

The relation between the canonical Hamilton-Jacobi equation and the covariant Hamilton-Jacobi equation for Maxwell's electrodynamics

Monika E. Pietrzyk¹, Cécile Barbachoux² and Joseph Kouneiher²

¹ Mathematics and Physical Sciences, University of Exeter, EX4 4QL Exeter, UK

² Sciences and Technologies Department,
Côte d'Azur University/INSPE, 06000 Nice, France

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to understand the relation between the canonical Hamilton-Jacobi equation for Maxwell's electrodynamics, which is an equation in variational derivatives for a functional of field configurations, and the covariant (De Donder-Weyl) Hamilton-Jacobi equation, which is a partial derivative equation on a finite dimensional space of vector potentials and spacetime coordinates. We show that the procedure of spacetime splitting applied to the latter allows us to reproduce both the canonical Hamilton-Jacobi equation and the Gauss law constraint in the Hamilton-Jacobi form without a recourse to the canonical Hamiltonian analysis. Our consideration may help to analyse the quasiclassical limit of the connection between the standard quantization in field theory based on the canonical Hamiltonian formalism with a preferred time dimension and the pre-canonical quantization that uses the De Donder-Weyl Hamiltonian formulation where space and time dimensions treated equally.

1 Introduction

A covariant generalization of the Hamiltonian formalism to field theory is possible in two distinct ways. The first way is the canonical formalism which can be formulated covariantly on the infinite-dimensional space of solutions (see, e.g., [1–6]) and requires a global foliation of spacetime into space-like leaves. Its underlying structure is the symplectic two-form on the infinite dimensional space of solutions or initial data. The second way (see, e.g., [7–9]) treats all spacetime variables equally as “independent variables” of the variational problem that defines a field theory. The underlying structures are known as multisymplectic [10] or polysymplectic [11–14], and they are represented by forms of degree $(D + 1)$ in the spacetime dimension D or related geometrical constructions on a finite-dimensional polymomentum analogue of the phase space (see [15] for a review and comparison). Both approaches lead to their own generalizations of the Hamilton’s canonical equations from mechanics to field theory, their own regularity conditions of the respective Legendre transformations, and the corresponding analysis of constraints (compare, e.g., [19] with [20–22]). They also have their own respective analogs of Poisson brackets which are defined, in the canonical formalism, for functionals of field configurations and, in the polysymplectic realization of the spacetime symmetric formalism [12, 13], for horizontal differential forms on a finite dimensional bundle [26–30], and they lead to different approaches to quantization of fields known as canonical quantization (see, e.g., [31]) and precanonical quantization put forward in [27, 32–36]. The approach of precanonical quantization has been applied recently to the problems of quantum gravity and quantum gauge theory [37–50], and to the problem of cosmological constant [56, 57]. The relation between precanonical quantization and the standard quantum field theory based on canonical quantization has been discussed in [51–55].

Both covariant generalizations of the Hamiltonian formalism to field theory lead to their own analogues of the Hamilton-Jacobi formulation (compare, e.g., [23, 58] with [7–9, 24, 25]). Our recent papers, where a covariant (De Donder-Weyl) Hamilton-Jacobi equation is derived for the teleparallel equivalent of general relativity [62] and for Maxwell’s theory in Palatini-like formulation [63], we continued this line of research. The relation between the canonical Hamilton-Jacobi formulation (on the infinite dimensional space of configuration of fields), which is an equation in variational derivatives, and the covariant ((De Donder-Weyl) Hamilton-Jacobi formulation (on a finite dimensional space whose coordinates are field variables and spacetime variables), which is a partial differential equation, is not yet understood in general. However, in a few particular examples, it was demonstrated how the canonical Hamilton-Jacobi equation in variational derivatives is derived from the covariant (De Donder-Weyl) Hamilton-Jacobi partial differential equation by applying a procedure of decomposition of spacetime into the space and time and by constructing the action (or eikonal) functional of the initial data from the De Donder-Weyl eikonal functions restricted to an initial field configuration [59–61].

