

Exact solutions to non-linear classical field theories

Marco Frasca^{*1} and Stefan Groote^{†2}

¹Rome, Italy

²Füüsika Instituut, Tartu Ülikool, W. Ostwaldi 1, EE-50411 Tartu, Estonia

Abstract

We consider some non-linear non-homogeneous partial differential equations (PDEs) and derive their exact solution as a functional Taylor expansion in powers of the source term. The kind of PDEs we consider are dispersive ones where the exact solution of the corresponding homogeneous equations can have some known shape. The technique has a formal similarity with the Dyson–Schwinger set of equations to solve quantum field theories. However, there are no physical constraints. Indeed, we show that a complete coincidence with the statistical field model of a quartic scalar theory can be achieved in the Gaussian expansion of the cumulants of the partition function.

1 Introduction

The availability of exact solutions to field theories, both classical and quantum, is important to improve our understanding of these field theories. Even if the value of this knowledge of exact solutions is limited to a pedagogical one, it is relevant to introduce the specific subject of exact solutions to scholars. It is a matter of fact that we have very few non-trivial exact solutions for realistic field theories. Therefore, insights into these field theories are limited to the use of perturbation theory. The harnessing of the functional renormalization group can help to mitigate such a limitation significantly [Pol1983, Wett92, Wipf21]. Still, explicit solutions are not provided in this way.

By an “exact solution” we mean that all the key elements of a theory are explicitly known to completely determine anything else in principle, the only possible limitation being the difficulties in performing the corresponding calculations. For a statistical field theory, this means that in principle we are able to evaluate all the averages in closed form, even though there could be no need to go to very high orders. For instance, if the Gaussian distribution is a solution of our problem, we can limit our analysis to the mean and the covariance even if all higher order cumulants could be in principle evaluated. We will see an example toward the end of the article.

^{*}marcofrasca@mclink.it

[†]stefan.groote@ut.ee

In addition to providing closed form solutions to classical theory, this study arose in view of a correct understanding of a set of recently proposed exact solutions to quantum field theories by one of the authors [Fra15.1, Fra15.2], obtained for nonlinear PDEs [Fra09]. We show that this set of solutions is very well mapped to the solutions of the corresponding classical counterparts in a functional Taylor expansion in the source. In Ref. [Fra13] we have shown that this series can correspond to a strong coupling expansion in the inverse of the coupling. This can grant the existence of exact Gaussian solutions to the full set of Dyson–Schwinger equations for a given field theory. For clarity, our analysis is limited to the simplest renormalizable theories.

The paper is structured as follows. In Sec. 2 we introduce the theories we aim to analyze. In Sec. 3 we solve the classical theory of a massless quartic scalar field. In Sec. 4 we solve the classical equations for the SU(2) Yang–Mills theory. In Sec. 5 we show how such classical solutions map on the corresponding statistical field theory of the scalar field. In Sec. 6 we present our conclusions.

2 Preliminaries

We present our approach for some well-known models, pointing out that this technique is applicable whenever analytical solutions are known. Therefore, we do not expect our solutions to be unique in any way.

We consider a scalar field ϕ with a single component and Z_2 symmetry with the action

$$S_\phi = \int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4 + j\phi \right], \quad (2.1)$$

where j is an arbitrary source. Our aim is to solve the equation of motion

$$\partial^2\phi + \lambda\phi^3 = j, \quad (2.2)$$

where the constant λ is kept to obtain an understanding of the solutions on the strength of the given coupling. Working in $d = 4$ dimensions has the advantage to keep such a constant dimensionless. We will show that this model can be completely solved using elliptic functions.

For the Yang–Mills theory, we limit our analysis to the SU(2) group. We will have the action

$$S_A = \int d^4x \left(-\frac{1}{4}F \cdot F + j \cdot A \right) \quad (2.3)$$

where j_μ is a generic source and an element of the SU(2) group. The equation of motion are given by (Latin letters a, b, c, \dots represent group indices taking the values 1, 2, 3)

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + g\epsilon^{abc}A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \quad (2.4)$$

where g plays the same role as λ before, leading to the equations of motion

$$\partial^\mu F_{\mu\nu}^a + g\epsilon^{abc}A^{b\mu}F_{\mu\nu}^c = j_\nu^a, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\epsilon^{abc} = 1$ for even permutations of the indexes abc , $\epsilon^{abc} = -1$ for odd permutations, and $\epsilon^{abc} = 0$ if two or more indexes are equal. In the following we will provide a solution to Eq. (2.5).

