Ultracold Neutrons in the Low Curvature Limit: Remarks on the post-Newtonian effects

Benjamin Koch,^{1,2,*} Enrique Muñoz,^{2,†} and Alessandro Santoni^{1,2,‡}

¹Institut für Theoretische Physik and Atominstitut, Technische Universität Wien,

Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8-10, A-1040 Vienna, Austria

²Facultad de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Santiago, Chile

(Dated: January 2, 2024)

Ultracold neutrons are great experimental tools to explore the gravitational interaction in the regime of quantized states. From a theoretical perspective, starting from a Dirac equation in curved spacetime, we applied a perturbative scheme to systematically derive the non-relativistic Schrödinger equation that governs the evolution of the neutron's wave function in the Earth's gravitational field. At the lowest order, this procedure reproduces a Schrödinger system affected by a linear Newtonian potential, but corrections due to both curvature and relativistic effects are present. Here, we argue that one should be very careful when going one step further in the perturbative expansion. Proceeding methodically with the help of the Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation and a formal post-Newtonian $1/c^2$ -expansion, we derive the non-relativistic Hamiltonian for a generic static spacetime. By employing Fermi coordinates within this framework, we calculate the next-to-leading order corrections to the neutron's energy spectrum. Finally, we evaluate them for typical experimental configurations, such as that of qBOUNCE, and note that, while the current precision for observations of ultracold neutrons may not yet enable to probe them, they could still be relevant in the future or in alternative circumstances.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the last decades, there has been a surging interest in a wide variety of small-size and table-top experiments exploring the fundamental properties of the gravitational interaction: starting from optical [1] and atom interferometry [2–4], also with the inclusion of optical lattices [5, 6], getting to more exotic ideas, like Bose-Einstein condensate [7], Geonium atoms [8, 9] and eventual Gravitational Waves detectors [10].

A very interesting possibility is offered by ultracold neutrons (UCN) [11], particles with such low energies and velocities that their wavelength become larger than typical atomic interspacing, and can therefore be stored much more easily, since they get totally reflected by many materials. Recently, UCNs have also been employed to investigate the quantum nature of gravitational interaction. Remarkably, experiments such as qBOUNCE [12, 13] and GRANIT [14, 15] have successfully observed gravitationally induced quantum states [16–18]. As a result, experiment involving UCN are becoming a standard option to probe fundamental physics [19–21], in particular extensions of General Relativity (GR), like beyond-Riemannian models [22, 23], Torsion contributions [24], emergent gravity proposals [25–27], and much more.

Within this framework, GR or Standard Model extensions are usually described starting from a generalized Dirac equation, depending on the theory under analysis, embedded in the curved spacetime sourced by the Earth. From there, one can obtain the corresponding non-relativistic Hamiltonian by taking the low-curvature and low-velocity limit. Usually, the final result of this procedure can be splitted into the GR contribution and additional terms which parametrize the extension under consideration.

Contrary to the prevailing trend, our paper uniquely concentrates on precisely the GR contribution. At leading order, one expects to recover the Schrödinger equation describing a particle in the Earth's Newtonian potential, which is the theoretical picture considered in the interpretation of gravitational experiments with UCNs [28]. However, when going deeper into the perturbative expansion, new terms get to influence the quantum dynamics and since experiments like qBounce have already reached the stunning sensibility of $10^{-17} eV$, one should start asking oneself to which extent will the trivial Newtonian picture hold on. Then, one of the more pragmatic purposes of this work is exactly to quantify the next-toleading order corrections to UCNs energy spectrum in the gravitational field of the Earth. To complete this task we will have to go through several technical steps which, despite the amount of work already present in the literature, remain non-trivial. For example, the aforementioned corrections get typically sorted out by the powers of the inverse mass 1/m of the fermion. Nevertheless, if not carefully considered, this choice can result in inconsistencies when dealing with the gravitational interaction (whose source is the mass itself)[29], while still being perfectly fine in the electromagnetic sector. Also for this reason, we decided to adopt for our work the post-Newtonian approach, in which perturbations are categorized by their inverse c^2 power. More details on this are spread throughout the paper.

Therefore, the outline of this work is as follows: After a small summary illustrating our conventions, in Section

^{*} benjamin.koch@tuwien.ac.at

[†] munozt@fis.puc.cl

 $^{^{\}ddagger}$ as antoni@uc.cl

II, we start from the Dirac equation in curved spacetime to evaluate the respective Hamiltonian for a static spacetime and, then, we take the low-curvature limit and introduce the post-Newtonian expansion. In Section III, we exploit the Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation to perform the non-relativistic limit, while in Section IV, with the help of Fermi coordinates, we take the perspective of an accelerated laboratory frame on the surface of the Earth. Finally, in Section V we derive the next-to-leading order corrections to the neutron's spectrum and determine their magnitude for current experiments, like qBounce. To avoid cluttering in the main text with too many calculations, we include some details on the more lengthy ones in the Appendix of this work.

A. Notation and Conventions

Before we get into the calculations, let's set up the notational conventions used along the paper: hereon, we will use greek and latin characters to label respectively spacetime and tangent space indices. As usual, the tetrad field $e^{a}_{\ \mu}$ will be used to "translate" spacetime indices into tangent space indices and viceversa. Let's also note that to avoid confusion with the tetrads and their inverse, which are the only objects that intrinsically mix the two types of indices, we will place the (upper or lower) tangent space index as the first one appearing from left to right. Finally, time components will be indicated with tfor spacetime indices and with "0" in the tangent space, while spatial components will be differentiated by using capital letters $\{I, J, K, ...\}$ for tangent space indices and lower case letters $\{i, j, k, ...\}$ for the corresponding spacetime indices.

Since our final aim is to pursue a non-relativistic expansion within the approach of the post-Newtonian approximation [30], we will not work in natural units to still be able to keep track of powers of c.

For the Dirac matrices γ^a in flat spacetime we choose the standard representation

$$\gamma^{0} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0\\ 0 & -\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}, \ \gamma^{I} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^{I}\\ -\sigma^{I} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

with 1 representing a two by two identity matrix and σ the usual Pauli's matrices. From those we can define their curved spacetime version

$$\underline{\gamma}^{\mu} \equiv e_a^{\ \mu} \, \gamma^a \,, \tag{2}$$

which satisfy the consistent curved spacetime Clifford Algebra

$$\{\underline{\gamma}^{\mu}, \underline{\gamma}^{\nu}\} = 2 g^{\mu\nu} \,. \tag{3}$$

We further introduce σ^{ab} and Σ -matrices

$$\sigma^{ab} = \frac{1}{4} [\gamma^a, \gamma^b] , \ \Sigma^I = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^I & 0\\ 0 & \sigma^I \end{pmatrix} .$$
 (4)

Finally, for the Minkowski metric we pick the mostlyminus convention $\eta_{\mu\nu} = diag\{1, -1, -1, -1\}$.

II. DIRAC HAMILTONIAN IN CURVED SPACETIME

Let us start our analysis from the Dirac equation in curved spacetime [31, 32]

$$(i\hbar \underline{\gamma}^{\mu} D_{\mu} - mc)\psi = 0, \qquad (5)$$

with $D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + \Gamma_{\mu}$ representing the spinor covariant derivative and the Spin-Connection Γ_{μ} [33]. The latter is expressed through the inverse tetrads $e_{a}^{\ \mu}$ as

$$\Gamma_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{ab} e_{a}^{\ \nu} \nabla_{\mu} e_{b\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{ab} g_{\nu\rho} e_{a}^{\ \nu} \nabla_{\mu} e_{b}^{\ \rho} , \quad (6)$$

where

$$\nabla_{\mu}e_{b}^{\ \rho} = \partial_{\mu}e_{b}^{\ \rho} + \left\{ {}_{\mu}{}_{\alpha} \right\}e_{b}^{\ \alpha} \tag{7}$$

is the usual GR covariant derivative constructed from the Christoffel Symbols $\{ {}^{\rho}_{\mu \alpha} \}$

$$\{{}^{\rho}_{\mu\ \alpha}\} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\rho\beta}(\partial_{\mu}g_{\alpha\beta} + \partial_{\alpha}g_{\mu\beta} - \partial_{\beta}g_{\mu\alpha}).$$
(8)

Multiplying Eq.(5) by $(g^{tt})^{-1}\underline{\gamma}^t$, we can manipulate it to obtain a time-evolution equation

$$\mathscr{H}_D \psi = i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \,, \tag{9}$$

where we used $x^t = ct$, and \mathscr{H}_D is then the Dirac Hamiltonian for a generic spacetime

$$\mathscr{H}_{D} = mc^{2}(g^{tt})^{-1}\underline{\gamma}^{t} - i\hbar c \,\Gamma_{t} - i\hbar c \,(g^{tt})^{-1}\underline{\gamma}^{t}\underline{\gamma}^{i}D_{i}\,, \quad (10)$$

where repeated spatial indices are summed.

In general, the spacetime line element $ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}$ can be expressed as

$$ds^{2} = V^{2}(c dt)^{2} + g_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j}, \qquad (11)$$

where V and g_{ij} are functions of the spatial coordinates. Remembering that the tetrads must satisfy the condition $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab} e^a_{\ \mu} e^b_{\ \nu}$, we conveniently choose their expressions such that they do not mix time and spatial coordinates

$$e^{0}_{\ i} = e^{I}_{\ t} = 0, \ e_{I}^{\ t} = e_{0}^{\ i} = 0,$$
 (12)

and that

$$e^{0}_{t} = (e_{0}^{t})^{-1} = V.$$
(13)

In this way, the Dirac matrices with the indices referring to the curved spacetime coordinate read

$$\underline{\gamma}^{t} = e_{a}^{\ t} \gamma^{a} = \frac{1}{V} \gamma^{0}, \qquad (14)$$
$$\gamma^{i} = e_{a}^{\ i} \gamma^{a} = e_{J}^{\ i} \gamma^{J}.$$

With the above considerations, we can rewrite Eq.(10) for the particular case of a static spacetime (11)

$$\mathscr{H}_D = mc^2 V \gamma^0 - i\hbar c \,\Gamma_t - i\hbar c \,V \gamma^0 \gamma^J e_J{}^i (\partial_i + \Gamma_i) \,,$$

which, using the explicit expression (A3) of Γ_t combined with the one for Γ_i (see Appendix A), becomes

$$\mathscr{H}_{D} = mc^{2}V\beta - i\hbar c \,\alpha^{J} e_{J}^{\ i} \left(V\partial_{i} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{i}V + V\Gamma_{i} \right) \,.$$

$$\tag{15}$$

Here, we defined the matrices $\beta \equiv \gamma^0$ and $\alpha^i \equiv \gamma^0 \gamma^i$. Note that, in curved space, the Hamiltonian (15) is hermitian only with respect to the right scalar product measure [34] including $J \equiv \sqrt{-det(g_{ij})}$

$$d^3x J = d^3x \sqrt{-det(g_{ij})}$$
. (16)

Equivalently, we can also implement hermiticity through the following redefinitions for the spinor and Hamiltonian operator [35–37]

$$\tilde{\psi} = J^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi,$$

$$\tilde{\mathscr{H}}_D = J^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathscr{H}_D J^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(17)

In this way, the Hamiltonian becomes

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{D} = mc^{2}V\beta$$

$$-i\hbar c \,\alpha^{J} e_{J}^{i} \left(V\partial_{i} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{i}V - \frac{1}{2}VJ^{-1}\partial_{i}J + V\Gamma_{i} \right),$$
(18)

which is now hermitian respect to the flat measure. From here on we will drop the "tilde"-notation for the sake of simplicity.