The aim of this paper is to extend this relation to the simplest gauge field, i.e. the electromagnetic field. To this purpose, the canonical Hamilton-Jacobi formulation for

Maxwell field theory is outlined in Section 1 and then, in Section 2, we show how it is derived from the covariant (De Donder-Weyl) Hamilton-Jacobi equation known from our previous work [63] and earlier papers [7, 25, 64] by a spacetime split and a restriction to the subspace of initial data and integration over it. Our terminology and notation follow our previous paper [63].

2 Canonical Hamilton-Jacobi equation

In the canonical Hamiltonian formalism we split the spacetime variables x^μ into the space variables \mathbf{x} and the time variable $x^0 = t$. Then, canonical momenta derived from the Lagrangian

$$L = -\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$F_{\mu\nu} := \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu, \quad (2)$$

are

$$p_{A_0}(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad p_{A_i}(\mathbf{x}) = -F^{0i}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (3)$$

and the canonical Hamiltonian has the form

$$\mathbf{H} = \int d\mathbf{x} \left(\frac{1}{2}(F^{0i})^2 + \frac{1}{4}F^{ij}F_{ij} + F^{0i}\partial_i A_0 \right). \quad (4)$$

The integration by parts in the last term leads to

$$- \int d\mathbf{x} A_0(\mathbf{x})\partial_i F^{i0}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (5)$$

so that the non-dynamical A_0 appears as the Lagrange multiplier and fixes the Gauss law constraint

$$\partial_i F^{i0}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad (6)$$

The canonical Hamilton-Jacobi equation is formulated for a functional of field configurations at a fixed moment of time $\mathbf{S}([A^i(\mathbf{x})], t)$ such that

$$p_{A_i}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\delta \mathbf{S}}{\delta A_i(\mathbf{x})} \quad (7)$$

and it thus takes the form

$$\partial_t \mathbf{S} = \int d\mathbf{x} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\delta \mathbf{S}}{\delta A_i(\mathbf{x})} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} F^{ij} F_{ij} \right). \quad (8)$$

The Gauss law in Hamilton-Jacobi formulation has the form

$$\partial_i \frac{\delta \mathbf{S}}{\delta A_i(\mathbf{x})} = 0 \quad (9)$$

The solutions of the Maxwell equations are related to the solutions of (8), (9) by means of the embedding condition

$$\frac{\delta \mathbf{S}}{\delta A_i(\mathbf{x})} = F^{i0}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (10)$$

An earlier appearance of this form of the Hamilton-Jacobi formulation is found in Max Born's paper [16] and also in the context of the David Bohm's causal interpretation in quantum field theory [17, 18].

3 Canonical Hamilton-Jacobi from the covariant Hamilton-Jacobi equation

The covariant De Donder–Weyl (DDW) HJ equation for Maxwell field theory has the form [7, 25, 63–65]

$$\partial_\mu S^\mu - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial S^\mu}{\partial A_\nu} \frac{\partial S_\mu}{\partial A^\nu} = 0, \quad (11)$$

where S^μ are functions of the field components A_ν and spacetime coordinates x^μ : $S^\mu = S^\mu(A, x)$. The solutions of Maxwell's equations $A_\mu(x)$ are related to the solutions of the DDW HJ equation (11) by using the embedding condition [63]

$$F^{\mu\nu}(x) = - \left(\frac{\partial S^{[\mu}}{\partial A_{\nu]}} \right) \Big|_\sigma, \quad (12)$$

where $|_\sigma$ means a restriction to a particular field configuration σ which is a section $A_\mu(x)$ in the total space of the bundle of the electromagnetic potentials A_μ over the spacetime with the coordinates x^μ . If the spacetime split is performed such that $x^\mu := (t, \mathbf{x})$ then the configuration σ is determined by the initial data Σ at an initial moment of time: $A_\mu(\mathbf{x})$.