3 Solution for the scalar field

3.1 Homogeneous equation

Our ability to solve the full problem relies heavily on the solution of the homogeneous equation

$$\partial^2 \phi_0 + \lambda \phi_0^3 = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

To solve this equation we introduce the wave-like coordinate $\xi = p \cdot x$ where p is the momentum four-vector. In terms of this coordinate we have

$$p^2 \frac{d^2 \phi_0(\xi)}{d\xi^2} + \lambda \phi_0^3(\xi) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

The solution of this equation can be easily written down as

$$\phi_0(\xi) = a \operatorname{sn}(\xi + \theta, i) \quad (3.3)$$

with $a^2 \lambda / p^2 = 2$, where a and θ are integration constants and $\operatorname{sn}(z, i)$ is Jacobi's elliptic sine. Due to the extensive use in literature, we fix $a^2 = \sqrt{2/\lambda} \mu^2$ and are left with the dispersion relation

$$p^2 = \mu^2 \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}. \quad (3.4)$$

Therefore, our solution of the homogeneous equation takes the form

$$\phi_0(x) = \mu \left(\frac{2}{\lambda} \right)^{1/4} \operatorname{sn}(p \cdot x + \theta, i). \quad (3.5)$$

From a physical point of view, the solution represents a non-linear wave moving at a speed $v = |\mathbf{p}|/p_0 < 1$ with dispersion relation provided by Eq. (3.4).

3.2 Functional Taylor expansion

In order to find a general solution, we assume that the solution is a functional of the source j , $\phi = \phi[j]$. This functional is expanded in a functional Taylor series

$$\phi[j] = \phi_0(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int C_k(x, x_1, \dots, x_k) \prod_{l=1}^k j(x_l) d^4 x_l. \quad (3.6)$$

Our aim will be to obtain the Green functions $C_k(x_1, \dots, x_k)$, the analogous of the correlation functions in statistical field theory, in closed form. It is not difficult to see that

$$C_k(x, x_1, \dots, x_k) = \frac{\delta^k \phi[j]}{\delta j(x_1) \dots \delta j(x_k)}. \quad (3.7)$$

The general solution $\phi[j]$ satisfies the equation of motion (2.2). Accordingly, for the Green functions C_k at vanishing source $j = 0$ we obtain the set of equations

$$\partial^2 \phi_0(x) + \lambda \phi_0^3(x) = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\partial^2 C_1(x, x_1) + 3\lambda\phi_0^2(x)C_1(x, x_1) = \delta^4(x - x_1), \quad (3.9)$$

$$\partial^2 C_2(x, x_1, x_2) + 3\lambda\phi_0^2(x)C_2(x, x_1, x_2) + 6\lambda\phi_0(x)C_1(x, x_1)C_1(x, x_2) = 0, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial^2 C_3(x, x_1, x_2, x_3) + 3\lambda\phi_0^2(x)C_3(x, x_1, x_2, x_3) \\ &\quad + 6\lambda\phi_0(x)C_1(x, x_3)C_2(x, x_1, x_2) + 6\lambda C_1(x, x_1)C_1(x, x_2)C_1(x, x_3) \\ &\quad + 6\lambda\phi_0(x)C_2(x, x_1, x_3)C_1(x, x_2) + 6\lambda\phi_0(x)C_1(x, x_1)C_2(x, x_2, x_3) = 0, \quad \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

From this set of equations we easily recognize that $C_1(x, x_1)$ is the Green function of this non-linear problem. The corresponding PDE is linear and, therefore, in principle amenable to an analytic solution. It is also clear that the functions $\{C_k | k > 1\}$ are all given by combinations of ϕ_0 and C_1 . Therefore, the problem is completely solved if we are able to get a closed form solution for these two functions. We already know ϕ_0 . Thus, our aim is to determine C_1 .

