

Masses and Magnetic Moments of Singly Heavy Pentaquarks using the Gursej-Radicati Mass Formula, Effective Mass, and Screened Charge Scheme

Ankush Sharma, Alka Upadhyay

Department of Physics and Material Sciences, Thapar Institute of Engineering and Technology, Patiala, India, 147004*

(Dated: July 30, 2024)

Motivated by the recent discovery of single heavy tetraquark structures, $T_{c\bar{s}0}^a(2900)^{++}$ and $T_{c\bar{s}0}^a(2900)^0$ by the LHCb collaboration, masses and magnetic moments of singly heavy pentaquark states are estimated in this work. To classify the singly heavy pentaquark structures, we employ the special unitary representation. By using the SU(3) flavor representation, singly heavy pentaquark states are classified into the allowed flavor multiplets. Also, by using the extension of the Gursej-Radicati mass formula and the effective mass scheme, masses of singly heavy pentaquark states are estimated. Further, magnetic moments of singly heavy pentaquarks have been calculated using the effective mass and the screened charge schemes. A thorough comparison of our results shows reasonable agreement with the available theoretical data and may be helpful for future experimental studies.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent times, the study of exotic hadrons has been developed widely. Many experimental observations about tetraquarks and pentaquarks have been reported by different facilities like LHCb, Belle, ATLAS, and CMS collaborations. In this work, we investigate the masses and magnetic moments of singly heavy pentaquark states. Pentaquarks are exotic hadrons made up of five quarks. They were predicted by theory in the 1960s, but their existence was not confirmed experimentally until 2002. Pentaquarks are an important area of research in particle physics, as their study can help us understand the fundamental forces of nature and the structure of matter. In 2004, The H1 Collaboration at HERA has reported a narrow resonance Θ_c appearing in $D^{*-}p$ with quark content $uudd\bar{c}$ and $D^{*+}\bar{p}$ with quark content $\bar{u}uddc$ states produced in inelastic ep collisions, with a mass of $3099 \pm 3 \pm 5$ MeV and width of 12 ± 3 MeV [1]. Various models have also supported that instead of \bar{s} quark in the Θ^+ pentaquark, a \bar{c} or \bar{b} is more likely to be bound [2, 3]. This is more supported by different theoretical models that singly heavy tetraquarks are more likely to be found [4, 5]. Also, recent observations of singly heavy tetraquark states by LHCb collaboration serve as the motivation and open the window for upcoming observations of singly heavy pentaquark states. Recently, in 2022, LHCb collaboration observed two singly heavy tetraquark states having quark content $udc\bar{s}$ and $\bar{u}dc\bar{s}$ with the significance of 6.5σ and 8σ respectively [6]. These two tetraquark candidates $T_{c\bar{s}0}^a(2900)^{++}$ and $T_{c\bar{s}0}^a(2900)^0$ were observed in the $D_s^+\pi^+$ and $D_s^+\pi^-$ invariant mass spectra in two B -decay processes $B^+ \rightarrow D^-D_s^+\pi^+$ and $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0D_s^+\pi^-$, respectively. The isospin and spin-parity quantum numbers are determined to be $(I)J^P = (1)0^+$. These two states should correspond to two different charged states

of the isospin triplet. The measured mass and width are:

$$M_{exp} = 2908 \pm 11 \pm 20 \text{ MeV}, \quad \Gamma_{exp} = 136 \pm 23 \pm 13 \text{ MeV}. \quad (1)$$

Also, a hidden-charm pentaquark structure $P_{\psi s}^\Lambda(4338)^0$ having minimal quark content $udsc\bar{c}$, is observed in the $J/\psi\Lambda$ mass in the $B^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda p$ decays observed with a statistical significance of 15σ , far beyond the required five standard deviations to claim the observation of a particle [7]. The mass and the width of the new pentaquark are measured to be $4338.2 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.4$ MeV and $7.0 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.3$ MeV, respectively. The preferred quantum numbers are $J^P = 1/2^-$. Similarly, many other hidden-charm pentaquark structures such as $P_{cs}(4459)$, $P_c(4312)^+$, $P_c(4380)$ and $P_c(4450)$ are discovered in the recent decade [8–10]. These discoveries of exotic hadrons inspired theorists for the spectroscopy of these states. By taking the motivation from the discovery of singly heavy tetraquark structures, we estimated the masses and magnetic moments of singly heavy pentaquarks. The field of singly heavy pentaquarks has grown significantly and many works have been proposed to study their dynamics [11–14]. In Ref. [14], a study of singly heavy pentaquarks in anti-sextet configuration is done using the various clustered quark models. Using the extension of the Gursej-Radicati-inspired mass formula, we calculated the masses of singly heavy pentaquark states. The extension of the Gursej-Radicati mass formula seems a very useful tool to study the hadron masses [15]. Many works have been proposed using the extension of the GR mass formula for the successful prediction of exotic hadron masses [16–19]. Further, pentaquarks are exotic hadrons consisting of four quarks and an antiquark, exhibit a magnetic moment that holds special significance owing to their unique composition. Exploring the magnetic moments of hadrons provides valuable insights into their internal structure and the behaviors of their constituent quarks. By serving as sensitive probes for charge and current distribution within these particles, magnetic moments contribute to testing Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) predictions. Additionally, they offer a means

* ankushsharma2540.as@gmail.com

respect to the fifth quark. χ_3 is symmetric among the first three quarks, with mixed symmetry with respect to the rest. χ_4 have mixed symmetry overall. χ_5 is anti-symmetric under the exchange of the first two quarks. χ_6 have mixed symmetry due to a complex combination of terms. χ_7 is predominantly symmetric. χ_8 is anti-symmetric, as indicated by structure and sign changes upon exchange. χ_9 have mixed symmetry, combining symmetric and antisymmetric components. χ_{10} is anti-symmetric, clear from term structure and sign changes.

