Pairing Symmetry and Fermion Projective Symmetry Groups

Xu Yang,^{1,*} Shuangyuan Lu,^{1,†} Sayak Biswas,^{1,†} Mohit Randeria,¹ and Yuan-Ming Lu¹

Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA

(Dated: January 2, 2024)

The Ginzburg-Landau (GL) theory is very successful in describing the pairing symmetry, a fundamental characterization of the broken symmetries in a paired superfluid or superconductor. However, GL theory does not describe fermionic excitations such as Bogoliubov quasiparticles or Andreev bound states that are directly related to topological properties of the superconductor. In this work, we show that the symmetries of the fermionic excitations are captured by a Projective Symmetry Group (PSG), which is a group extension of the bosonic symmetry group in the superconducting state. We further establish a correspondence between the pairing symmetry and the fermion PSG. When the normal and superconducting states share the same spin rotational symmetry, there is a simpler correspondence between the pairing symmetry and the fermion PSG, which we enumerate for all 32 crystalline point groups. We also discuss the general framework for computing PSGs when the spin rotational symmetry is spontaneously broken in the superconducting state. This PSG formalism leads to experimental consequences, and as an example, we show how a given pairing symmetry dictates the classification of topological superconductivity.

CONTENTS

2

II.	Characterization of broken symmetries in a superconductor	3
	A. Projective Symmetry Group and Projective Representation	3
	B. Pairing Symmetry and Projective Representations	4
III.	Constraints on the pairing symmetry by the PSG	5
	A. Examples	6
	1. Superconductivity on the square lattice with $X = C_{4v}$	6
	2. Singlet superconductivity on the honeycomb lattice with $X=D_6$	8
	3. Superconductivity in transition metal diacalcogenide (TMD) with $X = C_{3v}$	9
	B. Physical consequences of the PSG	10
	1. 3d SCs with mirror reflection symmetry M_x	10
	2. 2d SCs with 2-fold rotational symmetry C_{2z}	11
IV	General framework	11
	A. Group extension and pairing symmetry in a generic superconductor	12
	B. Examples: superfluid A and B phases in Helium 3	13
	1. Superfluid B phase of Helium-3	14
	2. Superfluid A phase of Helium-3	14
V.	Conclusions and outlook	15
	Acknowledgments	16
٨	A short introduction to projective representation and 2 security	1.6
Α.	A short introduction to projective representation and 2-cocycle	16
В.	How PSG constrains the pairing symmetry for all crystalline point groups	18
	References	20

I. Introduction

^{*} vang.6309@osu.edu

[†] These two authors contributed equally

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the most fundamental characterizations of a superconductor or a paired superfluid is the symmetry of its pair wave-function. The standard way of describing pairing symmetry is in terms of the irreducible representations (irreps) of the *normal state* symmetry group \mathcal{G}_0 which constrains the form of the Ginzburg-Landau (GL) free energy functional [1–4]. \mathcal{G}_0 can be written as

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = G_0 \times U(1) = \begin{cases} X_0 \times SO(3)_{\text{spin}} \times U(1) & \text{Weak SOC} \\ X_0 \times U(1) & \text{Strong SOC} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

where X_0 is the crystalline point group, and SOC denotes spin-orbit coupling. At a second order phase transition, the superconductor spontaneously breaks global charge U(1) symmetry and the system condenses into a particular irrep of $G \subseteq G_0$, which is the group of unbroken symmetries in the superconducting phase. For example, $G = X \times SO(3)_{\text{spin}}$ for a singlet superconductor with weak SOC, where $X \subseteq X_0$ is the point group symmetry preserved in the superconductor. In the presence of a strong SOC we have G = X with $X \subseteq X_0$ being the unbroken point group of the superconductor.

Essentially all of the phonon-mediated superconductors (SCs) exhibit singlet "s-wave" pairing, where the superconducting (SC) state transforms according to the trivial representation of X_n . But superfluid ³He [5] and many quantum materials, including the heavy fermion SCs [6], the high T_c cuprates [7], and Sr_2RuO_4 [8], condense into nontrivial irreps.

In this paper, we wish to focus on the relation between pairing symmetry and the symmetry of the Hamiltonian describing the *fermionic excitations in the superconducting state*. At the mean field level, one focuses on the Bogoliubov-de Gennes (BdG) Hamiltonian, but the fermionic symmetry analysis applies equally beyond the BdG framework where one needs to take into account interactions between quasiparticles. The approach we develop here will allow us to gain new insights that go beyond the (bosonic) GL theory.

Examples of questions which this formalism would shed light on include: (a) the relation between pairing symmetry and topology, as the K-theory classification [9–11] of non-interacting topological SCs is based on the BdG Hamiltonians, (b) how interactions between quasiparticles for various pairing symmetries impacts the classification of interacting topological SC phases [11–14], (c) the relation between pairing symmetry and excitations in topological defects such as Majorana zero modes trapped in vortices[15–17], and (d) whether new probes of electronic excitations can provide insight into the pairing symmetry. We discussed question (a) in section III B of the manuscript. We will return to other questions in subsequent papers.

Here, we first show how starting with the pairing symmetry, together with the crystalline symmetries that dictate the normal state electronic structure, we can derive the projective symmetry group (PSG)[18] for the fermionic excitations in the SC state. Focusing on the cases where the superconductor shares the same spin rotational symmetry as the normal state, we present an exhaustive classification of the SC state PSG corresponding to every allowable pairing symmetry for the 32 crystalline point groups with and without SOC. When confronted with a new superconductor, we would like to use these results in the "reverse" direction, namely, how can we deduce the possible pairing symmetry, given fermionic properties in the SC state. Mathematically, the map from the pairing symmetry to the SC state PSG is, in general, neither injective nor surjective, and thus it cannot be inverted. Nevertheless, we show below that the SC state PSG does constrain to a considerable extent the possible pairing symmetries. We also present numerous examples that serve to illustrate our general results.

To describe the symmetries of the fermionic Hamiltonian we need to (i) to focus on the superconducting state symmetry group G as distinct from the normal state G_0 relevant for GL theory, and (ii) to take into account fermion parity $(-1)^{\hat{F}}$, where \hat{F} is the total number of fermions in the system. Let us discuss each of these points in turn.

On general grounds, the SC state symmetry group G is a subgroup of the normal state G_0 . If the irrep into which the GL theory condenses is one-dimensional, then in fact $G = G_0$. An example may be useful to illustrate this point. The $d_{x^2-y^2}$ pairing state in the cuprates transforms according to the B_{1g} irrep of the tetragonal symmetry group D_{4h} . The pair wavefunction changes sign under a $\pi/2$ rotation, and one might naively think that this breaks C_4 down to C_2 . However, one can compensate for this minus sign by having the fermion operators pick up an $e^{i\pi/2}$ phase under C_4 and thus have the electronic Hamiltonian retain the full symmetry of the normal state. We will see a generalization of this at play in the analysis later in section II.

On the other hand, if the irrep has a dimension > 1, then one needs to solve the GL equations to find the SC state that minimizes the free energy. Then the SC state state symmetry is lower than that in the the normal state, and G is a proper subgroup of G_0 . For example, ³He is a p-wave, triplet superfluid, corresponding to the L=1, S=1 irrep of the normal state symmetry group $G_0 = SO(3)_{\text{orbital}} \times SO(3)_{\text{spin}}$. Depending on external parameters various superfluid states are stabilized, and in the B-phase of ³He, for instance, G_0 is broken down to $G = SO(3)_{L+S}$ [19]. We will discuss a general framework to understand the PSG of fermion excitations in any superconductor in Section IV, where the superconductor can spontaneously break the normal-state spin rotational symmetry.

The second point above related to fermion parity may seem trivial: it enforces that a Hamiltonian can only have terms with an even number of fermion operators. It leads, however, to the important mathematical structure of a projective symmetry group (PSG) G_f acting on the many-body Hilbert space. In Section II, we discuss in detail how G_f is built as a central extension of G by the fermion parity group \mathbb{Z}_2^F .

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section III we show how the fermion PSG G_f can constrain the pairing symmetry of the SC state, applying the framework to all 32 point groups (see Table VIII) and demonstrating it by a few examples in section III A. We further discuss how the PSG determines topological properties of the SC in section III B. While sections II-III focus on the cases where the normal state and the SC state shares the same spin rotational symmetries, in section IV A we describe a generic theory framework that applies to all superconductors, and further demonstrate its power in the examples of A- and B-phases of superfluid 3 He in section IV B. Finally we conclude in section V with an outlook to future studies.

II. CHARACTERIZATION OF BROKEN SYMMETRIES IN A SUPERCONDUCTOR

A. Projective Symmetry Group and Projective Representation

Any Hamiltonian must conserve fermion parity $(-1)^{\hat{F}}$ even if it does not conserve particle number \hat{F} , as, for instance, in the presence of pairing. The fermion symmetry group G_f acting on the many-body Hilbert space of fermions is a projective symmetry group (PSG). Mathematically, G_f is a central extension of the bosonic symmetry group G in the SC state by the fermion parity group $\mathbb{Z}_2^F = \{(\pm 1)^{\hat{F}}\}$. This may be written as a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}_2^F \to G_f \to G \to 1 \tag{2}$$

where \mathbb{Z}_2^F is in the center of G_f . Thus fermion parity commutes with all elements of G_f and the quotient group $G_f/\mathbb{Z}_2^F = G$.

Let us denote by \hat{g} the operator corresponding to the group element $g \in G$ that acts on Hilbert space. In general it could be unitary or anti-unitary. The group G_f is then the set $\left\{(\pm 1)^{\hat{F}}\hat{g} \mid g \in G\right\}$ with the product rule between $(\eta_1)^{\hat{F}}$ \hat{g} and $(\eta_2)^{\hat{F}}$ \hat{h} (with $\eta_i = \pm 1$) given by

$$\left[(\eta_1)^{\hat{F}} \ \hat{g} \right] \left[(\eta_2)^{\hat{F}} \ \hat{h} \right] = \left[\eta_1 \ \eta_2 \ \omega(g, h) \right]^{\hat{F}} \ \widehat{gh}$$

$$(3)$$

 ω called the 2-cocycle is a function $\omega: G \times G \to \{+1, -1\}$ that satisfies $\omega(g, h)$ $\omega(gh, k) = \omega(g, hk)$ ${}^g\omega(h, k)[20]$, so that the multiplication is associative, and $\omega(e_G, e_G) = 1$, so that the identity element is well defined. Each inequivalent cocycle furnishes a distinct projective symmetry group. Thus PSGs are characterized by classes of inequivalent cocycles $[\omega]$ which form the second cohomology group $\mathcal{H}^2(G, \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

As an example, consider time reversal symmetry where $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^T = \{1, T\}$. In this case, $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and there are two PSGs characterized by the two inequivalent cocycles: (1) $\omega(T, T) = 1$ in which case $\hat{T}^2 = 1$, and (2) $\omega(T, T) = -1$ where $\hat{T}^2 = (-1)^{\hat{F}}$. In the first case $G_f = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ while in the second $G_f = \mathbb{Z}_4$. Physically, the action of the different PSGs on the even particle number subspace is the same as that of the bosonic group G. The distinction appears in how G_f acts on the odd particle number subspace, in particular, the single particle subspace.

In general, one could have both unitary and anti-unitary symmetries but in this paper we will focus on unitary operators $\hat{g} \in G_f$, under which the fermion annihilation operator transforms as

$$\hat{g} \ \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k} \alpha} \ \hat{g}^{-1} = [U^g(\mathbf{k})]^{\dagger}_{\alpha\beta} \ \hat{c}_{g\mathbf{k} \beta} \tag{4}$$

where **k** is the (crystal) momentum, and the α labels spin, orbital/sublattice/band degrees of freedom (d.o.f.). Using $(-1)^{\hat{F}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}\alpha} (-1)^{\hat{F}} = -\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}$ and eq. (3), we find that

$$U^{g}(h\,\mathbf{k})\,U^{h}(\mathbf{k}) = \omega(g,h)\,U^{gh}(\mathbf{k}). \tag{5}$$

The U^g 's thus form a projective representation of G with coefficients in $\{\pm 1\}$. Equivalently, one can regard $\{\pm U_q \mid g \in G\}$ as a linear representation of G_f with $(-1)^{\hat{F}}$ represented by -1.

B. Pairing Symmetry and Projective Representations

To be concrete, let us focus on the BdG Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + (\hat{H}_{\text{pair}} + \text{h.c.}) \tag{6}$$

where

$$\hat{H}_0 = \sum_{\alpha\beta:\mathbf{k}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{\dagger} h_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k}) \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}\beta} \tag{7}$$

is the kinetic energy that describes the normal state electronic dispersion, and

$$\hat{H}_{\text{pair}} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \mathbf{k}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{\dagger} \Delta_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k}) \hat{c}_{-\mathbf{k}\beta}^{\dagger} \tag{8}$$

describes the pairing. Fermi statistics dictates that $\Delta_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k}) = -\Delta_{\beta\alpha}(-\mathbf{k})$.

Initially, we restrict ourselves for simplicity to situations where $SO(3)_{\text{spin}}$ is not broken spontaneously in the SC state. In this case, the SC state symmetry group G is of the form

$$G = \begin{cases} X \times SO(3)_{\text{spin}} & \text{Weak SOC} \\ X & \text{Strong SOC} \end{cases}$$
 (9)

where X is the point group of crystalline symmetries. In either case the pairing order parameter $\Delta(\mathbf{k})$ forms a 1d linear representation of crystalline point group X. Moreover the relevant fermionic PSGs are of the form $G_f = X_f \times SU(2)$ and $G_f = X_f$ for the weak and strong SOC cases respectively where X_f is itself a central extension of X with respect to fermion parity. It is thus sufficient to look at the central extensions of the X. Later, in Section IV, we shall present a more general treatment and discuss the case of ³He where spin rotation is spontaneously broken in the SC state. In such cases, the fermion symmetry group might have a more complicated form and it is no longer sufficient to look at central extensions of the spatial part alone.

