Sixteen-dimensional Sedenion-like Associative Algebra

Jitender¹, Shiv Datt Kumar²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics Motilal Nehru National Institute of Technology Allahabad, Prayagraj (UP), 211004, India, Email: ¹jitender2020rma03@mnnit.ac.in. ²sdt@mnnit.ac.in.

Abstract

In this article, we construct a 16-dimensional sedenion-like associative algebra, which is an even subalgebra of 2⁵-dimensional Clifford algebra $Cl_{5,0}$. We define the norm on sedenion-like algebra and show that its sixteen-dimensional elements preserves the norm relation ||ST|| = ||S|| ||T|| under the condition $S_r S_d^{\dagger} + S_r^{\dagger} S_d = 0$, where S_r , S_d denote the real and dual part of an octonion-like number S respectively and S^{\dagger} is the transpose of S. The elements of this sedenion-like algebra can be written as dual octonion like numbers called split bioctonion-like algebra and SS^{\dagger} is commutative [i.e. $SS^{\dagger} = S^{\dagger}S$ and $(SS^{\dagger})T = T(SS^{\dagger})$], for any two octonion-like/sedenion-like numbers S and T. We define the operations coproduct \triangle , counit ε and antipode S on octonion-like/sedenion-like algebra to construct the Hopf algebra structure on it. We also show that 8-dimensional octonion-like associative seminormed division algebra is a $\mathbb{Z}_2^4/2$ -graded quasialgebra and 16 dimensional sedenion-like algebra is a $\mathbb{Z}_2^5/2$ -graded quasialgebra.

Keywords: Division algebras, Hopf algebras, quasialgebra, graded algebra. 2000 MSC: 2020 Mathematics Subject classification : 17C60, 16T05, 11R52, 16W50.

1. Introduction

Christian [6] introduced an eight dimensional octonion like associative normed division algebra over \mathbb{R} . Well known Hurwitz theorem states that \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{H} and \mathbb{O} are the only four normed division algebras over \mathbb{R} . Khalid and Bouchard [5] noted that octonion like algebra is a seminormed division algebra, i.e. division by any number Xin octonion like algebra is possible if and only if $||X|| \neq 0$. This octonion like algebra is different from the algebra of octonions \mathbb{O} because it is associative and has six imaginary and two real units where as the algebra of octonions \mathbb{O} is non-associative and has seven imaginary and one real units. Jordan attempted to use the algebra of octonions to transfer the probabilistic interpretation of quantum theory, which is not successful due to non-associativity of the octonions. Hopf algebra [14] was introduced by Hopf which is related to the concept of *H*-space in Algebraic Topology. To see nonassociative algebras as an associative algebra, Albuquerque and Majid [1] introduced the group graded quasialgebras, which are algebraic structures on the direct sum of homology and cohomology groups on an *H*-space in algebraic topology. Linear Gr-categories [9] is the category of finite group graded vector space, which has applications in tensor categories [4], quantum groups [12], cohomology of groups and representation theory [13]. Balodi et al. [3] proved that every expression in a G-graded quasialgebra can be reduced to a unique irreducible form and the irreducible words form a basis for the quasi-algebra, known as Diamond lemma.

In this article, we generalize the octonion-like algebra and introduce a 16 dimensional sedenion-like algebra which is an even subalgebra of 2⁵-dimensional Clifford algebra $Cl_{5,0}$ [1]. This algebra is different from the algebra of sedenion as it is associative and has six imaginary and ten real units, while the algebra of sedenions has one real and fifteen imaginary units. We split the 16-dimensional sedenion number as dual of octonion like numbers and define norm on it. Note that this algebra is a normed algebra but not a normed division algebra so it does not contradict the Hurwitz's theorem. We also prove that the sedenion-like elements S, T preserves the condition ||ST|| = ||S|| ||T||and SS^{\dagger} is commutative [i.e. $SS^{\dagger} = S^{\dagger}S$ and $(SS^{\dagger})T = T(SS^{\dagger})$]. Also, it does not give the 16 square formula because in sedenion-like algebra we define the norm by using the condition $S_r S_d^{\dagger} + S_d S_r^{\dagger} = 0$, which implies that $s_0 s_{15} = \sum_{i=1}^{i=7} s_i s_{15-i}$ and $s_0 s_8 =$ $\sum_{i=1}^{i=7} s_i s_{8+i}, \text{ where } S = s_0 + s_1 \lambda e_o e_1 + s_2 \lambda e_o e_2 + s_3 \lambda e_o e_3 + s_4 \lambda e_1 e_2 + s_5 \lambda e_3 e_1 + s_5 \lambda e_3 e_2 + s_5 \lambda e_3 e_1 + s_5 \lambda e_3 e_2 + s_5 \lambda e_3 e_1 + s_5 \lambda e_3 e_2 + s_5 \lambda e_3 e_1 + s_5 \lambda e_3 e_2 + s_5 \lambda e_3 e_3 + s_5 \lambda e_3 e_2 + s_5 \lambda e_3 e_3 + s_5 \lambda e_3 e_3 + s_5 \lambda e_5 +$ $s_6\lambda e_2 e_3 + s_7\lambda e_o e_1 e_2 e_3 + s_8\lambda e_o e_4 + s_9\lambda e_1 e_4 + s_{10}\lambda e_2 e_4 + s_{11}\lambda e_3 e_4 + s_{12}\lambda e_o e_2 e_1 e_4 + s_{10}\lambda e_2 e_4 + s_{11}\lambda e_3 e_4 + s_{12}\lambda e_0 e_2 e_1 e_4 + s_{10}\lambda e_2 e_4 + s_{11}\lambda e_3 e_4 + s_{12}\lambda e_0 e_2 e_1 e_4 + s_{10}\lambda e_2 e_4 + s_{11}\lambda e_3 e_4 + s_{12}\lambda e_0 e_2 e_1 e_4 + s_{10}\lambda e_2 e_4 + s_{11}\lambda e_3 e_4 + s_{12}\lambda e_0 e_2 e_1 e_4 + s_{10}\lambda e_2 e_4 + s_{11}\lambda e_3 e_4 + s_{12}\lambda e_0 e_2 e_1 e_4 + s_{10}\lambda e_2 e_4 + s_{11}\lambda e_3 e_4 + s_{12}\lambda e_0 e_2 e_1 e_4 + s_{10}\lambda e_2 e_4 + s_{11}\lambda e_3 e_4 + s_{12}\lambda e_0 e_2 e_1 e_4 + s_{10}\lambda e_2 e_4 + s_{11}\lambda e_3 e_4 + s_{12}\lambda e_0 e_2 e_1 e_4 + s_{10}\lambda e_2 e_4 + s_{11}\lambda e_3 e_4 + s_{12}\lambda e_0 e_2 e_1 e_4 + s_{10}\lambda e_2 e_4 + s_{11}\lambda e_3 e_4 + s_{12}\lambda e_0 e_2 e_1 e_4 + s_{10}\lambda e_2 e_4 + s_{11}\lambda e_3 e_4 + s_{12}\lambda e_0 e_2 e_1 e_4 + s_{10}\lambda e_2 + s_{1$ $s_{13}\lambda e_0e_1e_3e_4 + s_{14}\lambda e_0e_3e_2e_4 + s_{15}\lambda e_1e_2e_3e_4$ is a sedenion-like number. Hence all coefficients are algebraically dependent . Associativity of sedenion-like algebra is an important property for the physical application of algebras. Jordan attempted to use the algebra of octonions and sedenions to transfer the probabilistic interpretation of quantum theory in dimension 8 and 16 respectively, which was not successful due to nonassociativity of the octonions and sedenions. Due to the associativity of octonion-like and sedenion-like algebras, these can be used to transfer the probabilistic interpretation of the quantum theory in Jordan problem.

The outline of the article is as follows: In section 2, an overview of 8-dimensional octonion like associative algebra and their properties are presented. Sedenion like algebra and its properties are given in section 3. In section 4, norm on sedenion-like algebra is defined and proved that the given norm respects the condition ||XY|| = ||X|| ||Y||. Hopf algebra structure on sedenion-like/octonion-like algebras is given in Section 5, while Section 5 gives quasialgebra structure on sedenion-like/octonion-like/algebras.

