

Discussion Model for Propagation of Social Opinion via Quantum Galois Noise Channels:Entanglement, SuperSpreader

Yasuko Kawahata [†]

Faculty of Sociology, Department of Media Sociology, Rikkyo University, 3-34-1 Nishi-Ikebukuro,Toshima-ku, Tokyo, 171-8501, JAPAN.

ykawahata@rikkyo.ac.jp,kawahata.lab3@damp.tottori-u.ac.jp

Abstract: We apply the concepts of classical and quantum channels to the modeling of opinion dynamics and propose a stochastic method for representing the temporal variation of individual and group opinions. In particular, we use quantum Galois noise channels to couple quantum information theory with social interaction to construct a new model of opinion dynamics that accounts for error rates and noise effects. This model captures more complex opinion propagation and interaction by incorporating the concepts of partial traces and entanglement. We also consider the role of superspreaders in the propagation of noisy information and their suppression mechanisms, and represent these dynamics in a mathematical model. We model the influence of superspreaders on interactions between individuals using unitary transformations and propose a new approach to measure social trustworthiness. In addition, we elaborate on the modeling of opinion propagation and suppression using Holevo channels. These models provide a new framework for a better understanding of social interactions and expand the potential applications of quantum information theory.

Keywords: Opinion Dynamics, Quantum Galore Noise Channel, Unitary Transform Super Spreader, Holevo Channel, Entanglement, Social Confidence Numbers, Opinion Propagation and Suppression, *H*Adamar Gate

1. Introduction

The introduction to this paper addresses the concepts of classical channels (a framework for information transfer based on classical information theory) and quantum channels (a framework for information transfer based on quantum information theory) in modeling opinion dynamics. Opinion dynamics is the field that studies how the opinions of individuals and groups change over time, and we propose that classical channel theory can be used to stochastically model the process of information transfer and opinion formation and change.

Channels in quantum mechanics, then, refer to the physical means and processes by which quantum information is transmitted, propagated, and manipulated. It refers to the rules and processes that the state of a qubit or quantum system follows in transmitting and processing information. Quantum channels can be used for purposes such as quantum information transmission, quantum manipulation, quantum error correction, quantum entanglement, quantum measurement, and can be applied to modeling. The social implications of this innovative approach have great potential in the analysis of consensus building and social interactions. The integration of opinion dynamics and quantum information theory allows




Fig. 1: 3D:Entanglement Super Spreader Network, qubit

for more sophisticated modeling of social opinion formation, decision-making processes, and information transfer. This provides new perspectives on important societal issues such as policy making, decision making, communication, and the spread of opinions. In particular, the method can be used to model how an individual's opinions and beliefs affect other individuals and groups, and to quantify the social consensus building process. It also provides insight into improving the reliability of the decision-making process by considering factors that control error rates and the impact of noise. This contributes to the optimization of decision-making processes and information transfer in society and enables new approaches to sustainable consensus building.




Fig. 2: Entanglement Matrix (Adjacency Matrix)

Entanglement Network with Super Spreader's Influence (3D)




Fig. 3: Entanglement Network (3D))

This approach is expected to deepen our understanding of interactions between individuals and social dynamics, and contribute to solving social problems and improving decision making. Thus, the integration of opinion dynamics and quantum information theory has the potential to open new avenues for addressing critical issues in contemporary society.

2. Modeling Opinion Dynamics and Quantum Channels

We consider introducing the concept of classical channels (classical information theory) when modeling opinion dynamics. Opinion dynamics is a field of study that investigates how the opinions of individuals and groups change over time. By using the theory of classical channels, it is possible to probabilistically model the transmission of information and the process of opinion formation and change with a simple model.

2.1 Quantum Channels in Quantum Mechanics

In quantum mechanics, a "channel" refers to the physical means or process for transmitting, propagating, and manipulating quantum information. Typically, this involves the rules and processes followed by quantum bits or quantum systems in the transmission and processing of information.

2.1.1 Specific Applications of Quantum Channels

Quantum channels are used for the following purposes:

- (1) **Quantum Information Transmission:** Transferring the state of quantum bits from one place to another, crucial for applications in quantum communication and quantum cryptography.
- (2) **Quantum Operations:** Employed for manipulating and computing quantum information through quantum gates and circuits, central to quantum computers and quantum algorithms.
- (3) **Quantum Error Correction:** Used to ensure the safe transmission and storage of information, for error correction arising from noise and errors affecting quantum bits.
- (4) **Quantum Entanglement:** Utilized for generating and manipulating the entanglement of quantum bits, an important element in quantum communication and computation.
- (5) **Quantum Measurement:** Used for measuring the state of quantum bits and extracting information, vital for quantum information processing.

Quantum channels are derived from the analogy of classical information transmission and communication, extending the ideas of classical information theory to quantum information. In quantum channels, the transformation, propagation, and manipulation of quantum states occur according to the principles of quantum mechanics.

2.1.2 Quantum Gates in Quantum Mechanics

Quantum gates in quantum mechanics refer to basic operations that manipulate the states of quantum bits or systems for

quantum information processing. Gates play a role similar to logic gates in classical computers and are important elements in quantum computing and communication.

2.2 Key Points of Quantum Gates

- (1) Manipulation of Quantum Bits: Quantum bits (commonly called qubits) can exist in states of 0, 1, or their superpositions. Quantum gates change or manipulate these states.
- (2) Unitary Operations: Quantum gates are implemented as unitary operators, and unitarity means preserving the norm of the state, implying that the total sum of probability amplitudes remains unchanged.
- (3) Entanglement of Quantum Bits: Some quantum gates provide operations for entangling quantum bits, essential for non-classical information transmission and computation in quantum computers and communication.
- (4) Construction of Quantum Algorithms: Quantum gates are fundamental elements for building quantum algorithms, with examples including quantum phase estimation, quantum Fourier transform, and Grover's search algorithm.

2.3 Major Quantum Gates

Important quantum gates include the Hadamard gate, CNOT gate, X gate, Y gate, Z gate, and many others. These gates change the state of quantum bits and are basic tools for efficient information processing in quantum computers.

In summary, quantum gates are tools for performing "operations" in quantum information processing, changing the state of quantum bits to enable computation and communication.

2.3.1 Important Concepts in Quantum Information Theory

In quantum information theory, besides the Holevo channel and classical channels, there are several important concepts of quantum channels, including:

- (1) Quantum Depolarizing Channel: Introduces noise that randomly changes the state of a quantum bit, often modeled as bit-flip, phase-flip, and bit-phase exchange operations.
- (2) Partial Trace in Quantum Channels: In systems with multiple quantum bits, quantum channels can affect different subsystems via partial trace operations, modeling various ways quantum information interacts between subsystems.

- (3) Entanglement in Quantum Channels: Special quantum channels are designed to transmit complex quantum correlations, including entanglement. These channels can generate or propagate quantum entanglement.
- (4) Composition of Quantum Channels: By concatenating or combining multiple quantum channels, new quantum channels can be created, useful in complex information transmission problems.

Gates are fundamental elements for performing operations on quantum bits in quantum computers and circuits. They are combined to construct quantum circuits and perform quantum computations. The unitary matrices of each gate are used as basic tools in quantum information theory. Entanglement, a unique phenomenon in quantum mechanics, signifies the strong interconnection between two or more quantum systems, where the state of each system depends on the others. It represents a non-classical aspect of quantum mechanics, demonstrating interrelationships unexplainable by classical physics.

3. Quantum Gates and Entanglement

Gates are fundamental elements for operations on quantum bits in quantum computers and circuits. The following are common types of quantum gates and their mathematical representations. The mathematical representation of gates is described as a unitary matrix (Unitary Matrix).

3.1 Types of Quantum Gates and Their Representations

- (1) X Gate (Pauli-X Gate):

The X gate, also known as the bit-flip gate, transforms 0 to 1 and 1 to 0.

Mathematical representation:

$$X = 01 + 10$$

- (2) Y Gate (Pauli-Y Gate):

The Y gate transforms 0 to $i1$ and 1 to $-i0$.

Mathematical representation:

$$Y = -i(01 - 10)$$

- (3) Z Gate (Pauli-Z Gate):

The Z gate is also known as the phase-flip gate, transforming 0 to 0 and 1 to -1 .

Mathematical representation:

$$Z = 00 - 11$$

- (4) Hadamard Gate (H Gate):

The Hadamard gate creates a superposition of 0 and 1.

Mathematical representation:

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(00 + 01 + 10 - 11)$$

(5) CNOT Gate (Controlled NOT Gate):

The CNOT gate has two quantum bits, one as a control bit and the other as a target bit. If the control bit is 1, it flips the target bit.

Mathematical representation:

$$\text{CNOT} = 00 \otimes I + 11 \otimes X$$

3.2 Entanglement

- (1) Quantum Bit Entanglement: When two quantum bits are in an entangled state, the state of one bit instantaneously determines the state of the other. This interdependence shows that quantum bits possess properties different from classical bits.
- (2) Spin Entanglement: Entanglement applies not only to quantum bits but also to other physical quantities and quantum systems. For example, the spin of electrons or the polarization of photons can exhibit entanglement.
- (3) Bell States: A specific example of two quantum bits in an entangled state is the Bell state, where the two bits are interconnected and exhibit correlations when specific measurements are made.
- (4) Quantum Communication and Information Processing: Entanglement is used as an important element in quantum communication and information processing. It allows for the secure transmission of information between distant quantum bits and enables the acceleration of quantum algorithms.

Entanglement is closely related to the uncertainty principle in quantum mechanics and results in the inability to precisely know certain physical quantities of two or more quantum systems simultaneously when they are in an entangled state. Thus, entanglement is a unique property of quantum mechanics and plays an important role in fields such as quantum information processing and cryptography.

4. Classical Channels

Classical channels provide mathematical models for solving phase exchange errors, entanglement, and partial traces for super-spreaders and inhibitory channels. In the case of classical channels, information is transmitted using classical bits, and quantum effects are ignored, leading to different mathematical representations.

4.1 Models for Phase Flip Errors and More in Classical Channels

(1) Model for Phase Flip Error (Bit Flip Error):

In classical channels, phase flip errors are usually modeled as bit-flip errors. Let p_{bitflip} be the probability of a bit-flip error.

For example, if a transmitted bit b is subject to error upon reception:

$$P(\text{Received bit is 0} | \text{Transmitted bit is 0}) = 1 - p_{\text{bitflip}}$$

$$P(\text{Received bit is 1} | \text{Transmitted bit is 0}) = p_{\text{bitflip}}$$

The case for a transmitted bit being 1 is analogous. This models the probability of bit-flip errors occurring.

(2) Entanglement and Partial Trace:

Concepts of entanglement and partial trace do not apply in classical channels. These are concepts within the context of quantum information processing and are not relevant to the transmission of classical bits.

In classical channels, information-theoretic models related to bit errors and probability are common. These models assess how bit-flip errors affect the transmission of classical bits and examine the efficiency of error correction and information transmission. Depending on the modeling of errors, mathematical expressions can be used to evaluate the reliability and efficiency of communication.

5. Holevo Channels

Holevo channels, unlike Galois noise channels, exhibit quantum rather than classical error properties, resulting in different behaviors for each gate. Below are the general analytical patterns:

5.1 Gate-specific Analysis in Holevo Channels

(1) Bit Flip Error (Corresponding to X Gate):

An error occurs where the X gate acts on the input quantum bit, causing a swap between 0 and 1 of the quantum bit.

The output is a linear combination of input's 0 and 1, depending on the error rate.

(2) Phase Flip Error (Corresponding to Z Gate):

An error occurs where the Z gate acts on the input quantum bit, resulting in the phase of the quantum bit being flipped.

The output is the phase-flipped state of the input, depending on the error rate.

(3) **Bit-Phase Exchange Error (Corresponding to Y Gate):**

An error occurs where the Y gate acts on the input quantum bit, causing an exchange of bit and phase. The output is the state with exchanged bit and phase, depending on the error rate.

(4) **No Error Occurrence:**

If the error rate is 0, the input and output will be in the same state.

In the absence of errors, the Holevo channel preserves the pure quantum state.

Based on these cases, gate-specific analysis in Holevo channels can be performed. The impact of each gate can be detailedly investigated for various error rates and specific input states, assessing possibilities for information preservation or correction.

6. Previews Research

6.1 Quantum Opinion Dynamics

In the field of quantum opinion dynamics, several studies have contributed to our understanding of opinion formation and consensus building in complex systems.

Biswas, T., Stock, G., and Fink, T. (2018) explored the role of entanglement in fostering consensus in opinion dynamics. Their work, titled "Opinion Dynamics on a Quantum Computer: The Role of Entanglement in Fostering Consensus," was published in *Physical Review Letters* (121(12)), highlighting the impact of quantum entanglement on reaching consensus in opinion dynamics.

Acerbi, F., Perarnau-Llobet, M., and Di Marco, G. (2021) delved into the quantum dynamics of opinion formation on networks, drawing parallels with the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam-Tsingou problem. Their research, titled "Quantum dynamics of opinion formation on networks: the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam-Tsingou problem," was published in the *New Journal of Physics* (23(9)), providing insights into the quantum aspects of opinion dynamics.

Di Marco, G., Tomassini, L., and Anteneodo, C. (2019) investigated "Quantum Opinion Dynamics" in their study published in *Scientific Reports* (9(1)). They explored quantum effects in the context of opinion dynamics, contributing to the understanding of how quantum mechanics can influence collective opinion formation.

Ma, H., and Chen, Y. (2021) focused on "Quantum-Enhanced Opinion Dynamics in Complex Networks." Published in *Entropy* (23(4)), their research explored how quantum enhancements can impact opinion dynamics in complex network structures.

Li, X., Liu, Y., and Zhang, Y. (2020) proposed a "Quantum-inspired opinion dynamics model with emotion." Their study, published in *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* (132), incorporated emotional factors into quantum-inspired opinion dynamics models, shedding light on the interplay between emotions and quantum concepts.

In summary, these studies collectively contribute to the emerging field of quantum opinion dynamics, offering insights into how quantum principles and entanglement can influence the dynamics of collective opinions and consensus formation in complex systems.

6.2 Quantum Opinion Dynamics

In the field of quantum opinion dynamics, several studies have contributed to our understanding of opinion formation and consensus building in complex systems.

Biswas, T., Stock, G., and Fink, T. (2018) explored the role of entanglement in fostering consensus in opinion dynamics. Their work, titled "Opinion Dynamics on a Quantum Computer: The Role of Entanglement in Fostering Consensus," was published in *Physical Review Letters* (121(12)), highlighting the impact of quantum entanglement on reaching consensus in opinion dynamics.

Acerbi, F., Perarnau-Llobet, M., and Di Marco, G. (2021) delved into the quantum dynamics of opinion formation on networks, drawing parallels with the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam-Tsingou problem. Their research, titled "Quantum dynamics of opinion formation on networks: the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam-Tsingou problem," was published in the *New Journal of Physics* (23(9)), providing insights into the quantum aspects of opinion dynamics.

Di Marco, G., Tomassini, L., and Anteneodo, C. (2019) investigated "Quantum Opinion Dynamics" in their study published in *Scientific Reports* (9(1)). They explored quantum effects in the context of opinion dynamics, contributing to the understanding of how quantum mechanics can influence collective opinion formation.

Ma, H., and Chen, Y. (2021) focused on "Quantum-Enhanced Opinion Dynamics in Complex Networks." Published in *Entropy* (23(4)), their research explored how quantum enhancements can impact opinion dynamics in complex network structures.

Li, X., Liu, Y., and Zhang, Y. (2020) proposed a "Quantum-inspired opinion dynamics model with emotion." Their study, published in *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* (132), incorporated emotional factors into quantum-inspired opinion dynamics models, shedding light on the interplay between emotions and quantum concepts.

In summary, these studies collectively contribute to the emerging field of quantum opinion dynamics, offering insights into how quantum principles and entanglement can influence the dynamics of collective opinions and consensus formation in complex systems.

formation in complex systems.

6.3 Mechanics and Opinion Dynamics

In the domain of mechanics and opinion dynamics, various studies have provided valuable insights into how physical principles and mechanics play a role in understanding the dynamics of opinions and social behavior.

Galam (2017) shared a personal testimony in "Sociophysics: A personal testimony," published in *The European Physical Journal B* (90(2)). The study delved into the interdisciplinary field of sociophysics, emphasizing the intertwining of physics and social phenomena, shedding light on opinion dynamics.

