

On the Identifiability from Modulo Measurements under DFT Sensing Matrix

Qi Zhang, Jiang Zhu, Fengzhong Qu, Zheng Zhu and De Wen Soh

Abstract

Unlimited sampling was recently introduced to deal with the clipping or saturation of measurements where a modulo operator is applied before sampling. In this paper, we investigate the identifiability of the model where measurements are acquired under a discrete Fourier transform (DFT) sensing matrix first followed by a modulo operator (modulo-DFT). Firstly, based on the theorems of cyclotomic polynomials, we derive a sufficient condition for uniquely identifying the original signal in modulo-DFT. Additionally, for periodic bandlimited signals (PBSs) under unlimited sampling which can be viewed as a special case of modulo-DFT, the necessary and sufficient condition for the unique recovery of the original signal are provided. Moreover, we show that when the oversampling factor exceeds $3(1 + 1/P)$, PBS is always identifiable from the modulo samples, where P is the number of harmonics including the fundamental component in the positive frequency part.

Index Terms

Unlimited sampling, DFT sensing matrix, periodic bandlimited signal, identifiability

I. INTRODUCTION

Due to the limited dynamic range of analog-to-digital converters (ADCs), clipping or saturation arises in a variety of applications, such as photography [1], radar systems [2], communication systems [3], and music [4]. To address this problem, self-reset ADCs (SR-ADCs) are implemented where a modulo operator is injected before sampling [5–7]. To reconstruct the original signal from the modulo samples, it is necessary to capture both the information of the modulo values and the folding times of each sample. This demands complex electronic circuitry, along with additional power and storage.

To reduce hardware complexity in SR-ADCs, signal recovery from modulo samples only has been studied recently. For bandlimited and finite energy signals, when the sampling rate is above the Nyquist rate, there is a one-to-one mapping between modulo samples and the original signal [8, 9]. For L -order periodic bandlimited signals (PBSs) which are power signals, if the number of samples per interval denoted as N is a prime number and $N \geq 2L + 1$, then the original signal can be uniquely identified

up to a constant factor from its modulo samples [10]. In addition, in the context of modulo compressed sensing, necessary and sufficient conditions are proposed for uniquely identifying sparse signals from modulo samples [11], and [12] discusses the unique recovery of sparse signals in the presence of noise. Besides, the Cramér-Rao bounds (CRBs) are derived with known folding-count for both quantized and unquantized cases which can be used as performance benchmarks [13].

Many algorithms have also been proposed to recover the original signal from modulo samples. For bandlimited signals, the unlimited sampling algorithm was first proposed and a recovery guarantee is provided when the oversampling rate is above $2\pi\epsilon$ times the Nyquist rate [14, 15]. The unlimited sampling algorithm has been applied or extended to many areas, such as graph signals [16], multidimensional signals [17], DOA estimation [18], radar systems [19], and communications [20]. Several algorithms on modulo sampling via rate-distortion theory have also been studied [21–25]. In detail, for random Gaussian distributed vectors with known covariance matrix, the integer-forcing decoder is presented in [21, 22], and the blind version is also proposed [23]. For a stationary Gaussian stochastic process with a known auto-covariance function, the linear prediction (LP) method is proposed which is also extended to a blind version [22, 24]. For K discrete-time jointly Gaussian stationary random processes with known autocorrelation functions, the LP method and integer-forcing decoder are combined to deal with this case [22], and the blind version is also introduced [25]. In [26], a hardware prototype for unlimited sampling is initially designed, and the Fourier-Prony approach is proposed for PBSs which can be used to deal with non-ideal foldings in practical hardware. Furthermore, in the Fourier domain, a robust algorithm that uses the projected gradient descent method is proposed to estimate the residual [27, 28], and [29] introduces a lasso-based algorithm. To deal with hysteresis and folding transients in practical hardware, several methods are also proposed [30–32]. For bandpass signals, both the time and frequency domain methods are proposed for three signal folding architectures when considering practical hardware [33].

In this paper, we explore the identifiability of a model where measurements are obtained under discrete Fourier transform (DFT) sensing matrix first, followed by a modulo operator (modulo-DFT). Discrete Fourier transform has been widely applied in signal processing, and despite there being a one-to-one mapping between the original signal and the measurements in the absence of the modulo operator, the identifiability of the modulo-DFT model remains unknown. Our main contributions are summarized as follows: First, we derive a sufficient condition for the unique recovery of the original signal from the modulo measurements in the modulo-DFT model based on the theorems of cyclotomic polynomials. In addition, we show that PBSs can be viewed as a special case of modulo-DFT, and the necessary and sufficient condition for the unique identifiability with an ambiguity in the direct current (DC) component are provided. Finally, we show that when the oversampling factor exceeds $3(1 + 1/P)$, then the PBS can

be uniquely identified with an ambiguity in the DC component, where P is the number of harmonics including the fundamental component in the positive frequency part.