Throughout this article, *K* denotes any field of characteristic zero, $K^* = K - \{0\}$, \mathbb{O}^l is the octonion like associative seminormed division algebra, \mathbb{S}^l is the sedenion-like associative normed algebra.

2. Octonion-like Algebra

An octonion like algebra $\mathbb{O}^{l}[11]$ is a seminormed division algebra over \mathbb{R} (i.e. division by any $X \in \mathbb{O}^{l}$ is possible if $||X|| \neq 0$), which is an even subalgebra of Clifford algebra $Cl_{4,0}$, i.e.

$$\mathbb{O}^{l} = span\{1, \lambda e_{x}e_{y}, \lambda e_{z}e_{x}, \lambda e_{y}e_{z}, \lambda e_{x}e_{\infty}, \lambda e_{y}e_{\infty}, \lambda e_{z}e_{\infty}, \lambda e_{x}e_{y}e_{z}e_{\infty}\}$$

The vectors $\{e_x, e_y, e_z, e_\infty\}$ form an orthonormal set of vectors which satisfy $e_i^2 = 1$ and $e_i e_j = -e_j e_i$, for $i \neq j = \{x, y, z, \infty\}$, with the orientation $\lambda = 1$ or $\lambda = -1$. For simplicity writing $\{1, \lambda e_x e_y, \lambda e_z e_x, \lambda e_y e_z, \lambda e_x e_\infty, \lambda e_y e_\infty, \lambda e_z e_\infty, \lambda e_x e_y e_z e_\infty\}$ as $\{1 = u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6, u_7\}$ satisfying $u_i^2 = 1$, for i = 0, 7 and $u_i^2 = -1$ for i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 which implies that there are two real and six imaginary units, unlike the algebra of octonions, which has one real and seven imaginary units. The complete multiplication table is given by the following table:

*	1	u_1	<i>u</i> ₂	<i>u</i> ₃	u_4	<i>u</i> ₅	u_6	<i>u</i> 7
1	1	u_1	<i>u</i> ₂	<i>u</i> ₃	u_4	<i>u</i> 5	<i>u</i> ₆	<i>u</i> 7
u_1	u_1	-1	<i>u</i> ₃	$-u_{2}$	$-u_{5}$	u_4	<i>u</i> 7	$-u_6$
<i>u</i> ₂	<i>u</i> ₂	$-u_{3}$	-1	<i>u</i> ₁	<i>u</i> ₆	<i>u</i> 7	$-u_4$	$-u_{5}$
<i>u</i> ₃	<i>u</i> ₃	<i>u</i> ₂	$-u_1$	-1	<i>u</i> 7	$-u_6$	<i>u</i> 5	$-u_4$
u_4	u_4	<i>u</i> 5	$-u_6$	<i>u</i> 7	-1	$-u_1$	<i>u</i> ₂	$-u_{3}$
<i>u</i> 5	<i>u</i> ₅	$-u_4$	<i>u</i> 7	<i>u</i> ₆	u_1	-1	$-u_{3}$	$-u_2$
u_6	u_6	<i>u</i> 7	u_4	$-u_{5}$	$-u_{2}$	<i>u</i> ₃	-1	$-u_1$
u_7	<i>u</i> ₇	$-u_6$	$-u_{5}$	$-u_4$	$-u_{3}$	$-u_{2}$	$-u_1$	1

The above multiplication table can also be obtained by the product * defined by:

$$e_i * e_j = e_i \cdot e_j + e_i \wedge e_j.$$

This implies that $e_i \cdot e_j = \frac{1}{2} \{e_i * e_j + e_j * e_i\}$ and $e_i \wedge e_j = \frac{1}{2} \{e_i * e_j - e_j * e_i\}$, where \cdot is the scalar product and \wedge is the wedge product. With unit vector e_{∞} the octonion-like algebra is an even subalgebra of sixteen dimensional Clifford algebra [15]. So, this new algebra, which is different from the algebra of octonion is called as octonion-like algebra. Also octonion-like algebra is associative and noncommutative algebra. The octonion like associative algebra \mathbb{O}^l is same as split bi-quaternion algebra (i.e. sum of two quaternion algebras) which can be presented as pair of quaternions same as the algebra of complex numbers can be written pair of real numbers. An element $X \in \mathbb{O}^l$ is written as the linear sum of all basis elements of \mathbb{O}^l , i.e.

$$X = x_0 u_0 + x_1 u_1 + x_2 u_2 + x_3 u_3 + x_4 u_4 + x_5 u_5 + x_6 u_6 + x_7 u_7$$

and X^{\dagger} of an element $X \in \mathbb{O}^{l}$ is defined by changing the sign of coefficients of an imaginary basis, i.e.

 $X^{\dagger} = x_o u_0 - x_1 u_1 - x_2 u_2 - x_3 u_3 - x_4 u_4 - x_5 u_5 - x_6 u_6 + x_7 u_7$

Let $X, Y \in \mathbb{O}^l$, where

$$X = x_0u_0 + x_1u_1 + x_2u_2 + x_3u_3 + x_4u_4 + x_5u_5 + x_6u_6 + x_7u_7 \text{ and}$$

$$Y = y_0u_0 + y_1u_1 + y_2u_2 + y_3u_3 + y_4u_4 + y_5u_5 + y_6u_6 + y_7u_7.$$

Then
$$Z = XY = (x_ou_0 + x_1u_1 + x_2u_2 + x_3u_3 + x_4u_4 + x_5u_5 + x_6u_6 + x_7u_7)$$

 $(y_ou_0 + y_1u_1 + y_2u_2 + y_3u_3 + y_4u_4 + y_5u_5 + y_6u_6 + y_7u_7)$
 $= (x_0y_0 - x_1y_1 - x_2y_2 - x_3y_3 - x_4y_4 - x_5y_5 - x_6y_6 + x_7y_7)u_0$
 $+ (x_1y_0 + x_0y_1 - x_3y_2 + x_2y_3 + x_5y_4 - x_4y_5 - x_7y_6 - x_6y_7)u_1$
 $+ (x_2y_0 + x_3y_1 + x_0y_2 - x_1y_3 - x_6y_4 - x_7y_5 + x_4y_6 - x_5y_7)u_2$
 $+ (x_3y_0 - x_2y_1 + x_1y_2 + x_0y_3 - x_7y_4 + x_6y_5 - x_5y_6 - x_4y_7)u_3$
 $+ (x_4y_0 - x_5y_1 + x_6y_2 - x_7y_3 + x_0y_4 + x_1y_5 - x_2y_6 - x_3y_7)u_4$
 $+ (x_5y_0 + x_4y_1 - x_7y_2 - x_6y_3 - x_1y_4 + x_0y_5 + x_3y_6 - x_2y_7)u_5$
 $+ (x_6y_0 - x_7y_1 - x_4y_2 + x_5y_3 + x_2y_4 - x_3y_5 + x_0y_6 - x_1y_7)u_6$
 $+ (x_7y_0 + x_6y_1 + x_5y_2 + x_4y_3 + x_3y_4 + x_2y_5 + x_1y_6 + x_0y_7)u_7$
 $= z_ou_0 + z_1u_1 + z_2u_2 + z_3u_3 + z_4u_4 + z_5u_5 + z_6u_6 + z_7u_7.$

Also, the product Z = XY can be written in matrix form as $Z_r = M_x Y_r$, where M_x is the 8×8 matrix obtained by taking all the coefficients from left side in the above multiplication, given by:

$$M_{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{0} & -x_{1} & -x_{2} & -x_{3} & -x_{4} & -x_{5} & -x_{6} & x_{7} \\ x_{1} & x_{0} & -x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{5} & -x_{4} & -x_{7} & -x_{6} \\ x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{0} & -x_{1} & -x_{6} & -x_{7} & +x_{4} & -x_{5} \\ x_{3} & -x_{2} & x_{1} & x_{0} & -x_{7} & x_{6} & -x_{5} & -x_{4} \\ x_{4} & -x_{5} & x_{6} & -x_{7} & x_{0} & x_{1} & -x_{2} & -x_{3} \\ x_{5} & x_{4} & -x_{7} & -x_{6} & -x_{1} & +x_{0} & +x_{3} & -x_{2} \\ x_{6} & -x_{7} & -x_{4} & +x_{5} & +x_{2} & -x_{3} & +x_{0} & -x_{1} \\ x_{7} & x_{6} & x_{5} & x_{4} & x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1} & x_{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

and X_r and Z_r are the real coefficients of X and Y written in matrix form as:

 $Y_r = \begin{bmatrix} y_0 & y_1 & y_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 \end{bmatrix}^T$ $Z_r = \begin{bmatrix} z_0 & z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \end{bmatrix}^T.$

Also, above product Z = XY can be written as $Z = M_y X_r$, with

$$M_{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{0} & -y_{1} & -y_{2} & -y_{3} & -y_{4} & -y_{5} & -y_{6} & y_{7} \\ y_{1} & y_{0} & y_{3} & -y_{2} & -y_{5} & y_{4} & -y_{7} & -y_{6} \\ y_{2} & -y_{3} & y_{0} & y_{1} & y_{6} & -y_{7} & -y_{4} & -y_{5} \\ y_{3} & y_{2} & -y_{1} & y_{0} & -y_{7} & -y_{6} & y_{5} & -y_{4} \\ y_{4} & y_{5} & -y_{6} & -y_{7} & y_{0} & -y_{1} & y_{2} & -y_{3} \\ y_{5} & -y_{4} & -y_{7} & y_{6} & y_{1} & y_{0} & -y_{3} & -y_{2} \\ y_{6} & -y_{7} & y_{4} & -y_{5} & -y_{2} & y_{3} & y_{0} & -y_{1} \\ y_{7} & y_{6} & y_{5} & y_{4} & y_{3} & y_{2} & y_{1} & y_{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$X_r = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & x_6 & x_7 \end{bmatrix}^T.$$

In [11], Khalid and Bouchard defined two seminorms $||X||_1$ and $||X||_2$ on octonion like algebra corresponding to $\lambda = 1$ and $\lambda = -1$ respectively given as follows:

$$\begin{split} \|X\|^2 &= x_0 x_0 + x_1 x_1 + x_2 x_2 + x_3 x_3 + x_4 x_4 + x_5 x_5 + x_6 x_6 + x_7 x_7 + \lambda x_7 x_0 \\ &-\lambda x_6 x_1 - \lambda x_5 x_2 - \lambda x_4 x_3 - \lambda x_3 x_4 - \lambda x_2 x_5 - \lambda x_1 x_6 + \lambda x_0 x_7 \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^7 x_i^2 - 2\lambda \sum_{i=1}^3 x_1 x_{7-i} + 2\lambda x_0 x_7. \end{split}$$

The above $||X||_1$ and $||X||_2$ are seminorms but not norms as $||1 - e_7||_1 = 0$ but $1 - e_7 \neq 0$, similarly $||1 + e_7||_2 = 0$ but $1 + e_7 \neq 0$. In Theorem 1, we prove that \mathbb{O}^l is seminormed division algebra and Theorem 2 proves that XX^{\dagger} is commutative in \mathbb{O}^l .

Theorem 1. An element $X \in \mathbb{O}^l$ has inverse in \mathbb{O}^l if $||X||_1 \neq 0$ and $||X||_2 \neq 0$.

Proof. Let $X^{-1} = Y$ exists in \mathbb{O}^l . Then XY = 1 or in the matrix form, as defined above, it can be written as

$$M_{x}Y_{r} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

Hence inverse of $X \in \mathbb{O}^l$ exists only if matrix M_x is non-singular. Now M_x is non-singular if and only if its all eigenvalues are nonzero. We calculate the eigenvalues of M_x using the following Matlab code:

syms
$$x_0 \ x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \ x_4 \ x_5 \ x_6 \ x_7$$

 $M_x = [x_0 \ -x_1 \ -x_2 \ -x_3 \ -x_4 \ -x_5 \ -x_6 \ x_7;$
 $x_1 \ x_0 \ -x_3 \ x_2 \ x_5 \ -x_4 \ -x_7 \ -x_6;$
 $x_2 \ x_3 \ x_0 \ -x_1 \ -x_6 \ -x_7 \ x_4 \ -x_5;$
 $x_3 \ -x_2 \ x_1 \ x_0 \ -x_7 \ x_6 \ -x_5 \ -x_4;$
 $x_4 \ -x_5 \ x_6 \ -x_7 \ x_0 \ x_1 \ -x_2 \ -x_3;$
 $x_5 \ x_4 \ -x_7 \ -x_6 \ -x_1 \ +x_0 \ +x_3 \ -x_2;$
 $x_6 \ -x_7 \ -x_4 \ +x_5 \ +x_2 \ -x_3 \ +x_0 \ -x_1;$
 $x_7 \ x_6 \ x_5 \ x_4 \ x_3 \ x_2 \ x_1 \ x_0]$
eig (M_x) ,

which are given by

$$\lambda_{0} = \lambda_{1} = x_{0} + x_{7} + i\sqrt{-x_{1}^{2} + 2x_{1}x_{6} - x_{2}^{2} + 2x_{2}x_{5} - x_{3}^{2} + 2x_{3}x_{4} - x_{4}^{2} - x_{5}^{2} - x_{6}^{2}}$$

$$\lambda_{2} = \lambda_{3} = x_{0} + x_{7} - i\sqrt{-x_{1}^{2} + 2x_{1}x_{6} - x_{2}^{2} + 2x_{2}x_{5} - x_{3}^{2} + 2x_{3}x_{4} - x_{4}^{2} - x_{5}^{2} - x_{6}^{2}}$$

$$\lambda_{4} = \lambda_{5} = x_{0} - x_{7} + i\sqrt{-x_{1}^{2} - 2x_{1}x_{6} - x_{2}^{2} - 2x_{2}x_{5} - x_{3}^{2} - 2x_{3}x_{4} - x_{4}^{2} - x_{5}^{2} - x_{6}^{2}}$$

$$\lambda_{6} = \lambda_{7} = x_{0} - x_{7} - i\sqrt{-x_{1}^{2} - 2x_{1}x_{6} - x_{2}^{2} - 2x_{2}x_{5} - x_{3}^{2} - 2x_{3}x_{4} - x_{4}^{2} - x_{5}^{2} - x_{6}^{2}}$$

and the magnitude of eigenvalues is given by:

$$|\lambda_0| = |\lambda_1| = |\lambda_2| = |\lambda_3| = \sum_{i=0}^7 x_i^2 - 2\sum_{i=1}^3 x_1 x_{7-i} + 2x_0 x_7 = ||X||_1$$
$$|\lambda_4| = |\lambda_5| = |\lambda_6| = |\lambda_7| = \sum_{i=0}^7 x_i^2 + 2\sum_{i=1}^3 x_1 x_{7-i} - 2x_0 x_7 = ||X||_2.$$

It is given that $||X||_1 \neq 0$ and $||X||_2 \neq 0$. Thus the magnitude of all eigenvalues are nonzero. Hence the inverse of $X \in \mathbb{O}^l$ exists.