3.3 Green function

We have to solve the equation

$$\partial^2 C_1(x, x_1) + 3\lambda\phi_0^2(x)C_1(x, x_1) = \delta^4(x - x_1), \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$\phi_0(x) = \mu \left(\frac{2}{\lambda} \right)^{1/4} \text{sn}(p \cdot x + \theta, i). \quad (3.13)$$

It is easy to write down a solution for the associate homogeneous equation given by

$$\phi_h(x) = a \text{cn}(p \cdot x + \theta, i) \text{dn}(p \cdot x + \theta, i). \quad (3.14)$$

Observing that

$$\frac{d}{dz} \text{sn}(z, i) = \text{cn}(z, i) \text{dn}(z, i) \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$\text{sn}(z, i) = \frac{2\pi}{K(i)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{e^{-(n+\frac{1}{2})\pi}}{1 + e^{-(2n+1)\pi}} \sin \left((2n+1) \frac{\pi z}{2K(i)} \right), \quad (3.16)$$

the solution of the homogeneous solution can be written as a Fourier series,

$$\phi_h(x) = \frac{\pi^2}{K^2(i)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (2n+1) \frac{e^{-(n+\frac{1}{2})\pi}}{1 + e^{-(2n+1)\pi}} \cos \left((2n+1) \frac{\pi}{2K(i)} (p \cdot x + \theta) \right). \quad (3.17)$$

The choice $\theta = (4m+1)K(i)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ for the phase grants that the function $\phi_0(x)$ is zero on the light cone. In quantum field theory this solution would give immediately the Feynman propagator. In classical field theory, we have to use the Laplace–Fourier transform to get the initial conditions right. In order to work out this aim, we calculate

$$C_1(\omega, \mathbf{x}) = \int_0^{\infty} dt e^{-i(\omega+i\varepsilon)t} \phi_h(x), \quad (3.18)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small quantity which is sent to zero in the end in order to obtain the correct (Feynman) integration path. The integration gives

$$C_1(\omega, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \left[\frac{e^{i(2n+1)\frac{\pi}{2K(i)}\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}}}{(\omega - (2n+1)\frac{\pi}{2K(i)}p_0) + i\varepsilon} - \frac{e^{-i(2n+1)\frac{\pi}{2K(i)}\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}}}{(\omega + (2n+1)\frac{\pi}{2K(i)}p_0) + i\varepsilon} \right], \quad (3.19)$$

where

$$A_n = \frac{\pi^2}{K^2(i)} (2n+1) \frac{e^{-(n+\frac{1}{2})\pi}}{1 + e^{-(2n+1)\pi}}. \quad (3.20)$$

We can perform a Fourier transform in space and use the condition $p^2 = m^2$ to obtain

$$C_1(k) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \left[\frac{\delta^3(\mathbf{k} + (2n+1)\frac{\pi}{2K(i)}\mathbf{p})}{(\omega - (2n+1)\frac{\pi}{2K(i)}\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}) + i\varepsilon} - \frac{\delta^3(\mathbf{k} - (2n+1)\frac{\pi}{2K(i)}\mathbf{p})}{(\omega + (2n+1)\frac{\pi}{2K(i)}\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}) + i\varepsilon} \right]. \quad (3.21)$$

Finally, we integrate out the arbitrary three-momentum p , using the covariant integration $\int d^3p/2E_p$ with $E_p = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}$, to obtain

$$C_1(k) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2/((2n+1)\frac{\pi}{2K(i)})^2 + m^2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \times \left[\frac{1}{(\omega - (2n+1)\frac{\pi}{2K(i)}\sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2/((2n+1)\frac{\pi}{2K(i)})^2 + m^2}) + i\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{(\omega + (2n+1)\frac{\pi}{2K(i)}\sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2/((2n+1)\frac{\pi}{2K(i)})^2 + m^2}) + i\varepsilon} \right]. \quad (3.22)$$

For the Feynman propagator we have

$$G(x-y) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \delta(\tau_{xy}^2) + \phi_h(x-y), \quad (3.23)$$

where $\tau_{xy}^2 = (x_0 - y_0)^2 - (x_1 - y_1)^2 - (x_2 - y_2)^2 - (x_3 - y_3)^2$. Using $\square\delta(\tau_{xy}^2) = 4\pi\delta^4(x-y)$ and our choice of θ such that $\text{sn}^2(p \cdot (x-y) + \theta, i)\delta(\tau_{xy}^2) = \delta(\tau_{xy}^2)$, that is zero outside of the light cone, we can indeed show that this propagator solves the PDE for the Green function. As both $G(x-y)$ and $C_1(x, y)$ are solving the same PDE, we can conclude that $C_1(x, y) = G(x-y)$.

