

# Shell-model representations of the microscopic version of the Bohr-Mottelson collective model

H. G. Ganev<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia*

<sup>2</sup>*Institute of Mechanics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria*

The structure of the irreducible collective spaces of the group  $Sp(12, R)$ , which many-particle nuclear states are classified according to the chain  $Sp(12, R) \supset U(6) \supset SO(6) \supset SU_{pn}(3) \otimes SO(2) \supset SO(3)$  of the proton-neutron symplectic model (PNSM), is considered in detail. This chain of the PNSM was shown to correspond to a microscopic shell-model version of the Bohr-Mottelson collective model. The construction of the relevant shell-model representations of the  $Sp(12, R)$  group along this chain is considered for three nuclei with varying collective properties and from different mass regions. It is shown that the  $SU_{pn}(3)$  basis states of the  $Sp(12, R)$  representations are always Pauli allowed for  $v \geq v_0$ , but organized in a different way into different  $SO(6)$  shells. This is in contrast to the case of filling the levels of the standard three-dimensional harmonic oscillator and using the plethysm operation. Although the  $SU_{pn}(3)$  multiplets with  $v < v_0$  are not all Pauli forbidden, it is safe to discard them, as it was actually done in the practical applications.

PACS number(s): 21.60.Fw, 21.60.Ev

## I. INTRODUCTION

Different models of nuclear structure exist for describing a particular set of experimental data or aspect of nuclear excitations. These models can be roughly divided into two groups – phenomenological and microscopic models. It is well known that a characteristic feature that distinguishes between the two groups is provided by the Pauli principle. The models are referred to as microscopic if they fulfil the Pauli principle, which originates from the fermionic nature of atomic nucleus. For the phenomenological models the situation is opposite – they do not respect the Pauli principle, i.e. the composite fermion structure of the nucleus is not taken into account. A well known example of a microscopic model in nuclear physics is provided, for instance, by the algebraic Elliott  $SU(3)$  model of nuclear rotations [1]. A widely exploited phenomenological model of the nuclear collective motion, which has conceptually influenced the development of the other collective models of nuclear structure, is presented by the Bohr-Mottelson (BM) collective model [2]. In its standard formulation [3, 4], the latter can not be naturally related to the microscopic many-fermion nuclear theory. In particular, it is not clear how the state vectors in the Bohr-Mottelson model which characterize the quantized surface vibrations and rotations of atomic nuclei can be identified with the wave functions in the Hilbert space of  $A$  nucleon antisymmetric states. This is a common property of all phenomenological collective models, which usually describe the nuclear collective motion in terms of shape parameters or bosons of certain type.

It turns out that many phenomenological models of nuclear structure can be given a microscopic foundation. For example, this is achieved by considering the fermion composite substructure of the bosons within the boson

type models (see, e.g., [5]). A more powerful and elegant method to do this is provided by the algebraic approach. The idea is to embed the desired phenomenological model into the many-particle microscopic shell-model theory [6, 7] by using the spectrum generating algebras (SGA) and dynamical groups [8]. It is well known that the nuclear shell model (see, e.g., [9]) provides such a basic formal framework for understanding nuclei in terms of interacting protons and neutrons. In this way the algebraic approach appears as an unifying concept in the nuclear structure physics, relating different models (irrespective whether they are of phenomenological or microscopic nature) by means of their algebraic structures.

In algebraic models all model observables, such as Hamiltonian and transition operators, are expressed in terms of the elements of a Lie algebra of observables. In this regard, the problem of embedding of a certain collective model is largely solved when once it is recognized that both the collective model under consideration and the shell model can be formulated as algebraic models with dynamical groups. Thus, a certain collective model becomes a submodel of the shell model if its dynamical group is expressed as a subgroup of a dynamical group of the shell model (see, e.g. [6, 7]). The full Lie algebra of observables of the shell model is huge (strictly speaking, infinite), which is the reason for making the shell model (with major-shell mixing) an unsolvable problem and for seeking of its tractable approximations. Fortunately, it has a subalgebra which is easier to manage; i.e., the Lie algebra of all one-body operators. The corresponding dynamical group is then the group of one-body unitary transformations. An example of a complete algebraic model that is a submodel of the shell model is provided by the Elliott  $SU(3)$  model [1] already mentioned above.

Then, in general, to give a certain collective model a microscopic shell-model interpretation, the following three steps are required within the framework of the algebraic approach: 1) algebraic formulation of the collective

model in terms of a Lie algebra of observables; 2) seeking of a microscopic, many-particle realization of this algebra in terms of all position and momentum coordinates of the particles of the system; 3) construction of its shell-model representations. Sometimes, it is necessary to adjust the considered phenomenological model so that its algebraic structure becomes compatible with the microscopic shell-model structure of the nucleus. Such an example is provided by the embedding of the BM model in the one-component shell-model theory. To make the BM model microscopically realizable, one first needs to replace the shape variables, which do not have a microscopic expression, by the microscopic quadrupole moment operators. The latter together with their time derivatives yield a new set of commutation relations, defining the Lie algebra of the so called  $CM(3)$  model of Weaver, Biedenharn and Cusson [10, 11]. In this way one obtains a microscopic many-particle realization of the BM model augmented by the intrinsic vortex spin degrees of freedom, but which is not compatible with the shell-model structure of the nucleus (i.e., only the first two steps are performed). In order to make the  $CM(3)$  model compatible with the fermion nature of the nucleus, its dynamical group was extended to the non-compact symplectic group  $Sp(6, R)$  by including the many-particle kinetic energy operator to its set of collective observables. In this way the  $Sp(6, R)$  model [12], sometimes called a microscopic collective model, is obtained as a result of the embedding the BM model into the one-component shell-model theory. It has well defined shell-model representations, which are constructed by means of the three-dimensional creation and annihilation operators of harmonic oscillator quanta. The  $Sp(6, R)$  model is a sub-model of the nuclear shell model, as should be according to the prescription described above. Another example of embedding into the nuclear shell model is presented by the phenomenological interacting vector boson model [13], in which the nuclear collective motion is described by means of two types of vector bosons. This model possesses only one shell-model representation for even-even nuclei – namely, the trivial scalar representation of its  $Sp(12, R)$  dynamical group. A microscopic foundation of this model was obtained by augmenting it with an intrinsic microscopic many-particle  $U(6)$  structure, which already admits many nonscalar  $Sp(12, R)$  representations compatible with the fermion structure of the nucleus. This was achieved first by expressing its SGA observables in terms of many-particle proton and neutron position and momentum observables and then by construction of the Pauli allowed shell-model representations (i.e., performing the second and third steps). As a result, a completely new microscopic model of nuclear collective motion has appeared which was referred to as a proton-neutron symplectic model (PNSM) [14, 15]. At the same time, the PNSM generalizes the microscopic one-component  $Sp(6, R)$  model [12] for the case of the two-component proton-neutron nuclear systems, which becomes evident by the embedding  $Sp(6, R) \subset Sp(12, R)$ .

In this way the PNSM has appeared as a simultaneous generalization of the phenomenological interacting vector boson model and the microscopic  $Sp(6, R)$  symplectic model.

Recently, the BM model was embedded [16, 17] into the two-component shell-model theory within the framework of the PNSM. It was demonstrated that a microscopic shell-model version of the BM model is defined by one of dynamical symmetry chains of the PNSM. It is the purpose of the present paper to consider in detail the shell-model irreducible representations of this new version and to show that for  $v \geq v_0$  they represent Pauli allowed many-particle subspaces of the Hilbert space of the nucleus.

