

**Debye temperature, electron-phonon coupling constant, and microcrystalline strain  
in highly compressed  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$**

Evgeny F. Talantsev<sup>1,2</sup> and Vasily V. Chistyakov<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>M.N. Miheev Institute of Metal Physics, Ural Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences,  
18, S. Kovalevskoy St., Ekaterinburg, 620108, Russia

<sup>2</sup>NANOTECH Centre, Ural Federal University, 19 Mira St., Ekaterinburg, 620002,  
Russia

**Abstract**

Recently Sun *et al.* (*Nature* **621**, 493 (2023)) reported on the discovery of high-temperature superconductivity in highly compressed  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ . In addition to ongoing studies of the phase structural transition, pairing mechanism, and other properties/parameters in this highly pressurized nickelate, here explore a possibility for the electron-phonon pairing mechanism in the  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ . To do this, we analyzed experimental data on temperature dependent resistance,  $R(T)$ , and extracted pressure dependent Debye temperature,  $\Theta_D(P)$ , for the *Fmmm*-phase (high-pressure phase). Derived ballpark value is  $\Theta_D(25 \text{ GPa}) \sim 550 \text{ K}$ . We also estimated the electron-phonon coupling constant,  $\lambda_{e\text{-ph}}(P=22.4 \text{ GPa}) = 1.75$ , for  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$  sample exhibited zero resistance transition. Analysed XRD data showed that the crystal lattice strain,  $\varepsilon(P)$ , is higher in the *Fmmm*-phase in comparison with the *Amam*-phase (low-pressure phase). Based on the performed  $\varepsilon(P)$  analysis, we proposed probable reason for the observation/absence of the zero-resistance state in  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ .

## I. Introduction

Experimental discovery of superconductivity with a transition temperature above 200 K in highly compressed sulphur hydride by Drozdov *et al*<sup>1</sup> manifested a new era in superconductivity. In the following years from this pivotal discovery<sup>1</sup>, researchers discovered and studied dozens of superconducting hydride phases<sup>2-43</sup>. Fascinating feature of this research field is that experimental and first-principles calculations (FPC) quests in exploring the ultimate upper limit in superconductivity are in close collaboration. There are several outstanding successes of this collaborative work<sup>24,25,44,45</sup>, as well as joint adventures, when experiments showed much lower or the absence of the transition temperature,  $T_c$ , despite theoretical predictions<sup>46-52</sup>.

High-temperature superconductivity in nickelates was predicted by Anisimov *et al*<sup>53</sup>, and experimental discovery of the superconductivity with transition temperature  $T_{c,zero} \sim 15$  K in  $\text{Nd}_{0.80}\text{Sr}_{0.20}\text{NiO}_2$  thin films was reported by Li *et al*<sup>54</sup>. Current status of the studies of doped infinite layer nickelate thin films  $R\text{NiO}_2$  ( $R = \text{La}, \text{Pr}, \text{Nd}$ ) can be found elsewhere<sup>55-58</sup>.

Recently, the family of highly pressurized superconductors was extended by another nickelate phase<sup>59-62</sup>,  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ , which surpasses other nickelate phases with the highest  $T_c$ <sup>54-64</sup>.

Phase structural transition, pairing mechanism, superconducting gap symmetry and other properties/parameters of the  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$  are under ongoing theoretical and experimental investigations<sup>62,65-69</sup>.

Here we need to stress that there are some current experimental and theoretical challenges in the nickelates family:

1. *doped  $R\text{NiO}_2$  ( $R = \text{La}, \text{Pr}, \text{Nd}$ ).*

Superconductivity with  $T_{c,zero} \geq 1.9$  K exhibits in thin films of several nanometers thick. Bulk samples do not exhibit any sign of superconductivity down to  $T_{c,onset} < 1.9$  K<sup>70</sup>.

However, researchers often do not mention this problem in the majority (if not all) of theoretical and experimental studies, leading to a lack of understanding regarding the primary mechanism for the existence of superconductivity in doped infinite layer nickelates.

## 2. quintuple-layer square-planar nickelate $Nd_6Ni_5O_{12}$ .


Pan *et al.*<sup>73</sup> reported on the observation of the superconductivity in atomically thin quintuple-layer square-planar nickelate superlattice. However, this report<sup>71</sup> is based on a single revealed temperature dependent resistivity,  $\rho(T)$ , dataset. In Fig. 1 we showed low-temperature part of this  $\rho(T)$  dataset. Simple examination of this experimental data shows that the  $Nd_6Ni_5O_{12}$  exhibits the lowest measured resistance:

$$\rho(T = 53 \text{ mK}) = 6.3 \mu\Omega \times \text{cm} \quad (1)$$

which is higher than the resistivity of practically all pure metals at room temperature<sup>72</sup>:

$$\rho(T = 300 \text{ K}) < 6.3 \mu\Omega \times \text{cm} \quad (2)$$

In addition, Pan *et al.*<sup>71</sup> did not report the uncertainty level of the measurements, thus, we cannot agree that these authors observed the superconducting state in quintuple-layer square-planar nickelate  $Nd_6Ni_5O_{12}$ <sup>71</sup>.