Using the χ_4 symmetry, we calculated the magnetic moments of spin-3/2 pentaquarks, and a linear combination of χ_6 and χ_9 symmetries is used for the magnetic moments of spin-1/2 pentaquarks, with the help of effective mass and screened charge schemes, described in subsections C and D. To calculate the mass spectrum of the pentaquark states, we used the extension of the Gursej-Radicati mass formula which is discussed in the next subsection. The corresponding spin wavefunctions for these ten spin symmetries are written as [21]:

$$\chi_1 = |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \quad (5)$$

$$\chi_2 = \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \left| \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{10} (\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow + \uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow + \uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow + \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow) \right\rangle \quad (6)$$

$$\chi_3 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left| \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} (\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow + \uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow + \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow) \right\rangle \quad (7)$$

$$\chi_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} |(2 \uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow - \uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow - \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow)| \quad (8)$$

$$\chi_5 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |(\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow - \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow)| \quad (9)$$

$$\chi_6 = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} \left| (\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow + \downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow + \downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow - \uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow - \uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow - \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow - \uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow - \uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow - \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow \right\rangle \quad (10)$$

$$\chi_7 = \frac{1}{3} \left| (2 \uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow - \uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow - \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow - \uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow + \downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow) + \frac{1}{6} (\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow - \uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow - \downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow + \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow) \right\rangle \quad (11)$$

$$\chi_8 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left| (\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow - \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow) - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} (\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow + \uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow - \downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow - \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow) \right\rangle \quad (12)$$

$$\chi_9 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left| (\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow + \downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow) - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} (\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow + \uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow + \downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow + \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow) \right\rangle \quad (13)$$

$$\chi_{10} = \frac{1}{2} |(\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow - \uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow + \downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow - \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow)| \quad (14)$$

Furthermore, it should be noted that the color wave function associated with a bound state of a hadron is subject to color confinement, resulting in color singlet states. The color wavefunction in terms of the Young Tableau scheme is represented in Ref.[21, 22]. Furthermore, we focus on ground-state pentaquark states (*s*-wave). Consequently, the symmetrical restriction for the spatial wave function is trivial. We can obtain the expressions for magnetic moments reported in Table VIII using these spin-flavor wavefunctions. With the help of these symmetries, we obtained the magnetic moment assignments.

B. The Extended Gursej-Radicati mass formula

To study the mass spectra of exotic states, the extension of the GR mass formula is a useful method. It was first introduced by F. Gursej and L. Radicati to study the masses of baryons [15]. Further, it was extended by E. Santopinto and A. Giachino to study the masses of

hidden-charm pentaquark states, and the simplest form for the mass formula is [16]:

$$M_{GR} = M_0 + AS(S+1) + DY + E[I(I+1) - 1/4Y^2] + GC_2(SU(3)) + FN_c \quad (15)$$

This form of the mass formula can successfully predict the mass spectrum of hadrons containing the charm quarks. This formula was more generalized by P. Holma and T. Ohlson to include the contribution of the bottom quark by generalizing the counter term [17]:

$$M_{GR} = \xi M_0 + AS(S+1) + DY + E[I(I+1) - 1/4Y^2] + GC_2(SU(3)) + \sum_{i=c,b} F_i N_i \quad (16)$$

Here, M_0 is the scale parameter, and ξ is the correction factor to the scale parameter, related to the number of quarks making up the hadron. As each quark contributes 1/3 to the mass of the hadron, therefore, ξ has a value of 1 for baryons (qqq), 4/3 for tetraquarks ($qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$),

and 5/3 for the pentaquarks ($qqqq\bar{q}$). The spin, isospin, and hypercharge quantum numbers are denoted as S , I , and Y , respectively. N_i stands for the number of charm (anti-charm) $c(\bar{c})$ or bottom (anti-bottom) $b(\bar{b})$ quarks (anti-quarks). Gursev-Radicati mass formula provides a relation between the mass of a particle and its associated quantum numbers (spin, isospin, hypercharge, etc.), offering insights into the interplay between these fundamental properties. Mass formula parameters are taken from Ref. [16, 17] where they evaluated the mass formula parameters by using the known baryon spectrum because of less availability of the experimentally available pentaquark states. Mass formula parameters are listed in Table IV.

TABLE IV: Values of parameters used in the extended GR mass formula with corresponding uncertainties. [16]

	M_0	A	D	E	G	F_c	F_b
Values[MeV]	940.0	23.0	-158.3	32.0	52.5	1354.6	4820 [17]
Uncert.[MeV]	1.5	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	18.2	34.4

By using eq. (16), the mass spectrum of singly charm and singly bottom pentaquarks are calculated and mentioned in Tables V and VI, respectively. The effective mass and screened charge scheme are introduced in the next subsection to calculate the magnetic moment of the singly charm and bottom pentaquark states.

C. Effective Mass Scheme

The magnetic moment encoding of the pentaquark provides useful information about the distribution of charge and magnetization within hadrons, allowing for a better understanding of their geometric configurations. In this study, we calculated the effective mass of quarks (anti-quarks) by considering their interactions with nearby quarks via a single gluon exchange scheme. We calculated the masses and magnetic moments of the singly heavy pentaquark states using effective quark masses, which helped us explore their inner structure. The mass of pentaquarks can be written in two ways [24]:

$$M_P = \sum_{i=1}^5 m_i^{eff} \quad (17)$$

$$M_P = \sum_{i=1}^5 m_i + \sum_{i<j} b_{ij} s_i \cdot s_j \quad (18)$$

here, s_i and s_j represent the spin operator for the i^{th} and j^{th} quarks (antiquark), and m_i^{eff} represents the effective mass for each of the quark (antiquark) and b_{ij} are the hyperfine interaction terms. For different quarks inside the pentaquark, effective masses equations are:

$$m_1^{eff} = m_1 + \alpha b_{12} + \beta b_{13} + \gamma b_{14} + \eta b_{15} \quad (19)$$

$$m_2^{eff} = m_2 + \alpha b_{12} + \beta' b_{23} + \gamma' b_{24} + \eta' b_{25} \quad (20)$$

$$m_3^{eff} = m_3 + \beta b_{13} + \beta' b_{23} + \gamma'' b_{34} + \eta'' b_{35} \quad (21)$$

$$m_4^{eff} = m_4 + \gamma b_{14} + \gamma' b_{24} + \gamma'' b_{34} + \eta''' b_{45} \quad (22)$$

$$m_5^{eff} = m_5 + \eta b_{15} + \eta' b_{24} + \eta'' b_{34} + \eta''' b_{45} \quad (23)$$