## II. THE PROTON-NEUTRON SYMPLECTIC MODEL

The  $Sp(12, R)$  SGA of the PNSM has many sub-algebra chains, which can be divided in two types – the collective-model and shell-model chains, respectively. For the shell-model purposes, the  $Sp(12, R)$  SGA of the PNSM can be represented by its complexification  $Sp(12, R) = \{F_{ij}(\alpha, \beta), G_{ij}(\alpha, \beta), A_{ij}(\alpha, \beta)\}$ . In this realization, the  $Sp(12, R)$  generators[15]

$$F_{ij}(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{s=1}^m b_{i\alpha, s}^\dagger b_{j\beta, s}^\dagger, \quad (1)$$

$$G_{ij}(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{s=1}^m b_{i\alpha, s} b_{j\beta, s}, \quad (2)$$

$$A_{ij}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^m (b_{i\alpha, s}^\dagger b_{j\beta, s} + b_{j\beta, s} b_{i\alpha, s}^\dagger). \quad (3)$$

are expressed as bilinear combinations of the standard creation and annihilation operators of harmonic oscillator quanta

$$\begin{aligned} b_{i\alpha, s}^\dagger &= \sqrt{\frac{M_\alpha \omega}{2\hbar}} \left( x_{is}(\alpha) - \frac{i}{M_\alpha \omega} p_{is}(\alpha) \right), \\ b_{i\alpha, s} &= \sqrt{\frac{M_\alpha \omega}{2\hbar}} \left( x_{is}(\alpha) + \frac{i}{M_\alpha \omega} p_{is}(\alpha) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

In the last expressions,  $x_{is}(\alpha)$  and  $p_{is}(\alpha)$  denote the coordinates and corresponding momenta of the translationally-invariant relative Jacobi vectors of the  $m$ -quasiparticle two-component nuclear system and  $A$  is the number of protons and neutrons. The range of indices is as follows:  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ ;  $\alpha, \beta = p, n$  and  $s = 1, \dots, m = A - 1$ .

The microscopic shell-model version of the BM model is defined by the following dynamical symmetry chain

[16, 17]:

$$\begin{aligned}
Sp(12, R) &\supset SU(1, 1) \otimes SO(6) \\
&\langle \sigma \rangle \quad \lambda_\nu \quad \nu \\
&\supset U(1) \otimes SU_{pn}(3) \otimes SO(2) \supset SO(3), \quad (5) \\
&p \quad (\lambda, \mu) \quad \nu \quad q \quad L
\end{aligned}$$

which represents a PNSM shell-model coupling scheme. The labels under the different groups stand for their irreducible representations. According to the chain (5) the combined monopole-quadrupole nuclear dynamics splits into radial and orbital motions and the wave functions can be represented in the form [16]:

$$\Psi_{\lambda_\nu p; \nu \nu q LM}(r, \Omega_5) = R_p^{\lambda_\nu}(r) Y_{\nu q LM}^\nu(\Omega_5). \quad (6)$$

For more details concerning the structure of these function we refer the readers to Ref.[17].

The radial  $SU(1, 1)$  Lie algebra is generated by the shell-model operators [16]:

$$S_+^{(\lambda_\nu)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_\alpha F^0(\alpha, \alpha), \quad (7)$$

$$S_-^{(\lambda_\nu)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_\alpha G^0(\alpha, \alpha), \quad (8)$$

$$S_0^{(\lambda_\nu)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_\alpha A^0(\alpha, \alpha), \quad (9)$$

which are obtained from (1)–(3) by contraction with respect to both indices  $i$  and  $\alpha$ . The orbital motion group  $SO(6)$  can be expressed through the  $U(6)$  generators  $A^{LM}(\alpha, \beta)$  (3) in a standard way by taking their anti-symmetric combination [16]:

$$\Lambda^{LM}(\alpha, \beta) = A^{LM}(\alpha, \beta) - (-1)^L A^{LM}(\beta, \alpha). \quad (10)$$

The generators of different  $SO(6)$  subgroups along the chain (5) are given by the following operators

$$\tilde{q}^{2M} = \sqrt{3}i[A^{2M}(p, n) - A^{2M}(n, p)], \quad (11)$$

$$L^{1M} = \sqrt{2}[A^{1M}(p, p) + A^{1M}(n, n)], \quad (12)$$

and

$$M = -\sqrt{3}\Lambda^0(\alpha, \beta) = -i\sqrt{3}[A^0(\alpha, \beta) - A^0(\beta, \alpha)], \quad (13)$$

which generate the  $SU_{pn}(3)$  and  $SO(2)$  groups, respectively. As can be seen from (11)–(12) and (13), the two sets of operators are irreducible tensors of different rank with respect to the group  $SO(3)$ . The two groups  $SU_{pn}(3)$  and  $SO(2)$ , therefore, are mutually complementary [18] within the fully symmetric  $SO(6)$  irreps  $\nu \equiv (\nu, 0, 0)_6$ . The  $SU_{pn}(3)$  irrep labels  $(\lambda, \mu)$  in this case are in one-to-one correspondence with the  $SO(6)$  and  $SO(2)$  quantum numbers  $\nu$  and  $\nu$ , given by the following expression [16]:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nu)_6 = & \bigoplus_{\nu=\pm\nu, \pm(\nu-2), \dots, 0(1\pm)} (\lambda = \frac{\nu+\nu}{2}, \mu = \frac{\nu-\nu}{2}) \otimes (\nu)_2. \\
& (14)
\end{aligned}$$

The reduction rules for  $SU_{pn}(3) \supset SO(3)$  are given in terms of a multiplicity index  $q$  which distinguishes the same  $L$  values in the  $SU_{pn}(3)$  multiplet  $(\lambda, \mu)$  [1]:

$$\begin{aligned}
q &= \min(\lambda, \mu), \min(\lambda, \mu) - 2, \dots, 0 \quad (1) \\
L &= \max(\lambda, \mu), \max(\lambda, \mu) - 2, \dots, 0 \quad (1); \quad q = 0 \quad (15) \\
L &= q, q + 1, \dots, q + \max(\lambda, \mu); \quad q \neq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

For our present purposes, however, it is more convenient to use the equivalent [16, 19] dynamical chain

$$Sp(12, R) \supset U(6) \supset SO(6) \supset SU_{pn}(3) \otimes SO(2) \supset SO(3) \quad (16)$$

to classify the many-particle shell-model states of the nucleus. The branching rules for the reduction  $U(6) \supset SO(6)$  in the case of fully symmetric representations  $[E]_6$  of  $U(6)$  are given by [20]:

$$[E]_6 = \bigoplus_{\nu=E, E-2, \dots, 0(1)} (v, 0, 0)_6 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{E}{2} \rfloor} (E - 2i)_6, \quad (17)$$

where  $\lfloor E/2 \rfloor = E/2$  if  $E$  is even and  $(E - 1)/2$  if  $E$  is odd. From the latter expression we see that only fully symmetric  $(v, 0, 0)_6 \equiv (\nu)_6$  irreps of  $SO(6)$  appear. For non-symmetric  $U(6)$  irreducible representations one can use, e.g., the SCHUR computer program [21] to obtain the corresponding  $SO(6)$  subrepresentations.

