

# Decoupling Limits in Effective Field Theories via Higher Dimensional Operators

A. Quadri<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*INFN, Sezione di Milano, via Celoria 16, I-20133 Milano, Italy*

(Dated: January 2, 2024)

## Abstract

Non-decoupling effects of heavy scalars and vector fields play an important role in the indirect search of Beyond the Standard Model (BSM) physics at the LHC. By exploiting some new differential equations for the 1-PI amplitudes, we show that such non-decoupling effects are absent for quite a general class of effective field theories involving dimension six two-derivatives and dimension eight four-derivatives operators, once resummation in certain BSM couplings is taken into account and some particular regimes of the relevant couplings are considered.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Gh, 12.60.-i, 12.60.Fr

arXiv:2401.00693v1 [hep-ph] 1 Jan 2024

---

\* andrea.quadri@mi.infn.it

## I. INTRODUCTION

In the absence of any direct signal of new physics at the LHC, the search for Beyond the Standard Model (BSM) effects can be addressed within an Effective Field Theory (EFT) approach [1–11], either in the flavour of Standard Model Effective Theories (SMEFT) or Higgs Effective Field Theory (HEFT), see e.g. the reviews [9, 12].

The high-energy dynamics can in fact induce measurable effects in low-energy observables, despite the fact that new particles are too heavy to be directly detected in experiments.

This is due to the so called non-decoupling effects (see e.g. [13–17]), induced by loop corrections, that survive in the large mass limit of the BSM particles living in the theory assumed to be valid at high energies.

There is no fundamental reason why the latter theory should be power-counting renormalizable. Therefore it is interesting to investigate whether some higher-dimensional operators in that theory might affect the non-decoupling contributions to the low-energy physical observables one can directly measure at colliders.

In the usual EFT treatment computations are mostly limited to the first few terms in the small coupling expansion. In the present paper we will on the contrary consider a particular set of dimension six two-derivatives and dimension eight four-derivatives operators, for which a full resummation is possible.

The impact of these operators is quite dramatic, since in some particular regimes complete decoupling of high-energy dynamics from the low-energy observables occurs.

The result holds true for the fully resummed amplitudes, that exhibit a qualitatively different behaviour from their small coupling expansion.

The technical tool that allows one to study such a regime is the use of dynamical (i.e. propagating inside loops) gauge-invariant variables.

The construction of the gauge-invariant dynamical counter-part of a scalar particle has been studied in [18–21].

In the present paper we extend the analysis to the case of vector fields. For the sake of simplicity we will only work in the Landau gauge. The formalism in a generic  $R_\xi$ -gauge is technically more involved but does not change the physical content of the analysis. It will be presented elsewhere.

Treatment of fermions will also be presented in a separate publication, the reason being

that for fermions it is not always possible to carry out a field transformation implementing a change of variables to their gauge-invariant description. This can be achieved only if the fermionic fields have some specific charge under the relevant gauge group, allowing to build a gauge-invariant combination out of the fermionic multiplet and the scalar containing the Higgs mode.

This is at variance with scalars and gauge fields, for which the construction is always possible, provided that spontaneous symmetry breaking happens in the model at hand.

We will work within the Algebraic Renormalization approach [22–24].

The key remark is that some operators, that in the ordinary formalism modify both the quadratic and the interaction terms in the Lagrangian, are represented by purely quadratic contributions if gauge-invariant variables are used.

Therefore they only affect propagators and consequently, in some particular cases, one can write down a differential equation controlling the dependence of the one-particle irreducible (1-PI) amplitudes on the BSM couplings [19].

These differential equations in turn can be exactly solved and lead to homogeneous Euler functions in the relevant couplings. The result holds true to all orders in perturbation theory and provides useful information on the structure of the fully resummed amplitudes.

In particular, one can easily identify some regimes in which complete decoupling happens. In those regimes the small coupling expansion does not make any sense.

From a physical point of view these results cast a shadow on the feasibility of extracting physical information from non-decoupling effects, at least within perturbation theory. It might in fact happen that the physically relevant high-energy dynamics is affected by the presence of such higher dimensional operators.

In that case, perturbative computations, that are limited to the first few terms in the small coupling expansion, are quite misleading, since they point to low-energy effects that are indeed not present in the full theory.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. II we set our notations and consider for purposes of illustration a simple Higgs portal model, connecting a  $SU(2)$  spontaneously broken gauge theory at high energy to the SM. In Sect. III the gauge-invariant variables for the scalar and the vector fields are constructed. In Sect. IV the differential equations for the 1-PI amplitudes are derived and the non-decoupling limit is analyzed. Finally conclusions are presented in Sect. V. Appendix A collects the functional identities of the theory, while

Appendix B2 contains the derivation of the propagators in the Landau gauge.

## II. A SIMPLE HIGGS PORTAL MODEL

For the sake of definiteness let us consider a  $SU(2)$  spontaneously broken theory at high energy, with gauge fields  $A_\mu = A_{a\mu} \frac{\tau_a}{2}$ ,  $\tau_a$  being the Pauli matrices, and a scalar doublet, represented in matrix form by  $\phi = \phi_0 + i\tau_a \phi_a$ ,  $\phi_0 = v + \sigma$ .  $v$  is the vacuum expectation value of  $\phi_0$ .  $\phi_a$  are the pseudo-Goldstone fields. Under the electroweak  $SU_{ew}(2) \times U(1)$  group we assume that both  $A_\mu$  and  $\phi$  are singlets.

