*

6. Biconservative hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}^{n+1}

6.1. First result on biconservative hypersurfaces

The following result of Hasanis and Valchos [61] obtained in 1995 was the first result on biconservative submanifolds.

Theorem 6.1. [61] *Let M be a biconservative hypersurface of \mathbb{E}^4 . Then M is congruent to one of the following hypersurfaces:*

- (a) M is CMC;
- (b) M is a CMC rotational hypersurface generated by a unit speed planar curve $\gamma = (f(s), g(s))$, where f satisfies

$$3ff'' = 2(1 - (f')^2);$$

- (c) A generalized cylinder on a surface of revolution in \mathbb{E}^3 with non-constant mean curvature given by

$$\phi(u, v, s) = (f(s) \cos u, f(s) \sin u, v, g(s)),$$

where $\gamma = (f(s), g(s))$ is a unit speed curve with non-constant curvature and f satisfies

$$3ff'' = 1 - (f')^2;$$

- (d) A $SO(2) \times SO(2)$ -invariant hypersurface of \mathbb{E}^3 with non-constant mean curvature given by

$$(f(s) \cos u, f(s) \sin u, g(s) \cos v, g(s) \sin v),$$

where $\gamma = (f(s), g(s))$ is a unit speed curve with non-constant curvature and f satisfies

$$f'g'' - f''g' = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{f'}{g} - \frac{g'}{f} \right).$$

In [75], Montaldo, Oniciuc, and Ratto performed a detailed qualitative study of biconservative hypersurfaces that are $SO(p+1) \times SO(q+1)$ -invariant in \mathbb{E}^{p+q+2} , or $SO(p+1)$ -invariant in \mathbb{E}^{p+2} . They obtained the following two results.

Theorem 6.2. *There exists an infinite family of $SO(p+1) \times SO(q+1)$ -invariant proper biconservative hypersurfaces (cones) in \mathbb{E}^{p+q+2} , and their corresponding profile curves $\gamma(s)$ tend asymptotically to the profile of a minimal cone.*

In addition, If $p+q \leq 17$, at infinity the profile curves γ intersect the profile of the minimal cone at infinitely many points, while, if $p+q \geq 18$, at infinity the profile curves γ do not intersect the profile of the minimal cone.

Theorem 6.3. *There exists an infinite family of complete $SO(p+1)$ -invariant proper biconservative hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}^{p+2} . In addition, their corresponding profile curves are of catenary type.*

6.2. Biconservative hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}^{n+1}

For biconservative hypersurface in \mathbb{E}^5 , R. S. Gupta [58] proved the following.

Theorem 6.4. *Every biconservative hypersurface in \mathbb{E}^5 with constant norm of second fundamental form has constant mean curvature.*

N. C. Turgay investigated in [102] biconservative hypersurfaces with three distinct curvatures in Euclidean spaces of arbitrary dimension. He proved such a hypersurface is either a generalized rotational hypersurface or a generalized cylinder over a rotational hypersurface. Moreover, he obtained the complete classification of such biconservative hypersurfaces. In addition, he constructed explicit examples of such biconservative hypersurfaces in a Euclidean space.

The next result on CMC biconservative surface is due to Montaldo, Oniciuc and Ratto [76].

Theorem 6.5. *Let $\phi : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^4$ be a proper biconservative surface with constant mean curvature $\alpha \neq 0$. If M^2 has non-parallel mean curvature vector, then locally the surface is given by*

$$\phi(u, v) = (\gamma(u), v + a) = (\gamma_1(u), \gamma_2(u), \gamma_3(u), v + a), \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (6.1)$$

where $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^3$ is a unit speed curve with nonzero constant curvature, and non-zero torsion. Conversely, a surface defined by (6.1) is a proper biconservative surface with nonzero constant mean curvature.

7. $\delta(r)$ -ideal biconservative hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}^{n+1}

Let M be a Riemannian n -manifold with $n \geq 3$. For a plane section $\pi \subset T_p M$, $p \in M$, let $K(\pi)$ denote the sectional curvature of M associated with a plane section π . For an s -dimensional plane section of $L_s \subset T_p M$ with $s \geq 2$, the scalar curvature $\tau(L_s)$ of L is defined by

$$\tau(L_s) = \sum_{\alpha < \beta} K(E_\alpha \wedge E_\beta), \quad 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq s \quad (7.1)$$

where $\{E_1, \dots, E_s\}$ is an orthonormal basis of L_s .

For any integer $r \in [2, n-1]$, the author defined the δ -invariant, $\delta(r)$, of M at $p \in M$ by (see [18, 19, 24])

$$\delta(r)(p) = \tau(p) - \inf\{\tau(L_r)\}, \quad (7.2)$$

where L_r run over all r -dimensional linear subspaces of $T_p M$. For any n -dimensional submanifold M of \mathbb{E}^m and any integer $r \in [2, n-1]$, the author established the following general optimal inequality (cf. [18, 19, 24]):

$$\delta(r) \leq \frac{n^2(n-r)}{2(n-r+1)} \|H\|^2. \quad (7.3)$$

Definition 7.1. An n -dimensional submanifold in \mathbb{E}^m is called $\delta(r)$ -ideal if it satisfies the equality case of (7.3) identically.

Roughly speaking an ideal submanifold is a submanifold which receives the least possible tension from its ambient space. For more detailed on the theory of δ -invariants, we refer to [16, 18, 19, 24, 25, 29].

In 2013, the author and M. I. Munteanu proved the following.

Theorem 7.2. [33] *Every $\delta(2)$ -ideal biconservative hypersurface in Euclidean spaces \mathbb{E}^{n+1} ($n \geq 3$) has constant mean curvature.*

In [38], Deepika and A. Arvanitoyeorgos improved Theorem 7.2 to the following.

Theorem 7.3. [38] *Every $\delta(2)$ -ideal biconservative hypersurface in \mathbb{E}^{n+1} is minimal.*

Theorem 7.4. [38] *Every $\delta(3)$ -ideal biconservative hypersurface in \mathbb{E}^5 has constant mean curvature.*

Theorem 7.5. [38] *Every $\delta(4)$ -ideal biconservative hypersurface in \mathbb{E}^5 with constant scalar curvature has constant mean curvature.*

In 2020, Deepika and Arvanitoyeorgos proved the following.

Theorem 7.6. [39] *Every $\delta(r)$ -ideal oriented biconservative hypersurface with at most $r+1$ distinct principal curvatures in \mathbb{E}^{n+1} ($n \geq 3$) has constant mean curvature.*

8. PNMCV biconservative surfaces in \mathbb{E}^4 and S^4

A submanifold of a Riemannian manifold is said to be *PNMCV* if its mean curvature vector H is nowhere zero and also its normalized mean curvature vector $\zeta = H/\|H\|$ is parallel in the normal bundle, i.e., $D\zeta = 0$. A PNMCV submanifold is called *proper* if the mean curvature $\alpha = \|H\|$ and its gradient $\nabla\alpha$ is nowhere vanishing.

The study of submanifolds with parallel normalized mean curvature vector (PNMCV) was initiated in 1980 (see [10]). In [10], the author proved the following results for PNMCV surfaces.

Theorem 8.1. *Let M be an analytic surface in a complete, simply-connected real space form $R^m(c)$. If M has PNMCV, then either M lies in a hypersphere of $R^m(c)$ as a minimal surface or M lies in a 4-dimensional totally geodesic submanifold $R^4(c)$ of $R^m(c)$.*

Theorem 8.2. *Let M be a flat analytic surface in \mathbb{E}^m . If M has PNMCV, then M is one of the following surfaces:*

- (a) a fiat minimal surface of a hypersphere S^{m-1} of \mathbb{E}^m ;
- (b) an open piece of the product surface of two plane circles; or
- (c) a developable surface in a linear 3-space $\mathbb{E}^3 \subset \mathbb{E}^m$.

Theorem 8.3. *Let M be a compact oriented analytic surface of genus zero in \mathbb{E}^m . If M has PNMCV, then either M lies in a hypersphere S^{m-1} of \mathbb{E}^m as a minimal surface or M lies in a linear 3-space $\mathbb{E}^3 \subset \mathbb{E}^m$.*

Yeğin Şen and Turgay classified in [112] proper PNMCV biconservative surfaces in \mathbb{E}^4 as follows.

Theorem 8.4. *Let M be a proper PNMCV biconservative surface in \mathbb{E}^4 . Then, locally, it is congruent to the rotational surface:*

$$\phi(s, t) = (\gamma_1 \cos t, \gamma_1 \sin t, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$$

where $\gamma(s) = (\gamma_1(s), \gamma_2(s), \gamma_3(s))$ is a unit speed the profile curve of M such that $\gamma_1(s) = 1/(c\alpha^{3/4})$ with constant c and $\alpha = \|H\|$, and the curvature κ and torsion τ of γ are given by

$$\kappa = \alpha(s)\sqrt{1 + c^2\alpha(s)}, \quad \tau(s) = \frac{c_2\alpha'(s)}{2\sqrt{\alpha(s)(1 + c^2\alpha(s))}}.$$

In [109], Yeğin Şen studied PNMCV biconservative submanifolds M^n of in \mathbb{E}^{n+2} whose shape operator has at most two distinct principal curvatures in the direction of the mean curvature vector. And she determined the canonical forms of the shape operator for such biconservative submanifolds. As an application, she shown that such biconservative submanifolds cannot be biharmonic.

Clearly, every PNMCV biconservative submanifolds of codimension 2 has flat normal bundle. In [112], Yeğin Şen and Turgay investigated meridian surfaces which lie on a rotational hypersurface of \mathbb{E}^4 . In the same article, they constructed a family of biconservative surfaces with flat normal bundle and non-parallel normalized mean curvature vector.

PNMCV biconservative surfaces in S^4 were studied by Nistor, Oniciuc, Turgay, and Yeğin Şen in [86]. Among others, they proved the following results.

Theorem 8.5. [86] *Any two PNMCV biconservative immersions of an abstract surface into $S^4(1)$ differ by an isometry of $S^4(1)$.*

Theorem 8.6. [86] *Locally, an abstract surface (M^2, g) admits a PNMCV biconservative embedding into $S^4(1)$ if and only if $G < 1$, $\nabla G \neq 0$ everywhere, and the level curves of G are circles of M^2 with positive constant signed curvature $\kappa = -\frac{1}{4}|\nabla G|/(G - 1 + f^2)$, where f is the positive solution of the equation $G = 1 - 3f^2 - c^2f^3$ for some non-zero real number c .*

Theorem 8.7. [86] *If a Riemannian 3-manifold admits two proper biconservative PNMCV isometric immersions into \mathbb{E}^4 with three distinct principal curvatures, then the two immersions differ by an isometry of \mathbb{E}^4 .*

Recently, Şen and Turgay [101] investigated PNMCV biconservative submanifolds M^3 in \mathbb{E}^5 , and proved that the principal curvatures and principal directions of M^3 can be determined intrinsically. In addition, Şen and Turgay [101] obtained the following.

Theorem 8.8. *There do not exist proper biharmonic submanifolds in \mathbb{E}^5 with parallel normalized mean curvature vector.*

9. Biconservative submanifolds in $R^m(c)$

9.1. Biconservative hypersurfaces in $R^{n+1}(c)$

In [77], Montaldo, Oniciuc and Pámpano characterized the profile curves of non-CMC biconservative rotational hypersurfaces in a real space form $R^{n+1}(c)$, $c \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, as p -elastic curves, for a rational number $p \in [1/4, 1)$ depending on n . They proved the existence of a discrete biparametric family of non-CMC closed biconservative hypersurfaces in $S^{n+1}(c)$. In addition, they obtained the following two results.

Theorem 9.1. [77] *Let M^n be a non-CMC closed biconservative rotational hypersurface of a space form $R^{n+1}(c)$. Then $R^{n+1}(c)$ is the n -dimensional round sphere $S^{n+1}(c)$.*

For compact biconservative hypersurfaces in a space form $R^{n+1}(c)$, D. Fetcu, E. Loubeau and C. Oniciuc proved the next result in [46].

Theorem 9.2. *Let $\phi : M \rightarrow R^{n+1}(c)$ be a compact biconservative hypersurface of a real space form $R^{n+1}(c)$, with $c \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. If M is non-minimal and non-negatively curved, and has constant scalar curvature, then $\phi(M)$ is either*

- (1) $S^n(r)$, $r > 0$, whenever $c \in \{-1, 0\}$; or
- (2) $S^n(r)$, $0 < r < 1$, or $S^{n_1}(a) \times S^{n-n_1}(b) \subset S^{n+1}(1)$ with $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ and $a \neq \sqrt{n_1/n}$, whenever $c = 1$.

R. S. Gupta and A. Arvanitoyeorgos studied in [59] biconservative hypersurfaces in real space forms with four distinct principal curvatures whose second fundamental form has constant norm. They obtained the following.

Theorem 9.3. *Every biconservative hypersurface in $R^{n+1}(c)$ with at most four distinct principal curvatures, whose second fundamental form has constant norm, is of constant mean curvature and of constant scalar curvature.*

For compact non-minimal biconservative hypersurfaces in $R^{n+1}(c)$, we have the following result from [48].

