

# Approaching the forbidden phase transition in the Ising model, III: Hidden frustration, continuous marginal phase transition, and the minimal model

Weiguo Yin\*

*Condensed Matter Physics and Materials Science Division,  
Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA*

(Dated: January 3, 2024)

The laws that govern the nature often set certain limits or bans. Approaching those limits is a common paradigm of breakthroughs in human knowledge and technological application, such as time slowing to a stop as one approaches the speed of light, electrons flowing freely with no resistance as one approaches the absolute zero, and an event horizon arising as one approaches a black hole. A well-known ban concerning the statistical mechanics of cooperative phenomena, such as ice melting into water, is the nonexistence of phase transition at finite temperature in the Ising model with short-range interactions in one dimension. Yet, little is known about whether this forbidden transition could be approached arbitrarily closely at fixed finite temperature. To explore such asymptoticity, the notion of marginal phase transition (MPT) was introduced recently, and both the spontaneous MPT and the field-induced MPT were discovered respectively in ladder and single-chain Ising models decorated with geometric frustration. Here I push the limit down to the minimal single-chain Ising model—without geometric frustration—in a magnetic field; then, a hidden frustration is revealed to drive the first-order MPT with large latent heat. Furthermore, as one approaches the zero field, MPT appears continuous with no latent heat, displays abrupt change in sublattice magnetization and a kink-like minimum in overall magnetization with temperature, and vanishes when the field drops below a threshold. These findings deepen our understanding of cooperative phenomena via the doubly forbidden and open new avenues to the design and engineering of the Ising systems with the different types of MPT for exploring exotic phenomena and low-dimensional device applications.

---

\* wyin@bnl.gov

The Ising model with two-valued spins was invented one century ago by Wilhelm Lenz [1] in order to provide a mathematically tractable description of the magnetic phase transition in ferromagnetic materials. His PhD student Ernst Ising solved the model exactly for the spins localized on a one-dimensional (1D) single chain but found no phase transition [2]. In fact, the Perron–Frobenius theorem implied the nonexistence of any phase transition in 1D Ising models with short-range interactions [3]. Despite this initial upset, the Ising model has gained its popularity by being capable of describing any two-value system, eg. neural networks with neurons being either open or close [4], elections with two candidates, etc., serving as a basic model for cooperative phenomena in various physical, biological, economical, and social systems [5], for NP problems in computer science [6], and for textbooks in statistical mechanics [7–10]. Very recently, it was discovered [11–13] that the forbidden transition in the 1D Ising model can be approached arbitrarily closely at fixed finite temperature  $T_0$  in decorated ladder and single-chain Ising models—with strong geometric frustration—by making the crossover width  $2\delta T$  exponentially narrower and narrower ( $\delta T \rightarrow 0$  means a genuine transition), resulting in an ultra-narrow phase crossover with large latent heat that would be characterized as a genuine first-order phase transition in routine lab measurement. The notion of marginal phase transition (MPT) was introduced to describe such asymptoticity. Since the spontaneous (zero-field) MPT is banned in decorated single-chain Ising models by symmetry [11], it is as intriguing to explore how close one can get to the spontaneous MPT in decorated single-chain Ising models; the quest for such marginally spontaneous marginal phase transition (MSMPT) is relevant to the desired weak-field device application. Here I present the minimal model for MPT to reveal without any ambiguity new exotic physics including hidden frustration from no geometric frustration and the second-order-like MPT without latent heat. Thanks to its simple structure, the minimal model should also be attractive to materializing the different types of the MPT phenomena for 1D device application.

The minimal model for MPT is the minimally decorated single-chain Ising model given by  $H = H_a + H_b$ , where

$$H_a = -J \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} - h\mu_a \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i, \quad (1)$$

$$H_b = -J_1 \sum_{i=1}^N (\sigma_i + \sigma_{i+1}) b_i - h\mu_b \sum_{i=1}^N b_i. \quad (2)$$

As shown in Fig. 1a,  $H_a$  describes the backbone of the single chain with  $\sigma_i = \pm 1$  (green balls, referred to as *type-a* spins) and the  $J > 0$  ferromagnetic (FM) interaction (red bonds). This is

the model originally studied by Ising [2, 7–10].  $H_b$  describes the decorated parts, where  $b_i = \pm 1$  (grey balls, referred to as *type-b* spins) bridges the  $i$ th and  $(i + 1)$ th type-a spins with the antiferromagnetic (AF) interaction  $J_1 < 0$ .  $h$  depicts the magnetic field,  $\mu_a$  and  $\mu_b$  the magnetic moments of type-a and type-b spins, respectively.  $N$  is the total number of the unit cell and  $\sigma_{N+1} \equiv \sigma_1$ ,  $b_{N+1} \equiv b_1$  (i.e., the periodic boundary condition).