We now discuss three different projective representations of X and explore how these are related. First, we begin with $X_f^0 = \left\{ (\pm 1)^{\hat{F}} \hat{g}_0 \mid g \in X \right\}$ the PSG of X that preserves the kinetic part of the BdG hamiltonian i.e., $\hat{g}_0 \hat{H}_0 \hat{g}_0^{-1} = \hat{H}_0$. The fermion operators then transform according to the corresponding projective representation $U_0^g(\mathbf{k})$, defined by $\hat{g}_0 c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha} \hat{g}_0^{-1} = [U_0^g(\mathbf{k})]_{\alpha\beta}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{g\mathbf{k}\beta}$, which preserves the normal state band structure

$$U_0^g(\mathbf{k}) h(\mathbf{k}) \left[U_0^g(\mathbf{k}) \right]^{\dagger} = h(g \mathbf{k}). \tag{10}$$

We shall call X_f^0 the normal state PSG and denote the corresponding 2-cocycle by ω_0 . For systems with weak SOC, crystalline symmetries do not act on the spin degrees of freedom and the PSG is trivial in this case $\omega_0(g,h)=1$ for any elements $g,h\in X$. In the presence of strong SOC the projective representation in non-trivial with operations like two fold rotations and mirror reflections now squaring to fermion parity, $\omega_0(C_2, C_2) = \omega_0(M, M) = -1$. This becomes evident by looking at the forms of the projective representations in the two cases.

$$U_0^g(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{cases} u_{\text{orbital}}^g(\mathbf{k}) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\text{spin}} & \text{weak SOC} \\ u_{\text{orbital}}^g(\mathbf{k}) \otimes e^{i\frac{\theta_g}{2}\hat{n}_g.\vec{\sigma}} & \text{strong SOC} \end{cases}$$
(11)

where \hat{n}_g and θ_g are the rotation axis and angle associated with crystalline symmetry operation $g \in X$. Next, we note that the normal state PSG preserves the pairing term only up to a phase, namely

$$\hat{g}_0 \, \hat{H}_{\text{pair}} \, \hat{g}_0^{-1} = e^{i\Phi_g} \hat{H}_{\text{pair}}$$
 (12)

The phases $\{e^{i\Phi_g} \mid g \in X\}$ form a 1D linear representation of X, which we call the pairing symmetry \mathcal{R}_{pair} . The phases Φ_g 's satisfy the equation

$$\Phi_a + \Phi_h = \Phi_{ah} + 2n\pi \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}). \tag{13}$$

The pairing matrix $\Delta(\mathbf{k})$ satisfies

$$U_0^g(\mathbf{k})\Delta(\mathbf{k}) \left[U_0^g(-\mathbf{k})\right]^T = e^{i\Phi_g} \Delta(g\mathbf{k}). \tag{14}$$

We see from eq. (12) that the PSG X_f^0 that leaves \hat{H}_0 invariant, fails to preserve the pairing term. However the situation can be fixed as follows. We modify the transformation of the fermions $\hat{g}' c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha} \hat{g}'^{-1} = [\tilde{U}(\mathbf{k})]_{\alpha\beta}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}\beta}$ with

$$\tilde{U}^g(\mathbf{k}) = e^{-i\Phi_g/2} U_0^g(\mathbf{k}) \tag{15}$$

The kinetic part \hat{H}_0 , which is invariant under U(1) phase rotations, is preserved by the modified transformations as can be seen from (10). The new transformations are also symmetries of the pairing term \hat{H}_{pair} as $\tilde{U}_g(\mathbf{k})$'s lead to eq. (14) without the phase factor $e^{i\Phi_g}$ appearing on the right hand side.

We thus define SC state PSG \tilde{X}_f that preserves the full BdG Hamiltonian by

$$\tilde{X}_f = \left\{ (\pm 1)^{\hat{F}} \ \hat{g}' = (\pm 1)^{\hat{F}} \ e^{-i(\Phi_g/2)\hat{F}} \ \hat{g} \ | \ g \in X \right\}$$
 (16)

This PSG is characterized by the 2-cocycle $\tilde{\omega}$.

The last step here is to look at the relation between the normal and the superconducting state PSGs, or equivalently, between their cocycles ω_0 and $\tilde{\omega}$. The phases $\{e^{-i\Phi_g/2} \mid g \in X\}$ form a 1D projective representation of X, which we call \mathcal{R}_{Φ} . This follows from (13) by observing that $e^{-i\Phi_g/2}e^{-i\Phi_h/2} = (-1)^n e^{-i\Phi_{gh}/2}$. From eqn.(15) one concludes that the cocycle ω_{Φ} associated with \mathcal{R}_{Φ} satisfies

$$\tilde{\omega}(g,h) = \omega_{\Phi}(g,h) \,\omega_0(g,h) \tag{17}$$

To summarize, we encountered the following projective representations and their associated cocycles which define the corresponding PSG's:

Normal state:
$$U_0^g(h \mathbf{k}) U_0^h(\mathbf{k}) = \omega_0(g, h) U_0^{gh}(\mathbf{k})$$
 (18a)

$$\mathcal{R}_{\Phi}: \qquad e^{-i\Phi_g/2}e^{-i\Phi_h/2} = \omega_{\Phi}(g,h)e^{-i\Phi_{gh}/2}$$
 (18b)

SC state:
$$\tilde{U}^g(h\,\mathbf{k})\,\tilde{U}^h(\mathbf{k}) = \tilde{\omega}(g,h)\,\tilde{U}^{gh}(\mathbf{k})$$
 (18c)

Eq. (15) relates the three projective representations and eq. (17) relates their cocycles.

Given the normal state PSG and the pairing symmetry of the SC state, one can use the formalism described above to determine the SC state PSG. This is achieved in the following steps. Pairing symmetry being a 1D linear representation, $\mathcal{R}_{\text{pair}}$ can be read off from the character table of X. Taking the square roots of the characters one obtains the 1D projective representation \mathcal{R}_{Φ} and its cocycle ω_{Φ} . With the normal state PSG known eq. (17) gives the SC state PSG while eq. (15) gives the SC state projective representation explicitly. Thus knowing the pairing symmetry enables us to find the SC state PSG that preserves the BdG Hamiltonian. In the next Section we turn to the inverse problem of constraining the pairing symmetry, knowing the SC state PSG.

III. CONSTRAINTS ON THE PAIRING SYMMETRY BY THE PSG

One longstanding experimental challenge in the field of superconductivity is how to unambiguously determine the pairing symmetry of a superconductor material, based on experimental measurements. Since all fermionic excitations in the superconductor form a linear representation of the SC state PSG \tilde{X}_f , the low-temperature physical properties of the superconductor completely depend on the PSG. For example, as will be discussed in section III B, the topological properties of the SC phase are determined by the PSG. As a result, it seems plausible to detect the SC state PSG \tilde{X}_f using various experimental probes, which we will clarify in future publications. This observation motivates us to answer the following question: given a SC state PSG \tilde{X}_f , what are the pairing symmetries compatible with \tilde{X}_f ? In other words, how does the a given PSG constrain the possible pairing symmetry in a superconductor? The answer to this question will allow us to constrain or even determine the pairing symmetry of a SC, by experimentally detecting its PSG.

Based on the discussions in section IIB, we can readily derive the constraints on the pairing symmetry by the PSG from relations (15) and (17). Specifically, given a SC state PSG \tilde{X}_f and its associated 2-cocycle $\tilde{\omega}$, we can follow the steps listed below to obtain the possible pairing symmetries \mathcal{R}_{pair} in (12)-(14):

(1) Given the crystalline point group X, determine the normal state PSG X_f^0 and associated 2-cocycle $\{\omega_0\}$ of the normal-state symmetry transformations $\{U_0^g|g\in X\}$. This only depends on the strength of SOCs in the system.

- (2) Compute the 2-cocycle $\{\omega_{\Phi}\}$ from $\{\omega_0\}$ and $\{\tilde{\omega}\}$ from relation (17).
- (3) Obtain all one-dimensional (1d) projective representations $\{\mathcal{R}_{\Phi}(g)|g\in X\}$ of the crystalline symmetry group X compatible with 2-cocyle $\{\omega_{\Phi}\}$ obtained in step (2), satisfying

$$\mathcal{R}_{\Phi}(g)\mathcal{R}_{\Phi}(h) = \omega_{\Phi}(g,h)\mathcal{R}_{\Phi}(gh) \tag{19}$$

(5) For each 1d projective representation $\mathcal{R}_{\Phi}(g)$ obtained in step (3), compute the 1d linear representation

$$\mathcal{R}_{pair}(g) = \left[\mathcal{R}_{\Phi}(g)\right]^{-2} \tag{20}$$

of the pairing order parameter. The collection of all results $\{\mathcal{R}_{pair}\}$ correspond to all the possible pairing symmetries compatible with the PSG \tilde{X}_f .

We have applied our general computational scheme to the case of 32 crystalline point groups for both strong SOCs and neglible (weak) SOCs. Group cohomology and projective representation calculations are performed using the GAP computer algebra program[21]. The correspondence between fermion PSGs G_f and the representations \mathcal{R}_{pair} of the superconducting order parameter is established for all 32 point groups, and the results are summarized in Table. VIII in Appendix B.

A. Examples

In what follows, we consider three point groups to demonstrate the procedure described above. First we consider $X = C_{4v}$ with both weak and strong SOC. We tabulate the pairing symmetries that are compatible with each PSG of this group. In this case, it turns out that the pairing symmetry can be uniquely identified from the superconducting state PSG. In addition, for each pairing symmetry we produce physical examples of pair wave-functions. This analysis, for instance, is relevant to cuprate like systems. We carry out similar deductions for $X = D_6$ with weak spin orbit coupling which could be important for graphene based systems and $X = C_{3v}$ with strong spin-orbit coupling which relevant for transisition metal dichalcogenides (TMD).

1. Superconductivity on the square lattice with $X = C_{4v}$

We first demonstrate how to obtain the compatible pairing symmetries from the PSG in an example of point group C_{4v} , applicable to superconductivity in the copper-oxygen (Cu-O) plane of layered cuprate superconductors. Denoting the two-dimensional (2d) Cu-O plane as the x-y plane, the C_{4v} group is generated by a 90-degree rotation C_4 along the \hat{z} axis and a mirror reflection σ_v in the y-z plane:

$$(x, y, z) \xrightarrow{C_4} (y, -x, z); \quad (x, y, z) \xrightarrow{\sigma_v} (-x, y, z).$$
 (21)

All inequivalent 2-cocycle of C_{4v} group $\{\omega\} \in \mathcal{H}^2(C_{4v}, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is classified by the following three \mathbb{Z}_2 -valued gauge-invariant coefficients:

$$\{\omega\} \equiv \left(\omega(C_4^2, C_4^2), \omega(\sigma_v, \sigma_v), \omega(\sigma_d, \sigma_d)\right) = (\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^3,\tag{22}$$

where $\sigma_d \equiv C_4 \sigma_v$.

Alternatively, for the 2-cocycle $\{\tilde{\omega}\}$ of the superconducting phase, the three coefficients above translate into the following algebraic relations that define the PSG G_f :

$$(C_4')^4 = \left[\tilde{\omega}(C_4^2, C_4^2)\right]^{\hat{F}}, \quad (\sigma_v')^2 = \left[\tilde{\omega}(\sigma_v, \sigma_v)\right]^{\hat{F}}, \quad (\sigma_d')^2 = \left[\tilde{\omega}(\sigma_d, \sigma_d)\right]^{\hat{F}}.$$
 (23)

The one-dimensional (1d) projective representation of the $X = C_{4v}$ group is generally given by

$$\{\mathcal{R}_{\Phi}\} \equiv (\mathcal{R}_{\Phi}(C_4) \in U(1), \mathcal{R}_{\Phi}(\sigma_v) \in U(1)) \tag{24}$$

When we consider all 8 iequivalent 2-cocycles (22) for C_{4v} group, only 4 of them admit 1d projective representations, as shown in Table I. This is due to the following property of 1d representations:

$$[\mathcal{R}_{\Phi}(C_4)]^4 = \omega_{\Phi}(C_4^2, C_4^2) = [\mathcal{R}_{\Phi}(\sigma_d)]^4 / [\mathcal{R}_{\Phi}(\sigma_v)]^4 = \left[\omega_{\Phi}(\sigma_d, \sigma_d) / \omega_{\Phi}(\sigma_v, \sigma_v)\right]^2 \equiv 1.$$
 (25)

	PSG data $\{\tilde{\omega}\}$	(1, 1, 1)	(1, 1, -1)	(1, -1, 1)	(1, -1, -1)	(-1, 1, 1)	(-1, 1, -1)	(-1, -1, 1)	(-1, -1, -1)
	$\{\omega_\Phi\}$	(-1, -1, -1)	(-1, -1, 1)	(-1, 1, -1)	(-1, 1, 1)	(1, -1, -1)	(1, -1, 1)	(1,1,-1)	(1, 1, 1)
	1d proj. rep. $\{\mathcal{R}_{\Phi}\}$	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	$(\pm 1, \pm i)$	$(\pm i, \pm i)$	$(\pm i, \pm 1)$	$(\pm 1, \pm 1)$
	$\{\mathcal{R}_{pair}\}$ in (26)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	(1, -1)	(-1, -1)	(-1,1)	(1, 1)
Р	airing sym. (w/ SOC)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	A_2	B_2	B_1	A_1

TABLE I. In the case of $X = C_{4v}$ group with strong SOCs, we summarize the map from the PSG data, i.e. 2-cocycle $\{\tilde{\omega}\}$ defined in (22), to the representation $\{\mathcal{R}_{pair}\}$ of the pairing wave function defined in (26). Here we consider systems with strong SOCs, with $\{\omega_0\} = (-1, -1, -1)$ for the crystalline symmetry representation $\{U^g | g \in X\}$ in the normal state.

PSG data $\{\tilde{\omega}\}$	(1, 1, 1)	(1, 1, -1)	(1, -1, 1)	(1,-1,-1)	(-1, 1, 1)	(-1,1,-1)	(-1, -1, 1)	(-1, -1, -1)
$\{\omega_\Phi\}$	(1, 1, 1)	(1, 1, -1)	(1, -1, 1)	(1, -1, -1)	(-1, 1, 1)	(-1, 1, -1)	(-1, -1, 1)	(-1, -1, -1)
1d proj. rep. $\{\mathcal{R}_{\Phi}\}$	$(\pm 1, \pm 1)$	$(\pm i, \pm 1)$	$(\pm i, \pm i)$	$(\pm 1, \pm i)$	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
$\{\mathcal{R}_{pair}\}$ in (26)	(1, 1)	(-1,1)	(-1, -1)	(1, -1)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Pairing sym. (no SOC)	A_1	B_1	B_2	A_2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

TABLE II. In the case of $X = C_{4v}$ group with negligible SOCs, we summarize the map from the PSG data, i.e. 2-cocycle $\{\tilde{\omega}\}$ defined in (22), to the representation $\{\mathcal{R}_{pair}\}$ of the singlet pairing wave function defined in (26). Here we consider systems with negligibly weak SOCs and approximate SU(2) spin rotational symmetries, with $\{\omega_0\} = (1,1,1)$ for the crystalline symmetry representation $\{U^g|g \in X\}$ in the normal state.