Theorem 9.4. *Let $\phi : M \rightarrow R^{n+1}(c)$ be a compact non-minimal biconservative hypersurface. If M is non-negatively curved and $n \leq 10$, then ϕ is CMC and $\phi(M)$ is one of the hypersurfaces given by Theorem 9.2.*

9.2. Biconservative hypersurfaces in S^4

N. C. Turgay and A. Upadhyay [104] studied biconservative hypersurfaces in $R^{n+1}(c)$ and obtained their parametric equations. Moreover, they classified biconservative hypersurfaces with three distinct principal curvatures in $R^4(c)$ explicitly for $c \neq 0$ as follows.

Theorem 9.5. [104] *If M is a proper biconservative hypersurface in $S^4(1) \subset \mathbb{E}^5$ with three distinct principal curvatures at every point, then, locally, M is congruent to the hypersurface in \mathbb{E}^5 given by*

$$\phi(s, t, u) = (\gamma_1(s), \gamma_2(s) \cos t, \gamma_2(s) \sin t, \gamma_3(s) \cos u, \gamma_3(s) \sin u) \quad (9.1)$$

for a unit speed curve $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$ in $S^2(1) \subset \mathbb{E}^3$.

Theorem 9.6. [104] *If M is a hypersurface in $S^4(1)$ defined by (9.1) for a unit speed curve $\gamma : (a, b) \rightarrow S^2(1) \subset \mathbb{E}^3$, then M is biconservative if and only if, locally, it is congruent to the hypersurface given by (9.1) for the curve γ described by*

$$\gamma(s) = (\cos u(s), \sin u(s) \cos v(s), \sin u(s) \sin v(s))$$

satisfying the following two differential equations:

$$v'^2 \sin^2 u + u'^2 = 1$$

and

$$3u'' \sin u + 2u' \cos 2v \sqrt{1 - u'^2} = 5 \cos u (1 - u'^2).$$

Montaldo, Oniciuc and Pámpano [77] proved the following.

Theorem 9.7. *For an integer $n \geq 2$, there exists a discrete biparametric family of closed non-CMC biconservative rotational hypersurfaces M^n in $S^{n+1}(1)$. However, none of these hypersurfaces are embedded in $S^{n+1}(1)$.*

9.3. Biconservative hypersurfaces in H^4

The next result is due to N. C. Turgay and A. Upadhyay.

Theorem 9.8. [104] *If M is a proper biconservative hypersurface in $H^4(-1)$ with three distinct principal curvatures, then, locally, M is congruent to one of the following hypersurfaces:*

- (1) *A hypersurface in $\mathbb{H}^4(-1)$ defined by*

$$\phi(s, t, u) = (\gamma_1(s), \gamma_2(s) \cos t, \gamma_2(s) \sin t, \gamma_3(s) \cos u, \gamma_3(s) \sin u)$$

for a unit speed curve $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) : (a, b) \rightarrow H^2(-1) \subset \mathbb{E}_1^3$;

- (2) *A hypersurface in $\mathbb{H}^4(-1)$ defined by*

$$\phi(s, t, u) = (\gamma_1(s) \cosh t, \gamma_1(s) \sinh t, \gamma_2(s) \cos t, \gamma_2(s) \sin t, \gamma_3(s))$$

for an unit speed curve $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) : s \in (a, b) \rightarrow H^2(-1) \subset \mathbb{E}_1^3$;

- (3) *A hypersurface in $\mathbb{H}^4(-1)$ defined by*

$$x(s, t, u) = \left(\frac{a\beta(s)^2 + a}{s} + asu^2 + \frac{s}{4a}, su, \beta(s) \cos t, \beta(s) \sin t, \frac{a\beta(s)^2 + a}{s} + asu^2 - \frac{s}{4a} \right)$$

for a function β and some non-zero constants a ;

- (4) *A hypersurface in $\mathbb{H}^4(-1)$ defined by*

$$x(s, t, u) = \left(\frac{a\beta(s)^2}{s} + as(t^2 + u^2) + \frac{s}{4a} + \frac{a}{s}, st, su, \beta(s), \frac{a\beta(s)^2}{s} + as(t^2 + u^2) - \frac{s}{4a} + \frac{a}{s} \right)$$

for a function β and a non-zero constant a .

9.4. Biconservative surfaces with codimension ≥ 2

For CMC biconservative surfaces of real space form with codimension ≥ 2 , Montaldo, Oniciuc and Ratto obtained the following.

Theorem 9.9. [76] *If M^2 is a CMC biconservative surface in a real space form $R^m(c)$ with $c \neq 0$, then M^2 has PMCV.*

9.5. Biconservative hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature

In [48], D. Fetcu and C. Oniciuc proposed the following open problem:

Open Problem: Classify all biconservative hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in $R^{n+1}(c)$.

In [54], Y. Fu, M.-C. Hong, and X. Zhan obtained the following two results:

Theorem 9.10. *Any biconservative hypersurface with constant scalar curvature in $R^4(c)$ is CMC.*

Theorem 9.11. *Any biconservative hypersurface with constant scalar curvature in $R^5(c)$ is either an open part of a certain rotational hypersurface or a CMC hypersurface.*

These two results solved Fetcu and C. Oniciuc’s open problem for $n \leq 4$.

10. Biconservative Submanifolds in $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $H^n \times \mathbb{R}$

Fetcu, Oniciuc, and Pinheiro [49] studied non-minimal biconservative surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector field H in the product manifolds $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $H^n \times \mathbb{R}$. In particular, they obtained the following classification result.

Theorem 10.1. [49] *If M is a PMCV biconservative surface with $H \neq 0$ in $R^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$, $c \in \{-1, 1\}$, then it is one of the following surfaces:*

- (1) *a minimal surface of a totally umbilical hypersurface of $R^n(c)$; or*
- (2) *a CMC surface in a 3-dimensional totally umbilical submanifold of $R^n(c)$;*
or
- (3) *a vertical cylinder over a circle in $R^2(c)$ with curvature $\kappa = 2\|H\|$; or*
- (4) *a surface lies in $S^4 \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{E}^5 \times \mathbb{R}$ and, as a surface in $\mathbb{E}^5 \times \mathbb{R}$, it is locally given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(u, v) = & \frac{1}{a} \{c_1 + \sin \theta (v_1 \cos(au) + v_2 \sin(au))\} + (u \cos \theta + b)\xi \\ & + \frac{1}{\kappa} (c_2(\cos v - 1) + c_3 \sin v), \quad \theta \in (0, \pi/2), \end{aligned}$$

where $a = \sqrt{1 + \sin^2 \theta}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, $\kappa = \sqrt{1 + 4\|H\|^2 + \sin^2 \theta}$, $\{c_2, c_3\}$ are orthonormal constant vectors in $\mathbb{E}^5 \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $c_2 \perp \xi$ and $c_3 \perp \xi$, c_1 is a unit constant vector satisfying $\langle c_1, c_2 \rangle = a/\kappa \in (0, 1)$, $c_1 \perp c_3$, $c_1 \perp \xi$, and $\{v_1, v_2\}$ are orthonormal constant vectors lying in the orthogonal complement of $\text{Span}\{c_1, c_2, c_3, \xi\}$ in $\mathbb{E}^5 \times \mathbb{R}$.

For a given isometric immersion $\phi : M \rightarrow R^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$, let ∂_t denote a unit vector field tangent to the second factor. Let us define a vector field T tangent to M and a normal vector field η of along ϕ by

$$\partial_t = \phi_* T + \eta. \quad (10.1)$$

Now, consider an oriented minimal surface

$$\psi : M^2 \rightarrow R^2(a) \times \mathbb{R}$$

such that the vector field T is nowhere zero, where $a \neq 0$ and $|a| < 1$. Let b be a positive number satisfying $a^2 + b^2 = 1$. Consider

$$\phi : M^3 = M^2 \times I \rightarrow R^4(c) \times \mathbb{R}, \quad c = \pm 1, \quad (10.2)$$

defined by

$$\phi(p, s) = \left(b \cos \frac{s}{b}, b \sin \frac{s}{b}, \psi(p) \right).$$

For the map ϕ defined by (10.2), Manfio, Turgay and Upadhyay proved the following.

Theorem 10.2. [74] *The map ϕ defines, at regular points, an isometric immersion satisfying $\langle H, \eta \rangle = 0$, where H is the mean curvature vector of ϕ . Moreover, ϕ is a biconservative isometric immersion with PMCV if and only if ψ is a vertical cylinder.*

Conversely, every biconservative submanifold $\phi : M^3 \rightarrow R^4(c) \times \mathbb{R}$ with nonzero PMCV such that T given by (10.1) is nowhere zero is locally obtained in this way.

11. Biconservative submanifolds in Riemannian manifolds

11.1. General results for biconservative submanifolds

Let $\phi : M^n \rightarrow \tilde{M}^m$ be a submanifold. Computing $\tau_2(\varphi)$ by splitting it in the tangent and in the normal part and using (4.6) one obtains the following characterizations for biconservative submanifolds (see various expressions for $\tau_2(\varphi)$ in [11, 72, 81, 89, 87]).

Proposition 11.1. *Let $\phi : M^n \rightarrow \tilde{M}^m$ be a submanifold. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) M is biconservative;
- (b) $\text{Trace} A_{\nabla^\perp H}(\cdot) + \text{Trace}(\nabla A_H) + \text{Trace}(\tilde{R}(\cdot, H)\cdot)^T = 0$;
- (c) $\frac{n}{2} \nabla \|H\|^2 + 2 \text{Trace}(A_{\nabla^\perp H}(\cdot)) + 2 \text{Trace}(\tilde{R}(\cdot, H)\cdot)^T = 0$;
- (d) $2 \text{Trace}(\nabla A_H) - \frac{n}{2} \nabla \|H\|^2 = 0$,

where \tilde{R} denotes the curvature tensor of \tilde{M}^m .

As an easy consequence of Proposition 11.1, we have

Proposition 11.2. *Let M^n be a PMC submanifold of a Riemannian manifold \tilde{M}^m . Then M^n is biconservative if and only if*

$$\text{Trace}(\overline{R}(\cdot, H)\cdot)^T = 0.$$

Proposition 11.3. [81] *Let $\phi : M^n \rightarrow \tilde{M}^m$ be a submanifold. Then we have:*

(1) *the stress-bienergy tensor of φ is determined by*

$$S_2 = -\frac{n^2}{2}\|H\|^2I + 2nA_H; \tag{11.1}$$

(2) $\text{Trace } S_2 = \left(2 - \frac{n}{2}\right)n^2\|H\|^2;$

(3) *the relation between the divergence of S_2 and the divergence of A_H is given by*

$$\text{div}(S_2) = -\frac{n^2}{2}\nabla(|H|^2) + 2n\text{div}(A_H); \tag{11.2}$$

(4) $\|S_2\|^2 = \left(\frac{n}{4} - 2\right)n^4\|H\|^4 + 4n^2\|A_H\|^2.$

Remark 11.4. From (11.2) we see that “ M is biconservative” does not imply “ $\text{div}(A_H) = 0$ ”. In fact, only under the condition $\|H\|$ is constant, the biconservativity is equivalent to $\text{div}(A_H) = 0$.

11.2. Biconservative surfaces in Riemannian manifolds

For an isometric immersion $\phi : M^n \rightarrow \tilde{M}^m$, let ϕ_H denote the traceless part of A_H , i.e.,

$$\phi_H = A_H - \|H\|^2I, \tag{11.3}$$

where I is the identity map.

For non-minimal CMC biconservative surfaces, Fetcu, Oniciuc and Pinheiro [49] proved the following.

Theorem 11.5. [49] *If M is a non-minimal CMC biconservative surface in a Riemannian manifold \tilde{M} , then the Gauss curvature of M satisfies*

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta\|\phi_H\|^2 = 2\|\phi_H\|^2G + \|\nabla\phi_H\|^2,$$

Theorem 11.5 implies the following.

Corollary 11.6. [49] *Let M be a CMC biconservative surface in a Riemannian manifold \tilde{M} . If M is compact and $G \geq 0$, then $\nabla A_H = 0$ and M is either pseudo-umbilical or flat.*

By definition, the *principal curvatures* of a submanifold M of Riemannian manifold \tilde{M} are defined to be the eigenvalue functions of A_H .

The next three results were due to S. Nistor.

Proposition 11.7. [81] *Let $\phi : M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ be a CMC biconservative surface. If M is compact and does not contain pseudo-umbilical points, then M is a topologic torus.*

Proposition 11.8. [81] *Let $\phi : M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ be a CMC biconservative surface such that M is compact and does not contain pseudo-umbilical points. If $G \geq 0$ or $G \leq 0$, then $\nabla A_H = 0$ and M is flat*

Theorem 11.9. [81] *Let $\phi : M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ be a biconservative surface. If the principal curvatures λ_1, λ_2 of M are constant, the $\nabla A_H = 0$.*

The following two results of [81] say that CMC biconservative surfaces in a Riemannian manifold can be immersed in $R^3(c)$ which has the shape operator either the tensor field A_H or the S_2 as given by (11.1).

Theorem 11.10. [81] *Let $\phi : M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ be a CMC biconservative surface in a Riemannian manifold and let λ_1 and λ_2 denote the principal curvatures of M corresponding to ϕ . If λ_1 and λ_2 are constants and $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$, then we have:*

- (a) *locally, there exists $\psi : M \rightarrow R^3(c)$ an isoparametric surface such that $A_{H^\psi}^\phi$ is the shape operator of ψ (with respect to the unit normal vector field), where $c = \mu^2/4 - \|H^\phi\|^4$ and $\mu = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$. Moreover, we have $\|H^\psi\| = \|H^\phi\|^2$.*
- (b) *locally, there exists an isoparametric surface $\psi : M \rightarrow R^3(c)$ such that S_2^ψ is the shape operator of ψ (with respect to the unit normal vector field), where $c = 4(\mu^2 - \|H^\phi\|^4)$. Moreover, we have $\|H^\psi\| = 2\|H^\phi\|^2$.*

12. Biconservative hypersurfaces in BCV-spaces

Three-dimensional simply-connected homogeneous manifolds have been classified according to the dimension d of their isometry group which is equal to 3, 4 or 6. If $d = 6$, one obtains the real space forms.

