

# **Exploring the Impacts of Land Use/Cover Change on Ecosystem Services in Multiple Scenarios --The Case of Sichuan-Chongqing Region, China**

**Keywords:** Ecosystem services , PLUS-InVEST model, Land use/cover change, Sichuan-Chongqing region

---

## **1.Introduction**

Food is the most fundamental material for the survival of mankind and epochmaking for ensuring the safe and stable development of the country and society, which is vital for the all human beings (Zhang & Dong, 2023). However, to improve the quality of the environment in ecosystem, China implemented a policy of Green-for-grain project (GFGP) in 1999(T. Chen et al., 2022; Geng et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2011). The implementation of this policy has changed the way land is utilized. By 2020, a large amount of arable land in the Sichuan and Chongqing regions (SCR) and across China will have been converted to forest and construction land, leading to a significant drop in food production. D'Amour predicted that 25% of the total global arable land loss in 2030 will occur in China(Bren et al., 2017). We need to take action as soon as possible to mitigate the sharp conflict between ecology and food economy, and reduce the effect on the overall ecosystem services. However, most of the ecosystem service research in SCR focuses on ecological indicators(Cui et al., 2022; Li et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2022; Zhong et al., 2023), and few people pay attention to the contradiction

between "ecology-economy", "ecology-food". This is a research gap that cannot be ignored.


Ecosystem services (ES) are the functions of various ecosystems that are relevant for the survival and activities of mankind(Xiao et al., 2023),and ES directly and indirectly benefit human beings, forming a link between nature and human beings(Song & Deng, 2017). The current study concluded that there is a nonlinear relation between different ecosystem services(Li et al., 2022); Gu believed that soil conversation(SC) showed a decreasing trend when crop production(CP) showed an increasing trend(Gu et al., 2022); Zhang et al. concluded that water yield(WY) and CP were negatively correlated (Zhang et al., 2023); Pan et al. found that a high value of WY can promote the improvement of SC function(Pan et al., 2020). Food production and ecological protection are not a matter of extreme trade-offs, but two aspects of a complete ES system that can only be considered comprehensively by focusing on multiple indicators of ecosystem service trade-offs. As a vast grain production and reserve base in western China, The SCR has ecological characteristics such as diverse topography(Feng et al., 2023), rich vegetation types, severe soil erosion, and high ecological sensitivity(Peng et al., 2023). At the same time, its food production and arable land protection issues have a significant impact on ensuring the long-term development of national agriculture(Yin et al., 2023).Therefore, this study will provide a comprehensive assessment of CP, SC, HQ, WY and CS ecosystem services in Sichuan and Chongqing to reconcile ecological conservation with food production.

Land use and land cover change (LULC) gets a large effect on ecosystems(Qiao et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2017), and it is an important way in which human activities affect ecosystems(Wang et al., 2022). Studying the effects of LULC on ES is an indispensable process for analyzing ES changes(Hasan et al., 2020; Wang

et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2022a). To better assess and simulate LULC, researchers have developed a number of studying models, incorporating the cellular automata (CA) model(Tobler, 1979), the FLUS model(Liu et al., 2017), and the PLUS model(Liang et al., 2021; Lin & Peng, 2022; Shi et al., 2021). After validation, the PLUS has been shown to be a superior model to CA and FLUS(Cao et al., 2022), which can produce more accurate simulation results and is more precise for exploring the influence of the behavioral activities of humankind on future land use(Liang et al., 2021; Wang & Zhang et al., 2022).In addition, ecological models are constantly updated and improved, among which the Integrated Valuation of Ecosystem Services and Trade-offs (InVEST) model shows better integration of ecological procedures , good spatial presentation, with high accuracy, and the model rationality is well verified(Fang et al., 2022; Li et al., 2021)

Currently, few studies have moved from a single ecological or food perspective to a coordinated "eco-food" perspective. Considering future ES projections from the perspective of balancing food production and ecological conservation is an important research gap. Therefore, we built a framework to predict future ES from the perspective of balancing food production and ecological conservation. (1). This study will use the InVEST to comprehensively evaluate the historical transformations in crop production (CP), water yield (WY), soil conversation (SC), habitat quality (HQ) and carbon storage (CS) in the study area, and to offer a preliminary understanding of some of the problems that exist in the development of the SCR. (2). On this basis, four future scenarios were constructed based on the two perspectives of "ecology-food" using the PLUS model to predict the future ES distribution. (3). We use spatial autocorrelation analysis to assess and discuss future changes in ES indicators. Through this framework, we seek the ecological-economic synergistic development planning scenario under ecological

protection, which is expected to supply a scientific evidence for national land spatial programme and the delineation of the three lines, as well as to provide a leading and demonstrative role for other cities.




**Fig.1.** Research Framework

## 2. Study Area and data sources

### 2.1 Study Area

The Sichuan-Chongqing area includes Sichuan Province and Chongqing City. The geographical location is 97°21'~110°11'E, 26°03'~34°19'N, the elevation is 77~6945 m (Xu Bin, 2022), and the total area is about 56.84×104 km<sup>2</sup>. The western part of the region is an alpine plateau, and the southwestern part is mountainous with higher terrain in the west; the eastern part is a basin with lower terrain. (Fig. 1). The climate region is significantly varied. Alpine plateau area in western Sichuan features a dry and cold temperate-subarctic climate, with an basic temperature ranging from 2 to 8 °C in a year, average annual precipitation between 600 and 800 millimeters. The mountainous area of southwest Sichuan has an average temperature greater than 10°C in January, annual precipitation of 1000 millimeters, and a rainy season from May to October. The eastern basin has an average annual temperature of 16 to 18 degrees Celsius, an average temperature of 5~8 °C in January, an average temperature in July is 25~29 °C, and an

annual precipitation of 1000 to 1200 millimeters.(Xu Bin, 2022). The soil types are complex and manifold, prevailingly purple soil, red soil, yellow soil, red-brown soil, and alpine meadow soil. (Peng et al., 2019). The plant cover and vegetation consists of subtropical broadleaved evergreen forests, subtropical coniferous forests, subalpine dark coniferous forests, montane sclerophyll evergreen living forests, subalpine shrubs, and subalpine and alpine meadows.