A. Low-curvature Limit and post-Newtonian expansion

At this point, we are ready to take the low-curvature or weak-gravity limit. The way we perform it is by realizing a formal 1/c –expansion of the geometrical objects around flat spacetime quantities [8], in the same fashion as post-Newtonian (PN) expansions

$$v \equiv V - 1 \sim \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}), \qquad (19)$$

$$h_{ij} \equiv g_{ij} - \eta_{ij} \sim \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}), \qquad \varepsilon_J^{\ i} \equiv e_J^{\ i} - \delta_J^{\ i} \sim \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}),$$

with the perturbative objects defined above containing all the corrections starting from the smallest $1/c^2$ -order up to the largest one allowed by the context of the expansion. That also implies the following form of the jacobian in (16)

$$J = \sqrt{-\det(\eta_{ij} + h_{ij})} \simeq 1 - \frac{1}{2}h + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \ h \equiv \sum_{i} h_{ii}.$$
(20)

Replacing definitions (19) and (20) into the Hamiltonian (18) and keeping everything up to order $1/c^2$, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{D} \simeq mc^{2}\beta + mc^{2}\beta v - i\hbar c \,\alpha^{J} \left((1+v)\delta_{J}^{i} + \varepsilon_{J}^{i} \right) \partial_{i} -i\hbar c \,\alpha^{J}\delta_{J}^{i} \left(\frac{1}{2}\partial_{i}v + \Gamma_{i} + \frac{1}{4}\partial_{i}h \right) , \qquad (21)$$

where each perturbation term v, h or ε is intended to be expanded up to highest possible order while keeping the Hamiltonian at order $1/c^2$.

In practice, this approach is equivalent to the one used for PN calculations [30, 38], which have demonstrated to be very powerful when dealing with gravitational systems. By virtue of this analogy, we borrow some of the PN-vocabulary to classify corrections in a convenient way: in particular, terms of order $1/c^{N}$ in our scheme, will correspond to $\frac{N}{2}$ PN-corrections.

$^{1/c}-order$	PN-equivalent
c^{-1}	0PN 0.5PN
c^{-2}	1PN

TABLE I. Correspondence table between our formal expansion and the post-Newtonian one (also look at Fig.1 in [39])

In this sense, the Hamiltonian (21) must be interpreted as the 1PN-version of the complete expression (18). Thus, apart from the 0PN Newtonian contribution, it will also include 0.5PN and 1PN corrections that are the focus of this work. However, it is important to highlight that, even if here "hybrid" 0.5PN contributions are present due to the structure of the Dirac Hamiltonian, in the rest of the paper we will see that those are gonna become additional 1PN perturbations after the non-relativistic limit is taken.

From Eq.(21) we can also note that, at this stage of the calculation, the distinction between capital and lowercase spatial indices becomes irrelevant. In fact, the information on the perturbations around the flat spacetime (up to the relevant order) is already encoded in the v- and ε -objects.

Therefore, with a little abuse of notation, from now on we will drop this distinction to avoid unnecessary complexities in the reading

$$\alpha^I \to \alpha^i , \ \delta_J{}^i \to \delta_j{}^i , \ \varepsilon_J{}^i \to \varepsilon_j{}^i .$$
 (22)

This allows us to write the Hamiltonian (21) in the following convenient way

$$\mathcal{H}_{D} \simeq mc^{2}\beta + mc^{2}\beta v \qquad (23)$$
$$-i\hbar c \,\alpha^{i} \left((1+v) \,\partial_{i} + \varepsilon_{i}^{\ j} \partial_{j} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{i} v + \Gamma_{i} + \frac{1}{4} \partial_{i} h \right) .$$

B. Simplified expression for Γ_i

Starting from Eq.(A5) for Γ_i , we now want to expand it and obtain its 1.5PN expression, which is relevant for Hamiltonian (23). Making use of the new notation (22), we have the result

$$\Gamma_{i} \simeq \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{kl} (\eta_{mn} \delta_{k}^{\ m} \partial_{i} \epsilon_{l}^{\ n} + \delta_{k}^{\ m} \delta_{l}^{\ n} \partial_{n} h_{im}) \simeq \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{kl} (\partial_{i} \epsilon_{k}^{\ l} - \partial_{k} h_{il}), \qquad (24)$$

where repeated spatial indices are summed up independently of their upper or lower position. Nevertheless, there is another simplification that can be done in a few steps: first of all, let's observe that, expanding up the tetrad conditions $e_a^{\ \mu}e^a_{\ \nu} = \delta^{\mu}_{\ \nu}$ and $g_{\mu\nu} = e^a_{\ \nu}e^a_{\ \nu}\eta_{ab}$, we obtain respectively the equations

$$\varepsilon^{j}{}_{i} = -\varepsilon^{j}{}_{i}{}^{j}, \qquad (25)$$
$$h_{ij} = -\varepsilon^{i}{}_{j} - \varepsilon^{j}{}_{i} = \varepsilon^{j}{}_{i}{}^{j} + \varepsilon^{j}{}_{j}{}^{i}.$$

Thus, it is straightforward to see that we can always make the following choice for the tetrads

$$\varepsilon_i{}^j = -\varepsilon^j{}_i \equiv \frac{1}{2}h_{ij}, \qquad (26)$$

so that the conditions (25) are fulfilled up to the relevant $1/c^3$ -order. This way, the matrix representing the tetrad tensors will be symmetric in the sense that

$$\varepsilon_i^{\ j} = \varepsilon_j^{\ i} \,. \tag{27}$$

On the other hand, the above relation implies that contractions of the type $\sigma^{kl} \varepsilon_k^{\ l}$ vanish, because of the (anti)symmetries of the involved objects. Therefore, we can finally simplify the Γ_i to the expression

$$\Gamma_i \simeq -\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{kl} \partial_k h_{il} \,. \tag{28}$$

III. NON-RELATIVISTIC LIMIT

We shall now proceed with the low-velocity or nonrelativistic limit $|\mathbf{p}| \ll mc$. In order to do that, we will apply the Foldy-Wouthuysen (FW) transformation [40], a well-known procedure to decouple Dirac spinors into its positive and negative energy components. This is usually valid up to some order in m^{-1} , which would otherwise get mixed by the α -matrices. However, since we are working in the PN hierarchy of perturbative corrections, we will keep using 1/c as our formal expansion parameter. That also allows us to avoid the inconsistencies raised in [29] when using the standard FW transformation in the gravitational context.

A. Foldy-Wouthuysen Transformation

The first step in the FW approach is to divide the Hamiltonian (23) into the "even" (non-mixing) operator \mathscr{E} and the "odd" (mixing) operator Θ [41]

$$\mathscr{H}_D = \beta m c^2 + \mathscr{E} + \Theta \,, \tag{29}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} \Theta = -i\hbar c \,\alpha^i \left((1+v) \,\partial_i + \varepsilon_i^{\ j} \partial_j + \frac{1}{4} \partial_i (2v+h) + \Gamma_i \right), \\ \mathscr{E} = m c^2 \beta v \,, \end{cases}$$
(30)

The even and odd operators satisfy the following (anti)commutation relation

$$[\mathscr{E},\beta] = 0, \ \{\alpha^i,\beta\} = 0 \to \{\Theta,\beta\} = 0, \quad (31)$$

and we can easily see that, due to their expressions and the relations (19), we have at lowest order

$$\mathscr{E} \sim \mathscr{O}(1), \ \Theta \sim \mathscr{O}(c).$$
 (32)

In our formalism, the typical unitary FW transformation $U = e^{iS}$, with S hermitian, is defined as

$$S = -i\frac{\beta}{mc^2}\Theta \sim \mathscr{O}(c^{-1}).$$
(33)

Then, the following expansion is valid up to 1PN order

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{H}_{FW} &= e^{iS} \mathscr{H}_{D} e^{-iS} \\
&= \mathscr{H}_{D} + i[S, \mathscr{H}_{D}] \\
&+ \frac{i^{2}}{2!} [S, [S, \mathscr{H}_{D}]] + \frac{i^{3}}{3!} [S, [S, [S, \mathscr{H}_{D}]]] \\
&+ \frac{i^{4}}{4!} [S, [S, [S, [S, \mathscr{H}_{D}]]] + \mathscr{O}(c^{-3}).
\end{aligned}$$
(34)

Starting from these settings, after three consecutive FW transformations, we end up with the Hamiltonian [42]

$$\mathcal{H}_{FW} = \beta mc^2 + \mathscr{E} + \frac{\beta \Theta^2}{2mc^2}$$

$$+ \frac{\beta}{8m^2c^4} [[\Theta, \mathscr{E}], \Theta] - \frac{\beta}{8m^3c^6} \Theta^4 + \mathscr{O}(c^{-3}) .$$
(35)

in which the matter and anti-matter sectors have been decoupled up to order $1/c^3$. Thus, each term in (35) must be calculated up to the relevant order for our approximation. For example, to keep only terms at most 1PN, we should compute $[[\Theta, \mathscr{E}], \Theta]$ at least to order c^2 , and so on. More details on the FW transformation are included in Appendix B.

At this point, it is straightforward to obtain the nonrelativistic Hamiltonian H_{NR} describing the fermion dynamics by simply selecting the positive energy solutions of \mathscr{H}_{FW} , and neglecting its constant mass term that would only produce an overall shift to the energy spectrum. After working out every single commutator in (35) and defining the shifted spatial metric correction \tilde{h}_{ij}

$$\hat{h}_{ij} \equiv h_{ij} + v \,\delta_{ij} \,, \tag{36}$$

the final result can be expressed in a surprisingly compact and practical form

$$H_{NR} = mc^{2}v - \frac{\hbar^{4}\partial_{i}^{4}}{8m^{3}c^{2}}$$

$$-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m} \left(\partial_{i}^{2} + \tilde{h}_{ij}\partial_{i}\partial_{j} + \partial_{i}\tilde{h}_{ij}\partial_{j} + \frac{1}{4}\partial_{i}\partial_{j}\tilde{h}_{ij} + \frac{i}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}\sigma^{k}\partial_{i}\tilde{h}_{jl}\partial_{l} + \frac{i}{4}\epsilon^{ijk}\sigma^{k}\partial_{i}\partial_{l}\tilde{h}_{jl}\right),$$

$$(37)$$

with ϵ^{ijk} being the Levi-Civita symbol. For the consistency of the expression, v must be calculated here up to order $1/c^4$ while \tilde{h}_{ij} up to $1/c^2$. This is one of the main results of this paper due to its compactness and general validity for static weak gravity scenarios. We summarize again the considerations used to achieve it

•
$$g_{0i} = 0$$
 (11),

- $v, h_{ij}, \varepsilon_i^{\ i} \sim \mathcal{O}(c^{-2})$ (19),
- $\varepsilon_i^{\ j} = \varepsilon_i^{\ i}$ (27).