**Figure 1.** Temperature dependent resistivity,  $\rho(T \leq 0.4 \text{ K})$ , reported in quintuple-layer square-planar nickelate  $Nd_6Ni_5O_{12}$ <sup>71</sup>.  $\rho(T = 300 \text{ K}) = 3 \mu\Omega \text{cm}$  is typical ballpark value for pure metals<sup>72</sup>.

### 3. Highly compressed $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ .

Zero-resistance in the  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$  has been reported in two recent reports<sup>61,62</sup>. However, it is clear stated in Ref.<sup>62</sup> that not all highly compressed  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$  samples exhibit zero-resistance transition. In addition, Zhou *et al*<sup>63</sup> reported the temperature dependent AC susceptibility data, from which it was estimated the presence of the superconducting phase at the volume level of 1% in the sample compressed at  $P \geq 20 \text{ GPa}$ . This result demonstrates that there is a quest to find an intriguing unknown parameter, which determines the appearance of the zero-resistance phase in highly compressed  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ .

Here, we contributed to the exploration and focused on a detailed analysis of publicly available experimental data measured in highly compressed  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$  single crystals. While the majority of theoretical groups (but not all<sup>73</sup>) explore hypotheses for unconventional mechanisms of pairing in the  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$ , we investigated a possibility for the electron-phonon pairing mechanism.

To do this, we extracted:

(1) pressure dependent Debye temperature,  $\Theta_D(P)$ ;

and based on that, we determined:

(2) the electron-phonon coupling constant,  $\lambda_{e-ph}$ , for one sample exhibited the zero-resistance state.

In addition, we estimated:

(3) the crystal lattice strain,  $\varepsilon(P)$ , in the  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$  at nanoscale level.

Primary idea to study the lattice strain,  $\varepsilon$ , and our suggestion to consider this value as one of primary properties of highly compressed  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$  was initiated by recent FPC result by Sanna *et al*<sup>74</sup> who reported that the record-high  $T_c$  in titanium<sup>75,76</sup> can be explained within electron-phonon phenomenology, if an assumption about the presence of the vacancies in the crystal lattice can be made. In addition, Liu *et al*<sup>77</sup> performed the FPC studies and concluded

that the presence of the apical-oxygen vacancies should dramatically suppress superconducting transition temperature in  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ .

While there are no experimental techniques which can be used for direct observation of the vacancy and extract the vacancies density for samples in DAC (despite the field ion microscopy<sup>78</sup> and the transmission electron microscopy<sup>79</sup> can be used for this and many other relative tasks<sup>62,80,81</sup> for ambient pressure materials, including superconductors<sup>82,83</sup>), here we utilized the Williamson-Hall (WH) analysis<sup>84</sup> of the XRD data to extract the lattice strain,  $\varepsilon$ , in  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ . Despite advanced WH analysis<sup>85-87</sup> can be used to extract several other microstructural parameters from XRD data, here we used classical WH analysis<sup>84</sup> to extract the lattice strain,  $\varepsilon$ , only, due to high anisotropic nature of the  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$  lattice, unknown Burgers vectors,  $b$ , and other unknown structural parameters are required for the advanced analysis.

We can mention that Ren *et al*<sup>88</sup> showed that the lattice strain impacts the  $T_{c,onset}$  in doped  $\text{RNiO}_2$  thin films. Despite our belief that the  $T_c$  should be defined using as strict as possible  $\frac{R(T)}{R_{norm}}$  criterion<sup>89,90</sup>, Ren *et al*<sup>88</sup> demonstrated the shape and the width of the  $R(T, \varepsilon)$  curves in doped  $\text{RNiO}_2$  thin films resemble those reported by Sun *et al*<sup>59</sup> in compressed  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$  single crystals. This is additional evidence that the evolution of the  $\varepsilon(P)$  can be a tool to evaluate/estimate the degree of structural imperfection (which can be originated by the presence of vacancies in  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$  single crystals compressed in DAC).

## II. Experimental data sources

In this study, we analysed experimental datasets reported by Sun *et al*<sup>59</sup>, which are freely available online. We do not estimate the  $\lambda_{e-ph}(P)$  for these samples<sup>59</sup>, because the  $R(T, P)$  curves do not reach the zero resistance. However, we analysed the  $R(T)$  curve reported by the same research group in Fig. S9<sup>62</sup> and determined the  $\lambda_{e-ph}(P)$  for the sample with zero-

resistance state. Utilized models and mathematical routine for the analysis described within each section. All fits performed by our own codes created in the Origin software.

### III. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Debye temperature

Standard technique to determine the Debye temperature,  $\Theta_D$ , is the fit of the specific heat measurements to Debye model. However, this technique cannot be utilized in studies of highly compressed conductors because of negligible sample thermal mass in comparison with the DAC mass. However, the fit of  $R(T)$  data using the saturated resistance model<sup>91</sup> allows for the deduction of  $\Theta_D$  as a free-fitting parameter:


$$R(T) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{R_{sat}} + \frac{1}{R_0 + A \times \left(\frac{T}{\Theta_D}\right)^5 \times \int_0^{\frac{\Theta_D}{T}} \frac{x^5}{(e^x - 1)(1 - e^{-x})} dx}} \quad (1)$$

where  $R_{sat}$ ,  $R_0$ ,  $\Theta_D$  and  $A$  are free-fitting parameters.


