

Quantum phase transitions in the alternating XY chain with three-site interaction

Kaiyuan Cao

Zhejiang Lab, Hangzhou 311100, P. R. China

Hao Fu, Xue Liu, and Ming Zhong*

*Department of Physics and Institute of Theoretical Physics,
Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, P. R. China*

Peiqing Tong†

*Department of Physics and Institute of Theoretical Physics,
Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, P. R. China and
Jiangsu Key Laboratory for Numerical Simulation of Large Scale Complex Systems,
Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, P. R. China*

(Dated: January 3, 2024)

We investigate the quantum phase transition in the alternating XY chain with the XZY+YZX type of three-spin interactions. We present the exact solution derived by means of the Jordan-Wigner transformation and study the average magnetization, spin correlations, and von Neumann entropy to establish the phase diagram. By examining the nearest-neighbor transverse spin correlation, we probe that in the phase with zero magnetization (ZM), the spins within a supercell tend to point to opposite directions of the external field, but between the nearest-neighbor supercells are distributed randomly. This is the reason for the magnetization vanishing in the ZM phase under the alternating hopping interaction. In addition, we also investigate the influence of the three-site interaction, and find that the ZM phase is absent as the strength of the three-site interaction increases. Our findings shed light on the complex behavior of the alternating XY chain and provide valuable insights for future studies.

I. INTRODUCTION

The system of interacting spins on a lattice provides an incredibly versatile platform for investigating intricate quantum phases and phase transitions that arise due to the interplay of various quantum phenomena and symmetries^{1,2}. Various forms of spin interaction are realized in lattice spin systems, which have discovered a plethora of quantum phases, such as symmetry-protected topological phases³⁻⁶ and quantum spin liquids⁶⁻¹². In addition to the spin interaction, with the rapid development of spin-orbit physics, the strong spin-orbit coupling in the magnetic systems leads to intrinsically frustrated orbital (pseudospin) interactions. Such pseudospin interactions are different from the Heisenberg SU(2) isotropic exchanges and have attracted much attention from both theoretical¹³⁻¹⁹ and experimental²⁰⁻²³ perspectives. In this regard, studying interacting lattice spin systems can not only help us understand the complexity of quantum phases and phase transitions but also provide an important theoretical basis for exploring new quantum materials and applications.

Most lattice spin models with complex interaction are difficult to solve rigorously. A sensible and common approach is to extend a model known to be exactly solvable by adding additional interactions or modifying its lattice. This method has repeatedly achieved great results. For instance, the chiral phase is found in the XY chain with the additional Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction²⁴⁻²⁷, which can be used to explain the anti-ferromagnetic behavior^{28,29}; The detailed localized-extended classifica-

tion phase diagram of ferromagnetic (FM) and paramagnetic (PM) phases was explored in the transverse field Ising model in a quasi-periodic lattice³⁰⁻³². The later example of the quasi-periodic model has also been investigated using the asymptotic results of the periodic chain in the increasing-size superlattice³³⁻³⁵. It is worth noting that a unique magnetic phase, characterized by zero magnetization, arises under certain periodic modulations. However, this phase has not received significant attention in previous studies, leading to a limited understanding of its properties. Despite being classified as the paramagnetic phase³⁶, there is a lack of research investigating the local order parameter of this phase.

Additionally, the literature focuses on the nearest-neighbor interactions in most systems. However, considering the spin interaction originating from the superexchange of the long-range Coulomb potential, the effect of long-range interactions must be addressed when applying the theory to realistic materials. Recently, three-site interactions received considerable attention^{5,37-46}. For instance, the chiral phase is also found in the quantum spin chains with the XZY-YZX type of three-site interaction^{44,46}. Two kinds of spin liquid phases are present in the anisotropic XY chain with XZX+YZY type of three-site interaction^{38,40,45}. Such complex interactions between three subsequent sites essentially enrich the ground state phase diagram of the spin model and open new opportunities for underlying physics.

In this paper, we consider the alternating XY chain^{47,48} with XZX+YZY type of three-site interaction in the transverse field [see Fig. 1]. We show that this




FIG. 1. Schematic representation of the structure of the alternating XY chain.

model is exactly solvable and obtain the ground state phase diagram by calculating the average magnetization and spin correlations. By examining the nearest-neighbor transverse spin correlation, we probe that in the phase with zero magnetization (ZM), spins within a supercell point to the opposite direction along the external field, and spins between supercells are not coupled. Additionally, by investigating the von Neumann entropy, we notice that the von Neumann entropy in the phase with zero magnetization has certainly large value while is different from the cases in the paramagnetic phase. This exactly explains the reason for the magnetization vanishing in the so-called ZM phase. The ZM phase induced by the alternating interaction thus should not be classified as the PM phase. In addition, we also discuss the influence of the three-site interaction, and find that the zero magnetization phase is absent as the strength of the three-site interaction increases, instead of the appearance of two ferromagnetic phases.

The article is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce the alternating XY chain with XZX+YZY type of three-site interaction. By exactly diagonalizing the Hamiltonian, we obtain the expression of the quasiparticle excitation spectra and the phase diagrams separated by the quantum critical lines. In Sec. III, the average magnetization and spin correlations are calculated to identify each phase. In Sec. IV, we study the behavior of von Neumann entropy within each phase and on the quantum critical lines. Finally, we give a summary of our main results and conclude in Sec. V.