Theorem 2. Let $X \in \mathbb{O}^l$. Then $XX^{\dagger} = X^{\dagger}X$ and $(XX^{\dagger})Y = Y(XX^{\dagger})$, for all $Y \in \mathbb{O}^l$. *Proof.* Let $X \in \mathbb{O}^l$. Then

$$\begin{split} X &= x_o u_0 + x_1 u_1 + x_2 u_2 + x_3 u_3 + x_4 u_4 + x_5 u_5 + x_6 u_6 + x_7 u_7, \\ X^{\dagger} &= x_o u_0 - x_1 u_1 - x_2 u_2 - x_3 u_3 - x_4 u_4 - x_5 u_5 - x_6 u_6 + x_7 u_7. \\ \text{and } XX^{\dagger} &= (x_0 x_0 + x_1 x_1 + x_2 x_2 + x_3 x_3 + x_4 x_4 + x_5 x_5 + x_6 x_6 + x_7 x_7) u_0 \\ &+ (x_1 x_0 - x_0 x_1 + x_3 x_2 - x_2 x_3 - x_5 x_4 + x_4 x_5 + x_7 x_6 - x_6 x_7) u_1 \\ &+ (x_2 x_0 - x_3 x_1 - x_0 x_2 + x_1 x_3 + x_6 x_4 + x_7 x_5 - x_4 x_6 - x_5 x_7) u_2 \\ &+ (x_3 x_0 + x_2 x_1 - x_1 x_2 - x_0 x_3 + x_7 x_4 - x_6 x_5 + x_5 x_6 - x_4 x_7) u_3 \\ &+ (x_4 x_0 + x_5 x_1 - x_6 x_2 + x_7 x_3 - x_0 x_4 - x_1 x_5 + x_2 x_6 - x_3 x_7) u_4 \\ &+ (x_5 x_0 - x_4 x_1 + x_7 x_2 + x_6 x_3 + x_1 x_4 - x_0 x_5 - x_3 x_6 - x_2 x_7) u_5 \\ &+ (x_6 x_0 + x_7 x_1 + x_4 x_2 - x_5 x_3 - x_2 x_4 + x_3 x_5 - x_0 x_6 - x_1 x_7) u_6 \\ &+ (x_7 x_0 - x_6 x_1 - x_5 x_2 - x_4 x_3 - x_3 x_4 - x_2 x_5 - x_1 x_6 + x_0 x_7) u_7 \end{split}$$

We note that all the coefficients of imaginary units are zero. Therefore,

$$XX' = (x_0x_0 + x_1x_1 + x_2x_2 + x_3x_3 + x_4x_4 + x_5x_5 + x_6x_6 + x_7x_7) + (x_7x_0 - x_6x_1 - x_5x_2 - x_4x_3 - x_3x_4 - x_2x_5 - x_1x_6 + x_0x_7)u_7 = X^{\dagger}X$$

It is clear from the multiplication table that u_0 and u_7 commute with all units. Hence $(XX^{\dagger})Y = Y(XX^{\dagger})$.

3. The 16-dimensional algebra \mathbb{S}^L

њ.,

The sedenion like algebra \mathbb{S}^l is a sixteen-dimensional even subalgebra of 2^5 -dimensional Clifford algebra $Cl_{5,0}$, i.e.

$$S^{l} = \operatorname{span}\{1, \lambda e_{0}e_{1}, \lambda e_{0}e_{2}, \lambda e_{0}e_{3}, \lambda e_{1}e_{2}, \lambda e_{3}e_{1}, \lambda e_{2}e_{3}, \lambda e_{0}e_{1}e_{2}e_{3}, \lambda e_{0}e_{4}, \lambda e_{1}e_{4}, \lambda e_{2}e_{4}, \lambda e_{3}e_{4}, \lambda e_{0}e_{2}e_{1}e_{4}, \lambda e_{0}e_{3}e_{2}e_{4}, \lambda e_{1}e_{2}e_{3}e_{4}\}$$

The set of vectors $\{e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ is orthonormal which satisfy $e_i e_j = -e_j e_i$, where $i \neq j$, for all $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, with the orientation $\lambda = 1$ or $\lambda = -1$ and the geometric product is same as defined in section 2. The complete multiplication table of sedenion-like algebra is given by:

*	λe_{01}	λe_{02}	λe_{03}	λe_{12}	λe_{31}	λe_{23}	λe_{0123}	λe_{04}	λe_{14}	λe_{24}	λe_{34}	λe_{0214}	λe_{0134}	λe_{0324}	λe_{1234}
λe_{01}	-1	$-e_{12}$	<i>e</i> ₃₁	<i>e</i> ₀₂	$-e_{03}$	<i>e</i> ₀₁₂₃	$-e_{23}$	$-e_{14}$	e_{04}	$-e_{0214}$	<i>e</i> ₀₁₃₄	<i>e</i> ₂₄	$-e_{34}$	<i>e</i> ₁₂₃₄	$-e_{0324}$
λe_{02}	<i>e</i> ₁₂	-1	$-e_{23}$	$-e_{01}$	<i>e</i> ₀₁₂₃	<i>e</i> ₀₃	$-e_{31}$	$-e_{24}$	<i>e</i> ₀₂₁₄	<i>e</i> ₀₄	$-e_{0324}$	$-e_{14}$	<i>e</i> ₁₂₃₄	<i>e</i> ₃₄	$-e_{0134}$
λe_{03}	$-e_{31}$	<i>e</i> ₂₃	-1	e ₀₁₂₃	<i>e</i> ₀₁	$-e_{02}$	$-e_{12}$	$-e_{34}$	$-e_{0134}$	e ₀₃₂₄	<i>e</i> ₀₄	<i>e</i> ₁₂₃₄	<i>e</i> ₁₄	$-e_{24}$	$-e_{0214}$
λe_{12}	$-e_{02}$	<i>e</i> ₀₁	e ₀₁₂₃	-1	<i>e</i> ₂₃	$-e_{31}$	$-e_{03}$	$-e_{0214}$	$-e_{24}$	<i>e</i> ₁₄	<i>e</i> ₁₂₃₄	<i>e</i> ₀₄	e ₀₃₂₄	$-e_{0134}$	$-e_{34}$
λe_{31}	<i>e</i> ₀₃	e ₀₁₂₃	$-e_{01}$	$-e_{23}$	-1	<i>e</i> ₁₂	$-e_{02}$	$-e_{0134}$	<i>e</i> ₃₄	<i>e</i> ₁₂₃₄	$-e_{14}$	$-e_{0324}$	<i>e</i> ₀₄	e ₀₂₁₄	$-e_{24}$
λe_{23}	e ₀₁₂₃	$-e_{03}$	<i>e</i> ₀₂	<i>e</i> ₃₁	$-e_{12}$	-1	<i>e</i> ₀₁	$-e_{0324}$	<i>e</i> ₁₂₃₄	$-e_{34}$	<i>e</i> ₂₄	<i>e</i> ₀₁₃₄	$-e_{0214}$	<i>e</i> ₀₄	$-e_{14}$
λe_{0123}	$-e_{23}$	$-e_{31}$	$-e_{12}$	$-e_{03}$	$-e_{02}$	$-e_{01}$	1	$-e_{1234}$	$-e_{0324}$	$-e_{0134}$	$-e_{0214}$	$-e_{34}$	$-e_{24}$	$-e_{14}$	$-e_{04}$
λe_{04}	<i>e</i> ₁₄	e ₂₄	<i>e</i> ₃₄	$-e_{0214}$	$-e_{0134}$	$-e_{0324}$	<i>e</i> ₁₂₃₄	-1	$-e_{01}$	$-e_{02}$	$-e_{03}$	<i>e</i> ₁₂	<i>e</i> ₃₁	<i>e</i> ₂₃	$-e_{0123}$
λe_{14}	$-e_{04}$	e ₀₂₁₄	$-e_{0134}$	<i>e</i> ₂₄	$-e_{34}$	<i>e</i> ₁₂₃₄	e ₀₃₂₄	<i>e</i> ₀₁	-1	$-e_{12}$	<i>e</i> ₃₁	$-e_{02}$	<i>e</i> ₀₃	$-e_{0123}$	$-e_{23}$
λe_{24}	$-e_{0214}$	$-e_{04}$	e ₀₃₂₄	$-e_{14}$	<i>e</i> ₁₂₃₄	<i>e</i> ₃₄	<i>e</i> ₀₁₃₄	<i>e</i> ₀₂	<i>e</i> ₁₂	-1	$-e_{23}$	<i>e</i> ₀₁	$-e_{0123}$	$-e_{03}$	$-e_{31}$
λe_{34}	e ₀₁₃₄	$-e_{0324}$	$-e_{04}$	<i>e</i> ₁₂₃₄	<i>e</i> ₁₄	$-e_{24}$	<i>e</i> ₀₂₁₄	<i>e</i> ₀₃	$-e_{31}$	<i>e</i> ₂₃	-1	$-e_{0123}$	$-e_{01}$	<i>e</i> ₀₂	$-e_{12}$
λe_{0214}	<i>e</i> ₂₄	$-e_{14}$	$-e_{1234}$	<i>e</i> ₀₄	e ₀₃₂₄	$-e_{0134}$	<i>e</i> ₃₄	<i>e</i> ₁₂	$-e_{02}$	<i>e</i> ₀₁	<i>e</i> ₀₁₂₃	1	$-e_{23}$	<i>e</i> ₃₁	$-e_{03}$
λe_{0134}	$-e_{34}$	$-e_{1234}$	<i>e</i> ₁₄	$-e_{0324}$	<i>e</i> ₀₄	<i>e</i> ₀₂₁₄	<i>e</i> ₂₄	<i>e</i> ₃₁	<i>e</i> ₀₃	<i>e</i> ₀₁₂₃	$-e_{01}$	<i>e</i> ₂₃	1	$-e_{12}$	$-e_{02}$
λe_{0324}	$-e_{1234}$	<i>e</i> ₃₄	$-e_{24}$	<i>e</i> ₀₁₃₄	$-e_{0214}$	<i>e</i> ₀₄	<i>e</i> ₁₄	<i>e</i> ₂₃	<i>e</i> ₀₁₂₃	$-e_{03}$	<i>e</i> ₀₂	$-e_{31}$	<i>e</i> ₁₂	1	$-e_{01}$
λe_{1234}	e ₀₃₂₄	e ₀₁₃₄	<i>e</i> ₀₂₁₄	$-e_{34}$	$-e_{24}$	$-e_{14}$	e_{04}	<i>e</i> ₀₁₂₃	$-e_{23}$	$-e_{31}$	$-e_{12}$	<i>e</i> ₀₃	e ₀₂	<i>e</i> ₀₁	1