**Fig.2.** Location and topography of Sichuan-Chongqing area

## 2.2 Data Sources

LULC change is a complicated dynamic procedure driven by multitude of factors like natural factors, economic factors, social factors, policy factors, etc. Concerning the relevant research on land use simulation, this article chooses 14 driving factors from three aspects: natural (NDVI, Temperature, slope, etc.), economy (population, GDP), society ( distance to transportation facility, etc.), and divides the land into six types(Liu et al., 2023): arable land (AL), forestland (FL), water area (WA), grassland (GL), construction land (CL), and unused land (UL). On the basis of the PLUS model's demand for input data, all data are unified with the data as the basis for the unified coordinate system, and unified resolution of all data to 100m x 100m. and the number of rows and columns of data is strictly guaranteed.

Table 1. Details of LULC sources and factors affecting land use change.

Data type	Data name	Data sources	
Natural	Land use and land cover	Resource and Environment Science and Data Center (RESDC)( <a href="https://www.resdc.cn/">https://www.resdc.cn/</a> )	
Factors	Digital elevation model	RESDC ( <a href="https://www.resdc.cn/">https://www.resdc.cn/</a> )	
	Slope direction	RESDC ( <a href="https://www.resdc.cn/">https://www.resdc.cn/</a> )	
	Slope	RESDC ( <a href="https://www.resdc.cn/">https://www.resdc.cn/</a> )	
	NDVI	MOD13A1 Version 6 product ( <a href="https://search.earthdata.nasa.gov/">https://search.earthdata.nasa.gov/</a> )	
	Temperature	RESDC ( <a href="https://www.resdc.cn/">https://www.resdc.cn/</a> )	
	Distance to water	Open streetmap (OSM)( <a href="https://www.OSM.org/">https://www.OSM.org/</a> )	
	Distance to natural feature	OSM( <a href="https://www.OSM.org/">https://www.OSM.org/</a> )	
	Social-economical	Distance to districts	OSM( <a href="https://www.OSM.org/">https://www.OSM.org/</a> )
	Factors	Distance to religious feature	OSM( <a href="https://www.OSM.org/">https://www.OSM.org/</a> )
		Distance to point of interest	OSM( <a href="https://www.OSM.org/">https://www.OSM.org/</a> )
	Distance to transportation facility	OSM( <a href="https://www.OSM.org/">https://www.OSM.org/</a> )	

---

Distance to basic service facility	OSM( <a href="https://www.OSM.org/">https://www.OSM.org/</a> )
GDP	RESDC ( <a href="https://www.resdc.cn/">https://www.resdc.cn/</a> )
Population	RESDC ( <a href="https://www.resdc.cn/">https://www.resdc.cn/</a> )

---

### 3.Methodology

Incorporating three main aspects is the proposal presented in this study for the integrated system.

1.Through the study of space and space evolution of five regions from 1990 to 2020, some problems in the regional development of Sichuan and Chongqing are preliminarily understood.

2.The PLUS model is used to simulate and forecast four scenes respectively, and the results are compared.

3.The study used the InVEST model to evaluate 006hanges in five significant ecosystems in 2050, and spatial autocorrelation analysis was used to analyze and discuss.

#### *3.1 INVEST ecosystem services calculation*

##### *3.1.1 Crop Production (CP)*

Referring to the calculation principle of the regression model in the crop production module which can be found in the InVEST model, for ten major crops whose yields were simulated globally by Mueller et al. (2011), crop production regression models can provide yield estimates for a given fertilizer input. These crops include barley, corn, wheat, etc. The model results in simulated and observed crop yields and nutritional value. All required data is based on the InVEST User Guide.

### 3.1.2 Soil Conservation (SC)

Based on the DEM-grid, the Sediment Delivery Ratio (SDR) module is utilized to calculate the erosion ratio of every grid cell by analyzing annual soil erosion of each grid cell.

InVEST's SDR module was used to build the Soil Conservation (SC) module, which is the model underlying the modeling of sedimentation. The potential for soil loss is different.

$$S_i = R_i K_i L S_i \cdot SDR_{bare\_i} \quad (1)$$

$$E_i = R_i K_i L S_i C_i P_i \cdot SDR_i \quad (2)$$

$$SR_i = S_i - E_i \quad (3)$$

According to this equation,  $S_i$  is the probable sediment,  $E_i$  is the real sediment, and  $SR_i$  is the sequestration of a raster unit.  $R_i$  refers to the rainfall scour factor of a raster;  $K_i$  refers to the scour factor of a raster;  $L S_i$  is the slope and slope length of a raster;  $C_i$  is the crop management factor of a raster unit;  $P_i$  is the corresponding practice factor of a raster;  $SDR_{bare\_i}$  is the  $SDR$  of a raster unit. The calculation is based on a paper by Zhao et al. (Zhao et al., 2022b).

### 3.1.3 Habitat quality (HQ)

InVEST's habitat quality model relates the source of threat in each area to the level of danger from the outside world to obtain the quality of the habitat and thus assess it (Aneseyee et al., 2020), and its habitat deterioration is carried out by the following equation.



$$D_{xj} = \sum_{r=1}^r \sum_{y=1}^y \left( \frac{\omega_r}{\sum_{r=1}^n \omega_r} \right) \times r_y \times i_{rxy} \times \beta_x \times S_{jr} \quad (4)$$

$$i_{rxy} = \begin{cases} 1 - \left( \frac{d_{xy}}{d_{rmax}} \right) \\ \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{2.99}{d_{rmax}} \right) d_{xy} \right] \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

According to this equation,  $D_{xj}$  is the habitat degradation of the x raster in habitat type j; r is the number of sources of danger; y is the raster in the sources of danger r;  $\omega_r$  in the source of danger;  $r_y$  is the stress of grid y,  $\beta_x$  is the disturbance of habitat.  $S_{jr}$  reflects the degree of influence of each ecological environment on various risk factors;  $i_{rxy}$  is the effect of the sources of danger R in grid Y on grid X;  $d_{xy}$  is the spacing between the grid Y and grid X;  $d_{rmax}$  is the largest risk factor. This leads to a specific formula for habitat quality.

$$Q_{xj} = H_j \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{D_{xj}^z}{D_{xj}^z + k^z} \right) \right] \quad (6)$$

Under this equation,  $H_j$  is the extent of habitat adaptation of species J;  $z$  is a normalization constant of general 2.5; and  $k$  is the degree of semi-saturation, which is usually the extent of semi-saturation of the maximum habitat.