Therefore, only four of the eight 2-cocycles with $\omega_{\Phi}(C_4^2, C_4^2) = 1$ are compatible with 1d projective representations, while the projective representations associated with the other four 2-cocoyles with $\omega_{\Phi}(C_4^2, C_4^2) = -1$ are at least two-dimensional. Next, making use of the homomorphism ρ defined in (20), we can obtain the group representation

$$\{\mathcal{R}_{pair}\} \equiv (\mathcal{R}_{pair}(C_4), \mathcal{R}_{pair}(\sigma_v)) \tag{26}$$

of the pairing wave function, and determine the pairing symmetry compatible with the PSG G_f . We summarize the results in Table I for superconductors with strong SOCs, and in Table II for singlet superconductors with negligible SOCs

To provide illustrative examples, consider the simple example of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ fermions on a 2D square lattice with a single orbital at each site. In eqn. (8) the indices α, β now run over spin labels \uparrow, \downarrow . Then the order parameter takes the form,

$$\Delta(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\mathbf{k}) & \Delta_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\mathbf{k}) \\ \Delta_{\downarrow\uparrow}(\mathbf{k}) & \Delta_{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix}$$
(27)

Pauli exclusion enforces the constraint $\Delta_{ss'}(\mathbf{k}) = -\Delta_{s's}(-\mathbf{k})$ which implies that the diagonal entries are odd functions of \mathbf{k} while the off-diagonal entries are related by $\Delta_{\downarrow\uparrow}(\mathbf{k}) = -\Delta_{\uparrow\downarrow}(-\mathbf{k})$. Spin rotation leaves the spatial degrees of freedom unaffected and transforms the fermion operators as $S_{\theta}c_{\mathbf{k},s}S_{\theta}^{-1} = \left[e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}\hat{n}.\vec{\sigma}}\right]_{ss'}c_{\mathbf{k},s'}$. In the weak spin orbit coupling regime, the order parameter is spin singlet and the pair wave function takes the form

$$\Delta(\mathbf{k}) = \psi(\mathbf{k})(i\sigma_y) \tag{28}$$

where $\psi(-\mathbf{k}) = \psi(\mathbf{k})$ by Pauli exclusion. In the strong spin orbit coupling limit however, when spin rotation invariance is lost, pairing could have a superposition of singlet and triplet terms and (27) is often recast in the following form showing the two parts explicitly.

$$\Delta(\mathbf{k}) = \psi(\mathbf{k})(i\sigma_y) + \vec{d}(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \vec{\sigma}(i\sigma_y)$$
(29)

Where $\psi(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\mathbf{k}) + \Delta_{\uparrow\downarrow}(-\mathbf{k}))$, $d_z(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\mathbf{k}) - \Delta_{\uparrow\downarrow}(-\mathbf{k}))$, $d_x(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathbf{k}) - \Delta_{\uparrow\uparrow}(-\mathbf{k}))$, $d_y(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2i}(\Delta_{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathbf{k}) + \Delta_{\uparrow\uparrow}(-\mathbf{k}))$. Evidently, the constraint imposed by Pauli exclusion now translates to $\psi(-\mathbf{k}) = \psi(\mathbf{k})$ and $\vec{d}(-\mathbf{k}) = -\vec{d}(\mathbf{k})$.

Assuming that the unbroken symmetry group in the superconducting state is $X = C_{4v}$, we first discuss the normal state projective representation $U_0^g(\mathbf{k})$ and the corresponding PSG. The normal state PSG is different in the weak and

ĺ	$\mathcal{R}_{pair} = (e^{i\Phi_{C_4}}, e^{i\Phi_{M_x}})$	$\psi(\mathbf{k})$	$ec{d}(\mathbf{k})$	$\mathcal{R}_{\Phi} = (e^{-i\Phi_{C_4}/2}, e^{-i\Phi_{M_x}/2})$	ω_Φ
ĺ	$A_1 = (+1, +1)$	1	$(-k_y, +k_x, 0)$	$(\pm 1, \pm 1)$	(+1, +1, +1)
	$A_2 = (+1, -1)$	$k_x k_y (k_x^2 - k_y^2)$	$(+k_x, +k_y, 0)$	$(\pm 1, \pm i)$	(+1, -1, -1)
	$B_1 = (-1, +1)$	$(k_x^2 - k_y^2)$	$(+k_y, +k_x, 0)$	$(\pm i, \pm 1)$	(+1, +1, -1)
Ī	$B_2 = (-1, -1)$	$k_x k_y$	$(+k_x, -k_y, 0)$	$(\pm i, \pm i)$	(+1, -1, +1)

TABLE III. singlet and triplet pair wave-functions corresponding to the 1D irreps of C_4v . The 1D projective representation \mathcal{R}_{Φ} and its corresponding cocycle ω_{Φ} are also tabulated. (see main text for details)

strong SOC limit. In each of these two limits we produce examples of $\Delta^{I}(\mathbf{k})$ such that the pairing term transforms as the I-th one-dimensional irreducible representation of X under the normal state symmetry transformations [2].

$$U_0^g(\mathbf{k})\Delta^I(\mathbf{k}) \left[U_0^g(-\mathbf{k})\right]^T = e^{i\Phi_g^I}\Delta^I(g\mathbf{k})$$
(30)

As discussed earlier $\left\{e^{i\Phi_g^I}\right\}$ is precisely the I-th 1D linear irrep of X which we call pairing symmetry \mathcal{R}_{pair} and it can be read off from the character table. Note that for the group C_{4v} , any 1D linear irrep is completely determined by the characters for C_4 rotation and vertical mirror M_x , $(e^{i\Phi_{C_4}}, e^{i\Phi_{M_x}})$.

In the weak SOC limit, spatial symmetries do not affect spin labels, i.e, $\hat{g}\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},s}\hat{g}^{-1} = \hat{c}_{g\mathbf{k},s}$. As a result, in this case $U_0^g(\mathbf{k}) = 1$ and the projective representation is characterized by the trivial PSG $\omega_0 \equiv (1,1,1)$. Examples of $\psi(\mathbf{k})$ for the different pairing symmetries are an s-wave for A_1 , a g-wave for A_2 , a $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave for B_1 and a d_{xy} -wave for B_2 .

In the strong SOC limit, the symmetry operations act both on the spatial and spin degrees of freedom and the normal state projective representation is $U^{C_4}(\mathbf{k}) = e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_z}$ and $U^{M_x}(\mathbf{k}) = i\sigma_x$. The latter is because the vertical mirror M_x can be thought of as a product of inversion I and C_{2x} i.e, a rotation by π around the x-axis. The spinor representations of I and C_{2x} can then be chosen to be 1 and $e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\sigma_x} = i\sigma_x$ respectively. As a consequence of this, the representation for C_{2z} is $U^{C_2}(\mathbf{k}) = e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\sigma_z} = i\sigma_z$. Also, note that while we are in two dimensions, $C_2\mathbf{k} = -\mathbf{k}$ and the character for C_2 in all the irreps is +1. Plugging all of this information in eqn (30) for $g = C_2$ then tells us that $\Delta_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\mathbf{k})$ is even in \mathbf{k} and hence $d_z(\mathbf{k}) = 0$. In the examples that we construct, we set $\psi(\mathbf{k}) = 0$ so that pairing is purely triplet. Thus $\Delta^I(\mathbf{k}) = i(d_y^I(\mathbf{k})\mathbb{1} + id_x^I(\mathbf{k})\sigma_z)$ and in terms of the d-vector, eqn. (30) reduces to $(d_y^I(\mathbf{k}), -d_x^I(\mathbf{k})) = e^{i\Phi_{C_4}^I}(d_x^I(C_4\mathbf{k}), d_y^I(C_4\mathbf{k}))$ and $(d_x^I(\mathbf{k}), -d_y^I(\mathbf{k})) = e^{i\Phi_{M_x}^I}(d_x^I(M_x\mathbf{k}), d_y^I(M_x\mathbf{k}))$. Examples in this category are of type $(p+ip) \uparrow + (p-ip) \downarrow$ and the respective d-vectors are shown in table .

2. Singlet superconductivity on the honeycomb lattice with $X=D_6$

Our next example is the point group D_6 applicable to superconductivity in the magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene. Let's denote the 2d lattice plane as the x-y plane. The point group D_6 is generated by a C_2 rotation along \hat{x} axis and a C_6 rotation along \hat{z} axis:

$$(x,y,z) \xrightarrow{C_6} (\frac{1}{2}x - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}y, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y,z); \quad (x,y,z) \xrightarrow{C_{2x}} (x,-y,-z).$$

$$(31)$$

The cohomology classification is $\mathcal{H}^2(D_6, \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2^3$ and can be characterized by the following three invariant cocycles:

$$\{\omega\} \equiv (\omega(C_{2z}, C_{2z}), \omega(C_{2x}, C_{2x}), \frac{\omega(C_{2z}, C_{2x})}{\omega(C_{2x}, C_{2z})}),$$
 (32)

where the last factor must be equal to +1 in order to admit 1d projective representations due to the fact that:

$$\omega(C_{2z}, C_{2x})\mathcal{R}_{\Phi}(C_{2y}) = \mathcal{R}_{\Phi}(C_{2z})\mathcal{R}_{\Phi}(C_{2x}) = \mathcal{R}_{\Phi}(C_{2x})\mathcal{R}_{\Phi}(C_{2z}) = \omega(C_{2x}, C_{2z})\mathcal{R}_{\Phi}(C_{2y}). \tag{33}$$

As we are considering singlet superconductor with negligible SOCs in which case we have $\{\omega_0\} = (1, 1, 1)$.

When we restrict ourselves to two spatial dimensions as is the case here, rotations by π about an in plane axis, for example C_{2y} , can be regarded as reflection about the mirror M_x perpendicular to the plane and hence the action groups D_6 and C_{6v} cannot be distinguished on the 2D plane.

The group has four one dimensional irreducible representations, each of which has a C_3 character of +1. Moreove, the four 1D irreps are uniquely identified by the C_{2z} and C_{2x} characters, $(e^{i\Phi_{C_{2z}}}, e^{i\Phi_{C_{2x}}}) = (\pm 1, \pm 1)$. Like in the

$\mathcal{R}_{pair} = (e^{i\Phi_{C_{2z}}}, e^{i\Phi_{C_{2x}}})$	$\Delta_{AA}(\mathbf{k})$	$\Delta_{BB}(\mathbf{k})$	$\Delta_{AB}(\mathbf{k})$	$\mathcal{R}_{\Phi} = (e^{-i\Phi_{C_{2z}}/2}, e^{-i\Phi_{C_{2x}}/2})$	ω_Φ
$A_1 = (+1, +1)$	Δ_0	Δ_0	Δ_0'	$(\pm 1, \pm 1)$	(+1, +1, +1)
$A_2 = (+1, -1)$	$\Delta_0 f(k_x, k_y)$	$\Delta_0 f(k_x, k_y)$	$\Delta_0'g(k_x,k_y)$	$(\pm 1, \pm i)$	(+1, -1, +1)
$B_1 = (-1, +1)$	Δ_0	$-\Delta_0$	0	$(\pm i, \pm 1)$	(-1, +1, +1)
$B_2 = (-1, -1)$	$\Delta_0 f(k_x, k_y)$	$-\Delta_0 f(k_x, k_y)$	0	$(\pm i, \pm i)$	(-1, -1, +1)

TABLE IV. Examples of pair wave function with different possible pairing symmetries on a honeycomb lattice which has point group D_6 . The 1D projective representations \mathcal{R}_{Φ} and corresponding cocycles ω_{Φ} are also listed. We have used the notation $f(k_x,k_y)=k_xk_y(k_x^2-3k_y^2)(k_y^2-3k_x^2)$ and $g(k_x,k_y)=k_y(3k_x^2-k_y^2)$

previous example, the four pairing symmetries are in a one to one correspondence with the four PSG s $\omega_{\Phi} = (\pm 1, \pm 1, 1)$ of the 1D projective representations \mathcal{R}_{Φ} . This has been illustrated in table.

To give illustrative examples, let us start with the hypothetical situation of singlet superconductivity in mono-layer graphene, assuming that the ground state inherits the D_6 crystalline symmetry of the normal state. The α , β indices in eqn. (8) now run over spin (\uparrow,\downarrow) and sub-lattice labels (A,B). Assuming that it is a spin singlet superconductor, the pairing term has the general form,

$$\Delta(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{AA}(\mathbf{k}) & \Delta_{AB}(\mathbf{k}) \\ \Delta_{BA}(\mathbf{k}) & \Delta_{BB}(\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix} (is_y)$$
(34)

Where the Pauli matrix s_y acts on the spin degrees of freedom. Fermi statistics demands $\Delta^T(-\mathbf{k}) = -\Delta(\mathbf{k})$ and as a consequence $\Delta_{AA}(\mathbf{k})$, $\Delta_{BB}(\mathbf{k})$ are even functions of \mathbf{k} and $\Delta_{BA}(\mathbf{k}) = \Delta_{AB}(-\mathbf{k})$. The projective representation of D_6 that preserves the normal state hamiltonian is

$$U_0^{C_3}(\mathbf{k}) = 1; U_0^{C_{2z}}(\mathbf{k}) = \sigma_x; U_0^{C_{2x}}(\mathbf{k}) = 1$$
(35)

Where Pauli matrix σ_x acts on the sublattice space. It follows that the corresponding PSG is trivial one, $\omega_0 = (1, 1, 1)$. Like before, we construct examples of $\Delta^I(\mathbf{k})$ satisfying eqn. (30) for each pairing symmetry $\mathcal{R}_{pair} \equiv (e^{i\Phi_{C_{2z}}}, e^{i\Phi_{C_{2x}}})$. The 1D projective representation $\mathcal{R}_{\Phi} = (e^{-i\Phi_{C_{2z}}/2}, e^{-i\Phi_{C_{2x}}/2})$ and its PSG ω_{Φ} are also obtained to show the one to one correspondence as noted earlier.

We end this discussion with the case of superconductivity in magic angle twisted bilayer graphene (MATBG). It was argued in [22] that superconductivity in MATBG descends from a spin valley locked normal state which breaks spin rotation invariance spontaneously. While the normal state has D_6 symmetry, it is spontaneously broken in the superconducting phase as indicated by the observation of nematicity. Thus condensation must take place in either the E_1 or the E_2 irrep of D_6 . Correspondingly the orbital part of the pair wave function is a p-wave or a d-wave respectively. Since the p-wave has a C_2 character -1 and the d-wave has a C_2 character +1, these correspond to different $\mathcal{R}_{\text{pair}}$ s of the residual symmetry group of the superconductor $X = C_{2z}$. There is a one to one correspondence between $\mathcal{R}_{\text{pair}}$ and PSG for X as shown in table in appendix B . Thus experimental detection of a physical manifestation of the PSG that can distinguish between these two cases will allow us to say if the orbital part is a p-wave or a d-wave.