Here $e_{ij} = e_i e_j$ and $e_{ijkl} = e_i e_j e_k e_l$, for $i, j, k, l \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

It is evident from above multiplication table that the sedenion-like algebra is an associative, noncommutative and has six $(1, \lambda e_0 e_1 e_2 e_3, \lambda e_0 e_2 e_1 e_4, \lambda e_0 e_1 e_3 e_4, \lambda e_0 e_3 e_2 e_4, \lambda e_1 e_2 e_3 e_4)$ real unit vectors and ten $(\lambda e_0 e_1, \lambda e_0 e_2, \lambda e_0 e_3, \lambda e_1 e_2, \lambda e_3 e_1, \lambda e_2 e_3, \lambda e_0 e_4, \lambda e_1 e_4, \lambda e_2 e_4, \lambda e_3 e_4)$ imaginary unit vectors, while the algebra of sedenion is neither associative nor commutative and has one real and fifteen imaginary unit vectors. Hence this new algebra is called as sedenion-like algebra. Now we prove that \mathbb{S}^L is closed under multiplication. Let

$$S = s_0 + s_1 \lambda e_o e_1 + s_2 \lambda e_o e_2 + s_3 \lambda e_o e_3 + s_4 \lambda e_1 e_2 + s_5 \lambda e_3 e_1 + s_6 \lambda e_2 e_3 + s_7 \lambda e_o e_1 e_2 e_3$$

+ s_8 \lambda e_o e_4 + s_9 \lambda e_1 e_4 + s_{10} \lambda e_2 e_4 + s_{11} \lambda e_3 e_4 + s_{12} \lambda e_o e_2 e_1 e_4 + s_{13} \lambda e_o e_1 e_3 e_4
+ s_{14} \lambda e_o e_3 e_2 e_4 + s_{15} \lambda e_1 e_2 e_3 e_4,

and

$$T = t_0 + t_1 \lambda e_o e_1 + t_2 \lambda e_o e_2 + t_3 \lambda e_o e_3 + t_4 \lambda e_1 e_2 + t_5 \lambda e_3 e_1 + t_6 \lambda e_2 e_3 + t_7 \lambda e_o e_1 e_2 e_3$$

+ $t_8 \lambda e_o e_4 + t_9 \lambda e_1 e_4 + t_{10} \lambda e_2 e_4 + t_{11} \lambda e_3 e_4 + t_{12} \lambda e_o e_2 e_1 e_4 + t_{13} \lambda e_o e_1 e_3 e_4$
+ $t_{14} \lambda e_o e_3 e_2 e_4 + t_{15} \lambda e_1 e_2 e_3 e_4.$

It is evident from the multiplication table that \mathbb{S}^l is closed under multiplication, i.e. there exists $U = ST \in \mathbb{S}^L$ such that

$$U = ST = u_0 + u_1 \lambda e_o e_1 + u_2 \lambda e_o e_2 + u_3 \lambda e_o e_3 + u_4 \lambda e_1 e_2 + u_5 \lambda e_3 e_1 + u_6 \lambda e_2 e_3$$
$$+ u_7 \lambda e_o e_1 e_2 e_3 + u_8 \lambda e_o e_4 + u_9 \lambda e_1 e_4 + u_{10} \lambda e_2 e_4 + u_{11} \lambda e_3 e_4 + u_{12} \lambda e_o e_2 e_1 e_4$$
$$+ u_{13} \lambda e_o e_1 e_3 e_4 + u_{14} \lambda e_o e_3 e_2 e_4 + u_{15} \lambda e_1 e_2 e_3 e_4.$$

Now we show that every $S \in \mathbb{S}^L$ can be written as dual of octonion-like numbers, i.e.

 $S = S_r + S_d \varepsilon$,

where S_r and S_d are two octonion like numbers and $\varepsilon = -e_1e_2e_3e_4$, such that $\varepsilon^2 = 1$ and $\varepsilon^{\dagger} = \varepsilon$ (\dagger is the reverse operation defined in [7], [8]),

$$S_r = s_0 + s_1 \lambda e_o e_1 + s_2 \lambda e_o e_2 + s_3 \lambda e_o e_3 + s_4 \lambda e_1 e_2 + s_5 \lambda e_3 e_1 + s_6 \lambda e_2 e_3 + s_7 \lambda e_o e_1 e_2 e_3$$

and

$$S_d = -s_{15} + s_{14}\lambda e_o e_1 + s_{13}\lambda e_o e_2 + s_{12}\lambda e_o e_3 + s_{11}\lambda e_1 e_2 + s_{10}\lambda e_3 e_1 + s_9\lambda e_2 e_3 + s_8\lambda e_o e_1 e_2 e_3.$$

Clearly,

$$S_d \varepsilon = s_8 \lambda e_o e_4 + s_9 \lambda e_1 e_4 + s_{10} \lambda e_2 e_4 + s_{11} \lambda e_3 e_4 + s_{12} \lambda e_o e_2 e_1 e_4 + s_{13} \lambda e_o e_1 e_3 e_4 + s_{14} \lambda e_o e_3 e_2 e_4 + s_{15} \lambda e_1 e_2 e_3 e_4.$$

4. Norm on sedenion-like algebra

In this section, we define a normed subalgebra of \mathbb{S}^L called as sedenion-like algebra \mathbb{S}^l and show that this norm preserves the condition ||ST|| = ||S|| ||T|| and $S \cdot S^{\dagger}$ is commutative [i.e. $S \cdot S^{\dagger} = S^{\dagger} \cdot S$ and $(S \cdot S^{\dagger}) \cdot T = T(S \cdot S^{\dagger})], \forall S, T \in \mathbb{S}^l$.