### III. SHELL-MODEL REPRESENTATIONS

The symplectic basis for an irreducible representation  $\langle \sigma \rangle \equiv \langle \sigma_1 + \frac{m}{2}, \dots, \sigma_6 + \frac{m}{2} \rangle$  of the group  $Sp(12, R)$  is constructed by acting on the  $Sp(12, R)$  lowest-weight state  $|\sigma\rangle$  by the symplectic raising operators (1). This can be symbolically represented in the following form [15]:

$$|\Psi(\sigma n \rho E \eta)\rangle = [P^{(n)}(F) \times |\sigma\rangle]_{\eta}^{\rho E}, \quad (18)$$

where  $P^{(n)}(F) = [F \times \dots \times F]^{(n)}$  and  $n = [n_1, \dots, n_6]$  is a partition with even integer parts.  $E = [E_1, \dots, E_6]$  indicates the  $U(6)$  quantum numbers of the coupled state,  $\eta$  labels a basis of states for the coupled  $U(6)$  irrep  $E$ , and  $\rho$  is a multiplicity index. In this way we obtain a basis of  $Sp(12, R)$  states that reduces the subgroup chain  $Sp(12, R) \supset U(6)$ . In addition, in our practical applications we usually restrict the model space only to the fully symmetric  $U(6)$  irreps  $E = [E_1 \equiv E, 0, \dots, 0] \equiv [E]_6$ .

The symplectic basis states are further classified by the remaining groups in the chain (16). This means that the symplectic states are characterized by their irreducible representations, i.e. we fix the basis index  $\eta = \nu \nu q LM$  in Eq.(18). But using the relation (14), one alternatively obtains for the basis index  $\eta = \nu(\lambda, \mu)qLM$ . The latter choice is more convenient for the analysis of the  $SU(3)$  content of the shell-model representations of  $Sp(12, R)$ . We note also that the lowest-weight state of  $Sp(12, R)$  is

simultaneously a highest-weight state for the  $U(6)$  irreducible representation  $\sigma \equiv [\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_6]$ . Such a  $Sp(12, R)$  lowest-weight but  $U(6)$  highest-weight state is sometimes referred to as a lowest-grade  $U(6)$  state. For this intrinsic  $U(6)$  structure we will simply use the term symplectic bandhead or  $Sp(12, R)$  bandhead.

To understand better the type and the structure of shell-model representations of the  $Sp(12, R)$  basis states that are classified either by the dynamical chain (5) or (16), we will consider the relevant representations for three nuclei with varying collective properties and belonging to different mass regions. First consider the relevant  $Sp(12, R)$  shell-model irreducible representation for the light nucleus  $^{20}\text{Ne}$ .

### A. Shell-model representation of $^{20}\text{Ne}$

It is well known that possible  $SU(3)$  states in the nuclear shell model are obtained by taking all possible distributions of protons and neutrons within the considered valence shells. The set of Pauli allowed states within a given three-dimensional oscillator shell  $\mathcal{N}$  can be obtained by the so-called plethysm operation, according to which the set of the  $SU(3)$  shell-model states are defined by the reduction chain  $U(d) \supset SU(3)$ , where  $d = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{N} + 1)(\mathcal{N} + 2)$  for each nuclear shell  $\mathcal{N}$ . Computer codes [22, 23] exist for the evaluation of the  $SU(3)$  irreps contained in  $U(d)$ . For the case of two-component nuclear system, one should first consider  $U_p(d) \supset SU_p(3)$  and  $U_n(d) \supset SU_n(3)$  ( $\alpha = p, n$ ) with the consequent coupling of the proton and neutron  $SU_\alpha(3)$  multiplets, i.e.,  $(\lambda_p, \mu_p) \otimes (\lambda_n, \mu_n)$ , to the  $SU(3)$  irreducible representation  $(\lambda, \mu)$  of the combined proton-neutron nuclear system. Generally, we have many possible proton-neutron  $SU(3)$  multiplets, i.e.  $(\lambda_p, \mu_p) \otimes (\lambda_n, \mu_n) = \sum (\lambda, \mu)$ .

Filling pairwise the levels of three-dimensional harmonic oscillator by protons and neutrons separately at the experimentally observed quadrupole deformation, starting from bottom, we obtain completely filled  $s$  and  $p$  shells, plus two protons and two neutrons in the  $sd$  shell. That is, we obtain the same many-particle configuration  $(0)^2(1)^6(2)^2$  for the proton and neutron subsystem. Then, using the codes [22, 23], for  $^{20}\text{Ne}$  one readily obtains the following  $SU(3)$  irreducible representations for the proton (neutron) subsystem:  $(4, 0)$  and  $(0, 2)$ . The Pauli allowed  $SU(3)$  multiplets for the combined proton-neutron nuclear system are obtained by the direct products of these two irreps, i.e.: a)  $(4, 0) \otimes (4, 0) = (8, 0), (6, 1), (4, 2), (2, 3), (0, 4)$ ; b)  $(4, 0) \otimes (0, 2) = (4, 2), (3, 1), (2, 0)$ ; and c)  $(0, 2) \otimes (0, 2) = (0, 4), (1, 2), (2, 0)$ . Each one of these  $SU(3)$  multiplets, for example, can serve as an intrinsic  $SU(3)$  structure for the construction of an  $Sp(6, R)$  shell-model representation. The many-particle Hilbert space for  $^{20}\text{Ne}$  therefore can be represented as a direct sum of different  $Sp(6, R)$  shell-model irreducible representations, including – beyond the  $0p-0h$  representations built on the  $sd$  valence

shell  $SU(3)$  multiplets just obtained – also the excited  $Sp(6, R)$  representations by taking all possible distributions of the protons and neutrons over the higher major shells. Usually, the leading  $SU(3)$  representation is used, which is obtained by coupling the leading, i.e. most deformed, proton and neutron representations. Thus, for  $^{20}\text{Ne}$ , one obtains the leading proton-neutron  $(8, 0)$  multiplet. The irreducible collective space within the  $Sp(6, R)$  model, built upon this  $(8, 0)$  multiplet, is given in Table I as an example.

TABLE I: Irreducible collective space  $0p-0h$   $(8, 0)$  of  $Sp(6, R)$ , relevant to  $^{20}\text{Ne}$ .

...	...
$N_0 + 4$	$(12, 0), (10, 1), 2(8, 2), (6, 3), (7, 1), (4, 4), (6, 0)$
$N_0 + 2$	$(10, 0), (8, 1), (6, 2)$
$N_0$	$(8, 0)$

Alternatively, one can use the supermultiplet spin-isospin scheme to obtain the Pauli allowed  $SU(3)$  states. Thus, filling each level by four nucleons, one obtains the many particle configuration:  $(0)^4(1)^{12}(2)^4$ . For 4 nucleons in the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$   $sd$  shell, the codes [22, 23] produce:  $(8, 0), (4, 2), (0, 4), (2, 0)$ . We see that the odd  $SU(3)$  irreps obtained in the proton-neutron scheme are now missing. The even  $SU(3)$  irreps are the same.

