

EQUIVARIANT MORSE THEORY FOR LIE ALGEBRA ACTIONS ON RIEMANNIAN FOLIATIONS

YI LIN, ZUOQIN WANG

ABSTRACT. Consider the transverse isometric action of a finite dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} on a Riemannian foliation. This paper studies the equivariant Morse-Bott theory on the leaf space of the Riemannian foliations in this setting. Among other things, we establish a foliated version of the Morse-Bott lemma for a \mathfrak{g} -invariant basic Morse-Bott function, and a foliated version of the usual handle presentation theorem. In the non-equivariant case, we apply these results to present a new proof of the Morse inequalities on Riemannian foliations. In the equivariant case, we apply these results to study Hamiltonian action of an abelian Lie algebra on a presymplectic manifold whose underlying foliation is also Riemannian, and extend the Kirwan surjectivity and injectivity theorem in equivariant symplectic geometry to this situation. Among other things, this implies the Kirwan surjectivity and injectivity hold for Hamiltonian torus actions on symplectic orbifolds.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. A review of Riemannian foliations	5
3. Transverse Lie algebra actions	8
3.1. Transverse action Lie algebroid	8
3.2. Transverse isometric Lie algebra action	10
4. Equivariant basic cohomology and Thom isomorphisms	11
5. Equivariant Morse theory on Riemannian foliations	14
6. Applications to the equivariant basic cohomology theory	21
7. Morse inequalities for Riemannian foliations	25
8. Hamiltonian actions on pre-symplectic manifolds	26
9. Hamiltonian torus actions on symplectic orbifolds	31
Appendix A. Thom isomorphism for non-orientable foliated vector bundles	33
References	35

Date: January 3, 2024.

Z.W. is partially supported by National Key R and D Program of China 2020YFA0713100, and by NSFC no. 12171446.

1. INTRODUCTION

Assume that there is a Hamiltonian action of a compact Lie group on a symplectic manifold (M, ω) with a moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ taking value in the dual of the Lie algebra of G , and that $0 \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a regular value of μ . Applying the equivariant Morse theory, Kirwan [Kir84] proved that the map $\kappa : H_G(M) \rightarrow H_G(\mu^{-1}(0))$ induced by inclusion is a surjection, and that the pullback homomorphism $i^* : H_G(M) \rightarrow H_G(M^G)$ is an injection when G is a compact and connected torus. These two results, known as Kirwan surjectivity and Kirwan injectivity theorem respectively, play an important role in the development of equivariant symplectic geometry. More recently, Lin and Sjamaar [LS17] studied Hamiltonian actions on pre-symplectic manifolds. They discovered that when the action is clean, components of a moment map are Morse-Bott functions, and extended the Atiyah-Guillemin-Sternberg convexity theorem to this setting. Naturally one wonders if the Kirwan surjectivity and injectivity theorem could also be generalized to Hamiltonian actions on pre-symplectic manifolds. In [LY19] and [LY23], using symplectic Hodge theoretic techniques, Lin and Yang had the Kirwan injectivity theorem generalized to the case of a Hamiltonian torus action on a pre-symplectic manifold that satisfies the transverse Hard Lefschetz property.

The notion of basic cohomology was first introduced by Reinhart [R59] as a cohomology theory for the leaf space of a foliation. It turns out to be a very useful cohomological tool in the study of Riemannian foliations. Killing foliation is an important class of Riemannian foliations which is known to possess a type of "internal" symmetry given by the transverse action of their structural Lie algebras. In order to develop the localization techniques for this important type of symmetries, Goertsches and Töben [GT18] first came up with the notion of equivariant basic cohomology. In particular, Reeb flow of a K-contact manifold is isometric with respect to the given contact metric and so the underlying foliation is Killing. For the action of a torus on a K-contact manifold, Casselmann [Ca17] applied the machinery of equivariant Morse theory developed in [W69] to study its equivariant basic cohomology, and proved that the Kirwan surjectivity and injectivity continue to hold in this framework.

The theory of complete pseudogroups of local isometries was first introduced by A Haefliger in 1980's as an important approach to the transverse geometry and topology of Riemannian foliations. From this viewpoint, López [AL93] studied the Morse theory for the orbit space of complete pseudogroup of local isometries. When the orbit space is compact, using Witten's analytic methods López established Morse inequalities for the invariant cohomology in this setting. Among other things, his result implies the Morse inequalities for the basic cohomology of Riemannian foliations with compact leaf spaces.

In this paper, we initiate the study of equivariant Morse theory on a general Riemannian foliation. Suppose that there is an isometric transverse action of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} on a Riemannian foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) . We first prove the following existence result.

Proposition 1.1. *If the leaf closure space $M/\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ is compact, and if the dimension of $M/\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ is greater than 0, then there exists a \mathfrak{g} -invariant basic function that is Morse-Bott on (M, \mathcal{F}) . Indeed, the space of \mathfrak{g} -invariant basic Morse-Bott functions is dense in C^∞ -topology in the space of \mathfrak{g} -invariant basic functions on (M, \mathcal{F}) .*

Assume that $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a \mathfrak{g} -invariant Morse-Bott basic function on (M, \mathcal{F}) . We then prove the following three Morse theoretic results.

Lemma 1.2. (Foliated Morse-Bott Lemma) *Let X be a connected, compact and non-degenerate critical submanifold of f . For any $p \in X$, define N_p^+X and N_p^-X to be the positive and negative subspaces of the quadratic form $T_p^2f : N_pX \times N_pX \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then both $N^+X := \cup_{p \in X} N_p^+X$ and $N^-X = \cup_{p \in X} N_p^-X$ are sub-bundles of NX such that*

$$(1.1) \quad NX = N^+X \oplus N^-X.$$

Moreover, if $f(X) = 0$, then there exist a $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F})$ -equivariant tubular neighborhood $\phi : NX \rightarrow M$, a positive constant $r > 0$, and a $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F})$ -equivariant diffeomorphism θ from $NX(r)$ to an open neighborhood U of the zero section in NX , which is fiberwise and origin preserving, such that

$$(1.2) \quad f((\phi \circ \theta)(x, y)) = \|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2, \quad \forall (x, y) \in N_pX, \text{ where } p \in X.$$

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that $a < b$ are two real numbers in $f(M)$, and that no critical values of f lie in the open interval (a, b) . Then there is a foliation preserving \mathfrak{g} -equivariant diffeomorphism from M^b onto M^a .*

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose that 0 is a critical value of f , that $\epsilon > 0$ is so small that 0 is the only critical value of f in $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, and that X_1, \dots, X_s are all the connected components of the critical submanifold of f that lies in $f^{-1}(0)$. Then there is a \mathfrak{g} -equivariant foliated diffeomorphism from M^ϵ to a $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F})$ -invariant submanifold $\tilde{M} \subset M$ with boundary that arises from M^ϵ by attaching s many basic handles of type (N^+X_i, N^-X_i) , $1 \leq i \leq s$.*

These are Proposition 5.3, Lemma 5.6, Theorem 5.8 and Theorem 5.12 in this article, respectively. There are certain technical subtleties in extending Morse theory to Riemannian foliations. For instance, let f be a basic Morse function on M and let $M^a := \{p \in M \mid f(p) \leq a\}$. Note that since the basic cohomology is defined using a subcomplex of smooth differential forms, the usual description of the change of continuous homotopy type of M^a when passing a critical level does not apply to the equivariant basic cohomology directly. Also note that when passing a critical level the attached basic handle is by definition a manifold with corner itself. To circumvent the technical issues of working with forms on manifolds with

corners, we carefully choose a version of the relative equivariant basic cohomology which allows us to give a clean proof of the desired excision property (Proposition 6.4).

As a main application of the equivariant Morse theoretic results established in this paper, we study the Hamiltonian transverse Lie algebra actions on pre-symplectic manifolds and prove the following results.

Theorem 1.5. (Kirwan Surjectivity) *Consider the transverse isometric action of an abelian Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} on a Riemannian foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) that is also transversely symplectic. Suppose that the action of \mathfrak{g} is Hamiltonian with a moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ for which $0 \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a regular value. Then the inclusion map $i : Z := \mu^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow M$ induces a surjection $\kappa : H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(Z, \mathcal{F}|_Z)$ in cohomologies.*

Theorem 1.6. (Kirwan Injectivity) *Consider the transverse isometric action of an abelian Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} on a Riemannian foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) that is also transversely symplectic. Let $X := M^{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the fixed-leaf set, $i : X \hookrightarrow M$ the inclusion map, and*

$$(1.3) \quad i^* : H_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}(X, \mathcal{F}|_X)$$

the pullback morphism induced by i . Assume that the action of \mathfrak{g} is Hamiltonian. Then the morphism i^ must be injective.*

These are Theorem 8.9 and Theorem 8.10 in this article, respectively. It is worth mentioning that to prove the Kirwan surjectivity for an abelian Lie algebra of arbitrary dimension, we follow the idea of an inductive argument used in [BL10] and reduce the general case to the case when the dimension of the Lie algebra is one. These results are new in that they provide a general framework for the Kirwan surjectivity and injectivity theorem to continue to hold on singular spaces. For instance, it was proved by Holm and Matsumura [?] that Kirwan injectivity theorem holds for Hamiltonian torus actions on a symplectic orbifold that can be realized as the global quotient of a smooth manifold by another torus. Since every effective orbifold can be realized as the leaf space of a Riemannian foliation, as shown in this paper our general result implies immediately that both Kirwan surjectivity and injectivity hold for Hamiltonian torus actions on a symplectic orbifold which is not necessarily the global quotient of a smooth manifold by another torus.

It is well-known that orbifolds are singular spaces locally modeled by quotients of Cartesian spaces by the smooth action of finite groups. In order to generalize the Delzant construction in toric geometry to simple non-rational polytopes, Prato [Pra01] introduced the notion of quasifolds, which are singular spaces locally modeled by quotients of Cartesian spaces by the smooth affine action of countable groups. Battaglia and Zaffran later showed in [BZ15] and [BZ17] that every symplectic toric quasifold is equivariantly symplectomorphic to the leaf space of a Killing foliation. In a very recent work Lin and Miyamoyto [LM23] proved that the leaf space of a Killing foliation always carries the structure of a quasifold. In view of these

results, our general results will also apply to a large class of Hamiltonian torus actions on symplectic quasifolds. Indeed, the Kirwan injectivity theorem has already been used in [LY23] to derive an explicit combinatorial formula for the basic Betti numbers and basic Hodge numbers of toric quasifolds.

Finally we note that Ortiz and Valencia [OV22] recently began the study of Morse theory on Lie groupoids, a topic which is certainly closely related to ours. However, the Lie groupoids are required to be proper in [OV22] for the standard machinery of Morse theory to continue to work. In contrast, our work builds on Molino's structure theory of a Riemannian foliation and does not require the holonomy groupoid of a Riemannian foliation to be proper. It will be interesting to see to what extent our results could be generalized to Riemannian Lie groupoids (in the sense of [HF18]) that are not proper.

Our paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews basic notions on foliations, especially Molino's structure theory of a Riemannian foliation. Section 3 reviews background materials on transverse Lie algebra actions on a foliated manifold. Section 4 reviews the equivariant basic cohomology and the equivariant Thom isomorphism in this setting. Section 5 extends the equivariant Morse theory to the setting of isometric transverse Lie algebra actions on Riemannian foliations. Section 6 discusses the applications of the Morse theory to the equivariant basic cohomology on a Riemannian foliation. Section 7 derives the Morse inequality for the basic cohomology of a Riemannian foliation. Section 8 generalizes the Kirwan surjectivity and Kirwan injectivity theorem to Hamiltonian actions of abelian Lie algebras on pre-symplectic manifolds. Section 9 proves the Kirwan surjectivity and Kirwan injectivity results for Hamiltonian torus actions on symplectic orbifolds.

2. A REVIEW OF RIEMANNIAN FOLIATIONS

In this section we review the definition of a Riemannian foliation, as well as the main ingredients of Molino's structure theory of Riemannian foliations that will be used in this paper. We refer to [Mo88] and [LS18, Sec. 3] for a more detailed account. We begin with recalling some basic notions in foliation theory.

Definition 2.1. *Let M be a manifold of dimension $p + q$. An atlas of **foliation coordinate charts** is a maximum family of coordinate charts of the form*

$$\varphi_\alpha : \mathcal{U}_\alpha \rightarrow \varphi_\alpha(\mathcal{U}_\alpha) = V_\alpha \times_{\text{open}} W_\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^q, \quad z \mapsto (x_\alpha^1(z), \dots, x_\alpha^p(z), y_\alpha^1(z), \dots, y_\alpha^q(z))$$

where $V_\alpha \subset_{\text{open}} \mathbb{R}^p$, $W_\alpha \subset_{\text{open}} \mathbb{R}^q$, such that on the overlap $\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta$ the coordinates change function $\varphi_\beta \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1} : \varphi_\alpha(\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta) \rightarrow \varphi_\beta(\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta)$ satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{\partial y_\beta^i}{\partial x_\alpha^j} = 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq q, \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq p.$$

Let $(U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha, x_\alpha^1, \dots, x_\alpha^p, y_\alpha^1, \dots, y_\alpha^q)$ be a foliation coordinate chart on M . Then a **vertical plaque** in U_α is a non-empty subset of U_α of the form

$$y_\alpha^1 = c_1, \dots, y_\alpha^q = c_q,$$

where (c_1, \dots, c_q) is a given point in \mathbb{R}^q . We will denote by (M, \mathcal{F}) , or simply by \mathcal{F} , the foliation defined by an atlas of foliation coordinate charts on M .

Remark 2.2. In this paper we will have to deal with foliated manifolds with boundaries. When M is a bounded manifold of dimension $p + q$, replacing \mathbb{R}^q by $\mathbb{R}_+^q = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^q \mid x_q \geq 0\}$ in Definition 2.1 provides us the definition of an atlas of foliation coordinate charts on a bounded manifold.

Let $\{(U_\alpha, x_\alpha^1, \dots, x_\alpha^p, y_\alpha^1, \dots, y_\alpha^q)\}$ be an atlas of foliation coordinate charts on a manifold M . Then on each U_α , $\text{span}\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha^p}\}$ defines an integrable distribution; furthermore, it is easy to check that the definition is independent of the choice of a local coordinate chart, and so gives rise to an integrable distribution on M . A leaf of (M, \mathcal{F}) is a maximum integrable submanifold of this integrable distribution.

Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation on a smooth manifold M . Throughout this paper we denote by $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ the space of vector fields on M and by $\mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathfrak{X}(M)$ the subspace of vector fields tangent to the leaves of \mathcal{F} . We say that a subset X is **\mathcal{F} -saturated**, if whenever a leaf L of \mathcal{F} intersects X non-trivially, we must have that $L \subset X$. We say that a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is *foliate*, if $[X, Y] \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F})$ for all $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F})$. We will denote by $\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{F})$ the space of foliate vector fields on (M, \mathcal{F}) . Clearly we have that $\mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{F})$. In this context, a **transverse vector field** is an equivalent class in the quotient space $\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{F})/\mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F})$. The space of transverse vector fields, denoted by $\mathfrak{X}(M, \mathcal{F})$, forms a Lie algebra with a Lie bracket inherited from the natural one on $\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{F})$. It is straightforward to check that $\mathfrak{X}(M, \mathcal{F})$ equals the space of $\mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F})$ -fixed sections of the normal bundle $N\mathcal{F}$ of the foliation.