The Bianchi–Cartan–Vranceanu spaces (simply called *BCV-spaces*) are three-dimensional homogeneous Riemannian manifolds with isometry group of dimension 4 or 6. Such spaces can be described by the following 2-parameter family of Riemannian metrics, *Bianchi–Cartan–Vranceanu metrics*, which are defined by

$$g_{\kappa, \tau} = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{F^2} + \left(dz + \tau \frac{ydx - xdy}{F} \right)^2, \quad \kappa, \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (12.1)$$

defined on $\mathcal{M} = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : F(x, y) > 0\}$ with $F = 1 + \frac{\kappa}{4}(x^2 + y^2)$.

It is well-known that BCV-spaces admit a Riemannian submersion, called *Hopf fibration*, over a surface with constant Gauss curvature κ :

$$\pi : \mathcal{M}_{\kappa, \tau} = (\mathcal{M}, g_{\kappa, \tau}) \rightarrow M^2(\kappa) = \left(\mathbb{R}^2, g_F = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{F^2} \right)$$

such that $\pi(x, y, z) = (x, y)$. The vector field $\partial_z = \partial/\partial z$ is tangent to the fibers of the Hopf fibration, which is known as the *Hopf vector field*.

B. Daniel [36] considered the angle θ between the normal vector field of a surface in $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa, \tau}$ and ∂_z in terms of $\cos \theta$. Moreover, he proved that the angle function θ is a fundamental invariant for surfaces in BCV-spaces.

The 2-parameter family of metrics given by (12.1) includes all the 3-dimensional homogeneous metrics whose isometry group has dimension 4 or 6, except for the hyperbolic space, according to

- (a) $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa,\tau} \cong \mathbb{E}^3$, if $\kappa = \tau = 0$;
- (b) $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa,\tau} \cong S^3\left(\frac{\kappa}{4}\right) \setminus \{\infty\}$, if $\kappa = 4\tau^2 \neq 0$;
- (c) $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa,\tau} \cong (S^2(\kappa) \setminus \{\infty\}) \times \mathbb{R}$, if $\kappa > 0$ and $\tau = 0$;
- (d) $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa,\tau} \cong H^2(\kappa) \times \mathbb{R}$, if $\kappa < 0$ and $\tau = 0$;
- (e) $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa,\tau} \cong \widetilde{\text{SU}(2)} \setminus \{\infty\}$, if $\kappa > 0$ and $\tau \neq 0$;
- (f) $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa,\tau} \cong \text{SL}(2, R)$, if $\kappa < 0$ and $\tau \neq 0$;
- (g) $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa,\tau} \cong \text{Nil}_3$, if $\kappa = 0$ and $\tau \neq 0$.

Montaldo, Onnis and Passamani [78] studied biconservative surfaces in a Bianchi-Cartan-Vranceanu spaces $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa,\tau}$ and proved the following results.

Proposition 12.1. *Let M be a constant angle surface in $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa,\tau}$ with angle $\theta \in [0, \pi]$. If M is biconservative, then it is CMC.*

Proposition 12.2. *Let M be a non-minimal biconservative surface in $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa,\tau}$ with $\kappa \neq 4\tau^2$. If M is CMC, then it is a Hopf tube over a curve with constant geodesic curvature (via the Hopf fibration $\pi : \mathcal{M}_{\kappa,\tau} \rightarrow M^2(\kappa)$).*

Theorem 12.3. *If M is a non-minimal biconservative surface in $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa,\tau}$ with $\kappa \neq 4\tau^2$, then the following three statements are equivalent:*

- (a) M is a constant angle surface;
- (b) M is a CMC surface;
- (c) M is a Hopf tube over a curve with constant geodesic curvature.

Theorem 12.4. *Let M be a surface of revolution in $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa,\tau}$ which is not a real space form and with $\tau \neq 0$. If $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ and the mean curvature α of M is nowhere zero, then M is a biconservative surface if and only if M is a Hopf circular cylinder.*

13. Biconservative submanifolds in Hadamard manifold

A *Hadamard manifold* is a complete, simply-connected Riemannian manifold with sectional curvatures $\text{Riem}^M \leq 0$.

Fetcu, Oniciuc, and Pinheiro studied non-minimal CMC biconservative surfaces in Hadamard manifolds. They proved the following results.

Theorem 13.1. [49] *Let M be a complete, non-minimal, CMC biconservative surface in a Hadamard manifold \tilde{M} , with sectional curvature bounded from below by a negative constant c , such that the norm of its second fundamental form M is bounded, i.e.,*

$$\int_M \|\phi_H\|^2 dv < +\infty,$$

and $\|H\|^2 > \frac{1}{2}(\zeta - 2c)$ where $\zeta = \sup_M \left(|\sigma|^2 - \frac{\|A_H\|^2}{\|H\|^2} \right)$. Then M is compact.

When $n = 2$, they obtained the following.

Corollary 13.2. [49] *If M is a complete, non-minimal, CMC biconservative surface in a Hadamard 3-manifold \tilde{M} with sectional curvature bounded from below by a negative constant c such that $\|H\|^2 > -c$ and*

$$\int_M \|\phi_H\|^2 dv < +\infty,$$

then M is compact.

14. Biconservative surfaces in Lorentzian 3-space forms $R_1^3(c)$

Nondegenerate biconservative surfaces in Lorentzian 3-space forms $R_1^3(c)$ were completely classified by Yu Fu in [52].

14.1. Lorentzian biconservative surfaces in de Sitter 3-space S_1^3

For nondegenerate biconservative surface in the de Sitter space $S_1^3(1)$, Fu proved the following classification theorem.

Theorem 14.1. *If $\phi : M \rightarrow S_1^3(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_1^4$ is a nondegenerate biconservative surface in $S_1^3(1)$, then M is either a CMC surface or locally given by one of the following nine surfaces:*

(1) *a spacelike rotational surface defined by*

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(u \cosh v, u \sinh v, \sqrt{1+u^2} \cos f, \sqrt{1+u^2} \sin f \right),$$

where $u \in (0, \infty)$ and

$$f = \pm \int \frac{3du}{u^{1/3}(1+u^2)\sqrt{9u^{-2/3}-u^2-1}}.$$

(2) *a spacelike rotational surface defined by*

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(\sqrt{1-u^2} \sinh f, \sqrt{1-u^2} \cosh f, u \sin v, u \cos v \right),$$

where $u \in (0, 1)$ and

$$f = \pm \int \frac{3du}{u^{1/3}(1-u^2)\sqrt{1+9u^{-2/3}-u^2}}.$$

(3) *a spacelike rotational surface defined by*

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(\sqrt{u^2-1} \cosh f, \sqrt{u^2-1} \sinh f, u \sin v, u \cos v \right),$$

where $u \in (1, \infty)$ and

$$f = \pm \int \frac{3du}{u^{1/3}(u^2-1)\sqrt{1+9u^{-2/3}-u^2}}.$$

(4) *a spacelike rotational surface defined by*

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \left\{ u(v^2 + f^2) - \frac{1}{u} + u \right\}, \frac{1}{2} \left\{ u(v^2 + f^2) - \frac{1}{u} - u \right\}, uv, vf \right),$$

where $u \in (0, 3^{3/4})$ and

$$f = \int \frac{3du}{u^2\sqrt{9 - u^{8/3}}}.$$

(5) a timelike rotational surface defined by

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(u \sinh v, \sqrt{1 - u^2} \cos f, \sqrt{1 - u^2} \sin f, u \cosh v \right),$$

where $u \in (0, 1)$ and

$$f = \pm \int \frac{3du}{u^{1/3}(1 - u^2)\sqrt{1 - 9u^{-2/3} - u^2}}.$$

(6) a timelike rotational surface defined by

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(u \cosh v, u \sinh v, \sqrt{1 + u^2} \cos f, \sqrt{1 + u^2} \sin f \right),$$

where $u \in (0, \infty)$ and

$$f = \pm \int \frac{3du}{u^{1/3}(1 + u^2)\sqrt{9u^{-2/3} + u^2 + 1}}.$$

(7) a timelike rotational surface defined by

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(\sqrt{1 - u^2} \sinh f, \sqrt{1 - u^2} \cosh f, u \sin v, u \cos v \right),$$

where $u \in (0, 1)$ and

$$f = \pm \int \frac{3du}{u^{1/3}(1 - u^2)\sqrt{9u^{-2/3} + u^2 - 1}}.$$

(8) a timelike rotational surface defined by

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(\sqrt{u^2 - 1} \sinh f, \sqrt{u^2 - 1} \cosh f, u \sin v, u \cos v \right),$$

where $u \in (1, \infty)$ and

$$f = \pm \int \frac{3du}{u^{1/3}(u^2 - 1)\sqrt{9u^{-2/3} + u^2 - 1}}.$$

(9) a timelike rotational surface defined by

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \left\{ u(v^2 + f^2) - \frac{1}{u} + u \right\}, \frac{1}{2} \left\{ u(v^2 + f^2) - \frac{1}{u} - u \right\}, uv, vf \right),$$

where $u \in (0, \infty)$ and

$$f = \int \frac{3du}{u^2\sqrt{9 + u^{8/3}}}.$$

Remark 14.2. The surfaces given by (2), (3), (7) and (8) in Theorem 14.1 are spherical; the surfaces given by (1), (5) and (6) are hyperbolic, and the surfaces given by (5) and (9) are parabolic.

14.2. Lorentzian biconservative surfaces in anti de Sitter 3-space S_1^3

In [52], Y. Fu also obtained the explicit classifications of nondegenerate bi-conservative surfaces in $H_1^3(-1)$ as follows.

Theorem 14.3. *If $\phi : M \rightarrow H_1^3(-1) \subset \mathbb{E}_2^4$ is a nondegenerate biconservative surface $H_1^3(-1)$, then M is either a CMC surface or locally given by one of the following eleven surfaces:*

- (1) a spacelike rotational surface defined by

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(u \cosh v, u \sinh v, \sqrt{1-u^2} \cosh f, \sqrt{1-u^2} \sinh f \right),$$

where $u \in (0, 1)$ and

$$f = \pm \int \frac{3du}{u^{1/3}(1-u^2)\sqrt{9u^{-2/3}+u^2-1}}.$$

- (2) a spacelike rotational surface defined by

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(u \cosh v, u \sinh v, \sqrt{u^2-1} \cosh f, \sqrt{u^2-1} \sinh f \right),$$

where $u \in (1, \infty)$ and

$$f = \pm \int \frac{3du}{u^{1/3}(u^2-1)\sqrt{9u^{-2/3}+u^2-1}}.$$

- (3) a spacelike rotational surface defined by

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(\sqrt{1+u^2} \cos f, \sqrt{1+u^2} \sin f, u \sin v, u \cos v \right),$$

where $u \in (0, \infty)$ and

$$f = \pm \int \frac{3du}{u^{1/3}(1+u^2)\sqrt{1+9u^{-2/3}-u^2}}.$$

- (4) a spacelike rotational surface defined by

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \left\{ u(v^2 - f^2) + \frac{1}{u} + u \right\}, uf, \frac{1}{2} \left\{ u(v^2 - f^2) + \frac{1}{u} - u \right\}, uv \right),$$

where $u \in (0, \infty)$ and

$$f = \int \frac{3du}{u^2\sqrt{9+u^{8/3}}}.$$

- (5) a timelike rotational surface defined by

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(u \sinh v, \sqrt{1+u^2} \cosh f, \sqrt{1+u^2} \sinh f, u \cosh v \right),$$

where $u \in (0, \infty)$ and

$$f = \pm \int \frac{3du}{u^{1/3}(1+u^2)\sqrt{1-9u^{-2/3}+u^2}}.$$

(6) a timelike rotational surface defined by

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(u \cos v, u \sin v, \sqrt{u^2 - 1} \cos f, \sqrt{u^2 - 1} \sin f \right),$$

where $u \in (1, \infty)$ and

$$f = \pm \int \frac{3du}{u^{1/3}(u^2 - 1)\sqrt{u^2 - 9u^{-2/3} - 1}}.$$

(7) a timelike rotational surface defined by

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \left\{ u(v^2 + f^2) + \frac{1}{u} + u \right\}, uv, \frac{1}{2} \left\{ u(v^2 + f^2) + \frac{1}{u} - u \right\}, uf \right),$$

where $u \in (3^{3/4}, \infty)$ and

$$f = \int \frac{3du}{u^2 \sqrt{u^{8/3} - 9}}.$$

(8) a timelike rotational surface defined by

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(u \cosh v, \sqrt{1 - u^2} \cosh f, u \sinh v, \sqrt{1 - u^2} \sinh f \right),$$

where $u \in (0, 1)$ and

$$f = \pm \int \frac{3du}{u^{1/3}(1 - u^2)\sqrt{9u^{-2/3} - u^2 + 1}}.$$

(9) a timelike rotational surface defined by

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(u \cosh v, \sqrt{u^2 - 1} \sinh f, u \sinh v, \sqrt{u^2 - 1} \cosh f \right),$$

where $u \in (1, \infty)$ and

$$f = \pm \int \frac{3du}{u^{1/3}(u^2 - 1)\sqrt{9u^{-2/3} - u^2 + 1}}.$$

(10) a timelike rotational surface defined by

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(\sqrt{1 + u^2} \cos f, \sqrt{1 + u^2} \sin f, u \cos v, u \sin v \right),$$

where $u \in (0, \infty)$ and

$$f = \pm \int \frac{3du}{u^{1/3}(1 + u^2)\sqrt{9u^{-2/3} - u^2 - 1}}.$$

(11) a timelike rotational surface defined by

$$\phi(u, v) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \left\{ u(v^2 - f^2) + \frac{1}{u} + u \right\}, uf, \frac{1}{2} \left\{ u(v^2 - f^2) + \frac{1}{u} - u \right\}, uv \right),$$

where $u \in (0, 3^{3/4})$ and

$$f = \int \frac{3du}{u^2 \sqrt{9 - u^{8/3}}}.$$

Remark 14.4. The surfaces given by (3), (6) and (10) in Theorem 14.3 are spherical; the surfaces given by (1), (2), (5), (8) and (9) are hyperbolical, and the surfaces given by (4), (7) and (11) are parabolic.