II. MODEL

The Hamiltonian of the one-dimensional extended XY chain in the transverse field with the alternating hopping and XZX+YZY type of three-site interaction is

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \left\{ \frac{J_0 + (-1)^{n-1} J_1}{2} [(1 + \gamma) \sigma_n^x \sigma_{n+1}^x + (1 - \gamma) \sigma_n^y \sigma_{n+1}^y] + h \sigma_n^z \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \Omega (\sigma_{n-1}^x \sigma_n^z \sigma_{n+1}^x + \sigma_{n-1}^y \sigma_n^z \sigma_{n+1}^y), \quad (1)$$

where $\sigma^{x,y,z}$ are the Pauli matrices, $J_n = J_0 + (-1)^{n-1} J_1$ are the strength of the hopping interactions between the

nearest-neighbor spins, γ is the anisotropic parameter, and h is the external field. The Hamiltonian (1) can be transformed to the extended XY chain in the alternating field [$h_n = h_0 + (-1)^{n-1} h_1$] due to the duality transformation^{30,49}. For convenience, we define the parameter

$$\alpha = \frac{J_0 - J_1}{J_0 + J_1} \quad (2)$$

with $J_0 + J_1 = 1$ without losing the generality. Assuming periodic boundary conditions, the Hamiltonian (1) can be exactly solved using the Jordan-Wigner transformation that maps the Hamiltonian (1) to a system of spinless fermion in the double lattice. Since each momentum is decoupled, we obtain the diagonalized Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_k [\Lambda_{k1} (\eta_{k1}^\dagger \eta_{k1} - \frac{1}{2}) + \Lambda_{k2} (\eta_{k2}^\dagger \eta_{k2} - \frac{1}{2})] \quad (3)$$

in momentum space after performing the Fourier and Bogoliubov transformations, where the Bogoliubov coefficients are obtained by solving the eigenproblem of the Bloch Hamiltonian \mathbb{H}_k (more details seen in Appendix A). The eigenproblem of the Bloch Hamiltonian \mathbb{H}_k is equivalent to solving a quartic equation concerning the quasiparticle excitation spectra $\Lambda_{k1(2)}$. The solutions are given by

$$\Lambda_{k1} = \sqrt{\frac{P - \sqrt{P^2 - 4Q}}{2}}, \quad (4)$$

$$\Lambda_{k2} = \sqrt{\frac{P + \sqrt{P^2 - 4Q}}{2}} \quad (5)$$

with

$$P = 2|A_k|^2 + 2|B_k|^2 + 2(h - \Omega \cos k)^2, \quad (6)$$

$$Q = (h - \Omega \cos k)^4 + 2(h - \Omega \cos k)^2 (|B_k|^2 - |A_k|^2) + (B_k^2 - A_k^2) [(B_k^*)^2 - (A_k^*)^2], \quad (7)$$

$$A_k = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha e^{ik}), \quad \text{and} \quad B_k = \frac{1}{2}\gamma(1 - \alpha e^{ik}). \quad (8)$$

The critical point of the QPT appears where the band gap closes, corresponding to $\min(\Lambda_{k1}) = 0$. Hence, we obtain the critical point by solving the minimum value of the lower quasiparticle excitation spectrum, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda_{k1} = 0, \\ \frac{d\Lambda_{k1}}{dk} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

With fixed α and Ω , we obtain the critical lines in the $(h - \gamma)$ plane: for $-1 \leq \gamma \leq 1$,

$$h_{c1}^\pm = \Omega \pm \frac{\sqrt{(1 + \alpha^2)(1 - \gamma^2) + 2\alpha(1 + \gamma^2)}}{2}; \quad (10)$$




FIG. 2. Phase diagrams in the $(h - \gamma)$ plane with fixed $\alpha = 0.5$ for (a) $\Omega = 0.2$, (b) $\Omega = 0.4$, and (c) $\Omega = 0.6$, respectively.




FIG. 3. The second-order derivative of the average ground-state energy e_0 as a function of the external field h for (a) $\Omega = 0.2$, (b) $\Omega = 0.4$, and (c) $\Omega = 0.6$, with fixed $\alpha = 0.5$ and $\gamma = 0.2$.

for $-\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha} \leq \gamma \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}$,

$$h_{c2}^{\pm} = -\Omega \pm \frac{\sqrt{(1+\alpha^2)(1-\gamma^2) - 2\alpha(1+\gamma^2)}}{2}. \quad (11)$$

According to Eqs. (10) and (11), we know that the distance of critical lines h_{c1}^{\pm} for $\gamma = 0$ is $1 + \alpha$, the center of the critical lines h_{c1}^{\pm} is Ω , the distance of h_{c2}^{\pm} for $\gamma = 0$ is $1 - \alpha$, and the center of critical lines h_{c2}^{\pm} is $-\Omega$. We thus obtain the phase diagram, and it is foreseeable that the model exhibits a non-symmetric phase diagram with respect to $h = 0$ due to the three-spin interactions, as three typical instances shown in Fig. 2:




FIG. 4. The second-order derivative of e_0 as a function of the anisotropic parameter γ for (a) $\Omega = 0.2, h = -0.2$, (b) $\Omega = 0.4, h = -0.5$, and (c) $\Omega = 0.6, h = -0.6$.

- If $\Omega < \frac{\alpha}{2}$, the critical line h_{c2}^- is located at the left of h_{c1}^- , and the phase diagram consists of five parts [see regions I, II, III, IV, and V in Fig. 2 (a)].
- If $\Omega > \frac{1}{2}$, the critical line h_{c2}^+ is located at the right of h_{c1}^+ , and the phase diagram consists of six parts, corresponding the vanishment of the region V and the appearances of two regions VI and VIII [see Fig. 2 (c)].
- If $\frac{\alpha}{2} < \Omega < \frac{1}{2}$, the phase diagram consists of seven parts in which the regions V, VI, and VIII appear simultaneously [see Fig. 2 (b)].