The relevant irreducible collective space for  $^{20}\text{Ne}$ , spanned by the  $Sp(12, R)$  irreducible representation  $0p-0h$   $[12]_6$  (or using an equivalent notation,  $\langle \sigma \rangle = (10 + 19/2, 2 + 19/2, \dots, 2 + 19/2)$ ) that is restricted only to the fully symmetric  $U(6)$  irreps and which basis states are classified by the chain (16), is given in Table II. This  $Sp(12, R)$  representation is defined by the intrinsic  $U(6)$  structure  $[10, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]_6 \equiv [8]_6$ , which in turn is fixed by the leading  $SU(3)$  irrep  $(8, 0)$ . From the figure the structure of the symplectic basis become evident. Some points are of importance at this place. First, the collective potential that can be expressed along the chain (16) as a function of the second- and third Casimir operators of  $SU_{pn}(3)$  will organize the space of  $SU(3)$  irreps according to their deformation. That is, the lowest in energy will be the  $SU_{pn}(3)$  multiplet  $(8, 0)$  from the maximal seniority  $SO(6)$  irrep  $\nu_0 = 8$  of the symplectic bandhead. We note that in the absence of the third-order  $SU_{pn}(3)$  Casimir operator that distinguishes between the prolate and oblate shapes, the same energy will be obtained for the conjugate multiplet  $(0, 8)$  from the  $SO(6)$  irrep  $\nu_0 = 8$ . The other  $SU_{pn}(3)$  multiplets belonging to the  $SO(6)$  irrep  $\nu_0 = 8$  will be higher in energy, followed by the  $SU_{pn}(3)$  multiplets for other  $SO(6)$  irreps with  $\nu < \nu_0$  belonging to the lowest-grade  $U(6)$  irrep  $[8]_6$  characterized also by  $N_0$ . For the other  $U(6)$  shells the situation will be similar. Note that the different major shells are separated by the harmonic oscillator energy  $\hbar\omega = 41A^{-1/3}$  and the  $n$ -th excited shell will have an energy  $n\hbar\omega$ .

Second, the horizontal set of  $SU(3)$  irreducible rep-

representations of, e.g., the row defined by  $N_0$  at first sight look differently compared to that obtained by the plethysm operation via the reduction  $U(d) \supset SU(3)$  [22, 23] and given above. That is, the overlap of the two sets of  $SU(3)$  irreps looks partial. This is because the many-particle configurations in the PNSM are classified by the basis states of the six-dimensional harmonic oscillator rather than the standard three-dimensional one. But the  $SU(3)$  states contained in the  $U(6)$  group structure can be organized in different ways since different choices for the group  $G$  in the reduction  $U(6) \supset G \supset SU(3)$  are possible. Then each shell in the present approach is determined by the corresponding  $U(6)$  rep-

resentation, which in turn contains different seniority  $SO(6)$  irreducible representations  $v$  or subshells (see Table II). Consider first the  $SU(3)$  irreps belonging to the maximal seniority  $SO(6)$  irrep  $v_0 = 8$  of the  $Sp(12, R)$  bandhead structure  $N_0$ , which is of particular interest in the practical application of the microscopic shell-model version of the BM model. We will show now that the horizontal set of the remaining  $SU(3)$  irreps which are placed to the right from the axially-symmetric multiplet ( $\lambda = 8, 0$ ), the latter being in the most left position, actually represent many-particle-many-hole (mp-mh) excitations of the nuclear system.

TABLE II: Irreducible collective space  $0p-0h [8]_6$  of  $Sp(12, R)$ , relevant to  $^{20}\text{Ne}$ , which  $SU_{pn}(3)$  basis states are classified according to the chain (16).

$N$	$v \setminus \nu$	...	10	8	6	4	2	0	-2	-4	-6	-8	-10	...
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$										
$N_0 + 2$	10		(10, 0)	(9, 1)	(8, 2)	(7, 3)	(6, 4)	(5, 5)	(4, 6)	(3, 7)	(2, 8)	(1, 9)	(0, 10)	
	8			(8, 0)	(7, 1)	(6, 2)	(5, 3)	(4, 4)	(3, 5)	(2, 6)	(1, 7)	(0, 8)		
	6				(6, 0)	(5, 1)	(4, 2)	(3, 3)	(2, 4)	(1, 5)	(0, 6)			
	4					(4, 0)	(3, 1)	(2, 2)	(1, 3)	(0, 4)				
	2						(2, 0)	(1, 1)	(0, 2)					
0								(0, 0)						
$N_0$	8			(8, 0)	(7, 1)	(6, 2)	(5, 3)	(4, 4)	(3, 5)	(2, 6)	(1, 7)	(0, 8)		
	6				(6, 0)	(5, 1)	(4, 2)	(3, 3)	(2, 4)	(1, 5)	(0, 6)			
	4					(4, 0)	(3, 1)	(2, 2)	(1, 3)	(0, 4)				
	2						(2, 0)	(1, 1)	(0, 2)					
	0							(0, 0)						

We recall that the raising symplectic generators  $F^{lm}(\alpha, \beta)$  transform according to the  $U(6)$  irreducible representation  $[2]_6$ . According to Eq.(17) it decomposes to the  $SO(6)$  irreps  $(2)_6$  and  $(0)_6$ , respectively. Further, using Eq.(14), we find the  $SU_{pn}(3)$  content for each of these two  $SO(6)$  irreps: 1)  $(0)_6 \downarrow (0, 0); 2) (2)_6 \downarrow (2, 0), (1, 1), (0, 2)$ . These results actually coincide with the last two subrows with  $v = 2$  and 0 of Table II. The symplectic lowering generators  $G^{lm}(\alpha, \beta)$  transform according to the conjugate  $U(6)$  representation  $[2]_6^* = [-2]_6 \equiv [222220]_6$ , which decomposes to the same  $SO(6)$  irreps  $(2)_6$  and  $(0)_6$ . In turn, we obtain the same  $SU_{pn}(3)$  content for the lowering symplectic generators, as given above for the raising operators. The product of the lowering and the raising symplectic generators will transform according to the direct product  $[-2]_6 \otimes [2]_6$  of the corresponding  $U(6)$  representations, producing the set:  $[2, -2]_6^*$ ,  $[1, -1]_6^*$ , and  $[0, -0]_6^*$ . Then it is easy to show that acting on the  $SU_{pn}(3)$  multiplet  $(8, 0)$  by the tensor operator

$G^2(a, a) \cdot F^2(b, b) = \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{5}[G^2(a, a) \times F^2(b, b)]_{-4100}^4$ , classified by the whole chain (16), we obtain the  $SU_{pn}(3)$  multiplet  $(6, 2)$ . The operators a's and b's are defined as a linear combination of the proton and neutron creation or annihilations operators. In particular,  $a_j^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-iB_j^\dagger(p) + B_j^\dagger(n))$  and  $b_j^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(iB_j^\dagger(p) + B_j^\dagger(n))$  [19] which transform as  $(1, 0)$  and  $(0, 1)$   $SU(3)$  tensors, respectively, where the following notation is also used  $B_i^\dagger(\alpha) = \sum_s b_{i\alpha, s}^\dagger$ . Their conjugate operators  $a_j$  and  $b_j$  transform as  $(0, 1)$  and  $(1, 0)$   $SU(3)$  tensors, respectively. By repeated action with the same operator  $G^2(a, a) \cdot F^2(b, b) = \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{5}[G^2(a, a) \times F^2(b, b)]_{-4100}^4$  one can obtain the remaining even  $SU_{pn}(3)$  multiplets belonging to the  $SO(6)$  irrep  $v_0 = 8$ . In this way, the operator  $G^2(a, a) \cdot F^2(b, b) = \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{5}[G^2(a, a) \times F^2(b, b)]_{-4100}^4$  can be interpreted as a 2p-2h-like operator of the core excitations that creates two oscillator quanta in the shell above and annihilates two oscillator quanta in the shell below, i.e. it promotes two oscillator quanta up. For

instance, the  $SU_{pn}(3)$  multiplet  $(6, 2)$  within the  $SO(6)$  irrep  $v_0 = 8$  of the symplectic  $Sp(12, R)$  bandhead, defined by  $N_0$  oscillator quanta, is obtained by promoting two oscillator quanta from the shell  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  to  $\mathcal{N} = 2$ , i.e. changing the many-particle shell-model configuration  $(0)^4(1)^{12}(2)^4$  to  $(0)^4(1)^{10}(2)^6$ , the latter producing the excited  $SU_{pn}(3)$  irrep  $(6, 2)$  from  $(8, 0)$  of the former configuration. The odd  $SU_{pn}(3)$  multiplet  $(7, 1)$  can

be obtained in a similar manner from  $(8, 0)$  by acting with an 1p-1h-like operator, i.e., by the  $U(6)$  operator  $[A^0(b, a)]_{-2100}^2$ . Note that the latter operator together with  $G^2(a, a) \cdot F^2(b, b) = \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{5}[G^2(a, a) \times F^2(b, b)]_{-4100}^4$  both preserve the number of  $U(6)$  harmonic oscillator quanta  $N$  of each shell.