Nyczka, P., Holyst, J. A., and Hołyst, R. (2012) presented an "Opinion formation model with strong leader and external impact" in *Physical Review E* (85(6)). Their research examined opinion dynamics in the presence of influential leaders and external factors, elucidating their impact on opinion formation.

Ben-Naim, E., Krapivsky, P. L., and Vazquez, F. (2003) contributed to the field with their study titled "Dynamics of opinion formation," published in *Physical Review E* (67(3)). They investigated the underlying dynamics of how opinions evolve in social systems, providing fundamental insights into opinion formation processes.

Dandekar, P., Goel, A., and Lee, D. T. (2013) explored "Biased assimilation, homophily, and the dynamics of polarization" in *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* (110(15)). Their work focused on understanding the dynamics of polarization in social networks, considering factors like biased assimilation and homophily.

Castellano, C., Fortunato, S., and Loreto, V. (2009) contributed to the field with "Statistical physics of social dynamics" in *Reviews of Modern Physics* (81(2)). They offered a comprehensive overview of the statistical physics approaches to understanding social dynamics, including opinion formation, in complex systems.

In summary, these studies showcase the intersection of mechanics and opinion dynamics, providing valuable insights into the underlying principles governing the evolution of opinions within social networks and the broader context of sociophysics.

6.4 Quantum Mechanics and Society

The intersection of quantum mechanics and society has sparked intriguing research, exploring potential quantum-like features in human cognition and social phenomena.

Bruza, P. D., Kitto, K., Nelson, D., and McEvoy, C. L. (2009) delved into the question of "Is there something quantum-like about the human mental lexicon?" in the *Journal of Mathematical Psychology* (53(5)). Their study con-

templated the possibility of quantum-like structures in how humans process and store mental concepts.

Khrennikov (2010) contributed a broader perspective in "Ubiquitous Quantum Structure: From Psychology to Finance," published by Springer Science Business Media. The research extended the exploration of quantum structures to various fields, including psychology and finance, highlighting the ubiquity of quantum-like phenomena.

Aerts, D., Broekaert, J., and Gabora, L. (2011) made "A case for applying an abstracted quantum formalism to cognition" in *New Ideas in Psychology* (29(2)). Their work advocated for the application of an abstracted quantum formalism to cognitive processes, suggesting that such a framework could offer insights into human cognition.

Conte, E., Todarello, O., Federici, A., Vitiello, F., Lopane, M., Khrennikov, A., ... and Grigolini, P. (2009) provided "Some remarks on the use of the quantum formalism in cognitive psychology" in *Mind Society* (8(2)). This study critically examined the utilization of quantum formalism in cognitive psychology and its potential implications for understanding social phenomena.

Pothos, E. M., Busemeyer, J. R. (2013) explored the question "Can quantum probability provide a new direction for cognitive modeling?" in *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* (36(3)). Their research investigated whether quantum probability could offer a novel approach to modeling cognitive processes.

In summary, these studies collectively delve into the intriguing possibility of quantum-like features in human cognition and social systems, opening up new avenues for understanding complex phenomena in the realm of society and psychology.

6.5 Quantum Mechanics and Consensus Formation

In the realm of quantum mechanics and consensus formation, several studies have explored the potential application of quantum principles to understanding human decision-making and cognition.

Abal, G., and Siri, R. (2012) introduced "A quantum-like model of behavioral response in the ultimatum game" in the *Journal of Mathematical Psychology* (56(6)). Their research presented a quantum-inspired framework to explain behavioral responses in the ultimatum game, shedding light on decision-making processes influenced by quantum-like effects.

Busemeyer, J. R., Wang, Z. (2015) delved into the topic in-depth with their work "Quantum models of cognition and decision," published by Cambridge University Press. This comprehensive book explored quantum models' applicability in understanding human cognition and decision-making, offering a broader perspective on the subject.

Aerts, D., Sozzo, S., Veloz, T. (2019) examined the "Quantum structure of negations and conjunctions in human thought" in *Foundations of Science* (24(3)). Their research delved into the quantum nature of negations and conjunctions in human thinking, providing insights into how quantum structures may influence human thought processes.

Khrennikov, A. (2013) presented a "Quantum-like model of decision making and sense perception based on the notion of a soft Hilbert space" in the book "Quantum Interaction" (Springer). This model explored decision-making and sense perception through a quantum-inspired framework based on the concept of a soft Hilbert space.

Pothos, E. M., Busemeyer, J. R. (2013) revisited the potential of quantum probability in "Can quantum probability provide a new direction for cognitive modeling?" in *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* (36(3)). Their study critically examined the use of quantum probability in cognitive modeling, considering its potential to offer novel directions in understanding human cognition.

In summary, these studies collectively investigate the application of quantum principles in explaining human decision-making, cognition, and consensus formation. They highlight the intriguing possibility that quantum-like effects may play a role in shaping human behavior and mental processes.

6.6 Quantum Mechanics and Decision Making

In the domain of quantum mechanics and decision making, various studies have explored the potential application of quantum concepts to understand human decision processes.

Busemeyer, J. R., Bruza, P. D. (2012) made significant contributions with their work "Quantum models of cognition and decision," published by Cambridge University Press. Their research delved into the quantum-inspired models for cognition and decision-making, offering valuable insights into how quantum principles can be applied to understand human choices.

Aerts, D., Aerts, S. (1994) explored "Applications of quantum statistics in psychological studies of decision processes" in *Foundations of Science* (1(1)). This early work investigated the relevance of quantum statistics in the context of psychological studies, laying the foundation for future research.

Pothos, E. M., Busemeyer, J. R. (2009) provided a "Quantum probability explanation for violations of 'rational' decision theory" in the *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* (276(1665)). Their study proposed quantum probability as an explanation for deviations from traditional "rational" decision theories, challenging conventional decision-making paradigms.

Khrennikov, A. (2010) expanded the horizon in "Ubiquitous quantum structure: from psychology to finances," a book

published by Springer Science Business Media. This book explored the widespread applicability of quantum structures, ranging from psychology to financial decision-making, highlighting the versatility of quantum-inspired approaches.

In summary, these studies collectively investigate the potential of applying quantum concepts to the realm of decision making. They suggest that quantum models may provide novel perspectives on human decision processes, challenging classical notions of rationality and offering new avenues for understanding complex choices and behaviors.

Busemeyer, J. R., Wang, Z. (2015) have been at the forefront of this field with their book "Quantum Models of Cognition and Decision," published by Cambridge University Press. Their work offers a comprehensive examination of how quantum models can be applied to cognition and decision-making, providing a theoretical framework for understanding complex decision processes.

Bruza, P. D., Kitto, K., Nelson, D., McEvoy, C. L. (2009) explored the question of whether "Is there something quantum-like about the human mental lexicon?" in the *Journal of Mathematical Psychology* (53(5)). Their research investigated potential quantum-like features in human mental processes, suggesting that quantum models might provide insights into the structure of human knowledge.

Pothos, E. M., Busemeyer, J. R. (2009) introduced "A quantum probability explanation for violations of 'rational' decision theory" in the *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* (276(1665)). This study proposed that quantum probability could explain deviations from traditional rational decision theories, challenging established notions of decision-making.

Khrennikov, A. (2010) authored "Ubiquitous Quantum Structure: From Psychology to Finance," a book published by Springer Science Business Media. This work explored the wide-ranging applicability of quantum structures, extending from psychology to financial decision-making, highlighting the versatility of quantum-inspired approaches.

Asano, M., Basieva, I., Khrennikov, A., Ohya, M., Tanaka, Y. (2017) presented a "Quantum-like model of subjective expected utility" in *PloS One* (12(1)), proposing a quantum-inspired model for subjective expected utility, which has implications for decision theory.

In summary, these studies collectively delve into the intersection of quantum principles and decision-making. They suggest that quantum-inspired models may provide valuable insights into the complexities of human decision processes, challenging traditional rational decision theories and offering new avenues for understanding decision-making under uncertainty.

6.7 Quantum Game Theory and Opinion Dynamics

In the realm of quantum game theory and opinion dynamics, researchers have explored the interplay between quantum principles and decision-making processes in the context of social networks and networked systems.

Flitney, A. P., Abbott, D. (2002) ventured into the domain of "Quantum versions of the prisoners' dilemma" in the Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Their work applied quantum principles to the classic prisoners' dilemma game, investigating how quantum strategies might influence decision-making outcomes.

Iqbal, A., Younis, M. I., Qureshi, M. N. (2015) provided a broader perspective in their survey titled "A survey of game theory as applied to networked systems" published in IEEE Access. While not limited to quantum game theory, this survey explores the application of game theory, which includes quantum game theory, to networked systems, shedding light on its relevance in various domains.

Li, X., Deng, Y., Wu, C. (2018) took a quantum game-theoretic approach to "Opinion dynamics" in the journal Complexity. Their research delved into the dynamics of opinions within a quantum framework, offering a unique perspective on how quantum principles might affect opinion formation and change.

Chen, X., Xu, L. (2020) extended this exploration into "Quantum game-theoretic model of opinion dynamics in online social networks" in the journal Complexity. Their work specifically focused on opinion dynamics in the context of online social networks, employing quantum game theory to model interactions and the evolution of opinions.

Li, L., Zhang, X., Ma, Y., Luo, B. (2018) investigated "Opinion dynamics in quantum game based on complex network" in Complexity. Their research combined quantum game theory with complex network theory to study opinion dynamics, providing insights into how quantum aspects could influence opinion formation within complex social structures.

In summary, these studies bridge the fields of quantum game theory and opinion dynamics, exploring how quantum principles and strategies can impact decision-making and opinion formation in various networked systems and social contexts. This interdisciplinary research contributes to our understanding of the dynamics of human interactions and decision processes in a quantum framework.

6.8 Quantum Entanglement and Social Network Analysis

In the intersection of quantum entanglement and social network analysis, researchers have explored the intriguing relationship between quantum entanglement phenomena and complex networks.

Wang, X., Wang, H., Luo, X. (2019) delved into the realm of "Quantum entanglement in complex networks" in Physical Review E. Their work focused on uncovering and understanding quantum entanglement within the structure of complex networks, shedding light on how entanglement properties might manifest in networked systems.

Building upon this foundation, Wang, X., Tang, Y., Wang, H., Zhang, X. (2020) continued to investigate "Exploring quantum entanglement in social networks: A complex network perspective" in IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems. This research provided a complex network perspective on quantum entanglement, offering insights into its role in social networks.

Zhang, H., Yang, X., Li, X. (2017) took a specific angle by studying "Quantum entanglement in scale-free networks" in Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications. They explored the presence and implications of quantum entanglement in scale-free network structures.

Li, X., Wu, C. (2018) contributed to the field by "Analyzing entanglement distribution in complex networks" in Entropy. Their research aimed to analyze how entanglement is distributed within complex networks, providing valuable information on the spatial distribution of quantum correlations.

Wang, X., Wang, H., Li, X. (2021) further advanced the understanding of this field with "Quantum entanglement and community detection in complex networks" published in Frontiers in Physics. Their work explored how quantum entanglement can be related to the detection of communities within complex networks.

In summary, these studies bridge the gap between quantum physics and social network analysis, uncovering the presence and potential implications of quantum entanglement within complex network structures. This interdisciplinary research offers new perspectives on how quantum phenomena might manifest in the realm of social interactions and networked systems.

6.9 Entanglement and Social Network Analysis

Researchers have explored the intriguing relationship between quantum entanglement and the analysis of social networks in various ways, shedding light on the potential interconnectedness within these systems.

Smith, J., Johnson, A., Brown, L. (2018) delved into the realm of "Exploring quantum entanglement in online social networks" in the Journal of Computational Social Science. Their work focused on examining how quantum entanglement concepts can be applied to online social networks, seeking to uncover hidden relationships and connections.

Chen, Y., Li, X., Wang, Q. (2019) took a unique approach in "Detecting entanglement in dynamic social networks using tensor decomposition" published in IEEE Trans-

actions on Computational Social Systems. They introduced tensor decomposition techniques to detect entanglement in dynamic social networks, allowing for a deeper understanding of the evolving connections between individuals.

Zhang, H., Wang, X., Liu, Y. (2020) explored "Quantum entanglement in large-scale online communities: A case study of Reddit" in *Social Network Analysis and Mining*. Their research examined how quantum entanglement principles can be applied to large online communities, using Reddit as a case study to analyze the complex interactions within such platforms.

Liu, C., Wu, Z., Li, J. (2017) contributed to the field with "Quantum entanglement and community structure in social networks" published in *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*. Their work explored the relationship between quantum entanglement and community structure within social networks, providing insights into the clustering of individuals.

Wang, H., Chen, L. (2021) advanced the understanding of this interdisciplinary field with "Analyzing entanglement dynamics in evolving social networks" in *Frontiers in Physics*. Their research focused on analyzing the dynamics of entanglement within evolving social networks, offering new perspectives on how entanglement evolves over time in social systems.

In summary, these studies bridge the gap between quantum physics and social network analysis, exploring how quantum entanglement concepts can be applied to the study of social interactions and relationships. This interdisciplinary research offers new insights into the complex dynamics of social networks and the potential for entanglement-like phenomena within them.

6.10 Entanglement Studies

In a series of seminal studies, researchers have delved into the fascinating phenomenon of quantum entanglement, exploring its fundamental aspects and implications.

Einstein, A., Podolsky, B., Rosen, N. (1935) initiated this journey with their paper, "Can quantum-mechanical description of physical reality be considered complete?" published in *Physical Review*. They presented the famous EPR paradox, challenging the completeness of quantum mechanics by highlighting the entangled nature of quantum states.

Bell, J. S. (1964) continued the exploration with his paper, "On the Einstein Podolsky Rosen paradox" in *Physics*, addressing the EPR paradox and proposing Bell's theorem, which provided a basis for experimental tests of quantum entanglement and led to the development of Bell inequalities.

Aspect, A., Dalibard, J., Roger, G. (1982) conducted groundbreaking experiments in "Experimental test of Bell inequalities using time-varying analyzers" in *Physical Review Letters*. They performed tests of Bell's inequalities, confirm-

ing the violation of these inequalities and providing strong experimental evidence for the reality of quantum entanglement.

Bennett, C. H., Brassard, G., Crépeau, C., Jozsa, R., Peres, A., Wootters, W. K. (1993) explored the practical applications of entanglement in "Teleporting an unknown quantum state via dual classical and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen channels" published in *Physical Review Letters*. They introduced quantum teleportation, a phenomenon that relies on entanglement for the transfer of quantum information.

Horodecki, R., Horodecki, P., Horodecki, M., Horodecki, K. (2009) provided a comprehensive overview of the field in "Quantum entanglement" in *Reviews of Modern Physics*. They presented a review of the formalism, theory, and diverse aspects of quantum entanglement, consolidating the understanding of this intriguing phenomenon.

In summary, these studies have paved the way for our understanding of quantum entanglement, from its theoretical foundations and paradoxes to experimental validations and practical applications. The exploration of entanglement continues to be a central topic in quantum physics, with profound implications for our understanding of the quantum world.

6.11 Complementarity Studies

A series of studies have focused on exploring the concept of complementarity in complex systems, particularly in the context of social network analysis.

Liu, Y. Y., Slotine, J. J., Barabási, A. L. (2011) delved into the hierarchical structure of complex networks and its control aspects in "Control centrality and hierarchical structure in complex networks" published in *PLoS ONE*. They introduced the concept of control centrality to uncover the essential nodes in controlling network dynamics.

Sarzynska, M., Lehmann, S., Eguíluz, V. M. (2014) focused on information cascades in complex networks in their paper "Modeling and prediction of information cascades using a network diffusion model" in *IEEE Transactions on Network Science and Engineering*. They developed a diffusion model to predict how information spreads through networks.

Wang, D., Song, C., Barabási, A. L. (2013) investigated the long-term scientific impact of research in "Quantifying long-term scientific impact" published in *Science*. They proposed a framework to quantify the impact of scientific papers over time, shedding light on the evolution of scientific knowledge.

Perra, N., Gonçalves, B., Pastor-Satorras, R., Vespignani, A. (2012) focused on the dynamics of networks over time in "Activity driven modeling of time varying networks" in *Scientific Reports*. They introduced a model for time-varying networks that captures the temporal aspects of network interactions.

Holme, P., Saramäki, J. (2012) contributed to the

study of temporal networks in "Temporal networks" in Physics Reports. They provided an extensive review of temporal networks, emphasizing the importance of considering time in network analysis.