To verify the category of the phase transition at the




FIG. 5. The average magnetization M_z and its first-order derivative $\frac{dM_z}{dh}$ as functions of the external field h for (a) $\Omega = 0.2$, (b) $\Omega = 0.4$, and (c) $\Omega = 0.6$, with fixed $\alpha = 0.5$ and $\gamma = 0.2$.

critical lines, it is helpful to consider the average ground-state energy varying with the external field h and the anisotropic parameter γ . Since both the quasiparticle excitation spectra satisfy $\Lambda_{k1(2)} \geq 0$ for each $k > 0$, the ground state is the quasiparticle vacuum state. Therefore, the ground-state energy density is given by

$$e_0 = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_k (\Lambda_{k1} + \Lambda_{k2}). \quad (12)$$

In Figs. 3 and 4, we display the second-order derivatives of e_0 with respect to h and γ , respectively. The divergence of the second-order derivative $\frac{d^2 e_0}{dh^2} (\frac{d^2 e_0}{d\gamma^2})$ at the critical point of phase transition indicates that the system undergoes a second-order phase transition.

Subsequently, we will determine the characteristics of each phase by calculating the order parameters within each phase.

III. ORDER PARAMETERS

Due to the invariance of the Hamiltonian under the rotation transformation $(\sigma^x, \sigma^y, \sigma^z) \rightarrow (-\sigma^x, -\sigma^y, \sigma^z)$, the order parameters $m^x = \langle \psi_0 | \sigma_n^x | \psi_0 \rangle$ and $m^y = \langle \psi_0 | \sigma_0^y | \psi_0 \rangle$ must give zero. Instead, the order parameter $m_z = \langle \psi_0 | \sigma_n^z | \psi_0 \rangle$ and the correlation functions $C_r^x = \langle \psi_0 | \sigma_n^x \sigma_{n+r}^x | \psi_0 \rangle$, and $C_r^y = \langle \psi_0 | \sigma_n^y \sigma_{n+r}^y | \psi_0 \rangle$ are better measures of the long-range order. Following the method used by Lieb et al.⁵⁰, we can express the spin-




FIG. 6. The long-range order parameters $\rho^x = C_{\frac{N}{2}}^x$ and $\rho^y = C_{\frac{N}{2}}^y$ as functions of the external field h for (a) $\Omega = 0.2$, (b) $\Omega = 0.4$, and (c) $\Omega = 0.6$, with fixed $\alpha = 0.5$ and $\gamma = 0.2$.

spin correlators in terms of fermionic operators, such as

$$\begin{aligned} C_r^x &= \langle \psi_0 | \sigma_n^x \sigma_{n+r}^x | \psi_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi_0 | (c_n^\dagger + c_n) \exp(i\pi \sum_{m=n}^{n+r-1} c_m^\dagger c_m) (c_{n+r}^\dagger + c_{n+r}) | \psi_0 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Next, using Wick's theorem, the correlation function is given by a determinant

$$C_r^x = \begin{vmatrix} G_{n,n+1} & G_{n,n+2} & \cdots & G_{n,n+r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ G_{n+r-1,n+1} & G_{n+r-1,n+2} & \cdots & G_{n+r-1,n+r} \end{vmatrix}, \quad (14)$$

with

$$G_{m,n} = \langle (c_m^\dagger - c_m)(c_n^\dagger + c_n) \rangle. \quad (15)$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$C_r^y = \begin{vmatrix} G_{n+1,n} & G_{n+1,n+1} & \cdots & G_{n+1,n+r-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ G_{n+r,n} & G_{n+r,n+1} & \cdots & G_{n+r,n+r-1} \end{vmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

and

$$m^z = 2\langle c_n^\dagger c_n \rangle - 1 = G_{nn}. \quad (17)$$

In Fig. 5, we display the average magnetization

$$M_z = \frac{1}{N} \sum_n m_n^z = \frac{1}{N} \sum_n G_{nn} \quad (18)$$




FIG. 7. The long-range order parameters $\rho^x = C_{\frac{N}{2}}^x$ and $\rho^y = C_{\frac{N}{2}}^y$ as functions of the anisotropic parameter γ for (a) $h = -0.5$, and (b) $h = 0.5$, with fixed $\alpha = 0.5$ and $\Omega = 0.4$.

TABLE I. A outline of the order parameters M_z , ρ^x , and ρ^y in each phase.

	M_z	ρ^x	ρ^y
I	-1 ($h < h_{c2}^-$)	0	0
II	change with h	> 0	0
III	change with h	0	> 0
IV	1	0	0
V	≈ 0	0	0
VI	change with h	0	> 0
VII	change with h	> 0	0

as a function of the external field h for the three typical cases as shown in Fig. 3 and 4. From Figs. (a) and (b), it can be observed that the average magnetization M_z exhibits plateaus (remain constant with the increase of h) at phases I, V, and IV, corresponding to $M_z = -1$ in phase I ($h < h_{c2}^-$), $M_z \approx 0$ in phase V, and $M_z = 1$ in phase IV. It is evident that phases I and IV exhibit the paramagnetic behavior here. Additionally, the first-order derivatives $\frac{dM_z}{dh}$ of the average magnetization show the nonanalytic singularities at the critical points between each phase.