Notation: Let \mathbb{Z}^* be the set of complex numbers with real and imaginary parts being integers. Let \mathcal{S} be a subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. For a complex matrix $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$, $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{S}}$ denotes the submatrix by choosing the columns of \mathbf{F} indexed by \mathcal{S} . For a complex vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$, $\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{S}}$ denotes the subvector by choosing the elements of \mathbf{x} indexed by \mathcal{S} . For two integers a and b , $a \mid b$ denotes a divides b , and $a \nmid b$ denotes a does not divide b . Let \mathcal{N} be the set that contains all positive integers that divide N . Given a polynomial $f(x)$, let $\deg(f(x))$ denote the degree of $f(x)$.

II. MODEL DESCRIPTION

Let $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ be the DFT matrix with the (n_1, n_2) th element being $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}e^{-j\frac{2\pi(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{N}}$. The linear measurement model with a DFT measurement matrix is

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{x} \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$. Let $\mathcal{M}(\cdot)$ be the centered modulo mapping defined as $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{t}) \triangleq \mathbf{t} - \lfloor \mathbf{t} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor^1$, where $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ is the element-wise floor operator. The modulo measurements are

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathcal{M}(\Re\{\mathbf{y}\}) + j\mathcal{M}(\Im\{\mathbf{y}\}) \quad (2)$$

where $\Re\{\mathbf{y}\}$ and $\Im\{\mathbf{y}\}$ return the real part and imaginary part of \mathbf{y} respectively. It can be easily obtained that \mathbf{y} can be decomposed as a sum of \mathbf{z} and a complex integer vector, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{z} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \quad (3)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{Z}^{*N}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^* \triangleq \mathbb{Z} + j\mathbb{Z}$ is the set of complex numbers with both real and imaginary parts being integers.

Let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ denote the set of indices corresponding to zero elements in the vector \mathbf{x} , and $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{S}}$ be the set that encompasses all complex vectors where the elements indexed by \mathcal{S} are zero. Combining equations (1) and (3), we have

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{F}_{\bar{\mathcal{S}}}\mathbf{x}_{\bar{\mathcal{S}}} = \mathbf{z} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \quad (4)$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{S}} \triangleq \{1, 2, \dots, N\} \setminus \mathcal{S}$ is the complement of set \mathcal{S} , $\mathbf{F}_{\bar{\mathcal{S}}}$ denotes the submatrix by choosing the columns of \mathbf{F} indexed by $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$, and $\mathbf{x}_{\bar{\mathcal{S}}}$ denotes the subvector by choosing the elements of \mathbf{x} indexed by $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$. In this paper, we investigate the role of \mathcal{S} in uniquely recovering the original signal \mathbf{x} from modulo observations \mathbf{z} .

¹For simplicity, we set the threshold of the modulo ADC as $\frac{1}{2}$ which will not affect our conclusions.

III. IDENTIFIABILITY

In this section, a sufficient condition for uniquely identifying the original signal \mathbf{x} in modulo-DFT is provided. Before introducing the final theorem, two lemmas are proved in advance. Let $\mathcal{R}_N \triangleq \{1, e^{j2\pi\frac{1}{N}}, \dots, e^{j2\pi\frac{N-1}{N}}\}$ be the set of all roots of $x^N = 1$, let $f(x)$ be an integer coefficient polynomial with degree N . In addition, let $\mathcal{R}_f \triangleq \{x|f(x) = 0\}$ be the set of roots of the polynomial $f(x)$, and $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{R}_N \cap \mathcal{R}_f$. Lemma 1 shows the relationship between integer coefficient polynomial $f(x)$ and \mathcal{X} based on the theorems of cyclotomic polynomials.

Lemma 1 *For an integer coefficient polynomial $f(x)$ with degree N , let $\mathcal{N} \triangleq \{n; n|N\}$ denote the set containing all divisors of N . The equation $f(x) = A(x^N - 1)$, where $A \in \mathbb{Z}$, holds if and only if $\forall n \in \mathcal{N}, \exists x \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $\Phi_n(x) = 0$ where $\Phi_n(x)$ is the n th cyclotomic polynomial.*

PROOF We first prove the necessary part. When $f(x) = A(x^N - 1)$, according to the property that

$$x^N - 1 = \prod_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \Phi_n(x), \quad (5)$$

we have $\mathcal{R}_f = \bigcup_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \{x|\Phi_n(x) = 0\}$. In addition, we have $\mathcal{R}_f = \mathcal{R}_N = \mathcal{X}$. Thus, the necessary part can be easily proved.

