

# WALSH COEFFICIENTS AND CIRCUITS FOR SEVERAL ALLELES

KRISTINA CRONA AND DEVIN GREENE

**ABSTRACT.** Walsh coefficients have been applied extensively to biallelic systems for quantifying pairwise and higher order epistasis, in particular for demonstrating the empirical importance of higher order interactions. Circuits, or minimal dependence relations, and related approaches that use triangulations of polytopes have also been applied to biallelic systems. Here we provide biological interpretations of Walsh coefficients for several alleles, and discuss circuits in the same general setting.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Walsh coefficients are useful for analyzing epistasis, or deviations from additive fitness (Weinreich et al., 2013). Extension of Walsh coefficients to an arbitrary number of alleles have been discussed in recent work (Greene, 2023; Metzger et al., 2023; Faure et al., 2023). Here, we demonstrate that Walsh coefficients for several alleles are easy to interpret as deviations from additivity, similar to the biallelic case. We also discuss circuits (Beerenkel et al., 2007) for several alleles. The paper is self-contained, no prior knowledge of Walsh coefficients or circuits is expected. We start with interpretations of Walsh coefficients for biallelic systems. In subsequent sections we analyze several alleles.

**1.1. Biallelic two-locus systems.** Let  $w_g$  denote the fitness of a genotype  $g$ . By definition, additive fitness implies that the effect of a double mutation equals the sum of the effects of the single mutations it combines. In other words,

$$(1) \quad w_{11} - w_{00} = (w_{10} - w_{00}) + (w_{01} - w_{00})$$

where 00 denotes the wild-type, 10 and 01 the single mutants, and 11 the double mutant. Equivalently, fitness is additive if

$$w_{11} = w_{10} + w_{01} - w_{00}.$$

The form

$$w_{11} - w_{10} - w_{01} + w_{00}$$

is referred to as a Walsh coefficient, specifically the Walsh coefficient of order two. The form measures epistasis, or deviations from additivity. There are in total four Walsh coefficients for the biallelic two-locus case. The Walsh coefficient of order zero

$$w_{11} + w_{10} + w_{01} + w_{00},$$

can be considered a measure average fitness (scale factors are ignored here).

The Walsh coefficients of order one measure the effect of changing the first locus from 0 to 1:

$$(w_{10} - w_{00}) + (w_{11} - w_{01}),$$

and of changing the second locus from 0 to 1:

$$(w_{01} - w_{00}) + (w_{11} + w_{10}).$$

The four Walsh coefficients

$$w_{00} + w_{01} + w_{10} + w_{11}$$

$$w_{00} - w_{01} + w_{10} - w_{11}$$

$$w_{00} + w_{01} - w_{10} - w_{11}$$

$$w_{00} - w_{01} - w_{10} + w_{11}$$

can be expressed in a compact way using matrix notation

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_{00} \\ w_{01} \\ w_{10} \\ w_{11} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrix is known as the Hadamard matrix. In some cases scale factors are included in the definition. However, we ignore scale factors throughout the paper. Walsh coefficients provide a compact summary of average fitness, first order effects (the effect of replacing the allele at a particular locus) and interactions for any biallelic  $L$ -locus system.

**1.2. Biallelic three-locus systems.** Throughout the paper we will refer to the first, second, and third locus, and so forth, moving from left to right. The Hamming distance for a pair of genotypes is defined as the number of loci where the genotypes differ.

The Walsh coefficients for three-locus biallelic systems are similar to the two-locus case except that the second order interactions should be understood as average effects over all backgrounds, and that there are also third-order interactions. In more detail, as in the two-locus case one can compare the effect of a pair of mutations with the effects of each mutation individually. Additive fitness implies that

$$(2) \quad w_{110} - w_{000} = (w_{100} - w_{000}) + (w_{010} - w_{000})$$

Notice that equation is identical to 1 except for that there is a third coordinated fixed at zero. Similarly, if the third locus is fixed at 1 additivity implies that

$$(3) \quad w_{111} - w_{001} = (w_{101} - w_{001}) + (w_{011} - w_{001})$$

From 2 and 3, additive fitness implies that

$$w_{110} + w_{111} = w_{000} - w_{001} + w_{010} + w_{011} + w_{100} + w_{101}$$