B. Examples and Comparison

In this section, we would like to consider a few applications for Eq. (37) and some comparisons with the literature.

1. Diagonal Spacetime Metrics

Let us start by considering the special case of a diagonal static metric with the form

$$g_{\mu\nu} = diag\{V^2, -W^2, -W^2, -W^2\}.$$
 (38)

When assuming the weak gravity limit in (19), we define the additional perturbative quantity

$$w \equiv W - 1 \sim \mathscr{O}(c^{-2}). \tag{39}$$

Therefore, it will be sufficient to replace $h_{ij} = -2w \,\delta_{ij}$ in Eq. (37) to obtain the relevant Hamiltonian expression for this case, that becomes

$$H_{NR} = mc^{2}v - \frac{\hbar^{4}\partial_{i}^{4}}{8m^{3}c^{2}}$$

$$-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m} \left((1+v-2w)\partial_{i}^{2} + \partial_{i}(v-2w)\partial_{i} + \frac{1}{4}\partial_{i}^{2}(v-2w) + \frac{i}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}\sigma^{k}\partial_{i}(v-2w)\partial_{j} \right),$$

$$(40)$$

which corresponds to the result in [43].

. .

2. Schwarzschild Metric

A straightforward application of the previous formulae is for the Schwarzschild spacetime. In fact, considering the low-curvature limit in isotropic coordinates, we obtain the following spacetime element

$$ds^{2} = \left(1 + \frac{2\Phi_{S}}{c^{2}} + \frac{2\Phi_{S}^{2}}{c^{4}}\right)(c\,dt)^{2} - \left(1 - \frac{2\Phi_{S}}{c^{2}}\right)\,dx^{2}\,,$$
(41)

with $\Phi_S \equiv -\frac{GM}{r}$ the gravitational potential external to the spherical mass source M, and $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$ the coordinate distance from its center. In the temporal and spatial components of the metric, we have kept terms up to the relevant order for our case. This expression leads us to

$$v = \frac{\Phi_S}{c^2} + \frac{\Phi_S^2}{2c^4}, \ w = -\frac{\Phi_S}{c^2},$$
 (42)

which replaced into (40) gives back the non relativistic Hamiltonian for the Schwarzschild metric

$$H_{S} = m\Phi_{S}\left(1 + \frac{\Phi_{S}}{2c^{2}}\right) - \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m}\left(1 + \frac{3\Phi_{S}}{c^{2}}\right)\partial_{i}^{2} - \frac{\hbar^{4}\partial_{i}^{4}}{8m^{3}c^{2}} + \frac{3\hbar^{2}}{8mc^{2}}\boldsymbol{\partial}\cdot\boldsymbol{g} + \frac{3\hbar^{2}}{2mc^{2}}\boldsymbol{g}\cdot\boldsymbol{\partial} + \frac{3i\hbar^{2}}{4mc^{2}}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot(\boldsymbol{g}\times\boldsymbol{\partial}),$$

$$(43)$$

where we defined the "Newtonian" gravitational acceleration vector \boldsymbol{g} as

$$g^i \equiv -\partial_i \Phi_S \,. \tag{44}$$

Expression (43) matches the results in [37, 41, 44], and also [45] if we neglect the Darwin term $\propto \boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{g} \sim \partial^2 \Phi_S$, which outside the source of the gravitational field does not matter anyway.

3. Eddington-Robertson Metric

Finally, we want to discuss here a particular case that will also come in handy later in the paper: the Eddington–Robertson (ER) parametrized post-Newtonian metric [46, 47]

$$ds^{2} = \left(1 + \frac{2\Phi_{N}}{c^{2}} + \frac{2\beta \Phi_{N}^{2}}{c^{4}}\right) (c \, dt)^{2} - \left(1 - \frac{2\gamma\Phi_{N}}{c^{2}}\right) \, dx^{2} \,,$$
(45)

where Φ_N is the usual Newtonian potential for an extended classical body with density $\rho(\mathbf{x})$

$$\Phi_N(\boldsymbol{x}) = G \int_{\text{Source}} d^3 x' \frac{\rho(\boldsymbol{x}')}{\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'\|}, \qquad (46)$$

while the parameters β and γ account for possible deviations from GR (in which $\beta = \gamma = 1$), and should not be mistaken with the Dirac matrices above-presented. We re-label these parameters respectively as b (for β) and d(for γ) to avoid confusion. The GR limit of (45) is the solution of Einstein equation in a 1/c-expansion for a static source. The ER metric is the simplest example of a metric in the general parameterized post-Newtonian (PPN) formalism, which provides a general framework for testing metric theories of gravity in the weak-field regime. For a more exhaustive discussion on this topic, see [48]. Considering a spherically symmetric source and limiting ourselves to its exterior, Φ_N reduces to the Schwarzschild expression $\Phi_S = -\frac{GM}{r}$, yielding what we call the ER-Schwarzschild (ERS) metric

$$ds^{2} = \left(1 + \frac{2\Phi_{S}}{c^{2}} + \frac{2b\Phi_{S}^{2}}{c^{4}}\right)(c\,dt)^{2} - \left(1 - \frac{2d\Phi_{S}}{c^{2}}\right)\,dx^{2}\,,$$
(47)

which is trivially equivalent to (41) when b = d = 1 as expected. Following the same procedure as before, the ERS Hamiltonian reads

$$H_{ERS} = m\Phi_S \left(1 + (2b-1)\frac{\Phi_S}{2c^2}\right)$$

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(1 + (1+2d)\frac{\Phi_S}{c^2}\right) \partial_i^2 - \frac{\hbar^4 \partial_i^4}{8m^3 c^2}$$

$$+ (1+2d)\frac{\hbar^2}{8mc^2} \partial \cdot \boldsymbol{g} + (1+2d)\frac{\hbar^2}{2mc^2} \boldsymbol{g} \cdot \partial$$

$$+ (1+2d)\frac{i\hbar^2}{4mc^2} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\boldsymbol{g} \times \partial) ,$$

$$(48)$$

from which we recover again (43) if b = d = 1.

IV. PROPER LABORATORY FRAME

Our final goal is to apply our derivation to experiments and observations made in small laboratories on Earth's surface. For this purpose, (almost) global coordinates $\{x^{\mu}\}\$ like the Schwarschild ones are clearly not the most suitable option, since they would lead to difficulties with the definitions of time intervals and physical distances. The most natural possibility, instead, is to work in the proper reference frame of the laboratory, which can be done exploiting Fermi coordinates (FC) [49–51] extended to the case of accelerated motion [52] thanks to Fermi-Walker transport [53]. In fact, the laboratory does not follow a geodesic motion, since it is accelerated upwards by the normal force exerted by the Earth's surface itself. Therefore, this should be the natural framework when one is interested into local observations. This approach also has the advantage to make coordinate time and lengths coincides with their corresponding physical quantities, avoiding any possible confusion and need for rescalings.

A. Fermi Coordinates

The main philosophy of FC is to approximate a small enough region of spacetime around the worldline $\xi^{\mu}(x)$ of an observer. This task is achieved by considering the observer's proper time τ and constructing an Euclidean grid $\{X^i\}$ comoving with the observer. For further details on the geometric construction of FC see [54, 55] and references therein. In the following, objects evaluated on the observer's worldline (i.e. $X^i = 0$) are denoted when possible by a bar over them: $O|_{\mathcal{E}} = \overline{O}$. Furthermore, since here we are particularly interested in static observers, we are free to align the Z-direction with the local acceleration a along all the path of the worldline. The consistency of this treatment is governed by a new small parameter to be introduced in the picture, $||X|| / \Re << 1$, with ||X|| representing the typical length scale of the experiment and \mathscr{R} symbolically defined by [56, 57]

$$\mathscr{R} = \min\left(\left\|R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\right\|^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{\left\|R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\right\|}{\left\|R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma;\alpha}\right\|}, \frac{c^2}{\left\|\mathbf{a}\right\|}\right), \quad (49)$$

where we used the semicolon in the Riemann tensor $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma;\alpha}$ to indicate its covariant derivative.

B. Metric in Fermi Coordinates

When mapped to our notational conventions, the general form of the Fermi metric in the proper reference frame experiencing an acceleration a is [57]

$$g_{\tau\tau}^{F} = \left(1 + \frac{\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}}{c^{2}}\right)^{2} - \bar{R}_{tltm}^{F} X^{l} X^{m} + \mathscr{O}(\|X\|^{3}),$$

$$g_{\tau i}^{F} = -\frac{2}{3} \bar{R}_{tlim}^{F} X^{l} X^{m} + \mathscr{O}(\|X\|^{3}) \qquad (50)$$

$$g_{ij}^{F} = -\delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \bar{R}_{ijlm}^{F} X^{l} X^{m} + \mathscr{O}(\|X\|^{3}),$$

where $\bar{R}^F_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ is the Riemann tensor in FC evaluated on the observer's worldline. Its Fermi expression, due to gauge covariance, can be evaluated starting from the Riemann tensor in some prior coordinates

$$\bar{R}^{F}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = \bar{R}_{\rho\sigma\kappa\gamma}\bar{\Lambda}^{\ \rho}_{\mu}\bar{\Lambda}^{\ \sigma}_{\nu}\bar{\Lambda}^{\ \kappa}_{\alpha}\bar{\Lambda}^{\ \gamma}_{\beta}, \qquad (51)$$
with
$$R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = g_{\mu\rho}R^{\rho}_{\ \nu\alpha\beta},$$

where $\overline{\Lambda}$ represents the coordinate transformation matrix evaluated on the worldline and, by construction [51], it coincides with the tetrad matrices.

Clearly, in our weak-gravity framework, the Riemann tensor $R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ should be treated as an $\mathcal{O}(c^{-2})$ -object, since its leading contributions are at least linear in h_{ij} [58]. Note also that, working in a static context, we will have $\bar{R}_{tlim} = 0$ implying $g_{\tau i}^F = 0$, as expected. There exists in the literature a more general metric than (50) in

which the effects of laboratory's rotation are also taken into account [59]. However, in this work we shall limit ourselves to (50) for consistency.