Here, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 stand for u , d , s , c , and b quarks. These equations get modified if we consider two/three/four/five identical quarks. Therefore, products of spin quantum number are defined as [25]:

$$\begin{aligned} s_i \cdot s_j &= +1/4 \rightarrow \uparrow\uparrow \\ &= -1/2 \rightarrow \uparrow\downarrow \\ &= -1/4 \rightarrow \downarrow\downarrow \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for spin-3/2 ($\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow$) pentaquarks,

$$s_1 \cdot s_2 = s_1 \cdot s_3 = s_1 \cdot s_4 = 1/4 \quad (24)$$

$$s_2 \cdot s_3 = s_2 \cdot s_4 = s_3 \cdot s_4 = 1/4 \quad (25)$$

$$s_1 \cdot s_5 = s_2 \cdot s_5 = s_3 \cdot s_5 = s_4 \cdot s_5 = -1/2 \quad (26)$$

and parameters are calculated by using eq.(18):

$$\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 1/8 \quad (27)$$

$$\beta' = \gamma' = \gamma'' = 1/8 \quad (28)$$

$$\eta = \eta' = \eta'' = \eta''' = -1/4 \quad (29)$$

Similarly, for the case of spin-1/2 ($\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow$) pentaquarks,

$$s_1 \cdot s_2 = s_1 \cdot s_3 = s_2 \cdot s_3 = 1/4 \quad (30)$$

$$s_1 \cdot s_4 = s_1 \cdot s_5 = s_2 \cdot s_4 = s_2 \cdot s_5 = s_3 \cdot s_5 = -1/2 \quad (31)$$

$$s_4 \cdot s_5 = -1/4 \quad (32)$$

and parameters are calculated by using eq.(18):

$$\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 1/8 \quad (33)$$

$$\beta' = \gamma' = \gamma'' = \eta = \eta' = \eta'' = -1/4 \quad (34)$$

$$\eta''' = -1/8 \quad (35)$$

Therefore, a modified form of the equation of effective mass for $J^P = 3/2^-$ ($\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow$) pentaquarks is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{P_{3/2^-}} &= m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + m_4 + m_5 + \frac{b_{12}}{4} + \frac{b_{13}}{4} + \frac{b_{14}}{4} \\ &\quad - \frac{b_{15}}{2} + \frac{b_{23}}{4} + \frac{b_{24}}{4} - \frac{b_{25}}{2} + \frac{b_{34}}{4} - \frac{b_{35}}{2} - \frac{b_{45}}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

TABLE V: Pentaquark masses for $N_c = 1$ for all possible configurations of singly charm pentaquarks. Masses are in the units of MeV.

Quark Content	S	I	Y	$SU(3)_f$	$C_2(SU(3)_f)$	N_c	Our prediction(MeV)	Reference
$P_{c0}^{++}, P_{c0}^+, P_{c0}^0, P_{c0}^-, P_{c0}^{--}$	1/2	2	4/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3185.64 ± 20.91	3487 [23]
	3/2	2	4/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3254.64 ± 21.37	3381 [23]
$P_{c1}^+, P_{c1}^0, P_{c1}^-, P_{c1}^{--}$	1/2	3/2	1/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3285.22 ± 20.14	3246 [23]
	3/2	3/2	1/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3354.22 ± 20.62	3343 [23]
$P_{c2}^0, P_{c2}^-, P_{c2}^{--}$	1/2	1	-2/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3383.32 ± 19.72	3350 [23]
	3/2	1	-2/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3452.32 ± 20.12	3505 [23]
P_{c3}^-, P_{c3}^{--}	1/2	1/2	-5/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3483.06 ± 19.67	3465 [23]
	3/2	1/2	-5/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3552.06 ± 20.15	3655 [23]
P_{c4}^{--}	1/2	0	-8/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3582.80 ± 19.98	3950 [23]
	3/2	0	-8/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3651.80 ± 20.46	3842 [23]
$uuu\bar{c}, uud\bar{c}, udd\bar{c}$ $ddd\bar{c}, uudd\bar{c}$ $udd\bar{c}, uuud\bar{c}$ $uus\bar{c}, uds\bar{c}, dds\bar{c}$	1/2	0	2/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3109.42 ± 19.57	
	1/2	1	2/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3173.42 ± 19.72	
	1/2	2	2/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3301.42 ± 21.01	
	3/2	0	2/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3178.42 ± 20.06	
	3/2	1	2/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3242.42 ± 20.21	
	3/2	2	2/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3370.42 ± 21.47	
$uuu\bar{c}, uud\bar{c}$ $udd\bar{c}, ddd\bar{c}$	1/2	1/2	5/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	2956.45 ± 19.67	
	1/2	3/2	5/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3052.45 ± 20.07	
	1/2	5/2	5/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3212.45 ± 22.28	
	3/2	1/2	5/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3035.45 ± 20.16	
	3/2	3/2	5/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3121.45 ± 20.54	
	3/2	5/2	5/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3281.45 ± 22.71	
$uus\bar{c}, uss\bar{c}, dss\bar{c}$ $dds\bar{c}, dds\bar{c}$ $uus\bar{c}, uds\bar{c}$	1/2	1/2	-1/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3294.39 ± 19.58	
	1/2	3/2	-1/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3390.39 ± 20.14	
	1/2	5/2	-1/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3550.39 ± 22.60	
	3/2	1/2	-1/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3363.39 ± 20.07	
	3/2	3/2	-1/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3459.39 ± 20.62	
	3/2	5/2	-1/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3619.39 ± 23.03	
$uss\bar{c}, uss\bar{c}$ $dss\bar{c}$	1/2	0	-4/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3415.35 ± 19.63	
	1/2	1	-4/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3479.35 ± 19.73	
	3/2	0	-4/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3484.35 ± 20.12	
	3/2	1	-4/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3548.35 ± 20.22	
$sss\bar{c}, sss\bar{c}$	1/2	1/2	-7/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3568.32 ± 19.80	
	3/2	3/2	-7/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	3637.32 ± 20.28	

