## Deformation quantization with separation of variables of $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$

Taika Okuda \* Akifumi Sako <sup>†</sup>

#### Abstract

We construct the deformation quantization with separation of variables of the Grassmannian  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$ . The star product on  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$  can be explicitly determined as the solution of the recurrence relations for  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$  given by Hara and one of the authors (A. S.). To give the solution of the recurrence relations, it is necessary to solve a system of linear equations at each order. However, to give the concrete expression of the general term is not easy because the variables increase with the order of the differentiation of the star product. For this reason, there has been no formula to express the general term of the recurrence relations. In this paper, by overcoming this problem by transforming the recurrence relations into simpler ones, we obtain the formula for the general term. We solve the recurrence relations by using creation and annihilation operators on a Fock space. From this solution, we obtain the explicit formula of the star product with separation of variables on  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$ .

#### 1 Introduction

For a symplectic manifold, the quantization method called "deformation quantization" is one of the construction methods of noncommutative differentiable manifolds. It is known as the quantization method based on a deformation for a Poisson algebra. Two types of deformation quantizations are known: "formal deformation quantization" proposed by Bayen et al. [2] and "strict deformation quantization", based on  $C^*$ -algebra proposed by Rieffel [34, 35, 36]. In this paper, we use "deformation quantization" in the sense of "formal deformation quantization".

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$  be a Poisson manifold and  $C^{\infty}(M)$  [[ $\hbar$ ]] be the ring of formal parameter series over  $C^{\infty}(M)$ . Let \* be the star product denoted by  $f * g = \sum_{k} C_k(f, g) \hbar^k$  satisfying the following conditions:

- 1. For any  $f, g, h \in C^{\infty}(M)$  [[ $\hbar$ ]], f \* (g \* h) = (f \* g) \* h.
- 2. For any  $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$  [[ $\hbar$ ]], f \* 1 = 1 \* f = f.
- 3. For any  $f, g \in C^{\infty}(M)$ ,  $C_k(f, g) = \sum_{I,J} a_{I,J} \partial^I f \partial^J g$ , where I, J are multi-indices.
- 4.  $C_0(f,g) = fg$ ,  $C_1(f,g) C_1(g,f) = \{f,g\}$ .

 $(C^{\infty}(M) \llbracket \hbar \rrbracket, *)$  is called "a deformation quantization" for the Poisson manifold M.

<sup>\*</sup>Graduate School of Science, Department of Mathematics and Science Education, Tokyo University of Science, 1-3 Kagurazaka, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8601, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Faculty of Science Division II, Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Science, 1-3 Kagurazaka, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8601, Japan

A well-known example of star products is Moyal product. It was proposed independently by Groenewold [14] and Moyal [28], and is often called Groenewold-Moyal product. Since it is a star product on  $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$ , it gives the noncommutative  $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$  as a deformation quantization. A star product on  $\mathbb{C}^N$ , called Voros product, is also known as a star product that is equivalent to the Moyal product [47]. For more detailed reviews of deformation quantization, see for example [15, 27]. For any symplectic manifolds, the construction methods of deformation quantization were proposed by de Wilde-Lecomte [11], Omori-Maeda-Yoshioka [30] and Fedosov [12]. For a more general case, a deformation quantization for Poisson manifolds was proposed by Kontsevich after these works [24].

On the other hand, a construction method of deformation quantization for any Kähler manifolds was studied by Karabegov [19, 20]. Let M be an N-dimensional Kähler manifold and  $(U, \phi)$  be a chart of M. For  $p \in M$ , we choose  $\phi(p) = (z_p^1, \dots, z_p^N)$  as local coordinates at  $p \in M$ . In the following discussion, we omit  $p \in M$  when denoting local coordinates of U. For the Kähler manifold M, the Kähler 2-form  $\omega$  and the Kähler metric g can be locally expressed as

$$\omega = ig_{k\bar{l}}dz^k \wedge d\bar{z}^l, \qquad g_{k\bar{l}} = \partial_k \partial_{\bar{l}}\Phi$$

by using a Kähler potential  $\Phi$ , where  $\partial_k := \partial/\partial z^k$  and  $\partial_{\bar{l}} := \partial/\partial z^{\bar{l}} = \partial/\partial \overline{z}^l$ . Note that we use the Einstein summation convention for index k and  $\bar{l}$  on the above. We also denote the inverse matrix of  $(g_{\bar{k}l})$  by  $(g^{\bar{k}l})$ . Karabegov proposed a construction method of deformation quantization for Kähler manifolds such that the following conditions, called "separation of variables", are satisfied [19, 20].

**Definition 1.2.** Let M be an N-dimensional Kähler manifold. A star product \* on M is "the separation of variables" if the following two conditions are satisfied for any neighborhood  $U \subset M$  and  $f \in C^{\infty}(U)$ :

- 1. For any holomorphic function a on U, a \* f = af.
- 2. For any anti-holomorphic function b on U, f \* b = fb.

Here we introduce two differential operators. The differential operators  $D^k$  and  $D^{\overline{k}}$  are defined by

$$D^k := g^{k\overline{l}}\partial_{\overline{l}}, \quad D^{\overline{k}} := g^{\overline{k}l}\partial_l,$$

where we use the Einstein summation convention for index l and  $\bar{l}$ . We define the set of differential operators

$$\mathcal{S} := \left\{ A \middle| A = \sum_{\overrightarrow{\beta^*}} a_{\overrightarrow{\beta^*}} D^{\overrightarrow{\beta^*}}, \, a_{\overrightarrow{\beta^*}} \in C^{\infty}\left(U\right) \right\}.$$

Here,  $D := (D^{\bar{1}}, \cdots, D^{\bar{N}})$  and  $\overrightarrow{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N)$  is a multi-index, i.e.  $D^{\overrightarrow{\beta^4}}$  is expressed as  $D^{\overrightarrow{\beta^4}} = (D^{\bar{1}})^{\beta_1} \cdots (D^{\bar{N}})^{\beta_N}$ . We also define the differential operators  $D^{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}}$  and  $D^{\overrightarrow{\beta_n^4}}$  as

$$D^{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}} := D^{\alpha_1^n} \cdots D^{\alpha_N^n}, \quad D^{\alpha_k^n} := \left(D^k\right)^{\alpha_k^n}, \\ D^{\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}} := \overline{D^{\overrightarrow{\beta_n}}} = \overline{D^{\beta_1^n}} \cdots \overline{D^{\beta_N^n}}, \quad \overline{D^{\beta_k^n}} := \left(D^{\overline{k}}\right)^{\beta_k^n}$$

for

$$\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n} \in \left\{ (\gamma_1^n, \cdots, \gamma_N^n) \in \mathbb{Z}^N \middle| \sum_{k=1}^N \gamma_k^n = n \right\},\$$

where the set  $\left\{ (\gamma_1^n, \cdots, \gamma_N^n) \in \mathbb{Z}^N \middle| \sum_{k=1}^N \gamma_k^n = n \right\}$  is an N-dimensional module such that a sum of all components is  $n \geq 0$ . We define  $D^{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}} := 0$  when there exists at least one negative  $\alpha_k^n \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  for  $k \in \{1, \cdots, N\}$ . Similarly,  $D^{\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}} := 0$  if  $\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}$  has negative components. For  $f \in C^\infty(U)$ , we can construct the left \*-multiplication operator  $L_f$  with respect to f such that  $L_f g := f * g$ . The following theorem states that  $L_f$  is expressed by a formal power series with respect to the differential operators in S.

**Theorem 1.3** ([19, 20]). Let M be an N-dimensional Kähler manifold, U be a neighborhood on M, and  $\omega$  be the Kähler form on M. Then, for  $f \in C^{\infty}(U)$ , there is the left \*-multiplication operator

$$L_f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hbar^n A_n.$$

Here  $A_n := \sum_{\overrightarrow{\beta^*}} a_{n,\overrightarrow{\beta^*}}(f) D^{\overrightarrow{\beta^*}} \in \mathcal{S}$  are differential operators whose coefficients  $a_{n,\overrightarrow{\beta^*}}(f) \in C^{\infty}(U)$  depend on f, and  $L_f$  is determined by the following three conditions:

- 1.  $\left[L_{f}, R_{\partial_{\overline{l}}\Phi}\right] = 0$ , where  $R_{\partial_{\overline{l}}\Phi} = \partial_{\overline{l}}\Phi + \hbar\partial_{\overline{l}}$  is the right \*-multiplication operator with respect to  $\partial_{\overline{l}}\Phi$ ,
- 2.  $L_f 1 = f * 1 = f$ ,
- 3. For any  $g, h \in C^{\infty}(U)$ , the left \*-multiplication operator is associative, i.e.

$$L_f(L_g h) = f * (g * h) = (f * g) * h = L_{L_f g} h.$$

The final goal of this study is to construct the deformation quantization with separation of variables for the complex Grassmannian  $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$ . Noncommutative Grassmannians have been studied from several viewpoints. From fuzzy physics, noncommutative Grassmannians as fuzzy manifolds have been obtained by Dolan-Jahn [10] and Halima-Wurzbacher [16]. From a viewpoint of deformation quantization, Karabegov has studied deformation quantization with separation of variables as an example of pseudo-Kähler manifold [21]. However, the star product with separation of variables for  $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$  is not explicitly obtained in [21]. On the other hand, it is known that there is a previous study that has attempted to determine the explicit star product on  $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$  [46] using a phase space reduction proposed by Bordemann et al. [1]. As another approach to the above background, there are known construction methods that explicitly give the star product with separation of variables on locally symmetric Kähler manifolds. These methods were proposed by one of the authors of this paper (A. S.), Suzuki, and Umetsu [37, 38] and Hara and A. S. [17, 18]. From these methods, explicit star products with separation of variables on any locally symmetric Kähler manifolds were obtained for the 1- and 2-dimensional cases [17, 18, 31, 32]. Using these construction methods, we can determine the star product with separation of variables by solving the recurrence relations. In previous works [17, 18], the recurrence relations for  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$  were obtained via the construction methods. In this work, we attempt to construct the explicit star product with separation of variables by solving the recurrence relations given by [17, 18].

We now introduce a complex Grassmannian. A complex Grassmannian  $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$  is defined by

 $G_{p,p+q}\left(\mathbb{C}\right):=\left\{V\subset\mathbb{C}^{p+q}\mid V:p\text{-dimensional complex vector subspace}\right\}.$ 

In particular if p = 1,  $G_{1,1+q}(\mathbb{C})$  is complex projective space  $\mathbb{C}P^q$ . The simplest complex Grassmannian which is not  $\mathbb{C}P^N$  is known to be  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$ , i.e. p = q = 2. Let

$$U := \left\{ Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_0 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix} \in M\left(p+q, p; \mathbb{C}\right) \middle| Y_0 \in GL_p\left(\mathbb{C}\right), \ Y_1 \in M\left(q, p; \mathbb{C}\right) \right\}$$
(1)

be an open set of  $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$ , and let  $\phi: U \to M(q,p;\mathbb{C})$  be a holomorphic map such that  $Y \mapsto \phi(Y) := Y_1 Y_0^{-1}$ . By using the above holomorphic map, we can choose

$$Z := \left(z^{I}\right) = \left(z^{ii'}\right) = \phi\left(Y\right)$$

as the local coordinates of  $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$ . Here I = ii' is "the capital letter index", which consists of two indices i and i'. In general,

$$I = ii^{'} = 11^{'}, \ \cdots, \ 1p^{'}, \ \cdots, \ q1^{'}, \ \cdots, \ qp^{'}$$

for  $i \in \{1, \dots, q\}$  and  $i' \in \{1', \dots, p'\}$ . For example, I = ii' = 11', 12', 13', 21', 22', 23' for q = 2, p = 3. If p = q = 2, then the other index which is not i (or not i') is uniquely determined when i (or i') is fixed. We denote by i (or i') the index such that it is not i (or not i'). For example, if I = 12', then ii' = 11', ii' = 22' and  $\mathcal{I} = 21'$ . By using this notation, we can choose  $(z^I) := (z^I, z^J, z^{ij'}, z^{ji'})$  as the local coordinates of  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$  up to their order. The set of capital letter indices  $(\{I = ii' \mid 1 \le i \le q, 1' \le i' \le p'\}, \leq_C)$  can be identified with the set of ordinary indices  $(\{1, \dots, qp\}, \leq)$  as a totally ordered set. By this identification, a capital letter index can also be regarded as an ordinary index  $I = 1, \dots, qp$ . See Appendix A for more details. For p = q = 2, the set  $\mathcal{I}$  of capital letter indices is defined by  $\mathcal{I} := \{I, I, ii', ii'\}$  for a fixed I. Note that it can also be rewritten as  $\mathcal{I} = \{11', 12', 21', 22'\}$ . The Kähler potential of  $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$  is given by

$$\Phi = \log \det B,\tag{2}$$

where  $B = \mathrm{Id}_p + Z^{\dagger}Z$ . When we express  $B = \left(b_{\overline{i'}j'}\right)$ , in terms of its element each entry  $b_{\overline{i'}j'}$  is written as

$$b_{\overline{i'j'}} = \delta_{i'j'} + \overline{z}^{mi'} z^{mj'} = \delta_{i'j'} + z^{\overline{mi'}} z^{mj'}.$$
(3)

Since B is regular, its inverse matrix  $B^{-1}$  is given by

$$B^{-1} = \left(b^{i'\overline{j'}}\right) = \frac{1}{\det B}\left(\widetilde{B}_{\overline{j'}i'}\right),\tag{4}$$

where  $\widetilde{B}$  is an adjugate matrix of B, and  $\left(\widetilde{B}_{\overline{j'i'}}\right)$  is the transpose matrix of  $\left(\widetilde{B}_{i'\overline{j'}}\right)$ . By using the above  $\Phi$ , the Kähler metric  $g_{I\overline{J}}$  can be expressed as  $g_{I\overline{J}} = \partial_I \partial_{\overline{J}} \Phi$ . Here  $\partial_I = \partial_{ii'}$  and  $\partial_{\overline{J}} = \partial_{\overline{jj'}}$  are defined by  $\partial_I := \partial/\partial z^{I} = \partial/\partial \overline{z}^{J} = \partial/\partial \overline{z}^{J} = \partial/\partial \overline{z}^{jj'}$ . Some useful properties of  $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$  are summarized

in Appendix A.

In order to give the explicit star product with separation of variables on  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$  by using the construction method proposed by [17, 18], it is necessary to solve the recurrence relations. However, if we attempt to solve the recurrence relations sequentially order by order, we need to solve a system of linear equations for each order. In addition, the recurrence relations contain variables given by the four partitions of order n. The number of variables increases combinatorially with increasing n. Therefore, there has been no formula for the general term of the recurrence relations. In this work, we derive a method that determines the general term of the recurrence relations for  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$  without solving the system of linear equations. Furthermore, we explicitly determine the star product with separation of variables on  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$ . In other words, we obtain the deformation quantization with separation of variables for  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$ based on the solution of the recurrence relations given by [17, 18]. The main theorem of this paper for the explicit star product with separation of variables on  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$  is as follows.

**Theorem 1.4** (Main Result). For  $f, g \in C^{\infty}(G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C}))$ , the star product with separation of variables on  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$  is given by

$$f * g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{J_i \in \{J_i\}_n \\ Y_i \in \{Y_i\}_n \\ k_i \in \{k_i\}_n}} \sum_{\substack{k_i=1 \\ k_i \in \{k_i\}_n}}^2 \left( \prod_{l=1}^n \frac{g_{\overline{k_l j'_l}, Y_l} \Upsilon_{l, \{J_l\}_n, \{k_i\}_n}}{\tau_l} \right) \left( \prod_{S \in \mathcal{I}} \prod_{r=1}^n \theta \left( \sum_{m=1}^{r-1} d_{S, J_m, k_m} \right) \right) \times \left( D^{\sum_{m=1}^n \overline{e_{Y_m}}} f \right) \left( D^{\sum_{X \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{m=1}^n d_{X, J_m, k_m} \overline{e_X^*}} g \right),$$
(5)

where

$$\Upsilon_{l,\{J_{i}\}_{n},\{k_{i}\}_{n}} := \frac{\tau_{l}\delta_{j_{l}k_{l}} + 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{l} d_{m,j_{l}j'_{l}},J_{m},k_{m}}{l\left(\tau_{l}+1\right) + 2\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{l} \left(\delta_{IJ_{m}} + \delta_{j_{l}i'},J_{m}\right)\right\}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{l} \left(\delta_{fJ_{m}} + \delta_{i_{l}j'},J_{m}\right)\right\}},\$$
$$d_{S,J_{m},k_{m}} := \delta_{S,J_{m}} + \delta_{j_{m}k_{m}}\left(\delta_{S,J_{m}} - \delta_{S,j_{m}j'_{m}}\right)\right\}$$

for  $l, r = 1, \dots, n, \tau_l := 1 - l + \frac{1}{\hbar}, \sum_{J_i \in \{J_i\}_n} := \sum_{J_1 \in \mathcal{I}} \dots \sum_{J_n \in \mathcal{I}}, \sum_{Y_i \in \{Y_i\}_n} := \sum_{Y_1 \in \mathcal{I}} \dots \sum_{Y_n \in \mathcal{I}}, \sum_{Y_i \in \{k_i\}_n} := \sum_{k_1=1}^2 \dots \sum_{k_n=1}^2, \text{ and } \theta : \mathbb{R} \to \{0,1\}$  is the step function defined by

$$\theta(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & (x \ge 0), \\ 0 & (x < 0). \end{cases}$$

It may appear that  $\Upsilon_{l,\{J_i\}_n,\{k_i\}_n}$  depends on the capital letter index  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ . Note, however, that in fact, it does not depend on the choice of  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ . Proposition B.1 stated in Appendix B guarantees this I-independence. From this fact, the star product (5) is the expression which is independent of  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ .

This paper is organized mainly into four sections and three appendices. In Section 2, we review the previous works by [17, 18] related to a deformation quantization with separation of variables for a locally symmetric Kähler manifold. In Section 3, we give the solvable recurrence relations which gives the star product with separation of variables for general  $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$ . We focus on the simplest complex Grassmannian  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$  which is not  $\mathbb{C}P^N$ . We give the solution of the recurrence relations for  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$  by using a linear operator on a Fock space. Furthermore, we construct the explicit star product with separation of variables on  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$  from the solution. In Section 4, we concretely give the explicit lower-order solutions of the recurrence relations for confirmation. In Appendix A, we summarize useful properties of  $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$ . In Appendix B, we denote a property of symmetric functions whose variables are capital letter indices. By using this property, we state that some functions and operators appearing in this paper does not depend on the choice of a capital letter index I. Finally, we show that the obtained star product on  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$  is expression which is independent of I. In Appendix C, we show that the explicit form of solutions is actually recovered from a linear operator.