#### 3.1.4 Water Yeild (WY)

At a given period was forecasted using the yield (WY) module in the InVEST model. It is considered from the viewpoint of water balance, i.e., regional water supply reduces evaporation losses in each area (Redhead et al., 2016). The method estimates the hydropower production in question. The evaporation of water from rainfall is calculated to determine the flow rate of each pixel, and then the flow rates of each sub-

watershed are combined and then averaged. Second, based on the amount of surface water in other uses, the amount of available surface water can be calculated. Third, the amount of water in the power station impoundment and the energy consumed during the life cycle of the impoundment are estimated. WY per year refers to the natural vegetation blocking effect on the ground. The equation looks like this(Zhao et al., 2022a):

$$WR_{K,x,y}=A \times P_{x,y} \times C \times R_{K,x,y} \quad (7)$$

According to this equation.  $WR_{K,x,y}$  are the water flows generated by the grid cells (x, y) in LULC. A is the actual zone of every grid unit.  $P_{x,y}$  is the annual precipitation in this model. The experiment used annual rainfall from 1990 to 2020. C is the rainfall rate. on the surface.  $R_{K,x,y}$  are the percentages of surface flow intercepted by the raster units (x, y) of LULC type K.

### 3.1.5 Carbon Storage (CS)

Carbon reservoir module uses InVEST's carbon storage cells, which project the stored carbon storage concentrations onto LULC grids, which contain forests, agricultural lands and water sources. This model combines the results of the calculations into a grid that stores the storage and values, and also includes the total quantities.

The carbon stock cell divides the resources of the entire into main categories: biogenic carbon on the surface (carbon obtained from all plant matter growing on the surface), biogenic carbon below ground (carbon present in plant roots), Carbon in the soil, and carbon dioxide from dead branches, leaves, inverted or inverted trees. This model is based on several aspects.

$$C_{total}=C_{above}+C_{below}+C_{soil}+C_{dead} \quad (8)$$

In this formula:  $C_{total}$  indicates the total carbon accumulation;  $C_{above}$  the accumulation of plants in surface soil;  $C_{below}$  the subsurface accumulation of plants;  $C_{soil}$  the typical carbon accumulation; and  $C_{dead}$  the dead organic carbon storage.

### *3.2 Multi-scenario setting and PLUS land use prediction model*

#### *3.2.1 Multi-scenario setting*

(1) Inertial development scenario (S1): This scenario is based on social and economic drivers from 1990 to 2020, regardless of government policies, and uses the PLUS model to imitate land use change under natural development, which is the base for other scenario setting.

(2) Arable Land Conservation Program (S2): Under the current global food security situation, the conservation of AL is the key to achieving sustainable development in the world. Ensure the quality and quantity of AL in the future, this paper establishes the mobility possibility matrix of AL under this scenario based on inertia development and with reference to relevant studies, achieve the strict protection of AL.

(3) Ecological priority scenario (S3): Enhancing diversity, stability and sustainability is necessary for the harmonious development of human and nature (L. Chen et al., 2022). In this paper, the conservation of land types such as FL, GL and WA are emphasized from the current ecological requirements of China. This project is based on the traditional development model, and the high ecological interests are closely regulated.

(4) Ecology-Arable Land Coordinated Development Scenario (S4): By analyzing three different simulation scenarios, the principle of protecting farmland mainly, taking

into account ecology, the principle of combining land use with land utilization is put forward to achieve the best effect of LULC.

### *3.2.2 Principle of PLUS model*


PLUS is a raster-based analysis of different types for sites to more accurately reflect future site changes. The PLUS model includes the Land Expansion Analysis Strategy (LEAS) model and the CA model based on multi-type Random Seeds (CARS)(LIN Tong, 2022) . The LEAS model is able to collect and sample the site development status in two phases and the expansion effect of each region, and derive the affect of each factor on development among regions, and thus the development potential of various sites in the region. The CARS model associates random seedling creation and threshold reduction methods to determine whether the overall number of land use can satisfy the development potential conditions in terms of adaptation coefficient, neighborhood avoidance effect, and development potential on a larger scale. future needs. The available literature reveals that the PLUS model is able to commendably reflect different regional use conditions, its accuracy is more accurate than different models such as FLUS (Wang & Huang et al., 2022), and has more realistic implications to better support planning strategies.

### *3.2.3 LULC simulation data*

According to the PLUS model, 14 selected driving factors were input, and the LULC in 1990 ~ 2020 was studied by LEAS model, and the land use in 30 years was obtained. On this basis, Markov chain is applied to anticipate the natural development in 2050, and finally, CARS model is used to simulate the LULC change in 2050.

(1) Natural factors, elevation and others are the significant factors impacting the change of land use; economic factors: GDP and other factors; social factors: natural

land, water resources, administrative units, transportation sites, public service agencies, religious land and public land.



**Fig.3.** Driving factors affecting LULC

(2) Neighborhood weight setting:

The neighborhood weight was determined according to the proportion and the research experience of predecessors in this area. The final values are as follows (Table 1).

Table 2. Neighborhood factor parameters

The type of land use	A	B	C	D	E	F
The neighborhood factor parameter	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.9	0.8

A-AL; B-FL; C-GL; D-WA; E-CL; F-UL

(3) Scenario setting:

In this paper, we set up a conversion cost matrix based on four development scenarios (Table 2) for the simulation prediction of land use.

Table 3. Scenario setting

<b>Scenario Design</b>	<b>Scenario description</b>
Natural development scenarios	The future land change pattern is consistent with the change from 1990 to 2020, and the demand for land is simulated using Markov model, and all lands are set to be interconvertible.
Arable land conservation scenarios	Set AL is strictly prohibited to transfer out, other land types can be converted to AL except for CL.
Ecological Priority Scenario	Set up FL and WA is strictly forbidden to be transferred out, GL can only be transferred out to FL and WA, and AL can be converted to any land type.
Ecology - Arable Land Harmonization Scenario	The land types are ranked according to their ecological benefits: FL, GL, WA, UL, AL, and CL (Li et al., 2022c); it is set that AL and FL can only be converted to each other, and land types with lower ecological benefits than FL can be converted to AL.