Since the order parameters m^x and m^y equal zero all the time, we consider the long-range order characterized by

$$\rho^x = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} C_r^x, \quad (19)$$

$$\rho^y = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} C_r^y. \quad (20)$$

For the system with the periodic boundary condition, the limitation of r takes $\frac{N}{2}$, corresponding to $C_{\frac{N}{2}}^x$ and $C_{\frac{N}{2}}^y$. In general, the phase with $\rho^x = 0$ and $\rho^y = 0$ is referred to as a PM phase while the phase with $\rho^x \neq 0$ (or $\rho^y \neq 0$) is referred to as a ferromagnetic phase along x direction (or y direction). In Fig. 6, we display the




FIG. 8. The average transverse spin-spin correlation functions TC_{odd} and TC_{even} as functions of the external field h for (a) $\Omega = 0.2$, and for (b) $\Omega = 0.4$, with fixed $\alpha = 0.5$ and $\gamma = 0.2$.

long-range order parameter $\rho^x = C_{\frac{N}{2}}^x$ and $\rho^y = C_{\frac{N}{2}}^y$ as functions of the external field h . As seen in Fig. 6 (a), ρ^x has a finite value in phase II but vanishes in other phases like ρ^y , which indicates that the phase II exhibits the ferromagnetic behavior along the x direction. However interestingly, for the cases with additional phases VI and VII shown in Fig. 3 (b) and (c), ρ^y is found to have a finite value in phase VII and ρ^x equals zero simultaneously. This suggests that the additional phase VI may take the ferromagnetic behavior along the y -direction. To further discuss the properties of phases VI and VII, we show the results that $\rho^x = C_{\frac{N}{2}}^x$ and $\rho^y = C_{\frac{N}{2}}^y$ vary with the anisotropic parameter γ with fixed $h = -0.5$ (crossing the critical line between phase VI and VIII) in Fig. 4 (a). We also compare the results for $h = 0.5$, which crosses the critical line between phases II and III, in Fig. 7 (b). It is clear that from Fig. 7 (b) phases II and III show the characteristics of the ferromagnetic phase³⁶. Similarly, we confirm that phase VI takes the ferromagnetic behavior along the y - direction and phase VII takes the ferromagnetic behavior along the x - direction.

In a brief summary, we outline the behavior of the order parameters M_z , ρ^x , and ρ^y in Table I. We notice that except for phase V, other phases are easy to distinguish: phases I and IV are the PM phase, phases II and VII are the FM phase along the x direction, and phases III and VI are the FM phase along the y direction (FM _{y}).

As for phase V, the results show it has anti-magnetic behavior. We further study the nearest-neighbor transverse correlation functions within and between supercells, i.e. $C_{2l-1,2l}^z = \langle \psi_0 | \sigma_{2l-1}^z \sigma_{2l}^z | \psi_0 \rangle$ and $C_{2l,2l+1}^z = \langle \psi_0 | \sigma_{2l}^z \sigma_{2l+1}^z | \psi_0 \rangle$. We consider the average nearest-

neighbor transverse correlation (TC) functions

$$TC_{\text{odd}} = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{l=1}^{N/2} C_{2l-1,2l}^z, \quad (21)$$

$$TC_{\text{even}} = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{l=1}^{N/2} C_{2l,2l+1}^z, \quad (22)$$

where the local transverse spin-spin correlation function is obtained by

$$C_{n,n+r}^z = G_{nn}G_{n+r,n+r} - G_{n,n+r}G_{n+r,n}. \quad (23)$$

Note that TC_{odd} denotes the correlation of spins connected with the strong hopping interaction $J_0 + J_1$ within a supercell, and TC_{even} denotes the correlation of spins connected with weak hopping interaction $J_0 - J_1$ between the near-neighbor supercells.

In Fig. 8, we display the average transverse spin-spin correlation functions TC_{odd} and TC_{even} as functions of the external field h . It is observed that both TC_{odd} and TC_{even} converge towards the unit for systems in phases I and IV, indicating all spins polarized along the direction of external fields. However, for systems in phase V, we see TC_{odd} converge towards a negative value approaching the minus unit, but TC_{even} approaches approximately zeros. This indicates that the two spins within a supercell tend to point to the opposite direction of the external field (more dimerized results are seen in Appendix C), and spins between the near-neighbor supercells are weakly coupled. The spins within a supercell act equivalent to a spinon with a small spin and the spins between the supercells are distributed randomly. This is exactly the reason for the average magnetization M_z vanishing in phase V. As a result, the system within phase I ends in the paramagnetic states like $|\leftarrow \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow\rangle$, within phase IV like $|\rightarrow \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow\rangle$, and within phase V like $|\dots \leftarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \leftarrow \dots\rangle$.

IV. QUANTUM ENTANGLEMENT

With the development in the study of quantum computation and quantum information, the quantum entanglement has drawn increasing interest^{51,52}. In the context of statistical physics, the connection between entanglement and QPT is widely studied, where the entanglement increases as the system size scaling as $S_L \sim A \log L$ ⁵³⁻⁵⁶. The coefficient A is found to depend on the type of phase transition³⁶. Specifically, for the Ising transition, S_L is proportional to $\frac{1}{6} \log_2 L$, and for the anisotropic transition, S_L is proportional to $\frac{1}{3} \log_2 L$. In the following, we will discuss the entanglement in our model to gain a deeper understanding of the relationship between entanglement and quantum phase transitions. By studying the scaling behavior of entanglement in our model, we hope to provide further confirmation of the different types of phases.