3.4 Higher order Green functions

Having calculated the Green function C_1 makes it easy to get all the higher order Green functions. For completeness we can write a couple of them as

$$C_2(x, y, z) = -6\lambda \int d^4w C_1(x, w) \phi_0(w) C_1(w, y) C_1(w, z), \quad (3.24)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_3(x, y, z, w) &= -6\lambda \int d^4v C_1(x, v) \phi_0(v) C_1(v, y) C_2(v, z, w) \\
&\quad - 6\lambda \int d^4v C_1(x, v) C_1(v, y) C_1(v, z) C_1(v, w) - 6\lambda \int d^4v C_1(x, v) \phi_0(v) C_2(v, y, z) C_1(v, w) \\
&\quad - 6\lambda \int d^4v C_1(x, v) \phi_0(v) C_1(v, y) C_2(v, z, w), \quad \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

As previously stated, these higher order Green functions are obtained by combination of ϕ_0 and C_1 and nothing else. Therefore, we conclude that the problem is completely solved by knowing these latter functions.

4 Solution for the Yang-Mills field

The case for the classical Yang-Mills field theory is more complex due to the arbitrariness of the gauge. Anyway, we can select a specific set of solutions and provide them as an example for a set of exact solutions of this field theory. If we consider the current expansion

$$A_\mu^a[j] = A_\mu^{a(0)}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int C_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}^{a_1 \dots a_k(k)}(x, x_1, \dots, x_k) \prod_{l=1}^k j^{a_l \mu_l}(x_l) d^4 x_l, \tag{4.1}$$

the zeroth order term solves exactly the homogeneous equation

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial^\mu (\partial_\mu A_\nu^{a(0)} - \partial_\nu A_\mu^{a(0)} + g\epsilon^{abc} A_\mu^{b(0)} A_\nu^{c(0)}) \\
&\quad + g\epsilon^{abc} A^{b(0)\mu} (\partial_\mu A_\nu^{c(0)} - \partial_\nu A_\mu^{c(0)} + g\epsilon^{cde} A_\mu^{d(0)} A_\nu^{e(0)}) = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

once we select the Lorenz gauge [Fra15.2]. The connection to the specific set of solutions can be accomplished by introducing the mixed symbols for SU(2) ($a = 1, 2, 3$ is the group index)

$$\eta_\mu^a = ((0, 1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0, 1)) \tag{4.3}$$

and using Smilga's solution [Smi01]

$$A_\mu^{a(0)}(x) = \eta_\mu^a \phi_0(x), \tag{4.4}$$

where $\phi_0(x)$ is the solution provided for the scalar field. By calculating the variation with respect to j_ρ^f , we derive the equations of motion (2.5), in Lorenz gauge yielding

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial^2 C_{\nu\rho}^{af(1)}(x, y) + g\epsilon^{abc} C_{\mu\rho}^{bf(1)}(x, y) \partial^\mu A_\nu^{c(0)}(x) + g\epsilon^{abc} A_\mu^{b(0)}(x) \partial^\mu C_{\nu\rho}^{cf(1)}(x, y) \\
&\quad + g\epsilon^{abc} C_\rho^{bf(1)\mu}(x, y) \partial_\mu A_\nu^{c(0)}(x) - g\epsilon^{abc} A^{b(0)\mu}(x) \partial_\nu C_{\mu\rho}^{cf(1)}(x, y) \\
&\quad + g^2 \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{cde} C_\rho^{bf(1)\mu}(x, y) A_\mu^{d(0)}(x) A_\nu^{e(0)}(x) + g^2 \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{cde} A^{b(0)\mu}(x) C_{\mu\rho}^{df(1)}(x, y) A_\nu^{e(0)}(x) \\
&\quad + g^2 \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{cde} A^{b(0)\mu}(x) A_\mu^{d(0)}(x) C_{\nu\rho}^{ef(1)}(x, y) = \eta_{\nu\rho} \delta_{af} \delta^4(x - y).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Using Smilga's solution for SU(2), this equation collapses to