The space of **basic functions** on a foliated manifold (M, \mathcal{F}) is defined to be

$$\{f \in C^\infty(M) \mid \mathcal{L}_X f = 0, \forall X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F})\}.$$

More generally, the space of **basic forms** on (M, \mathcal{F}) is defined to be

$$\Omega(M, \mathcal{F}) = \{\alpha \in \Omega(M) \mid \iota(X)\alpha = \mathcal{L}(X)\alpha = 0, \forall X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F})\}.$$

Since the exterior differential operator d preserves basic forms, we obtain a sub-complex $\{\Omega^*(M, \mathcal{F}), d\}$ of the usual de Rham complex, called the **basic de Rham complex**. The associated cohomology $H^*(M, \mathcal{F})$ is called the **basic cohomology**.

Definition 2.3. A **transverse Riemannian metric** on a foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) is a Riemannian metric g on the normal bundle $N\mathcal{F}$ of the foliation, such that $\mathcal{L}(X)g = 0, \forall X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F})$. We say that \mathcal{F} is a **Riemannian foliation** if there exists a transverse Riemannian metric on (M, \mathcal{F}) .

Definition 2.4. We say that a Riemannian metric g_{TM} on a foliated manifold (M, \mathcal{F}) is **bundle-like**, if it has the following property: the function $g_{\text{TM}}(X, Y)$ is basic for all foliate vector fields X and Y that are perpendicular to the leaves of \mathcal{F} .

A bundle-like metric g_{TM} gives rise to a transverse metric g by identifying $N\mathcal{F}$ with the g_{TM} -orthogonal complement of $T\mathcal{F}$ and then restricting g_{TM} to $N\mathcal{F}$. Conversely, for every transverse metric g there is a bundle-like metric g_{TM} which induces g , cf. [Mo88, Sec. 3.2]. We say a Riemannian foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) is **complete**, if it admits a complete bundle-like metric.

Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a Riemannian foliation with a transverse metric g , and let \bar{X} be a transverse vector field. Define $\mathcal{L}(\bar{X})g = \mathcal{L}(X)g$, where X is a foliate vector field that represents \bar{X} . It is straightforward to check that this definition does not depend on the choice of a foliate vector representing \bar{X} . A transverse vector field \bar{X} is said to be *transversely Killing* if $\mathcal{L}(\bar{X})g = 0$. Suppose that both \bar{X} and \bar{Y} are transversely Killing. Then it follows easily from the Cartan identities that $[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]$ is also transversely Killing. In other words, the space of transversely Killing vector fields, which we denote by $\mathfrak{X}(M, \mathcal{F}, g)$, forms a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{X}(M, \mathcal{F})$.

Let g be a transverse Riemannian metric on a complete Riemannian foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) , let $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ be the transverse orthonormal frame bundle associated to g , and let $K = O(q)$ be the structure Lie group of P . Then the foliation \mathcal{F} naturally lifts to a transversely parallelizable foliation \mathcal{F}_P on P that is invariant under the action of K ; moreover, there is a locally trivial smooth fibration $\rho : P \rightarrow W$ whose fibers are leaf closures of \mathcal{F}_P . Here W is a smooth manifold called the **Molino manifold** of the Riemannian foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) . We say that (M, \mathcal{F}) is **transversely compact**, if its Molino manifold W is compact.

A transverse Riemannian metric g_P on P can be constructed as follows. Since the foliation is Riemannian, the transverse Levi-Civita connection θ_{LC} defines a basic one form on P that takes values in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{o}(q)$. Choose an invariant inner product on \mathfrak{k} . Let f_1, \dots, f_r be an oriented orthonormal basis in the dual of \mathfrak{k} , and let $\alpha_i = \theta_{\text{LC}}^*(f_i)$, $\forall 1 \leq i \leq r$. Then $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ are \mathcal{F}_P basic 1-forms such that

$$(2.2) \quad g_P = \pi^* g + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \otimes \alpha_i$$

is a transverse Riemannian metric on P . Similarly, if g_{TM} is the bundle-like metric on M that induces g , then $g_{\text{TP}} := \pi^* g_{\text{TM}} + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \otimes \alpha_i$ is the bundle-like metric on P that induces g_P . It is clear that the map $\pi : (P, g_{\text{TP}}) \rightarrow (M, g_{\text{TM}})$ is a Riemannian submersion.

Let X be a foliate vector field on (M, \mathcal{F}) . Note that by [Mo88, Lemma 3.4] X naturally lifts to a foliate vector X_P on P with the property that $\mathcal{L}_{X_P} \theta_{\text{LC}} = 0$. If X is also Killing with respect to g , then it follows easily from (2.2) that

X_P preserves the transverse Riemannian metric g_P . If X is tangent to the leaves of \mathcal{F} , it is easy to check that X_P is tangent to the leaves of the lifted foliation \mathcal{F}_P . These considerations give rise to a Lie algebra homomorphism

$$(2.3) \quad \pi^\sharp : \mathfrak{X}(M, \mathcal{F}, g) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(P, \mathcal{F}_P, g_P).$$

Now consider the fibering map $\rho : P \rightarrow W$. Let u and v be two vector fields on W . It is clear that there exist two unique vector fields \tilde{u} and \tilde{v} on P which project to u and v respectively by the tangent map of ρ , and which are perpendicular to the fibers of ρ . Since \tilde{u} and \tilde{v} are by definition projectable, they must be foliate. Since they are perpendicular to leaf closures of \mathcal{F}_P , they must also be perpendicular to the leaves of \mathcal{F}_P . Thus $g_{TP}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ is a \mathcal{F}_P -basic function, and therefore must also be a $\overline{\mathcal{F}_P}$ -basic function. We see that g_{TP} naturally descends to a Riemannian metric g_W on W , such that $\rho : (P, g_{TP}) \rightarrow (W, g_W)$ is a Riemannian submersion.

In summary, we have the following Molino diagram

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & (P, g_{TP}) & \\ \pi \swarrow & & \searrow \rho \\ (M, g_{TM}) & & (W, g_W) \end{array}$$

Note that the action of the structure Lie group K on P descends to an action of K on W that preserves the Riemannian metric g_W . It is easy to check from (2.4) that $M/\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ is homeomorphic to W/K , which is a Whitney stratified space. So it makes perfect sense to define the dimension of $M/\overline{\mathcal{F}}$. Also note that a transverse Killing vector $X_P \in \mathfrak{X}(P, \mathcal{F}_P, g_P)$ projects by the tangent map of ρ to a Killing vector X_W on the Riemannian manifold (W, g_W) . Denote by $\mathfrak{X}(W, g_W)$ the Lie algebra of Killing vector fields on the Riemannian manifold (W, g_W) . Then we have a Lie algebra homomorphism

$$(2.5) \quad \rho_* \circ \pi^\sharp : \mathfrak{X}(M, \mathcal{F}, g) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(W, g_W).$$

3. TRANSVERSE LIE ALGEBRA ACTIONS

In this section, we review necessary background materials on transverse action of a Lie algebra on a foliation. In particular, results established in [LS18] on isometric transverse Lie algebra actions on Riemannian foliations play an important role in this work.

3.1. Transverse action Lie algebroid.

Definition 3.1. *A transverse action of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} on a foliated manifold (M, \mathcal{F}) is a Lie algebra homomorphism*

$$(3.1) \quad \alpha : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M, \mathcal{F}).$$

Definition 3.2. ([LS18]) Let \mathfrak{g}_M be the trivial bundle with fiber \mathfrak{g} over M . We define the transverse action Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F}$ of the transverse \mathfrak{g} -action to be the fibered product

$$\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F} = \mathfrak{g}_M \times_{N\mathcal{F}} TM = \{(x, \zeta, v) \in M \times \mathfrak{g} \times TM \mid v \in T_x M, \text{ and } \zeta_{M,x} = v \bmod T_x \mathcal{F}\},$$

which is a smooth subbundle of the bundle $\mathfrak{g}_M \times TM$ over M . Define the anchor map $t : \mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F} \rightarrow TM$ by $t(\zeta, v) = v$. For every smooth map $\zeta : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ and every $x \in M$ we define $\zeta_x \in N_x \mathcal{F}$ to be the value of the transverse vector field $\alpha(\zeta) \in \mathfrak{X}(M, \mathcal{F})$ at x . A smooth section of $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F}$ is a pair $(\zeta, v) \in C^\infty(M, \mathfrak{g}) \times \mathfrak{X}(M)$ satisfying $\zeta_x = v_x \bmod T_x \mathcal{F}$ for all $x \in M$. We define the bracket of two sections (ζ, v) and (η, w) by

$$(3.2) \quad [(\zeta, v), (\eta, w)](x) = ([\zeta(x), \eta(x)] + L(v)(\eta)(x) - L(w)(\zeta)(x), [v, w](x))$$

Suppose that there is a transverse Lie action $\alpha : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M, \mathcal{F})$. The orbit $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F})(x)$ of the transverse action Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F}$ is by definition a leaf through $x \in M$ of the singular foliation $t(L)$ induced by the image of the anchor map t , which we also refer to as the \mathfrak{g} -orbit of the leaf $\mathcal{F}(x)$. A subset $A \subset M$ is said to be $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F})$ -invariant, if a \mathfrak{g} -orbit of a leaf L intersects A non-trivially, then the entire \mathfrak{g} -orbit must lie inside A . We say a function on M is $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F})$ -invariant, if for any leaf L , its restriction to a \mathfrak{g} -orbit of L is a constant. The stabilizer of x for the transverse action Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F}$ is defined to be

$$(3.3) \quad \text{stab}(x, \mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F}) = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \alpha(\xi)(x) = 0\}.$$

Lemma 3.3. ([LS18, Lemma 2.2.7]) Suppose that x and y are in the same leaf of \mathcal{F} . Then $\alpha(\xi)(x) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha(\xi)(y) = 0, \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. As a consequence,

$$\text{stab}(x, \mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F}) = \text{stab}(y, \mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F}).$$

Let (M, \mathcal{F}) and (M', \mathcal{F}') be two foliated manifold, and let $f : M \rightarrow M'$ be a foliate map. By assumption, $\forall X_p \in T_p \mathcal{F}, f_{*,p}(X_p) \in T_{f(p)} \mathcal{F}'$. Thus f naturally induces a normal derivative $(Nf)_p : N_p \mathcal{F} \rightarrow N_{f(p)} \mathcal{F}'$. Let ζ and ζ' be two transverse vector fields on M and M' respectively. We say that ζ and ζ' are f -related, if $\zeta'_{f(p)} = (Nf)_p \zeta_p, \forall p \in M$.

Definition 3.4. Suppose that there are two transverse Lie algebra action $\alpha : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M, \mathcal{F})$ and $\alpha' : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M', \mathcal{F}')$. We say a foliate map $f : M \rightarrow M'$ is \mathfrak{g} -equivariant, if $\forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}, \alpha(\xi)$ and $\alpha'(\xi)$ are f -related.

Definition 3.5. Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a foliated manifold equipped a transverse action Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} -action. We say the local flow $\{\varphi_t\}$ of a foliate vector field is \mathfrak{g} -equivariant, if φ_t is \mathfrak{g} -equivariant for all t .

Assume that there is a transverse Lie algebra action $\alpha : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M, \mathcal{F})$. For a Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} , we define

$$M^{\mathfrak{h}} = \{p \in M \mid \alpha(\xi)(p) = 0 \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{h}\}$$

to be the set of fixed leaves under the transverse action of Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{h} . Moreover, we will denote by (\mathfrak{h}) the set of Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} that is

conjugate to \mathfrak{h} . We say that x is of **orbit type** (\mathfrak{h}) , if $\text{stab}(x, \mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F})$ is an element in (\mathfrak{h}) . We define

$$M_{(\mathfrak{h})} = \{x \in M \mid x \text{ is of orbit type } (\mathfrak{h})\}.$$

3.2. Transverse isometric Lie algebra action.

Definition 3.6. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional Lie algebra, and g a transverse Riemannian metric on a foliated manifold (M, \mathcal{F}) . A transverse isometric \mathfrak{g} -action on (M, \mathcal{F}, g) is a Lie algebra homomorphism*

$$(3.4) \quad \alpha : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M, \mathcal{F}, g).$$

Assume that there is a transverse isometric Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} -action on a Riemannian foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) . The following results are proved in [LS18].

Proposition 3.7. ([LS18, Prop. 4.2.]) *Let $x \in M$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \text{stab}(x, \mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F})$. Let \mathcal{F}_T be the linear foliation of the tangent space $T = T_x M$ defined by the linear subspace $F = T_x \mathcal{F}$.*

- a) *The inner product g_x on T/F defines a transverse Riemannian metric on (T, \mathcal{F}_T) . The Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} acts transversely on (T, \mathcal{F}_T) by linear infinitesimal isometries.*
- b) *There is an \mathfrak{h} -equivariant foliate open embedding $\psi : T \rightarrow M$ with the properties $\psi(0) = x$ and $T_0 \psi = \text{id}_T$.*

Theorem 3.8. ([LS18, Thm. 4.7]) *Suppose that \mathfrak{h} is a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} with normalizer $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h})$. Then the fixed-leaf set $M^{\mathfrak{h}}$ is a \mathcal{F} -saturated closed submanifold of M that is invariant under the transverse action of \mathfrak{n} .*

In this paper we will focus on the case that \mathfrak{g} is abelian. The following result is an immediate consequence of [LS18, Thm. 4.10], and will play an important role in Section 8.

Corollary 3.9. *Suppose that there is a transverse isometric action of a finite dimensional abelian Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} on a Riemannian foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) , and that $M/\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ is compact. Then the set $\{\text{stab}(x, \mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F}) \mid x \in M\}$ is finite.*

Let X be a $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F})$ -invariant embedded submanifold of M , and let $\mathcal{F}_X = \mathcal{F}|_X$ be the restriction of the foliation to X . Then the normal bundle

$$NX = TM|_X / TX \cong N\mathcal{F} / N\mathcal{F}_X$$

is a foliated vector bundle over (X, \mathcal{F}_X) and is equipped with a natural transverse \mathfrak{g} -action with the property that the bundle projection $NX \rightarrow X$ is \mathfrak{g} -equivariant. A $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F})$ -invariant tubular neighborhood of X is a \mathfrak{g} -equivariant foliate embedding $\phi : NX \hookrightarrow M$ with the following properties: the image $\phi(NX)$ is a $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F})$ -invariant open subset of M ; $\phi|_X = \text{id}_X$; and $T_x \phi = \text{id}_{N_x X}$ for all $x \in X$. (Here we identify X with the zero section of NX and the normal bundle of X in NX with NX .)