FIG. 9. The von Neumann entropy as a function of the size L of the sublattice for the system within each phase. The system parameters for each phase are $h = -1.0$ for phase I, $h = -0.5$ for phase VI, $h = -0.3$ for phase V, $h = 0.5$ for phase II, and $h = 1.5$ for phase IV, with fixed $\alpha = 0.5$, $\Omega = 0.4$, and $\gamma = 0.2$. The solid lines show the results of the odd L , and the short-dashed lines show that of the even L . The system size here is $N = 512$.

The entanglement is basically measured by the von Neumann entropy, which is defined as the negative average of the logarithm of the eigenvalues of the reduced density matrix of a subsystem of the system and is given by

$$S_L = -\text{tr}(\rho_L \log_2 \rho_L). \quad (24)$$

Here, ρ_L is the reduced density matrix for L contiguous spins. The von Neumann entropy S_L can be written as⁵³

$$S_L = -\sum_{n=1}^L \left[\frac{1-\lambda_n}{2} \log_2 \left(\frac{1-\lambda_n}{2} \right) + \frac{1+\lambda_n}{2} \log_2 \left(\frac{1+\lambda_n}{2} \right) \right], \quad (25)$$

where λ_n are all positive eigenvalues of the matrix

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \Pi_{11} & \Pi_{12} & \cdots & \Pi_{1L} \\ \Pi_{21} & \Pi_{22} & \cdots & \Pi_{2L} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \Pi_{L1} & \Pi_{L2} & \cdots & \Pi_{LL} \end{pmatrix} \quad (26)$$

with

$$\Pi_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & G_{mn} \\ -G_{nm} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (27)$$

where G_{mn} is defined in Eq. (15).

In Fig. 9, we display the von Neumann entropy as a function of the size L for the system within each phase.




FIG. 10. The von Neumann entropy as a function of $\log_2 L$ for the system at the critical point. Four typical results are calculated for the critical points are $h_{c2}^- = -0.6$, $h_{c1}^- \approx 0.348$, $h_{c2}^+ = -0.2$, and $h_{c1}^+ \approx 1.148$ with fixed $\alpha = 0.5$, $\Omega = 0.4$, and $\gamma = 0.2$. The slopes of these cases from the numerical results are approaching $\frac{1}{6}$. The other one is calculated for the critical point $\gamma_c = 0$ with $\alpha = 0.5$, $\Omega = 0.4$, and $h = -0.5$. The slope is approaching $\frac{1}{3}$. The solid lines with the circle markers show the results of the odd L , and the dashed lines with square markers show that of the even L .

For simplicity, we only show the results of the system with $\Omega = 0.4$, for which phases V, VI, and VII appear simultaneously. Since the definition of von Neumann entropy is based on the local block in the finite chain, the values of von Neumann entropy are observed to be different for the odd and even L . For each case shown in Fig. 9, we can see that the von Neumann entropy has a small value approaching zero in phases I and IV. This indicates that the states in phases I and IV are deterministic, consistent with the behavior of the PM phase where all spins point to the direction of the external field. However, the von Neumann entropy in phase V has certainly large value, suggesting its difference from that in the PM phase. The result is easy to explain that the spins between the supercells are not strongly coupled, so the spins between the supercells are distributed randomly.

Then, we consider the von Neumann entropy near the quantum critical point. Fig. 10 exhibits the von Neumann entropy as a function of $\log_2 L$ for the system at the critical point. Although the von Neumann entropy has different values for the odd L and even L , the scaling behavior of it varying with the size L is the same. The numerical results show that the von Neumann entropy scales as $S_L \sim \frac{1}{6} \log_2 L$ for the system at the critical points h_{c1}^\pm and h_{c2}^\pm . This suggests that the system undergoes the Ising transition by changing the external field h across the critical points. While for the system at the critical points $\gamma_c = 0$, we observe that the von Neumann entropy scales as $S_L \sim \frac{1}{3} \log_2 L$. Similarly, this suggests




FIG. 11. The final phase diagram in the $(h - \gamma)$ plane for $\alpha = 0.5$ and $\Omega = 0.37$, which is the intermediate case with all phases coexisting.

that the system undergoes the anisotropic transition by changing the anisotropic parameter γ .

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we investigate the quantum phase transition in the alternating XY chain with XZY+YZX type of three-spin interactions. Based on the inverse symmetry of the quantum critical lines with respect to $\pm\Omega$, in which Ω is exactly the strength of the three-spin interaction, we find three cases of the phase diagram of the model. As Ω increases with fixed alternating hopping interaction, we will see the disappearance of the ZM phase, the occurrence of two new FM phases, and the intermediate process in which multiple phases coexist. The intermediate case with all phases coexisting is seen in Fig. 11. The phase diagram consists of seven regions: two PM phases, two FM_x phases, two FM_y phases, and a ZM phase. Each phase is identified by calculating the average magnetization, long-range two-point order parameters, and von Neumann entropy. In particular, it should be noticed that by calculating the nearest-neighbor spin-spin correlator C_r^z , we find that the spins within a supercell construct a cluster spinon with small total spin, corresponding to that spins tend to point to the opposite direction of the external field. The spins between the nearest-neighbor supercells are distributed randomly since the couple approaches zero. This is why the phase exhibits zero magnetic behavior, which has never been well understood in the literature.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work is supported by the National Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12204432, 11975126), and Key Research Projects of Zhejiang Lab (Nos. 2021PB0AC01 and 2021PB0AC02).