15. Biconservative hypersurfaces of Minkowski 4-space \mathbb{E}_1^4

For biconservative hypersurfaces in Minkowski 4-space \mathbb{E}_1^4 with diagonalizable shape operator and two distinct principal curvatures, Fu and Turgay proved the following classification theorem in [56].

Theorem 15.1. *Let M be a biconservative hypersurface of the Minkowski 4-space \mathbb{E}_1^4 with diagonalizable shape operator and two distinct principal curvatures. Then it is congruent to one of following hypersurfaces:*

- (1) $\mu(s, u, v) = (\beta(s), s \cos u \sin v, s \sin u \sin v, s \cos v)$;
- (2) $\phi_2(s, u, v) = (s \sin u \sinh v, s \sin u \cosh v, s \cos u, \gamma(s))$;
- (3) $\phi_3(s, u, v) = (s \cosh u, s \sinh u \sin v, s \sinh u \cos v, \delta(s))$;
- (3) $\phi_4(s, u, v) = \left(\frac{s}{2}(u^2 + v^2) + s + \mu(s), sv, su, \frac{s}{2}(u^2 + v^2) + \mu(s) \right)$,

for some functions $\beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu$.

The following example of biconservative hypersurfaces in the Minkowski 4-space \mathbb{E}_1^4 with 3 distinct principal curvatures were constructed by Fu and Turgay in [56].

Example 15.2. *Let M be a hypersurface in \mathbb{E}_1^4 defined by*

$$\phi(s, u, v) = \left(\frac{1}{2}s(u^2 + v^2) + av^2 + s + f, su, (s + 2a)v, \frac{1}{2}s(u^2 + v^2) + av^2 + f \right), \quad \mathbb{R} \ni a \neq 0.$$

Then M is biconservative if and only if either M is spacelike and

$$f = c_1 \left(\ln(s + 2a) - \ln s - \frac{a}{s} - \frac{a}{s + 2a} \right) - \frac{s}{2}$$

or it is timelike and

$$f = c \int_{s_0}^s (x(x + 2a))^{2/3} dx - \frac{s}{2},$$

where $c \neq 0, s_0$ are real numbers.

Fu and Turgay also classified in [56] biconservative hypersurfaces in Minkowski 4-space \mathbb{E}_1^4 with diagonalizable shape operator and three distinct principal curvatures as follows.

Theorem 15.3. *If M is a hypersurface of \mathbb{E}_1^4 with diagonalizable shape operator and three distinct principal curvatures, then M is biconservative if and only if it is congruent to one of seven hypersurfaces:*

- (1) a generalized cylinder $N^2 \times \mathbb{E}_1^1$ where N^2 is a biconservative surface in \mathbb{E}^3 ;
- (2) a generalized cylinder $N^2 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ where N^2 is a biconservative spacelike surface in \mathbb{E}_1^3 ;
- (3) a generalized cylinder $N_1^2 \times \mathbb{E}^1$, where N_1^2 is a biconservative timelike surface in \mathbb{E}_1^3 ;

(4) a spacelike surface defined by

$$\phi(s, u, v) = (s \cosh u, s \sinh u, f(s) \cos v, f(s) \sin v)$$

for a function f satisfying

$$\frac{f''}{f'^2 - 1} = \frac{ff' + s}{sf};$$

(5) a timelike surface defined by

$$\phi(s, u, v) = (s \cosh u, s \sinh u, f(s) \cos v, f(s) \sin v)$$

for a function f satisfying

$$\frac{-3f''}{f'^2 - 1} = \frac{ff' + s}{sf};$$

(6) a spacelike surface defined by

$$\phi(s, u, v) = (s \sinh u, s \cosh u, f(s) \cos v, f(s) \sin v)$$

for a function f_3 satisfying

$$\frac{f''}{f'^2 + 1} = \frac{ff' + s}{sf};$$

(7) a surface given in Example 15.2.

In [71], Kayhan and Turgay constructed an example of biconservative hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}_1^4 with non-diagonalizable shape operator as follows.

Proposition 15.4. *Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_1^4$ be a null curve which admits a pseudo-orthonormal frame field $\{T, U; v_1, v_2\}$ of vector fields defined along γ such that*

$$\langle U, U \rangle = \langle T, T \rangle = 0, \quad \langle T, U \rangle = -1,$$

$$\gamma' = T, \quad v_1' = \mu_3 T, \quad v_2' = \mu_2 U + \mu_4 T,$$

where I is an open interval and $\mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4 : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are functions. Then, the hypersurface M in \mathbb{E}_1^4 parametrized by

$$\phi(s, u, w) = \gamma(s) + uT(s) + wv_1(s) + f(w)v_2(s), \quad w \in I, (s, u) \in D,$$

has non-diagonalizable shape operator and it is proper biconservative if and only if f is a function satisfying $3ff'' - 2f'^2 = 2$, where D is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^2 .

The next classification theorem was due to Kayhan and Turgay [71].

Theorem 15.5. *If M is a hypersurface in \mathbb{E}_1^4 , then M has non-diagonalizable shape operator and it is proper biconservative if and only if it is locally congruent to the hypersurface described by Proposition ??.*

16. Quasi-minimal biconservative surfaces in \mathbb{E}_2^4

The notion of *trapped surfaces* was introduced by R. Penrose in [96], which plays a important role in cosmology and general relativity. A black hole is a trapped region in a space-time enclosed by a marginally trapped surface. In term of mean curvature vector, a spacelike surface in a given space-time is called *marginally trapped* if its mean curvature vector field is lightlike. More generally, a nondegenerate submanifold of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold is called *quasi-minimal* if its mean curvature vector is lightlike at every point (see, e.g., [22, 24, 30, 98]).

All flat biharmonic quasi-minimal surfaces in the pseudo-Euclidean 4-space \mathbb{E}_2^4 endowed with a neutral metric were classified by the author in [21, 32]. It follows from the proof of Theorem 4.1 of [21] that we have the following result (see [111, Proposition 4.1]).

Theorem 16.1. *A flat surface in \mathbb{E}_2^4 is quasi-minimal and biconservative if and only if, locally, it is congruent to one of the following surfaces:*

(1) *A surface defined by*

$$\phi(s, t) = \left(f(s, t), \frac{s-t}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{s+t}{\sqrt{2}}, f(s, t) \right), \quad (s, t) \in U,$$

where $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function and U is open in \mathbb{R}^2 ;

(2) *A surface given by*

$$\phi(s, t) = \eta(s)t + \zeta(s),$$

where $\eta(s)$ is a lightlike curve in the light-cone \mathcal{LC} and ζ is a light-like curve satisfying $\langle \eta', \zeta' \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \eta, \zeta' \rangle = -1$.

In [111], Yeğin Şen, Kelleci, Turgay and Canfes provided the following existence result for quasi-minimal proper biconservative surfaces in $\mathbb{E}_2^4(c)$.

Proposition 16.2. *Let $U = I_1 \times I_2$ for open intervals I_1, I_2 , and $\beta \in C^\infty(U)$. Assume $\psi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of (U, g_β) satisfies $\psi(s, t) = \psi(t)$ for $(s, t) \in U$. Consider a light-like curve $\gamma : I_2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{E}_2^4$ lying on \mathcal{LC} such that $V_t = \text{Span}\{\gamma(t), \gamma'(t)\}$ is two dimensional for all $t \in I_2$. Suppose that $\eta : I_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ satisfies*

$$\langle \eta', \eta' \rangle = \langle \gamma, \eta' \rangle = 0,$$

$$\langle \eta', \gamma' \rangle = -\frac{1}{a} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \eta', \gamma'' \rangle = \frac{2a' - a\psi}{a^2},$$

for a function $a \in C^\infty(I_2)$. Then the map $\phi : (U, g_\beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_2^4$ given by

$$\phi(s, t) = \eta(t) + (sa'(t) - a(t)(\beta(s, t) + s\psi(t)))\gamma(t) + sa(t)\gamma'(t)$$

is a quasi-minimal, proper biconservative isometric immersion.

The next result also from [111] classified quasi-minimal, proper biconservative surfaces in \mathbb{E}_2^4 .

Theorem 16.3. *A surface M in \mathbb{E}_2^4 is quasi-minimal proper biconservative if and only if it is congruent to one of the following surfaces:*

(1) A surface defined by

$$\phi(s, t) = \left(f(s, t), \frac{s-t}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{s+t}{\sqrt{2}}, f(s, t) \right), \quad (s, t) \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where the function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined on an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$;

(2) A surface defined by

$$\phi(s, t) = \eta(s)t + \zeta(s),$$

where $\eta(s)$ is a lightlike curve in light-cone \mathcal{LC} and ζ is a lightlike curve satisfying $\langle \eta', \zeta' \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \eta, w\zeta \rangle = -1$;

(3) A surface given in Proposition 16.2.

17. Biconservative surfaces in \mathbb{E}_s^5

Kayhan and Turgay [70] studied hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}_1^5 with non-diagonalizable shape operator, and proved the following three non-existence results for such hypersurfaces.

Theorem 17.1. *There does not exist proper biconservative hypersurface in \mathbb{E}_1^5 with the shape operator given by*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -2\alpha & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2\alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 10\alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

where α is the mean curvature of the hypersurface.

Theorem 17.2. *There does not exist proper biconservative hypersurface in \mathbb{E}_1^5 with the shape operator given by*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -2\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2\alpha & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -2\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 10\alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

where α is the mean curvature of the hypersurface.

Theorem 17.3. *There does not exist proper biconservative hypersurface in \mathbb{E}_1^5 with the shape operator given by*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\alpha & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 2\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2\alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

where α is the mean curvature of the hypersurface.

In [106] Upadhyay and Turgay investigated biconservative hypersurfaces of index 2 in \mathbb{E}_2^5 . They provided complete classification of biconservative hypersurfaces with diagonalizable shape operator at exactly three distinct principal curvatures.

Theorem 17.4. *If M_2^4 is a biconservative hypersurface of index 2 in \mathbb{E}_2^5 with the shape operator given by*

$$S = \text{diag}(\kappa_1, 0, 0, \kappa_4), \quad \kappa_4 \neq 0,$$

then it is congruent to one of the following 8 type of generalized cylinders over surfaces for some functions $\mu = \mu(s)$ and $\rho = \rho(s)$:

- (1) $\phi(s, t, u, v) = (t, u, \mu \cos v, \mu \sin v, \rho), \quad \mu'^2 + \rho'^2 = 1;$
- (2) $\phi(s, t, u, v) = (\mu \sinh v, t, u, \mu \cosh v, \rho), \quad \mu'^2 + \rho'^2 = 1;$
- (3) $\phi(s, t, u, v) = (\rho, t, u, \mu \cos v, \mu \sin v), \quad \mu'^2 - \rho'^2 = -1;$
- (4) $\phi(s, t, u, v) = (\mu \cosh v, t, u, \mu \sinh v, \rho), \quad \mu'^2 - \rho'^2 = 1;$
- (5) $\phi(s, t, u, v) = (\mu \cos v, \mu \sin v, t, u, \rho), \quad \mu'^2 - \rho'^2 = 1;$
- (6) $\phi(s, t, u, v) = (\mu \sinh v, \rho, t, u, \mu \cosh v), \quad \mu'^2 - \rho'^2 = -1;$
- (7) $\phi(s, t, u, v) = \left(\frac{v^2 s}{2} + \rho + s, t, u, vs, \frac{v^2 s}{2} + \rho \right),$ such that $1 - 2\rho' < 0.$
- (8) $\phi(s, t, u, v) = \left(\frac{sv^2}{2} + \rho, sv, t, u, \frac{sv^2}{2} + \rho + s \right),$ such that $1 + 2\rho' < 0.$

Theorem 17.5. *Let M be a hypersurface of index 2 in \mathbb{E}_2^5 . Assume that its shape operator has the form*

$$S = \text{diag}(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_2, 0), \quad \kappa_2 \neq 0.$$

Then, it is congruent to one of the following eight type of cylinders for some functions $\mu = \mu(s)$ and $\rho = \rho(s)$:

- (1) $\phi(s, t, u, v) = (v, \mu \cosh t, \mu \sinh t \cos u, \mu \sinh t \sin u, \rho), \quad \mu'^2 - \rho'^2 = 1;$
- (2) $\phi(s, t, u, v) = (v, \rho, \mu \cos t, \mu \sin t \cos u, \mu \sin t \sin u), \quad \mu'^2 - \rho'^2 = -1;$
- (3) $\phi(s, t, u, v) = (\mu \cosh t \sin u, \mu \cosh t \cos u, \mu \sinh t, \rho, v), \quad \mu'^2 - \rho'^2 = 1;$
- (4) $\phi(s, t, u, v) = (\rho, \mu \sinh t, \mu \cosh t \cos u, \mu \cosh t \sin u, v), \quad \mu'^2 - \rho'^2 = -1;$
- (5) $\phi(s, t, u, v) = (v, \mu \sinh t, \mu \cosh t \cos u, \mu \cosh t \sin u, \rho), \quad \mu'^2 + \rho'^2 = 1;$
- (6) $\phi(s, t, u, v) = (\mu \sinh t \cos u, \mu \sinh t \sin u, \mu \cosh u, \rho, v), \quad \mu'^2 + \rho'^2 = 1;$
- (7) $\phi(s, t, u, v) = \left(\frac{s(t^2 + u^2)}{2} + \rho, v, st, su, \frac{s(t^2 + u^2)}{2} + \rho - s \right),$ where ρ satisfies $1 - 2\rho' < 0;$
- (8) $\phi(s, t, u, v) = \left(\frac{s(t^2 - u^2)}{2} + \rho, st, su, v, \frac{s(t^2 - u^2)}{2} + \rho + s \right),$ where ρ satisfies $1 + 2\rho' < 0;$

Theorem 17.6. *Let M be a hypersurface of index 2 in \mathbb{E}_2^5 . Assume that its shape operator has the form*

$$S = \text{diag}(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_2 \kappa_4), \quad \kappa_4 \neq \kappa_2$$

for some non-vanishing smooth functions k_1, k_2, k_4 . Then, it is congruent to one of the following eight type of hypersurfaces for some functions $\mu = \mu(s)$ and $\rho = \rho(s)$:

- (1) $\phi = (\rho \sinh v, \mu \cosh t, \mu \sinh t \cos u, \mu \sinh t \sin u, \rho \cosh v), \quad \mu'^2 - \rho'^2 = 1;$
- (2) $\phi = (\rho \cos v, \phi_2 \sin v, \mu \cos t, \mu \sin t \cos u, \mu \sin t \sin u), \quad \mu'^2 - \rho'^2 = -1;$
- (3) $x = (\mu \cosh t \sin u, \mu \cosh t \cos u, \mu \sinh t, \rho \cos v, \rho \sin v), \quad \mu'^2 - \rho'^2 = 1;$
- (4) $\phi = (\rho \sinh v, \mu \sinh t, \mu \cosh t \cos u, \mu \cosh t \sin u, \rho \cosh v), \quad \mu'^2 + \rho'^2 = 1;$

- (5) $\phi = (\rho \cosh v, \mu \sinh t, \mu \cosh t \cos u, \mu \cosh t \sin u, \rho \sinh v), \mu'^2 - \rho'^2 = -1;$
- (6) $\phi = (\mu \sinh t \cos u, \mu \sinh t \sin u, \mu \cosh u, \rho \cos v, \rho \sin v), \mu'^2 + \rho'^2 = 1;$
- (7) *A hypersurface given by*

$$\phi(s, t, u, v) = \left(\frac{s}{2} (t^2 + u^2 - v^2) - av^2 + f, v(2a + s), st, su, \right. \\ \left. \frac{s}{2} (t^2 + u^2 - v^2) - av^2 + f - s \right)$$

for a constant $a \neq 0$ and a function $f = f(s)$ satisfying $1 - 2f' < 0;$

- (8) *A hypersurface given by*

$$\phi(s, t, u, v) = \left(\frac{s}{2} (t^2 - u^2 - v^2) + av^2 + f, st, su, v(s - 2a), \right. \\ \left. \frac{s}{2} (t^2 - u^2 - v^2) + av^2 + f + s \right)$$

for a constant $a \neq 0$ and a function $f = f(s)$ satisfying $1 + 2f' < 0.$

Remark 17.7. Upadhyay and Turgay [106] also provided an explicit example of biconservative hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}_2^{n+1} with n distinct principal curvatures.

18. Biconservative submanifolds in \mathbb{E}_1^m

In 2017, Deepika [37] studied biconservative Lorentzian hypersurface of \mathbb{E}_1^{n+1} having non-diagonalizable shape operator with complex eigenvalues and proved the following two results.

Theorem 18.1. *Let M_1^n be a biconservative timelike hypersurface in \mathbb{E}_1^{n+1} having non-diagonalizable shape operator with complex eigenvalues and with at most 5 distinct principal curvatures. Then M_1^n has constant mean curvature.*

Theorem 18.2. *If M_1^n is a biconservative timelike hypersurface in \mathbb{E}_1^{n+1} with constant length of second fundamental form and whose shape operator has complex eigenvalues with 6 distinct principal curvatures, then M_1^n has constant mean curvature.*

In 2019, Gupta and Sharfuddin [60] improved Deepika’s results to the following.

Theorem 18.3. *If M_1^n is a biconservative timelike hypersurface in \mathbb{E}_1^{n+1} with complex eigenvalues, then it has constant mean curvature.*

In [60], Gupta and Sharfuddin also provided some examples of such hypersurfaces.

19. Biconservative PNMCV submanifolds in \mathbb{E}_1^{n+2}

In [69], Kayhan studied PNMCV submanifold M^n with non-diagonalizable shape operator in \mathbb{E}_1^{n+2} and proved the following.

Theorem 19.1. *There is no PNMCV biconservative submanifold M^n in \mathbb{E}_1^{n+2} with non-diagonalizable shape operator such that the gradient of the mean curvature function is lightlike.*

Very recently, Yeğin Şen [110] investigated biconservative PNMCV space-like submanifolds M^3 in \mathbb{E}_1^5 and established explicit classifications of these submanifolds with exactly two distinct principal curvatures of shape operator in the direction of the mean curvature vector H .

20. Biconservative submanifolds in complex space forms

A submanifold M of a Kähler manifold (\tilde{M}, J, g) equipped with the almost complex structure J and Kählerian metric g is called *totally real* if we have (see [34])

$$J(T_p M) \subset T_p^\perp M, \quad \forall p \in M.$$

In particular, if $J(T_p M) = T_p^\perp M$, $\forall p \in M$, then M is called *Lagrangian*.

A Kähler manifold (\tilde{M}, J, g) is called a *complex space form* if it has constant holomorphic sectional curvature. The curvature tensor field \tilde{R} of a complex space form $\tilde{M}(c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{Z} = \frac{c}{4} \{ & \langle \bar{Y}, \bar{Z} \rangle \bar{X} - \langle \bar{X}, \bar{Z} \rangle \bar{Y} + \langle J\bar{Y}, \bar{Z} \rangle J\bar{X} \\ & - \langle J\bar{X}, \bar{Z} \rangle J\bar{Y} + 2\langle J\bar{Y}, \bar{X} \rangle J\bar{Z} \}, \end{aligned}$$

for vector fields $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z} \in \mathfrak{X}(T\tilde{M}(c))$.

For a submanifold M^n in a Kähler manifold, we put

$$JH = (JH)^T + (JH)^\perp,$$

where $(JH)^T$ and $(JH)^\perp$ denote the tangential and normal components of JH , where H is the mean curvature vector.

Next, we present the results on biconservative submanifolds in complex space forms obtained by H. Bibi, the author, D. Fetcu and C. Oniciuc in [3].

20.1. PMCV submanifolds in complex m -space forms

The following results were proved in [3].

Theorem 20.1. *If M^n is a PMCV submanifold of a complex space form $\tilde{M}^m(c)$, then*

- (1) *if $c = 0$, M^n is a biconservative submanifold;*
- (2) *if $c \neq 0$, M^n is biconservative if and only if $J(JH)^T \in \mathfrak{X}(T^\perp M^n)$.*

Theorem 20.1 implies the following.

Corollary 20.2. *If M^n be a PMCV totally real submanifold of a complex space form, then M^n is biconservative.*

Corollary 20.3. *Every PMCV real hypersurface M^{2n-1} of a complex space form $\tilde{M}^n(c)$ is biconservative.*

Theorem 20.4. *Let M^n be a PMCV submanifold of a complex space form $\tilde{M}^m(c)$ with $c \neq 0$. If $JH \in \mathfrak{X}(T^\perp M^n)$ (or if $JH \in \mathfrak{X}(TM^n)$), then M^n is biconservative.*

Theorem 20.5. *Let M^2 be a PMCV surface in a complex space form $\tilde{M}^m(c)$. Then*

- (1) *If $c = 0$, M^2 is biconservative.*
- (2) *If $c \neq 0$, then M^2 is biconservative if and only if M^2 is totally real.*

Given a submanifold M of a Kähler manifold \tilde{M} , for a vector $0 \neq X$ of M at p , the angle $\theta(X)$ between JX and $T_p M$ is called the *Wirtinger angle* of X . The submanifold M is called a *slant submanifold* if the Wirtinger angle $\theta(X)$ is independent of the choice of $X \in T_p M$ and of $p \in M$ (see [13, 14].)

For slant surfaces, Theorem 20.5 implies the following.

Corollary 20.6. *Every PMCV proper slant surface in a non-flat complex space form is never biconservative.*

20.2. CMC biconservative surfaces in complex 2-space forms

For CMC biconservative surfaces in a complex 2-space form $\tilde{M}^2(c)$, we have the following results also from [3].

Proposition 20.7. *Let M^2 be a pseudo-umbilical CMCV biconservative surface in $\tilde{M}^2(c)$ with $c \neq 0$. Then M^2 is PMCV and $J(JH)^T$ is normal.*

Proposition 20.8. *Let M^2 be a CMC biconservative surface with no pseudo-umbilical points in $\tilde{M}^2(c)$, $c \neq 0$. If $J(JH)^T$ is normal, then M^2 is PMCV.*

Theorem 20.9. *Let M^2 be a CMC biconservative surface in $\tilde{M}^2(c)$. If $J(JH)^T$ is normal, then M^2 is both PMCV and totally real.*

For CMC but non-PMCV biconservative surfaces in \mathbb{C}^2 , we have

Proposition 20.10. *If M^2 is CMC, non-PMCV biconservative surface in \mathbb{C}^2 , then $(J(JH)^T)^T \neq 0$.*

20.3. Reduction of codimension for biconservative surfaces in complex space forms

The following reduction theorem for biconservative surfaces in complex space forms was also proved in [3].

Theorem 20.11. *If M^2 is a non-pseudoumbilical, PMCV, totally real surface in $\tilde{M}^n(c)$ with $c \neq 0$ and $n \geq 4$, then there exists a totally geodesic complex submanifold $\tilde{M}^4(c) \subset \tilde{M}^n(c)$ such that $M^2 \subset \tilde{M}^4(c)$.*

We can improve Theorem 20.11 and reduce the codimension even more under a slightly stronger assumption as follows.

Theorem 20.12. *Let M^2 be a non-pseudoumbilical, PMCV, totally real surface in $\tilde{M}^n(c)$, $c \neq 0$. If $H \in \mathfrak{X}(J(TM^2))$, then there is a totally geodesic complex submanifold $\tilde{M}^2(c) \subset \tilde{M}^n(c)$ such that $M^2 \subset \tilde{M}^2(c)$.*

20.4. Examples of non-PMC, CMC biconservative submanifolds in CP^m

The Segre embedding of two complex projective spaces $CP^h(4)$ and $CP^p(4)$:

$$S_{hp} : CP^h(4) \times CP^p(4) \rightarrow CP^{h+p+hp}(4),$$

is defined by

$$S_{hp}(z_0, \dots, z_h; w_0, \dots, w_p) = (z_j w_t)_{0 \leq j \leq h, 0 \leq t \leq p},$$

where (z_0, \dots, z_h) and (w_0, \dots, w_p) are the homogeneous coordinates of $CP^h(4)$ and $CP^p(4)$, respectively. This embedding was introduced by C. Segre in 1891 (see [99]). It is well-known that the Segre embedding S_{hp} is also a Kählerian embedding. When $h = p = 1$, the Segre embedding is nothing but the complex quadric surface, $Q_2 = CP^1 \times CP^1$ in CP^3 , defined by

$$Q_2 = \left\{ (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) \in CP^3 : \sum_{j=0}^3 z_j^2 = 0 \right\}.$$

Bibi et. al. constructed in [3] examples with the Segre embedding of non-PMC, CMC, biconservative submanifolds in complex projective spaces as follows.

Theorem 20.13. *Let γ be a curve of nonzero curvature κ in $CP^1(4)$. Then we have:*

- (a) *via the Segre embedding S_{1p} of $CP^1(4) \times CP^p(4)$ into $CP^{1+2q}(4)$, the image of $M^{1+2q} = \gamma \times CP^p(4)$ under S_{1p} is a biconservative submanifold of $CP^{1+2p}(4)$ if and only if κ is constant. Moreover, M^{1+2p} is CMC, non-PMC, and it is not totally real;*
- (b) *M^{1+2p} is a proper biharmonic submanifold of $CP^{1+2p}(4)$ if and only if $\kappa^2 = 4$, i.e., γ is proper-biharmonic in $CP^1(4)$*

These examples show that the existence of biconservative submanifolds of dimension greater than two is much less rigid.