Under an infinitesimal gauge transformation of parameters  $\alpha_a$  the fields transform as ( $g$  is the coupling constant of the extra  $SU(2)$  group):

$$\delta A_{a\mu} = \partial_\mu \alpha_a + g \epsilon_{abc} A_{b\mu} \alpha_c, \quad \delta \phi_a = \frac{g}{2} \phi_0 \alpha_a + \frac{g}{2} \epsilon_{abc} \phi_b \alpha_c, \quad \delta \phi_0 = -\frac{g}{2} \alpha_a \phi_a. \quad (2.1)$$

We also define in the usual way the field strength

$$G_{a\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_{a\nu} - \partial_\nu A_{a\mu} + g \epsilon_{abc} A_{b\mu} A_{c\nu}. \quad (2.2)$$

The covariant derivative  $D_\mu \phi$  is defined by

$$D_\mu \phi = \partial_\mu \phi - ig A_{a\mu} \frac{\tau_a}{2} \phi. \quad (2.3)$$

The Lagrangian of the extended sector of the SM is taken to be

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{ext} = & -\frac{1}{4} G_{a\mu\nu}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}(D^\mu \phi)^\dagger D_\mu \phi - \frac{\lambda}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\phi^\dagger \phi) - v^2 \right]^2 \\ & + g_1 \text{Tr} \left[ (\phi^\dagger \phi) - v^2 \right] \Phi^\dagger \Phi. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

In the second line of the above equation the only gauge-invariant operator of dimension four under  $SU(2) \times SU(2)_{ew} \times U(1)$ , connecting the SM and the BSM sector, is reported.

$\Phi$  denotes the SM Higgs doublet. Of course, in a BSM approach other operators can be considered, yet the essence of our analysis is unaffected by the particular choice of such operators, so we will limit ourselves to the simplest case of Eq.(2.4).

The theory is an example of the so-called Higgs-portal models, see e.g. Refs. [10, 25–34]. The extended sector affects the low-energy physics via loop effects, so one might hope to extract some signals of BSM physics via non-decoupling effects (i.e. contributions that survive in the large mass limit of the extra BSM particles).

If only power-counting renormalizable interactions are allowed, a detailed analysis of these models can be consistently studied [16, 17, 34].

Yet there is no fundamental reason why only dimension four operators should enter in BSM physics.

In the present paper we will show that if some suitable dimension six operators are introduced, non-decoupling effects vanish once the resummed amplitudes are considered.

### III. GAUGE-INVARIANT VARIABLES

In a recent series of papers [18–21, 35, 36] the construction of a dynamical gauge-invariant field for the scalar mode has been presented.

Let us denote the gauge-invariant counterpart of the doublet  $\phi$  by  $h$ :

$$h \sim \frac{1}{4v} \text{Tr}(\phi^\dagger \phi) - \frac{v}{2} = \sigma + \dots \quad (3.1)$$

where the dots stand for higher dimensional terms in the fields.

The condition (3.1) is implemented by the Lagrange multiplier technique in the BRST formalism. We denote by  $X$  the Lagrange multiplier and by  $\bar{c}, c$  the associated pair of antighost and ghost fields [19]. The constraint BRST differential  $\mathcal{J}$  reads

$$\mathcal{J}\bar{c} = h - \frac{1}{4v} \text{Tr}(\phi^\dagger \phi) + \frac{v}{2}, \quad \mathcal{J}X = c, \quad \mathcal{J}c = 0, \quad \mathcal{J}h = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Notice that  $\mathcal{J}$  is nilpotent due to gauge invariance of the right hand side (r.h.s.) of Eq.(3.1). It anticommutes with the ordinary BRST differential  $s$  associated to the gauge group. Then we add to the action of the model in the conventional formalism the following BRST-exact term ( $m^2 = 4\lambda v^2$  is the mass of the  $\sigma$ -field in Eq.(2.4)):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\text{aux,scalar}} &= \mathcal{J} \int d^4x \left[ \bar{c}(\square + m^2)X \right] \\ &= \int d^4x \left\{ X(\square + m^2) \left[ h - \frac{1}{4v} \text{Tr}(\phi^\dagger \phi) + \frac{v}{2} \right] - \bar{c}(\square + m^2)c \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

One also adds to the classical action the quadratic mass and kinetic terms

$$\int d^4x \left( -\frac{M^2 - m^2}{2} h^2 - \frac{z}{2} h \square h \right). \quad (3.4)$$

These are physical gauge invariant operators. In fact by going on shell with  $X$  in Eq.(3.3), one obtains a Klein-Gordon equation

$$(\square + m^2) \left[ h - \frac{1}{4v} \text{Tr}(\phi^\dagger \phi) + \frac{v}{2} \right] \Rightarrow h = \frac{1}{4v} \text{Tr}(\phi^\dagger \phi) - \frac{v}{2} + \eta, \quad (3.5)$$

$\eta$  being a free scalar field with squared mass  $m^2$  whose correlators can be proven to vanish in perturbation theory [36]. For this reason the  $\eta$  mode can be safely neglected in the following discussion.

By substituting back the solution for  $h$  in Eq.(3.5) at  $\eta = 0$  into the classical vertex functional Eq.(A1) we get the following operators

$$\int d^4x \left[ -\frac{M^2}{32v^2} \left( \text{Tr}(\phi^\dagger \phi) - 2v \right)^2 - \frac{z}{32v^2} \text{Tr}(\phi^\dagger \phi) \square \text{Tr}(\phi^\dagger \phi) \right]. \quad (3.6)$$

We remark that the  $m^2$ -dependent term cancels against the corresponding contribution in the classical action in Eq.(A1), i.e. the only physical parameters are  $M$  and  $z$ . The cancellations involving  $m^2$  have been discussed in Ref. [36].

Notice that a dimension six operator has appeared via the kinetic term in  $h$ . This will play a crucial role in the construction of the decoupling limit.

### A. Gauge field

We now move to the construction of a dynamical gauge-invariant variable for the massive gauge field  $A_{a\mu}$ . For the sake of simplicity we will consider the Landau gauge. The complete analysis in an arbitrary  $R_\xi$ -gauge will be presented elsewhere.