Appendix A: Diagonalization of the Hamiltonian

By implementing the Jordan-Wigner transformation, we transform the Hamiltonian (1) into the spinless free

$$\mathbb{H}_k = \begin{pmatrix} -(h - \Omega \cos k) & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha e^{ik}) & -\frac{1}{2}\gamma(1 - \alpha e^{ik}) \\ 0 & h - \Omega \cos k & \frac{1}{2}\gamma(1 - \alpha e^{ik}) & \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha e^{ik}) \\ -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha e^{-ik}) & \frac{1}{2}\gamma(1 - \alpha e^{-ik}) & -(h - \Omega \cos k) & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2}\gamma(1 - \alpha e^{-ik}) & \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha e^{-ik}) & 0 & h - \Omega \cos k \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A3})$$

The Bloch Hamiltonian \mathbb{H}_k can be diagonalized by the standard diagonalization procedure of the Hermitian matrix, which yields

$$\mathbb{H}_k = U \Lambda_k U^\dagger \quad (\text{A4})$$

with $\Lambda_k = \text{diag}(\Lambda_{k1}, \Lambda_{k2}, -\Lambda_{-k1}, -\Lambda_{-k2})$ and $UU^\dagger = I$. By defining the following canonical transformation

$$(\eta_{k1}^\dagger, \eta_{k2}^\dagger, \eta_{-k1}, \eta_{-k2}) = (c_{k1}^\dagger, c_{k2}^\dagger, c_{-k1}, c_{-k2})U, \quad (\text{A5})$$

we finally obtain the Hamiltonian in the diagonal form

$$H = \sum_k [\Lambda_{k1}(\eta_{k1}^\dagger \eta_{k1} - \frac{1}{2}) + \Lambda_{k2}(\eta_{k2}^\dagger \eta_{k2} - \frac{1}{2})]. \quad (\text{A6})$$

Appendix B: Eigenvalues of the Bloch Hamiltonian

The eigenvalue of the Bloch Hamiltonian \mathbb{H}_k can be obtained by solving a corresponding quartic equation. From

fermion model in the double lattice by

$$\begin{aligned} H = & -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N'} [c_{n,1}^\dagger c_{n,2} + \gamma c_{n,1}^\dagger c_{n,2}^\dagger + h.c.] \\ & -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N'} [\alpha(c_{n,2}^\dagger c_{n+1,1} + \gamma c_{n,2}^\dagger c_{n+1,1}^\dagger + h.c.)] \\ & -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N'} [h(c_{n,1}^\dagger c_{n,1} + c_{n,2}^\dagger c_{n,2} + h.c.)] \\ & +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N'} [\Omega(c_{n,1}^\dagger c_{n+1,1} + c_{n,2}^\dagger c_{n+1,2} + h.c.)] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A1})$$

with $N' = N/2$. The periodic boundary condition demands that $c_{N+1,1(2)}^\dagger = c_{1,1(2)}^\dagger$. After performing the Fourier transformation with a unit lattice constant, i.e. $c_{n,1(2)}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N'}} \sum_k c_{k1(2)}^\dagger e^{ikn}$ and $c_{n,1(2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N'}} \sum_k c_{k1(2)} e^{-ikn}$, we can express the above Hamiltonian in the form

$$H = \sum_{k>0} H_k = \sum_{k>0} \Psi_k^\dagger \mathbb{H}_k \Psi_k \quad (\text{A2})$$

in the half Brillouin zone $k \in (0, \pi]$, where the spinor operator is $\Psi_k^\dagger = (c_{k1}^\dagger, c_{k2}^\dagger, c_{-k1}, c_{-k2})$, and the Bloch Hamiltonian is in the matrix form

the eigenequation

$$\mathbb{H}_k \Phi_k = \varepsilon_k \Phi_k, \quad (\text{B1})$$

we obtain the following quartic equation concerning the eigenvalue

$$\varepsilon_k^4 + \varepsilon_k^2[-2|A_k|^2 - 2|B_k|^2 - 2(h - \Omega \cos k)^2] \quad (\text{B2})$$

$$(h - \Omega \cos k)^4 + 2(h - \Omega \cos k)^2(|B_k|^2 - |A_k|^2) \quad (\text{B3})$$

$$+(B_k^2 - A_k^2)[(B_k^*)^2 - A_k^{*2}] = 0 \quad (\text{B4})$$

with

$$A_k = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha e^{ik}), \quad \text{and} \quad B_k = \frac{1}{2}\gamma(1 - \alpha e^{ik}). \quad (\text{B5})$$

By setting

$$P = 2|A_k|^2 + 2|B_k|^2 + 2(h - \Omega \cos k)^2, \quad (\text{B6})$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q = & (h - \Omega \cos k)^4 + 2(h - \Omega \cos k)^2(|B_k|^2 - |A_k|^2) \\ & + (B_k^2 - A_k^2)[(B_k^*)^2 - A_k^{*2}], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B7})$$

we simplify the quartic equation in the form

$$\varepsilon_k^4 + P\varepsilon_k^2 + Q = 0, \quad (\text{B8})$$

and obtain the solutions by

$$\varepsilon_k = \pm \sqrt{\frac{P \pm \sqrt{P^2 - 4Q}}{2}}. \quad (\text{B9})$$

Appendix C: Method to calculate the order parameters

The Hamiltonian (1) is turned into a quadratic form in real space

$$H = \sum_{mn=1}^N [c_m^\dagger A_{mn} c_n + \frac{1}{2}(c_m^\dagger B_{mn} c_n^\dagger + h.c.)], \quad (\text{C1})$$

with

$$A_{mn} = -h\delta_{mn} - \frac{J_n}{2}\delta_{m,n+1} - \frac{J_m}{2}\delta_{m+1,n} + \frac{\Omega}{2}(\delta_{m,n+2} + \delta_{m+2,n}), \quad (\text{C2})$$

and

$$B_{mn} = -\frac{J_m}{2}\gamma\delta_{m+1,n} + \frac{J_n}{2}\gamma\delta_{m,n+1}. \quad (\text{C3})$$