Consequently, the difference

$$w_{110} + w_{111} - (w_{000} - w_{001} + w_{010} + w_{011} + w_{100} + w_{101})$$

measures epistasis for the first two loci averaged over all backgrounds (0 and 1), ignoring scale factors. In other words, the form

$$(4) \quad w_{000} + w_{001} - w_{010} - w_{011} - w_{100} - w_{101} + w_{110} + w_{111}$$

is a measure of two-way epistasis for the first two loci.

By keeping the second locus fixed one derives equations similar to 2 and 3

$$\begin{aligned} w_{101} - w_{000} &= (w_{100} - w_{000}) + (w_{001} - w_{000}) \\ w_{111} - w_{010} &= (w_{110} - w_{010}) + (w_{011} - w_{010}). \end{aligned}$$

From the equations one derives a measure of pairwise epistasis for the first and third locus averaged over all backgrounds

$$(5) \quad w_{000} - w_{001} + w_{010} - w_{011} - w_{100} + w_{101} - w_{110} + w_{111},$$

and similarly for the second and third locus

$$(6) \quad w_{000} - w_{001} - w_{010} + w_{011} + w_{100} - w_{101} - w_{110} + w_{111}.$$

The forms 4, 5, and 6 are the second order Walsh coefficients.

It remains to consider third-order interactions. If one wants to base a prediction of  $w_{111}$  on the wild-type, single mutants and pairwise effects, then one needs three correction terms for the pairwise effects. By fixing the third coordinate at 0 one derives a correction term by noting that additive fitness implies

$$w_{110} - w_{000} = (w_{100} - w_{000}) + (w_{010} - w_{000}),$$

or

$$w_{110} = w_{100} + w_{010} - w_{000}.$$

It follows that the correction based on pairwise epistasis for the first two loci is

$$\delta_{12} = w_{110} - w_{100} - w_{010} + w_{000}.$$

Similarly, the correction term for the first and third loci is

$$\delta_{13} = w_{101} - w_{100} - w_{001} + w_{000},$$

and for the second and third loci

$$\delta_{23} = w_{011} - w_{010} - w_{001} + w_{000}.$$

A prediction of  $w_{111}$  based on  $w_{000}$ , the effect of the single mutations, and the correction terms based on pairwise epistasis can be expressed as

$$w_{111} - w_{000} = (w_{100} - w_{000}) + (w_{010} - w_{000}) + (w_{001} - w_{000}) + \delta_{12} + \delta_{13} + \delta_{23}$$

or

$$w_{111} = w_{110} + w_{101} + w_{011} - w_{100} - w_{010} - w_{001} + w_{000}$$

Consequently, the form

$$(7) \quad w_{000} - w_{001} - w_{010} + w_{011} - w_{100} + w_{101} + w_{110} - w_{111}$$

is a measure of three-way epistasis.

There eight Walsh coefficients for three loci are

$$\begin{aligned}
&w_{000} + w_{001} + w_{010} + w_{011} + w_{100} + w_{101} + w_{110} + w_{111} \\
&w_{000} - w_{001} + w_{010} - w_{011} + w_{100} - w_{101} + w_{110} - w_{111} \\
&w_{000} + w_{001} - w_{010} - w_{011} + w_{100} + w_{101} - w_{110} - w_{111} \\
&w_{000} - w_{001} - w_{010} + w_{011} + w_{100} - w_{101} - w_{110} + w_{111} \\
&w_{000} + w_{001} + w_{010} + w_{011} - w_{100} - w_{101} - w_{110} - w_{111} \\
&w_{000} - w_{001} + w_{010} - w_{011} - w_{100} + w_{101} - w_{110} + w_{111} \\
&w_{000} + w_{001} - w_{010} - w_{011} - w_{100} - w_{101} + w_{110} + w_{111} \\
&w_{000} - w_{001} - w_{010} + w_{011} - w_{100} + w_{101} + w_{110} - w_{111},
\end{aligned}$$

or in matrix notation

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
w_{000} \\
w_{001} \\
w_{010} \\
w_{011} \\
w_{100} \\
w_{101} \\
w_{011} \\
w_{111}
\end{bmatrix}$$