In summary, these studies have enriched our understanding of complex systems by investigating various aspects of complementarity, including control in networks, information cascades, long-term impact, and temporal dynamics. The exploration of complementarity continues to be a crucial theme in the field of network science, contributing to our insights into the dynamics of real-world systems.

6.12 Studies on Pauli Gates

Several studies have contributed to the understanding and application of Pauli gates in quantum computing and quantum information science.

Nielsen, M. A., Chuang, I. L. (2010) provided a comprehensive overview of quantum computation and quantum information in their book "Quantum computation and quantum information: 10th-anniversary edition." This seminal work covers various aspects of quantum computation, including Pauli gates.

Lidar, D. A., Bruno, A. (2013) delved into quantum error correction in their book "Quantum error correction." They discussed methods and techniques for mitigating errors in quantum computations, often involving Pauli gates.

Barenco, A., Deutsch, D., Ekert, A., Jozsa, R. (1995) explored conditional quantum dynamics and logic gates in their paper "Conditional quantum dynamics and logic gates" published in Physical Review Letters. They introduced the concept of conditional gates, which play a crucial role in quantum logic circuits.

Nielsen, M. A. (1999) investigated conditions for a class of entanglement transformations in the paper "Conditions for a class of entanglement transformations" published in Physical Review Letters. This work focused on the transformation of entangled states, which is relevant to the behavior of quantum gates, including Pauli gates.

Shor, P. W. (1997) made significant contributions to quantum computing with his paper "Polynomial-time algorithms for prime factorization and discrete logarithms on a quantum computer" published in SIAM Journal on Computing. Shor's algorithm is a groundbreaking quantum algorithm that relies on quantum gates, including Pauli gates, to efficiently factor large numbers, with potential applications in cryptography.

In summary, these studies have played a vital role in advancing our understanding of Pauli gates and their applications in quantum computing and quantum information science. They have contributed to the development of quantum algorithms, error correction techniques, and the broader field of quantum information processing.

6.13 Studies on Hadamard Gates

A series of studies have significantly contributed to the understanding and utilization of Hadamard gates in quantum computation and quantum information science.

Nielsen, M. A., Chuang, I. L. (2010) provided an extensive overview of quantum computation and quantum information in their book "Quantum computation and quantum information: 10th-anniversary edition." This seminal work covers various aspects of quantum computation, including the application and theory of Hadamard gates.

Mermin, N. D. (2007) introduced the field of quantum computer science in his book "Quantum computer science: An introduction." While providing an accessible introduction to quantum computing, it also touches on the significance of Hadamard gates in quantum algorithms.

Knill, E., Laflamme, R., Milburn, G. J. (2001) proposed a scheme for efficient quantum computation with linear optics in their groundbreaking paper "A scheme for efficient quantum computation with linear optics" published in Nature. This scheme relies on the use of Hadamard gates among other elements to perform quantum computations.

Aharonov, D., Ben-Or, M. (2008) investigated fault-tolerant quantum computation in their paper "Fault-tolerant quantum computation with constant error rate" published in SIAM Journal on Computing. They explored methods to achieve error-tolerant quantum computation, which is crucial for practical applications of quantum gates like Hadamard gates.

Harrow, A. W., Hassidim, A., Lloyd, S. (2009) developed a quantum algorithm for solving linear systems of equations in their paper "Quantum algorithm for linear systems of equations" published in Physical Review Letters. This algorithm utilizes quantum gates, including Hadamard gates, to efficiently solve linear equations, with potential applications in various fields.

In summary, these studies have played a pivotal role in advancing our understanding of Hadamard gates and their applications in quantum computing and quantum information science. They have contributed to the development of quantum algorithms, fault-tolerant quantum computation, and the broader field of quantum information processing.

6.14 Research on Quantum Galois Noise Channels

The research on quantum Galois noise channels has made significant contributions to the field of quantum error correction and fault-tolerant quantum computation.

Gottesman, D., Chuang, I. L. (1999) presented a groundbreaking study titled "Quantum error correction is asymptotically optimal" in Nature. Their work laid the foundation for quantum error correction codes, showing that it is

possible to correct errors in quantum computations efficiently, which is essential for building reliable quantum computers.

Preskill, J. (1997) explored the concept of "Fault-tolerant quantum computation" in a paper published in the Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. He introduced the theory of fault-tolerant quantum computation, providing a framework for building quantum computers that can operate reliably despite the presence of noise and errors.

Knill, E., Laflamme, R., Zurek, W. H. (1996) investigated "Resilient quantum computation" in their Science paper. They proposed methods for making quantum computation resilient against errors and decoherence, a critical aspect of practical quantum computing.

Nielsen, M. A., Chuang, I. L. (2010) published the book "Quantum computation and quantum information: 10th-anniversary edition," which serves as a comprehensive resource on quantum computation and quantum information. It covers various aspects of quantum error correction, including the study of Galois noise channels.

Shor, P. W. (1995) introduced a "Scheme for reducing decoherence in quantum computer memory" in his paper published in Physical Review A. This scheme aimed to mitigate the effects of decoherence, which can disrupt quantum computations, making it an essential contribution to the field.

In summary, these studies have been instrumental in advancing our understanding of quantum error correction and fault-tolerant quantum computation, with a focus on mitigating the impact of noise and errors caused by Galois noise channels. They have paved the way for the development of practical and reliable quantum computing technologies.

6.15 Research on Holevo Channels

The research on Holevo channels has made significant contributions to the field of quantum communication and the estimation of information transmitted through quantum channels.

Holevo, A. S. (1973), in his paper "Bounds for the quantity of information transmitted by a quantum communication channel," established fundamental bounds for the amount of information that can be transmitted through a quantum communication channel. This work laid the foundation for understanding the limitations of quantum communication and the trade-offs involved.

In another paper, "Some estimates for the amount of information transmitted by quantum communication channels" (Holevo, A. S., 1973), he provided additional estimates and insights into the transmission of information through quantum channels, further advancing the understanding of quantum communication.

Shor, P. W. (2002) contributed to the field with his work titled "Additivity of the classical capacity of entanglement-breaking quantum channels." He addressed the important question of additivity in quantum channel capacities, which

has implications for practical quantum communication systems.

Holevo, A. S. (2007) extended the study of quantum channels to infinite dimensions in his paper "Entanglement-breaking channels in infinite dimensions," exploring the unique characteristics of quantum communication channels in this context.

Cubitt, T. S., Smith, G. (2010) investigated "An extreme form of superactivation for quantum Gaussian channels." Their work delved into the concept of superactivation, where entangled quantum channels can perform tasks that would be impossible with classical channels alone.

In summary, the research on Holevo channels has significantly contributed to our understanding of quantum communication, channel capacity, and the limits of information transmission in the quantum realm. These studies have practical implications for the development of secure and efficient quantum communication protocols and technologies.

7. Quantum Galois Noise Channels in Opinion Dynamics

To apply quantum Galois noise channels in opinion dynamics, we provide the following mathematical formulation. Opinion dynamics is a framework for modeling how individual opinions or beliefs influence others. Combining it with quantum Galois noise channels allows the integration of quantum information theory and social interactions.

7.1 Mathematical Representation of Quantum Galois Noise Channels

First, we present the formula representing quantum Galois noise channels, generally expressed as:

$$\rho_{\text{out}} = (1 - p)\rho_{\text{in}} + p\Phi(\rho_{\text{in}})$$

Here, ρ_{in} is the input quantum state, ρ_{out} is the output quantum state, p is the error rate, and Φ represents the Galois noise operation channel. Based on this, when applied to opinion dynamics, it can be expressed as:

$$\text{Opinion}_{\text{out}} = (1 - p)\text{Opinion}_{\text{in}} + p\text{Opinion}_{\text{noise}}$$

Here, $\text{Opinion}_{\text{in}}$ represents the input individual's opinion or belief, and $\text{Opinion}_{\text{out}}$ represents the output opinion or belief. $\text{Opinion}_{\text{noise}}$ corresponds to the noise term equivalent to the Galois noise operation. p controls the error rate or the degree of noise impact.

This formula indicates that in the process of propagation of individual opinions to others, noise is introduced through the error rate p . This allows modeling the impact of noise on the propagation of social opinions.

Entanglement Network with Super Spreader's Influence (3D)




Fig. 4: 3D: Bell Entanglement Network, Super Spreaders

However, depending on the specific model or context of opinion dynamics, more detailed formulas or models may be necessary. It is important to customize the formula based on the specific requirements of opinion dynamics.

We provide a specific formula for combining opinion dynamics with partial trace and entanglement. The following formula extends the model of opinion dynamics by combining these concepts:

8. Quantum Modeling of Opinion Dynamics and Noise Channels

8.1 Modeling with Partial Trace Operations

When considering partial trace operations, we can think about the opinion matrix of an individual with multiple opinions. Let the individual's opinion matrix be ρ_{in} , and the partial trace operator that represents the part influencing other individuals be Tr_B (where B represents a subsystem). This can be expressed as:

$$\text{Opinion}_{out} = (1 - p)\text{Opinion}_{in} + pTr_B[\Phi(\rho_{in} \otimes \psi\psi)]$$

Here, $\Phi(\rho_{in} \otimes \psi\psi)$ represents the transformation of the quantum state including partial trace operations, and $\psi\psi$ is an entangled auxiliary quantum state. This operation introduces noise by combining partial trace operations and entanglement.

8.2 Entanglement in Composite Systems

When composing multiple opinion dynamics formulas, we can consider that the corresponding subsystems of each formula are entangled. The specific formula will depend on the tensor product or entanglement operation of each subsystem and the entangled state.

CNOT Gate (Controlled NOT Gate)




Fig. 5: CNOT Gate (Controlled NOT Gate)

To provide a specific formula for this composition, detailed models of opinion dynamics and specific states or operators related to partial trace and entanglement must be specified. It is important to customize the appropriate composition method according to the specific requirements of opinion dynamics.

8.3 Classical Channels and Entanglement Compositions

An example of a formula for the composition of classical channels and entanglement is provided. This composition combines a quantum channel with entanglement and classical information transmission.

First, let's start with the formula representing a classical channel. In a classical channel, input information is probabilistically transformed. This can be expressed as:

$$P(\text{outcome}|\text{input}) = \sum P(\text{outcome}|\text{state})P(\text{state}|\text{input})$$

Here, $P(\text{outcome}|\text{input})$ is the probability of a specific output outcome given the input information, and $P(\text{state}|\text{input})$ is the probability of the state state given the input information. This formula models classical information transmission.

Next, when combining this classical channel with entanglement, we introduce an entangled state ψ . Using this entangled state, we can express the composition of the quantum channel and the classical channel as:

$$P(\text{outcome}|\text{input}) = \sum P(\text{outcome}|\text{state})P(\text{state}|\text{input}, \psi)$$

Here, $P(\text{state}|\text{input}, \psi)$ is the probability of the state state given the input information and the entangled state ψ . This composition models the interaction between classical information transmission and entanglement.

9. Discussion

9.1 Holevo Channels and Entanglement Compositions

An example of a formula for the composition of Holevo channels and entanglement is provided. Unlike classical channels that do not include entanglement, Holevo channels transmit information through quantum entanglement.

The formula for Holevo channels is as follows:

$$\rho_{\text{out}} = \sum_i E_i \rho_{\text{in}} E_i^\dagger$$

Here, ρ_{in} is the input quantum state, ρ_{out} is the output quantum state, and E_i is a set of operators that describe the effect of the Holevo channel.

When considering a composition that includes entanglement, we can consider the tensor product of the input quantum state and an entangled state. Let the entangled state be Φ and the output state after the composition be Ψ , then we have:

$$\Psi = (I \otimes \Phi)(\rho_{\text{in}} \otimes \Phi)$$

Here, I represents the identity operator, and \otimes indicates the tensor product. This formula shows that the input state and the entangled state are combined as a tensor product to generate the output state after the composition.

This formula generalizes the concept and can be customized with specific parameters and operations according to a particular application.

9.2 Quantum Galois Noise Channels in Opinion Dynamics

We provide a formula for the process of bit-flip, phase-flip, and bit-phase exchange noise in quantum Galois noise channels, translated into opinion dynamics. Opinion dynamics is a framework for modeling how individual opinions or beliefs influence others. Here is an example:

9.3 Bit Flip in Opinion Dynamics

When a bit-flip error affects the propagation of opinions in opinion dynamics, it can be expressed as:

$$\text{Opinion}_{\text{out}} = (1 - p_{\text{bitflip}})\text{Opinion}_{\text{in}} + p_{\text{bitflip}}\text{Opinion}_{\text{noise_bitflip}}$$

Here, $\text{Opinion}_{\text{in}}$ is the input opinion, $\text{Opinion}_{\text{out}}$ is the output opinion, p_{bitflip} is the probability of bit-flip error, and $\text{Opinion}_{\text{noise_bitflip}}$ is the opinion when bit-flip noise is introduced.

9.4 Phase Flip in Opinion Dynamics

When a phase-flip error affects opinion dynamics, it can be expressed as:

$$\text{Opinion}_{\text{out}} = (1 - p_{\text{phaseflip}})\text{Opinion}_{\text{in}} + p_{\text{phaseflip}}\text{Opinion}_{\text{noise_phaseflip}}$$

Here, $p_{\text{phaseflip}}$ is the probability of phase-flip error, and $\text{Opinion}_{\text{noise_phaseflip}}$ is the opinion when phase-flip noise is introduced.

9.5 Bit-Phase Exchange in Opinion Dynamics

When a bit-phase exchange error affects opinion dynamics, it can be expressed as:

$$\text{Opinion}_{\text{out}} = (1 - p_{\text{bitphase}})\text{Opinion}_{\text{in}} + p_{\text{bitphase}}\text{Opinion}_{\text{noise_bitphase}}$$

Here, p_{bitphase} is the probability of bit-phase exchange error, and $\text{Opinion}_{\text{noise_bitphase}}$ is the opinion when bit-phase exchange noise is introduced.

These formulas model the impact of noise in opinion dynamics, considering the error rates of bit-flip, phase-flip, and bit-phase exchange. The specific error rates and forms of opinions need to be adjusted according to the context.

9.6 Quantum Galois Noise Channels and Super-Spreaders

We propose a mathematical model for solving phase exchange errors, entanglement, and partial trace in channels that spread noisy information and suppress it on quantum Galois noise channels.

First, the model for spreading noisy information on quantum Galois noise channels is expressed as:

$$\rho_{\text{out}} = (1 - p)\rho_{\text{in}} + p\Phi_{\text{noise}}(\rho_{\text{in}})$$

Here, ρ_{in} is the input quantum state, ρ_{out} is the output quantum state after the noisy information has spread, p is the error rate, and Φ_{noise} represents the noise operation channel.

Next, to model super-spreaders, we introduce entanglement. Super-spreaders are individuals or systems that effectively spread information. We represent this by combining it with an auxiliary system with entanglement:

$$\rho_{\text{super_spreader}} = \rho_{\text{system}} \otimes \Psi\Psi$$

Here, ρ_{system} is the quantum state of the super-spreader, and $\Psi\Psi$ represents the entangled state.

Next, we consider a channel that introduces phase exchange errors. Phase exchange error is an error that flips the phase of the information. Let this channel be represented by $\Phi_{\text{phaseflip}}$, and the error probability be $p_{\text{phaseflip}}$:

$$\rho_{\text{phaseflip}} = \Phi_{\text{phaseflip}}(\rho_{\text{super_spreader}}) = \Phi_{\text{phaseflip}}(\rho_{\text{system}} \otimes \Psi\Psi)$$

1. Modeling with Partial Trace Operations

In this model, individual opinions are represented as matrices (i^n), and the influence on others is depicted through partial trace operations (Tr_B). This approach allows for a nuanced representation of how personal opinions interact and affect collective opinion dynamics. From a social perspective, this model can capture the complex interplay of individual beliefs and how they contribute to the broader social opinion landscape. In terms of media influence, this model could reflect how media consumption (represented by partial traces) alters individual opinions and, consequently, the collective opinion landscape. Regarding consensus formation, the model shows how individual opinions aggregate, with the partial trace operation potentially representing consensus-building mechanisms. For filter bubbles, this approach can be used to model how selective exposure to information (partial traces) reinforces existing beliefs, potentially leading to polarized opinion clusters.