## 2 Construction method of deformation quantization for locally symmetric Kähler manifolds

A general definition of quantization was first proposed by Berezin [3, 4]. In particular, Berezin also constructed the quantization of Kähler manifolds in the case of phase space by using symbol algebras. Bordemann et al. also studied the quantization of Kähler manifolds via Toeplitz quantization [5]. For the case of compact Kähler manifolds, its Berezin-Toeplitz quantization was studied by Karabegov and Schlichenmaier [22, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43]. See the review [44, 45] summarized by Schlichenmaier for their previous works related to Berezin-Toeplitz quantization of compact Kähler manifolds. From other perspectives of deformation quantization, deformation quantizations for Kähler manifolds were provided by Moreno [25, 26], Omori-Maeda-Miyazaki-Yoshioka [29] and Reshetikhin-Takhtajan [33]. It is also known that the relations between Berezin quantization and deformation quantization were studied by Cahen-Gutt-Rawnsley [6, 7, 8, 9]. As a different approach to Moreno, Omori-Maeda-Miyazaki-Yoshioka and Reshetikhin-Takhtajan, a deformation quantization with separation of variables for Kähler manifolds was proposed by Karabegov [19, 20]. The formula for Karabegov's deformation quantization given by interpreting the graph as a bidifferential operator was proposed by Gammelgaard [13]. After that, a deformation quantization with separation of variables for locally symmetric Kähler manifolds was studied by one of the authors of this paper (A. S.), Suzuki, and Umetsu [37, 38] and Hara and A. S. [17, 18], inspired by the construction method by Karabegov. In this section, we review the construction method of a deformation quantization with separation of variables for locally symmetric Kähler manifolds proposed by Hara and A. S. [17, 18].

A Kähler manifold M is "locally symmetric" if its Riemann curvature tensor satisfies  $\nabla_{\partial_E} R_{ABC}{}^D = 0$ for  $A, B, C, D, E \in \{1, \dots, N, \overline{1}, \dots, \overline{N}\}$ . In this paper, we define the Riemann curvature tensor  $R^{\nabla}$ :  $\Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(TM) \to \Gamma(TM)$  on M for vector fields  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$  by

$$R^{\nabla}(X,Y) := \nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y \nabla_X - \nabla_{[X,Y]}.$$

We denote the component of  $R^{\nabla}$  by

$$R^{\nabla}(\partial_A, \partial_B) \partial_C := R_{ABC}{}^D \partial_D \tag{6}$$

as a local expression. Here, we note that the notation  $R_{ABC}{}^D$  used in this paper can be expressed by the relation

$$R_{ABC}{}^{D} = \mathfrak{R}^{D}{}_{CAB}$$

using the notation

$$R^{\nabla}(\partial_A, \partial_B) \,\partial_C := \mathfrak{R}^D{}_{CAB} \partial_D \tag{7}$$

by Kobayashi-Nomizu [23]. Note that  $R_{ABC}{}^D = -\Re_{ABC}{}^D$ .

Let M be an N-dimensional locally symmetric Kähler manifold, and  $(U, \phi)$  be a chart of M. We now assume the following form for a star product with separation of variables on M for any  $f, g \in C^{\infty}(U)$ :

$$f * g = L_f g := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}} T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}} \left( D^{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}} f \right) \left( D^{\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}} g \right).$$
(8)

Here, recalling that  $D^{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}}, D^{\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}$  are differential operators defined by

$$D^{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}} := D^{\alpha_1^n} \cdots D^{\alpha_N^n}, \quad D^{\alpha_k^n} := \left(D^k\right)^{\alpha_k^n}, \\ D^{\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}} := \overline{D^{\overrightarrow{\beta_n^n}}} = \overline{D^{\beta_1^n}} \cdots \overline{D^{\beta_N^n}}, \quad \overline{D^{\beta_k^n}} := \left(D^{\overline{k}}\right)^{\beta_k^n}, \\ \overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n} \in \left\{ (\gamma_1^n, \cdots, \gamma_N^n) \in \mathbb{Z}^N \middle| \sum_{k=1}^N \gamma_k^n = n \right\},$$

respectively. Note that  $\hbar$  is included in the coefficient  $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}$  for  $n \ge 1$ . The coefficients  $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}$  can be assumed to be covariantly constants by using the fact that M is a locally symmetric space. Here, we define  $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}} := 0$  when  $\overrightarrow{\alpha_n} \notin \mathbb{Z}^N_{\ge 0}$  or  $\overrightarrow{\beta_n} \notin \mathbb{Z}^N_{\ge 0}$ . For n = 0, 1, it is known that the coefficient  $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}$  are explicitly given as follows.

**Proposition 2.1** ([17, 18]). For a star product with separation of variables \* on U,

$$T^{0}_{\overrightarrow{0},\overrightarrow{0^{*}}} = 1, \qquad T^{1}_{\overrightarrow{e_{i}},\overrightarrow{e_{j}^{*}}} = \hbar g_{i\overline{j}}, \tag{9}$$

where  $\overrightarrow{e_i} = (\delta_{1i}, \cdots, \delta_{Ni})$ .

Proposition 2.1 states that the coefficients for n = 0, 1 are completely determined for any *N*-dimensional locally symmetric Kähler manifold. In particular, the fact  ${}^{"}T^{0}_{\overrightarrow{0},\overrightarrow{0^{*}}} = 1$ " plays the role of the initial condition for the recurrence relations which gives the star product to be shown later. The following theorem proposed by [17, 18] describes the existence of a star product with separation of variables such that it is given by (8).

**Theorem 2.2** ([17, 18]). Let M be an N-dimensional locally symmetric Kähler manifold. For  $f, g \in C^{\infty}(M)$ , there exists locally a star product with separation of variables \* such that

$$f * g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}} T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}} \left( D^{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}} f \right) \left( D^{\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}} g \right), \tag{10}$$

where the coefficient  $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}$  satisfies the following recurrence relations:

$$\sum_{d=1}^{N} \hbar g_{\overline{i}d} T^{n-1}_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n} - \overrightarrow{e_d}, \overrightarrow{\beta_i^*} - \overrightarrow{e_i^*}}$$

$$=\beta_{i}^{n}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}}^{n} + \sum_{k=1}^{N}\sum_{\rho=1}^{N}\hbar\binom{\beta_{k}^{n} - \delta_{k\rho} - \delta_{ik} + 2}{2}R_{\overrightarrow{\rho}}^{\overline{k}\overline{k}}_{\overline{i}}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{\rho}^{*}}+2\overrightarrow{e_{k}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{i}^{*}}}$$
$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\sum_{l=1}^{N-k}\sum_{\rho=1}^{N}\hbar\left(\beta_{k}^{n} - \delta_{k\rho} - \delta_{ik} + 1\right)\left(\beta_{k+l}^{n} - \delta_{k+l,\rho} - \delta_{i,k+l} + 1\right)R_{\overrightarrow{\rho}}^{\overline{k+l}\overline{k}}_{\overline{i}}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{\rho}^{*}}+\overrightarrow{e_{k}^{*}}+\overrightarrow{e_{i}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{i}^{*}}}.$$
 (11)

Here  $\binom{a}{b}$  is a binomial coefficient.

Note that these recurrence relations in Theorem 2.2 are equivalent to the equations (6.9) in [37]. To obtain an explicit star product with separation of variables on any N-dimensional locally symmetric Kähler manifold, we have to solve the recurrence relations in Theorem 2.2 with the initial condition  $T_{\vec{0},\vec{0}}^0 = 1$  (in (9) in Proposition 2.1). However, to determine the general term  $T_{\vec{\alpha}_n,\vec{\beta}_n^{\dagger}}^n$  satisfying this system of recurrence relations is not easy, except for the one-dimensional case. For arbitrary N-dimensional locally symmetric Kähler manifold, the explicit formulae have been obtained by Hara and A. S. [17, 18] for N = 1 and the authors of this paper [31, 32] for N = 2.

## **3** Deformation quantization with separation of variables for $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$

In Section 3.1, we give the recurrence relations for general  $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$  by using the recurrence relations (11) given by [17, 18]. We also focus on the case of  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$  in Section 3.2 and 3.3. In Section 3.2, we give another recurrence relations to solve more easily. Finally, we determine the star product with separation of variables for  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$  based on the general term of the recurrence relations obtained in Section 3.3.

#### **3.1** Recurrence Relations for $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$

For  $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$ , we obtain the recurrence relations that give the star product from Theorem 2.2.

**Theorem 3.1.** The recurrence relations which give the star product with separation of variables on  $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$  are given by

$$\hbar \sum_{D=1}^{qp} g_{\overline{I}D} T^{n-1}_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n} - \overrightarrow{e_D}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*}}$$

$$= \beta_I^n \left\{ 1 + \hbar - \hbar \left( \beta_I^n + \sum_{j' \neq i'} \beta_{ij'}^n + \sum_{j \neq i} \beta_{ji'}^n \right) \right\} T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}} - \hbar \sum_{\substack{j \neq i \\ j' \neq i'}} \left( \beta_{ij'}^n + 1 \right) \left( \beta_{ji'}^n + 1 \right) T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} - \overrightarrow{e_J^*} + \overrightarrow{e_{ij'}^*} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*}} \right) (12)$$

where I = ii', J = jj' and D = dd' are capital letter indices.

**Proof.** It is sufficient to calculate the right-hand side of (11). By Proposition A.4 in Appendix A, we have  $R_{\overline{M}}^{\overline{K}\overline{K}}_{\overline{I}} = -2\delta_{\overline{mi'},\overline{K}}\delta_{\overline{im'},\overline{K}}$ , where  $\delta_{IJ} = \delta_{ii',jj'} := \delta_{ij}\delta_{i'j'}$ . Therefore, the second term on the right-hand side of (11) is modified to

$$\hbar \sum_{K=1}^{qp} \sum_{M=1}^{qp} \binom{\beta_I^n - \delta_{KM} - \delta_{IK} + 2}{2} R_{\overline{M}} \overline{K}_{\overline{I}} T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n} - \overrightarrow{e_M} + 2\overrightarrow{e_K} - \overrightarrow{e_I}}^{\overrightarrow{n}}$$

$$= -2\hbar \sum_{K=1}^{qp} \sum_{M=1}^{qp} {\beta_I^n - \delta_{KM} - \delta_{IK} + 2 \choose 2} \delta_{\overline{mi'},\overline{K}} \delta_{\overline{im'},\overline{K}} T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} - \overrightarrow{e_M^*} + 2\overrightarrow{e_K^*} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*}}.$$
(13)

Here we consider a one-to-one correspondence between K and  $\psi(K)$  by isomorphism

$$\psi: \left\{ I = ii' := (i,i') \middle| 1 \le i \le q, 1' \le i' \le p' \right\} \to \{1, \cdots, p, p+1, \cdots, 2p, \cdots, (q-1)p+1, \cdots, qp\}$$
$$I = ii' \mapsto \psi(I) := p(i-1) + i'_{\mathbb{N}},$$

where  $i'_{\mathbb{N}}$  is a natural number that is mapped to i' in a natural way. For example, if i' = 3', then  $i'_{\mathbb{N}} = 3'_{\mathbb{N}} = 3$ . See also Appendix A. Therefore, we regard K and M as ordinary ordered indices by such an identification, respectively. In addition, note that summation, addition, and Kronecker's delta in (13) are defined by

$$\sum_{K=1}^{qp} := \sum_{\psi(K)=1}^{qp}, \qquad K+L := \psi(K) + \psi(L), \qquad \delta_{KM} := \delta_{\psi(K),\psi(M)}$$

respectively. Since  $\delta_{\overline{mi'},\overline{K}}\delta_{\overline{im'},\overline{K}} = 1$  if and only if K = M = I, (13) is expressed as

$$(13) = -\hbar\beta_I^n \left(\beta_I^n - 1\right) T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}.$$
(14)

We next calculate for the third term on the right-hand side of (11). Since the curvature is

$$R_{\overline{M}}^{\overline{K}\overline{K+L}}_{\overline{I}} = -\delta_{\overline{im'},\overline{K}}\delta_{\overline{mi'},\overline{K+L}} - \delta_{\overline{im'},\overline{K+L}}\delta_{\overline{mi'},\overline{K+L}}$$

from Proposition A.4, the third term can be rewritten as

$$\hbar \sum_{K=1}^{qp-1} \sum_{L=1}^{qp-K} \sum_{M=1}^{qp} \left(\beta_K^n - \delta_{KM} - \delta_{IK} + 1\right) \left(\beta_{K+L}^n - \delta_{K+L,M} - \delta_{I,K+L} + 1\right) \\
\times R_{\overline{M}}^{\overline{K} \overline{K} + \overline{L}}_{\overline{I}} T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} - \overrightarrow{e_M^*} + \overrightarrow{e_K^*} + \overrightarrow{e_K^*} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*}}^{\overrightarrow{q}} \\
= -\hbar \sum_{K=1}^{qp-1} \sum_{L=1}^{qp-K} \sum_{M=1}^{qp} \left(\beta_K^n - \delta_{KM} - \delta_{IK} + 1\right) \left(\beta_{K+L}^n - \delta_{K+L,M} - \delta_{I,K+L} + 1\right) \\
\times \left(\delta_{\overline{im'}, \overline{K}} \delta_{\overline{mi'}, \overline{K+L}} + \delta_{\overline{im'}, \overline{K+L}} \delta_{\overline{mi'}, \overline{K}}\right) T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} - \overrightarrow{e_M^*}}^{n} + \overrightarrow{e_K^*} + \overrightarrow{e_K^*$$

where  $\sum_{L=1}^{qp-K}$  is defined by

$$\sum_{L=1}^{qp-K} := \sum_{\psi(L)=1}^{qp-\psi(K)}.$$

To transform (15) in more detail, we divide the summation  $\sum_{M=1}^{pq}$  into the following four cases:

$$(I)M = I, \quad (II)M = ij' \ (j' \neq i') \quad (III)M = ji' \ (j \neq i) \quad (IV)M = J = jj' \ (j \neq i, \ j' \neq i').$$

Based on the above four cases, (15) can be rewritten as

$$(15) = \gamma_I + \sum_{j' \neq i'} \gamma_{ij'} + \sum_{j \neq i} \gamma_{ji'} + \sum_{\substack{j \neq i \\ j' \neq i'}} \gamma_J,$$

where

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{I} &= -2\hbar \sum_{K=1}^{qp-1} \sum_{L=1}^{qp-K} \left(\beta_{K}^{n} - 2\delta_{IK} + 1\right) \left(\beta_{K+L}^{n} - 2\delta_{I,K+L} + 1\right) \delta_{ii',\overline{K}} \delta_{ii',\overline{K+L}} T_{\overline{\alpha_{n}},\overline{\beta_{n}^{2}} - 2\overline{e_{I}^{2}} + \overline{e_{K}^{*}} + \overline{e_{K+L}^{*}}, \\ \gamma_{ij'} &= -\hbar \sum_{K=1}^{qp-1} \sum_{L=1}^{qp-K} \left(\beta_{K}^{n} - \delta_{K,ij'} - \delta_{IK} + 1\right) \left(\beta_{K+L}^{n} - \delta_{K+L,ij'} - \delta_{I,K+L} + 1\right) \\ &\times \left(\delta_{ij',\overline{K}} \delta_{ii',\overline{K+L}} + \delta_{ij',\overline{K+L}} \delta_{ii',\overline{K}}\right) T_{\overline{\alpha_{n}},\overline{\beta_{n}^{2}} - \overline{e_{ij'}^{*}} + \overline{e_{K}^{*}} + \overline{e_{ij'}^{*}}, \\ \gamma_{ji'} &= -\hbar \sum_{K=1}^{qp-1} \sum_{L=1}^{qp-K} \left(\beta_{K}^{n} - \delta_{K,ji'} - \delta_{IK} + 1\right) \left(\beta_{K+L}^{n} - \delta_{K+L,ji'} - \delta_{I,K+L} + 1\right) \\ &\times \left(\delta_{ii',\overline{K}} \delta_{ji',\overline{K+L}} + \delta_{ii',\overline{K+L}} \delta_{ji',\overline{K}}\right) T_{\overline{\alpha_{n}},\overline{\beta_{n}^{*}} - \overline{e_{ji'}^{*}} + \overline{e_{K}^{*}} + \overline{e_{K+L}^{*}} - \overline{e_{I}^{*}}, \\ \gamma_{J} &= -\hbar \sum_{K=1}^{qp-1} \sum_{L=1}^{qp-K} \left(\beta_{K}^{n} - \delta_{KJ} - \delta_{IK} + 1\right) \left(\beta_{K+L}^{n} - \delta_{K+L,J} - \delta_{I,K+L} + 1\right) \\ &\times \left(\delta_{ij',\overline{K}} \delta_{ji',\overline{K+L}} + \delta_{ij',\overline{K+L}} \delta_{ji',\overline{K}}\right) T_{\overline{\alpha_{n}},\overline{\beta_{n}^{*}} - \overline{e_{j}^{*}} + \overline{e_{K}^{*}} + \overline{e_{K+L}^{*}} - \overline{e_{I}^{*}}, \\ \gamma_{J} &= -\hbar \sum_{K=1}^{qp-1} \sum_{L=1}^{qp-K} \left(\beta_{K}^{n} - \delta_{KJ} - \delta_{IK} + 1\right) \left(\beta_{K+L}^{n} - \delta_{K+L,J} - \delta_{I,K+L} + 1\right) \\ &\times \left(\delta_{ij',\overline{K}} \delta_{ji',\overline{K+L}} + \delta_{ij',\overline{K+L}} \delta_{ji',\overline{K}}\right) T_{\overline{\alpha_{n}},\overline{\beta_{n}^{*}} - \overline{e_{J}^{*}} + \overline{e_{K}^{*}} + \overline{e_{K+L}^{*}} - \overline{e_{I}^{*}}, \\ \end{array}$$

respectively.

 $\frac{(\mathbf{I})M = I}{\text{In this case, }} \delta_{\overline{I},\overline{K}} \delta_{\overline{I},\overline{K+L}} = \delta_{\overline{ii'},\overline{K}} \delta_{\overline{ii'},\overline{K+L}} \text{ is equal to 0 since } K \neq K+L \text{ always holds. Hence, } \gamma_I = 0 \text{ when } M = I.$ 

 $\frac{(\mathrm{II})M=ij^{'}~(j^{'}\neq i^{'})}{\mathrm{Since}~\gamma_{ij^{'}}~\mathrm{is~expressed}~\mathrm{as~a~summation~over}~K=kk^{'},~\gamma_{ij^{'}}~\mathrm{is~written~as}$ 

$$\gamma_{ij'} = \sum_{K=1}^{qp-1} \gamma'_{ij',K},$$

where

$$\gamma_{ij',K}' = -\hbar \sum_{L=1}^{qp-K} \left(\beta_K^n - \delta_{K,ij'} - \delta_{IK} + 1\right) \left(\beta_{K+L}^n - \delta_{K+L,ij'} - \delta_{I,K+L} + 1\right) \\ \times \left(\delta_{\overline{ij'},\overline{K}} \delta_{\overline{ii'},\overline{K+L}} + \delta_{\overline{ij'},\overline{K+L}} \delta_{\overline{ii'},\overline{K}}\right) T_{\overline{\alpha_n},\overline{\beta_n^*} - \overline{e_{ij'}^*} + \overline{e_K^*} + \overline{e_{K+L}^*} - \overline{e_I^*}}.$$
(16)

If  $k \neq i$ , then  $\gamma'_{ij',K} = 0$  from  $\delta_{\overline{ii'K}} = \delta_{\overline{ij',K}} = 0$ . Thus we consider the case k = i as a non-zero contribution.

