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#### Abstract

By circumventing the difficulty of obtaining exact string state solutions to Bethe ansatz equations， we devise a truncated string－state－space approach for investigating spin dynamics in non－integrable spin－1／2 Heisenberg chain subjected to staggered field at various magnetization．The obtained dynamical spectra reveal a series of elastic peaks at integer multiples of the ordering wavevector $Q$ ，indicating the presence of multi－$Q$ Bethe string states within the ground state．The spectrum exhibits a separation between different string continua as the strength of the staggered field in－ creases at low magnetization，reflecting the confinement of the Bethe strings．The approach has successfully provided a unified string－state－based picture for understanding spin dynamics observed in various phases of quasi－1D antiferromagnet $\mathrm{YbAlO}_{3}$［1］，and also paves a way for investigating low－dimensional non－integrable systems．


Introduction．One－dimensional quantum systems， characterized by the presence of exact solutions and unique integrability，offers fascinating arena to study quantum many－body physics．A significant subset of these systems can be analytically solved through Bethe ansatz，with notable examples including the one－ dimensional（1D）spin－1／2 XXZ model［2－5］，the Gaudin－ Yang model［6－8］，and the Lieb－Liniger model［9，10］．Al－ though the Bethe ansatz has paved the way for determin－ ing eigenstates and eigenenergies of those systems，it has been a long challenge for calculating their form factors and thus dynamical response，which is partly tackled re－ cently $[8,11-14]$ ．Empowered by the theoretical develop－ ment，the existence of celebrated many－body quasiparti－ cles，such as spinons［15－18］and strings［19－21］，has been experimentally discovered within the quasi－1D materials ［4，22－25］．These progresses witness the cooperative ef－ fort of both theorists and experimentalists to unveil the intricate nature of these exotic phenomena．

Bearing real materials in mind，it becomes crucial to ask how robust integrable physics is against noninte－ grable perturbations that may partially or fully break the conservation laws of the integrable systems．This has inspired extensive research focused on nonintegrable models such as spin－ $1 / 2$ ladders［26，27］，chains with a staggered magnetic field［28，29］，frustrated spin chains ［30］，and dimerized spin chains［31，32］．Most of them are performed by effective field theory［28，29］or the numerical methods such as exact diagonalization（ED） ［30，31］，matrix－product－state［32］，and Quantum Monte Carlo methods［33］．However，on one hand the numerical method in general lacks a clear understanding of essen－ tial physical picture，on the other hand the effective field theory can only provide a limited insight within the low－ energy and long－wavelength limit．Therefore，a method able to go beyond those limitations is always desired．

At first glance it may be promising to apply Bethe
states to study non－integrable systems．However，a noto－ rious open problem persists：finding the precise complex solutions of the Bethe ansatz equation（BAE）for string states［19，34－38］．In the past decades，many approaches have been explored，including carefully－designed itera－ tive method［39］and rational $Q$－system method［40－42］． The former can easily access large system size but suf－ fer from many unphysical solutions with repeated roots． Although the later can solve the BAE for all exact so－ lutions simultaneously，it is limited to small system size． Those shortcomings impede the practical application of the Bethe states to understand non－integrable system with reasonable size．

In this manuscript，we first devise an algorithm for spin－ $1 / 2$ Heisenberg chain，which is able to obtain the exact solutions of Bethe string states．Based on these states with proper eigenenergy cutoff，we develop a truncated string－state－space approach $\left(\mathrm{TS}^{3} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ to study the non－integrable spin dynamics in spin－ $1 / 2$ Heisenberg chain with staggered field．The $\mathrm{TS}^{3} \mathrm{~A}$ can determine the asymptotically exact eigenstates for non－integrable Hamiltonians，whose accuracy can be systematically im－ proved by raising the energy cutoff and the number of types of string states．

