

# THE PERFECTION CAN BE A NON-COHERENT GCD DOMAIN

AUSTYN SIMPSON

ABSTRACT. We show that there exists a complete local Noetherian normal domain of prime characteristic whose perfection is a non-coherent GCD domain, answering a question of Patankar in the negative concerning characterizations of  $F$ -coherent rings. This recovers and extends a result of Glaz using tight closure methods.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring of prime characteristic  $p > 0$ . The *perfection* (or *perfect closure*) of  $R$ , denoted in this note by  $R_{\text{perf}}$ , is defined as

$$R_{\text{perf}} = \varinjlim \left( R \xrightarrow{F} R \xrightarrow{F} \dots \right)$$

where  $F : R \rightarrow R$  is the Frobenius map  $r \mapsto r^p$ . If  $R$  is further assumed to be a domain with fraction field  $K = \text{Frac}(R)$ , then the *absolute integral closure* of  $R$  is defined to be the integral closure of  $R$  in a choice of algebraic closure  $\overline{K}$ . These two objects  $R_{\text{perf}} \subseteq R^+$  have over the past few decades seen substantial use in subjects where one usually only a priori considers Noetherian rings, despite being highly non-Noetherian themselves. It is natural to ask which “Noetherian-like” properties these objects enjoy. Two such notions of interest in this note are *coherence* (that is, all finitely generated ideals are finitely presented) and the property of being a *GCD domain* (that is, a domain in which the intersection of two principal ideals is principal).

In the case of  $R^+$ , this can be effectively hopeless due to the fact that  $R^+$  usually fails to be coherent regardless of characteristic [AH97; Asg17; Pat22]. For  $R_{\text{perf}}$  however, the situation is not as dire: the perfect closure of a regular local ring of prime characteristic is coherent [Shi11, Proposition 3.3(1)] and its finitely generated ideals enjoy finite primary decomposition [Rad83, Corollaire 1], essentially both because of Kunz’s theorem [Kun69]. The study of Noetherian rings with coherent perfect closures was pioneered by Shimomoto in [Shi11] who called them *F-coherent*, suggesting connections to other classes of singularities defined via the Frobenius map. The results of [Shi11] for  $F$ -coherent rings  $R$  may be summarized as follows, where for simplicity we assume that  $R$  is reduced and that  $F_*R$  is a finite  $R$ -module.

- (1)  $F$ -coherence is stable under localization and descends under faithfully flat maps.
- (2)  $F$ -coherent rings have purely inseparable normalizations.
- (3) Regular rings, purely inseparable extensions of regular rings, and purely inseparable subrings of regular rings, are all  $F$ -coherent.
- (4) If  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is local and  $F$ -coherent, then  $R_{\text{perf}}$  is a big Cohen-Macaulay algebra.
- (5) Tight closure coincides with Frobenius closure in the  $F$ -coherent setting. In particular, tight closure localizes, weak  $F$ -regularity and  $F$ -purity are equivalent, and  $F$ -injectivity and  $F$ -rationality are equivalent.

---

Simpson was supported by NSF postdoctoral fellowship DMS #2202890.

At present, the major shortcoming of this theory is the scarcity of examples. In fact, the only known examples of  $F$ -coherent rings are those which come from a purely inseparable extension or inclusion of a regular ring as in (3).

This subject has been further explored in [Asg17] using homological methods. For example, it is observed in [Asg17] (relying heavily on ideas introduced in [AH97]) that if  $R_{\text{perf}}$  is coherent then it is a GCD domain. The relationship between GCD domains and the coherence property has a peculiar history; for instance, it had been wondered for some time in the broader context of non-Noetherian ring theory whether there exists a non-coherent GCD domain. Such a ring was first constructed in [Gla01] (see Section 1 of *op. cit.* for further context) and a different construction via ultraproducts was provided in [OS03]. The question of whether this phenomenon may occur for the perfect closure of a Noetherian ring (and in particular whether  $R_{\text{perf}}$  being a GCD domain characterizes  $F$ -coherent rings) is posed in [Pat22, Remark 4.9]. The content of this note is the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** (= Corollary 2.4 + Examples 2.5 and 2.6) *There exists a complete local Noetherian normal domain  $R$  of prime characteristic  $p > 0$  such that  $R_{\text{perf}}$  is a non-coherent GCD domain.*