In Figures 2,3,4,5 we showed the  $R(T, P)$  curves and data fits to Eq. 1 for samples *Run 1,2,3,4*<sup>59</sup>, respectively. All fits have high quality and derived coefficient of determination ( $R - square (COD)$ ) is given in each figure caption.

We summarised in Figure 6,a all deduced  $\Theta_D(P)$  data for all samples for which  $R(T, P)$  datasets reported in Ref.<sup>59</sup>.


One can see that in the pressure range where the high-pressure *Fmmm* phase exists, the Debye temperature is more or less constant with ballpark value of  $\Theta_D = 550 K$ .




**Figure 2.** Temperature dependent resistance,  $R(T,P)$ , measured in compressed single crystal  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$  (Run 1) by Sun *et al*<sup>59</sup>, and data fits to Eq. 1. Green balls indicate the bounds for which  $R(T)$  data was used for the fit to Eq. 1. Fit quality for all panels is better or equal to 0.9999. 95% confidence bands are shown by pink areas.



**Figure 3.** Temperature dependent resistance,  $R(T,P)$ , measured in compressed single crystal  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$  (Run 2) by Sun *et al*<sup>59</sup>, and data fits to Eq. 1.  $R_{\text{sat}} \rightarrow \infty$  for all fits. Green balls indicate the bounds for which  $R(T)$  data was used for the fit to Eq. 1. Fit quality for all panels is better or equal to 0.9999. 95% confidence bands are shown by pink areas.




**Figure 4.** Temperature dependent resistance,  $R(T,P)$ , measured in compressed single crystal  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$  (Run 3) by Sun *et al*<sup>59</sup> and data fit to Eq. 1.  $R_{\text{sat}} \rightarrow \infty$  for all fits. Green balls indicate the bounds for which  $R(T)$  data was used for the fit to Eq. 1. Fit quality for all panels is better or equal to 0.9997. 95% confidence bands are shown by pink areas.



**Figure 5.** Temperature dependent resistance,  $R(T,P)$ , measured in compressed single crystal  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_7$  (Run 4) by Sun *et al*<sup>59</sup> and data fit to Eq. 1. Green balls indicate the bounds for which  $R(T)$  data was used for the fit to Eq. 1. Fit quality for all panels is better or equal to 0.9989. 95% confidence bands are shown by pink areas.





**Figure 6.** Evolution of the (a) Debye temperature,  $\Theta_D(P)$  on applied pressure; (b) calculated electron-phonon coupling constant,  $\lambda_{e-ph}(P = 22.4 \text{ GPa})$ , and transition temperature defined by  $T_{c,0.05}(P = 22.4 \text{ GPa})$  criterion; and (c) crystalline strain,  $\epsilon(P)$ , in single crystal  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ . Phase boundaries for the *Amam* and *Fmmm* phases are shown by magenta and cyan areas based on the estimated values reported by Sun *et al*<sup>59</sup>.

However, one can see that in the pressure range where pure high-pressure *Fmmm* phase exists, the Debye temperature is more or less constant with ballpark value of  $\Theta_D = 550 \text{ K}$ . Considering that Sun *et al*<sup>59</sup> reported that  $T_{c,onset}(P)$  is also practically unchanged for pure *Fmmm* phase, a hypothesis about the electron-phonon mediated superconductivity in highly compressed  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$  remains its validity, until more experimental data will be available for analysis.

### 3.2. The electron phonon coupling constant

From deduced  $T_\theta$  and known  $T_c$ , the electron-phonon coupling constant,  $\lambda_{e-ph}$ , can be determined as the root of advanced McMillan equation<sup>89</sup>:

$$T_c = \left(\frac{1}{1.45}\right) \times \Theta_D \times e^{-\left(\frac{1.04(1+\lambda_{e-ph})}{\lambda_{e-ph}-\mu^*(1+0.62\lambda_{e-ph})}\right)} \times f_1 \times f_2^*, \quad (2)$$

where,

$$f_1 = \left(1 + \left(\frac{\lambda_{e-ph}}{2.46(1+3.8\mu^*)}\right)^{3/2}\right)^{1/3}, \quad (3)$$

$$f_2^* = 1 + (0.0241 - 0.0735 \times \mu^*) \times \lambda_{e-ph}^2, \quad (4)$$

where  $\mu^*$  is the Coulomb pseudopotential. In this work we assumed that  $\mu^* = 0.13$ , which is typical value for highly compressed electron-phonon mediated superconductors<sup>27,45,92</sup>.