Using the Bogoliubov transformation

$$\eta_m = \sum_n \left(\frac{\phi_{mn} + \psi_{mn}}{2} c_n + \frac{\phi_{mn} - \psi_{mn}}{2} c_n^\dagger \right), \quad (\text{C4})$$

$$\eta_m^\dagger = \sum_n \left(\frac{\phi_{mn} + \psi_{mn}}{2} c_n^\dagger + \frac{\phi_{mn} - \psi_{mn}}{2} c_n \right), \quad (\text{C5})$$

the Hamiltonian is reduced to the diagonal form in real space

$$H = \sum_m \Lambda_m (\eta_m^\dagger \eta_m - \frac{1}{2}), \quad (\text{C6})$$

where Λ_m is the excitation energy of the m -th quasi-particle mode. Both ϕ_{mn} and ψ_{mn} can be obtained by solving the coupled equations

$$\Lambda_m \phi_{mn} = \sum_n \psi_{mn} (A_{nm} + B_{nm}), \quad (\text{C7})$$

$$\Lambda_m \psi_{mn} = \sum_n \psi_{mn} (A_{nm} - B_{nm}). \quad (\text{C8})$$

By defining

$$U = \frac{\phi + \psi}{2}, \quad (\text{C9})$$

$$V = \frac{\phi - \psi}{2}, \quad (\text{C10})$$




FIG. 12. The average transverse spin-spin correlation functions TC_{odd} and TC_{even} as functions of the external field h for (a) $\Omega = 0.2$, and for (b) $\Omega = 0.4$, with fixed $\alpha = 0.2$ and $\gamma = 0.2$.

we have

$$c_m^\dagger c_n^\dagger = \sum_{jj'} (U_{jm} U_{j'n} \eta_j^\dagger \eta_{j'}^\dagger + U_{jm} V_{j'n} \eta_j^\dagger \eta_{j'} + V_{jm} U_{j'n} \eta_j \eta_{j'}^\dagger + V_{jm} V_{j'n} c_j c_{j'}), \quad (\text{C11})$$

$$c_m c_n = \sum_{jj'} (U_{jm} U_{j'n} \eta_j \eta_{j'} + U_{jm} V_{j'n} \eta_j \eta_{j'}^\dagger + V_{jm} U_{j'n} \eta_j^\dagger \eta_{j'} + V_{jm} V_{j'n} c_j^\dagger c_{j'}), \quad (\text{C12})$$

$$c_m^\dagger c_n = \sum_{jj'} (U_{jm} U_{j'n} \eta_j^\dagger \eta_{j'} + U_{jm} V_{j'n} \eta_j^\dagger \eta_{j'}^\dagger + V_{jm} U_{j'n} \eta_j \eta_{j'} + V_{jm} V_{j'n} c_j c_{j'}^\dagger), \quad (\text{C13})$$

and

$$c_m c_n^\dagger = \sum_{jj'} (U_{jm} U_{j'n} \eta_j \eta_{j'}^\dagger + U_{jm} V_{j'n} \eta_j \eta_{j'} + V_{jm} U_{j'n} \eta_j^\dagger \eta_{j'}^\dagger + V_{jm} V_{j'n} c_j^\dagger c_{j'}). \quad (\text{C14})$$

We thus obtain

$$G_{mn} = \langle (c_m^\dagger - c_m)(c_n^\dagger + c_n) \rangle = - \sum_j \psi_{jm} \phi_{jn}. \quad (\text{C15})$$

Appendix D: Case of the dimerized lattice

Now, we show more evidence that the spins within a supercell point to the opposite direction along the external field in the dimerized limits. In Fig. 12, we show the average transverse spin-spin correlation functions TC_{odd} and TC_{even} as functions of the external field h for $\alpha = \frac{J_0 - J_1}{J_0 + J_1} = 0.2$. It can be seen that, as compared to the results of $\alpha = 0.5$ (see Fig. 8), the correlation

functions TC_{odd} and TC_{even} show the clearer plateaus in the ZM phase, corresponding to $TC_{\text{odd}} \approx -0.998 \approx -1$ and $TC_{\text{even}} \approx 0$. The results indicate that in the dimerized limit, the spins within a supercell construct an anti-

paramagnetic cluster in the ZM phase, and the spins between the nearest-neighbor supercells have no correlations, corresponding to the random distribution of the supercells.