Christian [6] used the norm on \mathbb{O}^l as $||X|| = \sum_{i=0}^7 x_i^2$, which is equal to the square root of XX^{\dagger} with non scalar part set to zero of the geometric product XX^{\dagger} , for all $X \in \mathbb{O}^l$, i.e.

$$\|X\|^2 = X \cdot X^{\dagger}. \tag{1}$$

Extend this definition of norm on \mathbb{S}^l . If $S \in \mathbb{S}^l$, then norm of $S = \sum_{i=0}^{15} s_i^2$, which is equal to the square root of SS^{\dagger} with non scalar of SS^{\dagger} set to zero. Let $S = S_r + S_d \varepsilon$, $S^{\dagger} = S_r^{\dagger} + S_d^{\dagger} \varepsilon$. Then

$$SS^{\dagger} = (S_r + S_d \varepsilon)(S_r^{\dagger} + S_d^{\dagger} \varepsilon)$$

= $(S_r S_r^{\dagger} + S_d S_d^{\dagger}) + (S_r S_d^{\dagger} + S_d S_r^{\dagger})\varepsilon$
= $(||S_r||^2 + ||S_d||^2) + (S_r S_d^{\dagger} + S_d S_r^{\dagger})\varepsilon$,

which implies that $||S||^2 = ||S_r||^2 + ||S_d||^2$, if the octonion-like numbers S_r and S_d are orthogonal i.e. $S_r S_d^{\dagger} + S_d S_r^{\dagger} = 0$. Then we define \mathbb{S}^l as a set of paired octonion-like numbers

$$\mathbb{S}^{l} = \{S := S_{r} + S_{d}\varepsilon \mid ||S||^{2} = ||S_{r}||^{2} + ||S_{d}||^{2}\}$$

Example 4.1. Let $S = 1 + e_0e_1e_2e_3 + e_0e_4 + e_1e_2e_3e_4$. Then

$$S_r = S_r^{\dagger} = 1 + e_0 e_1 e_2 e_3,$$

$$S_d = S_d^{\dagger} = -1 + e_0 e_1 e_2 e_3, \text{ which implies that}$$

$$S_r S_d^{\dagger} + S_d S_r^{\dagger} = (-1 + e_0 e_1 e_2 e_3 - e_0 e_1 e_2 e_3 + 1)$$

$$+ (-1 - e_0 e_1 e_2 e_3 + e_0 e_1 e_2 e_3 + 1) = 0.$$

Now in order to use the condition $(S_r S_d^{\dagger} + S_d S_r^{\dagger})\varepsilon = 0$, we must ensure that it is closed under multiplication. The proof is given in the next Lemma 3.

Lemma 4.2. $S^{l} = \{S := s_{e} + s_{d}\varepsilon \mid ||S||^{2} = ||S_{r}||^{2} + ||S_{d}||^{2}\}$ is closed under multiplication.

Proof. Let $S = S_r + S_d \varepsilon$ and $T = T_r + T_d \varepsilon$ are in \mathbb{S}^l . Then $(S_r S_d^{\dagger} + S_d S_r^{\dagger}) = 0$ and $(T_r T_d^{\dagger} + T_d T_r^{\dagger}) = 0$. Now we prove that $(ST)_r (ST)_d^{\dagger} + (ST)_d (ST)_r^{\dagger} = 0$. Here

$$ST = (S_r + S_d \varepsilon)(T_r + T_d \varepsilon)$$

= $(S_r T_r + S_d T_d) + (S_r T_d + S_d T_r)\varepsilon$
 $(ST)_r = (S_r T_r + S_d T_d) \text{ and } (ST)_d = (S_r T_d + S_d T_r)$
 $(ST)_r^{\dagger} = (S_r T_r + S_d T_d)^{\dagger} = T_r^{\dagger} S_r^{\dagger} + T_d^{\dagger} S_d^{\dagger}$
 $(ST)_d^{\dagger} = (S_r T_d + S_d T_r)^{\dagger} = T_d^{\dagger} S_r^{\dagger} + S_d^{\dagger} T_r^{\dagger}.$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} (ST)_{r}(ST)_{d}^{\dagger} + (ST)_{d}(ST)_{r}^{\dagger} \\ &= (S_{r}T_{r} + S_{d}T_{d})(T_{d}^{\dagger}S_{r}^{\dagger} + S_{d}^{\dagger}T_{r}^{\dagger}) + (S_{r}T_{d} + S_{d}T_{r})(T_{r}^{\dagger}S_{r}^{\dagger} + T_{d}^{\dagger}S_{d}^{\dagger}) \\ &= S_{r}T_{r}T_{d}^{\dagger}S_{r}^{\dagger} + S_{r}T_{r}S_{d}^{\dagger}T_{r}^{\dagger} + S_{d}T_{d}T_{d}^{\dagger}S_{r}^{\dagger} + S_{d}T_{d}S_{d}^{\dagger}T_{r}^{\dagger} + S_{r}T_{d}T_{r}^{\dagger}S_{r}^{\dagger} \\ &+ S_{r}T_{d}T_{d}^{\dagger}S_{d}^{\dagger} + S_{d}T_{r}T_{r}^{\dagger}S_{r}^{\dagger} + S_{d}T_{r}T_{d}^{\dagger}S_{d}^{\dagger} \\ &= (S_{r}T_{r}T_{d}^{\dagger}S_{r}^{\dagger} + S_{r}T_{d}T_{r}^{\dagger}S_{r}^{\dagger}) + (S_{r}T_{r}S_{d}^{\dagger}T_{r}^{\dagger} + S_{d}T_{r}T_{r}^{\dagger}S_{r}^{\dagger}) \\ &+ (S_{d}T_{d}T_{d}^{\dagger}S_{r}^{\dagger} + S_{r}T_{d}T_{r}^{\dagger}S_{d}^{\dagger}) + (S_{d}T_{d}S_{d}^{\dagger}T_{r}^{\dagger} + S_{d}T_{r}T_{d}^{\dagger}S_{d}^{\dagger}) \\ &= S_{r}S_{r}^{\dagger}(T_{r}T_{d}^{\dagger} + T_{d}T_{r}^{\dagger}) + T_{r}T_{r}^{\dagger}(S_{r}S_{d}^{\dagger} + S_{d}S_{r}^{\dagger}) \\ &+ T_{d}T_{d}^{\dagger}(S_{d}S_{r}^{\dagger} + S_{r}S_{d}^{\dagger}) + S_{d}S_{d}^{\dagger}(T_{d}T_{r}^{\dagger} + T_{r}T_{d}^{\dagger}) \\ &= 0, \text{ for } (S_{r}S_{d}^{\dagger} + S_{d}S_{r}^{\dagger}) = 0 \text{ and } (T_{r}T_{d}^{\dagger} + T_{d}T_{r}^{\dagger}) = 0. \end{split}$$

Hence normed \mathbb{S}^l is closed under multiplication.

Definition 3. Let G be a group, KG be its group algebra and A, B are two subsets of G. Then (A, B) is called a multiplicative pair if it satisfies

$$||ab|| = ||a|| ||b||, \forall a \in span(A), b \in span(B).$$

As an application, in order to find some multiplicative pair [2] on sedenion-like algebra, it is very important to show that ||ST|| = ||S|| ||T||.

Theorem 4. The norm defined in (1) satisfies ||ST|| = ||S|| ||T||, for all $S, T \in \mathbb{S}^l$.

Proof. To prove this, it is convenient to write S and T as dual octonion numbers. Let $S = s_0 + s_1 \lambda e_o e_1 + s_2 \lambda e_o e_2 + s_3 \lambda e_o e_3 + s_4 \lambda e_1 e_2 + s_5 \lambda e_3 e_1 + s_6 \lambda e_2 e_3 + s_7 \lambda e_o e_1 e_2 e_3 + s_8 \lambda e_o e_4 + s_9 \lambda e_1 e_4 + s_{10} \lambda e_2 e_4 + s_{11} \lambda e_3 e_4 + s_{12} \lambda e_o e_2 e_1 e_4 + s_{13} \lambda e_o e_1 e_3 e_4$

 $+s_{14}\lambda e_{o}e_{3}e_{2}e_{4} + s_{15}\lambda e_{1}e_{2}e_{3}e_{4}$, and $T = t_{0} + t_{1}\lambda e_{o}e_{1} + t_{2}\lambda e_{o}e_{2} + t_{3}\lambda e_{o}e_{3} + t_{4}\lambda e_{1}e_{2} + t_{5}\lambda e_{3}e_{1} + t_{6}\lambda e_{2}e_{3} + t_{7}\lambda e_{o}e_{1}e_{2}e_{3}$

 $+t_8\lambda e_0e_4 + t_9\lambda e_1e_4 + t_{10}\lambda e_2e_4 + t_{11}\lambda e_3e_4 + t_{12}\lambda e_0e_2e_1e_4 + t_{13}\lambda e_0e_1e_3e_4$

 $+t_{14}\lambda e_oe_3e_2e_4+t_{15}\lambda e_1e_2e_3e_4.$

Then $S = S_r + S_d \varepsilon$ and $T = T_r + T_d \varepsilon$, where S_r, S_d, T_r, T_d are octonion-like numbers given by