To find such rings, we first observe that if  $R$  is a prime characteristic Noetherian UFD, then  $R_{\text{perf}}$  is a GCD domain (Theorem 2.1). We then appeal to (5) above to conclude that any  $F$ -pure UFD which is not Cohen–Macaulay (or even just not weakly  $F$ -regular) will satisfy this requirement. Such rings exist by work of Bertin [Ber67] and Fossum–Griffith [FG75], considering certain rings of invariants in characteristic 2 (Example 2.5). We also provide Cohen–Macaulay examples by considering certain diagonal hypersurfaces (Example 2.6).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am grateful to Anurag Singh for helpful discussions. I thank Bruce Olberding, Shrawan Patankar, Thomas Polstra, Kazuma Shimomoto, and the anonymous referee for helpful suggestions on a previous draft of this note.

## 2. RESULTS

Recall that a domain  $A$  (not necessarily Noetherian) is a *GCD domain* if for any  $r, s \in A$ , the ideal  $rA \cap sA$  is principal (equivalently, the ideal  $(r :_A s)$  is principal). Asgharzadeh has observed using homological methods that if  $R$  is a local Noetherian  $F$ -coherent domain, then  $R_{\text{perf}}$  is a GCD domain [Asg17, Corollary 3.7]. In this section we construct Noetherian rings  $R$  which are not  $F$ -coherent but whose perfections are GCD domains. We begin with an elementary proof that if  $R$  is a prime characteristic UFD, then  $R_{\text{perf}}$  is a GCD domain irrespective of any coherence assumptions.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian UFD of prime characteristic  $p > 0$ . Then  $R_{\text{perf}}$  is a GCD domain.*

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in R_{\text{perf}}$ . We will show that  $(a :_{R_{\text{perf}}} b)$  is a principal ideal. There exists  $N_1 \gg 0$  such that  $a^{p^{N_1}}, b^{p^{N_1}} \in R$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} a^{p^{N_1}} &= u\pi_1^{s_1} \cdots \pi_m^{s_m} \\ b^{p^{N_1}} &= v\pi_1^{t_1} \cdots \pi_m^{t_m} \end{aligned}$$

be prime factorizations of  $a^{p^{N_1}}$  and  $b^{p^{N_1}}$  where  $u, v \in R$  are units,  $\pi_i \in R$  are distinct irreducible elements, and  $s_i, t_i \geq 0$ . Note that

$$\left( a^{p^{N_1}} :_R b^{p^{N_1}} \right) = \left( \frac{\text{lcm}(a^{p^{N_1}}, b^{p^{N_1}})}{b^{p^{N_1}}} \right) = (c)$$

where  $c := \pi_1^{\max\{0, s_1 - t_1\}} \dots \pi_m^{\max\{0, s_m - t_m\}}$ . We claim that  $(a :_{R_{\text{perf}}} b) = (c^{1/p^{N_1}})R_{\text{perf}}$ , where the  $\supseteq$  direction is clear. Let  $\eta \in (a :_{R_{\text{perf}}} b)$  and write  $\eta b = \xi a$  for some  $\xi \in R_{\text{perf}}$ . Choose  $N_2 \gg 0$  such that  $\eta^{p^{N_1+N_2}}, \xi^{p^{N_1+N_2}} \in R$ . We see that

$$(1) \quad \eta^{p^{N_1+N_2}} \in \left( a^{p^{N_1+N_2}} :_R b^{p^{N_1+N_2}} \right) = \left( \pi_1^{\max\{0, p^{N_2}(s_1 - t_1)\}} \dots \pi_m^{\max\{0, p^{N_2}(s_m - t_m)\}} \right) = \left( c^{p^{N_2}} \right).$$

Write  $\eta^{p^{N_1+N_2}} = r c^{p^{N_2}}$  for some  $r \in R$ . Taking  $p^{N_1+N_2}$ -th roots, we have the equation  $\eta = r^{1/p^{N_1+N_2}} c^{1/p^{N_1}}$  (in  $R_{\text{perf}}$ ), so  $\eta \in (c^{1/p^{N_1}})R_{\text{perf}}$  as desired.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** If  $R$  is not a UFD then the equality  $(f :_R g)^{[p^e]} = (f^{p^e} :_R g^{p^e})$  in Equation (1) need not hold; in fact, such behavior characterizes local prime characteristic UFDs by [Zha09, Theorem 3.5].