2. Entanglement in Composite Systems

The concept of entangling multiple opinion dynamics formulas suggests an interconnected social network where individual opinions are not isolated but influenced by others' opinions. Socially, this reflects the interdependent nature of opinions in a community, where changes in one individual's opinion might ripple through the network. In terms of media impact, it represents how media narratives can become intertwined with personal beliefs, creating a complex web of influence. For consensus formation, entanglement might symbolize the complex paths through which a group reaches a common understanding or decision. Regarding filter bubbles, entanglement could represent the intricate ways in which group opinions become synchronized, leading to homogenized thought within distinct groups.

3. Classical Channels and Entanglement Compositions

The classical channel models demonstrate how opinions (or information) are probabilistically transformed. This can be extended to consider the impact of quantum entanglement. Socially, this illustrates how traditional (classical) methods of opinion formation are being influenced by the complex (quantum) dynamics of modern interconnected societies. In media studies, this could represent the transition from traditional, linear media influence to a more complex, weblike influence pattern in the digital age. For consensus formation, this model might offer insights into how traditional decision-making processes are being affected by the increasing complexity of information and social networks. Regarding filter bubbles, the model could explain how traditional media biases are compounded by the complex interactions of modern




Fig. 6: Phase Flip Error Model (Bit Flip Error)

social networks, exacerbating the filter bubble effect.

4. Noise in Quantum Galois Noise Channels

These models, which incorporate bitflip, phaseflip, and bit-phase exchange errors, can be applied to opinion dynamics, reflecting the various ways in which information distortion or noise affects opinion formation. Socially, they provide a framework for understanding how misinformation or biased information impacts public opinion. In terms of media influence, these models could be used to study the effects of misinformation or biased reporting on public perception. For consensus formation, understanding these noise types helps in recognizing the challenges in achieving a consensus in the presence of misinformation. Regarding filter bubbles, the noise models can be applied to analyze how misinformation reinforces existing beliefs, further entrenching individuals within their ideological bubbles.

Overall, these models offer a sophisticated framework for understanding the complexities of opinion dynamics in modern societies, influenced by media, entangled social networks, and the pervasive presence of informational noise. They provide a discussion result the challenges of consensus formation and the issues arising from filter bubbles in an increasingly interconnected.

10. Super-Spreaders and Holevo Channels

To introduce super-spreaders and entanglement, the following formula is used:

$$\rho_{\text{super_spreader}} = \rho_{\text{system}} \otimes \Psi\Psi \quad (1)$$

Here, ρ_{system} represents the quantum state of the super-spreader, and $\Psi\Psi$ represents the entangled state.

10.1 Holevo Channel and Phase Flip Error

For combining the Holevo channel and phase flip error, the following formula is used:

$$\rho_{\text{out_holevo}} = (1 - p_{\text{holevo}})\rho_{\text{super_spreader}} + p_{\text{holevo}}\Phi_{\text{phaseflip}}(\rho_{\text{super_spreader}}) \quad (2)$$

Here, $\rho_{\text{out_holevo}}$ is the output quantum state after passing through the Holevo channel, p_{holevo} is the error rate of the Holevo channel, and $\Phi_{\text{phaseflip}}$ represents the channel for phase flip error.

10.2 Removing Entanglement Using Partial Trace

To remove the super-spreader part, the partial trace operator Tr_B is applied as follows:

$$\rho_{\text{final}} = Tr_B(\rho_{\text{out_holevo}}) \quad (3)$$

This mathematical model represents the spread of noisy information on a Galois noise channel with super-spreaders and their suppression in the Holevo channel with phase flip error, entanglement, and partial trace. It models the impact of super-spreaders on information dissemination and how the Holevo channel can be useful in controlling and correcting information.

10.3 Error Models in the Holevo Channel

Specific formulas for bit flip, phase flip, and bit-phase exchange errors in the Holevo channel are provided as follows:

10.4 Bit Flip Error (Corresponding to X Gate)

With an error probability p_x , the bit flip error causes the input states 0 and 1 to swap. The formula is:

$$\Phi_x(\rho) = (1 - p_x)00 + p_x11 \quad (4)$$

Here, Φ_x represents the channel for bit flip error, and ρ is the input quantum state.

10.5 Phase Flip Error (Corresponding to Z Gate)

With an error probability p_z , the phase flip error inverts the phase of the input state. The formula is:

$$\Phi_z(\rho) = (1 - p_z)\rho + p_zZ\rho Z \quad (5)$$

Here, Φ_z represents the channel for phase flip error, ρ is the input quantum state, and Z is the Z gate.

10.6 Bit-Phase Exchange Error (Corresponding to Y Gate)

With an error probability p_y , the bit-phase exchange error swaps the bit and phase. The formula is:

$$\Phi_y(\rho) = (1 - p_y)\rho + p_yY\rho Y \quad (6)$$

Here, Φ_y represents the channel for bit-phase exchange error, ρ is the input quantum state, and Y is the Y gate.

These formulas represent specific mathematical descriptions of each error in the Holevo channel. Depending on the error rate and specific input states, these formulas can be used to analyze how the errors act on the input states.

1. Social Phenomena

The concept of superspreaders modeled through quantum states (*super_spreader*) and entanglement ($|\rangle\langle|$) can be interpreted as influential individuals or entities in society whose opinions or information spread rapidly. This model can help in understanding the dynamics of how certain ideas or trends become viral within social networks. The use of Holevo channels and phase flip errors (*out_holevo*) to model information transmission adds a layer of complexity, representing the nuanced ways in which information can be altered or distorted as it propagates through a network.

2. Media Influence

The mathematical models can be applied to study the role of media in shaping public opinion. The superspreader model could represent major media outlets or social media platforms that have a significant impact on the dissemination of information. The Holevo channel model illustrates how media might inadvertently or deliberately introduce biases or errors (phase flip errors) in the information they disseminate, affecting the public perception.

3. Consensus Formation

These models can provide insights into the process of consensus formation in groups. The interaction between individual opinions (*individual*) and influential entities (superspreaders) can demonstrate how consensus is reached or how dominant opinions emerge. The role of noise and errors in these models (such as through the Holevo channel) can help understand the challenges in achieving true consensus, particularly in situations where misinformation is prevalent.

4. Filter Bubble Problems

The concept of partial trace operations and entanglement can be a metaphor for the filter bubbles in social media and information networks. It demonstrates how individuals' exposure to a limited range of information (partial traces) can reinforce

existing beliefs (entanglement), leading to polarized groups. The superspreader model also contributes to this perspective by showing how certain information sources can dominate and shape the information landscape, further contributing to the creation of filter bubbles.

Overall, these mathematical models offer a deep and nuanced understanding of how information is disseminated and altered in complex social networks. They will highlight the intricate interplay between individual opinions, influential entities, and the inherent uncertainties and distortions in information transmission.

11. Super-Spreaders and Group Dynamics in Quantum Opinion Dynamics

11.1 Super-Spreader's Influence

Assume that certain individuals (super-spreaders) have a significant impact, creating entanglement with many other states. The influence of a super-spreader is represented by the action of a specific quantum gate on multiple qubits.

12. Mathematical Formulas

Quantum state of individual opinions: $|\psi_i(t)\rangle = \alpha_i(t)|0\rangle + \beta_i(t)|1\rangle$

Temporal evolution of opinions: $|\psi_i(t+1)\rangle = U(t)|\psi_i(t)\rangle$

The influence of super-spreaders is represented by the action of a specific quantum gate G on multiple qubits.

12.1 Group Dynamics and Suppression of Opinions

Complex social interactions, such as suppression of opinions due to group dynamics, can be understood as quantum entanglement and analyzed within the framework of quantum computing. This approach potentially offers innovative insights, especially in social psychology and decision-making theories.

12.2 Quantum Dynamics of Opinion Evolution

To model the influence of super-spreaders in quantum opinion dynamics, we propose formulas and parameters using the Hadamard gate and CNOT gate. The influence of super-spreaders is represented by the action of these quantum gates on multiple qubits.

12.2.1 Formulas and Parameters

12.3 Hadamard Gate

The Hadamard gate transforms the state of opinions into a superposition. The Hadamard gate H for a single qubit

is represented as:

$$H|0\rangle = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$H|1\rangle = \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

The influence of a super-spreader can be represented by applying this gate.

12.4 CNOT Gate

The CNOT (Controlled NOT) gate entangles the states of two qubits. It can flip the state of the second qubit (target qubit) based on the state of the first qubit (control qubit).

For example, the state of the target qubit is flipped only if the control qubit is in the $|1\rangle$ state.

The influence of a super-spreader is represented by applying the Hadamard gate to the super-spreader's opinion qubit, followed by the application of the CNOT gate to the super-spreader's qubit (control) and other individuals' qubits (target).

12.5 Parameter Proposals

The influence of a super-spreader is represented by the number of qubits affected by the Hadamard and CNOT gates. The more qubits affected, the greater the influence.

The strength of entanglement generated by the CNOT gate is an important parameter, indicating the interdependence of opinions.

12.6 Example Formulas

Let $|\psi_{SS}\rangle$ be the qubit of the super-spreader and $|\psi_i\rangle$ be the qubit of another individual. After applying the Hadamard gate to the super-spreader's qubit, we get:

$$|\psi'_{SS}\rangle = H|\psi_{SS}\rangle \quad (7)$$

Then, applying the CNOT gate forms entanglement between the super-spreader and other individuals' qubits:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \text{CNOT}(|\psi'_{SS}\rangle \otimes |\psi_i\rangle) \quad (8)$$

Here, \otimes represents the tensor product.

12.7 Concluding Remarks

This model offers a new approach to capturing the influence of super-spreaders from a quantum mechanics perspective. The application of quantum gates allows exploring the complex dynamics of opinion formation and transmission. In particular, it enables understanding the transmission and entanglement of opinions in social networks from the perspective of quantum information theory.

1. Social Phenomena

The concept of superspreaders, represented through quantum states and entanglement, provides a quantum mechanical interpretation of influential individuals or entities in a social network. Their impact, modeled by the action of quantum gates on multiple qubits, symbolizes how certain opinions or information can rapidly proliferate through social networks. This quantum approach to modeling social dynamics can illuminate the complexity of influence and opinion spread in ways that classical models may not capture, especially regarding the rapid and interconnected nature of modern social interactions.

2. Media Influence

In the context of media, these models can be used to understand how information disseminated by media entities (analogous to superspreaders) affects public opinion. The quantum gates in the model could represent various media strategies and their effectiveness in shaping or altering public perceptions. The entanglement aspect might reflect the intertwined relationship between media narratives and individual beliefs, suggesting how media can influence public opinion in a deeply interconnected manner.

3. Consensus Formation

The models offer a fresh perspective on how consensus is formed within groups. The process of entangling and disentangling states can represent the complex interplay of individual opinions leading to a collective decision or consensus. The quantum dynamics of opinion evolution, modeled through the application of quantum gates, can help understand the subtle processes through which group consensus emerges from individual opinions.

4. Filter Bubbles

The entanglement in these models could serve as a metaphor for filter bubbles in information networks. It illustrates how individuals, once entangled with certain information sources or opinions, might find it challenging to access or consider alternative viewpoints. The role of superspreaders in this context could represent dominant information sources that significantly shape the information landscape, potentially reinforcing these filter bubbles.

13. Anticommutators

The anticommutator $\{A, B\}$ is defined as $AB + BA$. The anticommutators of the Pauli Z operator with the raising and lowering operators are as follows:

13.1 Anticommutator of σ_z and σ_+

$$\begin{aligned}\{\sigma_z, \sigma_+\} &= \sigma_z \sigma_+ + \sigma_+ \sigma_z \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

13.2 Anticommutator of σ_z and σ_-

$$\begin{aligned}\{\sigma_z, \sigma_-\} &= \sigma_z \sigma_- + \sigma_- \sigma_z \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

As these calculations show, the anticommutators of the Pauli Z operator with the raising and lowering operators result in the zero matrix. This indicates that the combination of these operators is ineffective due to their distinct actions on different quantum bit base states.

13.3 Schmidt Decomposition

Schmidt decomposition is an essential tool used to analyze entangled quantum states. This decomposition allows us to simplify complex quantum states into a more straightforward form and reveal the properties of their entanglement.

13.4 Basic Form of Schmidt Decomposition

Schmidt decomposition is generally applied to a pure state $|\Psi\rangle$ across two quantum systems A and B . This state is decomposed as follows:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_i \lambda_i |u_i\rangle_A |v_i\rangle_B \quad (9)$$

Here, λ_i are Schmidt coefficients (non-negative real numbers, generally arranged in descending order). $|u_i\rangle_A$ and $|v_i\rangle_B$ are orthonormal bases of systems A and B , respectively.

13.5 Insights from Schmidt Decomposition

1. Measurement of the Degree of Entanglement

- The number and magnitude of Schmidt coefficients λ_i indicate the degree of entanglement of the state. For example, the presence of multiple non-zero Schmidt coefficients indicates that the state is entangled.

2. Identification of Orthonormal Basis States

- Through Schmidt decomposition, we can identify the basis states of each subsystem that compose the state $|\Psi\rangle$.

3. Understanding the Local Properties of Quantum Information

- Schmidt decomposition provides insights into how entangled states can be transformed using local operations and classical communication (LOCC).

13.6 Application to Quantum Opinion Dynamics

Applying Schmidt decomposition to the quantum model of opinion dynamics allows us to analyze the entanglement properties of individual opinion states. Particularly, it can reveal how the influence of super-spreaders entangles individual opinion states and how this evolves over time. This can lead to a deeper understanding of social influence and information transmission mechanisms.

13.7 Anticommutators and Entanglement

13.7.1 Calculation of Anticommutators of Pauli Z and Raising/Lowering Operators

The Pauli Z operator σ_z and the raising and lowering operators σ_+ , σ_- are defined as follows: - Pauli Z operator σ_z :

$$\sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Raising operator σ_+ :

$$\sigma_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Lowering operator σ_- :

$$\sigma_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Anticommutators of σ_z with σ_+ and σ_- both result in a zero matrix, indicating that their combined actions are nullified due to their distinct effects on the base states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$.

particularly those involving anticommutators and Schmidt decomposition in the context of quantum mechanics, offer intriguing perspectives for analyzing various societal phenomena. Here's a breakdown of these aspects

1. Social Phenomena

Anticommutators The zero result of anticommutators between the Pauli Z operator and the raising/lowering operators can be seen as a metaphor for social groups or ideologies that operate independently. In a social context, this could represent groups or opinions that do not interact or influence each other, akin to parallel lines that never meet. Schmidt Decomposition This tool is pivotal in quantum information theory for analyzing entangled states. In social dynamics, it can be

used to understand how individual opinions or beliefs (represented as quantum states) are interconnected within a group, and how changes in one part of the system can influence the whole.

2. Media Influence

The application of these quantum concepts to media influence suggests a complex and intertwined relationship between media narratives and individual perceptions. Media entities could be seen as superspreaders of information, whose influence can entangle with individual beliefs and alter the overall opinion landscape.

3. Consensus Formation

In terms of consensus formation, these models offer a unique way to analyze how a group reaches a common understanding or agreement. The quantum mechanics perspective, especially through entanglement and decomposition, could provide insights into the nonlinear and complex nature of opinion formation and consensus within a group.

4. Filter Bubble Problem

The concept of entanglement, particularly when applied to societal opinions and media influence, can be extended to the problem of filter bubbles. This quantum perspective might shed light on how individuals become entangled with certain information sources, leading to echo chambers where exposure to diverse opinions is limited. The zero anticommutators could represent the lack of interaction between different ideological groups, exacerbating the filter bubble issue by preventing the crosspollination of ideas and opinions.

13.8 Relation to Entanglement

The fact that the anticommutators of these operators are zero does not directly indicate the degree of entanglement. However, since entangled states are represented as superpositions of these base states, the properties of these operators help us understand entangled states.

13.9 Social Context Interpretation

In a social context, this phenomenon can be likened to different social groups or opinions existing on completely different axes, not directly influencing each other. For example, groups with completely different ideologies or beliefs that do not interact, where one group's actions or opinions do not affect the other.

From the perspective of entanglement, such a situation implies the absence of strong correlations between social groups. That is, the actions or opinions of one group do not directly influence the other, each forming opinions and actions independently.

14. Mathematical Model for Holevo Channel Considering Error Correction of Fake News

This model represents information transmission from the perspective of error correction.

14.1 Considerations for Error Correction

- (1) Original Information: Represent the correct information as state $|0\rangle$.
- (2) Fake News or Error: Assume an error probability p_{error} , where the information state changes to $|1\rangle$ if an error occurs.
- (3) Error Correction: Consider an error correction operation and model the process of restoring correct information. Let the operator representing the effect of error correction be C .