21. L_k -biconservative hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}_1^{n+1}

21.1. Shape operator of timelike hypersurface M_1^3

The shape operator of the timelike hypersurface M_1^3 in \mathbb{E}_1^4 can be put into one of the four matrix forms, denoted by Types I, II, III and IV.

For Type I and Type IV, the matrix representation of the induced metric on M_1^3 , with respect to an orthonormal frame of the tangent bundle TM_1^3 , is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the corresponding shape operator S can be put into matrix forms as

$$S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa & \mu & 0 \\ -\mu & \kappa & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \eta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\mu \neq 0),$$

respectively. For Type II and Type III, the matrix representation of the induced metric on M_1^3 , with respect to a pseudo-orthonormal frame of TM_1^3 , is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the corresponding shape operator S can be put into matrix forms as

$$S_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \kappa & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & \kappa \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively.

21.2. k -th mean curvature of hypersurfaces M_1^n

Following the four possible matrix representations of the shape operator S_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) of M_1^3 in the previous subsection, we define its principal curvatures, denoted by unified notations κ_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$, according to [94], as follow.

For Type I, we put $\kappa_i = \lambda_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, where λ_i 's were given in S_1 .

For Type II, we put $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = \kappa$ and $\kappa_3 = \mu$, where μ was given in S_2 .

For Type III, we put $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = \kappa_3 = \kappa$, where κ was given in S_3 .

For Type IV, we put $\kappa_1 = \kappa_1 + i\mu$, $\kappa_2 = \kappa_1 - i\mu$ and $\kappa_3 = \eta$, where κ, μ, η were given in S_4 .

The *characteristic polynomial* of the shape operator S on M_1^3 is

$$Q(t) = \prod_{i=1}^3 (t - \kappa_i) = \sum_{k=0}^3 (-1)^k s_k t^{3-k},$$

where

$$s_{0=1}, \quad s_1 = \sum_{j=1}^3 \kappa_j, \quad s_2 = \sum_{i \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq 3} \kappa_{i_1} \kappa_{i_2} \quad \text{and} \quad s_3 = \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3.$$

The k -th mean curvature of M_1^3 is given by $H_k = s_k / \binom{3}{k}$, $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. M_1^3 is called $(k - 1)$ -minimal if $H_k = 0$ holds identically.

Similar definitions holds for hypersurfaces M_1^n with $n > 3$.

21.3. Definition of L_k -biconservative hypersurfaces

The operator L_k is an extension of the ordinary Laplace operator Δ ($= L_0$) which stands for the linearized operator of the first variation of $(k + 1)$ -th mean curvature function (see, e.g., [26, 97]).

The operator L_k is defined on M_1^n by

$$L_k(f) = \text{Trace}(P_k \circ \nabla^2 f), \quad \in C^\infty(M_1^n),$$

where P_k is the k -th Newton transformation associated with the second fundamental form of the hypersurface and $\nabla^2 f$ is the Hessian of f (see [26, 97] for details).

The next definition extended the notions of biharmonic and biconservative hypersurfaces to L_k -biharmonic and L_k -biconservative hypersurfaces.

Definition 21.1. A hypersurface $\phi : M_1^n \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_1^{n+1}$ is called L_k -biharmonic if it satisfies $L_k^2\phi = 0$; and ϕ is called L_k -biconservative if the tangent component of $L_k^2\phi$ vanishes identically.

21.4. L_k -biconservative timelike hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}_1^4

In [94], F. Pashaie proved the following results.

Theorem 21.2. Let $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and let $\phi : M_1^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_1^4$ be a L_k -biconservative hypersurface in \mathbb{E}_1^4 , having diagonalizable shape operator (i.e., of type I) with constant k -th mean curvature and exactly two distinct principal curvatures. Then, it has constant $(k + 1)$ -th mean curvature.

Theorem 21.3. Let $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and let $\phi : M_1^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_1^4$ be an L_k -biconservative hypersurface with type II shape operator which has exactly two distinct principal curvatures and constant k -th mean curvature, then its $(k + 1)$ -th mean curvature is constant.

Theorem 21.4. Let $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and let $\phi : M_1^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_1^4$ be an L_k -biconservative timelike hypersurface with type III shape operator which has constant k -th mean curvature, then its $(k + 1)$ -th mean curvature is constant.

Theorem 21.5. Let $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and let $\phi : M_1^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_1^4$ be an L_k -biconservative timelike hypersurface with type IV shape operator which has constant k -th mean curvature and a constant real principal curvature. Then, its second and third mean curvatures are constant.

21.5. L_k -biconservative timelike hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}_1^5

Analogous to hypersurface $M_1^3 \subset \mathbb{E}_1^4$, shape operators of hypersurfaces M_1^4 in \mathbb{E}_1^5 can also be divided into types I, II, III and IV.

For L_k -biconservative hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}_1^5 , Pashaie proved the following results in [91].

Theorem 21.6. Let $\phi : M_1^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_1^5$ be a L_k -biconservative orientable hypersurface (for a nature number $k \leq 3$) in \mathbb{E}_1^5 having diagonalizable shape operator. If M_1^4 has a principal curvature of multiplicity four, then it has constant $(k + 1)$ -th mean curvature.

Theorem 21.7. Let $\phi : M_1^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_1^5$ be a L_k -biconservative orientable hypersurface (for a nature number $k \leq 3$) in \mathbb{E}_1^5 having constant ordinary mean curvature and diagonalizable shape operator. If M_1^4 has two principal curvatures both of multiplicity 2, then it has constant $(k + 1)$ -th mean curvature.

Theorem 21.8. Let $\phi : M_1^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_1^5$ be a L_k -biconservative Lorentzian hypersurface (for a nature number $k \leq 3$) in \mathbb{E}_1^5 having diagonalizable shape operator with constant ordinary mean curvature. If M_1^4 has exactly two principal curvatures of multiplicities 3 and 1 (respectively), then it has constant $(k + 1)$ -th mean curvature.

Theorem 21.9. *Let $\phi : M_1^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_1^5$ be a L_k -biconservative hypersurface (for a nature number $k \leq 3$) in \mathbb{E}_1^5 having type II shape operator. If M_1^4 has at most two distinct principal curvatures and constant ordinary mean curvature, then it has constant $(k + 1)$ -th mean curvature.*

Theorem 21.10. *Let $\phi : M_1^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_1^5$ be a L_k -biconservative hypersurface (for a nature number $k \leq 3$) in \mathbb{E}_1^5 having type III shape operator. If M_1^4 has constant ordinary mean curvature, then it has constant $(k + 1)$ -th mean curvature.*

Theorem 21.11. *Let $\phi : M_1^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_1^5$ be a L_k -biconservative hypersurface (for a nature number $k \leq 3$) in \mathbb{E}_1^5 having type IV shape operator. If M_1^4 has at most two distinct non-zero principal curvatures, then it has constant $(k+1)$ -th mean curvature and M_1^4 is isoparametric.*

21.6. L_1 -biconservative hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}_1^4

Very recently, F. Pashaie studied L_1 -biconservative hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}_1^4 and proved the following results in [92, 95].

Theorem 21.12. [92] *Every L_1 -biconservative hypersurface M_1^4 in \mathbb{E}_1^5 with constant mean curvature and at least three principal curvatures has constant second mean curvature.*

Theorem 21.13. [95] *If M is a L_1 -biconservative spacelike hypersurface in \mathbb{E}_1^4 with constant mean curvature and at most two distinct principal curvatures, then the scalar curvature of M is constant and M is isoparametric.*

Theorem 21.14. [95] *If M is a L_1 -biconservative spacelike hypersurface in \mathbb{E}_1^4 with constant mean curvature and three distinct principal curvatures, then the scalar curvature of M is constant.*

22. Generalized biconservative surfaces in \mathbb{E}_1^3

Recall from Corollary 4.10 that a hypersurface of a real space form $R^{n+1}(c)$ is biconservative if and only if its mean curvature α satisfies

$$A(\nabla\alpha) = -\frac{n}{2}\alpha\nabla\alpha.$$

In [108], D. Yang and X. Y. Zhu defined a *generalized biconservative hypersurface* in $R^{n+1}(c)$ to be a hypersurface satisfying

$$A(\nabla) = k\alpha\nabla\alpha$$

for some constant k .

For generalized biconservative hypersurfaces, Yang and Zhao [108] proved the following results.

Theorem 22.1. *Let $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_1^3$ be a non-CMC spacelike generalized bi-conservative surface in \mathbb{E}_1^3 . Then ϕ is locally given by one of the following surfaces:*

- (1) a cylinder defined by

$$\phi(s, t) = \left(t, \int^s \cosh f(s) ds, \int^s \sinh f(s) ds \right),$$

where $f = f(s)$ is a function satisfying $f'' \neq 0$;

- (2) a cone given by

$$\phi(s, t) = \frac{a - bs}{\sqrt{4 - b^2}} \left(\frac{2}{b}, \sinh(\sqrt{4 - b^2}t), \cosh(\sqrt{4 - b^2}t) \right),$$

where a, b are constants and $b \in (-2, 2)$;

- (3) a cone defined by

$$\phi(s, t) = \frac{a - bs}{\sqrt{b^2 - 4}} \left(\cos(\sqrt{b^2 - 4}t), \sin(\sqrt{b^2 - 4}t), \frac{2}{b} \right),$$

where a, b are constants and $b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [-2, 2]$;

- (4) a surface of revolution with a lightlike axis defined by

$$\phi(s, t) = (c \pm 2s) \left(\frac{1}{2} - t^2, t, t^2 \right),$$

where c is any constant;

- (5) a surface of revolution with a timelike axis defined by

$$\phi(s, t) = (s \cos t, s \sin t, f(s)),$$

where

$$f(s) = \int^s \frac{(2 + k)ds}{\sqrt{s^{-2k/(k+2)} + (2 + k)^2}}$$

and $k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-2, -1, 0\}$;

- (6) a surface of revolution with a spacelike axis defined by

$$\phi(s, t) = (f(s), s \sinh t, s \cosh t),$$

where

$$f(s) = \int^s \frac{(2 + k)ds}{\sqrt{(2 + k)^2 - s^{2k/(k+2)}}$$

and $k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-2, -1, 0\}$;

- (7) a surface of revolution with a lightlike axis defined by

$$\phi(s, t) = \left(\frac{1}{2}st^2 - \frac{1}{p(k)}s^{q(k)} - \frac{s}{2}, st, \frac{1}{2}st^2 - \frac{1}{p(k)}s^{q(k)} + \frac{s}{2} \right),$$

where $p(k) = 2(k + 2)(3k + 2)$, $q(k) = \frac{3k+2}{k+2}$, and $k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1, 2\}$.

Theorem 22.2. Let $\phi : M_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_1^3$ be a non-CMC timelike generalized biconservative surface in \mathbb{E}_1^3 . Then ϕ is locally one of the following surfaces:

- (1) a cylinder defined by

$$\phi(s, t) = \left(\int^s \sin f(s) ds, \int^s \cos f(s) ds, t \right),$$

where $f(s)$ satisfies $f'' \neq 0$;

(2) a cylinder defined by

$$\phi(s, t) = \left(\int^s \sinh f(s) ds, t, \int^s \cosh f(s) ds \right),$$

where $f(s)$ satisfies $f'' \neq 0$;

(3) a cone defined by

$$\phi(s, t) = \frac{a - bs}{\sqrt{b^2 + 4}} \left(\frac{2}{b}, \cosh(\sqrt{b^2 + 4}t), \sinh(\sqrt{b^2 + 4}t) \right)$$

where a, b are constants and $b \in \mathbb{R}$;

(4) a cone defined by

$$\phi(s, t) = \frac{a - bs}{\sqrt{b^2 - 4}} \left(\frac{2}{b}, \sinh(\sqrt{b^2 - 4}t), \cosh(\sqrt{b^2 - 4}t) \right)$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$;

(5) a cone defined by

$$\phi(s, t) = \frac{a - bs}{\sqrt{4 - b^2}} \left(\cos(\sqrt{4 - b^2}t), \sin(\sqrt{4 - b^2}t), \frac{2}{b} \right)$$

where a, b are constants and $b \in (-2, 2)$;

(6) a surface of revolution with a lightlike axis defined by

$$\phi(s, t) = (a \pm 2s) \left(-t^2, t, t^2 + \frac{1}{2} \right),$$

where a is a constant;

(7) a surface of revolution with a spacelike axis defined by

$$\phi(s, t) = (f(s), s \cosh t, s \sinh t),$$

where

$$f(s) = \int^s \frac{(2 - k) ds}{\sqrt{s^{2k/(k+2)} - (2 + k)^2}};$$

(8) a surface of revolution with a spacelike axis defined by

$$\phi(s, t) = (f(s), s \sinh t, s \cosh t),$$

where

$$f(s) = \int^s \frac{(2 - k) ds}{\sqrt{s^{2k/(k+2)} + (2 - k)^2}};$$

(9) a surface of revolution with a timelike axis defined by

$$\phi(s, t) = (s \cos t, s \sin t, f(s)),$$

where

$$f(s) = \int^s \frac{(2 - k) ds}{\sqrt{(2 - k)^2 - s^{2k/(k+2)}}};$$

(10) a surface of revolution with a lightlike axis defined by

$$\phi(s, t) = \left(\frac{1}{2}st^2 + \frac{s^{q(k)}}{p(k)} - \frac{s}{2}, st, \frac{1}{2}st^2 + \frac{s^{q(k)}}{p(k)} + \frac{s}{2} \right),$$

where $p(k) = 2(k - 2)(3k - 2)$, $q(k) = \frac{3k-2}{k-2}$, and $k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1, 2\}$.