Similarly, we can write this for $J^P = 1/2^-$ ($\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow$) pentaquarks as:

$$M_{P_{1/2}^-} = m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + m_4 + m_5 + \frac{b_{12}}{4} + \frac{b_{13}}{4} - \frac{b_{14}}{2} - \frac{b_{15}}{2} + \frac{b_{23}}{4} - \frac{b_{24}}{2} - \frac{b_{25}}{2} - \frac{b_{34}}{2} - \frac{b_{35}}{2} - \frac{b_{45}}{4} \quad (37)$$

The value of the quark masses are taken from Ref. [25],

and hyperfine interaction terms b_{ij} are calculated using the effective mass equations (36), and (37) by taking the masses from Gursej-Radicati mass formula as a reference and written as:

$$\begin{aligned} m_u = m_d &= 362 \text{ MeV}, & m_s &= 539 \text{ MeV} \\ m_c &= 1710 \text{ MeV}, & m_b &= 5043 \text{ MeV} \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

$$b_{uu} = b_{ud} = b_{dd} = 115.11 \text{ MeV} \quad (39)$$

TABLE VI: Pentaquark masses for $N_b = 1$ for all possible configurations of singly bottom pentaquarks. Masses are in the units of MeV.

Quark Content	S	I	Y	$SU(3)_f$	$C_2(SU(3)_f)$	N_b	Our prediction(MeV)	Reference
$P_{b0}^{++}, P_{b0}^+, P_{b0}^0, P_{b0}^-, P_{b0}^{--}$	1/2	2	4/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	6650.62 ± 35.46	6780 [23]
	3/2	2	4/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	6719.62 ± 36.18	6746 [23]
$P_{b1}^+, P_{b1}^0, P_{b1}^-, P_{b1}^{--}$	1/2	3/2	1/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	6750.25 ± 35.46	6657 [23]
	3/2	3/2	1/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	6819.25 ± 35.74	6895 [23]
$P_{b2}^0, P_{b2}^-, P_{b2}^{--}$	1/2	1	-2/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	6849.89 ± 35.23	6707 [23]
	3/2	1	-2/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	6918.89 ± 35.50	6972 [23]
P_{b3}^-, P_{b3}^{--}	1/2	1/2	-5/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	6949.52 ± 35.20	6899 [23]
	3/2	1/2	-5/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	7018.52 ± 35.47	7079 [23]
P_{b4}^{--}	1/2	0	-8/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	7049.15 ± 35.38	7229 [23]
	3/2	0	-8/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	7118.15 ± 35.65	7193 [23]
$uuu\bar{u}b, uud\bar{u}b, udd\bar{u}b$ $ddd\bar{u}b, uudd\bar{b}$ $udd\bar{d}b, uuud\bar{b}$ $uus\bar{s}b, uds\bar{s}b, dds\bar{s}b$	1/2	0	2/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	6574.82 ± 35.14	
	1/2	1	2/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	6638.82 ± 35.23	
	1/2	2	2/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	6766.82 ± 35.97	
	3/2	0	2/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	6643.82 ± 35.42	
	3/2	1	2/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	6707.82 ± 35.50	
$uuu\bar{s}b, uud\bar{s}b$ $udd\bar{s}b, ddd\bar{s}b$	1/2	1/2	5/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	6421.85 ± 35.20	
	1/2	3/2	5/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	6517.85 ± 35.42	
	1/2	5/2	5/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	6677.85 ± 36.72	
	3/2	1/2	5/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	6490.85 ± 35.47	
	3/2	3/2	5/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	6586.85 ± 35.69	
$uus\bar{u}b, uss\bar{s}b, dss\bar{s}b$ $dds\bar{u}b, dds\bar{d}b$ $uus\bar{d}b, uds\bar{u}b$	1/2	1/2	-1/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	6759.79 ± 35.15	
	1/2	3/2	-1/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	6855.79 ± 35.46	
	1/2	5/2	-1/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	7015.79 ± 36.92	
	3/2	1/2	-1/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	6829.79 ± 35.42	
	3/2	3/2	-1/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	6924.79 ± 35.74	
$uss\bar{u}b, uss\bar{d}b$ $dss\bar{d}c$	1/2	0	-4/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	6880.75 ± 35.18	
	1/2	1	-4/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	6944.75 ± 35.23	
	3/2	0	-4/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	6949.75 ± 35.45	
	3/2	1	-4/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	7013.75 ± 35.51	
$sss\bar{u}c, sss\bar{d}c$	1/2	1/2	-7/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	7033.72 ± 35.27	
	3/2	3/2	-7/3	$[4]_{15}$	16/3	1	7102.72 ± 35.54	

$$b_{us} = b_{ds} = \left(\frac{m_u}{m_s}\right) b_{uu} = 77.30 MeV \quad (40)$$

$$b_{cc} = \left(\frac{m_u}{m_c}\right)^2 b_{uu} = 5.15 MeV \quad (44)$$

$$b_{uc} = b_{dc} = \left(\frac{m_u}{m_c}\right) b_{uu} = 24.36 MeV \quad (41)$$

$$b_{ub} = b_{db} = \left(\frac{m_u}{m_b}\right) b_{uu} = 8.26 MeV \quad (45)$$

$$b_{ss} = \left(\frac{m_u}{m_s}\right)^2 b_{uu} = 51.92 MeV \quad (42)$$

$$b_{bb} = \left(\frac{m_u}{m_b}\right)^2 b_{uu} = 0.59 MeV \quad (46)$$

$$b_{sc} = \left(\frac{m_u^2}{m_s m_c}\right) b_{uu} = 16.36 MeV \quad (43)$$

$$b_{sb} = \left(\frac{m_u}{m_s}\right) b_{ub} = 5.54 MeV \quad (47)$$

Using the values of hyperfine interaction terms b_{ij} and quark masses, effective quark masses for $J^P = 1/2^-, 3/2^-$ for singly heavy pentaquarks are reported in Table VII. In the next subsection, we introduced the screened charge scheme to calculate the magnetic moments of singly heavy pentaquark states.