In order to set the stage we first need to fix the gauge *à la* BRST, so we add to the Lagrangian in Eq.(2.4) the following gauge-fixing term

$$S_{\text{g.f.} + \text{ghost}} = \int d^4x \left[ -b_a \partial A_a + \bar{c}_a \partial^\mu D_\mu c_a \right]. \quad (3.7)$$

The covariant derivative acts on the ghost fields  $c_a$  as

$$D_\mu c_a = \partial_\mu c_a + g \epsilon_{abc} A_{b\mu} c_c. \quad (3.8)$$

The relevant gauge-invariant counter-part of the gauge field  $A_\mu$  is

$$\begin{aligned} a_\mu &\sim \frac{i}{gv^2} \left[ 2\phi^\dagger D_\mu \phi - \partial_\mu (\phi^\dagger \phi) \right] \\ &= \left( A_{a\mu} - \frac{2}{gv} \partial_\mu \phi_a \right) \tau_a + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where the dots stand for terms of higher dimension in the fields.

The procedure to enforce the on-shell constraint in Eq.(3.9) follows the same lines as in the scalar case. The additional anti-ghost  $\bar{c}_\mu$  is now a vector field,  $\bar{c}_\mu = \bar{c}_{a\mu} \frac{\tau_a}{2}$ , transforming

under the constraint BRST differential  $\mathcal{J}$  as

$$\mathcal{J}\bar{c}_\mu = a_\mu - \frac{i}{gv^2} \left[ 2\phi^\dagger D_\mu \phi - \partial_\mu(\phi^\dagger \phi) \right]. \quad (3.10)$$

The constraint ghost and Lagrange multiplier are respectively  $c_\mu = c_{a\mu} \frac{\tau_a}{2}$  and  $X_\mu = X_{a\mu} \frac{\tau_a}{2}$ . They form a BRST doublet [37–39] under  $\mathcal{J}$ :

$$\mathcal{J}X_\mu = c_\mu, \quad \mathcal{J}c_\mu = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Nilpotency of  $\mathcal{J}$  again follows by the gauge invariance of the r.h.s. of Eq.(3.9).

The additional terms to be added to the action are

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{aux,vect}} &= \int d^4x \mathcal{J} \text{Tr} \left( \bar{c}_\mu \Sigma^{\mu\nu} X_\nu \right) \\ &= \int d^4x \text{Tr} \left\{ -\bar{c}_\mu \Sigma^{\mu\nu} c_\nu + X_\mu \Sigma^{\mu\nu} \left[ a_\nu - \frac{i}{gv^2} \left( 2\phi^\dagger D_\nu \phi - \partial_\nu(\phi^\dagger \phi) \right) \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where the symmetric tensor  $\Sigma^{\mu\nu}$  denotes the 2-point 1-PI amplitude of the gauge field  $A_\mu$  in the Landau gauge and is given by

$$\Sigma^{\mu\nu} = (\square g^{\mu\nu} - \partial^\mu \partial^\nu) + M_A^2 g^{\mu\nu}, \quad (3.13)$$

with  $M_A = gv/2$  is the mass of the vector field.

In Landau gauge the gauge field propagator is transverse and the pseudo-Goldstone field are massless. Physical unitarity in this gauge has been studied in detail in Ref. [40].

The quadratic part in the relevant sector reads

$$\int d^4x \left[ \frac{1}{2} A_{a\mu} (\square g^{\mu\nu} - \partial^\mu \partial^\nu) A_{a\nu} + \frac{M_A^2}{2} \left( A_{a\mu} - \frac{1}{M_A} \partial_\mu \phi_a \right)^2 - b_a \partial A_a \right]. \quad (3.14)$$

The propagators can be obtained by diagonalizing the 2-point 1-PI amplitudes in the sector spanned by  $A_{a\mu}, a_{a\mu}, X_{a\mu}, b_a, \phi_a$ . The derivation is presented in Appendix B 2.

We notice that the mass eigenstate  $a'_{a\mu}$  in Eq.(B8) is also BRST-invariant, since by Eq.(B4) it is given by

$$a'_{a\mu} = a_{a\mu} - \frac{1}{M_A^2} \partial_\mu b_a, \quad (3.15)$$

i.e. a linear combination of gauge-invariant variables. Hence one can freely add an independent mass term

$$\int d^4x \frac{M'^2 - M_A^2}{2} a'_{a\mu}{}^2 \quad (3.16)$$

as well as a transverse combination

$$\int d^4x \frac{z'}{2} a'_{a\mu} (\square g^{\mu\nu} - \partial^\mu \partial^\nu) a'_{a\nu} \quad (3.17)$$

while preserving gauge-invariance.

Other choices involving the longitudinal parts are also possible (e.g.  $(\partial a'_a)^2$ ), yet when these operators are switched on and one goes on shell, quadratic higher derivative terms in the pseudo-Goldstone fields arise and consequently negative norm states are introduced in theory [41, 42]. For this reason we limit to the contributions in Eqs. (3.16) and (3.17).

The  $a'_\mu$ -propagator is correspondingly modified as

$$\Delta_{a'_\mu a'_\nu} = \frac{i\delta_{ab}}{-(1+z')p^2 + M'^2} T_{\mu\nu} + \frac{i\delta_{ab}}{M'^2} L_{\mu\nu}. \quad (3.18)$$

while all other propagators are unaffected.

Even at  $z' = 0$  the shift in the mass term induces a violation of power-counting renormalizability, since now the  $A_\mu$ -propagator develops a constant longitudinal part

$$\Delta_{A_\mu A_\nu} = \frac{i\delta_{ab}}{-p^2 + M'^2} T_{\mu\nu} + i\delta_{ab} \frac{M'^2 - M_A^2}{M_A^2 M'^2} L_{\mu\nu} \quad (3.19)$$

unless  $M' = M_A$ .