Following the $\mathrm{TS}^{3} \mathrm{~A}$ ，we analyze the dynamic spectra of the non－integrable spin dynamics in spin－1／2 Heisenberg chain with staggered field characterized by wavevector $Q$ ．In addition to the $Q$－ordering of the system，a se－ ries of elastic peaks appear at $n Q(n=2,3, \ldots)$ ，since the ground state contains multi－$Q$ Bethe string states． Furthermore，the staggered field plays a role of the con－ fining field for the Bethe string states，leading to the confinement effect in inelastic spectra．At low magne－ tization，the spin dynamics are primarily governed by length－1 Bethe strings with small staggered field．How－ ever，as staggered field increases，the dominance within the high－energy sector of the spectra transfers to con－
fined length-2 Bethe strings. We further benchmark our results with ED calculation, and the comparison yields excellent agreement. Notably, the $\mathrm{TS}^{3} \mathrm{~A}$ has successfully provided a unified Bethe-string-based understanding for the experimental observations in the quasi-1D antiferromagnet $\mathrm{YbAlO}_{3}[1]$.

Model. Our parent Hamiltonian is the 1D Heisenberg spin-1/2 model with longitudinal field $h_{z}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}=J \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{S}_{n} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{n+1}-h_{z} S_{n}^{z} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with total sites $N$, antiferromagnetic coupling $J$, and spin operators $\mathbf{S}_{n}$ with components $S_{n}^{\mu}(\mu=x, y, z)$ at site $n$. By introducing a staggered field $\mathbf{h}_{Q}=h_{Q} \sum_{i} \cos \left(Q r_{i}\right) \hat{z}$ which couples to the spin chain, the total Hamiltonian becomes non-integrable,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=H_{0}+H^{\prime} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\prime}=-\sum_{n} \mathbf{h}_{Q} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{n}=-h_{Q} \sum_{n} \cos \left(Q r_{n}\right) S_{n}^{z} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $h_{Q}$ is the strength of the staggered field with ordering wavevector $Q=(1-m) \pi$, where $m$ is the magnetization density, the ratio of magnetization $M_{z}$ to its saturation value $M_{s}$. In practice, the staggered field can be effectively induced from 3D magnetic ordering of quasi-1D materials, such as $\mathrm{YbAlO}_{3}$ [1, 43-45], $\mathrm{SrCo}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ [46] and $\mathrm{BaCo}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}[47]$. We note that the staggered field can be both commensurate and incommensurate cases, depending on whether $2 \pi / Q$ is a rational or irrational number, respectively.

For investigating spin dynamics of the system we focus on the zero-temperature dynamical structure factor (DSF) for spin along longitudinal $(z)$ direction $(\hbar=1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.D^{z z}(q, \omega)=2 \pi \sum_{\mu}\left|\langle G S| S_{q}^{z}\right| \mu\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2} \delta\left(\omega-E_{\mu}+E_{G S}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with transfer momentum $q$ and transfer energy $\omega$ between the ground state $|G S\rangle$ and excited states $|\mu\rangle$ whose energies are $E_{G S}$ and $E_{\mu}$, respectively. The form factor $\langle G S| S_{q}^{z}|\mu\rangle$ is accessible for the integrable Hamiltonian $H_{0}[15-18,20,21]$. In order to tackle non-integrable Hamiltonian $H$ [Eq. (2)], we propose the $\mathrm{TS}^{3} \mathrm{~A}$ to obtain asymptotically exact result for DSF, as discussed in the following.

Bethe string state. To construct the Hilbert space of Bethe string states for the $\mathrm{TS}^{3} \mathrm{~A}$, we begin with an introduction on the coordinate Bethe ansatz, and an exposure of an efficient algorithm for obtaining the exact solutions from the BAE.