### *3.3 Local space autocorrelation analysis*

Spatial autocorrelation (SA) analysis is one of the commonly used analysis methods in spatial statistics, which mainly measures the spatial correlation according to the location of features and feature values. The regional structure of each control parameter is given. At the same time, SA can also be used as an essential index to detect the relationship between a specific attribute and its adjacent spatial point. Negative relationships are just the opposite. Global autocorrelation (GA) and local

autocorrelation (LA) are two directions of analysis of SA. In this method, LA is used to express the similarity between regions, to reflect the overall inclination of regions (including orientation and size), and to indicate the consistency of different spaces and the spatial dependence between different locations. The importance of the Moran I index is assessed by the values of the Moran I index, Z and p, under a range of characteristics and related attributes, usually local Moran I values. For the local spatial autocorrelation analysis, the Moran scatter plot mainly reflects the visualization degree of the spatial association mode of the indicator is divided into four types according to four quadrants, and the positive correlation type is represented by the HH type of the first quadrant (that is, the spatial unit with an attribute value above the mean is surrounded by the field with the attribute value above the mean) and the LL type of the third quadrant; The negative correlation type is represented by quadrants 2 and 4, which are represented by HL and LH types, respectively.

This paper divides Sichuan and Chongqing into 10 km × 10 km grids and analyzes the regional agglomeration of Sichuan and Chongqing by using Lisa in Li and other 2016 GIS. Its basic algorithm is like this.

$$MI_i = \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})}{s^2} \sum_j \omega_{ij} (x_j - \bar{x}) \quad (9)$$

This equation,  $MI_i$  [1, 1]. Regularity represents the accumulation of similarity near a unit, while negation represents the accumulation of different spaces, indicating that there is no spatial correlation between the area and the adjacent area.

## 4. Results

### 4.1 LULC and ES changes from 1990 to 2020

#### 4.1.1 LULC change from 1990 to 2020

In terms of quantitative changes, from 1990 to 2020 (Table 3), the proportion of AL decreased the most, and the proportion of FL increased the most among all types of LULC in Sichuan and Chongqing. The region of AL and GL decreased significantly, the proportion of AL decreased from 28.10% to 25.77%, and the proportion of GL decreased from 29.98% to 28.20%, decreasing by 1,783,800 ha and 1,360,500 ha respectively. The proportion of FL increased from 40.16% to 43.10%, the proportion of WA increased from 0.82% to 0.93%, the proportion of CL increased from 0.28% to 1.08%, and the proportion of UL increased from 0.66% to 0.91%, increasing by 2,254,900 ha, 843,000 ha, 614,900 ha, and 190,300 ha, respectively.

Table 4. Share and rate of change of different types of LULC in 1990 and 2020


The type of land use	1990	2020	Change ratio
<b>A</b>	28.10%	25.77%	-2.33%
<b>B</b>	40.16%	43.10%	2.94%
<b>C</b>	29.98%	28.20%	-1.77%
<b>D</b>	0.82%	0.93%	0.11%
<b>E</b>	0.28%	1.08%	0.80%
<b>F</b>	0.66%	0.91%	0.25%

A-AL; B-FL; C-GL; D-WA; E-CL; F-UL

From the spatial layout (Figure 4), by 2020, the size of site type in Sichuan and Chongqing will be FL > GL > AL > CL > WA > UL. CL is primarily expanded in the AL area, which is clustered and spread over the Chengdu-Chongqing urban agglomeration within the Sichuan basin, mainly in 16 cities such as Chongqing and Chengdu; the FL is distributed around the Sichuan basin, mainly in Liangshan Yi




Autonomous Prefecture and Ya'an City; the GL is chiefly distributed plateau area of high mountains in the northwestern Sichuan-Chongqing region, specifically in Ganzi region. The primary reasons for rapid urban development and population inflow are the vast urban land expansion in Chengdu and Chongqing throughout the previous three decades, leading to the depletion of vast amounts of AL. Additionally, the initiative of "returning farmland to the forest" has accelerated the reduce in AL and the increase in FL. This has resulted in the embezzlement of a good deal of AL in these cities.



**Fig.4.** LULC change from 1990-2020 (the left graph shows the distribution of land types for four years; the right graph shows the expansion distribution of each land type from 1990 to 2020)


#### 4.1.2 Dimensional and time variation in ESs from 1990 to 2020

Regarding quantity change (Figure 5), CS, SC, and HQ were constantly on the rise, with an equilibrium growth rate of 1.68%, 0.08%, and 0.46%, respectively. CP gradually decreased, with an average decreasing rate of 2.75%. WY decreased first and then increased, with a decrease rate of 0.11% averagely.



**Fig.5.** A histogram of changes in the number of ESs between 1990 and 2020

From the spatial layout (Figure 6), the high-value CS, SC, and HQ areas are concentrated in the Sichuan basin's FL areas. The FL areas have the most significant contribution to ecology, mainly in Aba, Ya'an City, Liangshan Yi Autonomous Prefecture, and some areas in Guangyuan City and Chongqing City, and the expansion trend of CS, SC, and HQ in these areas gradually increases due to the expansion of FL; the low-value regions are principally located in the Sichuan basin. And the median value of CS and HQ is located in the GL area, mainly in Ganzi and Aba, and the change over time is small, while the SC in most areas is at a low-value. The high-value areas of WY is situated in the eastern part of the region, mainly in the CL and AL in Meishan, Leshan, and the eastern area of Chongqing; the middle value is principally in the AL in the urban agglomeration of Chengdu-Chongqing and the northern area of Aba; the low-value is principally in the FL and GL areas in the high mountain plateau in the west; the distinction in WY is related to the land type and the topography of the Sichuan and Chongqing regions. CL and FL expansion in Chengdu, Meishan and Neijiang has led to a decrease in plowland in these areas. The trend of CP shrinkage is more pronounced in these areas. Low-value areas are principally located in the forest-field interval in the southeast of the AL area.