It is crucial to note that this minimal model with only one decorated Ising spin per unit cell contains no geometric frustration in the sense that the AF  $J_1$  and the FM  $J$  interactions can be satisfied simultaneously by a proper arrangement of the spins [14], as shown in Fig. 1a. In sharp contrast, the decorations in the previous studies of the magnetic-field-induced pseudo-transition (Refs. 15 and 16 and references therein) in decorated Ising single chains—which will be transformed to the first-order MPT by the FM  $J > 0$  term [13]—and the spontaneous MPT in decorated Ising ladders [11, 12, 17] were done to create such geometric frustration that the system’s first low-lying excited state has much higher (macroscopic) degeneracy and just slightly higher energy than the ground state, then an entropy-driven crossover between them would occur at finite temperature [18]. Thus, the design of the ultra-narrow phase crossover is normally carried out by first computing the zero-temperature phase diagram in which the aforementioned two states have their own stable regions and then placing the system near the phase boundary between the two states and on the side with less entropy [13, 16]. However, as shown in Fig. 1d, the zero-temperature phase diagram in the  $h - J$  plane of the present minimal model contains three stable phases but none of them has macroscopic degeneracy; therefore, the crossover between them as temperature changes will be broad if any. The two special cases of (1)  $\mu_a = \mu_b$ , both  $J < 0$  and  $J > 0$  [19], and (2)  $\mu_a \neq \mu_b$ ,  $J = 0$  [20, 21] (Figs. 2a- 2c) were examined before and no sign of MPT was found even for AF  $J < 0$  with geometric frustration (Fig. 1b). Therefore, the Ising diamond chain with more complicated setup of geometric frustration (Fig. 1c) was considered as the simplest model for pseudo-transition [13, 16]. To conclude, it would be surprising that the present minimal model without geometric frustration (for FM  $J > 0$ ) can display MPT.

Surprise happens. Mathematically, a phase transition demands that the system’s free energy be nonanalytic. The free energy  $f(T)$  of the 1D Ising model with short-range interactions, where  $T$  denotes temperature, is analytic and thus does not support a mathematically genuine phase transition. The essence of the MPT is to utilize the mathematical expression

$$|g(T)| = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sqrt{g^2(T) + \epsilon^2}, \quad (3)$$

i.e., use the analytic function  $\sqrt{g^2(T) + \epsilon^2}$  to approach the nonanalytic function  $|g(T)|$  arbitrarily closely by making  $\epsilon$  smaller and smaller, yielding an ultra-narrow phase crossover with large latent heat that would be practically characterized as a genuine first-order phase transition in routine lab measurements [11]. The asymptoticity of Eq. (3) to the first-order MPT has two requirements: (1)  $g(T)$  changes sign at  $T = T_0$ , which defines the crossover temperature, and (2)  $\epsilon$  is negligible outside the temperature region  $(T_0 - \delta, T_0 + \delta T)$ , which defines the crossover width as  $2\delta T$ . It was shown [11, 12] that  $T_0$  is independent of  $J$  and the FM  $J > 0$  term can reduce  $\epsilon$  and  $\delta T$  exponentially for fixed  $T_0$ , giving rise to the MPT for sufficiently large  $J$ , including transforming all the previously studied systems with pseudo-transition into the MPT cases [13]. Besides, the order parameter that allows for fast and accurate identification of MPT was identified, which is  $\langle \sigma_i \rangle = -\frac{\partial f}{h \partial \mu_a}$  the magnetization of the type-a spins for  $h \neq 0$ . Now take a close look at Fig. 2a for  $J = 0$ , there is the  $\langle \sigma_i \rangle = 0$  line and  $\langle \sigma_i \rangle$  changes sign as  $T$  crosses the line, which determines  $T_0$  by

$$e^{4\beta_0 J_1} = \frac{\sinh[\beta_0 h(\mu_b - \mu_a)]}{\sinh[\beta_0 h(\mu_b + \mu_a)]}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\beta_0 = 1/(k_B T_0)$  with  $k_B$  being the Boltzmann constant (see the technical details in Supplemental Information). Eq. (4) has a real solution for  $\mu_b > \mu_a > 0$ ,  $J_1 < 0$ , and  $|h| < h_c$  where  $h_c \equiv 2(-J_1)/\mu_a$ . Clearly,  $T_0$  is independent of  $J$ . The problem is that the crossover width  $2\delta T(J)$  is too broad for  $J = 0$  (Fig. 2a), but again it can be exponentially narrowed by  $J > 0$  in the form of  $2\delta T(J) = e^{-2\beta_0 J} 2\delta T(J = 0)$ , as shown in Fig. 2d, resulting in an ultra-narrow crossover at  $T_0$  from  $\langle \sigma_i \rangle = -1$  to  $+1$ .