As in the two-locus case, the Walsh coefficient of order zero (the top row) is the sum of all genotypes. The Walsh coefficients of order one (Rows 2, 3 and 5) measure the average effect of changing one of the loci (from 0 to 1). In particular, the effect of changing the first locus from 0 to 1 is

$$(w_{100} - w_{000}) + (w_{110} - w_{010}) + (w_{101} - w_{001}) + (w_{111} - w_{011}) =$$

$$(8) \quad -w_{000} - w_{001} - w_{010} - w_{011} + w_{100} + w_{101} + w_{110} + w_{111},$$

and 8 is the negative of Row 5. Similarly, the second coefficient measures the same effect for the third locus, and Row 3 for the second locus. Row 4 (see 6), Row 6 (see 5) and Row 7 (see 4) measure pairwise epistasis. Row 8 is the three-way interactions (see 7).

For any  $L$  Walsh coefficients summarize average fitness, first order effects (the effect of changing an allele from 0 to 1), and interactions. In particular, the second and higher order Walsh coefficients are zero if fitness is additive. The Walsh coefficient  $W_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_L}$  for  $i_1, \dots, i_L \in \{0, 1\}$  is defined as

$$W_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_L} = \sum_{j_1 \dots j_L} (-1)^{i_1 j_1 + \dots + i_L j_L} w_{j_1 \dots j_L},$$

where the sum is taken over all bit-strings  $j_1 \dots j_L$  of length  $L$ . For complete proofs see e.g. Greene (2023).

**1.3. Circuits and Walsh coefficients.** Suppose that fitness is additive with the two exceptions that  $w_{110}$  is slightly higher than additive fitness would imply, and  $w_{111}$  slightly lower, say

$$w_{110} - w_{100} - w_{010} + w_{000} = \epsilon$$

and

$$w_{111} - w_{101} - w_{011} + w_{001} = -\epsilon$$

Then the two-way epistasis for the first two loci measured by the Walsh coefficient 4 is zero. The reason is that the positive and negative effects cancel out. The epistasis will however show up as a third-order effect:

$$w_{000} - w_{001} - w_{010} + w_{011} - w_{100} + w_{101} + w_{110} - w_{111} = -2\epsilon.$$

For analyzing gene interactions on the most detailed level, as opposed to considering average effects, it can be beneficial to consider circuits, i.e., minimal dependence relations such as

$$\begin{aligned} w_{110} - w_{100} - w_{010} + w_{000} \\ w_{111} - w_{101} - w_{011} + w_{001} \end{aligned}$$

By definition, circuits are linear forms that are zero whenever fitness is additive, and whose support (i.e., the  $w_g$  with non-zero coefficients) is non-empty but minimal with respect to inclusion. There are in total 20 circuits for a biallelic three-locus system (Beerenkel et al., 2007). Approaches that depend on circuits have been used in different areas of biology. For some recent applications see Eble et al. (2023); Crona et al. (2023); Brown et al. (2023); Crona (2020); Eble et al. (2019). Circuits for several alleles will be discussed in the next section.