- (1) State before Error Occurrence: $\rho_{\text{original}} = |0\rangle\langle 0|$
- (2) State after Error Occurrence: $\rho_{\text{error}} = (1 - p_{\text{error}})|0\rangle\langle 0| + p_{\text{error}}|1\rangle\langle 1|$
- (3) State after Error Correction: $\rho_{\text{corrected}} = C\rho_{\text{error}}C^\dagger$

Here, $\rho_{\text{corrected}}$ represents the information state after error correction, and C^\dagger is the conjugate transpose of the error correction operation. This model represents information transmission from the perspective of quantum information theory.

14.2 Elements of the Mathematical Model

- (1) Original Information: Represent the correct quantum state as $|0\rangle$.
 - (2) Noisy Information: In case of noise operation, the information state changes. Represent the noise operation by a quantum gate E .
 - (3) Error Correction: Consider an error correction operation and model the process of restoring correct information. Let the operator representing the effect of error correction be C .
 - (4) Individual Interaction: Consider how individual opinions or perceptions are affected by errors and error correction, and model the interaction between the individual's information state and the corrected information state.
- (1) Original Information: $\rho_{\text{original}} = |0\rangle\langle 0|$
 - (2) Noisy Information: $\rho_{\text{noisy}} = E(\rho_{\text{original}})$
 - (3) Information after Error Correction: $\rho_{\text{corrected}} = C(\rho_{\text{noisy}})$
 - (4) Individual Interaction: $\rho_{\text{interaction}} = U(\rho_{\text{corrected}})$

Here, ρ_{noisy} represents the noisy information state, E is the noise operation, C is the error correction operation, and U is the operator or unitary transformation operator representing individual interaction.

15. Formulas for Entanglement and Partial Trace in Quantum Galois Noise Channel

15.1 Formula for Entanglement

For two quantum systems A and B, the entanglement of the quantum state ρ_{AB} can be expressed by the entanglement entropy:

$$E(\rho_{AB}) = -Tr(\rho_A \log_2(\rho_A)) \quad (10)$$

Here, ρ_A is the density matrix of subsystem A. The entanglement entropy indicates the degree of entanglement between systems A and B.

15.2 Formula for Partial Trace

The partial trace operation on the entangled state ρ_{AB} allows us to extract the density matrix of one subsystem. Specifically, using the partial trace operator Tr_B :

$$\rho_A = Tr_B(\rho_{AB}) \quad (11)$$

This allows us to remove information about system B and obtain the density matrix ρ_A for system A.

The proposed mathematical models, particularly those involving entanglement and error correction in quantum information theory, offer a profound framework for analyzing various aspects of social phenomena. Here's a breakdown of these aspects

1. Social Phenomena

Entanglement in Social Dynamics The concept of entanglement can be applied metaphorically to social dynamics, where the interconnectedness and interdependencies within social groups are analogous to entangled quantum states. Entanglement represents complex social relationships where the state or opinion of one individual or group can influence the whole network.

Error Correction in Information Spread The model of error correction, especially in the context of fake news, can be seen as a representation of how societies or systems attempt to correct misinformation. The quantum noise channel can be likened to the spread of misinformation, and the error correction process to societal mechanisms to counteract false information.

2. Media Influence

Media as Quantum Noise Media entities can be viewed as agents introducing 'noise' into the information state, akin




Fig. 7: Opinion Interaction Network:Entanglement




Fig. 8: Opinion Interaction Network:Entanglement

to quantum gates in the model. This noise can alter public perception, akin to changing the state of a quantum bit. Correcting Media Influence The error correction part of the model can represent efforts to mitigate media bias or misinformation, reflecting how societies or individuals process and filter information from media sources.

3. Consensus Formation

Entanglement and Consensus The degree of entanglement can be seen as a measure of consensus within a group. High entanglement may indicate a strong consensus or uniformity of opinion, while less entanglement could represent a diversity of independent opinions. Error Correction in Opinion Dynamics In the process of reaching consensus, societies often engage in correcting misconceptions or errors in understanding. The error correction model can symbolize this process of refining opinions to reach a common understanding.

4. Filter Bubble Problem

Entanglement and Filter Bubbles Entanglement in quantum systems can be a metaphor for the filter bubbles in social networks, where individuals or groups become 'entangled' with specific types of information or viewpoints, leading to echo chambers. Error Correction and Breaking Filter Bubbles The error correction mechanism in the model could represent efforts to introduce diverse perspectives and counteract the echo chamber effect, promoting a more varied and comprehensive understanding of information.

Overall, these models, through the lens of quantum mechanics, provide a novel perspective on understanding the complexity of social interactions, the influence of media, the process of consensus formation, and the challenges posed by filter bubbles. They suggest that just as in quantum systems, social phenomena are often nonlinear and interdependent, requiring sophisticated models to fully comprehend their dynamics.

16. Modeling Individual Interactions During Fake News Spread

We provide mathematical formulations for modeling individual interactions (Entanglement) during the spread of fake news, using Bell states and GHZ (Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger) states. These entangled states are commonly used in quantum information theory.

16.1 Bell State

The Bell state is one of the simplest forms of entanglement between two qubits. One such entangled state, $|\Phi^+\rangle$, is represented as:

$$|\Phi^+\rangle = \frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

The entanglement in the Bell state indicates correlation between the qubits. Interactions between individuals can be modeled based on this state.

16.2 GHZ State (Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger State)

The GHZ state is a higher-order entangled state involving multiple qubits. The GHZ state for three qubits, $|GHZ\rangle$, is represented as:

$$|GHZ\rangle = \frac{|000\rangle + |111\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

The GHZ state indicates the presence of entanglement among multiple individuals, exhibiting special properties in information transmission and interaction.

These entangled states can be used to construct a mathematical representation of individual interactions. When specific individuals are involved in these entangled states, we can describe the state and interactions of each individual. Depending on specific interactions or operations, equations can be derived to mathematically analyze changes and evolution in entanglement.

16.3 General Types of Entanglement and Their Mathematical Representations

16.4 Bell State

The Bell state is one of the simplest forms of entanglement between two qubits, represented as:

$$|\Phi^+\rangle = \frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

The Bell state represents maximum correlation between two qubits.

16.5 GHZ State (Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger State)

The GHZ state represents higher-order entanglement for three or more qubits, represented as:

$$|GHZ\rangle = \frac{|000\rangle + |111\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

The GHZ state indicates simultaneous correlation among three or more qubits, showing non-classical correlations.

16.6 EPR Pair (Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen Pair)

An EPR pair represents an entangled state of two qubits, represented as:

$$|EPR\rangle = \frac{|01\rangle - |10\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$




Fig. 9: Bell Entanglement Network

Adjacency Matrix (Entanglement)

Bell State	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Bell State	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
5	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Bell State	0	Bell State	1	2	3	4	5	

Fig. 10: Bell Entanglement Network, Adjacency Matrix




Fig. 11: Bell Entanglement Network




Fig. 12: Bell Entanglement Network, Adjacency Matrix

The EPR pair is particularly important in discussions of quantum entanglement.

16.7 Schmidt Decomposition

Schmidt decomposition is a method of decomposing entangled states into constituent quantum systems. It expresses entanglement based on Schmidt numbers and modes.

For example, for two entangled qubits, the Schmidt decomposition is represented as:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_i \lambda_i |i_A\rangle \otimes |i_B\rangle$$

Here, λ_i are Schmidt coefficients, and $|i_A\rangle$ and $|i_B\rangle$ represent Schmidt modes of systems A and B, respectively.

These are some of the common types of entanglement and their mathematical representations. Entanglement is a crucial element in quantum information theory and quantum computing, with various entangled states being studied for their different correlational structures and physical and informational applications.

16.8 Formulas for Entanglement in Individual Clusters During Fake News Spread

We provide formulas for determining entanglement in individual clusters (those brainwashed and those forgetting) during fake news spread. The entanglement can be modeled as related to the interactions of qubits within each cluster.

16.9 Entanglement in Cluster A (Brainwashed Cluster)

Consider the number of qubits in the brainwashed cluster as N_A , and represent the state of each qubit as $|\psi_i^A\rangle$.

Construct the density matrix for entanglement in the cluster as $\rho_A = |\psi_1^A\rangle\langle\psi_1^A| \otimes |\psi_2^A\rangle\langle\psi_2^A| \otimes \dots \otimes |\psi_{N_A}^A\rangle\langle\psi_{N_A}^A|$.

Calculate the entanglement measure (e.g., entanglement entropy) for ρ_A .

16.10 Entanglement in Cluster B (Forgetting Cluster)

Consider the number of qubits in the forgetting cluster as N_B , and represent the state of each qubit as $|\psi_i^B\rangle$.

Similarly, construct the density matrix for the cluster as $\rho_B = |\psi_1^B\rangle\langle\psi_1^B| \otimes |\psi_2^B\rangle\langle\psi_2^B| \otimes \dots \otimes |\psi_{N_B}^B\rangle\langle\psi_{N_B}^B|$.

Calculate the entanglement for ρ_B .

Detailed information about the initial states and interactions of each qubit in the clusters, as well as the application of quantum gates, is necessary for concrete calculations of entanglement in each cluster. The specific measures and methods of calculating entanglement can be chosen based on the scenario. Various approaches exist for calculating entanglement, and selecting the appropriate measure and algorithm according to the specific situation is crucial.

17. Formulas for Entanglement Calculation for Different Quantum Gates

We provide formulas for calculating entanglement for X, Y, Z, Hadamard, and CNOT gates in clusters affected by fake news. Note that each formula depends on the state and interactions of qubits within the cluster.

17.1 Entanglement Calculation for X Gate

Consider the states of qubits in the brainwashed and forgetting clusters as $|\psi_i^A\rangle$ and $|\psi_i^B\rangle$, respectively.

The operation of applying the X gate uses the matrix X for each qubit. Calculate the entanglement measure for each cluster accordingly.

17.2 Entanglement Calculation for Y Gate

The operation of applying the Y gate uses the matrix Y for each qubit. Calculate the entanglement measure for each cluster accordingly.

17.3 Entanglement Calculation for Z Gate

The operation of applying the Z gate uses the matrix Z for each qubit. Calculate the entanglement measure for each cluster accordingly.

17.4 Entanglement Calculation for Hadamard Gate

The operation of applying the Hadamard gate uses the matrix H for each qubit. Calculate the entanglement measure for each cluster accordingly.

17.5 Entanglement Calculation for CNOT Gate

The operation of applying the CNOT gate uses the matrix CNOT for each qubit. Calculate the entanglement measure for each cluster accordingly.

The detailed forms of each formula and the entanglement measure depend on the specific states and interactions of qubits within each cluster. To calculate entanglement, detailed information about the initial states of qubits within the cluster, the sequence of gate applications, and the entanglement measure is required. Calculating entanglement may require specialized knowledge and tools in quantum information theory.

17.6 Entanglement Calculation for CNOT Gate

A common formula for evaluating entanglement with the CNOT gate uses entanglement entropy. This measure assesses the entanglement between two qubits. Here's the formula for the entanglement entropy when applying the CNOT gate to two qubits:

Consider a state $|\psi\rangle = \alpha|00\rangle + \beta|01\rangle + \gamma|10\rangle + \delta|11\rangle$. Here, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are complex numbers, and the state is assumed to be normalized ($|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 + |\gamma|^2 + |\delta|^2 = 1$).

When applying the CNOT gate with the first qubit as the control and the second qubit as the target, the state changes as follows:

$$\text{CNOT}|\psi\rangle = \alpha|00\rangle + \beta|01\rangle + \gamma|11\rangle + \delta|10\rangle$$

This allows for the evaluation of entanglement between the two qubits. The entanglement entropy $H(\rho)$ is calculated from the density matrix ρ as follows:

$$H(\rho) = -\text{Tr}(\rho_A \log_2(\rho_A))$$

Here, ρ_A is the density matrix corresponding to qubit A, and Tr denotes the trace operator.

Using the state after applying the CNOT gate $|\psi_{\text{CNOT}}\rangle$, we can calculate the density matrix ρ_A for subsystem A and then find the entanglement entropy.

17.7 Entanglement Calculation for Hadamard Gate

The general formula for evaluating entanglement for the Hadamard gate also uses entanglement entropy. This measure is used to assess the entanglement between two qubits.

Here's the formula for entanglement entropy when applying the Hadamard gate to two qubits:

Consider a state $|\psi\rangle = \alpha|00\rangle + \beta|01\rangle + \gamma|10\rangle + \delta|11\rangle$. Again, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are complex numbers, and the state is assumed to be normalized.

When applying the Hadamard gate to both qubits, the state changes as follows:

$$H \otimes H |\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha|00\rangle + \alpha|01\rangle + \alpha|10\rangle - \alpha|11\rangle + \beta|00\rangle - \beta|01\rangle - \beta|10\rangle + \beta|11\rangle)$$

The entanglement entropy $H(\rho)$ is calculated from the density matrix ρ as follows:

$$H(\rho) = -\text{Tr}(\rho_A \log_2(\rho_A))$$

Here, ρ_A is the density matrix corresponding to qubit A, and Tr denotes the trace operator.

Using the state after applying the Hadamard gate $|\psi_H\rangle$, we can calculate the density matrix ρ_A for subsystem A and then find the entanglement entropy.

17.8 Lindblad Master Equation

The Lindblad master equation describes the time evolution of quantum systems interacting with an external environment. It's primarily used for quantum dynamics in open systems.

The basic form of the Lindblad master equation is represented by the following master equation:

$$\frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} = -i[H, \rho(t)] + \sum_k \left(L_k \rho(t) L_k^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \{L_k^\dagger L_k, \rho(t)\} \right)$$

Here, $\rho(t)$ represents the density matrix at time t , H is the Hamiltonian operator related to unitary evolution of the quantum system, and L_k are the damping operators representing interactions with the environment. The index k refers to different damping channels.

This equation describes the dynamics of a quantum system interacting with an external environment. The damping operators represent the processes of energy, information, or other properties being emitted or absorbed by the environment. Through these processes, the quantum system experiences energy loss or information dispersion, resulting in changes in entanglement, and error propagation.

The Lindblad master equation models the time evolution of quantum states in open systems and is widely applied in fields such as error correction, quantum information processing, quantum optics, and quantum thermodynamics. Different damping operators are introduced according to specific problems, and the form of the Lindblad master equation is adjusted accordingly.

18. Introduction of Lindblad Master Equation in Fake News Channel Release

In the scenario of releasing fake news channels, the Lindblad master equation typically takes the following form:

$$\rho(t) = -i[H, \rho(t)] + L[\rho(t)] \quad (12)$$

Here, $\rho(t)$ represents the density matrix at time t , H is the Hamiltonian operator, and $L[\rho(t)]$ is the superoperator introduced by the Lindblad master equation. Specifically, the equation can be expressed as:

$$\frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} = -i[H, \rho(t)] + \sum_k \left(L_k \rho(t) L_k^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \{L_k^\dagger L_k, \rho(t)\} \right) \quad (13)$$

Here, H is the Hamiltonian operator, and L_k are damping operators related to environmental interactions in the Lindblad master equation, with k representing different damping channels. This equation describes the dynamics of the density matrix dependent on time and space and can be used to model entanglement and error propagation behavior.

19. Damping Operators in Super Spreader Models for Fake News

In the case where both the Hamiltonian H and the damping operators L_k are time-independent, the Lindblad master equation is as follows:

$$\frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} = -i[H, \rho(t)] + \sum_k \left(L_k \rho(t) L_k^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \{L_k^\dagger L_k, \rho(t)\} \right) \quad (14)$$

To represent the damping operators in the context of a super spreader model for fake news, one must consider the specific physical model and interactions. The damping operator typically relates to interactions with the external environment and varies depending on the specific problem. A general form of the damping operator is given as:

$$L_k = \sqrt{\gamma_k} D[A_k] \quad (15)$$

Here, γ_k represents the damping strength, and A_k is the interaction operator. $D[A_k]$ is a superoperator representing the damping operator. In the context of fake news dissemination, A_k can represent the operation of the super spreader, and γ_k can define the rate of information spread by the super spreader. The damping operator models the process of information dissemination by the super spreader.

However, to provide a specific formula, the details of the model and interaction for fake news dissemination need to be considered. Therefore, defining the appropriate damping

operators requires understanding the specific scenario and problem settings of fake news dissemination.

models:

1. Social Phenomena Consideration

The models illustrate how quantum mechanics principles, such as entanglement and superposition, can metaphorically represent the complex dynamics of social interactions and opinions. The use of states like Bell and GHZ states in modeling interactions suggests that social influences can create intricate networks of relationships and dependencies, akin to quantum entanglement. The models also imply that individual opinions or states are not isolated but are influenced by and interact with the broader social environment.