For K = I = ii',

$$\delta_{\overline{ij'},\overline{I}}\delta_{\overline{I},\overline{I+L}} + \delta_{\overline{ij'},\overline{I+L}}\delta_{\overline{I},\overline{I}} = \delta_{\overline{ij'},\overline{I+L}}$$

from  $\delta_{\overline{I},\overline{I+L}} = 0$ , and  $\delta_{\overline{ij'},\overline{I+L}} = 1$  when L = ij' - I. Therefore, if K = I with  $j' \neq i'$ , then

$$\begin{split} \gamma'_{ij',I} &= -\hbar \left( \beta_I^n - \delta_{I,ij'} - \delta_{II} + 1 \right) \left( \beta_{I+ij'-I}^n - \delta_{I+ij'-I,ij'} - \delta_{I,I+ij'-I} + 1 \right) \\ & \times \left( \delta_{\overline{ij'}\overline{I}} \delta_{\overline{I},\overline{I+ij'-I}} + \delta_{\overline{ij'},\overline{I+ij'-I}} \delta_{\overline{II}} \right) T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} - \overrightarrow{e_{ij'}^*} + \overrightarrow{e_{I+ij'-I}^*}} \\ &= -\hbar \beta_I^n \beta_{ij'}^n T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}. \end{split}$$

For the other cases, i.e.  $K = ij' \ (j' \neq i'), \ \left(\delta_{\overline{ij'},\overline{K}}\delta_{\overline{ii'},\overline{K+L}} + \delta_{\overline{ij'},\overline{K+L}}\delta_{\overline{ii'},\overline{K}}\right)$  in (16) becomes  $\delta_{\overline{ij'},\overline{ij'}}\delta_{\overline{I},\overline{ij'+L}} + \delta_{\overline{ij'},\overline{ij'+L}}\delta_{\overline{I},\overline{ij'}} = \delta_{\overline{I},\overline{ij'+L}}$ 

since  $\delta_{\overline{I},\overline{ij'}} = 0$ , and  $\delta_{\overline{I},\overline{ij'+L}} = 1$  when L = I - ij'. Therefore, if K = ij'  $(j' \neq i')$ , then we have

$$\begin{split} \gamma'_{ij',ij'} &= -\hbar \left( \beta^n_{ij'} - \delta_{ij',ij'} - \delta_{I,ij'} + 1 \right) \left( \beta^n_{ij'+I-ij'} - \delta_{ij'+I-ij',ij'} - \delta_{I,ij'+I-ij'} + 1 \right) \\ & \times \left( \delta_{\overline{ij'ij'}} \delta_{\overline{I},\overline{ij'+I-ij'}} + \delta_{\overline{ij'},\overline{ij'+I-ij'}} \delta_{\overline{I}\overline{ij'}} \right) T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}} - \overrightarrow{e_{ij'}^*} + \overrightarrow{e_{ij'+I-ij'}^*} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*} \\ &= -\hbar \beta^n_{ij'} \beta^n_I T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}. \end{split}$$

Note that  $\psi(L)$  is positive and  $j' \ (\neq i')$  is fixed, L can take either I - ij' or ij' - I depending on j. In fact, if j' > i', L cannot take I - ij' because  $\psi(I) - \psi(ij') < 0$ . Conversely, if j' < i', it can be verified that L cannot take ij' - I. Therefore,

$$\gamma_{ij'} = \sum_{K} \gamma'_{ij',K} = -\hbar \beta_I^n \beta_{ij'}^n T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^2}}$$
(17)

for any  $j'(\neq i')$ . In a similar way, the cases (III) and (IV) are also calculated, and it can be verified that

$$\gamma_{ji'} = -\hbar\beta_I^n \beta_{ji'}^n T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}} \qquad (\text{for } j \neq i) \,, \tag{18}$$

$$\gamma_J = -\hbar \left(\beta_{ij'}^n + 1\right) \left(\beta_{ji'}^n + 1\right) T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*} - \overrightarrow{e_{jj'}^*} + \overrightarrow{e_{ji'}^*} + \overrightarrow{e_{ij'}^*}} \qquad \left(\text{for } j \neq i, \ j' \neq i'\right). \tag{19}$$

Substituting  $\gamma_I = 0$  and (17)–(19) into (15), we obtain

$$(15) = \sum_{j'\neq i'} (17) + \sum_{j\neq i} (18) + \sum_{j\neq i} \sum_{j'\neq i'} (19)$$

$$= -\hbar\beta_I^n \left( \sum_{j'\neq i'} \beta_{ij'}^n + \sum_{j\neq i} \beta_{ji'}^n \right) T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}} - \hbar \sum_{j\neq i} \sum_{j'\neq i'} \left( \beta_{ij'}^n + 1 \right) \left( \beta_{ji'}^n + 1 \right) T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*} - \overrightarrow{e_{jj'}^*} + \overrightarrow{e_{ij'}^*} + \overrightarrow{e_{ij'}^*} \right)$$

$$(20)$$

Hence, the right-hand side of (11) for  $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$  is obtained as follows:

$$\beta_{I}^{n}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}}^{n} + (14) + (20) = \beta_{I}^{n}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}}^{n} - \hbar\beta_{I}^{n}\left(\beta_{I}^{n} - 1\right)T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}}^{n} - \hbar\beta_{I}^{n}\left(\sum_{j'\neq i'}\beta_{ij'}^{n} + \sum_{j\neq i}\beta_{ji'}^{n}\right)T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}}^{n} - \hbar\sum_{j\neq i}\sum_{j'\neq i'}\left(\beta_{ij'}^{n} + 1\right)\left(\beta_{ji'}^{n} + 1\right)T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}} - \overrightarrow{e_{I}^{*}} - \overrightarrow{e_{jj'}^{*}} + \overrightarrow{e_{ij'}^{*}}}^{n} + \overrightarrow{e_{ij'}^{*}}} = \beta_{I}^{n}\left\{1 + \hbar - \hbar\left(\beta_{I}^{n} + \sum_{j'\neq i'}\beta_{ij'}^{n} + \sum_{j\neq i}\beta_{ji'}^{n}\right)\right\}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}}^{n} - \hbar\sum_{j\neq i}\sum_{j'\neq i'}\left(\beta_{ij'}^{n} + 1\right)\left(\beta_{ji'}^{n} + 1\right)T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}} - \overrightarrow{e_{I}^{*}} - \overrightarrow{e_{jj'}^{*}} + \overrightarrow{e_{ij'}^{*}}}^{n}.$$

$$(21)$$

This means that we obtain (12).

Recalling that a capital letter index can be identified with an ordinary index, (12) can be rewritten as

$$\hbar \sum_{d=1}^{q} \sum_{d'=1'}^{p'} g_{\overline{I}D} T^{n-1}_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n} - \overrightarrow{e_D}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*}} = \beta_I^n \left\{ 1 + \hbar - \hbar \left( n - \sum_{\substack{j \neq i \\ j' \neq i'}} \beta_{jj'}^n \right) \right\} T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}} - \hbar \sum_{\substack{j \neq i \\ j' \neq i'}} \left( \beta_{jj'}^n + 1 \right) \left( \beta_{ji'}^n + 1 \right) T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*}} + \overrightarrow{e_{jj'}^*} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*}}.$$
(22)

Here, we use the fact that

$$\beta_I^n + \sum_{j' \neq i'} \beta_{ij'}^n + \sum_{j \neq i} \beta_{ji'}^n + \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_{j' \neq i'} \beta_{jj'}^n = n,$$

since  $\left|\overline{\beta}_{n}^{*}\right| = \sum_{K} \beta_{K}^{n} = \sum_{k} \sum_{k'} \beta_{kk'}^{n} = n$  in the first term on the right-hand side of (21).

#### **3.2** Star product with separation of variables on $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$

If the solution of the recurrence relations for (12) (or equivalently (22)) in Section 3.1 is determined, we can explicitly give the star product with separation of variables on  $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$ . However, it is not easy to solve (12) (or equivalently (22)) in general. We need to solve the system of linear equations for solutions of order *n* to determine  $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}$ , even if solutions of order (n-1) are given. In addition, the number of variables in this system of linear equations increases combinatorially with increasing *n*. In this subsection, we focus on  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$  and give the general term of the recurrence relations (12) for  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$  by eliminating these problems. By using the general terms, we give its explicit star product with separation of variables.

For  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$ , its recurrence relations can be obtained immediately by considering the case p = q = 2.

**Corollary 3.2.** For any (fixed) capital letter index  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , the recurrence relations for  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$  are given by

$$\hbar \sum_{D \in \mathcal{I}} g_{\overline{I}D} T^{n-1}_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n} - \overrightarrow{e_D}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*}} = \hbar \beta_I^n \left( \tau_n + \beta_{\not I}^n \right) T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}} - \hbar \left( \beta_{i \not I'}^n + 1 \right) \left( \beta_{j i'}^n + 1 \right) T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*}} + \overrightarrow{e_{j i'}^*} + \overrightarrow{e_{j i'}^*} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*}, \quad (23)$$

where  $\tau_n := 1 - n + \frac{1}{\hbar}, \mathcal{I} := \{I, I, i i', i i'\} = \{11', 12', 21', 22'\}.$ 

It is not a waste to note the notation of capital letter indices again. In  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$  case, i (or i') is the other index which is not i (or not i'). i (or i') is uniquely determined when i (or i') is fixed. For example, if I = 11', then ii' = 12', ii' = 21', and I = 22'. I = ii' may take 12', 21' and 22' as well as 11'.

To determine the explicit star product on  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$ , it is necessary to find the general term  $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}$  as the solution of the recurrence relations (23). It is not easy to find the solution  $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}$  of (23) by direct calculation, because (23) contains another coefficient  $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}-\overrightarrow{e_I^*}+\overrightarrow{e_{ij'}^*}-\overrightarrow{e_j^*}}$  on the right-hand side. On the other hand, by introducing another recurrence relation for  $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}-\overrightarrow{e_I^*}+\overrightarrow{e_{ij'}^*}+\overrightarrow{e_{ij'}^*}-\overrightarrow{e_j^*}}$ , we can obtain the recurrence relations equivalent to (23).

**Proposition 3.3.** The recurrence relations (23) are equivalent to the following recurrence relations:

$$\beta_{I}^{n}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}}}^{n} = \frac{\sum_{D\in\mathcal{I}}\left\{\left(\tau_{n}+\beta_{ij'}^{n}+1\right)g_{\overline{I}D}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}}-\overrightarrow{e_{D}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}}}^{n-1}+\left(\beta_{ij'}^{n}+1\right)g_{\overline{ji'},D}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}}-\overrightarrow{e_{D}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}}+\overrightarrow{e_{ij'}}}^{n-1}\right\}}{\tau_{n}\left(\tau_{n}+\beta_{f}^{n}+\beta_{ij'}^{n}+1\right)}$$
$$=\frac{\sum_{D\in\mathcal{I}}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\left(\tau_{n}\delta_{ik}+\beta_{ij'}^{n}+1\right)g_{\overline{ki'},D}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}}-\overrightarrow{e_{D}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}}-\delta_{jk}}\left(\overrightarrow{e_{f}}-\overrightarrow{e_{ij'}}\right)}{\tau_{n}\left(\tau_{n}+\beta_{f}^{n}+\beta_{ij'}^{n}+1\right)}.$$
(24)

**<u>Proof.</u>** To derive (24) from (23), we introduce another recurrence relation for a fixed index i' as I in (23):

$$\hbar \sum_{D \in \mathcal{I}} g_{\overline{ji'}, D} T^{n-1}_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n} - \overrightarrow{e_D}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} - \overrightarrow{e_{j'}^*}} = \hbar \beta_{ji'}^n \left( \tau_n + \beta_{ij'}^n \right) T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}} - \hbar \left( \beta_{j}^n + 1 \right) \left( \beta_I^n + 1 \right) T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} - \overrightarrow{e_{j'}^*}} + \overrightarrow{e_j^*} + \overrightarrow{e_j^*} - \overrightarrow{e_{j'}^*}.$$
(25)

By considering the case of  $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}-\overrightarrow{e_I^*}+\overrightarrow{e_{i_l'}^*}+\overrightarrow{e_{i_l'}^*}-\overrightarrow{e_l^*}}$  as the coefficient  $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}$  in (25), we have

$$\hbar \sum_{D \in \mathcal{I}} g_{\overline{\mu'}, D} T^{n-1}_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n} - \overrightarrow{e_D}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*}} = \hbar \left( \beta_{\mu'}^n + 1 \right) \left( \tau_n + \beta_{\mu'}^n + 1 \right) T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*} + \overrightarrow{e_I^*}} - \hbar \beta_f^n \beta_I^n T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}.$$
(26)

We now consider the system of linear equations for (23) and (26):

$$\hbar \left( \begin{array}{c} \sum_{D \in \mathcal{I}} g_{\overline{I}D} T^{n-1}_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n} - \overrightarrow{e_D}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*}} \\ \sum_{D \in \mathcal{I}} g_{\overline{\mu'}, D} T^{n-1}_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n} - \overrightarrow{e_D}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*} + \overrightarrow{e_{ij'}^*}} \end{array} \right)$$

$$=\hbar \begin{pmatrix} \tau_n + \beta_{f}^n & -\left(\beta_{if'}^n + 1\right) \\ -\beta_{f}^n & \tau_n + \beta_{if'}^n + 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{I}^n T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}^n \\ \left(\beta_{fi'}^n + 1\right) T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*} + \overrightarrow{e_{if'}^*} - \overrightarrow{e_f^*}} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(27)

Calculating the determinant of the coefficient matrix, we have

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \tau_n + \beta_{\not I}^n & -\left(\beta_{i\not I'}^n + 1\right) \\ -\beta_{\not I}^n & \tau_n + \beta_{i\not I'}^n + 1 \end{pmatrix} = \tau_n \left(\tau_n + \beta_{\not I}^n + \beta_{i\not I'}^n + 1\right).$$

Since

$$\begin{split} \tau_n^{-1} \left( \tau_n + \beta_f^n + \beta_{i \not l'}^n + 1 \right)^{-1} = & \frac{\hbar}{1 - (n - 1)} \cdot \frac{\hbar}{1 - \left( n - \beta_f^n - \beta_{i \not l'}^n - 1 \right)} \\ = & \hbar^2 \sum_{k,m=0}^{\infty} (n - 1)^k \left( n - \beta_f^n - \beta_{i \not l'}^n - 1 \right)^m \hbar^{k+m}, \end{split}$$

then  $\tau_n^{-1} \left( \tau_n + \beta_{\not l}^n + \beta_{i \not l'}^n + 1 \right)^{-1}$  exists. Thus, there is the inverse matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tau_n + \beta_f^n & -\left(\beta_{if'}^n + 1\right) \\ -\beta_f^n & \tau_n + \beta_{if'}^n + 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \tau_n^{-1} \left(\tau_n + \beta_f^n + \beta_{if'}^n + 1\right)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \tau_n + \beta_{if'}^n + 1 & \beta_{if'}^n + 1 \\ \beta_f^n & \tau_n + \beta_f^n \end{pmatrix}.$$
(28)

Multiplying the both sides of (27) by (28) from the left, we get the solution of (27):

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta_{I}^{n}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}}^{n} \\ \left(\beta_{\not{l}i'}^{n}+1\right)T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{*}}+\overrightarrow{e_{ij'}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{f}^{*}}}^{n} \\ = \tau_{n}^{-1}\left(\tau_{n}+\beta_{f}^{n}+\beta_{ij'}^{n}+1\right)^{-1} \\ \cdot \left(\sum_{D\in\mathcal{I}}\left\{\left(\tau_{n}+\beta_{ij'}^{n}+1\right)g_{\overline{I}D}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}}-\overrightarrow{e_{D}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{*}}}^{n-1}+\left(\beta_{ij'}^{n}+1\right)g_{\overline{l}i'}D_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}}-\overrightarrow{e_{D}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{*}}+\overrightarrow{e_{ij'}^{*}}}^{n-1}\right\} \\ \cdot \left(\sum_{D\in\mathcal{I}}\left\{\beta_{f}^{n}g_{\overline{I}D}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}}-\overrightarrow{e_{D}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{*}}}^{n-1}+\left(\tau_{n}+\beta_{f}^{n}\right)g_{\overline{j}i'}D_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}}-\overrightarrow{e_{D}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{*}}+\overrightarrow{e_{ij'}^{*}}}^{n+1}\right)\right). \tag{29}$$

By focusing on the first component of both sides of (29), we obtain (24).

Conversely, we show that (23) is derived from (24). We introduce another recurrence relation for  $i^{i'}$  as I in (24):

$$\beta_{\vec{\mu}i}^{n}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}}^{n} = \frac{\sum_{D\in\mathcal{I}}\left\{\left(\tau_{n}+\beta_{f}^{n}+1\right)g_{\vec{\mu}i',D}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}}-\overrightarrow{e_{D}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{fi'}^{*}}}^{n-1} + \left(\beta_{f}^{n}+1\right)g_{\vec{l}D}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}}-\overrightarrow{e_{D}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{if'}^{*}}+\overrightarrow{e_{f}^{*}}}^{n+2}\right\}}{\tau_{n}\left(\tau_{n}+\beta_{f}^{n}+\beta_{if'}^{n}+1\right)}.$$
(30)

Considering the case where  $\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}$  is  $\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*} + \overrightarrow{e_{il'}^*} + \overrightarrow{e_{il'}^*} - \overrightarrow{e_l^*}$  as  $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}$  in (30), it satisfies the recurrence relation

$$\left(\beta_{ji'}^{n}+1\right)T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{*}}+\overrightarrow{e_{ji'}^{*}}+\overrightarrow{e_{ji'}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{*}}}^{n}=\frac{\sum_{D\in\mathcal{I}}\left\{\left(\tau_{n}+\beta_{f}^{n}\right)g_{\overrightarrow{fi'},D}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}}-\overrightarrow{e_{D}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{*}}+\beta_{f}^{n}g_{\overrightarrow{I}D}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}}-\overrightarrow{e_{D}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{*}}}^{n-1}\right\}}{\tau_{n}\left(\tau_{n}+\beta_{f}^{n}+\beta_{ij'}^{n}+1\right)}.$$

$$(31)$$

Substituting (24) and (31) into the right hand side of (23), we have

$$\begin{split} \hbar\beta_{I}^{n}\left(\tau_{n}+\beta_{f}^{n}\right)T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{2}}}^{n}-\hbar\left(\beta_{if'}^{n}+1\right)\left(\beta_{fi'}^{n}+1\right)T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{2}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{2}}+\overrightarrow{e_{if'}^{2}}+\overrightarrow{e_{fi'}^{2}}-\overrightarrow{e_{f}^{2}}}^{n}\right)\\ &=\hbar\tau_{n}^{-1}\left(\tau_{n}+\beta_{f}^{n}+\beta_{if'}^{n}+1\right)^{-1}\\ \times\sum_{D\in\mathcal{I}}\left[\left(\tau_{n}+\beta_{f}^{n}\right)\left\{\left(\tau_{n}+\beta_{if'}^{n}+1\right)g_{\overline{I}D}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}}-\overrightarrow{e_{D}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{2}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{2}}}^{n-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{2}}}+\left(\beta_{if'}^{n}+1\right)g_{\overline{I}i'}D_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}^{2}}-\overrightarrow{e_{D}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{2}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{2}}}^{n-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{2}}}+\left(\beta_{if'}^{n}+1\right)g_{\overline{I}i'}D_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}^{2}}-\overrightarrow{e_{D}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{2}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{2}}}^{n-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{2}}}\right)\\ &-\left(\beta_{if'}^{n}+1\right)\left\{\left(\tau_{n}+\beta_{f}^{n}\right)g_{\overline{I}i'}D_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}^{2}}-\overrightarrow{e_{D}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{2}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{2}}}^{n-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{2}}}+\beta_{f}^{n}g_{\overline{I}D}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}^{2}}-\overrightarrow{e_{D}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{2}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{2}}}^{n-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{2}}}\right\}\right]\\ &=\hbar\sum_{D\in\mathcal{I}}g_{\overline{I}D}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}^{2}}-\overrightarrow{e_{D}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{2}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{2}}}^{n-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{2}}}. \end{split}$$

$$(32)$$

This is the left-hand side of (23).