The violation of power-counting renormalizability by the  $a'_\mu$ -mass term can be understood by noticing that there are two contributions to the mass term

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^4x \frac{M'^2 - M_A^2}{2} a'^2_{a\mu} &= \int d^4x \frac{M'^2 - M_A^2}{2} \left( a_{a\mu} - \frac{1}{M_A^2} \partial_\mu b_a \right)^2 \\ &= \int d^4x \left( \frac{M'^2 - M_A^2}{2} a^2_{a\mu} - \frac{M'^2 - M_A^2}{M_A^2} a_{a\mu} \partial^\mu b_a + \frac{M'^2 - M_A^2}{2M_A^4} \partial^\mu b_a \partial_\mu b_a \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

As a consequence of the gauge invariance of  $a_\mu$ , the last two terms in the above equation can be removed by adding the BRST-exact term

$$\frac{M'^2 - M_A^2}{M_A^2} \int d^4x \mathcal{J} \left[ \partial_\mu \bar{c}_a \left( a_{a\mu} - \frac{1}{2M_A^2} \partial_\mu b_a \right) \right]. \quad (3.21)$$

and thus they are unphysical. The first term is on-shell equivalent to the dim.6 operator

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^4x \frac{M'^2 - M_A^2}{2} a^2_{a\mu} &= \int d^4x (M'^2 - M_A^2) \text{Tr} a_\mu^2 \sim \\ &\frac{M'^2 - M_A^2}{4v^2 M_A^2} \int d^4x \text{Tr} \left\{ \phi^\dagger \phi \left[ 4D^\mu \phi (D_\mu \phi)^\dagger + 2\partial^\mu (\phi^\dagger D_\mu \phi + (D_\mu \phi)^\dagger \phi) - \square \phi^\dagger \phi \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

The classical action is thus modified by a non-renormalizable interaction. The relevant term giving a mass contribution to the gauge field is the first one in the r.h.s. of Eq.(3.22), belonging to the family of operators

$$C_n \equiv \int d^4x \text{Tr} [(\phi^\dagger \phi)^n (D^\mu \phi)^\dagger D_\mu \phi]. \quad (3.23)$$

All of them contribute to the gauge field mass term. As is very well-known, only  $C_0$  leads to a power-counting renormalizable theory.

By the same argument the additional kinetic term corresponds to a dimension eight operator with four derivatives

$$\int d^4x \frac{z'}{2} a'_{a\mu} (\square g^{\mu\nu} - \partial^\mu \partial^\nu) a'_{a\nu} \sim -\frac{4z'}{g^2 v^4} \int d^4x \text{Tr} \left[ \phi^\dagger D_\mu \phi (\square g^{\mu\nu} - \partial^\mu \partial^\nu) (\phi^\dagger D_\nu \phi) \right]. \quad (3.24)$$

It contributes both to the quadratic part and to the interaction terms, as it happens for the terms in Eq.(3.22).

In the standard formalism it is difficult to compute the radiative corrections induced by those operators beyond the small coupling expansion and it is very hard to guess the form of the resummation.

On the other hand, by using the dynamical gauge-invariant fields the additional operators are rewritten in a form that only contributes to the quadratic part.

This paves the way to the derivation of some novel differential equations, allowing to determine the functional dependence of the amplitudes on the new parameters in an exact way. This will be discussed in the next Section.

#### IV. THE DECOUPLING LIMIT

The parameters  $z, M^2$  and  $z', M'^2$  only enter in the propagators  $\Delta_{hh}$  and  $\Delta_{a'_{a\mu} a'_{b\mu}}$  respectively and never in the interaction vertices. Moreover the propagator  $\Delta_{hh}$  is an eigenvector of eigenvalue  $-1$  of the differential operator

$$\mathcal{D}_z^{M^2} \equiv (1+z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + M^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial M^2}, \quad (4.1)$$

while the propagator  $\Delta_{a'_{a\mu} a'_{b\mu}}$  is an eigenvector of eigenvalue  $-1$  of the differential operator

$$\mathcal{D}_{z'}^{M'^2} \equiv (1+z') \frac{\partial}{\partial z'} + M'^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial M'^2}, \quad (4.2)$$

i.e.

$$\mathcal{D}_z^{M^2} \Delta_{hh} = -\Delta_{hh}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{z'}^{M'^2} \Delta_{a'_\mu a'_\nu} = -\Delta_{a'_\mu a'_\nu}. \quad (4.3)$$

Let us now consider a  $n$ -th loop 1-Pi amplitude  $\Gamma_{\varphi_1 \dots \varphi_r}^{(n)}$  with  $r$   $\varphi_i$  external legs,  $\varphi_i = \varphi(p_i)$  denoting a generic field or external source of the theory with incoming momentum  $p_i$ .

$\Gamma_{\varphi_1 \dots \varphi_r}^{(n)}$  can be decomposed as the sum of all diagrams with (amputated) external legs  $\varphi_1 \dots \varphi_r$  with zero, one, two,  $\dots$   $\ell_h$  internal  $h$ -propagators: and zero, one, two,  $\dots$   $\ell_a$  internal  $a'$ -propagators:

$$\Gamma_{\varphi_1 \dots \varphi_r}^{(n)} = \sum_{\ell_h, \ell_a \geq 0} \Gamma_{\varphi_1 \dots \varphi_r}^{(n; \ell_h, \ell_a)} \quad (4.4)$$

Then each  $\Gamma_{\varphi_1 \dots \varphi_r}^{(n; \ell_h, \ell_a)}$  is clearly an eigenvector of  $\mathcal{D}_z^{M^2}$  of eigenvalue  $-\ell_h$  and of  $\mathcal{D}_{z'}^{M'^2}$  of eigenvalue  $-\ell_a$ , namely

$$\mathcal{D}_z^{M^2} \Gamma_{\varphi_1 \dots \varphi_r}^{(n; \ell_h, \ell_a)} = -\ell_h \Gamma_{\varphi_1 \dots \varphi_r}^{(n; \ell_h, \ell_a)}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{z'}^{M'^2} \Gamma_{\varphi_1 \dots \varphi_r}^{(n; \ell_h, \ell_a)} = -\ell_a \Gamma_{\varphi_1 \dots \varphi_r}^{(n; \ell_h, \ell_a)}. \quad (4.5)$$