 $S_r = s_0 + s_1 \lambda e_o e_1 + s_2 \lambda e_o e_2 + s_3 \lambda e_o e_3 + s_4 \lambda e_1 e_2 + s_5 \lambda e_3 e_1 + s_6 \lambda e_2 e_3 + s_7 \lambda e_o e_1 e_2 e_3,$

 $S_d = -s_{15} + s_{14}\lambda e_o e_1 + s_{13}\lambda e_o e_2 + s_{12}\lambda e_o e_3 + s_{11}\lambda e_1 e_2 + s_{10}\lambda e_3 e_1 + s_9\lambda e_2 e_3$

 $+s_8\lambda e_oe_1e_2e_3$ and

 $T_r = t_0 + t_1 \lambda e_o e_1 + t_2 \lambda e_o e_2 + t_3 \lambda e_o e_3 + t_4 \lambda e_1 e_2 + t_5 \lambda e_3 e_1 + t_6 \lambda e_2 e_3 + t_7 \lambda e_o e_1 e_2 e_3,$

 $T_d = -t_{15} + t_{14}\lambda e_o e_1 + t_{13}\lambda e_o e_2 + t_{12}\lambda e_o e_3 + t_{11}\lambda e_1 e_2 + t_{10}\lambda e_3 e_1 + t_9\lambda e_2 e_3 + t_8\lambda e_o e_1 e_2 e_3.$

Therefore $ST = (S_r + S_d \varepsilon)(T_r + T_d \varepsilon) = (S_r T_r + S_d T_d) + (S_r T_d + S_d T_r)\varepsilon$,

$$\begin{split} (ST)^{\dagger} &= ((S_{r}T_{r} + S_{d}T_{d}) + (S_{r}T_{d} + S_{d}T_{r})\varepsilon)^{\dagger} = (S_{r}T_{r} + S_{d}T_{d})^{\dagger} + (S_{r}T_{d} + S_{d}T_{r})^{\dagger}\varepsilon \\ \|ST\|^{2} &= (ST)(ST)^{\dagger} \\ &= \{(S_{r}T_{r} + S_{d}T_{d}) + (S_{r}T_{d} + S_{d}T_{r})\varepsilon\}\{(S_{r}T_{r} + S_{d}T_{d})^{\dagger} + (S_{r}T_{d} + S_{d}T_{r})^{\dagger}\varepsilon\}. \\ &= \{(S_{r}T_{r} + S_{d}T_{d})(S_{r}T_{r} + S_{d}T_{d})^{\dagger} + (S_{r}T_{d} + S_{d}T_{r})(S_{r}T_{d} + S_{d}T_{r})^{\dagger}\} \\ &+ \{(S_{r}T_{r} + S_{d}T_{d})(S_{r}T_{d} + S_{d}T_{r})^{\dagger} + (S_{r}T_{d} + S_{d}T_{r})(S_{r}T_{r} + S_{d}T_{d})^{\dagger}\}\varepsilon \end{split}$$

In the above sum the coefficient of ε is zero by Lemma 2. Hence

$$\begin{split} \|ST\|^{2} &= \{ (S_{r}T_{r} + S_{d}T_{d})(S_{r}T_{r} + S_{d}T_{d})^{\dagger} + (S_{r}T_{d} + S_{d}T_{r})(S_{r}T_{d} + S_{d}T_{r})^{\dagger} \} \\ &= S_{r}T_{r}T_{r}^{\dagger}S_{r}^{\dagger} + S_{r}T_{r}T_{d}^{\dagger}S_{d}^{\dagger} + S_{d}T_{d}T_{r}^{\dagger}S_{r}^{\dagger} + S_{d}T_{d}T_{d}^{\dagger}S_{d}^{\dagger} + S_{r}T_{d}T_{d}^{\dagger}S_{r}^{\dagger} + S_{r}T_{d}T_{r}^{\dagger}S_{d}^{\dagger} \\ &+ T_{r}S_{d}T_{d}^{\dagger}S_{r}^{\dagger} + T_{r}S_{d}T_{d}^{\dagger}S_{d}^{\dagger}. \end{split}$$

In the above sum, second and sixth terms are canceled as $(T_r T_d^{\dagger} + T_d T_r^{\dagger}) = 0$ and third and seventh terms are canceled as $(S_r S_d^{\dagger} + S_d S_r^{\dagger}) = 0$, which implies that


$$\begin{split} \|ST\|^2 &= S_r T_r T_r^{\dagger} S_r^{\dagger} + S_d T_d T_d^{\dagger} S_d^{\dagger} + S_r T_d T_d^{\dagger} S_r^{\dagger} + T_r S_d T_d^{\dagger} S_d^{\dagger} \\ &= \|S_r\|^2 \|T_r\|^2 + \|S_d\|^2 \|T_d\|^2 + \|S_r\|^2 \|T_d\|^2 + \|S_d\|^2 \|T_r\|^2. \\ &= \|S\|\|T\|. \end{split}$$

Hence ||ST|| = ||S|| ||T||.


It is clear from the multiplication table of sedenion-like algebra that SS^{\dagger} for all $S \in \mathbb{S}^{l}$ contains only real units, which are commutative. Hence $SS^{\dagger} = S^{\dagger}S$ and $(SS^{\dagger})T = T(SS^{\dagger})$.

5. Hopf Algebra structure on Octonion-like and sedenion-like Algebra


In this section we construct Hopf algebra structure on octonion like algebra. A Hopf algebra is a six-tuple $(H, \mu, \eta, \triangle, \varepsilon, S)$, where *H* is a vector space over *K*, the product $\mu : H \otimes H \to H$ and unit $\eta : H \to k$ satisfies the commutativity of the following diagrams:



The coproduct $\triangle : H \to H \otimes H$ and counit $\varepsilon : K \to H$ are such that the following diagrams commutes.



The antipode $S: H \rightarrow H$, satisfies the commutativity of following diagram:



Theorem 1 gives the existence of inverse of basis elements in \mathbb{O}^l , which is used in the existence of antipode on \mathbb{O}^l .

Remark 5.1. All basis elements u_i , i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 in \mathbb{O}^l have unique inverse \mathbb{O}^l .

Proof. Since for all basis element u_i , i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, $||u_i||_1 = 1$ and $||u_i||_2 = 1$. Therefore both norms are non-zero for all basis elements u_i , hence inverse of u_i exists, by Theorem 1.

Now we are ready to define the Hopf algebra structure on octonion like algebra \mathbb{O}^l . The octonion-like algebras is closed under multiplication and has unit as given in the multiplication table. Define the coproduct $\triangle(x) : \mathbb{O}^l \to \mathbb{O}^l \otimes \mathbb{O}^l$ and counit $\varepsilon : \mathbb{O}^l \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\triangle(x) = \triangle(\sum a_i u_i) = \sum a_i(u_i \otimes u_i), \qquad \varepsilon(u_i) = 1,$$

If $x = \sum a_i u_i \in \mathbb{O}^l$, then $(id \otimes \triangle) \triangle (x) = (id \otimes \triangle) \triangle (\sum a_i u_i) = (id \otimes \triangle)(\sum a_i(u_i \otimes u_i)) = \sum a_i(u_i \otimes (u_i \otimes u_i)) = a_i((u_i \otimes u_i) \otimes u_i) = (\triangle \otimes id)(a_i(u_i \otimes u_i)) = (\triangle \otimes id) \triangle (\sum a_i u_i) = (\triangle \otimes id) \triangle (x)$, hence $(id \otimes \triangle) \triangle (u_i) = (\triangle \otimes id) \triangle$, and $(\varepsilon \otimes id) \triangle (x) = (\varepsilon \otimes id) \triangle (\sum a_i u_i)(\varepsilon \otimes id)(a_i(u_i \otimes u_i)) = a_i(1 \otimes u_i)$, similarly $(id \otimes \varepsilon) \triangle (x) = (id \otimes \varepsilon) \triangle (\sum a_i u_i)(id \otimes \varepsilon)(a_i(u_i \otimes u_i)) = a_i(u_i \otimes 1)$. Since $u_1 \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes u_1 \in \mathbb{O}^l$ implies that $(\varepsilon \otimes id) \triangle = (id \otimes \varepsilon) \triangle$ which proves that it is a coalgebra. Define the antipode *S* as

$$S(x) = S(\sum a_i u_i) = \sum a_i u_i^{-1},$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{O}^l$, where $x = \sum a_i u_i$ and u_i^{-1} (inverse of u_i) exists by Corollary 3.1. Now we prove that id * S = S * id for basis elements of \mathbb{O}^l and apply linearity to get the result for general elements. For any basis element $u_i \in \mathbb{O}^l$,

$$id * S(u_i) = \mu \circ (id \otimes S) \circ \bigtriangleup(u_i) = \mu \circ (id \otimes S)(u_i \otimes u_i) = \mu(u_i \otimes u_i^{-1}) = 1 = \eta \circ \varepsilon(u_i),$$

$$S * id(u_i) = \mu \circ (S \otimes id) \circ \bigtriangleup(u_i) = \mu \circ (S \otimes id)(u_i \otimes u_i) = \mu(u_i^{-1} \otimes u_i) = 1 = \eta \circ \varepsilon(u_i).$$