D. Screened Charge Scheme

The charge of a quark within an exotic hadron may be influenced in the same way that its mass is affected by its surroundings. This can be understood by taking an example: when a soft photon probes a quark inside a pentaquark, its charge may be screened due to its existence of neighboring quarks. This effect is analogous to the surrounding electron cloud protecting the nuclear charge of a helium atom. We assume the effective charge is directly related to the shielding quark charge. Therefore, the effective charge of the quark 'a' in pentaquark (a,f, x, y, z) can be written as:

$$e_a^P = e_a + \alpha_{af}e_f + \alpha_{ax}e_x + \alpha_{ay}e_y + \alpha_{az}e_z \quad (48)$$

In a similar manner,

$$e_f^P = e_f + \alpha_{fa}e_a + \alpha_{fx}e_x + \alpha_{fy}e_y + \alpha_{fz}e_z, \quad (49)$$

$$e_x^P = e_x + \alpha_{xa}e_a + \alpha_{xf}e_f + \alpha_{xy}e_y + \alpha_{xz}e_z, \quad (50)$$

$$e_y^P = e_y + \alpha_{ya}e_a + \alpha_{yf}e_f + \alpha_{yx}e_x + \alpha_{yz}e_z, \quad (51)$$

$$e_z^P = e_z + \alpha_{za}e_a + \alpha_{zf}e_f + \alpha_{zx}e_x + \alpha_{zy}e_y \quad (52)$$

where e_a, e_f, e_x, e_y and e_z are the charges of the quarks. By considering the isospin symmetry, we get various relations:

$$\alpha_{af} = \alpha_{fa}, \quad \alpha_{ax} = \alpha_{xa}, \quad \alpha_{ay} = \alpha_{ya}, \quad \alpha_{az} = \alpha_{za} \quad (53)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{uu} = \alpha_{ud} = \alpha_{dd} = \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_{us} = \alpha_{ds} = \beta_1 \\ \alpha_{ss} = \beta_2 \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

In charm sector,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{uc} = \alpha_{dc} = \beta_3 \\ \alpha_{sc} = \alpha_2, \quad \alpha_{cc} = \alpha_3 \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

In a similar manner, for the bottom sector,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{ub} = \alpha_{db} = \beta_4, \quad \alpha_{sb} = \alpha_4 \\ \alpha_{cb} = \beta_5, \quad \alpha_{bb} = \alpha_5 \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

If we use SU(3) symmetry, then these parameters can be reduced further as:

$$\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = \beta_2 \quad (57)$$

Now, for the calculation of screening parameter α_{ij} , we used Ansatz formalism,

$$\alpha_{ij} = \left| \frac{m_i - m_j}{m_i + m_j} \right| \times \delta \quad (58)$$

where, m_i and m_j are the respective quark masses ($i, j = u, d, s, c, b$) and $\delta = 0.81$ [26]. The values of parameters help us to predict the magnetic moments of singly heavy pentaquark states. By putting these values of parameters in effective charge equations (48), (49), (50), (51), and (52) and by introducing the magnetic moment operator:

$$\mu = \sum_i \frac{e_i^P}{2m_i^{eff}} \sigma_i \quad (59)$$

Now, the magnetic moment of the multi-quark system consists of two parts:

$$\vec{\mu} = \vec{\mu}_{spin} + \vec{\mu}_{orbit} \quad (60)$$

which can be written as:

$$\vec{\mu} = \sum_i \mu_i (2\vec{s}_i + \vec{l}_i) = \sum_i \mu_i (2\vec{s}_i) = \sum_i \mu_i (\vec{\sigma}_i) \quad (61)$$

Due to the absence of orbital excitations, magnetic moment depends only on the spin part. Therefore, by calculating the expectation value of Eq.(61) using pentaquark spin-flavor wavefunction, magnetic moments can be obtained:

$$\mu = \langle \Psi_{sf} | \vec{\mu} | \Psi_{sf} \rangle \quad (62)$$

The expressions for the magnetic moments of pentaquarks can be followed using the above relations and written in Table VIII. Using these expressions, we calculated the magnetic moments of singly heavy pentaquarks. Using the χ_4 symmetry, we calculated the magnetic moments of spin-3/2 pentaquarks, and a linear combination of χ_6 and χ_9 symmetries for the magnetic moments of spin-1/2 spin pentaquarks, using the effective mass and screened charge schemes for both charm and bottom pentaquarks respectively. The values for the magnetic moments of singly charm and bottom pentaquarks are reported in Tables IX and X, respectively, by using the effective mass and screened charge schemes.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

By taking into consideration the recent discoveries of singly heavy tetraquarks by LHCb collaboration having quark content $u\bar{d}c\bar{s}$ and $\bar{u}dc\bar{s}$ with the significance of 6.5σ and 8σ respectively [6], we estimated the masses and magnetic moments of singly heavy pentaquark structures. Considering the SU(3) flavor representation, we classified the singly heavy pentaquarks into the $15'$ -plet and $15''$ representation. Further, we studied the possible spin symmetries for pentaquark states using SU(2)

TABLE VII: Table for the effective quark masses for singly heavy pentaquarks with different possible J^P values. Here, s denotes the number of strange quarks in the system, and m_u^* , m_d^* , m_s^* , m_c^* , $m_{\bar{c}}^*$ and m_b^* are the effective quark masses for up, down, strange, charm, anti-charm and bottom quarks respectively. All the effective masses are in the units of MeV.