Considering all issues mentioned in the Introduction regarding the zero-resistance problem in nickelates, here we analysed the  $R(T, P = 22.4 \text{ GPa})$  measured in single crystal  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ <sup>62</sup>, and in which the resistance reduces to undistinguishable, from measurement system noise, level. To extract  $T_\theta$  and  $T_c$ , we utilized full  $R(T)$  curve fitting<sup>93</sup>:


$$R(T) = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\theta(T_c^{onset}-T)}{R_0(T_c^{onset})} + \theta(T-T_c^{onset}) \times \left(\frac{1}{R_{sat}} + \frac{1}{\left(R_0 + A \times \left(\frac{T}{\Theta_D}\right)^5 \times \int_0^{\frac{\Theta_D}{T}} \frac{x^5}{(e^x-1)(1-e^{-x})} dx\right)}\right)}\right)^2 \left(I_0\left(F \times \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c^{onset}}\right)^{3/2}\right)\right)}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\theta(x)$  is the Heaviside step function,  $I_0(x)$  is the zero-order modified Bessel function of the first kind,  $R_0(T_c^{onset})$ ,  $T_c^{onset}$ ,  $F$ ,  $R_{sat}$ ,  $R_0$ ,  $\Theta_D$ , and  $A$  are free-fitting parameters. We defined the transition temperature by the criterion:

$$\left.\frac{R(T)}{R(T_{c,onset})}\right|_{T_{c,0.05}} = 0.05 \quad (6)$$

The fit is shown in Fig. 7. It should be stressed that derived  $\Theta_D(22.4 \text{ GPa}) = 518 \pm 4 \text{ K}$  is practically the same for four of five analysed  $R(T)$  datasets showed in Figure 6.

Derived  $T_{c,0.05} = 58.0 \pm 0.1 \text{ K}$  and  $\lambda_{e-ph} = 1.75$ . Deduced  $\lambda_{e-ph}$  shows, that if the high-temperature superconducting state in  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$  originates from the electron-phonon interaction, this requires the interaction strength at its upper limit, similar to the interaction strength exhibited in highly compressed hydrides<sup>22,27,30,45,94-96</sup>.



**Figure 7.** Temperature dependent resistance,  $R(T, P=22.4 \text{ GPa})$ , measured in compressed single crystal  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$  by Dong *et al*<sup>62</sup> and data fit to Eq. 5. Fit quality is 0.99994.

### 3.3. Strain at the nanoscale level in $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$

Diffraction peaks reported XRD scans<sup>59</sup> were fitted to Lorentz function. We fitted derived peaks breadth,  $\beta(\theta)$ , and peaks diffraction angle,  $\theta$ , to classical Williamson-Hall (WH) model<sup>84</sup> (where we assumed that the instrumental broadening,  $\beta_i$ , is negligible):


$$\beta(\theta, P) = \frac{0.9 \times \lambda_{x\text{-ray}}}{D(P) \times \cos(\theta)} + 4 \times \varepsilon(P) \times \tan(\theta), \quad (7)$$

where  $\lambda_{x\text{-ray}} = 61.99 \text{ pm}$  is the wavelength of used radiation in Ref.<sup>59</sup>, and  $D(P)$  is the mean size of coherent scattering regions at a given pressure  $P$ .

Performed fits showed that for all pressures,  $1.6 \text{ GPa} \leq P \leq 41.2 \text{ GPa}$ , the size of coherent scattering regions,  $D(P)$ , is large and the uncertainty of the value exceeds the value itself by far. Thus, we fit data to the reduced equation:

$$\beta(\theta, P) = 4 \times \varepsilon(P) \times \text{tg}(\theta). \quad (8)$$


We show some fits in Fig. 8, and we summarised results in Figs. 6,c, and 9, where one can see that the  $\varepsilon(P)$  is raising reasonably steep at low applied pressure, up to  $P = 4.9 \text{ GPa}$ .



**Figure 8.** XRD peaks breadth,  $\beta(\theta)$ , fits to reduced Williamson-Hall model (Eq. 8) for highly compressed single crystal  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ . Raw XRD scans reported by Sun *et al*<sup>59</sup>. 95% confidence bands are shown by pink areas.

Further increase of the applied pressure up to  $P \sim 18 \text{ GPa}$  does not cause the change in the  $\varepsilon(P)$ . In Fig. 6,c we showed eye-guided level of  $\varepsilon(5 \text{ GPa} \lesssim P \lesssim 18 \text{ GPa}) = 0.0079$  for the compressed *Amam* phase.

We observe a further increase in  $\varepsilon(P)$  when the transition from the *Amam* into the *Fmmm* phase is completed at  $P \sim 20$  GPa. The  $\varepsilon(P)$  is raising up to pressure of  $P \sim 33$  GPa, and then it gradually decreases (Fig. 9).



**Figure 9.** Crystalline strain,  $\varepsilon(P)$ , and inverse lattice constants  $a(P)$ ,  $b(P)$ , and  $c(P)$  dependence from applied pressure in highly compressed single crystal  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ . Raw data for  $a(P)$ ,  $b(P)$ , and  $c(P)$  reported by Sun *et al*<sup>59</sup>.

However, the  $\varepsilon(P)$  dependence does not exactly match the lattice constants dependences (see,  $1/a(P)$ ,  $1/b(P)$ , and  $1/c(P)$  in Fig. 9), especially at low- and high- $P$  ranges. This difference, in particular, at low- $P$  looks illogical.

However, we can explain the latter because of reducing the lattice volume by reducing the volume of each vacancy. This reduction can occur without significant changes in the lattice strain, because the vacancies density remains the same.

Perhaps, high vacancies density can be the origin (the missing intriguing unknown parameter mentioned above) for the observation/absence of the zero-resistance state in the  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$  (we can note that  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$  samples studied by Sun *et al*<sup>59</sup> did not exhibit the zero-resistance state). Any structural phase transition occurs when the accommodation mechanisms

cannot any longer keep further the lattice compression/expansion and the lattice strain increase/decrease in given atomic arrangement.