Due to $\mathrm{U}(1)$ symmetry of $H_{0}$ [Eq. (1)] the magnetization $M_{z}=1 / 2-M / N$ is the conserved quantity, where


FIG. 1. (a) The rapidities of Bethe strings with different lengths in the complex plane. (b-d) Pictorial spin configurations of the Bethe strings. Viewing the down spin (red arrow) and up spin (blue arrow) as the magnon and vacuum, respectively. A single Bethe string $\chi^{j}$ contains $j$ bounded magnons and lives in the corresponding $j$-string band in (e). (e) The energy-momentum relation for Bethe strings. (f) Illustration of truncated string-state-space.
$M$ is the number of flipped spins with respect to the fully up-polarized state. The flipped spins are viewed as particles, viz, magnons. In the coordinate Bethe ansatz [48-50], the eigenstate of $H_{0}$ [Eq. (1)] is the Bethe state with $M$ magnons, which is determined by a set of rapidities $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}_{M}$ satisfying the BAE,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}+i / 2}{\lambda_{j}-i / 2}\right)^{N}=\prod_{k \neq j}\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{k}+i}{\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{k}-i}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

These rapidities $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}_{M}$ manifest as either complexconjugate pairs or real numbers [Fig. 1(a)] [51]. The pair of complex rapidities implies significant physical property: the corresponding magnons exhibit an intriguing phenomenon in coordinate space, forming an effective many-body bound magnons commonly referred to as a "Bethe string" [19, 49, 52]. And the length of the string is determined by the number of rapidities with a common real center. Intuitively, Bethe string $\chi^{j}(j \geq 2)$ of length $j$ is a "big" quasiparticle wherein $j$ bounded magnons move coherently, referred to as $j$-string [Fig. 1(b-d)]. When $j=1$, the 1 -string $\chi^{1}$ is just the unbound magnon. Correspondingly, the rapidities of a string $\chi^{j}$ takes the form [19, 34]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{j, \alpha}^{n}=\lambda_{j, \alpha}+\frac{i}{2}(j+1-2 n)+d_{j, \alpha}^{n} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=1, \ldots, j$ denotes the $j$ th magnon in the $j$ string. The number of $j$-strings is denoted as $M_{j}$, and $\alpha=1, \ldots, M_{j}$ label different $j$-strings with the same length $j$. Thus we have $\sum_{j} j M_{j}=M$ for a $M$-magnon


FIG. 2. The absolute value of matrix entry $\langle 1-\operatorname{str}| S_{\pi}^{z}\left|B_{b}\right\rangle$ where $\left|B_{b}\right\rangle$ ranges from 1 -string to $2 * 2$-string states.

Bethe state. We refer to $\lambda_{j, \alpha}$ as the string center which gives the real part of the $j$-string if the deviation $d_{j, \alpha}^{n}$ is omitted. Under the assumption $d_{j, \alpha}^{n}=0$, we obtain eigenenergy of a Bethe string state, $E=\sum_{j, \alpha} \varepsilon_{j, \alpha}$, with $\varepsilon_{j, \alpha}=-2 j J /\left(4 \lambda_{j, \alpha}^{2}+j^{2}\right)$. Therefore, we can exhibit the energy-(quasi)momentum relation for different strings in Fig. 1(e) with $k=\pi-2 \arctan (2 \lambda)$.

To ensure clarity in terminology, we refer to a Bethe state with all $M$ magnons being $\chi^{1}$ as the 1 -string state. For $j>1$, we classify an $n * j$-string state with $M_{j}=$ $n$ and $M_{1}=M-n * j$. When $n=1$, it is simply referred to as the $j$-string state. And this convention can be consistently extended to cover other cases.

In practice, we observe that $d_{j, \alpha}^{n}=0$ may not be a suitable assumption for our $\mathrm{TS}^{3} \mathrm{~A}$. The underlying issue lies in the fact that imprecise solutions fail to provide an accurate matrix representation of $H^{\prime}$ [Eq. (3)], resulting in substantial errors in the eigenstates for $H$ [Eq. (2)] after diagonalization. Finding exact solutions to BAE [Eq. (5)] remains a long-standing and challenging problem. Efforts such as the iterative method used in the Ref. [39] is unable to generate all exact solutions when lattice sites $N \geq 12$. The limitations arise from the generation of repeated real rapidities in certain string states which are physically prohibited [53]. We find the key to solve the problem is that the repeated real rapidities actually form a complex conjugate pair with minor imaginary part (typically $\lesssim 1 / N$ ). To implement the observation into the algorithm, we divide and conquer. For instance, for a 3-string state in Table I, the typical rapidity pattern is that three of them share a common real part up to a finite deviation $d_{j, \alpha}^{n}$, while the remaining rapidities are all real. However, when we encounter the repeated real rapidities, usually involving the 3 -string center and one real rapidity, we introduce a small imaginary part to create a complex conjugate pair. This pair and other rapidities are then treated as a new initial guess for the BAE, from which we are able to efficiently obtain the exact solution [54].