Therefore, the relevant quantities to consider for the calculation of (37) are

$$v^{F} = \frac{a \cdot X}{c^{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{R}^{F}_{\tau l \tau m} X^{l} X^{m}, \qquad (52)$$
$$h^{F}_{ij} = -\frac{1}{3} \bar{R}^{F}_{iljm} X^{l} X^{m}.$$

Here $\bar{R}^F_{\tau l \tau m}$ has to be calculated up to order $1/c^4$, starting from equation (51)

$$\bar{R}^F_{\tau l\tau m} X^l X^m = (1+2\,\bar{v})\bar{R}_{tltm} X^l X^m + 2\,\bar{\varepsilon}_l^{\ k}\bar{R}_{tktm} X^l X^m,\tag{53}$$

while R_{iljm}^{F} , at order $1/c^2$, just coincides with its expression in the prior coordinates system

$$\bar{R}_{iljm}^F \simeq \bar{R}_{iljm} \,. \tag{54}$$

C. Fermi Hamiltonian

Replacing (52) in the Hamiltonian (37), we can split it into the sum of two contributions

$$H_{NR} = H_N + H_{NLO},\tag{55}$$

with the Newtonian Hamiltonian H_N defined as

$$H_N = m \, \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{X} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \partial_i^2 = m a Z - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \partial_i^2 \,, \qquad (56)$$

while the next-to-leading order (NLO) part, containing all 1PN and $O(||X||^2)$ corrections, is

$$H_{NLO} = -\frac{mc^2}{2} \bar{R}^F_{tltm} X^l X^m - \frac{\hbar^4 \partial_i^4}{8m^3 c^2}$$
(57)
$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\tilde{h}^F_{ij} \partial_i \partial_j + \partial_i \tilde{h}^F_{ij} \partial_j + \frac{1}{4} \partial_i \partial_j \tilde{h}^F_{ij} + \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} \sigma^k \partial_i \tilde{h}^F_{jl} \partial_l + \frac{i}{4} \epsilon^{ijk} \sigma^k \partial_i \partial_l \tilde{h}^F_{jl} \right) .$$

To make this picture coherent with our formulation, we assume that a does not depend on time, or that its dependence is sufficiently weak to be negligible over the relevant time scale involved in the physical process we want to study.

As clearly shown above (52), to proceed with the calculations we also have to make some assumptions about spacetime geometry and its "prior" coordinates. To avoid additional complications at this stage, in the following we will model the Earth as a sphere of radius R, which naturally leads us to the choice of the ERS metric (47). This decision also allows us to look after eventual GR departures.

Note that, as far as static observers on the Earth's surface

are concerned, the spatial components of their quadrivelocity U^{α} are zero. Thus, their spatial position will be constant and simply set to

$$\xi^{i}(x) = (0, 0, R), \qquad (58)$$

due to our reference frame choices. The full expression for the NLO Hamiltonian in the ERS spacetime together with other details are included in Appendix C.

D. Theoretical Local Acceleration value

In the next sections, we will consider the local acceleration just as a parameter that is determined experimentally. Nevertheless, for completeness, we would like to include here some remarks on the theoretical values predicted for the experienced acceleration. Working with a static observer, we know its spacetime acceleration $a^{\mu} = U^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} U^{\mu}$ along ξ^{μ} , when translated in the mostlyminus convention, must be given by [60, 61]

$$a_{\mu} = -c^2 \,\nabla_{\mu} \ln V|_{\xi} = -\frac{c^2}{1+\bar{v}} \,\partial_{\mu} v|_{\xi} \,. \tag{59}$$

In our perturbative scheme, considering the ERS metric (47) with $v = \frac{\Phi_S}{c^2} + \frac{2b-1}{2}\frac{\Phi_S^2}{c^4}$ and keeping everything up to 1PN order, we have

$$a_{\mu} = -\frac{c^2}{1 + \frac{\bar{\Phi}_S}{c^2} + \frac{2b-1}{2}\frac{\bar{\Phi}_S^2}{c^4}} \partial_{\mu} \left(\frac{\Phi_S}{c^2} + \frac{2b-1}{2}\frac{\Phi_S^2}{c^4}\right) \Big|_{\xi}$$
$$\simeq -(1 + 2(b-1)\frac{\bar{\Phi}_S}{c^2}) \partial_{\mu}\Phi_S|_{\xi}, \qquad (60)$$

from which we readily see that $a_t = 0$. Therefore, raising the acceleration index with the metric, we get

$$a^t = \bar{g}^{t\mu}a_\mu = 0,$$
 (61)

$$a^{i} = \bar{g}^{i\mu}a_{\mu} = -(1 + \frac{2d\Phi_{S}}{c^{2}})a_{i}$$
 (62)

$$= (1 + 2(b + d - 1)\frac{\Phi_S}{c^2}) \partial_i \Phi_S|_{\xi}.$$

To calculate the corresponding value on the Fermi frame we just exploit the coordinate transformation Λ

$$(a^{F})^{\tau} = \bar{\Lambda}^{\tau}{}_{\nu} a^{\nu} = \bar{\Lambda}^{\tau}{}_{t} a^{t} = 0, \qquad (63)$$
$$(a^{F})^{i} = \bar{\Lambda}^{i}{}_{\nu} a^{\nu} = a^{i} + \bar{\varepsilon}^{i}{}_{j} a^{j} = (1 - \frac{d \bar{\Phi}_{S}}{c^{2}}) a^{i}.$$

Finally, remembering the definition (44) of the gravitational acceleration vector, the effective acceleration experienced by the Fermi observer will simply be

$$(a^F)^i = -(1 + (2b + d - 2)\frac{\bar{\Phi}_S}{c^2})\,\bar{g}^i\,,\qquad(64)$$

which, as expected, coincides with the Newtonian result at leading order and is in agreement with the equivalence principle by construction. Clearly, in real-life experiments, there are other effects influencing the effective acceleration value, like the Earth's rotation.

Note that the above result for the acceleration can also be obtained starting directly from the ERS Hamiltonian (48), and performing the Fermi transformation Λ a posteriori: in fact, after the transformation, it is sufficient to identify the "effective acceleration" as the coupling to the term linear in Z and proportional to m. Embracing this philosophy, we also have to remember that coordinate time in this case does not coincide with the proper one, leading to a rescaling also of the Hamiltonian itself $H^{(\tau)} = \frac{1}{1+\bar{v}}H^{(t)}$. The equivalence of this approach points towards the fact that the order in which one performs the FW transformation and the change to Fermi coordinates, at the end of the day, does not affect relevant quantities, as one could also expect. However, we will not include more details on that since it goes beyond the scope of this paper.

E. Remarks on higher in ||X|| –orders

An analysis close to ours is carried out in [57], where the authors argue that strictly speaking, if one would also like to formally expand the Hamiltonian in ||X||, then the derivatives should be considered as order $\sim 1/||X||$ implying the need to take into account orders higher than $||X||^2$ in (50). However, here we adopt another view for the Fermi expansion: by momentarily adopting dimensionless quantities, let's imagine to divide the Hamiltonian (57) by mc^2 . Defining the momentum operator

$$p^i \equiv -i\hbar\partial_i \,, \tag{65}$$

we can then think for all terms enclosed by round brackets in (57) to be of order $\sim (\frac{p}{mc})^2(1 + \tilde{h}^F)$, where we remember that \tilde{h}_{ij}^F is already dimensionless. Thus, it is clear that all terms $\mathscr{O}(||X||^3)$ we could consider in the Fermi metric expansion would give smaller and smaller corrections. Thus, holding onto to the principle of "least action", here meaning smallest modification with largest consequences, we stick with perturbations at most $\sim ||X||^2$ in $g_{\mu\nu}^F$.

V. APPLICATION TO UCN AND QBOUNCE

In this section, we would like to quantitatively study the effects of the NLO corrections within an experimental setup analogous to the one of qBounce [12, 28, 62]. Values for kinematic parameters and constants in this configuration are summarized in table II.

TABLE II. Some constants and parameters values used to fit the qBounce experiment [12]. The local acceleration value here, is determined through a falling corner cube classical experiment.

A. Decoupling the *XY*-Dynamics

At leading order, neutrons are just affected by a Z-dependent potential given in (56). This implies that their dynamics can be factorized into a longitudinal XY-component and a transverse Z-component, which is reflected in their wavefunction as

$$\Psi(\boldsymbol{X}) = \phi(X, Y) \,\varphi(Z) \,. \tag{66}$$

The free XY-motion in these experiments can be well described by semiclassical laws, considering the UCN's longitudinal states as normalized wave-packets centered on their classical trajectory [21, 63]. For our purposes, it can be therefore modeled as

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{X}_{\perp},\tau) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\sigma}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \boldsymbol{k}_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}_{\perp} - \frac{(\boldsymbol{X}_{\perp} - \boldsymbol{X}_{\perp}^{cl})^2}{2\sigma^2}}, \qquad (67)$$

with $\boldsymbol{X}_{\perp} = (X, Y)$ and

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{X}_{\perp}^{cl}(\tau) = (X_{cl}(\tau), Y_{cl}(\tau)), \\ \boldsymbol{k}_{\perp} = (k^x, k^y). \end{cases}$$
(68)

respectively indicating the classical horizontal coordinates and momenta of the UCNs.

Later on, we will be mainly interested in the energy spectrum. Then, for us it is sufficient to consider Z-energy eigenstates and thus set the XY-initial state in the origin of the laboratory frame

$$\phi(\mathbf{X}_{\perp}) \equiv \phi(\mathbf{X}_{\perp}, \tau = 0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\sigma}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{k}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\perp} - \frac{\mathbf{X}_{\perp}^2}{2\sigma^2}} .$$
 (69)

In the following we want to study the details of the fully-quantum transverse dynamics, by integrating out the horizontal degrees of freedom. Doing this, we can derive an effective one-dimensional Hamiltonian guiding the vertical Z-evolution

$$H^{(Z)} = \int d^2 X_{\perp} \phi^*(\boldsymbol{X}_{\perp}) H \phi(\boldsymbol{X}_{\perp}) .$$
 (70)

Note that the spatial spreading $\Delta X = \Delta Y = \sigma/\sqrt{2}$ is not directly known for the UCNs in the qBounce experiment.