	m_u^*/m_d^*	m_s^*	m_c^*	$m_{\bar{c}}^*$	m_b^*	$m_{\bar{b}}^*$	Total Effective Mass
Singly Charm Pentaquarks with $J^P = 1/2^-$							
$s = 0$	355.00	-	1688.69	1688.69	-	-	3029.04
$s = 1$	365.36	478.98	1689.69	1689.69	-	-	3264.75
$s = 2$	360.63	541.25	1691.69	1691.69	-	-	3439.54
$s = 3$	355.91	538.03	1693.69	1693.69	-	-	3617.43
$s = 4$	-	534.91	1695.69	1695.69	-	-	3798.43
Singly Charm Pentaquarks with $J^P = 3/2^-$							
$s = 0$	399.07	-	1685.64	1685.64	-	-	3281.94
$s = 1$	394.39	563.89	1687.64	1687.64	-	-	3434.59
$s = 2$	389.62	560.72	1689.64	1689.64	-	-	3590.34
$s = 3$	384.98	557.53	1691.64	1691.64	-	-	3749.20
$s = 4$	-	554.38	1693.64	1693.94	-	-	3911.16
Singly Bottom Pentaquarks with $J^P = 1/2^-$							
$s = 0$	359.93	-	-	-	5035.77	5035.77	6390.21
$s = 1$	369.38	480.43	-	-	5036.11	5036.11	6624.61
$s = 2$	364.66	543.96	-	-	5036.79	5036.79	6796.75
$s = 3$	359.93	540.78	-	-	5037.47	5037.47	6972.01
$s = 4$	-	537.61	-	-	5038.15	5038.15	7150.37
Singly Bottom Pentaquarks with $J^P = 3/2^-$							
$s = 0$	403.10	-	-	-	5034.74	5034.74	6647.15
$s = 1$	398.37	566.60	-	-	5035.42	5035.42	6797.15
$s = 2$	393.64	563.43	-	-	5036.10	5036.10	6950.26
$s = 3$	388.92	560.25	-	-	5036.78	5036.78	7106.47
$s = 4$	-	557.08	-	-	5037.46	5037.46	7265.80

TABLE VIII: Expressions for the magnetic moments of pentaquarks for possible spin symmetries with $J^P = 1/2^-, 3/2^-, 5/2^-$ (in μ_N) [20].

Spin Basis	Magnetic Moment (μ)
χ_1^P	$\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + \mu_5$
χ_2^P	$\frac{9}{10} (\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4) - \frac{3}{5} \mu_5$
χ_3^P	$\frac{5}{6} (\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3) - \frac{1}{2} \mu_4 + \mu_5$
χ_4^P	$\frac{2}{3} (\mu_1 + \mu_2) - \frac{1}{3} \mu_3 + \frac{5}{6} (\mu_4 + \mu_5)$
χ_5^P	$\mu_3 + \mu_4 + \mu_5$
χ_6^P	$\frac{5}{6} (\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3) - \frac{1}{3} (\mu_4 + \mu_5)$
χ_7^P	$\frac{4}{9} (\mu_1 + \mu_2) - \frac{2}{9} \mu_3 + \frac{2}{3} \mu_4 - \frac{1}{3} \mu_5$
χ_8^P	$\frac{2}{3} (\mu_3 + \mu_4) - \frac{1}{3} \mu_5$
χ_9^P	μ_5
χ_{10}^P	μ_5

spin representation and the Young tableau technique. There is one spin symmetry for spin-5/2 pentaquarks, four spin symmetries for spin-3/2 pentaquarks, and five spin symmetries for spin-1/2 pentaquarks. By considering the relevant symmetry for possible spin configurations, magnetic moments of singly heavy pentaquarks are

calculated, and corresponding expressions for spin symmetries are written in Table VIII. Further, to find the masses of singly heavy pentaquarks, we used the extension of the Gursev-Radicati mass formula and the effective mass scheme. The extension of the Gursev-Radicati mass formula seems useful for studying the exotic hadronic states. Many works have been proposed using the extension of the Gursev-Radicati mass formula [16–18]. Further, by using the effective mass scheme, we calculated the effective masses of each quark and the hyperfine interaction terms that describe the interaction of quarks with their neighboring quarks. We calculated the masses of pentaquark states using the effective quark masses and hyperfine interaction terms. effective mass scheme is a theoretical framework that proves valuable, especially when dealing with the magnetic moments of particles. The effective mass scheme is particularly relevant when particles behave as if they have a mass different from their rest mass due to their interaction with surrounding fields. Therefore, we studied the magnetic moments of the singly heavy pentaquark states using the effective mass and screened charge techniques. The mass spectrum of singly charm and bottom pentaquarks are reported in Tables V and VI using the extension of the Gursev-Radicati mass formula, and corresponding comparisons are also reported. In Table VII, singly heavy pentaquark masses are reported using the effective mass scheme. Our predicted masses are in reasonable agreement with the available data. For e.g., if we compare our predicted masses with the result obtained by H1 collaboration, they estimated the mass of $3099 \pm 3 \pm 5$ MeV,

TABLE IX: Magnetic moments of singly charm pentaquark states using the effective mass, screened charge, and effective mass plus screened charge scheme together for $J^P = 1/2^-, 3/2^-$, respectively. All values of magnetic moments are in the units of μ_N .