Truncated string-state-space approach. In many phys-
ical problems, it is usually sufficient for us to focus on the low-energy subspace instead of the complete Hilbert space [55]. Here, the $\mathrm{TS}^{3} \mathrm{~A}$ [Fig. 1g] we developed can yield asymptotically exact results, which can be systematically refined to a satisfactory level. From Fig. 2, it is evident that the form factor for two-string states generally diminishes rapidly as the difference in string length increases. Therefore, we can safely truncate the string state space into relevant subspace in terms of string lengths as well as a proper energy cutoff.

When considering $\mathrm{TS}^{3} \mathrm{~A}$ to $H_{0}$ [Eq. (2)], we pick up those short-string-length states with a proper energy cutoff $E_{c u t}^{B}$. To a good approximation, it's enough to consider Bethe states with various combination of $1-, 2-$, and 3 -strings for the zero-temperature DSF [20, 21]. Furthermore, when considering a non-integrable perturbation, such as $H^{\prime}$ [Eq. (3)], the Bethe string state is no longer the eigenstate. Thus, we apply the $\mathrm{TS}^{3} \mathrm{~A}$ to obtain new ground state and excited states before the calculation of any physical quantities like DSFs. To do so, the first step is to construct the matrix representation of the nonintegrable Hamiltonian $H$ [Eq. (2)] within the truncated space, $H_{t r}=\delta_{a b} E_{a}^{B}+\left\langle B_{a}\right| H^{\prime}\left|B_{b}\right\rangle$ with $E_{a}^{B} \leq E_{c u t}^{B}$. The dimension of $H_{t r}$ is typically much less than $2^{N}$ and is controlled by the energy cutoff $E_{\text {cut }}^{B}$ and types of Bethe string states. After the diagnolization of $H_{t r}$, we obtain the asymptotically exact eigenstates and eigenenergies for $H$, which enable us to further study the physical quantities such as zero-temperature DSF Eq. (4). Then we discuss the results in the following.

Results and discussion. With the staggered field perturbation term $H^{\prime}$ [Eq. (3)], the Hamiltonian $H$ [Eq. (2)] is not longer integrable. Following the $\mathrm{TS}^{3} \mathrm{~A}$ introduced above, we obtain the approximately exact eigenstates and eigenenergies. Due to the fact that $\sum_{n} \cos \left(Q r_{n}\right) S_{n}^{z} \propto$ $\left(S_{Q}^{z}+S_{-Q}^{z}\right)$, the non-vanishing matrix element of $H^{\prime}$ only appears between Bethe states with momentum difference $\Delta q= \pm Q$. As a result, the ground state consists of Bethe states with momenta $n Q(n=1,2, \ldots)$ adding to original ground state. For static structure factor $D^{z z}(q, \omega=0)$ [Fig. 5], a series of staggered-field induced peaks appear at $n Q(\mathrm{n}=1,2, \cdots)$, manifesting the presence of multi$Q$ Bethe states in the ground state. These results are consistent with the experimental observation of $\mathrm{YbAlO}_{3}$ and the multiple fermion scattering mechanism of the Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid theory [45].