We briefly recall for the reader the notions of  $F$ -purity and weak  $F$ -regularity. Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring of prime characteristic  $p > 0$ .  $R$  is said to be  $F$ -pure if the Frobenius map  $F : R \rightarrow F_*R$  is a pure  $R$ -module homomorphism. If  $F_*R$  is a finite  $R$ -module or if  $R$  is a complete local ring, then  $R$  is  $F$ -pure if and only if  $R \rightarrow F_*R$  splits as a map of  $R$ -modules.  $R$  is said to be *cyclically  $F$ -pure* if  $I = I^F$  for all ideals  $I \subseteq R$ , where  $I^F := IR_{\text{perf}} \cap R$  denotes the *Frobenius closure of  $I$* .  $F$ -purity always implies cyclic  $F$ -purity, and the converse holds, for example, for rings which are excellent and reduced [Hoc77]. Finally,  $R$  is said to be *weakly  $F$ -regular* if  $I = I^*$  for all ideals  $I \subseteq R$ , where

$$I^* = \left\{ r \in R \mid \text{there exists } c \in R \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \min(R)} \mathfrak{p} \text{ such that } cr^{p^e} \in I^{[p^e]} \text{ for all } e \gg 0 \right\}$$

denotes the *tight closure of  $I$* . We recall the following theorem of Shimomoto since it is a key ingredient in our construction.

**Theorem 2.3.** [Shi11, Proposition 3.12 & Corollary 3.15] *Let  $R$  be a reduced cyclically  $F$ -pure local ring of prime characteristic  $p > 0$  whose perfection  $R_{\text{perf}}$  is coherent. Then  $R$  is weakly  $F$ -regular. If  $R$  is further assumed to be excellent, then  $R$  is Cohen–Macaulay.*

The proof of Theorem 2.3 uses a valuation argument to show that coherence of  $R_{\text{perf}}$  implies the equality  $I^F = I^*$  for all ideals  $I \subseteq R$ , and weakly  $F$ -regular excellent rings are well-known to be Cohen–Macaulay. Despite having a simple proof, Theorem 2.1 has the following unexpected consequence when combined with Theorem 2.3.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $R$  be a local Noetherian  $F$ -pure UFD of prime characteristic  $p > 0$ . Then  $R_{\text{perf}}$  is a GCD domain which is not coherent in either of the following two cases:

- (1)  $R$  is not weakly  $F$ -regular;
- (2)  $R$  is excellent and not Cohen–Macaulay.

*Proof.*  $R_{\text{perf}}$  is a GCD domain by Theorem 2.1. Since  $R$  is  $F$ -pure, it is reduced and cyclically  $F$ -pure. The fact that  $R_{\text{perf}}$  is not coherent in the two cases above now follows from the contrapositives of both statements in Theorem 2.3, as desired.  $\square$

We demonstrate that rings satisfying the above hypotheses exist:

*Example 2.5.* Let  $\mathbb{k}$  be a field of characteristic 2 and let  $B := \mathbb{k}[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]$ . Consider the action of the group  $G := \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$  on  $B$  induced by the  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra automorphism  $\sigma(x_i) = x_{i+1}$  (where the indices are viewed  $\pmod{4}$ ). Let  $\mathfrak{m} = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$  be the homogeneous maximal ideal of  $B$ , and let  $A = B^G$  be the invariant subring. It is shown in [Ber67] that  $A$  is a UFD which is not Cohen–Macaulay. Moreover,  $A$  is  $F$ -pure by [Gla95, Proposition 2.4(a)]. Let  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m} \cap A$ , and denote  $R = \widehat{A_{\mathfrak{n}}}$ .  $R$  is  $F$ -pure by [MP21, Exercise 11 & Corollary 2.3], and it is shown in [FG75] that  $R$  is a UFD. It follows that  $R_{\text{perf}}$  is a non-coherent GCD domain by Corollary 2.4 (2).  $\square$

We can also use (1) instead of (2) in Corollary 2.4 to produce Cohen–Macaulay examples exhibiting the conclusion of Theorem 1.1.