Proposition 3.10. [LS18, Prop. 3.3.4] *Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a Riemannian foliation equipped with a transverse isometric Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} -action. Every $(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{F})$ -invariant closed embedded submanifold X of M has a $(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{F})$ -invariant tubular neighborhood. For every pair of $(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{F})$ -invariant tubular neighborhoods $\phi_0, \phi_1 : NX \rightarrow M$ there exists a \mathfrak{g} -equivariant foliate isotopy from ϕ_0 to ϕ_1 .*

4. EQUIVARIANT BASIC COHOMOLOGY AND THOM ISOMORPHISMS

Throughout this section, we assume that there is a transverse Lie algebra action $\alpha : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M, \mathcal{F})$. For $\alpha \in \Omega(M, \mathcal{F})$, define

$$(4.1) \quad \iota(\xi)\alpha = \iota(\xi_M)\alpha, \quad \mathcal{L}(\xi)\alpha = \mathcal{L}(\xi_M)\alpha,$$

where ξ_M is a foliate vector field that represents the transverse vector field $\alpha(\xi)$. Since α is basic, the contraction and Lie derivative operations defined above do not depend on the choices of representatives of the transverse vector field $\alpha(\xi)$. Goertsches and Töben [GT18, Proposition 3.12] observed that they obey the usual rules of Cartan's differential calculus, namely $[\mathcal{L}(\xi), \mathcal{L}(\eta)] = \mathcal{L}([\xi, \eta])$ etc. To put it another way, a transverse \mathfrak{g} -action equips the basic de Rham complex $\Omega(M, \mathcal{F})$ with the structure of a \mathfrak{g}^* -algebra in the sense of [GS99, Chapter 2]. Therefore there is a well-defined Cartan model of the \mathfrak{g}^* -algebra $\Omega(M, \mathcal{F})$ given by

$$(4.2) \quad \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, \mathcal{F}) := [S\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \Omega(M, \mathcal{F})]^{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

An element of $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, \mathcal{F})$ can be naturally identified with an equivariant polynomial map from \mathfrak{g} to $\Omega(M, \mathcal{F})$, and is called an **equivariant basic differential form**. The equivariant basic Cartan complex has a bigrading given by

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}^{i,j}(M, \mathcal{F}) = [S^i \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \Omega^{j-i}(M, \mathcal{F})]^{\mathfrak{g}};$$

moreover, it is equipped with the vertical differential $1 \otimes d$, which we abbreviate to d , and the horizontal differential d' , which is defined by

$$(d'\alpha)(\xi) = -\iota(\xi)\alpha(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

As a single complex, $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, \mathcal{F})$ has a grading given by

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}^k(M, \mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{i+j=k} \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}^{i,j}(M, \mathcal{F}),$$

and a total differential $d_{\mathfrak{g}} = d + d'$, which is called the equivariant exterior differential. The **equivariant basic de Rham cohomology** $H_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, \mathcal{F})$ of the transverse \mathfrak{g} -action on (M, \mathcal{F}) is defined to be the total cohomology of the Cartan complex $\{\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, \mathcal{F}), d_{\mathfrak{g}}\}$. Similarly, a transverse \mathfrak{g} -action also equips $\Omega_c(M, \mathcal{F})$, the de Rham complex of compactly supported basic forms, with the structure of a \mathfrak{g}^* -algebra. We will denote by $H_{\mathfrak{g},c}(M, \mathcal{F})$ the cohomology of the corresponding Cartan model $(\Omega_{\mathfrak{g},c}(M, \mathcal{F}), d_{\mathfrak{g}})$, and call it the **compactly supported equivariant basic cohomology**.

Lemma 4.1. ([LS21, Lemma 4.4.1]) *Let (M, \mathcal{F}) and (M', \mathcal{F}') be foliated manifolds equipped with transverse actions of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Let $f : [0, 1] \times M \rightarrow M'$ be a \mathfrak{g} -equivariant foliate homotopy. Then the pullback morphisms f_0 and $f_1 : \Omega(M', \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \Omega(M, \mathcal{F})$ are homotopic as morphisms of \mathfrak{g}^* -algebras. In particular they induce the same morphisms in equivariant basic cohomologies: $f_0^* = f_1^* : H_{\mathfrak{g}}(M', \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, \mathcal{F})$.*

The same argument as used in the proof of [LS21, Lemma 4.4.1] gives rise to the following result.

Lemma 4.2. *Let (M, \mathcal{F}) and (M', \mathcal{F}') be foliated manifolds equipped with transverse actions of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Let $f : [0, 1] \times M \rightarrow M'$ be a \mathfrak{g} -equivariant foliate homotopy. Suppose that f is a proper map. Then the pullback morphisms f_0 and $f_1 : \Omega_c(M', \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \Omega_c(M, \mathcal{F})$ are homotopic as morphisms of \mathfrak{g}^* -algebras. In particular they induce the same morphisms in compactly supported equivariant basic cohomologies: $f_0^* = f_1^* : H_{\mathfrak{g},c}(M', \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g},c}(M, \mathcal{F})$.*

For our purpose it will be convenient to work with the following version of the relative basic cohomology. Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a foliated manifold, and $X \subset M$ a \mathcal{F} -saturated submanifold. Define the complex $\Omega(M, X, \mathcal{F})$ to be the kernel of the pullback map $i^* : \Omega(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(X, \mathcal{F})$. Clearly, $\Omega(M, X, \mathcal{F})$ is invariant under the usual de Rham exterior differential d . We define the cohomology of the differential complex $(\Omega(M, X, \mathcal{F}), d)$ to be the **relative basic cohomology** for the pair (M, X) , and will have it denoted by $H(M, X, \mathcal{F})$. Similarly, we define the **relative equivariant basic cohomology** as follows.

Definition 4.3. *Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a foliated manifold equipped with a transverse Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} -action, and $X \subset M$ a \mathfrak{g} -invariant, \mathcal{F} -saturated submanifold. Define the complex $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, X, \mathcal{F})$ to be the kernel of the pullback map $i^* : \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(X, \mathcal{F})$. Then $(\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, X, \mathcal{F}), d_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a differential complex. We will define its cohomology $H_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, X, \mathcal{F})$ to be the relative equivariant basic cohomology for the pair (M, X) .*

Definition 4.4. *Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be a foliated manifold, and let $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle of rank k . We say that E is a **foliated vector bundle**, if there exists an open cover $\{U_{\alpha}\}$ of X , and a family of local trivialization map*

$$\phi_{\alpha} : U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U_{\alpha}),$$

*such that on the overlap $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$, the corresponding transition function $g_{\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \rightarrow GL(k)$ is a basic function with respect to the restricted foliation $\mathcal{F}|_{U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}}$. We say E is a **Riemannian foliated vector bundle**, if on the overlap $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$, the corresponding transition function $g_{\alpha\beta}$ takes value in $O(k) \subset GL(k)$.*

If $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ is a foliated vector bundle over (X, \mathcal{F}) , then E is naturally equipped with a lifted foliation \mathcal{F}_E , whose leaves are transverse to the fibers of π and are mapped by π to those of \mathcal{F} . If E is a Riemannian foliated vector bundle and if (X, \mathcal{F}) carries a transversely Riemannian metric g , then there

is a natural fiberwise Riemannian metric h on E , such that $h + \pi^*g$ is a transversely Riemannian metric on (E, \mathcal{F}) .

Definition 4.5. *Let $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ be a foliated vector bundle over a foliated manifold (X, \mathcal{F}) , and let X be equipped with a transverse Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} -action. We say that E is a \mathfrak{g} -equivariant foliated vector bundle, if there is a transverse Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} -action on (E, \mathcal{F}_E) such that the bundle map π is \mathfrak{g} -equivariant.*

Let X be a foliated manifold equipped with a transverse action of a finite dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , and $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ an oriented \mathfrak{g} -equivariant foliated vector bundle of rank r over X . We say that $A \subset E$ is vertically compact if the restriction $\pi|_A : A \rightarrow M$ is a proper map. We say that a basic equivariant differential form in $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(E, \mathcal{F}_E)$ is vertically compactly supported, if its support is a vertically compact subset of E . We will denote by $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g},cv}^r(E, \mathcal{F}_E)$ the space of vertically compactly supported equivariant basic differential forms on E . An **equivariant basic Thom form** of E is an r -form $\tau_{\mathfrak{g}} \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{g},cv}^r(E, \mathcal{F}_E)$ which satisfies $\pi_*\tau_{\mathfrak{g}} = 1$ and $d_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0$. An equivariant basic Thom form does not always exist for a foliated vector bundle E over X . A counter-example was given in [LS21, Sec. 4.7]. Suppose that (M, \mathcal{F}) is a foliated manifold equipped with transverse action of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , and that X is a $(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{F})$ -invariant submanifold of M . The following result provides a sufficient condition that guarantees the existence of an equivariant basic Thom form on the normal bundle NX .

Proposition 4.6. ([LS21, Prop. 5.2.1]) *Let $(M, \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{g})$ be a Riemannian foliated manifold equipped with an isometric transverse action of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Let X be a co-orientable $(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{F})$ -invariant submanifold of M . Then the normal bundle NX possesses an invariant basic metric connection, and so admits an equivariant basic Thom form.*

In the case that NX admits an equivariant basic Thom form, the following \mathfrak{g} -equivariant Thom isomorphism theorem is proved in [LS21].

Theorem 4.7. (Thom isomorphism) ([LS21, Thm. 4.6.1, prop. 4.8.1]) *Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be a foliated manifold equipped with a transverse \mathfrak{g} -action, and let (E, \mathcal{F}_E, g_E) an oriented \mathfrak{g} -equivariant Riemannian foliated vector bundle over X . Suppose there exists an equivariant basic Thom form $\tau_{\mathfrak{g}}$ on E . Then the fibre integration $\pi_* : \Omega_{\mathfrak{g},cv}(E, \mathcal{F}_E)[r] \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, \mathcal{F})$ is a homotopy equivalence. A homotopy inverse of π_* is the Thom map $\zeta_* : \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{g},cv}(E, \mathcal{F}_E)[r]$ defined by $\zeta_*(\alpha) = \tau_{\mathfrak{g}} \wedge \pi^*\alpha$.*

The following result will play an important role in our proof of foliated version of the Kirwan surjectivity and injectivity results.

Proposition 4.8. ([LS18, Prop. 6.3.1]) *Let $(M, \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{g})$ be a complete Riemannian foliation equipped with a transverse isometric action of an abelian Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , and let X be a connected component of the fixed-leaf manifold $M^{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let r be the codimension of X in M , let $E = NX$ be the normal bundle of X with a fiberwise metric g_E induced by g , and let $\zeta_X : X \hookrightarrow N$ be the inclusion of X as zero section*

into E . Let $j_x : \{x\} \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion of $x \in X$, and let $\alpha_x : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}(E_x, \mathfrak{g}_{E,x})$ be the \mathfrak{g} -action on the fibre E_x . Then the following results hold.

- 1) The bundle E has a \mathfrak{g} -invariant almost complex structure J . The weights $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ of the action α_x with respect to J_x are nonzero and are independent of $x \in X$. We have a weight space decomposition $E = E_{\lambda_1} \oplus E_{\lambda_2} \oplus \dots \oplus E_{\lambda_l}$ into \mathfrak{g} -equivariant foliated subbundles. In particular E is orientable and the rank $r = 2l$ of E is even.
- 2) Let $\eta_{\mathfrak{g}} = \zeta_X^* \tau_{\mathfrak{g}} \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}^r(X, \mathcal{F}|_X)$ be an equivariant basic Euler form with respect to the orientation given by J and let η_0 be the component of η_X in $S\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \Omega_0(X, \mathcal{F}|_X)$. Then $\eta_0 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_l \in S^l \mathfrak{g}^*$. Reversing the co-orientation of X has the effect of changing the sign of η_X and η_0 .
- 3) The basic Euler form $\eta_{\mathfrak{g}}$ becomes invertible in the algebra $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(X, \mathcal{F}|_X)$ after inverting the weights $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l$.

It follows from Proposition 4.8 that the equivariant basic Euler class is not a zero divisor since the weights $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l$ are non-zero. To guarantee the equivariant basic Euler class is not a zero divisor, we note that the condition of $X = M^{\mathfrak{g}}$ can be weakened as follows though. Suppose that \mathfrak{h} is a one dimensional subalgebra of the abelian Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , and that X is a connected component of the fixed-leaf manifold of the induced transverse Lie algebra action of \mathfrak{h} . Then it follows from Theorem 3.8 that X is a $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F})$ -invariant submanifold of M . Therefore the normal bundle $E = NX$ is a foliated vector bundle with an induced transverse \mathfrak{g} -action. Proposition 4.8 implies that all the weights $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l$ are non-zero. These observations lead to the following criterion which implies the equivariant basic Euler class is not a zero divisor.

Proposition 4.9. *Let $(M, \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{g})$ be a complete Riemannian foliation equipped with a transverse isometric action of an abelian Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , let X be a connected component of the fixed-leaf manifold of a one dimensional Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , and let $E = NX$ be the normal bundle of X . Then the equivariant basic Euler class $[\eta_X]$ is not a zero class in $H_{\mathfrak{g}}(X, \mathcal{F}|_X)$.*

5. EQUIVARIANT MORSE THEORY ON RIEMANNIAN FOLIATIONS

Suppose that f is a basic function on a foliated manifold (M, \mathcal{F}) , that x_0 is a critical point of f , and that L is a leaf passing through x_0 . We observe that the leaf closure $\bar{L} \subset \text{Crit}(f)$. To see this, let $A = \{x \in L \mid (df)_x = 0\}$, and equip L with the plaque topology. Clearly, A is a closed subset of L . $\forall z \in A$, choose a foliation coordinate chart $(U, \varphi, x^1, \dots, x^p, y^1, \dots, y^k)$ around z such that the vertical plaque through z is given by

$$y^1 = y^1(z), \dots, y^k = y^k(z).$$

Note that on the foliation coordinate neighborhood U , $f \circ \varphi$ depends only on the coordinates y^1, \dots, y^k . Therefore $(df)_z = 0$ implies that $df = 0$ on the vertical plaque through z . This shows that A is also an open subset of L . So we must have $A = L \subset \text{Crit}(f)$.

Definition 5.1. A basic function f on a foliated manifold (M, \mathcal{F}) is said to be a Morse-Bott function at a critical value c , if each connected component of the critical point set sitting inside the level set $f^{-1}(c)$ is a closed saturated submanifold of M , and if the hessian of f is non-degenerate in the direction transverse to the critical submanifolds sitting inside the level set $f^{-1}(c)$. f is said to be a Morse-Bott function on M , if it is a Morse-Bott function at any of its critical values.

Assume that (M, \mathcal{F}) is a transversely compact Riemannian foliation of co-dimension q , that g is a transverse Riemannian metric on M , and that there is a transverse isometric \mathfrak{g} -action on (M, \mathcal{F}, g) . A basic function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be \mathfrak{g} -invariant, if $\mathcal{L}_\xi f = 0$, $\forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. In this context, keep the same notations as in Section 2, and consider the Molino diagram (2.4). Recall that the transverse isometric action of \mathfrak{g} on M gets lifted to a transverse isometric \mathfrak{g} -action on the transverse orthonormal bundle $\pi : P \rightarrow M$, and projects to a genuine isometric Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} -action on the Molino manifold (W, g_W) . Let G be the 1-connected Lie group whose Lie algebra is \mathfrak{g} . It follows from the Palais-Lie theorem that the Lie algebra action of \mathfrak{g} on W integrates into an isometric Lie group G -action on W . Clearly, if f is a \mathfrak{g} -invariant \mathcal{F} -basic function, then $\pi^*f : P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a \mathcal{F}_P -basic function invariant under the actions of both K and \mathfrak{g} , and so projects to a function f_W on W that is invariant under the action of $G \times K$. Since M is transversely compact, W is compact and so has a compact isometry group. Thus the closure H of $G \times K$ in the isometry group of (W, g_W) must be compact as well. Clearly, f_W is a function on W that is invariant under H . A routine check shows that the follow result holds.

Lemma 5.2. *The correspondence*

$$\Omega^0(M, \mathcal{F})^{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \left(\Omega^0(W) \right)^H, f \mapsto f_W$$

is one-to-one. Moreover, f is a \mathfrak{g} -invariant Morse-Bott function on M if and only if f_W is an H -invariant Morse-Bott function on the Molino manifold W .

The following result is an immediate consequence of Proposition 5.2 and [W69, Lemma 4.9].