For the sufficient part, let $T = |\mathcal{N}|$ be the cardinality of \mathcal{N} and $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_T$ is a sorted list of \mathcal{N} . $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_T \in \mathcal{X}$ are the roots of cyclotomic polynomials $\Phi_{n_1}(x), \Phi_{n_2}(x), \dots, \Phi_{n_T}(x)$, respectively. Since the roots of different cyclotomic polynomials must be distinct, it follows that for $i \neq j, r_i \neq r_j$. According to the polynomial remainder theorem, we have

$$f(x) = P_1(x)\Phi_{n_T}(x) + R_1(x), \quad (6)$$

where $P_1(x)$ and $R_1(x)$ are polynomials with integer coefficients and the degree of $R_1(x)$ is less than that of $\Phi_{n_T}(x)$. For $f(r_T) = \Phi_{n_T}(r_T) = 0$, we have $R_1(r_T) = 0$. Since $\Phi_{n_T}(x)$ is the minimal polynomial with integer coefficients having a root at r_T , this implies that $R_1(x) = 0$. Therefore, we have $f(x) = P_1(x)\Phi_{n_T}(x)$. According to the equation $N = \sum_{i=1}^T \deg(\Phi_{n_i}(x))$ where $\deg(\Phi_{n_i}(x))$ is the degree of the polynomial $\Phi_{n_i}(x)$, we have $\deg(P_1(x)) = N - \deg(\Phi_{n_T}(x)) \geq \deg(\Phi_{n_{T-1}}(x))$. Similar to equation (6), according to the polynomial remainder theorem, $P_1(x)$ can be written as

$$P_1(x) = P_2(x)\Phi_{n_{T-1}}(x) + R_2(x), \quad (7)$$

where $P_2(x)$ and $R_2(x)$ are integer coefficient polynomials. We have $P_1(r_{T-1}) = 0$ because of $f(r_{T-1}) = P_1(r_{T-1})\Phi_{n_T}(r_{T-1}) = 0$ and $\Phi_{n_T}(r_{T-1}) \neq 0$. In addition, we have $\Phi_{n_{T-1}}(r_{T-1}) = 0$. Thus, we conclude that $R_2(r_{T-1}) = 0$ according to (7). Similarly, as $\Phi_{n_{T-1}}(x)$ is the minimal polynomial with integer

coefficients having a root at r_{T-1} , we have $R_2(x) = 0$. Therefore, we obtain $P_1(x) = P_2(x)\Phi_{n_{T-1}}(x)$ according to (7), and thus $f(x) = P_2(x)\Phi_{n_{T-1}}(x)\Phi_{n_T}(x)$ according to (6). Continuing this process, we can ultimately express $f(x)$ as

$$f(x) = P_{n_T}(x)\Phi_{n_1}(x) \cdots \Phi_{n_{T-1}}(x)\Phi_{n_T}(x). \quad (8)$$

According to equation (5), we have $f(x) = P_{n_T}(x)(x^N - 1)$. Since $f(x)$ is an N -degree integer coefficient polynomial, we conclude that $P_{n_T}(x)$ is a constant integer, and $f(x) = A(x^N - 1)$ where $A \in \mathbb{Z}$. ■

In order to study conditions on \mathcal{S} for uniquely identifying the original signal \mathbf{x} from modulo samples \mathbf{z} , the *symmetric coprime property (SCP)* is introduced in Definition 1.

Definition 1 (SCP) Given N , a nonempty set $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \{2, \dots, N\}$ is said to satisfy SCP if the following two conditions are met:

- 1 If $t \in \mathcal{T}$, then $N + 2 - t \in \mathcal{T}$.
- 2 $\forall n \in \mathcal{N}$ and $n \neq 1$, $\exists t \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $\gcd(N, t - 1) = N/n$, equivalent to $\gcd(n, (t - 1)n/N) = 1$, where $\gcd(N, t - 1)$ is the greatest common divisor of N and $t - 1$.

There exists a special case where \mathcal{T} contains only one element, resulting in $N = 2$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{2\}$.

Lemma 2 If $\exists \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ satisfies SCP and $\mathbf{F}_S^H \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ where $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^{*N}$, then \mathbf{v} must be a constant vector.