-
- * mzhong@njnu.edu.cn
† pqtong@njnu.edu.cn
- ¹ S. Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge University Press, 2011).
 - ² H. T. Diep, *Frustrated Spin Systems*, 2nd ed. (WORLD SCIENTIFIC, 2013).
 - ³ T. Senthil, *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **6**, 299 (2015).
 - ⁴ S. Ryu, *Physica Scripta* **2015**, 014009 (2015).
 - ⁵ G. Zhang and Z. Song, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 177204 (2015).
 - ⁶ X.-G. Wen, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **89**, 041004 (2017).
 - ⁷ P. Anderson, *Materials Research Bulletin* **8**, 153 (1973).
 - ⁸ X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 165113 (2002).
 - ⁹ P. A. Lee, N. Nagaosa, and X.-G. Wen, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **78**, 17 (2006).
 - ¹⁰ P. A. Lee, *Science* **321**, 1306 (2008), <https://www.science.org/doi/pdf/10.1126/science.1163196>.
 - ¹¹ L. Balents, *Nature* **464**, 199 (2010).
 - ¹² L. Savary and L. Balents, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **80**, 016502 (2016).
 - ¹³ E. Zhao and W. V. Liu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 160403 (2008).
 - ¹⁴ C. Wu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 200406 (2008).
 - ¹⁵ J. c. v. Chaloupka, G. Jackeli, and G. Khaliullin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 027204 (2010).
 - ¹⁶ K. Wohlfeld, M. Daghofer, S. Nishimoto, G. Khaliullin, and J. van den Brink, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 147201 (2011).
 - ¹⁷ W. Witczak-Krempa, G. Chen, Y. B. Kim, and L. Balents, *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **5**, 57 (2014).
 - ¹⁸ W. Brzezicki, A. M. Oleś, and M. Cuoco, *Phys. Rev. X* **5**, 011037 (2015).
 - ¹⁹ M. Hermanns, I. Kimchi, and J. Knolle, *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **9**, 17 (2018).
 - ²⁰ B. J. Kim, H. Ohsumi, T. Komesu, S. Sakai, T. Morita, H. Takagi, and T. Arima, *Science* **323**, 1329 (2009).
 - ²¹ V. Galitski and I. B. Spielman, *Nature* **494**, 49 (2013).
 - ²² H. Takagi, T. Takayama, G. Jackeli, G. Khaliullin, and S. E. Nagler, *Nature Reviews Physics* **1**, 264 (2019).
 - ²³ C. Broholm, R. J. Cava, S. A. Kivelson, D. G. Nocera, M. R. Norman, and T. Senthil, *Science* **367**, eaay0668 (2020).
 - ²⁴ T. Siskens, H. Capel, and K. Gaemers, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **79**, 259 (1975).
 - ²⁵ J. Perk and H. Capel, *Physics Letters A* **58**, 115 (1976).
 - ²⁶ O. Derzhko, T. Verkholyak, T. Krokhumalskii, and H. Büttner, *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 214407 (2006).
 - ²⁷ R. Jafari, M. Kargarian, A. Langari, and M. Siahatgar, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 214414 (2008).
 - ²⁸ I. Dzyaloshinsky, *Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids* **4**, 241 (1958).
 - ²⁹ T. Moriya, *Phys. Rev.* **120**, 91 (1960).
 - ³⁰ A. Chandran and C. R. Laumann, *Phys. Rev. X* **7**, 031061 (2017).
 - ³¹ P. J. D. Crowley, A. Chandran, and C. R. Laumann, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 175702 (2018).
 - ³² U. Divakaran, *Phys. Rev. E* **98**, 032110 (2018).
 - ³³ P. Tong and M. Zhong, *Physica B: Condensed Matter* **304**, 91 (2001).
 - ³⁴ P. Tong and M. Zhong, *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 064421 (2002).
 - ³⁵ P. Tong and X. Liu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 017201 (2006).
 - ³⁶ M. Zhong and P. Tong, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and theoretical* **43**, 505302 (2010).
 - ³⁷ D. Gottlieb and J. Rössler, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 9232 (1999).
 - ³⁸ I. Titvinidze and G. I. Japaridze, *The European Physical Journal B - Condensed Matter* **32**, 383 (2003).
 - ³⁹ P. Lou, W.-C. Wu, and M.-C. Chang, *Phys. Rev. B* **70**, 064405 (2004).
 - ⁴⁰ T. Krokhumalskii, O. Derzhko, J. Stolze, and T. Verkholyak, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 174404 (2008).
 - ⁴¹ W. W. Cheng and J.-M. Liu, *Phys. Rev. A* **81**, 044304 (2010).
 - ⁴² V. Derzhko, O. Derzhko, and J. Richter, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 174428 (2011).
 - ⁴³ Y.-C. Li and H.-Q. Lin, *Phys. Rev. A* **83**, 052323 (2011).
 - ⁴⁴ X. Liu, M. Zhong, H. Xu, and P. Tong, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2012**, P01003 (2012).
 - ⁴⁵ O. Menchyshyn, V. Ohanyan, T. Verkholyak, T. Krokhumalskii, and O. Derzhko, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 184427 (2015).
 - ⁴⁶ W.-L. You, Y.-C. Qiu, and A. M. Oleś, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 214417 (2016).
 - ⁴⁷ J. Perk, H. Capel, M. Zuilhof, and T. Siskens, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **81**, 319 (1975).
 - ⁴⁸ T. Siskens, H. Capel, and J. Perk, *Physics Letters A* **53**, 21 (1975).
 - ⁴⁹ S. Suzuki, J.-i. Inoue, and B. K. Chakrabarti, “Transverse Ising chain (pure system),” in *Quantum Ising Phases and Transitions in Transverse Ising Models* (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013) pp. 13–46.
 - ⁵⁰ E. Lieb, T. Schultz, and D. Mattis, *Annals of Physics* **16**, 407 (1961).
 - ⁵¹ M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information: 10th Anniversary Edition*, 10th ed. (Cambridge University Press, USA, 2011).
 - ⁵² L. Amico, R. Fazio, A. Osterloh, and V. Vedral, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 517 (2008).
 - ⁵³ G. Vidal, J. I. Latorre, E. Rico, and A. Kitaev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 227902 (2003).
 - ⁵⁴ P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2004**, P06002 (2004).
 - ⁵⁵ A. R. Its, B.-Q. Jin, and V. E. Korepin, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **38**, 2975 (2005).
 - ⁵⁶ I. Peschel, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2004**, P12005 (2004).