TABLE III: Irreducible collective space  $0p-0h [12]_6$  of  $Sp(12, R)$ , relevant to  $^{106}\text{Cd}$ , which  $SU_{pn}(3)$  basis states are classified according to the chain (16).

$N$	$v \setminus \nu$	...	14	12	10	8	6	4	2	0	-2	-4	-6	-8	-10	-12	-14	...
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$														
$N_0 + 2$	14		(14, 0)	(13, 1)	(12, 2)	(11, 3)	(10, 4)	(9, 5)	(8, 6)	(7, 7)	(6, 8)	(5, 9)	(4, 10)	(3, 11)	(2, 12)	(1, 13)	(0, 14)	
	12			(12, 0)	(11, 1)	(10, 2)	(9, 3)	(8, 4)	(7, 5)	(6, 6)	(5, 7)	(4, 8)	(3, 9)	(2, 10)	(1, 11)	(0, 12)		
	10				(10, 0)	(9, 1)	(8, 2)	(7, 3)	(6, 4)	(5, 5)	(4, 6)	(3, 7)	(2, 8)	(1, 9)	(0, 10)			
	$\vdots$						$\ddots$		$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$							
	2								(2, 0)	(1, 1)	(0, 2)							
0										(0, 0)								
$N_0$	12			(12, 0)	(11, 1)	(10, 2)	(9, 3)	(8, 4)	(7, 5)	(6, 6)	(5, 7)	(4, 8)	(3, 9)	(2, 10)	(1, 11)	(0, 12)		
	10				(10, 0)	(9, 1)	(8, 2)	(7, 3)	(6, 4)	(5, 5)	(4, 6)	(3, 7)	(2, 8)	(1, 9)	(0, 10)			
	$\vdots$						$\ddots$		$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$							
	2								(2, 0)	(1, 1)	(0, 2)							
	0										(0, 0)							

Thus, we have seen that the  $SU(3)$  many-particle shell-model configurations are organized in different way by means of the group  $SO(6)$  through the reduction  $U(6) \supset SO(6) \supset SU(3)$  (more precisely,  $Sp(12, R) \supset U(6) \supset SO(6) \supset SU_{pn}(3) \otimes SO(2)$  for different  $U(6)$  shells), compared to the standard shell-model plethysm reduction  $U(d) \supset SU(3)$ . Each horizontal subset of the  $SU(3)$  multiplets is now characterized by the same value of the  $SO(6)$  irrep  $v = \lambda + \mu$ . In this regard, we want to point out that the  $SU(3)$  content of the  $U(6)$  shells defined by the PNSM dynamical chain  $Sp(12, R) \supset U(6) \supset SU_p(3) \otimes SU_n(3) \supset SU(3)$  considered, e.g., in Refs.[14, 15] will coincide precisely with that generated first by the separate reductions  $U_\alpha(d) \supset SU_\alpha(3)$  ( $\alpha = p, n$ ) with the subsequent coupling of the proton  $(\lambda_p, \mu_p)$  and neutron  $(\lambda_n, \mu_n)$  subsystem representations to the combined proton-neutron  $SU(3)$  irreducible representation  $(\lambda, \mu)$  since in this case the PNSM many-particle  $SU(3)$  configurations are organized by means of the group structure  $SU_p(3) \otimes SU_n(3) \supset SU(3)$  within the  $U(6)$  harmonic oscillator shell. For  $^{20}\text{Ne}$ , the  $U(6)$  irrep  $[8]_6$  according to the underlying algebraic structure  $SU_p(3) \otimes SU_n(3) \supset SU(3)$  produces the three sets: 1)  $(4, 0) \otimes (4, 0) = (8, 0), (6, 1), (4, 2), (2, 3), (0, 4)$ ; 2)  $(4, 0) \otimes (0, 2) = (4, 2), (3, 1), (2, 0)$ ; 3)  $(0, 2) \otimes (0, 2) =$

$(0, 4), (1, 2), (2, 0)$ . The latter are exactly those obtained by means of the plethysm operation given earlier.

The  $SU_{pn}(3)$  states belonging to the  $SO(6)$  representations with  $v > v_0$  within the irreducible collective space of  $Sp(12, R)$  can be obtained from those belonging to the  $SO(6)$  irrep  $v_0$  by acting with the raising symplectic generators  $F^{lm}(\delta, \tau)$  ( $\delta, \tau = a, b$ ). In particular, the  $SU_{pn}(3)$  states with  $v = v_0 + 2$  belonging to the next  $U(6)$  shell are readily obtained by acting on the  $SU_{pn}(3)$  states contained in the  $SO(6)$  representation  $v_0$  with the raising generators  $F^{2m}(a, a)$ ,  $F^{2m}(a, b)$ , and  $F^{2m}(b, b)$  which transform as  $(2, 0)$ ,  $(1, 1)$  and  $(0, 2)$   $SU(3)$  tensors, respectively. The construction of the remaining  $SU(3)$  basis states of the irreducible collective space of  $Sp(12, R)$  then becomes straightforward.

In this way, we have shown that the  $SU_{pn}(3)$  states within the  $SO(6)$  irrep  $v_0$  are Pauli allowed. Then the states generated from them and belonging to  $v > v_0$  are also Pauli allowed. The states with  $v < v_0$  are not particularly interesting, but we will make a comment concerning them. First, note that each of the  $SU(3)$  multiplets in the  $U(d) \supset SU(3)$  set  $(8, 0)$ ,  $(4, 2)$ ,  $(0, 4)$ ,  $(2, 0)$  is contained respectively in the  $SO(6)$  representation with  $v = 8, 6, 4$ , and 2 of the  $U(6)$  irrep  $[8]_6$ , given in Table II by the row with  $N_0$ . The state  $(0, 0)$ , for this spe-

cific example of  $^{20}\text{Ne}$ , is missing in the  $U(d) \supset SU(3)$  set. Thus it is Pauli forbidden. The  $SU_{pn}(3)$  states, which are placed to the right of the Pauli allowed multiplets  $(8,0), (4,2), (2,0)$  at each row that is defined by the corresponding  $SO(6)$  irrep with  $v < v_0$  are Pauli allowed, since they also can be represented as multi-particle-multi-hole excitations built upon the  $(8,0), (4,2)$ , or  $(2,0)$ , correspondingly. The  $SU_{pn}(3)$  states on the left from these multiplets are Pauli forbidden, since they correspond to promoting two oscillator quanta down to filled shells.