Nevertheless, we know for sure it has to be bigger than nuclear spacing $\sim 10^{-10}m$ and smaller than the characteristic size of the experiment. A useful educated guess is the UCN's de Broglie wavelength

$$\sigma \sim \frac{h}{m \|\boldsymbol{v}\|} \sim 10^{-8} m \,, \tag{71}$$

which is approximately the same result we would get from the Heisenberg principle for the minimal value of the position uncertainty, being the velocity-dispersion Δv for qBounce UCN about ~ $1 \, m/s$. In fact, from the state (69) we find

$$m\Delta v = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} \to \sigma = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}\,m\Delta v} = 4.45 \times 10^{-8}\,m\,.$$
 (72)

B. Newtonian Schrödinger problem

At the lowest order (c^0) , the theoretical description of the UCNs in the qBounce setting is given by the Hamiltonian H_N in (56), where spin does not play any role. Using Eq.(70) and (56), we can calculate the leading order effective Hamiltonian

$$H_N^{(Z)} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m\sigma^2} + \frac{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2}{2m} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\partial_Z^2 + maZ.$$
(73)

Its spectrum $\mathscr{C}^{(0)}$ is given by solving the correspondent secular equation

$$\left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\partial_Z^2 + maZ\right)\varphi(Z) = E\,\varphi(Z)\,,\tag{74}$$

with $E \equiv \mathscr{C}^{(0)} - \frac{k_{\perp}^2}{2m} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m\sigma^2}$. The presence of the qBounce bottom mirror is simulated by setting boundary conditions at Z = 0. Fortunately, the solution of the above equation is well-known and is given by Airy functions [64]

$$\varphi_n(Z) = C_n Ai\left(\frac{Z - Z_n}{Z_0}\right), \qquad (75)$$

with

$$C_n = \frac{Z_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{Ai'\left(-\frac{Z_n}{Z_0}\right)}, \ Z_0 = \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^2a}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \ Z_n = \frac{E_n}{ma}, \ (76)$$

where the $Ai'(\zeta)$ represents the derivative of $Ai(\zeta)$ with respect to its argument $\zeta \equiv \frac{Z-Z_n}{Z_0}$. The E_n -values are determined by the quantization condition obtained by setting the wavefunction at Z = 0 to zero

$$Ai\left(-\frac{E_n}{maZ_0}\right) = 0.$$
⁽⁷⁷⁾

Thus, zeroth-order spectrum for UCN is

$$\mathscr{E}_{n}^{(0)} = \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m\sigma^{2}} + \frac{1}{2}m\upsilon_{\perp}^{2} + E_{n} , \ \upsilon_{\perp} \equiv \frac{\|k_{\perp}\|}{m} .$$
 (78)

C. Next-to-Leading Order (NLO) Corrections

We are now ready to study the energy corrections due to NLO contributions: the first step is to integrate out the XY-dynamics also from the NLO Hamiltonian contribution in (C3) as

$$H_{NLO}^{(Z)} = \int d^2 X_{\perp} \phi^*(\boldsymbol{X}_{\perp}) H_{NLO} \phi(\boldsymbol{X}_{\perp}) , \qquad (79)$$

whose full expression is provided in (C4). At this point we are left with a perturbation to the Newtonian Hamiltonian (73). Since NLO terms introduce operators involving Pauli matrices, for each value of the quantum number n we now have a two-dimensional eigenspace, spanned by the degenerate eigenvectors of the unperturbed problem

$$\langle Z | \varphi_n, \uparrow \rangle = C_n Ai \left(\frac{Z - Z_n}{Z_0} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (80)$$

$$\langle Z | \varphi_n, \downarrow \rangle = C_n Ai \left(\frac{Z - Z_n}{Z_0} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To apply standard quantum perturbation theory, we must first calculate the matrix elements $W^n_{\alpha\beta}$ of the perturbation within the degenerate unperturbed subspaces

$$W_{\alpha\beta}^{n} \equiv \langle \varphi_{n}, \alpha | H_{NLO}^{(Z)} | \varphi_{n}, \beta \rangle = \langle \varphi_{n} | (H_{NLO}^{(Z)})_{\alpha\beta} | \varphi_{n} \rangle,$$
(81)

where $(H_{NLO}^{(Z)})_{\alpha\beta}$ are the matrix components of the NLO Hamiltonian in the two-dimensional spin subspace with $\alpha, \beta = \uparrow, \downarrow$. To calculate the first-order corrections $\mathscr{C}_n^{(1)}$ we therefore have to diagonalize the $W_{\alpha\beta}^n$ -matrices to find their eigenvalues as solutions of the equation

$$\det\left(W^n - \mathscr{C}_n^{(1)}\mathbf{1}\right) = 0, \tag{82}$$

with $\mathbf{1}$ the two-by-two identity matrix. Expanding the determinant, we arrive at the secular equation

$$(W^n_{\uparrow\uparrow} - \mathscr{C}^{(1)}_n)^2 - |W^n_{\uparrow\downarrow}|^2 = 0, \qquad (83)$$

where we used the fact that, in our case, we have

$$W_{\uparrow\uparrow}^n = W_{\downarrow\downarrow}^n , \ W_{\uparrow\downarrow}^n = (W_{\downarrow\uparrow}^n)^* .$$
(84)

Thus, the next-to-leading order corrections to the spectrum will be given by

$$\mathscr{C}_{n,\pm}^{(1)} = W_{\uparrow\uparrow}^n \pm W_{\uparrow\downarrow}^n \,. \tag{85}$$

To compute the $W^n_{\alpha\beta}$, we now have to calculate the averages of all the Z-dependent quantities appearing in Eq.(C4), which involve integrals of Airy functions multiplied by powers of Z and ∂_Z . Suprisingly, this can be done analytically, by using the method reported in [64]. The calculation technique involves shifting the argument of the second Ai by a small quantity λ and then taking

the limit $\lambda \to 0$ after performing the integrals. We include details about this procedure in Appendix D.

Assuming the following general notation for the averages over the Airy eigenstates

$$\left\langle O\right\rangle_n = \left\langle \psi_n^{(0)} \right| O \left| \psi_n^{(0)} \right\rangle \,, \tag{86}$$

at the end, we explicitly obtain the following mean values

$$\begin{cases} \langle \partial_Z \rangle_n = 0, \ \langle \partial_Z^2 \rangle_n = -\frac{1}{3} \frac{Z_n}{Z_0^3}, \ \langle Z \rangle_n = \frac{2}{3} Z_n, \\ \langle Z^2 \rangle_n = \frac{8}{15} Z_n^2, \ \langle Z \partial_Z^2 \rangle_n = -\frac{2}{15} \frac{Z_n^2}{Z_0^3}, \ \langle \partial_Z^4 \rangle_n = \frac{1}{5} \frac{Z_n^2}{Z_0^6}. \\ \langle Z \partial_Z \rangle_n = -\frac{1}{2}, \ \langle Z^2 \partial_Z^2 \rangle_n = \frac{3}{7} - \frac{8}{105} \frac{Z_n^3}{Z_0^3}. \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{87}$$

Combining formulas (85), (87), (C4) and neglecting constant shift terms, we obtain

$$\mathscr{C}_{n,\pm}^{(1)} = -\frac{8m \, GMZ_n^2}{15R^3} - (5 - 6b - 5d) \frac{4m \, G^2 M^2 Z_n^2}{15 \, c^2 R^4} + \frac{maZ_n}{6c^2} (v_\perp^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2 \sigma^2} + (3 - 2d) \frac{GM\sigma^2}{3R^3}) \quad (88) + \frac{ma^2 Z_n^2}{30 \, c^2} - (d + 3) \frac{4 \, mGMZ_n^2}{45 \, c^2 R^3} (v_\perp^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2 \sigma^2}) - \frac{8 \, maGMZ_n^3}{105 \, c^2 R^3} \pm \frac{\hbar \, v_\perp}{4c^2} \left((d + 2) \frac{2GMZ_n}{3R^3} - a \right).$$

Note that we grouped v^F -corrections (52) in the first line, while mixed \tilde{h}_{ij}^F -corrections are present in the remaining lines.

D. Remarks on NLO contributions in literature

As previously mentioned in the Introduction and in Section III for the FW transformation, NLO corrections to the Hamiltonian for fermions in weak gravitational fields are often packed in a 1/m-expansion. This choice can lead to inconsistent result, particularly when coupled with the additional caveat of keeping terms at most linear in Φ_S : consider the case of the following first-order Hamiltonian (in natural units $c = \hbar = 1$) [22, 23]

$$H_{NLO}^{(lit)} = \frac{3}{4m} (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \boldsymbol{p}) \cdot \boldsymbol{g} - \frac{3}{4m} (\boldsymbol{p}^2 \boldsymbol{g} \cdot \boldsymbol{z} + \boldsymbol{g} \cdot \boldsymbol{z} \, \boldsymbol{p}^2) \,. \tag{89}$$

Already from the averages in (87), we can observe that there are pieces missing from (89) at this level of approximation. In fact, since

$$\frac{\langle p_z^2 \rangle_n}{m^2} = a \left\langle z \right\rangle_n \simeq \Phi_S - \bar{\Phi}_S \,, \tag{90}$$

we can identify the following terms to generate corrections of the same order of magnitude

$$\Phi_S \frac{p_z^2}{m^2} \sim \Phi_S^2 \sim \frac{p_z^4}{m^4} \,. \tag{91}$$

This fact directly highlights two problems

- Working in the framework of a linear weakgravity expansion, leads to the partial exclusion of z^2 -corrections coming from $1/c^4$ -contributions to v or equivalently Φ_S^2 -contributions in g_{00} . This is especially true if the additional assumption g = const is taken.
- The misleading use of the $\frac{1}{m}$ -expansion parameter can also lead to erroneously neglect the first special-relativistic corrections $\propto \partial_z^4$.

Both these exclusions lead to neglecting terms whose corrections are of the same order of the one given by (89), and should therefore be included.

Those considerations strengthen our choice of a 1/c-expansion and the successive use of the Fermi coordinates, two prescriptions which also other authors started to adopt in recent years [57].

E. Estimation for qBounce

Let's finally give some estimations for the effects in the qBounce context. Combining the results (78) and (88), with a little algebra and the exclusion of n-independent terms, we get

$$\mathscr{C}_{n,\pm} = \left[1 + \frac{1}{6c^2} (v_{\perp}^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2 \sigma^2} + (3 - 2d) \frac{GM\sigma^2}{3R^3}) \right] E_n$$
$$-\frac{1}{5m} \left[\frac{8 \, GM}{3 \, a^2 R^3} - \frac{1}{6c^2} - (5 - 6b - 5d) \frac{4 \, G^2 M^2}{3a^2 c^2 R^4} \right.$$
$$\left. + (d+3) \frac{4 \, GM}{9 \, c^2 a^2 R^3} (v_{\perp}^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2 \sigma^2}) \right] E_n^2 (92)$$
$$\left. + \frac{8 \, GM \, E_n^3}{105 \, ma^2 c^2 R^3} \pm \frac{\hbar \, v_{\perp}}{4c^2} \left((d+2) \frac{2 \, GM \, E_n}{3 \, ma R^3} - a \right).$$

Using the values in table II and using the conservative estimate $\sigma \sim 10^{-8} m$, we easily see that by far the largest perturbation comes from the first term in the squared parenthesis proportional to E_n^2 , which would be order $10^{-12} \times E_n$. Actually, that term is analogous to what we would get expanding the 1/r-potential around the Earth's surface to quadratic order and, in principle, it should not be considered as a part of the pN corrections but of the higher order ||X|| -corrections. In our scheme, in fact, it is generated by the first term in equation (52) when considering $1/c^2$ -contributions of the Riemann tensor in Eq.(51).

The consequence of these considerations are twofold:

- First of all, since the terms involving the PPN parameters b and d are the smallest ones, it is unlikely that useful upperbounds can be put through these type of experiments.
- Secondly, the NLO corrections will not be observable in this class of experiments in the near future.

F. Local-*a* Tension

Recently, an interesting discrepancy among the local acceleration value measured by a classical experiment $a_{cl} = 9.8049 \, m/s^2$ and by the qBounce experiment $a_{qB} = 9.8120 \, m/s^2$ has been reported in [65]. This inconsistency was observed by deducing the effective value of the acceleration by studying the transition among the energy level n = 1 to n = 6. The experimentally derived value for the transition frequency $\nu_{1\to 6}$ is

$$\nu_{1\to 6}^{obs} = 972.81 \, Hz \,, \tag{93}$$

while the predicted value from (78) corresponds to 972.35 Hz. Since the statistical significance of this result already reached several sigmas, assuming there is no systematical flaw in the experimental derivation, one should start to search for causes of this shift. The cautious way to go here, before thinking to some new physics hint, is to take into consideration NLO-effects and see whether they can account for the discrepancy.