We would like to understand the relation between the canonical HJ formulation in terms of the functional $\mathbf{S}([A(\mathbf{x})], t)$ and the covariant DDW HJ formulation that uses several functions $S^\mu(A, x)$. Let us start from the assumption that (cf. [59, 61])

$$\mathbf{S} = \int_\Sigma S^\mu(A_\mu, x^\mu) |_\Sigma v_\mu = \int d\mathbf{x} S^0(A_\mu(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}, t), \quad (13)$$

where $v_\mu := i_{\partial_\mu}(dx^0 \wedge dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{D-1})$ and Σ represents the surface of initial data $A_\nu = A_\nu(\mathbf{x})$ at a fixed moment of time. In the following we denote $S^\mu|_\Sigma = S^\mu(A_\nu(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}, t)$ simply as S^μ .

The time derivative of \mathbf{S} can be obtained from the covariant De Donder-Weyl (DDW) HJ equation (11). Namely,

$$\partial_t \mathbf{S} = \int d\mathbf{x} \partial_t S^0 = \int d\mathbf{x} \left(-\partial_i S^i + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial S^0}{\partial A_\nu} \frac{\partial S_0}{\partial A^\nu} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial S^i}{\partial A_\nu} \frac{\partial S_i}{\partial A^\nu} \right). \quad (14)$$

The first term can be rewritten as

$$\int d\mathbf{x} \partial_i S^i = \int d\mathbf{x} \left(\frac{dS^i}{dx^i} - \partial_i A_\mu(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial S^i}{\partial A_\mu} \right) = - \int d\mathbf{x} \partial_i A_\mu(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial S^i}{\partial A_\mu}, \quad (15)$$

where the total divergence whose integral is vanishing is given by

$$\frac{dS^i}{dx^i} = \partial_i S^i + \partial_i A_\mu(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial S^i}{\partial A_\mu}. \quad (16)$$

Using the constraints, we can write the right hand side of (15) as

$$\int d\mathbf{x} \left(-\partial_i A_0(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial S^0}{\partial A_i} + \partial_i A_j(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial S^i}{\partial A_{[j]}} \right). \quad (17)$$

Integrating by parts the first term and using the embedding condition (12) in the second term we obtain

$$\int d\mathbf{x} \left(A_0(\mathbf{x}) \frac{d}{dx^i} \frac{\partial S^0}{\partial A_i} - \frac{1}{2} F_{ij} F^{ij} \right). \quad (18)$$

In the spacetime with the signature $(+, -, -, -)$ which we use here, the second term in (14) transforms into

$$-\frac{1}{4} \int d\mathbf{x} \frac{\partial S^0}{\partial A_i} \frac{\partial S_0}{\partial A_i}. \quad (19)$$

Note that

$$\frac{\partial S^0}{\partial A_0} = 0 \quad (20)$$

due to the constraint

$$p_{A_\nu}^{(\mu)} = \frac{\partial S^{(\mu)}}{\partial A_\nu} = 0, \quad (21)$$

which follows from the definition of polymomenta from the Lagrangian (1) [63].

Using the constraints (21) and the relation between polymomenta and field strengths, which follows from the Lagrangian (1), namely,

$$p_{A_\nu}^\mu = -F^{\mu\nu}, \quad (22)$$

and the embedding condition of the DDW HJ formulation (12), the last term in (14) can be transformed as follows

$$\frac{1}{4} \int d\mathbf{x} \left(-\left(\frac{\partial S^i}{\partial A_0} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial S^i}{\partial A_j} \frac{\partial S_i}{\partial A^j} \right) \quad (23)$$

$$= \int d\mathbf{x} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial S^0}{\partial A_i} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} F^{ij} F_{ij} \right). \quad (24)$$