3. Superconductivity in transition metal diacalcogenide (TMD) with $X = C_{3v}$

Our last example is the point group C_{3v} applicable to the case of superconductivity in monolayer transition metal diacalcogenide. Let's denote the 2d plane as the x-y plane, the C_{3v} group is generated by a 3-fold rotation C_3 along \hat{z} axis and a mirror reflection M_x in the y-z plane:

$$(x,y,z) \xrightarrow{C_3} \left(-\frac{1}{2}x - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}y, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}x - \frac{1}{2}y, z\right); \quad (x,y,z) \xrightarrow{M_x} (-x,y,z). \tag{36}$$

The cohomology classification $\mathcal{H}^2(C_{3v}, Z_2)$ is particularly simple: it is \mathbb{Z}_2 and characterized by $\omega(M_x, M_x) = \pm 1$. As monolayer TMDs has strong SOCs, we have $\omega_0(M_x, M_x) = -1$.

In monolayer TMD s, strong Ising SOC along with in-plane inversion symmetry breaking gives rise to a band structure where the degeneracy between the z-polarized spin states, $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$ is lifted [23]. Moreover, due to time reversal symmetry, the energies of the $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$ bands are reverse in the two valleys. At small doping, the Fermi arcs are two concentric rings at each valley with opposite spin polarization. Added to that, since the splitting between the spin states is opposite in the two valleys, spin and valley are completely correlated. In other words, if the inner Fermi

arc at K valley has spin polarization $|\uparrow\rangle$, the inner Fermi arc at the K' valley has spin polarization $|\downarrow\rangle$. The outer Fermi arcs then have polarizations $|\downarrow\rangle$ and $|\uparrow\rangle$ at K and K' valleys respectively. For cooper pairs with zero center of mass momentum, pairing must occur between inner or outer Fermi arcs of opposite valleys. Owing to "spin-valley locking", pairs between the inner (or outer) Fermi arcs say can only involve $|\uparrow\rangle$ electrons from K-valley and $|\downarrow\rangle$ electrons from the K' valley. This severely restricts the form of pairing.

We then write the general 4×4 pairing matrix $\Delta(\mathbf{k})$ written in spin (s) and valley (τ) degrees of freedom, consistent with Fermi statistics, $\Delta^T(-\mathbf{k}) = -\Delta(\mathbf{k})$ and spin-valley locking as enforced by $\mathcal{P}^T\Delta(\mathbf{k}) = \Delta(\mathbf{k})\mathcal{P} = \Delta(\mathbf{k})$, where $\mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \tau_z s_z)$ projects onto the $\tau_z s_z = 1$ subspace.

$$\Delta(\mathbf{k}) = \left[(f_{\mathbf{k}}\tau_{+} - f_{-\mathbf{k}}\tau_{-}) \hat{z}.\vec{s} + (f_{\mathbf{k}}\tau_{+} + f_{-\mathbf{k}}\tau_{-}) \right] (is_{y})$$
(37)

This shows that pairing is a superposition of singlet and triplet $(S_z = 0)$ channels and is characterized by a single function $f_{\mathbf{k}}$. The projective representation of C_{3v} that preserves the normal state hamiltonian is

$$U_0^{M_x}(\mathbf{k}) = i s_x \tau_x; U_0^{C_3}(\mathbf{k}) = e^{i \frac{\pi}{3} s_z}$$
(38)

Evidently, this corresponds to $\omega_0(M_x, M_x) = -1$ Plugging (37) into (30), the condition for $\Delta(\mathbf{k})$ to transform as a one-dimensional irrep of C_{3v} translates to $f(\mathbf{k}) = f(C_3\mathbf{k})$ and $f(-\mathbf{k}) = e^{i\Phi_{M_x}}f(M_x\mathbf{k})$.

$\mathcal{R}_{pair} = e^{i\Phi_{M_x}}$	$f_{\mathbf{k}}$	$\mathcal{R}_{\Phi} = e^{-i\Phi_{M_x}/2}$	ω_Φ
$A_1 = +1$	Δ_0	±1	+1
$A_2 = -1$	$\Delta_0 k_y (3k_x^2 - k_y^2)$	$\pm i$	-1

TABLE V. Examples of pair wave function corresponding to the two 1D irreps of C_{3v} which are relevant to superconductivity in transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) as discussed in the text. The 1D projective representation and corresponding cocycles are also listed

B. Physical consequences of the PSG

The projective symmetry group G_f of the BdG Hamiltonian has effects on all fermionic excitations of the superconductor, since the Bogoliubov quasipaticles as excitations of the BdG Hamiltonian form a linear representation of the PSG G_f . In particular, the topological properties of the superconductor is determined by the PSG, as different PSGs give rise to different classifications of fermion topological superconductors (TSCs)[11, 14, 24]. This is a well-known fact in the classification of gapped fermion topological phases, both in the 10-fold way[25] classification of non-interacting topological superconductors[11, 26], and in the interacting classification of fermion symmetry protected topological phases[14, 27]. For example, in the case of time reversal symmetry \mathcal{T} , it is well known that two- and three-dimensional topological insulators only exist for spinful electrons with $\mathcal{T}^2 = (-1)^{\hat{F}}$ and $G_f = U(1) \times Z_4^{\mathcal{T}}$, which is a different symmetry class (class AII in the 10-fold way[25]) than spinless case (class AI in the 10-fold way[25]), with $\mathcal{T}^2 = 1$ and $G_f = U(1) \times Z_2^{\mathcal{T}}$. In addition to topological classifications, these two distinct symmetry classes have many other different properties, such as the presence vs. absence of Kramers degeneracy of fermion excitations. Below we illustrate how different PSGs, and hence different pairing symmetries, give rise to different classifications of TSCs, in the case of crystalline symmetries[24, 28–33]. We use 3d SCs with mirror reflection symmetry M_x , and 2d SCs with 2-fold rotational symmetry C_{2z} as two known examples to demonstrate this fact.

1. 3d SCs with mirror reflection symmetry M_x

Our first example is the classification of TSCs in three dimension (3d) in the presence of only mirror reflection symmetry M_x which reverses the x coordinate. From the group cohomology $\mathcal{H}^2(M_x,Z_2)=Z_2$, we find two possible fermion PSGs in the presence of strong SOCs: $G_f=Z_2^{\tilde{M}_x}\times Z_2^F$ with $\tilde{M}_x^2=+1$, and $G_f=Z_4^{\tilde{M}_x}$ with $\tilde{M}_x^2=(-1)^{\hat{F}}$. Similarly, in the presence of weak SOCs and SU(2) spin rotational symmetry, the two possible PSGs are given by $G_f=SU(2)\times Z_2^{\tilde{M}_x}$ with $\tilde{M}_x^2=+1$, and $G_f=SU(2)\times Z_4^{\tilde{M}_x}/Z_2$ with $\tilde{M}_x^2=(-1)^{\hat{F}}$. For weakly interacting systems, K-theory[11, 26, 34–36] can be used to classify distinct TSCs described by BdG

For weakly interacting systems, K-theory[11, 26, 34–36] can be used to classify distinct TSCs described by BdG Hamiltonians. In the presence of strong SOCs, it gives rise to a \mathbb{Z} classification of TSCs for the case of $\tilde{M}_x^2 = +1$, and a trivial classification for the case of $\tilde{M}_x^2 = (-1)^{\hat{F}}[35, 36]$. In the presence of a weak SOC and SU(2) spin

SOC strength	pairing symmetry	G_f	K-theory classification[35, 36]
Weak	A'	$SU(2) \times Z_2^{\tilde{M}_x}$	$\mathbb Z$
Weak	$A^{\prime\prime}$	$SU(2) \times Z_4^{M_x}/Z_2$	\mathbb{Z}_2
Strong	A'	$Z_4^{ ilde{M}_x}$	0
Strong	$A^{\prime\prime}$	$Z_2^{M_x} imes Z_2^F$	\mathbb{Z}

TABLE VI. Classification of class D topological superconductor in 3d with mirror reflection M_x . The fermion projective symmetry groups G_f are listed for superconductors with weak/strong SOCs and A'/A'' pairing symmetries. Note that the topological classification is solely determined by G_f .

SOC strength	pairing symmetry	G_f	K-theory classification[37, 38]
Weak	A	$SU(2) imes Z_2^{\tilde{C}_{2z}}$	${\mathbb Z}$
Weak	$B \qquad SU(2) \times Z_4^{C_{2z}}/Z_2$	\mathbb{Z}^2	
Strong	A	$Z_4^{ ilde{C}_{2z}}$	\mathbb{Z}^2
Strong	B	$Z_2^{C_{2z}} imes Z_2^F$	${\mathbb Z}$

TABLE VII. Classification of class D topological superconductor in 2d with C_{2z} rotation perpendicular to the 2d x-y plane. The fermion PSGs G_f are listed for superconductors with weak/strong SOC and A/B pairing symmetries. Note that the topological classification is solely determined by G_f .

rotational symmetry, there is a \mathbb{Z} classification of TSCs for the case of $\tilde{M}_x^2 = +1$, and a \mathbb{Z}_2 classification for the case of $\tilde{M}_x^2 = (-1)^{\hat{F}}[35, 36]$. With this result we can now readily bridge the gap between pairing symmetry and the K-theory classification of TSC via the projective symmetry group G_f .

A mirror symmetry satisfying $\tilde{M}_x^2 = 1$ is preserved either in a singlet superconductor with pairing symmetry A' in the presence of a weak SOC, or in a superconductor with pairing symmetry A'' in the presence of a strong SOC. The classifications of weakly-interacting TSCs in these two cases are both \mathbb{Z} .

To compare, a mirror symmetry satisfying $\tilde{M}_x^2 = (-1)^{\hat{F}}$ corresponds to either a singlet superconductor with pairing symmetry A'' in the presence of a weak SOC, or a pairing symmetry A' in the presence of a strong SOC. For these two cases the classifications of TSCs are \mathbb{Z}_2 and trivial, respectively. The results are summarized in Table VI.

2. 2d SCs with 2-fold rotational symmetry C_{2z}

Our second example is the classification of TSCs in two dimensions (2d) with a C_{2z} rotation perpendicular to the 2d plane. In this case $\mathcal{H}^2(C_{2z}, Z_2) = Z_2$, which yields two different fermion PSGs in the presence of a strong (weak) SOC: one with $\tilde{C}_{2z}^2 = +1$ and the other with $\tilde{C}_{2z}^2 = (-1)^{\hat{F}}$, as shown in Table VII. Accordingly, the K-theory classification of C_{2z} symmetric TSCs[37] are given by \mathbb{Z} for $\tilde{C}_{2z}^2 = +1$ and \mathbb{Z}^2 for $\tilde{C}_{2z}^2 = (-1)^{\hat{F}}$.

From the relationship between pairing symmetry and projective symmetry group, we find that the $\tilde{C}_{2z}^2 = +1$ case corresponds to either a singlet SC with pairing symmetry A or a SC with a strong SOC and pairing symmetry B. Then for these two cases the classifications of topological superconductors are both \mathbb{Z} .

Then for these two cases the classifications of topological superconductors are both \mathbb{Z} . The $\tilde{C}_{2z}^2 = (-1)^{\hat{F}}$ case corresponds to either a singlet superconductor with pairing symmetry B or a superconductor with a strong SOC and pairing symmetry A. For these two cases the classifications of TSCs are both \mathbb{Z}^2 . The results are summarized in Table VII.

From these two examples, we see that BdG Hamiltonians with different PSGs generally give rise to different topological classifications. Based on the correspondence between the fermion PSG and the pairing symmetry discussed in sections II-III, the classification of TSCs is therefore directly related to the pairing symmetry, as demonstrated in Table VI-VII. For TSCs of all the possible pairing symmetries associated with a magnetic point group symmetry, Ref. [24] summarizes a full list of K-theory classification for both the cases of spinless (weak SOC) and spinful (strong SOC) electrons.

IV. GENERAL FRAMEWORK

In previous sections, we discussed the systems where the normal state and the superconducting state share the same spin rotational symmetry. Specifically, we focused on two classes of systems: (1) weakly spin orbit coupled

which possess spin rotation invariance and (2) Strongly spin orbit coupled cases without any spin rotation invariance. In either case, the fermion PSGs are captured by the central extensions of the point group X (A6) and there is a relatively simple relation between the PSG and the pairing symmetry as discussed in Section IIB.

In the most general situation, the normal state and the superconducting state may have different global (onsite) spin-rotational symmetries, due to spontaneous breaking of spin rotational symmetries by superconductivity. One famous example of such is spin-triplet superconductivity in ³He[39]. To incorporate these cases, below we describe a generic theory framework that can be applied to all superconductors.

A. Group extension and pairing symmetry in a generic superconductor

Generally, the normal state preserves a global (onsite) spin rotational symmetry group $S_0 \subseteq SO(3)$, which is spontaneously broken down to a subgroup $S \subseteq S_0$. Meanwhile, the charge U(1) symmetry in the normal state is completely broken in the superconducting state, leads to a $G = X \times S$ symmetry of the superconductor, where X denotes the spatial/crystalline symmetry group preserved by the superconducting phase. However, as we have already seen in previous discussions, in a fermion system described by BdG Hamiltonian (6), the full fermion symmetry group G_f of the BdG Hamiltonian is different from the "bosonic symmetry group" $G = X \times S$ that act on local operators with an even fermion parity. To describe the fermion symmetry group G_f , we have to introduce the mathematical concept of group extension, which is briefly reviewed in Appendix A.