By Euler's theorem the most general solution to the above differential equations is a homogeneous function in the variables  $M^2/(1+z)$  and  $M'^2/(1+z')$ , i.e. a function of the form

$$\Gamma_{\varphi_1 \dots \varphi_r}^{(n; \ell_h, \ell_a)}(z, M^2, z', M'^2) = \frac{1}{(1+z)^{\ell_h}} \frac{1}{(1+z')^{\ell_a}} \Gamma_{\varphi_1 \dots \varphi_r}^{(n; \ell_h, \ell_a)} \left( 0, \frac{M^2}{1+z}, 0, \frac{M'^2}{1+z'} \right). \quad (4.6)$$

Notice that this result holds true to all orders in the loop expansion.

It is preserved by renormalization, provided that the finite normalization conditions are chosen in such a way to fulfill Eq.(4.6) [19].

Eq.(4.6) predicts the structure of the fully resummed amplitudes, i.e. it contains the exact dependence on the parameters  $z, z', M^2, M'^2$ .

In particular, one can consider trajectories in the couplings space where the ratios  $M^2/(1+z)$  and  $M'^2/(1+z')$  are kept fixed while letting  $z, z'$  tend to infinity.

By Eq.(4.6) one sees that in such a limit only the contribution  $\Gamma^{(n; 0, 0)}$  will survive. This is equivalent to say that all contributions generated by diagrams where at least one internal line is a  $\Delta_{hh}$  or a  $\Delta_{a'a'}$ -propagator vanish, i.e. all non-decoupling effects are washed out.

This is a quite surprising result. In fact it implies that the high-energy dynamics is totally decoupled from the infrared regime. In some sense, physical particles of the high energy theory act as classical background sources, influencing the low-energy physics only by tree-level contributions.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

In the present paper we have shown how to construct dynamical gauge-invariant variables for the gauge fields, by extending the procedure already obtained for scalars. The method works whenever spontaneous symmetry breaking occurs.

Dynamical gauge-invariant variables are quantum fields over which the path-integral is carried out, at variance with the approach based on composite gauge-invariant operators [43–45].

One of the main advantages of the gauge-invariant dynamical fields is that they allow to represent certain operators, involving complicated interactions in the standard formalism, by purely quadratic contributions to the classical action.

This in turn allows one to derive powerful differential equations controlling the dependence of the 1-PI amplitudes on their coefficients.

For the special choice of dimension six and dimension eight two derivative operators for the scalar and the vector fields, given in Eqs.(3.4), (3.16) and (3.17) (respectively Eqs.(3.6), (3.22) and (3.24) in the ordinary formalism), this implies that fully resummed amplitudes have a fairly simple dependence on such BSM couplings, see Eq.(4.6).

Then it is easy to identify trajectories in the coupling space where non-decoupling effects from the propagation of high-energy particles are washed out, while keeping the (tree-level) pole masses of such particles at fixed values (constant ratios  $M^2/(1+z)$  and  $M'^2/(1+z')$ ).

The argument is very general and does not depend on the particular interactions in the high-energy theory. It applies whenever the spectrum only contains ordinary particles (no higher derivatives terms in the quadratic part) and operators exist in the high-energy theory as those given in Eqs.(3.6), (3.22) and (3.24) in the standard formalism.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Warmful hospitality at the Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics (MITP) during the MITP Scientific Program "EFT Foundations and Tools" in August 2023, where part of this work has been carried out, is gratefully acknowledged.

## Appendix A: The model and its symmetries

The complete classical vertex functional reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^{(0)} = \Gamma_{\text{SM}}^{(0)} + \int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{4}G_{a\mu\nu}^2 + \frac{1}{4}\text{Tr}(D^\mu\phi)^\dagger D_\mu\phi - \frac{\lambda}{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(\phi^\dagger\phi) - v^2\right]^2 \right. \\
+ g_1\text{Tr}\left[(\phi^\dagger\phi) - v^2\right]^2\Phi^\dagger\Phi \\
- \frac{M^2 - m^2}{2}h^2 - \frac{z}{2}h\Box h + \frac{M'^2 - M_A^2}{2}a'_{a\mu}{}^2 + \frac{z'}{2}a'_{a\mu}(\Box g^{\mu\nu} - \partial^\mu\partial^\nu)a'_{a\nu} \\
- b_a\partial A_a + \bar{c}_a\partial^\mu D_\mu c_a \\
+ X(\Box + m^2)\left[h - \frac{1}{4v}\text{Tr}(\phi^\dagger\phi) + \frac{v}{2}\right] - \bar{c}(\Box + m^2)c \\
+ \text{Tr}\left\{X_\mu\Sigma^{\mu\nu}\left[a_\nu - \frac{i}{gv^2}\left(2\phi^\dagger D_\nu\phi - \partial_\nu(\phi^\dagger\phi)\right)\right] - \bar{c}_\mu\Sigma^{\mu\nu}c_\nu\right\} \\
+ \bar{c}^*\left(h - \frac{1}{4v}\text{Tr}(\phi^\dagger\phi) + \frac{v}{2}\right) + \text{Tr}\left\{\bar{c}^{*\mu}\left[a_\mu - \frac{i}{gv^2}\left(2\phi^\dagger D_\mu\phi - \partial_\mu(\phi^\dagger\phi)\right)\right]\right. \\
\left. + A_a^{*\mu}D_\mu c_a - \frac{g}{2}\phi_0^*c_a\phi_a + \phi_a^*\left(\frac{g}{2}\phi_0c_a + \frac{g}{2}\epsilon_{abc}\phi_b\omega_c\right)\right\}. \tag{A1}
\end{aligned}$$

In the above equation  $\Gamma_{\text{SM}}^{(0)}$  denotes the classical vertex functional of the SM, including the SM classical action, the gauge-fixing and ghost terms as well as the external sources (the so-called antifields [37]) required to define at the quantum level the nonlinear BRST transformations of the fields generated by the electroweak gauge group.