$$\partial^2 C_1(x, y) + 6g^2 \phi_0^2(x) C_1(x, y) = \delta^4(x - y), \tag{4.6}$$

and we are back to the scalar field case provided we choose $\lambda = 2g^2$, the factor 2 being a Casimir of SU(2). Therefore, from this point on everything is obtained in an identical way. Just to complete our derivation, we write down the final formula for the classical SU(2) Yang-Mills theory in Lorenz gauge,

$$C_{\mu\nu}^{ab}(k) = \delta_{ab} \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2} \right) C_1(k), \quad (4.7)$$

where $C_1(k)$ is the Green function we computed for the scalar field theory. We emphasize that sets of mixed symbols like those given in Eq. (4.3) can be provided in principle for any gauge group, making this kind of classical solution easily generalised.

5 Partition function of the scalar field

We have seen that we are able to solve exactly some non-linear classical theory provided we are able to compute the solution of the homogeneous equation and the Green function of the first order. A functional series expansion gives the solution one is looking for at any desired order. This way to find exact solutions is very similar to what is done in statistical or quantum field theory. If the whole set of correlation functions is computable in closed form at any order one could claim in principle to have solved a given theory exactly. In most common applications, generally provided by realistic models that are mostly given by non-linear PDEs, this is not possible except for the free case. Therefore, one could ask how to extend the above exact solution for the scalar field to statistical field theory. In order to understand this, let us consider a generic scalar field theory having a partition function

$$Z[j] = \int [d\phi] e^{-S[\phi] - \int j\phi d^4x}. \quad (5.1)$$

We will have a set of Schwinger functions

$$S_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{Z} \frac{\delta^n Z}{\delta j(x_1) \dots \delta j(x_n)} \Big|_{j=0} = Z^{-1} \int [d\phi] \phi(x_1) \dots \phi(x_n) e^{-S[\phi] - \int j\phi d^4x} \Big|_{j=0}. \quad (5.2)$$

These functions yield the connected correlation functions

$$G_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{\delta^n \ln Z}{\delta j(x_1) \dots \delta j(x_n)} \Big|_{j=0}. \quad (5.3)$$

The relations

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(x_1) &= G_1(x_1), \\ S_2(x_1, x_2) &= G_2(x_1, x_2) + G_1(x_1)G_1(x_2), \\ S_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= G_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) + G_2(x_1, x_2)G_1(x_3) + G_2(x_1, x_3)G_1(x_2) \\ &\quad + G_2(x_2, x_3)G_1(x_1) + G_1(x_1)G_1(x_2)G_1(x_3), \quad \dots \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

hold between Schwinger and connected functions. We say that a theory will admit a Gaussian solution if all the connected functions (cumulants) of order higher than 2 can be computed

through the cumulants of order 1 and 2. This fact is very well-known from statistics. This Gaussian solutions completely map to those we have found for the classical theories. A trivial example of a Gaussian solution is a free massive scalar theory. In our case, we aim to provide some non-trivial examples.

If we do not care too much about translation invariance that might or might not be broken by nature, a straightforward way to obtain such kind of Gaussian solutions for a given statistical field theory is by solving the Dyson–Schwinger set of equations, assuming that higher-order connected correlation functions ($n > 2$) are zero if two or more arguments coincide. In such a case, we are in principle able to completely solve the set of equations, as shown in Ref. [Fra15.2], because each equation becomes independent from the higher order ones in the set. This class of Gaussian solutions of the Dyson–Schwinger set of equations for a statistical field theory could prove to be relevant in physics in case that agreement with lattice and/or experimental data is achieved. In all other cases, it is just a technique to get some closed form analytical solutions, having significant pedagogical meaning also for realistic theories where such solutions are currently missing. The solutions of the Dyson–Schwinger equations completely map the classical solutions we previously discussed, with some corrections of quantum origin. In quantum field theory, such corrections entail renormalization.