By taking note of the fact that

$$\frac{\delta \mathbf{S}}{\delta A_i(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{\partial S^0}{\partial A_i}(A(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}) \quad (25)$$

we obtain from (14)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathbf{S} &= \int d\mathbf{x} \left(A_0(\mathbf{x}) \frac{d}{dx^i} \frac{\partial S^0}{\partial A_i(\mathbf{x})} - \frac{1}{2} (F_{ij})^2 - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\delta \mathbf{S}}{\partial A_i(\mathbf{x})} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\delta \mathbf{S}}{\partial A_i(\mathbf{x})} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} (F_{ij})^2 \right) \\ &= - \int d\mathbf{x} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\delta \mathbf{S}}{\partial A_i(\mathbf{x})} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} F_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) F^{ij}(\mathbf{x}) - A_0(\mathbf{x}) \frac{d}{dx^i} \frac{\delta \mathbf{S}}{\partial A_i(\mathbf{x})} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Thus, without any recourse to the procedures of canonical Hamiltonian formalism, we obtain the canonical Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\partial_t \mathbf{S} + \int d\mathbf{x} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\delta \mathbf{S}}{\partial A_i(\mathbf{x})} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} F_{ij} F^{ij} \right) = 0 \quad (27)$$

and the Gauss law in the Hamilton-Jacobi form

$$\frac{d}{dx^i} \frac{\delta \mathbf{S}}{\partial A_i(\mathbf{x})} = 0 \quad (28)$$

by applying the spacetime split and integration over the initial data to the covariant De Donder-Weyl HJ equation (11). The Gauss law constraint arises with the Lagrange multiplier $A_0(\mathbf{x})$, and the latter decouples from the dynamics. (cf. [19, 39]).

4 Conclusion

Our discussion shows that the formula (13) conjectured in [59], which establishes the connection between the De Donder-Weyl HJ functions S^μ and the canonical HJ action functional \mathbf{S} , is also valid for Maxwell field theory. It allows us to derive the canonical variational derivative HJ equation with a distinguished time variable from the partial derivative De Donder-Weyl HJ equation that treats all spacetime variables equally. Quite unexpectedly, the procedure of spacetime splitting leads to the automatic emergence of the Gauss law constraint from the covariant De Donder-Weyl formulation. It is similar to the automatic emergence of the quantum Gauss law from the derivation of the canonical functional derivative Schrödinger equation from the precanonical Schrödinger equation in quantum gauge theory in [39]. One can conjecture that by choosing different $(D - 1)$ -dimensional foliations and then integrating over their leaves, one can derive canonical HJ equations in different gauges. The clarification of the relation between the canonical HJ theory of fields and the De Donder-Weyl HJ theory may also help to understand the quasiclassical limit of the Schrödinger equation for quantum fields derived by precanonical quantization (see the References cited in the Introduction) and a possible Bohmian interpretation of it similar to that studied recently in [66]. It is also interesting to extend our analysis to more general non-abelian gauge fields (cf. [39, 67]) and to try to obtain the canonical Hamilton-Jacobi formulation of the teleparallel equivalent of general relativity (TEGR) by applying the procedure of this paper to our recent work [62] on the covariant HJ formulation of TEGR instead of using the cumbersome canonical Hamiltonian treatment of the system with a hierarchy of constraints.