The additional higher dimensional operators, written in terms of gauge-invariant dynamical fields, are reported in the third line of Eq.(A1).

$a'_{a\mu}$  is defined by

$$a'_{a\mu} = a_{a\mu} - \frac{1}{M_A^2}\partial_\mu b_a.$$

The fourth and fifth lines contain the Lagrange multipliers together with the constraint ghost and antighost fields (that remain free). The differential operator  $\Sigma^{\mu\nu}$  is

$$\Sigma^{\mu\nu} = (\Box g^{\mu\nu} - \partial^\mu\partial^\nu) + M_A^2 g^{\mu\nu}. \tag{A2}$$

Finally in the last two lines the antifields  $\bar{c}^*$ ,  $\bar{c}^{*\mu}$  for the constraint BRST transformations  $\mathcal{S}\bar{c}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}\bar{c}_\mu$ , as well as  $A_{a\mu}^*$ ,  $\phi_0^*$  and  $\phi_a^*$  for the BRST variations of the SU(2) BSM extension, are introduced. We use the convention

$$\bar{c}^{*\mu} = \bar{c}_a^{*\mu}\frac{\tau_a}{2}.$$

The classical action in Eq.(A1) obeys several functional identities, in addition to the usual ones valid for the SM part  $\Gamma_{\text{SM}}^{(0)}$  (i.e. the Slavnov-Taylor identities, the  $b$ -equation, the ghost equation):

- the  $X$ - and  $X_a^\mu$ -equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta\Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta X} &= (\square + m^2)\frac{\delta\Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta\bar{c}^*}, \\ \frac{\delta\Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta X_a^\mu} &= \frac{1}{2}\Sigma^{\mu\nu}\frac{\delta\Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta\bar{c}_a^{*\nu}};\end{aligned}\tag{A3}$$

- the  $b$ -equation and ghost equation for the high-energy SU(2) gauge group:

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta b_a} = -\partial A_a, \quad \frac{\delta\Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta\bar{c}_a} = \partial^\mu\frac{\delta\Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta A_a^{*\mu}};\tag{A4}$$

- the  $h$  and  $a_\mu$ -equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta\Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta h} &= -(M^2 - m^2)h - z\square h + (\square + m^2)X + \bar{c}^*, \\ \frac{\delta\Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta a_{a\mu}} &= (M_a^2 - M_A^2)a'_{a\mu} + z_a(\square g^{\mu\nu} - \partial^\mu\partial^\nu)a'_{a\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\Sigma^{\mu\nu}X_{\nu a} + \bar{c}_{a\mu}^*.\end{aligned}\tag{A5}$$

Notice that the r.h.s. is linear in the quantum fields and therefore no further external source is required to renormalize these identities.

- the Slavnov-Taylor identity for the high-energy SU(2) group:

$$\mathcal{S}(\Gamma^{(0)}) = \int d^4x \left[ \frac{\delta\Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta A_{a\mu}^*} \frac{\delta\Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta A_{a\mu}} + \frac{\delta\Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta\sigma^*} \frac{\delta\Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta\sigma} + \frac{\delta\Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta\phi_a^*} \frac{\delta\Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta\phi_a} + b_a \frac{\delta\Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta\bar{c}_a} \right] = 0;\tag{A6}$$

- the constraint Slavnov-Taylor identities:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_{j,\text{scal}}(\Gamma^{(0)}) &= \int d^4x \left[ c \frac{\delta\Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta X} + \frac{\delta\Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta\bar{c}^*} \frac{\delta\Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta\bar{c}} \right] = 0, \\ \mathcal{S}_{j,\text{vect}}(\Gamma^{(0)}) &= \int d^4x \left[ c_{a\mu} \frac{\delta\Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta X_{a\mu}} + \frac{\delta\Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta\bar{c}_{a\mu}^*} \frac{\delta\Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta\bar{c}_{a\mu}} \right] = 0;\end{aligned}\tag{A7}$$

- the ghost equations for the constraint ghosts:

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta\bar{c}} = -(\square + m^2)c, \quad \frac{\delta\Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta\bar{c}_{a\mu}} = -\frac{1}{2}\Sigma^{\mu\nu}c_a.\tag{A8}$$

By using Eqs.(A8) in Eq.(A7) one gets back the  $X$ -equations (A3).

## Appendix B: Propagators

### 1. Scalar fields

Diagonalization of the quadratic part in the scalar sector spanned by  $\sigma, X, h$  is achieved by setting [19]

$$X = X_1 + h, \quad \sigma = \sigma' + X_1 + h. \quad (\text{B1})$$

The propagators in the mass eigenstate (diagonal) basis are

$$\Delta_{\sigma'\sigma'} = -\Delta_{X_1X_1} = \frac{i}{p^2 - m^2}, \quad \Delta_{hh} = \frac{i}{(1+z)p^2 - M^2}. \quad (\text{B2})$$

### 2. Landau gauge

One must diagonalize the quadratic part given by

$$\int d^4x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} A_{a\mu} (\square g^{\mu\nu} - \partial^\mu \partial^\nu) A_{a\nu} + \frac{M_A^2}{2} \left( A_{a\mu} - \frac{1}{M_A} \partial_\mu \phi_a \right)^2 - b_a \partial A_a \right. \\ \left. + X_{a\mu} \left[ (\square g^{\mu\nu} - \partial^\mu \partial^\nu) + M_A^2 g^{\mu\nu} \right] \left( a_{a\nu} - A_{a\nu} + \frac{1}{M_A} \partial_\nu \phi_a \right) \right\}. \quad (\text{B3})$$