Simple fact that there are no sharp simultaneous changes in  $a(P)$ ,  $b(P)$ ,  $c(P)$ , and  $\varepsilon(P)$ , except, perhaps, the change in curves slope at  $P \sim 20 \text{ GPa}$  is an indication that the phase transition  $Amm-Fmmm$  is very wide and perhaps incomplete until  $P \sim 33 \text{ GPa}$ , because only at  $P > 33 \text{ GPa}$  the  $\varepsilon(P)$  starts to drop. We can explain the latter as the lattice relaxation after the phase transition has completed.

Here we need to mention that all XRD datasets (which we analysed) were collected at room temperature<sup>59</sup>. Obviously, that at the temperature range from  $T = 300 \text{ K}$  down to  $T = 50 \text{ K}$  some phase structural transition, or multiple transitions, can occur.

Based on all above, we should stress that there is a need for low-temperature high-pressure XRD data and experimental data on vacancies density<sup>62</sup> in  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ , which can be used for detailed analysis of the phase transition(s) and related structural/phase parameters, which, perhaps, directly link to the sharpness and the completeness of the superconducting transition in highly compressed  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ .

#### IV. Conclusions

In this work, we analyzed experimental data reported for highly compressed single crystals  $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$  and deduced:

- (1) pressure dependent Debye temperature,  $\Theta_D(P)$ ;
- (2) pressure dependent crystalline strain,  $\varepsilon(P)$ ;

and for one sample exhibited zero-resistance state we determined:

- (3) the electron-phonon coupling constant,  $\lambda_{e-ph}(P = 22.4 \text{ GPa}) = 1.75$ .

## **Acknowledgement**

The authors thank financial support provided by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of Russia (theme “Pressure” No. 122021000032-5, and theme “Spin” No. 122021000036-3). Both authors gratefully acknowledged the research funding from the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation under Ural Federal University Program of Development within the Priority-2030 Program.

## **Data availability statement**

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

## **Declaration of interests**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## **The author contributions**

E.F.T. conceived the work. E.F.T. performed resistance data analysis, V.V.C. performed XRD data analysis. E.F.T. prepared figures and wrote the manuscript with inputs from V.V.C.

## **References**

1. Drozdov, A. P., Eremets, M. I., Troyan, I. A., Ksenofontov, V. & Shylin, S. I. Conventional superconductivity at 203 kelvin at high pressures in the sulfur hydride system. *Nature* **525**, 73–76 (2015).
2. Drozdov, A. P. *et al.* Superconductivity at 250 K in lanthanum hydride under high pressures. *Nature* **569**, 528–531 (2019).
3. Somayazulu, M. *et al.* Evidence for Superconductivity above 260 K in Lanthanum Superhydride at Megabar Pressures. *Phys Rev Lett* **122**, 027001 (2019).
4. Semenok, D. V. *et al.* Superconductivity at 253 K in lanthanum–yttrium ternary hydrides. *Materials Today* **48**, 18–28 (2021).