## 2. TWO LOCI AND THREE ALLELES

The genotypes for two loci and three alleles are denoted

$$00, 01, 02, 10, 11, 12, 20, 21, 22.$$

The analysis of gene interactions is similar to the biallelic case. One can compare  $w_{11}$  with  $w_{00}$  but it is equally natural to compare with other genotypes of Hamming distance two, i.e., 20, 02 and 22. If one compares with 00, additive fitness implies

$$w_{11} - w_{00} = (w_{10} - w_{00}) + (w_{01} - w_{00}),$$

or

$$(9) \quad w_{11} = w_{10} + w_{01} - w_{00}$$

If one compares with 22, additive fitness implies

$$w_{11} - w_{22} = (w_{12} - w_{22}) + (w_{21} - w_{22}),$$

or

$$(10) \quad w_{11} = w_{12} + w_{21} - w_{22}$$

By a similar argument for comparisons with 20 and 02 one gets

$$(11) \quad w_{11} = w_{21} + w_{10} - w_{20}$$

and

$$(12) \quad w_{11} = w_{12} + w_{01} - w_{02}.$$

From 9, 10, 11 and 12, additive fitness implies that

$$\begin{aligned} w_{11} &= \frac{1}{4}(2w_{10} + 2w_{01} + 2w_{12} + 2w_{21} - w_{00} - w_{22} - w_{02} - w_{20}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(w_{10} + w_{01} + w_{12} + w_{21}) - \frac{1}{4}(w_{00} + w_{22} + w_{02} + w_{20}). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, epistasis can be measured by the form

$$(13) \quad w_{11} + 1/4(w_{00} + w_{22} + w_{20} + w_{02}) - 1/2(w_{10} + w_{01} + w_{12} + w_{21}).$$

A similar analysis of  $w_{12}$ ,  $w_{21}$  and  $w_{22}$  give another four measures of epistasis

$$(14) \quad w_{12} + 1/4(w_{00} + w_{01} + w_{20} + w_{21}) - 1/2(w_{10} + w_{11} + w_{02} + w_{22})$$

$$(15) \quad w_{21} + 1/4(w_{00} + w_{02} + w_{10} + w_{12}) - 1/2(w_{01} + w_{11} + w_{20} + w_{22})$$

$$(16) \quad w_{22} + 1/4(w_{00} + w_{01} + w_{10} + w_{11}) - 1/2(w_{20} + w_{21} + w_{02} + w_{12}).$$

The argument applies to  $w_{00}$ ,  $w_{10}$ ,  $w_{01}$ ,  $w_{20}$ ,  $w_{02}$  as well. However, the latter forms can also be expressed as linear combinations of 13, 14, 15 and 16. Specifically,

$$w_{00} + \frac{1}{4}(w_{11} + w_{12} + w_{21} + w_{22}) - \frac{1}{2}(w_{01} + w_{02} + w_{10} + w_{20}) = (13) + (14) + (15) + (16)$$

$$w_{10} + \frac{1}{4}(w_{01} + w_{02} + w_{21} + w_{22}) - \frac{1}{2}(w_{11} + w_{12} + w_{00} + w_{02}) = -(13) - (14)$$

$$w_{20} + \frac{1}{4}(w_{01} + w_{02} + w_{11} + w_{22}) - \frac{1}{2}(w_{21} + w_{22} + w_{00} + w_{10}) = -(15) - (16)$$

$$w_{01} + \frac{1}{4}(w_{10} + w_{12} + w_{20} + w_{22}) - \frac{1}{2}(w_{00} + w_{02} + w_{11} + w_{12}) = -(13) - (15)$$

$$w_{02} + \frac{1}{4}(w_{10} + w_{11} + w_{20} + w_{21}) - \frac{1}{2}(w_{01} + w_{02} + w_{12} + w_{22}) = -(14) - (16)$$

Following (Greene, 2023), we consider 13, 14, 15 and 16 Walsh coefficients. Similar to the biallelic case, all second order interactions can be described as linear combinations of the Walsh coefficients. However, in contrast to the biallelic case there is no

obvious preferred way to define Walsh coefficients (in that sense the definition of (13)-(16) as Walsh coefficient is arbitrary, see also the discussion section).