Quark Content	Effective Mass Scheme		Screened Charge Scheme		Effective mass + Screened Charge Scheme	
	$1/2^-$	$3/2^-$	$1/2^-$	$3/2^-$	$1/2^-$	$3/2^-$
$uuuu\bar{c}$	3.38	2.56	2.04	1.83	1.99	1.70
$uuud\bar{c}$	4.53	0.60	2.71	-0.56	2.94	-0.50
$uudd\bar{c}$	2.33	1.38	0.36	0.06	0.55	0.04
$uddd\bar{c}$	0.13	-0.17	-1.99	-1.90	-1.83	-1.71
$dddd\bar{c}$	-2.06	-1.74	-4.34	-3.85	-4.23	-3.58
$uuus\bar{c}$	4.25	0.81	1.96	0.36	1.97	0.33
$uuds\bar{c}$	2.11	1.60	-0.29	0.75	-0.25	0.65
$udds\bar{c}$	-0.02	-0.02	-2.55	-1.44	-2.49	-1.39
$ddds\bar{c}$	-2.17	-1.56	-4.81	-3.64	-4.72	-3.44
$uuss\bar{c}$	2.30	1.55	0.46	0.26	0.40	0.22
$udss\bar{c}$	0.13	-0.05	-2.02	-1.84	-2.12	-1.75
$ddss\bar{c}$	-2.03	-1.65	-4.51	-3.95	-4.65	-3.74
$usss\bar{c}$	0.13	0.12	-1.10	-1.09	-1.55	-1.08
$dsss\bar{c}$	-2.03	-1.50	-3.83	-3.38	-4.43	-3.26
$ssss\bar{c}$	-1.51	-1.34	-2.76	-2.52	-2.78	-2.47
$uuu\bar{u}c$	5.23	0.57	-2.94	2.58	2.99	2.40
$uud\bar{u}c$	3.03	1.35	5.01	3.20	5.10	2.94
$udd\bar{u}c$	0.83	-0.21	2.66	1.23	2.70	1.13
$ddd\bar{u}c$	-1.36	-1.78	0.30	-0.73	0.31	-0.67
$uus\bar{u}c$	3.46	1.28	4.88	2.90	5.10	2.70
$uds\bar{u}c$	1.23	-0.29	2.30	1.03	2.45	0.96
$dds\bar{u}c$	-0.99	-1.88	-0.28	-0.84	-0.20	-0.77
$uss\bar{u}c$	1.48	-0.14	-2.32	0.80	-2.49	0.76
$dss\bar{u}c$	-0.77	-1.75	-0.49	-1.25	-0.42	-1.17
$sss\bar{u}c$	-0.50	-1.60	-0.32	-1.23	-0.23	-1.17
$uuu\bar{d}c$	4.26	2.53	6.69	4.98	-6.62	4.61
$uud\bar{d}c$	2.06	3.31	4.34	5.60	4.23	5.15
$udd\bar{d}c$	-0.13	1.74	1.99	3.63	1.83	3.34
$ddd\bar{d}c$	-2.33	0.17	-0.36	1.66	-0.55	1.52
$uus\bar{d}c$	2.36	3.27	4.44	4.92	4.42	4.52
$uds\bar{d}c$	0.13	1.68	1.85	3.34	1.77	3.12
$dds\bar{d}c$	-2.01	0.09	-0.28	1.46	-0.30	1.36
$uss\bar{d}c$	1.88	1.86	2.11	3.30	-2.08	3.10
$dss\bar{d}c$	-0.37	0.25	-0.70	1.24	-0.83	1.16
$sss\bar{d}c$	-0.22	0.42	-0.61	1.32	-0.76	1.26
$uuu\bar{s}c$	4.13	2.35	6.63	4.97	6.71	4.70
$uud\bar{s}c$	2.08	3.15	4.15	5.37	4.23	5.03
$udd\bar{s}c$	-0.13	1.56	1.89	3.17	1.85	2.98
$ddd\bar{s}c$	-2.23	-0.02	-0.57	0.97	-0.52	0.92
$uus\bar{s}c$	1.25	3.10	3.36	5.25	3.29	4.96
$uds\bar{s}c$	0.56	1.49	2.79	3.14	2.89	2.98
$dds\bar{s}c$	0.21	-0.11	1.32	1.03	1.30	1.00
$uss\bar{s}c$	-0.13	1.67	0.79	3.14	0.89	3.01
$dss\bar{s}c$	-0.11	0.04	-0.79	0.85	0.76	0.83
$sss\bar{s}c$	-0.10	0.21	0.59	0.87	0.55	0.85

whereas our estimation using the extension of the GR mass formula for respective quark content is 3185.64 ± 20.91 MeV and 3029.04 MeV using the effective mass scheme, which shows reasonable agreement with the results obtained by H1 collaboration. Further, Results of Ref. [23] also shows good agreement with our predictions. To calculate the magnetic moments, we used the χ_4^P spin basis for spin- $3/2^-$ pentaquarks and χ_6^P and χ_9 spin basis for spin- $1/2^-$ pentaquarks. The magnetic mo-

ment values for singly charm and bottom pentaquarks are reported in Tables IX and X, respectively. Magnetic moments are calculated using the effective mass technique, screened charge technique, and both techniques together. We compared our results with the available theoretical data for singly heavy pentaquarks, showing reasonable agreement with our results. Our analysis of mass and magnetic moments may provide useful evidence for future experimental studies of these states.

TABLE X: Magnetic moments of singly bottom pentaquark states using the effective mass, screened charge, and effective mass plus screened charge scheme together for $J^P = 1/2^-, 3/2^-$ respectively. All values of magnetic moments are in the units of μ_N .

Quark Content	Effective Mass Scheme		Screened Charge Scheme		Effective mass + Screened Charge Scheme	
	$1/2^-$	$3/2^-$	$1/2^-$	$3/2^-$	$1/2^-$	$3/2^-$
$uuub$	3.81	2.89	5.32	4.61	5.35	4.17
$uud\bar{b}$	4.68	0.95	6.10	7.00	6.13	2.12
$udd\bar{b}$	2.50	1.73	3.85	3.10	3.87	2.79
$udd\bar{b}$	0.33	0.18	1.60	1.26	1.61	1.13
$ddd\bar{b}$	-1.84	-1.37	-0.64	-0.57	-0.64	-0.52
$uus\bar{b}$	4.49	1.16	5.54	2.72	5.42	2.50
$uds\bar{b}$	3.11	1.94	4.79	3.24	4.86	2.96
$udd\bar{s}$	1.75	0.37	2.83	1.17	2.96	1.06
$dds\bar{b}$	-1.96	-1.19	-0.52	-0.88	-0.50	-0.83
$uuss\bar{b}$	2.16	1.89	3.63	2.94	3.79	2.72
$udss\bar{b}$	1.78	0.30	2.62	0.97	2.56	0.90
$dds\bar{s}$	-1.86	-1.28	-0.98	-0.99	-0.95	-0.93
$uss\bar{s}$	0.85	0.47	2.38	1.28	2.35	1.19
$dss\bar{s}$	-1.87	-1.13	-0.91	-0.87	-0.92	-0.83
$sss\bar{b}$	1.68	-0.97	2.23	-0.45	2.16	-0.44
$uuu\bar{b}$	4.77	0.20	6.10	-0.72	6.16	-0.63
$uud\bar{u}$	2.59	0.98	3.85	0.03	3.90	0.02
$udd\bar{u}$	0.42	-0.56	1.60	-1.80	1.64	-1.63
$ddd\bar{u}$	-1.75	-2.12	-0.64	-3.64	-0.61	-3.29
$uus\bar{u}$	2.86	0.92	3.95	-0.49	4.06	-0.43
$uds\bar{u}$	0.65	-0.64	1.47	-2.23	1.53	-2.02
$dds\bar{u}$	-1.54	-2.14	-1.00	-3.98	-0.98	-3.62
$uss\bar{u}$	0.90	-0.49	1.72	-2.04	1.82	-1.89
$dss\bar{u}$	-1.32	-2.08	-0.98	-3.97	-0.96	-3.68
$sss\bar{u}$	-1.08	-1.94	-0.83	-3.65	-0.81	-3.45
$uuu\bar{d}$	3.92	2.14	3.33	1.54	3.32	1.40
$uud\bar{d}$	1.75	2.92	1.17	2.30	1.15	2.07
$udd\bar{d}$	-0.42	1.37	-1.07	0.46	-1.10	0.41
$ddd\bar{d}$	-2.59	-0.18	-3.32	-1.37	-3.36	-1.24
$uus\bar{d}$	2.04	2.88	1.26	1.68	1.28	1.54
$uds\bar{d}$	-0.15	1.31	-1.20	-0.06	-1.23	-0.04
$dds\bar{d}$	-2.36	-0.26	-3.68	-1.80	-3.75	-1.63
$uss\bar{d}$	0.11	2.59	-0.43	0.31	-0.41	0.28
$dss\bar{d}$	-2.11	-0.10	-3.14	-1.61	-3.20	-1.57
$sss\bar{d}$	-1.85	0.06	-3.01	-1.24	-3.04	-1.20
$uuu\bar{s}$	3.97	1.98	3.09	2.09	3.00	1.94
$uud\bar{s}$	1.86	2.76	0.74	2.61	0.90	2.40
$udd\bar{s}$	-0.25	1.19	-1.21	0.55	-1.20	0.50
$ddd\bar{s}$	-2.37	-0.37	-3.36	-1.51	-3.29	-1.39
$uus\bar{s}$	2.12	2.71	1.29	2.26	1.26	2.11
$uds\bar{s}$	-0.01	1.12	-1.08	0.29	-1.08	0.28
$dds\bar{s}$	-2.16	-0.46	-3.50	-1.68	-3.47	-1.54
$uss\bar{s}$	0.22	1.30	-0.60	0.71	-0.61	0.66
$dss\bar{s}$	-1.95	-0.30	-3.22	-1.44	3.23	-1.36
$sss\bar{s}$	-1.71	-0.14	-2.56	-0.91	-2.57	-0.88