References

- [1] P.L. Garcia and A. Perez-Rendon, Symplectic approach to the theory of quantized fields II, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 43 (1971) 101–124.
- [2] C. Crnkovic and E. Witten, Covariant description of canonical formalism in geometrical theories. In “Three Hundred Years of Gravitation,” Eds. S. W. Hawking and W. Israel, pp. 676–684 Cambridge University Press, 1987.
- [3] C. Crnkovic, Symplectic geometry of the covariant phase space, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 5 (1988) 1557-1576.
- [4] P.R. Chernoff and J.E. Marsden, Properties of Infinite Dimensional Hamiltonian Systems, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* vol. 425, Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg 1974.
- [5] J. Kijowski and W.M. Tulczyjew, *A Symplectic Framework for Field Theories*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 1979.
- [6] E. Binz, J. Śniatycki and H. Fisher, *Geometry of classical fields*, *Math. Studies* 154, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.
- [7] Th. De Donder, *Théorie invariante du calcul des variations* (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1930).
- [8] H. Kastrup, Canonical theories of Lagrangian dynamical systems in physics, *Phys. Rep.* 101 (1983) 1-167.
- [9] H. Rund, *The Hamilton-Jacobi theory in the calculus of variations*, D. van Nostrand, London and New York, 1966.
- [10] J. Kijowski, A finite-dimensional canonical formalism in the classical field theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 30 (1973) 99–128.
- [11] C. Günther, The polysymplectic Hamiltonian formalism in field theories and the calculus of variations, *J. Diff. Geom.* 25 (1987) 23–53.
- [12] I.V. Kanatchikov, On the Canonical Structure of De Donder-Weyl Covariant Hamiltonian Formulation of Field Theory 1. Graded Poisson Brackets and Equations of Motion, arXiv:hep-th/9312162.
- [13] I.V. Kanatchikov, Canonical Structure of Classical Field Theory in the Momentum Phase Space, *Rep. Math. Phys.* 41 (1998) 49, arXiv:hep-th/9709229.
- [14] G. Sardanashvily, *Generalized Hamiltonian Formalism for Field Theory*, World Scientific Singapore 1995.
- [15] M. de León, M. Salgado, S. Vilariño, *Methods of Differential Geometry in Classical Field Theories: k-symplectic and k-cosymplectic approaches*, World Scientific Singapore 2015. arXiv:1409.5604 .

- [16] M. Born, On the quantum theory of the electromagnetic field, *Proc. Royal Soc. A* 143 (1934) 410-437.
- [17] P.N. Kaloyerou, The causal interpretation of the electromagnetic field, *Physics Reports* 244 (1994) 287–358.
- [18] P.N. Kaloyerou, An ontological interpretation of boson fields. In “Bohmian Mechanics and Quantum Theory: An Appraisal,” *Boston Studies in the Philosophy of Science* vol. 184, editors J.T. Cushing, A. Fine S. Goldstein, p. 155-167, Springer, Dordrecht 1996.
- [19] K. Sundermeyer, *Constrained Dynamics*, *Lecture Notes in Physics (LNP, volume 169)* Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 1982.
- [20] C.M. Campos, M. de Leon, D.M. de Diego, Constrained variational calculus for higher order classical field theories, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 43 455206 (2010), arXiv:1005.2152.
- [21] I.V. Kanatchikov, On a generalization of the Dirac bracket in the De Donder-Weyl Hamiltonian formalism. In: “Differential Geometry and its Applications,” eds. O. Kowalski, D. Krupka, O. Krupková and J. Slovák, pp. 615-625, World Scientific, Singapore 2008, arXiv:0807.3127.
- [22] J. Gomis, A. Guerra, N. Román-Roy, Multisymplectic constraint analysis of scalar field theories, Chern-Simons gravity, and bosonic string theory, *Nucl. Phys. B* 987 (2023) 116069, arXiv:2210.07698.
- [23] C.M. Campos, M. de León, D.M. de Diego and M. Vaquero, Hamilton-Jacobi theory in Cauchy data space, *Rept. Math. Phys.* 76 (2015) 359-387. arXiv:1411.3959
- [24] H. Weyl, Geodesic fields in the calculus of variation for multiple integrals, *Ann. Math.* 36 (1935) 607-629.
- [25] J. von Rieth, The Hamilton–Jacobi theory of De Donder and Weyl applied to some relativistic field theories, *J. Math. Phys.* 25 (1984) 1102-115.
- [26] I. Kanatchikov, On field theoretic generalizations of a Poisson algebra, *Rep. Math. Phys.* 40 (1997) 225, arXiv:hep-th/9710069.
- [27] I. V. Kanatchikov, Geometric (pre)quantization in the polysymplectic approach to field theory. In “Differential Geometry and Its Applications” *Proc. Conf.*, Opava (Czech Republic), August 27–31, 2001, eds. O. Kowalski, D. Krupka and J. Slovak, Silesian University, Opava, 2001, 309-321. arXiv:hep-th/0112263.
- [28] F. Hélein and J. Kouneiher, Finite dimensional Hamiltonian formalism for gauge and quantum field theories, *J. Math. Phys.* 43 (2002) 2306-2347.
- [29] F. Hélein and J. Kouneiher, Covariant Hamiltonian formalism for the calculus of variations with several variables, arXiv:math-ph/0211046.