5. Sakata, M. *et al.* Superconductivity of lanthanum hydride synthesized using  $\text{AlH}_3$  as a hydrogen source. *Supercond Sci Technol* **33**, 114004 (2020).
6. Sun, D. *et al.* High-temperature superconductivity on the verge of a structural instability in lanthanum superhydride. *Nat Commun* **12**, 6863 (2021).
7. Minkov, V. S., Prakapenka, V. B., Greenberg, E. & Eremets, M. I. A Boosted Critical Temperature of 166 K in Superconducting  $\text{D}_3\text{S}$  Synthesized from Elemental Sulfur and Hydrogen. *Angewandte Chemie* **132**, 19132–19136 (2020).
8. Minkov, V. S. *et al.* Magnetic field screening in hydrogen-rich high-temperature superconductors. *Nat Commun* **13**, 3194 (2022).
9. Mozaffari, S. *et al.* Superconducting phase diagram of  $\text{H}_3\text{S}$  under high magnetic fields. *Nat Commun* **10**, 2522 (2019).
10. Kong, P. *et al.* Superconductivity up to 243 K in the yttrium-hydrogen system under high pressure. *Nat Commun* **12**, 5075 (2021).
11. Minkov, V. S., Ksenofontov, V., Bud'ko, S. L., Talantsev, E. F. & Eremets, M. I. Magnetic flux trapping in hydrogen-rich high-temperature superconductors. *Nat Phys* (2023) doi:10.1038/s41567-023-02089-1.
12. Semenok, D. Computational design of new superconducting materials and their targeted experimental synthesis. *PhD Thesis; Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology* (2023) doi:10.13140/RG.2.2.28212.12161.
13. Guo, J. *et al.* Stabilization of high-temperature superconducting A15 phase  $\text{La}_4\text{H}_{23}$  below 100 GPa. arXiv:2307.13067 (2023).
14. Chen, W. *et al.* Enhancement of superconducting properties in the La–Ce–H system at moderate pressures. *Nat Commun* **14**, 2660 (2023).
15. Chen, W. *et al.* High-Temperature Superconducting Phases in Cerium Superhydride with a  $T_c$  up to 115 K below a Pressure of 1 Megabar. *Phys Rev Lett* **127**, 117001 (2021).
16. Sadakov, A. V. *et al.* Vortex Phase Dynamics in Yttrium Superhydride  $\text{YH}_6$  at Megabar Pressures. *J Phys Chem Lett* **14**, 6666–6671 (2023).
17. Zhou, D. *et al.* Superconducting praseodymium superhydrides. *Sci Adv* **6**, 1–9 (2020).
18. Semenok, D. *et al.* Evidence for Pseudogap Phase in Cerium Superhydrides:  $\text{CeH}_{10}$  and  $\text{CeH}_9$ . (2023).
19. Semenok, D. V. *et al.* Superconductivity at 161 K in thorium hydride  $\text{ThH}_{10}$ : Synthesis and properties. *Materials Today* **33**, 36–44 (2020).
20. Chen, W. *et al.* Synthesis of molecular metallic barium superhydride: pseudocubic  $\text{BaH}_{12}$ . *Nat Commun* **12**, 1–9 (2021).
21. Troyan, I. A. *et al.* Non-Fermi-Liquid Behavior of Superconducting  $\text{SnH}_4$ . *Advanced Science* (2023) doi:10.1002/advs.202303622.
22. Troyan, I. A. *et al.* Anomalous High-Temperature Superconductivity in  $\text{YH}_6$ . *Advanced Materials* **33**, 2006832 (2021).
23. Semenok, D. V. *et al.* Effect of Magnetic Impurities on Superconductivity in  $\text{LaH}_{10}$ . *Advanced Materials* **34**, 2204038 (2022).
24. Li, Z. *et al.* Superconductivity above 200 K discovered in superhydrides of calcium. *Nat Commun* **13**, 2863 (2022).
25. Ma, L. *et al.* High-Temperature Superconducting Phase in Clathrate Calcium Hydride  $\text{CaH}_6$  up to 215 K at a Pressure of 172 GPa. *Phys Rev Lett* **128**, 167001 (2022).
26. Bi, J. *et al.* Giant enhancement of superconducting critical temperature in substitutional alloy  $(\text{La,Ce})\text{H}_9$ . *Nat Commun* **13**, 5952 (2022).
27. Errea, I. *et al.* High-Pressure Hydrogen Sulfide from First Principles: A Strongly Anharmonic Phonon-Mediated Superconductor. *Phys Rev Lett* **114**, 157004 (2015).
28. Song, Y. *et al.* Stoichiometric Ternary Superhydride  $\text{LaBeH}_8$  as a New Template for High-Temperature Superconductivity at 110 K under 80 GPa. *Phys Rev Lett* **130**, 266001 (2023).
29. Bhattacharyya, P. *et al.* Imaging the Meissner effect and flux trapping in a hydride superconductor at megabar pressures using a nanoscale quantum sensor. arXiv:2306.03122 (2023).
30. Lilia, B. *et al.* The 2021 room-temperature superconductivity roadmap. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **34**, 183002 (2022).