The analysis of lower order Walsh coefficients is also similar to the biallelic case. The coefficient of order zero is

$$w_{00} + w_{01} + w_{02} + w_{10} + w_{20} + w_{11} + w_{12} + w_{21} + w_{22}.$$

The effect of changing the first locus to 1 from an alternative allele (0 or 2) can be measured by the form

$$(w_{10} - w_{20}) + (w_{10} - w_{00}) + (w_{11} - w_{21}) + (w_{11} - w_{01}) + (w_{12} - w_{02}) + (w_{12} - w_{22}) = \\ 2w_{10} + 2w_{11} + 2w_{12} - (w_{00} + w_{01} + w_{02} + w_{20} + w_{21} + w_{22})$$

Similarly, the effect of changing the first locus to 2 from an alternative allele can be measured by the form

$$2w_{20} + 2w_{21} + 2w_{22} - (w_{00} + w_{01} + w_{02} + w_{10} + w_{11} + w_{12}).$$

Analogously, for the second locus one gets the two forms

$$2w_{01} + 2w_{11} + 2w_{21} - (w_{00} + w_{10} + w_{20} + w_{02} + w_{12} + w_{22})$$

$$2w_{02} + 2w_{12} + 2w_{22} - (w_{00} + w_{10} + w_{20} + w_{01} + w_{11} + w_{21}).$$

The nine Walsh coefficients described serve the same purpose as Walsh coefficients in the biallelic case (see also the discussion section).

**2.1. Circuits for several alleles.** The sole circuit for a biallelic 2-locus system is

$$w_{11} + w_{00} - w_{10} - w_{01}.$$

There are in total 9 analogous circuits for a three-locus three-allele system.

$$w_{11} + w_{00} - w_{10} - w_{01}$$

$$w_{11} + w_{20} - w_{10} - w_{21}$$

$$w_{11} + w_{02} - w_{12} - w_{01}$$

$$w_{11} + w_{22} - w_{12} - w_{21}$$

$$w_{22} + w_{00} - w_{20} - w_{02}$$

$$w_{22} + w_{10} - w_{20} - w_{12}$$

$$w_{22} + w_{01} - w_{21} - w_{02}$$

$$w_{00} + w_{12} - w_{10} - w_{02}$$

$$w_{00} + w_{21} - w_{01} - w_{20}.$$

However there are also six circuits of a different type:

$$w_{00} + w_{11} + w_{22} - w_{01} - w_{12} - w_{20}$$

$$w_{00} + w_{11} + w_{22} - w_{02} - w_{10} - w_{21}$$

$$w_{00} + w_{12} + w_{21} - w_{01} - w_{10} - w_{22}$$

$$w_{00} + w_{12} + w_{21} - w_{02} - w_{11} - w_{20}$$

$$w_{01} + w_{12} + w_{20} - w_{02} - w_{10} - w_{21}$$

$$w_{01} + w_{10} + w_{22} - w_{02} - w_{11} - w_{20}.$$

As mentioned, circuits are useful if one wants to analyze detailed aspects of gene interactions. It has been established that circuits have relevance for recombination, or gene shuffling (Beerenkel et al., 2007). For instance, suppose that  $w_{00} + w_{11} > w_{01} + w_{10}$ , i.e., the circuit  $w_{00} + w_{11} - w_{01} - w_{10} > 0$  and that a population consists of genotypes 10 and 01. Then a single recombination event

$$10 + 01 \mapsto 11 + 00$$

can replace the poorly combined pair  $\{10, 01\}$  with a better combined pair  $\{00, 11\}$ .

For two loci and three alleles one needs to consider obstacles that are not present in the biallelic case. In brief, if

$$w_{00} + w_{11} + w_{22} > w_{01} + w_{12} + w_{20},$$

then no single recombination event for a  $\{01, 12, 20\}$  population can generate genotypes that are all in the set  $\{00, 11, 22\}$ . Circuits will not be discussed further here.