(11) a null scroll with non-constant mean curvature.

Remark 22.3. A null scroll in a Minkowski 3-space \mathbb{E}_1^3 is a nondegenerate ruled surfaces over a null curve in \mathbb{E}_1^3 .

23. Generalized biconservative surfaces in $S_1^3(1)$ and $H_1^3(-1)$

D. Yang and Z. Zhao [107] investigated generalized biconservative spacelike surfaces in Lorentzian 3-space forms.

23.1. Generalized biconservative surfaces in de Sitter 3-space $S_1^3(1)$

In [107], Yang and Zhao proved the following classification theorem for generalized biconservative spacelike surfaces in $S_1^3(1)$.

Theorem 23.1. *If $\phi : M \rightarrow S_1^3(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_1^4$ is a non-CMC generalized biconservative spacelike surface in the de Sitter space $S_1^3(1)$, then $\phi = \phi(s, t)$ is locally one of the following surfaces of revolution:*

- (1) $\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} \left(\sqrt{4-b^2} \cos s + \frac{ab}{\sqrt{4-b^2}} \sin s, f \sinh \sqrt{ct}, \frac{2\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{4-b^2}} \sin s, f \cosh \sqrt{ct} \right)$,
where $c = 4 - a^2 - b^2 > 0$, $f = a \cos s + b \sin s$, and a, b are constants;
- (2) $\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} \left(\sqrt{b^2-4} \cos s - \frac{ab}{\sqrt{b^2-4}} \sin s, f \cos \sqrt{ct}, f \sin \sqrt{ct}, \frac{2\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{b^2-4}} \sin s \right)$,
where $c = a^2 + b^2 - 4$, $f = a \cos s + b \sin s$, and a, b are constants such that $b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [-2, 2]$;
- (3) $\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{4-b^2}} \sin s, f \cos \sqrt{ct}, f \sin \sqrt{ct}, \sqrt{4-b^2} \cos s + \frac{ab}{\sqrt{4-b^2}} \sin s \right)$,
where $c = a^2 + b^2 - 4 > 0$, $f = a \cos s + b \sin s$, and a and b are constants with $a \neq 0$ and $b \in \{-2, 2\}$;
- (4) $\phi = \left(\cos s - \frac{a}{4} \sin s, \frac{f}{a} \cos at, \frac{f}{a} \sin at, \cos s + \left(\frac{2}{a} - \frac{a}{4} \right) \sin s \right)$, where
 $f = a \cos s + b \sin s$, and a, b are constants such that $b \in (-2, 2)$;
- (5) $\phi = \left(\cos s - \frac{a}{2} ft^2, \sin s - \frac{b}{2} ft^2, ft, ft^2 \right)$, where $f = a \cos s + b \sin s$,
and a, b are constants satisfying $a^2 + b^2 = 4$;
- (6) $\phi = (s \sinh t, \sqrt{1+s^2} \cos f, \sqrt{1+s^2} \sin f, s \cosh t)$, where

$$f = \pm \int^s \frac{(2+k)ds}{(1+s^2)s^{k/(2+k)} \sqrt{(2+k)^2 s^{-2k/(2+k)} - s^2 - 1}},$$

with $s \in (0, 1)$ and $k \neq 0, -1, -2$;

- (7) $\phi = (s \cos t, s \sin t, \sqrt{1-s^2} \cosh f, \sqrt{1-s^2} \sinh f)$, where

$$f = \pm \int^s \frac{(2+k)ds}{(1-s^2)s^{k/(2+k)} \sqrt{(2+k)^2 s^{-2k/(2+k)} - s^2 + 1}},$$

with $s \in (0, 1)$ and $k \neq 0, -1, -2$;

- (8) $\phi = (s \cos t, s \sin t, \sqrt{s^2-1} \sinh f, \sqrt{s^2-1} \cosh f)$, where

$$f = \pm \int^s \frac{(2+k)ds}{(s^2-1)s^{k/(2+k)} \sqrt{(2+k)^2 s^{-2k/(2+k)} - s^2 + 1}},$$

with $s \in (1, \infty)$ and $k \neq 0, -1, -2$;

(9) $\phi = \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(st^2 + sf^2 - \frac{1}{s} - s\right), st, sf, \frac{1}{2} \left(st^2 + sf^2 - \frac{1}{s} + s\right)\right)$, where

$$f = \int^s \frac{(2+k)ds}{s^2 \sqrt{(2+k)^2 - s^{(4+4k)/(2+k)}}},$$

$s \in (0, (2+k)^{(2+k)/(2+2k)})$, and $k \neq 0, -1, -2$.

23.2. Generalized biconservative surfaces in anti-de Sitter 3-space $H_{-1}^3(1)$

In [107], Yang and Zhao also obtained the following classification theorem for generalized biconservative spacelike surfaces in $H_1^3(-1)$.

Theorem 23.2. *If $\phi : M \rightarrow H_1^3(-1) \subset \mathbb{E}_2^4$ is a non-CMC generalized biconservative spacelike surface in anti-de Sitter space $H_1^3(-1)$, then ϕ is locally one of the following surfaces of revolution:*

- (1) $\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} \left(f \cos \sqrt{ct}, f \sin \sqrt{ct}, \frac{2\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{b^2-4}} \sinh s, \sqrt{b^2-4} \cosh s + \frac{ab}{\sqrt{b^2-4}} \sinh s \right)$, where $c = b^2 - a^2 - 4 > 0$, $f = a \cosh s + b \sinh s$, and a, b are constants;
- (2) $\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} \left(\frac{ab}{\sqrt{a^2+4}} \cosh s + \sqrt{a^2+4} \sinh s, f \sinh \sqrt{ct}, f \cosh \sqrt{ct}, \frac{2\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{b^2+4}} \cosh s \right)$, where $c = 4 + a^2 - b^2 > 0$, $f = a \cos s + b \sin s$, and a, b are constants;
- (3) $\phi = \left(ft, \sinh s - \frac{b}{2} ft^2, ft^2, \cosh s + \frac{a}{2} ft \right)$, where $f = a \cosh s + b \sinh s$, and a, b are constants satisfying $b^2 - a^2 = 4$;
- (4) $\phi = (s \cos t, s \sin t, \sqrt{1+s^2} \cos f, \sqrt{1+s^2} \sin f)$, where

$$f = \pm \int^s \frac{(2+k)ds}{(1+s^2)s^{k/(2+k)} \sqrt{(2+k)^2 s^{-2k/(2+k)} + s^2 + 1}},$$

with $s \in (0, 1)$ and $k \neq 0, -1, -2$;

(5) $\phi = (s \sinh t, \sqrt{s^2-1} \cosh f, s \cosh t, \sqrt{s^2-1} \sinh f)$, where

$$f = \pm \int^s \frac{(2+k)ds}{(s^2-1)s^{k/(2+k)} \sqrt{(2+k)^2 s^{-2k/(2+k)} + s^2 + 1}}$$

with $s \in (1, \infty)$ and $k \neq 0, -1, -2$;

(6) $\phi = (s \sinh t, \sqrt{1-s^2} \sinh f, \sqrt{1-s^2} \cosh f, s \cosh t)$, where

$$f = \pm \int^s \frac{(2+k)ds}{(s^2-1)s^{k/(2+k)} \sqrt{(2+k)^2 s^{-2k/(2+k)} + s^2 + 1}},$$

with $s \in (-1, 1)$ and $k \neq 0, -1, -2$;

(7) $\phi = \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(st^2 - sf^2 + \frac{1}{s} - s\right), st, sf, \frac{1}{2} \left(st^2 - sf^2 + \frac{1}{s} + s\right)\right)$, where

$$f = \int^s \frac{(2+k)ds}{s^2 \sqrt{(2+k)^2 + s^{(4+4k)/(2+k)}}},$$

with $s \in (0, \infty)$ and $k \neq 0, -1, -2$.

References

- [1] Baird, P. and Eells, J.: A conservation law for harmonic maps. *Geometry Symposium, Utrecht 1980, Lecture Notes in Math.*, **894** (1981), 1–25.
- [2] Beltrami, E.: *Ricerche di analisi applicata alla geometria*, *Giornale di Math.* **II** (1864), 150–162.
- [3] Bibi, H.; Chen, B.-Y.; Fetcu, D.; Oniciuc, C.: Parallel mean curvature bi-conservative surfaces in complex space forms. *Math. Nachr.* **296**(8) (2023), 3192–3221.
- [4] Caddeo, R.; Montaldo, S.; Oniciuc, C.: Biharmonic submanifolds of S^3 . *Internat. J. Math.* **12**(8) (2001), 867–876.
- [5] Caddeo, R.; Montaldo, S.; Oniciuc, C.: Biharmonic submanifolds in spheres. *Israel J. Math.* **130** (2002), 109–123.
- [6] Caddeo, R.; Montaldo, S.; Oniciuc, C.; Piu, P.: Surfaces in three-dimensional space forms with divergence-free stress-bienergy tensor. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **193**(2) (2014), 529–550.
- [7] Caddeo, R.; Montaldo, S.; Piu, P.: Biharmonic curves on a surface. *Rend. Mat. Appl.* (7) **21** (2001), 143–157.
- [8] Chen, B.-Y.: On the surface with parallel mean curvature vector. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **22** (1972), 655–666.
- [9] Chen, B.-Y.: On the total curvature of immersed manifolds, IV: Spectrum and total mean curvature, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica*, **7** (1979), 301–311.
- [10] Chen, B.-Y.: Surfaces with parallel normalized mean curvature vector. *Monat. Math.* **90** (1980), 185–194.
- [11] Chen, B.-Y.: On the total curvature of immersed manifolds, VI: Submanifolds of finite type and their applications. *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica* **11**(3) (1983), 309–328.
- [12] Chen, B.-Y.: Finite type pseudo-Riemannian submanifolds. *Tamkang J. Math.* **17**, (1986), 137–151.
- [13] Chen, B.-Y.: Slant immersions. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **41** (1990), 135–147.
- [14] Chen, B.-Y.: *Geometry of slant submanifolds*. Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium, 1990.
- [15] Chen, B.-Y.: Some open problems and conjectures on submanifolds of finite type. *Soochow J. Math.* **17**(2) (1991), 169–188.
- [16] Chen, B.-Y.: Some pinching and classification theorems for minimal submanifolds, *Arch. Math.* **60** (1993), 568–578.
- [17] Chen, B.-Y.: A report on submanifolds of finite type. *Soochow J. Math.* **22** (1996), 117–337.
- [18] Chen, B.-Y.: Strings of Riemannian invariants, inequalities, ideal immersions and their applications. *Third Pacific Rim Geom. Conf. (Seoul, 1996)* (1998), pp. 7–60.
- [19] Chen, B.-Y.: Some new obstruction to minimal and Lagrangian isometric immersions, *Japan. J. Math.* **26** (2000), 105–127.
- [20] Chen, B.-Y.: Segre embedding and related maps and immersions in differential geometry. *Arab J. Math. Sci.* **8**(1) (2002), 1–39.

- [21] Chen, B.-Y.: Classification of marginally trapped Lorentzian flat surfaces in \mathbb{E}_2^4 and its application to biharmonic surfaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **340** (2008), 861–875.
- [22] Chen, B.-Y.: Black holes, marginally trapped surfaces and quasi-minimal surfaces. *Tamkang J. Math.* **40**(4), (2009), 313–341.
- [23] Chen, B.-Y.: Submanifolds with parallel mean curvature vector in Riemannian and indefinite space forms. *Arab J. Math. Sci.* **16**(1) (2010), 1–46.
- [24] Chen, B.-Y.: Pseudo-Riemannian geometry, δ -invariants and applications. World Scientific Publishing, Hackensack, NJ, 2011.
- [25] Chen, B.-Y.: A tour through δ -invariants: from Nash’s embedding theorem to ideal immersions, best ways of living and beyond. *Publ. Inst. Math.* **94**(108) (2013) 67–80.
- [26] Chen, B.-Y.: Total mean curvature and submanifolds of finite type, Second Edition. World Scientific Publishing, Hackensack, NJ, 2015.
- [27] Chen, B.-Y.: Differential Geometry of Warped Product Manifolds and Submanifolds. World Scientific Publishing, Hackensack, NJ, 2017.
- [28] Chen, B.-Y.: Geometry of submanifolds, second edition. Dover Publications, Mineola, New York, 2019.
- [29] Chen, B.-Y.; Dillen, D.; Van der Veken, J.; Vrancken, L.: Curvature inequalities for Lagrangian submanifolds: the final solution. *Differential Geom. Appl.* **31** (2013), 808–819.
- [30] Chen, B.-Y.; Garay, O. J.: Complete classification of quasi-minimal surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in neutral pseudo-Euclidean 4-space \mathbb{E}_2^4 . *Results Math.* **55** (2009), 23–38.
- [31] Chen, B.-Y.; Ishikawa, S.: Biharmonic surfaces in pseudo-Euclidean spaces. *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A* **45**(2) (1991), 323–347.
- [32] Chen, B.-Y.; Ishikawa, S.: Biharmonic pseudo-Riemannian submanifolds in pseudo-Euclidean spaces. *Kyushu J. Math.* **52**(1) (1998), 167–185.
- [33] Chen, B.-Y. and Munteanu, M. I.: Biharmonic ideal hypersurfaces of Euclidean spaces. *Differential Geom. Appl.* **31** (2013), 1–16.
- [34] Chen, B.-Y.; Ogiue, K.: On totally real submanifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **193** (1974), 257–266.
- [35] Chen, B.-Y.; Piccinni, P.: Submanifolds with finite type Gauss map. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **35**(2) (1987), 161–186.
- [36] Daniel, B.: Isometric immersions into 3-dimensional homogeneous manifolds. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **82** (2007), 87–131.
- [37] Deepika: On biconservative Lorentz hypersurface with non-diagonalizable shape operator. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **14**(3) (2017), Paper No. 127, 18 pp.
- [38] Deepika; Arvanitoyeorgos, A.: Biconservative ideal hypersurfaces in Euclidean spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **458**(2) (2018), 1147–1165.
- [39] Deepika; Arvanitoyeorgos, A.: Biharmonic $\delta(r)$ -ideal hypersurfaces in Euclidean spaces are minimal. *Differential Geom. Appl.* **72** (2020), 101665, 9 pp.
- [40] Dimitric, I.: Quadric representation and submanifold of finite type. Doctoral Thesis, Michigan State University (1989).