PROOF Let $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ be a certain set that satisfies SCP. Based on the equation $\mathbf{F}_S^H \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, we can obtain that the polynomial $D(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} v_{n+1}x^n$ has roots on the unit circle at $\mathcal{R} \triangleq \{e^{j2\pi k/N}\}_{k+1 \in \mathcal{T}}$. According to the first condition of Definition 1, we have the property that $\forall r \in \mathcal{R}$, it holds that r^* is also in \mathcal{R} where r^* is the conjugate of r . Thus, for all $r \in \mathcal{R}$ we have

$$[D(r)]^* = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} v_{n+1}^* r^{*n} = 0, \quad (9a)$$

$$D(r^*) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} v_{n+1} r^{*n} = 0. \quad (9b)$$

Summing (9a) and (9b) together yields

$$D_R(r) \triangleq \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Re\{v_{n+1}\} r^n = 0, \forall r \in \mathcal{R}. \quad (10)$$

Let $f(x) = (x - 1)D_R(x)$ where $D_R(x)$ is the polynomial defined in (10), and \mathcal{R}_f is the set of roots of the polynomial $f(x)$. Note that $\bar{\mathcal{R}} \triangleq \mathcal{R} \cup \{1\}$ is the subset of \mathcal{R}_f , and $\bar{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_N$. Thus, we have $\bar{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ where $\mathcal{X} \triangleq \mathcal{R}_N \cap \mathcal{R}_f$. In addition, according to the second condition of Definition 1 and the definition of cyclotomic polynomial, we have that $\forall n \in \mathcal{N}$, $\exists r \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ such that $\Phi_n(r) = 0$. Thus, according to Lemma 1, we have $f(x) = A(x^N - 1)$ where $A \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $D_R(x) = A \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x^n$

and $\Re\{v_{n+1}\} = A, n = 0, \dots, N - 1$. Similarly, by considering the difference between (9a) and (9b), we can obtain $\Im\{v_{n+1}\} = B, n = 0, \dots, N - 1$ where $B \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, \mathbf{v} is a constant vector. ■

Based on Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, Theorem 1 provides a sufficient condition to uniquely identify the original signal \mathbf{x} with potential ambiguity in x_1 .

Theorem 1 *If $\exists \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ satisfies SCP, then we have:*

- 1 *If $1 \in \mathcal{S}$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{S}}$ can be uniquely recovered from \mathbf{z} .*
- 2 *If $1 \notin \mathcal{S}$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{S}}$ can be uniquely recovered from \mathbf{z} , with an additive term of $A\sqrt{N}$ on x_1 for any $A \in \mathbb{Z}^*$.*

PROOF Let $\mathbf{x}' \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{S}}$ be another vector satisfying

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathcal{M}(\Re\{\mathbf{y}'\}) + \mathcal{M}(\Im\{\mathbf{y}'\}), \quad (11)$$

where $\mathbf{y}' = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{x}'$. According to equation (4), we have

$$\mathbf{y}' = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{S}}\mathbf{x}'_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathbf{z} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}', \quad (12)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}' \in \mathbb{Z}^{*N}$. By subtracting equation (4) from equation (12), we have $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{S}}\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathcal{S}} = \bar{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$, where $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathbf{x}'_{\mathcal{S}} - \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}' - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$. According to the orthogonality of the DFT matrix, we have

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{S}}^H \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{S}} \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{S}}^H \bar{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}. \quad (13)$$

According to Lemma 2, we have that $\bar{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$ is a constant vector. Thus, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'$ can be represented as $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}' = \boldsymbol{\epsilon} + A$ where $A \in \mathbb{Z}^*$. Furthermore, we have $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{F}^H \mathbf{y}' = \mathbf{F}^H \mathbf{y} + A \mathbf{F}^H \mathbf{1}$. Note that $\mathbf{F}^H \mathbf{1} = [\sqrt{N}, 0, \dots, 0]^T$. Therefore, the conclusion can be obtained. ■

It should be noted that in Theorem 1, when $1 \notin \mathcal{S}$, an additive term of $A\sqrt{N}$ on x_1 for any $A \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ is equivalent to an additive term of A on each element of \mathbf{y} . Due to the inherent nature of the modulo operation, such ambiguity is unavoidable.