**Fig. 6.** Spatial and temporal changes in ESs from 1990-2020 (left panel shows the spatial distribution of ESs for four years; right panel shows the spatial distribution of changes in ESs from 1990-2020)

## 4.2 Impacts of LULC change on ESs under different future scenarios in 2050

### 4.2.1 LULC change under different future scenarios in 2050


In terms of quantitative changes (Table 4), CL was enlarged in all three scenarios, except for the S4 scenario, with 0.57%, 0.21%, and 0.37% expansion, respectively; the maximum ratio of CL is 1.65% in the S1 scenario, which is due to the development of urbanization in the realistic scenario. In scenarios S2 and S4, there is a slight expansion of the AL area, 0.74% and 0.08%, respectively; in scenarios S1 and S3, the decreased AL area is more significant, 1.72% and 2.93%, respectively. Since S2 is the scenario of arable land protection, the acreage of the AL area is the biggest, 26.52%. Under all four scenarios, the FL area expanded by 2.71%, 0.29%, 4.22%, and 1.41%, respectively; Under the S3 scenario, which aims at ecological conservation, the maximum acreage of FL area is 47.32%. Under all four scenarios, the GL area was reduced by 1.70%, 1.29%, 1.92%, and 1.59%, respectively. Out of the S1 scenario, the WA increased in the other three scenarios by 0.08%, 0.30%, and 0.16%, respectively; under the S3, the most significant proportion of WA was 1.23%.

Table 5. LULC volume changes in 2050 for the four scenarios (Rate of change for 2050 versus 2020)

Scenario	S1			S2			S3			S4		
	Land area	Proportion	Change rate	Land area	Proportion	Change rate	Land area	Proportion	Change rate	Land area	Proportion	Change rate
A	18439673	24.05%	-1.72%	20331563	26.52%	0.74%	17512408	22.84%	-2.93%	19822000	25.85%	0.08%
B	35128200	45.81%	2.71%	33272795	43.39%	0.29%	36280739	47.32%	4.22%	34132000	44.51%	1.41%
C	20323993	26.51%	-1.70%	20639191	26.92%	-1.29%	20153993	26.28%	-1.92%	20405490	26.61%	-1.59%
D	689675	0.90%	-0.03%	781000	1.02%	0.08%	945083	1.23%	0.30%	841732	1.10%	0.16%
E	1266092	1.65%	0.57%	993183	1.30%	0.21%	1116096	1.46%	0.37%	831016	1.08%	0.00%
F	830099	1.08%	0.17%	660000	0.86%	-0.05%	669413	0.87%	-0.04%	645494	0.84%	-0.07%

A-AL; B-FL; C-GL; D-WA; E-CL; F-UL

Regarding spatial layout (Figure 7), under scenarios S1 and S3, CL encroaches heavily on AL within the Chengdu-Chongqing urban agglomeration in the Sichuan Basin, achieving a large area of expansion; under scenarios S2 and S4, CL extension is not apparent. AL expands significantly in S2 and S4 scenarios in the Sichuan Basin, mainly on unused and ecological land. S2 also includes expansion in FL surrounding the basin. S4 focuses on protecting original high-quality contiguous land use. In scenarios S1, S3 and S4, there is a significant expansion of FL around the Sichuan Basin, mainly encroaching on UL and GL, which follows historical land use patterns and ecological priority scenario. The WA of the Yalong and Dadu Rivers in the western alpine plateau grass area increases significantly in scenarios S2 and S4. The UL around the WA also increases significantly, and these unused lands may be swamps around the rivers, etc. In scenario S3, because the AL area can be converted to ecological land, the Yangtze, Min, and Pei Rivers in the AL area of the Sichuan Basin expand significant




**Fig.7.** LULC change in 2050 under four scenarios (the left figure presents the land types distribution in the four cases; the right figure presents the distribution of expansion of each land type under each scenario with respect to 2020)


#### 4.2.2 ESs changes under different future scenarios in 2050

The spatial distribution of ecosystem services in 2050 under the four scenarios is shown in Figure 8, Figure A, and the change curve of ecosystem services in 2050 under the

four scenarios is shown in Figure B. The change curve of ecosystem services in 2050 under the four scenarios is shown in Table 5. From Table 5, the numerical changes in the conversion rate of ecosystem services from 2020 to 2050 can be obtained. The predicted results of various scenarios show that the trend of all ecosystem service indicators in the S4 scenario is between S2 and S3. 4.22% expansion of FL in S3, and the expansion of LULC for ecology increases SC, HQ, and CS by 0.38%, 3.41%, and 7.44%, respectively. The expansion of forestlands and grasslands will increase plant transpiration to some extent, which will be shown in changes in ecosystem services as a reduction in WY. Scenarios S2 and S4 focus on conserving AL. These two scenarios result in an increase in AL area and an increase CP by 2.25% and 0.35% respectively, compared to S1. This reverses the trend of decreasing food production under S1. This highlights the significance of AL conservation in addressing the global food crisis. However, focusing on AL conservation will make in S2 scenario SC and WY decrease by 0.17% and 0.21%, respectively. Comprehensive analysis shows that the change of indicators under the S4 scenario is more balanced and meets the requirements of long-term development in the setting of the global food crisis.



(a)



(b)

**Fig.8.** Spatial distribution of ecosystem services in 2050 under four scenarios (a) and ecosystem service change curves (b)



Table 6 Conversion rate of ecosystem services 2020-2050

		2020	2050	conversion rate
	CP	60.86	50.78	-16.56%
	WY	1066.45	1071.13	0.44%
S1	SC	5259988.72	5270817.00	0.21%
	HQ	456656441.4	462703846.2	1.32%
	CS	16069	16817	4.65%
	CP	60.86	62.23	2.25%
	WY	1066.45	1064.26	-0.21%
S2	SC	5259988.72	5251030.68	-0.17%
	HQ	456656441.4	456988032.5	0.07%
	CS	16069	16124	0.34%
	CP	60.86	53.91	-11.42%
	WY	1066.45	1052.84	-1.28%
S3	SC	5259988.72	5280069.73	0.38%


---

	HQ	456656441.4	472234181.7	3.41%
	CS	16069	17265	7.44%
	CP	60.86	61.07	0.35%
	WY	1066.45	1064.08	-0.22%
S4	SC	5259988.72	5260143.14	0.00%
	HQ	456656441.4	466395590.9	2.13%
	CS	16069	16450	2.37%

---

#### *4.3 Spatial autocorrelation analysis of ESs in 2050*

The Moran's I index reflected the spatial distribution of high or low ES functions in the Sichuan-Chongqing region. Fig.9 shows a local auto-correlation of the future ESs.