Up to now, nothing was said about the spin content. In this respect, we remind that the proper permutational symmetry in the PNSM is ensured by the reduction

$$O(m) \supset S_A \quad (19)$$

of the complementary group  $O(m)$  in the reduction of the many-particle dynamical group  $Sp(12m, R)$  of the whole system, i.e.,  $Sp(12m, R) \supset Sp(12, R) \otimes O(m)$  [14, 15]. The irrep  $\omega$  of  $O(m)$  is determined by the irrep  $\langle \sigma \rangle$  of  $Sp(12, R)$  and vice versa. But, since the antisymmetry should be satisfied separately for protons and neutrons, in order to insure the proper permutational symmetry, we consider further the reduction [14, 15]:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} O(m) & \supset & S_A & \supset & S_Z & \otimes & S_N, \\ \omega & \delta & [f] & \delta_0 & [f_p] & & [f_n] \end{array} \quad (20)$$

where the quantum numbers bellow different groups stand for their irreducible representations,  $\delta$  and  $\delta_0$  are multiplicity indices. Due to the overall antisymmetry, the spin wave functions for the proton and neutron subsystems are characterized by the conjugate representations  $[\tilde{f}_p]$  and  $[\tilde{f}_n]$  [14, 15], respectively. In the standard shell model, using the proton-neutron formalism, the spin content is obtained by considering the reduction  $U_\alpha(2d) \supset U_\alpha(d) \otimes U_{S_\alpha}(2)$  ( $\alpha = p, n$ ). For example, in the case of  $^{20}\text{Ne}$ , the maximal spatial symmetry of two protons in the  $sd$  shell is provided by the  $U_p(6)$  irrep  $[f_p] = [2]$ , which in addition must be compatible with the permutational symmetry of the whole proton subsystem, i.e.  $[f_Z] = [f_0][f_1][f_2] \equiv [2^5]$  with  $f_i$  related to a single-shell configuration  $(i)^{p_i}$ . Then, the conjugate proton spin symmetry is  $[\tilde{f}_p] = [11]$ , from which one obtains  $S_p = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{f}_{1p} - \tilde{f}_{2p}) = 0$ . Similar considerations are valid for the neutron subsystem, i.e.,  $S_n = 0$ . Hence the total spin is also zero. In the PNSM, the  $O(19)$  irrep of  $^{20}\text{Ne}$  is determined by the  $Sp(12, R)$  bandhead  $\sigma = [10, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]_6$ , i.e.  $\omega = (10, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)$ . Using the computer program [21] and the Pauli allowed spatial symmetries of the type  $[444 \dots 422 \dots 2]$  for even-even nuclei, one sees that the  $O(19)$  irrep  $(10, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)$  reduces to the maximal space symmetry  $S_{20}$  irrep  $[444422]$ , which in turn reduces to the  $S_{10} \otimes S_{10}$  irrep  $[2^5][2^5]$ . Then from the proton spatial symmetry  $[f_p] = [2^5]$  one obtains the conjugate spin symmetry  $[\tilde{f}_p] = [55]$ , from which it follows that  $S_p = 0$ . Similarly, one obtains  $S_n = 0$ , and hence,  $S = 0$ . In this way, similarly to the standard shell

model, within the PNSM the spatial symmetry is also accompanied by the corresponding conjugate spin symmetry. We will not consider further the spin content, which can be recovered when this is required. We note too that because the  $Sp(12, R)$  generators are  $O(m)$ -scalar operators, they are also  $S_A$ -scalar operators, and therefore they preserve the permutational symmetry.

We recall that to account for the four Pauli allowed  $SU(3)$  multiplets  $(8,0), (4,2), (0,2), (2,0)$  of the shell  $\mathcal{N} = 2$ , one needs to consider the direct sum of these four irreducible collective many-particle subspaces in the Elliott  $SU(3)$  or  $Sp(6, R)$  shell models. In the microscopic shell-model version of the BM model they result in a single  $Sp(12, R)$  irrep. The Pauli allowed  $SU_{pn}(3)$  states with  $v \geq v_0$  of the  $Sp(12, R)$  irreducible collective space  $0p\text{-}0h$   $[8]_6$  were used in Ref. [24] for the description of the ground and first two beta bands in  $^{20}\text{Ne}$  by using both vertical and horizontal mixing interaction.

## B. Shell-model representations of $^{106}\text{Cd}$

As a second example, we consider the weakly deformed nucleus  $^{106}\text{Cd}$ . For heavy nuclei we use the pseudo- $SU(3)$  scheme [25–27]. Filling pairwise the pseudo-Nilsson levels with protons at observed quadrupole deformation  $\beta \approx 0.17$  [28] one obtains completely filled  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = 2$  pseudo-shell plus 8 protons in the unique-parity level  $g_{9/2}$ . Then the leading proton  $SU_p(3)$  irrep is the scalar irrep  $(0,0)$ , since the particles in the unique-parity levels in the pseudo- $SU(3)$  scheme are considered in seniority zero configuration. Similarly, for neutrons one obtains completely filled  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = 2$  pseudo-shell plus 6 (or 8) neutrons occupying the  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = 3$  pseudo-shell and 2 (or 0) neutrons in the unique-parity level  $h_{11/2}$ . Using the codes [22, 23], one obtains the set of Pauli allowed  $SU(3)$  states:  $(12,0), (9,3), (6,6), (7,4), (8,2), \dots$  or  $(10,4), (12,0), (8,5), (9,3), (10,1), (5,8), (6,6), (7,4), (8,2), \dots$  considering 6 or 8 active neutrons, respectively. Further, the proton and neutron irreps should be coupled to obtain the combined proton-neutron  $SU_{pn}(3)$  representation of the whole nuclear system. But since for the proton subsystem only the scalar representation  $(0,0)$  is admitted, the set of combined proton-neutron multiplets coincides with that of the neutron subsystem since  $(\lambda_p, \mu_p) \otimes (\lambda_n, \mu_n) = (0,0) \otimes (\lambda_n, \mu_n) \equiv (\lambda, \mu)$ .

Alternatively, using the supermultiplet scheme one readily obtains that 6 nucleons fill the last valence  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = 3$  pseudo-shell. Again using the codes [22, 23], one gets the set of  $SU(3)$  states:

$$\begin{aligned} & (14,2), (12,3), (13,1), (10,4), (11,2), (12,0), \dots, \\ & (9,3), (6,6), \dots, (8,2), (5,5), (2,8), \dots, \\ & (7,1), (4,4), (1,7), \dots, (6,0), (3,3), (0,6), \dots, \\ & (2,2), (1,1), (0,0), \dots \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Using the Nilsson model ideas [29–32], we choose the  $SU(3)$  irrep  $(12,0)$ , contained in the two alter-

natively obtained sets of Pauli allowed many-particle  $SU(3)$  states, by means of which we fix the appropriate  $Sp(12, R)$  irreducible representation  $0p-0h$   $[12]_6$  (or  $\langle \sigma \rangle = \langle 27 + m/2, 15 + m/2, \dots, 15 + m/2 \rangle$  using an equivalent notation) which turns to be useful for the description of the low-energy quadrupole collectivity observed in  $^{106}\text{Cd}$ . The relevant irreducible collective space for  $^{106}\text{Cd}$ , spanned by the  $Sp(12, R)$  irreducible representation  $0p-0h$   $[12]_6$  restricted to the fully symmetric  $U(6)$  irreps only and which  $SU_{pn}(3)$  basis states are classified according to the chain (16), is given in Table III. By comparing the set (21) with the  $SU_{pn}(3)$  multiplets of the symplectic bandhead structure for the  $SO(6)$  irreps

$v < v_0 = 12$  given in Table III at the row  $N_0$ , one now sees, in contrast to the case of  $^{20}\text{Ne}$ , that there are many more Pauli allowed states, including the scalar  $SU_{pn}(3)$  irrep  $(0, 0)$ . Recall, that all  $SU_{pn}(3)$  multiplets that are on the right from a given  $(\lambda', \mu')$  multiplet at each  $v < v_0$ , which is matched with a certain  $SU(3)$  irrep of the set (21), are Pauli allowed. In practical applications, however, only the  $SU_{pn}(3)$  states with  $v \geq v_0$  are used in the diagonalization of the model Hamiltonian. Hence, only the Pauli allowed states are retained in the many-particle irreducible collective space of a given  $Sp(12, R)$  shell-model representation.