Proposition 5.3. *Suppose that the dimension of $M/\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ is greater than zero. Then there exists a \mathfrak{g} -invariant basic function that is Morse-Bott on (M, \mathcal{F}) . Indeed, the space of \mathfrak{g} -invariant basic Morse-Bott functions is dense in C^∞ -topology in the space of \mathfrak{g} -invariant basic functions on (M, \mathcal{F}) .*

Remark 5.4. When $\dim(M/\overline{\mathcal{F}}) = 0$, then every connected component of M is one single leaf closure. Without loss of generality, assume that M is connected. Then every leaf of M is dense in M , and every basic function on M must be a constant.

Throughout the rest of this section, assume that g is a transverse Riemannian metric induced by a complete bundle-like metric g_{TM} on (M, \mathcal{F}) , and that there is a transverse isometric Lie algebra action $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M, \mathcal{F}, g)$.

We will also adopt the following notations. For a Riemannian vector bundle E , we will denote by $E(r)$ the open disk bundle of radius r that sits inside E . We say a diffeomorphism θ from $E(r)$ to an open neighborhood of the zero section X in E is **fiberwise**, if $\theta(E(r) \cap E_p) \subset E_p, \forall p \in X$; we say that θ is **origin preserving**, if $\theta(p) = p, \forall p \in X$. As a first step, we will extend the following equivariant Morse-Bott lemma to a foliated setting.

Lemma 5.5. ([W69, Lemma 4.1]) *Let $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ be a Riemannian H -vector bundle for some compact Lie group H , let f be an H -invariant Morse-Bott function on E having X (i.e., the zero section) as a non-degenerate critical submanifold, $\forall p \in X$, and let E_p^+ and E_p^- be the positive and negative subspaces of the quadratic form $T_p^2 f : E_p \times E_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that X is connected and compact, and that $f(X) = 0$. Then $E^+ := \cup_{p \in X} E_p^+$ and $E^- := \cup_{p \in X} E_p^-$ are subbundles of E such that*

$$(5.1) \quad E = E^+ \oplus E^-,$$

where the splitting respects the Riemannian metric on E ; moreover, there exists $r > 0$, and an H -equivariant diffeomorphism θ from $E(r)$ to an open neighborhood of the zero section in E which is fiberwise and origin preserving, such that

$$f(\theta(x, y)) = \|x\| - \|y\|, \quad \forall (x, y) \in E_p^+ \oplus E_p^-, \text{ where } p \in X.$$

Lemma 5.6. (Foliated Morse-Bott Lemma) *Let X be a connected, compact and non-degenerate critical submanifold of a \mathfrak{g} -invariant basic Morse-Bott function f . For any $p \in X$, define $N_p^+ X$ and $N_p^- X$ to be the positive and negative subspaces of the quadratic form $T_p^2 f : N_p X \times N_p X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then both $N^+ X := \cup_{p \in X} N_p^+ X$ and $N^- X := \cup_{p \in X} N_p^- X$ are sub-bundles of NX such that*

$$(5.2) \quad NX = N^+ X \oplus N^- X,$$

where the splitting respects the Riemannian metric on NX induced by the given transverse Riemannian metric.

Moreover, if $f(X) = 0$, then there exist a $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F})$ -equivariant tubular neighborhood $\phi : NX \rightarrow M$, a positive constant $r > 0$, and a $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F})$ -equivariant diffeomorphism θ from $NX(r)$ to an open neighborhood U of the zero section in NX , which is fiberwise and origin preserving, such that

$$(5.3) \quad f((\phi \circ \theta)(x, y)) = \|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2, \quad \forall (x, y) \in N_p X, \text{ where } p \in X.$$

Proof. Keep the same notations as in Section 2, and consider the Molino diagram (2.4). Let $X_p = \pi^{-1}(X)$, let $X_W = \rho(X_p)$, let $G \times K$ be given as in the paragraph preceding Proposition 5.2, and let H be the closure of the image of $G \times K$ in the isometry group of (W, g_W) . Then X_p is a K -invariant and $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F})$ -invariant compact submanifold of P , and X_W is a compact submanifold of W invariant under the isometric action of $G \times K$. Clearly, $f_p := \pi^* f$ is a \mathcal{F}_p -basic function on P that is invariant under the action of \mathfrak{g} and K , and projects by ρ to a $(G \times K)$ -invariant function f_W on W . It is straightforward to check that f_p has X_p as a non-degenerate critical submanifold, and f_W has X_W as a non-degenerate critical submanifold.

Let $\phi_W : NX_W \rightarrow W$ be an H -invariant tubular neighborhood of X_W . By Lemma 5.5, there exist $r > 0$, and an H -equivariant, fiberwise and origin preserving diffeomorphism θ_W from $NX_W(r)$ to an open neighborhood U of the zero section in NX_W , such that $f_W(\phi_W \circ \theta_W)$ has the form given as in (5.1). Pulling back $\phi_W : NX_W \rightarrow W$ through $\rho : P \rightarrow W$ we obtain an embedding $\phi_P : \rho^*NX_W \cong NX_P \rightarrow P$, which is a $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F}_P)$ -equivariant and K -invariant tubular neighborhood of X_P . Hence its quotient by K gives rise to a $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F}_P)$ -equivariant embedding $\phi : NX \rightarrow M$. It is easy to see that θ_W pulls back to a fiberwise and origin preserving diffeomorphism θ_P from $NX_P(r)$ onto an open neighborhood of the zero section in NX_P , which is both $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F}_P)$ -equivariant and K -equivariant. As a result, θ_P descends to a fiberwise and origin preserving diffeomorphism θ from $NX(r)$ to an open neighborhood of the zero section in NX , which is $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F}_P)$ -equivariant. A routine check shows that (5.3) holds. q.e.d.

Lemma 5.7. *Suppose that $\alpha : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M, \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{g})$ is a transverse isometric action, that (M, \mathcal{F}) is endowed with a bundle-like Riemannian metric g_{TM} which is compatible with \mathfrak{g} , and that f is a \mathfrak{g} -invariant basic function. Then the gradient vector $X = \text{grad}(f)$ with respect to g_{TM} is foliate. Furthermore, the flow generated by X is \mathfrak{g} -equivariant.*

Proof. Suppose that $Y \in C^\infty(T\mathcal{F})$, that Z is a foliate vector field everywhere perpendicular to the leaves, and that g is the transverse Riemannian metric associated to g_{TM} . To show that X is foliate, It suffices to show that $g([Y, X], Z) = 0$. Since f is a basic function, $g_{TM}(X, Y) = df(Y) = 0$. This implies that the gradient vector X is always perpendicular to the leaves. Now that both X and Z are perpendicular to the leaves, we must have that $g_{TM}(X, Z) = g(X, Z)$. Also note that $g_{TM}(X, Z) = df(Z)$ must be a basic function. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \mathcal{L}_Y(g_{TM}(X, Z)) = \mathcal{L}_Y(g(X, Z)) \\
&= (\mathcal{L}_Y g)(X, Z) + g(\mathcal{L}_Y X, Z) + g(X, \mathcal{L}_Y Z) \\
&= g(\mathcal{L}_Y X, Z) + g(X, \mathcal{L}_Y Z) \quad (\text{Here we have used } \mathcal{L}_Y g = 0.) \\
&= g(\mathcal{L}_Y X, Z) \quad (\text{Here we have used } \mathcal{L}_Y Z \in C^\infty(T\mathcal{F}) = \ker(g).) \\
&= g([Y, X], Z)
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that $\text{grad}(f)$ is foliate. To prove that the flow of X is \mathfrak{g} -equivariant, it suffices to show that $\forall v \in \mathfrak{g}$, if the transverse Killing vector $\alpha(v)$ is represented by a foliate vector ζ , then $[X, \zeta] \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F})$. Since by assumption the basic function f is \mathfrak{g} -invariant, $\mathcal{L}_\zeta f = 0$. Thus for an arbitrary foliate vector field Z that is perpendicular to the leaves, we have that

$$\mathcal{L}_\zeta(df(Z)) = (\mathcal{L}_\zeta df)(Z) + df(\mathcal{L}_\zeta Z) = df([\zeta, Z]) = g(X, [\zeta, Z]).$$

On the other hand, since $df(X) = g_{TM}(X, Z) = g(X, Z)$, and since ζ preserves g , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\zeta(df(Z)) &= \mathcal{L}_\zeta(g(X, Z)) \\ &= (\mathcal{L}_\zeta g)(X, Z) + g(\mathcal{L}_\zeta X, Z) + g(X, \mathcal{L}_\zeta Z) \\ &= g([\zeta, X], Z) + g(X, [\zeta, Z]) \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $g([\zeta, X], Z) = 0$. Since Z is arbitrarily given, $[X, \zeta] \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F}) = \ker(g)$. q.e.d.

Throughout the rest of this section, we will assume that f is a proper and \mathfrak{g} -invariant basic Morse-Bott function on (M, \mathcal{F}) . For any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, let $M^a := \{x \in M \mid f(x) \leq a\}$.

Theorem 5.8. *Suppose that $a < b$ are two real numbers in $f(M)$, and that no critical values of f lie in the open interval (a, b) . Then there is a foliation preserving \mathfrak{g} -equivariant diffeomorphism from M^b onto M^a .*

Proof. Suppose that $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small such that $(a - \epsilon, b + \epsilon)$ consists of regular values of f . Then $f^{-1}(a - \epsilon, b + \epsilon)$ is a $(\mathfrak{g} \rtimes \mathcal{F})$ -invariant open subset of M . It follows easily from the argument given in the proof of [LS18, Prop. 3.3.6] that there exists a $(\mathfrak{g} \rtimes \mathcal{F})$ -invariant function λ on M , which equals $\frac{1}{g(\text{grad}(f), \text{grad}(f))}$ on $f^{-1}[a, b]$, and which vanishes outside of a compact neighborhood of $f^{-1}[a, b]$ that lies in $f^{-1}(a + \epsilon, b - \epsilon)$. Define a vector field X on M as follows

$$(5.4) \quad X_q = \lambda(q) \cdot \text{grad}(f)_q, \quad \forall q \in M.$$

Since λ is a \mathfrak{g} -invariant basic function, X must be a \mathfrak{g} -equivariant foliate vector field that generates a one parameter subgroup $\{\varphi_t\}$ of \mathfrak{g} -equivariant and foliation preserving diffeomorphisms. Define

$$\Psi : M^b \rightarrow M^a, \quad p \mapsto \varphi_{a-b}(p).$$

It is straightforward to check that Ψ is a \mathfrak{g} -equivariant and foliation preserving diffeomorphism from M^b onto M^a . q.e.d.

Definition 5.9. *Let C and N be foliated manifolds equipped with a Riemannian foliation and a transverse isometric Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} -action, where N is a foliated manifold with boundary, and let $E^+ \rightarrow C$ and $E^- \rightarrow C$ be Riemannian foliated \mathfrak{g} -equivariant vector bundles over C which are equipped with a transverse isometric Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} -action. Denote by D^+ and D^- the disk bundles of E^+ and E^- respectively, by \mathring{D}^- the open disk bundle of E^- , and denote by S the sphere bundle of D^- . The bundle*

$$(5.5) \quad D^+ \oplus D^- = \{(v, w) \mid |v| \leq 1, \|w\| \leq 1\}$$

*is called a **basic handle bundle** of type (E^+, E^-) of index $\text{rank}(E^-)$. Let $\tilde{N} \supseteq N$ be a foliated manifold with boundary, and $H \subset \tilde{N}$ a closed subset. We write*

$\tilde{N} = N \cup_{D^+ \oplus S} H$, and say that \tilde{N} arises from N by \mathfrak{g} -equivariantly attaching a basic handle of type (E^+, E^-) if

- 1) there exists a homeomorphism $F : D^+ \oplus D^- \rightarrow H$;
- 2) $\tilde{N} = N \cup H$;
- 3) $F|_{D^+ \oplus S}$ is a foliation preserving \mathfrak{g} -equivariant diffeomorphism onto $\partial N \cap H$;
- 4) $F|_{D^+ \oplus D^-}$ is a foliation preserving \mathfrak{g} -equivariant diffeomorphism onto $\tilde{N} \setminus N$.

Following the methods developed in [Pa63] and [W69], we will prove a foliated version of the Morse handle body theorem. We will need the following technical result from [Pa63].

Lemma 5.10. *Let $\lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function that is monotone non-increasing and satisfies $\lambda(t) = 1$ if $t \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $\lambda(t) > 0$ if $t < 1$, and $\lambda(t) = 0$ if $t \geq 1$.*

For $0 \leq s \leq 1$, let $\sigma(s)$ be the unique solution of $\frac{\lambda(\sigma)}{1-\sigma} = \frac{2}{3}(1-s)$ in $[0, 1]$.

Then σ is strictly monotone increasing, continuous, C^∞ in $[0, 1)$ and $\sigma(0) = \frac{1}{2}$,

$\sigma(1) = 1$. Moreover, if $\epsilon > 0$ and $u^2 - v^2 \geq -\epsilon$ and $u^2 - v^2 - \frac{3\epsilon}{2}\lambda(\frac{u^2}{\epsilon}) \leq -\epsilon$,

then $u^2 \leq \epsilon\sigma(\frac{v^2}{\epsilon + u^2})$.

Next we explain the argument used in [Pa63, Sec. 11] can be easily adapted to prove the following result.

Proposition 5.11. *Let X be a connected, compact and non-degenerate critical submanifold of the \mathfrak{g} -invariant basic Morse-Bott function f , and let $\phi : NX \rightarrow M$, $NX(r)$, and θ be given as in Lemma 5.6. Consider the splitting $NX = N^+X \oplus N^-X$ as given in (5.2). Define a function g on $B(r) := \phi(NX(r))$ as follows.*

$$(5.6) \quad (g \circ \phi \circ \theta)(x, y) = (f \circ \phi \circ \theta)(x, y) - \frac{3\epsilon}{2}\lambda\left(\frac{\|x\|^2}{\epsilon}\right),$$

where $(x, y) \in N_p^+X \oplus N_p^-X$, $p \in X$, and $\lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is as in Lemma 5.10. Then for $2\epsilon < r$,

$$(5.7) \quad g^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon] \cap B(r) = f^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon] \cap B(r).$$

Moreover, $Q := \{p \in B(r) \mid g(p) \leq -\epsilon\}$ arises from $Z := \{p \in B(r) \mid f(p) \leq -\epsilon\}$ by \mathfrak{g} -equivariantly attaching a basic handle of type (N^+X, N^-X) .

Proof. First note that $f^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon] \cap B(r) \subset g^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon] \cap B(r)$ is obviously true. If $(\phi \circ \theta)(x, y) \in g^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon]$ such that $(g \circ \phi \circ \theta)(x, y) \neq (f \circ \phi \circ \theta)(x, y)$, then $\lambda(\frac{\|x\|^2}{\epsilon}) > 0$. Hence we have that $\|x\|^2 < \epsilon$. It follows that $(f \circ \phi \circ \theta)(x, y) = \|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 < \epsilon$. This proves (5.7).