One first removes the  $\phi - A_\mu$ -mixing via the redefinition

$$b_a = b'_a + M_A \phi_a, \quad (\text{B4})$$

followed by the cancellation of the  $b' - A_\mu$ -mixing by the replacement

$$A_{a\mu} = A'_{a\mu} - \frac{1}{M_A^2} \partial_\mu b'_a. \quad (\text{B5})$$

A further set of field redefinitions

$$A'_{a\mu} = A''_{a\mu} + X_{a\mu}, \quad X_{a\mu} = X'_{a\mu} + a_{a\mu} \quad (\text{B6})$$

take care of the  $X_\mu - A_\nu$  and  $X_\mu - a_\nu$  mixing. One is eventually left with

$$\int d^4x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} A''_{a\mu} [(\square + M_A^2) g^{\mu\nu} - \partial^\mu \partial^\nu] A''_{a\nu} - \frac{1}{2} X'_{a\mu} [(\square + M_A^2) g^{\mu\nu} - \partial^\mu \partial^\nu] X'_{a\nu} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} a_{a\mu} [(\square + M_A^2) g^{\mu\nu} - \partial^\mu \partial^\nu] a_{a\nu} - \frac{1}{2M_A^2} \partial^\mu b'_a \partial_\mu b'_a + \frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu \phi_a \partial_\mu \phi_a \right. \\ \left. + (X'_{a\mu} + a_{a\mu}) \partial^\mu (b'_a + M_A \phi_a) \right\}. \quad (\text{B7})$$

The mixing terms in the last line of the above equation can be removed by the local field redefinition

$$X'_{a\mu} = X''_{a\mu} + \frac{1}{M_A^2} \partial_\mu b'_a + \frac{1}{M_A} \partial_\mu \phi_a, \quad a_{a\mu} = a'_{a\mu} - \frac{1}{M_A^2} \partial_\mu b'_a - \frac{1}{M_A} \partial_\mu \phi_a. \quad (\text{B8})$$

No new  $b' - \phi$ -mixing is generated. The diagonal propagators in momentum space (mass eigenstates) are finally given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{A''_{a\mu} A''_{b\nu}} = \Delta_{a'_{a\mu} a'_{b\nu}} = -\Delta_{X''_{a\mu} X''_{b\nu}} &= \frac{i\delta_{ab}}{-p^2 + M_A^2} T_{\mu\nu} + \frac{i\delta_{ab}}{M_A^2} L_{\mu\nu} \\ \Delta_{b'_a b'_b} &= -\frac{i\delta_{ab} M_A^2}{p^2}, \quad \Delta_{\phi_a \phi_b} = \frac{i\delta_{ab}}{p^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B9})$$

In the symmetric basis ( $A_\mu, \phi, b, X_\mu, a_\mu$ )

$$\begin{aligned} b_a &= b'_a + M_A \phi_a, \quad A_{a\mu} = A''_{a\mu} + X''_{a\mu} + a'_{a\mu} - \frac{1}{M_A} \partial_\mu b'_a, \\ X_{a\mu} &= X''_{a\mu} + a'_{a\mu}, \quad a_{a\mu} = a'_{a\mu} - \frac{1}{M_A^2} \partial_\mu b'_a - \frac{1}{M_A} \partial_\mu \phi_a \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B10})$$

the non-vanishing propagators are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{A_{a\mu} A_{b\nu}} &= \frac{i\delta_{ab}}{-p^2 + M_A^2} T_{\mu\nu}, \quad \Delta_{A_{a\mu} b_b} = -\frac{\delta_{ab} p_\mu}{p^2}, \quad \Delta_{A_{a\mu} a_{b\nu}} = \frac{i\delta_{ab}}{-p^2 + M_A^2} T_{\mu\nu}, \\ \Delta_{X_{a\mu} a_{b\nu}} &= \frac{i\delta_{ab}}{-p^2 + M_A^2} T_{\mu\nu} + \frac{i\delta_{ab}}{M_A^2} L_{\mu\nu}, \quad \Delta_{a_{a\mu} a_{b\nu}} = \frac{i\delta_{ab}}{-p^2 + M_A^2} T_{\mu\nu} + \frac{i\delta_{ab}}{M_A^2} L_{\mu\nu}, \\ \Delta_{a_{a\mu} \phi_b} &= \frac{\delta_{ab} p_\mu}{M_A p^2}, \quad \Delta_{b_a \phi_b} = \frac{i\delta_{ab} M_A}{p^2}, \quad \Delta_{\phi_a \phi_b} = \frac{i\delta_{ab}}{p^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B11})$$

- 
- [1] A. V. Manohar, (2018), 10.1093/oso/9780198855743.003.0002, arXiv:1804.05863 [hep-ph].
  - [2] A. Efrati, A. Falkowski, and Y. Soreq, JHEP **07**, 018 (2015), arXiv:1503.07872 [hep-ph].
  - [3] A. Biekötter, A. Knochel, M. Krämer, D. Liu, and F. Riva, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 055029 (2015), arXiv:1406.7320 [hep-ph].
  - [4] A. Crivellin, F. D'Eramo, and M. Procura, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 191304 (2014), arXiv:1402.1173 [hep-ph].
  - [5] S. Weinberg, Phys. Lett. B **91**, 51 (1980).
  - [6] M. Cepeda *et al.*, CERN Yellow Rep. Monogr. **7**, 221 (2019), arXiv:1902.00134 [hep-ph].
  - [7] W. Buchmuller and D. Wyler, Nucl. Phys. **B268**, 621 (1986).