**Fig. 9.** Local spatial auto-correlation analysis of ecosystem services under four scenarios in 2050

The relative distribution of the areas with high-high autocorrelations (HH) and the areas with low-low autocorrelations (LL) in each scene shows a certain regularity. In the area of concentrated distribution of low-altitude administrative regions in the southeast, SC, HQ, and CS all show concentrated distribution of LL, and CP shows a concentrated distribution of HH. In the sparse distribution area of high-altitude administrative regions in the northwest, LL is concentrated under CP and WY, and HH is concentrated under HQ and CS. Meanwhile, HQ and SC show high similarity in HH, LL, the areas with low-low autocorrelations (HL), and the areas with low-high

autocorrelations (LH), and SC and WY show some complementary distributions in the northwest region.

For CP, HH and LL mainly thrives in northern and southern Sichuan-Chongqing areas. In the southern region, LL has the lowest value under the S3 scenario; in the northern region, HH has the highest value under the S4 scenario. Regarding SC, HH, LL, and in the S2 scenario, LH anomalies can be found dispersed throughout both central and marginal regions. The LL and HH anomalies in HQ are concentrated in the central part. In this region, the highest value of the S4 distribution and the lowest value of the S3 distribution was found for the LL distribution. For the HH distribution, the S4 distribution is the lowest, and the S3 distribution is the highest. Regarding FL, the HH anomalies are scattered, and they all show a trend in which the HH values of S4 are between those of S2 and S3. Regarding CS, the pattern exhibited between scenarios in the southeast direction was consistent with the HQ. At the same time, LL anomalies were observed on the northern side, where the LL values of S3 were higher than those of S4.

## **5. Discussion**

### *5.1 Impact of LULC conversion of Ess*

Based on Figure 4, the land use types in SCR have more significantly conversed during the last three decades, which is caused by the combined effect of many factors, such as urban development, changes in environmental conditions, and policy adjustments.

The impact of land use changes on the ecosystem performs much better than that of other natural elements (Li et al., 2003). The low-cost areas in CS, HQ, and SC are all located in the arable land area of the Sichuan Basin. the same conclusions have been

drawn by Hu(A. Hu et al., 2022) and Bai(Bai et al., 2018). On this distribution of ecosystem services in this region. This also indicates that forestlands drive more ecosystem services in Sichuan and Chongqing, like SC, HQ and CS, which is in harmony with the conclusions obtained from the research conducted in Sichuan Province. The high-cost area in CP is situated in the cultivated area of the Sichuan Basin. Moreover, the high-cost region governed by cultivated and construction land of WY is mainly positioned in the Sichuan Basin area.

In contrast, the low-cost region is mainly positioned in the FL and GL territories in the western alpine plateau region. This is in harmony with the research results of Bai et al. (Bai et al., 2017) on water containment in Sichuan. Additionally, the annual water supply of CL and AL is greater than that of FL and GL, which is the same finding as Hu et al. (W. Hu et al., 2022).

## 5.2. Scenario-driven Ess

In different development contexts and policy orientations, ecosystem services are bound to change in time and space, with Sichuan Province taking the lead in "returning farmland to the forest" as a pilot project in 1999, mainly leading to a decrease in AL and CP from 2000 onwards. Green development focuses on energy conservation, emission reduction, reforestation and combating desertification. These measures have led to increased carbon storage and improved soil and habitat quality. This contributes to ecological restoration and sustainable development. However, in the absence of other policy influences, the extreme ecological priority can make the expansion of representative ecosystems like forests, grasslands, and water encroach on arable land and thus lead to lower food production. Therefore, to cope with the global food crisis, we should actively protect arable land and keep the bottom line of "returning farmland to the forest" to ensure sustainable development. In the S4 scenario set up in this paper,

a certain balance between arable land conservation and ecological development is achieved, and the balanced development of all ecosystem services is better than the other three scenarios.

### *5.3 Limitations and future prospects*

However, this study has some shortcomings, and future studies need further consider the mechanisms of action and adaptation strategies of climate change., population growth and other factors on the impacts of LULC and its ESs, In addition, future studies should also examine the synergistic or trade-off relationships between different ecosystem services, as well as the mechanisms of action and adaptation strategies of climate change, in order to enhance the accuracy and applicability of the model.

## **6. Conclusion**

Our study builds a framework to assess the historical changes of ESs in the SCR from the perspective of balancing food production and ecological conservation, and to anticipate the SCR future ESs. The subsequent conclusions are derived:

(1) The analysis of historical LULC showed that during the 30-year period from 1990 to 2020, the proportion of AL in each type of LULC in the SCR decreased the most, from 28.10% to 25.77%. The proportion of FL increased the most, from 40.16% to 43.10%. By analyzing the historical data of ecosystem services, CS, SC, and HQ all showed an increasing trend, with an average growth rate of 1.68%, 0.08%, and 0.46%, respectively. WY showed a decreasing and then increasing trend, with an average decrease of 0.11%. CP decreased more significantly with an average decrease of 2.75%. In the case of a large expansion of ecological land, the decline in food production is much larger than the rise in ecological indicators.

(2) By calculating the ESs under different future scenarios in 2050, under S1 scenario, CP shows a decreasing trend, SC, HQ, CS and WY show an increasing trend, and CP is negatively correlated with other ecological indicators. In the S4 scenario, the decreasing trend of CP is alleviated and even slightly increased, and the increasing trend of SC, HQ, and other ES is still maintained, and the negative correlation between CP and other ecological indicators is alleviated.

(3) The projections under different scenarios showed that the ecosystem services developed in a balanced manner in the S4 scenario, which was better than the other three scenarios. In the three scenarios in 2050, CP production in S4 is 4.29 million tons higher compared to S1; and WY, SC, CS and HQ perform better in S4 compared to S2. Therefore, we argue that policy leadership that considers control can guarantee food and ecological harmonization

In summary, this experiment has achieved some results. With the strengthening of the cognizance of ecological protection and the accelerated progress of urbanization, the contradiction between arable land and ecological land use will remain prominent in the future. Therefore, this research framework helps us to seek the ecological and economic synergistic development planning program under ecological protection, and provide more scientific suggestions for the implementation of policies such as land spatial planning and three-line delineation.