31. He, X. *et al.* Superconductivity Observed in Tantalum Polyhydride at High Pressure. *Chinese Physics Letters* **40**, 057404 (2023).
32. Shao, M., Chen, W., Zhang, K., Huang, X. & Cui, T. High-pressure synthesis of superconducting clathratelike YH<sub>4</sub>. *Phys Rev B* **104**, 174509 (2021).
33. Flores-Livas, J. A. *et al.* A perspective on conventional high-temperature superconductors at high pressure: Methods and materials. *Phys Rep* **856**, 1–78 (2020).
34. Heil, C., di Cataldo, S., Bachelet, G. B. & Boeri, L. Superconductivity in sodalite-like yttrium hydride clathrates. *Phys Rev B* **99**, 220502 (2019).
35. Errea, I. *et al.* Quantum crystal structure in the 250-kelvin superconducting lanthanum hydride. *Nature* **578**, 66–69 (2020).
36. Duan, D. *et al.* Pressure-induced metallization of dense (H<sub>2</sub>S)<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> with high-*T<sub>c</sub>* superconductivity. *Sci Rep* **4**, 6968 (2014).
37. Alarco, J. A., Talbot, P. C. & Mackinnon, I. D. R. Identification of superconductivity mechanisms and prediction of new materials using Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations. *J Phys Conf Ser* **1143**, 012028 (2018).
38. Li, Y., Hao, J., Liu, H., Li, Y. & Ma, Y. The metallization and superconductivity of dense hydrogen sulfide. *J Chem Phys* **140**, (2014).
39. Morgan, H. W. T. & Alexandrova, A. N. Structures of LaH<sub>10</sub>, EuH<sub>9</sub>, and UH<sub>8</sub> superhydrides rationalized by electron counting and Jahn–Teller distortions in a covalent cluster model. *Chem Sci* **14**, 6679–6687 (2023).
40. Zhang, C. *et al.* Superconductivity in zirconium polyhydrides with *T<sub>c</sub>* above 70 K. *Sci Bull (Beijing)* **67**, 907–909 (2022).
41. Zhang, C. L. *et al.* Superconductivity above 80 K in polyhydrides of hafnium. *Materials Today Physics* **27**, 100826 (2022).
42. Hong, F. *et al.* Possible superconductivity at ~70 K in tin hydride SnH<sub>x</sub> under high pressure. *Materials Today Physics* **22**, 100596 (2022).
43. He, X. *et al.* Superconductivity discovered in niobium polyhydride at high pressures. *Materials Today Physics* **40**, 101298 (2024).
44. Wang, H., Tse, J. S., Tanaka, K., Iitaka, T. & Ma, Y. Superconductive sodalite-like clathrate calcium hydride at high pressures. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**, 6463–6466 (2012).
45. Pickard, C. J., Errea, I. & Eremets, M. I. Superconducting Hydrides Under Pressure. *Annu Rev Condens Matter Phys* **11**, 57–76 (2020).
46. Eremets, M. I., Trojan, I. A., Medvedev, S. A., Tse, J. S. & Yao, Y. Superconductivity in Hydrogen Dominant Materials: Silane. *Science (1979)* **319**, 1506–1509 (2008).
47. Goncharenko, I. *et al.* Pressure-Induced Hydrogen-Dominant Metallic State in Aluminum Hydride. *Phys Rev Lett* **100**, 045504 (2008).
48. Hou, P., Belli, F., Bianco, R. & Errea, I. Strong anharmonic and quantum effects in *Pm3<sup>-n</sup>* AlH<sub>3</sub> under high pressure: A first-principles stud. *Phys Rev B* **103**, 134305 (2021).
49. Struzhkin, V. *et al.* Superconductivity in La and Y hydrides: Remaining questions to experiment and theory. *Matter and Radiation at Extremes* **5**, (2020).
50. Gregoryanz, E. *et al.* Everything you always wanted to know about metallic hydrogen but were afraid to ask. *Matter and Radiation at Extremes* **5**, (2020).
51. Singh, D., Cohen, R. E. & Papaconstantopoulos, D. A. Possibility of LiPdH<sub>x</sub> as a new ionic superconductor. *Phys Rev B* **41**, 861–864 (1990).
52. Liu, W. *et al.* Absence of superconductivity in LiPdH<sub>x</sub>. *Philosophical Magazine* **98**, 623–631 (2018).
53. Anisimov, V. I., Bukhvalov, D. & Rice, T. M. Electronic structure of possible nickelate analogs to the cuprates. *Phys Rev B* **59**, 7901–7906 (1999).
54. Li, D. *et al.* Superconductivity in an infinite-layer nickelate. *Nature* **572**, 624–627 (2019).
55. Wang, B. Y. *et al.* Effects of rare-earth magnetism on the superconducting upper critical field in infinite-layer nickelates. *Sci Adv* **9**, (2023).
56. Chow, L. E. *et al.* Pauli-limit violation in lanthanide infinite-layer nickelate superconductors. *arXiv:2204.12606* (2022).