Now let $D^+ \subset N^+X$ and $D^- \subset N^-X$ be the disk bundles as given in Definition 5.9, and let $H = \{x \in B(r) \mid f(x) \geq -\epsilon, \text{ and } g(x) \leq \epsilon\}$. Define

$F : D^+ \oplus D^- \rightarrow H$ by

$$(F \circ \phi \circ \theta)(x, y) = \left((\epsilon \sigma(\|x\|^2) \|y\|^2 + \epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} x, (\epsilon \sigma(\|x\|^2))^{\frac{1}{2}} y \right), \quad \forall (x, y) \in D^+ \oplus D^-,$$

and $G : H \rightarrow D^+ \oplus D^-$ by

$$(\phi^{-1} \circ \theta^{-1} \circ G)(x, y) = \left(\frac{x}{(\epsilon + \|y\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \left(\epsilon \sigma\left(\frac{\|x\|^2}{\epsilon + \|y\|^2}\right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} y \right), \quad \forall (x, y) \in H.$$

A routine check shows that F and G are inverse functions to each other, and that F has all the properties required in Definition 5.9.

q.e.d.

Theorem 5.12. *Let f be a proper \mathfrak{g} -invariant basic Morse-Bott function. Suppose that 0 is a critical value of f , that $\epsilon > 0$ is so small that 0 is the only critical value of f in $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, and that X_1, \dots, X_s are all the connected components of the critical submanifold of f that lie in $f^{-1}(0)$. Then there is a \mathfrak{g} -equivariant foliated diffeomorphism from M^ϵ to a $(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{F})$ -invariant submanifold $\tilde{M} \subset M$ with boundary that arises from M^ϵ by \mathfrak{g} -equivariantly attaching s many basic handles of type (N^+X_i, N^-X_i) , $1 \leq i \leq s$.*

Proof. For each X_i , let $\phi_i : NX_i \rightarrow M$ be a $(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{F})$ -equivariant tubular neighborhood as given in Lemma 5.6, and let $B_i(r) := \phi(NX_i(r))$ for $r = 5\epsilon$. Without loss of generality, choose ϵ to be so small that $B_i(r) \cap B_j(r) = \emptyset$, $\forall i \neq j$. Define a function g on $V = f^{-1}(-2\epsilon, \infty)$ as follows. If $z \in B_i(r)$ for some i , define $g(z)$ as in (5.6); if $z \notin \cup_{i=1}^s B_i(r)$, then define $g(z) = f(z)$. We first claim that g is smooth. To see this, it suffices to show that $\forall 1 \leq i \leq s$, the closure of the set $Z_i := \{z \in B_i(r) \cap f^{-1}(-2\epsilon, \infty) \mid f(z) \neq g(z)\}$ is contained in $\mathring{B}_i(r)$, the interior of $B_i(r)$. Write $z = (\phi \circ \theta)(x, y)$, where $(x, y) \in N_p^+X_i \oplus N_p^-X_i$ for some $p \in X_i$. If $z \in Z_i$, then by definition we must have $\|x\|^2 < \epsilon$. However, $f(z) = \|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2 > -2\epsilon$. Hence $\|y\|^2 < 2\epsilon + \|x\|^2 < 3\epsilon$. It follows that $\bar{Z}_i \subset B_i(4\epsilon) \subset \mathring{B}_i(r)$. This also proves that the function g is proper.

Next we claim that g has no critical points in $g^{-1}[-\frac{5\epsilon}{4}, \frac{5\epsilon}{4}]$. To simplify notations, write $\zeta = \|x\|^2$, $\eta = \|y\|^2$, and $\tilde{g} = g \circ \phi \circ \theta$. Using these notations, write $\tilde{g}(\zeta, \eta) = \zeta - \eta - \frac{3\epsilon}{2}\lambda(\frac{\zeta}{\epsilon})$. So

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial \zeta} = 1 - \frac{3}{2}\lambda'\left(\frac{\zeta}{\epsilon}\right) > 0, \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial \eta} = -1 < 0.$$

It follows that $d\tilde{g}$ could only possibly vanish at a point z that lies in the zero section X . However, if this is the case, then $g(z) = -\frac{3\epsilon}{2}$. It follows that $z \notin g^{-1}[-\frac{5\epsilon}{4}, \frac{5\epsilon}{4}]$.

Now observe that both $g^{-1}[-\epsilon, \epsilon]$ and $g^{-1}(-\frac{5\epsilon}{4}, \frac{5\epsilon}{4})$ are $(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{F})$ -invariant sets. Thus there exists a compactly supported \mathfrak{g} -invariant basic function

ρ which equals $\frac{1}{g(\text{grad}(g), \text{grad}(g))}$ on $g^{-1}[-\epsilon, \epsilon]$, and which vanishes outside $g^{-1}(-\frac{5\epsilon}{4}, \frac{5\epsilon}{4})$. Define a vector field X on V as follows.

$$X_p = \begin{cases} \rho \cdot \text{grad}(g), & \text{if } p \in g^{-1}(-\frac{5\epsilon}{4}, \frac{5\epsilon}{4}); \\ 0, & \text{if } p \notin g^{-1}(-\frac{5\epsilon}{4}, \frac{5\epsilon}{4}). \end{cases}$$

Note that since X is supported in a compact subset in V , it naturally extends to a foliate vector field on M . It is clear that X is a complete foliate vector field on V ; moreover, its flow $\{\varphi_t\}$ is \mathfrak{g} -equivariant. Since $g^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon] \cap V = f^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon] \cap V$, $\varphi_{-2\epsilon}$ is a \mathfrak{g} -equivariant foliated diffeomorphism from M^ϵ onto

$$M^{-\epsilon} \bigcup \{p \in V \mid p \in f^{-1}[-\epsilon, \infty) \cap g^{-1}(-\infty, -\epsilon]\} = M^{-\epsilon} \bigcup (\cup_{i=1}^s \{p \in B_i(r) \mid g(p) \leq -\epsilon\}).$$

Theorem 5.12 now follows easily from Proposition 5.11.

q.e.d.

6. APPLICATIONS TO THE EQUIVARIANT BASIC COHOMOLOGY THEORY

Throughout this section, unless otherwise stated, (M, \mathcal{F}) is a transversely compact Riemannian foliation that admits a transverse isometric \mathfrak{g} -action, and $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a proper \mathfrak{g} -invariant basic Morse-Bott function. To simplify notations, for any saturated submanifold N of M , in the rest of this paper we will often simply denote by \mathcal{F} the foliation $\mathcal{F}|_N$ on N induced by restriction.

Lemma 6.1. *Suppose that α is a regular value of f . Then any basic form $\alpha \in \Omega(M^\alpha, \mathcal{F})$ can be extended to a basic form on the entire manifold M .*

Proof. First we show that α can be extended to a basic form on a saturated open neighborhood $M^{<\alpha+\epsilon} := \{x \in M \mid f(x) < \alpha + \epsilon\}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Since by assumption the boundary of M^α is compact, there exists $\epsilon > 0$, and finitely many foliation coordinate neighborhood U_1, \dots, U_k , such that $M^{<\alpha+\epsilon} \subset M^\alpha \cup (\cup_{i=1}^k U_i)$. Moreover, we may assume that on each U_i there is a foliation coordinate chart

$$\varphi_i : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^q, z \mapsto (x^1(z), \dots, x^p(z), y^1(z), \dots, y^q(z)),$$

such that the restriction $\varphi_i : U_i \cap M^\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{q-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ gives rise to a foliation coordinate chart on M^α . On each $U_i \cap M^\alpha$, α has an expression of the form

$$\alpha = \sum_{l_1 < \dots < l_s} f_{l_1 \dots l_s}(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_q) dy^{l_1} \dots dy^{l_s},$$

such that each coefficient $f_{l_1 \dots l_s}$ is a basic function on $(U_i \cap M^\alpha, \mathcal{F}|_{U_i \cap M^\alpha})$. We note that for every $1 \leq i \leq q$, $f_{l_1 \dots l_s}$ can be extended to a smooth basic function on U_i . Indeed, a routine check shows that if we extend each $f_{l_1 \dots l_s}$ to a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^q$ following the extension formula precisely given in [S73], then the resulting smooth function is also basic. Thus α extends to a basic form on the entire U_i . Moreover, following the construction

used in [S73], it is easy to see that the extensions of α on different foliation coordinate neighborhoods can be chosen in such a way that they agree with each other on the overlap $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$. Therefore α gets extended to a basic form $\tilde{\alpha}$ on $M^{<a+\epsilon}$.

Now apply [LS18, Prop. 3.3.6] to get a $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F})$ -invariant function λ which equals 1 on M^a and which vanishes outside $M^{<a+\epsilon}$. Define

$$E(\alpha)(z) = \begin{cases} \lambda(z) \cdot \tilde{\alpha}(z), & \text{if } z \in M^{<a+\epsilon}; \\ 0, & \text{if } z \notin M^{<a+\epsilon}. \end{cases}$$

Then $E(\alpha)$ is a basic form on M whose restriction to M^a is α .

q.e.d.

Lemma 6.2. *Suppose that $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$ are three regular values of the $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F})$ -invariant Morse function f . Then there is a long exact sequence of cohomologies*

$$(6.1) \quad \cdots \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}^{*-1}(M^{a_2}, M^{a_1}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M^{a_3}, M^{a_2}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{p^*} H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M^{a_3}, M^{a_1}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{i^*} H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M^{a_2}, M^{a_1}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Here p^* is induced by the natural chain map

$$p : \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M^{a_3}, M^{a_2}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M^{a_3}, M^{a_1}, \mathcal{F}), \alpha \mapsto \alpha,$$

and i^* is the pullback map induced by the inclusion $i : M^{a_3} \rightarrow M^{a_2}$.

Proof. We claim the following sequence is exact.

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M^{a_3}, M^{a_2}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{p} \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M^{a_3}, M^{a_1}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{i^*} \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M^{a_2}, M^{a_1}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow 0.$$

The only thing requires a proof is the surjectivity of i^* . It follows from Lemma 6.1 that for every $\alpha \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M^{a_2}, M^{a_1}, \mathcal{F}) = (\text{Sg}^* \otimes \Omega(M^{a_3}, M^{a_1}, \mathcal{F}))^{\mathfrak{g}}$, there exists $\beta \in \text{Sg}^* \otimes \Omega(M, \mathcal{F})$ such that $i^*\beta = \alpha$.

If the transverse action of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} lifts to a true Lie algebra action $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{F})$ that is induced by a foliation preserving smooth action of a compact Lie group G on (M, \mathcal{F}) , then we have $(\text{Sg}^* \otimes \Omega(M^{a_3}, M^{a_1}, \mathcal{F}))^{\mathfrak{g}} = (\text{Sg}^* \otimes \Omega(M^{a_3}, M^{a_1}, \mathcal{F}))^G$. Averaging β over the Harr measure on G yields a relative equivariant basic form $\beta_1 \in (\text{Sg}^* \otimes \Omega(M^{a_3}, M^{a_1}, \mathcal{F}))^{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $i^*\beta_1 = \alpha$. In the general case that there is an isometric transverse Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} -action on a transversely compact Riemannian foliation, the existence of a similar "averaging" operator is established in [L24]. Applying it to β will provide us with the desired relative equivariant basic form β_1 .

q.e.d.

Lemma 6.3. *Suppose that $a < b$ are two regular values of the $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F})$ -invariant Morse-Bott function f such that (a, b) contains no critical values of f . Let $i : M^a \hookrightarrow M^b$ be the inclusion map. Then the following results hold.*

- 1) $i^* : H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M^b, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M^a, \mathcal{F})$ is an isomorphism;
- 2) $H_{\mathfrak{g}}(M^b, M^a, \mathcal{F}) = 0$;
- 3) For any regular value $c < a$, $i^* : H_{\mathfrak{g}}(M^b, M^c, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}(M^a, M^c, \mathcal{F})$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let X be the vector field as defined in (5.4), let $\{\varphi_t\}$ be the one parameter subgroup of \mathfrak{g} -equivariant and foliation preserving diffeomorphisms, and let $\phi_t := \varphi_{-t(b-a)}$, $\forall 0 \leq t \leq 1$. Then $\{\phi_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ is a family of \mathfrak{g} -equivariant and foliation preserving diffeomorphisms, which satisfies $\phi_1(M^b) = M^a$. Note that $\{\phi_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ provides a \mathfrak{g} -equivariant and foliated homotopy between $\phi_0|_{M^b} = \text{id}_{M^b}$ and $i \circ \phi_1|_{M^b} = \phi_1|_{M^b}$. Thus $\phi_1^* \circ i^* = \text{id}_{H_{\mathfrak{g}}(M^b, \mathcal{F})}$. Since $\phi_1^* : H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M^a, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M^b, \mathcal{F})$ is an isomorphism, i^* must also be an isomorphism. This proves the first assertion. The second assertion follows from the first assertion together with the long exact sequence (6.1). It remains to show the third assertion. Note that we may assume the vector field X vanishes on M^c . Then $\phi_t(z) = z$, $\forall z \in M^c$. Thus $\phi_0^*, (i \circ \phi_1)^* : \Omega_c(M^b, M^c, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Omega_c(M^a, M^c, \mathcal{F})$ are homotopic as morphisms of \mathfrak{g}^* -differential graded algebras. Assertion 3 now follows from Lemma 4.1. q.e.d.

Proposition 6.4. *Let $a < b$ be two regular values of the $(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{F})$ -invariant Morse-Bott function f . Then the chain map*

$$(6.2) \quad \Omega_{\mathfrak{g},c}(M^b \setminus M^a, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M^a, M^b, \mathcal{F}), \alpha \mapsto (\alpha, 0)$$

induces an isomorphism on cohomologies.

Proof. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small such that $[a, a + \epsilon] \subset [a, b]$ consists entirely of regular values of f . We first show that the morphism induced by (6.2) on cohomologies is injective. To see this, assume that there is a compactly supported closed equivariant differential form α in $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g},c}(M^b \setminus M^a, \mathcal{F})$ such that $(\alpha, 0) = d_{\mathfrak{g}}(\beta, 0)$ for some $(\beta, 0) \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M^b, M^a, \mathcal{F})$. Since α is compactly supported in $M^b \setminus M^a$, without loss of generality we may assume that $\alpha = 0$ on $f^{-1}[a, a + \epsilon]$. In other words, $(\beta|_{M^{a+\epsilon}}, 0)$ is a closed equivariant differential form that lies in $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M^{a+\epsilon}, M^a, \mathcal{F})$. It follows from Lemma 6.3 that there exists an equivariant differential form $(\gamma, 0) \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M^{a+\epsilon}, M^a, \mathcal{F})$ such that $(\beta, 0) = d_{\mathfrak{g}}(\gamma, 0)$. Choose a $(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{F})$ -invariant function λ which equals 1 on $M^{a+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}$ and which vanishes outside $M^{a+\epsilon}$. Then $\beta_1 = \beta - d_{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda \cdot \gamma) \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{g},c}(M^b \setminus M^a, \mathcal{F})$ and satisfies $\alpha = d_{\mathfrak{g}}\beta_1$.

Next we show that the morphism induced by (6.2) on cohomologies is surjective. Let $(\eta, 0) \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M^a, M^b, \mathcal{F})$ be a closed relative equivariant basic differential form, and let ϵ and λ be chosen as in the previous paragraph. It follows from Lemma 6.3 that there exists a relative equivariant basic differential form $(\zeta, 0) \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M^{a+\epsilon}, M^a, \mathcal{F})$ such that $(\eta, 0) = d_{\mathfrak{g}}(\zeta, 0)$ holds on the pair $(M^{a+\epsilon}, M^a)$. Thus $\lambda \cdot \zeta$ is a relative equivariant basic differential form in $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M^b, M^a, \mathcal{F})$, such that $\eta - d_{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda \cdot \zeta) \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{g},c}(M^b \setminus M^a, \mathcal{F})$. This finishes the proof of surjectivity. q.e.d.