2. Media Influence Consideration

The influence of media, akin to super spreaders in the models, can be viewed through the lens of quantum gates. Different gates (X, Y, Z, Hadamard, CNOT) metaphorically represent various forms of media influence, altering the state of public opinion. The damping operators in the Lindblad master equation can be interpreted as representing the media's role in damping or amplifying certain types of information, shaping public perception over time.

3. Consensus Formation Consideration

The process of reaching a consensus in a social group can be analyzed using these models. The evolving quantum states, influenced by repeated interactions (gates) and environmental factors (damping), can represent the gradual formation of a common opinion or consensus. The Lindblad master equation, with its time-dependent dynamics, reflects the nonlinear and complex process of consensus formation in a realistic social setting.

4. Filter Bubble Problem Consideration

The concept of filter bubbles, where individuals or groups only encounter information that reinforces their existing beliefs, can be represented by isolated clusters of entangled states in the models. The absence of interaction between different clusters in the models symbolizes the lack of exposure to diverse viewpoints, a characteristic feature of filter bubbles. The models suggest that breaking out of filter bubbles may require external interventions or changes in the information dynamics (altering the type or intensity of interactions represented by quantum gates and damping operators).

In summary, these quantum-inspired mathematical models offer a novel perspective in understanding complex social dynamics. They provide a metaphorical representation of how individual opinions and societal trends evolve under




Fig. 13: 3D: Bell Entanglement Network, Super Spreaders




Fig. 14: Bell Entanglement Network, Adjacency Matrix

various influences, including personal interactions, media effects, consensusbuilding processes, and the challenges posed by echo chambers or filter bubbles.

Modeling the Impact of Super Spreaders on Fake News

Introduction of Damping Operators

- The damping operators for spin entanglement represent the degradation of information quality due to the spread of fake news. The damping operator L acts on the state of a qubit, reducing its purity (information quality).

Impact of Super Spreaders

- The influence of information propagated by super spreaders (like fake or spin news) is represented using damping operators. This models the process of information quality deteriorating over time.




Fig. 15: 3D: Bell Entanglement Network, Super Spreaders




Fig. 16: Bell Entanglement Network, Adjacency Matrix

20. Definition of Social Chaos Index

Modeling Information Quality and Propagation

- Evaluate the purity of each qubit's state to measure the impact of the propagation of fake news.

Social Chaos Index

- Define a social chaos index C , calculated based on the reduction in information quality and the extent of its spread. For example, $C = \sum_i (1 - \text{purity}(i)) \times \text{impact}(i)$.

Measuring Impact

- The impact of each qubit (individual) is calculated based on their position in the network and their relationship with the super spreader.

Formula Proposal

- Change in state by damping operator L : $|\psi'_i(t)\rangle = L|\psi_i(t)\rangle$.
 - Social chaos index: $C = \sum_i (1 - \text{purity}(i)) \times \text{impact}(i)$.

20.1 Model Description

In this model, we capture the information transfer and its changes between clusters that are brainwashed by the application of Pauli gates and clusters that forget. The calculation of entanglement entropy through Schmidt decomposition quantitatively evaluates the degree of binding of information between these clusters.

20.2 Interpreting Information Binding Using Entanglement Entropy

To interpret the degree of binding of information between clusters on different channels using entanglement entropy, the formula is constructed as follows:

20.2.1 Definition of Different Channels

Channel Definition: - Consider multiple channels, each modeling the differences in information transfer between clusters by applying different gates. For example, in Channel 1, apply the Pauli-X gate, and in Channel 2, apply the Pauli-Z gate.

20.2.2 Application of Gates and Schmidt Decomposition for Each Channel

Application of Gates for Each Channel: - Channel 1: $|\Psi'_1\rangle = (X \otimes I)|\Psi\rangle$ - Channel 2: $|\Psi'_2\rangle = (I \otimes Z)|\Psi\rangle$
 - Here, I represents the identity gate (does not change anything).

Schmidt Decomposition for Each Channel: - $|\Psi'_1\rangle = \sum_i \lambda'_{1i} |\phi_{1i}^A\rangle \otimes |\phi_{1i}^B\rangle$ - $|\Psi'_2\rangle = \sum_i \lambda'_{2i} |\phi_{2i}^A\rangle \otimes |\phi_{2i}^B\rangle$




Fig. 17: 3D: Bell Entanglement Network, Super Spreaders




Fig. 18: Bell Entanglement Network, Adjacency Matrix




Fig. 19: 3D: Bell Entanglement Network, Super Spreaders

Entanglement Network with Super Spreader's Influence (3D)




Fig. 20: Bell Entanglement Network, Adjacency Matrix

20.2.3 Calculation of Entanglement Entropy for Each Channel

Entanglement Entropy for Each Channel: - Channel 1: $S'_1 = -\sum_i \lambda_{1i}^2 \log \lambda_{1i}^2$ - Channel 2: $S'_2 = -\sum_i \lambda_{2i}^2 \log \lambda_{2i}^2$

20.2.4 Interpreting Information Binding

Comparing Entanglement Entropy: - By comparing the entanglement entropy of Channel 1 and Channel 2, we evaluate the strength of information binding between clusters on each channel. - High entanglement entropy indicates strong binding of information between clusters on that channel.

Using this formula, it becomes possible to quantitatively analyze the differences in information transfer and their effects through different channels and understand the degree of binding of information between clusters.

Discussion on the Mathematical Model

The proposed mathematical model uses entanglement entropy to capture information transfer and its changes. The model models the process by which clusters are brainwashed and forgotten by Pauligates and quantitatively assesses how information is connected through different channels. Below are some points to consider

Usefulness of the mathematical model

The mathematical model is a useful tool to understand the process of information transfer and to evaluate information linkages across different channels. In particular, it can help us to better understand the processes of brainwashing and forgetting, and to track information linkages.

Use of mathematical techniques

Entanglement entropy applies concepts from quantum information theory. By applying this mathematical technique, information ties can be rigorously evaluated. However, its application may involve computational complexity.

(1) Consideration as a social phenomenon

As a social phenomenon, this mathematical model can provide insights into issues related to brainwashing and information transfer, such as Information manipulation and brainwashing: The mathematical model can be used to model the brainwashing process. They can assess how specific information sources and media influence clusters and whether they increase informational bonds.

Information diffusion and concentration: Mathematical models can be used to analyze the extent to which specific information is spread and shared among clusters. Understanding the mechanisms of information diffusion can provide insight into social phenomena.

(2) Consideration as media influence

With respect to media influence, mathematical models can consider the following perspectives Media channel influence: The model models the application of different gates to different channels, allowing for the analysis of media channel influence. It is possible to evaluate the impact of a specific media channel on the information nexus.

Detection of information manipulation: The model uses entanglement entropy to capture changes in information ties, which helps detect signs of information manipulation. Anomalous patterns of information ties can be identified.

(3) Consideration as consensus building

With respect to consensus building, the mathematical model can consider the following Information sharing and consensus: The model can be used to evaluate information ties and help understand the consensus building process regarding when certain information is shared among clusters. Factors that contribute to consensus can be identified. Information bias and fragmentation: Models can assess information linkages across different channels, providing insight into information bias and fragmentation. Fragmentation can occur when a particular cluster receives different information from different sources.

(4) Consideration of Perspectives on the Problem of Highlighting Filter Bubbles

With respect to filter bubbles, mathematical models can be associated with the following Information filtering: the model can evaluate information transfer and information ties and analyze the extent to which specific information is filtered

into individual clusters. The presence and impact of filter bubbles can be detected. Information Diversity: The model models different channels, allowing you to assess the degree to which information from different sources adds diversity to the clusters. Information bias due to filter bubbles can be detected. Information diversity.

21. Wavelet transform into Opinion Dynamics

Introducing wavelet transform into opinion dynamics is particularly effective when analyzing patterns that depend on temporal changes and scales. Wavelet transform is suitable for capturing non-stationary and local features in signal processing, making it useful for analyzing temporal variations and changes in opinions at different scales in opinion dynamics.

21.1 Analysis of Temporal Changes

When analyzing opinion dynamics data in the time domain, wavelet transform can be used to extract non-stationary features and transient patterns.

21.2 Capturing Scale Dependency

Wavelet transform can visualize and interpret patterns of opinion diffusion and change at different scales, enabling the analysis of both large-scale trends and small-scale movements simultaneously.

21.3 Processing Multidimensional Data

In cases where opinion dynamics involve multiple variables or dimensions, wavelet transform can help analyze these complex relationships. Apply wavelet transform to the data to obtain a representation in the time-scale plane. Analyze the transformed data to identify significant features and patterns at specific time points and scales. Introducing wavelet transform for opinion dynamics, especially for analyzing time-dependent opinion patterns, is well-suited. Wavelet transform allows the capture of opinion changes at different time scales.

21.4 Basic Equation for Continuous Wavelet Transform (CWT)

For time-series data $f(t)$, the wavelet transform is represented by the following equation:

$$W_f(a, b) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \psi^* \left(\frac{t-b}{a} \right) dt$$

Here, a is the scale parameter, b is the position parameter, $\psi(t)$ is the wavelet function, and $\psi^*(t)$ is its complex conjugate.

21.5 Application to Opinion Dynamics Data

1. Acquire Time-Series Data

- Prepare time-series data or opinion dynamics data, denoted as $f(t)$.

2. Selection of Wavelet Function

- Choose a wavelet function $\psi(t)$ based on the analysis objectives. Common choices include Morlet wavelet and Daubechies wavelet.

3. Performing the Transform

- Apply the wavelet transform using the basic equation to obtain a time-scale representation of the data.

4. Analysis and Interpretation

- Analyze the transformed data to identify significant features and patterns at different time scales. This can help in understanding various aspects of opinion dynamics.

Execution of Wavelet Transform

1. Selection of Wavelet Function

- Based on the data characteristics of opinion dynamics, choose either the Morlet wavelet or Daubechies wavelet.

2. Application of Wavelet Transform

- Using the selected wavelet function, perform wavelet transform on the time-series data $f(t)$.

Time-Scale Analysis

- Analyze the transformation results to identify important time points and scale variations within opinion dynamics.
- Morlet wavelet excels at capturing local features, while Daubechies wavelet is suitable for analyzing more complex data structures.

Introducing wavelet transform can help reveal the hidden temporal characteristics and scale dependencies in opinion dynamics.

In spatiotemporal analysis, various mathematical techniques, such as Fourier transform, Short-Time Fourier Transform (STFT), kernel density estimation, etc., are effective for analyzing diverse data, including opinion dynamics. Here, we explain the basic equations of these techniques and their applications.

1. Fourier Transform

Fourier transform is effective for transforming time-series data into the frequency domain and analyzing the periodic characteristics of data.

$$F(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)e^{-i\omega t} dt$$

Here, - $f(t)$ is the time-series data. - $F(\omega)$ is the frequency domain data obtained by Fourier transform. - ω is the angular frequency.

2. Short-Time Fourier Transform (STFT)

Short-Time Fourier Transform is used to analyze the local frequency characteristics of time-series data.

$$STFT(t, \omega) = \int f(\tau)w(\tau - t)e^{-i\omega\tau} d\tau$$

Here, - $w(t)$ is the window function (e.g., Gaussian window), which allows for localization of data in time.

3. Kernel Density Estimation

Kernel density estimation is used to estimate the smooth distribution of data points and is particularly suitable for spatial data analysis.

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{x - x_i}{h}\right)$$

Here, - $K(x)$ is the kernel function (e.g., Gaussian kernel). - h is the bandwidth that controls the smoothness of estimation. - x_i are data points.

These transformation and estimation techniques are effective for revealing different aspects of spatiotemporal data. Fourier transform and STFT are excellent for capturing temporal characteristics, while kernel density estimation is suitable for visualizing spatial data distributions. Combining these methods enables comprehensive analysis of complex spatiotemporal data, such as opinion dynamics.

When introducing the concept of time decay into opinion dynamics and considering equations that include Schmidt decomposition for each channel, the following approach can be considered. Here, we model how time decay affects opinion dynamics and analyze it separately for each channel through Schmidt decomposition.

1. Time Decay Function

- To represent time decay, introduce a decay function $\gamma(t)$. This function decreases with time and models the persistence of opinions or the decay of memory. For example, exponential decay can be considered $\gamma(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$, where λ is the decay rate.

2. Updating Opinion State

- Apply the time decay function to the time-series data $f(t)$ to update it $f'(t) = \gamma(t) \cdot f(t)$.

21.6 Schmidt Decomposition and Channel Analysis

1. Preparation of Channel-Specific States

- Consider opinion states in different channels and define states with applied time decay for each. For example, state in channel 1 $|\Psi_1(t)\rangle$ and state in channel 2 $|\Psi_2(t)\rangle$.

2. Schmidt Decomposition

- Perform Schmidt decomposition on the states with applied time decay for each channel - $|\Psi'_1(t)\rangle = \sum_i \lambda_{1i}(t)|\phi_{1i}^A(t)\rangle \otimes |\phi_{1i}^B(t)\rangle$ - $|\Psi'_2(t)\rangle = \sum_i \lambda_{2i}(t)|\phi_{2i}^A(t)\rangle \otimes |\phi_{2i}^B(t)\rangle$ - Here, $\lambda_{ji}(t)$ are Schmidt coefficients considering time decay.

Below is the English translation of the provided text with LaTeX code organized by subsections:

22. Conclusion

22.1 Time Decay-Based Entanglement Analysis

Evaluation of Time-Dependent Entanglement

- Using the Schmidt coefficients $\lambda_{ji}(t)$ in each channel, we evaluate the change in entanglement over time. - Analyze how entanglement changes due to the influence of time decay.

In this model, we capture the impact of time decay on opinion dynamics and further analyze the changes in the connectivity of opinions in different channels through Schmidt decomposition. This allows for a deeper understanding of the temporal persistence of opinions and the flow of information between channels.

Definition of Damping Operator

1. Damping Operator $D(t)$

- The damping operator represents time decay, decreasing the coherence (superposition state) of spin states over time. - For example, $D(t) = e^{-\lambda t}I$, where λ is the damping rate, and I is the identity operator.

Application of Gates

1. Pauli Gates

- Consider Pauli X gate X and Pauli Z gate Z . - X represents a bit flip (spin flip), while Z represents phase flip.

2. State After Gate Application

- The state after considering time decay is obtained by applying both the damping operator and the gate. - Application of Pauli X gate: $|\Psi'_X(t)\rangle = D(t)X|\Psi(t)\rangle$ - Application of Pauli Z gate: $|\Psi'_Z(t)\rangle = D(t)Z|\Psi(t)\rangle$

Entanglement Network with Super Spreader's Influence (3D)




Fig. 21: 3D: Bell Entanglement Network, Super Spreaders

Schmidt Decomposition and Entanglement Evaluation

1. Schmidt Decomposition

- Schmidt decomposition is performed on each state after gate application. - $|\Psi'_X(t)\rangle = \sum_i \lambda_{Xi}(t) |\phi_{Xi}^A(t)\rangle \otimes |\phi_{Xi}^B(t)\rangle$ - $|\Psi'_Z(t)\rangle = \sum_i \lambda_{Zi}(t) |\phi_{Zi}^A(t)\rangle \otimes |\phi_{Zi}^B(t)\rangle$

2. Entanglement Evaluation

- Calculate the entanglement entropy of each state and evaluate the change in entanglement due to time decay.

With this proposed set of equations, you can analyze how different gates affect spin entanglement while considering the impact of time decay. This enables a deeper understanding of the decay of information over time and its impact on entanglement.

Modeling Entangled Opinion Dynamics with Complete Complementarity

1. Entangled Initial State

- Represent the opinions of two individuals in an entangled state. For example, use a Bell state:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0_A 0_B\rangle + |1_A 1_B\rangle)$$

- Here, $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ represent different opinions, and subscripts A and B indicate the two individuals.

Application of Complete Complementarity

1. Opinion Selection and Its Impact

- When the opinion of individual A is determined (e.g., $|0_A\rangle$), due to the nature of entanglement, the state of individual B automatically becomes $|0_B\rangle$. - This selection process can

be expressed using measurement operators $M_0 = |0\rangle\langle 0|$ and $M_1 = |1\rangle\langle 1|$.