By using Proposition 3.3, we obtain the following.

**Proposition 3.4.** For  $n \ge 1$ , the coefficient  $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}$  of (8) for  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$  is given by using the coefficients of order (n-1) as follows:

$$T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}}^{n} = \frac{\sum_{J,D\in\mathcal{I}}\left\{\left(\tau_{n}+\beta_{jj'}^{n}+1\right)g_{\overline{J}D}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}}-\overrightarrow{e_{D}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{J}^{*}}}^{n-1}+\left(\beta_{jj'}^{n}+1\right)g_{\overline{j}j',D}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}}-\overrightarrow{e_{D}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{J}^{*}}+\overrightarrow{e_{jj'}^{*}}}^{n-1}\right\}}{\tau_{n}\left\{n\left(\tau_{n}+1\right)+2\left(\beta_{I}^{n}+\beta_{ji'}^{n}\right)\left(\beta_{f}^{n}+\beta_{ij'}^{n}\right)\right\}}\right\}},$$
$$=\frac{\sum_{J,D\in\mathcal{I}}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\left\{\left(\tau_{n}\delta_{jk}+\beta_{jj'}^{n}+1\right)g_{\overline{kj'},D}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}}-\overrightarrow{e_{D}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{J}^{*}}-\delta_{jk}}(\overrightarrow{e_{f}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{jj'}^{*}})\right\}}{\tau_{n}\left\{n\left(\tau_{n}+1\right)+2\left(\beta_{I}^{n}+\beta_{ji'}^{n}\right)\left(\beta_{f}^{n}+\beta_{ij'}^{n}\right)\right\}}.$$
(33)

That is,  $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}$  given by (33) gives the star product with separation of variables on  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Proof.** First, we derive (33) from (24) in Proposition 3.3. Multiplying both sides of (24) by  $\tau_n + \beta_{\not l}^n + \beta_{il'}^n + 1$ , we have

$$\beta_I^n \left(\tau_n + \beta_{\not f}^n + \beta_{i\not l'}^n + 1\right) T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n}}^n = \tau_n^{-1} \sum_{D \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(\tau_n \delta_{ik} + \beta_{i\not l'}^n + 1\right) g_{\overrightarrow{ki'}, D} T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n} - \overrightarrow{e_D}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n}}^{n-1} - \delta_{\not lk} \left(\overrightarrow{e_{\not f}^*} - \overrightarrow{e_{i\not l'}^*}\right). \tag{34}$$

Summing for I on both sides of (34), we obtain

$$\sum_{I\in\mathcal{I}}\beta_{I}^{n}\left(\tau_{n}+\beta_{I}^{n}+\beta_{ij'}^{n}+1\right)T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}}^{n}=\tau_{n}^{-1}\sum_{I,D\in\mathcal{I}}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\left(\tau_{n}\delta_{ik}+\beta_{ij'}^{n}+1\right)g_{\overrightarrow{ki'},D}T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}}-\overrightarrow{e_{D}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{*}}-\delta_{jk}\left(\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{ij'}^{*}}\right)}^{n}.$$

$$(35)$$

Here, we use the following identities

$$\sum_{J \in \mathcal{I}} \beta_J^n \left( \tau_n + \beta_{j}^n + \beta_{jj'}^n + 1 \right) = n \left( \tau_n + 1 \right) + 2 \left( \beta_{11'}^n + \beta_{21'}^n \right) \left( \beta_{22'}^n + \beta_{12'}^n \right)$$
$$= n \left( \tau_n + 1 \right) + 2 \left( \beta_I^n + \beta_{ji'}^n \right) \left( \beta_J^n + \beta_{ij'}^n \right).$$
(36)

Note that  $\left(\beta_{I}^{n}+\beta_{\dot{l}i'}^{n}\right)\left(\beta_{f}^{n}+\beta_{i\dot{l}'}^{n}\right)=\left(\beta_{11'}^{n}+\beta_{21'}^{n}\right)\left(\beta_{22'}^{n}+\beta_{12'}^{n}\right)$  does not depend on how we choose fixed I from  $\mathcal{I}=\left\{11',12',21',22'\right\}$ . See Appendix B for more details. Substituting (36) into (35), (35) can be rewritten as (33).

According to the construction method proposed by [17, 18] (or equivalently [37, 38]), there is the solution  $T_{\overline{\alpha_n},\overline{\beta_n^*}}^n$  of (23). Furthermore, the solution  $T_{\overline{\alpha_n},\overline{\beta_n^*}}^n$  of (23) is determined by (24), which are equivalent to (23) in Proposition 3.3. The equivalence of (33) with (24) in Proposition 3.3 is not clear for the moment. In other words, it is not clear whether the solution of (33) is  $T_{\overline{\alpha_n},\overline{\beta_n^*}}^n$  of the star product with separation of variables. However, (33) are derived from (23) in Proposition 3.3, so the general term  $T_{\overline{\alpha_n},\overline{\beta_n^*}}^n$  satisfying (23) satisfies (33). On the other hand, (33) states that if the coefficients of order (n-1) are given, then the coefficient  $T_{\overline{\alpha_n},\overline{\beta_n^*}}^n$  can be completely determined. In fact, since  $T_{\overline{0},\overline{0},\overline{0^*}}^0$  is given by (9) in Proposition 2.1,  $T_{\overline{\alpha_n},\overline{\beta_n^*}}^n$  can be determined by solving (33) sequentially from the n = 1 case. By applying (33) sequentially, the solution of (33), i.e.  $T_{\overline{\alpha_n},\overline{\beta_n^*}}^n$ , is explicitly and uniquely determined. Hence,  $T_{\overline{\alpha_n},\overline{\beta_n^*}}^n$  obtained as the solution of (33) is also the solution of (23), i.e. it gives the star product with separation of variables.

### **3.3** Solution of the recurrence relations for $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$

We give the explicit expression of  $T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}^n$  as the solution of (23) in this subsection.  $T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}^n$  corresponds well to a Fock space representation, since  $T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}^n = 0$  when  $\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}$  and  $\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}$  contain negative components, and the possible  $\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}$  and  $\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}$  increase infinitely with increasing order n. To carry out the calculations more simply, let us introduce a linear operator  $T_n$  realizing  $T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}^n$  as the Fock space representation.

First, we also denote  $\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}$  by

$$\overrightarrow{\alpha_n} := \alpha_I^n \overrightarrow{e_I} + \alpha_{\not I}^n \overrightarrow{e_{\not I}} + \alpha_{i \not i'}^n \overrightarrow{e_{i \not i'}} + \alpha_{j i'}^n \overrightarrow{e_{j i'}}.$$

To define a linear operator  $T_n$ , let us construct the Fock space. We introduce the vector space V over  $\mathbb{C}$  by using the basis  $|\vec{m}\rangle := |\sum_{J \in \mathcal{I}} m_J \vec{e_J}\rangle$ :

$$V := \left\{ \sum_{\overrightarrow{m}} c_{\overrightarrow{m}} | \overrightarrow{m} \rangle \, \middle| \, c_{\overrightarrow{m}} \in \mathbb{C} \right\},\,$$

where  $m_J \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $\mathcal{I} := \{I, I, ii', ii'\}$ .  $|\vec{m}\rangle$  is called "ket" (of V). In particular, the ket whose each  $m_J$  is 0 is called "vacuum" and denoted by  $|\vec{0}\rangle$ . Here, we introduce the creation and annihilation operators. For any capital letter index I, the creation and annihilation operators  $a_I$  and  $a_I^{\dagger}$  are defined as linear operators on V satisfying the following relations:

$$a_I |\vec{m}\rangle = \sqrt{m_I} |\vec{m} - \vec{e_I}\rangle, \qquad a_I |\vec{0}\rangle = 0, \qquad a_I^{\dagger} |\vec{m}\rangle = \sqrt{m_I + 1} |\vec{m} + \vec{e_I}\rangle.$$

By using the above  $a_I$  and  $a_I^{\dagger}$ , a number operator is defined by  $N_I := a_I^{\dagger} a_I$ .  $N_I$  plays the role of multiplying by the *I*th component of  $|\vec{m}\rangle$ , i.e.  $N_I |\vec{m}\rangle = m_I |\vec{m}\rangle$ . By definition of  $a_I$ ,  $a_I^{\dagger}$  and  $N_I$ , the following relations

,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_I, a_J \end{bmatrix} = 0, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} a_I^{\dagger}, a_J^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} = 0, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} a_I, a_J^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} = \delta_{IJ}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} a_I, N_J \end{bmatrix} = \delta_{IJ} a_J = \delta_{IJ} a_I, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} a_I^{\dagger}, N_J \end{bmatrix} = -\delta_{IJ} a_J^{\dagger} = -\delta_{IJ} a_I^{\dagger}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} N_I, N_J \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$

holds between them for any ket  $|\vec{m}\rangle$  and any capital letter indices I, J. For a ket  $|\vec{m}\rangle$  such that  $m_I > 0$ , the operator  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_I}}$  can be defined by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_I}} |\vec{m}\rangle := \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_I}} |\vec{m}\rangle.$$

We next consider the dual vector space  $V^*$  of V. We denote the dual basis of  $V^*$  by  $\langle \vec{n} |$ .  $\langle \vec{n} |$  satisfies  $\langle \vec{n} | \vec{m} \rangle := \delta_{\vec{n},\vec{m}}$ .  $\langle \vec{n} |$  is called "bra". By taking the Hermitian conjugate of  $\langle \vec{n} |$ , a bra  $\langle \vec{n} |$  becomes a ket  $|\vec{n} \rangle$ , i.e.  $|\vec{n} \rangle = (\langle \vec{n} |)^{\dagger}$ . Let us now consider an operator  $a_I \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_I}}$ . Note that since

$$\langle \overrightarrow{m} | a_I \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_I}} = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_I}} a_I^{\dagger} | \overrightarrow{m} \rangle \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for any bra  $\langle \vec{m} |, a_I \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_I}}$  can always be defined for any ket  $|\vec{n}\rangle$  if there exists a bra  $\langle \vec{m} |$  on the left. For such an operator  $a_I \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_I}}$ , the following commutation relations hold:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_I \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_I}}, a_J \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_J}} \end{bmatrix} |\vec{m}\rangle = 0 \quad (m_I, m_J > \delta_{IJ}),$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} a_I \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_I}}, a_J^{\dagger} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_J + 1}} \end{bmatrix} |\vec{m}\rangle = 0 \quad (m_I > 0), \qquad \begin{bmatrix} a_I^{\dagger} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_I + 1}}, a_J^{\dagger} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_J + 1}} \end{bmatrix} |\vec{m}\rangle = 0.$$

Moreover, let  $f(\vec{N})$  be an arbitrary operator that depends on  $\vec{N}$ , where  $\vec{N}$  is defined by

$$\overrightarrow{N} := N_I \overrightarrow{e_I} + N_{\not f} \overrightarrow{e_{\not f}} + N_{i \not i'} \overrightarrow{e_{i \not i'}} + N_{\dot{i} i'} \overrightarrow{e_{\dot{i} i'}}.$$

Then,  $f(\overrightarrow{N})$  holds the following relations:

$$f(\vec{N})a_I \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_I}} |\vec{m}\rangle = a_I \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_I}} f(\vec{N} - \vec{e_I}) |\vec{m}\rangle \qquad (m_I > 0),$$
(37)

$$f(\vec{N})a_I^{\dagger}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_I+1}}|\vec{m}\rangle = a_I^{\dagger}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_I+1}}f(\vec{N}+\vec{e_I})|\vec{m}\rangle.$$
(38)

Note that for any  $\overrightarrow{m} = m_I \overrightarrow{e_I} + m_{f} \overrightarrow{e_{f}} + m_{i f'} \overrightarrow{e_{i f'}} + m_{j i'} \overrightarrow{e_{j i'}}, a_J | \overrightarrow{m} \rangle$  can also be expressed as

$$a_{J}|\overrightarrow{m}\rangle = \sqrt{m_{J}}|\overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_{J}}\rangle = \left(\prod_{L \in \mathcal{I}} \theta(m_{L} - \delta_{LJ})\right)\sqrt{m_{J}}|\overrightarrow{m} - \overrightarrow{e_{J}}\rangle \ (J \in \mathcal{I}),\tag{39}$$

where  $\theta : \mathbb{R} \to \{0, 1\}$  is the step function such that

$$\theta(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & (x \ge 0), \\ 0 & (x < 0). \end{cases}$$

The above expression using the step function  $\theta$  is used in calculations in Appendix C.

We are now ready to define a linear operator  $T_n$ . We define a linear operator  $T_n$  on V by

$$T_n := \sum_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}} T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}} |\overrightarrow{\alpha_n^*}\rangle \langle \overrightarrow{\beta_n}|.$$

$$\tag{40}$$

 $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}$  is a matrix representation of  $T_n$  using a Fock space V.  $T_0 = |\overrightarrow{0}\rangle\langle \overrightarrow{0}|$  is given from (9) in Prosition 2.1. By Proposition 3.4,  $T_n$  can be expressed by using a linear operator  $T_{n-1}$  of order (n-1) as follows:

$$T_{n} = \sum_{J,D\in\mathcal{I}} a_{D}^{\dagger} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{D}+1}} T_{n-1} \left\{ a_{J} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{J}}} \left( \tau_{n} + N_{jj'} + 1 \right) g_{\overline{J}D} + a_{J} a_{j} a_{jj'}^{\dagger} \frac{N_{jj'} + 1}{\sqrt{N_{J} N_{j}} \left( N_{jj'} + 1 \right)} g_{\overline{j}J',D} \right\} \\ \cdot \tau_{n}^{-1} \left\{ n \left( \tau_{n} + 1 \right) + 2 \left( N_{I} + N_{ji'} \right) \left( N_{j} + N_{ij'} \right) \right\}^{-1}.$$

$$(41)$$

By applying (41) (n-1) times, the following theorem is obtained.

1

**Theorem 3.5.** A linear operator  $T_n$  is explicitly given by

$$T_n = \sum_{\substack{J_i \in \{J_i\}_n \\ D_i \in \{D_i\}_n}} \sum_{\substack{k_i = 1 \\ k_i \in \{k_i\}_n}}^2 a_{D_n}^{\dagger} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{D_n} + 1}} \cdots a_{D_1}^{\dagger} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{D_1} + 1}} T_0 \mathcal{B}_{1, J_1, k_1} \cdots \mathcal{B}_{n, J_n, k_n}, \tag{42}$$

where  $T_0 = |\overrightarrow{0}\rangle\langle \overrightarrow{0}|,$ 

$$\mathcal{B}_{l,J_{l},k_{l}} := a_{J_{l}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{J_{l}}}} \left( a_{\mathcal{J}_{l}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{\mathcal{J}_{l}}}} a_{j_{l}j_{l}'}^{\dagger} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{j_{l}j_{l}'}} + 1} \right)^{\delta_{j_{l}'k_{l}}} \left( \tau_{l} \delta_{j_{l}k_{l}} + N_{j_{l}j_{l}'} + 1 \right) \frac{g_{\overline{k_{l}j_{l}'},D_{l}}}{\tau_{l}} \\ \cdot \left\{ l \left( \tau_{l} + 1 \right) + 2 \left( N_{I} + N_{j_{l}i'} \right) \left( N_{f} + N_{i_{l}'} \right) \right\}^{-1}$$

$$(43)$$

for  $l = 1, \cdots, n$ , and summations  $\sum_{\substack{J_i \in \{J_i\}_n \\ D_i \in \{D_i\}_n}}$  and  $\sum_{\substack{k_i \in \{k_i\}_n}}^2 k_i = 1$  are defined as

$$\sum_{\substack{J_i \in \{J_i\}_n \\ D_i \in \{D_i\}_n}} := \sum_{J_1 \in \mathcal{I}} \cdots \sum_{J_n \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{D_1 \in \mathcal{I}} \cdots \sum_{D_n \in \mathcal{I}}, \qquad \sum_{\substack{k_i = 1 \\ k_i \in \{k_i\}_n}}^2 := \sum_{k_1 = 1}^2 \cdots \sum_{k_n = 1}^2 \sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}}^2 \sum_{k_n \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}}^2 \sum_{j \sum_$$

respectively.

Note that

$$a_{J}a_{j}a_{jj'}^{\dagger}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{J}N_{j}\left(N_{jj'}+1\right)}} = a_{J}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{J}}}a_{j}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{j}}}a_{jj''}^{\dagger}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{jj'}+1}}$$

for any  $J \in \mathcal{I}$ , since  $jj' \neq J(=jj')$ ,  $\not J \neq J(=jj')$ , and  $jj' \neq \not J$ . By using the relations (37) and (38), we obtain

$$\begin{split} & \left(\tau_{l}\delta_{j_{l}k_{l}}+N_{j_{l}j_{l}''}+1\right)a_{J_{l+1}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{J_{l+1}}}}\left(a_{J_{l+1}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{J_{l+1}}}}a_{j_{l+1}j_{l+1}'}^{\dagger}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{j_{l+1}j_{l+1}'}}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{j_{l+1}j_{l+1}'}}}\right)^{\delta_{j_{l+1}j_{l+1}'}}\\ &=a_{J_{l+1}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{J_{l+1}}}}\left(a_{J_{l+1}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{J_{l+1}}}}a_{j_{l+1}j_{l+1}'}^{\dagger}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{j_{l+1}j_{l+1}'}}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{j_{l+1}j_{l+1}'}}}\right)^{\delta_{j_{l+1}j_{l+1}'}}\\ &\cdot\left[\tau_{l}\delta_{j_{l}k_{l}}+N_{j_{l}j_{l}''}-\left\{\delta_{j_{l}j_{l}'',J_{l+1}}+\delta_{j_{l+1}k_{l+1}}\left(\delta_{j_{l}j_{l}'',J_{l+1}}-\delta_{j_{l}j_{l}',j_{l+1}j_{l+1}'}\right)\right\}+1\right],\end{split}$$

and

$$\left\{ l\left(\tau_{l}+1\right)+2\left(N_{I}+N_{\dot{l}i'}\right)\left(N_{f}+N_{i\dot{l}'}\right)\right\}^{-1}a_{J_{l+1}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{J_{l+1}}}}\left(a_{J_{l+1}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{J_{l+1}}}}a_{j_{l+1}j_{l+1}i'}^{\dagger}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{j_{l+1}j_{l+1}i'}}}\right)^{\delta_{j_{l+1}j_{l+1}i'}} = a_{J_{l+1}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{J_{l+1}}}}\left(a_{J_{l+1}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{J_{l+1}}}}a_{j_{l+1}j_{l+1}i'}^{\dagger}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{j_{l+1}j_{l+1}i'}}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{j_{l+1}j_{l+1}i'}}}\right)^{\delta_{j_{l+1}j_{l+1}i'}} \cdot \left[l\left(\tau_{l}+1\right)+2\left\{N_{I}+N_{\dot{l}i'}-\left(\delta_{IJ_{l+1}}+\delta_{\dot{l}i',J_{l+1}}\right)\right\}\left\{N_{f}+N_{i\dot{l}i'}-\left(\delta_{fJ_{l+1}}+\delta_{i\dot{l}i',J_{l+1}}\right)\right\}\right]^{-1}.$$