Table 7. List of abbreviations

Original text	Abridge
Green-for Grain Program	GFGP
Land use/cover change	LULC

---

Sichuan-Chongqing Region	SCR
Ecosystem services	ESs
crop production	CP
soil conservation	SC
habitat quality	HQ
water yield	WY
carbon storage	CS
high-high	HH
Low-low	LL
Chengdu-Chongqing economic circle	CCEC
woodland	WL
grassland	GL
arable land	AL
construction land	CL
water area	WA
unused land	UL
Spatial autocorrelation	SA

---

## References:

- Aneseyee, A. B., Noszczyk, T., Soromessa, T., & Elias, E. (2020). The InVEST Habitat Quality Model Associated with Land Use/Cover Changes: A Qualitative Case Study of the Winike Watershed in the Omo-Gibe Basin, Southwest Ethiopia. *Remote Sensing*, 12(7), 1103.
- Bai, S., Jing, L., Feng, W., & Niu, X. (2018). Study on the Method of Delineating the Ecological Protection Red Line for Soil and Water Conservation in Sichuan Province . *Ecology and Environmental Sciences*, 27(04), 699-705. <http://doi.org/10.16258/j.cnki.1674-5906.2018.04.015>
- Bai, S., Jing, L., Li, H., & Feng, W. (2017). Research on the method of ecological red line delineation



- based on water-covering function . *Ecology and Environmental Sciences*, 26(10), 1665-1670.  
<http://doi.org/10.16258/j.cnki.1674-5906.2017.10.004>
- Bren, D. C., Reitsma, F., Baiocchi, G., Barthel, S., Güneralp, B., Erb, K. H., Haberl, H., Creutzig, F., & Seto, K. C. (2017). Future urban land expansion and implications for global croplands. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 114(34), 8939-8944. <http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1606036114>
- Cao, X., Liu, Z., Li, S., & Gao, Z. (2022). Integrating the Ecological Security Pattern and the PLUS Model to Assess the Effects of Regional Ecological Restoration: A Case Study of Hefei City, Anhui Province *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* (19, pp.).
- Chen, L., Cai, H., Zhang, T., Zhang, X., & Zeng, H. (2022). A multi-scenario simulation analysis of land use in Rao River Basin based on Markov-FLUS model . *Acta Ecologica Sinica*, 42(10), 3947-3958.
- Chen, T., Peng, L., & Wang, Q. (2022). Response and multisenario simulation of trade-offs/synergies among ecosystem services to the Grain to Green Program: a case study of the Chengdu-Chongqing urban agglomeration, China. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29(22), 33572-33586. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-18336-y>
- Cui, Y., Lan, H., Zhang, X., & He, Y. (2022). Confirmatory Analysis of the Effect of Socioeconomic Factors on Ecosystem Service Value Variation Based on the Structural Equation Model&mdash;A Case Study in Sichuan Province *Land* (11, pp.).
- Fang, Z., Ding, T., Chen, J., Xue, S., Zhou, Q., Wang, Y., Wang, Y., Huang, Z., & Yang, S. (2022). Impacts of land use/land cover changes on ecosystem services in ecologically fragile regions. *Science of the Total Environment*, 831, 154967. <http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.154967>
- Feng, H., Kang, P., Deng, Z., Zhao, W., Hua, M., Zhu, X., & Wang, Z. (2023). The impact of climate change and human activities to vegetation carbon sequestration variation in Sichuan and Chongqing. *Environmental Research*, 238, 117138.
- Geng, Q., Ren, Q., Yan, H., Li, L., Zhao, X., Mu, X., Wu, P., & Yu, Q. (2019). Target areas for harmonizing the Grain for Green Programme in China's Loess Plateau. *Land Degradation and Development*, 31, 325-333. <http://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.3451>
- Gu, Y., Lin, N., Ye, X., Xu, M., Qiu, J., Zhang, K., Zou, C., Qiao, X., & Xu, D. (2022). Assessing the impacts of human disturbance on ecosystem services under multiple scenarios in karst areas of China: Insight from ecological conservation red lines effectiveness. *Ecological Indicators*, 142, 109202.
- Hasan, S. S., Zhen, L., Miah, M. G., Ahamed, T., & Samie, A. (2020). Impact of land use change on ecosystem services: A review. *Environmental Development*, 34, 100527. <http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2020.100527>
- Hu, A., Wu, Y., Huang, Y., Liu, Z., Zhou, X., Wang, Y., Liu, J., & Gan, X. (2022). Analysis of supply, demand and drivers of ecosystem services in regions with high spatial heterogeneity: the case of Sichuan Province . *Resources and Environment in the Yangtze Basin*, 31(05), 1062-1076.
- Hu, W., Yang, R., Jia, G., Yin, Z., Li, Y., Shen, S., & Li, G. (2022). Response of water production function to land use change in the Yangtze River basin and its drivers . *Acta Ecologica Sinica*, 42(17), 7011-7027.
- Li, M., Zhou, Y., Xiao, P., Tian, Y., Huang, H., & Xiao, L. (2021). Evolution of Habitat Quality and Its Topographic Gradient Effect in Northwest Hubei Province from 2000 to 2020 Based on the InVEST Model *Land* (10, pp.).
- Li, S., Yu, D., Huang, T., & Hao, R. (2022). Identifying priority conservation areas based on