For the sake of completeness, we list here the first few Dyson–Schwinger equations for a massless quartic scalar theory [Fra15.2], showing how these equations match the classical equations we presented before.

$$\partial^2 G_1(x) + \lambda \left[G_1^3(x) + 3G_2(x, x)G_1(x) + G_3(x, x, x) \right] = 0, \quad (5.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^2 G_2(x, y) + \lambda \left[3(G_1^2(x)G_2(x, y) + 3G_2(x, x)G_2(x, y) \right. \\ \left. + 3G_3(x, x, y)G_1(x) + G_4(x, x, x, y) \right] = \delta^4(x - y), \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^2 G_3(x, y, z) + \lambda \left[6G_1(x)G_2(x, y)G_2(x, z) + 3G_1^2(x)G_3(x, y, z) \right. \\ \left. + 3G_2(x, z)G_3(x, x, y) + 3G_2(x, y)G_3(x, x, z) + 3G_2(x, x)G_3(x, y, z) \right. \\ \left. + 3G_1(x)G_4(x, x, y, z) + G_5(x, x, x, y, z) \right] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^2 G_4(x, y, z, w) + \lambda \left[6G_2(x, y)G_2(x, z)G_2(x, w) + 6G_1(x)G_2(x, y)G_3(x, z, w) \right. \\ \left. + 6G_1(x)G_2(x, z)G_3(x, y, w) + 6G_1(x)G_2(x, w)G_3(x, y, z) + 3G_1^2(x)G_4(x, y, z, w) \right. \\ \left. + 3G_2(x, y)G_4(x, x, z, w) + 3G_2(x, z)G_4(x, x, y, w) + 3G_2(x, w)G_4(x, x, y, z) \right. \\ \left. + 3G_2(x, x)G_4(x, y, z, w) + 3G_1(x)G_5(x, x, y, z, w) + G_6(x, x, x, y, z, w) \right] = 0, \quad \dots \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

It is easy to see that except for the quantum correction arising from $G_2(x, x)$, the choice $\{G_k(x, x, \dots) = 0 | k > 2\}$ maps completely the above set to the classical solution. In quantum field theory the quantum correction entails some renormalization technique to be evaluated. The final result is consistent and yields a Gaussian solution for the theory.

6 Conclusions

We have provided exact solutions to classical nonlinear field theories and have shown that such solutions map very well to the corresponding analysis through Dyson-Schwinger equations in statistical field theory. These special solutions represent non-trivial Gaussian solutions for the latter. It is enough to know the first two correlation functions (the exact solution of the homogeneous equation and the Green function for the classical theory) to perform in principle whatever computation in the given theory. We hope that this will provide a clearer understanding of computations of exact solutions in quantum field theory, making clear that they are the quantum counterparts of classical solutions. This also provides a generic way to perform computations in classical field theory in case that nonlinear PDEs are involved and some solutions of the corresponding homogeneous equations are known.

References

- [Fra09] M. Frasca, “Exact solutions of classical scalar field equations,” *J. Nonlin. Math. Phys.* **18** (2011) no.2, 291-297
- [Fra13] M. Frasca, “Scalar field theory in the strong self-interaction limit,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014), 2929
- [Fra15.1] M. Frasca, “A theorem on the Higgs sector of the Standard Model,” *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **131**, (2016) no.6, 199
- [Fra15.2] M. Frasca, “Quantum Yang-Mills field theory,” *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **132** (2017), 38 [erratum: *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **132** (2017), 242]
- [Pol1983] J. Polchinski, “Renormalization and Effective Lagrangians,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **231** (1984), 269-295
- [Smi01] A. V. Smilga, “Lectures on Quantum Chromodynamics,” World Scientific, Singapore, 2001
- [Wett92] C. Wetterich, “Exact evolution equation for the effective potential,” *Phys. Lett. B* **301** (1993), 90-94
- [Wipf21] A. Wipf, “Statistical Approach to Quantum Field Theory: An Introduction,” *Lect. Notes Phys.* **992** (2021), 1-554