First of all, the fermion global symmetry group S_f is given by the following central extension of spin rotational symmetry S:

$$1 \to Z_2^F \to S_f \to S \to 1 \tag{39}$$

where the center Z_2^F represents the order-2 fermion parity group. Note that the fermion parity group Z_2^F is a normal subgroup of S_f . Physically, each spin rotation symmetry $s \in S$ of fermions in the BdG Hamiltonian is a combination of a normal-state spin rotation U^s represented by a SU(2) matrix, and a U(1) charge rotation $e^{i\phi_s}$:

$$\tilde{U}^s = e^{i\phi_s}U^s, \quad U^s \in SU(2), \quad \forall \ s \in S.$$
 (40)

such that

$$\tilde{U}^s \hat{H}_{\mathrm{BdG}}(\tilde{U}^s)^{\dagger} = \hat{H}_{\mathrm{BdG}}, \quad \forall \ s \in S.$$
 (41)

The U(1) phase factors $\{e^{i\phi_s}|s\in S\}$ satisfy

$$e^{i(\phi_s + \phi_{s'})} = \omega(s, s')e^{i\phi_{ss'}}, \quad \omega(s, s') = \pm 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad \forall \ s, s' \in S.$$
 (42)

A generic element of fermion onsite symmetry group S_f can be denoted as

$$(s,\eta) = \eta^{\hat{F}} e^{i\phi_s \hat{F}} \hat{s}, \quad \hat{s} \in S, \ \eta = \pm 1.$$
 (43)

where \hat{F} denotes the total fermion number. Here $\{\tilde{U}^s|s\in S\}$ form a projective representation of group S, or equivalently $\{\tilde{U}^{(s,\eta)}=\eta\tilde{U}^s|s\in S,\eta=\pm 1\}$ form a linear representation of group S_f . Mathematically, different fermion onsite symmetry groups S_f , i.e. different central extensions (39), are classified by 2nd cohomology group $\mathcal{H}^2(S,Z_f^F)$, as discussed in Appendix A.

Next we address the full fermion symmetry group G_f by taking into account of crystalline space group X. The fermion symmetry group G_f is given by the extension of space group X by the onsite fermion symmetry group S_f :

$$1 \to S_f \to G_f \to X \to 1 \tag{44}$$

satisfying $X = G_f/S_f$. Similar to previous discussions, we consider the crystalline symmetry group X which is preserved by the superconducting state (hence also by the normal state). Under the crystalline symmetry operation $g \in X$, the electrons in the normal state transform as

$$\hat{g}c_{k,\alpha}\hat{g}^{-1} = \left[U^g(k)\right]^{\dagger}_{\alpha,\beta}c_{\hat{g}k,\beta}, \quad \forall \ g \in X.$$

$$\tag{45}$$

In the superconducting state, in order for the BdG Hamiltonian to be invariant under symmetry transformations, each crystalline symmetry operation $g \in X$ is dressed by a normal-state spin rotation $s_g \in S_0$ and a charge rotation $e^{i\phi_g \hat{F}} \in U(1)$:

$$g'H_{\text{BdG}}(g')^{-1} = H_{\text{BdG}}; \quad g' = e^{i\phi_g \hat{F}} s_g \cdot g, \quad s_g \in S_0, \ \forall \ g \in X.$$
 (46)

satisfying

$$g' \cdot h' = s(g,h) \cdot (gh)', \quad s(g,h) \in S_f. \tag{47}$$

The full symmetry group G_f of the superconducting state (and of the BdG Hamiltonian) can be denoted by

$$G_f = \{(s,g) \equiv s \cdot g' | g' = e^{i\phi_g \hat{F}} s_g \cdot g, s \in S_f, g \in X\}$$

$$\tag{48}$$

which is exactly captured by the group extension described in (44). The classification of different fermion symmetry groups G_f is given by the classification of group extension in (44), which in general is a difficult mathematical problem. In the special case when S_f is an Abelian group, the classification is given by 2nd cohomology group $\mathcal{H}^2_{[\rho]}(X, S_f)$.

In terms of group representations, the electrons in the BdG Hamiltonian form a projective representation of crystalline group X:

$$\hat{g}'c_{k,\alpha}(\hat{g}')^{-1} = \left[\tilde{U}^g(k)\right]_{\alpha,\beta}^{\dagger} c_{\hat{g}k,\beta}, \quad \tilde{U}^g(k) = e^{i\phi_g} U^{s_g} U^g(k), \quad U^{s_g} \in SU(2), \ s_g \in S_0. \tag{49}$$

satisfying

$$\tilde{U}^g(\hat{h}k)\tilde{U}^h(k) = \tilde{U}^{s(g,h)}\tilde{U}^{gh}(k), \quad s(g,h) \in S_f.$$
(50)

Equivalently $\{\tilde{U}^s \cdot \tilde{U}^g | s \in S_f, g \in X\}$ can be viewed as a linear representation of the full symmetry group G_f .

Finally, we discuss the relation between the fermion PSG G_f and the pairing symmetry. In general, the pairing wavefunctions $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$ in BdG Hamiltonian (6) form a linear representation \mathcal{R}_{pair} of the bosonic symmetry group $G = S \times X$, where S stands for the global (spin rotational) symmetry group and X stands for the crystalline symmetry group. Meanwhile, in the representation $\{\tilde{U}^s \cdot \tilde{U}^g | s \in S_f, g \in X\}$ introduced above, we can identify a projective representation of group $G = S \times X$:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\Phi}(s,g) = e^{i(\phi_s + \phi_g)} U^{s_g}, \quad \forall \ s \in S, \ g \in X.$$
 (51)

The linear representation \mathcal{R}_{pair} which characterizes the pairing symmetry and the above projective representation \mathcal{R}_{Φ} are related by the following relation:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\Phi} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{\Phi} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{nair} = \mathbb{1} \oplus \cdots \tag{52}$$

where $\mathbbm{1}$ denotes the trivial one-dimensional (1d) representation of group $G = S \times X$. This is because the pairing term (8) must remain invariant under the PSG symmetry transformation $\{\tilde{U}^s \cdot \tilde{U}^g | s \in S_f, g \in X\}$. Notice that in the special case of \mathcal{R}_{Φ} being a 1d irrep, applicable to the situation discussed in Section III, the general relation (52) reduces to Eq. (20). Specifically, while the pairing order parameter $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$ always transforms as a 1d irrep of the global symmetry group S, it can transform as a multi-dimensional irrep. of the crystalline group X.

Below we demonstrate the above general framework in two famous examples: the A and B phases of superfluid Helium 3. In the example of superfluid B phase, the pairing wavefunctions transform as a 3-dimensional odd-parity j = 1 representation of X = O(3) group, as will be shown below.

B. Examples: superfluid A and B phases in Helium 3

The most famous example of triplet superconductivity (or superfluidity) is perhaps Helium 3 [5], where the normal state preserves both the continuous $X_0 = O(3) = SO(3)_L \times Z_2^I$ spatial symmetry and $S_0 = SO(3)_S$ spin rotational symmetry. The full spatial symmetry X = O(3) includes both spatial $SO(3)_L$ rotations, and inversion symmetry $Z_2^I = \{\hat{I}, 1 = (\hat{I})^2\}$. There are two different superconducting phases in Helium 3: (1) B phase, also known as the Balian-Werthamer (BW) phase [40], (2) A phase, also known as Anderson-Brinkman-Morel (ABM) phase [41, 42]. Below we apply the general framework described above to these two triplet superconducting phases. The BdG Hamiltonian for superfluid Helium 3 can be generally written as

$$\hat{H}_{\text{BdG}} = \sum_{k} \Psi_{k}^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} (\frac{k^2}{2m} - \mu)\hat{1} & \vec{d}_k \cdot \vec{\sigma} \\ (\vec{d}_k)^* \cdot \vec{\sigma} & (\mu - \frac{k^2}{2m})\hat{1} \end{pmatrix} \Psi_k$$
 (53)

in the Nambu basis of

$$\Psi_k \equiv (c_{k,\uparrow}, c_{k,\downarrow}, c_{-k,\downarrow}^{\dagger}, -c_{-k,\uparrow}^{\dagger})^T. \tag{54}$$

The complex vector $\vec{d}_k \in \mathbb{C}^3$ determines the pairing symmetry of the system.

In the normal state, the electron operators $c_{k,\uparrow/\downarrow}$ form a spinful representation of $SO(3)_S$ spin rotational symmetry

$$U^{r_{\hat{n}}(\theta)} = e^{i\theta\hat{n}\cdot\vec{\sigma}/2} \tag{55}$$

where $r_{\hat{n}}(\theta)$ is the spin rotation by angle θ along axis \hat{n} . Under spatial rotation $R_{\hat{n}}(\theta)$ of angle θ along \hat{n} axis, and under spatial inversion \hat{I} , the electrons in the normal state transform as

$$U^{R_{\hat{n}}(\theta)} = U^I = \hat{1}. \tag{56}$$

1. Superfluid B phase of Helium-3

The superfluid B phase preserves the full $X = O(3) = SO(3)_L \times Z_2$ group of spatial rotation and inversion symmetry, while the spin rotational symmetry $S_0 = SO(3)_S$ is completely broken down to a trivial group S = 1. According to central extension (39), the fermion onsite symmetry group is simply the fermion parity group $S_f = Z_2^F$. Therefore the full fermion symmetry group G_f given by central extension (44) is classified by

$$\mathcal{H}^{2}(X, S_{f}) = \mathcal{H}^{2}(SO(3)_{L} \times Z_{2}^{I}, Z_{2}^{F}) = \mathcal{H}^{2}(SO(3)_{L}, Z_{2}^{F}) \times \mathcal{H}^{2}(Z_{2}^{I}, Z_{2}^{F}) = \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$$

$$(57)$$

The superfluid B phase corresponds to the nontrivial extension of both $SO(3)_L$ spatial rotations and inversion Z_2 , i.e. the nontrivial element of both \mathbb{Z}_2 subgroup of the \mathbb{Z}_2^2 classification, featuring

$$\vec{d}_k \propto \vec{k}$$
 (58)

Specifically, denoting an arbitrary element of $SO(3)_L$ rotational group as (\hat{n}, θ) where \hat{n} is a unit vector of rotation axis and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ is the rotation angle, the $X = SO(3)_L$ spatial rotation symmetry in (53) is implemented by

$$\tilde{U}^{R_{\hat{n}}(\theta)} = e^{i\theta\hat{n}\cdot\vec{\sigma}/2} = \mathcal{R}_{\Phi}(R_{\hat{n}}(\theta)) \tag{59}$$

in the superfluid B phase. Note that in the normal state $\{U_0^g \equiv 1 | g \in SO(3)_L\}$ form a l=0 representation of SO(3) group, which is a linear representation. In the superfluid B phase, $\{\tilde{U}^g | g \in SO(3)_L\}$ form a j=1/2 representation of $SO(3)_L$ group, which is a projective representation. According to relation (52) and the angular momentum addition rules, the pairing wavefunctions $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$ form either a j=0 or j=1 irrep of $SO(3)_L$ group. However, because the projective representation $\mathcal{R}_{\Phi}(R_{\hat{n}}(\theta))$ coincides with the normal-state spin rotation $U^{r_{\hat{n}}(\theta)}$ in (55), the j=0 irrep will preserve the $SO(3)_S$ spin rotational symmetry, and hence does not applied to the superfluid B phase where $SO(3)_S$ is spontaneously broken. As a result, the pairing order parameters $\vec{d}_k \propto \vec{k}$ must form a 3-dimensional j=1 representation of the $SO(3)_L$ group of spatial rotations.

Considering the inversion symmetry \hat{I} , the electrons transform as

$$\tilde{U}^{I} = i\hat{1}, \quad \hat{I}' = e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\hat{F}}\hat{I}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{\Phi}(I) = e^{i\pi/2}\mathbb{1}$$
 (60)

in superfluid B phase, with a nontrivial 2-cocycle

$$\hat{I}' \times \hat{I}' = s(\hat{I}, \hat{I}) = (-1)^{\hat{F}}$$
 (61)

This corresponds to a pairing order parameter of odd inversion parity:

$$\vec{d}_{-k} = -\vec{d}_k \tag{62}$$

Therefore, the fermion symmetry group is $G_f = SU(2) \times Z_4^{I'}/Z_2$ which is a nontrivial central extension of X = O(3).

2. Superfluid A phase of Helium-3

The superfluid A phase features

$$\vec{d}_k = \vec{k} \cdot (\hat{m} + i\hat{n})(\hat{m} \times \hat{n}), \quad \hat{m} \perp \hat{n}. \tag{63}$$

where \hat{m} , \hat{n} are two perpendicular unit vectors. Without loss the generality, the usual convention chooses $\hat{m} = \hat{x}$ and $\hat{n} = \hat{y}$, and we can write the \vec{d}_k vector as

$$\vec{d}_k = (k_x + ik_y)\hat{z} \tag{64}$$

The spin rotational symmetry is broken from $S_0 = SO(3)$ down to $S = O(2) = U(1)_{S^z} \times Z_2^x$, generated by $U(1)_{r_z} = \{r_z(\theta) \equiv e^{i\theta S^z} | 0 \le \theta < 2\pi\}$ spin rotations along $\hat{z} = \hat{m} \times \hat{n}$ axis, and 2-fold spin rotation $r_x(\pi) \equiv e^{i\pi S^x}$ along $\hat{x} = \hat{m}$ axis. All possible fermion onsite symmetry groups S_f are classified by 2nd cohomology group:

$$\mathcal{H}^2(S, Z_2^F) = \mathcal{H}^2(O(2), Z_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2^3 \tag{65}$$

In the superfluid A phase, spin rotational symmetries are implemented by

$$\tilde{U}^{r_x(\pi)} = -ie^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\sigma_x} = \sigma_x, \quad \tilde{U}^{r_z(\theta)} = e^{i\theta\sigma_z/2}$$
(66)

associated with a nontrivial extension of O(2) group with the following 2-cocycle:

$$\omega(r_x(\pi), r_x(\pi)) = +1, \quad \omega(r_z(\pi), r_z(\pi)) = \omega(r_y(\pi), r_y(\pi)) = -1.$$
(67)

This corresponds to a fermion global symmetry of $S_f \simeq O(2)$.