Theorem 6.5. *In addition to the assumptions made in Theorem 5.12, assume that \mathfrak{g} is abelian, and that there is a one dimensional subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} such that $\text{Crit}(f)$ coincides with the fixed-leaf set $M^b = \coprod_{i=1}^s X_i$. Then we have the following short*

exact sequence of $S\mathfrak{g}^*$ -modules.

$$(6.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s H_{\mathfrak{g}}^{*-r_i}(X_i, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}(M^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}(M^{-\epsilon}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Here r_i is the rank of the negative normal bundle E_i^- over X_i . Moreover, the morphism $i^* : H_{\mathfrak{g}}(M^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s H_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_i, \mathcal{F})$ induced by the inclusion $i : \coprod X_i \rightarrow M^\epsilon$ is injective.

Proof. Note that by Lemma 6.3, $H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M^\epsilon, M^{-\epsilon}, \mathcal{F}) = H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M^{-\epsilon} \cup (\cup_{i=1}^s \{p \in B_i(r) \mid g(p) \leq \epsilon\}, M^{-\epsilon}, \mathcal{F}))$. However, Proposition 6.4 together with Theorem 5.12 implies that

$$H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M^{-\epsilon} \cup (\cup_{i=1}^s \{p \in B_i(r) \mid g(p) \leq \epsilon\}, M^{-\epsilon}, \mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s H_{\mathfrak{g},c}^*(D_i^+ \oplus \mathring{D}_i^-, \mathcal{F}).$$

It follows easily from Lemma 4.2 that $H_{\mathfrak{g},c}^*(D_i^+ \oplus \mathring{D}_i^-, \mathcal{F}) \cong H_{\mathfrak{g},c}^*(\mathring{D}_i^-, \mathcal{F})$. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be the fibrewise defined norm on E_i^- induced by the transverse Riemannian metric. The fibrewise defined map

$$f_i : \mathring{D}_i^- \rightarrow E_i^-, v \mapsto \tan\left(\frac{\pi\|v\|}{2}\right)v$$

is clearly a \mathfrak{g} -equivariant and foliation preserving diffeomorphism, which induces an isomorphism from the de Rham complex of vertically compactly supported equivariant basic form on E_i^- to the de Rham complex of compactly supported equivariant basic form on \mathring{D}_i^- . It follows that $H_{\mathfrak{g},c}^*(\mathring{D}_i^-, \mathcal{F}) \cong H_{\mathfrak{g},c\nu}^*(E_i^-, \mathcal{F})$. Thus Theorem 4.7 together with Lemma 6.2 implies that there is a long exact sequence

$$(6.4) \quad \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s H_{\mathfrak{g}}^{*-r_i}(X_i, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M^{-\epsilon}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \dots$$

In the above exact sequence the map $H_{\mathfrak{g}}^{*-r_i}(X_i, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M^\epsilon, \mathcal{F})$ can be factored out as follows.

$$H_{\mathfrak{g}}^{*-r_i}(X_i, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\zeta^*} H_{\mathfrak{g},c}^*(\mathring{D}_i^-, \mathcal{F}) \cong H_{\mathfrak{g},c}^*(M^\epsilon \setminus M^{-\epsilon}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^*(M^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}),$$

Let $i : X_i \hookrightarrow M^\epsilon$ be the inclusion map. Then the composition $H_{\mathfrak{g}}^{*-r_i}(X_i, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{i^*} H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(X_i, \mathcal{F})$ is given by

$$[\alpha] \mapsto [\eta_{X_i, \mathfrak{g}}] \wedge [\alpha],$$

where $\eta_{X_i, \mathfrak{g}}$ is the equivariant basic Euler form of $E_i^- \rightarrow X_i$. It follows from Proposition 4.9 that $[\eta_{X_i, \mathfrak{g}}]$ is not a zero divisor. Therefore both $H_{\mathfrak{g}}^{*-r_i}(X_i, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M^\epsilon, \mathcal{F})$ and $i^* : H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(X_i, \mathcal{F})$ must be injective. This finishes the proof of Theorem 8.3.

q.e.d.

Theorem 6.6. (Kirwan Surjectivity) Consider the transverse isometric action of an abelian Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} on a compact Riemannian foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) . Suppose that $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a basic Morse-Bott function that is invariant under the \mathfrak{g} -action,

that there is a one dimensional subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} such that $\text{Crit}(f)$ coincides with the fixed-leaf set $M^{\mathfrak{h}}$, and that 0 is a regular value of f . Then the inclusion map $i : Z := f^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow M$ induces a surjective map $\kappa : H_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}(Z, \mathcal{F}|_Z)$ in cohomologies.

Proof. Let $F = f^2$, and let $0 < c_1 < \dots < c_m$ be all the critical values of F . Clearly, F is a basic Morse-Bott function at all of its critical values except for 0 . Choose $0 < \epsilon < c_1$ and apply Theorem 8.3 to critical levels c_1, \dots, c_m repeatedly. We see that the inclusion map $i : M^\epsilon \hookrightarrow M$ induces a surjection $H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M^\epsilon, \mathcal{F})$. Finally, note that there is a \mathfrak{g} -equivariant and foliation preserving deformation retraction from M^ϵ onto $F^{-1}(0) = Z$. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that the inclusion map $i : Z \hookrightarrow M$ induces a surjection $\kappa : H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(Z, \mathcal{F})$ in cohomologies.

q.e.d.

Theorem 6.7. (Kirwan injectivity) Consider the transverse isometric action of an abelian Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} on a compact Riemannian foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) . Suppose that $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a basic Morse-Bott function that is invariant under the \mathfrak{g} -action, that there is a one dimensional subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} such that $\text{Crit}(f)$ coincides with the fixed-leaf set $X := M^{\mathfrak{h}}$. Then the morphism $i^* : H_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}(X, \mathcal{F}|_X)$ induced by the inclusion $i : X \hookrightarrow M$ is injective.

Proof. Let $c_1 < \dots < c_m$ be all the critical values of f , let $\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_m$ be regular values of f satisfying $c_i < \alpha_k < c_{k+1}$, and let the morphism $i_k^* : H_{\mathfrak{g}}(M^{\alpha_k}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}(M^{\alpha_k} \cap X, \mathcal{F})$ be induced by the inclusion $i_k : M^{\alpha_k} \cap X \hookrightarrow M^{\alpha_k}$. To show Theorem 6.7, it suffices to show that $\forall 1 \leq k \leq m$, the morphism $i_k^* : H_{\mathfrak{g}}(M^{\alpha_k}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}(M^{\alpha_k} \cap X, \mathcal{F})$ is injective. Applying the second assertion in Theorem 6.5, it is easy to prove the claim by mathematical induction.

q.e.d.

7. MORSE INEQUALITIES FOR RIEMANNIAN FOLIATIONS

Throughout this section, assume that (M, \mathcal{F}) is a Riemannian foliation of co-dimension n on a compact manifold M , and that $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a basic Morse-Bott function. We note that with the only exceptions of Theorem 6.5 and Theorem 6.6, the foundational results established in Section 5 and Section 6 apply to the current situation with $\mathfrak{g} = \{0\}$. Indeed, Theorem 6.5 and Theorem 6.6 depend on Proposition 4.9 which fail to hold in the non-equivariant case in general. However, in view of Theorem A.1, the same argument as given in the proof of Theorem 6.5, together with Theorem A.1, leads to the following result.

Proposition 7.1. Suppose that 0 is a critical value of f , that $\epsilon > 0$ is so small that 0 is the only critical value of f in $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, and that X_1, \dots, X_s are all the connected

components of the critical submanifold of f that lies in $f^{-1}(0)$. Then

$$H^*(M^\epsilon, M^{-\epsilon}, \mathcal{F}) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^s H^{*-r_i}(X_i, L_{X_i}, \mathcal{F}).$$

Here r_i is the rank of the negative normal bundle of X_i , and L_{X_i} is the orientation line bundle of the negative normal bundle N^-X_i .

To state the Morse inequalities for Riemannian foliations, we first introduce a few notations to set up the stage. For a connected component of the critical submanifold X of f , we will denote by r_X the rank of the negative normal bundle of X , and L_X the orientation line bundle of the negative normal bundle N^-X . Define

$$(7.1) \quad \nu_j = \sum_{X \subset \text{Crit}(f)} \dim \left(H^{j-r_X}(X, L_X, \mathcal{F}) \right),$$

where X runs over all connected components of critical submanifolds of f . Let $a < b$ be two regular values of f . $\forall 0 \leq j \leq n$, we will denote by $b_j(M^b, M^a, \mathcal{F})$ the relative basic Betti number $\dim(H^j(M^b, M^a, \mathcal{F}))$, and by $b_j(M, \mathcal{F})$ the basic Betti number $\dim(H^j(M, \mathcal{F}))$. As an immediate consequence of Lemma 6.2 in the case when \mathfrak{g} is trivial, we see that b_j defines a sub-additive function on the collection of all pairs of (M^b, M^a) described above. This leads to the following Morse inequalities.

Theorem 7.2. (Morse inequalities) *Suppose that \mathcal{F} is a Riemannian foliation on a compact manifold M , and that f is a basic Morse-Bott function. Then the following results hold.*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a) } & b_j(M, \mathcal{F}) \leq \sum_{j=0}^n \nu_j; \\ \text{b) } & \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j b_j(M, \mathcal{F}) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \nu_j; \\ \text{c) } & \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j b_j(M, \mathcal{F}) \leq \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \nu_j, \forall 0 \leq k \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

8. HAMILTONIAN ACTIONS ON PRE-SYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS

In this section we consider the Hamiltonian transverse Lie algebra actions on pre-symplectic manifolds. As an application of the results established in Section 5 and Section 6, when the underlying foliation is also Riemannian, and when the transverse Lie algebra action is isometric, we prove the Kirwan surjectivity and injectivity theorem in this setting. Throughout this section we assume the \mathfrak{g} is a finite dimensional abelian Lie algebra.

Definition 8.1. *Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation on a smooth manifold M . We say that \mathcal{F} is a **transversely symplectic foliation**, if there exists a closed 2-form ω , called*

a *transversely symplectic form*, such that for each $x \in M$, the kernel of ω_x coincides with $T_x\mathcal{F}$.

Definition 8.2. ([LS17]) Suppose that (M, \mathcal{F}, ω) is a transversely symplectic foliation, and that there is a transverse action of a finite dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M, \mathcal{F})$. We say that the action of \mathfrak{g} is **Hamiltonian**, if there exists a \mathfrak{g} -equivariant smooth map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$, called the *moment map*, that satisfies the Hamiltonian equation

$$(8.1) \quad \iota(\mathfrak{a}(\xi))\omega = d\langle \mu, \xi \rangle, \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the dual pairing between \mathfrak{g}^* and \mathfrak{g} .

Lemma 8.3. Suppose that (M, \mathcal{F}) is a transversely symplectic foliation, and that there is a Hamiltonian transverse Lie algebra action $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M, \mathcal{F})$ with a moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. Then the following statements hold true.

a)

$$\text{Crit}(\mu^\xi) = \{\mathfrak{a}(\xi) = 0\}.$$

b)

$$d\mu_p(T_p M) = (\text{stab}(p, \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{F}))^\perp, \quad \forall p \in M.$$

Here given a subspace $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{h}^\perp \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^*$ denotes the annihilator of \mathfrak{h} .

Proof. a) is an immediate consequence from the Hamiltonian equation (8.1). We need only to show b). Suppose that $\xi \in \text{stab}(p, \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{F})$. Then by definition, $\mathfrak{a}(\xi)(p) = 0$. So a) implies that p must be a critical point of μ^ξ . Thus $\forall X \in T_p$,

$$\langle d\mu_p(X), \xi \rangle = \langle d\mu^\xi(X), \xi \rangle_p = 0.$$

This shows that $\text{stab}(p, \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{F}) \subseteq (d\mu(T_p M))^\perp$. Conversely, if $\xi \in (d\mu(T_p M))^\perp$, then $\langle d\mu^\xi(X), \xi \rangle_p = \langle d\mu_p(X), \xi \rangle = 0, \forall X \in T_p M$. It follows that $p \in \text{Crit}(\mu^\xi)$. Thus $\mathfrak{a}(\xi)(p) = 0$, which implies that $\xi \in \text{stab}(p, \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{F})$.

q.e.d.

Theorem 8.4. Suppose that there is a transverse isometric action of a one dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} on a transversely symplectic foliation (M, \mathcal{F}, ω) with a given transverse Riemannian metric g , and that the action is Hamiltonian with a moment map $f : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \cong \mathbb{R}$. Then f is a Morse-Bott function of even index whose critical submanifolds coincides with the fixed-leaf set $M^\mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. We compute $M^\mathfrak{g}$ in the chart at a point $x \in M^\mathfrak{g}$ given by Proposition 3.7. The tangent space $T = T_x M$ is a direct sum $T = E \oplus F$, where E is an inner-product space with a linear isometric \mathfrak{g} -action and the foliation of T is the linear foliation \mathcal{F}_T defined by F . Thus $T^\mathfrak{g} = E^\mathfrak{g} \oplus F$ and $T = E_1 \oplus E^\mathfrak{g} \oplus F$, where $E^\mathfrak{g}$ is the \mathfrak{g} -fixed subspace of E , and where E_1 is the orthogonal complement of $E^\mathfrak{g}$ in E with respect to the inner product.

Let $\psi : T \rightarrow M$ be the \mathfrak{g} -equivariant foliate open embedding given in Proposition 3.7, let $\omega_T = \psi^* \omega$, and let ω_0 be the linear transversely symplectic structure on T given by $\omega|_{T_x M}$. Then ω_T and ω_0 are two pre-symplectic forms on T , both of which have \mathcal{F}_T as their null foliations. Clearly,

the transverse action of \mathfrak{g} on T is Hamiltonian with respect to both ω_0 and ω_T . We will denote the corresponding Hamiltonian functions by f_0 and f_T , respectively. Let ξ be a basis vector in \mathfrak{g} , and let $\bar{\xi}_T$ be the transverse vector field induced by the action of ξ . It follows easily from the Hamiltonian equation (8.1) that p is a critical point of f_0 or f_T if and only if the transverse vector $\bar{\xi}_T(p) = 0$. In particular, this implies that the critical point set for both f_0 and f_T is $W := E^{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus F$. To finish the proof of Theorem 8.4, it suffices to show that the Hessian of f_T at the origin $\mathbf{0}$ is non-degenerate in the transverse direction of $E^{\mathfrak{g}}$, and its index is even.

Now observe that the transverse Riemannian metric g_x on T projects to an inner product \bar{g} on E , while the transverse action of \mathfrak{g} on T projects to a linear action of \mathfrak{g} on E that preserves the inner product \bar{g} . Thus there is a Lie algebra homomorphism $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(E)$. Let G be the simply-connected immersed subgroup of $SO(E)$ whose Lie algebra is the image of ρ , and let H be the closure of G in $SO(E)$. Then it is clear that H is a compact and connected torus, and that $\omega_0|_E$ and $\omega_T|_E$ are two H -invariant symplectic forms on E that agree with each other at the origin $\mathbf{0}$. So by the standard equivariant Darboux theorem ω_0 and ω_T differ from each other on an open neighborhood of $\mathbf{0}$ in E by an H -equivariant symplectomorphism.