What is the underlying mechanism of this unexpected MPT? As shown in Fig. 2h, a sharp jump in entropy of about  $k_B \ln 2$  per unit cell occurs within the ultra-narrow crossover for  $h = h_f$  where  $h_f \equiv 2(-J_1)/\mu_b = h_c \mu_a / \mu_b$ , resembling a genuine first-order phase transition. This means that one spin per unit cell is decoupled from the spin system and the field. The zero-temperature critical point at  $h = h_c$  (the solid circle in Fig. 1d and pointed by the yellow arrow in Fig. 2a) was recently emphasized as the “half-fire half-ice” state in ferrimagnet-like systems where the type-b spins are fully aligned by the field due to  $|\mu_b| > |\mu_a|$  while the type-a spins are decoupled from the spin system and the field [20]. However, this exotic state does not survive finite  $J$ . Now we consider the opposite at  $h = h_f < h_c$ , namely the “half-ice half-fire” state where the type-a spins are fully aligned by the field together with the strong  $J > 0$  while the type-b spins are decoupled from the spin system and the field (the open circle at  $h_f$  in Fig. 1d and Fig. 2e). This new exotic state is an



excited state everywhere in the zero-temperature phase diagram and is thus hidden. Yet, it survives for all  $J > 0$  and emerges as the driving force of the new type of frustration-driven MPT.

This mechanism is clearly demonstrated by the exotic behavior of  $\langle b_i \rangle = -\frac{\partial f}{h \partial \mu_b}$  the magnetization of the type-b spins. For  $J = 0$ ,  $\langle b_i \rangle$  is uninterestingly always positive, a slave of the magnetic field (Fig. 2b). For sufficiently large  $J > 0$ , outside the ultra-narrow crossover region,

$$\langle b_i \rangle \approx \begin{cases} \tanh[\beta(h\mu_b - 2J_1)] > 0 & \text{for } T < T_0. \\ \tanh[\beta(h\mu_b + 2J_1)] & \text{for } T > T_0, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where  $\beta = 1/(k_B T)$ . For  $T > T_0$ , there are three distinct regions for the MPT (Fig. 2e): (i)  $h_f < h < h_c$  where  $\langle b_i \rangle > 0$ , i.e., the type-a spins flip but the type-b spins do not at the MPT, (ii)  $0 < h < h_f$  where  $\langle b_i \rangle < 0$ , i.e., both the type-a and type-b spin flip at the MPT, and (iii)  $h = h_f$  where  $\langle b_i \rangle = 0$ , i.e., the type-a spins flip but the type-b spins are decoupled from the spin system and the field (inferred together with information about the entropy jump  $\Delta S$  of about  $k_B \ln 2$  per unit cell). Note that the flipping of the type-b spins at  $T_0$  for  $0 < h < h_f$  also means the existence of the  $\langle b_i \rangle = 0$  line within the ultra-narrow crossover. The temperature evolution of  $\Delta S$ ,  $\langle b_i \rangle$ , and the system's magnetization per unit cell  $m = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial h} = \mu_a \langle \sigma_i \rangle + \mu_b \langle b_i \rangle$  in these three regions are further shown in Figs. 3a-3c.

The density plots of  $\Delta S$ ,  $T_0$ , and  $\delta T(J = 0)$  in the  $h/h_c - \mu_a/\mu_b$  plane are shown in Figs. 3d-3f, respectively. The largest value of  $\Delta S$  is along the  $h/h_c = \mu_a/\mu_b$  line, which manifests that the hidden ‘‘half-ice half-fire’’ frustrated state developed from  $h = h_f$  and  $T = 0$  is indeed the driving force of the above MPT, which resembles the genuine first-order phase transition with large latent heat. Besides, it seems to show that this type of MPT is difficult to be realized for small  $\mu_a/\mu_b$  or weak  $h$ . We can avoid small  $\mu_a/\mu_b$  but weak  $h$  is desirable for device application, so it deserves further investigation.