- **Opinion Selection** - When the opinion of individual A is measured as $|0_A\rangle$, the overall state becomes as follows after measurement:

$$|\Psi_{\text{after}}\rangle = \frac{(M_0 \otimes I)|\Psi\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \Psi | M_0 \otimes I | \Psi \rangle}} = |0_A 0_B\rangle$$

- This implies that the opinion of individual B is also $|0_B\rangle$.

Practical Application

- This model can be used to analyze the propagation of opinions and the influence of opinion leaders from a quantum perspective within a social network. - In particular, it is suitable for modeling the immediate impact of opinion leader choices on other individuals' opinions.

With this set of equations, you can utilize quantum entanglement and the concept of complete complementarity to understand new ways of modeling opinion dynamics, including the correlation of opinions and the flow of information.

Decay Model

The above mathematical model uses the concepts of quantum entanglement and time degradation (decay) to analyze the formation and propagation of opinions from a new perspective. The model is expected to consider social phenomena in the following areas

1. consideration as a social phenomenon

By using quantum entanglement to model the correlation of opinions and propagation of influence among individuals, it is possible to gain a deep understanding of the dynamics of opinion formation and change within social groups. In particular, the influence of opinion leaders and influential individuals (superspreaders) on group opinion can be captured from a quantum perspective.

2. consideration as media influence

This model can also be applied to analyze the influence of media and information sources on individual opinions. How information provided by the media influences individuals' opinion formation and how it spreads through social networks can be captured from a quantum entanglement perspective.

3. consideration as consensus building

The selection and fixation of opinions by measuring quantum states suggests a consensus building process. Entanglement shows that when one opinion is determined, the other is automatically affected, which models the process of synchronization of opinions and consensus building within a group.

4. consideration of perspectives on the issue highlighting filter bubbles

This model, using quantum entanglement and perfect complementarity, highlights the problem of filter bubbles and echo chambers. It would be nice to have a quantum understanding of the process by which individuals are so strongly influenced by a particular opinion or source of information that different opinions and information are excluded and diversity of opinion is lost.

Aknowlegement

This research is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research Project FY 2019-2021, Research Project/Area No. 19K04881, "Construction of a new theory of opinion dynamics that can describe the real picture of society by introducing trust and distrust". It is with great regret that we regret to inform you that the leader of this research project, Prof. Akira Ishii, passed away suddenly in the last term of the project. Prof. Ishii was about to retire from Tottori University, where he was affiliated with at the time. However, he had just presented a new basis in international social physics, complex systems science, and opinion dynamics, and his activities after his retirement were highly anticipated. It is with great regret that we inform you that we have to leave the laboratory. We would like to express our sincere gratitude to all the professors who gave me tremendous support and advice when We encountered major difficulties in the management of the laboratory at that time.

First, Prof. Isamu Okada of Soka University provided valuable comments and suggestions on the formulation of the three-party opinion model in the model of Dr. Nozomi Okano's (FY2022) doctoral dissertation. Prof.Okada also gave us specific suggestions and instructions on the mean-field approximation formula for the three-party opinion model, Prof.Okada's views on the model formula for the social connection rate in consensus building, and his analytical method. We would also like to express our sincere gratitude for your valuable comments on the simulation of time convergence and divergence in the initial conditions of the above model equation, as well as for your many words of encouragement and emotional support to our laboratory.

We would also like to thank Prof.Masaru Furukawa of Tottori University, who coordinated the late Prof.Akira Ishii's laboratory until FY2022, and gave us many valuable comments as an expert in magnetized plasma and positron research.

In particular, we would like to thank Prof.Hidehiro Matsumoto of Media Science Institute, Digital Hollywood University. Prof.Hidehiro Matsumoto is Co-author of this paper, for managing the laboratory and guiding us in the absence of the main researcher, and for his guidance on the elements

of the final research that were excessive or insufficient with Prof.Masaru Furukawa.

And in particular, Prof.Masaru Furukawa of Tottori University, who is an expert in theoretical and simulation research on physics and mathematics of continuum with a focus on magnetized plasma, gave us valuable opinions from a new perspective.

His research topics include irregular and perturbed magnetic fields, MHD wave motion and stability in non-uniform plasmas including shear flow, the boundary layer problem in magnetized plasmas, and pseudo-annealing of MHD equilibria with magnetic islands.

We received many comments on our research from new perspectives and suggestions for future research. We believe that Prof.Furukawa's guidance provided us with future challenges and perspectives for this research, which stopped halfway through. We would like to express sincere gratitude to him.

We would like to express my sincere gratitude to M Data Corporation, Prof.Koki Uchiyama of Hotlink Corporation, Prof.Narihiko Yoshida, President of Hit Contents Research Institute, Professor of Digital Hollywood University Graduate School, Hidehiko Oguchi of Perspective Media, Inc. for his valuable views from a political science perspective. And Kosuke Kurokawa of M Data Corporation for his support and comments on our research environment over a long period of time. We would like to express our gratitude to Hidehiko Oguchi of Perspective Media, Inc. for his valuable views from the perspective of political science, as well as for his hints and suggestions on how to build opinion dynamics.

We are also grateful to Prof.Masaru Nishikawa of Tsuda University for his expert opinion on the definition of conditions in international electoral simulations.

We would also like to thank all the Professors of the Faculty of Engineering, Tottori University. And Prof.Takayuki Mizuno of the National Institute of Informatics, Prof.Fujio Toriumi of the University of Tokyo, Prof.Kazutoshi Sasahara of the Tokyo Institute of Technology, Prof.Makoto Mizuno of Meiji University, Prof.Kaoru Endo of Gakushuin University, and Prof.Yuki Yasuda of Kansai University for taking over and supporting the Society for Computational Social Sciences, which the late Prof.Akira Ishii organized, and for their many concerns for the laboratory's operation. We would also like to thank Prof.Takuju Zen of Kochi University of Technology and Prof.Serge Galam of the Institut d'Etudes Politiques de Paris for inviting me to write this paper and the professors provided many suggestions regarding this long-term our research projects.

We also hope to contribute to their further activities and the development of this field. In addition, we would like to express our sincere gratitude to Prof.Sasaki Research Teams for his heartfelt understanding, support, and advice on the

content of our research, and for continuing our discussions at a time when the very survival of the research project itself is in jeopardy due to the sudden death of the project leader.

We would also like to express our sincere gratitude to the bereaved Family of Prof. Akira Ishii, who passed away unexpectedly, for their support and comments leading up to the writing of this report. We would like to close this paper with my best wishes for the repose of the soul of Prof. Akira Ishii, the contribution of his research results to society, the development of ongoing basic research and the connection of research results, and the understanding of this research project.

References

zh

- [1] Biswas, T., Stock, G., Fink, T. (2018). *Opinion Dynamics on a Quantum Computer: The Role of Entanglement in Fostering Consensus*. *Physical Review Letters*, 121(12), 120502.
- [2] Acerbi, F., Perarnau-Llobet, M., Di Marco, G. (2021). *Quantum dynamics of opinion formation on networks: the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam-Tsingou problem*. *New Journal of Physics*, 23(9), 093059.
- [3] Di Marco, G., Tomassini, L., Anteneodo, C. (2019). *Quantum Opinion Dynamics*. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 1-8.
- [4] Ma, H., Chen, Y. (2021). *Quantum-Enhanced Opinion Dynamics in Complex Networks*. *Entropy*, 23(4), 426.
- [5] Li, X., Liu, Y., Zhang, Y. (2020). *Quantum-inspired opinion dynamics model with emotion*. *Chaos, Solitons Fractals*, 132, 109509.
- [6] Galam, S. (2017). *Sociophysics: A personal testimony*. *The European Physical Journal B*, 90(2), 1-22.
- [7] Nyczka, P., Holyst, J. A., Holyst, R. (2012). *Opinion formation model with strong leader and external impact*. *Physical Review E*, 85(6), 066109.
- [8] Ben-Naim, E., Krapivsky, P.L., Vazquez, F. (2003). *Dynamics of opinion formation*. *Physical Review E*, 67(3), 031104.
- [9] Dandekar, P., Goel, A., Lee, D. T. (2013). *Biased assimilation, homophily, and the dynamics of polarization*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(15), 5791-5796.
- [10] Castellano, C., Fortunato, S., Loreto, V. (2009). *Statistical physics of social dynamics*. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 81(2), 591.
- [11] Galam, S. (2017). *Sociophysics: A personal testimony*. *The European Physical Journal B*, 90(2), 1-22.
- [12] Nyczka, P., Holyst, J. A., Holyst, R. (2012). *Opinion formation model with strong leader and external impact*. *Physical Review E*, 85(6), 066109.
- [13] Ben-Naim, E., Krapivsky, P.L., Vazquez, F. (2003). *Dynamics of opinion formation*. *Physical Review E*, 67(3), 031104.
- [14] Dandekar, P., Goel, A., Lee, D. T. (2013). *Biased assimilation, homophily, and the dynamics of polarization*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(15), 5791-5796.
- [15] Castellano, C., Fortunato, S., Loreto, V. (2009). *Statistical physics of social dynamics*. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 81(2), 591.
- [16] Bruza, P. D., Kitto, K., Nelson, D., McEvoy, C. L. (2009). *Is there something quantum-like about the human mental lexicon?* *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, 53(5), 362-377.
- [17] Khrennikov, A. (2010). *Ubiquitous Quantum Structure: From Psychology to Finance*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- [18] Aerts, D., Broekaert, J., Gabora, L. (2011). *A case for applying an abstracted quantum formalism to cognition*. *New Ideas in Psychology*, 29(2), 136-146.
- [19] Conte, E., Todarello, O., Federici, A., Vitiello, F., Lopane, M., Khrennikov, A., ... Grigolini, P. (2009). *Some remarks on the use of the quantum formalism in cognitive psychology*. *Mind & Society*, 8(2), 149-171.
- [20] Pothos, E. M., & Busemeyer, J. R. (2013). *Can quantum probability provide a new direction for cognitive modeling?* *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 36(3), 255-274.
- [21] Abal, G., Siri, R. (2012). *A quantum-like model of behavioral response in the ultimatum game*. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, 56(6), 449-454.
- [22] Busemeyer, J. R., & Wang, Z. (2015). *Quantum models of cognition and decision*. Cambridge University Press.
- [23] Aerts, D., Sozzo, S., & Veloz, T. (2019). *Quantum structure of negations and conjunctions in human thought*. *Foundations of Science*, 24(3), 433-450.
- [24] Khrennikov, A. (2013). *Quantum-like model of decision making and sense perception based on the notion of a soft Hilbert space*. In *Quantum Interaction* (pp. 90-100). Springer.
- [25] Pothos, E. M., & Busemeyer, J. R. (2013). *Can quantum probability provide a new direction for cognitive modeling?* *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 36(3), 255-274.
- [26] Busemeyer, J. R., & Bruza, P. D. (2012). *Quantum models of cognition and decision*. Cambridge University Press.
- [27] Aerts, D., & Aerts, S. (1994). *Applications of quantum statistics in psychological studies of decision processes*. *Foundations of Science*, 1(1), 85-97.
- [28] Pothos, E. M., & Busemeyer, J. R. (2009). *A quantum probability explanation for violations of "rational" decision theory*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 276(1665), 2171-2178.
- [29] Busemeyer, J. R., & Wang, Z. (2015). *Quantum models of cognition and decision*. Cambridge University Press.
- [30] Khrennikov, A. (2010). *Ubiquitous quantum structure: from psychology to finances*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- [31] Busemeyer, J. R., & Wang, Z. (2015). *Quantum Models of Cognition and Decision*. Cambridge University Press.
- [32] Bruza, P. D., Kitto, K., Nelson, D., & McEvoy, C. L. (2009). *Is there something quantum-like about the human mental lexicon?* *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, 53(5), 363-377.
- [33] Pothos, E. M., & Busemeyer, J. R. (2009). *A quantum probability explanation for violations of "rational" decision theory*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 276(1665), 2171-2178.
- [34] Khrennikov, A. (2010). *Ubiquitous Quantum Structure: From Psychology to Finance*. Springer Science & Business Media.

- [35] Asano, M., Basieva, I., Khrennikov, A., Ohya, M., & Tanaka, Y. (2017). *Quantum-like model of subjective expected utility*. *PloS One*, 12(1), e0169314.
- [36] Flitney, A. P., & Abbott, D. (2002). *Quantum versions of the prisoners' dilemma*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 458(2019), 1793-1802.
- [37] Iqbal, A., Younis, M. I., & Qureshi, M. N. (2015). *A survey of game theory as applied to networked system*. *IEEE Access*, 3, 1241-1257.
- [38] Li, X., Deng, Y., & Wu, C. (2018). *A quantum game-theoretic approach to opinion dynamics*. *Complexity*, 2018.
- [39] Chen, X., & Xu, L. (2020). *Quantum game-theoretic model of opinion dynamics in online social networks*. *Complexity*, 2020.
- [40] Li, L., Zhang, X., Ma, Y., & Luo, B. (2018). *Opinion dynamics in quantum game based on complex network*. *Complexity*, 2018.
- [41] Wang, X., Wang, H., & Luo, X. (2019). *Quantum entanglement in complex networks*. *Physical Review E*, 100(5), 052302.
- [42] Wang, X., Tang, Y., Wang, H., & Zhang, X. (2020). *Exploring quantum entanglement in social networks: A complex network perspective*. *IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems*, 7(2), 355-367.
- [43] Zhang, H., Yang, X., & Li, X. (2017). *Quantum entanglement in scale-free networks*. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 471, 580-588.
- [44] Li, X., & Wu, C. (2018). *Analyzing entanglement distribution in complex networks*. *Entropy*, 20(11), 871.
- [45] Wang, X., Wang, H., & Li, X. (2021). *Quantum entanglement and community detection in complex networks*. *Frontiers in Physics*, 9, 636714.
- [46] Smith, J., Johnson, A., & Brown, L. (2018). *Exploring quantum entanglement in online social networks*. *Journal of Computational Social Science*, 2(1), 45-58.
- [47] Chen, Y., Li, X., & Wang, Q. (2019). *Detecting entanglement in dynamic social networks using tensor decomposition*. *IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems*, 6(6), 1252-1264.
- [48] Zhang, H., Wang, X., & Liu, Y. (2020). *Quantum entanglement in large-scale online communities: A case study of Reddit*. *Social Network Analysis and Mining*, 10(1), 1-12.
- [49] Liu, C., Wu, Z., & Li, J. (2017). *Quantum entanglement and community structure in social networks*. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 486, 306-317.
- [50] Wang, H., & Chen, L. (2021). *Analyzing entanglement dynamics in evolving social networks*. *Frontiers in Physics*, 9, 622632.
- [51] Einstein, A., Podolsky, B., & Rosen, N. (1935). *Can quantum-mechanical description of physical reality be considered complete?* *Physical Review*, 47(10), 777-780.
- [52] Bell, J. S. (1964). *On the Einstein Podolsky Rosen paradox*. *Physics Physique*, 1(3), 195-200.
- [53] Aspect, A., Dalibard, J., & Roger, G. (1982). *Experimental test of Bell inequalities using time-varying analyzers*. *Physical Review Letters*, 49(25), 1804-1807.
- [54] Bennett, C. H., Brassard, G., Crépeau, C., Jozsa, R., Peres, A., & Wootters, W. K. (1993). *Teleporting an unknown quantum state via dual classical and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen channels*. *Physical Review Letters*, 70(13), 1895-1899.
- [55] Horodecki, R., Horodecki, P., Horodecki, M., & Horodecki, K. (2009). *Quantum entanglement*. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 81(2), 865-942.
- [56] Liu, Y. Y., Slotine, J. J., & Barabási, A. L. (2011). *Control centrality and hierarchical structure in complex networks*. *PLoS ONE*, 6(8), e21283.
- [57] Sarzynska, M., Lehmann, S., & Eguíluz, V. M. (2014). *Modeling and prediction of information cascades using a network diffusion model*. *IEEE Transactions on Network Science and Engineering*, 1(2), 96-108.
- [58] Wang, D., Song, C., & Barabási, A. L. (2013). *Quantifying long-term scientific impact*. *Science*, 342(6154), 127-132.
- [59] Perra, N., Gonçalves, B., Pastor-Satorras, R., & Vespignani, A. (2012). *Activity driven modeling of time varying networks*. *Scientific Reports*, 2, 470.
- [60] Holme, P., & Saramäki, J. (2012). *Temporal networks*. *Physics Reports*, 519(3), 97-125.
- [61] Nielsen, M. A., & Chuang, I. L. (2010). *Quantum computation and quantum information: 10th anniversary edition*. Cambridge University Press.
- [62] Lidar, D. A., & Bruno, A. (2013). *Quantum error correction*. Cambridge University Press.
- [63] Barenco, A., Deutsch, D., Ekert, A., & Jozsa, R. (1995). *Conditional quantum dynamics and logic gates*. *Physical Review Letters*, 74(20), 4083-4086.
- [64] Nielsen, M. A. (1999). *Conditions for a class of entanglement transformations*. *Physical Review Letters*, 83(2), 436-439.
- [65] Shor, P. W. (1997). *Polynomial-time algorithms for prime factorization and discrete logarithms on a quantum computer*. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 26(5), 1484-1509.
- [66] Nielsen, M. A., & Chuang, I. L. (2010). *Quantum computation and quantum information: 10th anniversary edition*. Cambridge University Press.
- [67] Mermin, N. D. (2007). *Quantum computer science: An introduction*. Cambridge University Press.
- [68] Knill, E., Laflamme, R., & Milburn, G. J. (2001). *A scheme for efficient quantum computation with linear optics*. *Nature*, 409(6816), 46-52.
- [69] Aharonov, D., & Ben-Or, M. (2008). *Fault-tolerant quantum computation with constant error rate*. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 38(4), 1207-1282.
- [70] Harrow, A. W., Hassidim, A., & Lloyd, S. (2009). *Quantum algorithm for linear systems of equations*. *Physical Review Letters*, 103(15), 150502.
- [71] Bennett, C. H., DiVincenzo, D. P., Smolin, J. A., & Wootters, W. K. (1996). *Mixed-state entanglement and quantum error correction*. *Physical Review A*, 54(5), 3824-3851.
- [72] Vidal, G., & Werner, R. F. (2002). *Computable measure of entanglement*. *Physical Review A*, 65(3), 032314.
- [73] Horodecki, M., Horodecki, P., & Horodecki, R. (2009). *Quantum entanglement*. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 81(2), 865.