The staggered field also serves as a confining field for the Heisenberg spin chains $[28,29]$. When $h_{Q}=0$, the spectra show gapless excitations at $q=(1 \pm m) \pi$, and the 2-string states are hard to be distinguished from the broad continuum of 1 -string states. When $h_{Q}>0$, the energy gap not only appears near the elastic line, but also gradually develops between the continua of 1 - and 2 -string states as $h_{Q}$ increases. The induced gaps reflect the energy cost for the excitation of Bethe strings, which is known as confinement of Bethe strings and has

|  | $\lambda_{1}$ | $\lambda_{2}$ | $\lambda_{3}$ | $\lambda_{4}$ | $\lambda_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| unphysical [39] | $0.4955+0.9622 i$ | $0.4955-0.9622 i$ | 0.4458 | 0.4458 | 0.1803 |
| physical | $0.4918+0.9615 i$ | $0.4918+0.9615 i$ | $0.4448+0.0188 i$ | $0.4448-0.0188 i$ | 0.1807 |

TABLE I. Rapidities of Bethe ansatz equaitons for $N=12$ and $M=5$. The solutions presented in the first row are obtained from the Ref. [39] and that in second row are obtained from our method. Note that in first row, $\lambda_{3}$ and $\lambda_{4}$ are coincided, representing an unphysical outcome.


FIG. 3. Zero temperature DSF of XXX chain with lattice size $N=48$. The DSFs with $h_{Q}=0 J, 0.2 J$, and $0.4 J$ (from left to right), and magnetization density $m=12.5 \%, 25 \%, 50 \%$, $75 \%$ (from top to bottom).
been observed in $\mathrm{YbAlO}_{3}$ [1]. At small magnetization ( $m \lesssim 25 \%$ ), 2-string states are confined and becomes distinguishable from the confined 1-string continuum, however, the 2 -string continuum fades away into higher energy window as $m$ increases.

We further compare our results with the ED calculations in Fig. 4. This comparison reveals a remarkable consistency in the overall shape of the spectra. Moreover, our larger size calculation not only reduces finite size effect but also renders the characteristics of spectra more transparent and discernible. Crucially, our $\mathrm{TS}^{3} \mathrm{~A}$ offers two key advantages compared to the ED method: First, it has higher efficiency, facilitating much larger systems ( $N \gtrsim 50$ ); second, it naturally provides a unified Bethe-


FIG. 4. Zero temperature DSF of XXX chain with lattice size $N=16$. The DSFs with $h_{Q}=0 J, 0.2 J$, and $0.4 J$ (from left to right), and magnetization density $m=12.5 \%, 25 \%, 50 \%$, $75 \%$ (from top to bottom).
string-based physical picture for understanding the underlying physics.

Conclusion. We exploit an efficient algorithm to find exact solutions for the Bethe string states from the BAE of the spin- $1 / 2$ Heisenberg spin chain. Based on the exact solutions we further develop the $\mathrm{TS}^{3} \mathrm{~A}$ which enables us to determine eigenstates and eigenenergies of nonintegrable spin-1/2 Heisenberg systems with $U(1)$ symmetry preserved. The method is then applied to systematically study the dynamics of non-integrable spin- $1 / 2$ Heisenberg spin chain under staggered field. In the dynamic spectra, we reveal a series of elastic peaks locating at the integer multiples of the ordering wavevector $Q$, signifying the existence of multi- $Q$ Bethe string states


FIG. 5. The static structure factor with $h_{Q}=0 J, 0.2 J$, and $0.4 J$, and magnetization density $m=12.5 \%, 25 \%, 50 \%, 75 \%$.
within the ground state. Moreover, the staggered field serves as a confining field for Bethe string states, giving rise to confinement gaps between the continua of $1-\&$ 2 -string states.

Our $\mathrm{TS}^{3} \mathrm{~A}$ approach offers a Bethe-string-based perspective, contributing to a more integrated understanding of Heisenberg spin systems. This framework has demonstrated its efficiency and validity in interpreting experimental observations of the quasi-1D antiferromagnet $\mathrm{YbAlO}_{3}[1]$. This intriguing consistency between theoretical predictions based on $\mathrm{TS}^{3} \mathrm{~A}$ and experimental results motivates its extended application to ladder and two-dimensional systems featuring interchain coupling. This broadening of scope not only enhances the versatility of the Bethe string picture but also transcends its conventional one-dimensional limitations.
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