*Example 2.6.* Let  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  and consider the degree 5 diagonal hypersurface

$$A = \mathbb{F}_p[x, y, z, u, v] / (x^5 + y^5 + z^5 + u^5 + v^5)$$

with homogeneous maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . By the Jacobian criterion,  $\mathfrak{m}$  defines the singular locus of  $A$ , hence  $A$  and its  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion  $R := \widehat{A}$  are UFDs by Grothendieck’s parafactoriality theorem [SGA2, XI Corollaire 3.10 and XI Théorème 3.13(ii)] (see also [CL94]). By the assumption on the characteristic, the coefficient of  $(xyzuv)^{p-1}$  in the monomial expansion of  $(x^5 + y^5 + z^5 + u^5 + v^5)^{p-1}$  is nonzero, hence  $A$  and  $R$  are both  $F$ -pure by Fedder’s criterion [Fed83]. However, it is well-known that  $A$  and  $R$  are not weakly  $F$ -regular. Indeed, the ideal  $(y, z, u, v)$  is not tightly closed in either ring as one checks that  $x^4 \in (y, z, u, v)^*$  (see also [MP21, Exercise 17] and [Hun96, Example 1.6.3]). We then apply Corollary 2.4 (1) to conclude that  $R_{\text{perf}}$  is a non-coherent GCD domain.

We remark that this reasoning applies more generally to any  $F$ -pure local hypersurface of dimension at least four which is not weakly  $F$ -regular and which has an isolated singularity.  $\square$

We may also deduce non-explicitly that there are plenty of the eponymous rings by combining Corollary 2.4 with a well-known result of Heitmann, as suggested to the author by B. Olberding:

**Corollary 2.7.** Let  $(T, \mathfrak{m})$  be a complete local domain with depth  $T \geq 2$  which is  $F$ -pure but not weakly  $F$ -regular. Then there exists an excellent local ring  $(A, \mathfrak{m} \cap A)$  such that  $A_{\text{perf}}$  is a non-coherent GCD domain and such that  $\widehat{A} \cong T$ .

*Proof.* We use [Hei93, Theorem 8] to construct an excellent local UFD  $A$  such that  $\widehat{A} \cong T$ . Since  $T$  is not weakly  $F$ -regular, the excellence of  $A$  together with [HH94, Corollary 7.28] implies that  $A$  is not weakly  $F$ -regular. Since  $A$  is  $F$ -pure, we may apply Corollary 2.4 (1) to conclude that  $A_{\text{perf}}$  is a non-coherent GCD domain.  $\square$

We conclude with the following remarks.

**Remark 2.8.** (1) Corollary 2.4 (2) does not provide additional content to Corollary 2.7 because all rings under consideration are excellent, and excellent weakly  $F$ -regular rings are Cohen–Macaulay by [HH94, Proposition 4.2(c)].

- (2) In view of Theorem 2.1 we may ask whether the property of  $R_{\text{perf}}$  being a GCD domain characterizes those rings  $R$  sharing a perfect closure with a prime characteristic UFD.
- (3) Corollary 2.7 suggests a potential approach to showing that the completion of a local  $F$ -coherent ring need not be  $F$ -coherent. The existence of such a ring is unknown to the author.