The spatial O(3) symmetry is broken down to a subgroup of $X = U(1)_{R_z} \times Z_2^I$, generated by $U(1)_{R_z} = \{R_z(\theta) | 0 \le \theta < 2\pi\}$ spatial rotations and spatial inversion \hat{I} . In the superfluid A phase, they are represented by

$$\tilde{U}^{R_z(\theta)} = e^{-i\theta/2}\hat{1}, \quad \tilde{U}^I = i\hat{1}. \tag{68}$$

In this case the fermion symmetry group G_f is a nontrivial extension of $X = U(1)_{R_z} \times Z_2^I$ by $S_f = O(2)$

$$1 \to O(2) \to G_f \to U(1)_{R_s} \times Z_2^I \to 1 \tag{69}$$

satisfying

$$[R'_z(\pi)]^2 = (\hat{I}')^2 = (-1)^{\hat{F}} \tag{70}$$

The associated fermion PSG is $G_f = O(2) \times U(1) \times Z_4^{I'}/Z_2$.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

Traditionally, the broken and unbroken symmetries of a superconductor (SC) is described by the Ginzburg-Landau theory, which characterizes the symmetry properties of all bosonic excitations therein, such as Cooper pairs. In this paper we investigate the same problem of broken and unbroken symmetries in a SC state from a viewpoint of fermionic excitations. We showed that the projective symmetry group (PSG) of fermions in a superconductor is the proper language to capture symmetry-related properties of fermionic excitations in a SC, and systematically studied the relationship between the pairing symmetry and the fermion PSGs in a superconductor. We provided a general framework in Section IV to characterize the fermion symmetry group after the Cooper pair formation with the concept of PSG, which is a group extension of the crystalline space group X by the fermion global symmetry group S_f in the superconducting phase. Examples of fermion global symmetry groups include the fermion parity group Z_f^F in a generic SC without spontaneous breaking of spin rotational symmetries, and O(2) as in the case of superfluid A phase of Helium-3. In the case of the fermion global symmetry group S_f being an Abelian group, we encapsulated the group extension problem in the language of second group cohomology, which is both conceptually clear and practically easy to tackle.

When the SC and normal state share the same fermion global symmetries, i.e. in the absence of spontaneously broken global (spin rotational) symmetries, the fermion PSG of the SC state is particularly simple: it is a central extension of the crystalline symmetry group X by the fermion parity group Z_2^F . In this case, we can classify all fermion PSGs using elements of the 2nd cohomology group $\mathcal{H}^2(X, Z_2^F)$. Using the connection between pairing symmetry and fermion PSG discussed in section II, we can systematically obtain all the possible pairing symmetries compatible with the PSGs as delineated in Sec. III. A distinction was made between the case of SCs with and without spin-orbital couplings (SOCs), where in the presence of a strong SOC, crystalline symmetries of fermions in the normal state are described by a non-trivial 2-cocycle $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{H}^2(X, Z_2^F)$, and the correspondence between PSG and pairing symmetry should be shifted accordingly. Within this general framework, we calculated all the possible PSGs for all 3-dimensional

point group symmetries both with and without SOCs, and establish the correspondence between PSGs and pairing symmetries of the SCs. As a demonstration of the framework, we studied in detail the PSGs and pairing symmetries of several physically relevant systems in section III A, and hope our work would shed new lights on understandings of superconductivity in these systems. Considering the crystalline symmetry group X, although we have restricted our attention to point groups in this work, the case of magnetic point groups and space groups can be naturally incorporated in our general framework.

PSGs have important implications on physical properties of a superconductor. As the PSG G_f is the symmetry group of fermions in a SC, it dictates the symmetry and topological properties of all the fermionic excitations of the system and its validity extends beyond the mean-field BdG equations. Therefore, PSG can be used to classify topological superconductors in both non-interacting (i.e., admitting a mean-field description) and interacting cases. As an illustration, we discussed systems with two different kinds of symmetry groups where G_f determines classifications of non-interacting topological superconductors. Moreover, as PSG establishes a link between pairing symmetry and topological properties of a system, we can utilize topological properties of the electronic excitations as a diagnosis for the pairing symmetry of a superconductor. We leave these interesting ideas for future works.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by Center for Emergent Materials at The Ohio State University, a National Science Foundation (NSF) MRSEC through NSF Award No. DMR-2011876. YML acknowledges support by grant NSF PHY-1748958 to the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics (KITP), and NSF PHY-2210452 to the Aspen Center for Physics.

Appendix A: A short introduction to projective representation and 2-cocycle

In this appendix we want to elucidate the connection between the projective representation of the crystalline symmetry group as described by the mathematical object called 2-cocycle and the fermion projective symmetry group G_f .

The concept of PSG was first introduced in the study of quantum spin liquids[18]. In the context of quantum spin liquids, electrons can be thought of as being composed of chargons and spinons which are glued together by an SU(2) gauge field. Due to the emergent gauge structures, symmetries that are represented linearly on the physical degrees of freedom are now represented only projectively on the spinons. More specifically, spin operators at site i can be written as fermionic spinons: $S_i = \frac{1}{2} f_{i,\alpha}^{\dagger} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha,\beta} f_{i,\beta}$. A spin Hamiltonian can be described by a mean-field theory of spinons plus gauge fluctuations. Consider the following mean-field Hamiltonian:

$$H = \sum_{ij} [\psi_i^{\dagger} u_{ij} \psi_j + h.c.] + \sum_i a_0^l \psi_i^{\dagger} \tau^l \psi_i, \tag{A1}$$

where u_{ij} 's are 2×2 matrices encoding pairing and hoppings of fermionic spinons, $\psi_i = (f_{\uparrow}, f_{\downarrow}^{\dagger})^T$ are Nambu spinors. The Hamiltonian has a local SU(2) gauge redundancy: a site-dependent SU(2) transformation $\psi_i \to W_i \psi_i, u_{ij} \to W_i u_{ij} W_j^{\dagger}$ with $W_i \in SU(2)$ which leaves both physical observables and the Hamiltonian invariant. Due to this gauge redundancy, the symmetry of the spin liquids are described by the projective symmetry group, which is defined as the collection of all combinations of symmetry elements and gauge transformations that leave the mean-field ansatz $\{u_{ij}\}$ invariant:

$$G_U U(\{u_{ij}\}) = \{u_{ij}\},$$
 (A2)

$$U(\{u_{ij}\} \equiv \{\tilde{u}_{ij} = u_{U^{-1}(i), U^{-1}(j)}\},\tag{A3}$$

$$G_U(u_{ij}) \equiv \{\tilde{u}_{ij} = G_U(i)u_{ij}G_U^{\dagger}(j)\},\tag{A4}$$

$$G_U(i) \in \mathrm{SU}(2),$$
 (A5)

where U is an element of the symmetry group SG of the microscopic system and G_U is the SU(2) gauge transformation accompanying U that leaves the mean-field ansatz invariant.

To encode the emergent gauge fields at low energy for spin liquid states, we introduce the important concept of invariant gauge group (IGG) which are pure gauge group elements that leave the mean-field ansatz invariant: $W_i u_{ij} W_j^{\dagger} = u_{ij}$. It is clear that IGG corresponds to elements $G_U U$ in PSG where U is the identity. With the concept of IGG it is now easy to describe the structure of PSG. In fact, IGG is a normal subgroup of PSG, and with the group

homomorphism $\rho(G_UU) = U$ between PSG and SG, we have the following exact sequence:

$$1 \to IGG \xrightarrow{\iota} PSG \xrightarrow{\rho} SG \to 1, \tag{A6}$$

where ι is the embedding mapping, and the exactness is ensured by the fact that $\rho(w) \equiv 1 \in SG$ for $w \in IGG$. The structure of the PSG is now quite clear: it is the group extension of the SG by the IGG, or alternatively, SG=PSG/IGG.

Equipped with the knowledge of PSG, it is also easy to see that the problem of unbroken symmetries of the superconductor naturally fits into the general framework of PSG if we notice that the BdG Hamiltonian takes the same form as the spin liquid mean-field Hamiltonian. More precisely, as discussed in the main text, fermions in the superconductor has the symmetry group G_f , which is an extension of the space group X by the fermion global symmetry group S_f described by the short exact sequence:

$$1 \to S_f \to G_f \to X \to 1. \tag{A7}$$

The resemblance to Eq. A6 is immediately seen if we identify the unbroken global symmetry group S_f as IGG and the fermion symmetry group G_f as PSG. However, there's an important difference we need to keep in mind: in our study of superconductor, the global symmetry group S_f should not be regarded as the gauge group corresponding to a fluctuating gauge field, as was in the context of spin liquids.

In general S_f can be non-Abelian, and we refer to Ref. [18] for a general computation scheme to solve the extension problem by obtaining all the inequivalent projective symmetry groups G_f . Below let's discuss the special case of S_f being Abelian, which covers most of the practical situations and is mathematically much simpler to deal with. And we will comment briefly on the case of S_f being non-Abelian in the end.

In the case of S_f being Abelian, the group extension of X by S_f can be described as an element in the second cohomology group $\mathcal{H}^2_{[\rho]}(X, S_f)$ with group actions $[\rho]: X \to \operatorname{Aut}(S_f)$ (note that when the group action is trivial, the group extension is simply a central extension). To see this more clearly, let's label group elements in G_f as (s, g) with $s \in S_f, g \in X$. Now, since S_f is in the center of G_f , we can represent the group multiplication rule in the following way:

$$(s_g, g) \times (s_h, h) = (s(g, h)s_g s_h, gh), \tag{A8}$$

where s(g,h) is a function $X \times X \to S$. The above procedure has an ambiguity since we can alternatively define $g' = \gamma_g g \in G_f$ ($\gamma_g \in S_f$) as our canonical choice of g. This then modifies s(g,h) as:

$$s(g,h) \to s(g,h) \cdot \gamma_g \cdot \gamma_h^g \cdot \gamma_{gh}^{-1},$$
 (A9)

where the superscript g indicates group actions g on elements in S_f as described by $[\rho]$.

The s(g,h)'s satisfy the associativity condition if we apply three group elements in G_f in two equivalent ways, which yields

$$s(g_1, g_2)s(g_1g_2, g_3) = s(g_1, g_2g_3)s^{g_1}(g_2, g_3).$$
(A10)

The coboundary condition A9 and the cocycle condition A10 then define an element in $\mathcal{H}^2(X, S_f)$. Therefore we have found out that in the case of central extension, G_f is uniquely determined by the 2-cocycle s(g, h), which is further classified by the second cohomology group $\mathcal{H}^2(X, S_f)$.

Before proceeding, let me emphasize an important point: fermions fulfill a 1d representation of S_f , which we denote as $\rho_S: S_f \to U(1)$. Note that ρ_S is determined by the microscopic electrons and can be viewed as a group homomorphism from S_f to Image (ρ_S) .

Because elements in G_f act on fermions in a linear way, let's consider a linear representation \hat{U} of the group G_f . Since S_f lies at the center of the group, $\hat{U}((s,1))$ should be of the form $\rho_S(s) \times \mathbb{1}$ according to Schur's lemma and the fact that the symmetry action of $s \in S$ on fermions is given by ρ_S .

If we identify U(g) as $\hat{U}((1,g))$, U(g) would fulfill a projective representation of X:

$$U(q)U(h) = \hat{U}((1,q))\hat{U}((1,h)) = \hat{U}((s(q,h),qh)) = \hat{U}((s(q,h),1))\hat{U}((1,qh)) = \omega(q,h)U(qh), \tag{A11}$$

where $\omega(g,h) \equiv \rho_S(s(g,h))$ is a function $X \times X \to \text{Image}(\rho_S)$. The ω satisfies the following associativity condition if we act three consecutive symmetry operations in two equivalent ways: $g_1g_2g_3 = (g_1g_2)g_3 = g_1(g_2g_3)$, which translates to

$$\omega(g_1, g_2)\omega(g_1g_2, g_3) = \omega(g_1, g_2g_3)\omega^{g_1}(g_2, g_3), \tag{A12}$$

where the superscript g on ω indicates group actions on the U(1) phase induced by the group action $[\rho]$ on elements in S_f .

We can also multiply symmetry actions U(g) by some U(1) phase $\gamma_g \in \text{Image}(\rho_S)$, which then modifies ω in the following way:

$$\omega(g,h) \to \omega(g,h) \frac{\gamma_g \gamma_h^g}{\gamma_{gh}}.$$
 (A13)

The associativity condition (A12) and the ambiguity (A13) thus define a 2-cocycle in the second cohomology group $\mathcal{H}^2(X, \operatorname{Image}(\rho_S))$. And the equation Eq.(A11) establishes an explicit homomorphism between the projective representation of X (an element in $\mathcal{H}^2(X, \operatorname{Image}(\rho_S))$) and the fermion projective symmetry group G_f (an element in $\mathcal{H}^2(X, S)$).

In summary, a linear representation of the fermion projective symmetry group G_f can alternatively be viewed as a projective representation of the group X with cocycle $\omega(g,h) \in \mathcal{H}^2(X,\operatorname{Image}(\rho_S))$, as elucidated by Eq.(A11). Several remarks are in order:

- 1. When S_f is Abelian and ρ_S is injective, the two cohomology groups $\mathcal{H}^2(X, \operatorname{Image}(\rho_S))$ and $\mathcal{H}^2(X, S_f)$ are isomorphic to each other, therefore we have sometimes used these terms interchangeably in the main text.
- 2. When S_f is non-Abelian, G_f can no longer be described by an element in the second cohomology group. If we restrict our attention to the case where the representation ρ_S of S_f on fermions are one dimensional, then the correspondence Eq.(A11) still holds, enabling us to carry out calculations within this general framework.
- 3. When S_f is non-Abelian, there are cases where the representation of S_f on fermions are at least 2-dimensional, such as spin-1/2 fermions in the superfluid A phase with $S_f = O(2)$. Such cases are beyond the scope of cohomological description, and we need to solve the projective symmetry groups up to gauge equivalence on a case-by-case basis following the general procedures as described in Ref.[18].

Appendix B: How PSG constrains the pairing symmetry for all crystalline point groups

Since G_f is the extension of G by Z_2^F , we can view 1d projective representations $\mathcal{R}_{\Phi}(g)$ of G as regular representations $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_{\Phi}(\hat{g}')$ for $\hat{g}' \in G_f$ with $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_{\Phi}(d) = -1$ ($d \equiv (-1)^{\hat{F}}$) when restricted to the subgroup $X = G_f/Z_2^F$. This is confirmed by the following relation:

$$\bar{\mathcal{R}}_{\Phi}((\eta_g, \hat{g}'))\bar{\mathcal{R}}_{\Phi}((\eta_h, \hat{h}')) = \bar{\mathcal{R}}_{\Phi}((\eta_g \eta_h \tilde{\omega}(g, h), \hat{g}'\hat{h}')) = \omega(g, h)\bar{\mathcal{R}}_{\Phi}((\eta_g \eta_h, \hat{g}'\hat{h}')), \quad \forall \ \eta_g, \eta_h = \pm 1, \tag{B1}$$

where we have used the fact that Z_2^F is the center of G_f .

Our strategy then is to first obtain the group extension $G_f \in \mathcal{H}^2(X, Z_2^F)$ and then compute the 1d irreducible representations $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_{\Phi}(g)$ of G_f with $Z_2^F = -1$, from which we can readily obtain \mathcal{R}_{pair} . We used GAP computer algebra program [21] in all these calculations, which is ideally suited for the task. The results are displayed in Table.VIII.