IV. ON THE IDENTIFIABILITY OF PERIODIC BANDLIMITED SIGNALS

In this section, the periodic bandlimited signals are considered which can be defined as

$$g(t) = \sum_{p=-P}^P c_p e^{j2\pi p f_0 t} \quad (14)$$

where c_p is the Fourier series coefficient, $c_p = c_{-p}^*$, and $P \geq 1$. In the context of unlimited sampling with the sampling rate $f_s = Nf_0$, N samples are obtained in one period which are $z_n = \mathcal{M}(y_n)$, $n =$

$1, 2, \dots, N$ where $y_n = g((n-1)T_s) = \sum_{p=-P}^P c_p e^{j\frac{2\pi(n-1)p}{N}}$ and $T_s = 1/f_s$. In addition, by using the property in (3), the matrix representation is

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \mathbf{F}_{\bar{\mathcal{P}}}\mathbf{x}_{\bar{\mathcal{P}}} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \quad (15)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, $\bar{\mathcal{P}} = \{1, \dots, P+1\} \cup \{N-P+1, \dots, N\}$, and $\mathbf{x} = [c_0, c_{-1}, \dots, c_{-P}, 0, \dots, 0, c_P, \dots, c_1]$. It can be seen that the model (15) is a special case of (4) with $\bar{\mathcal{S}} = \bar{\mathcal{P}}$. In addition, \mathbf{x} satisfies the conjugate property, i.e., $x_n = x_{N+2-n}^*$, $n = 2, \dots, N$. Let

$$\mathcal{P} = \{1, 2, \dots, N\} \setminus \bar{\mathcal{P}} = \{P+2, P+3, \dots, N-P\}. \quad (16)$$

Below, we investigate the identifiability of $c_{-P}, c_{1-P}, \dots, c_P$ in (14), which is equivalent to the identifiability of \mathbf{x} in (15) for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $x_n = x_{N+2-n}^*$, $n = 2, \dots, N$. When $N \leq 2P+1$, \mathbf{x} is not identifiable from modulo samples \mathbf{z} as stated in [10, Theorem 2]. In this section, we only consider the case when $N > 2P+1$. Based on Theorem 1, we conduct further investigations and establish the necessary and sufficient condition for the unique recovery of \mathbf{x} from modulo samples \mathbf{z} with an ambiguity at x_1 which corresponds to the DC component, as demonstrated in the ensuing Theorem 2. We first introduce Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 which will be utilized to prove Theorem 2.

Lemma 3 *Let $h(n)$ be the function defined as follows*

$$h(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{1-1/n}, & 2 \nmid n; & \text{(Case 1)} \\ 2, & n = 2; & \text{(Case 2)} \\ \frac{2}{1-4/n}, & 2 \mid n, n \neq 2 \text{ and } 4 \nmid n; & \text{(Case 3)} \\ \frac{2}{1-2/n}, & 4 \mid n; & \text{(Case 4)} \end{cases}, \quad (17)$$

where n is an integer satisfying $n > 1$ and $a \nmid b$ denotes that a does not divide b . \mathcal{P} defined in (16) satisfies SCP if and only if $N \geq h(n)(P+1)$ for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$ and $n \neq 1$.

PROOF First, we prove the sufficient part. The first condition of SCP can be easily obtained according to the definition of \mathcal{P} . In the following, we will focus on the second condition of SCP and four cases of $h(n)$ are discussed separately. For Case 1 where $2 \nmid n$, let $t = \frac{(n+1)N}{2n} + 1$. As $n|N$ and n is an odd number, t is an integer. For $N \geq h(n)(P+1) = \frac{2}{1-1/n}(P+1)$, it can easily be obtained that $P+2 \leq t \leq N-P$; thus we have $t \in \mathcal{P}$. In addition, we have $\gcd(n, (n+1)/2) = 1$ as $\gcd(n, n+1) = 1$; thus $\gcd(N, t-1) = N/n$. For Case 2, Case 3, and Case 4, let $t = N/2 + 1$, $t = \frac{(n+4)N}{2n} + 1$, and $t = \frac{(n+2)N}{2n} + 1$, respectively. Similarly, it can be easily proved that t are integers for all three cases. Furthermore, according to $N \geq 2(P+1)$ in Case 2, $N \geq \frac{2}{1-4/n}(P+1)$ in Case 3,

and $N \geq \frac{2}{1-2/n}(P+1)$ in Case 4, it can easily be obtained that $t \in \mathcal{P}$ for all three cases. Finally, we have $\gcd(N, t-1) = \gcd(N, N/2) = N/2 = N/n$ for Case 2. For Case 3, we have $\gcd(\frac{n+4}{2}, n) = 1$ as $\gcd(n+4, n) = 2$; thus $\gcd(N, t-1) = N/n$. For Case 4, we have $\gcd(\frac{n+2}{2}, n) = 1$ as $\gcd(n+2, n) = 2$; thus $\gcd(N, t-1) = N/n$.