57. Nomura, Y. & Arita, R. Superconductivity in infinite-layer nickelates. *Reports on Progress in Physics* **85**, 052501 (2022).
58. Lee, K. *et al.* Linear-in-temperature resistivity for optimally superconducting (Nd,Sr)NiO<sub>2</sub>. *Nature* **619**, 288–292 (2023).
59. Sun, H. *et al.* Signatures of superconductivity near 80 K in a nickelate under high pressure. *Nature* **621**, 493–498 (2023).
60. Hou, J. *et al.* Emergence of high-temperature superconducting phase in the pressurized La<sub>3</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> crystals. *arXiv:2307.09865* (2023).
61. Zhang, Y. *et al.* High-temperature superconductivity with zero-resistance and strange metal behavior in La<sub>3</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>. *arXiv:2307.14819* (2023).
62. Dong, Z. *et al.* Visualization of Oxygen Vacancies and Self-doped Ligand Holes in La<sub>3</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7-δ</sub>. *arXiv:2312.15727* (2023).
63. Zhou, Y. *et al.* Evidence of filamentary superconductivity in pressurized La<sub>3</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> single crystals. *arXiv:2311.12361* (2023).
64. Sakakibara, H. *et al.* Theoretical analysis on the possibility of superconductivity in a trilayer Ruddlesden-Popper nickelate La<sub>4</sub>Ni<sub>3</sub>O<sub>10</sub> under pressure and its experimental examination: comparison with La<sub>3</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>. *arXiv:2309.09462* (2023).
65. Yang, Q.-G., Wang, D. & Wang, Q.-H. Possible s±-wave superconductivity in La<sub>3</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>. *Phys Rev B* **108**, L140505 (2023).
66. Qin, Q. & Yang, Y. High-T<sub>c</sub> superconductivity by mobilizing local spin singlets and possible route to higher T<sub>c</sub> in pressurized La<sub>3</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>. *Phys Rev B* **108**, L140504 (2023).
67. Zhang, Y., Lin, L.-F., Moreo, A., Maier, T. A. & Dagotto, E. Trends in electronic structures and s±-wave pairing for the rare-earth series in bilayer nickelate superconductor R<sub>3</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>. *Phys Rev B* **108**, 165141 (2023).
68. Shilenko, D. A. & Leonov, I. V. Correlated electronic structure, orbital-selective behavior, and magnetic correlations in double-layer La<sub>3</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> under pressure. *Phys Rev B* **108**, 125105 (2023).
69. Luo, Z., Hu, X., Wang, M., Wú, W. & Yao, D.-X. Bilayer Two-Orbital Model of La<sub>3</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> under Pressure. *Phys Rev Lett* **131**, 126001 (2023).
70. Li, Q. *et al.* Absence of superconductivity in bulk Nd<sub>1-x</sub>Sr<sub>x</sub>NiO<sub>2</sub>. *Commun Mater* **1**, 16 (2020).
71. Pan, G. A. *et al.* Superconductivity in a quintuple-layer square-planar nickelate. *Nat Mater* **21**, 160–164 (2022).
72. Matula, R. A. Electrical resistivity of copper, gold, palladium, and silver. *J Phys Chem Ref Data* **8**, 1147–1298 (1979).
73. Alvarez, A. A. C. *et al.* Electron-phonon mediated superconductivity in R<sub>6</sub>Ni<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub> nickel oxides. (2023).
74. Sanna, A., Pellegrini, C., di Cataldo, S., Profeta, G. & Boeri, L. A possible explanation for the high superconducting T<sub>c</sub> in bcc Ti at high pressure. (2023).
75. Zhang, C. *et al.* Record high T<sub>c</sub> element superconductivity achieved in titanium. *Nat Commun* **13**, 5411 (2022).
76. Liu, X. *et al.* T<sub>c</sub> up to 23.6 K and robust superconductivity in the transition metal δ-Ti phase at megabar pressure. *Phys Rev B* **105**, 224511 (2022).
77. Liu, Y.-B., Mei, J.-W., Ye, F., Chen, W.-Q. & Yang, F. s±-Wave Pairing and the Destructive Role of Apical-Oxygen Deficiencies in La<sub>3</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> under Pressure. *Phys Rev Lett* **131**, 236002 (2023).
78. Müller, E. W. Field Ion Microscopy. *Science (1979)* **149**, 591–601 (1965).
79. Jiang, S. *et al.* Ultrastrong steel via minimal lattice misfit and high-density nanoprecipitation. *Nature* **544**, 460–464 (2017).
80. Dagan, M. *et al.* Imaging of radiation damage using complementary field ion microscopy and atom probe tomography. *Ultramicroscopy* **159**, 387–394 (2015).
81. Vurpillot, F. *et al.* True Atomic-Scale Imaging in Three Dimensions: A Review of the Rebirth of Field-Ion Microscopy. *Microscopy and Microanalysis* **23**, 210–220 (2017).
82. Talantsev, E. F. Field ion microscopy of high-temperature superconductors. *Supercond Sci Technol* **8**, 593–604 (1995).

83. Wheatley, L. E. *et al.* Understanding the nanoscale chemistry of as-received and fast neutron irradiated Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn RRP® wires using atom probe tomography. *Supercond Sci Technol* **36**, 085006 (2023).
84. Williamson, G. K. & Hall, W. H. X-ray line broadening from filed aluminium and wolfram. *Acta Metallurgica* **1**, 22–31 (1953).
85. Borbély, A. The modified Williamson-Hall plot and dislocation density evaluation from diffraction peaks. *Scr Mater* **217**, 114768 (2022).
86. Ungár, T. Microstructural parameters from X-ray diffraction peak broadening. *Scr Mater* **51**, 777–781 (2004).
87. Ungár, T. & Borbély, A. The effect of dislocation contrast on x-ray line broadening: A new approach to line profile analysis. *Appl Phys Lett* **69**, 3173–3175 (1996).
88. Ren, X. *et al.* Possible strain-induced enhancement of the superconducting onset transition temperature in infinite-layer nickelates. *Commun Phys* **6**, 341 (2023).
89. Talantsev, E. F. Advanced McMillan’s equation and its application for the analysis of highly-compressed superconductors. *Supercond Sci Technol* **33**, 094009 (2020).
90. Talantsev, E. F. Quantifying interaction mechanism in infinite layer nickelate superconductors. *J Appl Phys* **134**, (2023).
91. Wiesmann, H. *et al.* Simple Model for Characterizing the Electrical Resistivity in A15 Superconductors. *Phys Rev Lett* **38**, 782–785 (1977).
92. Zhang, Z. *et al.* Design Principles for High-Temperature Superconductors with a Hydrogen-Based Alloy Backbone at Moderate Pressure. *Phys Rev Lett* **128**, 047001 (2022).
93. Talantsev, E. F. & Stolze, K. Resistive transition of hydrogen-rich superconductors. *Supercond Sci Technol* **34**, 064001 (2021).
94. Errea, I. *et al.* Quantum hydrogen-bond symmetrization in the superconducting hydrogen sulfide system. *Nature* **532**, 81–84 (2016).
95. Talantsev, E. F. An approach to identifying unconventional superconductivity in highly-compressed superconductors. *Supercond Sci Technol* **33**, 124001 (2020).
96. Talantsev, E. F. The electron–phonon coupling constant and the Debye temperature in polyhydrides of thorium, hexadeuteride of yttrium, and metallic hydrogen phase III. *J Appl Phys* **130**, 195901 (2021).