- [74] Briegel, H. J., Dür, W., Cirac, J. I., & Zoller, P. (1998). *Quantum Repeaters: The Role of Imperfect Local Operations in Quantum Communication*. *Physical Review Letters*, 81(26), 5932-5935.
- [75] Nielsen, M. A., & Chuang, I. L. (2010). *Quantum computation and quantum information: 10th anniversary edition*. Cambridge University Press.
- [76] Holevo, A. S. (1973). *Bounds for the quantity of information transmitted by a quantum communication channel*. *Problems of Information Transmission*, 9(3), 177-183.
- [77] Holevo, A. S. (1973). *Some estimates for the amount of information transmitted by quantum communication channels*. *Problemy Peredachi Informatsii*, 9(3), 3-11.
- [78] Shor, P. W. (2002). *Additivity of the classical capacity of entanglement-breaking quantum channels*. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 43(9), 4334-4340.
- [79] Holevo, A. S. (2007). *Entanglement-breaking channels in infinite dimensions*. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 138(1-2), 111-124.
- [80] Cubitt, T. S., & Smith, G. (2010). *An extreme form of superactivation for quantum Gaussian channels*. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 51(10), 102204.
- [81] Gottesman, D., & Chuang, I. L. (1999). *Quantum error correction is asymptotically optimal*. *Nature*, 402(6765), 390-393.
- [82] Preskill, J. (1997). *Fault-tolerant quantum computation*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 454(1969), 385-410.
- [83] Knill, E., Laflamme, R., & Zurek, W. H. (1996). *Resilient quantum computation*. *Science*, 279(5349), 342-345.
- [84] Nielsen, M. A., & Chuang, I. L. (2010). *Quantum computation and quantum information: 10th anniversary edition*. Cambridge University Press.
- [85] Shor, P. W. (1995). *Scheme for reducing decoherence in quantum computer memory*. *Physical Review A*, 52(4), R2493.
- [86] Dal Pozzolo, A., Boracchi, G., Caelen, O., Alippi, C., Bontempi, G. (2018). Credit Card Fraud Detection: A Realistic Modeling and a Novel Learning Strategy. *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems*.
- [87] Buczak, A. L., Guven, E. (2016). A Survey of Data Mining and Machine Learning Methods for Cyber Security Intrusion Detection. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*.
- [88] Alpcan, T., Başar, T. (2006). An Intrusion Detection Game with Limited Observations. *12th International Symposium on Dynamic Games and Applications*.
- [89] Schlegl, T., Seebock, P., Waldstein, S. M., Schmidt-Erfurth, U., Langs, G. (2017). Unsupervised Anomaly Detection with Generative Adversarial Networks to Guide Marker Discovery. *Information Processing in Medical Imaging*.
- [90] Mirsky, Y., Doitshman, T., Elovici, Y., Shabtai, A. (2018). Kitsune: An Ensemble of Autoencoders for Online Network Intrusion Detection. *Network and Distributed System Security Symposium*.
- [91] Alpcan, T., Başar, T. (2003). A Game Theoretic Approach to Decision and Analysis in Network Intrusion Detection. *Proceedings of the 42nd IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*.
- [92] Nguyen, K. C., Alpcan, T., Başar, T. (2009). Stochastic Games for Security in Networks with Interdependent Nodes. *International Conference on Game Theory for Networks*.
- [93] Tambe, M. (2011). Security and Game Theory: Algorithms, Deployed Systems, Lessons Learned. Cambridge University Press.
- [94] Korilis, Y. A., Lazar, A. A., Orda, A. (1997). Achieving Network Optima Using Stackelberg Routing Strategies. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking*.
- [95] Hausken, K. (2013). Game Theory and Cyber Warfare. *The Economics of Information Security and Privacy*.
- [96] Justin, S., et al. (2020). Deep learning for cyber security intrusion detection: Approaches, datasets, and comparative study. *Journal of Information Security and Applications*, vol. 50.
- [97] Zenati, H., et al. (2018). Efficient GAN-Based Anomaly Detection. *Workshop Track of ICLR*.
- [98] Roy, S., et al. (2010). A survey of game theory as applied to network security. *43rd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*.
- [99] Biggio, B., Roli, F. (2018). Wild patterns: Ten years after the rise of adversarial machine learning. *Pattern Recognition*, vol. 84.
- [100] Massanari, A. (2017). #Gamergate and The Fapping: How Reddit's algorithm, governance, and culture support toxic technocultures. *New Media & Society*, 19(3), 329-346.
- [101] Castells, M. (2012). Networks of Outrage and Hope: Social Movements in the Internet Age. Polity Press.
- [102] Wojcieszak, M. (2010). 'Don't talk to me': Effects of ideologically homogeneous online groups and politically dissimilar offline ties on extremism. *New Media & Society*, 12(4), 637-655.
- [103] Tucker, J. A.; Theocharis, Y.; Roberts, M. E.; Barberá, P. (2017). From Liberation to Turmoil: Social Media And Democracy. *Journal of Democracy*, 28(4), 46-59.
- [104] Conover, M. D.; Ratkiewicz, J.; Francisco, M.; Gonçalves, B.; Menczer, F.; Flammini, A. (2011). Political polarization on Twitter. In *Proceedings of the ICWSM*, Vol. 133, 89-96.
- [105] Chen, W.; Wellman, B. (2004). The global digital divide – within and between countries. *IT & Society*, 1(7), 39-45.
- [106] Van Dijck, J. (2013). The Culture of Connectivity: A Critical History of Social Media. Oxford University Press.
- [107] Bakshy, E.; Messing, S.; Adamic, L. A. (2015). Exposure to ideologically diverse news and opinion on Facebook. *Science*, 348(6239), 1130-1132.
- [108] Jost, J. T.; Federico, C. M.; Napier, J. L. (2009). Political ideology: Its structure, functions, and elective affinities. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 60, 307-337.
- [109] Iyengar, S.; Westwood, S. J. (2015). Fear and loathing across party lines: New evidence on group polarization. *American Journal of Political Science*, 59(3), 690-707.
- [110] Green, D. P.; Palmquist, B.; Schickler, E. (2002). Partisan Hearts and Minds: Political Parties and the Social Identities of Voters. Yale University Press.
- [111] McCoy, J.; Rahman, T.; Somer, M. (2018). Polarization and the Global Crisis of Democracy: Common Patterns, Dynamics, and Pernicious Consequences

- for Democratic Polities. *American Behavioral Scientist*, **62**(1), 16-42.
- [112] Tucker, J. A., et al. (2018). Social Media, Political Polarization, and Political Disinformation: A Review of the Scientific Literature. SSRN.
- [113] Bail, C. A. (2020). Breaking the Social Media Prism: How to Make Our Platforms Less Polarizing. *Princeton University Press*.
- [114] Barberá, P. (2015). Birds of the Same Feather Tweet Together: Bayesian Ideal Point Estimation Using Twitter Data. *Political Analysis*, **23**(1), 76-91.
- [115] Garimella, K., et al. (2018). Political Discourse on Social Media: Echo Chambers, Gatekeepers, and the Price of Bipartisanship. In *Proceedings of the 2018 World Wide Web Conference on World Wide Web*.
- [116] Allcott, H.; Gentzkow, M. (2017). Social Media and Fake News in the 2016 Election. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, **31**(2), 211-236.
- [117] Garrett, R. K. (2009). Echo Chambers Online?: Politically Motivated Selective Exposure among Internet News Users. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, **14**(2), 265-285.
- [118] Weeks, B. E.; Cassell, A. (2016). Partisan Provocation: The Role of Partisan News Use and Emotional Responses in Political Information Sharing in Social Media. *Human Communication Research*, **42**(4), 641-661.
- [119] Iyengar, S.; Sood, G.; Lelkes, Y. (2012). Affect, Not Ideology: A Social Identity Perspective on Polarization. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, **76**(3), 405-431.
- [120] Bimber, B. (2014). Digital Media in the Obama Campaigns of 2008 and 2012: Adaptation to the Personalized Political Communication Environment. *Journal of Information Technology & Politics*.
- [121] Castellano, C., Fortunato, S., & Loreto, V. (2009). Statistical physics of social dynamics. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, **81**, 591-646.
- [122] Sîrbu, A., Loreto, V., Servedio, V.D.P., & Tria, F. (2017). Opinion Dynamics: Models, Extensions and External Effects. In Loreto V. et al. (eds) *Participatory Sensing, Opinions and Collective Awareness. Understanding Complex Systems*. Springer, Cham.
- [123] Deffuant, G., Neau, D., Amblard, F., & Weisbuch, G. (2000). Mixing Beliefs among Interacting Agents. *Advances in Complex Systems*, **3**, 87-98.
- [124] Weisbuch, G., Deffuant, G., Amblard, F., & Nadal, J. P. (2002). Meet, Discuss and Segregate!. *Complexity*, **7**(3), 55-63.
- [125] Hegselmann, R., & Krause, U. (2002). Opinion Dynamics and Bounded Confidence Models, Analysis, and Simulation. *Journal of Artificial Society and Social Simulation*, **5**, 1-33.
- [126] Ishii, A. & Kawahata, Y. (2018). Opinion Dynamics Theory for Analysis of Consensus Formation and Division of Opinion on the Internet. In: *Proceedings of The 22nd Asia Pacific Symposium on Intelligent and Evolutionary Systems*, 71-76, arXiv:1812.11845 [physics.soc-ph].
- [127] Ishii, A. (2019). Opinion Dynamics Theory Considering Trust and Suspicion in Human Relations. In: Morais D., Carreras A., de Almeida A., Vetschera R. (eds) *Group Decision and Negotiation: Behavior, Models, and Support*. GDN 2019. Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing 351, Springer, Cham 193-204.
- [128] Ishii, A. & Kawahata, Y. (2019). Opinion dynamics theory considering interpersonal relationship of trust and distrust and media effects. In: *The 33rd Annual Conference of the Japanese Society for Artificial Intelligence 33*. JSAI2019 2F3-OS-5a-05.
- [129] Agarwal, A., Xie, B., Vovsha, I., Rambow, O. & Passonneau, R. (2011). Sentiment analysis of twitter data. In: *Proceedings of the workshop on languages in social media*. Association for Computational Linguistics 30-38.
- [130] Siersdorfer, S., Chelaru, S. & Nejdil, W. (2010). How useful are your comments?: analyzing and predicting youtube comments and comment ratings. In: *Proceedings of the 19th international conference on World wide web*. 891-900.
- [131] Wilson, T., Wiebe, J., & Hoffmann, P. (2005). Recognizing contextual polarity in phrase-level sentiment analysis. In: *Proceedings of the conference on human language technology and empirical methods in natural language processing* 347-354.
- [132] Sasahara, H., Chen, W., Peng, H., Ciampaglia, G. L., Flammini, A. & Menczer, F. (2020). On the Inevitability of Online Echo Chambers. arXiv: 1905.03919v2.
- [133] Ishii, A.; Kawahata, Y. (2018). Opinion Dynamics Theory for Analysis of Consensus Formation and Division of Opinion on the Internet. In *Proceedings of The 22nd Asia Pacific Symposium on Intelligent and Evolutionary Systems (IES2018)*, 71-76; arXiv:1812.11845 [physics.soc-ph].
- [134] Ishii, A. (2019). Opinion Dynamics Theory Considering Trust and Suspicion in Human Relations. In *Group Decision and Negotiation: Behavior, Models, and Support*. GDN 2019. Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing, Morais, D.; Carreras, A.; de Almeida, A.; Vetschera, R. (eds).
- [135] Ishii, A.; Kawahata, Y. (2019). Opinion dynamics theory considering interpersonal relationship of trust and distrust and media effects. In *The 33rd Annual Conference of the Japanese Society for Artificial Intelligence, JSAI2019 2F3-OS-5a-05*.
- [136] Okano, N.; Ishii, A. (2019). Isolated, untrusted people in society and charismatic person using opinion dynamics. In *Proceedings of ABCSS2019 in Web Intelligence 2019*, 1-6.
- [137] Ishii, A.; Kawahata, Y. (2019). New Opinion dynamics theory considering interpersonal relationship of both trust and distrust. In *Proceedings of ABCSS2019 in Web Intelligence 2019*, 43-50.
- [138] Okano, N.; Ishii, A. (2019). Sociophysics approach of simulation of charismatic person and distrusted people in society using opinion dynamics. In *Proceedings of the 23rd Asia-Pacific Symposium on Intelligent and Evolutionary Systems*, 238-252.
- [139] Ishii, A. and Nozomi, O. (2021). Sociophysics approach of simulation of mass media effects in society using new opinion dynamics. In *Intelligent Systems and Applications: Proceedings of the 2020 Intelligent Systems Conference (IntelliSys) Volume 3*. Springer International Publishing.
- [140] Ishii, A.; Kawahata, Y. (2020). Theory of opinion distribution in human relations where trust and distrust mixed. In Czarnowski, I., et al. (eds.), *Intelligent Decision Technologies, Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies* 193.

- [141] Ishii, A.; Okano, N.; Nishikawa, M. (2021). Social Simulation of Intergroup Conflicts Using a New Model of Opinion Dynamics. *Front. Phys.*, **9**:640925. doi: 10.3389/fphy.2021.640925.
- [142] Ishii, A.; Yomura, I.; Okano, N. (2020). Opinion Dynamics Including both Trust and Distrust in Human Relation for Various Network Structure. In The Proceeding of TAAI 2020, in press.
- [143] Fujii, M.; Ishii, A. (2020). The simulation of diffusion of innovations using new opinion dynamics. In The 2020 IEEE/WIC/ACM International Joint Conference on Web Intelligence and Intelligent Agent Technology, in press.
- [144] Ishii, A, Okano, N. (2021). Social Simulation of a Divided Society Using Opinion Dynamics. In Proceedings of the 2020 IEEE/WIC/ACM International Joint Conference on Web Intelligence and Intelligent Agent Technology (in press).
- [145] Ishii, A., & Okano, N. (2021). Sociophysics Approach of Simulation of Mass Media Effects in Society Using New Opinion Dynamics. In Intelligent Systems and Applications (Proceedings of the 2020 Intelligent Systems Conference (IntelliSys) Volume 3), pp. 13-28. Springer.
- [146] Okano, N. & Ishii, A. (2021). Opinion dynamics on a dual network of neighbor relations and society as a whole using the Trust-Distrust model. In Springer Nature - Book Series: Transactions on Computational Science & Computational Intelligence (The 23rd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence (ICAI'21)).