- [30] F. Hélein and J. Kouneiher, Covariant Hamiltonian formalism for the calculus of variations with several variables: Lepage-Dedecker versus de Donder-Weyl, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* 8 (2004) 565-601.
- [31] S.S. Schweber, *An Introduction to Relativistic Quantum Field Theory*, Evarston, Row, Peterson & Co., 1961.
- [32] I. Kanatchikov, From the Poincaré-Cartan form to a Gerstenhaber algebra of Poisson brackets in field theory, arXiv:hep-th/9511039.
- [33] I. Kanatchikov, Towards the Born-Weyl Quantization of Fields, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 37 (1998) 333-342. arXiv:quant-ph/9712058.
- [34] I. V. Kanatchikov, DeDonder-Weyl theory and a hypercomplex extension of quantum mechanics to field theory, *Rept. Math. Phys.* 43 (1999) 157-170. arXiv:hep-th/9810165.
- [35] I. V. Kanatchikov, On quantization of field theories in polymomentum variables, *AIP Conf. Proc.* 453 (1998) 356-367. arXiv:hep-th/9811016.
- [36] I. V. Kanatchikov, Ehrenfest theorem in precanonical quantization, *J. Geom. Symmetry Phys.* 37 (2015) 43-66. arXiv:1501.00480.
- [37] I. V. Kanatchikov, Precanonical quantization of Yang-Mills fields and the functional Schroedinger representation, *Rept. Math. Phys.* 53 (2004) 181-193. arXiv:hep-th/0301001.
- [38] I. V. Kanatchikov, On the spectrum of DW Hamiltonian of quantum SU(2) gauge field, *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* 14 (2017) 1750123, arXiv:1706.01766.
- [39] I. V. Kanatchikov, Schrödinger wave functional in quantum Yang-Mills theory from precanonical quantization, *Rep. Math. Phys.* 82 (2018) 373, arXiv:1805.05279.
- [40] I. V. Kanatchikov, From the De Donder-Weyl Hamiltonian formalism to quantization of gravity, arXiv:gr-qc/9810076.
- [41] I. V. Kanatchikov, Quantization of gravity: yet another way, arXiv:gr-qc/9912094.
- [42] I. V. Kanatchikov, Precanonical perspective in quantum gravity, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* 88 (2000) 326-330. arXiv:gr-qc/0004066.
- [43] I. V. Kanatchikov, Precanonical Quantum Gravity: quantization without the space-time decomposition, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 40 (2001) 1121-1149. arXiv:gr-qc/0012074.
- [44] I. V. Kanatchikov, On precanonical quantization of gravity in spin connection variables, *AIP Conf. Proc.* 1514 (2012) 73-76, arXiv:1212.6963.
- [45] I. V. Kanatchikov, De Donder-Weyl Hamiltonian formulation and precanonical quantization of vielbein gravity, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* 442 (2013) 012041, arXiv:1302.2610.