Here we use

$$\delta_{J_{l+1}I} + \delta_{j_{l\neq1}k_{l+1}} \left( \delta_{J_{l\neq1},I} - \delta_{j_{l+1}j_{l\neq1}',I} \right) + \delta_{J_{l+1},j_{l}'} + \delta_{j_{l\neq1}k_{l+1}} \left( \delta_{J_{l\neq1},j_{l}'} - \delta_{j_{l+1}j_{l\neq1}',j_{l}'} \right) = \delta_{J_{l+1}I} + \delta_{J_{l+1},j_{l}'},$$
  
$$\delta_{J_{l+1}f} + \delta_{j_{l\neq1}k_{l+1}} \left( \delta_{J_{l\neq1},f} - \delta_{j_{l+1}j_{l\neq1}',f} \right) + \delta_{J_{l+1},i_{l}''} + \delta_{j_{l\neq1}k_{l+1}} \left( \delta_{J_{l\neq1},i_{l}''} - \delta_{j_{l+1}j_{l\neq1}',i_{l}'} \right) = \delta_{J_{l+1}f} + \delta_{J_{l+1},i_{l}''},$$

implied from the following identities

$$\begin{split} &\delta_{J_{l}\not=1,I} + \delta_{J_{l}\not=1,j_{l'}} - \delta_{j_{l+1}j_{l'}\not=1',I} - \delta_{j_{l+1}j_{l'}\not=1',j_{l'}} = 0, \\ &\delta_{J_{l}\not=1,j'} + \delta_{J_{l'}\not=1,ij'} - \delta_{j_{l+1}j_{l'}\not=1',j'} - \delta_{j_{l+1}j_{l'}\not=1',ij''} = 0. \end{split}$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{B}_{1,J_1,k_1}\cdots\mathcal{B}_{n,J_n,k_n}$  can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{B}_{1,J_{1},k_{1}}\cdots\mathcal{B}_{n,J_{n},k_{n}} = \frac{g_{\overline{k_{1}j_{1}}',D_{1}}\cdots g_{\overline{k_{n}j_{n}}',D_{n}}}{\tau_{1}\cdots\tau_{n}}\mathcal{A}_{J_{1},k_{1}}\cdots\mathcal{A}_{J_{n},k_{n}}\mathcal{C}_{1,\{J_{i}\}_{n},\{k_{i}\}_{n}}\cdots\mathcal{C}_{n,\{J_{i}\}_{n},\{k_{i}\}_{n}}\mathcal{F}_{1,\{J_{i}\}_{n},\{k_{i}\}_{n}}\cdots\mathcal{F}_{n,\{J_{i}\}_{n},\{k_{i}\}_{n}}.$$

Here  $\mathcal{A}_{J_l,k_l}, \, \mathcal{C}_{l,\{J_i\}_n,\{k_i\}_n}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{l,\{J_i\}_n,\{k_i\}_n}$  are defined as

$$\mathcal{A}_{J_l,k_l} := a_{J_l} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{J_l}}} \left( a_{\mathcal{J}_l} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{\mathcal{J}_l}}} a^{\dagger}_{j_l \mathcal{J}_l'} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{j_l j_l''}} + 1} \right)^{\delta_{\mathcal{J}_l k_l}},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{l,\{J_i\}_n,\{k_i\}_n} &:= \left(\tau_l \delta_{j_l k_l} + N_{j_l j_l'} - \Lambda_{l,j_l j_l'},\{J_i\}_n,\{k_i\}_n + 1\right), \\ \mathcal{F}_{l,\{J_i\}_n,\{k_i\}_n} &:= \left\{ l\left(\tau_l + 1\right) + 2\left(N_I + N_{j_l'} - \Delta_{I,j_l'},\{J_i\}_n\right)\left(N_{f} + N_{i_l'} - \Delta_{f,i_l'},\{J_i\}_n\right)\right\}^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Lambda_{l,S,\{J_i\}_n,\{k_i\}_n} := \sum_{m=1}^n d_{S,J_m,k_m} - \sum_{m=1}^l d_{S,J_m,k_m} = \sum_{m=l+1}^n d_{S,J_m,k_m},\tag{44}$$

$$d_{S,J_m,k_m} := \delta_{S,J_m} + \delta_{j_m k_m} \left( \delta_{S,J_m} - \delta_{S,j_m j_m'} \right), \tag{45}$$

$$\Delta_{I, \dot{\mu}i', l, \{J_i\}_n} := \sum_{m=1}^n \left( \delta_{IJ_m} + \delta_{\dot{\mu}i', J_m} \right) - \sum_{m=1}^l \left( \delta_{IJ_m} + \delta_{\dot{\mu}i', J_m} \right) = \sum_{m=l+1}^n \left( \delta_{IJ_m} + \delta_{\dot{\mu}i', J_m} \right), \quad (46)$$

$$\Delta_{f,if',l,\{J_i\}_n} := \sum_{m=1}^n \left( \delta_{fJ_m} + \delta_{if',J_m} \right) - \sum_{m=1}^l \left( \delta_{fJ_m} + \delta_{if',J_m} \right) = \sum_{m=l+1}^n \left( \delta_{fJ_m} + \delta_{if',J_m} \right) \tag{47}$$

for  $l = 1, \dots, n, S \in \mathcal{I}, \{J_i\}_n := \{J_1, \dots, J_n\}$  and  $\{k_i\}_n := \{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{F}_{l,\{J_i\}_n,\{k_i\}_n}$  does not depend on the fixed index I because of Proposition B.2 in Appendix B. Hence, we obtain the explicit expression for  $T_n$  as the solution of Proposition 3.4.

**Theorem 3.6.** A linear operator  $T_n$  is explicitly given by

$$T_{n} = \sum_{\substack{J_{i} \in \{J_{i}\}_{n} \\ D_{i} \in \{D_{i}\}_{n} \\ k_{i} \in \{k_{i}\}_{n}}} \sum_{\substack{k_{i}=1 \\ k_{i} \in \{k_{i}\}_{n}}}^{2} a_{D_{n}}^{\dagger} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{D_{n}}+1}} \cdots a_{D_{1}}^{\dagger} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{D_{1}}+1}} T_{0}$$
$$\cdot \mathcal{A}_{J_{1},k_{1}} \cdots \mathcal{A}_{J_{n},k_{n}} \prod_{l=1}^{n} \left( \frac{g_{\overline{k_{l}j_{l}}}, D_{l}}}{\tau_{l}} \mathcal{C}_{l,\{J_{i}\}_{n},\{k_{i}\}_{n}} \mathcal{F}_{l,\{J_{i}\}_{n},\{k_{i}\}_{n}} \right),$$
(48)

where  $T_0 = |\overrightarrow{0}\rangle\langle \overrightarrow{0}|$ .

The coefficient  $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}$  is recovered from (40) as

$$T^{n}_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}} = \langle \overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}} | T_{n} | \overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}} \rangle.$$

$$\tag{49}$$

By Theorem 3.6, we can explicitly give  $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \beta_n^*}$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** The coefficient  $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}$  which determines the star product with separation of variables on  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$  is given by

$$T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^4}}^n = \sum_{\substack{J_i \in \{J_i\}_n \\ D_i \in \{D_i\}_n \\ k_i \in \{k_i\}_n}} \sum_{\substack{k_i=1 \\ k_i \in \{k_i\}_n}}^2 \delta_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\sum_{m=1}^n \overrightarrow{e_{D_m}}} \delta_{\overrightarrow{\beta_n^4},\sum_{X \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{m=1}^n d_{X,J_m,k_m}} \overrightarrow{e_X^*}}$$
$$\times \left(\prod_{S \in \mathcal{I}} \prod_{r=1}^n \theta \left(\beta_S^n - \sum_{m=r}^n d_{S,J_m,k_m}\right)\right) \left(\prod_{l=1}^n \frac{g_{\overline{k_l j_l'}, D_l}}{\tau_l}\right)$$

$$\times \left\{ \prod_{l=1}^{n} \frac{\tau_{l} \delta_{j_{l}k_{l}} + \beta_{j_{l}j_{l}'}^{n} + 1 - \Lambda_{l,j_{l}j_{l}'}, \{J_{i}\}_{n}, \{k_{i}\}_{n}}{l\left(\tau_{l}+1\right) + 2\left(\beta_{I}^{n} + \beta_{j_{l}'}^{n} - \Delta_{I,j_{l}',l,\{J_{i}\}_{n}}\right) \left(\beta_{f}^{n} + \beta_{i_{l}'}^{n} - \Delta_{f,i_{l}',l,\{J_{i}\}_{n}}\right)} \right\}.$$
(50)

See Appendix C for detailed calculations to obtain Theorem 3.7. Note that (50) does not depend on the capital letter index I.

Now that we are ready, let us begin the proof of the main theorem, Theorem 1.4. Substituting (50) into (8), we can obtain the explicit star product with separation of variables on  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$ . Recalling that the right-hand side of (50) includes Kronecker's delta  $\delta_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\sum_{m=1}^n e_{D_m}}$  and  $\delta_{\overrightarrow{\beta_n},\sum_{P\in\mathcal{I}}\sum_{m=1}^n d_{X,J_m,k_m}} e_{\overrightarrow{x}}^*$ , then  $\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}$ , and  $\overrightarrow{\beta_n}^*$  that contribute to  $\sum_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n}^*}$  in (8) as non-zero are as follows:

$$\overrightarrow{\alpha_n} = \sum_{m=1}^n \overrightarrow{e_{D_m}}, \qquad \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{m=1}^n d_{X,J_m,k_m} \overrightarrow{e_X^*}.$$

In more detail, components of  $\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}$  are also rewritten as follows:

$$\beta_I^n = \sum_{m=1}^n d_{I,J_m,k_m}, \qquad \beta_{f}^n = \sum_{m=1}^n d_{f,J_m,k_m}, \qquad \beta_{ij'}^n = \sum_{m=1}^n d_{ij',J_m,k_m}, \qquad \beta_{ji'}^n = \sum_{m=1}^n d_{ji',J_m,k_m}, \quad (51)$$

respectively. From (44) and (51), then we have

$$\beta_{j_l j_l'}^n - \Lambda_{l, j_l j_l', \{J_i\}_n, \{k_i\}_n} = \sum_{m=1}^l d_{j_l j_l'', J_m, k_m}.$$

From (45), (46), (51), and using  $\delta_{I,\mathcal{I}_m} - \delta_{I,j_m,j_m'} + \delta_{j_1i',\mathcal{I}_m} - \delta_{j_1i',j_m,j_m'} = 0$ ,

$$\left(\beta_{I}^{n} + \beta_{\not{l}i'}^{n} - \Delta_{I,\not{l}i',l,\{J_{i}\}_{n}}\right) \left(\beta_{f}^{n} + \beta_{i\not{l}'}^{n} - \Delta_{f,i\not{l}',l,\{J_{i}\}_{n}}\right) = \left\{\sum_{m=1}^{l} \left(\delta_{IJ_{m}} + \delta_{\not{l}i',J_{m}}\right)\right\} \left\{\sum_{m=1}^{l} \left(\delta_{fJ_{m}} + \delta_{i\not{l}',J_{m}}\right)\right\}$$

for  $l = 1, \dots, n$ . In this case, the step function  $\theta \left(\beta_S^n - \sum_{m=r}^n d_{S,J_m,k_m}\right)$  in (50) can be rewritten as

$$\theta\left(\beta_S^n - \sum_{m=r}^n d_{S,J_m,k_m}\right) = \theta\left(\sum_{m=1}^{r-1} d_{S,J_m,k_m}\right)$$

from (45) and (51). The derivations are also rewritten as

$$D^{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}} = D^{\sum_{m=1}^n \overrightarrow{e_{D_m}}},$$
$$D^{\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}} = D^{\sum_{X \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{m=1}^n d_{X,J_m,k_m} \overrightarrow{e_X^*}}$$

from (51). Hence, we obtain Theorem 1.4 (our main theorem) in Section 1.

## 4 Solution $T_n$ for n = 0, 1, 2

In this section, we give the linear operators  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  that are n = 1, 2 cases of (48). By using the obtained linear operators, we calculate  $T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_1},\overrightarrow{\beta_1^*}}^1 = \langle \overrightarrow{\alpha_1} | T_1 | \overrightarrow{\beta_1^*} \rangle$  and  $T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2},\overrightarrow{\beta_2^*}}^2 = \langle \overrightarrow{\alpha_2} | T_2 | \overrightarrow{\beta_2^*} \rangle$  from Theorem 3.6. We also calculate  $T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}^n$  for n = 1, 2 straightforwardly from (50). We then compare the obtained  $\langle \overrightarrow{\alpha_n} | T_n | \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} \rangle$  and  $T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}^n$  from (50) for n = 1, 2 and check that they are equal to each other. Finally, we show that the obtained  $T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2},\overrightarrow{\beta_2^*}}^2$  actually satisfies the recurrence relations (23) in Proposition 3.2.

 $T_0$  is immediately given as

$$T_0 = |\overrightarrow{0}\rangle\langle\overrightarrow{0}| \tag{52}$$

by Proposition 2.1 in Section 2. We first check that  $T_1$  recovers the coefficient for n = 1. Let  $\langle \overrightarrow{e_M} |$  and  $|\overrightarrow{e_L^*}\rangle$  be some n = 1 bra and ket, respectively. By Theorem 3.6,  $T_1$  is given by

$$T_{1} = \sum_{J,D\in\mathcal{I}} a_{D}^{\dagger} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{D}+1}} |\vec{0}\rangle\langle\vec{0}|$$

$$\cdot \left\{ a_{J} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{J}}} \left(\tau_{1} + N_{jj'} + 1\right) g_{\overline{J}D} + a_{J} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{J}}} a_{j} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{j}}} a_{jj''} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{jj''}+1}} \left(N_{jj''} + 1\right) g_{\overline{j}j',D} \right\}$$

$$\cdot \tau_{1}^{-1} \left\{ 1 \cdot (\tau_{1}+1) + 2 \left(N_{I} + N_{ji'}\right) \left(N_{f} + N_{ij'}\right) \right\}^{-1},$$

$$= \sum_{J,D\in\mathcal{I}} |\vec{e_{D}}\rangle \left\{ \langle\vec{e_{J}}| \left(\tau_{1} + N_{jj'} + 1\right) g_{\overline{J}D} + \langle\vec{e_{J}} + \vec{e_{j}}| a_{jj'}^{\dagger} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{jj'}+1}} \left(N_{jj'} + 1\right) g_{\overline{j}j',D} \right\}$$

$$\cdot \hbar \left\{ (\tau_{1}+1) + 2 \left(N_{I} + N_{ji'}\right) \left(N_{f} + N_{ij'}\right) \right\}^{-1},$$
(53)

where we use (52) and  $\tau_1^{-1} = \hbar$ . Since  $j \not a \neq J (= j j')$ ,  $j \not a \neq J (= \not a \not a)$ , then  $\langle \overrightarrow{e_J} + \overrightarrow{e_f} | a_{j \not a}^{\dagger} = 0$ , and we obtain

$$(53) = \sum_{J,D\in\mathcal{I}} \hbar g_{\overline{J}D} |\overrightarrow{e_D}\rangle \langle \overrightarrow{e_J} |.$$
(54)

Here we use  $\left(N_{I} + N_{\dot{l}i'}\right)\left(N_{f} + N_{i\dot{l}'}\right)|\overrightarrow{e_{L}^{*}}\rangle = 0$ . Hence,  $\langle \overrightarrow{e_{M}}|T_{1}|\overrightarrow{e_{L}^{*}}\rangle$  can be calculated as

$$\langle \overrightarrow{e_M} | T_1 | \overrightarrow{e_L^*} \rangle = \hbar g_{M\overline{L}} = T^1_{\overrightarrow{e_M}, \overrightarrow{e_L^*}}.$$
(55)

This result coincides with  $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}$  for n = 1 in (9) in Proposition 2.1. Next, we consider the case n = 2. For n = 2, a linear operator  $T_2$  is given by

$$\begin{split} T_{2} &= \sum_{D_{1}, D_{2} \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{J_{1}, J_{2} \in \mathcal{I}} \hbar g_{\overline{J_{1}}D_{1}} | \overrightarrow{e_{D_{1}}^{*}} + \overrightarrow{e_{D_{2}}^{*}} \rangle \langle \overrightarrow{e_{J_{1}}} | \\ & \cdot \left\{ a_{J_{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{J_{2}}}} \left( \tau_{2} + N_{j_{2}j_{2}'} + 1 \right) g_{\overline{J_{2}}D} + a_{J_{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{J_{2}}}} a_{J_{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{J_{2}}}} a_{j_{2}j_{2}'}^{\dagger} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{j_{2}j_{2}'}} + 1} \left( N_{j_{2}j_{2}'} + 1 \right) g_{\overline{j_{2}j_{2}'}} \right\} \end{split}$$

$$\cdot \frac{1}{2}\tau_2^{-1} \left\{ \tau_1 + 1 + \left( N_I + N_{\dot{\mu}i'} \right) \left( N_{\not{I}} + N_{i\dot{\mu}'} \right) \right\}^{-1},$$
(56)

from (41) and (54). Here we use

$$a_{D_1}^{\dagger} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{D_1} + 1}} a_{D_2}^{\dagger} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{D_2} + 1}} |\overrightarrow{0}\rangle = |\overrightarrow{e_{D_1}^*} + \overrightarrow{e_{D_2}^*}\rangle.$$

We now check that  $T_2$  recovers  $T^2_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2},\overrightarrow{\beta_2^*}}$ , i.e. the solution for n = 2. There are 10 possible  $\overrightarrow{\beta_2^*}$  patterns. They can be classified into the following four patterns for a fixed  $P \in \mathcal{I}$ :

$$(I)\overrightarrow{\beta_{2}^{*}} = 2\overrightarrow{e_{P}^{*}}, \qquad (II)\overrightarrow{\beta_{2}^{*}} = \overrightarrow{e_{P}^{*}} + \overrightarrow{e_{pp'}^{*}}, \qquad (III)\overrightarrow{\beta_{2}^{*}} = \overrightarrow{e_{P}^{*}} + \overrightarrow{e_{pp'}^{*}}, \qquad (IV)\overrightarrow{\beta_{2}^{*}} = \overrightarrow{e_{P}^{*}} + \overrightarrow{e_{pp'}^{*}},$$

Therefore, we only need to check the above four patterns. For the case  $\overrightarrow{\beta_2^*} = 2\overrightarrow{e_P^*}, T_2|2\overrightarrow{e_P^*}\rangle$  is non-zero only for  $J_2 = P$  in (56), and  $\left(\delta_{IP} + \delta_{ii',P}\right) \left(\delta_{IP} + \delta_{ii',P}\right) = 0$  for a fixed  $P \in \mathcal{I}$ .  $T_2|2\overrightarrow{e_P^*}\rangle$  can be rewritten as

$$T_{2}|2\overrightarrow{e_{P}^{*}}\rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sum_{J_{1},D_{1},D_{2}\in\mathcal{I}} g_{\overline{J_{1}}D_{1}}|\overrightarrow{e_{D_{1}}^{*}} + \overrightarrow{e_{D_{2}}^{*}}\rangle \langle \overrightarrow{e_{J_{1}}}|\overrightarrow{e_{P}^{*}}\rangle \cdot g_{\overline{P}D_{2}}\tau_{2}^{-1}(\tau_{2}+1)(\tau_{2}+1)^{-1}$$
$$= \frac{\hbar}{2} \left(-1 + \frac{1}{\hbar}\right)^{-1} \sum_{D_{1},D_{2}\in\mathcal{I}} g_{\overline{P}D_{1}}g_{\overline{P}D_{2}}|\overrightarrow{e_{D_{1}}^{*}} + \overrightarrow{e_{D_{2}}^{*}}\rangle.$$
(57)