However, the symplectic form $\omega_0|_E$ and the action of \mathfrak{g} on E are linear, with $E^{\mathfrak{g}}$ being the fixed point set. It follows from the standard result in equivariant symplectic geometry the Hessian of f_0 at x is non-degenerate in the transverse direction of $E^{\mathfrak{g}}$, and has even index. It follows that the Hessian of f_T has the same properties.

q.e.d.

Throughout the rest of this section we assume that there is a Hamiltonian transverse action of an abelian Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} on a transversely symplectic foliation (M, \mathcal{F}, ω) with a moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$, that (M, \mathcal{F}) is also a Riemannian foliation with a given transversely Riemannian metric g , and that the action of \mathfrak{g} is isometric with respect to g .

Lemma 8.5. *Let $x, y \in M$ satisfy $\text{stab}(x, \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{F}) = \text{stab}(y, \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{F}) = \mathfrak{h}$, where \mathfrak{h} is a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . If x and y are in the same connected component of $M^{\mathfrak{h}}$, then*

$$\mu(x) + \mathfrak{h}^{\perp} = \mu(y) + \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}.$$

As a result, if $M/\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ is compact, then the set of vector spaces

$$(8.2) \quad \{\text{span}(\mu(x)) + (\text{stab}(x, \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{F}))^{\perp} \mid x \in M\}$$

is finite.

Proof. The map $\mu_{\mathfrak{h}} : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ defined by composing μ with the projection $\pi_{\mathfrak{h}^*} : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ is a moment map for the restricted transverse \mathfrak{h} -action. By definition $\mu_{\mathfrak{h}^*}$ restricts to a locally constant function on $M^{\mathfrak{h}}$, and so $\mu_{\mathfrak{h}^*}(x) = \mu_{\mathfrak{h}^*}(y)$. It follows that

$$\mu(x) - \mu(y) \in \ker(\pi_{\mathfrak{h}^*}) = \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}.$$

Since $M/\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ is compact, by Corollary 3.9 the set $\{\text{stab}(x, \mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F}) \mid x \in M\}$ is finite. Since $M^{\mathfrak{h}}$ has only finitely many components for every such Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} , (8.2) must be a finite set.

q.e.d.

Lemma 8.6. *Suppose that $M/\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ is compact, and that $\mathbf{0} \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a regular value. Consider the hyperplane Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_1(\mathfrak{g})$ parametrizes the set of codimension one subspaces $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Then the set*

$$\mathcal{U} := \{\mathfrak{h} \in \text{Gr}_1(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \mathbf{0} \text{ is a regular value for } \mu_{\mathfrak{h}} := \text{proj}_{\mathfrak{h}^*} \circ \mu\}$$

is open and dense in $\text{Gr}_1(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proof. In view of Lemma 8.5, the same argument used in the proof of [BL10, Lemma B.3] applies to the current situation with minimal changes. q.e.d.

Lemma 8.7. *Let (M, \mathcal{F}, ω) be a transversely symplectic foliation, let $\alpha : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M, \mathcal{F})$ be a Hamiltonian transverse action of an abelian Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with a moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$, and let \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{h}_1 be Lie subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_1$. Suppose that \mathcal{F} is also a Riemannian foliation equipped with a transversely Riemannian metric g , that the \mathfrak{g} -action is isometric with respect to g , and that $\mathbf{0} \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ and $\mathbf{0} \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ are regular values of Φ and $\mu_{\mathfrak{h}} = \text{proj}_{\mathfrak{h}^*} \circ \mu$ respectively. Set $W := \mu_{\mathfrak{h}}^{-1}(\mathbf{0})$. Then the following results hold.*

- 1) $\ker(\omega|_W)$ gives rise to a transversely symplectic foliation \mathcal{F}_0 on W ;
- 2) the induced transverse action of \mathfrak{h}_1 on $(W, \mathcal{F}_0, \omega|_W)$ is Hamiltonian;
- 3) the restriction of g to $N\mathcal{F}_0$, where $N\mathcal{F}_0$ is being identified with a subbundle of $N\mathcal{F}|_W$, is a transverse Riemannian metric on (W, \mathcal{F}_0) ; moreover, the transverse action of \mathfrak{h}_1 on W is isometric with respect to $g|_{N\mathcal{F}_0}$.

Proof. We leave the proof as an easy exercise for the reader.

q.e.d.

The following result is an immediate consequence of Lemma 8.6 and Lemma 8.7. It allows us to derive the basic Kirwan surjectivity result for the transverse action of abelian Lie algebra of any dimension using a reduction by stage argument.

Proposition 8.8. *Consider the transverse isometric action of an abelian Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} on a Riemannian foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) that is also transversely symplectic. Suppose that the action of \mathfrak{g} is Hamiltonian with a moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ for which $\mathbf{0} \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a regular value. Then we may choose a basis ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n of \mathfrak{g} such that the following results hold.*

- 1) $\mathbf{0} \in \mathfrak{g}_k^*$ is a regular value for the map $\mu_k = \text{proj}_{\mathfrak{g}_k^*} \circ \mu$, where $\mathfrak{g}_k = \text{span}\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k\}$, $\forall 1 \leq k \leq n$.
- 2) $\forall 1 \leq k \leq n$, the restriction of $\mu^{\xi_{k+1}}$ to the submanifold $M_k := \mu_k^{-1}(\mathbf{0})$ is a basic Morse-Bott function with critical submanifold equal to the fixed-leaf set of the transverse action of $\mathfrak{h}_{k+1} := \text{span}\{\xi_{k+1}\}$ on (M_k, \mathcal{F}_k) , where \mathcal{F}_k is the transversely symplectic foliation on M_k defined by the kernel of $\omega|_{M_k}$.

Theorem 8.9. (Kirwan Surjectivity) Consider the transverse isometric action of an abelian Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} on a Riemannian foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) that is also transversely symplectic. Suppose that the action of \mathfrak{g} is Hamiltonian with a moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ for which $0 \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a regular value. Then the inclusion map $i : Z := \mu^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow M$ induces a surjection $\kappa : H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\mu^{-1}(0), \mathcal{F}|_Z)$ in cohomologies.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{g}_j = \text{span}\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_j\}$, let $\mathfrak{h}_j = \text{span}\{\xi_{j+1}, \dots, \xi_n\}$, where $n = \dim(\mathfrak{g})$, and let $\mu_j = \text{proj}_{\mathfrak{g}_j^*} \circ \mu$ be given as in Proposition 8.8. We see that the inclusion map $i : \mu^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow M$ gets factored out as a sequence of inclusion map

$$\mu^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow \mu_{n-1}^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow \mu_1^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow M.$$

Therefore the homomorphism $\kappa : H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\mu^{-1}(0), \mathcal{F})$ gets factored out as the composition of a sequence of morphisms

$$H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{i^*} H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\mu_1^{-1}(0), \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{i^*} \dots \xrightarrow{i^*} H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\mu^{-1}(0), \mathcal{F}).$$

To prove Theorem 8.9, it suffices to show by mathematical induction the following statement: for every $1 \leq j \leq n$, the composition map

$$(8.3) \quad \kappa_j : \underbrace{i^* \circ \dots \circ i^*}_{j\text{-fold}} : H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M_j, \mathcal{F})$$

is surjective, where $M_j = \mu_j^{-1}(0)$.

Clearly, when $j = 1$, the statement is an immediate consequence of Theorem 6.6. Assuming that the statement is true for $j - 1 < n$, we consider the case for j . By the inductive hypothesis, the map $\kappa_{j-1} : H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M_{j-1}, \mathcal{F})$ is surjective. Let \mathcal{F}_{j-1} be the pre-symplectic foliation defined by the kernel of $\omega|_{\mu_{j-1}^{-1}(0)}$, and let

$$\Omega(M_{j-1}, \mathcal{F})_{\text{bas}, \mathfrak{g}_{j-1}} = \{\alpha \in \Omega(M_{j-1}, \mathcal{F}) \mid \iota(\xi)\alpha = \mathcal{L}(\xi)\alpha = 0, \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}_{j-1}\}.$$

It is clear from definition that

$$\Omega(M_{j-1}, \mathcal{F})_{\text{bas}, \mathfrak{g}_{j-1}} = \Omega(M_{j-1}, \mathcal{F}_{j-1}).$$

Thus it follows from [GT18, Prop. 3.9] that $H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M_{j-1}, \mathcal{F}) = H_{\mathfrak{h}_{j-1}}^*(M_{j-1}, \mathcal{F}_{j-1})$. A similar argument shows that $H_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(M_j, \mathcal{F}) = H_{\mathfrak{h}_{j-1}}^*(M_j, \mathcal{F}_{j-1})$. Now applying Theorem 6.6 to the transverse isometric action of \mathfrak{h}_{j-1} on the Riemannian foliation \mathcal{F}_{j-1} and the basic Morse-Bott function μ^{ξ_j} , we see that the inclusion map $i : M_j \hookrightarrow M_{j-1}$ induces a surjection $i^* : H_{\mathfrak{h}_{j-1}}^*(M_{j-1}, \mathcal{F}_{j-1}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{h}_{j-1}}^*(M_j, \mathcal{F}_{j-1})$. This implies the surjectivity of (8.3) immediately. q.e.d.

Theorem 8.10. (Kirwan injectivity) Consider the transverse isometric action of an abelian Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} on a Riemannian foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) that is also transversely symplectic. Let $X := M^{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the fixed-leaf set, $i : X \hookrightarrow M$ the inclusion map, and

$$(8.4) \quad i^* : H_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{g}}(X, \mathcal{F}|_X)$$

the pullback morphism induced by i . Assume that the action of \mathfrak{g} is Hamiltonian. Then the morphism (8.4) must be injective.

Proof. Note that by Corollary 3.9 the set $\{\text{stab}(x, \mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F}) \mid x \in M\}$ is a finite collection of subspaces of \mathfrak{g} . Thus we can choose a generic element $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ which does not lie in any $\text{stab}(x, \mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathcal{F})$ with dimension less than $\dim(\mathfrak{g})$. Then for the one dimension Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} := \text{span}\{\xi\}$, $M^{\mathfrak{h}} = M^{\mathfrak{g}} = X$. Applying Theorem 6.7 to the Morse-Bott function $f := \Phi^{\xi}$ proves Theorem 8.10.

q.e.d.

9. HAMILTONIAN TORUS ACTIONS ON SYMPLECTIC ORBIFOLDS

We first briefly review some basic notions on orbifolds to set up the stage. We follow the more classical and elementary approach via local charts and atlas and borrow from the expositions in [MM03], which also serves as a very good introduction to orbifolds from the viewpoint of Lie groupoids.

Let N be a topological space. An **orbifold chart** of dimension $m \geq 0$ on N is a triple (U, Γ, ϕ) , where U is a connected open set in \mathbb{R}^m , Γ is a finite group of $\text{Diff}(U)$ and $\phi : U \rightarrow N$ is a continuous and open map that induces a homeomorphism $U/\Gamma \rightarrow \phi(U)$. Let (V, H, ψ) be another orbifold chart on N . An embedding $\sigma : (V, H, \psi) \rightarrow (U, \Gamma, \phi)$ between orbifold charts is an embedding $\sigma : V \rightarrow U$ such that $\phi \circ \sigma = \psi$. Two orbifold charts (U, Γ, ϕ) and (V, H, ψ) are said to be **compatible** with each other, if $\forall x \in \phi(U) \cap \psi(V)$, there exist an orbifold chart (W, G, ρ) with $x \in \rho(W)$, and two embeddings between orbifold charts $\sigma : (W, G, \rho) \rightarrow (U, \Gamma, \phi)$ and $\sigma' : (W, G, \rho) \rightarrow (V, H, \psi)$. An orbifold atlas of dimension $m \geq 0$ of a topological space N is a collection of pairwise compatible orbifold charts $\mathfrak{U} = \{(U_i, \Gamma_i, \phi_i)\}_{i \in I}$ of dimension $m \geq 0$ on N , such that $N = \bigcup_{i \in I} \phi_i(U_i)$. An **orbifold** of dimension m is a pair (N, \mathfrak{U}) , where N is a second countable Hausdorff topological space and \mathfrak{U} is a maximal orbifold atlas of N of dimension m . A differential form α on an orbifold (N, \mathfrak{U}) is a collection of differential forms $\{\alpha_i \in \Omega(U_i) \mid (U_i, \Gamma_i, \phi_i) \in \mathfrak{U}\}$, which satisfy the following compatibility condition: if $\sigma_{ji} : (U_j, \Gamma_j, \phi_j) \rightarrow (U_i, \Gamma_i, \phi_i)$ is an embedding between two orbifold charts in \mathfrak{U} , then $\sigma_{ji}^* \alpha_i = \alpha_j$. We will denote by $\Omega(N)$ the space of differential forms on the orbifold N . Clearly, there is a natural exterior operator d which turns $(\Omega(N), d)$ into a differential complex. The orbifold version of the De Rham theorem asserts the cohomology of the differential complex $(\Omega(N), d)$ is isomorphic to the singular cohomology of N with real coefficients, c.f. [S56, Theorem 1].

Similarly a vector field on an orbifold (N, \mathfrak{U}) is a collection of vector fields $\{Z_i \in \Gamma(\mathcal{T}U_i) \mid (U_i, \Gamma_i, \phi_i) \in \mathfrak{U}\}$, such that if $\sigma_{ji} : (U_j, \Gamma_j, \phi_j) \rightarrow (U_i, \Gamma_i, \phi_i)$ is an embedding between two orbifold charts in \mathfrak{U} , then vector fields Z_i and Z_j are σ_{ji} -related. Let Z be a vector on an orbifold N , and $\Omega(N)$ the space of differential forms on N . It is easy to see that the contraction operator $\iota_Z : \Omega^*(N) \rightarrow \Omega^{*-1}(N)$, the Lie derivative operator $\mathcal{L}_Z : \Omega^*(N) \rightarrow \Omega^*(N)$,

and the exterior operator $d : \Omega^*(N) \rightarrow \Omega^{*+1}(N)$ are well defined and satisfy the usual Cartan identities.

Let \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} be two orbifold atlases on two orbifolds N and Q respectively. A continuous map $f : N \rightarrow Q$ is said to be a **smooth orbifold map** if for any $x \in N$ there are orbifold charts $(U, \Gamma, \phi) \in \mathcal{U}$ around x and (V, G, ψ) around $f(x)$, such that $f(\phi(U)) \subset \psi(V)$ and such that $f|_{\phi(U)} : \phi(U) \rightarrow \psi(V)$ gets lifted to a smooth map $\tilde{f} : U \rightarrow V$ with $\psi \circ \tilde{f} = f \circ \phi$. Let G be a Lie group. A smooth action α of G on an orbifold N is a continuous group action of G on N , such that $\alpha : G \times N \rightarrow N$ is a smooth orbifold map.