TABLE VIII: Correspondence between the fermion PSG and the representation of the pairing order parameter for all the crystalline point group. We list gauge-invariant cocycles to label different projective symmetry groups G_f for superconductors both without and with spin-orbital couplings. We follow the convention in Ref.[43] to label irreducible representations $\mathcal{R}_{pair}(g)$ of the pairing order parameter. Some G_f does not admit a 1d projective representation and hence the corresponding \mathcal{R}_{pair} is marked as N/A.

		is marked as N/A .			
X	$\mathcal{H}^2(X, Z_2^F)$	Gauge-invariant 2-cocycles $\tilde{\omega}$	No SOC (spinless)	w/ SOC (spinful)	$\mathcal{R}_{pair}(g)$
C_1	\mathbb{Z}_1	_	_		A
C_i	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\omega(i,i)$	1 -1	1 -1	
			1	-1	A
C_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\omega(C_2,C_2)$	-1	11	B
C_s	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\omega(\sigma_h,\sigma_h)$	l	<u>-1</u>	
		(,,	(1,1,1)	(-1,1,-1)	
			(1,-1,-1)	(-1, -1, 1)	A_u
C_{2h}	\mathbb{Z}_2^3	$(\omega(C_2,C_2),\omega(i,i),\omega(\sigma_h,\sigma_h))$	(-1, 1, -1)	(1, 1, 1)	B_g
- 210	-2	(* (-2) -2)) * (*) * () * (*) * (*)	(-1, -1, 1)	(1, -1, -1)	B_u
D	r#3	((B_2
D_2	\mathbb{Z}_2^3	$(\omega(C_{2x}, C_{2x}), \omega(C_{2y}, C_{2y}), \omega(C_{2z}, C_{2z}))$	(1,-1,-1)	(-1, 1, 1)	B_3
			other cases	other cases	N/A
			(1, 1, 1)	(-1, -1, -1)	A_1
			(1,-1,-1)	(-1, 1, 1)	A_2
C_{2v}	\mathbb{Z}_2^3	$(\omega(C_2,C_2),\omega(\sigma_v,\sigma_v),\omega(\sigma_v',\sigma_v'))$	(-1,1,-1)	(1,-1,1)	
			(-1,-1,1)	(1,1,-1)	
			/	/	
			(1,-1,1,1,1,1)	(-1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -1)	B_{3a}
			(-1,1,1,1,1,1)	(1,-1,1,1,1,-1)	B_{2q}
			(-1, -1, 1, 1, 1, 1)	(1,1,1,1,1,-1)	$\begin{array}{c c} B \\ A' \\ A'' \\ A'' \\ A_q \\ A_u \\ B_g \\ B_u \\ N/A \\ A \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ B_3 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_2 \\ B_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ N/$
D_{2h}	\mathbb{Z}_2^6	$\left(\omega(C_{2x}, C_{2x}), \omega(C_{2y}, C_{2y}), \omega(i, i), \frac{\omega(C_{2x}, i)}{\omega(i, C_{2x})}, \frac{\omega(C_{2y}, i)}{\omega(i, C_{2y})}, \frac{\omega(C_{2x}, C_{2y})}{\omega(C_{2u}, C_{2x})}\right)$	(1,1,-1,1,1,1)	(-1, -1, -1, 1, 1, -1)	A_u
D_{2h}	\mathbb{Z}_2	$(\omega(C_{2x}, C_{2x}), \omega(C_{2y}, C_{2y}), \omega(i, i), \omega(i, C_{2x}), \omega(i, C_{2y}), \omega(C_{2y}, C_{2x}))$	(1,-1,-1,1,1,1)	(-1,1,-1,1,1,-1)	B_{3u}
			(-1,1,-1,1,1,1)	(1,-1,-1,1,1,-1)	B_{2u}
			(1,1,1,1,1,1)	(-,-, -,-, -,	N/A
	77 7	$\omega(C_2,C_2)$	1	-1	
C_4	\mathbb{Z}_2	$(\mu)(\{1,2,\{1,2\}\})$	1		
		₩ (~ z; ~ z)	<u>-1</u>	1,	
S_4	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\omega(C_2,C_2)$	1 1 -1	1 -1 1	
S_4	\mathbb{Z}_2		$ \begin{array}{c c} -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ (1,1,1) \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ (-1,1,1) \end{array} $	A, B E A_g, B_g
S_4		$\omega(C_2,C_2)$	(-1, -1, 1)	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (1,-1,1) \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} A, B \\ E \\ A_q, B_q \\ E_u \end{array} $
	\mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2^3	$\omega(C_2,C_2)$		$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1) \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} A, B \\ E \\ A_q, B_q \\ E_u \\ A_u, B_u \end{array} $
S_4 C_{4h}			(-1, -1, 1) (1, -1, 1) (-1, 1, 1)	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (1,1,1) \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} A_{B} \\ E \\ A_{q}, B_{q} \\ E_{u} \\ A_{u}, B_{u} \\ E_{g} \end{array} $
		$\omega(C_2,C_2)$	(-1, -1, 1) (1, -1, 1) (-1, 1, 1) other cases	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases} \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} A,B \\ E \\ A_g,B_g \\ E_u \\ A_u,B_u \\ E_g \\ N/A \end{array} $
		$\omega(C_2,C_2)$	$ \begin{array}{c} (-1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1) \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} A,B \\ E \\ A_g,B_g \\ E_u \\ A_u,B_u \\ E_g \\ N/A \\ A_1 \end{array} $
C_{4h}	\mathbb{Z}_2^3	$\omega(C_2, C_2)$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(i, i), \frac{\omega(C_4, i)}{\omega(i, C_4)})$	(-1, -1, 1) (1, -1, 1) (-1, 1, 1) other cases	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases} \end{array} $	A, B E A_g, B_g E_u A_u, B_u E_g N/A A_1 A_2
		$\omega(C_2,C_2)$	$ \begin{array}{c} (-1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,1,-1) \\ (1,-1,1) \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,1,-1) \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} A, B \\ E \\ A_q, B_q \\ E_u \\ A_u, B_u \\ E_g \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \end{array}$
C_{4h}	\mathbb{Z}_2^3	$\omega(C_2, C_2)$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(i, i), \frac{\omega(C_4, i)}{\omega(i, C_4)})$	$ \begin{array}{c} (-1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ \text{other cases} \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} A, B \\ E \\ A_q, B_q \\ E_u \\ A_u, B_u \\ E_g \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \end{array}$
C_{4h}	\mathbb{Z}_2^3	$\omega(C_2, C_2)$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(i, i), \frac{\omega(C_4, i)}{\omega(i, C_4)})$	$ \begin{array}{c} (-1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,1,-1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,1,-1) \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} A, B \\ E \\ A_q, B_q \\ E_u \\ A_u, B_u \\ E_g \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \end{array}$
C_{4h} D_4	\mathbb{Z}_2^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^3	$\omega(C_2, C_2)$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(i, i), \frac{\omega(C_4, i)}{\omega(i, C_4)})$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(C_2', C_2'), \omega(C_2'', C_2''))$	$ \begin{array}{c} (-1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,1,-1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,1,-1) \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,-1,1) \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} A, B \\ E \\ A_q, B_q \\ E_u \\ A_u, B_u \\ E_q \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \end{array}$
C_{4h}	\mathbb{Z}_2^3	$\omega(C_2, C_2)$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(i, i), \frac{\omega(C_4, i)}{\omega(i, C_4)})$	$ \begin{array}{c} (-1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (1,1,-1) \\ (1,1,-1) \\ (1,1,-1) \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1) \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} A, B \\ E \\ A_q, B_q \\ E_u \\ A_u, B_u \\ E_g \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_2 \\ S_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_2 \\ S_2 \\ S_2 \\ S_2 \\ S_3 \\ S_4 \\ S_4 \\ S_4 \\ S_5 \\ S_6 \\ S_7 \\ S_8 \\ S_$
C_{4h} D_4	\mathbb{Z}_2^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^3	$\omega(C_2, C_2)$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(i, i), \frac{\omega(C_4, i)}{\omega(i, C_4)})$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(C_2', C_2'), \omega(C_2'', C_2''))$	$ \begin{array}{c} (-1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,1,-1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,1,-1) \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,-1,1) \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} A, B \\ E \\ A_q, B_q \\ E_u \\ A_u, B_u \\ E_g \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ \end{array}$
C_{4h} D_4	\mathbb{Z}_2^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^3	$\omega(C_2, C_2)$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(i, i), \frac{\omega(C_4, i)}{\omega(i, C_4)})$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(C_2', C_2'), \omega(C_2'', C_2''))$	$ \begin{array}{c} (-1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,1,-1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,1,-1,1) \\ (1,1,-1,1) \\ (1,1,-1,1) \\ (1,1,-1,1) \\ (1,1,-1,1) \\ (1,1,-1,1) \\ (1,1,-1,1) \\ (1,1,-1,1) \\ (1,1,-1,1) \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1) \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} A, B \\ E \\ A_q, B_q \\ E_u \\ A_u, B_u \\ E_g \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \end{array}$
C_{4h} D_4	\mathbb{Z}_2^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^3	$(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(i, i), \frac{\omega(C_4, i)}{\omega(i, C_4)})$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(C_2', C_2'), \omega(C_2'', C_2''))$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(\sigma_v, \sigma_v), \omega(\sigma_d, \sigma_d))$	$ \begin{array}{c} (-1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,1,-1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,1,-1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,1,-1) \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ (-1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (-1,-1,1) \\ (1,1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (-1,-1,-1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\ (-1,1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (-1,-1,-1) \\ (-1,1,-1) \\ (-1,1,-1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (-1,-1,1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (-1,-1,1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\$	$\begin{array}{c} A, B \\ E \\ A_q, B_q \\ E_u \\ A_u, B_u \\ E_g \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_$
C_{4h} D_4	\mathbb{Z}_2^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^3	$\omega(C_2, C_2)$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(i, i), \frac{\omega(C_4, i)}{\omega(i, C_4)})$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(C_2', C_2'), \omega(C_2'', C_2''))$	$ \begin{array}{c} (-1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,1,-1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,1,-1,1) \\ (1,1,-1,1) \\ (1,1,-1,1) \\ (1,1,-1,1) \\ (1,1,1,1) \\ (1,1,1,1) \\ (1,1,1,1) \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ $	$\begin{array}{c} A, B \\ E \\ A_q, B_q \\ E_u \\ A_u, B_u \\ E_g \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_3 \\ N/A \\ A_4 \\ N/A \\ A_5 \\ N/A \\ N/$
C_{4h} D_4 C_{4v}	\mathbb{Z}_2^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^3	$(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(i, i), \frac{\omega(C_4, i)}{\omega(i, C_4)})$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(C_2', C_2'), \omega(C_2'', C_2''))$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(\sigma_v, \sigma_v), \omega(\sigma_d, \sigma_d))$	$ \begin{array}{c} (-1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,1,-1) \\ (1,1,-1,-1) \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} A, B \\ E \\ A_q, B_q \\ E_u \\ A_u, B_u \\ E_g \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ A_4 \\ A_4 \\ A_4 \\ A_5 \\ A_6 \\ A_6 \\ A_6 \\ A_7 \\ A_8 \\ A_$
C_{4h} D_4 C_{4v}	\mathbb{Z}_2^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^3	$(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(i, i), \frac{\omega(C_4, i)}{\omega(i, C_4)})$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(C_2', C_2'), \omega(C_2'', C_2''))$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(\sigma_v, \sigma_v), \omega(\sigma_d, \sigma_d))$	$ \begin{array}{c} (-1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,1,-1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,1,-1) \\ (1,1,-1) \\ (1,1,-1) \\ (1,1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases} \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} A, B \\ E \\ A_q, B_q \\ E_u \\ A_u, B_u \\ E_g \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ N/A \\ N/A \\ N/$
C_{4h} D_4 C_{4v}	\mathbb{Z}_2^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^3	$(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(i, i), \frac{\omega(C_4, i)}{\omega(i, C_4)})$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(C_2', C_2'), \omega(C_2'', C_2''))$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(\sigma_v, \sigma_v), \omega(\sigma_d, \sigma_d))$	$ \begin{array}{c} (-1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,1,-1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ (1,1,1) \\ (1,1,-1) \\ (1,-1,$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,1,1,1)\\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} A, B \\ E \\ A_q, B_q \\ E_u \\ A_u, B_u \\ E_g \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_3 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_4 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_4 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_4 \\ N/A \\ N/$
C_{4h} D_4 C_{4v}	\mathbb{Z}_2^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^3	$(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(i, i), \frac{\omega(C_4, i)}{\omega(i, C_4)})$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(C_2', C_2'), \omega(C_2'', C_2''))$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(\sigma_v, \sigma_v), \omega(\sigma_d, \sigma_d))$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} A, B \\ E \\ A_q, B_q \\ E_u \\ A_u, B_u \\ E_g \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_1 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_$	
C_{4h} D_4 C_{4v}	\mathbb{Z}_2^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^3	$(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(i, i), \frac{\omega(C_4, i)}{\omega(i, C_4)})$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(C_2', C_2'), \omega(C_2'', C_2''))$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(\sigma_v, \sigma_v), \omega(\sigma_d, \sigma_d))$	$ \begin{array}{c} (-1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1,1,1,1) \\ \hline \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,1,1,1)\\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} A, B \\ E \\ A_q, B_q \\ E_u \\ A_u, B_u \\ E_g \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_1 \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_1 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ A_1 \\ A_$
C_{4h} D_{4} C_{4v} D_{2d}	\mathbb{Z}_2^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^3	$(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(i, i), \frac{\omega(C_4, i)}{\omega(i, C_4)})$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(C_2', C_2'), \omega(C_2'', C_2''))$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(\sigma_v, \sigma_v), \omega(\sigma_d, \sigma_d))$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(C_2', C_2'), \omega(\sigma_d, \sigma_d))$	$ \begin{array}{c} (-1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1,1,1,1) \\ \hline \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 1\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,$	$\begin{array}{c} A, B \\ E \\ A_q, B_q \\ E_u \\ A_u, B_u \\ E_g \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_1 \\ A_1 \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_1 \\ A_1 \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_1 \\ A_1 \\ A_1 \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ A_4 \\ A_4 \\ A_4 \\ A_5 \\ A_$
C_{4h} D_4 C_{4v}	\mathbb{Z}_2^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^3	$(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(i, i), \frac{\omega(C_4, i)}{\omega(i, C_4)})$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(C_2', C_2'), \omega(C_2'', C_2''))$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(\sigma_v, \sigma_v), \omega(\sigma_d, \sigma_d))$	$ \begin{array}{c} (-1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1,1,1,1) \\ \hline \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 1\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ (-1,1,-1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,-1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,-1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} A, B \\ E \\ A_q, B_q \\ E_u \\ A_u, B_u \\ E_g \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_1 \\ A_1 \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ A_4 \\ A_4 \\ A_4 \\ A_5 \\ A_$
C_{4h} D_{4} C_{4v} D_{2d}	\mathbb{Z}_2^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^3	$(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(i, i), \frac{\omega(C_4, i)}{\omega(i, C_4)})$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(C_2', C_2'), \omega(C_2'', C_2''))$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(\sigma_v, \sigma_v), \omega(\sigma_d, \sigma_d))$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(C_2', C_2'), \omega(\sigma_d, \sigma_d))$	$ \begin{array}{c} (-1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1,1,1,1) \\ \hline \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,-1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,$	$\begin{array}{c} A, B \\ E \\ A_q, B_q \\ E_u \\ A_u, B_u \\ E_g \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_2 \\ B_2 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_2 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ B_3 \\ B_4 \\ B_4 \\ B_4 \\ B_4 \\ B_4 \\ B_4 \\ B_5 \\ B_$
C_{4h} D_{4} C_{4v} D_{2d}	\mathbb{Z}_2^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^3 \mathbb{Z}_2^3	$(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(i, i), \frac{\omega(C_4, i)}{\omega(i, C_4)})$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(C_2', C_2'), \omega(C_2'', C_2''))$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(\sigma_v, \sigma_v), \omega(\sigma_d, \sigma_d))$ $(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(C_2', C_2'), \omega(\sigma_d, \sigma_d))$	$ \begin{array}{c} (-1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ (-1,1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1) \\ \text{other cases} \\ \hline (1,1,1,1,1) \\ (1,-1,-1,1,1,1) \\ \hline \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 1\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,-1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ \text{other cases}\\ (-1,-1,1)\\ (-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,-1)\\ (-1,1,-1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,-1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,-1,1,1)\\ (-1,1,1,-1,1,1)\\ (1,1,1,1,1,1)\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} A, B \\ E \\ A_q, B_q \\ E_u \\ A_u, B_u \\ E_g \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_1 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ N/A \\ A_2 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ B_2 \\ B_2 \\ A_2 \\ B_2 \\ B_3 \\ B_4 \\ B_4 \\ B_4 \\ B_4 \\ B_4 \\ B_5 \\ B_5 \\ B_6 \\ B_$