Here we use  $\langle \overrightarrow{e_{J_1}} | \overrightarrow{e_P^*} \rangle = \delta_{J_1P}$  and  $\tau_2 = -1 + \frac{1}{\hbar}$ . By using (57), we obtain

$$T^{2}_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{2}},2\overrightarrow{e_{P}^{*}}} = \langle \overrightarrow{\alpha_{2}} | T_{2} | 2\overrightarrow{e_{P}^{*}} \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} \left( -1 + \frac{1}{\hbar} \right)^{-1} \sum_{D_{1},D_{2} \in \mathcal{I}} g_{\overline{P}D_{1}} g_{\overline{P}D_{2}} \delta_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{2}},\overrightarrow{e_{D_{1}}} + \overrightarrow{e_{D_{2}}}}.$$
(58)

We also calculate directly  $T^2_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2}, 2\overrightarrow{e_P^*}}$  by using (50) in Theorem 3.7 for confirmation. Since  $\overrightarrow{\beta_2^*} = 2\overrightarrow{e_P^*}$  and n = 2, the step function in (50) is

$$\prod_{S\in\mathcal{I}}\prod_{r=1}^{2}\theta\left(\beta_{S}^{2}-\sum_{m=r}^{2}d_{S,J_{m},k_{m}}\right)=\prod_{S\in\mathcal{I}}\prod_{r=1}^{2}\theta\left(2\delta_{SP}-\sum_{m=r}^{2}d_{S,J_{m},k_{m}}\right).$$

Recalling that

$$d_{S,J_m,k_m} = \delta_{S,J_m} + \delta_{j_m k_m} \left( \delta_{S,J_m} - \delta_{S,j_m j_m} \right),$$

the step function  $\prod_{S \in \mathcal{I}} \prod_{r=1}^{2} \theta(2\delta_{SP} - \sum_{m=r}^{2} d_{S,J_m,k_m})$  is 1 if and only if  $J_1 = J_2 = P$ ,  $k_1 = j_1$  and  $k_2 = j_2$ . If  $J_1 = J_2 = P = pp'$  and  $k_1 = j_1 = k_2 = j_2 = p$ ,  $T^2_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2},2\overrightarrow{e_P}}$  can be expressed as

$$T_{\vec{\alpha}\vec{2},2\vec{e}_{P}^{*}}^{2} = \sum_{D_{1},D_{2}\in\mathcal{I}} \frac{g_{\overline{P}D_{1}}g_{\overline{P}D_{2}}}{\tau_{1}\tau_{2}} \delta_{\vec{\alpha}\vec{2},\vec{e}_{D_{1}}^{*}+\vec{e}_{D_{2}}^{*}} \delta_{2\vec{e}_{P}^{*},\sum_{X\in\mathcal{I}}2d_{X,P,P}\vec{e}_{X}^{*}}$$

$$\times \frac{\tau_{1}+2\delta_{pp',P}+1-\Lambda_{1,j_{1}j'},\{J_{i}\}_{2},\{j_{i}\}_{2}|_{J_{1}=J_{2}=P}}{\tau_{1}+1+2\left(2\delta_{IP}+2\delta_{ji',P}-\Delta_{I,ji',1,\{J_{i}\}_{2}}|_{J_{1}=J_{2}=P}\right)\left(2\delta_{fP}+2\delta_{ij',P}-\Delta_{f,ij',1,\{J_{i}\}_{2}}|_{J_{1}=J_{2}=P}\right)}$$
(59)

$$\times \frac{\tau_{2} + 2\delta_{pp',P} + 1 - \Lambda_{2,j_{2}j_{2}',\{J_{i}\}_{2}} \Big|_{J_{1}=J_{2}=P}}{2(\tau_{2}+1) + 2\left(2\delta_{IP} + 2\delta_{ji',P} - \Delta_{I,ji',2,\{J_{i}\}_{2}} \Big|_{J_{1}=J_{2}=P}\right)\left(2\delta_{fP} + 2\delta_{ij',P} - \Delta_{f,ij',2,\{J_{i}\}_{2}} \Big|_{J_{1}=J_{2}=P}\right)}$$

for a fixed P = pp', where  $\beta_M^2 = 2\delta_{MP}$  for  $M \in \mathcal{I}$ . Note that

$$d_{X,P,p} = \delta_{X,P},\tag{60}$$

$$\Lambda_{1,j_1j_1',\{J_i\}_2,\{j_i\}_2}\Big|_{J_1=J_2=P} = \delta_{pp',P} = 0, \qquad \Lambda_{2,j_2j_2',\{J_i\}_2,\{j_i\}_2}\Big|_{J_1=J_2=P} = 0, \tag{61}$$

$$\Delta_{I, \not i', 1, \{J_i\}_2} \Big|_{J_1 = J_2 = P} = \delta_{IP} + \delta_{\not i', P}, \qquad \Delta_{\not I, \not i', 1, \{J_i\}_2} \Big|_{J_1 = J_2 = P} = \delta_{\not IP} + \delta_{\not i', P}, \tag{62}$$

$$\Delta_{I,\not{i}',2,\{J_i\}_2}\Big|_{J_1=J_2=P} = 0, \qquad \Delta_{\not{I},\not{i}\not{I}',2,\{J_i\}_2}\Big|_{J_1=J_2=P} = 0$$
(63)

from (44)-(47). Since  $\sum_{X \in \mathcal{I}} \delta_{X,P} \overrightarrow{e_X^*} = \overrightarrow{e_P^*}$ , then Kronecker's delta is calculated as

$$\delta_{2\vec{e_P},2\sum_{X\in\mathcal{I}}d_{X,P,p}\vec{e_X}} = \delta_{2\vec{e_P},2\vec{e_P}} = 1$$
(64)

by using (60). The denominators and numerators in (59) can be calculated by using (61)-(63). The numerators in (59) are expressed as

$$\tau_1 + 2\delta_{pp',P} + 1 - \Lambda_{1,j_1j_1',\{J_i\}_2,\{j_i\}_2}\Big|_{J_1 = J_2 = P} = \tau_1 + 1,$$
(65)

$$\tau_2 + 2\delta_{pp',P} + 1 - \Lambda_{2,j_2j_2',\{J_i\}_2,\{j_i\}_2}\Big|_{J_1 = J_2 = P} = \tau_2 + 1 \tag{66}$$

from (61), respectively. Here, we use  $\delta_{P,pp'} = 0$  since  $P \neq pp'$  for any P = pp'. By using (62) and (63), for l = 1, 2, the denominators in (59) are also calculated as

$$\tau_{1} + 1 + 2\left\{2\left(\delta_{IP} + \delta_{\not{i}i',P}\right) - \Delta_{I,\not{i}i',1,\{J_{i}\}_{2}}\right\}\left\{2\left(\delta_{\not{f}P} + \delta_{i\not{i}',P}\right) - \Delta_{\not{f},i\not{f}',1,\{J_{i}\}_{2}}\right\}$$
  
=  $\tau_{1} + 1 + 2\left(\delta_{IP} + \delta_{\not{f}i',P}\right)\left(\delta_{\not{f}P} + \delta_{i\not{f}',P}\right)$   
=  $\tau_{1} + 1,$  (67)

and

$$2(\tau_{2}+1)+2\left\{2\left(\delta_{IP}+\delta_{\mu',P}\right)-\Delta_{I,\mu',2,\{J_{i}\}_{2}}\right\}\left\{2\left(\delta_{fP}+\delta_{i\mu',P}\right)-\Delta_{f,i\mu',2,\{J_{i}\}_{2}}\right\}$$
  
= 2(\tau\_{2}+1)+8(\delta\_{IP}+\delta\_{\mu',P})(\delta\_{fP}+\delta\_{i\mu',P})  
= 2(\tau\_{2}+1). (68)

Here, we use  $\left(\delta_{IP} + \delta_{\dot{\mu}',P}\right)\left(\delta_{fP} + \delta_{\dot{\mu}',P}\right) = 0$  for any indices  $P, I \in \mathcal{I}$ . Substituting (64)-(68) into (59), we eventually obtain

$$T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{2}},2\overrightarrow{e_{P}^{*}}}^{2} = \sum_{D_{1},D_{2}\in\mathcal{I}}\frac{1}{2}g_{\overrightarrow{P}D_{1}}g_{\overrightarrow{P}D_{2}}\tau_{1}^{-1}\tau_{2}^{-1}\left(\tau_{1}+1\right)\left(\tau_{2}+1\right)\left(\tau_{1}+1\right)^{-1}\left(\tau_{2}+1\right)^{-1}\delta_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{2}},\overrightarrow{e_{D_{1}}}+\overrightarrow{e_{D_{2}}}}$$

$$= \frac{\hbar}{2} \left( -1 + \frac{1}{\hbar} \right)^{-1} \sum_{D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{I}} g_{\overline{P}D_1} g_{\overline{P}D_2} \delta_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2}, \overrightarrow{e_{D_1}} + \overrightarrow{e_{D_2}}}.$$
(69)

(69) coincides with (58).

Similarly, we can calculate for the cases  $\overrightarrow{\beta_2^*} = \overrightarrow{e_P^*} + \overrightarrow{e_{pp'}^*}$ ,  $\overrightarrow{\beta_2^*} = \overrightarrow{e_P^*} + \overrightarrow{e_{pp'}^*}$  and  $\overrightarrow{\beta_2^*} = \overrightarrow{e_P^*} + \overrightarrow{e_{p'}^*}$  as follows:

$$T^{2}_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{2}},\overrightarrow{e_{P}^{*}}+\overrightarrow{e_{pp^{\prime}}^{*}}} = \langle \overrightarrow{\alpha_{2}} | T_{2} | \overrightarrow{e_{P}^{*}} + \overrightarrow{e_{pp^{\prime}}^{*}} \rangle = \hbar \left( -1 + \frac{1}{\hbar} \right)^{-1} \sum_{D_{1},D_{2} \in \mathcal{I}} g_{\overline{P}D_{1}} g_{\overline{pp^{\prime}},D_{2}} \delta_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{2}},\overrightarrow{e_{D_{1}}}+\overrightarrow{e_{D_{2}}}}$$
(70)

$$T^{2}_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{2}},\overrightarrow{e_{P}^{*}}+\overrightarrow{e_{p'}^{*}}} = \langle \overrightarrow{\alpha_{2}} | T_{2} | \overrightarrow{e_{P}^{*}} + \overrightarrow{e_{p'p'}^{*}} \rangle = \hbar \left( -1 + \frac{1}{\hbar} \right)^{-1} \sum_{D_{1},D_{2} \in \mathcal{I}} g_{\overline{P}D_{1}} g_{\overrightarrow{pp'},D_{2}} \delta_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{2}},\overrightarrow{e_{D_{1}}}+\overrightarrow{e_{D_{2}}}}$$
(71)

$$T^{2}_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{2}},\overrightarrow{e_{P}^{*}}+\overrightarrow{e_{P}^{*}}} = \langle \overrightarrow{\alpha_{2}} | T_{2} | \overrightarrow{e_{P}^{*}} + \overrightarrow{e_{P}^{*}} \rangle = \left( -1 + \frac{1}{\hbar} \right)^{-1} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\hbar} \right)^{-1} \sum_{D_{1},D_{2} \in \mathcal{I}} \left( g_{\overline{P}D_{1}} g_{\overline{P}D_{2}} + \hbar g_{\overline{pp'},D_{1}} g_{\overline{pp'},D_{2}} \right) \delta_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{2}},\overrightarrow{e_{D_{1}}}+\overrightarrow{e_{D_{2}}}}$$
(72)

By long but straightforward calculations, we can also check that (70), (71) and (72) coincide with  $T^2_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2}, \overrightarrow{e_P^*} + \overrightarrow{e_{pp'}^*}}, T^2_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2}, \overrightarrow{e_P^*} + \overrightarrow{e_{pp'}^*}}$  and  $T^2_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2}, \overrightarrow{e_P^*} + \overrightarrow{e_{pp'}^*}}$ , calculated from (50), respectively.

Lastly, we check that  $T^2_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2},\overrightarrow{\beta_2^*}}$  satisfies the recurrence relations (23) for n = 2 in Proposition 3.2. In this discussion, we give detailed calculations only for the case  $\overrightarrow{\beta_2^*} = 2\overrightarrow{e_P^*}$  to avoid the repetition of boring discussions. In this case, the right-hand side of (23) is

$$2\hbar\delta_{PI}\left(\tau_{2}+2\delta_{Pf}\right)T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{2}},2\overrightarrow{e_{P}^{*}}}^{2}-\hbar\left(2\delta_{P,ij'}+1\right)\left(2\delta_{P,ji'}+1\right)T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{2}},2\overrightarrow{e_{P}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{*}}+\overrightarrow{e_{jj'}^{*}}+\overrightarrow{e_{ji'}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{*}}}^{2}\right)$$
(73)

Here, we have  $\delta_{PI}\delta_{Pf} = 0$  since  $I \neq I$ , and  $T^2_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2},2\overrightarrow{e_P^*} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*} + \overrightarrow{e_{f'}^*} - \overrightarrow{e_f^*}} = 0$  since  $2\overrightarrow{e_P^*} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*} + \overrightarrow{e_{f'}^*} - \overrightarrow{e_f^*}$  has negative components in the  $\overrightarrow{e_I^*}$ -direction or  $\overrightarrow{e_f^*}$ -direction. Therefore, after substituting (69), the right-hand side is rewritten as

$$(73) = 2\hbar\delta_{PI}\left(-1+\frac{1}{\hbar}\right)\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(-1+\frac{1}{\hbar}\right)^{-1}\sum_{D_1,D_2\in\mathcal{I}}g_{\overline{P}D_1}g_{\overline{P}D_2}\delta_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2},\overrightarrow{e_{D_1}}+\overrightarrow{e_{D_2}}}$$
$$=\hbar^2\sum_{D_1,D_2\in\mathcal{I}}g_{\overline{P}D_1}g_{\overline{P}D_2}\delta_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2},\overrightarrow{e_{D_1}}+\overrightarrow{e_{D_2}}}\delta_{PI}.$$
(74)

We next calculate the left-hand side of (23) for n = 2. Note that the following equation holds:

$$T^{1}_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{2}}-\overrightarrow{e_{D_{1}}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{2}^{*}}-\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{*}}} = \hbar \sum_{J,D_{2}\in\mathcal{I}} g_{\overline{J}D_{2}} \delta_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{2}},\overrightarrow{e_{D_{1}}}+\overrightarrow{e_{D_{2}}}} \delta_{\overrightarrow{\beta_{2}^{*}},\overrightarrow{e_{I}^{*}}+\overrightarrow{e_{J}^{*}}}$$

from (9) for n = 1 in Proposition 2.1. Then, the left-hand side of (23) can be calculated as

$$\hbar \sum_{D_1 \in \mathcal{I}} g_{\overline{I}D_1} T^1_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2} - \overrightarrow{e_{D_1}}, 2\overrightarrow{e_P^*} - \overrightarrow{e_I^*}} = \hbar \sum_{D_1 \in \mathcal{I}} g_{\overline{I}D_1} \cdot \hbar \sum_{J, D_2 \in \mathcal{I}} g_{\overline{J}D_2} \delta_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2}, \overrightarrow{e_{D_1}} + \overrightarrow{e_{D_2}}} \delta_{2\overrightarrow{e_P^*}, \overrightarrow{e_I^*} + \overrightarrow{e_J^*}}$$

$$=\hbar^2 \sum_{D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{I}} g_{\overline{I}D_1} g_{\overline{I}D_2} \delta_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2}, \overrightarrow{e_D_1} + \overrightarrow{e_D_2}} \delta_{2\overrightarrow{e_P}, 2\overrightarrow{e_I}}.$$
(75)

Since  $\delta_{2e_{P}^{*},2e_{I}^{*}} = \delta_{PI}$  and then  $g_{\overline{I}D_{1}}g_{\overline{I}D_{2}}\delta_{PI} = g_{\overline{P}D_{1}}g_{\overline{P}D_{2}}\delta_{PI}$ , we obtain

$$(75) = \hbar^2 \sum_{D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{I}} g_{\overline{P}D_1} g_{\overline{P}D_2} \delta_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2}, \overrightarrow{e_{D_1}} + \overrightarrow{e_{D_2}}} \delta_{PI}.$$

$$(76)$$

Therefore, the right-hand side (74) coincides with the left-hand side (76). Hence, it is checked that the solution  $T^2_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2},2\overrightarrow{e_P}}$  satisfies the recurrence relations (23) in Proposition 3.2 for n = 2. In the same way, we can also verify by straightforward calculations that the other solutions  $T^2_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2},\overrightarrow{e_P}+\overrightarrow{e_P'}}$ ,  $T^2_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2},\overrightarrow{e_P}+\overrightarrow{e_P'}}$ ,  $T^2_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2},\overrightarrow{e_P'}+\overrightarrow{e_P'}}$  and  $T^2_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2},\overrightarrow{e_P'}+\overrightarrow{e_P'}}$  satisfy the recurrence relations (23) in Proposition 3.2.

#### 5 Summary

We gave the recurrence relations (12) in Theorem 3.1 whose solutions are coefficients of the star product with separation of variables on  $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$ , based on the construction method proposed by [17, 18]. In particular, we focused on the case p = q = 2 to give the explicit star product with separation of variables on  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$ . Considering the case p = q = 2, we can obtain the recurrence relations (23) for  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$ from Theorem 3.1. To give the solution of (23) for  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$ , it was necessary to solve a system of linear equations. The process of solving this system of linear equations prevented obtaining the explicit general term expression. To resolve this problem, we derived (24) in Proposition 3.3 that is equivalent to (23), in which the general term  $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n,\beta_n^*}}$  of order n are expressed by coefficients of order (n-1). By using (24), we derived the formula (33) in Proposition 3.4, which uniquely determines  $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n,\beta_n^*}}$  from coefficients of order (n-1) without solving a system of linear equations. To solve (33), we introduced a linear operator  $T_n$  on a Fock space V that recovers  $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n,\beta_n^*}}$  as a matrix representation. Furthermore, by substituting  $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n,\beta_n^*}}$  into the equation (8) given by [17, 18], we succeeded in constructing the explicit star product with separation of variables on  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$ . For confirmation, we checked that for  $n = 1, 2, T^1_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_1,\beta_1^*}}, T^2_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2,\beta_2^*}}$  recovered from  $T_1, T_2$  coincides with  $T^1_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_1,\beta_1^*}}, T^2_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2,\beta_2^*}}$  obtained straightforwardly from (50) in Theorem 3.7, respectively. We also verified that the obtained  $T^2_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_2,\beta_2^*}}$  actually satisfies the recurrence relations (23).

#### Acknowledgement

A. S. was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 21K03258. The authors are grateful to Yasushi Homma, Noriaki Ikeda and Masashi Yasumoto for useful advice in "Mini-School on Differential Geometry and Integrable Systems" and "Poisson geometry and related topics 23".