- [41] Dimitric, I.: Submanifolds of \mathbb{E}^m with harmonic mean curvature vector. Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica **20** (1992), 53–65.
- [42] Eells, J. and Sampson, J. H.: Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds, Amer. J. Math. **86** (1964), 109–160.
- [43] Ferreira, M. J.; Tribuzy, R.: Parallel mean curvature surfaces in symmetric spaces. Ark. Mat. **52** (2014), 93–98.
- [44] Fetcu, D.: Surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in complex space forms. J. Differential Geom. **91** (2012), no. 2, 215–232.
- [45] Fetcu, D.; Loubeau, E.; Montaldo, S.; Oniciuc, C.: Biharmonic submanifolds of CP^n . Math. Z. **266**(3) (2010), 505–531.
- [46] Fetcu, D.; Loubeau, E.; Oniciuc, C.: Bochner-Simons formulas and the rigidity of biharmonic submanifolds. J. Geom. Anal. **31**(2) (2021), 1732–1755.
- [47] Fetcu, D.; Nistor, S.; Oniciuc, C.: On biconservative surfaces in 3-dimensional space forms. Comm. Anal. Geom. **24**(5) (2016), 1027–1045.
- [48] Fetcu, D.; Oniciuc, C.: Biharmonic and biconservative hypersurfaces in space forms. Contemp. Math. **777** (2022), 65–90.
- [49] Fetcu, D.; Oniciuc, C.; Pinheiro, A. L.: CMC biconservative surfaces in $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **425**(1) (2015), 588–609.
- [50] Fetcu, D.; Pinheiro, A. L.: Biharmonic surfaces with parallel mean curvature in complex space forms. Kyoto J. Math. **55**(4) (2015), 837–855.
- [51] Fu, Y.: On bi-conservative surfaces in Minkowski 3-space, J. Geom. Phys. **66** (2013), 71–79.
- [52] Fu, Y.: Explicit classification of biconservative surfaces in Lorentz 3-space forms. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **194**(3) (2015), 805–822.
- [53] Fu, Y.; Hong, M.-C.; Zhan, X.: On Chen’s biharmonic conjecture for hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^5 . Adv. Math. **383** (2021), 107697, 28 pp.
- [54] Fu, Y.; Hong, M.-C.; Zhan, X.: Biconservative hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in space forms. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2110.03438, 2021
- [55] Fu, Y. and Li, L. (2013). A class of Weingarten surfaces in Euclidean 3-space. Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2013**, Art. ID 398158, 6 pp.
- [56] Fu, Y.; Turgay, N. C.: Complete classification of biconservative hypersurfaces with diagonalizable shape operator in the Minkowski 4-space. Internat. J. Math. **27**(5)(2016), 1650041, 17 pp.
- [57] Fu, Y.; Yang, D.; Zhan, X.: Recent progress of biharmonic hypersurfaces in space forms. Contemp. Math., **777** (2022), 91–101.
- [58] Gupta, R. S.: Biconservative hypersurfaces in Euclidean 5-space. Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. **45**(4) (2019), 1117–1133.
- [59] Gupta, R. S.; Arvanitoyeorgos, A.: Biconservative hypersurfaces in space forms $M^{n+1}(c)$. Mediterr. J. Math. **19** (6) (2022), Paper No. 256, 20 pp.
- [60] Gupta, R. S.; Sharfuddin, A.: Biconservative Lorentz hypersurfaces in with complex eigenvalues. Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina **60**(2) (2019), 595–610.
- [61] Hasanis, T.; Valchos, T.: Hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}^4 with harmonic mean curvature vector field. Math. Nachr. **172** (1995), 145–169.
- [62] Hilbert, D.: Die grundlagen der physik. Math. Ann. **92** (1924), 1–32.
- [63] Huber, A.: On subharmonic functions and differential geometry in the large. Comment. Math. Helv. **32** (1957), 13–71.

- [64] Ichiyama, T.; Inoguchi, J. I.; Urakawa, H.: Bi-harmonic maps and bi-Yang-Mills fields. *Note Mat.* **28** (2009), 233–275.
- [65] Jiang, G.-Y.: 2-harmonic maps and their first and second variational formulas. (English summary) Translated from the Chinese by Hajime Urakawa. *Note Mat.* **28** (2009), [2008 on verso], suppl. 1, 209–232.
- [66] Jiang, G.-Y.: The conservation law for 2-harmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds. *Acta Math. Sinica* **30** (1987), 220–225.
- [67] Jiang, G.-Y.: Some nonexistence theorems on 2-harmonic and isometric immersions in Euclidean space. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B* **8**(3) (1987), 377–383.
- [68] Jiang, G.-Y.: 2-harmonic maps and their first and second variational formulas. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A* **7**(4) (1986), 389–402.
- [69] Kayhan, A.: A classification of parallel normalized biconservative submanifold in the Minkowski space in arbitrary dimension. *Int. Electron. J. Geom.* **16**(2) (2023), 653–664.
- [70] Kayhan, A.; Turgay, N. C.: Biconservative hypersurfaces in Minkowski 5-space. *J. Geom.* **113**(3) (2022), Paper No. 43, 10 pp.
- [71] Kayhan, A.; Turgay, N. C.: Biconservative hypersurfaces in with non-diagonalizable shape operator. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **20**(3) (2023), Paper No. 105, 17 pp.
- [72] Loubeau, E.; Montaldo, S.; Oniciuc, C.: The stress-energy tensor for biharmonic maps. *Math. Z.* **259** (2008), 503–524.
- [73] Loubeau, E.; Oniciuc, C.: Biharmonic surfaces of constant mean curvature. *Pacific J. Math.* **271**(1), (2014), 213–230.
- [74] Manfio, F.; Turgay, N. C.; Upadhyay, A.: Biconservative submanifolds in $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. *J. Geom. Anal.* **29**(1) (2019), 283–298.
- [75] Montaldo, S.; Oniciuc, C.; Ratto, A.: Proper biconservative immersions into the Euclidean space. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **195**(2) (2016), 403–422.
- [76] Montaldo, S.; Oniciuc, C.; Ratto, A.: Biconservative surfaces. *J. Geom. Anal.* **26**(1) (2016), 313–329.
- [77] Montaldo, S.; Oniciuc, C.; Pámpano, A.: Closed biconservative hypersurfaces in spheres. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **518**(1) (2023), Paper No. 126697, 16 pp.
- [78] Montaldo, S.; Onnis, I. I.; Passamani, A. P.: Biconservative surfaces in BCV-spaces. *Math. Nachr.* **290**(16) (2017), 2661–2672.
- [79] Montaldo, S.; Pámpano, A.: On the existence of closed biconservative surfaces in space forms, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* (to appear).
- [80] Nistor, S.: Complete biconservative surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 and S^3 . *J. Geom. Phys.* **110** (2016), 130–153.
- [81] Nistor, S.: On biconservative surfaces. *Differential Geom. Appl.* **54** (2017), 490–502.
- [82] S. Nistor, Biharmonicity and biconservativity topics in the theory of submanifolds. PhD Thesis, Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iași, 2017.
- [83] Nistor, S.; Oniciuc, C.: On the uniqueness of complete biconservative surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **147**(3) (2019), 1231–1245.
- [84] Nistor, S.; Oniciuc, C.: Complete biconservative surfaces in the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^3 . *Nonlinear Anal.* **198** (2020), 111860, 29 pp.

- [85] Nistor, S.; Oniciuc, C.: On the uniqueness of complete biconservative surfaces in 3-dimensional space forms. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **23**(3) (2022), 1565–1587.
- [86] Nistor, S.; Oniciuc, C.; Turgay, N. C.; Yeğın Şen, R.: Biconservative surfaces in the 4-dimensional Euclidean sphere. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **202**(5) (2023), 2345–2377.
- [87] Oniciuc, C.: Biharmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds. *An. Stiint. Univ. Al.I. Cuza Iasi Mat (N.S.)* **48** (2002), 237–248.
- [88] Oniciuc, C.: Biharmonic submanifolds in space forms. Habilitation Thesis (2012), www.researchgate.net, <https://doi.org/10.13140/2.1.4980.5605>.
- [89] Ou, Y.-L.: Biharmonic hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds. *Pacific J. Math.* **248** (2010) 217–232.
- [90] Ou, Y.-L.; Chen, B.-Y.: Biharmonic submanifolds and biharmonic maps in Riemannian geometry. World Scientific Publishing, Hackensack, NJ, 2020.
- [91] Pashaie, F.: On L_k -biharmonic Lorentzian hypersurfaces in Einstein spaces. *An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi. Mat. (N.S.)* **66**(1) (2020), 1–23.
- [92] Pashaie, F.: Biconservative Lorentz hypersurfaces with at least three principal curvatures. *Tamkang J. Math.* **54** (2023), 221–236.
- [93] Pashaie, F.: Some L_1 -biconservative Lorentzian hypersurfaces in the Lorentz-Minkowski spaces. *Kragujevac J. Math.* **47** (2023), 229–243.
- [94] Pashaie, F.: An extension of biconservative timelike hypersurfaces in Einstein space. *Proyecciones* **41**(1) (2022), 335–351.
- [95] Pashaie, F.: On biconservative Riemannian hypersurfaces of Lorentzian 4-space form. *J. Mahani Math. Research.* **13**(1) (2024), 333–346.
- [96] Penrose, R.: Gravitational collapse and space-time singularities. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **14** (1965), 57–59.
- [97] Reilly, R. C.: Variational properties of functions of the mean curvatures for hypersurfaces in space forms. *J. Differential Geom.* **8**(3) (1973), 465–477.
- [98] R. Roşca, Codimension 2, CR-submanifolds with null covariant decomposable vertical distribution of a neutral manifold \tilde{M} . *Rend. Mat. (Ser. VII)* **2** (1982), 787–797.
- [99] Segre, C: Sulle varietà che rappresentano le coppie di punti di due piani o spazi. *Rend. Cir. Mat. Palermo* **5** (1891), 192–204.
- [100] Sanini, A.: Applicazioni tra varietà riemanniane con energia critica rispetto a deformazioni di metriche. *Rend. Mat.* **3** (1983), 53–63.
- [101] Şen, R.; Turgay, N. C.: Biharmonic PNMCV submanifolds in Euclidean 5-space. *Turk. J. Math.* **47** (2023), 296–316.
- [102] Turgay, N. C.: H -hypersurfaces with three distinct principal curvatures in the Euclidean spaces. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **194**(6) (2015), 1795–1807.
- [103] Turgay, N. C.: On biconservative hypersurfaces in pseudo-Riemannian space forms and their Gauss map. *Publ. Inst. Math.* **103**(117) (2018), 223–236.
- [104] Turgay, N. C.; Upadhyay, A.: On biconservative hypersurfaces in 4-dimensional Riemannian space forms. *Math. Nachr.* **292**(4) (2019), 905–921.
- [105] Turgay, N. C.; Kelleci, A.; Yeğın Şen, R.; Canfes, E. Ö.: On quasi-minimal isometric immersions into non-flat semi Riemannian space forms of index two. Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, 2019, 255–265.

- [106] Upadhyay, A.; Turgay, N. C.: A classification of biconservative hypersurfaces in a pseudo-Euclidean space. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **444**(2) (2016), 1703–1720.
- [107] Yang, D.; Zhao, Z.: On generalized biconservative spacelike surfaces in Lorentz space forms. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM* **117**(3) (2023), Paper No. 133, 14 pp.
- [108] Yang, D.; Zhu, X. Y.: The complete classification of a class of new linear Weingarten surfaces in Minkowski space. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **496**(1), (2021), Paper No. 124799, 17 pp.
- [109] Yeğın Şen, R.: Biconservative submanifolds with parallel normalized mean curvature vector field in Euclidean spaces. *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* **48**(6) (2022), 3185–3194.
- [110] Yeğın Şen, R.: Biconservative Riemannian submanifolds in Minkowski 5-Space. preprint.
- [111] Yeğın Şen, R.; Kelleci, A.; Turgay, N. C.; Canfes, E. Ö.: On the biconservative quasi-minimal immersions into semi-Euclidean spaces. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **18**(3) (2021), Paper No. 109, 15 pp.
- [112] Yeğın Şen, R.; Turgay, N. C.: On biconservative surfaces in 4-dimensional Euclidean space. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **460**(2) (2018), 565–581.

Bang-Yen CHEN

Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan
48824-1027, USA

e-mail: chenb@msu.edu