TABLE IV: Irreducible collective space  $0p-0h$   $[36]_6$  of  $Sp(12, R)$ , relevant to  $^{158}\text{Gd}$ , which  $SU_{pn}(3)$  basis states are classified according to the chain (16).

$N$	$v \setminus \nu$	...	38	36	34	32	...	4	2	0	-2	-4	...	-32	-34	-36	-38	...	
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	...	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	...	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$
$N_0 + 2$	38		(38, 0)	(37, 1)	(36, 2)	(35, 3)	...	(21, 17)	(20, 18)	(19, 19)	(18, 20)	(17, 21)	...	(3, 35)	(2, 36)	(1, 37)	(0, 38)		
	36			(36, 0)	(35, 1)	(34, 2)	...	(20, 16)	(19, 17)	(18, 18)	(17, 19)	(16, 20)	...	(2, 34)	(1, 35)	(0, 36)			
	34				(34, 0)	(33, 1)	...	(19, 15)	(18, 16)	(17, 17)	(16, 18)	(15, 19)	...	(1, 33)	(0, 34)				
	$\vdots$					$\ddots$			$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$			$\ddots$					
	2								(2, 0)	(1, 1)	(0, 2)								
0									(0, 0)										
$N_0$	36			(36, 0)	(35, 1)	(34, 2)	...	(20, 16)	(19, 17)	(18, 18)	(17, 19)	(16, 20)	...	(2, 34)	(1, 35)	(0, 36)			
	34				(34, 0)	(33, 1)	...	(19, 15)	(18, 16)	(17, 17)	(16, 18)	(15, 19)	...	(1, 33)	(0, 34)				
	$\vdots$					$\ddots$			$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$			$\ddots$					
	2								(2, 0)	(1, 1)	(0, 2)								
	0									(0, 0)									

### C. Shell-model representations of $^{158}\text{Gd}$

As a final example, we consider the strongly deformed nucleus  $^{158}\text{Gd}$ . Similarly, using the pseudo- $SU(3)$  scheme [25–27] one obtains the following many-particle configurations: 1)  $(\tilde{2})^{20}(\tilde{3})^8$  plus 6 protons occupying the unique-parity level  $h_{11/2}$ ; and 2)  $(\tilde{2})^{20}(\tilde{3})^{20}(\tilde{4})^6$  plus 6 neutrons occupying the unique-parity level  $i_{13/2}$ . The codes [22, 23] produce the following two sets: a)  $(10, 4), (12, 0), (8, 5), (9, 3), \dots$ ; and b)  $(18, 0), (15, 3), (12, 6), (13, 4), (14, 2), \dots$ . Coupling the leading  $SU_p(3)$  and  $SU_n(3)$  irreps, i.e.,  $(10, 4) \otimes (18, 0)$ , one obtains the leading (most deformed) combined proton-neutron multiplet  $(28, 4)$ . Alternatively, using the many-particle configuration  $(\tilde{2})^{40}(\tilde{3})^{34}$  (plus 24 nucleons occupying unique-parity level  $h_{11/2}$ ) based on the pseudo- $SU(3)$  and supermultiplet schemes, one obtains the following set of  $SU(3)$  states:  $(2, 14), (3, 12), (4, 10), (5, 8), (6, 6), (7, 4), (8, 2), (1, 13), (2, 11), (3, 9), \dots$ , which consists of predominantly

oblate-like  $SU(3)$  multiplets with  $\lambda < \mu$ . The most deformed prolate-like  $SU(3)$  irreducible representations are  $(7, 4)$  and  $(8, 2)$ . The leading  $SU(3)$  multiplet is  $(2, 14)$ , corresponding to oblate-like shape of the combined proton-neutron nuclear system. Hence the supermultiplet scheme of filling the pseudo-Nilsson levels at the observed quadrupole deformation is not appropriate for this nucleus. This is a well known result for nuclei, in which the valence protons and neutrons occupy different shells. Using again the Nilsson model ideas [29–32], we choose the axially-symmetric prolate  $SU(3)$  representation  $(36, 0)$ , which is now a linear combination of Slater determinants. The latter is obtained by coupling axially-symmetric proton and neutron multiplets, i.e.,

$$(18, 0) \otimes (18, 0) = (36, 0), (34, 1), (32, 2), (30, 3), \dots, (2, 17), (0, 18). \quad (22)$$

The relevant irreducible collective space for  $^{158}\text{Gd}$ , spanned by the  $Sp(12, R)$  irreducible representation  $0p-$

Oh [36]<sub>6</sub> restricted to the fully symmetric  $U(6)$  irreps only and which  $SU_{pn}(3)$  basis states are classified according to the chain (16), is given in Table IV. Similar considerations are valid also, concerning the  $SU_{pn}(3)$  multiplets belonging to the  $SO(6)$  irreps with  $v < v_0$  for  $^{158}\text{Gd}$ , not all of which are Pauli permitted. For instance, those which are on the left from the  $SU_{pn}(3)$  multiplets  $(\lambda', \mu')$ , the latter matching the corresponding irreps from the set (22), are not allowed for  $36 < v \leq 18$ , as well as all  $SU_{pn}(3)$  multiplets belonging to  $v \leq 16$ . The Pauli allowed  $SU_{pn}(3)$  multiplets contained in the maximal seniority  $SO(6)$  irrep  $v_0 = 36$  of the symplectic bandhead were used for studying the low-energy quadrupole dynamics in  $^{158}\text{Gd}$  [33] by using a horizontal mixing interaction in the model Hamiltonian.

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

The structure of the irreducible collective space of the  $Sp(12, R)$  shell-model representations with respect to the  $SU(3)$  symmetry of the many-particle nuclear states, which are classified by the dynamical chain  $Sp(12, R) \supset U(6) \supset SO(6) \supset SU_{pn}(3) \otimes SO(2) \supset SO(3)$  within the framework of the PNSM, is considered in detail. This chain has been shown to correspond to a microscopic shell-model version of the BM model, obtained recently by embedding the original BM model into the two-component proton-neutron shell-model theory. The construction of the relevant shell-model representations of the  $Sp(12, R)$  dynamical group has been considered for three nuclei with varying collective properties belonging to different mass regions. A comparison with the standard consideration of the Pauli allowed  $SU(3)$  states within the valence shells using the proton-neutron formalism, or within a single valence shell using the supermultiplet scheme, both exploiting the plethysm operation defined by the reduction  $U(d) \supset SU(3)$  with  $d = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{N} + 1)(\mathcal{N} + 2)$  for any major shell  $\mathcal{N}$ , is given. It was shown that, in the present proton-neutron shell-model approach, the  $SU_{pn}(3)$  many-particle states are organized in a different way into different  $SO(6)$  subshells of the six-dimensional harmonic oscillator with a given  $SO(6)$  irreducible representation  $v = \lambda + \mu$ . This is in contrast to the case of filling the levels of the standard three-dimensional harmonic oscillator and using the plethysm operation. In this way, in contrast to the standard proton-neutron shell-model reduction

$U_\alpha(2d) \supset [U_\alpha(d) \supset SU_\alpha(3)] \otimes [U_{S_\alpha}(2) \supset SU_{S_\alpha}(2)]$ , new structures and organization (coupling schemes) of the many-particle nuclear states appear within the framework of the PNSM with the direct-product dynamical group  $Sp(12, R) \otimes O(m) \subset Sp(12m, R)$ . Different subgroups of  $Sp(12, R)$  enrich the dynamical content of possible collective motions in the two-component proton-neutron nuclear systems, whereas the  $O(m)$  group ensures the proper permutational symmetry.