Now we arrive at dealing with the doubly banned zero-field transition in the decorated single-chain Ising models, which is prohibited not only by thermal fluctuations but also by symmetry (namely the Ising model for  $h = 0$  is invariant with respect to flipping all the spins). This means that we are searching for marginally spontaneous marginal phase transition (MSMPT). Figs. 3a and 3d indicate that the MSMPT, if any, would appear ‘‘continuous’’ in the sense that no abrupt change in entropy takes place (eg., for  $h < 0.01$  in Fig. 3d, unlike the entropy jump for larger  $h$ ). Note that MPT is always mathematically continuous; here whether the MPT is of the first-order or ‘‘continuous’’ means whether a large change in entropy could occur in an ultra-narrow  $T$  region, which experimentally corresponds to whether considerable latent heat could be observed.

Eq. (4) in the weak field limit of  $h \rightarrow 0$  becomes

$$k_B T_0 \rightarrow 4J_1 \left[ \ln \frac{1 - \mu_a/\mu_b}{1 + \mu_a/\mu_b} \right]^{-1}, \quad (6)$$

which grows quickly as  $\mu_a/\mu_b$  approaches zero (Fig. 4a) but it changes mildly for a large range of  $\mu_a/\mu_b$  (see the inset of Fig. 4a). This is good news for the  $e^{-2\beta_0 J}$  factor, recalling  $\beta_0 = 1/(k_B T_0)$ , that acts to make  $\delta T$  ultra-narrow. For  $h \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\delta T(h, J) \rightarrow \frac{e^{-2\beta_0 J}}{h} \frac{(k_B T_0)^3}{k_B (-2J_1) [(\mu_b - \mu_a)(\mu_b + \mu_a)]^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (7)$$

which grows with  $1/h$  in the form of  $\delta T(h, J) = \delta T(1, 0)e^{-2\beta_0 J}/h$ . As shown in Figs. 4b-4d and compared with Figs. 3b and Figs. 3c, the jumps in the sublattice magnetization and the overall magnetization still exist at  $T_0$  until lost for sufficiently weak  $h$ . Suppose  $2\Delta T$  is the targeted crossover width such as the resolution of a measuring instrument, i.e.,  $2\delta T(h, J) \leq 2\Delta T$ , we get  $h \geq \delta T(1, 0)e^{-2\beta_0 J}/\Delta T$ , i.e., there exists a threshold field  $h_0(J) \equiv \delta T(1, 0)e^{-2\beta_0 J}/\Delta T$ , below which the MSMPT vanishes. On the other hand, since  $h_0$  is exponentially reduced by  $J$ , increasing  $J$  can revive the jumps (red dashed line in Figs. 4b-4d) and the MSMPT, which appears continuous with no latent heat, displays abrupt change in sublattice magnetization and a kink-like minimum in overall magnetization with temperature, and vanishes again when the field drops below a new threshold for that  $J$ . In terms of the fundamental Eq. (3),  $g(T)$  is so small that  $\epsilon$  is not negligible for all temperature regions when  $|h| < h_0$ . It seems that the ban by the symmetry forcing  $g(T)$  to be that small is stronger than the ban by thermal fluctuations. The zero-field phase transition in decorated single-chain Ising models is fenced at  $|h| = h_0(J)$  and approaching the fence let one experience the change from the first-order MPT to the continuous MPT.

It is noteworthy that we have confined the above discussion for  $\mu_b > \mu_a > 0$ . Actually, the minimal model is invariant under the transformations that satisfy  $\mu_a \mu_b J_1 < 0$  and  $|\mu_b| > |\mu_a|$ . For example,  $\mu_b = -3$  and  $\mu_a = 2$  with FM  $J_1$  were recently realized in the mixed  $3d - 5d$  copper-iridium oxide  $\text{Sr}_3\text{CuIrO}_6$  [20, 22]. Thanks to its simple structure, the minimal model should also be attractive to materializing the MPT phenomena for 1D device application. The model without geometric frustration can be easily generalized, as shown in Fig. 5. Therefore, the ways to realize the different types of MPT are abundant, not to mention their unlimited possibility of being combined with the decoration with geometric frustration.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is grateful to D. C. Mattis for mailing him a copy of Ref. [7] as a gift and the inspiration over the years. Brookhaven National Laboratory was supported by U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Basic Energy Sciences (BES) Division of Materials Sciences and Engineering under contract No. DE-SC0012704.