Therefore, we calculate $\nu_{1\to 6}$ with our corrected spectrum (92), while fixing b = d = 1 and letting σ as the only "free" parameter (being the only quantity whose value could in principle lay in a range spanning several orders of magnitude). This way we find

$$\nu_{1\to6}^{NLO} = (972.35 + 9.24 \{\sigma\}^2 \times 10^{-22} + \frac{7.15}{\{\sigma\}^2} \times 10^{-30}) Hz ,$$
(94)

where with $\{\sigma\}$ we are indicating just the numerical value of σ when expressed in meters. Thus, we see that to obtain the experimental value (93) we should have $\sigma = 3.94 \times 10^{-15} m$ (curiously close to the physical diameter of neutrons) or $\sigma = 2.23 \times 10^{10} m$. Such values are, however, not likely since they are way out the allowed region defined by the qBounce setting. This is just another confirmation of the fact that for NLO corrections to be relevant we have to push parameters to values which lie outside their realistic ranges for current experiments. Thus, the local-*a* tension still awaits an explanation.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have calculated the non-relativistic and low-curvature corrections to the Schrödinger equation for a ultracold neutron in a static spacetime. We have done that starting from the Dirac equation on the curved spacetime generated by the Earth's gravitional field. The whole process involves many different technicalities, like the FW transformation and the proper reference frame choice, which makes it highly non-trivial, despite the amount of literature on the subject. In fact, terms that could seem negligible at first glance end up being of the same order of the other perturbative corrections to the neutron energy spectrum, when doing things consistently. In this sense, we have seen that a post-Newtonian approach can help to avoid these difficulties. Finally, we analyzed our results from an experimental perspective and found that, with the current level of precision, post-Newtonian corrections will not play a role in near-future observations for experiments like qBOUNCE or GRANIT, unless drastic changes to the setups, while maybe being for others. That also implies that UCN experiments may not be useful in determining deviations from GR predictions for the PPN parameters.

Nevertheless, the positive side is that any new tension that may appear in their measurements, like the one on the local acceleration value mentioned in the text, could be regarded as a sign of new physics, after carefully excluding any alternative origin for systematic errors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank H. Abele and M. Pitschman for comments and discussion. A.S. acknowledges financial support from ANID Fellowship CONICYT-PFCHA/DoctoradoNacional/2020-21201387. E.M. acknowledges financial support from Fondecyt Grant No 1230440 and ANID PIA Anillo ACT192023.

Appendix A: Expressions for Γ_{μ}

Here we calculate the expression of the Spin-Connection Γ_{μ} . Let's start by the time component

$$\Gamma_{t} = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{ab} g_{\nu\rho} e_{a}^{\ \nu} \nabla_{t} e_{b}^{\ \rho}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{ab} g_{\nu\rho} e_{a}^{\ \nu} e_{b}^{\ \gamma} \{ {}_{t}{}_{\gamma}^{\ \rho} \},$$
(A1)

where we already used the fact that working with static metrics, nothing can depend on time. Expanding the expression for the Christoffel symbol and remembering that $g_{ti} = e_0^{i} = 0$, we have

$$\Gamma_{t} = \frac{1}{4} \sigma^{ab} g_{\nu\rho} g^{\rho\alpha} e_{a}{}^{\nu} e_{b}{}^{\gamma} (\partial_{\gamma} g_{\alpha t} - \partial_{\alpha} g_{\gamma t})$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \sigma^{ab} e_{a}{}^{\nu} e_{b}{}^{\gamma} (\partial_{\gamma} g_{\nu t} - \partial_{\nu} g_{\gamma t}), \qquad (A2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{ab} e_{a}{}^{t} e_{b}{}^{j} \partial_{j} g_{tt} = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{0I} e_{0}{}^{t} e_{I}{}^{j} \partial_{j} g_{tt}.$$

Using the expressions in (11) and (13), we finally obtain

$$\Gamma_t = \frac{1}{2V} \sigma^{0I} e_I^{\ j} \partial_j V^2 = \sigma^{0I} e_I^{\ j} \partial_j V \,. \tag{A3}$$

The spatial components of Γ_i can be calculated in an analogous fashion, by taking into consideration that

$${ {}^{t}_{i j} } = { {}^{j}_{i t} } = 0 .$$
 (A4)

At the end of the day, we get

$$\Gamma_i = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{KL} (g_{mn} e_K^{\ m} \partial_i e_L^{\ n} + e_K^{\ m} e_L^{\ n} \partial_n g_{im}) \,. \tag{A5}$$

Appendix B: Foldy-Wouthuysen Transformation

The Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation is a technical tool to construct a connection between Dirac theories and their Schrödinger equivalent in the non-relativistic limit $|\mathbf{p}| << mc$. In the following, we include details on some calculations that lead to results used in the main text. Remembering that $S = -i\frac{\beta\Theta}{2mc^2} \sim \mathcal{O}(c^{-1})$, we can easily find the structures of the commutators in Eq.(34) up to the needed order of $1/c^2$

$$[S, \mathscr{H}_D] = i\Theta - i\frac{\beta}{2mc^2}[\Theta, \epsilon] - i\frac{\beta\Theta^2}{mc^2}, \quad (B1)$$
$$[S, [S, \mathscr{H}_D]] = \frac{\beta\Theta^2}{mc^2} - \frac{1}{4m^2c^4}[[\Theta, \epsilon], \Theta] + \frac{\Theta^3}{m^2c^4},$$
$$[S, [S, [S, \mathscr{H}_D]]] \simeq i\frac{\Theta^3}{2m^2c^4} - i\frac{\beta\Theta^4}{2m^3c^6},$$
$$[S, [S, [S, [S, \mathscr{H}_D]]]] \simeq \frac{\beta\Theta^4}{6m^3c^6}.$$

Putting all of these expressions together, we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}_{FW}^{I} \simeq \beta m c^{2} + \mathscr{E} + \frac{1}{2mc^{2}}\beta[\Theta,\epsilon] + \frac{1}{2mc^{2}}\beta\Theta^{2} \quad (B2)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{8m^{2}c^{4}}[[\Theta,\epsilon],\Theta] - \frac{1}{3m^{2}c^{4}}\Theta^{3} - \frac{1}{8m^{3}c^{6}}\Theta^{4}.$$

Repiting the same procedure two more times, we can completely get rid off the odd terms up to order c^{-2}

$$\mathcal{H}_{FW}^{III} = \beta mc^2 + \mathscr{E} + \frac{\beta \Theta^2}{2mc^2}$$

$$+ \frac{\beta}{8m^2c^4} [[\Theta, \mathscr{E}], \Theta] - \frac{\beta}{8m^3c^6} \Theta^4 + \mathscr{O}(c^{-3}).$$
(B3)

We now just have to calculate each one of the structures appearing in the Hamiltonian above up to the relevant perturbative order. This task can be completed quite straightforwardly taking into account the expressions for even and odd operators in (30) and the commutation rules for Dirac matrices. In fact, when calculating objects like Θ^2 one should be very careful since, for example, σ^{KL} does not simply commute with the α -matrices

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{i}\sigma^{kl}\alpha^{j} &= -\frac{1}{4}\gamma^{i}[\gamma^{k},\gamma^{l}]\gamma^{j} \\ &= -\gamma^{i}\gamma^{j}\sigma^{kl} - \gamma^{i}\gamma^{l}\delta^{jk} - \gamma^{i}\gamma^{k}\delta^{jl} \\ &= \alpha^{i}\alpha^{j}\sigma^{kl} + \alpha^{i}\alpha^{l}\delta^{jk} + \alpha^{i}\alpha^{k}\delta^{jl}, \end{aligned} \tag{B4}$$

where we had to take into account that

$$\gamma^{i}\gamma^{j} = 2 \eta^{ij} - \gamma^{j}\gamma^{i} = -2 \delta^{ij} - \gamma^{j}\gamma^{i} .$$
 (B5)

We remember that at this point of the calculations we are already adopting the new convention (22) to simplify the reading.

1. Non relativistic Hamiltonian

After performing all the above cited calculations and adding up the pieces, we end up with

$$\mathcal{H}_{FW}^{III} = \beta mc^{2} + \beta mc^{2}v - \frac{\hbar^{4}\partial_{i}^{4}}{8m^{3}c^{2}}$$
(B6)
$$- \frac{\beta\hbar^{2}}{2m} \left\{ (1+v)\partial_{i}^{2} + 2\varepsilon_{i}^{\ j}\partial_{i}\partial_{j} + 2\Gamma_{i}\partial_{i} + \partial_{i}\Gamma_{i} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{i}(2v\,\delta_{il} + \varepsilon_{l}^{\ i} + \varepsilon_{i}^{\ l} + h_{il})\,\partial_{l} + \frac{1}{4}\partial_{i}^{2}v + \frac{1}{4}\partial_{i}^{2}h + i\,\epsilon^{ijk}\Sigma^{k}\partial_{i}\Gamma_{j} \right\}$$

Exploiting the properties in (25) we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}_{FW}^{III} = \beta mc^2 + \beta mc^2 v - \frac{\hbar^4 \partial_i^4}{8m^3 c^2}$$
(B7)
$$- \frac{\beta \hbar^2}{2m} \left\{ (1+v)\partial_i^2 + h_{ij}\partial_i\partial_j + 2\Gamma_i\partial_i + \partial_i\Gamma_i + \partial_i(v\,\delta_{il} + h_{il})\partial_l + \frac{i}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}\Sigma^k\partial_i v\,\partial_j + \frac{1}{4}\partial_i^2(v+h) + i\,\epsilon^{ijk}\Sigma^k\partial_i\Gamma_j \right\},$$

which is already a quite compact form. To simplify it even more, we make use of the choice (27), which in our expansion scheme is always a possible one: in fact, in this case the Γ_i reduce to (28) and therefore

$$\Gamma_j = \frac{i}{4} \epsilon^{jkl} \Sigma^j \partial_k h_{il} \,, \tag{B8}$$

which when replaced into (B7), defining $h_{ij} \equiv h_{ij} + v\delta_{ij}$, directly lead to the final formula (57) in the main text.