- comprehensive consideration of biodiversity and ecosystem services in the Three-River Headwaters Region, China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 359, 132082.
- Li, X., Fang, J., & Piao, S. (2003). Land use changes and their ecological effects in the lower reaches of the Yangtze River over the last decade. *Acta Geographica Sinica*(05), 659-667.
- Li, Z., Yang, F., Zhong, J., & Zhao, J. (2023). Self-Organizing Feature Zoning and Multiple Hotspots Identification of Ecosystem Services: How to Promote Ecological Refined Management of Chengdu-Chongqing Urban Agglomeration. *Journal of Urban Planning and Development*, 149(1), 4022049. [http://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)UP.1943-5444.0000900](http://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)UP.1943-5444.0000900)
- Liang, X., Guan, Q., Clarke, K. C., Liu, S., Wang, B., & Yao, Y. (2021). Understanding the drivers of sustainable land expansion using a patch-generating land use simulation (PLUS) model: A case study in Wuhan, China. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, 85, 101569.
- LIN Tong, Y. M. W. D. (2022). Spatial Correlation and Prediction of Carbon Stocks Based on the InVEST-PLUS Model--Taking Guangdong Province as an Example. *China Environmental Science*, 42(10), 4827-4839. <http://doi.org/10.19674/j.cnki.issn1000-6923.2022.0172>
- Lin, Z., & Peng, S. (2022). Comparison of multimodel simulations of land use and land cover change considering integrated constraints - A case study of the Fuxian Lake basin. *Ecological Indicators*, 142, 109254.
- Liu, X., Liang, X., Li, X., Xu, X., Ou, J., Chen, Y., Li, S., Wang, S., & Pei, F. (2017). A future land use simulation model (FLUS) for simulating multiple land use scenarios by coupling human and natural effects. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 168, 94-116. <http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2017.09.019>
- Liu, Y., Jing, Y., & Han, S. (2023). Multi-scenario simulation of land use/land cover change and water yield evaluation coupled with the GMOP-PLUS-InVEST model: A case study of the Nansi Lake Basin in China. *Ecological Indicators*, 155, 110926. <http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2023.110926>
- Pan, J., Wei, S., & Li, Z. (2020). Spatiotemporal pattern of trade-offs and synergistic relationships among multiple ecosystem services in an arid inland river basin in NW China. *Ecological Indicators*, 114, 106345.
- Peng, H., Zhang, X., Ren, W., & He, J. (2023). Spatial pattern and driving factors of cropland ecosystem services in a major grain-producing region: A production-living-ecology perspective. *Ecological Indicators*, 155, 111024.
- Peng, W., Zhang, D., Luo, Y., Tao, S., & Xu, X. (2019). Geographical detection of NDVI changes in Sichuan vegetation by natural factors. *Journal of Geography*, 74(09), 1758-1776.
- Qiao, F., Bai, Y., Xie, L., Yang, X., & Sun, S. (2021). Spatio-Temporal Characteristics of Landscape Ecological Risks in the Ecological Functional Zone of the Upper Yellow River, China *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* (18, pp.).
- Redhead, J. W., Stratford, C., Sharps, K., Jones, L., Ziv, G., Clarke, D., Oliver, T. H., & Bullock, J. M. (2016). Empirical validation of the InVEST water yield ecosystem service model at a national scale. *Science of the Total Environment*, 569-570, 1418-1426.
- Shi, M., Wu, H., Fan, X., Jia, H., Dong, T., He, P., Baqa, M. F., & Jiang, P. (2021). Trade-Offs and Synergies of Multiple Ecosystem Services for Different Land Use Scenarios in the Yili River Valley, China. *Sustainability*, 13(3)
- Song, W., & Deng, X. (2017). Land-use/land-cover change and ecosystem service provision in China. *Science of the Total Environment*, 576, 705-719.

- Tobler, W. R. (1979). Cellular Geography. In S. Gale & G. Olsson (Eds.), *Philosophy in Geography* (379-386). Springer Netherlands. [http://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-9394-5\\_18](http://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-9394-5_18).
- Wang, C., Jiang, Q., Shao, Y., Sun, S., Xiao, L., & Guo, J. (2019). Ecological environment assessment based on land use simulation: A case study in the Heihe River Basin. *Science of the Total Environment*, 697, 133928. <http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.133928>
- Wang, J., Zhang, J., Xiong, N., Liang, B., Wang, Z., & Cressey, E. L. (2022). Spatial and Temporal Variation, Simulation and Prediction of Land Use in Ecological Conservation Area of Western Beijing *Remote Sensing* (14, pp.).
- Wang, Y., Lin, N., Gao, J., Zou, C., & Xu, D. (2022). Impacts of China's Western Development and Protection Strategy: An Ecosystem Services Perspective of Western China. *Diversity*, 14(10)
- Wang, Y., Rao, Y., & Zhu, H. (2023). Analyzing the Land Use and Cover Change Inside and Outside China's Ecological Function Area *Land* (12, pp.).
- Wang, Y., Shao, M. A., Zhu, Y., & Liu, Z. (2011). Impacts of land use and plant characteristics on dried soil layers in different climatic regions on the Loess Plateau of China. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 151(4), 37-448. <http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.11.016>
- Wang, Z., Huang, C., Li, L., & Lin, Q. (2022). Ecological zoning planning and dynamic assessment with coupled InVEST-HFI-PLUS model - A case study of Bortala Mongol Autonomous Prefecture. *Acta Ecologica Sinica*, 42(14), 5789-5798.
- Xiao, J., Song, F., Su, F., Shi, Z., & Song, S. (2023). Quantifying the independent contributions of climate and land use change to ecosystem services. *Ecological Indicators*, 153, 110411.
- Xu Bin, M. X. L. Y. (2022). Spatial and temporal variations of drought in Sichuan and Chongqing regions, 1450-1949. *Geosciences Journal*
- Yin, Z., Liu, Y., Tang, L., Zhou, W., & Pan, Y. (2023). Spatial-temporal evolution of agricultural land utilization benefits and tradeoffs/synergies in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region. *Ecological Indicators*, 156, 111110.
- Zhang, D., & Dong, H. (2023). Understanding Arable Land Change Patterns and Driving Forces in Major Grain-Producing Areas: A Case Study of Sichuan Province Using the PLUS Model. *Land*, 12(7)
- Zhang, F., Kung, H., & Johnson, V. C. (2017). Assessment of Land-Cover/Land-Use Change and Landscape Patterns in the Two National Nature Reserves of Ebinur Lake Watershed, Xinjiang, China. *Sustainability*, 9(5)
- Zhang, H., Deng, W., Zhang, S., Peng, L., & Liu, Y. (2022). Impacts of urbanization on ecosystem services in the Chengdu-Chongqing Urban Agglomeration: Changes and trade-offs. *Ecological Indicators*, 139, 108920.
- Zhang, Y., Yu, P., Tian, Y., Chen, H., & Chen, Y. (2023). Exploring the impact of integrated spatial function zones on land use dynamics and ecosystem services tradeoffs based on a future land use simulation (FLUS) model. *Ecological Indicators*, 150, 110246.
- Zhao, J., Shao, Z., Xia, C., Fang, K., Chen, R., & Zhou, J. (2022a). Ecosystem services assessment based on land use simulation: A case study in the Heihe River Basin, China. *Ecological Indicators*, 143, 109402. <http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109402>
- Zhao, J., Shao, Z., Xia, C., Fang, K., Chen, R., & Zhou, J. (2022b). Ecosystem services assessment based on land use simulation: A case study in the Heihe River Basin, China. *Ecological Indicators*, 143, 109402.
- Zhong, Q., Li, Z., & He, Y. (2023). Coupling Evaluation and Spatial&ndash;Temporal Evolution of Land Ecosystem Services and Economic&ndash;Social Development in a City Group: The Case Study

of the Chengdu&ndash;Chongqing City Group *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* (20, pp.).