Suppose that there is a smooth action of a Lie group G on an orbifold N . Then every element $\xi \in \mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(G)$ generates a vector field ξ_N on N . $\forall \alpha \in \Omega(N)$, we define $\iota_\xi \alpha := \iota_{\xi_N} \alpha$ and $\mathcal{L}_\xi \alpha := \mathcal{L}_{\xi_N} \alpha$. These two operations, together with the exterior operator d , equip $\Omega(N)$ with the structure of a \mathfrak{g}^* -algebra in the sense of [GS99, Ch. 2]. We define the G -equivariant De Rham cohomology of N , denoted by $H_G(N, \mathbb{R})$, to be the cohomology of the associated Cartan model $(\Omega_G(N) := (\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega(N))^{\mathfrak{g}}, d_{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Suppose that a torus T with Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} acts on a compact symplectic orbifold (N, ω) in a Hamiltonian fashion with a moment map $\Phi_N : N \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$. Choose a T -invariant Riemannian metric on N that is compatible with the symplectic form ω . This choice equips the tangent bundle TN with the structure of a Hermitian orbifold vector bundle. Let $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ be the unitary frame bundle of TN . This is an orbifold principal bundle over N with structure group $U(k)$, where $k = \frac{1}{2}\dim(N)$; moreover, the total space M is a smooth manifold on which the structure group $U(k)$ acts locally free, c.f. [MM03, Sec. 2.4]. Thus M is equipped with the structure of a foliation \mathcal{F} whose leaves are orbits of the $U(k)$ -action. By assumption, both the Riemannian metric and the symplectic form on N are T -invariant. As a result, the T -action on N preserves the unitary frames, and so gets lifted to a T -action on M . Set $\omega_M = \pi^* \omega$ and $\Phi = \pi^* \Phi_N$. Then (M, Ω_M, T) is a pre-symplectic Hamiltonian T -manifold with a moment map Φ . It is clear that the foliation \mathcal{F} is both pre-symplectic and Riemannian, and that the leaf space of \mathcal{F} can be naturally identified with the orbifold N . In particular, the results established in Section 8 apply to the current situation.

Now suppose that 0 is a regular value of Φ_N . Then it is easy to check that 0 is also a regular value of Φ . Indeed, $\Phi^{-1}(0)$ is a saturated closed submanifold of M whose leaf space is precisely $\Phi_N^{-1}(0)$. Since $(\Phi^{-1}(0), \mathcal{F}|_{\Phi^{-1}(0)})$ is a Riemannian foliation with compact leaves, $\Phi_N^{-1}(0)$ must admit the structure of an orbifold as well. Similarly, let X be the fixed point set of the T -action on the orbifold N . Then $X_M := \pi^{-1}(X)$ is the set of fixed leaves of the lifted T -action on M . Choose a generic element $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$, such that for the one dimension Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} := \text{span}\{\xi\}$, $M^{\mathfrak{h}} = M^{\mathfrak{t}} = X_M$. Then it follows from Theorem 8.4 that X_M is a closed saturated submanifold of M . Since all the leaves of $\mathcal{F}|_{X_M}$ are compact, the leaf space must be an orbifold as well. In other words, X must admit the structure of an orbifold.

Note that the quotient map $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ induces a pullback map $\pi^* : \Omega(N) \rightarrow \Omega(M, \mathcal{F})$, which is an isomorphism of \mathfrak{t}^* -algebras. Similarly, we have two other isomorphisms of \mathfrak{g}^* -algebras $\pi^* : \Omega(\Phi_N^{-1}(0)) \rightarrow \Omega(\Phi^{-1}(0), \mathcal{F}|_{\Phi^{-1}(0)})$ and $\pi^* : \Omega(X) \rightarrow \Omega(X_M, \mathcal{F}|_{X_M})$. So we have three isomorphisms of the equivariant cohomologies $\pi^* : H_T(N) \cong H_t(M, \mathcal{F})$, $\pi^* : H_T(\Phi^{-1}(0)) \cong H_t(\Phi^{-1}(0), \mathcal{F}|_{\Phi^{-1}(0)})$, and $\pi^* : H_T(X) \cong H_t(X_M, \mathcal{F}|_{X_M})$. Moreover, it is easy to check the following two diagrams commute.

(9.1)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_T(N) & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & H_T(\Phi^{-1}(0)) & & H_T(N) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H_T(X) \\ \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow \pi^* \\ H_t(M, \mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & H_t(\Phi^{-1}(0), \mathcal{F}|_{\Phi^{-1}(0)}) & & H_t(M, \mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H_t(X_M, \mathcal{F}|_{X_M}). \end{array}$$

In the above two diagrams, the horizontal maps are induced by the inclusions. In view of (9.1), Theorem 8.9 and Theorem 8.10 lead to the following results.

Theorem 9.1. *Consider the Hamiltonian action of a compact and connected torus T on a compact symplectic orbifold (N, ω) with a moment map $\Phi_N : N \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$. Assume that 0 is a regular value of Φ_N . Then the pullback map $\kappa : H_T(N) \rightarrow H_T(\Phi_N^{-1}(0))$ induced by the inclusion $i : \Phi^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow N$ is surjective.*

Theorem 9.2. *Consider the Hamiltonian action of a compact and connected torus T on a compact symplectic orbifold (N, ω) . Assume that X is the fixed point set. Then the pullback map $i^* : H_T(N) \rightarrow H_T(X)$ is injective.*

APPENDIX A. THOM ISOMORPHISM FOR NON-ORIENTABLE FOLIATED VECTOR BUNDLES

Let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ be a non-orientable foliated vector bundle of rank k over a foliated manifold (M, \mathcal{F}) , let \mathcal{F}_E be the lifted foliation on E , and let \mathcal{O}_E be the orientation line bundle of E . By definition, \mathcal{O}_E is a flat vector bundle. Recall that the twisted De Rham complexes $(\Omega(M, \mathcal{O}_E), d)$ is defined as follows, c.f. [BT82, Sec. 7]. As a space, $\Omega^r(M, \mathcal{O}_E) := \Gamma(\wedge^r(T^*M) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E)$. Choose a family of trivialization $\{(U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)\}$ of the orientation line bundle \mathcal{O}_E such that the corresponding transition functions are locally constant. On each U_α , a twisted differential form γ of degree r has a representation $\gamma = \beta \otimes s$, where β is a differential forms on U_α and s is a nowhere vanishing local section of \mathcal{O}_E over U_α . The exterior derivative of γ is given by the formula

$$(A.1) \quad d\gamma := d\beta \otimes s.$$

It is straightforward to check that $d\gamma$ does not depend on the choice of a local trivialization, and thus defines a global element in $\Omega^{r+1}(M, \mathcal{O}_E)$. Similarly, given a vector field X on M , define

$$\mathcal{L}_X\gamma = (\mathcal{L}_X\tau) \otimes s, \quad \iota_X\gamma = \iota_X\tau \otimes s.$$

One checks easily the above definition does not depend on the choice of a local trivialization, and extends the usual Lie derivative \mathcal{L}_X and interior product ι_X to twisted differential forms. We say that $\gamma \in \Omega(M, \mathcal{O}_E)$ is a **twisted basic differential form**, if $\mathcal{L}_X \gamma = \iota_X \gamma = 0$, $\forall X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F})$, and will denote by $\Omega(M, \mathcal{O}_E, \mathcal{F})$ the space of twisted basic differential forms. It is clear that $\Omega(M, \mathcal{O}_E, \mathcal{F})$ is invariant under the exterior derivative defined in (A.1). We call the cohomology of the differential complex $(\Omega(M, \mathcal{O}_E, \mathcal{F}), d)$ the twisted basic cohomology, and denote it by $H(M, \mathcal{O}_E, \mathcal{F})$. Moreover, the same argument as given in the proof [BT82, Prop. 7.5] shows up to isomorphisms the twisted basic cohomologies are independent of the choice of local trivializations. Observe that π^*E is a vector bundle over E , for which the pullback bundle $\pi^*\mathcal{O}_E$ is the orientation line bundle. Applying the above construction to E and $\pi^*\mathcal{O}_E$, we get the De Rham complex of twisted basic differential forms $(\Omega(E, \pi^*\mathcal{O}_E, \mathcal{F}_E), d)$.

Since E is non-orientable, the integration along the fiber operator $\pi_* : \Omega_{\text{cv}}^*(E) \rightarrow \Omega(M)$ is no longer well defined. However, the usual construction in the orientable case can be easily modified to define two integration along the fiber operators in most obvious ways: $\pi_* : \Omega_{\text{cv}}(E) \rightarrow \Omega(M, \mathcal{O}_E)$, and $\pi_* : \Omega_{\text{cv}}(E, \mathcal{O}_E) \rightarrow \Omega(M)$. It is easy to check that both operators satisfy properties similar to those stated in [LS21, Lemma B.2], and thus induce two operators $\pi_* : \Omega(E, \mathcal{F}_E) \rightarrow \Omega(M, \mathcal{O}_E, \mathcal{F})$ and $\pi_* : \Omega(E, \pi^*\mathcal{O}_E, \mathcal{F}_E) \rightarrow \Omega(M, \mathcal{F})$. In this context, a **twisted basic Thom form** is a form $\tau \in \Omega_{\text{cv}}^k(E, \pi^*\mathcal{O}_E, \mathcal{F}_E)$ such that $\pi_*(\tau) = 1$. It is easy to see that when the orthogonal frame bundle P of E admits a connection that is \mathcal{F}_P -basic, a slight modification of the argument given in the proof of [LS21, Prop. 4.8.2] shows E will admit a twisted basic Thom form.

Now suppose that γ and γ' are two twisted basic forms in $\Omega(E, \pi^*\mathcal{O}_E, \mathcal{F}_E)$. We can define $\gamma \wedge \gamma' \in \Omega(E, \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_E \otimes \mathcal{O}_E), \mathcal{F}_E)$ as follows. Set $\gamma = \beta \otimes s$ and $\gamma' = \beta' \otimes s$, where β and β' are differential forms on U and s is a local section of $\pi^*\mathcal{O}_E$ over U . Then on U

$$\gamma \wedge \gamma' = (\beta \wedge \beta') \otimes (s \otimes s).$$

It is straightforward to check that the above definition does not depend on the choice of a local section s , and so $\gamma \wedge \gamma'$ is globally defined. Moreover, since $\pi^*(\mathcal{O}_E \otimes \mathcal{O}_E)$ is a trivial line bundle over E , $\Omega(E, \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_E \otimes \mathcal{O}_E), \mathcal{F}_E) = \Omega(E, \mathcal{F}_E)$. Thus $\gamma \wedge \gamma'$ is a basic differential form on E . In particular, we see that if τ is a twisted basic Thom form on E , and $\sigma \in \Omega(M, \mathcal{O}_E, \mathcal{F})$, then $\tau \wedge \pi^*\sigma \in \Omega_{\text{cv}}(E, \mathcal{F}_E)$.

After these preparations, the arguments used in [LS21] to establish the Thom isomorphism for an oriented foliated vector bundle can be easily modified to show the following result. .

Theorem A.1. *Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be a foliated manifold, and let (E, \mathcal{F}_E, g_E) be a possibly non-orientable Riemannian foliated vector bundle over X . Suppose that the orthogonal frame bundle P of E admits a \mathcal{F}_P -basic connection. Then there exists a twisted basic Thom form τ on E . Moreover, the fiber integration $\pi_* : \Omega_{\text{cv}}(E, \mathcal{F}_E)[r] \rightarrow$*

$\Omega(M, \mathcal{O}_E, \mathcal{F})$ is a homotopy equivalence. A homotopy inverse of π_* is the Thom map $\zeta_* : \Omega(M, \mathcal{O}_E, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{cv}}(E, \mathcal{F}_E)[r]$ defined by $\zeta_*(\alpha) = \tau \wedge \pi^* \alpha$.

REFERENCES

- [AL93] J. Alvarez López, *Morse inequalities for pseudogroups of local isometries*, J. Differential Geom. 37 (1993), no. 3, 603-638.
- [BT82] R. Bott and L. Tu, *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [BZ15] F. Battaglia, D. Zaffran, *Foliations modeling nonrational simplicial toric varieties*, Int. Math. Res. Not., 22 (2015), 11785-11815.
- [BL10] T. Baird and Y. Lin, *Topology of generalized complex quotients*, J. Geom. Phys., 60(10):1539-1557, 2010.
- [BZ17] F. Battaglia and D. Zaffran, *Simplicial toric varieties as leaf spaces*, Special metrics and group actions in geometry, Springer INdAM Ser., vol. 23, Springer, Cham, 2017, pp. 1-21. MR 3751960
- [Ca17] Lana Casselmann *Basic Kirwan surjectivity for K-contact manifolds*, Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry volume 52, pages 157-185 (2017)
- [S73] R. S. Seeley, *Extension of functions defined in a half space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (1964), 625-626
- [GS99] V. Guillemin, S. Sternberg, *Supersymmetry and equivariant de Rham theory*, Mathematics Past and Present, springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999
- [GT18] O. Goertsches and D. Töben, *Equivariant basic cohomology of Riemannian foliations*, J. Reine. Angew. Math. 745 (2018), 1-40.
- [HM12] T.S. Holm and T. Matsumura, *Equivariant cohomology for Hamiltonian torus actions on symplectic orbifolds*, Transformation Groups volume 17, pages 717-746 (2012).
- [Kir84] Frances Kirwan, *Cohomology of quotients in symplectic and algebraic geometry*, Mathematical Notes, vol. 31, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1984.
- [LM23] Y. Lin and D. Miyamota, *Riemannian foliations and quasifolds*, Preprint, arXiv: 2309.15166.
- [LS17] Y. Lin and R. Sjamaar, *Convexity properties of presymplectic moment maps*, J. Symplectic Geom. 17 (2019), no. 4, 1159-1200.
- [LS18] Y. Lin and R. Sjamaar, *Cohomological localization for transverse Lie algebra actions on Riemannian foliations*, J. Geom. Phys. 158 (2020), 103887, 25 pp.
- [LS21] Y. Lin and R. Sjamaar, *A Thom isomorphism in foliated de Rham theory*, Indagationes Mathematicae Volume 32, Issue 1, February 2021, Pages 121-150.
- [L24] Y. Lin, *Averaging basic forms over transversely isometric Lie algebra actions*, Preprint, to appear.
- [LY19] Y. Lin, X. Yang, *Equivariant formality of Hamiltonian transversely symplectic foliations*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 298 (2019), No. 1, 59-82
- [LY23] Y. Lin, Xiangdong Yang, *Basic kirwan injectivity and its applications* The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, Volume 74, Issue 2, June 2023, Pages 639 - 657.
- [LT97] E. Lerman, S. Tolman, *Hamiltonian torus actions on symplectic orbifolds and toric varieties*, Transaction of the AMS, Volume 349, Number 10, October 1997, Pages 4201-4230.
- [HF18] del Hoyo, M. L. and Fernandes, R. L. *Riemannian metrics on Lie groupoids*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 735, (2018): 143-173. Published online.
- [MM03] I. Moerdijk and J. Mfcun, *Introduction to Foliations and Lie Groupoids*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 91, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2003).
- [Mo88] P. Molino, *Riemannian foliations*, With appendices by G. Cairns, Y. Carrière, É. Ghys, E. Salem and V. Sergiescu, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1988.
- [OV22] Cristian Ortiz, Fabricio Valencia *Morse theory on Lie groupoids*, Preprint, arXiv:2207.07594.

- [Pa63] R. Palais, *Morse theory on Hilbert manifolds*, *Topology* 2, 1963, 299-340.
- [Pra01] E. Prato, *Simple non-rational convex polytopes via symplectic geometry*, *Topology* 40 (2001), 961-975.
- [R59] B. Reinhart, *Harmonic integrals on foliated manifolds*, *Amer. J. Math.* 81 (1959), 529-536
- [S56] I. Satake, *On a generalization of the notion of manifold*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 42(6) (1956), 359-363.
- [W69] A. G. Wasserman, *Equivariant differential topology*, *Topology*, 8(2):127-150, 1969

YI LIN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, GEORGIA SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY, STATESBORO, GA, 30460 USA

Email address: `yilin@georgiasouthern.edu`

ZUOQIN WANG, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI, ANHUI, 230026 P.R.CHINA

Email address: `wangzuoq@ustc.edu.cn`