## REFERENCES

- [SGA2] Alexander Grothendieck. *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux (SGA 2)*. Vol. 2. Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics. Augmenté d'un exposé par Michèle Raynaud, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie, 1962. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam; Masson & Cie, Editeur, Paris, 1968, pp. vii+287.
- [AH97] Ian M. Aberbach and Melvin Hochster. “Finite Tor dimension and failure of coherence in absolute integral closures”. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 122.3 (1997), pp. 171–184. DOI: [10.1016/S0022-4049\(97\)00049-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4049(97)00049-2).
- [Asg17] Mohsen Asgharzadeh. *Homological aspects of perfect algebras*. 2017. arXiv: [1005.1462v5](https://arxiv.org/abs/1005.1462v5).
- [Ber67] Marie-José Bertin. “Anneaux d’invariants d’anneaux de polynômes, en caractéristique  $p$ ”. *Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaires des Séances de l’Académie des Sciences. Séries A et B* 264 (1967), pp. 653–656.
- [CL94] Frederick Call and Gennady Lyubeznik. “A simple proof of Grothendieck’s theorem on the parafactoriality of local rings”. *Commutative algebra: syzygies, multiplicities, and birational algebra (South Hadley, MA, 1992)*. Vol. 159. Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 15–18. ISBN: 0-8218-5188-8. DOI: [10.1090/conm/159/01500](https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/159/01500).
- [Fed83] Richard Fedder. “ $F$ -purity and rational singularity”. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 278.2 (1983), pp. 461–480. DOI: [10.2307/1999165](https://doi.org/10.2307/1999165).
- [FG75] Robert M. Fossum and Phillip A. Griffith. “Complete local factorial rings which are not Cohen-Macaulay in characteristic  $p$ ”. en. *Annales scientifiques de l’École Normale Supérieure Ser. 4*, 8.2 (1975), pp. 189–199. DOI: [10.24033/asens.1285](https://doi.org/10.24033/asens.1285).
- [Gla01] Sarah Glaz. “Finite conductor rings”. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 129.10 (2001), pp. 2833–2843. DOI: [10.1090/S0002-9939-00-05882-2](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-00-05882-2).
- [Gla95] Donna Glassbrenner. “The Cohen-Macaulay Property and  $F$ -Rationality in Certain Rings of Invariants”. *Journal of Algebra* 176.3 (1995), pp. 824–860. DOI: [10.1006/jabr.1995.1275](https://doi.org/10.1006/jabr.1995.1275).
- [Hei93] Raymond C. Heitmann. “Characterization of Completions of Unique Factorization Domains”. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 337.1 (1993), pp. 379–387. DOI: [10.2307/2154327](https://doi.org/10.2307/2154327).
- [HH94] Melvin Hochster and Craig Huneke. “ $F$ -regularity, test elements, and smooth base change”. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 346.1 (1994), pp. 1–62. DOI: [10.2307/2154942](https://doi.org/10.2307/2154942).
- [Hoc77] Melvin Hochster. “Cyclic purity versus purity in excellent Noetherian rings”. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 231.2 (1977), pp. 463–488. DOI: [10.2307/1997914](https://doi.org/10.2307/1997914).
- [Hun96] Craig Huneke. *Tight closure and its applications*. Vol. 88. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. With an appendix by Melvin Hochster. Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996, pp. x+137. ISBN: 0-8218-0412-X. DOI: [10.1016/0167-4889\(95\)00136-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-4889(95)00136-0).
- [Kun69] Ernst Kunz. “Characterizations of Regular Local Rings of Characteristic  $p$ ”. *American Journal of Mathematics* 91.3 (1969), pp. 772–784. DOI: [10.2307/2373351](https://doi.org/10.2307/2373351).
- [MP21] Linquan Ma and Thomas Polstra. *F-singularities: a commutative algebra approach*. 2021. URL: <https://www.math.purdue.edu/~ma326/F-singularitiesBook.pdf>.
- [OS03] Bruce Olberding and Serpil Saydam. “Ultraproducts of commutative rings”. *Commutative ring theory and applications (Fez, 2007)*. Vol. 231. Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. Dekker, New York, 2003, pp. 369–386. ISBN: 0-8247-0855-5. DOI: [10.1201/9780203910627](https://doi.org/10.1201/9780203910627).
- [Pat22] Shravan Patankar. “Coherence of absolute integral closures”. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Series B* 9.9 (Mar. 2022), pp. 75–89. DOI: [10.1090/bproc/121](https://doi.org/10.1090/bproc/121).
- [Rad83] Nicolae Radu. “Une classe d’anneaux presque laskériens”. *Analele Universității București. Matematică* 32 (1983), pp. 65–68.

- [Shi11] Kazuma Shimomoto. “F-coherent rings with applications to tight closure theory”. *Journal of Algebra* 338.1 (2011), pp. 24–34. DOI: [10.1016/j.jalgebra.2011.05.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2011.05.006).
- [Zha09] Wenliang Zhang. “On the Frobenius Power and Colon Ideals”. *Communications in Algebra* 37.7 (2009), pp. 2391–2395. DOI: [10.1080/00927870802216438](https://doi.org/10.1080/00927870802216438).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, MI 48109 USA

*Email address:* austyn@umich.edu