- [8] B. Grzadkowski, M. Iskrzynski, M. Misiak, and J. Rosiek, *JHEP* **10**, 085 (2010), arXiv:1008.4884 [hep-ph].
- [9] I. Brivio and M. Trott, *Phys. Rept.* **793**, 1 (2019), arXiv:1706.08945 [hep-ph].
- [10] J. R. Andersen *et al.* (LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group), (2013), 10.5170/CERN-2013-004, arXiv:1307.1347 [hep-ph].
- [11] D. de Florian *et al.* (LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group), **2/2017** (2016), 10.23731/CYRM-2017-002, arXiv:1610.07922 [hep-ph].
- [12] A. Dobado and D. Espriu, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **115**, 103813 (2020), arXiv:1911.06844 [hep-ph].
- [13] M. J. Herrero and E. Ruiz Morales, *Nucl. Phys. B* **437**, 319 (1995), arXiv:hep-ph/9411207.
- [14] M. S. Bilenky and A. Santamaria, *Nucl. Phys. B* **420**, 47 (1994), arXiv:hep-ph/9310302.
- [15] S. Dittmaier and C. Grosse-Knetter, *Phys. Rev. D* **52**, 7276 (1995), arXiv:hep-ph/9501285.
- [16] S. Dittmaier, S. Schuhmacher, and M. Stahlhofen, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 826 (2021), arXiv:2102.12020 [hep-ph].
- [17] F. Arco, D. Domenech, M. J. Herrero, and R. A. Morales, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 095013 (2023), arXiv:2307.15693 [hep-ph].
- [18] A. Quadri, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **32**, 1750089 (2017), arXiv:1610.00150 [hep-th].
- [19] D. Binosi and A. Quadri, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 065022 (2022), arXiv:2206.00894 [hep-th].
- [20] D. Binosi and A. Quadri, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 807 (2020), arXiv:1904.06693 [hep-ph].
- [21] D. Binosi and A. Quadri, *JHEP* **05**, 141 (2020), arXiv:2001.07430 [hep-ph].
- [22] O. Piguet and S. P. Sorella, *Lect. Notes Phys. Monogr.* **28**, 1 (1995).
- [23] P. A. Grassi, T. Hurth, and M. Steinhauser, *Annals Phys.* **288**, 197 (2001), arXiv:hep-ph/9907426 [hep-ph].
- [24] P. A. Grassi, T. Hurth, and M. Steinhauser, *Nucl. Phys. B* **610**, 215 (2001), arXiv:hep-ph/0102005 [hep-ph].
- [25] C. Englert, T. Plehn, D. Zerwas, and P. M. Zerwas, *Phys. Lett. B* **703**, 298 (2011), arXiv:1106.3097 [hep-ph].
- [26] B. Batell, S. Gori, and L.-T. Wang, *JHEP* **06**, 172 (2012), arXiv:1112.5180 [hep-ph].
- [27] A. Djouadi, O. Lebedev, Y. Mambrini, and J. Quevillon, *Phys. Lett. B* **709**, 65 (2012), arXiv:1112.3299 [hep-ph].

- [28] L. Lopez-Honorez, T. Schwetz, and J. Zupan, *Phys. Lett. B* **716**, 179 (2012), arXiv:1203.2064 [hep-ph].
- [29] J. Jaeckel, M. Jankowiak, and M. Spannowsky, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **2**, 111 (2013), arXiv:1212.3620 [hep-ph].
- [30] C. Englert, J. Jaeckel, V. V. Khoze, and M. Spannowsky, *JHEP* **04**, 060 (2013), arXiv:1301.4224 [hep-ph].
- [31] G. Buchalla, O. Catà, and C. Krause, *Nucl. Phys. B* **880**, 552 (2014), [Erratum: *Nucl.Phys.B* 913, 475–478 (2016)], arXiv:1307.5017 [hep-ph].
- [32] D. López-Val, T. Plehn, and M. Rauch, *JHEP* **10**, 134 (2013), arXiv:1308.1979 [hep-ph].
- [33] A. Beniwal, F. Rajec, C. Savage, P. Scott, C. Weniger, M. White, and A. G. Williams, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 115016 (2016), arXiv:1512.06458 [hep-ph].
- [34] T. Robens and T. Stefaniak, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 104 (2015), arXiv:1501.02234 [hep-ph].
- [35] D. Binosi and A. Quadri, *JHEP* **04**, 050 (2018), arXiv:1709.09937 [hep-th].
- [36] D. Binosi and A. Quadri, *JHEP* **09**, 032 (2019), arXiv:1904.06692 [hep-ph].
- [37] J. Gomis, J. Paris, and S. Samuel, *Phys. Rept.* **259**, 1 (1995), arXiv:hep-th/9412228 [hep-th].
- [38] G. Barnich, F. Brandt, and M. Henneaux, *Phys. Rept.* **338**, 439 (2000), arXiv:hep-th/0002245 [hep-th].
- [39] A. Quadri, *JHEP* **05**, 051 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/0201122 [hep-th].
- [40] R. Ferrari and A. Quadri, *JHEP* **11**, 019 (2004), arXiv:hep-th/0408168 [hep-th].
- [41] D. Langlois and K. Noui, *JCAP* **07**, 016 (2016), arXiv:1512.06820 [gr-qc].
- [42] M. Ostrogradsky, *Mem. Acad. St. Petersburg* **6**, 385 (1850).
- [43] D. Dudal, D. M. van Egmond, G. Peruzzo, and S. P. Sorella, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83**, 1091 (2023), arXiv:2309.16776 [hep-th].
- [44] D. Dudal, D. M. van Egmond, I. F. Justo, G. Peruzzo, and S. P. Sorella, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 065018 (2022), arXiv:2111.11958 [hep-th].
- [45] D. Dudal, D. M. van Egmond, M. S. Guimaraes, O. Holanda, L. F. Palhares, G. Peruzzo, and S. P. Sorella, *JHEP* **02**, 188 (2020), arXiv:1912.11390 [hep-th].