Appendix C: Hamiltonian for ERS spacetime in Fermi coordinates

Here, we will include the expression for the Fermi Hamiltonian (55) taking the ERS spacetime as our prior spacetime structure. The relevant quantities to use are

$$v^{F} = \frac{aZ}{c^{2}} + \frac{GM(X_{\perp}^{2} - 2Z^{2})}{2c^{2}R^{3}}$$
(C1)
+ $\frac{G^{2}M^{2}}{2c^{4}R^{4}} \left[(5d + 6b - 5)Z^{2} - (2b + 3d - 2)X_{\perp}^{2} \right]$
$$h_{ij}^{F} = \frac{dGM}{3c^{2}R^{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 2Y^{2} - Z^{2} & -2XY & XZ \\ -2XY & 2X^{2} - Z^{2} & YZ \\ XZ & YZ & -X_{\perp}^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
(C2)

which were calculated by evaluating the Riemann tensor components on the observer's worldline, setting (58). Combining these expressions with Eq.(57) we obtain the NLO Hamiltonian correction

$$H_{NLO} = \frac{GMm}{2R^3} (\mathbf{X}_{\perp}^2 - 2Z^2) + \frac{m G^2 M^2}{2 c^2 R^4} \left((2 - 2b - 3d) \mathbf{X}_{\perp}^2 - (5 - 6b - 5d) Z^2 \right)$$

$$- \frac{\hbar^2 a}{2mc^2} \partial_Z + \frac{d - 3}{6} \frac{\hbar^2 GM}{mc^2 R^3} (\mathbf{X}_{\perp} \cdot \partial_{\perp} - 2Z \partial_Z) + \frac{i\hbar^2}{4mc^2} \left(a - (d + 2) \frac{GM}{R^3} Z \right) (\sigma^X \partial_Y - \sigma^Y \partial_X)$$

$$+ \frac{i\hbar^2 GM}{4mc^2 R^3} \left((d - 1) (\sigma^X Y - \sigma^Y X) \partial_Z + (2d + 1) \sigma^Z (Y \partial_X - X \partial_Y) \right)$$

$$- \frac{\hbar^2 a}{2mc^2} Z \partial_i^2 + (2d - 3) \frac{\hbar^2 GM}{12mc^2 R^3} X_{\perp}^2 \partial_Z^2 - (4d + 3) \frac{\hbar^2 GM}{12mc^2 R^3} (X^2 \partial_Y^2 + Y^2 \partial_X^2) - \frac{\hbar^2 GM}{4mc^2 R^3} (X^2 \partial_X^2 + Y^2 \partial_Y^2)$$

$$+ \frac{\hbar^2 GM}{2mc^2 R^3} Z^2 \partial_Z^2 + (d + 3) \frac{\hbar^2 GM}{6mc^2 R^3} Z^2 \partial_{\perp}^2 - \frac{d}{3} \frac{\hbar^2 GM}{mc^2 R^3} (XZ \partial_X \partial_Z + YZ \partial_Y \partial_Z - 2XY \partial_X \partial_Y) - \frac{\hbar^4 \partial_i^4}{8m^3 c^2} .$$
(C3)

which is sorted in order of increasing derivatives. Note that the first term in the above expression is just the second order contribution to the expansion of the classical Newtonian 1/r –potential.

At this point, integrating out the XY-dynamics as in (79), we get the final perturbation form to calculate the spectrum's corrections

$$\begin{aligned} H_{NLO}^{(Z)} &= \left((2d-3) \frac{GM\sigma^2}{6R^3} + \frac{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2}{2m^2} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^2\sigma^2} \right) \frac{\hbar^2 \partial_Z^2}{2mc^2} \\ &+ (\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{\sigma^2}) \frac{aZ}{2mc^2} - \left(1 + \frac{(d+3)\hbar^2}{6m^2c^2\sigma^2} (\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{\sigma^2}) \right) \\ &- (5-6b-5d) \frac{GM}{2c^2R} \frac{GMm}{R^3} Z^2 - \frac{\hbar^4 \partial_Z^4}{8m^3c^2} (C4) \\ &- \frac{\hbar^2 a}{2mc^2} (1+Z\partial_Z) \partial_Z + \frac{\hbar^2 GM}{mc^2R^3} (Z\partial_Z + \frac{Z^2 \partial_Z^2}{2}) \\ &+ \frac{\hbar}{4mc^2} \left((d+2) \frac{GMZ}{R^3} - a \right) (\sigma^X k^Y - \sigma^Y k^X) \,. \end{aligned}$$

from which, being interested in transition energies, we already removed the Z-independent terms, since their effect would get cancelled in the differences between energy levels.

To not include here even more large formulas, we avoid to write the expressions for the single matrix components $W^n_{\alpha\beta}$ defined in (81). Their calculation is, in fact, trivial starting from (C4) and exploiting the relations (87).

Appendix D: Integrals of Airy functions

The problem we want to discuss in this appendix is the one related with calculating the analytic form of integrals $I[O] \equiv \langle O \rangle_n$ of the type

$$I[O(Z)] = \int_0^\infty dZ \operatorname{Ai}\left(\frac{Z - Z_n}{Z_0}\right) O(Z) \operatorname{Ai}\left(\frac{Z - Z_n}{Z_0}\right),\tag{D1}$$

where O(Z) represents here a generic operator depending on Z and acting on the second Airy function. Note that we will always consider Z = 0 as a starting point for the integration since we are assuming no "floor-leakage", which would be equivalent to the addition of a Heaviside function $\theta(Z)$ in the wavefunctions.

We follow the strategy outlined in [64]. First of all we make the change of variable $\zeta \equiv \frac{Z-Z_n}{Z_0}$ for integral (D1)

$$I[O] = Z_0 \int_{-\frac{Z_n}{Z_0}}^{\infty} d\zeta Ai(\zeta) O(Z_n + Z_0 \zeta) Ai(\zeta) , \qquad (D2)$$

At this point, the strategy is to introduce an infinitesimal shift λ in the argument of the second Airy function, that depending on the form of O will allow to easily realize the integral, so that at the end we can take the $\lambda \to 0$ again

$$I_{\lambda}[Z \,\partial_Z^2] = Z_0 \int_{-\frac{Z_n}{Z_0}}^{\infty} d\zeta Ai(\zeta) O(Z_n + Z_0 \,\zeta) Ai(\zeta - \lambda) \,.$$
(D3)

As an example, let's consider the case of $O = Z \partial_Z^2$. Observing that $\partial_Z = \frac{1}{Z_0} \partial_{\zeta}$ we have

$$I_{\lambda}[Z \,\partial_Z^2] = \frac{1}{Z_0} \int_{-\frac{Z_n}{Z_0}}^{\infty} d\zeta Ai(\zeta) (Z_n + Z_0 \,\zeta) \partial_{\zeta}^2 Ai(\zeta - \lambda) \,.$$
(D4)

Being $\partial_{\zeta-\lambda} = -\partial_{\lambda} = \partial_{\zeta}$, we have

$$I_{\lambda}[Z \,\partial_Z^2] = \frac{1}{Z_0} \partial_{\lambda}^2 \int_{-\frac{Z_n}{Z_0}}^{\infty} d\zeta A i(\zeta) (Z_n + Z_0 \,\zeta) A i(\zeta - \lambda) \,, \tag{D5}$$

which reduces to

$$I_{\lambda}[Z \partial_{Z}^{2}] = \frac{Z_{n}}{Z_{0}} \partial_{\lambda}^{2} \int_{-\frac{Z_{n}}{Z_{0}}}^{\infty} d\zeta Ai(\zeta) Ai(\zeta - \lambda) \quad (D6)$$
$$+ \partial_{\lambda}^{2} \int_{-\frac{Z_{n}}{Z_{0}}}^{\infty} d\zeta Ai(\zeta) \zeta Ai(\zeta - \lambda)$$
$$= \partial_{\lambda}^{2} \left(\{\frac{Z_{n}}{Z_{0}}\}_{\lambda} + \{\zeta\}_{\lambda} \right),$$

where we introduced the general notation

$$\{P(\zeta)\}_{\lambda} = \int_{-\frac{Z_n}{Z_0}}^{\infty} d\zeta Ai(\zeta) P(\zeta)Ai(\zeta - \lambda) \,. \tag{D7}$$

For these shifted ζ -integrals we can use formulas (A31) and (A37) from [64], which remembering that $Ai(-\frac{Z_n}{Z_0}) =$

 $Ai(\infty) = Ai'(\infty) = 0$, lead to

$$\{1\}_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\lambda} Ai' \left(-\frac{Z_n}{Z_0}\right) Ai \left(-\frac{Z_n}{Z_0} - \lambda\right), \qquad (D8)$$

$$\{\zeta\}_{\lambda} = -\frac{2 + \lambda^2 \left(-\frac{Z_n}{Z_0}\right)}{\lambda^3} Ai' \left(-\frac{Z_n}{Z_0}\right) Ai \left(-\frac{Z_n}{Z_0} - \lambda\right) \\ -\frac{2}{\lambda^2} Ai' \left(-\frac{Z_n}{Z_0}\right) Ai' \left(-\frac{Z_n}{Z_0} - \lambda\right).$$

After replacing the above expressions back into (D6), the following steps are to take the λ -derivatives and carefully expand all the shifted Ai-functions for small values of λ , as shown in (A8) of [64], where the authors also take in consideration that Airy's functions satisfy

$$\partial_{\zeta}^2 Ai(\zeta) = \zeta Ai(\zeta) \,. \tag{D9}$$

At the end of the day, if one does things correctly, it should end up with an expression for which it is easy to

- A. Brodutch, A. Gilchrist, T. Guff, A. R. Smith, and D. R. Terno, Post-newtonian gravitational effects in optical interferometry, Physical Review D 91, 064041 (2015).
- [2] H. Müller, S.-w. Chiow, S. Herrmann, S. Chu, and K.-Y. Chung, Atom-interferometry tests of the isotropy of postnewtonian gravity, Physical Review Letters 100, 031101 (2008).
- [3] C. Ufrecht, F. Di Pumpo, A. Friedrich, A. Roura, C. Schubert, D. Schlippert, E. M. Rasel, W. P. Schleich, and E. Giese, Atom-interferometric test of the universality of gravitational redshift and free fall, Physical Review Research 2, 043240 (2020).
- [4] G. M. Tino, Testing gravity with cold atom interferometry: results and prospects, Quantum Science and Technology 6, 024014 (2021).
- [5] O. Boada, A. Celi, J. I. Latorre, and M. Lewenstein, Dirac equation for cold atoms in artificial curved spacetimes, New Journal of Physics 13, 035002 (2011).
- [6] C. D. Panda, M. J. Tao, M. Ceja, and H. Müller, Measuring gravity by holding atoms, arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.01344 (2023).
- [7] G. A. Sekh, Bouncing dynamics of bose-einstein condensates under the effects of gravity, Physics Letters A 381, 852 (2017).
- [8] S. Ulbricht, R. Müller, and A. Surzhykov, Gravitational effects on geonium and free electron g_s -factor measurements in a penning trap, Physical Review D **100**, 064029 (2019).
- [9] A. Ito, Inertial and gravitational effects on a geonium atom, Classical and Quantum Gravity 38, 195015 (2021).
- [10] G. Tino and F. Vetrano, Is it possible to detect gravitational waves with atom interferometers?, arXiv preprint gr-qc/0702118 (2007).
- [11] V. K. Ignatovich, The physics of ultracold neutrons, Moscow Izdatel Nauka (1986).

take the $\lambda \to 0$ limit, obtaining

$$\partial_{\lambda}^{2}\{1\}_{\lambda|\lambda\to0} = \frac{1}{3}(-\frac{Z_{n}}{Z_{0}})(Ai'(-\frac{Z_{n}}{Z_{0}}))^{2}, \quad (D10)$$
$$\partial_{\lambda}^{2}\{\zeta\}_{\lambda|\lambda\to0} = \frac{1}{5}(-\frac{Z_{n}}{Z_{0}})^{2}(Ai'(-\frac{Z_{n}}{Z_{0}}))^{2}.$$

Putting all together, we finally find that

$$I_{\lambda \to 0}(Z\partial_Z^2) = \frac{1}{5}(\frac{Z_n}{Z_0})^2 (Ai'(-\frac{Z_n}{Z_0}))^2 -\frac{1}{3}(\frac{Z_n}{Z_0})^2 (Ai'(-\frac{Z_n}{Z_0}))^2 = -\frac{2}{15}(\frac{Z_n}{Z_0})^2 (Ai'(-\frac{Z_n}{Z_0}))^2, \quad (D11)$$

from which we can derive directly

$$\langle Z \partial_Z^2 \rangle_n = C_n^2 I_{\lambda \to 0} [Z \partial_Z^2] = -\frac{2}{15} \frac{Z_n^2}{Z_0^3}.$$
 (D12)

All the other mean values $\langle \rangle_n$ in the main text can be obtained with an analogous procedure.