- 
- [1] Lenz, W. Beitrag zum verständnis der magnetischen erscheinungen in festen körpern (contribution to understanding of magnetic phenomena in solids). *Physikalische Zeitschrift* **21**, 613–615 (1920). URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:125879641>.
- [2] Ising, E. Beitrag zur theorie des ferromagnetismus (contribution to theory of ferromagnetism). *Zeitschrift für Physik* **31**, 253–258 (1925). URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02980577>.
- [3] Cuesta, J. A. & Sánchez, A. General non-existence theorem for phase transitions in one-dimensional systems with short range interactions, and physical examples of such transitions. *Journal of Statistical Physics* **115**, 869–893 (2004). URL <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOSS.0000022373.63640.4e>.
- [4] Schneidman, E., Berry, M. J., Segev, R. & Bialek, W. Weak pairwise correlations imply strongly correlated network states in a neural population. *Nature* **440**, 1007–1012 (2006). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature04701>.
- [5] Niss, M. History of the lenz-ising model 1920-1950: From ferromagnetic to cooperative phenomena. *Archive for History of Exact Sciences* **59**, 267–318 (2005). URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00407-004-0088-3>.
- [6] Lucas, A. Ising formulations of many np problems. *Frontiers in Physics* **2** (2014). URL <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphy.2014.00005>.
- [7] Mattis, D. C. & Swendsen, R. *Statistical Mechanics Made Simple* (WORLD SCIENTIFIC, 2008), 2nd edn. URL <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/6670>.  
<https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/pdf/10.1142/6670>.
- [8] Mattis, D. C. *The Theory of Magnetism II* (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1985). URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-82405-0>.
- [9] Huang, K. *Statistical mechanics* (John Wiley & Sons, 2008).

- [10] Baxter, R. J. *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics* (Academic Press, 1982).
- [11] Yin, W. A paradigm of spontaneous marginal phase transition at finite temperature in one-dimensional ladder ising models. *arXiv:2006.08921* (2020). URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.08921>.
- [12] Yin, W. Finding and classifying an infinite number of cases of the practically perfect phase transitions with highly tunable novel properties in the ising model in one dimension. *arXiv:2006.15087* (2020). URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.15087>.
- [13] Yin, W. Marginal phase transition in decorated single-chain ising models. *arXiv:2312.11722* (2023). URL <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2312.11722>.
- [14] Balents, L. Spin liquids in frustrated magnets. *Nature* **464**, 199–208 (2010). URL <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08917>.
- [15] Krokhmalkii, T., Hutak, T., Rojas, O., de Souza, S. M. & Derzhko, O. Towards low-temperature peculiarities of thermodynamic quantities for decorated spin chains. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **573**, 125986 (2021). URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378437121002582>.
- [16] Strečka, J. *Pseudo-critical behavior of spin-1/2 ising diamond and tetrahedral chains*, 63–86 (Nova Science Publishers, New York, 2020). URL "<https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.06942>". Preprint on arXiv:2002.06942.
- [17] Hutak, T., Krokhmalkii, T., Rojas, O., Martins de Souza, S. & Derzhko, O. Low-temperature thermodynamics of the two-leg ladder ising model with trimer rungs: A mystery explained. *Physics Letters A* **387**, 127020 (2021). URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0375960120308872>.
- [18] Miyashita, S. Phase transition in spin systems with various types of fluctuations. *Proceedings of the Japan Academy. Series B, Physical and biological sciences* **86**, 643–666 (2010). URL <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3066537/>.
- [19] Stephenson, J. Two one-dimensional ising models with disorder points. *Canadian Journal of Physics* **48**, 1724–1734 (1970). URL <https://doi.org/10.1139/p70-217>. <https://doi.org/10.1139/p70-217>.
- [20] Yin, W.-G., Roth, C. R. & Tselik, A. M. Spin frustration and a ‘half fire, half ice’ critical point from nonuniform g-factors. *arXiv:1510.00030* (2015). URL <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1510.00030>.
- [21] Bell, G. M. Ising ferrimagnetic models. i. *Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics* **7**, 1174 (1974).

URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0022-3719/7/6/016>.

- [22] Yin, W.-G. *et al.* Ferromagnetic exchange anisotropy from antiferromagnetic superexchange in the mixed  $3d - 5d$  transition-metal compound  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Cu}_2\text{IrO}_6$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 057202 (2013). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.057202>.