### 3. TWO LOCI AND FOUR ALLELES

In the remaining sections we will only discuss Walsh coefficients for interactions since the lower order coefficients are similar to the cases discussed. For two loci and four alleles the second order interactions are fairly similar to the case with two loci and three alleles. Here one can compare 11 with nine genotypes of Hamming distance two

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_{11} - w_{00} &= (w_{10} - w_{00}) + (w_{01} - w_{00}) \\
 w_{11} - w_{20} &= (w_{10} - w_{20}) + (w_{21} - w_{20}) \\
 w_{11} - w_{02} &= (w_{12} - w_{02}) + (w_{01} - w_{02}) \\
 w_{11} - w_{22} &= (w_{12} - w_{22}) + (w_{21} - w_{22}) \\
 w_{11} - w_{30} &= (w_{10} - w_{30}) + (w_{31} - w_{30}) \\
 w_{11} - w_{03} &= (w_{13} - w_{03}) + (w_{01} - w_{03}) \\
 w_{11} - w_{33} &= (w_{13} - w_{33}) + (w_{31} - w_{33}) \\
 w_{11} - w_{23} &= (w_{13} - w_{23}) + (w_{21} - w_{23}) \\
 w_{11} - w_{32} &= (w_{12} - w_{32}) + (w_{31} - w_{32}).
 \end{aligned}$$

From the expressions one concludes that additive fitness implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_{11} - \frac{1}{9}(w_{00} + w_{20} + w_{02} + w_{22} + w_{30} + w_{03} + w_{33} + w_{23} + w_{32}) &= \\
 \frac{1}{9}(3w_{10} + 3w_{12} + 3w_{13} + 3w_{01} + 3w_{21} + 3w_{31}) &+ \\
 \frac{1}{9}(2w_{00} + 2w_{20} + 2w_{02} + 2w_{22} + 2w_{30} + 2w_{03} + 2w_{23} + 2w_{32} + 2w_{33}). &
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the following form measures deviations from additive fitness

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_{11} + \frac{1}{9}(w_{00} + w_{20} + w_{02} + w_{22} + w_{30} + w_{03} + w_{33} + w_{23} + w_{32}) \\
 - \frac{1}{3}(w_{10} + w_{12} + w_{13} + w_{01} + w_{21} + w_{31}).
 \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, for the entire set

$$S = \{w_{11}, w_{12}, w_{13}, w_{21}, w_{22}, w_{23}, w_{31}, w_{32}, w_{33}\}$$

one get similar forms. The same is true for

$$T = \{w_{00}, w_{01}, w_{02}, w_{03}, w_{10}, w_{20}, w_{30}\}.$$

However, the forms in  $T$  are also linear combinations of the forms in  $S$ . Following (Greene, 2023), we define the nine forms obtained from  $S$  as the second order Walsh coefficients.

## 4. THREE LOCI AND THREE ALLELES

Recall that a second order interaction for the biallelic three-locus case was obtained from the observation

$$w_{111} - w_{001} = (w_{101} - w_{001}) + (w_{011} - w_{001}).$$

In this case the last coordinate is fixed at 1. For the case with three alleles one can similarly compare 111 with 001, but also with three other genotypes of Hamming distance two such that the third coordinate is fixed at 1 (i.e., 221, 201, 021). Additivity in the four cases implies

$$w_{111} - w_{001} = w_{101} - w_{001} + w_{011} - w_{001}$$

$$w_{111} - w_{221} = w_{121} - w_{221} + w_{211} - w_{221}$$

$$w_{111} - w_{201} = w_{101} - w_{201} + w_{211} - w_{201}$$

$$w_{111} - w_{021} = w_{121} - w_{021} + w_{011} - w_{021}.$$

By symmetry, a comparison of 112 and the genotypes 002, 222, 202, 002 gives

$$w_{112} - w_{002} = w_{101} - w_{002} + w_{011} - w_{002}$$

$$w_{112} - w_{222} = w_{122} - w_{222} + w_{212} - w_{222}$$

$$w_{112} - w_{202} = w_{102} - w_{202} + w_{212} - w_{202}$$

$$w_{112} - w_{022} = w_{122} - w_{022} + w_{011} - w_{022},$$

and similarly from a comparison of 112 with 002, 222, 202, 002:

$$w_{110} - w_{000} = w_{100} - w_{000} + w_{010} - w_{000}$$

$$w_{110} - w_{220} = w_{120} - w_{220} + w_{210} - w_{220}$$

$$w_{110} - w_{200} = w_{100} - w_{200} + w_{210} - w_{200}$$

$$w_{110} - w_{020} = w_{120} - w_{020} + w_{010} - w_{020}.$$

From the 12 expressions one can determine an additive expectation for

$$w_{110} + w_{111} + w_{112}.$$

The expectation translates to that the following form measures deviations from additivity:

$$\begin{aligned}
& w_{110} + w_{111} + w_{112} \\
& + \frac{1}{4}(w_{000} + w_{200} + w_{020} + w_{220} + w_{001} + w_{201} + w_{021} + w_{221} + w_{002} + w_{202} + w_{022} + w_{222}) \\
& - \frac{1}{2}(w_{100} + w_{010} + w_{120} + w_{210} + w_{101} + w_{011} + w_{121} + w_{211} + w_{102} + w_{012} + w_{122} + w_{212}).
\end{aligned}$$

We denote the form  $w_{11*}$  (as a short notation for a prediction based on  $w_{110} + w_{111} + w_{112}$ ). With the same notation, one can describe the second order Walsh coefficients as:

$$w_{11*}, w_{12*}, w_{21*}, w_{22*}, w_{1*1}, w_{1*2}, w_{2*1}, w_{2*2}, w_{*11}, w_{*12}, w_{*21}, w_{*22}.$$

**4.1. Third order interactions.** Similar to the biallelic case a comparison of 111 and 000 gives

$$(17) \quad w_{111} = w_{110} + w_{101} + w_{011} - w_{100} - w_{010} - w_{001} + w_{000}.$$

However, for three alleles one can also compare 111 with the other genotypes of Hamming distance three, i.e., 222, 200, 020, 002, 220, 202, 022 give

$$(18) \quad w_{111} = w_{112} + w_{121} + w_{211} - w_{122} - w_{212} - w_{221} + w_{222}$$

$$(19) \quad w_{111} = w_{110} + w_{101} + w_{211} - w_{100} - w_{210} - w_{201} + w_{200}$$

$$(20) \quad w_{111} = w_{110} + w_{121} + w_{011} - w_{120} - w_{010} - w_{021} + w_{020}$$

$$(21) \quad w_{111} = w_{112} + w_{101} + w_{011} - w_{102} - w_{012} - w_{001} + w_{002}$$

$$(22) \quad w_{111} = w_{110} + w_{121} + w_{211} - w_{120} - w_{210} - w_{221} + w_{220}$$

$$(23) \quad w_{111} = w_{112} + w_{121} + w_{211} - w_{102} - w_{212} - w_{201} + w_{202}$$

$$(24) \quad w_{111} = w_{112} + w_{121} + w_{011} - w_{122} - w_{012} - w_{021} + w_{022}.$$

From (17)-(24) a linear expectation on  $w_{111}$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
w_{111} = & \frac{1}{8}(w_{000} + w_{222} + w_{200} + w_{020} + w_{002} + w_{220} + w_{202} + w_{022}) \\
& - \frac{1}{4}(w_{100} + w_{122} + w_{120} + w_{102} + w_{010} + w_{212} + w_{210} + w_{012} + w_{001} + w_{221} + w_{201} + w_{021}) \\
& + \frac{1}{2}(w_{110} + w_{112} + w_{101} + w_{121} + w_{011} + w_{211})
\end{aligned}$$

Differently expressed, the following form measures third-order interactions

$$\begin{aligned}
 & w_{111} - \frac{1}{8}(w_{000} + w_{222} + w_{200} + w_{020} + w_{002} + w_{220} + w_{202} + w_{022}) \\
 & + \frac{1}{4}(w_{100} + w_{122} + w_{120} + w_{102} + w_{010} + w_{212} + w_{210} + w_{012} + w_{001} + w_{221} + w_{201} + w_{021}) \\
 & - \frac{1}{2}(w_{110} + w_{112} + w_{101} + w_{121} + w_{011} + w_{211}).
 \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, there are in total eight forms based on additive predictions for

$$w_{111}, w_{112}, w_{121}, w_{112}, w_{221}, w_{212}, w_{122}, w_{222}.$$

Following Greene (2023) we define the eight forms as Walsh coefficients. Obviously, one can obtain similar forms for  $w_{ijk}$ , where at least one member of the set  $\{i, j, k\}$  equals zero. However, such forms can also be described as linear combinations of the eight forms.