- [12] H. Abele, G. Cronenberg, P. Geltenbort, T. Jenke, T. Lins, and H. Saul, qbounce, the quantum bouncing ball experiment, Physics Proceedia 17, 4 (2011).
- [13] T. Jenke, D. Stadler, H. Abele, and P. Geltenbort, Qbounce—experiments with quantum bouncing ultracold neutrons, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 611, 318 (2009).
- [14] S. Baessler, M. Beau, M. Kreuz, V. N. Kurlov, V. V. Nesvizhevsky, G. Pignol, K. V. Protasov, F. Vezzu, and A. Y. Voronin, The granit spectrometer, Comptes Rendus Physique **12**, 707 (2011).
- [15] B. Clément, S. Baeßler, V. V. Nesvizhevsky, E. Perry, G. Pignol, J. A. Pioquinto, K. V. Protasov, D. Rebreyend, D. Roulier, L. Shen, *et al.*, Manipulation of gravitational quantum states of a bouncing neutron with the granit spectrometer, arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.11130 (2022).
- [16] V. V. Nesvizhevsky, Near-surface quantum states of neutrons in the gravitational and centrifugal potentials, Physics-Uspekhi 53, 645 (2010).
- [17] V. V. Nesvizhevsky, H. Börner, A. Gagarski, A. Petoukhov, G. Petrov, H. Abele, S. Baeßler, G. Divkovic, F. Rueß, T. Stöferle, *et al.*, Measurement of quantum states of neutrons in the earth's gravitational field, Physical Review D **67**, 102002 (2003).
- [18] G. Cronenberg, H. Filter, M. Thalhammer, T. Jenke, H. Abele, and P. Geltenbort, A gravity of earth measurement with a qbounce experiment, arXiv preprint arXiv:1512.09134 (2015).
- [19] S. Sponar, R. I. Sedmik, M. Pitschmann, H. Abele, and Y. Hasegawa, Tests of fundamental quantum mechanics and dark interactions with low-energy neutrons, Nature Reviews Physics 3, 309 (2021).

- [20] A. Ivanov, M. Wellenzohn, and H. Abele, Probing of violation of lorentz invariance by ultracold neutrons in the standard model extension, Physics Letters B **797**, 134819 (2019).
- [21] C. Escobar, A. Martín-Ruiz, A. Escobar-Ruiz, and R. Linares, Testing the scalar sector of the standardmodel extension with neutron gravity experiments, The European Physical Journal Plus 137, 1 (2022).
- [22] V. A. Kosteleckỳ and Z. Li, Searches for beyond-riemann gravity, Physical Review D 104, 044054 (2021).
- [23] A. Ivanov, M. Wellenzohn, and H. Abele, Quantum gravitational states of ultracold neutrons as a tool for probing of beyond-riemann gravity, Physics Letters B 822, 136640 (2021).
- [24] A. Ivanov and M. Wellenzohn, Nonrelativistic approximation of the dirac equation for slow fermions coupled to the chameleon and torsion fields in the gravitational field of the earth, Physical Review D 92, 065006 (2015).
- [25] A. J. Schimmoller, G. McCaul, H. Abele, and D. I. Bondar, Decoherence-free entropic gravity: Model and experimental tests, Phys. Rev. Res. 3, 033065 (2021).
- [26] E. J. Sung, A. G. Campos, H. Abele, and D. I. Bondar, Decoherence-free entropic gravity for a Dirac fermion, Phys. Rev. D 108, 104036 (2023), arXiv:2307.00170 [quant-ph].
- [27] A. Kobakhidze, Gravity is not an entropic force, Phys. Rev. D 83, 021502 (2011).
- [28] M. Suda, M. Faber, J. Bosina, T. Jenke, C. Käding, J. Micko, M. Pitschmann, and H. Abele, Spectra of neutron wave functions in earth's gravitational field, Zeitschrift für Naturforschung A 77, 875 (2022).
- [29] Y. N. Obukhov, On gravitational interaction of fermions, Fortschritte der Physik 50, 711 (2002).
- [30] S. Chandrasekhar, The post-newtonian equations of hydrodynamics in general relativity, Astrophysical Journal 142, 1488 (1965).
- [31] C. De Oliveira and J. Tiomno, Representations of dirac equation in general relativity, Il Nuovo Cimento (1955-1965) 24, 672 (1962).
- [32] M. Pollock, On the dirac equation in curved space-time, Acta Physica Polonica B 41 (2010).
- [33] S. Weinberg, Gravitation and cosmology: principles and applications of the general theory of relativity (1972).
- [34] M. Arminjon, Post-newtonian equation for the energy levels of a dirac particle in a static metric, Physical Review D 74, 065017 (2006).
- [35] L. Parker, One-electron atom as a probe of spacetime curvature, Physical Review D 22, 1922 (1980).
- [36] X. Huang and L. Parker, Hermiticity of the dirac hamiltonian in curved spacetime, Physical Review D 79, 024020 (2009).
- [37] A. N. Ivanov and M. Pitschmann, Nonrelativistic approximation of the dirac equation for slow fermions in static metric spacetimes, Phys. Rev. D 90, 045040 (2014).
- [38] R. A. Nelson, Post-newtonian approximation for an accelerated, rotating frame of reference, General relativity and gravitation 22, 431 (1990).
- [39] Z. Bern, C. Cheung, R. Roiban, C.-H. Shen, M. P. Solon, and M. Zeng, Black hole binary dynamics from the double copy and effective theory, Journal of High Energy Physics **2019** (2019).
- [40] L. L. Foldy and S. A. Wouthuysen, On the dirac theory of spin 1/2 particles and its non-relativistic limit, Physical Review 78, 29 (1950).

- [41] U. D. Jentschura and J. Noble, Foldy-wouthuysen transformation, scalar potentials and gravity, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 47, 045402 (2014).
- [42] F. W. Hehl and W.-T. Ni, Inertial effects of a dirac particle, Physical Review D 42, 2045 (1990).
- [43] A. J. Silenko and O. V. Teryaev, Semiclassical limit for dirac particles interacting with a gravitational field, Physical Review D 71, 064016 (2005).
- [44] U. D. Jentschura and J. Noble, Nonrelativistic limit of the dirac-schwarzschild hamiltonian: Gravitational zitterbewegung and gravitational spin-orbit coupling, Physical Review A 88, 022121 (2013).
- [45] E. Fischbach, B. S. Freeman, and W.-K. Cheng, Generalrelativistic effects in hydrogenic systems, Physical Review D 23, 2157 (1981).
- [46] D. Giulini, A. Großardt, and P. K. Schwartz, Coupling quantum matter and gravity, in *Modified and Quantum Gravity: From Theory to Experimental Searches on All Scales* (Springer, 2023) pp. 491–550.
- [47] C. Lämmerzahl, A hamilton operator for quantum optics in gravitational fields, Physics Letters A 203, 12 (1995).
- [48] E. Poisson and C. M. Will, Gravity: Newtonian, postnewtonian, relativistic (Cambridge University Press, 2014).
- [49] L. O Raifeartaigh, Fermi coordinates, in Proceedings of the Royal Irish academy. Section A: Mathematical and physical sciences, Vol. 59 (JSTOR, 1957) pp. 15–24.
- [50] F. Manasse and C. W. Misner, Fermi normal coordinates and some basic concepts in differential geometry, Journal of mathematical physics 4, 735 (1963).
- [51] J. L. Synge, *Relativity: the general theory* (1960).
- [52] W.-Q. Li and W.-T. Ni, Coupled inertial and gravitational effects in the proper reference frame of an accelerated, rotating observer, Journal of Mathematical Physics 20, 1473 (1979).
- [53] P. M. Alsing, G. J. Stephenson Jr, and P. Kilian, Spin-induced non-geodesic motion, gyroscopic precession, wigner rotation and epr correlations of massive spin 1/2 particles in a gravitational field, arXiv preprint arXiv:0902.1396 (2009).
- [54] J. Maluf and F. Faria, On the construction of fermiwalker transported frames, Annalen der Physik 520, 326 (2008).
- [55] D. Klein and P. Collas, General transformation formulas for fermi-walker coordinates, Classical and Quantum Gravity 25, 145019 (2008).
- [56] J. Audretsch and K.-P. Marzlin, Ramsey fringes in atomic interferometry: Measurability of the influence of space-time curvature, Physical Review A 50, 2080 (1994).
- [57] A. Alibabaei, P. K. Schwartz, and D. Giulini, Geometric post-newtonian description of massive spin-half particles in curved spacetime, Classical and Quantum Gravity (2023).
- [58] K.-P. Marzlin, Fermi coordinates for weak gravitational fields, Physical Review D 50, 888 (1994).
- [59] K. S. Thorne, J. A. Wheeler, and C. W. Misner, *Gravi*tation (Freeman San Francisco, CA, 2000).
- [60] S. M. Carroll, Spacetime and geometry (Cambridge University Press, 2019).
- [61] F. Dahia and P. F. da Silva, Static observers in curved spaces and non-inertial frames in minkowski spacetime,

General Relativity and Gravitation 43, 269 (2011).

- [62] R. I. P. Sedmik *et al.*, Proof of Principle for Ramsey-type Gravity Resonance Spectroscopy with qBounce, EPJ Web Conf. **219**, 05004 (2019), arXiv:1908.09723 [physics.ins-det].
- [63] A. Martín-Ruiz and C. Escobar, Testing lorentz and c p t invariance with ultracold neutrons, Physical Review D 97, 095039 (2018).
- [64] M. Pitschmann and H. Abele, Schr\" odinger equation for a non-relativistic particle in a gravitational

field confined by two vibrating mirrors, arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.12236 (2019).

[65] J. Micko, J. Bosina, S. Cranganore, T. Jenke, M. Pitschmann, S. Roccia, R. Sedmik, and H. Abele, qbounce: Systematic shifts of transition frequencies of gravitational states of ultra-cold neutrons using ramsey gravity resonance spectroscopy, arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.08583 (2023).