Thus $id * S = S * id = \eta \circ \varepsilon$, hence \mathbb{O}^l is a Hopf algebra. For sedenion-like algebra define $\triangle, \varepsilon, S$ same as for octonion-like algebra. Here existence of inverse of basis element is given by:

$$u_i^{-1} = \begin{cases} u_i ; & \text{if } u_i \text{ is real unit} \\ u_i^3 ; & \text{if } u_i \text{ is imaginary unit} \end{cases}$$

6. \mathbb{Z}_2^n -Graded Quasialgebra structure on Octonion-like and sedenion-like Algebra

Group graded quasialgebra [10] structure on octonion like algebras helps to find some multiplicative pairs on it. Therefore it is useful to construct the group graded quasialgebra structure on \mathbb{O}^l . In this section, we give a quasi-algebraic structure on octonion like algebra \mathbb{O}^l . A group(*G*)-graded vector space means a vector space *V* which can be written as $V = \bigoplus_{g \in G} V_g$ and $V_g \cdot V_h \subseteq V_{gh}$ for $g, h \in G$, the elements of V_g are called homogeneous elements of degree g, denoted by |v|. A normalized 3-cocycle on *G* is a map $\phi : G \otimes G \otimes G \to K^*$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$\begin{split} \phi(ab,c,d)\phi(a,b,cd) &= \phi(a,b,c)\phi(a,bc,d)\phi(b,c,d) \\ \phi(a,e,b) &= 1, \ \forall \ a,b,c,d \in G. \end{split}$$

A *G*-graded quasialgebra is a *G*-graded vector space *V*, a product map $V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ preserving the total degree and associative in the sense that

$$(u \cdot v) \cdot w = u \cdot (v \cdot w) \phi(|u|, |v|, |w|), \ \forall \ u, v, w \in V.$$

Let $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, define a group homomorphism $f : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ by $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, then Ker(f) forms a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_2^n , denoted by $\mathbb{Z}_2^n/2$ and called the even subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_2^n .

Example 6.1. Even subalgebra of \mathbb{Z}_2^4 is $\mathbb{Z}_2^4/2 = \{(0,0,0,0), (0,0,1,1), (0,1,0,1), (0,1,1,0), (1,0,0,1), (1,0,1,0), (1,1,0,0), (1,1,1,1)\}$. Then $\mathbb{Z}_2^4/2$ -grading on octonion like algebra is given by:

$ u_0 = (0, 0, 0, 0);$	$ u_1 = (0, 0, 1, 1);$
$ u_2 = (0, 1, 0, 1);$	$ u_3 = (0, 1, 1, 0);$
$ u_4 = (1,0,0,1);$	$ u_5 = (1,0,1,0);$
$ u_6 = (1, 1, 0, 0);$	$ u_7 = (1, 1, 1, 1).$

Clearly, the above grading satisfies that if $u_i u_j = u_k$, then $|u_i| + |u_j| = |u_k|$ for all $u_i, u_j, u_k \in \mathbb{O}^l$. Assuming that $\phi(|u_i|, |u_j|, |u_k|) = 1$, we get

$$(u_iu_j)u_k = u_i(u_ju_k)\phi(|u_i|, |u_j|, |u_k|), \ \forall \ u, v, w \in V.$$

Example 6.2. $\mathbb{Z}_2^5/2$ -grading quasialgebra structure on sedenion like algebra is given by:

1 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0);	$ e_0e_1 = (0, 0, 0, 1, 1);$
$ e_0e_2 = (0, 0, 1, 0, 1);$	$ e_0e_3 = (0, 1, 0, 0, 1);$
$ e_1e_2 = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0);$	$ e_3e_1 = (0, 1, 0, 1, 0);$
$ e_0e_4 = (1,0,0,0,1);$	$ e_1e_4 = (1,0,0,1,0);$
$ e_2e_4 = (1,0,1,0,0);$	$ e_3e_4 = (1,1,0,0,0);$
$ e_2e_3 = (0, 1, 1, 0, 0);$	$ e_0e_1e_2e_3 = (0, 1, 1, 1, 1);$
$ e_0e_2e_1e_4 = (1,0,1,1,1);$	$ e_0e_1e_3e_4 = (1,1,0,1,1);$
$ e_0e_3e_2e_4 = (1, 1, 1, 0, 1);$	$ e_1e_2e_3e_4 = (1,1,1,1,0),$

The associative of sedenion-like algebra implies that $\phi = 1$, which preserves the condition $(u_i u_j)u_k = u_i(u_j u_k)\phi(|u_i|, |u_j|, |u_k|)$. Hence the sedenion-like algebra is a \mathbb{Z}_2^5 -graded quasialgebra.

Acknowledgment: Authors thanks Prof. Hua-Lin Huang for stimulating discussion and useful comments. The first author sincerely thanks the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Government of India for research fellowship (SRF: 09/1032 (0024)/2020-EMR-I).

References

- Albuquerque, Helena; Majid, Shahn: Clifford algebras obtained by twisting of group algebras, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 171, 133-148 (2002).
- [2] Albuquerque, Helena; Majid, Shahn: Quasialgebra Structure of the Octonions, Journal of Algebra 220, 188-224 (1999).
- [3] Balodi, Mamta; Huang, Hua-Lin; Kumar, Shiv Datt: Diamond Lemma for Group Graded Quasi-algebras, Proc. Mathematical Science (Indian Academy of Science), 126, 341-352 (2016).
- [4] Balodi, Mamta; Huang, Hua-Lin; Kumar, Shiv Datt: On the Classification of Finite Quasi-quatum Groups, Reviews in Mathematical Physics 29, 1730003 (2017).
- [5] Baez, John C.: The Octonion, Bulletin (New Series) of American Mathematical Society 39, 145-205 (2001).
- [6] Christian, Joy: Eight-dimensional Octonion-like but Associative Normed Division Algebra, Einstein Center for Local-Realistic Physics, United Kingdom, 2022, arXiv:1908.06172v10.
- [7] Dorst, Leo; Fontijne, Daniel; Mann, Stephen: Geometric Algebra for Computer Science, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2007.

- [8] Doran, Chris; Lasenby, Anthony: Geometric Algebra for Physics, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [9] Huang, Hua-Lin; Liu, Gongxiang; Ye, Yu: The Braided Monoidal Structures on a Class of Linear Gr-categories, American Mathematical Society, Algebr. Represent 17, 1249-1265 (2014).
- [10] Hu, Ya-Qing; Huang, Hua-Lin; Zhang, Chi: \mathbb{Z}_2^n -graded quasialgebras and the Hurwitz problem on composition of quadratic forms, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **370**, 241-263 (2018).
- [11] Khalid, Juhi; Bouchard, Martin: On the Octonion-like Associative Division Algebra, School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, University of Ottawa, 800 King Edward, Ottawa K1N6N5, Canada, arXiv:2012.11359.
- [12] Kassel, Christian: Quantum groups, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [13] Lal, Ramji: Algebra 3, Springer, 2020.
- [14] Sweedler, Moss E.: Hopf Algebra, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1980.
- [15] Yuzvinsky, Sergey: Orthogonal pairings of Euclidean spaces, Michigan Math. Journal 28, 131-145 (1981).