## A Properties of $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$

We denote some useful properties with respect to  $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$  in this appendix. The set of capital letter indices  $\{I = ii' | 1 \le i \le q, 1' \le i' \le p'\}$  introduced in Section 1 and  $\{1, \dots, qp\}$  are ordered isomorphic.

We now define the isomorphism  $\psi : \left\{ I = ii' \left| 1 \le i \le q, 1' \le i' \le p' \right\} \to \{1, \cdots, qp\} \subset \mathbb{N} \text{ by} \right.$  $\psi(I) = \psi(ii') := p(i-1) + i'_{\mathbb{N}},$ 

where  $i'_{\mathbb{N}}$  denotes the natural number corresponding to i' in a natural way. For example, if i' = 1', then  $i'_{\mathbb{N}} = 1'_{\mathbb{N}} = 1$ . Using this  $\psi$ , for any I = ii', J = jj', we define the binary relation  $\leq_C$  by

$$I \leq_C J \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Longleftrightarrow} \psi(I) \leq \psi(J)$$

on  $\left\{I = ii' \mid 1 \le i \le q, 1' \le i' \le p'\right\}$ . Then  $\le_C$  is the total order on  $\left\{I = ii' \mid 1 \le i \le q, 1' \le i' \le p'\right\}$ . Hence,  $\psi$  is an ordered isomorphism, i.e.

$$\left(\left\{I = ii' \mid 1 \le i \le q, 1' \le i' \le p'\right\}, \le_C\right) \cong \left(\{1, \cdots, qp\}, \le\right).$$

Using the above ordered isomorphism  $\psi$ , we can identify  $\left(\left\{I = ii' \mid 1 \le i \le q, 1' \le i' \le p'\right\}, \le_C\right)$  and  $(\{1, \dots, qp\}, \le)$  as ordered sets, and we can regard capital letter indices as ordinary indices  $I = 1, \dots, qp$ .

We next discuss the properties of the Kähler potential and the Kähler metric. Since the first derivative of  $\Phi$  often appears in this paper, we now introduce the following proposition related to the first derivative of  $\Phi$ .

**Proposition A.1.** Let  $\Phi := \log \det B$  be the Kähler potential of  $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $B, z^{ij'}, b^{i'j'}$  be them defined in Section 1. Then, the first derivatives of  $\Phi$  are

$$\partial_J \Phi = \partial_{jj'} \left( \log \det B \right) = \overline{z}^{jl'} b^{j'\overline{l'}}, \qquad \partial_{\overline{J}} \Phi = \partial_{\overline{jj'}} \left( \log \det B \right) = z^{jl'} b^{l'\overline{j'}}, \tag{77}$$

respectively.

**<u>Proof.</u>** We shall show  $\partial_{\overline{J}}\Phi = z^{jl'}b^{l'\overline{j'}}$  in this proof. The derivative of det *B* with respect to  $b_{\overline{k'}l'}$  is obtained

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial b_{\overline{k'}l'}} \det B = \widetilde{B}_{\overline{k'}l'} = \det B \cdot b^{l'\overline{k'}}$$

by cofactor expansion, where  $b^{l'k'}$  is the entry of  $B^{-1}$ . By the chain rule, the derivative of det B with respect to  $\overline{z}^{jj'}$  is

$$\partial_{\overline{jj'}}(\det B) = \det B \cdot \partial_{\overline{jj'}} b_{\overline{k'}l'} \cdot b^{l'\overline{k'}}$$
$$= \det B \cdot z^{jl'} b^{l'\overline{j'}}.$$
(78)

Here we use the fact that  $\partial_{jj'} b_{\overline{k'}l'} = \delta_{j'k'} z^{jl'}$  from (3). Using (78), the first derivative of  $\Phi$  is

$$\partial_{\overline{J}}\Phi = \partial_{\overline{J}}\log\det B = (\det B)^{-1} \partial_{\overline{J}} (\det B) = (\det B)^{-1} \det B \cdot z^{jl'} b^{l'j'} = z^{jl'} b^{l'j'}.$$

In a similar way,  $\partial_J \Phi = \overline{z}^{jl'} b^{j'\overline{l'}}$  is also shown.

The Kähler metric on  $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$  can be expressed by using the entries of matrices  $B^{-1}$  and  $A^{-1}$ . Here we introduce the matrix  $A \in GL_q(\mathbb{C})$  and its inverse matrix  $A^{-1}$  as follows :

$$A = \left(a_{i\overline{j}}\right) := \mathrm{Id}_q + ZZ^{\dagger}, \qquad A^{-1} = \left(a^{\overline{i}j}\right).$$

**Proposition A.2.** Let  $g_{I\overline{J}}$  be the Kähler metric on  $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$ . Then, Kähler metric  $g_{I\overline{J}} = g_{ii',\overline{jj'}}$  can be written as

$$g_{I\overline{J}} = g_{ii',\overline{jj'}} = a^{\overline{j}i} b^{i'\overline{j'}},$$

where  $a^{\overline{i}j}$  and  $b^{i'\overline{j'}}$  are the entries of  $A^{-1}$  and  $B^{-1}$ , respectively.

**<u>Proof.</u>** Since  $\partial_{ii'}(B^{-1}) = -B^{-1}(\partial_{ii'}B)B^{-1}$ , the derivative of  $b^{l'\overline{k'}}$  with respect to  $z^{ii'}$  is calculated as

$$\partial_{ii'} b^{l'\overline{k'}} = -b^{l'\overline{m'}} \left( \partial_{ii'} b_{\overline{m'}n'} \right) b^{n'\overline{k'}} = -\overline{z}^{im'} b^{l'\overline{m'}} b^{i'\overline{k'}}.$$

$$(79)$$

Hence, from (77) in Proposition A.1 and (79), we have

$$g_{I\overline{J}} = \partial_{ii'}\partial_{\overline{jj'}}(\log \det B) = \partial_I \left( z^{jl'} b^{l'\overline{j'}} \right) = b^{i'\overline{j'}} \left( \delta_{ji} - z^{jl'} b^{l'\overline{m'}} z^{\overline{im'}} \right). \tag{80}$$

On the other hand, recalling that  $\operatorname{Id}_p = BB^{-1} = (\operatorname{Id}_p + Z^{\dagger}Z) B^{-1}$ ,  $\operatorname{Id}_q$  can be written as

$$Id_q = Id_q + ZZ^{\dagger} - ZZ^{\dagger}$$
$$= Id_q + ZZ^{\dagger} - Z\left(Id_p + Z^{\dagger}Z\right)B^{-1}Z^{\dagger}.$$
(81)

Note that

$$Z\left(\mathrm{Id}_{p}+Z^{\dagger}Z\right)B^{-1}Z^{\dagger}=ZB^{-1}Z^{\dagger}+ZZ^{\dagger}ZB^{-1}Z^{\dagger}$$
$$=\left(\mathrm{Id}_{q}+ZZ^{\dagger}\right)ZB^{-1}Z^{\dagger}$$

and  $A = \mathrm{Id}_q + ZZ^{\dagger}$ , (81) can also be rewritten as

$$(81) = A - AZB^{-1}Z^{\dagger}$$
$$= A\left(\mathrm{Id}_{q} - ZB^{-1}Z^{\dagger}\right).$$

This means that  $\mathrm{Id}_q - ZB^{-1}Z^{\dagger}$  is the inverse matrix of A, i.e.

$$a^{\overline{j}i} = \delta_{ji} - z^{jl'} b^{l'\overline{m'}} z^{\overline{im'}}.$$
(82)

Hence, substituting (82) into (80), we obtain  $g_{I\overline{J}} = g_{ii',\overline{jj'}} = a^{\overline{j}i}b^{i'\overline{j'}}$ .

In addition, the second derivative of  $\Phi$  with respect to  $z^{I}$  (or  $\bar{z}^{I}$ ) can be expressed as a product of the first derivative of  $\Phi$  with respect to  $z^{I}$  (or  $\bar{z}^{I}$ ).

**Proposition A.3.** For  $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$ , the second derivative of the Kähler potential  $\Phi$  satisfies

$$\partial_I \partial_J \Phi = -\partial_{ij'} \Phi \partial_{ji'} \Phi, \qquad \partial_{\overline{I}} \partial_{\overline{J}} \Phi = -\partial_{ij'} \Phi \partial_{\overline{j}i'} \Phi.$$

**<u>Proof.</u>** By using (77) and (79), we obtain

$$\partial_I \partial_J \Phi = \partial_I \left( \overline{z}^{jl'} b^{j'\overline{l'}} \right) = -\overline{z}^{in'} b^{j'\overline{n'}} \overline{z}^{jl'} b^{i'\overline{l'}} = -\partial_{ij'} \Phi \partial_{ji'} \Phi.$$

In a similar way, we can also prove for  $\partial_{\overline{I}}\partial_{\overline{J}}\Phi = -\partial_{ij'}\Phi\partial_{\overline{j}i'}\Phi$ .

In general, to explicitly determine the curvature  $R_{\overline{I}}^{\overline{J}\overline{K}}{}_{\overline{L}} = g^{\overline{J}P}g^{\overline{K}Q}R_{\overline{I}PQ\overline{L}}$  of Kähler manifolds, it is necessary to calculate the higher-order derivatives of  $\Phi$ . Fortunately, the curvature of  $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$  can be obtained easily by Proposition A.3.

**Proposition A.4.** For  $G_{p,p+q}(\mathbb{C})$ , the curvature  $R_{\overline{I}}^{\overline{J}\overline{K}}_{\overline{L}}$  is given by

$$R_{\overline{I}}^{\overline{J}\overline{K}}{}_{\overline{L}} = -\delta_{\overline{il'},\overline{J}}\delta_{\overline{li'},\overline{K}} - \delta_{\overline{li'},\overline{J}}\delta_{\overline{il'},\overline{K}} = -\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}\delta_{i'k'}\delta_{j'l'} - \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}\delta_{i'j'}\delta_{k'l'}$$

where  $\delta_{IJ} = \delta_{ii',jj'} := \delta_{ij} \delta_{i'j'}$ .

**Proof.** Recalling that for any Kähler manifold,

$$R_{\overline{I}P\overline{L}Q} = R_{P\overline{I}Q\overline{L}} = -\partial_P \partial_{\overline{I}} \partial_Q \partial_{\overline{L}} \Phi + g^{A\overline{B}} \left( \partial_P \partial_{\overline{B}} \partial_Q \Phi \right) \left( \partial_A \partial_{\overline{I}} \partial_{\overline{L}} \Phi \right)$$

(see Appendix A in [32]), we get

$$R_{\overline{I}P\overline{L}Q} = -\partial_P \partial_Q \left(\partial_{\overline{I}}\partial_{\overline{L}}\Phi\right) + g^{A\overline{B}} \left\{\partial_{\overline{B}} \left(\partial_P \partial_Q \Phi\right)\right\} \left\{\partial_A \left(\partial_{\overline{I}}\partial_{\overline{L}}\Phi\right)\right\}$$
$$= -\partial_P \partial_Q \left(-\partial_{\overline{il'}}\Phi\partial_{\overline{li'}}\Phi\right) + g^{A\overline{B}} \left\{\partial_{\overline{B}} \left(-\partial_{pq'}\Phi\partial_{qp'}\Phi\right)\right\} \left\{\partial_A \left(-\partial_{\overline{il'}}\Phi\partial_{\overline{li'}}\Phi\right)\right\}$$
(83)

by Proposition A.3. By calculating the derivative in (83), it can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\overline{il'}} (\partial_P \partial_Q \Phi) \partial_{\overline{li'}} \Phi + g_{Q,\overline{il'}} g_{P,\overline{li'}} + g_{P,\overline{il'}} g_{Q,\overline{li'}} + \partial_{\overline{il'}} \Phi \partial_{\overline{li'}} (\partial_P \partial_Q \Phi) \\ &+ g^{A\overline{B}} \left( g_{\overline{B},pq'} g_{A,\overline{il'}} \partial_{qp'} \Phi \partial_{\overline{li'}} \Phi + g_{\overline{B},pq'} g_{A,\overline{li'}} \partial_{qp'} \Phi \partial_{\overline{il'}} \Phi + g_{\overline{B},qp'} g_{A,\overline{li'}} \partial_{pq'} \Phi \partial_{\overline{il'}} \Phi + g_{\overline{B},qp'} g_{A,\overline{li'}} \partial_{pq'} \Phi \partial_{\overline{li'}} \Phi + g_{\overline{B},qp'} g_{A,\overline{li'}} \partial_{pq'} \Phi \partial_{\overline{il'}} \Phi + g_{\overline{B},qp'} g_{A,\overline{li'}} \partial_{pq'} \Phi \partial_{\overline{li'}} \Phi + g_{\overline{B},qp'} g_{A,\overline{li'}} \partial_{pq'} \Phi \partial_{\overline{il'}} \Phi \right) \\ &= \partial_{\overline{il'}} \left( -\partial_{pq'} \Phi \partial_{qp'} \Phi \right) \partial_{\overline{li'}} \Phi + g_{Q,\overline{il'}} g_{P,\overline{li'}} + g_{P,\overline{il'}} g_{Q,\overline{li'}} + \partial_{\overline{il'}} \Phi \partial_{\overline{li'}} \left( -\partial_{pq'} \Phi \partial_{qp'} \Phi \right) \\ &+ \delta_{pq'}^A g_{A,\overline{il'}} \partial_{qp'} \Phi \partial_{\overline{li'}} \Phi + \delta_{pq'}^A g_{A,\overline{li'}} \partial_{qp'} \Phi \partial_{\overline{il'}} \Phi + \delta_{qp'}^A g_{A,\overline{il'}} \partial_{pq'} \Phi \partial_{\overline{li'}} \Phi + \delta_{qp'}^A g_{A,\overline{li'}} \partial_{pq'} \Phi \partial_{\overline{li'}} \Phi. \end{aligned}$$

$$\tag{84}$$

Here we use Proposition A.3 and  $g_{\overline{I}J} = \partial_{\overline{I}}\partial_J \Phi$ , then (84) becomes

$$-g_{\overline{il'},pq'}\partial_{qp'}\Phi\partial_{\overline{li'}}\Phi - g_{\overline{il'},qp'}\partial_{pq'}\Phi\partial_{\overline{li'}}\Phi + g_{Q,\overline{il'}}g_{P,\overline{li'}} + g_{P,\overline{il'}}g_{Q,\overline{li'}} - g_{\overline{li'},pq'}\partial_{qp'}\Phi\partial_{\overline{il'}}\Phi -g_{\overline{li'},qp'}\partial_{pq'}\Phi\partial_{\overline{il'}}\Phi + g_{\overline{il'},pq'}\partial_{qp'}\Phi\partial_{\overline{li'}}\Phi + g_{\overline{li'},pq'}\partial_{qp'}\Phi\partial_{\overline{il'}}\Phi + g_{\overline{il'},qp'}\partial_{pq'}\Phi\partial_{\overline{li'}}\Phi + g_{\overline{il'},qp'}\partial_{pq'}\Phi\partial_{\overline{li'}}\Phi + g_{\overline{li'},qp'}\partial_{pq'}\Phi\partial_{\overline{li'}}\Phi$$

$$= g_{P,\overline{il'}}g_{Q,\overline{li'}} + g_{Q,\overline{il'}}g_{P,\overline{li'}}.$$
(85)

Substituting (85) into  $R_{\overline{I}} \overline{}^{\overline{J}\overline{K}}_{\overline{L}} = g^{\overline{J}P}g^{\overline{K}Q}R_{\overline{I}PQ\overline{L}} = -g^{\overline{J}P}g^{\overline{K}Q}R_{\overline{I}P\overline{L}Q}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} R_{\overline{I}}^{\overline{J}\overline{K}}{}_{\overline{L}} &= -g^{\overline{J}P}g^{\overline{K}Q} \left(g_{P,\overline{il'}}g_{Q,\overline{li'}} + g_{Q,\overline{il'}}g_{P,\overline{li'}}\right) \\ &= -\delta_{\overline{il'},\overline{J}}\delta_{\overline{li'},\overline{K}} - \delta_{\overline{li'},\overline{J}}\delta_{\overline{il'},\overline{K}} \\ &= -\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}\delta_{i'k'}\delta_{j'l'} - \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}\delta_{i'j'}\delta_{k'l'}. \end{split}$$

This proof is completed.∎

Note that, due to the difference with the notation (7) for the Riemann curvature tensor,  $R_{\overline{I}}^{\overline{J}\overline{K}}\overline{L}$  has opposite sign to  $\mathfrak{R}_{\overline{I}}^{\overline{J}\overline{K}}\overline{L}$  in the notation by Kobayasi-Nomizu, i.e.  $R_{\overline{I}}^{\overline{J}\overline{K}}\overline{L} = -\mathfrak{R}_{\overline{I}}^{\overline{J}\overline{K}}\overline{L}$ . In addition, This curvature has the symmetry  $R_{\overline{I}}^{\overline{J}\overline{K}}\overline{L} = R_{\overline{L}}^{\overline{J}\overline{K}}\overline{I} = R_{\overline{I}}^{\overline{K}\overline{J}}\overline{L} = R_{\overline{L}}^{\overline{K}\overline{J}}\overline{L} = R_{\overline{L}}^{\overline{K}\overline{J}}\overline{L}$  with respect to capital letter indices I, J, K and L. See [17, 32] for more details.

#### **B** A property of symmetric functions of capital letter indices

We denote a property of symmetric functions whose variables are capital letter indices in this appendix. By using this property, we state that some functions and operators appearing in this paper do not depend on the choice of a capital letter index I. Finally, we show from this fact that the star product (5) in our main theorem (Theorem 1.4) which is independent of I.

We now introduce the following proposition related to the functions which depends on capital letter indices.

**Proposition B.1.** Let  $f : \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{I} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function satisfying f(I, J) = f(J, I) for  $I, J \in \mathcal{I} = \{I, I, i \not i', j \not i'\}$ . Then,  $f(I, j \not i') f(I, i \not j')$  does not depend on  $I = i i' \in \mathcal{I}$ .

**<u>Proof.</u>** From the assumption of f, the following relations hold:

$$f(I, \dot{i}i') = f(\dot{i}i', I), \qquad f(I, i\dot{i}') = f(i\dot{i}', I).$$

Since the product of f(I, ii') and f(I, ii') is commutative, we eventually obtain

$$f(I, \not\!ii')f(I, i\not\!i') = f(I, i\not\!i')f(I, \not\!ii') = f(\not\!ii', I)f(i\not\!i', I) = f(i\not\!i', I)f(\not\!ii', I).$$

This shows that f(I, ii')f(I, ii') does not depend on the choice of a capital letter index  $I \in \mathcal{I}_{\bullet}$ 

This property can be extended to commutative operators on the Fock space V as well as symmetric functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Proposition B.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{L}(V)$  be an operator satisfying  $\mathfrak{f}(I, J) = \mathfrak{f}(J, I)$  for  $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ , where  $\mathcal{L}(V)$  is the set of operators on the Fock space V. If  $\mathfrak{f}$  is commutative, i.e.  $\mathfrak{f}(I, J) \circ \mathfrak{f}(K, L) = \mathfrak{f}(K, L) \circ \mathfrak{f}(I, J)$  for  $I, J, K, L \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $\mathfrak{f}(I, \mathfrak{f}') \mathfrak{f}(I, \mathfrak{f}')$  does not depend on  $I = ii' \in \mathcal{I}$ .