- [46] I. V. Kanatchikov, On precanonical quantization of gravity, *Nonlin. Phenom. Complex Sys. (NPCS)* 17 (2014) 372-376, arXiv:1407.3101 [gr-qc].
- [47] I. V. Kanatchikov, Ehrenfest theorem in precanonical quantization of fields and gravity, arXiv:1602.01083.
- [48] I. V. Kanatchikov, On the “spin connection foam” picture of quantum gravity from precanonical quantization, arXiv:1512.09137.
- [49] I. V. Kanatchikov, Towards precanonical quantum teleparallel gravity, arXiv:2302.10695.
- [50] I. V. Kanatchikov, The De Donder-Weyl Hamiltonian formulation of TEGR and its quantization, arXiv:2308.10052.
- [51] I. V. Kanatchikov, Precanonical quantization and the Schrödinger wave functional revisited, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* 18 (2014) 1249-1265, arXiv:1112.5801 .
- [52] I. V. Kanatchikov, On the precanonical structure of the Schrödinger wave functional, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* 20 (2016) 1377-1396, arXiv:1312.4518.
- [53] I. V. Kanatchikov, Schrödinger functional of a quantum scalar field in static space-times from precanonical quantization, *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* 16 (2019) 1950017, arXiv:1810.09968.
- [54] I. V. Kanatchikov, Precanonical structure of the Schrödinger wave functional in curved space-time, *Symmetry* 11 (2019) 1413, arXiv:1812.11264.
- [55] I. V. Kanatchikov, On the precanonical structure of the Schrödinger wave functional in curved space-time, *Acta Phys. Polon. B Proc. Suppl.* 13 (2020) 313-323, arXiv:1912.07401
- [56] I.V. Kanatchikov, The quantum waves of Minkowski spacetime and the minimal acceleration from precanonical quantum gravity, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* 2533 (2023) 012037. arXiv:2308.08738.
- [57] I.V. Kanatchikov and V.A. Kholodnyi, The Milgromian acceleration and the cosmological constant from precanonical quantum gravity. arXiv:2311.05525.
- [58] P. Weiss, On the Hamilton-Jacobi theory and quantization of a dynamical continuum, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* A169 (1938) 102–119.
- [59] I. V. Kanatchikov, Precanonical quantization and the Schroedinger wave functional, *Phys. Lett. A* 283 (2001) 25-36. arXiv:hep-th/0012084.
- [60] H. Nikolic, Covariant canonical quantization of fields and Bohmian mechanics, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 42 (2005) 365-374. arXiv:hep-th/0407228.

- [61] N. Riahi and M. E. Pietrzyk, On the relation between the canonical Hamilton–Jacobi equation and the De Donder–Weyl Hamilton–Jacobi formulation in general relativity, *Acta Phys. Polon. Supp.* 13 (2020) 213-222. arXiv:1912.13363
- [62] M.E. Pietrzyk and C. Barbachoux, On the covariant Hamilton–Jacobi equation for the Teleparallel Equivalent of General Relativity, arXiv:2201.01295
- [63] M.E. Pietrzyk, C. Barbachoux, I.V. Kanatchikov and J. Kouneiher, On the covariant Hamilton–Jacobi formulation of Maxwell’s equations via the polysymplectic reduction, arXiv:2212.14845.
- [64] P. Hořava, On a covariant Hamilton–Jacobi framework for the Einstein–Maxwell theory. *Class. Quant. Grav.* 8 (1991) 2069-2084.
- [65] M. de León and M. Zając, Hamilton–Jacobi theory for gauge field theories. *J. Geom. Phys.* 152 (2020) 103636. arXiv:1904.10264
- [66] M. Derakhshani, M. K.-H. Kiessling and A. S. Tahvildar-Zadeh, Covariant guiding laws for fields, arXiv:2110.09683.
- [67] J. Berra-Montiel, E. del Rio, A. Molgado, Polysymplectic formulation for topologically massive Yang–Mills field theory, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*32 (2017) 1750101, arXiv:1702.03076.