We now prove the necessary part by contradiction, i.e., $\exists n \in \mathcal{N}$ and $n \neq 1$ such that $N < h(n)(P+1)$, we have that \mathcal{P} does not satisfy SCP. In the following, we will show that \mathcal{P} does not satisfy the second condition of SCP for all the four cases if $N < h(n)(P+1)$. Below, we will prove that there does not exist an integer in $\mathcal{Q} \triangleq (\mathcal{P}-1)n/N$ that is coprime to n . For Case 1, $N < h(n)(P+1)$ is equivalent to $\frac{n(P+1)}{N} > \frac{n-1}{2}$. Therefore, the minimum value in \mathcal{Q} is greater than $\frac{n-1}{2}$ and the maximum value in \mathcal{Q} is less than $\frac{n+1}{2}$. As n is an odd number, there is no integer in \mathcal{Q} . For Case 2, $N < h(n)(P+1)$ is equivalent to $\frac{n(P+1)}{N} > 1$. Similarly, it can be obtained that there is no integer in \mathcal{Q} . For Case 3, $N < h(n)(P+1)$ is equivalent to $\frac{n(P+1)}{N} > \frac{n-4}{2}$. Therefore, the minimum value in \mathcal{Q} is greater than $\frac{n-4}{2}$ and the maximum value in \mathcal{Q} is less than $\frac{n+4}{2}$, and there are three integers in \mathcal{Q} which are $n/2-1, n/2, n/2+1$. As $n/2-1$ and $n/2+1$ are even integers, and $n > 2$, thus $n/2-1, n/2, n/2+1$ are not coprime to n . For Case 4, $N < h(n)(P+1)$ is equivalent to $\frac{n(P+1)}{N} > \frac{n-2}{2}$. Therefore, the minimum value in \mathcal{Q} is greater than $\frac{n-2}{2}$ and the maximum value in \mathcal{Q} is less than $\frac{n+2}{2}$. As $4|n$, $n/2$ is the only integer in \mathcal{Q} and is an even number. Therefore, $n/2$ is not coprime to n . These prove the necessary part. ■

Lemma 4 *If \mathcal{P} does not satisfy the SCP, then there exists a nonconstant integer vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{P}}^H \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$.*

PROOF For \mathcal{P} satisfying the first condition of SCP according to (16), \mathcal{P} does not satisfy the SCP means that there exists an $m \in \mathcal{N}$ and $m \neq 1$ such that $\gcd(N, t-1) \neq N/m, \forall t \in \mathcal{P}$. Let $f(x) = \prod_{n \in \mathcal{N}, n \neq m} \Phi_n(x) G_m(x)$, where $G_m(x)$ is an integer coefficient polynomial with the same degree as $\Phi_m(x)$ but has no common roots with it. Let \mathcal{R}_f be the set of roots of the polynomial $f(x)$ and $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{R}_f \cap \mathcal{R}_N$, we have $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $\Phi_m(x) \neq 0$. Therefore, according to Lemma 1, $f(x) \neq A(x^N - 1)$ for any $A \in \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, as $m \neq 1$, $f(x)$ can also be represented by $f(x) = (x-1)(v_1 + v_2x + \dots + v_Nx^{N-1})$ where v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N are integers. As $f(x) \neq A(x^N - 1)$, there exist distinct indices i and j such that $v_i \neq v_j$. According to the definition of cyclotomic polynomials, we have $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{P}}^H \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$, where $\mathbf{v} = [v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N]^T$. Thus, \mathbf{v} is a nonconstant integer vector satisfies $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{P}}^H \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$. ■

Theorem 2 *$\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{P}}$ with $x_n = x_{N+2-n}^*$ for $n = 2, \dots, N$ is uniquely identifiable from its modulo samples \mathbf{z} with an additive term of $A\sqrt{N}$ on x_1 for any $A \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $N \geq h(n)(P+1)$ for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$ and $n \neq 1$.*

PROOF First, we prove the sufficient part. According to Lemma 3, we have that \mathcal{P} satisfies the SCP. Thus, based on Theorem 1, we have that \mathbf{x} is uniquely identifiable from its modulo samples \mathbf{z} , with an additive term of $A\sqrt{N}$ on x_1 for any $A \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We now prove the necessary part by contradiction. Firstly, according to Lemma 3, $\exists n \in \mathcal{N}$ and $n \neq 1$ such that $N < h(n)(P+1)$ is equivalent to \mathcal{P} does not satisfy the SCP. In addition, according to Lemma 4, we have that there exists a nonconstant integer vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{P}}^H \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$. Let $\bar{\mathbf{e}} \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ be the nonconstant integer vector satisfying $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{P}}^H \bar{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{0}$. Let $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{F}^H \bar{\mathbf{e}}$. Firstly, the modulo samples generated by \mathbf{x}' is $\mathbf{z}' = \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{F}\mathbf{x}') = \mathbf{z}$. In addition, we have $\mathbf{x}' \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{P}}$, and because $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$ is a real integer vector, we have $x'_n = x_{N+2-n}^*$, $n = 2, \dots, N$. Furthermore, as $\bar{\mathbf{e}} \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ is the nonconstant integer vector, there exists an $n > 1$ such that $x'_n \neq x_n$. ■