## 5. DISCUSSION

We have discussed interpretations of Walsh coefficients for biallelic systems. Walsh coefficients of order two or higher can be interpreted as deviations from additive expectations. The Walsh coefficients are built in a systematic way from lower to higher order interactions. For instance, the Walsh coefficient of order three (that measures three-way epistasis) for a biallelic three-locus system is based on the wild-type fitness  $w_{000}$  the effects of changing single loci from 0 to 1, and three correction terms for second order interactions.

We have shown that Walsh-coefficients for an arbitrary number of loci can be understood similarly, and provided explicit Walsh coefficients for systems with two loci and three or four alleles, as well as for three loci and three alleles. As demonstrated, if one wants to define Walsh coefficients for three or more alleles it is necessary to make some choices, whereas the biallelic case is canonical in principle. (Strictly speaking there is a choice also in the biallelic case, but the impact will be restricted to the signs of the coefficients).

For an explicit formula for Walsh coefficients for an arbitrary number of alleles and related theory, see Greene (2023). The properties of Walsh coefficients in the general case are similar to the biallelic case. The coefficients of order two or higher are zero if fitness is additive, and all interactions can be described as linear combinations of the Walsh coefficients. A recursive definition of Walsh coefficients for an arbitrary number of alleles can be found in (Metzger et al., 2023).

Walsh coefficients focus on average effects. For a more detailed analysis of gene interactions it can be useful to consider circuits. For two loci and three alleles there are 15 circuits (Section 2.1). It would be interesting with more results on circuits in the multiallelic setting.

## REFERENCES

- Beerenwinkel, N., Pachter, L., and Sturmfels, B. (2007). Epistasis and shapes of fitness landscapes. *Statistica Sinica*, 1317-1342.
- Browne, C. J., and Yahia, F. (2023). Virus-immune dynamics determined by prey-predator interaction network and epistasis in viral fitness landscape. *Journal of Mathematical Biology*, 86(1), 9.
- Crona, K. (2020). Rank orders and signed interactions in evolutionary biology. *Elife*, 9, e51004.
- Greene, D. Multiallelic Walsh transforms [arXiv:2311.16925](https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.16925)
- Greene, D. A Primer for the Walsh Transform [arXiv:2311.02177](https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.02177)
- Crona, K., Krug, J., and Srivastava, M. (2023). Geometry of fitness landscapes: peaks, shapes and universal positive epistasis. *Journal of Mathematical Biology*, 86(4), 62.
- Eble, H., Joswig, M., Lamberti, L., and Ludington, W. B. (2023). Master regulators of biological systems in higher dimensions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 120(51), e2300634120.
- Eble, H., Joswig, M., Lamberti, L., and Ludington, W. B. (2019). Cluster partitions and fitness landscapes of the *Drosophila* fly microbiome. *Journal of mathematical biology*, 79(3), 861-899.
- Faure, A. J., Lehner, B., Miró Pina, V., Serrano Colome, C., and Weghorn, D. (2023). An extension of the Walsh-Hadamard transform to calculate and model epistasis in genetic landscapes of arbitrary shape and complexity. *bioRxiv*, 2023-03.
- Metzger, B. P., Park, Y., Starr, T. N., and Thornton, J. W. (2023). Epistasis facilitates functional evolution in an ancient transcription factor. *bioRxiv*, 2023-04.
- Weinreich, D. M., Lan, Y., Wylie, C. S., and Heckendorn, R. B. (2013). Should evolutionary geneticists worry about higher-order epistasis? *Current opinion in genetics & development*, 23(6), 700-707.