By Proposition B.2, the operators

$$(N_{I} + N_{\not li'}) (N_{\not l} + N_{i\not l'}), (N_{I} + N_{\not li'} - \Delta_{I,\not li',l,\{J_i\}_n}) (N_{\not l} + N_{i\not l'} - \Delta_{\not l,i\not l',l,\{J_i\}_n})$$

do not depend on the choice of  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , where  $\Delta_{I, \not li', l, \{J_i\}_n}$ ,  $\Delta_{f, i \not l', l, \{J_i\}_n}$  are given by (46), (47) in Subsection 3.3. Then, it is shown that  $\mathcal{F}_{l, \{J_i\}_n, \{k_i\}_n}$  in Subsection 3.3 is independent of  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ . Furthermore, by Proposition B.1, the following functions do not depend on  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta_I^n + \beta_{\dot{t}i'}^n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_f^n + \beta_{i\dot{t}'}^n \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \beta_I^n + \beta_{\dot{t}i'}^n - \Delta_{I,\dot{t}i',l,\{J_i\}_n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_f^n + \beta_{i\dot{t}'}^n - \Delta_{f,i\dot{t}',l,\{J_i\}_n} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{cases} \sum_{m=1}^l \left( \delta_{IJ_m} + \delta_{\dot{t}i',J_m} \right) \\ \end{cases} \begin{cases} \sum_{m=1}^l \left( \delta_{fJ_m} + \delta_{i\dot{t}',J_m} \right) \end{cases} \begin{cases} \sum_{m=1}^l \left( \delta_{fJ_m} + \delta_{i\dot{t}',J_m} \right) \\ \end{cases}. \end{cases}$$

From this fact,  $\Upsilon_{l,\{J_i\}_n,\{k_i\}_n}$  in Theorem 1.4 (our main theorem) and (50) in Subsection 3.3 do not depend on the choice of  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ . Hence, the star product with separation of variables (5) on  $G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$  in Theorem 1.4 (our main theorem) does not depend on a capital letter index I.

# C Calculations of $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}}$ in Section 3.3

In this appendix, we denote the calculation of  $T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n}}^n$  using  $T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n},\overrightarrow{\beta_n}}^n = \langle \overrightarrow{\alpha_n} | T_n | \overrightarrow{\beta_n} \rangle$  in Theorem 3.6. Since  $\mathcal{C}_{l,\{J_i\}_n,\{k_i\}_n}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{l,\{J_i\}_n,\{k_i\}_n}$  depend on only number operators, then  $\mathcal{C}_{l,\{J_i\}_n,\{k_i\}_n} | \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{l,\{J_i\}_n,\{k_i\}_n} | \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} \rangle$  are immediately calculated as

$$\mathcal{C}_{l,\{J_i\}_n,\{k_i\}_n}|\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}\rangle = \left(\tau_l \delta_{j_l k_l} + \beta_{j_l j_l'}^n - \Lambda_{l,j_l j_l',\{J_i\}_n,\{k_i\}_n} + 1\right)|\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}\rangle,\tag{86}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{l,\{J_i\}_n,\{k_i\}_n}|\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}\rangle = \left\{ l\left(\tau_l+1\right) + 2\left(\beta_I^n + \beta_{\dot{l}i'}^n - \Delta_{I,\dot{l}i',l,\{J_i\}_n}\right)\left(\beta_{f}^n + \beta_{i\dot{t}'}^n - \Delta_{f,i\dot{t}',l,\{J_i\}_n}\right)\right\}^{-1}|\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}\rangle, \quad (87)$$

respectively. Here we use (44), (46) and (47) in Section 3.3. By using (86) and (87), we obtain

$$\mathcal{C}_{1,\{J_{i}\}_{n},\{k_{i}\}_{n}} \cdots \mathcal{C}_{n,\{J_{i}\}_{n},\{k_{i}\}_{n}} \mathcal{F}_{1,\{J_{i}\}_{n},\{k_{i}\}_{n}} \cdots \mathcal{F}_{n,\{J_{i}\}_{n},\{k_{i}\}_{n}} |\overline{\beta}_{n}^{\sharp}\rangle \\
= \left\{ \prod_{l=1}^{n} \frac{\tau_{l} \delta_{j_{l}k_{l}} + \beta_{j_{l}j'}^{n} + 1 - \Lambda_{l,j_{l}j'',\{J_{i}\}_{n},\{k_{i}\}_{n}}}{l\left(\tau_{l}+1\right) + 2\left(\beta_{I}^{n} + \beta_{j_{l}i'}^{n} - \Delta_{I,j_{l}i',l,\{J_{i}\}_{n}}\right) \left(\beta_{f}^{n} + \beta_{i_{l}'}^{n} - \Delta_{f,i_{l}i',l,\{J_{i}\}_{n}}\right)} \right\} |\overline{\beta}_{n}^{\sharp}\rangle.$$
(88)

Next, we calculate  $\mathcal{A}_{J_1,k_1}\cdots\mathcal{A}_{J_n,k_n}$  acting on  $|\overline{\beta_n^*}\rangle$ . Recalling that (39) in Section 3.3, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{J_n,k_n} | \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} \rangle = a_{J_n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{J_n}}} \left( a_{\mathcal{J}_n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{\mathcal{J}_n}}} a_{j_n \mathcal{J}_n'}^{\dagger} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{j_n \mathcal{J}_n'}} + 1} \right)^{\delta_{\mathcal{J}_n'k_n}} | \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} \rangle$$
$$= \left( \prod_{S \in \mathcal{I}} \theta(\beta_S^n - \delta_{SJ_n} - \delta_{\mathcal{J}_n'k_n} (\delta_{S\mathcal{J}_n'} - \delta_{S,j_n \mathcal{J}_n'})) \right) | \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} - \overrightarrow{e_{J_n}^*} - \delta_{\mathcal{J}_n'k_n} \left( \overrightarrow{e_{\mathcal{J}_n'}^*} - \overrightarrow{e_{j_n \mathcal{J}_n'}^*} \right) \rangle. \tag{89}$$

Doing a similar calculation (n-1) times,  $\mathcal{A}_{1,J_1,k_1} \cdots \mathcal{A}_{n,J_n,k_n} | \overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} \rangle$  is calculated as

$$\mathcal{A}_{1,J_1,k_1}\cdots\mathcal{A}_{n,J_n,k_n}|\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*}\rangle = \left(\prod_{S\in\mathcal{I}}\prod_{r=1}^n \theta\left(\beta_S^n - \sum_{m=r}^n d_{S,J_m,k_m}\right)\right)|\overrightarrow{\beta_n^*} - \sum_{X\in\mathcal{I}}\sum_{m=1}^n d_{X,J_m,k_m}\overrightarrow{e_X^*}\rangle,\tag{90}$$

where we use (45) in Section 3.3, i.e.  $d_{S,J_m,k_m} = \delta_{S,J_m} + \delta_{j_mk_m} \left( \delta_{S,\mathcal{J}_m} - \delta_{S,j_mj_m'} \right)$ . From (88) and (90), we obtain

$$\frac{g_{\overline{k_{1}j_{1}'},D_{1}}\cdots g_{\overline{k_{n}j_{n}'},D_{n}}}{\tau_{1}\cdots\tau_{n}}\mathcal{A}_{1,J_{1},k_{1}}\cdots\mathcal{A}_{n,J_{n},k_{n}}\mathcal{C}_{1,\{J_{i}\}_{n},\{k_{i}\}_{n}}\cdots\mathcal{C}_{n,\{J_{i}\}_{n},\{k_{i}\}_{n}}\mathcal{F}_{1,\{J_{i}\}_{n},\{k_{i}\}_{n}}\cdots\mathcal{F}_{n,\{J_{i}\}_{n},\{k_{i}\}_{n}}|\vec{\beta}_{n}^{*}\rangle}{=\left(\prod_{S\in\mathcal{I}}\prod_{r=1}^{n}\theta\left(\beta_{S}^{n}-\sum_{m=r}^{n}d_{S,J_{m},k_{m}}\right)\right)\left(\prod_{l=1}^{n}\frac{g_{\overline{k_{l}j_{l}'},D_{l}}}{\tau_{l}}\right)$$

$$(91)$$

$$\cdot\left\{\prod_{l=1}^{n}\frac{\tau_{l}\delta_{j_{l}k_{l}}+\beta_{j_{l}j_{l}'}^{n}+1-\Lambda_{l,j_{l}j_{l}'},\{J_{i}\}_{n},\{k_{i}\}_{n}}{l\left(\tau_{l}+1\right)+2\left(\beta_{I}^{n}+\beta_{j_{l}i}^{n}-\Delta_{I,j_{l}i'},l,\{J_{i}\}_{n}\right)\left(\beta_{I}^{n}+\beta_{ij_{l}'}^{n}-\Delta_{f,ij_{l}'},l,\{J_{i}\}_{n}\right)}\right\}|\vec{\beta}_{n}^{*}-\sum_{X\in\mathcal{I}}\sum_{m=1}^{n}d_{X,J_{m},k_{m}}\vec{e_{X}}\rangle,$$

where we use (44)-(47) in Section 3.3. Recalling that Proposition 2.1 in Section 2 and (40),  $T_0$  is immediately obtained as  $T_0 = |\overrightarrow{0}\rangle\langle\overrightarrow{0}|$ . Thus  $\langle\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}|a_{D_n}^{\dagger}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{D_n}+1}}\cdots a_{D_1}^{\dagger}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{D_1}+1}}T_0$  is easily calculated as

$$\langle \overrightarrow{\alpha_n} | a_{D_n}^{\dagger} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{D_n} + 1}} \cdots a_{D_1}^{\dagger} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{D_1} + 1}} T_0 = \langle \overrightarrow{\alpha_n} | \sum_{m=1}^n \overrightarrow{e_{D_m}} \rangle \langle \overrightarrow{0} |$$
$$= \delta_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n}, \sum_{m=1}^n \overrightarrow{e_{D_m}}} \langle \overrightarrow{0} |.$$
(92)

Hence, substituting (91) and (92) into (49), we eventually obtain the explicit expression of  $T^n_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_n,\beta_n^*}}$ :

$$T_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}},\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}}}^{n} = \langle \overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}} | T_{n} | \overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{J_{i} \in \{J_{i}\}_{n} \\ D_{i} \in \{D_{i}\}_{n}}} \sum_{\substack{k_{i}=1 \\ k_{i} \in \{k_{i}\}_{n}}}^{2} \delta_{\overrightarrow{\alpha_{n}},\sum_{m=1}^{n} \overrightarrow{e_{D_{m}}}} \delta_{\overrightarrow{\beta_{n}^{*}},\sum_{X \in \mathcal{I}}\sum_{m=1}^{n} d_{X,J_{m},k_{m}} \overrightarrow{e_{X}^{*}}}}$$

$$\times \left( \prod_{S \in \mathcal{I}} \prod_{r=1}^{n} \theta \left( \beta_{S}^{n} - \sum_{m=r}^{n} d_{S,J_{m},k_{m}} \right) \right) \left( \prod_{l=1}^{n} \frac{g_{\overline{k_{l}j_{l}'},D_{l}}}{\tau_{l}} \right)$$

$$\times \left\{ \prod_{l=1}^{n} \frac{\tau_{l} \delta_{j_{l}k_{l}} + \beta_{j_{l}j_{l}'}^{n} + 1 - \Lambda_{l,j_{l}j_{l}''},\{J_{i}\}_{n},\{k_{i}\}_{n}}{l\left(\tau_{l}+1\right) + 2\left(\beta_{I}^{n} + \beta_{j_{l}i'}^{n} - \Delta_{I,j_{l}i'},l,\{J_{i}\}_{n}\right) \left(\beta_{f}^{n} + \beta_{i_{l}j'}^{n} - \Delta_{f,i_{l}i''},l,\{J_{i}\}_{n}\right)} \right\}.$$

$$(93)$$

#### References

- M. Bordemann, M. Brischle, C. Emmrich, S. Waldmann, "Phase Space Reduction for Star-Products: An Explicit Construction for CP<sup>n</sup>", Lett. Math. Phys. 36, (1995), 357–371.
- [2] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz and D. Sternheimer, "Deformation theory and quantization. I. Deformations of symplectic structures", Ann. Phys. 111, (1978), 61–111.
- [3] F. A. Berezin, "Quantization", MATH USSR-Izv, 8(5), (1974), 1109–1165.
- [4] F. A. Berezin, "General concept of quantization", Comm. Math. Phys. 40(2), (1975), 153–174.
- [5] M. Bordemann, E. Meinrenken and M. Schlichenmaier, "Toeplitz quantization of Kähler manifolds and  $gl(N), N \to \infty$  limits", Comm. Math. Phys. 165(2), (1994), 281–296.

- [6] M. Cahen, S. Gutt, and J. Rawnsley, "Quantization of Kähler manifolds. I", J. Geom. Phys. 7(1), (1991), 45–62.
- [7] M. Cahen, S. Gutt, and J. Rawnsley, "Quantization of Kähler manifolds. II", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 337, (1993), 73–98.
- [8] M. Cahen, S. Gutt, and J. Rawnsley, "Quantization of Kähler manifolds. III", Lett. Math. Phys. 30, (1994), 291–305.
- [9] M. Cahen, S. Gutt, and J. Rawnsley, "Quantization of Kähler manifolds. IV", Lett. Math. Phys. 34, (1995), 159–168.
- [10] B. P. Dolan and O. Jahn. "Fuzzy complex Grassmannian spaces and their star products", Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 18(11), (2003), 1935–1958.
- [11] M. de Wilde and P. B. A. Lecomte, "Existence of star-products and of formal deformations of the Poisson Lie algebra of arbitrary symplectic manifolds", Lett. Math. Phys. 7, (1983), 487–496.
- [12] B. V. Fedosov, "A simple geometrical construction of deformation quantization", J. Differ. Geom. 40(2), (1994), 213–238.
- [13] N. L. Gammelgaard, "A Universal Formula for Deformation Quantization on Kähler Manifolds", Adv. Math. 259, (2014), 766–783.
- [14] H. J. Groenewold, "On the Principles of Elementary Quantum Mechanics", Physica 12(7), (1946), 405–460.
- [15] S. Gutt, "Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds", Geometry and Topology Monographs 17, (2011), 171–220.
- [16] M. B. Halima and T. Wurzbacher, "Fuzzy complex Grassmannians and quantization of line bundles", Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg. 80, (2010), 59–70pp.
- [17] K. Hara and A. Sako, "Noncommutative Deformations of Locally Symmetric Kähler manifolds", J. Geom. Phys. 114, (2017), 554–569.
- [18] K. Hara and A. Sako, "Quantization of Locally Symmetric Kähler manifolds", Geometry Integrability and Quantization 19, (2018), 122–131.
- [19] A. V. Karabegov, "On the Deformation Quantization, on a Kähler Manifold, Associated with a Berezin Quantization", Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 30(2), (1996), 87–89.
- [20] A. V. Karabegov, "Deformation quantization with separation of variables on a Kähler manifold", Comm. Math. Phys. 180, (1996), 745–755.
- [21] A. V. Karabegov, "Star products with separation of variables admitting a smooth extension", Lett. Math. Phys. 101(2), (2012), 125–142.
- [22] A. V. Karabegov and M. Schlichenmaier, "Identification of Berezin-Toeplitz deformation quantization", Crelle's Journal 2001, (2000), 49–76.

- [23] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, "Foundations of Differential Geometry II", John Wiley&Sons, (1969), pp.470.
- [24] M. Kontsevich, "Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds", Lett. Math. Phys. 66, (2003), 157–216.
- [25] C. Moreno, "\*-products on some Kähler manifolds", Lett. Math. Phys. 11, (1986), 361–372.
- [26] C. Moreno, "Invariant star products and representations of compact semisimple Lie groups", Lett. Math. Phys. 12, (1986), 217–229.
- [27] N. Moshayedi, "Kontsevich's Deformation Quantization and Quantum Field Theory", Lect. Notes Math. 2311, (2022), pp.336.
- [28] J. E. Moyal, "Quantum Mechanics as a Statistical Theory", Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society 45(1), (1949), 99–124.
- [29] H. Omori, Y. Maeda, N. Miyazaki and A. Yoshioka, "Poincaré-Cartan Class and Deformation Quantization of Kähler Manifolds", Comm. Math. Phys. textbf194, (1998), 207–230.
- [30] H. Omori, Y. Maeda and A. Yoshioka, "Weyl Manifolds and Deformation Quantizaton", Adv. Math. 85, (1991), 224–255.
- [31] T. Okuda and A. Sako, "Deformation quantization with separation of variables for complex twodimensional locally symmetric Kähler manifold", J. Geom. Symmetry Phys. 64, (2022), 39–49.
- [32] T. Okuda and A. Sako, "Explicit formula of deformation quantization with separation of variables for complex two-dimensional locally symmetric Kähler manifold", Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. 20(7), 2350109, (2023), 1–39.
- [33] N. Reshetikhin and L. A. Takhtajan, "Deformation quantization of Kähler manifolds", Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2 201, (2000), 257–276.
- [34] M. Rieffel, "Deformation quantization of Heisenberg manifolds", Comm. Math. Phys. 122(4), (1989), 531–562.
- [35] M. Rieffel, "Deformation quantization for actions of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ", Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **106(506)**, (1993), pp.93.
- [36] M. Rieffel, "Quantization and C\*-algebras", Contemporary Mathematics 167, (1994), 67–97.
- [37] A. Sako, T. Suzuki and H. Umetsu, "Explicit Formulae for Noncommutative Deformations of CP<sup>N</sup> and CH<sup>N</sup>", J. Math. Phys. 53(7), 073502, (2012), 1–16.
- [38] A. Sako, T. Suzuki and H. Umetsu, "Noncommutative  $\mathbb{C}P^N$  and  $\mathbb{C}H^N$  and their physics", J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 442, 012052, (2013), 1–10.
- [39] M. Schlichenmaier, "Deformation quantization of compact Kähler manifolds via Berezin-Toeplitz operators", Proceeding of the XXI International Colloquium on Group Theoretical Methods in Physics, July 15–20, 1996, Goslar, (1996), 396–400.

- [40] M. Schlichenmaier, "Berezin-Toeplitz quantization of compact Kähler manifolds", Proceedings of the XIV Workshop on Geometric Methods in Physics, Białowieża, July 9–15, 1995, (1998), 101–115.
- [41] M. Schlichenmaier, "Berezin-Toeplitz quantization and Berezin symbols for arbitrary compact Kähler manifolds", Proceedings of the XVII Workshop on Geometric Methods in Physics, Białowieża, July 3–9, 1998, (2001), 45–46.
- [42] M. Schlichenmaier, "Deformation quantization of compact Kähler manifolds by Berezin-Toeplitz quantization", Proceedings of Conférence Moshé Flato 1999. Springer, Dordrecht, (2000), 289–306.
- [43] M. Schlichenmaier, "Berezin-Toeplitz quantization and Berezin transform", Proceedings of the Bologna APTEX International Conference, Bologna, (2001), 271–287.
- [44] M. Schlichenmaier, "Berezin-Toeplitz quantization for compact Kähler manifolds. A review of results", Adv. Math. Phys. 2010, (2010), 643–680.
- [45] M. Schlichenmaier, "Berezin-Toeplitz quantization and star products for compact Kähler manifolds", Contemp. Math. 583, (2012), 257–294.
- [46] J. Schirmer, "A Star Product for Complex Grasmann Manifolds", arXiv preprint q-alg/9709021, (1997), 1–15.
- [47] A.Voros, "Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin method in the Bargmann representation", Phys. Rev. A 40 (1989), 6814–6825.