In addition, when N is a prime number which has been discussed in [10] is also proved here in Corollary 1 based on Theorem 2.

Corollary 1 (Theorem 2 in [10]) *When N is a prime number and $N \geq 2P + 3$, then \mathbf{x} is uniquely identifiable from its modulo samples \mathbf{z} with an additive term of $A\sqrt{N}$ on x_1 for any $A \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

PROOF When N is a prime number, we have $\mathcal{N} = \{1, N\}$. Thus, $N \geq h(n)(P+1)$ for all $n|N$ and $n \neq 1$ is equivalent to $N \geq h(N)(P+1)$. As $P \geq 1$ and $N > 2P + 1$ in our settings, we have $h(N) = 2/(1 - 1/N)$; thus $N \geq 2P + 3$. According to Theorem 2, the conclusion can be obtained. ■

Corollary 2 *If $N \geq 6(P+1)$, then \mathbf{x} is uniquely identifiable from its modulo samples \mathbf{z} with an additive term of $A\sqrt{N}$ on x_1 for any $A \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

PROOF It can be easily proved that $h(n) \leq 6$ for all n . Thus, the conclusion can be obtained according to Theorem 2. ■

Note that the Nyquist frequency is $f_{\text{Nyq}} = 2Pf_0$. Thus, according to Corollary 2, when the oversampling factor $\gamma = f_s/f_{\text{Nyq}} = N/(2P)$ is greater than or equal to $3(1 + 1/P)$ then PBSs can be uniquely recovered with ambiguity only in the DC component.

V. CONCLUSION

This paper investigates the identifiability of the modulo-DFT model. We provide a sufficient condition for the unique recovery of the original signal from modulo measurements. Additionally, we analyze the identifiability of PBSs, which can be considered as a special case of the modulo-DFT model. We introduce the necessary and sufficient condition for the unique identifiability of the PBSs. Furthermore,

we show that the PBS can be uniquely identified when the oversampling rate exceeds $3(1 + 1/P)$ from the modulo samples, where P is the number of harmonics including the fundamental component in the positive frequency part.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. E. Debevec and J. Malik, “Recovering high dynamic range radiance maps from photographs,” in *Seminal Graphics Papers: Pushing the Boundaries, Volume 2*, pp. 643–652, 2023.
- [2] N. J. Cassidy and H. Jol, “Ground penetrating radar data processing, modelling and analysis,” *Ground penetrating radar: theory and applications*, pp. 141–176, 2009.
- [3] Y. Li, M. Safari, R. Henderson, and H. Haas, “Optical OFDM with single-photon avalanche diode,” *IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett.*, vol. 27, no. 9, pp. 943–946, 2015.
- [4] A. Adler, V. Emiya, M. G. Jafari, M. Elad, R. Gribonval, and M. D. Plumbley, “Audio inpainting,” *IEEE Trans. Audio, Speech, Language Process.*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 922–932, 2011.
- [5] D. Park, J. Rhee, and Y. Joo, “A wide dynamic-range CMOS image sensor using self-reset technique,” *IEEE Electron Device Lett.*, vol. 28, no. 10, pp. 890–892, 2007.
- [6] K. Sasagawa, T. Yamaguchi, M. Haruta, Y. Sunaga, H. Takehara, H. Takehara, T. Noda, T. Tokuda, and J. Ohta, “An implantable CMOS image sensor with self-reset pixels for functional brain imaging,” *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 215–222, 2015.
- [7] A. Krishna, S. Rudresh, V. Shaw, H. R. Sabbella, C. S. Seelamantula, and C. S. Thakur, “Unlimited dynamic range analog-to-digital conversion,” *arXiv preprint:1911.09371*, 2019.
- [8] A. Bhandari and F. Krahmer, “On identifiability in unlimited sampling,” in *Proc. Int. Conf. Sampling Theory Appl.*, pp. 1–4, 2019.
- [9] E. Romanov and O. Ordentlich, “Above the Nyquist rate, modulo folding does not hurt,” *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 26, no. 8, pp. 1167–1171, 2019.
- [10] S. Mulleti and Y. C. Eldar, “Modulo sampling of FRI signals,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.08774*, 2022.
- [11] D. Prasanna, C. Sriram, and C. R. Murthy, “On the identifiability of sparse vectors from modulo compressed sensing measurements,” *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 28, pp. 131–134, 2020.
- [12] L. Fukshansky, D. Needell, and B. Sudakov, “An algebraic perspective on integer sparse recovery,” *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 340, pp. 31–42, 2019.
- [13] Y. Cheng, J. Karlsson, and J. Li, “CRB analysis for Mod-ADC with known folding-count,” in *Proc. IEEE Veh. Technol. Conf.*, pp. 1–5, 2023.