**Author Information** The author declares no competing interests. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to W.Y. (wyin@bnl.gov).



**FIG. 1. Schematic diagrams of decorated Ising chains and the zero-temperature phase diagram.** (a) The minimal model, which consists of the original Ising spins (green balls) coupled by the FM interaction  $J > 0$  (red bonds) and the decorated Ising spins (grey balls) coupled to the backbone by the AF interaction  $J_1 < 0$  (grey bonds). Their magnetic moments are  $\mu_a$  and  $\mu_b$ , respectively. The arrows pointing up or down means the spin value of  $+1$  or  $-1$ . (b) The same as (a) except for  $J < 0$  [19]. The triangles made by three AF bonds are the most typical construction of geometric frustration, as the third spin marked by “?” [14]. (c) The Ising diamond chain with more complicated geometric frustration [13, 16]. (d) The zero-temperature phase diagram in the  $h - J$  plane of the minimal model for  $J_1 = -1$ ,  $\mu_a = 1$ , and  $\mu_b = 4/3$ . The shorthand notation: Ferri1 - the standard ferrimagnetic phase, Ferri2 - the second ferrimagnetic phase, SPP - the saturated paramagnetic phase. The solid circle is the critical point hosting the “half-fire half ice” state, which does not survive as  $J$  increases. The open circle and dashed line host the hidden “half-ice half fire” excited state.




FIG. 2. **The density plots of  $\langle \sigma_i \rangle$ ,  $\langle s_i \rangle$ , and the magnetization  $\mu_a \langle \sigma_i \rangle + \mu_b \langle b_i \rangle$  in the  $h - T$  plane.** Top panels: (a)-(c) for  $J = 0$ . Bottom panels: (d)-(f) for  $J = 20$ . The other model parameters are  $J_1 = -1$ ,  $\mu_a = 1$ , and  $\mu_b = 4/3$ , resulting in  $h_c = 2$  and  $h_f = 1.5$ . The black line in (a),(d),(e) denotes the contour of zero value: In (a), the  $\langle \sigma_i \rangle = 0$  line defines  $T_0$  the phase boundary in (d) by Eq. (4); the yellow arrow points to the zero-temperature critical point at  $h = h_c$  hosting the “half-fire, half-ice” state. In (e), the vertical line  $\langle b_i \rangle = 0$  at  $h = h_f$  and above the phase boundary line hosts the opposite “half-ice, half fire” state, originates from the hidden frustration, and divides the region above  $T=T_0$  in half where the decorated spins flip for  $h < h_f$ . The temperature dependence of (g)  $\langle \sigma_i \rangle$ , (h) entropy, and (i) specific heat for several  $J$ .




FIG. 3. The temperature dependence of (a) entropy, (b)  $\langle b_i \rangle$ , and the magnetization  $\mu_a \langle \sigma_i \rangle + \mu_b \langle b_i \rangle$  for  $\mu_b = 4/3$ ,  $J = 20$  and several  $h$ 's. The density plots in the  $h/h_c - \mu_a/\mu_b$  plane of (d)  $\Delta S$  the entropy jump at  $T_0$  in the unit of  $k_B \ln 2$  per unit cell for  $J = 100$ , (e)  $T_0$ , and (f)  $\delta T$  for  $J = 0$ . The other model parameters are  $J_1 = -1$  and  $\mu_a = 1$ ;  $h_c \equiv 2(-J_1)/\mu_a = 2$ .






FIG. 4. **Approaching the zero field.** (a)  $T_0$  as a function of  $\mu_a/\mu_b$  for  $h = 0$ . The temperature dependence of (b)  $\langle \sigma_i \rangle$ , (c)  $\langle b_i \rangle$ , and (d) the system's magnetization  $\mu_a \langle \sigma_i \rangle + \mu_b \langle b_i \rangle$ .  $J = 20$ ,  $J_1 = -1$ ,  $\mu_a = 1$ , and  $\mu_b = 4/3$  unless specified.




FIG. 5. **Schematic diagrams of several other decorated Ising chains without geometric frustration.** (a) Ferrimagnet with the type-b spins replaced by  $S > \frac{1}{2}$  spins. (b) All the spins form the abbabbabb... pattern with the a-a and b-b interactions being FM and a-b interaction being AF. This model for  $J = 0$  was studied in Ref. [21]. (c) Replica of the type-b spins. (d) Any combination of the aforementioned decorations.