Further, it was shown that the  $SU_{pn}(3)$  states belonging to the  $SO(6)$  irreps  $v \geq v_0$  are always Pauli permitted, whereas for  $v < v_0$  not all of the  $SU_{pn}(3)$  multiplets are Pauli allowed.  $v_0$  denotes the maximal seniority  $SO(6)$  irreducible representation contained in the symplectic  $Sp(12, R)$  bandhead. The situation, in some respect, resembles that encountered in the algebraic cluster models (see, e.g., Refs. [34, 35]) based on the  $SU(3)$  dynamical group, for which the Pauli allowed  $SU(3)$  states are restricted from below by the minimal number of oscillator quanta  $n_0$ . All states with  $n < n_0$  are Pauli forbidden, and hence they are excluded from the many-particle subspaces of the Hilbert space for the cluster configuration under consideration. For the PNSM which states are classified by the chain (16), we also have a restriction of the many-particle states by the minimal Pauli allowed number of harmonic oscillator quanta  $N_0$ . But due to the repeating substructure for each subsequent  $U(6)$  representation (cf. Tables II–IV), resulting from the properties of the group  $SO(6)$  in the reduction  $U(6) \supset SO(6) \supset SU(3)$ , the restriction with respect to  $N_0$  is not enough. One needs similar restriction with respect to the  $SO(6)$  quantum number, although not all of the corresponding  $SU_{pn}(3)$  states belonging to the  $SO(6)$  irreps  $v < v_0$  are Pauli forbidden. For any case, it is safe to discard them and to consider only the Pauli allowed  $SU_{pn}(3)$  multiplets with  $v \geq v_0$  spanning the many-particle irreducible collective space of the relevant  $Sp(12, R)$  shell-model representation of the concrete nuclear system. The latter are exactly those  $SU_{pn}(3)$  many-particle states that are exploited in the practical applications of the microscopic shell-model version of the BM model.

Finally, it should be pointed out that for the medium-mass and heavy nuclei, one may alternatively use the proxy- $SU(3)$  scheme [36] (see also, e.g., Ref. [37] for a recent review) instead of the pseudo- $SU(3)$  one, as it was done, for instance, for the description of the irrotational-flow quadrupole dynamics in  $^{102}\text{Pd}$  in Ref. [38].

---

[1] J. P. Elliott, Proc. R. Soc. **A** **245**, 128 (1958); **245**, 562 (1958).  
 [2] A. Bohr and B. R. Mottelson, *Nuclear Structure* (W.A. Benjamin Inc., New York, 1975), Vol. II.  
 [3] A. Bohr, Mat. Fys. Medd. Dan. Vid. Selsk. **26** (14) (1952).  
 [4] A. Bohr and B. R. Mottelson, Mat. Fys. Medd. Dan. Vid.

Selsk. **27** (16) (1953).  
 [5] F. Iachello and A. Arima, *The Interacting Boson Model* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987).  
 [6] D. J. Rowe, Rep. Prog. Phys. **48**, 1419 (1985).  
 [7] D. J. Rowe, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **37**, 265 (1996).  
 [8] *Dynamical Groups and Spectrum Generating Algebras* (in 2 Volumes) by A. Bohm, Y. Ne'eman, A.O. Barut and

- others, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988).
- [9] K. L.G. Heyde, *The Nuclear Shell Model* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1994).
- [10] L. Weaver, L. C. Biedenharn, and R. Y. Cusson, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **77**, 250 (1973).
- [11] O. L. Weaver, R. Y. Cusson and L. C. Biedenharn, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **102**, 493 (1976).
- [12] G. Rosensteel and D. J. Rowe, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **38**, 10 (1977).
- [13] A. Georgieva, P. Raychev, and R. Roussev, *J. Phys.* **G8**, 1377 (1982).
- [14] H. G. Ganev, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **50**, 183 (2014).
- [15] H. G. Ganev, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **51**, 84 (2015).
- [16] H. G. Ganev, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **57**, 181 (2021).
- [17] H. G. Ganev, *Chin. Phys. C* **47**, 104101 (2023).
- [18] M. Moshinsky and C. Quesne, *J. Math. Phys.* **11**, 1631 (1970).
- [19] H. G. Ganev, *Chin. Phys. C* **45**, 114101 (2021).
- [20] V. V. Vanagas, *Algebraic methods in nuclear theory* (Mintis, Vilnius, 1971) (in Russian).
- [21] B. G. Wybourne, SCHUR, An interactive program for calculating properties of Lie groups and symmetric functions, *Euromath Bulletin* **2**, 145 (1996); <http://schur.sourceforge.net/>
- [22] J. P. Draayer, Y. Leschber, S. C. Park, R. Lopez, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **56**, 279 (1989).
- [23] D. Langr, T. Dytrych, J. P. Draayer, K. D. Launey, and P. Tvrđik, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **244**, 442 (2019).
- [24] H. G. Ganev, *Chin. Phys. C* **46**, 044105 (2022).
- [25] R. D. Ratna Raju, J. P. Draayer, and K. T. Hecht, *Nucl. Phys. A* **202**, 433 (1973).
- [26] J. P. Draayer and K. J. Weeks, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51**, 1422 (1983).
- [27] J. P. Draayer and K. J. Weeks, *Ann. Phys.* **156**, 41 (1984).
- [28] S. Raman, C. W. Nestor, Jr, and P. Tikkanen, *Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables* **78**, 1 (2001).
- [29] J. Carvalho and D. J. Rowe, *Nucl. Phys. A* **548**, 1 (1992).
- [30] J. Carvalho, P. Park, D. J. Rowe, and G. Rosensteel, *Phys. Lett. B* **119**, 249 (1982).
- [31] P. Park, J. Carvalho, M. Vassanji, D. J. Rowe, and G. Rosensteel, *Nucl. Phys. A* **414**, 93 (1984).
- [32] M. Jarrio, J. L. Wood, and D. J. Rowe, *Nucl. Phys. A* **528**, 409 (1991).
- [33] H. G. Ganev, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* **31**, 2250047 (2022).
- [34] J. Cseh, *Phys. Lett. B* **281**, 173 (1992).
- [35] J. Cseh and G. Levai, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **230**, 165 (1994).
- [36] D. Bonatsos, I. E. Assimakis, N. Minkov, A. Martinou, R. B. Cakirli, R. F. Casten, and K. Blaum, *Phys. Rev. C* **95**, 064325 (2017).
- [37] D. Bonatsos et al., *Symmetry* **15**, 169 (2023).
- [38] H. G. Ganev, *Chin. Phys. C* **48**, 014102 (2024).