- [1] A. Aktas *et al.*, Evidence for a narrow anti-charmed baryon state, *Physics Letters B* **588**, 17 (2004).
[2] I. W. Stewart, M. E. Wessling, and M. B. Wise, Stable heavy pentaquark states, *Physics Letters B* **590**, 185 (2004).
[3] M. Karliner and H. J. Lipkin, A diquark-triquark model for the κ_n pentaquark,

Physics Letters B **575**, 249 (2003).

- [4] M. E. Wessling, Negative-parity heavy pentaquark states in $1/\text{nc}$, *Physics Letters B* **603**, 152 (2004).
[5] M. Genovese, J.-M. Richard, F. Stancu, and S. Pepin, Heavy-flavour pentaquarks in a chiral constituent quark model, *Physics Letters B* **425**, 171 (1998).

- [6] R. Aaij and others. (LHCb Collaboration), First observation of a doubly charged tetraquark and its neutral partner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 041902 (2023).
- [7] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Observation of a $j/\psi\Lambda$ resonance consistent with a strange pentaquark candidate in $B^- \rightarrow j/\psi\Lambda\bar{p}$ decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 031901 (2023).
- [8] L. collaboration, Evidence of a $j/\psi\lambda$ structure and observation of excited ξ^- states in the $\xi_b^- \rightarrow j/\psi\lambda k^-$ decay, *Science Bulletin* **66**, 1278 (2021).
- [9] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Observation of a narrow pentaquark state, $p_c(4312)^+$, and of the two-peak structure of the $p_c(4450)^+$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 222001 (2019).
- [10] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Observation of $j/\psi p$ resonances consistent with pentaquark states in $\lambda_b^0 \rightarrow j/\psi k^- p$ decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 072001 (2015).
- [11] H.-T. An, K. Chen, and X. Liu, Manifestly exotic pentaquarks with a single heavy quark, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 034018 (2022).
- [12] D. Suenaga and A. Hosaka, Novel pentaquark picture for singly heavy baryons from chiral symmetry, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 034009 (2021).
- [13] T. D. Cohen, P. M. Hohler, and R. F. Lebed, On the existence of heavy pentaquarks: The large N_c and heavy quark limits and beyond, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 074010 (2005).
- [14] P.-Z. Huang, Y.-R. Liu, W.-Z. Deng, X.-L. Chen, and S.-L. Zhu, Heavy pentaquarks, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 034003 (2004).
- [15] F. Gürsey and L. A. Radicati, Spin and unitary spin independence of strong interactions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **13**, 173 (1964).
- [16] E. Santopinto and A. Giachino, Compact pentaquark structures, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 014014 (2017).
- [17] P. Holma and T. Ohlsson, Phenomenological predictions for pentaquark masses from fits to baryon masses, *Physics Letters B* **800**, 135108 (2020).
- [18] A. Sharma and A. Upadhyay, An analysis of gürsey-radicati mass formula for tetraquark systems, *Physica Scripta* **98**, 095308 (2023).
- [19] A. Sharma and A. Upadhyay, Spectroscopic analysis of hidden-charm pentaquarks, *Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics* **51**, 035003 (2024).
- [20] Zhag *et al.*, Masses and magnetic moments of exotic fully heavy pentaquarks, *EPJC* **83**, 727 (2023).
- [21] W.-X. Zhang, C.-L. Liu, and D. Jia, Study of the hidden-heavy pentaquarks and p_{cs} states, arXiv <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2312.12770> (2023), 2312.12770 [hep-ph].
- [22] H.-T. An, K. Chen, Z.-W. Liu, and X. Liu, Heavy flavor pentaquarks with four heavy quarks, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 114027 (2021).
- [23] H.-T. An, K. Chen, and X. Liu, Manifestly exotic pentaquarks with a single heavy quark, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 034018 (2022).
- [24] R. Verma and M. Khanna, Shielding of quark charge and baryon magnetic moment, *Physics Letters B* **183**, 207 (1987).
- [25] R. Dhir, C. S. Kim, and R. C. Verma, Magnetic moments of bottom baryons: Effective mass and screened charge, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 094002 (2013).
- [26] B. S. Bains and R. C. Verma, Reanalysis of baryon magnetic moments using the effective mass and screened charge of quarks, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 114008 (2002).