- [14] A. Bhandari, F. Krahmer, and R. Raskar, “On unlimited sampling,” in *Proc. Int. Conf. Sampling Theory Appl.*, pp. 31–35, 2017.
- [15] A. Bhandari, F. Krahmer, and R. Raskar, “On unlimited sampling and reconstruction,” *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 69, pp. 3827–3839, 2020.
- [16] M. Beckmann, F. Krahmer, and A. Bhandari, “HDR tomography via modulo Radon transform,” in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Image Process.*, pp. 3025–3029, 2020.
- [17] V. Bouis, F. Krahmer, and A. Bhandari, “Multidimensional unlimited sampling: A geometrical perspective,” in *Proc. Eur. Signal Process. Conf.*, pp. 2314–2318, 2021.
- [18] S. Fernández-Menduiña, F. Krahmer, G. Leus, and A. Bhandari, “Computational array signal processing via modulo non-linearities,” *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 70, pp. 2168–2179, 2022.
- [19] T. Feuillen, M. Alae-Kerahroodi, A. Bhandari, B. Ottersten, *et al.*, “Unlimited sampling for FMCW radars: A proof of concept,” in *Proc. IEEE Radar Conf.*, pp. 1–5, 2022.
- [20] Z. Liu, A. Bhandari, and B. Clerckx, “ λ -MIMO: Massive MIMO via modulo sampling,” *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 71, pp. 6301–6315, 2023.
- [21] O. Ordentlich and U. Erez, “Integer-forcing source coding,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 1253–1269, 2016.
- [22] O. Ordentlich, G. Tabak, P. K. Hanumolu, A. C. Singer, and G. W. Wornell, “A modulo-based architecture for analog-to-digital conversion,” *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Signal Process.*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 825–840, 2018.
- [23] E. Romanov and O. Ordentlich, “Blind unwrapping of modulo reduced Gaussian vectors: Recovering MSBs from LSBs,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 1897–1919, 2021.
- [24] A. Weiss, E. Huang, O. Ordentlich, and G. W. Wornell, “Blind modulo analog-to-digital conversion,” *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 70, pp. 4586–4601, 2022.
- [25] A. Weiss, E. Huang, O. Ordentlich, and G. W. Wornell, “Blind modulo analog-to-digital conversion of vector processes,” in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech Signal Process.*, pp. 5617–5621, 2022.
- [26] A. Bhandari, F. Krahmer, and T. Poskitt, “Unlimited sampling from theory to practice: Fourier-Prony recovery and prototype ADC,” *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 70, pp. 1131–1141, 2021.
- [27] E. Azar, S. Mulleti, and Y. C. Eldar, “Residual recovery algorithm for modulo sampling,” in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech Signal Process.*, pp. 5722–5726, 2022.
- [28] E. Azar, S. Mulleti, and Y. C. Eldar, “Robust unlimited sampling beyond modulo,” *arXiv preprint:2206.14656*, 2022.
- [29] S. B. Shah, S. Mulleti, and Y. C. Eldar, “Lasso-based fast residual recovery for modulo sampling,”

- in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech Signal Process.*, pp. 1–5, 2023.
- [30] D. Florescu, F. Krahmer, and A. Bhandari, “The surprising benefits of hysteresis in unlimited sampling: Theory, algorithms and experiments,” *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 70, pp. 616–630, 2022.
- [31] D. Florescu and A. Bhandari, “Unlimited sampling with local averages,” in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech Signal Process.*, pp. 5742–5746, 2022.
- [32] D. Florescu and A. Bhandari, “Unlimited sampling via generalized thresholding,” in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory*, pp. 1606–1611, 2022.
- [33] G. Shtendel, D. Florescu, and A. Bhandari, “Unlimited sampling of bandpass signals: Computational demodulation via undersampling,” *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 71, pp. 4134–4145, 2023.