 ${\bf Table\ VIII\ Continued}.$

X C_3	$\mathcal{H}^2(X,Z_2^F)$	Gauge-invariant cocycles	No SOC	With SOC	$R_{pair}(g) = A_1, E$
C_3	\mathbb{Z}_1		_	_	A_1, E
C_{3i}	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\omega(i,i)$	+1	+1	A_g, E_g A_u, E_u
			$-1 \\ +1$	-1 -1	A_u, E_u A_1
D_3	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\omega(C_2,C_2)$	-TI	+1	A_1 A_2
			+1	-1	A_1
C_{3v}	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\omega(\sigma_v,\sigma_v)$	-l	+1	A_2
			(1,1,1)	(-1,1,1)	A_{1g}
_	2	(C', C', C', C', C', C', C', C', C', C',	(1,-1,1) (-1,1,1)	(-1,-1,1) $(1,1,1)$	A_{1u}
D_{3d}	\mathbb{Z}_2^3	$(\omega(C_2',C_2'),\omega(i,i),rac{\omega(C_2',i)}{\omega(i,C_2')})$	(-1, 1, 1)	(1,1,1)	A_{2g} A_{2u}
		· · 2/	other cases	other cases	N/A
	_	4 =	+1	-1	A, E_1
C_6	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\omega(C_2,C_2)$	-1	+1	B, E_2
α	77	()	+1	-1	B, E_2 A', E'
C_{3h}	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\omega(\sigma_h,\sigma_h)$	-1	1	$A^{\prime\prime}, E^{\prime\prime}$
			(1, 1, 1)	(-1, 1, 1)	A_g, E_{1g}
			(1, -1, 1)	(-1, -1, 1)	A_u, E_{1u} B_g, E_{2g}
C_{6h}	\mathbb{Z}_2^3	$(\omega(C_2,C_2),\omega(i,i),rac{\omega(C_2,i)}{\omega(i,C_2)})$	(-1,1,1)	(1, 1, 1)	B_g, E_{2g}
0.0	2	$((1,0)^{-1})^{-1}$	(-1, -1, 1)	(1, -1, 1)	B_u, E_{2u}
<u> </u>			other cases	other cases	N/A
1			(1,1,1)	(-1,-1,-1)	A_1
1		(a a/\	(1,-1,1)	(-1,1,-1)	A_2
D_6	\mathbb{Z}_2^3	$(\omega(C_2,C_2),\omega(C_2',C_2'),rac{\omega(C_2,C_2')}{\omega(C_2',C_2)})$	(-1,1,1)	(1,-1,-1)	B_2
		$\omega(c_2,c_2)$	(-1,-1,1)	(1,1,-1)	B_1
-			other cases $(1,1,1)$	other cases $(-1, -1, -1)$	A_1
			(1,1,1) (1,-1,1)	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	A_1 A_2
	F7 3	$((G, G))$ $((G_2, G_2))$	(-1, 1, 1)	(-1,1,-1) (1,-1,-1)	B_2
C_{6v}	\mathbb{Z}_2^3	$(\omega(C_2,C_2),\omega(\sigma_v,\sigma_v),rac{\omega(C_2,\sigma_v)}{\omega(\sigma_v,C_2)})$	(-1, 1, 1)	(1, 1, 1)	B_1
			other cases	other cases	N/A
			(1, 1, 1)	(-1, -1, -1)	
			(1,-1,1)	(-1, 1, -1)	A_1' A_2''
D_{3h}	\mathbb{Z}_2^3	$(\omega(\sigma_v,\sigma_v),\omega(\sigma_h,\sigma_h),rac{\omega(\sigma_h,\sigma_v)}{\omega(\sigma_v,\sigma_h)})$	(-1,1,1)	(1,-1,-1)	A_2'
D3h	μ_2	$(\omega(\sigma_v,\sigma_v),\omega(\sigma_h,\sigma_h),\overline{\omega(\sigma_v,\sigma_h)})$	(-1, -1, 1)	(1, 1, -1)	$A_2^{\overline{\prime}}$ $A_1^{\prime\prime}$
			other cases	other cases	N/A
			(1,1,1,1,1,1)	(-1, -1, 1, -1, 1, 1)	A_{1g}
			(1,1,-1,1,1,1)	(-1,-1,-1,-1,1,1)	A_{1u}
			(1,-1,1,1,1,1)	(-1,1,1,-1,1,1)	A_{2g}
			(1,-1,-1,1,1,1)	(-1,1,-1,-1,1,1)	A_{2u}
D_{6h}	\mathbb{Z}_2^6	$(\omega(C_2, C_2), \omega(C_2', C_2'), \omega(i, i), \frac{\omega(C_2, C_2')}{\omega(C_2', C_2)}, \frac{\omega(C_2, i)}{\omega(i, C_2)}, \frac{\omega(C_2', i)}{\omega(i, C_2')})$	(-1,1,1,1,1,1)	(1,-1,1,-1,1,1)	B_{2g}
D_{6h}	μ_2	$(\omega(C_2,C_2),\omega(C_2,C_2),\omega(i,i),\frac{\omega(C_2',C_2)}{\omega(C_2',C_2)},\frac{\omega(i,C_2)}{\omega(i,C_2')})$	(-1,1,-1,1,1,1)	(1,-1,-1,-1,1,1)	B_{2u} B_{1g}
			(-1,-1,1,1,1,1)	(1,1,1,-1,1,1)	B_{1g}
			(-1,-1,-1,1,1,1)	(1,1,-1,-1,1,1)	B_{1u}
			other cases	other cases	N/A A, E
T	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\omega(C_2,C_2)$	-1	1	N/A
			(1,1)	(-1,1)	A_g, E_g
T_h	\mathbb{Z}_2^2	$(\omega(C_2,C_2),\omega(i,i))$	(1,-1)	(-1,-1)	A_u, E_u
1 n	<i></i> 2	$(\omega(\cup_2,\cup_2),\omega(i,i))$	other cases	other cases	N/A
			(1,1)	(-1, -1)	A_1
0	\mathbb{Z}_2^2	$(\omega(C_2,C_2),\omega(C_2',C_2'))$	(1, -1)	(-1,1)	A_2
L		((- 2 / - 2 /) = (- 2 /)	other cases	other cases	N/A
			(1,1)	(-1, -1)	A_1
T_d	\mathbb{Z}_2^2	$(\omega(C_2,C_2),\omega(\sigma_d,\sigma_d))$	(1, -1)	(-1,1)	A_2
			other cases	other cases	N/A
			(1, 1, 1, 1)	(-1, -1, 1, 1)	A_{1g}
			(1, 1, -1, 1)	(-1, -1, -1, 1)	A_{1u}
O_h	\mathbb{Z}_2^4	$(\omega(C_2,C_2),\omega(C_2',C_2'),\omega(i,i),rac{\omega(i,C_2')}{\omega(C_2',i)})$	(1,-1,1,1)	(-1,1,1,1)	A_{2g}
	[$\omega(C_2,i)$	(1,-1,-1,1)	(-1,1,-1,1)	A_{2u}
			other cases	other cases	N/A

G. E. Volovik and L. P. Gorkov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 88, 1412 (1985).
 M. Sigrist and K. Ueda, Rev. Mod. Phys. 63, 239 (1991), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.63.239.

^[3] J. F. Annett, Adv. Phys. **39**, 83 (1990).

^[4] M. Sigrist, AIP Conf. Proc. **789**, 165 (2005), URL https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2080350.

^[5] A. J. Leggett, Reviews of Modern Physics 47, 331 (1975).

^[6] C. Pfleiderer, Reviews of Modern Physics 81, 1551 (2009).

- [7] C. Tsuei and J. Kirtley, Reviews of Modern Physics 72, 969 (2000).
- [8] A. P. Mackenzie and Y. Maeno, Reviews of Modern Physics 75, 657 (2003).
- [9] S. Ryu, A. P. Schnyder, A. Furusaki, and A. W. W. Ludwig, New J. Phys. 12, 065010 (2010).
- [10] A. Kitaev, AIP Conf. Proc. 1134, 22 (2009).
- [11] C.-K. Chiu, J. C. Y. Teo, A. P. Schnyder, and S. Ryu, Rev. Mod. Phys. 88, 035005 (2016), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.88.035005.
- [12] L. Fidkowski and A. Kitaev, Phys. Rev. B 81, 134509 (2010), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.134509.
- [13] C. Wang and T. Senthil, Phys. Rev. B 89, 195124 (2014), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.195124.
- [14] Q.-R. Wang and Z.-C. Gu, Phys. Rev. X 10, 031055 (2020), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevX.10.031055.
- [15] N. Read and D. Green, Phys. Rev. B 61, 10267 (2000), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.61.10267.
- [16] J. C. Y. Teo and C. L. Kane, Phys. Rev. B 82, 115120 (2010), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.115120.
- [17] J. C. Teo and T. L. Hughes, Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics 8, 211 (2017), https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031016-025154, URL https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031016-025154.
- [18] X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B 65, 165113 (2002), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.65.165113.
- [19] D. Vollhardt and P. Woelfle, The Superfluid Phases of Helium 3 (CRC Press, London, 1990), 1st ed.
- [20] Note1, here we define ${}^g\omega(h,k)=\hat{g}\omega(h,k)\hat{g}^{-1}$, which is $\omega(h,k)$ if g is a unitary or $\omega^*(h,k)$ if g is an anti-unitary symmetry.
- [21] GAP, GAP Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.12.2, The GAP Group (2022).
- [22] E. Lake, A. S. Patri, and T. Senthil, Phys. Rev. B 106, 104506 (2022), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.106.104506.
- [23] X. Xi, Z. Wang, W. Zhao, J.-H. Park, K. T. Law, H. Berger, L. Forró, J. Shan, and K. F. Mak, Nature Physics 12, 139 (2016).
- [24] K. Shiozaki, Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics 2022, 04A104 (2022).
- [25] A. Altland and M. R. Zirnbauer, Phys. Rev. B 55, 1142 (1997), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.55.1142.
- [26] A. P. Schnyder, S. Ryu, A. Furusaki, and A. W. W. Ludwig, Phys. Rev. B 78, 195125 (2008), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.195125.
- [27] A. Kapustin, R. Thorngren, A. Turzillo, and Z. Wang, Journal of High Energy Physics 2015, 1 (2015), ISSN 1029-8479, URL https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2015)052.
- [28] Y. Ando and L. Fu, Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics 6, 361 (2015), https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031214-014501, URL https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031214-014501.
- [29] N. Okuma, M. Sato, and K. Shiozaki, Phys. Rev. B 99, 085127 (2019), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.99.085127.
- [30] S. Ono, K. Shiozaki, and H. Watanabe, arXiv e-prints arXiv:2206.02489 (2022), 2206.02489.
- [31] Z. Zhang, J. Ren, Y. Qi, and C. Fang, Phys. Rev. B **106**, L121108 (2022), URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.106.L121108.
- [32] K. Shiozaki and S. Ono, arXiv e-prints arXiv:2304.01827 (2023), 2304.01827.
- [33] S. Ono and K. Shiozaki, arXiv e-prints arXiv:2311.15814 (2023), 2311.15814.
- [34] A. Kitaev, in AIP conference proceedings (American Institute of Physics, 2009), vol. 1134, pp. 22–30.
- [35] C.-K. Chiu, H. Yao, and S. Ryu, Physical Review B 88, 075142 (2013).
- [36] T. Morimoto and A. Furusaki, Physical Review B 88, 125129 (2013).
- [37] K. Shiozaki and M. Sato, Physical Review B 90, 165114 (2014).
- [38] Y.-M. Lu and D.-H. Lee, arXiv e-prints arXiv:1403.5558 (2014), 1403.5558.
- [39] G. E. Volovik, The Universe in a Helium Droplet, The International Series of Monographs on Physics, 117 (Book 117) (Oxford University Press, USA, 2003).
- [40] R. Balian and N. Werthamer, Physical review **131**, 1553 (1963).
- [41] P. W. Anderson and P. Morel, Physical Review 123, 1911 (1961).
- [42] P. W. Anderson and W. Brinkman, Physical Review Letters 30, 1108 (1973).
- [43] C. Bradley and A. Cracknell, The mathematical theory of symmetry in solids: representation theory for point groups and space groups (Oxford University Press, 2010).